

# The isomorphism types of parabolic subgroups in Kac-Moody groups\*

Zhao Xu-an, zhaoxa@bnu.edu.cn

Ruan Yangyang, 201831130006@mail.bnu.edu.cn

Wang Ran, 201621130041@mail.bnu.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University

Key Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems

Ministry of Education, China, Beijing 100875

## Abstract

In this paper we discuss the isomorphism types of parabolic subgroups in Kac-Moody groups. The results have applications in the study of topology of Kac-Moody groups and their classifying spaces.

**Key words:** Cartan matrix, Kac-Moody Group, Parabolic subgroup.

**MSC(2010):** Primary 15Axx, 22E67

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  integer matrix which satisfies

- (1)  $a_{ii} = 2$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ;
- (2)  $a_{ij} \leq 0$ , for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ;
- (3)  $a_{ij} = 0$  if and only if  $a_{ji} = 0$ ,

then  $A$  is called a Cartan matrix.

For a Cartan matrix  $A$ , let  $h$  be the complex vector space with basis  $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee\}$ . We denote the dual basis of  $\Pi^\vee$  in the dual vector space  $h^*$  by  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\}$ , i.e.  $\omega_i(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subset h^*$  be given by  $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ , then  $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} \omega_j$ .

When the Cartan matrix  $A$  is singular, then  $\{\alpha_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is not a basis of  $h^*$ .  $\alpha_i, \alpha_i^\vee, \omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  are called respectively the simple roots, simple coroots and fundamental dominant weights.

By the work of Kac[2] and Moody[8], it is well known that for each Cartan matrix  $A$ , there exists a complex Lie algebra  $g(A)$  which is called Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

The Kac-Moody Lie algebra  $g(A)$  is generated by  $\alpha_i^\vee, e_i, f_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ , with the defining relations:

- (1)  $[\alpha_i^\vee, \alpha_j^\vee] = 0$  for all  $i, j$ ;

---

\*The authors are supported by National Science Foundation of China, 11571038.

- (2)  $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \alpha_i^\vee$  for all  $i, j$ ;
- (3)  $[\alpha_i^\vee, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, [\alpha_i^\vee, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j$  for all  $i, j$ ;
- (4)  $\text{ad}(e_i)^{-a_{ij}+1}(e_j) = 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ;
- (5)  $\text{ad}(f_i)^{-a_{ij}+1}(f_j) = 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ .

Kac and Peterson[4][5][6] constructed the simply connected Kac-Moody group  $G(A)$  with Lie algebra  $g(A)$ .

Cartan matrices and their associated Kac-Moody Lie algebras, Kac-Moody groups are divided into three types.

- (1) Finite type, if  $A$  is positive definite. In this case,  $G(A)$  is just the simply connected complex semisimple Lie group with Cartan matrix  $A$ .
- (2) Affine type, if  $A$  is positive semi-definite and has rank  $n - 1$ .
- (3) Indefinite type otherwise.

Let  $S$  be the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For each proper subset  $I \subsetneq S$ , the matrix  $A_I = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in I}$  is also a Cartan matrix. And there is a Kac-Moody subalgebra  $g(A_I)$  of  $g(A)$  which is generated by  $\alpha_i^\vee, e_i, f_i, i \in I$ , and a parabolic subalgebra  $g_I(A)$  generated by  $e_i, i \in I$  and  $\alpha_i^\vee, f_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Corresponding to  $g(A_I)$  and  $g_I(A)$ , there is a connected subgroup  $G(A_I)$  and a parabolic subgroup  $G_I(A)$  in  $G(A)$ .

There is a canonical anti-linear involution on the Lie algebra  $g(A)$  which is defined by  $\sigma(e_i) = f_i, \sigma(f_i) = e_i$  and  $\sigma(\alpha_i^\vee) = -\alpha_i^\vee$ . The fixed real subalgebra  $k(A)$  is spanned by  $\sqrt{-1}\alpha_i^\vee, 1 \leq i \leq n; e_\alpha + f_\alpha, \sqrt{-1}(e_\alpha - f_\alpha), \alpha \in \Delta_+$  (the positive root system of  $G(A)$ ).  $k(A)$  has a real Cartan subalgebra  $t$  which is generated by  $\sqrt{-1}\alpha_i^\vee, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . And  $\sigma$  induces an automorphism  $w$  on  $G(A)$ . The unitary form  $K(A)$  of  $G(A)$  is defined as the fixed subgroup  $G(A)^w$  and  $k(A)$  is its Lie algebra. The unitary form of the Kac-Moody subgroup  $G(A_I)$  is  $K(A_I) = K(A) \cap G(A_I)$  with Lie algebras  $k(A_I) = k(A) \cap g(A_I)$ . And the unitary form of the parabolic subgroup  $G_I(A)$  is  $K_I(A) = K(A) \cap G_I(A)$  with Lie algebras  $k_I(A) = k(A) \cap g_I(A)$ . For  $I = \emptyset$ ,  $K_\emptyset(A)$  is the maximal torus subgroup  $T$  of  $K(A)$  and  $t$  is its Lie algebra. The groups  $K(A_I)$  and  $K_I(A)$  have the same simple root system  $\Pi_I = \{\alpha_i | i \in I\}$  and Dynkin diagram. The readers may refer to [4][5][6][7] for details.

Let  $t_I = k(A_I) \cap t$  be the Cartan subalgebra of  $K(A_I)$  and  $t'_I$  be the central subalgebra of  $k_I(A)$ . Let  $T_I$  and  $T'_I$  be the torus subgroups of  $K(A)$  corresponding to  $t_I$  and  $t'_I$ . Since  $K(A_I)$  commutes with  $T'_I$ , we have a homomorphism  $\pi_I : K(A_I) \times T'_I \rightarrow K_I(A), (a, b) \mapsto ab$ . And  $\pi_I$  induces a Lie algebra homomorphism  $d\pi_I : k(A_I) \times t'_I \rightarrow k_I(A), (X, Y) \mapsto X + Y$ .

Generally,  $d\pi_I$  is neither injective nor surjective. However, we will prove that  $d\pi_I$  is an isomorphism if and only if the Cartan matrix  $A_I$  is non-singular. In this case  $d\pi_I$  is surjective and the kernel of  $\pi_I$  is a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma_I$  in the center of  $K(A_I) \times T'_I$ , thus  $K_I(A) \cong K(A_I) \times T'_I / \Gamma_I$ . Then a natural problem is

**Problem:** For the pair  $(A, I)$  with  $\det A_I \neq 0$ , how to determine the discrete subgroup  $\Gamma_I$ .

If  $\det A_I = 0$ ,  $K_I(A)$  can't be written as a quotient of  $K(A_I) \times T'_I$ , but as a semi-direct product of  $K(A_I)$  and  $T_{S-I}$ .

For Kac-Moody groups of finite type, Duan and Liu[1] determine the isomorphism types of centralizer of an element in a simply connected compact Lie group. Their results contain the computation of the isomorphism type of parabolic subgroups of Kac-Moody group of finite type. In this paper, we will generalize their methods to general cases.

The methods to determine the isomorphism type of  $K_I(A)$  is as follows. First we observe that the kernel of  $\pi_I$  equals to the kernel of its restricted homomorphism on maximal torus. Then by identifying the Cartan subalgebra  $t_I \oplus t'_I$  of  $k(A_I) \times t'_I$  with the Cartan subalgebra  $t$  of  $k_I(A)$ , and comparing the unit lattice  $L(A) \subset t_I \oplus t'_I$  with the unit lattice  $L_I(A) \subset t$ , we determine the group  $\Gamma_I$ . We have

**Theorem 1:** Let  $L(A)$  and  $L_I(A)$  be the unit lattices of  $T$  and  $T_I \times T'_I$  respectively. If  $\det A \neq 0$ ,  $\Gamma_I$  is isomorphic to  $L(A)/L_I(A)$ .

**Theorem 2:** Let  $E_I(A)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^{|I|}$  generated by the row vectors  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  of matrix  $\tilde{A}_I = (a_{ij})_{i \in S, j \in I}$  and  $E(A_I)$  be the subgroup generated by the row vectors  $e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_{|I|}}$  of matrix  $A_I = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ , then we have  $\Gamma_I \cong E_I(A)/E(A_I)$ .

The contents of this paper are as follows. In section 2, we discuss the computation of the center of a Kac-Moody group. In section 3, we determine the group  $\Gamma_I$ .

## 2 The center of the Kac-Moody group $K(A)$

In this section we compute the center  $Z(K(A))$  of the Kac-Moody group  $K(A)$ .

By definition

$$Z(K(A)) = \{a \in K(A) | aba^{-1} = b, \forall b \in K(A)\}.$$

By the general theory of Kac-Moody groups, the center of  $K(A)$  is contained in the maximal torus subgroup  $T$ . Hence an element  $a \in Z(K(A))$  can be written as  $a = \exp(\Lambda)$  with  $\Lambda \in t$ . And

$$Z(K(A)) = \{a | Ad(a)Y = Y, \forall Y \in k(A)\}.$$

Therefore  $a \in Z(K(A))$  if and only if  $Ad(a)e_i = e_i$  and  $Ad(a)f_i = f_i$  for all  $i$ . And we have  $Ad(a)e_i = Ad(\exp(\Lambda))e_i = \exp(ad(\Lambda))e_i = e^{\alpha_i(\Lambda)}e_i$ . Similarly  $Ad(a)f_i = e^{-\alpha_i(\Lambda)}f_i$ . Hence  $a \in Z(K(A))$  if and only if  $\alpha_i(\Lambda) \in 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}$ .

From now on we may omit the constant  $2\pi\sqrt{-1}$  temporarily for convenience and we can recover it at any time. An element  $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i^\vee \in t$  can be regarded as a row vector  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  under the basis  $\Pi^\vee$ . Similarly the root  $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} w_j$  can be regarded as a column vector  $(a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \dots, a_{ni})^T$ , which is exact the  $i$ -th column vector of  $A$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For a Cartan matrix  $A$ , we define  $\mathcal{Z}(A) = \{\Lambda \in t | \alpha_i(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and  $L(A) = \{\Lambda \in t | \exp(\Lambda) = e\}$ .  $L(A)$  is the kernel of the exponential map  $\exp : t \rightarrow T$  and is called the unit lattice of  $T$ .

It is easy to see that  $L(A) \subset \mathcal{Z}(A)$ . And for the simply connected Kac-Moody group  $K(A)$ ,  $L(A)$  is spanned by  $\alpha_1^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** The center of Kac-Moody group  $K(A)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Z}(A)/L(A)$ .

**Proof:** We consider the surjective homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{Z}(A) \rightarrow Z(K(A)), \Lambda \mapsto \exp(\Lambda)$ . It is easy to see that the kernel of  $\phi$  is  $L(A)$ . This proves the proposition.

The condition  $\alpha_i(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq n$  is equivalent to equation  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)A = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  with  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $K = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ , the equation can be written as

$$\Lambda A = K \quad (1)$$

If  $\det A \neq 0$ , then the solution of Equation 1 is  $\Lambda = KA^{-1}$ . It can be written as  $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i v_i$  with  $v_i$  being the  $i$ -th row vector of matrix  $A^{-1}$ . Hence  $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  generates  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ . Let  $\bar{v}_i$  be the image of  $v_i$  under the homomorphism  $\phi$ , then  $\bar{v}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  generates the group  $Z(K(A))$ .  $\square$

Let  $t_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space  $L(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . We define a linear map  $R : t_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n, \Lambda \mapsto \Lambda A$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be the row vectors of  $A$ . They span a lattice subgroup  $E$  in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . we have

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $\det A \neq 0$ , then  $Z(K(A)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n/E$  and it is a finite group with order  $|\det A|$ .*

**Proof:** If  $\det A \neq 0$ , then  $R$  is an isomorphism. Hence  $\mathcal{Z}(A)/L(A) \cong R(\mathcal{Z}(A))/R(L(A)) = \mathbb{Z}^n/E$ .  $\square$

If  $\det A = 0$ , Let  $F$  be the intersection of  $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$  with the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space spanned by  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ , we have

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $\det A = 0$ , then  $Z(K(A))$  is isomorphic to the product of a finite group  $Z'(K(A))$  and a torus subgroup  $T^{n-k} \subset T$ , where  $Z'(K(A)) \cong F/E$  and  $k = \text{rank}(A_I)$ .*

**Proof:** We have two exact sequences.

$$0 \rightarrow \ker R \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A) \rightarrow \text{im} R \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow \ker R \cap L(A) \rightarrow L(A) \rightarrow \text{im} L(A) \rightarrow 0,$$

The quotient of these two sequences gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T^{n-k} \rightarrow Z(K(A)) \rightarrow F/E \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $T^{n-k}$  is divisible, the exact sequence is split. This proves the corollary.  $\square$

**Example 2.1.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{2 \times 2}$  be a Cartan matrix of rank 2, we compute the center of  $K(A)$ .*

$\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{Z}(K(A))$  if and only if

$$\begin{cases} 2\lambda_1 + a_{21}\lambda_2 = k_1 \\ a_{12}\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 = k_2 \end{cases}$$

If  $\Delta = 4 - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{2}{\Delta}k_1 + \frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta}k_2 \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta}k_1 + \frac{2}{\Delta}k_2 \end{cases}$$

Hence we have  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = k_1(\frac{2}{\Delta}, \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta}) + k_2(\frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta}, \frac{2}{\Delta})$ .

We set  $v_1 = (\frac{2}{\Delta}, \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta})$  and  $v_2 = (\frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta}, \frac{2}{\Delta})$ , then  $\bar{v}_1$  and  $\bar{v}_2$  are the generators of group  $Z(K(A))$ . Explicit computation shows

If  $a_{12}$  is odd, then  $\bar{v}_1$  is the generator of  $Z(K(A))$  with order  $|\Delta|$ . And  $Z(K(A))$  is isomorphic to  $Z_{|\Delta|}$ .

If  $a_{21}$  is odd, then  $\bar{v}_2$  is the generator of  $Z(K(A))$  with order  $|\Delta|$ . And  $Z(K(A))$  is isomorphic to  $Z_{|\Delta|}$ .

If both  $a_{12}$  and  $a_{21}$  are even, then  $\bar{v}_1$  and  $\bar{v}_2 + \frac{a_{21}}{2}\bar{v}_1$  are the generators of  $Z(K(A))$  with order  $\frac{|\Delta|}{2}$  and order 2. And  $Z(K(A))$  is isomorphic to  $Z_{\frac{|\Delta|}{2}} \times Z_2$ .

If  $\Delta = 0$ , then  $a_{12}a_{21} = 4$  and we must have  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  or  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

For  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $e_1 = (2, -2), e_2 = (-2, 2)$  and  $E = \mathbb{Z}(2, -2), F = \mathbb{Z}(1, -1)$ , hence  $Z'(K(A)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

For  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $e_1 = (2, -1), e_2 = (-4, 2)$  and  $E = \mathbb{Z}(2, -1), F = \mathbb{Z}(2, -1)$ , hence  $Z'(K(A)) = \{1\}$ .

In both cases  $k = 1$ .

An  $n \times n$  integer matrix  $A$  has a decomposition  $A = P\tilde{A}Q$ , where  $P, \tilde{A}, Q$  are integer matrices with  $\det P, \det Q \in \{1, -1\}$  and  $\tilde{A} = \text{diag}[q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n]$  with  $q_1 \mid q_2 \mid \dots \mid q_n$ . If  $\det A = 0$  and  $\text{rank}(A) = k$ , then  $q_{k+1} = q_{k+2} = \dots = q_n = 0$ . If  $A$  is a Cartan matrix, then Equation 1 becomes  $\Lambda P \tilde{A} Q = K$ . Denoting  $\Lambda P$  and  $KQ^{-1}$  by  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $\tilde{K}$  respectively, then we have  $\tilde{\Lambda}\tilde{A} = \tilde{K}$ . An easy check shows that

**Proposition 2.2.**  $Z(K(A)) \cong Z_{q_1} \times Z_{q_2} \times \dots \times Z_{q_k} \times T^{n-k}$ .

This provides an alternative proof for Corollary 2.2

### 3 The kernel $\Gamma_I$ of the homomorphism $\pi_I$

First we compute the center  $Z_I(A)$  of the parabolic subgroup  $K_I(A)$ . For  $I \subsetneq S$ , let  $\mathcal{Z}_I(A) = \{\Lambda \in t \mid \alpha_i(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall i \in I\}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The center  $Z_I(A)$  of  $K_I(A)$  is  $\exp(\mathcal{Z}_I(A))$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $Z_I(A) \subset T$ , an element  $a \in Z_I(A)$  can be written as  $a = \exp(\Lambda)$ . A similar argument as previous section shows that  $a \in Z_I(A)$  if and only if  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_I(A)$ . This gives a surjective homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{Z}_I(A) \rightarrow Z_I(A), \Lambda \mapsto \exp(\Lambda)$  and proves the lemma.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a Cartan matrix and  $I \subsetneq S$  be a proper subset with  $\det A_I \neq 0$ . We have defined the group homomorphism  $\pi_I : K(A_I) \times T'_I \rightarrow K_I(A), (a, b) \mapsto ab$ . It induces a Lie algebra homomorphism  $d\pi_I : k(A_I) \oplus t'_I \rightarrow k_I(A), (X, Y) \mapsto X + Y$ . By checking the definition we have

**Lemma 3.2.** 1. *The Lie algebra  $t'_I = \{\Lambda \in t \mid \alpha_i(\Lambda) = 0, \forall i \in I\}$ , or equivalently  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in t'_I$  if and only if  $\Lambda\tilde{A}_I = 0$ , where  $\tilde{A}_I = (a_{ij})_{i \in S, j \in I}$ .*

2. *The kernel of  $d\pi_I$  is  $\{(X, -X) \mid X \in t_I \cap t'_I\}$  and it is isomorphic to  $t_I \cap t'_I$ .*

3. *The kernel of  $\pi_I$  is  $\{(a, a^{-1}) \mid a \in T_I \cap T'_I\}$  and it is isomorphic to  $T_I \cap T'_I = K(A_I) \cap T'_I$ .*

**Lemma 3.3.**  *$d\pi_I$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\det A_I \neq 0$*

**Proof:** Since  $k_I(A)$  and  $k(A_I)$  have the same Dynkin diagram, by the previous lemma, it is sufficient to prove that  $t_I \cap t'_I = \{0\}$  and  $t_I + t'_I = t$ .

We set  $l = \text{rank}(A_I)$ ,  $m = \text{rank}(\tilde{A}_I)$ , then  $l \leq m \leq |I|$ . By 1 and 2 of Lemma 3.2, the dimensions of  $t'_I$  and  $t_I \cap t'_I$  are  $n - m$  and  $|I| - l$ . Therefore

$$\dim(t_I + t'_I) = \dim t_I + \dim t'_I - \dim t_I \cap t'_I = |I| + n - m - (|I| - l) = n - (m - l).$$

Hence  $d\pi_I$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $|I| - l = 0$  and  $n - (m - l) = n$ . So we have  $\text{rank}(A_I) = l = m = |I|$ . This means that  $\det A_I \neq 0$ .  $\square$

In the following we need only consider the case when  $\det A_I \neq 0$ .

Let  $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{|I|}\}$ ,  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{|I|}$  and  $J = S - I = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-|I|}\}$ ,  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{n-|I|}$ , then the column vectors  $\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_{|I|}}$  form the  $n \times |I|$  matrix  $\tilde{A}_I$ . The matrix  $\tilde{A}_I$  can be decomposed into two sub-matrices. The  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{|I|}$ -th rows of  $\tilde{A}_I$  form the matrix  $A_I$ , and the  $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-|I|}$ -th rows form another matrix  $\bar{A}_I$ . By the decomposition  $t = t_I \oplus t_J$ , each  $\Lambda \in t$  can be written as  $(\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$  with  $\Lambda_1 \in t_I$  and  $\Lambda_2 \in t_J$ . By definition if  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{Z}_I(A)$ , then  $\Lambda \tilde{A}_I = (k_{i_1}, k_{i_2}, \dots, k_{i_{|I|}}), k_{i_1}, k_{i_2}, \dots, k_{i_{|I|}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $K_I$  be the row vector  $(k_{i_1}, k_{i_2}, \dots, k_{i_{|I|}})$ , then we can write the equation  $\Lambda \tilde{A}_I = K_I$  as

$$\Lambda_1 A_I + \Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I = K_I. \quad (2)$$

The vector  $\Lambda$  can also be decomposed as  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1^I + \Lambda_2^I$  with  $\Lambda_1^I \in t_I$  and  $\Lambda_2^I \in t'_I$ . The relation for the two decompositions are described in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$ , Let  $K_I = \Lambda \tilde{A}_I = \Lambda_1 A_I + \Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I$ . If  $\det A_I \neq 0$ , then  $\Lambda_1^I = (K_I A_I^{-1}, 0)$  and  $\Lambda_2^I = (-\Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I A_I^{-1}, \Lambda_2)$ .

**Proof:** We need to show that  $\Lambda_1^I \in t_I$ ,  $\Lambda_2^I \in t'_I$  and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_1^I + \Lambda_2^I$ .  $\Lambda_1^I = (K_I A_I^{-1}, 0) \in t_I$  is obvious.  $\Lambda_2^I \in t'_I$  is given by  $\Lambda_2^I \tilde{A}_I = -\Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I A_I^{-1} A_I + \Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I = 0$ . By  $\Lambda_1 A_I + \Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I = K_I$  we have  $\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I A_I^{-1} = K_I A_I^{-1}$ . Hence  $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2) = (K_I A_I^{-1} - \Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I A_I^{-1}, \Lambda_2) = \Lambda_1^I + \Lambda_2^I$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} t_I \oplus t'_I & \xrightarrow{\exp_I} & T_I \times T'_I & \xrightarrow{\subset} & K(A_I) \times T'_I \\ \text{id} \downarrow & & \bar{\pi}_I \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_I \\ t & \xrightarrow{\exp} & T & \xrightarrow{\subset} & K_I(A) \end{array}$$

where  $\exp_I = (\exp, \exp) : t_I \oplus t'_I \rightarrow T_I \times T'_I$ . The homomorphism  $\pi_I$  is restricted to a homomorphism  $\bar{\pi}_I : T_I \times T'_I \rightarrow T$  between maximal tori.

It is obvious that  $\ker \pi_I = \ker \bar{\pi}_I$ . The element  $a = \exp_I(\Lambda)$  lies in  $\ker \bar{\pi}_I$  if and only if  $\exp(\Lambda) = e$ , i.e.  $\Lambda$  lies in  $L(A)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** For  $I \subset S$ , we define  $L_I(A) = \{\Lambda = \Lambda_1^I + \Lambda_2^I \in t_I \times t'_I \mid \exp(\Lambda_1^I) = e, \exp(\Lambda_2^I) = e\}$ , which is called the unit lattice of  $T_I \times T'_I$ .

The lattice  $L_I(A)$  is isomorphic to the lattice  $(L(A) \cap t_I) \oplus (L(A) \cap t'_I)$ . By Lemma 3.4 and the definition of  $L_I(A)$ , we have a simple but useful result

**Corollary 3.1.** *For an element  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in L(A)$ ,  $K_I = \Lambda \tilde{A}_I$ , then  $\Lambda \in L_I(A)$  if and only if  $K_I A_I^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|I|}$  or  $\Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I A_I^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-|I|}$ .*

Now we have

**Theorem 1:** Let  $L(A)$  and  $L_I(A)$  be the unit lattices of  $T$  and  $T_I \times T'_I$ . If  $\det A_I \neq 0$ , then  $\Gamma_I = \exp_I(L(A)) \cong L(A)/L_I(A)$ .

**Proof:** Since the exponential map  $\exp_I$  is surjective, each element  $a \in \Gamma_I$  can be written as  $a = \exp_I(\Lambda)$ . By considering the left square of the previous commutative diagram we have  $\exp(\Lambda) = \bar{\pi}_I(\exp_I(\Lambda)) = \bar{\pi}_I(a) = \{e\}$ . So  $\Lambda \in L(A)$ . As a result, we can define a surjective homomorphism  $f : L(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_I, \Lambda \mapsto \exp_I(\Lambda)$ . It is obvious that the kernel of  $f$  is  $L_I(A)$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2:** Let  $E_I(A)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^{|I|}$  generated by the row vectors  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  of the matrix  $\tilde{A}_I = (a_{ij})_{i \in S, j \in I}$  and  $E(A_I)$  be the subgroup generated by the row vectors  $e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_{|I|}}$  of the matrix  $A_I = (a_{ij})_{i, j \in I}$ , then we have  $\Gamma_I \cong E_I(A)/E(A_I)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{|I|}$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map given by  $\Lambda \mapsto \Lambda \tilde{A}_I$ , then  $\phi(L(A))$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}^{|I|}$  generated by  $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \tilde{A}_I, (0, 1, \dots, 0) \tilde{A}_I, \dots, (0, 0, \dots, 1) \tilde{A}_I$ , which are just the  $n$  vectors  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ . For an element  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , let  $K_I = \Lambda \tilde{A}_I$ . Then by Corollary 3.1,  $\Lambda \in L_I(A)$  if and only if  $K_I A_I^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{|I|}$ . This shows that  $K_I$  is in the image of map  $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{|I|}, \Lambda \mapsto \Lambda \begin{pmatrix} A_I \\ O \end{pmatrix}$ . So  $\phi(L_I(A))$  is  $E_I(A)$ .

For  $\Lambda \in \ker \phi$ , we have  $\Lambda \tilde{A}_I = 0$ , that is  $\Lambda_1 A_I + \Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I = 0$ . This shows that  $(\Lambda_2 \bar{A}_I A_I^{-1}, 0) = (-\Lambda_1, 0) \in L(A)$ . Therefore  $\Lambda \in L_I(A)$ . So  $\ker \phi \subset L_I(A)$ . Hence we have  $L(A)/L_I(A) \cong L(A)/\ker \phi / L_I(A)/\ker \phi \cong E_I(A)/E(A_I)$ .  $\square$

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional real vector space with a lattice subgroup  $L$ . We assume  $V$  has a direct sum decomposition  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ , then we can define an abelian group  $\Gamma(V, L; V_1, V_2)$  which is given by  $L/(V_1 \cap L \oplus V_2 \cap L)$ . The group  $\Gamma(V, L; V_1, V_2)$  is trivial if and only if  $L = V_1 \cap L \oplus V_2 \cap L$ , i.e. the direct sum decomposition of  $V$  induces a direct sum decomposition of  $L$ . By this definition we have  $\Gamma_I = \Gamma(t, L(A), t_I, t'_I)$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $L$  be a lattice generated by standard basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  of  $V$ . If  $V_1$  is spanned by  $e_1 - e_2, e_2 - e_3, \dots, e_{n-1} - e_n$  and  $V_2$  is spanned by  $e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n$ , then  $\Gamma(V, L, V_1, V_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ . And the natural homomorphism  $\pi : V_1/(L \cap V_1) \times V_2/(L \cap V_2) \rightarrow V/L$  is an  $n$ -fold cyclic covering.

This example corresponds to the fact that  $U(n) \cong SU(n) \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

In the following we compute  $\Gamma_I$  for  $3 \times 3$  Cartan matrix.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a  $3 \times 3$  Cartan matrix, we compute the isomorphism type of the parabolic subgroup  $K_I(A)$ . By the symmetry of indices 1, 2, 3, we only need to compute the cases  $I = \{1\}$  and  $\{1, 2\}$ .

For  $I = \{1\}$ , the groups  $\mathcal{Z}_I(A) = \{\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) | a_{11}\lambda_1 + a_{21}\lambda_2 + a_{31}\lambda_3 = k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .  $t_I$  is generated by  $\alpha_1^\vee$  (or  $(1, 0, 0)$ ) and  $t'_I = \{\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) | a_{11}\lambda_1 + a_{21}\lambda_2 + a_{31}\lambda_3 = 0\}$ .

By Corollary 3.1 the element  $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in L_I(A)$  if and only if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a_{21}\lambda_2 + a_{31}\lambda_3 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ , if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z} & \text{if both } a_{21} \text{ and } a_{31} \text{ are even;} \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_3 \in 2\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } a_{21} \text{ is even and } a_{31} \text{ is odd;} \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } a_{21} \text{ is odd and } a_{31} \text{ is even;} \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \in 2\mathbb{Z}, & \text{if both } a_{21} \text{ and } a_{31} \text{ are odd.} \end{cases}$$

By  $a_{11}\lambda_1 + a_{21}\lambda_2 + a_{31}\lambda_3 = k_1$ , we get  $\lambda_1 = \frac{k_1}{2} + \frac{-a_{21}}{2}\lambda_2 + \frac{-a_{31}}{2}\lambda_3$ .

Hence  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = k_1(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) + \lambda_2(-\frac{a_{21}}{2}, 1, 0) + \lambda_3(-\frac{a_{31}}{2}, 0, 1) = k_1(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) + (-\frac{\lambda_2 a_{21} + \lambda_3 a_{31}}{2}, 1, 0)$ . This shows that  $t'_I$  is spanned by  $(-\frac{a_{21}}{2}, 1, 0)$  and  $(-\frac{a_{31}}{2}, 0, 1)$ .

If both  $a_{21}$  and  $a_{31}$  are even, then  $K_I(A)$  is isomorphic to  $SU(2) \times T^2$ . Otherwise  $K_I(A)$  is isomorphic to  $SU(2) \times T^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

For  $I = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathcal{Z}_I(A)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}\lambda_1 + a_{21}\lambda_2 + a_{31}\lambda_3 = k_1 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ a_{12}\lambda_1 + a_{22}\lambda_2 + a_{32}\lambda_3 = k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

We set  $\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$ ,  $\Delta_1 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix}$ ,  $\Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix}$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = \frac{a_{22}}{\Delta}(k_1 - a_{31}\lambda_3) + \frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta}(k_2 - a_{32}\lambda_3) \\ \lambda_2 = \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta}(k_1 - a_{31}\lambda_3) + \frac{a_{11}}{\Delta}(k_2 - a_{32}\lambda_3) \end{cases}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \\ &= k_1(\frac{a_{22}}{\Delta}, \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta}, 0) + k_2(\frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta}, \frac{a_{11}}{\Delta}, 0) + \lambda_3(\frac{a_{22}}{\Delta}(-a_{31}) + \frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta}(-a_{32}), \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta}(-a_{31}) + \frac{a_{11}}{\Delta}(-a_{32}), 1) \\ &= k_1(\frac{a_{22}}{\Delta}, \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta}, 0) + k_2(\frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta}, \frac{a_{11}}{\Delta}, 0) + \lambda_3(\frac{\Delta_2}{\Delta}, -\frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}, 1) \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.1 the condition for  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in L_I(A)$  is  $\lambda_3(a_{31}, a_{32}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_{22}}{\Delta} & \frac{-a_{12}}{\Delta} \\ \frac{-a_{21}}{\Delta} & \frac{a_{11}}{\Delta} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_3(\frac{-\Delta_2}{\Delta}, \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta}) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , i.e.  $\lambda_3\Delta_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\Delta$  and  $\lambda_3\Delta_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\Delta$ . Hence  $\lambda_3$  is divided by  $\frac{\Delta}{\text{lcm}(\Delta, \Delta_1)}$  and  $\frac{\Delta}{\text{lcm}(\Delta, \Delta_2)}$ . As a result

$$\Gamma_I \cong \mathbb{Z} \frac{\Delta}{\text{gcd}(\text{lcm}(\Delta, \Delta_1), \text{lcm}(\Delta, \Delta_2))}.$$

**Example 3.3.** For the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  affine Cartan matrix

$$\tilde{A}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let  $\tilde{S} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, n\}$ . Since the Dynkin diagrams are invariant under the cyclic permutation  $(012 \cdots (n-1)n)$ , we can assume  $0 \notin I$  and  $1 \in I$  for a subset  $\emptyset \neq I \subsetneq S$ . Let  $k$  be



the number of connected components of the Dynkin diagram of  $K_I(A)$ . Then  $I = [i_1, j_1] \sqcup [i_2, j_2] \sqcup \cdots \sqcup [i_k, j_k]$ , where  $1 = i_1 \leq j_1 < i_2 \leq j_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq j_k \leq n$ .

i) If  $k = 1$  and  $|I| = n$ , then  $\Gamma_I = \{e\}$ .

ii) If  $k = 1$  and  $|I| < n$ , then  $\Gamma_I = \mathbb{Z}_{|I|+1}$ .

iii) The case ii) can be generalized to the other case for  $k > 1$  and we have

$$\Gamma_I \cong \mathbb{Z}_{j_1-i_1+2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{j_2-i_2+2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{j_k-i_k+2}.$$

The following corollary is a direct result of Theorem 2.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $A$  be a  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  Cartan matrix of affine type and  $A^\vee$  be its transposition. For each  $i \in \tilde{S}$ , the isomorphism type of the maximal parabolic subgroup  $K_{\tilde{S}-\{i\}}(A)$  is  $K(A_{S-\{i\}}) \times S^1/\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$ , where  $m_i$  is the integer below the  $i$ -th vertex of the Dynkin diagram of  $A^\vee$  in the table of Kac[3], page 54-55.

For  $i \in \tilde{S}$ , by deleting the  $i$ -th column of  $A$  gives the matrix  $\tilde{A}_{\tilde{S}-\{i\}}$ . Let  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the  $n+1$  columns of  $A^\vee$ , then  $\sum_{j=0}^n m_j \alpha_j = 0$ . It is easy to see the  $n+1$  row vectors of  $A_{\tilde{S}-\{i\}}$  are the transpositions of  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  with their  $i$ -th components deleted. Hence we have  $\sum_{j=0}^n m_j e_j = 0$ . And  $m_i e_i = -\sum_{j \neq i} m_j e_j$ . By Theorem 2, we obtain that  $\Gamma_i \cong E_I(A)/E(A_I) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$ .

For affine Kac-Moody group of types  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \tilde{C}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  (non-twisted or twisted cases), we always have  $\det A_I \neq 0$  for a subset  $\emptyset \neq I \subsetneq S$ . In these cases the third author designs an algorithm to compute explicitly the group  $\Gamma_I$ .

## References

- [1] Duan Haibao, Liu Shali, The isomorphism type of the centralizer of an element in a Lie group, 2012, arxiv: 1201.3394v2.
- [2] V. Kac, Simple irreducible graded Lie algebras of finite growth. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 32 1968 1323-1367.
- [3] V. Kac, Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1982
- [4] V. Kac, D. Peterson, Regular functions on certain infinite-dimensional groups. Arithmetic and geometry, Vol. II, 141-166, Progr. Math., 36, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.
- [5] V. Kac, D. Peterson, Defining relations of certain infinite-dimensional groups. The mathematical heritage of Elie Cartan (Lyon, 1984). Astérisque 1985, Numéro Hors Série, 165-208.
- [6] V. Kac, Constructing groups associated to infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Infinite dimensional groups with applications (Berkeley, Calif., 1984), 167-216, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 4, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [7] S. Kumar, Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory. (English summary) Progress in Mathematics, 204. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.

- [8] R. V. Moody, A new class of Lie algebras. J. Algebra 10 1968 211-230.