

BV functions and fractional Laplacians on Dirichlet spaces

Patricia Alonso Ruiz*, Fabrice Baudoin†, Li Chen, Luke Rogers‡,
Nageswari Shanmugalingam§, Alexander Teplyaev¶

March 18, 2022

Abstract

We study L^p Besov critical exponents and isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities associated with fractional Laplacians on metric measure spaces. The main tool is the theory of heat semigroup based Besov classes in Dirichlet spaces that was introduced by the authors in previous works.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries: Fractional Laplacians on metric measure spaces	3
2.1	Standing assumptions	3
2.2	Subordination and fractional Laplacians	5
2.3	Examples	6
3	Heat kernel based Besov classes for the fractional Laplacian	6
3.1	The case $\alpha < 1/p$	6
3.2	The case $\alpha = 1/p$	8
3.3	The case $\alpha > 1/p$	9
3.4	Comparison to Besov metric spaces previously considered in the literature	9
4	BV functions and fractional Laplacian	9
4.1	L^1 critical exponent	10
4.2	BV functions	10
4.3	Co-area formulas	11
4.4	L^1 pseudo-Poincaré inequality	12
4.5	Isoperimetric inequalities	13
5	L^p theory	14
5.1	L^p critical exponents	14
5.2	$\mathbf{B}^{p,1/p}$ is dense in L^p for $p \geq 2$	14
5.3	Sobolev inequalities	16

*P.A.R. was partly supported by the grant DMS #1855349 of the NSF (U.S.A.).

†F.B. was partly supported by the grants DMS #1660031, #1901315 of the NSF (U.S.A.) and a Simons Foundation Collaboration grant.

‡L.R. was partly supported by the grant DMS 1659643 of the NSF (U.S.A.).

§N.S. was partly supported by the grant DMS #1800161 of the NSF (U.S.A.).

¶A.T. was partly supported by the grant DMS #1613025 of the NSF (U.S.A.).

1 Introduction

The authors presented, in [3], a heat kernel approach to the theory of functions of bounded variation (BV) in Dirichlet spaces with sub-Gaussian heat kernel bounds. In the present work those results are extended to the non-local setting using a fractional power of the Laplacian. We believe that this approach may admit further connections to research in PDE theory. In particular, the study of fractional Sobolev spaces and BV functions in different levels of generality is currently the subject of extensive research, see e.g. [32, 36, 43] for domains with fractal boundary, manifolds and Carnot groups, and also the distributional approach recently introduced in [19]. We also refer to [26] and the references therein.

An easily studied class of non-local Dirichlet forms are those whose associated semigroup is obtained via subordination and have as generator a fractional Laplacian $(-L)^\delta$, where L is the original (negative definite) Laplacian, see Section 2. For simplicity of presentation and in order to use results previously proved in [3], the present paper focuses on that particular case, though some of our results could be extended to a slightly more general setting. The definition of Besov spaces $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ based on the heat semigroup in this setting follows [1–3] and is based on a generalization of the heat semigroup characterization of BV functions on \mathbb{R}^d introduced in [37], whose ideas go back to the work of de Giorgi [21] and Ledoux [35]. For any $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ is the collection of functions $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ for which

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \sup_{t>0} t^{-\alpha} \left(\int_X P_t^{(\delta)}(|f - f(x)|^p)(x) d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where $P_t^{(\delta)}$ denotes the heat semigroup associated with the fractional Laplacian $(-L)^\delta$ of order $0 < \delta < 1$. For general properties of these spaces we refer to [1]; further results in the context of local Dirichlet forms with Gaussian heat kernel estimates can be found in [2], while the sub-Gaussian case is treated in [3].

In the local case, the space $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ can be identified with a space of Korevaar-Schoen type [3, Theorem 2.4]. In the non-local setting discussed in this paper this is true for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\alpha < 1/p$, see Theorem 3.1, while for $\alpha > 1/p$ the spaces are trivial, c.f. Proposition 3.5. Note that the threshold $\alpha = 1/p$ reflects only the non-locality and is independent of the parameter δ of the fractional Laplacian.

Proposition 3.2 shows that the case $\alpha = 1/p$ provides a natural candidate for characterizing fractional Sobolev spaces in metric measure spaces via heat semigroups. This characterization coincides with Gagliardo's classical definition [25] on \mathbb{R}^d , given by

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ f \in L^p(\Omega, dx) : \left(\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+sp}} dy dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}$$

for $0 < s < 1$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

We use our heat semigroup approach to define and study the space of BV functions, which arises as the space $\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X)$ at a critical parameter $\alpha_1^\#$, the latter being defined as

$$\alpha_1^\#(X) := \sup\{\alpha > 0 : \mathbf{B}^{\alpha,1}(X) \text{ contains non-constant functions}\}.$$

Our main results are a co-area formula, an L^1 -pseudo Poincaré inequality and isoperimetric inequalities. An analogous definition of the critical exponents $\alpha_p^\#$ for $p > 1$ further allows us to investigate the spaces $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha_p^\#}(X)$, which turn out to coincide with fractional Sobolev spaces. Besides proving Sobolev inequalities, we show that these spaces are dense in L^p .

An interesting feature of the theory is the following dichotomy: when the space of BV functions is a fractional Sobolev space it is possible to prove all results on BV functions without making any further geometric assumptions, but when the BV space is a Korevaar-Schoen space our proofs need the significant assumption that a *weak Bakry-Émery* nonnegative curvature condition of the form

$$|P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)| \leq C \frac{d(x,y)^\kappa}{t^{\frac{\kappa}{\delta d_W}}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(X,\mu)},$$

is valid for some suitable $0 < \kappa < d_W$, where $d_W > 0$ denotes the so-called walk dimension of X , see Assumption 2.2 and Lemma 2.4. This condition expresses how the fractional order of the Laplacian affects the regularity of the associated semigroup. The analogous condition in the local setting was introduced in earlier work by the authors [3]. A particular version of this dichotomy is that if we subordinate a heat flow that satisfies a weak Bakry-Émery inequality, then for $\delta > 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$ we need the corresponding Bakry-Émery inequality to prove results on BV, whereas if $\delta \leq 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$ the process is sufficiently non-local that elementary estimates suffice.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Section 2 sets up the general framework for the spaces under consideration and briefly reviews the construction of fractional Laplacians and their corresponding semigroups via subordination. In Section 3, we introduce the Besov spaces $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ and classify them according to the three cases $\alpha < 1/p$, $\alpha = 1/p$ and $\alpha > 1/p$. The Bakry-Émery nonnegative curvature and the dichotomy between the Korevaar-Schoen and fractional Sobolev space settings appears in Section 4, where BV functions and related functional inequalities are discussed. Section 5 concerns the L^p theory for $p > 1$ and relates the spaces $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ at criticality with classical fractional Sobolev spaces. The paper concludes in Section 6 with an overview of the general isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities available in the non-local setting.

2 Preliminaries: Fractional Laplacians on metric measure spaces

2.1 Standing assumptions

Throughout the paper, (X, d, μ) will denote a locally compact metric measure space, where μ is a Radon measure supported on X . It will be equipped with a Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} = \text{dom}(\mathcal{E}))$, that is: a densely defined, closed, symmetric and Markovian bilinear form on $L^2(X, \mu)$, see [17, 24]. The vector space of continuous functions with compact support in X is denoted $C_c(X)$ and $C_0(X)$ is its closure with respect to the supremum norm. Recall, see for example [24, p.6], that a Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is called regular if it admits a core, which is a subset of $C_c(X) \cap \mathcal{F}$ that is dense in $C_c(X)$ in the supremum norm and dense in \mathcal{F} in the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} := \left(\|f\|_{L^2(X,\mu)}^2 + \mathcal{E}(f, f) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Also, $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is said to be strongly local if $\mathcal{E}(f, g) = 0$ for any two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ with compact supports such that f is constant in a neighborhood of the support of g . The heat semigroup associated with the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is denoted $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$, and its associated infinitesimal generator is L . We make the following assumptions on the Dirichlet space $(X, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$.

Assumption 2.1 (Regularity).

(A1) For any $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, $B(x, r) := \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < r\}$ has compact closure;

(A2) The space (X, d, μ) is Ahlfors d_H -regular, i.e. there exist $c_1, c_2, d_H > 0$ such that

$$c_1 r^{d_H} \leq \mu(B(x, r)) \leq c_2 r^{d_H} \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

(A3) The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is regular and strongly local.

Assumption 2.2 (Sub-Gaussian Heat Kernel Estimates). The semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ has a continuous heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ satisfying, for some $c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6 \in (0, \infty)$ and $d_W \in [2, +\infty)$,

$$c_5 t^{-d_H/d_W} \exp\left(-c_6 \left(\frac{d(x, y)^{d_W}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_W-1}}\right) \leq p_t(x, y) \leq c_3 t^{-d_H/d_W} \exp\left(-c_4 \left(\frac{d(x, y)^{d_W}}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{d_W-1}}\right)$$

for $\mu \times \mu$ -a.e. $(x, y) \in X \times X$ and each $t \in (0, +\infty)$.

The parameter d_H is the Hausdorff dimension, and the parameter d_W is usually called the walk dimension of the space. Under these assumptions, the Dirichlet form admits the expression

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) \simeq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_X \int_{B(x, r)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|^2}{r^{d_W + d_H}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, c.f. [27, Section 5.3] and [10, Theorem 3.3.1]. In general, we write $a \simeq b$ if there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $c_1 a \leq b \leq c_2 a$.

In the same spirit as [3], the definition of fractional Sobolev spaces introduced in Section 3 relies on the following class of Korevaar-Schoen spaces. The classical definitions can be found in [30, 31]. For any $\lambda > 0$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ one defines

$$KS^{\lambda, p}(X) := \{f \in L^p(X, \mu) : \|f\|_{KS^{\lambda, p}(X)} < \infty\}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\|f\|_{KS^{\lambda, p}(X)}^p := \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_X \int_{B(x, r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{r^{\lambda p} \mu(B(x, r))} d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Moreover, we define the space $\mathcal{K}S^{\lambda, p}(X)$ analogously to (1) but with the semi-norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}S^{\lambda, p}(X)}^p := \sup_{r > 0} \int_X \int_{B(x, r)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{r^{\lambda p} \mu(B(x, r))} d\mu(y) d\mu(x). \quad (2)$$

The following weak Bakry-Émery type estimate will be essential in our discussion of BV functions. Some of the results proved later, in particular those in Section 3, will not require this condition, however we will assume it throughout the paper for ease of presentation.

Assumption 2.3 (Weak Bakry-Émery estimate). There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $t > 0$, $g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ and $x, y \in X$,

$$|P_t g(x) - P_t g(y)| \leq C \frac{d(x, y)^\kappa}{t^{\kappa/d_W}} \|g\|_{L^\infty(X, \mu)}, \quad (3)$$

where κ is a critical exponent defined by

$$d_W - \kappa = \sup\{\lambda > 0 : KS^{\lambda, 1}(X) \text{ contains non-constant functions}\}.$$

This condition appears in [3] where several examples of Dirichlet spaces satisfying it are studied.

2.2 Subordination and fractional Laplacians

Let $0 < \delta < 1$ be fixed throughout the paper. The fractional power of $(-L)^\delta$ can be defined via the following formula, see (5) in [42, p. 260],

$$(-L)^\delta f = -\frac{\delta}{\Gamma(1-\delta)} \int_0^\infty t^{-\delta-1} (P_t f - f) dt.$$

It is well-known that $(-L)^\delta$ is the generator of a Markovian semigroup $\{P_t^{(\delta)}\}_{t>0}$ which is related to $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ by the subordination formula

$$P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) := \int_0^\infty \eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) P_s f(x) ds, \quad (4)$$

where $\eta_t^{(\delta)}(s)$ is the non-negative continuous function such that

$$\int_0^\infty \eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) e^{-s\lambda} ds = e^{-t\lambda^\delta}$$

for any $\lambda > 0$, see for example, Proposition 1 in [42, p.260]. In addition, the subordinator $\eta_t^{(\delta)}(s)$ satisfies the following upper bound

$$\eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) \leq C \left(\frac{1}{t^{1/\delta}} \wedge \frac{t}{s^{1+\delta}} \right)$$

and for $-\infty < \alpha < \delta$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) s^\alpha ds = \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha/\delta)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} t^{\alpha/\delta}. \quad (5)$$

If $\alpha \geq \delta$, then

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) s^\alpha ds = +\infty.$$

It is known that under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.2 the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)})$ associated with $P_t^{(\delta)}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f) \simeq \int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \quad (6)$$

and that for the corresponding heat kernel $p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y)$ one has

$$c_5 t^{-\frac{d_H}{\delta d_W}} \left(1 + c_6 \frac{d(x, y)}{t^{\frac{1}{\delta d_W}}} \right)^{-d_H - \delta d_W} \leq p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) \leq c_3 t^{-\frac{d_H}{\delta d_W}} \left(1 + c_4 \frac{d(x, y)}{t^{\frac{1}{\delta d_W}}} \right)^{-d_H - \delta d_W}, \quad (7)$$

see, for example, [27, Lemma 5.4]. From (4), (5) and Assumption 2.3 one readily obtains the following estimate.

Lemma 2.4. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $t > 0$, $g \in L^\infty(X, \mu)$ and $x, y \in X$,*

$$|P_t^{(\delta)} g(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} g(y)| \leq C \frac{d(x, y)^\kappa}{t^{\frac{\kappa}{\delta d_W}}} \|g\|_{L^\infty(X, \mu)}.$$

2.3 Examples

Poisson kernel in \mathbb{R}^d

The most classical example of non-local Dirichlet form is that associated with the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$. The corresponding heat kernel $q_t: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is given for any $t > 0$ by

$$q_t(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})\pi^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}}{t^d} \left(1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{t^2}\right)^{-\frac{d+1}{2}}$$

and provides the fundamental solution to the *Poisson equation* in \mathbb{R}^d , $\partial_t f = -\Delta^{1/2} f$.

Non-local forms on nested fractals

The concept of fractional metric measure space was introduced by Barlow in [6], to which we refer the reader for a precise definition. Some of these spaces support what is called a fractional diffusion, so that both Assumption 2.1 and Assumption 2.2 are valid. Nested fractals like the Vicsek set and the Sierpinski gasket are examples that fall into this class of spaces and also satisfy the weak Bakry-Émery estimate from Assumption 2.3, see [3, Theorem 5.1].

The non-local Dirichlet form obtained through subordination from such a fractional diffusion has been studied in the literature by many authors, see e.g. [11, 12, 18, 29, 33, 34, 41]. In particular, it was proved in [33, 41] that for some values of δ , the associated stable-like process whose corresponding Dirichlet form satisfies (6) can also be obtained as the trace of a d -dimensional Brownian motion on X , assuming $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Notice that, even though the Sierpinski carpet is a fractional metric measure space that admits a unique natural fractional diffusion [7, 8], the question of whether this space satisfies the weak Bakry-Émery estimate with the correct parameter from Assumption 2.3 remains open [3, Conjecture 5.4].

3 Heat kernel based Besov classes for the fractional Laplacian

This section does not require the weak Bakry-Émery condition and could have therefore been written under more general assumptions. However, we will continue working in the same framework for the ease of the presentation. As in [1–3], for any $p \geq 1$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ we consider the Besov seminorm

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} = \sup_{t>0} t^{-\alpha} \left(\int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p}$$

and the associated heat semigroup-based class

$$\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X) = \{f \in L^p(X, \mu) : \|f\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty\}.$$

We refer to [1] for an account of some of the basic properties of these spaces. The remainder of this section is devoted to identifying and classifying them depending on the relation between the parameters p and α .

3.1 The case $\alpha < 1/p$

We start by comparing the space $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ to the Korevaar-Schoen classes defined in (1) and (2).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{p}$. Then,*

$$\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X) = \mathcal{KS}^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X) = KS^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X).$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ and $\mathcal{KS}^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X)$ have equivalent seminorms.

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{KS}^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X) \subseteq KS^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X)$ follows directly from the definition, while $KS^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{KS}^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X)$ is obtained verbatim to [3, Proposition 4.1]. We now proceed to prove $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X) = \mathcal{KS}^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X)$.

Let us write $\Phi(s) = (1 + c_4s)^{-d_H - \delta d_W}$, so the heat kernel estimate (7) implies $p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) \geq \Phi(1)t^{-\frac{d_H}{\delta d_W}}$ on $B(y, t^{\frac{1}{\delta d_W}})$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{t^{\alpha p}} \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{t^{\alpha p}} \int_X \int_{B(y, t^{\frac{1}{\delta d_W}})} |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ & \geq \Phi(1) \int_X \int_{B(y, t^{\frac{1}{\delta d_W}})} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{t^{\alpha p + \frac{d_H}{\delta d_W}}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y), \end{aligned}$$

and taking the supremum over $t > 0$ yields $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{KS}^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X)$. For the upper bound, fix $r > 0$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} A(t, r) &:= \int_X \int_{X \setminus B(y, r)} |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y), \\ B(t, r) &:= \int_X \int_{B(y, r)} |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of [27, Theorem 3.1] we know that (7) implies

$$\int_{X \setminus B(y, r)} p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) \leq C \int_{\frac{1}{2}rt}^{\infty} s^{d_H} \Phi(s) \frac{ds}{s}.$$

Applying the inequality $|f(x) - f(y)|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|f(x)|^p + |f(y)|^p)$, the Fubini theorem and the preceding inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(t, r) &\leq 2^p \int_X \int_{X \setminus B(y, r)} |f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq 2^p C \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}^p \int_{\frac{1}{2}rt}^{\infty} s^{d_H} \Phi(s) \frac{ds}{s} \\ &\leq C t^{p\alpha} r^{-\alpha\delta d_W p} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}^p \int_{\frac{1}{2}rt}^{\infty} s^{d_H + \alpha\delta d_W p} \Phi(s) \frac{ds}{s}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, for $B(t, r)$, writing $r_k = 2^{-k}r$ we have by virtue of (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} B(t, r) &\leq C t^{-\frac{d_H}{\delta d_W}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Phi\left(r_{k+1} t^{-\frac{1}{\delta d_W}}\right) r_k^{d_H + \alpha\delta d_W p} \int_X \int_{B(x, r_k)} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{r_k^{\alpha\delta d_W p + d_H}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C t^{\alpha p} \|f\|_{\mathcal{KS}^{\alpha\delta d_W,p}(X)}^p \int_0^{\infty} s^{d_H + \alpha\delta d_W p} \Phi(s) \frac{ds}{s}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The integrals in both (8) and (9) are bounded because $\alpha < 1/p$ by assumption, see e.g. [27, Definition 2.3], so the bound on $A(t, r) + B(t, r)$ yields

$$\frac{1}{t^{\alpha p}} \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq C_{p, \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{r^{d_W \alpha \delta p}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}^p + \|f\|_{\mathcal{KS}^{\alpha \delta d_W, p}(X)}^p \right)$$

for some $C_{p, \alpha} > 0$ and any $t > 0$. Taking the supremum over $t > 0$ we obtain $\mathcal{KS}^{\alpha \delta d_W, p}(X) \subseteq \mathbf{B}^{p, \alpha}(X)$ and letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ gives the equivalence of the seminorms. \square

3.2 The case $\alpha = 1/p$

When $\alpha = 1/p$, the space $\mathbf{B}^{p, \alpha}(X)$ does not compare to a Korevaar-Schoen class. Instead, it will coincide with the *fractional Sobolev space* defined as

$$\mathcal{W}^{\lambda, p}(X) := \{f \in L^p(X, \mu) : W_{\lambda, p}(f) < +\infty\},$$

where

$$W_{\lambda, p}(f) := \left(\int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \lambda p}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathbf{B}^{p, 1/p}(X) = \mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W/p, p}(X)$ with equivalent norms.*

Proof. Observe from (7) that

$$c_5 \left(t^{\frac{d_H}{\delta d_W}} + c_6 d(x, y) \right)^{-d_H - \delta d_W} \leq \frac{1}{t} p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) \leq c_3 \left(t^{\frac{d_H}{\delta d_W}} + c_4 d(x, y) \right)^{-d_H - \delta d_W}.$$

The upper bound gives

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq C \int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) = C W_{p, \delta d_W/p}^p(f), \quad (10)$$

from which $\|f\|_{p, 1/p} \leq C W_{p, \delta d_W/p}^p(f)$. The lower bound is

$$\int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{\left(t^{\frac{1}{\delta d_W}} + d(x, y) \right)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \leq \frac{C}{t} \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq C \|f\|_{p, 1/p}^p. \quad (11)$$

Taking $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+}$ and applying the Fatou lemma we obtain $W_{p, \delta d_W/p}(f) \leq C \|f\|_{p, 1/p}$ as desired. \square

In the course of the proof we established the following locality-in-time estimate for the Besov norm which will be useful later.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathbf{B}^{p, 1/p}(X)$*

$$\|f\|_{p, 1/p} \leq C \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t^{1/p}} \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y).$$

This condition was previously considered in [1, Definition 6.7], where it was called property $(P_{p, 1/p})$.

Remark 3.4. *In the case $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $d_W = 2$ and the fractional Sobolev space $\mathcal{W}^{2\delta/p, p}(X)$ coincides with the usual Euclidean fractional Sobolev space from [25], which is defined as*

$$W^{2\delta/p, p}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, dx) : \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d + 2\delta}} dy dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

3.3 The case $\alpha > 1/p$

The spaces $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ for $\alpha > 1/p$ are trivial and thus not interesting for further analysis. This completes our classification of these spaces.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\alpha > 1/p$. Then, $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ only contains the zero function.*

Proof. The estimate (11) gives

$$\int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{(t^{\frac{1}{\delta d_W}} + d(x, y))^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \leq Ct^{\alpha p - 1} \|f\|_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

Applying Fatou's lemma to the $\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+}$ we conclude from $\alpha p > 1$ that

$$\int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) = 0$$

which implies that f is constant and thus zero since f is in L^p . \square

3.4 Comparison to Besov metric spaces previously considered in the literature

One can also compare the space $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ to Besov type metric spaces previously considered by Grigor'yan in [27]. To do so, we define, for any $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$ and $r > 0$,

$$N_p^\alpha(f, r) := \frac{1}{r^{\alpha + d_H/p}} \left(\iint_{\{d(x,y) < r\}} |f(x) - f(y)|^p d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p}.$$

Furthermore, for any $\max\{1, p\} \leq q < \infty$ we set

$$N_{p,q}^\alpha(f) := \left(\int_0^\infty (N_p^\alpha(f, r))^q \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/q},$$

and for $q = \infty$ define

$$N_{p,\infty}^\alpha(f) := \sup_{r>0} N_p^\alpha(f, r).$$

The Besov metric space $\mathfrak{B}_{p,q}^\alpha(X)$, see [27], is defined as

$$\mathfrak{B}_{p,q}^\alpha(X) := \{f \in L^p(X, \mu) : N_{p,q}^\alpha(f) < \infty\}.$$

With these notations, it is not difficult to rewrite the results of this section as follows:

Proposition 3.6. *Let $p \geq 1$.*

- (i) *If $0 \leq \alpha < 1/p$ we have $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X) = \mathfrak{B}_{p,\infty}^{\alpha \delta d_W}(X)$ and $\|f\|_{p,\alpha} \simeq N_{p,\infty}^{\alpha \delta d_W}(f)$.*
- (ii) *$\mathbf{B}^{p,1/p}(X) = \mathfrak{B}_{p,p}^{\delta d_W/p}(X)$ and $\|f\|_{p,1/p} \simeq N_{p,p}^{\delta d_W/p}(f)$.*

4 BV functions and fractional Laplacian

In this section we introduce and analyze the space of BV functions that arises as the space $\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha}(X)$ at the critical value of the exponent α . The weak Bakry-Émery estimate from Assumption 2.3 plays a crucial role when this critical exponent is less than 1, because then the results rely upon those obtained in [3] for the local setting. Indeed, many of our results in this case are easily proved from the equivalence of seminorms in Proposition 3.1 and the characterizations of Korevaar-Schoen spaces in [3]. We will also see that new reasoning is needed to analyze the weak Sobolev spaces that occur in the alternative situation where the critical exponent is $\alpha = 1$.

4.1 L^1 critical exponent

Recall that $0 < \kappa < d_W$ is the Hölder regularity parameter from the weak Bakry-Émery condition (3) and we defined in the introduction the critical exponent

$$\alpha_1^\# = \sup\{\alpha > 0 : \mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha}(X) \text{ contains non-constant functions}\}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *One has the following:*

- (i) If $\delta \leq 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$, then $\alpha_1^\# = 1$.
- (ii) If $\delta > 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$, then $\alpha_1^\# = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}\right)$.

Proof. Notice first that Proposition 3.5 implies $\alpha_1^\# \leq 1$. In addition, for any $\alpha < 1$, Theorem 3.1 yields

$$\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha}(X) = KS^{\alpha d_W, 1}(X) = \mathcal{KS}^{\alpha d_W, 1}(X).$$

In view of [3, Theorem 4.9], the critical exponent in the Korevaar-Schoen space is $d_W - \kappa$, hence $\alpha_1^\# = \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}\right)\right\}$. \square

Example 4.2. If $(\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)})$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$, is the Dirichlet form on \mathbb{R}^n associated with the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\delta$, namely

$$\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f) \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{\|x - y\|^{n+2\delta}} dy dx,$$

then $\kappa = 1$ and $d_W = 2$, so $\alpha_1^\# = \min\left\{1, \frac{1}{2\delta}\right\}$ and

$$\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{KS}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbf{BV}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{if } \delta > 1/2, \\ \mathcal{W}^{2\delta,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{if } \delta < 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Interestingly, for $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, one has

$$\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X) = \left\{ f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+1}} dy dx < +\infty \right\}$$

which, by [13, Proposition 1], is a trivial space containing only the zero function.

4.2 BV functions

In [3] it was argued that Korevaar-Schoen and Besov spaces provide natural analogues of the space of bounded variation functions in certain metric settings. The variation was defined as follows.

Definition 4.3. Set $BV(X) := KS^{d_W - \kappa, 1}(X)$ and for $f \in BV(X)$ let

$$\mathbf{Var}(f) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_X \int_{B(x,r)} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{r^{d_W - \kappa} \mu(B(x,r))} d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Observe that the crucial difference between this and the $KS^{d_W-\kappa,1}(X)$ norm is that $\mathbf{Var}(f)$ has a \liminf rather than a \limsup . The fact that the \liminf is sufficient for the theory at the critical exponent $\alpha = \alpha_1^\#$ when $\alpha_1^\# < 1$ (equivalently $\delta > 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$) relies heavily on the weak Bakry-Émery estimate through the results of [3]. The corresponding results when $\alpha_1^\# = 1$ use the much easier Corollary 3.3. One major consequence of the weak Bakry-Émery estimate is the following characterization of BV functions in terms of the semigroup-defined space $\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X)$ at the critical exponent.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume $\alpha_1^\# < 1$. Then $\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X) = BV(X)$ and there exist constants $c, C > 0$ such that for every $f \in BV(X)$,*

$$c\mathbf{Var}(f) \leq \|f\|_{1, \frac{1}{\delta}(1-\frac{\kappa}{d_W})} \leq C\mathbf{Var}(f).$$

Proof. According to [3, Proposition 4.1, Theorem 4.9], the weak Bakry-Émery estimate implies that the Korevaar-Schoen norm $\|f\|_{KS^{d_W-\kappa,1}}$ is bounded above and below by $\mathbf{Var}(f)$. Since $\alpha_1^\# < 1$ we can apply the equivalence of seminorms established in Theorem 3.1 to obtain the result. \square

Remark 4.5. *In the case $\delta \leq 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$, Theorem 4.1 yields the critical parameter $\alpha_1^\# = 1$ and we have that $\mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X) = \mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W,1}(X)$ is a fractional Sobolev space. In this regime, the variation of a function is the non local-quantity*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t} \int_X \int_X |f(x) - f(y)| p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \simeq \int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

When $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, one has $d_H = d$, $d_W = 2$, $\kappa = 1$ and the above notion of variation of a function related to the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^\delta$, $\delta \leq 1/2$, coincides with the notion of fractional variation and associated fractional perimeter extensively studied in relation to the theory of non-local minimal surfaces, see for instance [4, 9, 14, 15, 22, 23] and the references therein.

4.3 Co-area formulas

In this section, we prove that the Besov norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,\alpha}$ always satisfies co-area type estimates at the critical exponent $\alpha = \alpha_1^\#$. In view of Theorem 4.1 we must consider the cases $\alpha_1^\# < 1$ and $\alpha_1^\# = 1$. In both we write $E_t(f) := \{x \in X : f(x) > t\}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Assume $\alpha_1^\# < 1$. There exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any non-negative $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $t > 0$,*

$$C_1 \int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,\alpha_1^\#} dt \leq \|f\|_{1,\alpha_1^\#} \leq C_2 \int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,\alpha_1^\#} dt.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)} \in \mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X)$ for any $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X)$ and almost every $t > 0$. Conversely, if $\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)} \in \mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X)$ for almost every $t > 0$ and $\int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,\alpha_1^\#} dt < \infty$, then $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha_1^\#}(X)$.

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 4.4, this follows from [3, Theorem 4.15]. \square

When $\alpha_1^\# = 1$ (equivalently $\delta \leq 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$), the critical Besov space is $\mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X) = \mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W,1}(X)$, so the corresponding result is proved by a different argument.

Theorem 4.7. Assume $\alpha_1^\# = 1$. There exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for any non-negative $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ and $t > 0$

$$C_1 \int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,1} dt \leq \|f\|_{1,1} \leq C_2 \int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,1} dt.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)} \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$ for any $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$ and almost every $t > 0$. Conversely, if $\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)} \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$ for almost every $t > 0$ and $\int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,1} dt < \infty$, then $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$.

Proof. Since $f \geq 0$, for μ -almost every $x, y \in X$ we can write

$$|f(y) - f(x)| = \int_0^{+\infty} |\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(y)| dt.$$

Therefore,

$$W_{\delta d_W, 1}(f) = \int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) = \int_X \int_X \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(y)|}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x)$$

and the result follows from Fubini's theorem and Proposition 3.2. \square

4.4 L^1 pseudo-Poincaré inequality

The pseudo-Poincaré inequalities introduced in [20, 39] are a useful tool to prove Sobolev inequalities, see e.g. [40]. In the present setting, we obtain the following ones.

Theorem 4.8. Assume $\alpha_1^\# < 1$. Then, for every $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1, \alpha_1^\#}(X)$

$$\|P_t^{(\delta)} f - f\|_{L^1(X, \mu)} \leq C t^{\alpha_1^\#} \mathbf{Var}(f).$$

Proof. Applying (4) and [3, Proposition 3.10] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t^{(\delta)} f - f\|_{L^1(X, \mu)} &= \int_X \left| \int_0^\infty \eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) P_s(f - f(x))(x) ds \right| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) \|P_s f - f\|_{L^1(X, \mu)} ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \eta_t^{(\delta)}(s) s^{1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}} ds \left(\liminf_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\tau^{1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}}} \int_X P_\tau(|f - f(x)|)(x) d\mu(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of [3, Lemma 4.13] and using (5), this is bounded by $\frac{C \Gamma(1 - \alpha_1^\#)}{\Gamma(\kappa/d_W)} t^{\alpha_1^\#} \mathbf{Var}(f)$. \square

Theorem 4.9. Assume $\alpha_1^\# = 1$. Then, for every $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$,

$$\|P_t^{(\delta)} f - f\|_{L^1(X, \mu)} \leq C t \int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Proof. Since

$$\|P_t^{(\delta)} f - f\|_{L^1(X, \mu)} \leq \int_X \int_X p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) |f(x) - f(y)| d\mu(y) d\mu(x),$$

the assertion follows as in (10). \square

4.5 Isoperimetric inequalities

In this section we prove a Sobolev type inequality for the fractional Sobolev space $\mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W, 1}(X)$ and hence a fractional isoperimetric inequality. For this purpose we must restrict to the case $\delta \leq 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$, because if $\delta > 1 - \frac{\kappa}{d_W}$ then, by Theorem 4.1, $\alpha_1^\# < 1$ and hence (using Proposition 3.2) $\mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W, 1}(X) = \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$ is the space of constant functions. The fact that we identify this critical range for δ also distinguishes our result from the corresponding one that appears, with a different proof, in [5, Theorem 9.1].

Theorem 4.10. *Assume $d_H > \delta d_W$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^q(X, \mu)} \leq C \int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x),$$

where $q = \frac{d_H}{d_H - \delta d_W}$.

Proof. Since Corollary 3.3 establishes the property $(P_{1,1})$ defined in [1, Definition 6.7], the assertion follows from [1, Theorem 6.9] and Proposition 3.2. \square

As a corollary, one deduces the following fractional isoperimetric inequality, which is the global analogue in our setting of the known fractional relative isoperimetric inequalities noted in a Euclidean setting in [23], see also [22].

Corollary 4.11. *Assume $d_H > \delta d_W$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $E \subset X$ with finite measure,*

$$\mu(E)^{\frac{d_H - \delta d_W}{d_H}} \leq C \int_E \int_{X \setminus E} \frac{1}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

In the case $d_H = \delta d_W$ the situation is different.

Proposition 4.12. *Assume $d_H = \delta d_W$. Then, $\mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X) \subset L^\infty(X, \mu)$ and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$ and almost every $u, v \in X$,*

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq C \int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbf{B}^{1,1}(X)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $f \geq 0$ almost everywhere. For almost every $t \geq 0$ we define the set $E_t(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) > t\}$ as in Section 4.3. Since $d_H = \delta d_W$, according to [1, Corollary 6.6], there is $c > 0$ such that for every set E of positive measure satisfying $\|\mathbf{1}_E\|_{1,1} < +\infty$, one has $\|\mathbf{1}_E\|_{1,1} \geq c$. However, from Theorem 4.7, there is $C > 0$, such that

$$\int_0^\infty \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,1} dt \leq C \|f\|_{1,1} < +\infty.$$

Therefore, the set $\Sigma(f) := \{t > 0 : \mu(E_t(f)) > 0\}$ has finite Lebesgue measure. and from Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\int_X \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_f} \mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(x) dt d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_f} \mu(E_t(f)) dt = 0$$

and hence $\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma_f} \mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(x) dt = 0$ μ -almost everywhere. Thus, for μ -almost every $u, v \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - f(x)| &= \int_0^{+\infty} |\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(y)| dt = \int_{\Sigma(f)} |\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(x) - \mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}(y)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} \int_{\Sigma(f)} \|\mathbf{1}_{E_t(f)}\|_{1,1} dt \leq \frac{C}{c} \|f\|_{1,1} \end{aligned}$$

at which point we apply Proposition 3.2. \square

5 L^p theory

In the previous section the space of BV functions has been shown to correspond with the space $\mathbf{B}^{1,\alpha}(X)$ at the critical parameter $\alpha = \alpha_1^\#$, c.f. Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.4. In the same spirit, this section analyzes the spaces $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ for a generic $p \geq 1$ at their corresponding critical exponent. These will turn out to coincide with fractional Sobolev spaces.

5.1 L^p critical exponents

Following the same notation as in [1, 3], we define for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ the critical exponent

$$\alpha_p^\# = \sup\{\alpha > 0 : \mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X) \text{ contains non-constant functions}\}$$

and the parameter

$$\beta_p = \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) \frac{\kappa}{d_W} + \frac{1}{p},$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is the Hölder regularity parameter from the Bakry-Émery condition (3).

Theorem 5.1. *One has the following:*

- (i) *If $1 \leq p < 2$ then $\frac{1}{2\delta} \leq \alpha_p^\# \leq \min\{\frac{\beta_p}{\delta}, \frac{1}{p}\}$.*
- (ii) *If $p \geq 2$ then $\alpha_p^\# = \frac{1}{p}$.*

Proof. We first notice that from Proposition 3.5, one always has $\alpha_p^\# \leq \frac{1}{p}$. In particular, Theorem 3.1 applies as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 and now [3, Theorem 3.11] yields $\frac{1}{2\delta} \leq \alpha_p^\# \leq \frac{\beta_p}{\delta}$ when $1 \leq p < 2$. In the case $p \geq 2$, combining Corollary 5.4 with the fact that $\mathbf{B}^{p,1/p}(X) \subseteq \mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ for any $\alpha < \frac{1}{p}$, see [1, Lemma 4.1], it follows that $\mathbf{B}^{p,\alpha}(X)$ is dense in L^p and in particular non-trivial for any $\alpha < \frac{1}{p}$, hence $\alpha_p^\# = \frac{1}{p}$. \square

5.2 $\mathbf{B}^{p,1/p}$ is dense in L^p for $p \geq 2$.

As a consequence of the previous lemma, we can now use the space $\mathbf{B}^{p,1/p}(X)$ to analyze the fractional Sobolev space $\mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W/p,p}(X)$. In particular, we will show this space to be dense in L^p .

Lemma 5.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$*

$$\|P_t^{(\delta)} f\|_{L^\infty(X, \mu)} \leq \frac{C}{t^{d_H/p\delta d_W}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)},$$

i.e. the operator $P_t^{(\delta)} : L^p(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(X, \mu)$ is bounded.

Proof. Since the semigroup P_t is conservative, Hölder's inequality and the upper heat kernel bound yield

$$|P_t^{(\delta)} f(x)|^p \leq \int_X |f(y)|^p p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) d\mu(y) \leq \frac{C}{t^{d_H/\delta d_W}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}^p. \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.3. *Let $p \geq 2$. There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$*

$$\|P_t^{(\delta)} f\|_{p,1/p} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/p}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}$$

Proof. By virtue of Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 5.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_t^{(\delta)} f\|_{p,1/p}^p &\leq C \int_X \int_X \frac{|P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)|^p}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C \int_X \int_X (|P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)|^{p-2}) \frac{|P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)|^2}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq C \|P_t^{(\delta)} f\|_{L^\infty(X, \mu)}^{p-2} \int_X \int_X \frac{|P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)|^2}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{d_H(p-2)/p\delta d_W}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}^{p-2} \int_X \int_X \frac{|P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)|^2}{d(x, y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{d_H(p-2)/p\delta d_W}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}^{p-2} \mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(P_t^{(\delta)} f, P_t^{(\delta)} f) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^{1+d_H(p-2)/p\delta d_W}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}^{p-2} \|f\|_{L^2(X, \mu)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now fix a compact set $K \subset X$. The latter inequality applied to $f \mathbf{1}_K$ and Hölder's inequality yield

$$\|P_t^{(\delta)} f \mathbf{1}_K\|_{p,1/p} \leq \frac{C^{1/p}}{t^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{d_H(p-2)}{p^2\delta d_W}}} \|f\|_{L^p(K, \mu)}^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \|f\|_{L^2(K, \mu)}^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \frac{C^{1/p} \mu(K)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}}{t^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{d_H(p-2)}{p^2\delta d_W}}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}.$$

Letting $p \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that, for any $s > 0$, the operator $\mathcal{P}_t^{(\delta)} : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(K \times X, p_s^{(\delta)} \mu \otimes \mu)$ defined as $\mathcal{P}_t^{(\delta)} f(x, y) = P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)$ is bounded by 1. Because the bound does not depend on K , the same is true for $\mathcal{P}_t^{(\delta)} : L^\infty(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^\infty(X \times X, p_s^{(\delta)} \mu \otimes \mu)$. On the other hand, we know from [1, Theorem 5.1] that

$$\|P_t^{(\delta)} f\|_{2,1/2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/2}} \|f\|_{L^2(X, \mu)},$$

i.e. $\mathcal{P}_t^{(\delta)} : L^2(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^2(X \times X, p_s^{(\delta)} \mu \otimes \mu)$ is bounded by $C(s/t)^{1/2}$. The Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem now yields that $\mathcal{P}_t^{(\delta)} : L^p(X, \mu) \rightarrow L^p(X \times X, p_s \mu \otimes \mu)$ is bounded by $C(s/t)^{1/p}$, hence

$$\frac{1}{s^{1/p}} \left(\int_X \int_X p_t^{(\delta)}(x, y) |P_t^{(\delta)} f(x) - P_t^{(\delta)} f(y)|^p d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{C}{t^{1/p}} \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}.$$

Taking the supremum over $s > 0$ on the left hand side yields the result. \square

We conclude by recording the noteworthy consequence of the previous proposition that was the main objective of this section.

Corollary 5.4. *For $p \geq 2$, $\mathbf{B}^{p,1/p}(X) = \mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W/p,p}(X)$ is dense in $L^p(X, \mu)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^p(X, \mu)$. Then for every $t > 0$, $P_t f \in \mathcal{W}^{\delta d_W/p,p}(X)$ and moreover, by L^p strong continuity of the heat semigroup one has $\|P_t f - f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)} \rightarrow 0$ when $t \rightarrow 0$. \square

5.3 Sobolev inequalities

The following Sobolev inequality is available in this setting.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $p \geq 1$. Assume $d_H > \delta d_W$. There is $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in \mathbf{B}^{p,1/p}(X)$,*

$$\|f\|_{L^q(X,\mu)} \leq C \left(\int_X \int_X \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{d(x,y)^{d_H + \delta d_W}} d\mu(y) d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p},$$

where $q = \frac{pd_H}{d_H - \delta d_W}$.

Proof. In Corollary 3.3 we saw that the condition $(P_{p,1/p})$ of [1, Definition 6.7] holds. By assumption $\frac{d_H}{\delta d_W} > 1 \geq \frac{1}{p}$, hence [1, Theorem 6.9] yields the desired inequality. \square

6 Putting things in perspective: A discussion on isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for non-local Dirichlet spaces

Although there are some versions of Poincaré type inequalities in the context of non-local Dirichlet forms, see e.g. [16, 38], the standard ones do not make sense here. In contrast, it is meaningful to ask for some global Sobolev type inequality: namely, whether there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\kappa \geq 1$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)}$,

$$\left(\int_X |f|^{2\kappa} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2\kappa}} \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f)}. \quad (12)$$

In this section we explore a condition under which the above inequality holds. Recall that a Markovian semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t>0}$ is called transient, see e.g. [24, p.38] if there exists an almost-everywhere positive $f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ such that

$$\int_0^\infty P_t f dt < \infty$$

μ -a.e. in X , where $P_t f$ denotes as before the heat semigroup given by

$$P_t f(x) = \int_X p_t(x, y) f(y) d\mu(y).$$

Thus transience of the semigroup $\{P_t f\}_{t>0}$ thus implies that it decays fast as $t \rightarrow \infty$ almost everywhere in X . For the rest of this section we will assume that the semigroup $\{P_t^{(\delta)}\}_{t>0}$ is transient and strongly continuous. According to [12, Corollary 5.4], this happens if and only if

$$0 < \delta < \min\{1, d_H/d_W\};$$

see also [11] and [34, Remark 4.6]. Note that this condition is natural because it means that the transience is equivalent to the condition $d_S^{(\delta)} := \frac{2d_W}{\delta d_H} > 2$, where $d_S^{(\delta)}$ is the spectral dimension of the subordinated process. In this case, we have the following classical result that gives a first version of a Sobolev inequality.

Lemma 6.1 ([24, Theorem 1.5.3] or [17, Theorem 2.1.5]). *Let $(\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)})$ be the Dirichlet form associated with $\{P_t^{(\delta)}\}_{t>0}$. The strongly continuous semigroup $\{P_t^{(\delta)}\}_{t>0}$ is transient if and only if there exists a bounded function $g \in L^1(X, \mu)$ that is strictly positive μ -a.e. on X such that*

$$\int_X |f| g d\mu \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f)} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)}.$$

While the conclusion of the above lemma looks remarkably like the desired Sobolev inequality (12), the problem here is that we do not have good control over g . Moreover, we would like to estimate the $L^q(X, \mu)$ -norm of f for some $q > 0$, not just the $L^1(X, g d\mu)$ -norm. To circumvent this issue, we will introduce a capacity condition on the underlying space X .

Given a compact set $K \subset X$, one defines the variational capacity of K as

$$\text{Cap}_0(K) := \inf\{\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f) : f \in \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)} \cap C_0(X) \text{ such that } f(x) \geq 1 \forall x \in K\},$$

where $C_0(X)$ denotes the collection of all compactly supported continuous functions on X , see [24, Section 2.4]. Under transience, the following capacity type inequality holds.

Lemma 6.2 ([24, Lemma 2.4.1]). *For any $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)} \cap C_0(X)$ and $t > 0$*

$$\int_0^\infty 2t \text{Cap}_0(E_t(|f|)) dt \leq 4\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f),$$

where $E_t(|f|) := \{x \in X : |f(x)| > t\}$.

The above lemma indicates that there are plenty of compact sets in X with finite capacity. Given the above notion of capacity, the next theorem identifies a property on the Dirichlet form under which we have the desired Sobolev inequality.

Theorem 6.3 ([24, Theorem 2.4.1]). *Assume that there is some $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\Theta > 0$ such that*

$$\mu(K)^{1/\kappa} \leq \Theta \text{Cap}_0(K) \tag{13}$$

for any compact $K \subset X$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_X |f|^{2\kappa} d\mu \right)^{1/2\kappa} \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f)} \tag{14}$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)}$.

The inequality (13) is an analog of the isoperimetric inequality adapted to the non-local Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\delta)})$. The optimal constant Θ is called the isoperimetric constant of $\mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}$ and it is a non-local analog of the Cheeger constant. Indeed, [24, Theorem 2.4.1] claims even more, namely that (13) is equivalent to a Sobolev type inequality like (14). When such an inequality is available, the total capacity of a compact set $K \subset X$,

$$\text{Cap}_1(K) := \inf \left\{ \|f\|_{L^2(X, \mu)}^2 + \mathcal{E}^{(\delta)}(f, f) : f \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X) \text{ with } f(x) \geq 1 \forall x \in K \right\},$$

has the same class of null capacity sets as Cap_0 . We refer the interested reader to [24, Theorem 2.4.3] for examples of Sobolev type inequality for measures in Euclidean spaces.

We point out here that this notion of isoperimetric inequality is weaker than the classical one, where $\text{Cap}_0(K)$ is replaced with the relative 1-capacity of K given by

$$\text{Cap}(K) = \inf \left\{ \int_X |\nabla f| d\mu : f \in \mathcal{F} \cap C_0(X) \text{ with } f(x) \geq 1 \forall x \in K \right\}.$$

In the case of non-local Dirichlet forms the quantity $\int_X |\nabla f| d\mu$ is not defined in the usual sense, although a version of an integral of this type is available for arbitrary Dirichlet forms, c.f. [28].

References

- [1] P. Alonso Ruiz, F. Baudoin, L. Chen, L. Rogers, N. Shanmugalingam, and A. Teplyaev, *Besov class via heat semigroup on Dirichlet spaces I: Sobolev type inequalities*, arXiv:1811.04267 (2018). [2](#), [6](#), [8](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#)
- [2] ———, *Besov class via heat semigroup on Dirichlet spaces II: BV functions and Gaussian heat kernel estimates*, arXiv:1903.10078 (2019). [2](#), [6](#)
- [3] ———, *Besov class via heat semigroup on Dirichlet spaces III: BV functions and sub-Gaussian heat kernel estimates*, arXiv:1903.10078 (2019). [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [6](#), [7](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [14](#)
- [4] L. Ambrosio, G. De Philippis, and L. Martinazzi, *Gamma-convergence of nonlocal perimeter functionals*, Manuscripta Math. **134** (2011), no. 3-4, 377–403. MR 2765717 [11](#)
- [5] D. Bakry, T. Coulhon, M. Ledoux, and L. Saloff-Coste, *Sobolev inequalities in disguise*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **44** (1995), no. 4, 1033–1074. MR 1386760 [13](#)
- [6] M. T. Barlow, *Diffusions on fractals*, Lectures on probability theory and statistics (Saint-Flour, 1995), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1690, Springer, Berlin, 1998, pp. 1–121. [6](#)
- [7] M. T. Barlow and R. F. Bass, *Brownian motion and harmonic analysis on Sierpinski carpets*, Canad. J. Math. **51** (1999), no. 4, 673–744. MR 1701339 [6](#)
- [8] M. T. Barlow, R. F. Bass, T. Kumagai, and A. Teplyaev, *Uniqueness of Brownian motion on Sierpiński carpets*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **12** (2010), no. 3, 655–701. MR 2639315 [6](#)
- [9] B. Barrios, A. Figalli, and E. Valdinoci, *Bootstrap regularity for integro-differential operators and its application to nonlocal minimal surfaces*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **13** (2014), no. 3, 609–639. MR 3331523 [11](#)
- [10] F. Baudoin, *An introduction to Dirichlet spaces*, Lecture Notes (2019). [4](#)
- [11] K. Bogdan, A. Stós, and P. Sztonyk, *Harnack inequality for symmetric stable processes on fractals*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **335** (2002), no. 1, 59–63. MR 1920996 [6](#), [16](#)
- [12] ———, *Harnack inequality for stable processes on d -sets*, Studia Math. **158** (2003), no. 2, 163–198. MR 2013738 [6](#), [16](#)
- [13] H. Brezis, *How to recognize constant functions. A connection with Sobolev spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **57** (2002), no. 4(346), 59–74. MR 1942116 [10](#)
- [14] L. Caffarelli, J.-M. Roquejoffre, and O. Savin, *Nonlocal minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **63** (2010), no. 9, 1111–1144. [11](#)
- [15] L. Caffarelli and E. Valdinoci, *Uniform estimates and limiting arguments for nonlocal minimal surfaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **41** (2011), no. 1-2, 203–240. MR 2782803 [11](#)
- [16] X. Chen and J. Wang, *Weighted Poincaré inequalities for non-local Dirichlet forms*, J. Theoret. Probab. **30** (2017), no. 2, 452–489. [16](#)

- [17] Z.-Q. Chen and M. Fukushima, *Symmetric Markov processes, time change, and boundary theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, vol. 35, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. [3](#), [16](#)
- [18] Z.-Q. Chen and T. Kumagai, *Heat kernel estimates for stable-like processes on d -sets*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **108** (2003), no. 1, 27–62. [6](#)
- [19] G. E. Comi and G. Stefani, *A distributional approach to fractional Sobolev spaces and fractional variation: Existence of blow-up*, J. Funct. Anal. **277** (2019), no. 10, 3373–3435. [2](#)
- [20] T. Coulhon and L. Saloff-Coste, *Isopérimétrie pour les groupes et les variétés*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **9** (1993), no. 2, 293–314. [12](#)
- [21] E. De Giorgi, *Su una teoria generale della misura $(r - 1)$ -dimensionale in uno spazio ad r dimensioni*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **36** (1954), 191–213. [2](#)
- [22] A. Figalli, N. Fusco, F. Maggi, V. Millot, and M. Morini, *Isoperimetry and stability properties of balls with respect to nonlocal energies*, Comm. Math. Phys. **336** (2015), no. 1, 441–507. MR 3322379 [11](#), [13](#)
- [23] R. L. Frank, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer, *Hardy-Lieb-Thirring inequalities for fractional Schrödinger operators*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (2008), no. 4, 925–950. MR 2425175 [11](#), [13](#)
- [24] M. Fukushima, Y. Ōshima, and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994. [3](#), [16](#), [17](#)
- [25] E. Gagliardo, *Caratterizzazioni delle tracce sulla frontiera relative ad alcune classi di funzioni in n variabili*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **27** (1957), 284–305. [2](#), [8](#)
- [26] N. Garofalo and G. Tralli, *Nonlocal isoperimetric inequalities for Kolmogorov-Fokker-Planck operators*, arXiv e-prints (2019), arXiv:1907.02281. [2](#)
- [27] A. Grigor’yan, *Heat kernels and function theory on metric measure spaces*, Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002), Contemp. Math., vol. 338, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 143–172. [4](#), [5](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [28] M. Hinz, M. Röckner, and A. Teplyaev, *Vector analysis for Dirichlet forms and quasilinear PDE and SPDE on metric measure spaces*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **123** (2013), no. 12, 4373–4406. [17](#)
- [29] S.-L. Kong and K.-S. Lau, *Critical exponents of induced Dirichlet forms on self-similar sets*, arXiv:1612.01708 (2016). [6](#)
- [30] N. J. Korevaar and R. M. Schoen, *Sobolev spaces and harmonic maps for metric space targets*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **1** (1993), no. 3-4, 561–659. [4](#)
- [31] P. Koskela, N. Shanmugalingam, and J. T. Tyson, *Dirichlet forms, Poincaré inequalities, and the Sobolev spaces of Korevaar and Schoen*, Potential Anal. **21** (2004), no. 3, 241–262. [4](#)
- [32] A. Kreuml and O. Mordhorst, *Fractional Sobolev norms and BV functions on manifolds*, Nonlinear Anal. **187** (2019), 450–466. [2](#)
- [33] T. Kumagai, *Some remarks for stable-like jump processes on fractals*, Fractals in Graz 2001, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003, pp. 185–196. [6](#)

- [34] ———, *Function spaces and stochastic processes on fractals*, Fractal geometry and stochastics III, Progr. Probab., vol. 57, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 221–234. [6](#), [16](#)
- [35] M. Ledoux, *Semigroup proofs of the isoperimetric inequality in Euclidean and Gauss space*, Bull. Sci. Math. **118** (1994), no. 6, 485–510. [2](#)
- [36] A. Maalaoui and A. Pinamonti, *Interpolations and fractional Sobolev spaces in Carnot groups*, Nonlinear Anal. **179** (2019), 91–104. [2](#)
- [37] M. Miranda, Jr., D. Pallara, F. Paronetto, and M. Preunkert, *Heat semigroup and functions of bounded variation on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **613** (2007), 99–119. [2](#)
- [38] K. A. Okoudjou, L. Saloff-Coste, and A. Teplyaev, *Weak uncertainty principle for fractals, graphs and metric measure spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 7, 3857–3873. [16](#)
- [39] L. Saloff-Coste, *A note on Poincaré, Sobolev, and Harnack inequalities*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1992), no. 2, 27–38. [12](#)
- [40] ———, *Pseudo-Poincaré inequalities and applications to Sobolev inequalities*, Around the research of Vladimir Maz'ya. I, Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), vol. 11, Springer, New York, 2010, pp. 349–372. [12](#)
- [41] A. Stós, *Symmetric α -stable processes on d -sets*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **48** (2000), no. 3, 237–245. [6](#)
- [42] K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, sixth ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980. [5](#)
- [43] R. Züst, *Functions of bounded fractional variation and fractal currents*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **29** (2019), no. 4, 1235–1294. [2](#)

P.A.R.: paruiz@math.tamu.edu

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

N.S.: shanmun@uc.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH 45221

F.B.: fabrice.baudoain@uconn.edu L.C.: li.4.chen@uconn.edu L.R.: rogers@math.uconn.edu

A.T.: teplyaev@uconn.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269