# DOLBEAULT-DIRAC FREDHOLM OPERATORS FOR QUANTUM HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

BISWARUP DAS, RÉAMONN Ó BUACHALLA, AND PETR SOMBERG

Abstract. Noncommutative Hermitian structures were recently introduced in [69] as an algebraic framework for studying noncommutative complex geometry on quantum homogeneous spaces. In this paper, we introduce the notion of a compact quantum homogeneous Hermitian space which gives a natural set of compatibility conditions between covariant Hermitian structures and Woronowicz's theory of compact quantum groups. Each such object admits a Hilbert space completion possessing a remarkably rich yet tractable structure. The spectral behaviour of the associated Dolbeault-Dirac operators is moulded by the complex geometry of the underlying calculus. In particular, twisting the Dolbeault-Dirac operator by a negative vector bundle is shown to give a Fredholm operator if and only if the top anti-holomorphic cohomology group is finite-dimensional. When this is so, the operator's index coincides with the twisted holomorphic Euler characteristic of the underlying noncommutative complex structure. Our motivating family of examples, the irreducible quantum flag manifolds  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ endowed with their Heckenberger-Kolb calculi, are presented in detail. The noncommutative Bott-Borel-Weil theorem [24] is used to produce a family of Dolbeault-Dirac Fredholm operators for each  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ . Moreover, following the spectral calculations of [18], the Dolbeault-Dirac operator of quantum projective space is exhibited as a spectral triple in the sense of Connes.

## Contents

1. ]	Introduction	3
2.	Preliminaries on Hermitian Structures	7
2.1.	Differential Calculi	8
2.2.	Complex Structures	8
2.3.	Hermitian and Kähler Structures	9
2.4.	The Hodge Map and Metric	9
2.5.	The $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -Representation and the Deformed Hodge Map	11
2.6.	Opposite Complex, Hermitian, and Kähler Structures	12
2.7.	Holomorphic Vector Bundles	13
2.8.	Hermitian Vector Bundles	14
2.9	Hermitian Holomorphic Vector Bundles	14

The second author acknowledges FNRS support through a postdoctoral fellowship within the framework of the MIS Grant "Antipode" grant number F.4502.18. The second and third authors acknowledge support from the Eduard Čech Institute within the framework of the grant GACR 19 - 28628X.

NONCOMMUTATIVE DOLBEAULT-DIRAC FREDHOLM OPER	RATORS 2
2.10. Dual Connections	15
2.11. Noncommutative Fano Structures	16
2.12. Covariant Differential Calculi and Hermitian Structures	16
3. Compact Quantum Homogeneous Hermitian Spaces	17
3.1. CQH-Hermitian Spaces	17
3.2. Peter–Weyl Decomposition	18
3.3. Dirac and Laplace Operators and Hodge Theory	19
3.4. CQH-Kähler Spaces	20
3.5. The Hard Lefschetz Theorem for CQH-Kähler Spaces	20
3.6. Opposite CQH-Hermitian Spaces	21
4. The Hilbert Space of Square Integrable Forms	21
4.1. Square Integrable Forms	21
4.2. Morphisms as Bounded Operators	24
4.3. Grading Operators	25
4.4. Bounded Multiplication Maps	26
4.5. Closability and Essential Self-Adjointness	28
4.6. Sobolev Spaces and Smooth Sections	30
5. Fredholm Operators and the Holomorphic Euler Characteristic	30
5.1. Fredholm Operators	31
5.2. The Holomorphic Euler Characteristic	31
5.3. The Fredholm Index	31
5.4. The Euler Characteristic of CQH-Fano Spaces	33
5.5. Cores and Domains	34
5.6. Spectral Triples and Dolbeault–Dirac Eigenvalues	34
6. Twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm Operators	36
6.1. Hermitian Vector Bundle Hilbert Spaces	36
6.2. Hodge Decomposition and Serre Duality	37
6.3. Basic Analytic Properties of Twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Operat	ors 38
6.4. Spectral Gaps and Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm Operators	39
6.5. The Chern–Dirac and Chern–Laplace Operators	43
7. The Irreducible Quantum Flag Manifolds as CQH-Fano Spaces	44
7.1. Drinfeld–Jimbo Quantum Groups	45
7.2. Type-1 Representations	45
7.3. Quantum Coordinate Algebras and the Quantum Flag Manife	olds 46
7.4. First-Order Calculi and Maximal Prolongations	47
7.5. The Heckenberger–Kolb Calculi	48
7.6. Generators and Relations for the Differential Calculus $\Omega_a^{\bullet}(G/I)$	$L_{S}$ ) 49

NONCOMMUTATIVE DOLBEAULT-DIRAC FREDHOLM OPERATORS	3
7.7. Noncommutative Complex Structures	50
7.8. Noncommutative Kähler Structures	52
7.9. CQH-Kähler Spaces	53
7.10. Orthogonality of the Degree 1 Basic Elements	55
7.11. CQH-Fano Spaces	57
7.12. Comparing Dolbeault Cohomology and Cyclic Cohomology	57
7.13. The Dolbeault–Dirac Spectral Triple of Quantum Projective Space	58
8. Twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Operators for $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$	60
8.1. Line Bundles over the Irreducible Quantum Flag Manifolds	60
8.2. The Bott–Borel–Weil Theorem	61
8.3. Twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm Operators	62
Appendix A. Quantum Homogeneous Spaces and Takeuchi's Equivalence	62
A.1. Quantum Homogeneous Spaces	63
A.2. Takeuchi's Equivalence	63
A.3. Conjugates and Duals	64
Appendix B. Compact Quantum Groups	65
B.1. Compact Quantum Groups Algebras	65
B.2. $C^*$ -Algebraic Compact Quantum Groups	66
Appendix C. Elementary Results on Unbounded Operators	66
C.1. Closed and Closable Operators	66
C.2. Adjoints of Unbounded Operators	67
C.3. Essentially Self-Adjoint Operators	67
C.4. Operator Spectra and Functional Calculus	67
Appendix D. Spectral Triples	68
D.1. K-homology	68
D.2. Spectral Triples and the Bounded Transform	69
D.3. Fröhlich–Grandjean–Recknagel Sets of Kähler Spectral Data	69
References	70

## 1. Introduction

Since the emergence of quantum groups in the 1980s, a central role in their presentation and development has been played by the theory of operator algebras. We mention in particular Woronowicz's seminal notion of a compact quantum group [86]. There exists, however, a stark contrast in the development of the noncommutative topological and the noncommutative differential geometric aspects of the theory. In particular, for the Drinfeld–Jimbo quantum groups, their  $C^*$ -algebraic K-theory has long been known to be the same as for their classical counterparts [64]. By contrast, the unbounded formulation

of K-homology, which is to say Connes and Moscovici's theory of spectral triples, remains very poorly understood. Indeed, despite a large number of very important contributions over the last thirty years, there is still no consensus on how to construct a spectral triple for  $\mathcal{O}_q(SU_2)$ , probably the most fundamental example of a quantum group. This can be understood as a consequence of the fact that, at the algebraic level, the construction of q-deformed differential operators is an extremely challenging task. Ultimately this is due to fundamental differences between the quantum and classical cases, most notably the non-trivial braiding on the monoidal category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. The question of how to incorporate this braiding into any q-deformed geometry is at the heart of the matter. These difficulties aside, the prospect of reconciling quantum groups and spectral triples still holds great promise for their mutual enrichment. On one hand, it would provide powerful tools from unbounded KK-theory with which to study quantum groups. On the other hand, it would provide unbounded KK-theory with a large class of examples, of fundamental importance, with which to test and guide the future development of the subject.

There exists a long standing algebraic approach to constructing q-deformed differential operators for quantum groups based on the theory of covariant differential calculi. This has its origins in the work of Woronowicz [87], with steady advances made in the following decades by many others, most notably Majid [5]. As has become increasingly clear in recent years, this approach is particularly suited to the study of those quantum homogeneous spaces where the "worst of the noncommutativity has been quotiented out". More precisely, differential calculi have seen major successes in the study of the quantum flag manifolds, quantum homogeneous spaces which q-deform the coordinate rings of the classical flag manifolds  $G/L_S$ . These quantum spaces are distinguished by being braided commutative algebra objects in the braided monoidal category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, and have a geometric structure much closer to the classical situation than quantum groups themselves. This is demonstrated by the existence of an essentially unique q-deformed de Rham complex for the *irreducible* quantum flag manifolds, as shown by Heckenberger and Kolb in their seminal series of papers [37, 38, 39]. This makes the quantum flag manifolds a far more tractable starting point than quantum groups for investigating q-deformed noncommutative geometry.

The classical flag manifolds exhaust the compact connected homogeneous Kähler manifolds [80, Théorème 1], providing us with a rich store of geometric structures to exploit. Motivated by this, the notion of a noncommutative Hermitian structure was introduced by the second author in [69] to provide a framework in which to study the noncommutative geometry of the quantum flag manifolds. Many of the fundamental results of Hermitian and Kähler geometry follow from the existence of such a structure: Lefschetz decomposition, the Kähler identities, and the proportionality of the Laplace operators. Moreover, in the quantum homogeneous space case, it provides powerful tools with which to study the cohomology of the calculus, tools such as Hodge decomposition, the hard Lefschetz theorem, and the refinement of de Rham cohomology by Dolbeault cohomology. The existence of a Kähler structure was verified for the Heckenberger–Kolb calculus of quantum projective space in [69]. This result was later extended by Matassa [57] to every Heckenberger–Kolb calculus, for all but a finite number of values of q. Moreover, further examples are anticipated to arise in due course from more general classes of

quantum flag manifolds. Indeed, Kähler structures have recently been discovered in the setting of holomorphic étale groupoids [7] promising a much wider domain of application than initially expected.

In this paper we build on this rich algebraic and geometric structure to produce a theory of unbounded differential operators acting on square integrable forms. We do so in the novel framework of compact quantum homogeneous Hermitian spaces (CQH-Hermitian spaces) which detail a natural set of compatibility conditions between covariant Hermitian structures and Woronowicz's theory of compact quantum groups. Every CQH-Hermitian space is shown to have a naturally associated Hilbert space completion. Moreover, much of the theory of Hermitian structures carries over to square integrable setting, giving almost complex and Lefschetz decompositions, as well as bounded representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . The de Rham, holomorphic, and anti-holomorphic differentials also behave very well with respect to completion. All three Dirac operators  $D_{\partial}$ ,  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ , and  $D_{\mathrm{d}}$  are essentially self-adjoint, giving access to powerful analytic machinery such as functional calculus.

The spectral and index theoretic properties of these operators are intimately connected with the curvature and cohomology of the underlying calculus. Moreover, they are highly amenable to applications of the concepts and structures of classical complex geometry. As shown in §6, twisting the anti-holomorphic Dolbeault–Dirac operator of a CQH-Kähler space by a negative (anti-ample) vector bundle produces a Fredholm operator if and only if the top anti-holomorphic cohomology group is finite-dimensional. Just as in the classical case, Hodge theory then implies that the index of the twisted operator is given by the twisted anti-holomorphic Euler characteristic. This invariant can be determined by geometric means. In particular, for positive vector bundles, it follows from the Kodaira vanishing theorem for noncommutative Kähler structures that all higher cohomologies vanish, meaning that the index is concentrated in degree 0. In practical cases, such as for line bundles over the irreducible quantum flag manifolds  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , the cohomology groups can be explicitly determined. Indeed, as presented in §8, the irreducible quantum flag manifolds admit a direct noncommutative generalisation of the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem [24, 23], allowing us to construct a countable family of Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm operators for each  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ .

In order to produce an unbounded K-homology class, which is to say a spectral triple, the Dolbeault-Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  needs to have compact resolvent, a significant strenghtening of the Fredholm condition. Unlike the properties discussed above, this cannot at present be concluded for a general CQH-Hermitian space by geometric means. Hence we resort to confirming it on a case by case basis through explicit calculation of the spectrum of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ . In [18], which can be regarded as accompanying the present paper, the authors began the development of a robust framework in which to investigate the compact resolvent condition. This was done under the assumption of restricted multiplicities for the  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules appearing in anti-holomorphic forms of a CQH-Hermitian space, an assumption that allows one to make strong statements about the spectral behaviour of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ . The framework was applied to quantum projective space  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ , the simplest family of quantum flag manifolds, allowing us to confirm the compact resolvent condition. Moreover, since each  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$  is a noncommutative Fano space §7.11, with consequent non-vanishing Euler characteristic, the associated K-homology class is

necessarily non-trivial. Efforts to extend this result to all the irreducible quantum flag manifolds are in progress, motivating Conjecture 7.22 below. For a detailed discussion of the next most approachable families of examples, see [18, §7].

To place our efforts in context, we briefly recall the previous constructions in the literature of q-deformed Dolbeault-Dirac operators for the quantum flag manifolds. (See [18] for a more detailed discussion.) The prototypical example of a spectral triple on a quantum flag manifold is the Dolbeault-Dirac spectral triple on the standard Podles sphere as introduced by Owczarek [71] and Dabrowski-Sitarz [21]. This operator was later rediscovered by Majid, at the algebraic level, as the Dolbeault-Dirac operator associated to the noncommutative complex structure of the Podleś sphere [52]. At around the same time as these works, Krähmer introduced an influential algebraic Dirac operator for the irreducible quantum flag manifolds, which gave a commutator realisation of their Heckenberger-Kolb calculi [47]. A series of papers by Dabrowski, D'Andrea, and Landi followed, where spectral triples were constructed for all the quantum projective spaces [17, 16]. Matassa would subsequently reconstruct these spectral triples [56] in a more formal manner by connecting with the work of Krähmer and Tucker-Simmons [48]. This approach was then extended to the quantum Lagrangian Grassmannian  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{L}_2)$ , a Cseries irreducible quantum flag manifold [58, 55]. The precise relationship between these operators and those presented in §7 is at present unclear. However, given the rigidity of their  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module structures, one can reasonably hope to accommodate them within the framework of CQH-Hermitian structures. For non-irreducible quantum flags, the only example thus far examined is the full quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(SU_3/\mathbb{T}^2)$ . In [84] Yuncken and Voigt constructed Fredholm modules for  $\mathcal{O}_q(SU_3/\mathbb{T}^2)$  using a quantum version of the BGG complex [76, 39]. As an application, the Baum-Connes conjecture with trivial coefficients was verified for the discrete quantum group dual to  $\mathcal{O}_q(SU_3)$ . Finally, we mention the alternative general approach to noncommutative Hermitian and Kähler geometry due to Fröhlich, Grandjean, and Recknagel [32, 33], as discussed in more detail in §D.3.

The paper is organised as follows: In §2 we recall from [69] the necessary basics of Hermitian and Kähler structures, and Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles. In §3, we recall the foundations of the theory of compact quantum group algebras, and introduce the notion of a compact quantum homogeneous Hermitian space as a 4-tuple  $\mathbf{H} = (B = A^{\text{co}(H)}, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , consisting of a quantum homogeneous space  $B = A^{\text{co}(H)}$ , a differential \*-calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  over B, and a noncommutative Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ .

In §4 we begin our examination of the Hilbert space completion of a CQH-Hermitian space. In particular, we use Takeuchi's categorical equivalence to show boundedness of morphisms and multiplication operators. This gives us bounded representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , and allows us to conclude boundedness of the commutators  $[D_{\overline{\partial}}, b]$ , for all  $b \in B = A^{co(H)}$ .

In §5 we treat the question of when the Dolbeault–Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is Fredholm, observing that it is sufficient to prove closure of  $\operatorname{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}})$  and finite-dimensionality of anti-holomorphic cohomologies. When the operator is Fredholm, we show that its index is given by the anti-holomorphic Euler characteristic of the calculus, and discuss how this

can be calculated in the Fano setting. We then collect all relevant results and observe that a CQH-Hermitian space gives a spectral triple if and only if the point spectrum of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  has finite multiplicity and tends to infinity. This is then shown to be equivalent to the opposite Dolbeault–Dirac operator giving a spectral triple. We finish by observing non-triviality of the associated K-homology class in the Fano setting.

In §6, twists by Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles are considered. We see that the Akizuki–Nakano identities can be used to imply a spectral gap for the twisted Dolbeault–Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ . For the case of negative vector bundles  $\mathcal{F}$ , this gives us a means of verifying closure of the image of  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ , and hence reducing the Fredholm condition to a question about finite-dimensionality of top anti-holomorphic cohomology group of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In §7 we present our motivating family of examples, the irreducible quantum flag manifolds  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  endowed with their Heckenberger–Kolb calculi. We recall the covariant noncommutative Kähler structure of each  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , and show that it gives a CQH-Kähler space for q sufficiently close to 1. As an interesting application, we observe non-vanishing of the central Dolbeault cohomology groups, demonstrating that the Heckenberger–Kolb cohomology groups do not suffer from the dimension drop phenomenon occurring in cyclic cohomology.

In §11, we recall the Borel-Weil-Bott theorem for positive line bundles over the irreducible quantum flag manifolds [23, §4.5], and build upon it to construct a countable family of Dolbeault-Dirac Fredholm operators for each  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ . Finally, the Dolbeault-Dirac operator for quantum projective space is exhibited as a spectral triple.

We finish with three appendices. In Appendix A we present the monoidal version of Takeuchi's equivalence, for quantum homogeneous spaces, in the form best suited to the paper. In Appendix B we recall the algebraic and  $C^*$ -algebraic approaches to the theory of compact quantum groups, and the relationship between them. In Appendix C we recall some basic definitions and results about unbounded operators on Hilbert spaces, so as to make the paper more accessible to those coming from an algebraic or geometric background. Finally, in  $\S D$  we carefully present the definition of a spectral triple as well as its relation to K-homology through the bounded transform.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Karen Strung, Branimir Ćaćić, Elmar Wagner, Fredy Díaz García, Marco Matassa, Andrey Krutov, Simon Brain, Bram Mesland, Adam Rennie, Bob Yuncken, Paolo Saracco, Kenny De Commer, Matthias Fischmann, Adam—Christiaan van Roosmalen, Jan Šťovíček, and Zhaoting Wei, for many useful discussions during the preparation of this paper. The second author would like to thank IMPAN Wrocław for hosting him in November 2017, and would also like to thank Klaas Landsman and the Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University, Nijmegen for hosting him in the winter of 2017 and 2018.

#### 2. Preliminaries on Hermitian Structures

We recall the basic definitions and results for differential calculi, as well as complex, Hermitian, and Kähler structures. For a more detailed introduction see [68], [69], and references therein. For an excellent presentation of classical complex and Kähler geometry see [42].

2.1. **Differential Calculi.** A differential calculus  $(\Omega^{\bullet} \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Omega^k, d)$  is a differential graded algebra (dg-algebra) which is generated in degree 0 as a dg-algebra, that is to say, it is generated as an algebra by the elements a, db, for  $a, b \in \Omega^0$ . For a given algebra B, a differential calculus over B is a differential calculus such that  $B = \Omega^0$ . A differential calculus is said to be of total degree  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $\Omega^m \neq 0$ , and  $\Omega^k = 0$ , for all k > m. A differential \*-calculus over a \*-algebra B is a differential calculus over B such that the \*-map of B extends to a (necessarily unique) conjugate linear involutive map  $*: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$  satisfying  $d(\omega^*) = (d\omega)^*$ , and

$$(\omega \wedge \nu)^* = (-1)^{kl} \nu^* \wedge \omega^*,$$
 for all  $\omega \in \Omega^k$ ,  $\nu \in \Omega^l$ .

We say that  $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}$  is *closed* if  $d\omega = 0$ , and *real* if  $\omega^* = \omega$ . See [5, §1] for a more detailed discussion of differential calculi.

2.2. Complex Structures. In this subsection we recall the definition of a complex structure as introduced in [44, 6]. This abstracts the properties of the de Rham complex of a classical complex manifold [42].

**Definition 2.1.** An almost complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ , for a differential \*-calculus  $(\Omega^{\bullet}, d)$ , is an  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -algebra grading  $\bigoplus_{(a,b)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2} \Omega^{(a,b)}$  for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  such that, for all  $(a,b)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2$ :

1. 
$$\Omega^k = \bigoplus_{a+b=k} \Omega^{(a,b)}$$
,  
2.  $(\Omega^{(a,b)})^* = \Omega^{(b,a)}$ .

A complex structure is an almost complex structure which satisfies

(1) 
$$d\Omega^{(a,b)} \subseteq \Omega^{(a+1,b)} \oplus \Omega^{(a,b+1)}, \qquad \text{for all } (a,b) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2.$$

We call an element of  $\Omega^{(a,b)}$  an (a,b)-form. For  $\operatorname{proj}_{\Omega^{(a+1,b)}}$ , and  $\operatorname{proj}_{\Omega^{(a,b+1)}}$ , the projections from  $\Omega^{a+b+1}$  to  $\Omega^{(a+1,b)}$ , and  $\Omega^{(a,b+1)}$  respectively, we denote

$$\partial|_{\Omega^{(a,b)}} := \mathrm{proj}_{\Omega^{(a+1,b)}} \circ \mathrm{d}, \qquad \qquad \overline{\partial}|_{\Omega^{(a,b)}} := \mathrm{proj}_{\Omega^{(a,b+1)}} \circ \mathrm{d}.$$

For a complex structure, (1) implies the identities

$$d = \partial + \overline{\partial},$$
  $\overline{\partial} \circ \partial = -\partial \circ \overline{\partial},$   $\partial^2 = \overline{\partial}^2 = 0.$ 

Thus  $\left(\bigoplus_{(a,b)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2}\Omega^{(a,b)},\partial,\overline{\partial}\right)$  is a double complex, which we call the *Dolbeault double* complex of  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ . It is easily seen that both  $\partial$  and  $\overline{\partial}$  satisfy the graded Leibniz rule. Moreover,

(2) 
$$\partial(\omega^*) = (\overline{\partial}\omega)^*, \qquad \overline{\partial}(\omega^*) = (\partial\omega)^*, \qquad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}.$$

These facts can be succinctly expressed by saying that  $\left(\bigoplus_{(a,b)\in\mathbb{N}_0^2}\Omega^{(a,b)},\partial,\overline{\partial}\right)$  is a bigraded differential \*-algebra. See [5, §1] or [68] for a more detailed discussion of complex structures.

2.3. **Hermitian and Kähler Structures.** We now present the definition of an Hermitian structure, as introduced in [69, §4], which abstracts the properties of the fundamental form of an Hermitian metric.

**Definition 2.2.** An Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)},\sigma)$  for a differential \*-calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , of even total degree 2n, is a pair consisting of a complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ , and a central real (1,1)-form  $\sigma$ , called the Hermitian form, such that, with respect to the Lefschetz operator

$$L_{\sigma}: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \omega \mapsto \sigma \wedge \omega,$$

isomorphisms are given by

(3) 
$$L_{\sigma}^{n-k}: \Omega^k \to \Omega^{2n-k},$$
 for all  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ .

For  $L_{\sigma}$  the Lefschetz operator of an Hermitian structure, we denote

$$P^{(a,b)} := \begin{cases} \{ \alpha \in \Omega^{(a,b)} \, | \, L_{\sigma}^{n-a-b+1}(\alpha) = 0 \}, & \text{if } a+b \le n, \\ 0 & \text{if } a+b > n. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we denote  $P^k := \bigoplus_{a+b=k} P^{(a,b)}$ , and  $P^{\bullet} := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} P^k$ . An element of  $P^{\bullet}$  is called a *primitive form*. We now recall Lefschetz decomposition, for a proof see [69, Proposition 4.3].

**Proposition 2.3** (Lefschetz decomposition). For  $L_{\sigma}$  the Lefschetz operator of an Hermitian structure on a differential \*-calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , a B-bimodule decomposition of  $\Omega^{k}$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ , is given by

$$\Omega^k \simeq \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} L^j_\sigma(P^{k-2j}).$$

We call this decomposition the *Lefschetz decomposition* of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . We finish this subsection with the definition of a Kähler structure. This is a simple strengthening of the requirements of an Hermitian structure, but as we will see below, one with profound consequences.

**Definition 2.4.** A Kähler structure for a differential \*-calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is an Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  such that the Hermitian form  $\kappa$  is closed, which is to say  $d\kappa = 0$ . We call such a  $\kappa$  a Kähler form.

2.4. The Hodge Map and Metric. In classical Hermitian geometry, the Hodge map of an Hermitian metric is related to the associated Lefschetz decomposition through the well-known Weil formula (see [85, Théorème 1.2] or [42, Proposition 1.2.31]). In the noncommutative setting we take the direct generalisation of the Weil formula for our definition of the Hodge map.

**Definition 2.5.** The *Hodge map* associated to an Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  is the *B*-bimodule map  $*_{\sigma} : \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$  uniquely defined by

$$*_{\sigma}\left(L_{\sigma}^{j}(\omega)\right) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \mathbf{i}^{a-b} \frac{j!}{(n-j-k)!} L_{\sigma}^{n-j-k}(\omega), \qquad \omega \in P^{(a,b)} \subseteq P^{k=a+b},$$

where  $\mathbf{i} := \sqrt{-1}$ .

Many of the basic properties of the classical Hodge map can now be understood as consequences of the Weil formula. (See [69, §4.3] for a proof.)

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  be a differential \*-calculus of total degree 2n. For  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)},\sigma)$ a choice of Hermitian structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , and  $*_{\sigma}$  the associated Hodge map, it holds that:

- 1.  $*_{\sigma}$  is a \*-map, 2.  $*_{\sigma}(\Omega^{(a,b)}) = \Omega^{(n-b,n-a)}$ , 3.  $*_{\sigma}^{2}(\omega) = (-1)^{k}\omega$ , for all  $\omega \in \Omega^{k}$ .

Reversing the classical order of construction, we now define a metric in terms of the Hodge map.

**Definition 2.7.** The *metric* associated to the Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  is the unique map  $g_{\sigma}: \Omega^{\bullet} \times \Omega^{\bullet} \to B$  for which  $g_{\sigma}(\Omega^{k}, \Omega^{l}) = 0$ , for all  $k \neq l$ , and

$$g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu) = *_{\sigma}(\omega \wedge *_{\sigma}(\nu^*)),$$
 for all  $\omega, \nu \in \Omega^k$ .

The  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -decomposition, and the Lefschetz decomposition, of the de Rham complex of a classical Hermitian manifold are orthogonal with respect to the classical metric [42, Lemma 1.2.24]. As shown in [69, Lemma 5.2] this result carries over to the noncommutative setting. An important consequence of these orthogonalities is that the metric is conjugate symmetric [69, Corollary 5.3].

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  be an Hermitian structure for a differential calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . It holds that

- 1. the  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -decomposition of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $g_{\sigma}$ ,
- 2. the Lefschetz decomposition of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $g_{\sigma}$ .

Corollary 2.9. It holds that

$$g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu) = g_{\sigma}(\nu, \omega)^*,$$
 for all  $\omega, \nu \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ .

The definition of an Hermitian form  $\sigma$  abstracts certain properties of the fundamental form of an Hermitian manifold. Until now, however, we have made no assumption of positiveness, which is to say we have not required  $\sigma$  to satisfy some noncommutative generalisation of the classical definition of a positive (1,1)-form [42, Definition 4.3.14]. Following C\*-algebra terminology, for a \*-algebra B, the cone of positive elements,  $B_{>0}$ , is defined by

$$B_{\geq 0} := \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} b_i^* b_i \mid b_i \in B, \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\}.$$

We denote the non-zero positive elements of B by  $B_{>0} := B_{>0} \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Definition 2.10.** We say that an Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  is *positive* if the associated metric  $g_{\sigma}$  is positive, which is to say, if  $g_{\sigma}$  satisfies

$$g_{\sigma}(\omega,\omega) \in B_{>0},$$
 for all  $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ .

2.5. The  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -Representation and the Deformed Hodge Map. As is readily verified [69, Lemma 5.11], the Lefschetz map is adjointable on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  with respect to  $g_{\sigma}$ , with adjoint explicitly given by

(4) 
$$\Lambda_{\sigma} := L_{\sigma}^{\dagger} = *_{\sigma}^{-1} \circ L_{\sigma} \circ *_{\sigma}.$$

Taking  $L_{\sigma}$  and  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  together with the counting operator

$$H: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad H(\omega) := (k-n)\omega, \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega^k,$$

we get the following commutator relations.

Proposition 2.11. We have the relations

$$[H, L_{\sigma}] = 2H,$$
  $[L_{\sigma}, \Lambda_{\sigma}] = H,$   $[H, \Lambda_{\sigma}] = -2\Lambda_{\sigma}.$ 

Corollary 2.12. A representation  $T:\mathfrak{sl}_2\to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\Omega^{\bullet}\right)$  is given by by

$$T(E) = L_{\sigma},$$
  $T(H) = K,$   $T(F) = \Lambda_{\sigma}.$ 

We now make the interesting observation [69, §4.3] that for any Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  the associated Hodge map admits a canonical deformation  $*_{\sigma,p}$ , for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , given by replacing integers in Definition 2.5 with the corresponding quantum integers:

$$*_{\sigma,p}\left(L^{j}_{\sigma}(\omega)\right) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \mathbf{i}^{a-b} \frac{[j]_{p}!}{[n-j-k]_{p}!} L^{n-j-k}_{\sigma}(\omega), \qquad \omega \in P^{(a,b)} \subseteq P^{k},$$

where the quantum p-integer, and quantum p-factorial, are defined by  $[0]_p := 0$ , and for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$[m]_p := p^{-(m-1)} + p^{-(m-3)} + \dots + p^{m-1}$$
  $[m]_p! := [1]_p[2]_p[3]_p \dots [m]_p.$ 

We call p the *Hodge parameter* of the deformation. Proposition 2.6 holds for all values of p, giving a p-deformed metric  $g_{\sigma,p}$ , and hence, a p-deformed dual Lefschetz map  $\Lambda_{\sigma,p}$ . As established in [69, §5.3.2], by introducing the operators

$$H_p, K_p : \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad H_p(\omega) = [k-n]_p \omega, \qquad K_p(\omega) = p^{k-n} \omega, \quad \text{ for } \omega \in \Omega^k,$$

we can deform the identities in Proposition 2.11.

**Proposition 2.13.** We have the relations

(5) 
$$[H_p, L_\sigma]_{p^{-2}} = [2]_p L_\sigma K_p$$
,  $[L_\sigma, \Lambda_{\sigma,p}] = H_p$ ,  $[H_p, \Lambda_{\sigma,p}]_{p^2} = -[2]_{p^2} K_p \Lambda_{\sigma,p}$   
where the twisted commutator bracket is defined by  $[A, B]_{p^{\pm 2}} := AB - p^{\pm 2}BA$ .

Generalising the undeformed case, these relations imply a representation of  $U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , which we present formally as such at the level of Hilbert space operators in §4.2.

Remark 2.14. It is worth stressing that the Hodge parameter p need not depend on, or relate to, a deformation parameter of the underlying algebra B. Indeed, the deformed Hodge map is well defined for algebras which are not deformations and even for the de Rham complex of a classical Hermitian manifold.

2.6. Opposite Complex, Hermitian, and Kähler Structures. We begin by recalling from [68] the notion of an opposite complex structure, which is a direct generalisation of the corresponding classical notion.

**Definition 2.15.** The *opposite* almost complex structure of an almost complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is the  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -algebra grading  $\overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ , defined by  $\overline{\Omega}^{(a,b)} := \Omega^{(b,a)}$ , for  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ .

Note that the \*-map of the calculus sends  $\Omega^{(a,b)}$  to  $\overline{\Omega}^{(a,b)}$  and vice-versa. Moreover, it is clear that an almost complex structure is a complex structure if and only if its opposite almost complex structure is a complex structure. Hence, we can speak of the opposite complex structure of a complex structure.

**Proposition 2.16.** For any Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)},\sigma)$  of total degree 2n, it holds that

- 1.  $(\overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, -\sigma)$  is an Hermitian structure,
- 2.  $\dot{L}_{-\sigma} = -L_{\sigma}$ ,
- 3.  $\Lambda_{-\sigma} = -\Lambda_{\sigma}$ ,
- 4.  $P^{(a,b)} = \overline{P}^{(b,a)}$ , where  $\overline{P}^{(b,a)}$  denotes the primitive forms of  $(\overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, -\sigma)$ ,
- 5.  $*_{-\sigma} = (-1)^n *_{\sigma}$ ,
- 6.  $g_{-\sigma} = g_{\sigma}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  is an Hermitian form,  $-\sigma$  must also be a real central (1,1)-form. For any  $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ , we have  $L_{-\sigma}(\omega) = -\sigma \wedge \omega = -(\sigma \wedge \omega) = -L_{\sigma}(\omega)$ , and so,  $L_{-\sigma} = -L_{\sigma}$ . From this we see that isomorphisms are given by the maps

$$L^{n-k}_{-\sigma}: \Omega^k \to \Omega^{2n-k},$$
 for all  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ .

Thus the pair  $(\overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, -\sigma)$  is an Hermitian structure.

By definition,  $\alpha \in \overline{P}^{(a,b)} \subseteq \Omega^k$  if and only if  $\alpha \in \Omega^{(b,a)}$  and  $L_{-\sigma}^{n-k+1}(\alpha) = 0$ . Explicitly,

$$L_{-\sigma}^{n-k+1}(\alpha) = (-L_{\sigma})^{n-k+1}(\alpha) = (-1)^{n-k+1}L_{\sigma}^{n-k+1}(\alpha).$$

Thus we see that  $\alpha \in \overline{P}^{(a,b)}$  if and only if it is an element of  $P^{(b,a)}$ .

From the defining formula for the Hodge map, as given in Definition 2.5, we see that, for  $\alpha \in \overline{P}^{(a,b)} = P^{(b,a)} \subseteq \Omega^k$ ,

$$*_{-\sigma} \left( L_{-\sigma}^{j}(\alpha) \right) = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \mathbf{i}^{a-b} \frac{j!}{(n-j-k)!} (-L_{\sigma})^{n-j-k}(\alpha)$$

$$= i^{2(a-b)} (-1)^{n-j-k} \left( (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \mathbf{i}^{b-a} \frac{j!}{(n-j-k)!} L_{\sigma}^{n-j-k}(\alpha) \right)$$

$$= (-1)^{a+b} (-1)^{n-j-k} *_{\sigma} \left( L_{\sigma}^{j}(\alpha) \right)$$

$$= (-1)^{k} (-1)^{n-k} *_{\sigma} \left( (-L_{\sigma})^{j}(\alpha) \right)$$

$$= (-1)^{n} *_{\sigma} \left( L_{-\sigma}^{j}(\alpha) \right) .$$

Recalling from (4) that  $\Lambda_{\sigma} = *_{\sigma}^{-1} \circ L_{\sigma} \circ *_{\sigma}$ , we now see that  $\Lambda_{-\sigma} = -\Lambda_{\sigma}$ . Proportionality of the Hodge maps now shows us that

$$g_{-\sigma}(\omega,\nu) = *_{-\sigma}(\omega \wedge *_{-\sigma}(\nu^*)) = (-1)^{2n} *_{\sigma}(\omega \wedge *_{\sigma}(\nu^*)) = g_{\sigma}(\omega,\nu),$$
 as claimed

We call  $(\overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, -\sigma)$  the *opposite* Hermitian structure of  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$ . If follows immediately from Lemma 2.16 that the representation  $\overline{T} : \mathfrak{sl}_2 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega^{\bullet})$  associated to  $(\overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, -\sigma)$  is given explicitly by

$$\overline{T}(E) = -L_{\sigma}, \qquad \overline{T}(H) = K, \qquad \overline{T}(F) = -\Lambda_{\sigma}.$$

Observe that an Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  is a Kähler structure if and only if its opposite Hermitian structure is a Kähler structure. Hence we can speak of the *opposite Kähler structure* of a Kähler structure. Moreover, an Hermitian structure is positive if and only if its opposite Hermitian structure is positive.

2.7. Holomorphic Vector Bundles. In this subsection we present the notion of a noncommutative holomorphic vector bundle, as has been considered in various places, for example [6], [75], and [44]. Motivated by the Serre–Swan theorem, a vector bundle over B will mean a finitely generated projective left B-module. As we now recall, one can build on this idea to define noncommutative holomorphic vector bundles via the classical Koszul–Malgrange characterisation of holomorphic bundles [46]. See [70] for a more detailed discussion.

For  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  a differential calculus over an algebra B, and  $\mathcal{F}$  a left B-module, a left connection on  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $\nabla : \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  satisfying

$$\nabla(bf) = db \otimes f + b\nabla f, \qquad \text{for all } b \in B, f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

For a right B-module  $\mathcal{F}'$ , a right connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{F}' \to \mathcal{F}' \otimes_B \Omega^1$  is defined analogously. Since we will mainly use left connections in this paper, we will take connection to mean left connection, and specify whenever we use right connections.

With respect to a choice  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  of complex structure on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , a (0,1)-connection on  $\mathcal{F}$  is a connection with respect to the differential calculus  $(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}, \overline{\partial})$ .

Any connection can be extended to a map  $\nabla : \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  uniquely defined by

$$\nabla(\omega \otimes f) = d\omega \otimes f + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \wedge \nabla f, \qquad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{F}, \, \omega \in \Omega^{\bullet},$$

for a homogeneous form  $\omega$  with degree  $|\omega|$ .

The *curvature* of a connection is the left *B*-module map  $\nabla^2 : \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^2 \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$ . A connection is said to be *flat* if  $\nabla^2 = 0$ . Since  $\nabla^2(\omega \otimes f) = \omega \wedge \nabla^2(f)$ , a connection is flat if and only if the pair  $(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}, \nabla)$  is a complex.

**Definition 2.17.** For an algebra B, a holomorphic vector bundle over B is a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finitely generated projective left B-module, and  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^{(0,1)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  is a flat (0,1)-connection, which we call the holomorphic structure for  $(\mathcal{F}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ .

Note that for any fixed  $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  has a naturally associated complex

$$\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}: \Omega^{(a,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^{(a,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}.$$

For any  $b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we denote by  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{F})$  the  $b^{\text{th}}$ -cohomology group of this complex.

2.8. Hermitian Vector Bundles. When B is a \*-algebra, we can also generalise the classical notion of an Hermitian metric for a vector bundle, as we now recall. For a left B-module  $\mathcal{F}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}$  the dual module  $\mathcal{F} := \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, B)$ , which is a right B-module with respect to the right multiplication

$$\phi b(f) := \phi(f)b, \qquad \phi \in {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}, \qquad \text{for } b \in B, f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Moreover, we denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  the *conjugate right B-module* of  $\mathcal{F}$ , as defined by the action

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} \otimes B \to \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad \overline{f} \otimes b \mapsto \overline{b^* f}.$$

**Definition 2.18.** An Hermitian vector bundle over a \*-algebra B is a pair  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$ , consisting of a finitely generated projective left B-module  $\mathcal{F}$  and a right B-isomorphism  $h_{\mathcal{F}}:\overline{\mathcal{F}}\to {}^{\vee}\!\mathcal{F}$  satisfying satisfies the following two conditions:

- 1.  $h_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{f})(k) = h(\overline{k})(f)^*,$  for all  $f, k \in \mathcal{F},$ 2.  $h_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{f})(f) \in B_{>0},$  for all non-zero  $f \in \mathcal{F}.$

Associated to any Hermitian structure we have its Hermitian metric

$$g_{\mathcal{F}}: \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \times \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to B, \qquad (\omega \otimes f, \nu \otimes k) \mapsto g_{\sigma}(\omega h_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{k})(f), \nu).$$

As shown in [70, §5], the metric is conjugate symmetric, which is to say

$$g_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha,\beta) = g_{\mathcal{F}}(\beta,\alpha)^*,$$
 for all  $\alpha,\beta \in \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ .

If our Hermitian structure is positive then  $g_{\mathcal{F}}$  will be positive, which is to say

$$g_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha, \alpha) \in B_{>0},$$
 for all  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{F}.$ 

Finally, we note that the obvious  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ , and Lefschetz decompositions of  $\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  are orthogonal with respect to  $g_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,

2.9. Hermitian Holomorphic Vector Bundles. The following definition details a compatibility condition between connections and Hermitian structures. It is a direct generalisation of the classical condition [42].

**Definition 2.19.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$  be an Hermitian vector bundle, and consider the sesquilinear map

$$\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{F}}: \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F} \times \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad (\omega \otimes f, \nu \otimes k) \mapsto \omega h_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{f})(k) \wedge \nu^{*}.$$

A connection  $\nabla \colon \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  is Hermitian if

$$\mathrm{d}\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{F}}(f,k) = \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{F}}(\nabla(f), 1 \otimes k) + \mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{F}}(1 \otimes f, \nabla(k)) \qquad \text{for all } f, k \in \mathcal{F}.$$

A holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle is a triple  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$  is an Hermitian vector bundle and  $(\mathcal{F}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is a holomorphic vector bundle. The following is shown in [4], see also [70].

**Proposition 2.20.** For any Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , there exists a unique Hermitian connection  $\nabla : \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^1 \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  satisfying

$$\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} = \left( \operatorname{proj}_{\Omega^{(0,1)}} \otimes_B \operatorname{id} \right) \circ \nabla.$$

We call  $\nabla$  the *Chern connection* of  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , and denote

$$\partial_{\mathcal{F}} := (\operatorname{proj}_{\Omega^{(1,0)}} \otimes_B \operatorname{id}) \circ \nabla.$$

We finish this subsection with the notion of positivity for a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle. This directly generalises the classical notion of positivity, a property which is equivalent to ampleness [42, Proposition 5.3.1]. It was first introduced in [70, Definition 8.2] and requires a compatibility between Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles and Kähler structures. In the definition we use the following convenient notation

$$L_{\mathcal{F}} := L_{\sigma} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad H_{\mathcal{F}} = H \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} := \Lambda_{\sigma} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

**Definition 2.21.** Let  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  be a differential calculus over a \*-algebra B, and let  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  be a Kähler structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . An Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is said to be *positive*, written  $\mathcal{F} > 0$ , if there exists  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that the Chern connection  $\nabla$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies

$$\nabla^2(f) = -\theta \mathbf{i} L_{\mathcal{F}}(f) = -\theta \mathbf{i} \kappa \otimes f, \qquad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Analogously,  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is said to be *negative*, written  $\mathcal{F} < 0$ , if there exists  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that the Chern connection  $\nabla$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfies

$$\nabla^2(f) = \theta \mathbf{i} L_{\mathcal{F}}(f) = \theta \mathbf{i} \kappa \otimes f, \qquad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Finally, we note that a positive vector bundle is negative with respect to the opposite Kähler structure  $(\overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, -\kappa)$ , and conversely that a negative vector bundle is positive with respect to the opposite Kähler structure.

2.10. **Dual Connections.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  be an Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle and consider the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$C_h: \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F} \otimes_B \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \omega \otimes f \mapsto h_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{f}) \otimes \omega^*.$$

Denoting by  $\nabla = \partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}$  the Chern connection of  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , the associated dual connection is the flat right connection

$$\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}: {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F} \to {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F} \otimes_B \Omega^{(0,1)}, \qquad f \mapsto C_h \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \overline{h_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\phi)}.$$

The dual connection gives us an associated complex

$$\overline{\partial}_{\vee_{\mathcal{F}}}: {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F} \otimes_{B} \Omega^{\bullet} \to {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F} \otimes_{B} \Omega^{\bullet},$$

defined in exact analogy with the case of left connections. We denote the associated cohomology groups by  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}({}^{\vee}\mathcal{F})$ .

2.11. Noncommutative Fano Structures. In order to produce a holomorphic vector bundle from a complex structure, we recall from [68, §6.3] a refinement of the definition of a complex structure called factorisability. The Dolbeault double complex of every complex manifold is automatically factorisable [42, §1.2], as are the Heckenberger-Kolb calculi for all the irreducible quantum flag manifolds which are presented in §7.

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  be a differential \*-calculus over a \*-algebra B. A complex, or almost complex, structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is called *factorisable* if, for all  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ , we have B-bimodule isomorphisms

1. 
$$\wedge: \Omega^{(a,0)} \otimes_B \Omega^{(0,b)} \to \Omega^{(a,b)}, \qquad \sum_i \omega_i \otimes \nu_i \mapsto \sum_i \omega_i \wedge \nu_i$$

1. 
$$\wedge: \Omega^{(a,0)} \otimes_B \Omega^{(0,b)} \to \Omega^{(a,b)},$$
  $\sum_i \omega_i \otimes \nu_i \mapsto \sum_i \omega_i \wedge \nu_i,$   
2.  $\wedge: \Omega^{(0,b)} \otimes_B \Omega^{(a,0)} \to \Omega^{(a,b)},$   $\sum_i \omega_i \otimes \nu_i \mapsto \sum_i \omega_i \wedge \nu_i.$ 

An important point to note is that for any factorisable complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  of total degree 2n, the pair  $(\Omega^{(n,0)}, \wedge^{-1} \circ \overline{\partial})$  is a holomorphic vector bundle. Moreover, for a factorisable Hermitian structure, or factorisable Kähler structure, which is to say an Hermitian, or Kähler, structure whose constituent complex structure is factorisable, the triple  $(\Omega^{(n,0)}, q_{\sigma}, \wedge^{-1} \circ \overline{\partial})$  is an Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle.

**Definition 2.23.** A Fano structure for a differential \*-calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  of total degree 2n is a Kähler structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  such that

- 1.  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is a factorisable complex structure,
- 2.  $(\Omega^{(n,0)}, g_{\kappa})$  admits an Hermitian structure with respect to which it is a negative holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle.

2.12. Covariant Differential Calculi and Hermitian Structures. For A a Hopf algebra, a left A-comodule algebra P is an A-comodule which is also an algebra, such that the comodule structure map  $\Delta_L: P \to A \otimes P$  is an algebra map. Equivalently, it is a monoid object in  ${}^{A}$ Mod, the category of left A-comodules. A differential calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ over P is said to be *covariant* if the coaction  $\Delta_L: P \to A \otimes P$  extends to a (necessarily unique) A-comodule algebra structure  $\Delta_L: \Omega^{\bullet} \to A \otimes \Omega^{\bullet}$ , with respect to which the differential d is a left A-comodule map.

For  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  a covariant differential \*-calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  over P, we say that a complex structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is *covariant* if the  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -decomposition is a decomposition in  ${}^A$ Mod, which is to say, if  $\Omega^{(a,b)}$  is a left A-sub-comodule of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , for each  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ . A direct consequence of covariance is that the maps  $\partial$  and  $\overline{\partial}$  are left A-comodule maps.

A covariant Hermitian structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is an Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  such that  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is a covariant complex structure, and the Hermitian form  $\sigma$  is left A-coinvariant, which is to say  $\Delta_L(\sigma) = 1 \otimes \sigma$ . A covariant Kähler structure is a covariant Hermitian structure which is also a Kähler structure. Note that in the covariant case, in addition to being P-bimodule maps,  $L_{\sigma}$ ,  $*_{\sigma}$ , and  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  are also left A-comodule maps.

A covariant Hermitian vector bundle is an Hermitian vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an object in  ${}_{B}^{A}$  mod<sub>0</sub> and the right B-module isomorphism

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} \to {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}, \qquad \overline{f} \mapsto g_{\mathcal{F}}(\cdot, f).$$

is a morphism in  ${}^A_B \text{mod}_0$ , where the conjugate  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  and dual  ${}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}$  modules are understood as objects in  ${}^A_B \text{mod}_0$  in the sense of Appendix A. For any simple object  $\mathcal{F}$ , its conjugate  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  and its dual  ${}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}$  will again be simple, implying that any covariant Hermitian structures will be unique up to positive scalar multiple. If  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_F)$  is a covariant Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle, then the Chern connection is always a left A-comodule map, see [70, §7.1].

# 3. Compact Quantum Homogeneous Hermitian Spaces

In this section we introduce the notion of a compact quantum homogeneous Hermitian space, which serves as the formal setting for all our discussions of Hilbert space completions for Hermitian structures. In essence, the definition organises the central assumptions of [69] into a compact presentation and gives a natural set of compatibility conditions between compact quantum group algebras and covariant Hermitian structures. These conditions are motivated by classical compact Hermitian manifolds without boundary, and the irreducible quantum flag manifolds. As usual, throughout this section A and B will denote Hopf algebras defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

3.1. **CQH-Hermitian Spaces.** We begin with a convenient and natural definition which identifies the class of quantum homogeneous spaces that will concern us in this paper.

**Definition 3.1.** A CQGA homogeneous space  $\pi: A \to H$  is a quantum homogeneous space such that A and H are both CQGAs, and  $\pi$  is a surjective Hopf \*-algebra map.

Note that by the discussions of Appendix A.1, the Hopf algebra A is automatically faithfully flat as a right B-module.

Next we consider closed integrals for Hermitian structures, which abstract the situation for a classical manifold without boundary. Note that this is a special case of an orientable differential calculus with closed integral [69, §3.2], where the Hodge map is taken as the orientation. The assumption of a closed integral underpins our discussion of Hodge theory in §3.3. In particular, it is essential for establishing the codifferential formulae in (7).

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  be an Hermitian structure of total degree  $2n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The integral of  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  is the linear map  $\int := \mathbf{h} \circ *_{\sigma} : \Omega^{2n} \to \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\int d\omega = 0$ , for all  $\omega \in \Omega^{2n-1}$ , then the integral is said to be *closed*, and  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\int$ -closed.

Closure of the integral can be converted into a more manageable representationtheoretic condition. The proposition was established in [69, Corollary 3.3] in terms of the penultimate non-zero forms. However, the following version is equivalent due to the defining Lefschetz isomorphisms of an Hermitian structure.

**Proposition 3.3.** For a CQGA homogeneous space  $\pi: A \to H$ , with a given covariant differential \*-calculus endowed with a covariant Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$ , the associated integral is closed if the decomposition of  $\Phi(\Omega^1)$  into irreducible comodules does not contain the trivial H-comodule.

With these definitions introduced, we are now ready to present the definition of a CQH-Hermitian space.

**Definition 3.4.** A compact quantum homogeneous Hermitian space, or simply a CQH-Hermitian space, is a quadruple  $\mathbf{H} := (B = A^{\operatorname{co}(H)}, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  where

- 1.  $B = A^{\text{co}(H)}$  is a CQGA homogeneous space, 2.  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is a left A-covariant differential \*-calculus over B, and an object in  ${}_{B}^{A}\text{mod}_{0}$ ,
- 3.  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  is a covariant,  $\int$ -closed, positive, Hermitian structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ .

We denote by  $\dim(\mathbf{H})$  the total degree of the constituent differential calculus  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ .

The assumption that  $g_{\sigma}$  is positive, together with Corollary 2.9 and positivity of the Haar state h, immediately imply the following result.

Corollary 3.5. For any CQH-Hermitian space, an inner product is given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma} : \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)} \times \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)} \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad (\omega, \nu) \mapsto \mathbf{h} \circ *_{\sigma} (\omega \wedge *_{\sigma} (\nu^*)).$$

We finish with an important consequence of the monoidal structure of Takeuchi's equivalence. Covariance of the calculus implies that  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is a left A-comodule algebra, or equivalently a monoid object in  ${}^{A}_{B}$  mod<sub>0</sub>. Since  $\Phi$  is a monoidal functor,  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is a monoid object in mod<sub>0</sub>. This means that a well-defined algebra structure on  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is given by

$$\wedge: \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}) \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}) \to \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}), \qquad [\omega] \otimes [\nu] \mapsto [\omega \wedge \nu].$$

**Remark 3.6.** Note that the definition of positivity of  $g_{\sigma}$  given in [69, Definition 5.4] is presented in local terms, which is to say, in the category  ${}^{H}$ mod, through Takeuchi's equivalence. As the presentation of [69, §5.2] makes clear, the two definitions are indeed equivalent. The global presentation, which is to say, in the category  ${}^{A}_{B}$  mod<sub>0</sub>, is adopted in this paper as it proves to be more natural when considering Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules in later work.

3.2. **Peter-Weyl Decomposition.** By cosemisimplicity of A, the abelian category  $^{H}$ mod is semisimple, and so  $^{A}_{B}$ mod<sub>0</sub> is semisimple. For any  $\mathcal{F} \in ^{A}_{B}$ mod<sub>0</sub>, we have the

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq A \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \left( \bigoplus_{V \in \widehat{A}} \mathcal{C}(V) \right) \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{V \in \widehat{A}} \mathcal{C}(V) \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F}) =: \bigoplus_{V \in \widehat{A}} \mathcal{F}_V.$$

We call this the Peter-Weyl decomposition of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For any  $V \in {}^{H}$  mod, it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{C}(V) \simeq V^{\oplus \dim(V)}$  as a left A-comodule [45, Proposition 11.8]. Thus, for any left A-comodule map  $f: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$  it holds that

(6) 
$$f(\mathcal{F}_V) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_V$$
, for all  $V \in \widehat{A}$ .

More generally, a Peter-Weyl map  $f: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map satisfying (6). We now present some properties of the Peter-Weyl decomposition and Peter-Weyl maps in the CQH-Hermitian setting. The proof is completely analogous to the arguments of [69,  $\S 5.2$ ], and so we omit it.

**Proposition 3.7.** For a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = \{B = A^{\operatorname{co}(H)}, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma\}$ , the Peter-Weyl decomposition of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$ . Moreover, for any Peter-Weyl map  $f: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$ , it holds that

- 1. f is adjointable on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$ , and its adjoint is a Peter-Weyl map,
- 2. if f is self-adjoint with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$ , then it is diagonalisable on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ .
- 3.3. Dirac and Laplace Operators and Hodge Theory. We now recall the noncommutative generalisation of Hodge theory associated to any CQH-Hermitian space. An important application is the identification of the index of the Dolbeault-Dirac operator with the anti-holomorphic Euler characteristic of the calculus, as shown in §5.

For any CQH-Hermitian space, Proposition 3.7 tells us that the exterior derivatives d,  $\partial$ ,  $\overline{\partial}$  are adjointable on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . As established in [69, §5.3.3], their adjoints  $d^{\dagger}$ ,  $\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}$  are expressible in terms of the Hodge operator:

(7) 
$$d^{\dagger} = - *_{\sigma} \circ d \circ *_{\sigma}, \qquad \partial^{\dagger} = - *_{\sigma} \circ \overline{\partial} \circ *_{\sigma}, \qquad \overline{\partial}^{\dagger} = - *_{\sigma} \circ \partial \circ *_{\sigma}.$$

Just as for the classical case, we define the d-,  $\partial$ -, and  $\overline{\partial}$ -Dirac operators respectively as

$$D_{\mathbf{d}} := \mathbf{d} + \mathbf{d}^{\dagger}, \qquad \qquad D_{\overline{\partial}} := \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}^{\dagger}.$$

The d-,  $\partial$ -, and  $\overline{\partial}$ -Laplace operators are defined by

$$\Delta_d := (d + d^\dagger)^2, \qquad \quad \Delta_\partial := (\partial + \partial^\dagger)^2, \qquad \quad \Delta_{\overline{\partial}} := (\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}^\dagger)^2.$$

The d-harmonic,  $\partial$ -harmonic, and  $\overline{\partial}$ -harmonic forms, are defined respectively to be

$$\mathcal{H}_{d} := \ker(\Delta_{d}), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\partial} := \ker(\Delta_{\partial}), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\partial}} := \ker(\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}).$$

For any CQH-Hermitian space, Proposition 3.7 tells us that the Dirac and Laplace operators are diagonalisable. Just as in the classical case, it follows that

(8) 
$$\mathcal{H}_{d} = \ker(d) \cap \ker(d^{\dagger}), \quad \mathcal{H}_{\partial} = \ker(\partial) \cap \ker(\partial^{\dagger}), \quad \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\partial}} = \ker(\overline{\partial}) \cap \ker(\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}),$$

see [69, Lemma 6.1] for details. Moreover, as shown in [69, §6.2], diagonalisability also allows us to conclude the following noncommutative generalisation of Hodge decomposition for Hermitian manifolds.

**Theorem 3.8** (Hodge decomposition). Let  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  be a CQH-Hermitian space. Direct sum decompositions of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , orthogonal with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$ , are given by

$$\Omega^{\bullet} = \mathcal{H}_{d} \oplus d\Omega^{\bullet} \oplus d^{\dagger}\Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \Omega^{\bullet} = \mathcal{H}_{\partial} \oplus \partial\Omega^{\bullet} \oplus \partial^{\dagger}\Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \Omega^{\bullet} = \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\partial}} \oplus \overline{\partial}\Omega^{\bullet} \oplus \overline{\partial}^{\dagger}\Omega^{\bullet}.$$

Moreover, the following projections are isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{d}}^k \to H_{\mathrm{d}}^k, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)} \to H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\overline{\partial}}}^{(a,b)} \to H_{\overline{\overline{\partial}}}^{(a,b)},$$

where  $H_{\rm d}^k$ ,  $H_{\partial}^{(a,b)}$ , and  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}$ , denote the cohomology groups of the de Rham, holomorphic, and anti-holomorphic complexes, respectively.

3.4. **CQH-Kähler Spaces.** This subsection presents the natural specialisation of CQH-Hermitian spaces to the Kähler setting.

**Definition 3.9.** A compact quantum homogeneous Kähler space, or simply a CQH-Kähler space, is a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$  such that the Hermitian structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$  is a Kähler structure.

As mentioned in  $\S 2.3$ , this simple strengthening of the requirements of an Hermitian structure has profound consequences. As a first example, we present the following theorem, which gives a direct noncommutative generalisation of the Kähler identities of a classical Kähler manifold. See  $[69, \S 7]$  for a proof.

**Theorem 3.10** (Kähler identities). For CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K}$ , we have the following relations

$$[\partial, L_{\sigma}] = 0, \qquad [\overline{\partial}, L_{\sigma}] = 0, \qquad [\partial^{\dagger}, \Lambda_{\sigma}] = 0, \qquad [\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}, \Lambda_{\sigma}] = 0,$$

$$[L_{\sigma}, \partial^{\dagger}] = \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}, \qquad [L_{\sigma}, \overline{\partial}^{\dagger}] = -\mathbf{i}\partial, \qquad [\Lambda_{\sigma}, \partial] = \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}, \qquad [\Lambda_{\sigma}, \overline{\partial}] = -\mathbf{i}\partial^{\dagger}.$$

As a consequence (see [69, Corollary 7.6]) we have the following important identities.

Corollary 3.11. It holds that

$$\partial \overline{\partial}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}^{\dagger} \partial = 0, \qquad \qquad \partial^{\dagger} \overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial} \partial^{\dagger} = 0, \qquad \qquad \Delta_{\mathrm{d}} = 2\Delta_{\overline{\partial}} = 2\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}.$$

In the classical setting an Hermitian manifold is Kähler if and only if the three Laplacians satisfy the proportionality relation of Corollary 3.11. In both the commutative and noncommutative setting this result has strong cohomological consequences. Corollary 3.11, taken together with Hodge decomposition, implies that de Rham cohomology is refined by Dolbeault cohomology [69, Corollary 7.7], that is,

$$H_{\mathrm{d}}^k \simeq \bigoplus_{a+b=k} H_{\partial}^{(a,b)} \simeq \bigoplus_{a+b=k} H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}.$$

We finish this subsection by introducing the obvious notion of a CQH-Fano space.

**Definition 3.12.** A compact quantum homogeneous Fano space, or simply a CQH-Fano space, is a CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{F} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  such that the pair  $(\Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  is a Fano structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ .

3.5. The Hard Lefschetz Theorem for CQH-Kähler Spaces. We next recall the hard Lefschetz theorem for a CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$ , which is a direct generalisation of the well-known classical result [42, Proposition 3.3.13].

As observed in [69, Corollary 6.4], any linear map  $A: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$  which commutes with the Laplacian  $\Delta_{\rm d}$  induces a unique map on  $H_{\rm d}^{\bullet}$  such that the following diagram is commutative:



As proved in [69, Lemma 7.8], the Lefschetz map commutes with  $\Delta_d$ , giving us a map on cohomologies, which by abuse of notation we again denote by  $L_{\sigma}$ . This allows us to formulate a noncommutative definition of primitive cohomology.

**Definition 3.13.** For a CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$ , the (a, b)-primitive cohomology group is the vector space

$$H_{\text{prim}}^{(a,b)} := \ker \Big( L_{\sigma}^{n-(a+b)+1} : H^{(a,b)} \to H^{(n-b+1,n-a+1)} \Big).$$

Moreover, we denote  $H^k_{\mathrm{prim}} := \bigoplus_{a+b=k} H^{(a,b)}_{\mathrm{prim}}$ 

As observed in [69, Theorem 7.12], the proof of the classical hard Lefschetz theorem carries over directly from the classical setting, giving us the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.14** (Hard Lefschetz). For any CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$ , it holds that

- 1.  $L_{\sigma}^{n-a-b}: H^{(a,b)} \to H^{(n-a,n-b)}$  is an isomorphism, for all  $(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , 2.  $H^{(a,b)} \simeq \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} L_{\sigma}^i H_{\mathrm{prim}}^{(a-i,b-i)}$ .
- 3.6. Opposite CQH-Hermitian Spaces. In this section we consider opposite Hermitian structures in the context of CQH-Hermitian spaces, and introduce the notion of an opposite CQH-Hermitian space.

**Proposition 3.15.** For any CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , a CQH-Hermitian space is given by

$$\overline{\mathbf{H}} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \overline{\Omega}^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, -\sigma).$$

We call  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  the *opposite* CQH-Hermitian space of  $\mathbf{H}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  satisfies all the properties of a CQH-Hermitian space apart from positiveness. However, by Proposition 2.16 we know that  $g_{-\sigma} = g_{\sigma}$ , which immediately implies that  $\overline{\mathbf{H}}$  is positive and hence a CQH-Hermitian space.

A CQH-Hermitian space is Kähler if and only if its opposite CQH-Hermitian space is Kähler, allowing us speak of the opposite CQH-Kähler space.

4. The Hilbert Space of Square Integrable Forms

In this section, for a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , we consider the completion of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  to a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product of **H**. In particular we examine how the various operators associated to Hermitian and Kähler structures behave with respect to this completion.

4.1. Square Integrable Forms. In this subsection we introduce the Hilbert space of square integrable forms of a CQH-Hermitian space. We then observe that the complex and Lefschetz decompositions of the calculus carry over to the completed setting, introduce an alternative description of the Hilbert space in terms of Takeuchi's equivalence, and finally establish separability of the Hilbert space.

**Definition 4.1.** For a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , we denote by  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  the Hilbert space completion of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  with respect to its inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$ , and call it the *Hilbert space of square integrable forms of*  $\mathbf{H}$ .

Recall from Lemma 2.8 that the  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -decomposition, and the Lefschetz decomposition, of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  are orthogonal with respect to the associated inner product. This implies that we have the following  $L^2$ -decompositions

$$L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}) \simeq \bigoplus_{(a,b) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2} L^2(\Omega^{(a,b)}), \qquad L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}) \simeq \bigoplus_{j \ge 0} L^2(L^j_{\sigma}(P^{(2n-2j)})).$$

We now introduce an alternative presentation of  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  coming from Takeuchi's equivalence, beginning with a lemma for covariant Hermitian structures.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $B = A^{\operatorname{co}(H)}$  be a quantum homogeneous space,  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  a left covariant differential calculus over B, and  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \sigma)$  a covariant Hermitian structure for  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . Then a commutative diagram is given by

$$\Omega^{\bullet} \times \Omega^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{U \times U} A \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}) \times A \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$$

$$g_{\sigma} \xrightarrow{g_{\sigma}} A \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}) \times A \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$$

where  $g_U$  is the map uniquely defined by  $g_U(a \otimes [\omega], b \otimes [\nu]) := ab^* \varepsilon(g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu))$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the definition of  $q_{\rm U}$  that

$$\varepsilon(g_{\sigma}(a\omega,b\nu)) = \varepsilon(ag_{\sigma}(\omega,\nu)b^*) = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon(g_{\sigma}(\omega,\nu))\overline{\varepsilon(b)}$$

implying that  $g_{\rm U}$  is a well-defined map. The calculation

$$g_{\mathbf{U}} \circ (\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{U})(\omega, \nu) = g_{\mathbf{U}}(\omega_{(-1)} \otimes [\omega_{(0)}], \nu_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)}])$$

$$= \omega_{(-1)} \nu_{(-1)}^* \varepsilon (g_{\sigma}(\omega_{(0)}, \nu_{(0)}))$$

$$= (\mathrm{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_L(g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu))$$

$$= g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu)_{(1)} \varepsilon (g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu)_{(2)})$$

$$= g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu),$$

implies that the diagram is commutative.

Corollary 4.3. An inner product is given by

$$(\cdot,\cdot):\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})\times\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})\to\mathbb{C},$$
  $([\omega],[\nu])\mapsto\varepsilon(g_{\sigma}(\omega,\nu))$ 

if and only if  $g_{\sigma}$  is positive.

*Proof.* Lemma A.4 tells us that any element  $X \in A \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  can be presented in the form  $X = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k Y_k$ , where  $a_k \in A \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $Y_k \in A \square_H \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , for each  $k = 1, \ldots, m$ .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the elements  $Y_k$  form an orthonormal set. Now

$$\mathbf{h} \circ g_{\mathbf{U}}(X, X) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbf{h} \left( g_{\mathbf{U}}(a_k Y_k, a_k Y_k) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbf{h} \left( a_k g_{\mathbf{U}}(Y_k, Y_k) a_k^* \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{h} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k g_{\sigma}(\mathbf{U}^{-1}(Y_k), \mathbf{U}^{-1}(Y_k)) a_k^* \right).$$

If  $g_{\sigma}$  is positive, then each element  $g_{\sigma}(U^{-1}(Y_k), U^{-1}(Y_k)) \in B_{>0}$ . Hence, each summand  $a_k g_{\sigma}(U^{-1}(Y_k), U^{-1}(Y_k)) a_k^* \in B_{>0}$ , and positivity of the Haar functional implies that  $\mathbf{h} \circ g_U(X, X) > 0$ . Noting that

$$\mathbf{h} \circ g_{\mathrm{U}}(1 \otimes [\omega], 1 \otimes [\nu]) = \varepsilon(g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu)),$$

we see that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive definite. Conjugate symmetry of  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  follows directly from conjugate symmetry of  $g_{\sigma}$  as presented in Corollary 2.9, allowing us to conclude that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an inner product.

The reverse implication is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.2.

We endow  $A \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  with the tensor product of the inner products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{U}}$  the restriction of this inner product to  $A \square_H \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$ .

Corollary 4.4. The unit U of Takeuchi's equivalence is an isomorphism of the inner product spaces  $(\Omega^{\bullet}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma})$  and  $(A \square_H \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U})$ . Hence it extends to an isomorphism between the respective Hilbert space completions  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  and  $L^2(A \square_H \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}))$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\omega, \nu \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ , it holds that

$$\langle \mathbf{U}(\omega), \mathbf{U}(\nu) \rangle_{\mathbf{U}} = \langle \omega_{(-1)} \otimes \omega_{(0)}, \nu_{(-1)} \otimes_{(0)} \rangle_{\mathbf{U}}$$

$$= \mathbf{h}(\omega_{(-1)} \nu_{(-1)}^*) \varepsilon \left( g_{\sigma}(\omega_{(0)}, \nu_{(0)}) \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{h} \left( \omega_{(-1)} \nu_{(-1)}^* \varepsilon \left( g_{\sigma}(\omega_{(0)}, \nu_{(0)}) \right) \right)$$

$$= \mathbf{h} \circ g_{\sigma}(\omega, \nu)$$

$$= \langle \omega, \nu \rangle_{\sigma}.$$

Thus U is an isomorphism of inner product spaces, and extends to an isomorphism of Hilbert spaces as claimed.  $\Box$ 

We finish by producing a sufficient condition for separability, given in terms of the set  $\widehat{A}$  of isomorphism classes of irreducible comodules of A.

**Proposition 4.5.** The Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is separable.

*Proof.* Since A is by assumption a CQGA, it is necessarily finitely generated. Let C be a finite-dimensional submodule of A containing some finite set of generators. Then any

element of A is necessarily contained in the image of the multiplication map

$$m: C^{\otimes k} \to A$$
,

for some sufficiently large  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that for any other comodule C' contained in A, there exist a  $k' \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that C' is contained in the image of the multiplication map  $C^{\otimes k'} \to A$ . Since A is by assumption cosemisimple, the multiplication map splits, meaning that there exists a copy of C' in  $C^{\otimes k'}$ . Now any A-comodule necessarily embeds into A, meaning that any A-comodule arises as a subcomodule of  $C^{\otimes l}$ , for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Thus A must have a countable number of isomorphism classes of A-comodules. It follows that the Peter–Weyl decomposition of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  must have a countable number of summands. Moreover, since  $\Omega^{\bullet}_V$  is finite-dimensional, for each  $V \in \widehat{A}$ , it is clear that  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  admits a countable Hamel basis. Hence  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is separable.

4.2. Morphisms as Bounded Operators. In this subsection we discuss the extension of endomorphisms of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  to bounded operators on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . As an application, we produce bounded representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , for any  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** Every endomorphism  $f: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$  in  ${}_{B}^{A} \text{mod}_{0}$  of the differential calculus is bounded, and hence extends to a bounded operator on  $L^{2}(\Omega^{\bullet})$ .

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram given by Takeuchi's equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^{\bullet} & & & f \\
\downarrow & & & & \uparrow \\
U & & & & \uparrow \\
A \Box_{H} \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}) & & & & \downarrow \\
& & \downarrow \\
& & \downarrow \\
&$$

Since U is an isomorphism of inner product spaces, the morphism f is bounded if and only if  $\Psi \circ \Phi(f)$  is bounded. But  $\Psi \circ \Phi(f) = \mathrm{id} \otimes \Phi(f)$ , and  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is finite-dimensional by assumption, implying that  $\mathrm{id} \otimes \Phi(f)$  is bounded. Hence f is bounded and extends to a bounded operator on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ .

Corollary 4.7. The maps  $L_{\sigma}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ , and H extend to bounded operators on  $L^{2}(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . Hence, a representation  $T: \mathfrak{sl}_{2} \to \mathbb{B}(L^{2}(\Omega^{\bullet}))$  is given by

$$T(E) = L_{\sigma},$$
  $T(K) = H,$   $T(F) = \Lambda_{\sigma}.$ 

The space of lowest weight vectors of the representation is given by  $L^2(P^{\bullet})$ , the Hilbert space completion of the primitive forms.

*Proof.* Since  $L_{\sigma}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ , and H are all morphisms in  ${}^{A}_{B}$ mod<sub>0</sub>, Proposition 4.6 implies that they extend to bounded operators on  $L^{2}(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . It now follows from Proposition 2.11 that we get a bounded representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_{2}$ .

Corollary 4.8. The Hodge map  $*_{\sigma}$  extends to a unitary operator on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 4.6, and unitarity of  $*_{\sigma}$  as an operator on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , as established in [69, Lemma 5.10].

Recall from §2.5 that the Hodge map can be deformed, resulting in the deformed set of commutation relations presented in Proposition 2.13. This in turn deforms the representation T to a representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , considered with respect to its presentation in §7. The proof is an immediate consequence of the proof at the level of linear operators on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , as presented in [69, Corollary 5.14].

Corollary 4.9. For any  $p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , a representation  $T_p : U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$  is given by

$$T_p(E) = L_{\sigma,p},$$
  $T_p(H) = K_p,$   $T_p(F) = \Lambda_{\sigma,p}.$ 

As we now explain, the representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  given in Corollary 4.7 can be understood as a special case of the representation of  $U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  given above. Let  $\widetilde{U}_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  be the algebra generated by the elements E, F, G, K, and  $K^{-1}$ , subject to the relations

$$KK^{-1} = K^{-1}K = 1,$$
  $KE = q^{2}EK,$   $KF = q^{-2}FK,$   $[G, E] = E(pK + p^{-1}K^{-1}),$   $[G, F] = -(pK + p^{-1}K^{-1})F,$   $[E, F] = G,$   $(p - p^{-1})G = K - K^{-1}.$ 

For  $p \neq 1$ , an algebra isomorphism between  $U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  and  $\widetilde{U}_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is defined by

$$E \mapsto E, \qquad F \mapsto F, \qquad K \mapsto K, \qquad G \mapsto \frac{K - K^{-1}}{p - p^{-1}}.$$

For p=1, the algebra  $\widetilde{U}_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is well defined, and we have an algebra isomorphism

$$\widetilde{U}_1(\mathfrak{sl}_2)/\langle K-1\rangle \simeq U(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

Since  $\langle K-1 \rangle$  is clearly contained in the kernel of  $T_p$ , it descends to a representation of  $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , with T being its restriction to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \subseteq U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

4.3. **Grading Operators.** The calculus admits an obvious  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading coming from its decomposition into even and odd forms. This gives an operator

$$\gamma: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \gamma(\omega) = (-1)^k \omega, \qquad \text{for any } \omega \in \Omega^k.$$

Associated to the  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -decomposition of the complex structure, we have two analogous operators. Denote by  $\tau: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$ , and  $\overline{\tau}: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$ , the unique linear operators for which

$$\tau(\omega) = a\omega,$$
 for any  $\omega \in \Omega^{(a,b)}$ .

We have yet another operator associated to the Lefschetz decomposition

$$\lambda: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \lambda(\omega) = j\omega,$$
 for any  $\omega \in L^{j}_{\sigma}(P^{\bullet}).$ 

Both  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$  are \*-maps, while  $\overline{\tau} = * \circ \tau \circ *$ .

Since the  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ , and Lefschetz decompositions are all decompositions in the category  ${}_B^A \bmod_0$ , the operators  $\gamma, \tau, \overline{\tau}$ , and  $\lambda$ , are all morphisms. Thus they extend to bounded operators on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . Orthogonality of the  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ , and Lefschetz decompositions implies that each operator is self-adjoint, while  $\gamma$  is moreover a self-adjoint unitary. Finally, we note that since the  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -decomposition is homogeneous with respect to the  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -decomposition, and that the Lefschetz decomposition is in turn homogeneous

with respect to the  $\mathbb{N}_0^2$ -decomposition, all four operators  $\gamma, \tau, \overline{\tau}$ , and  $\lambda$  pairwise commute. Hence they generate a commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$ .

4.4. Bounded Multiplication Maps. In this subsection, for a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , we prove that every multiplication operator on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is bounded with respect to the norm of the inner product of  $\mathbf{H}$ . In analogy with the bounded representation of  $A^{\mathrm{op}}$  on  $L^2(A)$ , this implies that we have a bounded representation of  $B^{\mathrm{op}}$  on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ .

**Proposition 4.10.** For any form  $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ , a non-zero bounded operator is given by

$$R_{\omega}: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \qquad \nu \mapsto \nu \wedge \omega.$$

Moreover, a faithful algebra representation  $\rho:(\Omega^{\bullet})^{\mathrm{op}}\to\mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$  is defined by

$$\rho(\omega)(\nu) = R_{\omega}(\nu),$$
 for all  $\omega, \nu \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $[\nu] \in \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , we have a well-defined operator

$$r_{[\nu]}: \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}) \to \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}), \qquad [\omega] \mapsto [\omega] \wedge [\nu] = [\omega \wedge \nu].$$

Since  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is finite-dimensional, this operator is bounded. Recalling the representation  $\rho_A: A^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(A))$  introduced at the end of §B.2, we see that a bounded operator on  $A \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is given by  $\rho_A(a) \otimes r_{[\nu]}$ , for all  $a \in A$ ,  $[\nu] \in \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$ .

Using this observation, we now show that  $R_{\omega}$  is bounded. For any  $\nu \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ , we note that

$$U \circ R_{\omega} \circ U^{-1} \left( \nu_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)}] \right) = U \circ R_{\omega} \circ U^{-1} \circ U(\nu)$$

$$= U(\nu \wedge \omega)$$

$$= \nu_{(-1)} \omega_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)} \wedge \omega_{(0)}]$$

$$= \rho(\omega_{(-1)}) (\nu_{(-1)}) \otimes r_{[\omega_{(0)}]} [\nu_{(0)}]$$

$$= \rho(\omega_{(-1)}) \otimes r_{[\omega_{(0)}]} (\nu_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)}]).$$

Since every element of  $A\Box_H\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is of the form  $U(\nu) = \nu_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)}]$ , for some  $\nu \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ , we see that  $U \circ R_{\omega} \circ U^{-1}$  is bounded. It now follows from Corollary 4.4 that  $R_{\omega}$  is bounded.

Since  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  by construction,  $R_{\omega}$  uniquely extends to an element of  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$ . This gives a well-defined  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map from  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  to  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$ , which is evidently an algebra map for the opposite algebra  $(\Omega^{\bullet})^{\mathrm{op}}$ . Finally, since  $1 \in B \subseteq \Omega^{\bullet}$ , it is clear that  $\rho$  is faithful.

Corollary 4.11. The map  $\rho$  is a \*-algebra map.

*Proof.* For any  $b \in B$ , and  $\omega, \nu \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ ,

$$\langle \rho(b)(\omega), \nu \rangle = \langle \omega b, \nu \rangle = \mathbf{h} \circ *_{\sigma} (\omega b \wedge *_{\sigma} (\nu^*))$$

$$= \mathbf{h} \circ *_{\sigma} (\omega \wedge *_{\sigma} (b\nu^*))$$

$$= \mathbf{h} \circ *_{\sigma} (\omega \wedge *_{\sigma} ((\nu b^*)^*))$$

$$= \langle \omega, \nu b^* \rangle$$

$$= \langle \omega, \rho(b^*)(\nu) \rangle.$$

Thus  $\rho(b^*) = \rho(b)^*$ , for all  $b \in B$ , showing that  $\rho$  is a \*-map.

We now consider a second consequence of Proposition 4.10, namely boundedness of the various commutator operators associated to a CQH-Hermitian space. This is a direct noncommutative generalisation of an important classical phenomenon [10,  $\S 2.4.1$ ], one which is abstracted in the definition of K-homology and ultimately spectral triples, as we see in Appendix D.

Corollary 4.12. The following operators are all bounded on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , and hence extend to bounded operators on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ :

- 1.  $[d, \rho(b)], [\partial, \rho(b)], [\overline{\partial}, \rho(b)],$
- 2.  $[d^{\dagger}, \rho(b)], [\partial^{\dagger}, \rho(b)], [\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}, \rho(b)],$

*Proof.* For any  $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ , we have the identity

$$[\mathbf{d}, \rho(b)](\omega) = (\mathbf{d} \circ \rho(b) - \rho(b) \circ \mathbf{d})(\omega)$$
$$= \mathbf{d}(\omega b) - \mathbf{d}(\omega)b$$
$$= \mathbf{d}(\omega)b + \omega \wedge \mathbf{d}b - \mathbf{d}(\omega)b$$
$$= \omega \wedge \mathbf{d}b.$$

It now follows from Proposition 4.10 that  $[d, \rho(b)]$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . Boundedness of the other operators is established similarly.

The adjoint  $[d, \rho(b)]^{\dagger}$  of the operator  $[d, \rho(b)]$  is evidently bounded on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . Thus since

$$[\mathbf{d}, \rho(b)]^{\dagger} = -[\mathbf{d}^{\dagger}, \rho(b^*)],$$
 for all  $b \in B$ ,

the operator  $[d^{\dagger}, \rho(b)]$  is bounded on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . Boundedness of  $[\partial^{\dagger}, \rho(b)]$  and  $[\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}, \rho(b)]$  are established similarly.

**Corollary 4.13.** For all  $b \in B$ , the operators  $[D_d, \rho(b)]$ ,  $[D_{\partial}, \rho(b)]$ , and  $[D_{\overline{\partial}}, \rho(b)]$  are bounded.

Finally, we observe that the norm induced on B by the embedding  $\rho: B \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$  is less than or equal to the restriction to B of the reduced norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{red}}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{red}}$ .

Proposition 4.14. It holds that

$$||b||_{L^2} \le ||\rho(b)|| \le ||b||_{\text{red}},$$
 for all  $b \in B$ .

Thus  $\rho$  extends to a \*-algebra homomorphism  $\rho: \mathcal{B}_{red} \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$ , where  $\mathcal{B}_{red}$  denotes the closure of B in  $\mathcal{A}_{red}$ .

*Proof.* The first inequality follows from

$$\|\rho(b)\|^2 \ge \|\rho(b)(1)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|b\|_{L^2}^2 = \langle b, b \rangle_{\mathbf{h}}.$$

For the second inequality take any  $\nu \in \Omega^{\bullet}$ , and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho_b(\nu)\|_{L^2} &= \|\nu b\|_{L^2} \\ &= \|\mathbf{U}(\nu b)\|_{L^2} \\ &= \|\nu_{(-1)}b \otimes [\nu_{(0)}]\|_{L^2} \\ &= \|(\rho_A(b) \otimes \mathrm{id})(\nu_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)})]\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now since the operator  $\rho_A(b) \otimes id$  is bounded, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\rho_A(b) \otimes \mathrm{id})(\nu_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)}])\|_{L^2} &\leq \|\rho_A(b) \otimes \mathrm{id}\| \|\nu_{(-1)} \otimes [\nu_{(0)}]\|_{L^2} \\ &= \|\rho_A(b)\| \|\mathrm{U}(\nu)\|_{L^2} \\ &= \|b\|_{\mathrm{red}} \|\nu\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the claimed inequality and the implied extension of  $\rho$  to a map on  $\mathcal{B}_{\text{red}}$ .

4.5. Closability and Essential Self-Adjointness. In this subsection we examine closability and essential self-adjointness for unbounded operators on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . In particular, we show that the unbounded operators d,  $\partial$  and  $\overline{\partial}$  are closable, and that the Dirac and Laplacian operators are essentially self-adjoint.

**Proposition 4.15.** Every Peter-Weyl map  $f: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$  is closable.

*Proof.* Since f is a Peter–Weyl map, it follows from Proposition 3.7 that it is adjointable on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . Moreover, since  $\Omega^{\bullet}_{V}$  is a finite-dimensional space, for every  $V \in \widehat{A}$ , the restriction of the adjoint map  $f^{\dagger}|_{V}: \Omega^{\bullet}_{V} \to \Omega^{\bullet}_{V}$  is bounded. Now for any  $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}_{V}$ , consider the linear functional

$$\Omega^{\bullet} = \text{dom}(f) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad \qquad \nu \mapsto \langle f(\nu), \omega \rangle_{\sigma}.$$

Boundedness of the functional follows from the inequality

$$|\langle f(\nu), \omega \rangle_{\sigma}| = \left| \left\langle \nu, f^{\dagger}(\omega) \right\rangle_{\sigma} \right| \leq \|\nu\| \|f^{\dagger}(\omega)\| \leq \|\nu\| \|f_{V}^{\dagger}\| \|\omega\|,$$

where  $||f_V^{\dagger}||$  denotes the norm of  $f^{\dagger}|_V$  in  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega_V^{\bullet}))$ . Hence  $\omega \in \text{dom}(f^{\dagger})$ , implying that  $\Omega^{\bullet} \subseteq \text{dom}(f^{\dagger})$ , and consequently that  $\text{dom}(f^{\dagger})$  is dense in  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . It now follows from Appendix C.2 that f is closable.

Since every comodule map is automatically a Peter–Weyl map, we have the following immediate consequences of the proposition.

Corollary 4.16. Every left A-comodule map  $f: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$  is closable.

Corollary 4.17. The operators  $d, \partial$ , and  $\overline{\partial}$  are closable.

*Proof.* Since the calculus and complex structure are, by assumption, covariant, the maps  $d, \partial$ , and  $\overline{\partial}$  are comodule maps, and hence closable.

We now come to a corollary which, although not used in what follows, is included as an easy application of Proposition 4.15. The motivating example is the usual dual pairing between  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$  (see §7) generalising the classical action of vector fields on forms.

**Corollary 4.18.** Let W and A be two Hopf algebras and  $(\cdot, \cdot): W \times A \to \mathbb{C}$  a dual pairing. For any  $X \in W$ , a closable linear operator is given by

$$\widehat{X}: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}, \qquad \qquad \omega \mapsto (X, \omega_{(-1)})\omega_{(0)}.$$

*Proof.* By construction  $\widehat{X}$  is a Peter–Weyl operator, and so it is closable by Proposition 4.15.

We prove essential self-adjointness for symmetric comodule maps, and conclude essential self-adjointness for the Dirac and Laplacian operators of a CQH-Hermitian space.

**Proposition 4.19.** Every symmetric left A-comodule map  $f: \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet}$  is diagonalisable on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , and moreover, is essentially self-adjoint.

*Proof.* Diagonalisability of f as an operator on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  follows immediately from our assumption that f is symmetric and Proposition 3.7. That f is symmetric also implies that its eigenvalues are real. Thus the range of the operators  $f - \mathbf{i}$  id and  $f + \mathbf{i}$  id must be equal to  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , which is to say, the range of each operator is dense in  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . It now follows from the results of Appendix C.3 that f is essentially self-adjoint.

Corollary 4.20. The Dirac operators  $D_{\partial}$ ,  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ , and  $D_{d}$ , and the Laplace operators  $\Delta_{\partial}$ ,  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$ , and  $\Delta_{d}$ , are diagonalisable and essentially self-adjoint.

We finish this subsection by showing that in the Kähler case the domains of the three Dirac operators  $D_{\rm d}, D_{\partial}$ , and  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  coincide. The proof is based on the equality of the Laplacians, explaining our restriction to the Kähler case.

**Proposition 4.21.** For a CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$  it holds that

$$dom(D_d) = dom(D_{\partial}) = dom(D_{\overline{\partial}}).$$

*Proof.* An element  $x \in L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  is contained in  $dom(D_d)$  if and only if there exists a sequence of elements  $(\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  in  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  such that  $\omega_n \to x$  and  $D_d(\omega_n) \to D_d(x)$ . For such a sequence it holds that

$$||D_{\partial}(\omega_{n}) - D_{\partial}(x)||^{2} = \langle D_{\partial}(\omega_{n}) - D_{\partial}(x), D_{\partial}(\omega_{n}) - D_{\partial}(x) \rangle$$

$$= \langle D_{\partial}(\omega_{n}), D_{\partial}(\omega_{n}) \rangle - \langle D_{\partial}(\omega_{n}), D_{\partial}(x) \rangle$$

$$- \langle D_{\partial}(x), D_{\partial}(\omega_{n}) \rangle + \langle D_{\partial}(x), D_{\partial}(x) \rangle$$

$$= \langle D_{\partial}^{2}(\omega_{n}), \omega_{n} \rangle - \langle D_{\partial}^{2}(\omega_{n}), x \rangle - \langle D_{\partial}^{2}(x), \omega_{n} \rangle + \langle D_{\partial}^{2}(x), x \rangle.$$

Since  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  is a Kähler structure we have the identity  $D_{\partial}^2 = \Delta_{\partial} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathrm{d}} = \frac{1}{2}D_{\mathrm{d}}$ . Hence the above expression can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle D_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}(\omega_{n}), \omega_{n} \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle D_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}(\omega_{n}), x \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle D_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}(x), \omega_{n} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle D_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}(x), x \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\langle D_{\mathrm{d}}(\omega_{n}) - D_{\mathrm{d}}(x), D_{\mathrm{d}}(\omega_{n}) - D_{\mathrm{d}}(x) \rangle$$

$$= \|D_{\mathrm{d}}(\omega_{n}) - D_{\mathrm{d}}(x)\|^{2}.$$

Thus we see that  $||D_{\partial}(\omega_n) - D_{\partial}(x)|| \to 0$  implying that  $x \in \text{dom}(D_{\partial})$ , and hence that  $\text{dom}(D_{\partial}) \subseteq \text{dom}(D_{\mathrm{d}})$ . The opposite inclusion is established analogously, giving us the equality  $\text{dom}(D_{\mathrm{d}}) = \text{dom}(D_{\partial})$ . We can prove the equality of  $\text{dom}(D_{\mathrm{d}})$  and  $\text{dom}(D_{\partial})$  similarly.

4.6. Sobolev Spaces and Smooth Sections. In this subsection, which is in effect an extended remark, we make some brief observations about the noncommutative Sobolev spaces associated to any CQH-Hermitian space. Sobolev theory, for a classical compact Hermitian manifold M, can be understood as the study of those square integrable forms contained in the domain of the closure of  $\Delta \frac{k}{\partial}$ , for k > 0. Hence, for any CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , we may define its  $k^{\text{th}}$ -Sobolev space to be

$$W^k(\Omega^{\bullet}) := \operatorname{dom}(\Delta^k_{\overline{\partial}}), \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where we note that  $W^0(\Omega^{\bullet}) = L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . Moreover, we denote

$$W^{\infty}(\Omega^{\bullet}) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} W^k(\Omega^{\bullet}),$$

and call it the *space of smooth forms* of **H**. The study of these spaces, and their connections with noncommutative smoothness and the theory of operator spaces [59], presents itself as a promising direction for future research.

In a related observation, we note that  $W^{\infty}(\Omega^{\bullet})$  carries an action of the bounded operators  $L_{\sigma}$ ,  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$ , and H, as well as the differential operators  $d, \partial, \overline{\partial}$ , and  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$ . In the Kähler setting, it follows from the Kähler identities presented in Theorem 3.10 that the vector space spanned by these operators forms a Lie superalgebra  $\mathcal{K}$  with respect to the graded commutator bracket [42, §3.B]. (See [74] or [29] for more details on the structure of  $\mathcal{K}$ .) Just as ordinary Lie algebras have an enveloping Hopf algebra,  $\mathcal{K}$  has an enveloping Hopf superalgebra  $U(\mathcal{K})$ , which is to say a braided Hopf algebra in the braided category of super vector spaces, see [51, Example 10.1.3]. By construction  $U(\mathcal{K})$  acts on the space of smooth forms  $W^{\infty}(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . The interaction between the  $U(\mathcal{K})$ -module structure of  $W^{\infty}(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , and its analytic construction, presents itself as another interesting topic for investigation.

## 5. Fredholm Operators and the Holomorphic Euler Characteristic

In this section we use Hodge decomposition to relate the Fredholm property for the Dolbeault–Dirac operator of a CQH-Hermitian space to the cohomology of the underlying calculus. We observe that if  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is Fredholm, then its index is given by the holomorphic

Euler characteristic of the calculus. This is a direct generalisation of the classical relationship between the Dolbeault–Dirac index of an Hermitian manifold and the holomorphic Euler characteristic of the underlying complex manifold. This relationship between index theory and cohomology is one of the major strengths of the paper, allowing us to apply geometric tools to index theoretic calculations. For example, we observe that for any CQH-Fano space its Dolbeault–Dirac operator will always have non-zero index.

5.1. **Fredholm Operators.** We begin by recalling the definition of an (unbounded) Fredholm operator, which abstracts the index theoretic properties of elliptic differential operators over a compact manifold.

**Definition 5.1.** For  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  two Hilbert spaces, and  $T : \text{dom}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$  a densely defined closed linear operator, we say that T is a *Fredholm operator* if  $\ker(T)$  and  $\operatorname{coker}(T)$  are both finite-dimensional. The *index* of a Fredholm operator T is then defined to be the integer

$$index(T) := \dim (\ker(T)) - \dim (\operatorname{coker}(T)).$$

It is well known [78,  $\S 2$ ], the image  $\operatorname{im}(T)$  of a Fredholm operator T is always closed. In practice, however, it often proves easier to first establish closure, and from this establish finite-dimensionality of the cokernel. As we see below, this is the case for the Dolbeault–Dirac operator of a CQH-Hermitian space.

5.2. The Holomorphic Euler Characteristic. In this subsection we present the natural noncommutative generalisation of the holomorphic Euler characteristic of an Hermitian manifold. The definition makes sense for any differential calculus endowed with a complex structure, making no mention of the additional structure of a CQH-Hermitian space.

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  be a differential calculus of total degree 2n, endowed with a complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ . The *holomorphic Euler characteristic* of  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is the value

$$\chi_{\overline{\partial}} := \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \dim(H^{(0,k)}) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$$

Unlike the case of classical compact complex manifolds, there exist examples of complex structures with infinite holomorphic Euler characteristics, which is to say, the value  $\chi_{\overline{\partial}}$  does not necessarily lie in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Explicit constructions of such examples will appear in later work.

5.3. The Fredholm Index. Since  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is a self-adjoint operator, if it were Fredholm its index would necessarily be zero. However, we can alternatively calculate its index with respect to the canonical  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of the Hilbert space, a value which is not necessarily zero.

For any CQH-Hermitian space, we introduce the spaces

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Omega^{(0,2k)}, \qquad \Omega_{\mathrm{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)} := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \Omega^{(0,2k+1)},$$

and the associated Hilbert space completions  $L^2\left(\Omega_{\text{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}\right)$  and  $L^2\left(\Omega_{\text{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)}\right)$ . Define the restricted operator

$$D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}: \mathrm{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}}) \cap L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}\right) \to L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)}\right), \qquad x \mapsto D_{\overline{\partial}}(x).$$

We now use Hodge decomposition to relate the index of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  to the cohomology of the underlying calculus.

**Lemma 5.3.** The image of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is closed with respect to the Hilbert space norm of  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$  if and only if an isomorphism is given by

(9) 
$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{odd}} := \bigoplus_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + 1} \mathcal{H}^{(0,k)} \to \operatorname{coker}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^+), \qquad \alpha \mapsto [\alpha].$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$  commutes with  $\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}$  and is an operator of degree 0, it is diagonalisable on  $\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}\Omega_{\mathrm{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)}$ . Let  $\omega$  be a basis element for some choice of diagonalisation, and denote the non-zero eigenvalue of  $\omega$  by  $\mu$ . Now  $\overline{\partial}\omega$  is a non-zero element of  $\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}$ , and

$$\omega = \Delta_{\overline{\partial}}(\mu^{-1}\omega) = \overline{\partial}^{\dagger} \circ \overline{\partial}(\mu^{-1}\omega) = D_{\overline{\partial}}\left(\overline{\partial}(\mu^{-1}\omega)\right) \in \operatorname{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}).$$

Hence  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  must map surjectively onto  $\overline{\partial}^{\dagger}\Omega_{\text{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}$ . A similar argument shows that  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  maps surjectively onto  $\overline{\partial}\Omega_{\text{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}$ , meaning that

$$\overline{\partial}\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \oplus \overline{\partial}^{\dagger}\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \subseteq \mathrm{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}) \subseteq L^{2}\Big(\overline{\partial}\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \oplus \overline{\partial}^{\dagger}\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}\Big).$$

Thus  $\operatorname{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^+)$  is closed if and only if it is equal to

$$L^2\Big(\overline{\partial}\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}\oplus\overline{\partial}^\dagger\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}\Big).$$

Recalling that Hodge decomposition is an orthogonal decomposition (Theorem 3.8), we see that this is in turn equivalent to the map in (9) being an isomorphism.

**Theorem 5.4.** For any CQH-Hermitian space **H**, the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}$  is an even Fredholm operator,
- 2.  $\operatorname{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^+)$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)})$  and  $\operatorname{dim}(H^{(0,\bullet)}) < \infty$ .

If  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is Fredholm, then its index is equal to the holomorphic Euler characteristic of  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ , which is to say,

$$\operatorname{index}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^+) = \chi_{\overline{\partial}}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is diagonalisable on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , its closure cannot admit an additional non-trivial eigenvector with eigenvalue zero. Hence, the operator and its closure have the same kernel. By the equivalence between cohomology classes and harmonic forms implied by Hodge decomposition, we have

(10) 
$$\dim\left(\ker(D_{\overline{\partial}}^+)\right) = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}_0} \mathcal{H}^{(0,k)}\right) = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}_0} \dim\left(H^{(0,k)}\right).$$

By Lemma 5.3 above, we see that the image of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is closed if and only if

(11) 
$$\dim\left(\operatorname{cokernel}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+})\right) = \dim\left(\bigoplus_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}_{0}+1} \mathcal{H}^{(0,k)}\right) = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{N}_{0}+1} \dim\left(H^{(0,k)}\right).$$

Now if  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is Fredholm, then it is closed by definition, and hence by (10) and (11), it must have finite-dimensional anti-holomorphic cohomology groups. Conversely, if  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is closed and has finite-dimensional anti-holomorphic cohomology groups, then its kernel and cokernel must be finite-dimensional. Since it is densely defined by construction, and a closed operator by Corollary 4.17, we see that it must be Fredholm.

Finally, if  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is Fredholm, then its index is given by

$$\operatorname{index}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}) = \dim\left(\ker\left(D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}\right)\right) - \dim\left(\operatorname{coker}\left(D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k\in2\mathbb{N}_{0}}}^{\frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathbf{H})} \dim\left(H^{0,k}\right) - \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\in2\mathbb{N}_{0}+1}}^{\frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathbf{H})} \dim\left(H^{0,k}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k=0}}^{\frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathbf{H})} (-1)^{k} \dim\left(H^{(0,k)}\right)$$

$$= \chi_{\overline{\partial}},$$

proving the claimed equivalence with the holomorphic Euler characteristic.

Remark 5.5. Determining if the operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  has closed range is a non-trivial task. By a standard functional analytic argument,  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  will have closed range if and only if its set of non-zero eigenvalues does not have 0 as an accumulation point (see Proposition 6.15). Such bounds can, in general, be quite difficult to produce. However, given the very geometric construction of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ , there are a number of classical geometric techniques available. In particular, there is the well-studied question of lowest eigenvalue estimates for Dirac operators on spin manifolds in general [31, §5], and hence Hermitian spin manifolds in particular. This is a question intimately connected with Schrödinger–Lichnerowicz [31, §5] and Weitzenböck techniques [61, §14]. A proper treatment of this question, in the noncommutative setting, will appear in later works, while an analogous approach for twists by holomorphic vector bundles appears in §6.4.1.

5.4. The Euler Characteristic of CQH-Fano Spaces. In this subsection we recall vanishing results established in [70] for CQH-Fano spaces, and observe for such spaces the Dolbeault–Dirac operator is Fredholm if and only if its image is closed, whereupon it has non-zero index. Such interactions of geometry and index theory form one of the most important themes of the paper.

The following result was established in [70, Corollary 8.9] as a consequence of the noncommutative Kodaira vanishing theorem for Kähler structures [70, Theorem 8.3]. (See §6.4.1 for the statement of the noncommutative Kodaira vanishing theorem, as well as a novel variation on the proof.)

**Theorem 5.6.** For a CQH-Fano space  $\mathbf{F} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$ , it holds that  $H^{(0,k)} = 0$ , for all  $k \neq 0$ .

Corollary 5.7. For a CQH-Fano space  $\mathbf{F}$ , the operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is Fredholm if and only if its image is closed and  $\dim(H^{(0,0)})$  is finite-dimensional. In this case,

(12) 
$$\chi_{\overline{\partial}} = \dim(H^{(0,0)}) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* The characterisation of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  as a Fredholm operator follows directly from Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.4, as does the identity in (12). Non-triviality of  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,0)}$  follows from the fact that  $D_{\overline{\partial}}(1) = \overline{\partial}(1) = 0$ , where the last identity is a standard consequence of the Leibniz rule, holding for any unital dg-algebra.

5.5. Cores and Domains. As presented in Appendix D, one of the defining requirements of a spectral triple  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$  is that the domain of D is closed under the action of  $\rho(a)$ , for all  $a \in A$ . In general, verifying this condition can be difficult. The following proposition, proved by Forsyth, Mesland, and Rennie in [30, Proposition 2.1], gives us the possibility of instead proving the requirement for a core of dom(D), something which can in practice be much easier. Recall that a *core* for a closable operator  $T : \text{dom}(T) \to \mathcal{H}$  is a subset  $X \subseteq \text{dom}(T)$  such that the closure of T is equal to the closure of the restriction of T to X, which is to say,

$$(T|_X)^c = T^c.$$

**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space,  $D : \text{dom}(D) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  a densely-defined closed operator,  $X \subseteq \text{dom}(D)$  a core for D, and  $K \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

- 1.  $K(X) \subseteq dom(D)$ ,
- 2.  $[D,K]: X \to \mathcal{H}$  is bounded on X.

Then  $K(\text{dom}(D)) \subseteq \text{dom}(D)$ .

Applying this proposition directly to a general CQH-Hermitian space, we get the following result.

Corollary 5.9. For any CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  with Dolbeault–Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ , it holds that

$$\rho(b)\operatorname{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}})\subseteq\operatorname{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}}), \qquad \qquad for \ all \ b\in B.$$

Proof. The subspace  $\Omega^{\bullet} \subseteq \text{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}})$  is a core by construction of the closure of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ . Moreover, since B is a subalgebra of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , the core is clearly closed under the action of  $\rho(b)$ , for all  $b \in B$ . Proposition 4.12 says that  $[D_{\overline{\partial}}, \rho(b)]$  is a bounded operator on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ , for all  $b \in B$ , and so Proposition 5.8 implies that  $\rho(b)\text{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}}) \subseteq \text{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}})$  as claimed.  $\square$ 

5.6. Spectral Triples and Dolbeault–Dirac Eigenvalues. We now formulate precise criteria for when the Dolbeault–Dirac operator of a CQH-Hermitian space gives a spectral triple. For sake of clarity and convenience, let us recall the relevant properties of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ . By Corollary 4.14 we have a faithful \*-representation  $\rho: B^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet}))$ . From Corollary 4.20 we know that  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is an essentially self-adjoint operator, which is, moreover, densely-defined by construction. By Corollary 4.13, the commutators  $[D_{\overline{\partial}}, \rho(b)]$  are

bounded, and by Corollary 5.9 above,  $\rho(b)\text{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}}) \subseteq \text{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}})$ , for all  $b \in B$ . With respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading  $\gamma$  defined in §4.3, the operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is of degree 1, and  $\rho(b)$  is a degree 0 operator, for all  $b \in B$ . Finally, we note that since  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is diagonalisable on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , it has compact resolvent if and only if its eigenvalues tend to infinity and have finite multiplicity. Collecting these facts together gives the following observation, which we find convenient to present in the form of a lemma.

**Proposition 5.10.** Let  $\mathbf{H} = (B = A^{\operatorname{co}(H)}, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  be a CQH-Hermitian space, then an even spectral triple is given by

$$\left(B^{\mathrm{op}}, L^2(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}), D_{\overline{\partial}}, \gamma\right),$$

if and only if the eigenvalues of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  tend to infinity and have finite multiplicity.

We call such a spectral triple the *Dolbeault-Dirac spectral triple* of **H**.

We now come to the K-homology classes associated to a Dolbeault–Dirac spectral triple via the bounded transform. The discussions of §5.3 and §5.4 give the following immediate results, which we find convenient to present as corollaries. For ease of notation, we denote by  $\mathcal{B}^{\text{op}}$  the closure of  $\rho(B^{\text{op}})$  in  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}))$ .

Corollary 5.11. Let  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  be a CQH-Hermitian space with a Dolbeault–Dirac spectral triple. The  $K^0(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$ -class of the spectral triple is non-trivial if the holomorphic Euler characteristic of  $\Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}$  is non-trivial.

Corollary 5.12. Let  $\mathbf{F} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$  be a CQH-Fano space with a Dolbeault-Dirac spectral triple, then the  $K^0(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{op}})$ -homology class of the spectral triple is non-trivial.

As discussed in the introduction, it is not clear at present how to conclude the compact resolvent condition from the general properties of a CQH-Hermitian space. Hence, in our examples we resort to calculating the spectrum explicitly, and verifying the required eigenvalue growth directly. See, for example, the case of quantum projective space as discussed in §7.13.

We finish this subsection with an easy observation about the Dolbeault–Dirac operator of the opposite CQH-Hermitian space.

**Lemma 5.13.** For a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , the two operators  $D_{\partial}: \Omega^{(\bullet, 0)} \to \Omega^{(\bullet, 0)}$  and  $D_{\partial}: \Omega^{(0, \bullet)} \to \Omega^{(0, \bullet)}$  are unitarily equivalent. In particular,

(13) 
$$\left(B, L^2(\Omega^{(\bullet,0)}), D_{\partial},\right)$$

is a spectral triple if and only if  $(B, L^2(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}), D_{\overline{\partial}})$  is a spectral triple.

*Proof.* A form  $\omega \in \Omega^{(0,\bullet)}$  is an eigenvector of  $D_{\partial}$  if and only if  $\omega^* \in \Omega^{(\bullet,0)}$  is an eigenvector of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ , as we see from the identity

$$D_{\overline{\partial}}(\omega^*) = D_{\partial}(\omega)^*.$$

Thus the set of eigenvalues of  $D_{\partial}$  coincides with the set of eigenvalues of  $D_{\partial}$ , and we have a real linear isomorphism between the respective eigenspaces. Since the eigenspaces of each operator are necessarily orthogonal, we can now construct a unitary map U:

 $\Omega^{(0,\bullet)} \to \Omega^{(\bullet,0)}$  satisfying  $D_{\partial} = U \circ D_{\overline{\partial}} \circ U^{-1}$ . Extending U to the domain of the closure of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  gives the required unitary equivalence. It now follows from Proposition 5.10 that if one triple is a spectral triple then so is the other.

We call such a pair of unitarily equivalent spectral triples a *Dolbeault-Dirac pair*. It is important to note that the unitary equivalence between the operators  $D_{\partial}$  and  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  will not in general be a module map, nor an A-comodule map.

Remark 5.14. The fact that a spectral triple associated to a CQH-Hermitian space is necessarily for the opposite algebra is a consequence of the fact that we have chosen to look at quantum homogeneous spaces of the form  $B = A^{co(H)}$ . If we were instead to consider quantum homogeneous spaces of the form  $A = {}^{co(H)}H$ , then any associated spectral triple would be for the algebra itself. Our choice of conventions is taken to ensure consistency with the other papers in this series of works [69, 70, 18, 23].

### 6. Twisted Dolbeault-Dirac Fredholm Operators

In this section we treat twists of the Dolbeault complex by Hermitian holomorphic vector bundles, observing that the constructions of §4 and §5 naturally extend to this more general setting. A significant difference between the twisted and untwisted cases is that, even in the Kähler setting, the Laplacian operators  $\Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}}$  and  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  are no longer guaranteed to coincide. Just as in the classical case, they differ by a possibly non-trivial curvature operator  $[i\nabla^2, L_{\mathcal{F}}]$ . Exploiting this difference, we show that when  $[i\nabla^2, L_{\mathcal{F}}]$  is positive, finite-dimensionality of the anti-holomorphic cohomology groups is enough to guarantee that  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  is Fredholm. This highlights the intimate relationship between the algebraic and analytic properties of a CQH-Hermitian space, and in particular, how the spectral properties of Dolbeault-Dirac operators are moulded by the geometry of the underlying calculus.

6.1. Hermitian Vector Bundle Hilbert Spaces. Let  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$  be an Hermitian vector bundle over a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ . An inner product is given by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} : \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F} \times \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F} \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \mathbf{h} \left( g_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha, \beta) \right).$$

**Definition 6.1.** Denote by  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$  the completion of  $\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and call it the *Hilbert space of square integrable sections* of  $\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$ .

When dealing with the Hilbert space of square integrable forms  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , we found it useful to consider an alternative presentation in Corollary 4.4, given in terms of Takeuchi's equivalence. This result generalises directly to the setting of Hermitian vector bundles, as we present in this subsection.

First we generalise to the untwisted case the sesquilinear form introduced in §4.1. For any covariant Hermitian vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$  over B, we have an associated sesquilinear form

$$(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{F}}:\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}\otimes_{B}\mathcal{F})\times\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}\otimes_{B}\mathcal{F})\to\mathbb{C}$$
  $([\alpha],[\beta])\mapsto\varepsilon(g_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha,\beta)).$ 

This in turn gives us a sesquilinear map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{U}} : A \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \times A \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\left(\sum_{i} f_{i} \otimes [\alpha_{i}], \sum_{j} g_{j} \otimes [\beta_{j}]\right) \mapsto \sum_{i,j} \langle f_{i}, g_{j} \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} \varepsilon(g_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j})).$$

The proof of the following lemma is a direct generalisation of the proof given for the untwisted case in Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4, and hence is omitted.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$  be a covariant Hermitian vector bundle over B over a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ .

- 1. The sesquilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an inner product, implying that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U}$  is an inner product.
- 2. The unit U of Takeuchi's equivalence is an isomorphism of the inner product spaces  $(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{U}})$  and  $(A \square_H \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathrm{U}})$ . Hence it extends to an isomorphism between the respective Hilbert space completions  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$  and  $L^2(A \square_H \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}))$ .

Just as established in Proposition 4.6 for the special case of  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , this lemma now implies that morphisms extend to bounded operators on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$ .

Corollary 6.3. Every morphism  $f: \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  in  ${}_B^A \text{mod}_0$  is bounded, hence extends to a bounded operator on  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$ .

Thus the Hodge map  $*_{\mathcal{F}} := *_{\sigma} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a bounded operator. Corollary 4.7 tells us that a representation of  $\rho : \mathfrak{sl}_2 \to \mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}))$  is given by

$$T(E) = L_{\sigma} \otimes_B \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad T(K) = H \otimes_B \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad T(F) = \Lambda_{\sigma,p} \otimes_B \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Note that, just as in the untwisted case considered in §4.2,  $\rho$  can be extended to a representation of  $U_p(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . It follows from [70, Proposition 5.7] that the operators  $L_{\sigma}$  and  $\Lambda_{\sigma}$  are adjoint, while orthogonality of the Lefschetz decomposition implies that H is self-adjoint.

The Hodge map gives us the unitary operator  $*_{\sigma} \otimes id$ , while the four gradings  $\gamma, \lambda, \tau$ , and  $\overline{\tau}$  give us the operators

$$\gamma_{\mathcal{F}} := \gamma \otimes_B \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad \lambda_{\mathcal{F}} := \lambda \otimes_B \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad \tau_{\mathcal{F}} := \tau \otimes_B \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad \overline{\tau}_{\mathcal{F}} := \overline{\tau} \otimes_B \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

As before, all four operators are self-adjoint, and in particular,  $\gamma_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a self-adjoint unitary. Moreover, the operators generate a commutative  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}))$ .

6.2. Hodge Decomposition and Serre Duality. As shown in [70, Proposition 5.15], for any covariant Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  over a CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} := (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , the twisted differentials  $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}$  are adjointable with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Generalising (7), an explicit presentation of their adjoints is given by

$$\partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} = -\overline{\ast}_{\vee_{\mathcal{F}}} \circ \partial_{\vee_{\mathcal{F}}} \circ \overline{\ast}_{\mathcal{F}},$$

where  $\overline{*}\vee_{\mathcal{F}}$  is defined by

$$\overline{*}_{\vee_{\mathcal{F}}} := (\mathrm{id} \otimes *_{\sigma}) \circ C_b : \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^{\bullet},$$

and  $\overline{*}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is defined by

$$\overline{*}_{\mathcal{F}} := (*_{\sigma} \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ C_h^{-1} : {}^{\vee}\mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega^{\bullet} \to \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}.$$

This allows us to introduce twisted versions of the Dirac and Laplace operators.

**Definition 6.4.** For a covariant Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , over a CQH-Hermitian space  $(B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , its  $\mathcal{F}$ -twisted Dirac and  $\mathcal{F}$ -twisted Laplace operators are respectively defined by

$$D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}} := \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}, \qquad \Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}} := D_{\mathcal{F}}^2 = \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}.$$

We denote  $\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(\mathcal{F}) := \ker(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}})$  and call it the space of  $\mathcal{F}$ -twisted harmonic elements.

In terms of the twisted Laplacians and twisted harmonic forms, we have the direct generalisation of Theorem 3.8, as established in [70, Theorem 6.4].

**Theorem 6.5** (Hodge Decomposition). Let  $(\mathcal{F}, h, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  be an Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over a CQH-Hermitian space  $(B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ . Then an orthogonal decomposition of A-comodules is given by

$$\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}^{\bullet}_{\overline{\partial}}(\mathcal{F}) \oplus \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}) \oplus \overline{\partial}^{\dagger}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}).$$

Furthermore, the projection  $\mathcal{H}^{(a,b)}_{\overline{\partial}}(\mathcal{F}) \to H^{(a,b)}_{\overline{\partial}}(\mathcal{F})$  defined by  $\alpha \mapsto [\alpha]$  is an isomorphism.

Building on Hodge decomposition, it was shown in [70, Theorem 6.8] that classical Serre duality generalises directly to the setting of CQH-Hermitian spaces.

**Theorem 6.6** (Serre duality). For any Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  over a 2n-dimensional CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H}$ , a non-degenerate sesquilinear pairing is given by

$$H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}({}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}) \times H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(n-a,n-b)}({}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}), \qquad ([\omega \otimes f], [\varphi \otimes \nu]) \mapsto \int \omega \varphi(f) \wedge \nu.$$

6.3. Basic Analytic Properties of Twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Operators. We begin by presenting the natural generalisation of Proposition 3.7 to the setting of Hermitian vector bundles. The proof is completely analogous to the arguments of [69, §5.2], and so omitted. (For the reader's convenience, we refer to §3.2 for the definition of the Peter–Weyl decomposition of an arbitrary object  $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{A}_{B} \text{mod}_{0}$ , and the notion of a Peter–Weyl map.)

**Proposition 6.7.** For a covariant Hermitian vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h)$  over a CQGA homogeneous space, the Peter-Weyl decomposition of  $\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}$  is orthogonal with respect to the associated inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Moreover, for any Peter-Weyl map  $f : \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F} \to \Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}$ , it holds that

- 1. f is adjointable on  $\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and its adjoint is a Peter-Weyl map,
- 2. if f is self-adjoint with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ , then it is diagonalisable on  $\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}$ .

Next, we observe that the proofs of Proposition 4.15 and Proposition 4.19 carry over to the setting of covariant Hermitian vector bundles, giving us the following lemma.

**Proposition 6.8.** For any covariant Hermitian vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}})$ , every Peter-Weyl map  $f : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$  is closable. Moreover, if f is symmetric, then it is essentially self-adjoint, and diagonalisable.

As a direct consequence, twisted Dirac operators have the same analytic properties as in the untwisted case presented in §4 and §5.

**Corollary 6.9.** For any CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , with an Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , the twisted Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  is diagonalisable and essentially self-adjoint.

We now come to the Fredholm property for twisted Dolbeault–Dirac operators. Just as for the untwisted case, we introduce the restricted operator

$$D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^{+}: \operatorname{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}) \cap L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\operatorname{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}\right) \to L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\operatorname{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}\right), \qquad x \mapsto D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}(x).$$

The following theorem is established exactly as for Theorem 5.4, hence we state it without proof. It is stated in terms of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -twisted holomorphic Euler characteristic of  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ , which is to say

$$\operatorname{index}\left(D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^{+}\right) := \chi_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}} := \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{1}{2}\dim(\mathbf{H})} (-1)^{i} \dim\left(H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,i)}(\mathcal{F})\right) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\pm \infty\}.$$

**Theorem 6.10.** For any CQH-Hermitian space  $\mathbf{H} = (B = A^{\text{co}(H)}, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$  and any Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  over  $\mathbf{H}$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1.  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^{+}$  is an even Fredholm operator,
- 2.  $\operatorname{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^+)$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2\left(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}\otimes_B\mathcal{F}\right)$  and  $\operatorname{dim}\left(H_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^{(0,\bullet)}\right)<\infty$ .

Moreover, if  $D_{\overline{\partial}}^+$  is Fredholm, then its index is equal to  $\chi_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  the  $\mathcal{F}$ -twisted holomorphic Euler characteristic  $\mathbf{H}$ .

- 6.4. Spectral Gaps and Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm Operators. In this subsection we recall the Nakano and Akizuki–Nakano identities for a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  over a CQH-Kähler space. We observe as a consequence that when the Chern–Lefschetz operator  $[i\nabla^2, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}]$  acts positively, the point spectrum of the Laplacian  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$  has a non-zero lower bound. In other words, we are able to conclude the existence of a spectral gap purely from knowledge of the curvature of the underlying differential calculus. For the special case of a positive vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$ , this allows to conclude that  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  is a Fredholm operator from purely cohomological data, one of the strongest results of the paper.
- 6.4.1. The Akizuki–Nakano Identity and the Kodaira Vanishing Theorem. For the twisted Dolbeault complex of a CQH-Kähler space, the following direct generalisation of the Kähler identities was established in [70, Theorem 7.6]. (For a discussion of the classical situation, of which this is a direct generalisation, see [42, §5.3] or [22, §VII.1].)

**Theorem 6.11** (Nakano identities). Let  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$  be a CQH-Kähler space, and  $(\mathcal{F}, h, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  an Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle. Denoting the Chern connection of  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\nabla_{\mathcal{F}} = \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \partial_{\mathcal{F}}$ , it holds that

$$[L_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_{\mathcal{F}}] = 0, \qquad [L_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}] = 0, \qquad [\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}] = 0, \qquad [\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}] = 0,$$
$$[L_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}] = \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad [L_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}] = -\mathbf{i}\partial_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad [\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_{\mathcal{F}}] = \mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}, \qquad [\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}] = -\mathbf{i}\partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}.$$

As observed in [70, Corollary 7.8], these identities imply that the classical relationship between the Laplacians  $\Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}}$  and  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  carries over to the noncommutative setting. Note that, unlike the untwisted case presented in Corollary 3.11, the operators differ by a not necessarily trivial curvature operator.

Corollary 6.12 (Akizuki-Nakano identity). It holds that

$$\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}} = \Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}} + [\mathbf{i}\nabla^2, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}].$$

We now observe that the noncommutative Kodaira vanishing theorem, originally established in [70, Theorem 8.3], admits an alternative proof using the Akizuki–Nakano identity. The proof uses the following identity, which, since it is also used in establishing Corollary 6.18 below, we present as a separate lemma.

**Lemma 6.13.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_+$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_-$ , be positive, and respectively negative, vector bundles over a 2n-dimensional CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$ . It holds that

$$[\mathbf{i}\nabla^2, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{\pm}}](\omega \otimes f) = \pm \theta_{\pm}(k-n)(\omega \otimes f),$$
 for all  $\omega \otimes f \in \Omega^k \otimes_B \mathcal{F}_{\pm}$ , where  $\nabla^2(f) = \mp \theta_{\pm} \kappa \otimes f$ , with  $\theta_{\pm} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\mathcal{F}_+$  the claimed identity follows from

$$[\mathbf{i}\nabla^{2}, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{+}}](\omega \otimes f) = \mathbf{i}\nabla^{2} \circ \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{+}}(\omega \otimes f) - \mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{+}} \circ \nabla^{2}(\omega \otimes f)$$

$$= \mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\sigma}(\omega) \wedge \nabla^{2}(f) - \mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{+}}(\omega \wedge \nabla^{2}(f))$$

$$= \theta(\Lambda_{\sigma}(\omega) \wedge \kappa) \otimes f - \theta\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{+}}(\omega \wedge \kappa \otimes f)$$

$$= \theta(L_{\sigma} \circ \Lambda_{\sigma}(\omega)) \otimes f - \theta(\Lambda_{\sigma} \circ L_{\sigma}(\omega)) \otimes f$$

$$= \theta([L_{\sigma}, \Lambda_{\sigma}](\omega)) \otimes f$$

$$= \theta(k - n) \omega \otimes f.$$

The case of  $\mathcal{F}_{-}$  is completely analogous, amounting to a change of sign.

With the above lemma in hand, we now re-establish the Kodaira vanishing theorem for CQH-Kähler spaces.

**Theorem 6.14** (Kodaira vanishing). Let  $\mathcal{F}_+$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_-$ , be positive, and respectively negative, vector bundles over a 2n-dimensional CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$ . Then

1. 
$$H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{F}_+) = 0$$
, for all  $a + b > n$ ,

2. 
$$H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(a,b)}(\mathcal{F}_{-}) = 0$$
, for all  $a + b < n$ .

Proof. Since  $\mathcal{F}_+$  is positive, it follows from the above lemma that  $[\mathbf{i}\nabla^2, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_+}]$  is a positive operator, for all a+b>n. Since  $\Delta_\partial$  is also a positive operator, it follows from the Akizuki–Nakano identity that we can have no  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}_+}$ -harmonic forms in  $\Omega^{(a,b)}\otimes_B B$ , whenever a+b>n. It now follows from the identification of harmonic forms and cohomology classes that  $H^{(a,b)}_{\overline{\partial}}(\mathcal{F}_+)=0$ , for all a+b>n. The proof for the negative bundle  $\mathcal{F}_-$  is completely analogous.

6.4.2. A Spectral Gap. We are now ready to conclude some spectral properties of twisted Dolbeault–Dirac operators from the behaviour of the curvature of their Chern connection.

**Proposition 6.15.** The operator  $[\mathbf{i}\nabla^2, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}]$  is a self-adjoint morphism in the category  ${}_{B}^{A} \bmod_{0}$ . Hence it is diagonalisable with a necessarily finite number of eigenvalues. Moreover, for all  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{2}$ , it holds that

(14) 
$$\sigma_P\left(\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}|_{\Omega^{(a,b)}}\right) \subseteq [c_{\mathcal{F}}, \infty), \quad where \ c_{\mathcal{F}} := \min\left(\sigma_P([\mathbf{i}\nabla^2, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}]|_{\Omega^{(a,b)}})\right).$$

Proof. Since  $\nabla$  is a connection,  $\nabla^2$  is necessarily a left B-module map. Moreover,  $h_{\mathcal{F}}$  is covariant so  $\nabla^2$  must also be a left A-comodule map, and hence it is a morphism in  ${}_B^A \text{mod}_0$ . As the difference of two self-adjoint operators,  $\nabla^2$  must also be self-adjoint on  $\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$ , and hence diagonalisable by Proposition 3.7. Finite-dimensionality of  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$  implies that the operator  $\Phi([\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{i}\nabla^2])$  has a finite number of eigenvalues, and hence  $[\mathbf{i}\nabla^2, \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}]$  has a finite number of eigenvalues. Finally, by the Akizuki–Nakano identity and positivity of  $\Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}}$ , it follows that the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  are always greater then the eigenvalues of  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{i}\nabla^2]$ . This gives us the inclusion in (14).

6.4.3. Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm Operators. The argument of this corollary can now be adapted to provide an effective means of verifying the Fredholm condition for  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^+$ . To do so, we will need the following generalisation of [18, Proposition 3.3] to the twisted setting. The proof, which is completely analogous to the untwisted case, is omitted.

**Proposition 6.16.** For a CQH-Hermitian space  $(B = A^{co(H)}, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \sigma)$ , and a left A-covariant Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , left A-comodule isomorphisms are given by

1. 
$$\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}: \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}) \to \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}),$$
  
2.  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}: \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}) \to \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}).$ 

Using this lemma, we can now provide sufficient conditions for  $D_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}}^{+}$  to be a Fredholm operator. This is done in terms of certain positivity conditions for either the odd or the even twisted anti-holomorphic forms.

**Theorem 6.17.** If the complex  $\Omega^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  has finite-dimensional cohomologies, and if

(15) 
$$-\mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \nabla^2 : \Omega_{\mathrm{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{\mathrm{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F},$$

is a positive operator, or if

(16) 
$$-i\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \nabla^2 : \Omega_{\text{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{\text{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F},$$

is a positive operator, then  $\partial_{\overline{\partial}_{\tau}}^{+}$  is a Fredholm operator.

Proof. By Proposition 6.16 above, the non-zero point spectrum of the Laplacian operator  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}:\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{(0,\bullet)}\to\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{(0,\bullet)}$  is equal to the non-zero point spectrum of the restricted operator  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}},+}:\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}\to\Omega_{\mathrm{even}}^{(0,\bullet)}$ . Positivity of  $\Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}}$  implies that the eigenvalues of  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  are always greater than the eigenvalues of  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}},\mathrm{i}\nabla^2]$ , which reduces to  $-\mathrm{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}\circ\nabla^2$  on  $\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}\otimes_B\mathcal{F}$ . Thus, if  $-\mathrm{i}\nabla^2\circ\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$  acts as a positive operator on  $\Omega_{\mathrm{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)}\otimes_B\mathcal{F}$ , then the non-zero point spectrum of  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}:\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}\otimes_B\mathcal{F}\to\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}\otimes_B\mathcal{F}$  is bounded below by a non-zero positive scalar. This in turn implies that the absolute value of the non-zero eigenvalues of  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  are bounded below. Let us now identify  $L^2\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega^{\bullet}\otimes_B\mathcal{F})\oplus\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}(\Omega^{\bullet}\otimes_B\mathcal{F})\right)$  with the  $\ell^2$ -sequences for some choice of basis  $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$  which diagonalises  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ . Taking any such  $\ell^2$ -sequence  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_ne_n$ , and denoting  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}(e_n)=:\mu_ne_n$ , we see that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n^{-1} a_n e_n \right\| \le \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |\mu_n|^{-1} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e_n \right\| < \infty.$$

Hence  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n^{-1} a_n e_n$  is a well-defined element of  $L^2(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F})$ . Moreover, since

$$D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mu_n^{-1}a_ne_n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_ne_n,$$

we now see that the image of  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  is equal to

$$L^{2}\Big(\partial_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega^{\bullet}\otimes_{B}\mathcal{F})\oplus\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}(\Omega^{\bullet}\otimes_{B}\mathcal{F})\Big).$$

In particular, the image of  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  is closed. Finally, since

$$\operatorname{im}\left(D_{\overline{\partial}}^{+}\right) = \operatorname{im}\left(D_{\overline{\partial}}\right) \bigcap L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\operatorname{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)}\right),$$

it is the intersection of two closed sets, and so must be closed. The corollary now follows from Theorem 6.10.

from Theorem 6.10.

The assumption that  $-\mathbf{i}\nabla^2 \circ \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}$  acts as a positive operator on  $\Omega_{\text{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  implies, in a completely analogous manner, that  $\text{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^+)$  is closed. Hence, in this case,  $\text{im}(D_{\overline{\partial}}^+)$  will again be a Fredholm operator.

As we now see, upon restricting to the case of a positive vector bundle  $\mathcal{F}$ , this result simplifies, allowing us to conclude that  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$  is a Fredholm operator from purely cohomological data. This result will be used in §8 to construct Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm operators for all the irreducible quantum flag manifolds.

Corollary 6.18. If  $\mathcal{F}_{-}$  is a negative vector bundle over a 2n-dimensional CQH-Kähler space, then the twisted Dirac operator

$$D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^{+}: \operatorname{dom}(D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}_{-}}}) \cap L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\operatorname{even}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}_{-}\right) \to L^{2}\left(\Omega_{\operatorname{odd}}^{(0,\bullet)} \otimes_{B} \mathcal{F}_{-}\right)$$

is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,n)}(\mathcal{F}_{-})$  is finite-dimensional. Moreover, in this

$$\operatorname{Index}\left(D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}^{+}\right) = (-1)^{n} \operatorname{dim}\left(H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,n)}(\mathcal{F}_{-})\right).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{F}_{-}$  is by assumption a negative vector bundle, for any  $\alpha \in \Omega^{(0,k)} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}_{-}$ , it follows from Lemma 6.13 that, for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , we have

$$-\mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{-}}\circ\nabla^{2}(\alpha)=[\mathbf{i}\nabla^{2},\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}_{-}}](\alpha)=\theta(n-k)\alpha.$$

Thus we see that  $-\mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \nabla^2$  is a positive operator on  $\Omega^{(0,k)}$ , for all k < n. Moreover, by the Kodaira vanishing theorem it holds that  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,k)}(\mathcal{F}_-) = 0$ , for all  $k = 0, \ldots, n-1$ . Theorem 6.17 now implies that  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}_{-}}}$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,n)}(\mathcal{F}_{-})$ is finite-dimensional.

6.5. The Chern-Dirac and Chern-Laplace Operators. Let  $(\mathcal{F}, h, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$  be an Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle over a CQH-Hermitian space. We observe that  $\nabla$ , the associated Chern connection of  $\mathcal{F}$ , is an adjointable operator, with adjoint given explicitly by  $\nabla^{\dagger} := (\partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})^{\dagger} = \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}$ . In direct analogy with the untwisted case, we introduce the twisted de Rham–Dirac and twisted Laplace operators

$$D_{\nabla} := \nabla + \nabla^{\dagger}, \qquad \Delta_{\nabla} := \nabla \circ \nabla^{\dagger} + \nabla^{\dagger} \circ \nabla,$$

We can now follow the arguments given above for twisted and untwisted Dirac operators and conclude analogous analytic properties about  $D_{\nabla}$  and  $\Delta_{\nabla}$ . So as to avoid tedious repetition, we will not do so, but content ourselves with the observation that the triple

$$(B, L^2(\Omega^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}), D_{\nabla})$$

is a spectral triple if and only if the point spectrum of  $D_{\nabla}$  (which is automatically countable) tends to infinity and all eigenspaces finite-dimensional.

It is natural to ask if, in the Kähler case, the equality of the untwisted Laplacians given in (3.11) carries over to the twisted setting. To do so we will need the following important corollary of the Nakano identities established in [70, Corollary 7.7].

Corollary 6.19. It holds that

- 1.  $\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \partial_{\mathcal{F}} = 0,$ 2.  $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} = 0.$

Using this corollary, we will now show that the operators  $\Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}}$  and  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{T}}}$  do not coincide. Instead, just as for the Akizuki-Nakano identity, the operators differ by the curvature operator  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, i\nabla^2]$ .

**Proposition 6.20.** For any CQH-Kähler space  $\mathbf{K} = (B, \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$ , and any Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle  $(\mathcal{F}, h, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , the following identities hold on  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ :

(17) 
$$\Delta_{\nabla} = \Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}} + \Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}} = 2\Delta_{\partial_{\mathcal{F}}} - [\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{i}\nabla^2] = 2\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}} + [\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{i}\nabla^2].$$

*Proof.* We begin by expanding the expression for  $\nabla \circ \nabla^{\dagger}$  as follows

$$\nabla \circ \nabla^{\dagger} = (\partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}) \circ (\partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}) = \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}.$$

Recalling now the Nakano identities from Theorem 6.11, we see that this expression is equal to

$$\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathbf{i}[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}] - \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathbf{i}[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_{\mathcal{F}}] + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathbf{i}[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}] - \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathbf{i}[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_{\mathcal{F}}].$$

Expanding the commutator brackets and regrouping gives us the expression

$$(-\partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}}) \circ \mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} + (\partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}) \circ \mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}} \circ (\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} - \partial_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Another application of the Nakano identities yields

$$\left(-\partial_{\mathcal{F}}\circ\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}+\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}\circ\partial_{\mathcal{F}}\right)\circ\mathbf{i}\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}+\left(\partial_{\mathcal{F}}+\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}\right)\circ\left(\mathbf{i}\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}\circ\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}+\partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}-\mathbf{i}\partial_{\mathcal{F}}\circ\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}+\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}\right).$$

Cancelling the obvious terms, we finally arrive at the expression

$$\nabla \circ \nabla^{\dagger} = \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger}.$$

An analogous calculation for  $\nabla^{\dagger} \circ \nabla$  yields the identity

$$\nabla^{\dagger} \circ \nabla = \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Corollary 6.19 above now implies that

$$\Delta_{\nabla} = \partial_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \partial_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \circ \partial_{\mathcal{F}} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} + \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\dagger} \circ \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}} = \Delta_{\partial} + \Delta_{\overline{\partial}}.$$

Finally, the other identities in (17) can now be concluded from the Akizuki–Nakano identity in Corollary 6.12.

Just as for the twisted Dolbeault–Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{F}}}$ , it is now possible to conclude a lower bound for the spectrum of  $D_{\nabla}^+: \Omega_{\text{even}}^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{\text{odd}}^{\bullet} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  from positivity of the curvature operator  $[\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbf{i}\nabla^2]$ , and hence make statements about the Fredholm property for  $D_{\nabla}$ . We postpone a more detailed discussion of these results to a later date.

## 7. THE IRREDUCIBLE QUANTUM FLAG MANIFOLDS AS CQH-FANO SPACES

In this section we present the motivating set of examples for the general theory of CQH-Hermitian spaces: the irreducible quantum flag manifolds  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  endowed with their Hekenberger–Kolb differential calculi. We recall the covariant Kähler structure for each  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , which is unique up to real scalar multiple, and present the associated CQH-Kähler space

$$\mathbf{K}_S := \left( \mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S), \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa \right).$$

We finish with the special case of quantum projective space, discussing the spectral properties of its Dolbeault–Dirac operator and the associated spectral triple.

7.1. **Drinfeld–Jimbo Quantum Groups.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra of rank r. We fix a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  with corresponding root system  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  denotes the linear dual of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\Delta^+$  be a choice of positive roots, and let  $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$  be the corresponding set of simple roots. Denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the symmetric bilinear form induced on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  by the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , normalised so that any shortest simple root  $\alpha_i$  satisfies  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 2$ . The *coroot*  $\alpha_i^{\vee}$  of a simple root  $\alpha_i$  is defined by

$$\alpha_i^{\vee} := \frac{\alpha_i}{d_i} = \frac{2\alpha_i}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)},$$
 where  $d_i := \frac{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}.$ 

The Cartan matrix  $(a_{ij})_{ij}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is defined by  $a_{ij} := (\alpha_i^{\vee}, \alpha_j)$ .

Let  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $q \neq -1, 0, 1$ , and denote  $q_i := q^{d_i}$ . The quantised enveloping algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the noncommutative associative algebra generated by the elements  $E_i, F_i, K_i$ , and  $K_i^{-1}$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ , subject to the relations

$$K_{i}E_{j} = q_{i}^{a_{ij}}E_{j}K_{i}, \quad K_{i}F_{j} = q_{i}^{-a_{ij}}F_{j}K_{i}, \quad K_{i}K_{j} = K_{j}K_{i}, \quad K_{i}K_{i}^{-1} = K_{i}^{-1}K_{i} = 1,$$

$$E_{i}F_{j} - F_{j}E_{i} = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_{i} - K_{i}^{-1}}{q_{i} - q_{i}^{-1}},$$

along with the quantum Serre relations

$$\sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} E_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} E_j E_i^s = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

$$\sum_{s=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^s \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ s \end{bmatrix}_{q_i} F_i^{1-a_{ij}-s} F_j F_i^s = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

where we have used the q-binomial coefficient

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[r]_q! [n-r]_q!}.$$

A Hopf algebra structure is defined on  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  by setting

$$\Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, \quad \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes F_i$$
  
$$S(E_i) = -E_i K_i^{-1}, \quad S(F_i) = -K_i F_i, \quad S(K_i) = K_i^{-1}, \quad \varepsilon(E_i) = \varepsilon(F_i) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(K_i) = 1.$$

A Hopf \*-algebra structure, called the compact real form, is defined by

$$K_i^* := K_i,$$
  $E_i^* := K_i F_i,$   $F_i^* := E_i K_i^{-1}.$ 

7.2. **Type-1 Representations.** The set of fundamental weights  $\{\varpi_1, \ldots, \varpi_r\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the dual basis of simple coroots  $\{\alpha_1^{\vee}, \ldots, \alpha_r^{\vee}\}$ , which is to say

$$(\alpha_i^{\vee}, \varpi_j) = \delta_{ij},$$
 for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the *integral weight lattice* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is to say the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of the fundamental weights. Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}^+$  denotes the cone of *dominant integral weights*, which is to say the  $\mathbb{N}_0$ -span of the fundamental weights.

For each  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^+$  there exists an irreducible finite-dimensional  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module  $V_{\mu}$ , uniquely defined by the existence of a vector  $v_{\mu} \in V_{\mu}$ , which we call a *highest weight* vector, satisfying

$$E_i \triangleright v_\mu = 0, \qquad K_i \triangleright v_\mu = q^{(\mu,\alpha_i)}v_\mu, \qquad \text{for all } i = 1,\dots, r.$$

The vector  $v_{\mu}$  is uniquely determined up to scalar multiple. We call any finite direct sum of such  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -representations a type-1 representation. In general, a vector  $v \in V_{\mu}$  is called a weight vector of weight  $\operatorname{wt}(v) \in \mathcal{P}$  if

(18) 
$$K_i \triangleright v = q^{(\operatorname{wt}(v),\alpha_i)}v, \qquad \text{for all } i = 1,\dots, r.$$

Each type-1 module  $V_{\mu}$  decomposes into a direct sum of weight spaces, which is to say, those subspaces of  $V_{\mu}$  spanned by weight vectors of any given weight.

We denote by  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  **type**<sub>1</sub> the full subcategory of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules whose objects are finite sums of type-1 modules  $V_{\mu}$ , for  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}^+$ . Note that  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  **type**<sub>1</sub> is abelian, semisimple, and equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover,  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  **type**<sub>1</sub> admits the structure of a braided monoidal category (coming from the h-adic quasi-triangular structure of the Drinfeld–Jimbo algebras). Explicitly, for V and W two finite-dimensional irreducible representations, the braiding is completely determined by the formula

$$\widehat{R}_{V,W}(v_{\text{hw}} \otimes w_{\text{lw}}) := q^{(\text{wt}(v_{\text{hw}}),\text{wt}(w_{\text{lw}}))} w_{\text{lw}} \otimes v_{\text{hw}},$$

where  $v_{\text{hw}}$ , and  $w_{\text{lw}}$ , are a choice of highest weight vector for V, and lowest weight vector for W, respectively. Given a choice of bases  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim(V)}$ , and  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{\dim(W)}$ , for two finite-dimensional  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules V and W, its associated R-matrix  $R_{kl}^{ij}$  is defined by

$$\widehat{R}_{V,W}(e_i \otimes f_j) = \sum_{k,l} (\widehat{R}_{V,W})_{ij}^{kl} f_k \otimes e_l.$$

As has long been known, it follows from Lusztig and Kashiwara's theory of crystal bases [50, 43] that one can choose a weight basis for any  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module such that the associated R-matrix coefficients are Laurent polynomials in q. (See [12], and references therein, for a more detailed discussion.) For sake of clarity, and subsequent referral, we present this result as a formal proposition.

**Proposition 7.1.** For V an object in the category of type-1 representations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , one can choose a basis of V, composed of weight vectors, such that the R-matrix coefficients are Laurent polynomials in q.

We call such a basis a Laurent basis of V. The existence of Laurent bases will be used below to establish a positive definiteness result for the Kähler structures considered in this section.

7.3. Quantum Coordinate Algebras and the Quantum Flag Manifolds. Let V be a finite-dimensional  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module,  $v \in V$ , and  $f \in V^*$ , the linear dual of V. Consider the function  $c_{f,v}^V: U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $c_{f,v}^V(X) := f(X(v))$ . The coordinate ring of V is the subspace

$$C(V) := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left\{ c_{f,v}^{V} \mid v \in V, f \in V^* \right\} \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{g})^*.$$

It is easily checked that C(V) is contained in  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\circ}$ , the Hopf dual of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , and moreover that a Hopf subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\circ}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G) := \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}^+} C(V_\mu).$$

We call  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$  the quantum coordinate algebra of G, where G is the compact, connected, simply-connected, simple Lie group having  $\mathfrak{g}$  as its complexified Lie algebra.

For S a subset of simple roots, consider the Hopf \*-subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  given by

$$U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S) := \langle K_i, E_j, F_j \mid i = 1, \dots, r; j \in S \rangle.$$

Just as for  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , each finite-dimensional  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)$ -module decomposes into a direct sum weight spaces, defined in the obvious sense.

From the Hopf \*-algebra embedding  $\iota: U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S) \hookrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , we get the dual Hopf \*-algebra map  $\iota^{\circ}: U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\circ} \to U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)^{\circ}$ . By construction  $\mathcal{O}_q(G) \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\circ}$ , so we can consider the restriction map

$$\pi_S := \iota|_{\mathcal{O}_q(G)} : \mathcal{O}_q(G) \to U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)^{\circ},$$

and the Hopf \*-subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(L_S) := \pi_S(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)^{\circ}$ . The CQGA homogeneous space associated to the surjective Hopf \*-algebra map  $\pi_S : \mathcal{O}_q(G) \to \mathcal{O}_q(L_S)$ , is called the quantum flag manifold associated to S and denoted by

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S) := \mathcal{O}_q(G)^{\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{O}_q(L_S))}$$

Denoting  $\mu_S := \sum_{s \in S} \varpi_s$ , we choose for  $V_{\mu_S}$  a weight basis  $\{v_i\}_i$ , with corresponding dual basis  $\{f_i\}_i$  for the dual module  $V_{-w_0(\mu_S)} \simeq V_{\mu_S}^{\vee}$ , where  $w_0$  denotes the longest element in the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . As shown in [37, Proposition 3.2], a set of generators for  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  is given by

$$z_{ij} := c_{f_i, v_N}^{\mu_S} c_{v_j, f_N}^{-w_0(\mu_S)}$$
 for  $i, j = 1, \dots, N := \dim(V_{\mu_S})$ ,

where  $v_N$ , and  $f_N$ , are the highest weight basis elements of  $V_{\mu_S}$ , and  $V_{-w_0(\mu_S)}$ , respectively, and for ease of notation we have written

$$c^{\mu_S}_{f_i,v_j} := c^{V_{\mu_S}}_{f_i,v_j}, \qquad \qquad c^{-w_0(\mu_S)}_{v_i,f_j} := c^{V_{-w_0(\mu_S)}}_{v_i,f_j}.$$

7.4. First-Order Calculi and Maximal Prolongations. In this subsection, we briefly recall some details about first-order differential calculi necessary for our discussion of the Heckenberger–Kolb calculi below. A first-order differential calculus over an algebra B is a pair  $(\Omega^1, \mathbf{d})$ , where  $\Omega^1$  is a B-bimodule and  $\mathbf{d} : B \to \Omega^1$  is a linear map satisfying the Leibniz rule,  $\mathbf{d}(ab) = a\mathbf{d}b + (\mathbf{d}a)b$ , for  $a, b \in B$ , and for which  $\Omega^1 = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{a\mathbf{d}b \mid a, b \in B\}$ . The notions of differential map, and left covariance (when the calculus is defined over a left A-comodule algebra B), have obvious first-order analogues, see [68, §2.4] for details. The direct sum of two first-order differential calculi  $(\Omega^1, \mathbf{d}_{\Omega})$  and  $(\Gamma^1, \mathbf{d}_{\Gamma})$  is the first-order calculus  $(\Omega^1 \oplus \Gamma^1, \mathbf{d}_{\Omega} + \mathbf{d}_{\Gamma})$ . Finally, we say that a left covariant first-order differential calculus over B is irreducible if it does not possess any non-trivial left covariant B-subbimodules.

A differential calculus  $(\Gamma^{\bullet}, d_{\Gamma})$  is said to *prolong* a first-order calculus  $(\Omega^{1}, d_{\Omega})$  if there exists a bimodule isomorphism  $\varphi : \Omega^{1} \to \Gamma^{1}$  such that  $d_{\Gamma} = \varphi \circ d_{\Omega}$ . It is known that

any first-order calculus admits an extension  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  which is maximal in the sense that there exists a unique surjective differential map from  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  onto any other extension of  $\Omega^{1}$ , see [68, §2.5] for details. We call this extension the *maximal prolongation* of the first-order calculus. It is important to note that the maximal prolongation of a left covariant calculus is automatically left covariant.

7.5. The Heckenberger–Kolb Calculi. If  $S = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\} \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ , where  $\alpha_i$  has coefficient 1 in the expansion of the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then we say that the associated quantum flag manifold is *irreducible*. In the classical limit of q = 1, these homogeneous spaces reduce to the family of compact Hermitian symmetric spaces [3]. These algebras are also referred to as the *cominiscule* quantum flag manifolds, reflecting terminology in the classical setting. Table 1 below gives a useful diagrammatic presentation of the set of simple roots defining the irreducible quantum flag manifolds.

TABLE 1. Irreducible Quantum Flag Manifolds: organised by series, with defining crossed node with respect to the standard numbering of simple roots [41,  $\S11.4$ ], CQGA homogeneous space symbol and name, as well as the complex dimension M of the corresponding classical complex manifold

$A_n$	O	$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,n+1})$	quantum Grassmannian	
$B_n$	●──○⋯⋯○⋯⋯	$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{Q}_{2n+1})$	odd quantum quadric	
$C_n$	○—○·····○ <del>—</del>	$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{L}_n)$	quantum Lagrangian Grassmannian	
$D_n$		${\mathcal O}_q({f Q}_{2n})$	even quantum quadric	
$D_n$		$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{S}_n)$	quantum spinor variety	
$E_6$	• • • •	$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{OP}^2)$	quantum Caley plane	
$E_7$		$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{F})$	quantum Freudenthal variety	

The irreducible quantum flag manifolds are distinguished by the existence of an essentially unique q-deformation of their classical de Rham complex. The existence of such a canonical deformation is one of the most important results in the noncommutative geometry of quantum groups, serving as a solid base from which to investigate more general classes of quantum spaces. We present the calculus in two steps. First we give Heckenberger and Kolb's classification of first-order calculi over  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  as established in [37, Theorem 7.2], and then discuss the maximal prolongation of the calculus identified.

**Theorem 7.2.** There exist exactly two non-isomorphic irreducible left covariant first-order differential calculi  $\Omega^{(1,0)}$  and  $\Omega^{(0,1)}$  of finite dimension over  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ .

We call the direct sum of these two calculi the first-order Heckenberger-Kolb calculus of  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , and denote it by  $\Omega_q^1(G/L_S)$ . Let us next recall Heckenberger and Kolb's verification that the maximal prolongation of the calculus  $\Omega_q^1(G/L_S)$  has classical dimension [38, Proposition 3.11].

**Proposition 7.3.** For any irreducible quantum flag manifold, we denote by  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  the maximal prolongation of the first-order differential calculus  $\Omega_q^1(G/L_S)$ . The covariant differential calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  is of classical dimension, which is to say

$$\dim(\Phi(\Omega^k)) = {2M \choose k},$$
 for all  $k = 0, \dots, 2M,$ 

where M is the complex dimension of the corresponding classical manifold, as presented in Table 1.

**Example 7.4.** Since it is discussed in some detail below, we consider here the special case of quantum projective space  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ , the simplest type of quantum Grassmannian. Explicitly, it is the  $A_n$ -type irreducible quantum flag manifold corresponding to the first or last crossed node of the Dynkin diagram, which is to say, the nodes

The quantum projective line  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ , the simplest example of a quantum flag manifold, is usually denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_q(S^2)$ . As it was originally introduced by Podleś [72], it is usually called the *Podleś sphere*. For this special case, the Heckenberger–Kolb calculus reduces to the calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(S^2)$  originally introduced by Podleś in [73] and usually known as the *Podleś calculus*.

7.6. Generators and Relations for the Differential Calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$ . For each irreducible quantum flag manifold, the defining relations of the maximal prolongation  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  are a subtle and intricate q-deformation of the classical Grassmann anticommutation relations. (For example, an explicit presentation of the relations of the Podleś calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(S^2)$  is given in [73].) In an impressive technical achievement, a complete R-matrix description of the general  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  relations was given in [38, §3.3]. We recall here this presentation, following the original conventions of Heckenberger and Kolb. In particular, we use the following R-matrix notations, defined with respect to the index set  $J := \{1, \ldots, \dim(V_{\varpi_s})\}$ :

$$\begin{split} \widehat{R}_{V_{\varpi_s},V_{\varpi_s}}(v_i\otimes v_j) &=: \sum_{k,l\in J} \widehat{R}_{ij}^{kl} \, v_k \otimes v_l, \\ \widehat{R}_{V_{-w_0(\varpi_s)},V_{\varpi_s}}(f_i\otimes v_j) &=: \sum_{k,l\in J} \widehat{R}_{ij}^{-kl} \, v_k \otimes f_l, \\ \widehat{R}_{V_{\varpi_s},V_{-w_0(\varpi_s)}}(v_i\otimes f_j) &=: \sum_{k,l\in J} \widehat{R}_{ij}^{-kl} \, f_k \otimes v_l, \\ \widehat{R}_{V_{-w_0(\varpi_s)},V_{-w_0(\varpi_s)}}(f_i\otimes f_j) &=: \sum_{k,l\in J} \widecheck{R}_{ij}^{kl} \, f_k \otimes f_l. \end{split}$$

We denote by  $\widehat{R}^-$ ,  $\widehat{R}$ ,  $\widehat{R}$ , and  $\widecheck{R}^-$ , the inverse matrices of  $\widehat{R}$ ,  $\widehat{R}^-$ ,  $\widehat{R}^-$ , and  $\widecheck{R}$  respectively. The calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  can be described as the tensor algebra of the  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ -bimodule  $\Omega_q^1(G/L_S)$  subject to three sets of matrix relations, given in terms of the coordinate matrix  $\mathbf{z} := (z_{ij})_{(ij)}$ . First are the holomorphic relations

(19) 
$$\widehat{Q}_{12} \widehat{R}_{23} \partial \mathbf{z} \wedge \partial \mathbf{z} = 0, \qquad \widecheck{P}_{34} \widehat{R}_{23} \partial \mathbf{z} \wedge \partial \mathbf{z} = 0,$$

where we have used leg notation, and have denoted

$$\widehat{Q} := \widehat{R} + q^{(\varpi_s, \varpi_s) - (\alpha_s, \alpha_s)} id,$$
  $\widecheck{P} := \widecheck{R} - q^{(\varpi_s, \varpi_s)} id.$ 

Second are the anti-holomorphic relations

(20) 
$$\widehat{P}_{12} \widehat{K}_{23} \overline{\partial} \mathbf{z} \wedge \overline{\partial} \mathbf{z} = 0, \qquad \qquad \widecheck{Q}_{34} \widehat{K}_{23} \overline{\partial} \mathbf{z} \wedge \overline{\partial} \mathbf{z} = 0,$$

where we have again used leg notation, and have denoted

$$\widehat{P} := \widehat{R} - q^{(\varpi_s, \varpi_s)} id,$$
  $\widecheck{Q} := \widecheck{R} + q^{(\varpi_s, \varpi_s) - (\alpha_s, \alpha_s)} id.$ 

Finally, we have the *cross-relations* 

(21) 
$$\overline{\partial} \mathbf{z} \wedge \partial \mathbf{z} = -q^{-(\alpha_s, \alpha_s)} T_{1234}^{-} \partial \mathbf{z} \wedge \overline{\partial} \mathbf{z} + q^{(\varpi_s, \varpi_s) - (\alpha_s, \alpha_s)} z C_{12} T_{1234}^{-} \partial \mathbf{z} \wedge \overline{\partial} \mathbf{z},$$

where we have again used leg notation, and have denoted

$$T_{1234}^- := \dot{R}_{23}^- \hat{R}_{12}^- \check{R}_{34} \acute{R}_{23}, \qquad C_{kl} := \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(V_{\varpi_s})} \dot{R}_{kl}^{-ii}.$$

Because of the highly technical nature of the relations, we find it helpful to highlight exactly which of their properties are used below. First, we note that when q=1, the relations reduce to the usual anti-commutating Grassmann relations. The second relevant property is that the commutation relations when  $q \neq 1$  are generated by certain linear combinations of 2-forms of the type  $\partial z_{ab} \wedge \partial z_{ab}$ ,  $\overline{\partial} z_{ab} \wedge \partial z_{ab}$ ,  $\partial z_{ab} \wedge \overline{\partial} z_{ab}$ , and  $\overline{\partial} z_{ab} \wedge \overline{\partial} z_{ab}$ , for  $a,b \in J$ , with coefficients Laurent polynomials in q, assuming that the chosen basis of  $V_{\varpi_s}$  is a Laurent basis.

7.7. Noncommutative Complex Structures. When the Podleś calculus for  $\mathcal{O}_q(S^2)$  was originally introduced in [73], it was demonstrated to be a \*-calculus. The extension of this result to the general setting of irreducible quantum flag manifolds was not considered in [37, 38]. It was subsequently observed in [68, Proposition 3.4] that  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(\mathbb{CP}^n)$  is a \*-calculus. The general result, for all irreducible quantum flag manifolds, was later established by Matassa in [57, Theorem 4.2].

**Theorem 7.5.** For each irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , its Heckenberger–Kolb calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  is a differential \*-calculus.

In [57] it was also observed that each \*-calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  carries a natural complex structure. We present this result, along with some additional observations which can easily be concluded from the presentation of  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  given in §7.6. A careful proof of these results, established within the formal framework of [68], will appear in [66].

**Lemma 7.6.** Let  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  be an irreducible quantum flag manifold, and  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  its Heckenberger–Kolb calculus.

- 1. The decomposition  $\Omega_q^1(G/L_S) = \Omega^{(1,0)} \oplus \Omega^{(0,1)}$  extends to a (necessarily unique) almost complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  on  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$ .
- 2. The almost complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is covariant, and it is the unique such structure on  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$ .
- 3. The almost complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is integrable, which is to say, it is a complex structure.
- 4. The complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is factorisable.

As we now recall,  $\Phi(\Omega^1) \in \mathcal{O}_q(L_S)$  mod admits a concrete description in terms of the complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$ . Consider the subset of J given by

$$J_{(1)} := \{ i \in J \mid (\varpi_s, \varpi_s - \alpha_s - \operatorname{wt}(v_i)) = 0 \},$$

and denote  $M := |J_{(1)}|$ . It was shown in [38, Proposition 3.6] that bases of  $\Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$  and  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  are given respectively by

$$\{e_i^+ := [\partial z_{iM}] \mid \text{ for } i \in J_{(1)}\}, \qquad \{e_i^- := [\overline{\partial} z_{Mi}] \mid \text{ for } i \in J_{(1)}\}.$$

We call a subset  $\{k_1, \ldots, k_a\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, M\}$  ordered if  $k_1 < \cdots < k_a$ , and we denote by O(a) all ordered subsets containing a elements. For any two ordered subsets  $K, L \subseteq \{1, \ldots, M\}$ , we denote

$$e_K^+ \wedge e_L^- := e_{k_1}^+ \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k_a}^+ \wedge e_{l_1}^- \wedge \dots \wedge e_{l_{a'}}^-.$$

As shown in [38, §3.3], a basis of  $\Phi(\Omega^{(a,b)})$  is given by

(22) 
$$\Theta := \{ e_K^+ \wedge e_L^- | K \in O(a), L \in O(b) \}.$$

**Example 7.7.** Let us now focus on quantum projective space  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ , the quantum flag manifold corresponding to the first crossed node of the  $A_n$  Dynkin diagram. The basis of  $\Phi(\Omega^1_q(\mathbb{CP}^n))$  reduces to

$$e_i^+ = [\partial z_{in}],$$
  $e_i^- = [\overline{\partial} z_{ni}],$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n+1.$ 

The relations of the quantum exterior algebras  $\Phi(\Omega^{(\bullet,0)})$  and  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)})$  reduce to the standard quantum affine space, and its dual, respectively:

$$e_j^+ \wedge e_i^+ = -qe_i^+ \wedge e_j^+, \qquad e_j^- \wedge e_i^- = -q^{-1}e_i^- \wedge e_j^-, \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i \le j \le n.$$

**Example 7.8.** Outside of the quantum projective space case, the quantum exterior algebras exhibit a greater degree of noncommutativity. For example, let us consider the *B*-series odd quantum quadrics  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{Q}_{2n+1})$ , for n > 1. As observed in [37, §6], the anti-holomorphic algebra  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)})$  is isomorphic to quantum orthogonal vector space  $O_q^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$  originally considered by Faddeev, Reshetikhin, and Takhtajan in [26]. This algebra contains degree 1 elements y and y' which do not skew-commute, that is

$$y \wedge y' \neq c y' \wedge y$$
, for any  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

More surprisingly, there exists a degree 1 element  $y_0 \in O_q^{2n-1}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$y_0 \wedge y_0 \neq 0$$
.

7.8. Noncommutative Kähler Structures. Recall from Lemma 7.6 that the covariant complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  of any Heckenberger–Kolb calculus is factorisable. In particular, we have

$$\Phi(\Omega^{(1,1)}) \simeq \Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)} \Omega^{(0,1)}) \simeq \Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)}) \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)}).$$

Since the \*-map of the calculus restricts to a real linear isomorphism between  $\Omega^{(1,0)}$  and  $\Omega^{(0,1)}$ , it must hold that  $\Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$  and  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  are conjugate comodules. For any comodule V of a CQGA, its conjugate comodule and its dual comodule are isomorphic [45, Theorem 11.27]. Hence, recalling that  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{O}_q(L_S)$ -comodule, we see that the left  $\mathcal{O}_q(L_S)$ -coinvariant elements in  $\Phi(\Omega^{(1,1)})$  form a one-dimensional complex vector subspace. This in turn implies that

$$U\left(^{\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{O}_q(G))}\Omega^{(1,1)}\right) = ^{\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{O}_q(G))}\left(\mathcal{O}_q(G) \square_H \Phi(\Omega^{(1,1)})\right)$$
$$= 1\square_H \Phi(\Omega^{(1,1)})$$
$$= 1 \otimes \left(^{\mathcal{O}_q(L_S)}\Phi(\Omega^{(1,1)})\right)$$
$$\simeq 1 \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Thus we see that each  $\Omega^{(1,1)}$  contains a coinvariant form  $\kappa$ , which is unique up to complex scalar multiple. Moreover, since the \*-map sends left  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -coinvariant forms to left  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -coinvariant forms, we can choosing a scaling of  $\kappa$  so that it is real, which is to say satisfies  $\kappa^* = \kappa$ . Evidently, this identifies  $\kappa$  up to real scalar multiple.

For the special case of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ , the pair  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)},\kappa)$  was shown to be a Kähler structure in [69, §4.4], for all  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Moreover,  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)},\kappa)$  was shown to be positive definite for all q sufficiently close to 1. This motivates the following conjecture, originally proposed in [69, Conjecture 4.25].

Conjecture 7.9. For  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  the Heckenberger–Kolb calculus of the irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , the pair  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  is a positive definite covariant Kähler structure, for all  $q \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1, 0\}$ .

By extending the representation theoretic argument given in [69, §4.4] for the case of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ , the form  $\kappa$  is readily seen to be a closed central element of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$ . In more detail, a direct examination confirms that the  $\mathcal{O}_q(L_S)$ -comodules

$$\Phi(\Omega^{(2,1)}) \simeq \Phi(\Omega^{(2,0)}) \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)}), \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\Omega^{(1,2)}) \simeq \Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)}) \otimes \Phi(\Omega^{(0,2)}),$$

do not contain a copy of the trivial comodule. Hence, there can be no non-trivial map from  $\mathbb{C}\kappa = {}^{\operatorname{co}(\mathcal{O}_q(G))}(\Omega^{(1,1)})$  to either  $\Omega^{(2,1)}$  or  $\Omega^{(1,2)}$ , implying that  $\mathrm{d}\kappa = 0$ .

Alternatively, as shown by Matassa in [57], the form  $\kappa$  can be explicitly presented as

$$\kappa = \mathbf{i} \sum_{i,j,k \in J} q^{(2\rho, \operatorname{wt}(v_i))} z_{ij} dz_{jk} \wedge dz_{ki},$$

where  $\rho$  denotes the half-sum of positive roots  $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$ . Using this formulation it proves possible to express  $\kappa$  as an exact form, whence one can conclude that  $d\kappa = 0$ .

Moreover, it was shown that

(23) 
$$[\kappa] = \mathbf{i} \sum_{i \in J_{(1)}} q^{(2\rho, \operatorname{wt}(v_i))} e_i^+ \otimes e_i^-.$$

Using this description the following result was established in [57, Theorem 5.10].

**Theorem 7.10.** Let  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  be the Heckenberger-Kolb calculus of the irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ . The pair  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  is a covariant Kähler structure for all  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \backslash F$ , where F is a possibly empty finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . Moreover, any elements of F are necessarily non-transcendental.

7.9. **CQH-Kähler Spaces.** In this subsection we build on Theorem 7.10 above and produce a CQH-Kähler space structure for all the irreducible quantum flag manifolds, providing us with a rich family of examples to which to apply the framework of this paper. In order to render the proof more readable, we first establish two supporting lemmas.

**Lemma 7.11.** Consider the isomorphism  $\varepsilon': \Phi(\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S) \to \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\varepsilon'[b] = \varepsilon(b)$ , for  $b \in \mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ . For any two forms  $\omega, \nu \in \Omega^1$ , it holds that

$$([\omega],[\nu]) = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{(M-1)!} \varepsilon' \circ \Phi(*_{\kappa}) \left( [\omega] \wedge [\kappa]^{M-1} \wedge [\nu] \right).$$

*Proof.* The calculation

$$([\omega], [\nu]) = \varepsilon(g_{\kappa}(\omega, \nu)) = \varepsilon'[g_{\kappa}(\omega, \nu)] = \varepsilon'[*_{\sigma}(\omega \wedge *_{\sigma}(\nu^*))]$$

Recall now that Takeuchi's equivalence is a monoidal equivalence, and hence that the monoid object structure of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  induces a monoid object structure on  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$ . Thus we can write

$$\varepsilon'[*_{\sigma}(\omega \wedge *_{\sigma}(\nu^*))] = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{(M-1)!}\varepsilon' \circ \Phi(*_{\kappa}) ([\omega] \wedge [\kappa]^{M-1} \wedge [\nu])$$

giving us the claimed result.

**Lemma 7.12.** For q=1 the pairing  $(\cdot,\cdot)$  is an inner product with respect to which  $\Theta$  is an orthogonal set. Hence the Hermitian structure  $(\mathcal{O}(G/L_S), \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  is positive.

*Proof.* Note that when q=1, the R-matrix associated to any  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module reduces to the identity matrix. This implies that the relations in Theorem 7.3 reduce to the usual Grassmann relations, giving us the isomorphism

$$\Lambda^{\bullet}(\Phi(\Omega^1)) \simeq \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet}(G/L_S)),$$

where  $\Lambda^{\bullet}(\Phi(\Omega^{1}))$  denotes the usual Grassmann exterior algebra of  $\Phi(\Omega^{1})$ . A direct calculations using Lemma 7.11 confirms that, for all degree 1 basis elements  $e_{i}^{\pm}, e_{j}^{\pm} \in \Theta$ , the scalar  $(e_{i}^{\pm}, e_{j}^{\pm})$  is non-zero if and only if i = j. Hence, for q = 1 the degree 1 basis elements form an orthogonal basis of  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$ .

In the sense of [42, Definition 1.2.11], it follows from Lemma 2.8 that the scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is compatible with the decomposition

$$\Phi(\Omega^1) = \Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)}) \oplus \Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)}).$$

It now follows from the classical Weil formula [42, Theorem 1.2.31] that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  coincides with the standard extension of  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  to a sesquilinear form on the exterior algebra  $\Lambda^{\bullet}(\Phi(\Omega^1(G/L_S)))$ . In particular,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an inner product with respect to which  $\Theta$  forms an orthogonal basis. Corollary 4.3 now implies that the Hermitian structure  $(\mathcal{O}(G/L_S), \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$  is positive.

**Proposition 7.13.** For every irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , there exists an open interval I around 1 such that  $g_{\kappa}$  is positive, for all  $q \in I$ .

*Proof.* Corollary 4.3 tells us that  $g_{\kappa}$  is positive if and only if an inner product is given by

$$(\cdot,\cdot):\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})\times\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})\to\mathbb{C},$$
  $([\omega],[\nu])\mapsto\varepsilon(g_{\kappa}(\omega,\nu)).$ 

We will prove the proposition by finding an interval around 1 such that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive definite whenever q is contained in this interval.

Observe that since the commutation relations of  $\Omega^{\bullet}$  (as presented in §7.6) have R-matrix entry coefficients, the commutation relations of  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$  must be generated by linear combinations of the degree 2 basis elements with R-matrix entry coefficients. In particular, taking the basis of the fundamental representation  $V_{\varpi_s}$  to be a Laurent basis (as defined after Proposition 7.1) these coefficients will be Laurent polynomials in q. As in Theorem 7.10, let F be the (possibly empty) finite set of real numbers for which  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)},\kappa)$  is not a Kähler structure. We write  $I_0$  for the largest open interval around 1 which does not contain an element of F. For any two basis elements  $e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta} \in \Theta$ , consider the function

$$f_{\alpha\beta}: I_0 \mapsto \mathbb{C}, \qquad q \mapsto (e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}).$$

Since the coefficients of the relations are Laurent ploynomials in q, the function  $f_{\alpha\beta}$  is a Laurent polynomial in q, for all  $e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta} \in \Theta$ .

Consider now the real vector space  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})_{\mathbb{R}}$  spanned by the basis elements of  $\Theta$ . For some  $q \in I_0$ , and a general element  $\sum_{e_{\alpha} \in \Theta} c_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \in \Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have that

$$\left(\sum_{e_{\alpha} \in \Theta} c_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}, \sum_{e_{\beta} \in \Theta} c_{\beta} e_{\beta}\right) = \sum_{e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta} \in \Theta} c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} (e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta})$$

$$\geq \sum_{e_{\alpha} \in \Theta} c_{\alpha}^{2} (e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}) - \sum_{e_{\alpha} \neq e_{\beta} \in \Theta} |c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} (e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta})|$$

$$= \sum_{e_{\alpha} \in \Theta} c_{\alpha}^{2} f_{\alpha \alpha}(q) - \sum_{e_{\alpha} \neq e_{\beta} \in \Theta} |c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} f_{\alpha \beta}(q)|.$$

By Lemma 7.12 we know that  $f_{\alpha,\alpha}(1) > 0$  and  $f_{\alpha\beta}(1) = 0$ , since both functions are Laurent polynomials, they are necessarily continuous. This implies that for a sufficiently

small interval  $I \subseteq I_0$  around 1, it holds that

$$\sum_{e_{\alpha} \in \Theta} c_{\alpha}^{2} f_{\alpha\alpha}(q) - \sum_{e_{\alpha} \neq e_{\beta} \in \Theta} |c_{\alpha} c_{\beta} f_{\alpha\beta}(q)| > 0, \quad \text{for all } q \in I.$$

Thus we see that  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is positive definite on  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Consequently,  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  extends to a positive definite map on  $\Phi(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , meaning that  $g_{\kappa}$  is positive, for all  $q \in I$ .

With positivity in hand, we are now ready to show that, for each irreducible quantum flag manifold, its Kähler structure gives a CQH-Kähler space. This is one of the principal results of the paper, and it provides us with a rich family of examples to which we can apply the general theory of CQH-Kähler spaces.

**Theorem 7.14.** For each irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , there exists an open interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  around 1, such that a CQH-Kähler space is given by the quadruple

(24) 
$$\mathbf{K}_S := \left( \mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S), \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa \right), \qquad \text{for all } q \in I.$$

*Proof.* By construction, each quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  is a CQGA homogeneous space, and  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  is a left  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -covariant differential \*-calculus over  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ . It follows from [38, Corollary 3.5] that

$$\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S) \in {\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S) \atop \mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)} \operatorname{mod}_0.$$

By Lemma 7.6, the complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is covariant. Moreover, for I the interval identified in Proposition 7.13, the pair  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  is a positive covariant Kähler structure, for all  $q \in I$ .

It remains to establish closure of the integral with respect to the Kähler structure. This will be done by showing  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  does not contain a copy of the trivial  $\mathcal{O}_q(L_S)$ -comodule, and then appealing to Proposition 3.3. Note that since the case of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$  has been been dealt with in [69, Lemma 3.4.4], it follows from the dimensions presented in Table 2 below that we can restrict our attention to those irreducible quantum flag manifolds for which  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  has dimension strictly greater than 1. It follows from Theorem 7.2 that  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  is irreducible as a  $\mathcal{O}_q(L_S)$ -comodule. Hence  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  cannot contain a copy of the trivial comodule, implying that the integral is closed. We conclude that the quadruple given in (24) is indeed a CQH-Kähler space.

7.10. Orthogonality of the Degree 1 Basic Elements. Direct investigation of low-dimensional cases [69, §5.4] suggests that  $g_{\kappa}$  will be positive for all  $q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . However, verifying this appears to be a difficult problem, most likely requiring the introduction of new structures and ideas. Here we content ourselves with showing that the degree 1 basis elements are orthogonal. We do this using a weight argument which requires us to first recall some facts about the  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)$ -module structures of the cotangent spaces of the quantum flag manifolds originally observed in [37, §6].

TABLE 2. Irreducible Quantum Flag Manifold Cotangent Spaces: presenting the semisimple subalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)$ , the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic cotangent spaces described as  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)$ -modules, and the dimension M of the both spaces, or equivalently the dimension of  $G/L_S$  as a complex manifold.

$\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$	$U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^{\mathrm{s}})$	$\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$	$\Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$	dimension $M$
$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathrm{Gr}_{k,n+1})$	$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_k\oplus\mathfrak{sl}_{n-k+1})$	$V_{\varpi_1}\otimes V_{\varpi_1}$	$V_{\varpi_{n-k}}\otimes V_{\varpi_{n-k}}$	k(n-k+1)
$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{Q}_{2n+1})$	$U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1})$	$V_{\varpi_1}$	$V_{\varpi_1}$	2n-1
$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{L}_n)$	$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$	$V_{2\varpi_1}$	$V_{2\overline{\omega}_{n-1}}$	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{Q}_{2n})$	$U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{2(n-1)})$	$V_{\varpi_1}$	$V_{\varpi_1}$	2(n-1)
$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{S}_n)$	$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$	$V_{\varpi_2}$	$V_{\varpi_{n-2}}$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{OP}^2)$	$U_q(\mathfrak{so}_{10})$	$V_{arpi_6}$	$V_{arpi_5}$	16
$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{F})$	$U_q(\mathfrak{e}_6)$	$V_{\varpi_1}$	$V_{arpi_6}$	27

Classically the algebra  $\mathfrak{l}_S$  is reductive, and hence decomposes into a direct sum  $\mathfrak{l}_S^s \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$ , comprised of a semisimple part and a commutative part, respectively. In the quantum setting, we are thus motivated to consider the subalgebra

$$U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s) := \langle K_i, E_i, F_i | i \in S \rangle \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S).$$

The table immediately above presents  $\Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$  and  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  as  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)$ -modules and gives their dimensions.

An important point to note is that the weight spaces of the cotangent spaces  $\Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$  and  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  are all one-dimensional, as can be deduced from the Weyl character formula for a complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Alternatively, an explicit presentation of those irreducible  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules  $V_{\lambda}$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}^+$ , whose weight spaces are one-dimensional can be found in [79, Chapter 6].

**Proposition 7.15.** The degree 1 elements of  $\Theta$  are orthogonal, for all  $q \notin F$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 7.11 tells us that, for any two basis elements  $e_i^+, e_j^+ \in \Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$ , we have

$$(e_i^+, e_j^+) = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{(M-1)!} \varepsilon' \circ \Phi(*_{\kappa}) \left( e_i^+ \wedge [\kappa]^{M-1} \wedge e_j^+ \right).$$

As noted above, it follows from the presentation in Table 2 of  $\Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$  and  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  as  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)$ -modules that their weight spaces are all one-dimensional. This implies that the

elements  $e_i^+ = [\partial z_{iM}]$  have distinct weights, and that

$$\operatorname{wt}(e_i^+) = \operatorname{wt}[\overline{\partial} z_{iM}] = -\operatorname{wt}[\overline{\partial} z_{Mi}] = -\operatorname{wt}(e_i^-).$$

Thus any product  $e_i^+ \wedge e_j^-$  will have weight zero if and only if i=j. Since the Kähler form  $\kappa$  is left  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -coinvariant, the product  $e_i^+ \wedge [\kappa]^{M-1} \wedge e_j^+$  will have degree 0 if and only if k=l. However, this element lives in  $\Phi(\Omega^{(M,M)})$  which is trivial as a right  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)$ -module. Thus if  $i\neq j$ , we must have that  $(e_i^+,e_j^+)=0$ . An analogous argument shows that the set of basis elements contained in  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  is orthogonal. Finally, since  $\Phi(\Omega^{(1,0)})$  and  $\Phi(\Omega^{(0,1)})$  are orthogonal spaces by Lemma 2.8, we see that the set of all degree 1 basis elements is orthogonal.

7.11. **CQH-Fano Spaces.** Based on the arguments and results around the noncommutative Bott–Borel–Weil theorem presented in §8.2, the following result was established in [23, Theorem 4.11].

**Theorem 7.16.** Let  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  be an irreducible quantum flag manifold. For all  $q \notin F$ , the Kähler structure  $(\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, \kappa)$  is a Fano structure.

As a direct consequence, each CQH-Kähler space presented in Theorem 7.17 is a CQH-Fano space, allowing us to calculate the Euler characteristic of each constituent complex structure.

Corollary 7.17. For each irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , there exists an open interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  around 1, such that a CQH-Fano space is given by the quadruple

(25) 
$$\mathbf{K}_{S} := \left( \mathcal{O}_{q}(G/L_{S}), \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa \right), \qquad \text{for all } q \in I$$

Moreover, the holomorphic Euler characteristic of  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  is given by

(26) 
$$\chi_{\overline{\partial}} = \dim(H^{(0,0)}) = 1.$$

*Proof.* It follows directly from Theorem 7.17 and Theorem 7.16 that we get a CQH-Fano space for every irreducible quantum flag manifold. The first equality in (26) now follows from Corollary 5.7. The second equality, giving the dimension of  $H^{(0,0)}$ , was established in [24] as the trivial line bundle case of the quantum Borel–Weil theorem presented in Theorem 8.3 below.

We finish this section by observing that since we have non-trivial Euler characteristic for each irreducible quantum flag manifold, any example for which the associated Dolbeault–Dirac operator gives a spectral triple will necessarily have a non-trivial associated K-homology class.

7.12. Comparing Dolbeault Cohomology and Cyclic Cohomology. Cyclic cohomology  $HC^k$ , as independently introduced by Connes [13] and Tsygan [83], is the standard replacement for de Rham cohomology in noncommutative geometry. However, when applied to quantum group examples it fails to preserve classical dimension. This phenomonen is informally known as dimension drop, and is regarded by many as an unpleasant feature of the theory. For example, it was shown by Masuda, Nakagami,

and Watanabe [53] that the cyclic cohomology of  $\mathcal{O}_q(SU_2)$  satisfies  $HC^3(\mathcal{O}_q(SU_2)) = 0$ . This work was extended by Feng and Tsygan [27], who computed the cyclic cohomology of each Drinfeld–Jimbo coordinate algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ . They showed that  $HC^k(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) = 0$ , for all k greater than the rank of G. Vanishing of cohomology occurs even at the level of the quantum flag manifolds. For the simplest case, which is to say the Podleś sphere, its cyclic cohomology satisfies  $HC^2(\mathcal{O}_q(S^2)) = 0$  [54].

As we now show, the dimension drop phenomenon does not occur for the de Rham cohomology of the Heckenberger–Kolb calculi, a fact which proposes it as a more natural cohomology theory. Just as for any classical compact Kähler manifold, it follows directly from the hard Lefschetz theorem that the central column Dolbeault cohomology groups  $H^{(k,k)}$  are non-zero for any positive Kähler structure. In particular, for any such structure, its even de Rham cohomology groups  $H^{2k}$  are non-zero. As an important application of Proposition 7.13, we can now conclude non-vanishing of the even cohomology groups of each irreducible quantum flag manifold.

**Theorem 7.18.** For any irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , such that  $q \in I$ , the Dolbeault cohomology  $H^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  of its Heckenberger–Kolb calculus  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  endowed with the complex structure  $\Omega^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$  satisfies

$$H^{(k,k)} \neq 0,$$
 for all  $k = 1, ..., M = \frac{1}{2} \dim \left(\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)\right).$ 

In particular, the de Rham cohomology  $H^{\bullet}$  of  $\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)$  satisfies

$$H^{2k} \neq 0,$$
 for all  $k = 1, ..., M = \frac{1}{2} \dim \left(\Omega_q^{\bullet}(G/L_S)\right).$ 

Remark 7.19. Twisted cyclic cohomology was introduced in [49] as an attempt to address the unpleasant dimension drop of cyclic cohomology. It generalises cyclic cohomology through the introduction of an algebra automorphism  $\sigma$ , which when  $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$  reduces to ordinary cyclic cohomology. For  $\mathcal{O}_q(SU_n)$ , with  $\sigma$  choosen to be the modular automorphism of the Haar state [45, §11.3.4], the dimension of the twisted cyclic cohomology coincides with the classical dimension [36]. Analagous results were obtained for the Podleś sphere in [35]. The relationship between twisted cyclic cohomology and the cohomology of the Heckenberger–Kolb calculi is at present unclear.

7.13. The Dolbeault–Dirac Spectral Triple of Quantum Projective Space. Finally we come to the question of which irreducible quantum flag manifolds have associated Dolbeault–Dirac spectral triples. As discussed earlier, we do not at present have an effective means of verifying the compact resolvent condition. Instead, we resort to explictly calculating the point spectrum of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator. In general, this is a very challenging technical task. However, as shown in [18], under the assumption of restricted multiplicities for the  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules occurring in  $\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}$ , the task becomes significantly more tractable. In particular, for the special case of quantum projective space  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ , the spectrum of  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  was completely determined in [18, §6].

**Theorem 7.20.** The point spectrum of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ , associated to the CQH-Kähler space

$$\left(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n), \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa\right)$$

has finite multiplicity and tends to infinity. Hence, a Dolbeault-Dirac spectral triple is given by

(27) 
$$\left(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n), L^2(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}), D_{\overline{\partial}}, \gamma\right).$$

Recall from Theorem 7.16 that the Kähler structure of the Heckenberger–Kolb calculus of each irreducible quantum flag manifold, and in particular each quantum projective space, is of Fano type. The following result is now implied by Corollary 5.12.

Corollary 7.21. The K-homology class associated to the pair of spectral triples in (27) is non-trivial. In particular

$$index(D_{\partial}) = index(D_{\overline{\partial}}) = 1.$$

Efforts to extend this result to all the irreducible quantum flag manifolds are in progress. See [18, §7] for a detailed discussion of the next most approachable families of examples. Here we satisfy ourselves with presenting this goal as a formal conjecture.

**Conjecture 7.22.** For any irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , where  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ , the point spectrum of the Dolbeault-Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$ , associated to the CQH-Kähler space

$$(\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S), \Omega^{\bullet}, \Omega^{(\bullet, \bullet)}, \kappa)$$

has finite multiplicity and tends to infinity. Hence a Dolbeault-Dirac spectral triple, with non-trivial associated K-homology class, is given by

$$\left(\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S), L^2\left(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)}\right), D_{\overline{\partial}}, \gamma\right).$$

**Example 7.23.** For the special case of the Podleś sphere, we now give an explicit presentation of the point spectrum of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator. For details on the derivation of these values, as well as a presentation of the general quantum projective space case, see [18].

The kernel of the Dolbeault–Dirac operator  $D_{\overline{\partial}}: \Omega^{(0,\bullet)} \to \Omega^{(0,\bullet)}$  is given by  $\mathbb{C}1$ . The non-zero eigenvalues of the Laplacian  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$  are given by

$$\mu_k := [k]_q [k+1]_q \qquad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Each corresponding eigenspace  $V_{\mu_k}$  is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, with a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module isomorphism given by

$$V_{\mu_k} \simeq V_{2k\varpi_1} \oplus V_{2k\varpi_1}.$$

In particular, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue  $\mu_k$  is given by  $\dim(V_{\mu_k}) = 4k + 2$ .

It is important to note is that  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is *not* an isospectral deformation of the classical Dolbeault–Dirac operator, which is to say, the spectrum is not invariant under deformation. This phenomenon extends to general quantum projective space [18], and conjecturally to all the irreducible quantum flag manifolds.

# 8. Twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Operators for $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$

In this section we apply the general theory of  $\S 6$  to the irreducible quantum flag manifolds and prove that upon twisting by appropriate line bundles, their Dolbeault–Dirac operators can be shown to be Fredholm operators. This is one of the principal results of the paper, showing that by applying the powerful tools of classical complex geometry to quantum spaces, one can prove general results about the spectral behaviour of their q-deformed differential operators. This is in contrast to the isospectral approach advanced by Connes and Landi [14]. Here one sets out a classical spectrum in advance, and then builds a noncommutative geometry around it (see [19, 20, 65] for examples). As shown in Example 7.23 above, the differential calculus approach will not in general leave the spectrum unchanged, and we consider this as a fundamental property of the noncommutative geometry of quantum groups.

8.1. Line Bundles over the Irreducible Quantum Flag Manifolds. In this subsection, we recall the necessary definitions and results about noncommutative line bundles over the irreducible quantum flag manifolds. For a more detailed discussion see [24] or [23].

From the Hopf \*-algebra embedding  $\iota: U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s) \hookrightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  we get the dual Hopf \*-algebra map  $\iota^{\circ}: U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\circ} \to U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)^{\circ}$ . By construction  $\mathcal{O}_q(G) \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\circ}$ , so we can consider the restriction map

$$\pi_S^s := \iota|_{\mathcal{O}_q(G)} : \mathcal{O}_q(G) \to U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)^\circ$$

and the Hopf \*-subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(L_S^s) := \pi_S^s(\mathcal{O}_q(G)) \subseteq U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)^\circ$ . We denote by

$$\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S^s) := \mathcal{O}_q(G)^{\operatorname{co}\left(\mathcal{O}_q(L_S^s)\right)} = O_q(G/L_S^s)^{U_q(\S_S^s)}$$

the CQGA homogeneous space associated to the Hopf \*-algebra map  $\pi_S^s$ .

It follows directly from the defining relations of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups that, for any set of integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ , the element  $K_1^{a_1} \cdots K_r^{a_r}$  commutes with any other element of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  up to a power of q. Thus finding a central element in  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)$  reduces to solving a system of linear equations in the variables  $a_i$ , with Cartan matrix coefficients. By invertibility of the Cartan matrix, this system admits non-trivial solutions meaning that  $U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)$  has non-trivial center.

By construction of the algebra  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S^s) = O_q(G/L_S^s)^{U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S^s)}$ , it must be closed under the action of any central element  $Z \in U_q(\mathfrak{l}_S)$ . Thus we have a well-defined  $U(\mathfrak{u}_1)$ -action on  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S^s)$ , or equivalently a  $\mathcal{O}(U_1)$ -coaction. This implies an associated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading

$$O_q(G/L_S^s) \simeq \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{E}_k,$$

with  $\mathcal{E}_k$  non-trivial, for all k. Each  $\mathcal{E}_k$  is clearly a bimodule over  $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ . Moreover, since the action of  $U(\mathfrak{u}_1)$  commutes with the left  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -coaction of  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S^s)$ , each  $\mathcal{E}_k$  must be an  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -sub-comodule of  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S^s)$ . It was shown in [24, Lemma 4.1] that

$$\mathcal{E}_k \in \mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S) \mod_0,$$
 for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

and hence it is projective. It was also shown that each  $\Phi(\mathcal{E}_k)$  is a 1-dimensional space. Thus, when q = 1, each  $\mathcal{E}_k$  reduces to the space of sections of a line bundle over  $G/L_S$ . Moreover, every line bundle over  $G/L_S$  is of this form

**Example 8.1.** For the special case of quantum projective space  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbb{CP}^n)$ , the quantum homogeneous space  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S^s)$  is given by the odd-dimensional quantum sphere  $\mathcal{O}_a(S^{2n-1})$ , where the decomposition into line bundles is well known [60, 67].

For the case of the quantum quadrics  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathbf{Q}_n)$ , the quantum homogeneous space  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S^s)$  is a q-deformation of the coordinate ring of  $V_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the Stieffel manifold of orthonormal 2-frames in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

8.2. The Bott-Borel-Weil Theorem. The Borel-Weil theorem [80] is an elegant geometric procedure for constructing all unitary irreducible representations of a compact Lie group. In this section we recall its noncommutative generalisation, as introduced in [24], and its role in establishing positivity and negativity for noncommutative line bundles, as presented in [23].

Classically, the line bundles over the irreducible flag manifolds admit a unique holomorphic structure. If we additionally assume left  $\mathcal{O}_q(G)$ -covariance, then uniqueness can be extended to the noncommutative setting (see [23] and [24] for details).

**Proposition 8.2.** Each  $\mathcal{E}_k$  possesses a unique covariant (0,1)-connection, which we denote by  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_k}$ . Moreover, each  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_k}$  is flat and hence forms a covariant holomorphic structure for  $\mathcal{E}_k$ .

We note that since  $\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_k}$  is covariant, its kernel, which is to say  $H^0_{\overline{\partial}}(\mathcal{E}_k)$ , is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ module. The following theorem, established for the quantum Grassmannians in [62], and for the general case in [24], directly generalises the classical Borel-Weil theorem, identifying  $H^0_{\overline{\partial}}(\mathcal{E}_k)$  as a fundamental representation of  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Theorem 8.3** (Borel-Weil). For each irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , we have  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module isomorphisms

- 1.  $H^{\underline{0}}_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_k}}(\mathcal{E}_k) \simeq V_{k\varpi_s},$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , 2.  $H^{\underline{0}}_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{-k}}}(\mathcal{E}_{-k}) = 0,$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As observed in [23], every line bundle over  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$  must be positive, flat, or negative. Combining this observation with the noncommutative Kodaira vanishing theorem one can conclude the following result from the Borel-Weil theorem (see [23] for details).

**Corollary 8.4.** For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that  $\mathcal{E}_k > 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{-k} < 0$ .

Through another application of the noncommutative Kodaira vanishing theorem, the following noncommutative generalisation of the Bott-Borel-Weil theorem [8] for positive line bundles was established in [23].

Corollary 8.5 (Bott-Borel-Weil). For each irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , and each  $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , it holds that

$$H^{(0,i)}(\mathcal{E}_l) = 0,$$
 for  $i = 1, \dots, M.$ 

8.3. Twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm Operators. With the appropriate cohomological and positivity results recalled, we are now ready to construct twisted Dolbeault–Dirac Fredholm operators for the irreducible quantum flag manifolds. This forms one of the most important results of the paper, producing explicit evidence of the geometry of the underlying calculus moulding the spectral behaviour of its noncommutative differential operators.

**Theorem 8.6.** For each irreducible quantum flag manifold  $\mathcal{O}_q(G/L_S)$ , and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $\mathcal{E}_{-k}$ -twisted Dolbeault–Dirac operator  $D^+_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{-k}}}$  is a Fredholm operator. Moreover,

Index 
$$\left(D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{-k}}}^{+}\right) = (-1)^{M} \dim(V_{k\varpi_{s}}).$$

Proof. Corollary 8.4 tells us that  $\mathcal{E}_{-k} < 0$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For the dual holomorphic vector bundle  $({}^{\vee}\mathcal{E}_{k}, \overline{\partial}{}_{{}^{\vee}\mathcal{E}_{k}})$ , it can be shown that  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,0)}({}^{\vee}\mathcal{E}_{k}) \simeq V_{k\varpi_{s}}$  using the same argument as for the left connection case presented in Theorem 8.3. Serre duality now implies that  $H_{\overline{\partial}}^{(0,M)}(\mathcal{E}_{-k}) \simeq V_{-w_{0}(\varpi_{s})}$ . It now follows from Corollary 6.18 that  $D_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{-k}}}^{+}$  is a Fredholm operator with index  $(-1)^{M} \dim(V_{k\varpi_{s}})$ .

**Example 8.7.** Returning to the instructive example of the quantum projective spaces, we recall the explicit curvature calculations presented in [52] for the special case of the Podleś sphere, and for all quantum projective spaces in [23]. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the curvature of the Chern connection of the Hermitian holomorphic line bundles  $(\mathcal{E}_k, h, \overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_k})$  is given by

(28) 
$$\nabla^2(e) = -\mathbf{i}(k)_{q^{-2/(n+1)}} \kappa \otimes e, \qquad \text{for all } e \in \mathcal{E}_k,$$

where we have used the alternative form of the quantum integer

$$(k)_{\alpha} := 1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{k},$$
 for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Hence we can see explicitly that  $\mathcal{E}_k > 0$ , for all k > 0.

If follows from (14) and 6.13 that, on the strict upper half of the Dolbeault double complex, the point spectrum of the twisted Laplacian  $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}_{k}}}$  is bounded below by

$$[k]_{q^{-2/(n+1)}} > 0.$$

Equation (28) shows how the classical integer curvatures of the line bundles over  $\mathbb{CP}^n$  deformed to q-integers. The case of the quantum Grassmannians, which will be discussed in later work, also has q-integer curvature. In general, the deformation of geometric integer quantities to q-integers is a ubiquitous phenomenon in the noncommutative geometry of quantum groups.

APPENDIX A. QUANTUM HOMOGENEOUS SPACES AND TAKEUCHI'S EQUIVALENCE

In this appendix we recall Takeuchi's equivalence [81] for quantum homogeneous spaces in the form most suited to our purposes. (See [23, Appendix A] for a more systematic presentation of the various versions of Takeuchi's equivalence.) Just as for the rest of the paper, A and H will always denote Hopf algebras defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ , with coproduct, counit, antipode denoted by  $\Delta$ ,  $\epsilon$ , and S respectively, without explicit reference to A or H.

A.1. Quantum Homogeneous Spaces. Let  $(V, \Delta_R)$  be a right A-comodule. We say that an element  $v \in V$  is *coinvariant* if  $\Delta_R(v) = v \otimes 1$ . We denote the subspace of all A-coinvariant elements by  $V^{\text{co}(A)}$ , and call it the *coinvariant subspace* of the coaction. We use the analogous conventions for left A-comodules.

**Definition A.1.** Let A and H be Hopf algebras. A homogeneous right H-coaction on A is a coaction of the form  $(id \otimes \pi) \circ \Delta$ , where  $\pi : A \to H$  is a surjective Hopf algebra map. A quantum homogeneous space  $B := A^{co(H)}$  is the coinvariant subspace of such a coaction.

As is easily verified, every quantum homogeneous space  $B := A^{\operatorname{co}(H)}$  is a left coideal subalgebra of A. We denote by  $\Delta_L : B \to A \otimes B$  the restriction to B of the coproduct of A. Moreover, if A and B are Hopf \*-algebras, and B is a \*-algebra map, then B is a \*-subalgebra of A.

For a quantum homogeneous space  $B = A^{\text{co}(H)}$ , the algebra A is said to be *faithfully flat* as a right B-module if the functor  $A \otimes_B - : {}_B \text{Mod} \to {}_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Mod}$ , from the category of left B-modules to the category of complex vector spaces, preserves and reflects exact sequences. It follows from [11, Corollary 3.4.5] that faithful flatness is automatic if A and B are Hopf \*-algebras and B is a \*-algebra map.

A.2. Takeuchi's Equivalence. For a quantum homogeneous space  $B = A^{co(H)}$ , we take the simplest extension of the standard version of Takeuchi's equivalence to a monoidal equivalence [68, §4], while simultaneously restricting to the sub-equivalence between finitely generated B-modules and finite-dimensional H-comodules [69, Corollary 2.5].

Let  $^{H}$  mod denote the category whose objects are finite-dimensional left H-comodules, with morphisms left H-comodule maps. In what follows, we construct an equivalence between this category and the following, ostensibly more involved, category.

**Definition A.2.** Let  ${}_{B}^{A} \text{mod}_{0}$  be the category whose objects are left A-comodules  $(\mathcal{F}, \Delta_{L})$  endowed with a B-bimodule structure such that

- 1.  $\Delta_L(bf) = \Delta_L(b)\Delta_L(f)$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{F}, b \in B$ ,
- 2.  $\mathcal{F}$  is finitely-generated as a left B-module,
- 3.  $\mathcal{F}B^+ = B^+\mathcal{F}$ , where  $B^+ := B \cap \ker(\varepsilon)$ ,

and whose morphisms are left A-comodule, B-bimodule, maps.

Consider next the functors

$$\Phi: {}^{A}_{B} \bmod_{0} \to {}^{H} \bmod, \qquad \qquad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}/B^{+}\mathcal{F},$$

$$\Psi: {}^{H} \bmod \to {}^{A}_{B} \bmod_{0}, \qquad \qquad V \mapsto A \square_{H} V,$$

where the left H-comodule structure of  $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$  is given by  $\Delta_L[f] := \pi(f_{(-1)}) \otimes [f_{(0)}]$  (with square brackets denoting the coset of an element in  $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ ) and the B-module and left A-comodule structures of  $\Psi(V)$  are defined on the first tensor factor.

**Theorem A.3** (Takeuchi's Equivalence). Let  $B = A^{co}$  be a quantum homogeneous space such that A is faithfully flat as a right B-module. An adjoint equivalence of categories

between  ${}^A_B \bmod_0$  and  ${}^H \bmod$  is given by the functors  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  and the natural isomorphisms

$$C: \Phi \circ \Psi(V) \to V, \qquad \left[\sum_{i} a^{i} \otimes v^{i}\right] \mapsto \sum_{i} \varepsilon(a^{i}) v^{i},$$

$$U: \mathcal{F} \to \Psi \circ \Phi(\mathcal{F}), \qquad f \mapsto f_{(-1)} \otimes [f_{(0)}].$$

We define the *dimension* of an object  $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{A}_{B} \text{mod}_{0}$  to be the vector space dimension of  $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ . We now present a simple but useful lemma which is needed for the proof of Corollary 4.3.

**Lemma A.4.** For any  $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{A}_{B} \text{mod}_{0}$ , it holds that

$$A(A \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F})) = A \otimes \Phi(\mathcal{F}).$$

*Proof.* As observed in [81, §1], an isomorphism is given by

$$u: A \otimes_B \mathcal{F} \to A \otimes \Phi(\mathcal{F}),$$
  $a \otimes_B f \mapsto af_{(-1)} \otimes [f_{(0)}].$ 

Since  $af_{(-1)} \otimes [f_{(0)}]$  is clearly contained in  $A(A \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F}))$ , we must have

$$A \otimes \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \simeq u(A \otimes_B \mathcal{F}) \subseteq A(A \square_H \Phi(\mathcal{F})).$$

Since the opposite inclusion is obvious, we have the required equality.

For  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$  two objects in  ${}^A_B \text{mod}_0$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  the usual bimodule tensor product endowed with the standard left A-comodule structure. It is easily checked that  $\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}$  is again an object in  ${}^A_B \text{mod}_0$ , and so, the tensor product  $\otimes_B$  gives the category a monoidal structure. With respect to the usual tensor product of comodules in  ${}^H \text{mod}$ , Takeuchi's equivalence is given the structure of a monoidal equivalence (see [68, §4] for details) by the morphisms

$$\mu_{\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}}: \Phi(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \to \Phi(\mathcal{E} \otimes_B \mathcal{F}), \quad [e] \otimes [f] \mapsto [e \otimes_B f], \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in {}_B^A \text{mod}_0.$$

In what follows, this monoidal equivalence will be tacitly assumed, along with the implied monoid structure on  $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ , for any monoid object  $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{B}^{A} \text{mod}_{0}$ .

A.3. Conjugates and Duals. We now discuss dual objects in the categories  ${}_{B}^{A}$ mod<sub>0</sub> and  ${}^{H}$ mod. Since  ${}^{H}$ mod is a rigid monoidal category,  ${}_{B}^{A}$ mod<sub>0</sub> is a rigid monoidal category. In particular, we have a well-defined notion of dual for every object  $\mathcal{F} \in {}_{B}^{A}$ mod<sub>0</sub>, which we denote by  ${}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}$ . Now  ${}_{B}^{A}$ mod<sub>0</sub> is a (non-full) monoidal subcategory of  ${}_{B}$ Mod<sub>B</sub> the category of  ${}_{B}$ -bimodules endowed with its usual tensor product, and  ${}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}$  is right dual to  $\mathcal{F}$  in  ${}_{B}$ Mod<sub>B</sub>. Thus since right duals are unique up to unique isomorphism,  ${}^{\vee}\mathcal{F}$  must be isomorphic to  ${}_{B}$ Hom( $\mathcal{F}$ ,  ${}_{B}$ ) as a  ${}_{B}$ -bimodule, justifying the abuse of notation.

Let us now assume that A and H are Hopf \*-algebras and that  $\pi$  is a Hopf \*-algebra map. For any object  $\mathcal{F} \in {}^{A}_{B} \mod_{0}$ , its *conjugate*  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is the B-bimodule defined by

$$B \times \overline{\mathcal{F}} \times B \to \overline{\mathcal{F}},$$
  $(b, \overline{f}, c) \mapsto \overline{c^* f b^*},$ 

endowed with a left A-comodule structure defined by

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} \mapsto A \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad \overline{f} \mapsto (f_{(-1)})^* \otimes \overline{f_{(0)}}.$$

As shown in [70, Corollary 2.11], the conjugate of  $\mathcal{F}$  is again an object in  ${}^{A}_{B}$ mod<sub>0</sub>. It is instructive to note that the corresponding operation on any object in  $V \in {}^{H}$ mod is the complex conjugate of V coming from the Hopf \*-algebra structure of H. Note that as a right B-module,  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is isomorphic to the conjugate of  $\mathcal{F}$  as defined in §2.12, justifying the abuse of notation.

For any  $V \in {}^{H}\text{mod}_{0}$ , its dual and conjugate modules are always isomorphic (for details see [45, Theorem 11.27]) implying the existence of covariant Hermitian structures as discussed in  $\S6.1$ .

## APPENDIX B. COMPACT QUANTUM GROUPS

In this appendix we present two complementary approaches to compact quantum groups. The first is purely Hopf algebraic and due to Koornwinder and Dijkhuizen [25]. The second approach is  $C^*$ -algebraic and due to Woronowicz [86]. Compact quantum group algebras can always be completed to  $C^*$ -algebraic quantum groups. Although the choice of completion is not unique in general, the two theories can be thought of as complementary viewpoints.

B.1. Compact Quantum Groups Algebras. For  $(V, \Delta_L)$  a left A-comodule, its space of matrix elements is the sub-coalgebra

$$\mathcal{C}(V) := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ (\operatorname{id} \otimes f) \Delta_L(v) \mid f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C}), v \in V \} \subseteq A.$$

A comodule is irreducible if and only if its coalgebra of matrix elements is irreducible, and, for W another left A-comodule, C(V) = C(W) if and only if V is isomorphic to W.

Let us now recall the definition of a cosemisimple Hopf algebra, a natural abstraction of the properties of a reductive algebraic group. (See [45, Theorem 11.13] for details.)

**Definition B.1.** A Hopf algebra A is called *cosemisimple* if it satisfies the following three equivalent conditions:

- 1.  $A \simeq \bigoplus_{V \in \widehat{A}} \mathcal{C}(V)$ , where summation is over  $\widehat{A}$ , the class of all equivalence classes of irreducible left A-comodules,
- 2. the abelian category <sup>A</sup>Mod of left A-comodules is semisimple,
- 3. there exists a unique linear map  $\mathbf{h}: A \to \mathbb{C}$ , called the *Haar functional*, such that  $\mathbf{h}(1) = 1$ , and

$$(id \otimes \mathbf{h}) \circ \Delta(a) = \mathbf{h}(a)1, \qquad (\mathbf{h} \otimes id) \circ \Delta(a) = \mathbf{h}(a)1, \qquad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

In this paper we will be concerned principally with Hopf \*-algebras. In the cosemisimple setting it is natural to require the following compatibility between the \*-map and the Hopf algebra.

**Definition B.2.** A compact quantum group algebra, or a CQGA, is a cosemisimple Hopf \*-algebra A such that the Haar functional  $\mathbf{h}$  is positive, which is to say  $\mathbf{h}(a^*a) > 0$ , for all non-zero  $a \in A$ .

B.2.  $C^*$ -Algebraic Compact Quantum Groups. Compact quantum group algebras are the algebraic counterpart of Woronowicz's  $C^*$ -algebraic notion of a compact quantum group [86]. (Note that in the following definition,  $\otimes_{\min}$  denotes the minimal tensor product of two  $C^*$ -algebras [63, §6].)

**Definition B.3.** A compact quantum group, or simply a CQG, is a pair  $(\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\Delta$  is a unital \*-homomorphism  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ , such that

- 1.  $(\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ ,
- 2. the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear spans of  $(\mathcal{A} \otimes 1)\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  and  $(1 \otimes \mathcal{A})\Delta(\mathcal{A})$  are dense in  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}$ .

Every CQGA can be completed to a CQG, and every such completion admits an extension of  $\mathbf{h}$  to a  $C^*$ -algebraic state. Moreover, every CQG arises as the completion of a CQGA [82, Theorem 5.4.1]. It is important to note that this completion will not, in general, be unique. However, every completion lives between a smallest and a largest completion, analogous to the full and reduced group  $C^*$ -algebras [82, §5.4.2].

The completion relevant to this paper is the smallest completion, whose construction we now briefly recall. (See [82,  $\S 5.4.2$ ] for a more detailed presentation.) For **h** the Haar functional of a CQGA A, an inner product is defined on A by

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{h}} : A \times A \to \mathbb{C},$$
  $(a, b) \mapsto \mathbf{h}(ab^*).$ 

Consider now the faithful \*-representation  $\rho_A: A^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, A)$ , uniquely defined by  $\rho_A(a)(b) := ba$ , where  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(A, A)$  denotes the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear operators on A. For all  $a \in A$ , the operator  $\rho_A(a)$  is bounded with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_h$ . Hence, denoting by  $L^2(A)$  the associated Hilbert space completion of A, each operator  $\rho_A(a)$  extends to an element of  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(A))$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{red}}$  the corresponding closure of  $\rho_A(A)$  in  $\mathbb{B}(L^2(A))$ . The coproduct of A extends to a \*-homomorphism  $\Delta: \mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{red}} \to \mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{red}} \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{red}}$ , and together the pair  $(\mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{red}}, \Delta)$  forms a CQG. Two CQGAs can be shown to be isomorphic if and only if their reduced CQGs are isomorphic where we refer to [82, §5.4.2] for the precise definition of isomorphism in each case.

## APPENDIX C. ELEMENTARY RESULTS ON UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

In this appendix, we present the rudiments of the theory of unbounded operators on Hilbert spaces, with a view to making the paper more accessible to those coming from an algebraic or geometric background. For more details we refer the reader to the standard texts [77] and [40].

C.1. Closed and Closable Operators. Let  $T: \text{dom}(T) \to \mathcal{H}$  be a not necessarily bounded operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , with dom(T) denoting its domain of definition. The graph of T is the subset

$$\mathcal{G}(T) := \{(x, T(x)) \mid x \in \text{dom}(T)\} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}.$$

We say that an operator  $T: \text{dom}(T) \to \mathcal{H}$  is closed if its graph  $\mathcal{G}(T)$  is closed in the direct sum  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ . Equivalently, T is closed if for any sequence  $\{x_x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in dom(T) converging to  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\{T(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ , we necessarily have  $x \in \text{dom}(T)$  and T(x) = y. We say that an operator T is closable if the closure of its

graph in  $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$  is the graph of a (necessarily closed) operator  $T^c$ , which we call the *closure* of T. When no confusion arises we will not distinguish notationally between an operator and its closure.

C.2. Adjoints of Unbounded Operators. For  $T: \text{dom}(T) \to \mathcal{H}$  a densely-defined operator, there is an associated operator  $T^{\dagger}$ , called its *adjoint*, generalising the adjoint of a bounded operator: The domain of  $T^{\dagger}$  consists of those elements  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\psi_x : \operatorname{dom}(T) \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad y \mapsto \langle T(y), x \rangle$$

is a continuous linear functional. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ , such that  $\langle y, z \rangle = \langle T(y), x \rangle$ , for all  $y \in \text{dom}(T)$ . The operator  $T^{\dagger}$  is then defined as

$$T^{\dagger}: \operatorname{dom}(T^{\dagger}) \to \mathcal{H}, \qquad x \mapsto z.$$

As established in [77, Theorem 13.8], for a densely-defined operator T, it holds that  $\mathcal{G}(T^{\dagger}) = \{(-y,x) \mid (x,y) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}\}^{\perp}$ . Consequently, the adjoint of a densely-defined operator is always closed. From this it is easy to conclude that, if  $T^{\dagger}$  is densely-defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}((T^{\dagger})^{\dagger}) = \overline{\mathcal{G}(T)}$ . Thus any operator whose adjoint is densely-defined is necessarily closable.

C.3. Essentially Self-Adjoint Operators. A densely-defined operator T is said to be symmetric if

$$\langle T(x), y \rangle = \langle x, T(y) \rangle,$$
 for all  $x, y \in \text{dom}(T)$ .

For any symmetric operator T it is easy to see that  $dom(T) \subseteq dom(T^{\dagger})$ . Thus, from the discussion of the previous subsection, every densely-defined symmetric operator is automatically closable. An operator T is said to be self-adjoint if it is symmetric and  $dom(T) = dom(T^{\dagger})$ , and is said to be  $essentially \ self$ -adjoint if it is closable and its closure is self-adjoint. As explained in [77, §13.20], a densely-defined symmetric operator is essentially self-adjoint if the operators  $T + \mathbf{i} \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $T - \mathbf{i} \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$  have dense range.

C.4. Operator Spectra and Functional Calculus. A complex number  $\lambda$  is said to be in the resolvent set  $\rho(D)$  of an unbounded operator  $D : \text{dom}(D) \to \mathcal{H}$ , if the operator

$$D - \lambda \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H}} : \operatorname{dom}(D) \to \mathcal{H},$$

has a bounded inverse, that is, if there exists a bounded operator  $S: \mathcal{H} \to \text{dom}(D)$  such that  $S \circ (T - \lambda \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}) = \text{id}_{\text{dom}(D)}$  and  $(T - \lambda \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}) \circ S = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . The spectrum of D, which we denote by  $\sigma(D)$ , is the complement of  $\rho(D)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Just as in the bounded case, self-adjoint operators have real spectrum. In particular,  $D + \mathbf{i}$  id is always invertible, giving sense to the compact resolvent condition of a spectral triple. We denote the set of eigenvalues of D by  $\sigma_P(D)$  and call it the point spectrum of D. It is clear from the definition of the spectrum that  $\sigma_P(D) \subseteq \sigma(D)$ .

We now recall the functional calculus for unbounded self-adjoint operators: For any self-adjoint operator D, and any bounded Borel function  $f: \sigma(T) \to \mathbb{C}$ , one can associate a bounded operator  $f(T): \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ . This extends the usual functional calculus for

bounded operators (see [40, §1.8] for details). For the special case when D is diagonalisable, the case of interest in this paper, f(D) admits a simple explicit description: Let  $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$  be any diagonalisation of D, where  $D(e_n) = \lambda_n e_n$ , then f(D) is the unique bounded linear operator defined by

$$f(D)(e_n) = f(\lambda)e_n,$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

This gives sense to the definition of the bounded transform of a spectral triple in (29).

#### Appendix D. Spectral Triples

In this appendix we recall the definition of a spectral triple, or unbounded K-homology class, the object around which Connes constructed his notion of a noncommutative Riemannian spin manifold [13]. In particular, we discuss when a CQH-Hermitian space gives rise to such a structure. Spectral triples provide a means for calculating the index pairing between the K-theory and K-homology groups of a  $C^*$ -algebra. They abstract the properties of classical Riemannian spin manifolds: A prototypical example of a spectral triple is given by

$$(C^{\infty}(M), D_{\overline{\partial}}, L^2(\Omega^{(0,\bullet)})),$$

where M is a compact Hermitian manifold and  $D_{\overline{\partial}}$  is its Dolbeault–Dirac operator. For a presentation of the classical Dolbeault–Dirac operator of an Hermitian manifold as a commutative spectral triple, see [40] or [31]. For a standard reference on the general theory of spectral triples, see [28] or [10]. A presentation of the relationship between Hermitian and spin manifolds is given in [1, Proposition 3.2].

D.1. K-homology. We begin by carefully recalling the definition of K-homology, starting with the notion of a Fredholm module.

**Definition D.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra. A Fredholm module over  $\mathcal{A}$  is a triple  $(\mathcal{H}, F, \lambda)$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $\rho : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a \*-representation, and  $F \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$F^2 - 1,$$
  $F - F^*,$   $[F, \rho(a)],$ 

are all compact operators, for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . An even Fredholm module is a Fredholm module  $(\mathcal{H}, F, \rho)$  together with a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading  $\gamma$  of the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , with respect to which F is a degree 1 operator, and  $\rho(a)$  is a degree 0 operator, for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The direct sum of two even Fredholm modules is formed by taking the direct sum of Hilbert spaces, representations, and operators. For  $(\mathcal{H}, F, \rho)$  an even Fredholm module, and  $u: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$  a degree 0 unitary transformation, the triple  $(\mathcal{H}', uFu^*, u\rho u^*)$  is again a Fredholm module. This defines an equivalence relation on Fredholm modules over  $\mathcal{A}$ , which we call unitary equivalence. Moreover, we say that a norm continuous family of Fredholm modules  $(\mathcal{H}, F_t, \rho)$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , defines an operator homotopy between the two Fredholm modules  $(\mathcal{H}, F_0, \rho)$  and  $(\mathcal{H}, F_1, \rho)$ .

**Definition D.2.** The K-homology group  $K^0(\mathcal{A})$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is the abelian group with one generator for each unitary equivalence class of even Fredholm modules, subject to the following relations: For any two even Fredholm modules  $\mathcal{M}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_1$ ,

- 1.  $[\mathcal{M}_0] = [\mathcal{M}_1]$  if there exists an operator homotopy between  $\mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$ ,
- 2.  $[\mathcal{M}_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}_1] = [\mathcal{M}_0] + [\mathcal{M}_1]$ , where + denotes addition in  $K^0(\mathcal{A})$ .

For any Fredholm module  $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{H}, F, \rho)$ , we see that a Fredholm operator is defined by  $F_+ := F|_{\mathcal{H}_+} : \mathcal{H}_+ \to \mathcal{H}_-$ . Moreover, a well-defined group homomorphism is given by

Index: 
$$K^0(A) \to \mathbb{Z}$$
,  $[\mathcal{M}] \mapsto \operatorname{Index}(F_+) = \ker(F_+) - \operatorname{cokernel}(F_+)$ .

D.2. **Spectral Triples and the Bounded Transform.** In practice the calculation of the index of a K-homology class, or more generally its pairing with K-theory, can prove difficult. However, the work of Baaj and Julg [2], and Connes and Moscovici [15], shows that by considering spectral triples, unbounded representatives of K-homology classes, the problem can often become more tractable.

**Definition D.3.** A spectral triple  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$  consists of a unital \*-algebra A, a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , endowed with a faithful \*-representation  $\lambda : A \to \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , and  $D : \text{dom}(D) \to \mathcal{H}$  a densely-defined self-adjoint operator, such that

- 1.  $\lambda(a)\operatorname{dom}(D)\subseteq\operatorname{dom}(D)$ , for all  $a\in A$ ,
- 2.  $[D, \lambda(a)]$  is a bounded operator, for all  $a \in A$ ,
- 3.  $(D^2 + \mathbf{i})^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$  denotes the compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

An even spectral triple is a quadruple  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma)$ , consisting of a spectral triple  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$ , and a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$  of Hilbert spaces  $\gamma$ , with respect to which D is a degree 1 operator, and  $\lambda(a)$  is a degree 0 operator, for each  $a \in A$ .

Spectral triples are important primarily because they provide unbounded representatives for K-homology classes. For a spectral triple  $(A, \mathcal{H}, D)$ , its bounded transform is the operator

(29) 
$$\mathfrak{b}(D) := \frac{D}{\sqrt{1+D^2}} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

defined via the functional calculus. Denoting by  $\overline{A}$  the closure of  $\lambda(A)$  with respect to the operator topology of  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , a Fredholm module is given by  $(\mathcal{H}, \lambda, \mathfrak{b}(D))$ . (See [9] for details.) The index of the Fredholm operator  $D_+$  is clearly equal to the index of the bounded transform. Since the index is an invariant of K-homology classes, a spectral triple with non-zero index has a non-trivial associated K-homology class

D.3. Fröhlich–Grandjean–Recknagel Sets of Kähler Spectral Data. At this point we find it interesting to recall an alternative approach to noncommutative Hermitian and Kähler geometry appearing in the literature. In a series of papers [33, 32] Fröhlich, Grandjean, and Recknagel introduced sets of symplectic spectral data, Hermitian spectral data, Kähler spectral data, and hyper-Kähler spectral data. These are essentially spectral triples, modelled on the de Rham–Dirac operator  $d+d^{\dagger}: dom(d+d^{\dagger}) \to L^{2}(\Omega^{\bullet})$  of a compact Riemannian manifold, together with additional linear operators on  $L^{2}(\Omega^{\bullet})$ , generalising the structure of the de Rham complex of a symplectic, Hermitian, Kähler, or hyper-Kähler manifold respectively. The noncommutative 2-torus  $\mathbb{T}_{\alpha}$  was taken as the motivating example, while new examples, coming from  $C^*$ -dynamical systems, have recently been discovered by Guin in [34].

The approach of Fröhlich, Grandjean, and Recknagel shares many commonalities with CQH-Hermitian spaces. Analogues of the Hodge map  $*_{\sigma}$ , and the grading operators  $\gamma$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\overline{\tau}$  form part of the definition of an Hermitian spectral data, where they are denoted \*,  $\gamma$ , T and  $\overline{T}$  respectively (see [33, Definition 2.6] for details). Moreover, analogues of the identities in Corollary 3.11 are taken as part of the definition of a set of Kähler spectral data [33, Definition 2.28].

#### References

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, Riemann surfaces and spin structures, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), 4 (1971), pp. 47–62.
- [2] S. Baaj and P. Julg, Théorie bivariante de Kasparov et opérateurs non bornés dans les C\*-modules hilbertiens, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 296 (1983), pp. 875–878.
- [3] R. J. BASTON AND M. G. EASTWOOD, *The Penrose transform. Its interaction with representation theory*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989. Oxford Science Publications.
- [4] E. Beggs and S. Majid, Spectral triples from bimodule connections and Chern connections, J. Noncommut. Geom., 11 (2017), pp. 669–701.
- [5] ——, Quantum Riemannian Geometry, vol. 355 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer International Publishing, 1 ed., 2019.
- [6] E. Beggs and P. S. Smith, Noncommutative complex differential geometry, J. Geom. Phys., 72 (2013), pp. 7–33.
- [7] S. BHATTACHARJEE, I. BISWAS, AND D. GOSWAMI, Generalized symmetry in noncommutative complex geometry. arXiv preprint math.QA/1907.04673.
- [8] R. Bott, Homogeneous vector bundles, Ann. of Math. (2), 66 (1957), pp. 203-248.
- [9] A. CAREY AND J. PHILLIPS, Unbounded Fredholm modules and spectral flow, Canad. J. Math., 50 (1998), pp. 673–718.
- [10] A. L. CAREY, J. PHILLIPS, AND A. RENNIE, Spectral triples: examples and index theory, in Noncommutative geometry and physics: renormalisation, motives, index theory, ESI Lect. Math. Phys., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011, pp. 175–265.
- [11] A. CHIRVASITU, Relative Fourier transforms and expectations on coideal subalgebras, J. Algebra, 516 (2018), pp. 271–297.
- [12] A. CHIRVASITU AND M. TUCKER-SIMMONS, Remarks on quantum symmetric algebras, J. Algebra, 397 (2014), pp. 589–608.
- [13] A. Connes, Noncommutative geometry, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [14] A. CONNES AND G. LANDI, Noncommutative manifolds, the instanton algebra and isospectral deformations, Comm. Math. Phys., 221 (2001), pp. 141–159.
- [15] A. CONNES AND H. MOSCOVICI, The local index formula in noncommutative geometry, Geom. Funct. Anal., 5 (1995), pp. 174–243.
- [16] F. D'Andrea and L. Dabrowski, Dirac operators on quantum projective spaces, Comm. Math. Phys., 295 (2010), pp. 731–790.
- [17] F. D'Andrea, L. Dabrowski, and G. Landi, *The noncommutative geometry of the quantum projective plane*, Rev. Math. Phys., 20 (2008), pp. 979–1006.
- [18] B. Das, R. Ó Buachalla, and P. Somberg, Dolbeault-Dirac spectral triples on quantum homogeneous spaces. arXiv preprint math.QA/1903.07599.
- [19] L. DĄBROWSKI, G. LANDI, A. SITARZ, W. D. VAN SUIJLEKOM, AND J. C. VÁRILLY, The Dirac operator on  $SU_q(2)$ , Comm. Math. Phys., 259 (2005), pp. 729–759.
- [20] ——, The local index formula for  $SU_q(2)$ , K-Theory, 35 (2005), pp. 375–394.
- [21] L. DABROWSKI AND A. SITARZ, Dirac operator on the standard Podleś quantum sphere, in Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups (Warsaw, 2001), vol. 61 of Banach Center Publ., Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2003, pp. 49–58.
- [22] J.-P. Demailly, Complex analytic and differential geometry. Available at https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf.

- [23] F. DÍAZ GARCÍA, A. KRUTOV, R. Ó BUACHALLA, P. SOMBERG, AND K. R. STRUNG, Positive line bundles over the irreducible quantum flag manifolds. arXiv preprint math.QA/1912.08802.
- [24] F. DÍAZ GARCÍA, R. Ó BUACHALLA, AND E. WAGNER, A Borel-Weil theorem for the irreducible quantum flag manifolds. In preparation.
- [25] M. S. DIJKHUIZEN AND T. H. KOORNWINDER, CQG algebras: a direct algebraic approach to compact quantum groups, Lett. Math. Phys., 32 (1994), pp. 315–330.
- [26] L. D. FADDEEV, N. Y. RESHETIKHIN, AND L. A. TAKHTADZHYAN, Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Algebra i Analiz, 1 (1989), pp. 178–206.
- [27] P. Feng and B. Tsygan, Hochschild and cyclic homology of quantum groups, Comm. Math. Phys., 140 (1991), pp. 481–521.
- [28] H. FIGUEROA, J. M. GRACIA-BONDÍA, AND J. C. VÁRILLY, Elements of noncommutative geometry, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. [Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basel Textbooks], Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [29] J. M. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL, C. KÖHL, AND B. SPENCE, Supersymmetry and the cohomology of (hyper)Kähler manifolds, Nuclear Phys. B, 503 (1997), pp. 614-626.
- [30] I. FORSYTH, B. MESLAND, AND A. RENNIE, Dense domains, symmetric operators and spectral triples, New York J. Math., 20 (2014), pp. 1001–1020.
- [31] T. FRIEDRICH, Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry, vol. 25 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. Translated from the 1997 German original by Andreas Nestke.
- [32] J. FRÖHLICH, O. GRANDJEAN, AND A. RECKNAGEL, Supersymmetric quantum theory, noncommutative geometry, and gravitation, in Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998, pp. 221–385.
- [33] ——, Supersymmetric quantum theory and non-commutative geometry, Comm. Math. Phys., 203 (1999), pp. 119–184.
- [34] S. Guin, Noncommutative Kähler structure on C\*-dynamical systems, J. Geom. Phys., 146 (2019), p. 103492.
- [35] T. Hadfield, Twisted cyclic homology of all Podleś quantum spheres, J. Geom. Phys., 57 (2007), pp. 339–351.
- [36] T. HADFIELD AND U. KRÄHMER, On the Hochschild homology of quantum SL(N), C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 343 (2006), pp. 9–13.
- [37] I. HECKENBERGER AND S. KOLB, The locally finite part of the dual coalgebra of quantized irreducible flag manifolds, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 89 (2004), pp. 457–484.
- [38] \_\_\_\_\_, De Rham complex for quantized irreducible flag manifolds, J. Algebra, 305 (2006), pp. 704–741.
- [39] ——, On the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand resolution for Kac-Moody algebras and quantized enveloping algebras, Transform. Groups, 12 (2007), pp. 647–655.
- [40] N. HIGSON AND J. ROE, Analytic K-homology, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.
- [41] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, vol. 9 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978. Second printing, revised.
- [42] D. Huybrechts, Complex geometry: an introduction, universitext, Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [43] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the Q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Duke Math. J., 63 (1991), pp. 465–516.
- [44] M. KHALKHALI, G. LANDI, AND W. D. VAN SUIJLEKOM, Holomorphic structures on the quantum projective line, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2011), pp. 851–884.
- [45] A. KLIMYK AND K. SCHMÜDGEN, Quantum Groups and Their Representations, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [46] J.-L. KOSZUL AND B. MALGRANGE, Sur certaines structures fibrées complexes, Arch. Math. (Basel), 9 (1958), pp. 102–109.
- [47] U. KRÄHMER, Dirac operators on quantum flag manifolds, Lett. Math. Phys., 67 (2004), pp. 49–59.
- [48] U. Krähmer and M. Tucker-Simmons, On the Dolbeault-Dirac operator of quantized symmetric spaces, Trans. London Math. Soc., 2 (2015), pp. 33–56.

- [49] J. KUSTERMANS, G. J. MURPHY, AND L. TUSET, Quantum groups, differential calculi and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 357 (2005), pp. 4681–4717.
- [50] G. Lusztig, Introduction to quantum groups, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2010. Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [51] S. Majid, Foundations of quantum group theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [52] ——, Noncommutative Riemannian and spin geometry of the standard q-sphere, Comm. Math. Phys., 256 (2005), pp. 255–285.
- [53] T. MASUDA, Y. NAKAGAMI, AND J. WATANABE, Noncommutative differential geometry on the quantum SU(2). I. An algebraic viewpoint, K-Theory, 4 (1990), pp. 157–180.
- [54] —, Noncommutative differential geometry on the quantum two sphere of Podleś. I. An algebraic viewpoint, K-Theory, 5 (1991), pp. 151–175.
- [55] M. MATASSA, Dolbeault-Dirac operators, quantum Clifford algebras and the Parthasarathy formula, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr., 27 (2017), pp. 1581–1609.
- [56] ——, On the Dolbeault-Dirac operators on quantum projective spaces, J. Lie Theory, 28 (2018), pp. 211–244.
- [57] ——, Kähler structures on quantum irreducible flag manifolds, J. Geom. Phys., 145 (2019), pp. 103477, 16.
- [58] ——, The Parthasarathy formula and a spectral triple for the quantum Lagrangian Grassmannian of rank two, Lett. Math. Phys., 109 (2019), pp. 1703–1734.
- [59] B. MESLAND, Unbounded bivariant K-theory and correspondences in noncommutative geometry, J. Reine Angew. Math., 691 (2014), pp. 101–172.
- [60] U. MEYER, Projective quantum spaces, Lett. Math. Phys., 35 (1995), pp. 91–97.
- [61] A. MOROIANU, Lectures on Kähler geometry, vol. 69 of London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [62] C. MROZINSKI AND R. Ó BUACHALLA, A Borel-Weil theorem for the quantum Grassmannians. arXiv preprint math.QA/1611.07969.
- [63] G. J. Murphy, C\*-algebras and operator theory, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- [64] G. NAGY, Deformation quantization and K-theory, in Perspectives on quantization (South Hadley, MA, 1996), vol. 214 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 111–134.
- [65] S. NESHVEYEV AND L. TUSET, The Dirac operator on compact quantum groups, J. Reine Angew. Math., 641 (2010), pp. 1–20.
- [66] R. Ó BUACHALLA, Conjugate pairs and first-order complex structures. In preparation.
- [67] ——, Quantum bundle description of quantum projective spaces, Comm. Math. Phys., 316 (2012), pp. 345–373.
- [68] —, Noncommutative complex structures on quantum homogeneous spaces, J. Geom. Phys., 99 (2016), pp. 154–173.
- [69] ——, Noncommutative Kähler structures on quantum homogeneous spaces, Adv. Math., 322 (2017), pp. 892–939.
- [70] R. Ó BUACHALLA, J. ŠT'OVIČEK, AND A.-C. VAN ROOSMALEN, A Kodaira vanishing theorem for noncommutative Kähler structures. arXiv preprint math.QA/1801.08125., 2018.
- [71] R. OWCZAREK, Dirac operator on the Podleś sphere, vol. 40, 2001, pp. 163–170. Clifford algebras and their applications (Ixtapa, 1999).
- [72] P. Podleś, Quantum spheres, Lett. Math. Phys., 14 (1987), pp. 193–202.
- [73] ——, Differential calculus on quantum spheres, Lett. Math. Phys., 18 (1989), pp. 107–119.
- [74] E. Poletaeva, Superconformal algebras and Lie superalgebras of the Hodge theory, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., 10 (2003), pp. 141–147.
- [75] A. Polishchuk and A. Schwarz, Categories of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori, Comm. Math. Phys., 236 (2003), pp. 135–159.
- [76] M. Rosso, An analogue of B.G.G. resolution for the quantum SL(N)-group, in Symplectic geometry and mathematical physics (Aix-en-Provence, 1990), vol. 99 of Progr. Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1991, pp. 422–432.
- [77] W. Rudin, Functional analysis, International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, second ed., 1991.

- [78] M. Schechter, Basic theory of Fredholm operators, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), 21 (1967), pp. 261–280.
- [79] G. M. Seitz, The maximal subgroups of classical algebraic groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 67 (1987), pp. iv+286.
- [80] J.-P. Serre, Représentations linéaires et espaces homogènes kählériens des groupes de Lie compacts (d'après Armand Borel et André Weil), in Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 2, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995, pp. Exp. No. 100, 447–454.
- [81] M. Takeuchi, Relative Hopf modules—equivalences and freeness criteria, J. Algebra, 60 (1979), pp. 452–471.
- [82] T. TIMMERMANN, An invitation to quantum groups and duality, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008. From Hopf algebras to multiplicative unitaries and beyond.
- [83] B. L. TSYGAN, Homology of matrix Lie algebras over rings and the Hochschild homology, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 38 (1983), pp. 217–218.
- [84] C. VOIGT AND R. YUNCKEN, Equivariant Fredholm modules for the full quantum flag manifold of SU<sub>q</sub>(3), Doc. Math., 20 (2015), pp. 433–490.
- [85] A. Weil, Introduction à l'étude des variétés kählériennes, no. 1267 in Publications de l'Institut de Mathématique de l'Université de Nancago, VI., Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [86] S. L. WORONOWICZ, Compact matrix pseudogroups, Comm. Math. Phys., 111 (1987), pp. 613-665.
- [87] ——, Twisted SU(2) group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 23 (1987), pp. 117–181.

LABORATORY OF ADVANCED COMBINATORICS AND NETWORK APPLICATIONS, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS AND TECHNOLOGY, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

Instytut Matematyczny, Uniwersytet Wrocławski, pl.Grunwaldzki  $2/4,\,50\text{-}384$  Wrocław, Poland

E-mail address: biswarup.das@math.uni.wroc.pl

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, UNIVERSITÉ LIBRE DE BRUXELLES, BOULEVARD DU TRIOMPHE, B-1050 BRUXELLES, BELGIUM

E-mail address: reamonnobuachalla@gmail.com

 $\label{thm:matical_institute} \mbox{Mathematical Institute of Charles University, Sokolovská 83, Prague, Czech Republic $E{-}mail \ address: } \mbox{somberg@karlin.mff.cuni.cz}$