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Abstract. We prove that polynomial valuations on vector lattices correspond to orthosymmetric multilinear maps. As a consequence we obtain a concise proof of the equivalence of orthosymmetry and orthogonal additivity.

1. Introduction

We use $E, E_1, \ldots, E_n$ and $F$ to denote Archimedean vector lattices and $V$ to denote a vector space. An $s$-linear map $T: E \times \ldots \times E \to V$ is called orthosymmetric if $T(x_1, \ldots, x_s) = 0$ for all $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in E$ for which there exist $i \neq j$ in $\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $x_i \bot x_j$ (see [5]). An $s$-homogeneous polynomial $P: E \to F$ is called orthogonally additive if $P(x + y) = P(x) + P(y)$ whenever $x \bot y$ (see [17]).

The equivalence between orthosymmetric multilinear maps on vector lattices and orthogonally additive polynomials has been well studied. The tools used to arrive at this result up to now have always included either integral representations for orthogonally additive polynomials, as in [6] and [12], or notions of partitionally orthosymmetric maps, as in [2], [10], and [14]. In this paper we present a better tool to connect orthogonal additivity with orthosymmetry: polynomial valuations.

We prove a straightforward correspondence between polynomial valuations and orthosymmetric multilinear maps (Theorem 2.2). For good measure, we employ Hammerstein polynomials as an intermediary. Second, we use the correspondence between polynomial valuations and orthosymmetric maps to link orthogonal additivity to orthosymmetry (Theorem 2.7).

2. Main Results

The approach to the first result of this paper (Theorem 2.2) is inspired by [9], whose authors considered arbitrary mappings between vector lattices that satisfy the Hammerstein property. We
instead consider polynomials. Let \( P: E \to V \) be an s-homogeneous polynomial. The unique symmetric s-linear map \( T: E \times ... \times E \to V \) for which \( P(x) = T(x, ..., x) \) is denoted by \( \bar{P} \). We say \( P \) has the Hammerstein property if \( P(x + y + z) - P(x + z) = P(y + z) - P(z) \) for all \( x, y, z \in E \) for which \( x \not\perp y \). We call \( P \) a polynomial valuation if \( P(x) + P(y) = P(x \land y) + P(x \lor y) \) for all \( x, y \in E \).

We will use the following lemma to prove a correspondence between polynomials with the Hammerstein property and orthosymmetric multilinear maps.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( s \geq 2 \). Let \( P: E \to F \) be an s-homogeneous polynomial. If \( P \) has the Hammerstein property, then
\[
\sum_{\delta_i = 0,1} (-1)^{s-\sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i} P\left( x + \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i x_i \right) = 0
\]
for all \( x, x_1, ..., x_s \in E^+ \) for which \( x_i \not\perp x_j \) for some \( i \neq j \) in \( \{1, ..., s\} \).

**Proof.** If \( s = 2 \), then the conclusion follows from the Hammerstein property. Assume the lemma holds for some \( s \geq 2 \). We prove that the lemma holds for \( s + 1 \). We may assume \( x_1 \perp x_2 \). By distinguishing between \( \delta_{s+1} = 1 \) and \( \delta_{s+1} = 0 \), respectively, we obtain
\[
\sum_{\delta_i = 0,1} (-1)^{s+1-\sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \delta_i} P\left( x + \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \delta_i x_i \right) = \\
\sum_{\delta_i = 0,1} (-1)^{s-\sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i} P\left( x_{s+1} + x + \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i x_i \right) - \\
\sum_{\delta_i = 0,1} (-1)^{s-\sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i} P\left( x + \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i x_i \right) = 0
\]
where the final equality follows from applying the induction hypothesis to the above pair of sums.

We will now prove the connection between polynomial valuations and orthosymmetric multilinear maps.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( s \geq 2 \) and let \( P: E \to V \) be an s-homogeneous polynomial. The following are equivalent.

1. \( P \) is a valuation.
2. \( P|_{E^+} \) is a valuation.
3. \( P \) has the Hammerstein property.
4. \( P|_{E^+} \) has the Hammerstein property.
5. \( \bar{P} \) is orthosymmetric.
6. \( \bar{P}|_{E^+ \times ... \times E^+} \) is orthosymmetric.
Proof.

(1) ⇒ (2) This implication is trivial.

(2) ⇒ (4) Let \(x, y, z \in E^+\) with \(x \perp y\). If \(u = x + z\) and if \(v = y + z\) then

\[
P(x + y + z) - P(x + z) - P(y + z) + P(z) = P(x \land v) - P(u) - P(v) + P(u \lor v) = 0.
\]

(4) ⇒ (6) Let \(x_1, \ldots, x_s \in E^+\) with \(x_i \perp x_j\) for some \(i \neq j\) in \(\{1, \ldots, s\}\). Since \(\hat{P}\) is symmetric, we may assume \(i = 1\) and \(j = 2\). Applying the Mazur-Orlicz polarization formula (see (22) in \([16]\) and Lemma 2.11) respectively, yields

\[
\hat{P}(x_1, \ldots, x_s) = \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\delta_i = 0, 1} (-1)^{s-\sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i} \hat{P} \left( \sum_{i=1}^s \delta_i x_i \right) = 0.
\]

Therefore, \(\hat{P}|_{E^+}\) is orthosymmetric.

(6) ⇒ (5) Let \(x_1, \ldots, x_s \in E\) with \(x_i \perp x_j\) for some \(i \neq j\) in \(\{1, \ldots, s\}\). Since \(\hat{P}\) is symmetric, we may assume \(i = 1\) and \(j = 2\). The orthosymmetry of \(\hat{P}|_{E^+ \times \ldots \times E^+}\) implies

\[
\hat{P}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s) = \hat{P}(x_1^+, x_2^+, \ldots, x_s) - \hat{P}(x_1^-, x_2^-, \ldots, x_s) + \hat{P}(x_1^-, x_2^+, \ldots, x_s) = 0.
\]

Thus, \(\hat{P}\) is orthosymmetric.

(5) ⇒ (3) Let \(x, y, z \in E\) with \(x \perp y\). Then the binomial theorem and orthosymmetry of \(P\) imply

\[
P(x + y + z) - P(y + z) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} \binom{s}{k} \hat{P}(x, \ldots, x, y + z, \ldots, y + z) - P(y + z)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=1}^{s} \binom{s}{k} \hat{P}(x, \ldots, x, y + z, \ldots, y + z)
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{k=1}^{s} \binom{s}{k} \hat{P}(x, \ldots, x, z_1, \ldots, z_k) \right) + P(z) - P(z)
\]

\[
= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{s} \binom{s}{k} \hat{P}(x, \ldots, x, z_1, \ldots, z_k) \right) - P(z)
\]

\[
= P(x + z) - P(z)
\]

(3) ⇒ (1) Combining the comment at the bottom of page 67 of \([9]\) with the proof of Corollary 2.3 of \([9]\) proves this implication. For convenience and specificity, we copy the authors’ argument.
Let $x, y \in E$. Set $u = (x - y)^+$, $v = (x - y)^-$, and $w = x \land y$. The Hammerstein property yields

$$P(x) + P(y) = P(u + w) + P(v + w) = P(u + v + w) = P(x \lor y) + P(x \land y).$$

We will prove in Proposition 2.3 that certain s-homogeneous polynomials have a valuational component. To this end, we consider multilinear maps and s-homogeneous polynomials of order bounded variation. For $x \in E^+$, a partition of $x$ is a finite sequence of elements of $E^+$ whose sum is equal to $x$. The set of all partitions of $x$ is denoted by $\Pi x$. We write $a$ to abbreviate the partition $(a_1, ..., a_n)$ of $x$. An s-homogeneous polynomial $P: E \to F$ is of order bounded variation if

$$\left\{ \sum_k |P(a_k)| : a \in \Pi x \right\} (x \in E^+)$$

is order bounded (see [7]). An s-linear map $T: E_1 \times ... \times E_s \to F$ is of order bounded variation if

$$\left\{ \sum_{k_1, ..., k_s} |T(a_{1,k_1}, ..., a_{s,k_s})| : a_1 \in \Pi x_1, ..., a_s \in \Pi x_s \right\} (x_i \in E_i^+, i \in \{1, ..., s\})$$

is order bounded (see [8]).

We denote the set of all s-linear maps of order bounded variation $E \times ... \times E \to F$ by $L^{obv}(E; F)$ and the set of all s-homogeneous polynomials of order bounded variation $E \to F$ by $P^{obv}(E; F)$. By Theorem 1.1 of [8], we have that $L^{obv}(E; F)$ is a Dedekind complete vector lattice whenever $F$ is Dedekind complete. In addition, the authors of this paper proved $P^{obv}(E; F)$ is a Dedekind complete vector lattice (Theorem 2.2 of [7]).

We denote the set of all orthosymmetric s-linear maps of order bounded variation $E \times ... \times E \to F$ by $L^{os}(E; F)$. Van Gaans derived an interesting formula for the orthosymmetric component of a positive bilinear map in [11]. The following theorem proves more.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $s \geq 2$. If $F$ is Dedekind complete, then $L^{os}(E; F)$ is a band of $L^{obv}(E; F)$.

**Proof.** We first prove $L^{os}(E; F)$ is a vector sublattice of $L^{obv}(E; F)$. Let $T \in L^{os}(E; F)$ and let $x_1, ..., x_s \in E^+$ with $x_i \bot x_j$ for some $i \neq j$ in $\{1, ..., s\}$. It follows from Theorem 1.1 of [8] that

$$|T|(x_1, ..., x_s) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k_1, ..., k_s} |T(a_{1,k_1}, ..., a_{n,k_n})| : a_1 \in \Pi x_1, ..., a_s \in \Pi x_s \right\} = 0,$$

from which it follows that $T$ is orthosymmetric and that $L^{os}(E; F)$ is an ideal of $L^{obv}(E; F)$. 

□
Suppose \((T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}\) is a net in \(\mathcal{L}_{os}(^*E;F)\) such that \(T_\alpha \uparrow T\) in \(\mathcal{L}_{obo}(^*E;F)\). Note that 
\[0 = T_\alpha(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \uparrow T(x_1, \ldots, x_s)\]. Therefore, \(T\) is orthosymmetric. We conclude \(\mathcal{L}_{os}(^*E;F)\) is a band of \(\mathcal{L}_{obo}(^*E;F)\).

Ben-Amor proves the next corollary for a weaker domain but surprisingly stronger range (Theorem 6 of \([2]\)).

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \(T:E \times \ldots \times E \to F\) be an \(s\)-linear map of order bounded variation. If \(T\) is orthosymmetric then \(T\) is symmetric.

**Proof.** We may assume \(F\) is Dedekind complete. By Theorem 2.3 there exist \(T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}_{os}(^*E;F)^+\) such that \(T = T_1 - T_2\). In addition, Proposition 2.1 of \([4]\) implies \(T_1\) and \(T_2\) are symmetric. We conclude \(T\) is symmetric. \(\square\)

For vector lattices \(E\) and \(F\), we denote the set of all \(s\)-homogeneous polynomial valuations of order bounded variation \(E \to F\) by \(\mathcal{P}_{val}(^*E;F)\).

**Proposition 2.5.** If \(F\) is Dedekind complete, then \(\mathcal{P}_{val}(^*E;F)\) is a band of \(\mathcal{P}_{obo}(^*E;F)\).

**Proof.** If \(s = 1\), then \(\mathcal{P}_{val}(^*E;F) = \mathcal{L}^b(E;F)\). Suppose \(s \geq 2\). Theorem 2.2 implies \(\mathcal{P}_{val}(^*E;F)\) is the image of \(\mathcal{L}_{os}(^*E;F)\) under the lattice isomorphism \(\tilde{P} \mapsto P\). It follows from Theorem 2.3 that \(\mathcal{P}_{val}(^*E;F)\) is a band of \(\mathcal{P}_{obo}(^*E;F)\). \(\square\)

Our next goal is to add orthogonal additivity to the list of equivalent properties in the statement of Theorem 2.2. As a first step, we extend an orthogonally additive polynomial of order bounded variation on \(E\) to the order continuous bidual of \(E\).

We denote the order dual of \(E\) by \(E^\sim\). The *Arens adjoint* of an \(s\)-linear map \(T:E_1 \times \ldots \times E_s \to F\) is the map \(T^*:F^\sim \times E_1 \times \ldots \times E_{s-1} \to E_s^\sim\) that is defined by 
\[
T^*(f,x_1,\ldots,x_{s-1})(x_s) = f(T(x_1,\ldots,x_{s-1},x_s))
\]
for all \(f \in F^\sim, x_1 \in E_1, \ldots, x_s \in E_s\) (see \([1]\)). Let \(T^{[1]} := T^*\) and inductively define \(T^{[k]}\) by \(T^{[k]} = (T^{[k-1]})^*\) \((k \geq 2)\). For an \(s\)-homogeneous polynomial \(P:E \to F\), we define \(\hat{P}:E^{\sim \sim} \to F^{\sim \sim}\) by 
\[
\hat{P}(\psi) = P^{[s+1]}(\psi,\ldots,\psi)
\]
for all \(\psi \in E^{\sim \sim}\).

For \(x \in E\), define \(\hat{x} \in (E^\sim)^n\) by \(\hat{x}(f) = f(x)\) \((f \in E^\sim)\). Let \(\hat{E} := \{\hat{x} : x \in E\}\). We denote the order continuous component of \(E^\sim\) by \(E^\sim_n\). For a subset \(S\) of \((E^\sim)^n\) define 
\[
\mathcal{I}S = \{x \in (E^\sim)^n : x_\alpha \uparrow x \text{ for some net } (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \text{ in } S\}
\]
and

\[ DS = \{ x \in (E^\sim)_n^\sim : x_\alpha \downarrow x \text{ for some net } (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \text{ in } S \}. \]

To denote the order convergence of \((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}\) to \(x\) we write \(x_\alpha \to x\). An \(s\)-homogeneous polynomial \(P:E \to F\) is called \textit{order continuous} if \(P(x_\alpha) \to P(x)\) whenever \(x_\alpha \to x\). We will use the following lemma to prove an orthogonally additive polynomial on \(E^+\) is a polynomial valuation.

\textbf{Lemma 2.6.} If \(P:E \to F\) is an orthogonally additive \(s\)-homogeneous polynomial of order bounded variation, then \(\bar{P}|_{(E^-)_n^\sim}\) is orthogonally additive.

\textit{Proof.} For readability, we denote \(\bar{P}|_{(E^-)_n^\sim}\) by \(\bar{P}\). We show that \(\bar{P}\) is orthogonally additive in three steps. Let \(\phi, \psi \in (E^\sim)^+\) such that \(\phi \perp \psi\).

\textbf{Step 1.} Suppose \(\phi, \psi \in (I\hat{E})^+\). By definition, there exist nets \((\hat{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}\) and \((\hat{y}_\beta)_{\beta \in B}\) in \(\hat{E}\) such that \(\hat{x}_\alpha \uparrow \phi\) and \(\hat{y}_\beta \uparrow \psi\). Moreover, \(\phi \perp \psi\) implies \(x_\alpha \perp y_\beta\) for all \(\alpha \in A\) and \(\beta \in B\). Then it follows from the orthogonal additivity of \(P\) that

\[ \bar{P}(\hat{x}_\alpha + \hat{y}_\beta) = \bar{P}(\hat{x}_\alpha) + \bar{P}(\hat{y}_\beta) \quad (\alpha \in A, \beta \in B). \]

Since \(\bar{P}\) is order continuous (Theorem 3.5 of \([7]\)) we have \(\bar{P}(\phi + \psi) = \bar{P}(\phi) + \bar{P}(\psi)\).

\textbf{Step 2.} Suppose \(\phi, \psi \in (DI\hat{E})^+\). Then there exist nets \((f_\delta)_{\delta \in \Delta}\) and \((g_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}\) in \(I\hat{E}\) such that \(f_\delta \downarrow \phi\) and \(g_\gamma \downarrow \psi\). Fix \(\delta\) and \(\gamma\). Since \(f_\delta, g_\gamma \in I\hat{E}\), there exist nets \((\hat{x}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}\) and \((\hat{y}_\beta)_{\beta \in B}\) in \(\hat{E}\) such that \(\hat{x}_\alpha \uparrow f_\delta\) and \(\hat{y}_\beta \uparrow g_\delta\). From the first step,

\[ \bar{P}((\hat{x}_\alpha - \hat{y}_\beta)^+) + (\hat{y}_\beta - \hat{x}_\alpha)^+) = \bar{P}((\hat{x}_\alpha - \hat{y}_\beta)^+) + \bar{P}((\hat{y}_\beta - \hat{x}_\alpha)^+). \]

Applying the order continuity of \(\bar{P}\) yields

\[ \bar{P}((f_\delta - g_\gamma)^+) + (g_\gamma - f_\delta)^+) = \bar{P}((f_\delta - g_\gamma)^+) + \bar{P}((g_\gamma - f_\delta)^+) \]

and

\[ \bar{P}((\phi - \psi)^+) + (\psi - \phi)^+) = \bar{P}((\phi - \psi)^+) + \bar{P}((\psi - \phi)^+). \]

Also, \((\phi - \psi)^+ = \phi - (\psi \land \phi) = \phi\) and \((\psi - \phi)^+ = \psi - (\phi \land \psi) = \psi\). Thus \(\bar{P}(\phi + \psi) = \bar{P}(\phi) + \bar{P}(\psi)\).
Step 3. Repeating the arguments from each of the previous steps implies \( \tilde{P}(\phi + \psi) = \tilde{P}(\phi) + \tilde{P}(\psi) \) for all \( \phi, \psi \in (DIDI\hat{E})^* \) for which \( \phi \perp \psi \). Since \( DIDI\hat{E} = (E^-)_n \) (Theorem 13 of [10]), we conclude \( \tilde{P} \) is orthogonally additive.

We are now ready to prove the correspondence between orthosymmetry and orthogonal additivity.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \( s \geq 2 \) and let \( P \colon E \to F \) be an \( s \)-homogeneous polynomial of order bounded variation. The following are equivalent.

1. \( P \) is orthogonally additive.
2. \( P\big|_{E^*} \) is orthogonally additive
3. \( \hat{P} \) is orthosymmetric.

**Proof.** We only need to prove (2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) and (3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1). To this end, suppose \( P|_{E^*} \) is orthogonally additive. By Theorem 22 it will suffice to prove that \( P|_{E^*} \) is a valuation. Let \( x, y \in E^* \). Let \( G \) be the vector lattice generated by \( \{x, y\} \) in \( E \). Note that \( (G^-)_n \) is nontrivial and has a unit \( \epsilon := \hat{x} + \hat{y} \).

We will denote \( \bar{P}|_{(G^-)_n} \) by \( \bar{P} \).

Let \( u, v \in (G^-)_n^* \) be e-step functions. Note that there exist disjoint components \( c_1, \ldots, c_n \) of \( \epsilon \) in \( G \) and \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that \( u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i c_i \) and \( v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i c_i \).

Since \( \bar{P} \) is orthogonally additive (Lemma 24), we have

\[
\bar{P}(u) + \bar{P}(v) = \bar{P} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i c_i \right) + \bar{P} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i c_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i^s + \beta_i^s) \bar{P}(c_i).
\]

If \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \), then \( \alpha^s + \beta^s = (\alpha \land \beta)^s + (\alpha \lor \beta)^s \). Thus,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i^s + \beta_i^s) \bar{P}(c_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ((\alpha_i \land \beta_i)^s + (\beta_i \lor \alpha_i)^s) \bar{P}(c_i) = \bar{P}(u \land v) + \bar{P}(u \lor v).
\]

Hence, \( \bar{P} \) restricted to the e-step functions of \( (G^-)_n^* \) is a valuation.

By Freudenthal’s spectral theorem (Theorem 40.2 of [15]), there exist sequences \( (u_n) \) and \( (v_m) \) of positive e-step functions in \( (G^-)_n^* \) such that \( u_n \uparrow \hat{x} \) and \( v_m \uparrow \hat{y} \). In addition,

\[
\sup_n \left( \bar{P}(u_n) + \bar{P}(v_n) \right) = \sup_n \left( \bar{P}(u_n \lor v_n) + \bar{P}(u_n \land v_n) \right).
\]

Since \( \bar{P} \) is order continuous (Theorem 3.5 of [11]), the above identity implies

\[
\bar{P}(\hat{x}) + \bar{P}(\hat{y}) = \bar{P}(\hat{x} \lor \hat{y}) + \bar{P}(\hat{x} \land \hat{y}).
\]

That is,

\[
P(x) + P(y) = P(x \lor y) + P(x \land y).
\]
We conclude $P$ is a valuation.

Suppose $\hat{P}$ is orthosymmetric. Let $x, y \in E$ with $x \perp y$. Then the binomial theorem and orthosymmetry of $\hat{P}$ imply

$$P(x + y) = \sum_{k=0}^{s} \binom{s}{k} \hat{P}(x, \ldots, x, y, \ldots, y) = P(x) + P(y).$$

Therefore $P$ is orthogonally additive. □

The following consequence of Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 2.7 generalizes Theorem 6.2 of [6].

**Corollary 2.8.** If $F$ is Dedekind complete, then the set of all orthogonally additive $s$-homogeneous polynomials of order bounded variation $E \to F$ is a Dedekind complete vector lattice.

We conclude with an application of Theorem 2.7 that implies Theorem 2.2 of [3].

**Corollary 2.9.** Let $s \geq 2$ and let $T : E \times \ldots \times E \to F$ be an $s$-linear map of order bounded variation. If $T$ is orthosymmetric, then the restriction of $T^{[s+1]}$ to $(E^-)_n \times \ldots \times (E^-)_n$ is orthosymmetric.

**Proof.** Let $P_T$ be the $s$-homogeneous polynomial that is generated by $T$. That is, $P_T(x) = T(x, \ldots, x)$ for all $x \in E$. For convenience, we also use $P_T$ to denote the restriction of $P_T$ to $(E^-)_n \times \ldots \times (E^-)_n$. Since $P_T$ is an orthogonally additive polynomial of order bounded variation, Lemma 2.6 implies $P_T$ is orthogonally additive. Then Theorem 2.7 implies $(P_T)^\ast$, the unique symmetric $s$-linear map that generates $P_T$, is orthosymmetric. In addition, Corollary 2.4 implies $T$ is symmetric. Hence, $T^{[s+1]}$ restricted to $(E^-)_n \times \ldots \times (E^-)_n$ is symmetric (Theorem 3.4 of [7]). It follows from the uniqueness of $(P_T)^\ast$ that $T^{[s+1]} = (P_T)^\ast$ on $(E^-)_n \times \ldots \times (E^-)_n$. We conclude $T^{[s+1]}$ restricted to $(E^-)_n \times \ldots \times (E^-)_n$ is orthosymmetric. □
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