

Duality Defect of the Monster CFT

Ying-Hsuan Lin^a and Shu-Heng Shao^b

^a*Walter Burke Institute for Theoretical Physics,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA*

^b*School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study,
Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*

yhlin@caltech.edu, shao@ias.edu

Abstract

We show that the fermionization of the Monster CFT with respect to \mathbb{Z}_{2A} is the tensor product of a free fermion and the Baby Monster CFT. The chiral fermion parity of the free fermion implies that the Monster CFT is self-dual under the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold, *i.e.* it enjoys the Kramers-Wannier duality. The Kramers-Wannier duality defect extends the Monster group to a larger category of topological defect lines that contains an Ising subcategory. We introduce the *defect McKay-Thompson series* defined as the Monster partition function twisted by the duality defect, and find that the coefficients can be decomposed into the dimensions of the (projective) irreducible representations of the Baby Monster group. We further prove that the defect McKay-Thompson series is invariant under the genus-zero congruence subgroup $16D^0$ of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Bosonic and Fermionic CFTs	6
2.1	Global Symmetry of Fermionic Theories	6
2.2	Torus Partition Functions of Fermionic CFTs	7
2.3	Bosonization and Fermionization	8
2.4	Baby Monster as a Fermionic CFT	10
3	Monster CFT and its $2A$ Fermionization	11
4	Duality Defect of the Monster CFT	14
4.1	Duality Defect of the Ising CFT	15
4.2	Defect McKay-Thompson Series	16
4.3	Modular Properties	17
4.4	Decomposition into the Baby Monster Representations	19
5	General Twisted Partition Functions	21
6	Summary and Outlook	26
A	Irreducible Representations of the Baby Monster Group	28
B	Baby Monster VOA	28
B.1	Twisted Modules of the Monster VOA	28
B.2	Modules and Characters of the Baby Monster	29
B.3	Modular Properties	30
C	“Beauty and the Beast” and the $2B$ Fermionization	31
D	The Invariance Group of $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ in $\Gamma_0(8)$	32




Figure 1: The bosonization/fermionization of the Monster CFT with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} and the \mathbb{Z}_{2B} symmetries. Here “B&B” stands for the “Beauty and the Beast” $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT of [5], while “Baby” and “MW” stand for the Baby Monster CFT and the Majorana-Weyl fermion, respectively. The Baby \otimes MW fermionic CFT is invariant under stacking of the $(1+1)d$ invertible spin TQFT $(-1)^{\text{Arf}}$, since all its partition functions with odd spin structure vanish. Correspondingly, the Monster CFT is self-dual under the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold.

1 Introduction

The Monster CFT [1] is a $c = 24$ holomorphic CFT without Kac-Moody symmetry. As the name suggests, it has an extremely rich global symmetry group, the Monster group, which is the largest sporadic finite simple group. The torus partition function of the Monster CFT is $j(\tau) - 744$, whose coefficients exhibit the Monstrous Moonshine [2, 3], as originally observed by John McKay. Hence the very existence of the Monster CFT provides a physical explanation for aspects of the moonshine phenomenon. Monster CFT has also been proposed as a possible holographic dual for “pure” gravity in AdS_3 [4].

The Monster group has two order two conjugacy classes, $2A$ and $2B$. For each class, we pick one representative and denote it as \mathbb{Z}_{2A} and \mathbb{Z}_{2B} , respectively. Both \mathbb{Z}_{2A} and \mathbb{Z}_{2B} are non-anomalous, and their orbifolds give the Monster CFT and the Leech lattice CFT, respectively.

Given any $(1+1)d$ bosonic CFT with a non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry, one can fermionize it to obtain a fermionic CFT [6–10]. We find that the fermionization of the Monster CFT with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} is the tensor product of the Baby Monster CFT and a Majorana-Weyl fermion, which are holomorphic, fermionic CFTs of central charge $c = \frac{47}{2}$ and $c = \frac{1}{2}$, respectively. On the other hand, the fermionization with respect to \mathbb{Z}_{2B} gives the “Beauty and the Beast” $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT [5]. See Figure 1. This gives a physical

interpretation for Höhn’s construction of the Baby Monster super vertex operator algebra (VOA) [11] (see also [12]) and Dixon, Ginsparg, Harvey’s construction for the “Beauty and the Beast” VOA [5] as fermionization in $(1+1)d$ quantum field theory.

The free fermion in the $2A$ -fermionized Monster CFT implies that there is a new (non-symmetry) topological defect in the Monster CFT. Below we give an overview of the importance of the topological defects and their relation to global symmetries.

Topological Defects as Generalizations of Global Symmetries




Figure 2: Topological defects are generalizations of global symmetries.

In quantum field theory, every (q -form) global symmetry is associated with a (codimension- $(q + 1)$) topological defect (a.k.a. the charge operator) that implements the symmetry action [13, 14]. For a continuous ordinary (0-form) global symmetry, the topological defect is simply the exponentiation of the Noether charge. The converse is however not true: Not every topological defect is associated with a global symmetry. Such a defect is called a non-invertible defect, or sometimes dubbed a non-symmetry defect. The definition of a non-invertible defect is that it does not have an inverse under fusion; by contrast, the fusion of symmetry defects obeys the group multiplication rules, which in particular guarantees the existence of an inverse, and hence symmetry defects are invertible.

It has been advocated that general topological defects should be thought of as generalizations of global symmetries/invertible defects [15, 16] (see Figure 2). In $(1+1)d$, the mathematical framework for the topological defect lines is the theory of *tensor categories* [17, 18], which encodes rules for splitting and joining and should be thought of as generalizations of anomalies.¹ The power of anomaly matching is thereby also generalized. For instance, it was demonstrated in [16] that the non-invertible topological defects, just like ordinary global

¹If there are only finitely many simple lines in a theory (such as in the minimal models), then the topological defect lines are described by a *fusion category*.

symmetries with 't Hooft anomaly, impose strong constraints on the renormalization group flows in $(1+1)d$.

In $(1+1)d$, it has been known for a long time that there exist *duality defect lines* [19–21, 16, 10] that are not associated with any ordinary global symmetry. The simplest example is the defect line \mathcal{N} implementing the Kramers-Wannier duality in the $c_L = c_R = \frac{1}{2}$ Ising CFT. The duality defect line \mathcal{N} is not invertible because it obeys the fusion rule $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} = I + \eta$, where η is the (invertible) topological defect line for the \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry of the Ising CFT. Hence, the full fusion category of the $(1+1)d$ Ising CFT includes both the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry line η and the duality defect line \mathcal{N} .

Therefore, understanding the topological defects is as fundamental as the understanding the global symmetry of a quantum field theory.

Duality Defects of the Monster CFT

With the modern perspective that topological defects are generalizations of global symmetry, it is natural to ask: *What are the topological defect lines in the Monster CFT?*

It turns out that just like the Ising CFT, the Monster CFT has a Kramers-Wannier duality defect line \mathcal{N} .² This is expected by the observation that the Monster CFT is self-dual under the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold, but the \mathcal{N} line can be identified even more explicitly as follows. The \mathbb{Z}_{2A} fermionization of the Monster CFT has a chiral fermion parity \mathbb{Z}_2^ψ with one unit of the mod 8 't Hooft anomaly. Under bosonization, it was shown in [22, 10] (see also [23]) that any fermionic \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry with this anomaly becomes the duality defect \mathcal{N} of an Ising category in the bosonized theory.

We have therefore identified a non-invertible topological defect line in the Monster CFT. Since the duality defect \mathcal{N} does not commute with the Monster group, it extends the latter into a larger tensor category of topological defect lines that contains the Ising category as a subcategory (see Table 1).³ This Ising subcategory commutes with the double cover of the

²In the Ising model, the Kramers-Wannier duality refers to the equivalence between the high temperature and low temperature phases away from the critical point. When traced back to the critical point, it reduces to the statement that the Ising CFT is self-dual under the \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold. The Monster CFT, by contrast, does not have any relevant deformation, and hence no low temperature phase to speak of. By the Kramers-Wannier duality of the Monster CFT, we only refer to its self-duality under the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold.

³The Ising category of the Monster CFT can be used to couple the CFT to the $(2+1)d$ Ising \times $\overline{\text{Ising}}$ TQFT as follows. We first couple the Monster CFT to a $(2+1)d$ \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory by gauging the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} global symmetry. Because of the duality defect, the $(1+1)d$ boundary preserves the 0-form \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} global symmetry of the $(2+1)d$ \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory that exchanges the electric and the magnetic anyons [24, 10]. We then gauge the \mathbb{Z}_2^{em} global symmetry, and the bulk becomes the Ising \times $\overline{\text{Ising}}$ TQFT [25]. The partition functions of this $2d$ - $3d$ system with different anyon lines inserted are given by linear combinations of (5.5).

	Global Symmetry	\subset	Topological Defects
Ising CFT	\mathbb{Z}_2	\subset	Ising Category = $\{I, \eta, \mathcal{N}\}$ \cap
Monster CFT	Monster Group	\subset	???

Table 1: The full set of topological defect lines for the Monster CFT is not known, but it contains the (invertible) Monster symmetry lines and the (non-invertible) duality defect line \mathcal{N} . The duality defect line \mathcal{N} and the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} line η together form an Ising category, which is also realized in the Ising CFT.

Baby Monster group $2.\mathbb{B}$, which is the centralizer of \mathbb{Z}_{2A} in the Monster group.

Defect McKay-Thompson Series

The global symmetry of the Monster CFT allows us to twist the partition function (in the time direction) with different elements of the Monster group.⁴ The resulting partition functions are known as the McKay-Thompson series. In the spirit that topological defects are generalizations of global symmetry, we should also consider partition functions twisted by the more general topological defect lines. We call the partition function twisted by non-invertible topological defects in the time direction the *defect McKay-Thompson series*. We will compute the torus partition function twisted by the duality defect \mathcal{N} using the fermionization of the Monster CFT, and show that its coefficients can be decomposed into the dimensions of the $2.\mathbb{B}$ irreps. We will also compute the more general partition functions twisted by both the duality defect line \mathcal{N} line and the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} line η .

The usual McKay-Thompson series has the remarkable property that it is the Hauptmodul of a genus-zero subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ [3, 26, 27] (see [28, 29] for physics explanations). Since the defect McKay-Thompson series is a natural generalization, it is interesting to investigate its modular property. We identify a genus-zero congruence subgroup $16D^0$ of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that leaves the defect McKay-Thompson series invariant. However, by examining its poles, in particular the ones at $\tau = 0, i\infty$, we show that the defect McKay-Thompson

⁴In some literature, the partition function with a g -twist in the time direction is called the g -twined partition function, while a g -twist in the space direction is called the g -twisted partition function. We will use the word “twist” for both the space and time directions.

series cannot be the Hauptmodul of its invariance group inside $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

2 Bosonic and Fermionic CFTs

It is important to distinguish between bosonic (non-spin) and fermionic (spin) QFTs. The former can be defined on manifolds without a choice of the spin structure, while the latter requires such a choice. For example, the Ising CFT with $c_L = c_R = \frac{1}{2}$ (a.k.a. the $(3, 4)$ minimal model) is a bosonic CFT whose torus partition function is unique. By contrast, a Majorana fermion (also with $c_L = c_R = \frac{1}{2}$) is a fermionic CFT that has four torus partition functions associated with the four spin structures.

The most familiar bosonic CFTs are those that are invariant under the modular transformations (*e.g.* the Ising CFT). In particular, the modular invariance on a torus requires that the chiral central charge be a multiple of 24, *i.e.* $c_L - c_R \in 24\mathbb{Z}$. More generally, we can relax this condition, and consider bosonic CFTs whose modular non-invariance can be cured by a $(2+1)d$ bosonic gravitational Chern-Simons term. Since the latter has chiral central charge a multiple of 8, this more general class of bosonic CFTs must have $c_L - c_R \in 8\mathbb{Z}$. The classic example is the holomorphic $(E_8)_1$ VOA with $c_L = 8$ and $c_R = 0$.

For a fermionic CFT (*e.g.* a Majorana fermion), we do not require modular *invariance* because different spin structures are generally permuted under modular transformations. Instead, we require that the partition functions associated with different spin structures transform *covariantly* under the modular transformations. Similar to the bosonic case, we can consider more general fermionic CFTs whose partition functions are modular covariant up to certain phases that can be canceled by a $(2+1)d$ fermionic gravitational Chern-Simons term. Since the latter has chiral central charge a multiple of $\frac{1}{2}$, we allow the chiral central charge of a fermionic CFT to be $c_L - c_R \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}$. The simplest example is a Majorana-Weyl fermion with $c_L = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_R = 0$.

2.1 Global Symmetry of Fermionic Theories

In any fermionic theory, there is a canonical \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry that shifts the spin structure. We will call it the fermion parity $(-1)^F$. There are two sectors of operators in any fermionic theory, the NS sector and the R sector. The NS sector operators are local operators with integer or half-integer spin s , and the fermion parity $(-1)^F$ acts as $(-1)^{2s}$ on them. By contrast, the R sector operators have spins that can be multiples of $\frac{1}{16}$, and can be thought of as non-local operators attached to the $(-1)^F$ defect line.

The global symmetry of a fermionic theory is defined to act on the NS sector local oper-

ators by linear representations. By contrast, on the R sector operators, the global symmetry might act projectively, or even become a non-group action [22, 10]. For example, consider N Majorana-Weyl fermions. The global symmetry contains an $SO(N)$, which rotates the N chiral fermions (which are in the NS sector) in the vector representation. The R sector operators are in the spinor representations of $Spin(N)$, *i.e.* they are in the projective representations of the global symmetry $SO(N)$.

Finally, the 't Hooft anomaly of a \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry in a fermionic theory has a \mathbb{Z}_8 classification [30–33]. For example, the $(-1)^F$ fermion parity of N Majorana-Weyl fermions has $N \bmod 8$ anomaly.

2.2 Torus Partition Functions of Fermionic CFTs

On the torus, there are four spin structures whose corresponding partition functions sometimes admit interpretations as traces over Hilbert spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{NS}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{NS}}[q^{h-c_L/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{h}-c_R/24}], \\ \tilde{Z}_{NS}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{NS}}[(-1)^F q^{h-c_L/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{h}-c_R/24}], \\ Z_R(\tau, \bar{\tau}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_R}[q^{h-c_L/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{h}-c_R/24}], \\ \tilde{Z}_R(\tau, \bar{\tau}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_R}[(-1)^F q^{h-c_L/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{h}-c_R/24}]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Under the modular transformations, the four partition functions transform covariantly as

$$\begin{aligned} T : \quad Z_{NS}(\tau + 1, \bar{\tau} + 1) &= e^{-2\pi i \frac{c_L - c_R}{24}} \tilde{Z}_{NS}(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \\ \tilde{Z}_{NS}(\tau + 1, \bar{\tau} + 1) &= e^{-2\pi i \frac{c_L - c_R}{24}} Z_{NS}(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \\ Z_R(\tau + 1, \bar{\tau} + 1) &= e^{2\pi i \frac{c_L - c_R}{12}} Z_R(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \\ \tilde{Z}_R(\tau + 1, \bar{\tau} + 1) &= e^{2\pi i \frac{c_L - c_R}{12}} \tilde{Z}_R(\tau, \bar{\tau}); \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S : \quad Z_{NS}(-1/\tau, -1/\bar{\tau}) &= Z_{NS}(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \\ \tilde{Z}_{NS}(-1/\tau, -1/\bar{\tau}) &= Z_R(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \\ Z_R(-1/\tau, -1/\bar{\tau}) &= \tilde{Z}_{NS}(\tau, \bar{\tau}), \\ \tilde{Z}_R(-1/\tau, -1/\bar{\tau}) &= \tilde{Z}_R(\tau, \bar{\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

For example, the torus partition functions of a Majorana-Weyl fermion with $c_L = \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_R = 0$ are

$$Z_{NS}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}}, \quad \tilde{Z}_{NS}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}}, \quad Z_R(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}}, \quad \tilde{Z}_R(\tau) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

In this case, there is no Hilbert space interpretation for these partition functions because, for example, $Z_R(\tau) = \sqrt{2}q^{\frac{1}{16} - \frac{1}{48}} + \dots$ does not have positive integer degeneracies. Nonetheless, these partition functions transform covariantly according to (2.2) under modular transformations.

2.3 Bosonization and Fermionization

As discussed above, the Ising CFT is a bosonic CFT, while a Majorana fermion is a fermionic CFT, and they should be not equated at face value. More precisely, they are related by bosonization and fermionization. We emphasize that, contrary to the common usage of these terms, bosonization/fermionization stands for a map between (inequivalent) bosonic and fermionic theories, instead of the equivalence of them.

Bosonization and fermionization in $(1+1)d$ have been revisited from a modern point of view in [6–10] (see also [23, 22, 34, 35]). We refer the readers to, for example, Section 3 of [10] for a detailed exposition.

Let us start with a fermionic CFT \mathcal{F} with $c_L - c_R \in 8\mathbb{Z}$.⁵ We can sum over the spin structures to define the partition function of a bosonic CFT \mathcal{B} .⁶ This is the familiar bosonization procedure (also known as the GSO projection [36]). Let $Z_{\mathcal{F}}[\rho]$ be the partition function of the fermionic theory on a genus g Riemann surface Σ_g with spin structure ρ , then the resulting bosonic partition function is

$$Z_{\mathcal{B}}[T] = \frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{s \in H^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}_2)} Z_{\mathcal{F}}[s + \rho] \exp \left[i\pi \left(\int s \cup T + \text{Arf}[T + \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] \right) \right], \quad (2.4)$$

where the sum $s \in H^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is over all discrete \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge fields for the $(-1)^F$ symmetry. Here $T \in H^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the background \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field for the emergent \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry of the bosonic theory \mathcal{B} [6]. The Arf invariant $\text{Arf}[\rho]$ is 0 if ρ is even and 1 if ρ is odd.

Conversely, we can fermionize \mathcal{B} with respect to the emergent \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry to get back to the original \mathcal{F} . The fermionization can be described as first coupling \mathcal{B} to the nontrivial, invertible $(1+1)d$ spin TQFT $(-1)^{\text{Arf}[\rho]}$, and then gauging a diagonal \mathbb{Z}_2 global

⁵When $c_L - c_R$ is not a multiple of 8, there is no candidate bosonic theory with such gravitational anomaly, and summing over the spin structures is either inconsistent or gives a fermionic theory. We thank Kantaro Ohmori and Nathan Seiberg for discussions on this point.

⁶When summing over the spin structures, there is the freedom of putting a minus sign on all the odd spin structure partition functions. This freedom can be equivalently described by stacking a nontrivial, invertible $(1+1)d$ spin TQFT $(-1)^{\text{Arf}[\rho]}$ with \mathcal{F} before the sum.

symmetry:

$$Z_{\mathcal{F}}[S + \rho] = \frac{1}{2^g} \sum_{t \in H^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}_2)} Z_{\mathcal{B}}[t] \exp \left[i\pi \left(\text{Arf}[t + \rho] + \text{Arf}[\rho] + \int t \cup S \right) \right], \quad (2.5)$$

where $S \in H^1(\Sigma_g, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is the \mathbb{Z}_2 background gauge field for the fermion parity $(-1)^F$ of \mathcal{F} .

More generally, if we start with a bosonic CFT, we can fermionize it with respect to *any* non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry to obtain a fermionic CFT.⁷ Hence, the fermionization of a bosonic CFT may not exist or may not be unique; it depends on the choice of a non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry.

For two theories related by bosonization/fermionization, what is the relation between their states/operators? For a bosonic CFT with a non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 global symmetry, we have the ordinary Hilbert space \mathcal{H} corresponding to the local operators, and a defect Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_η , corresponding to non-local, point-like operators living at the end of the \mathbb{Z}_2 topological defect line η . In each of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_η , we can further divide them into the \mathbb{Z}_2 -even/odd subsectors. All in all, we end up with four sectors of states in a bosonic CFT with a non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry: \mathcal{H}^\pm and \mathcal{H}_η^\pm .⁸ On the other hand, a general fermionic CFT also has four sectors of states: the $(-1)^F$ -even/odd NS sectors \mathcal{H}_{NS}^\pm and the $(-1)^F$ -even/odd R sectors \mathcal{H}_R^\pm . The identification is explained in Table 2.

\mathcal{B}	\mathcal{F}	Ising/Maj
$\mathcal{H}^+ = \mathcal{H}_{NS}^+$		$1_{0,0}, \varepsilon_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$
$\mathcal{H}^- = \mathcal{H}_R^+$		$\sigma_{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}}$
$\mathcal{H}_\eta^+ = \mathcal{H}_R^-$		$\mu_{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}}$
$\mathcal{H}_\eta^- = \mathcal{H}_{NS}^-$		$\psi_{\frac{1}{2}, 0}, \bar{\psi}_{0, \frac{1}{2}}$

Table 2: Identification of Hilbert spaces between a pair of bosonic CFT \mathcal{B} and fermionic CFT \mathcal{F} related by bosonization/fermionization. In the last column we list the Virasoro primaries in each of the four sectors in the case of $\mathcal{B} = \text{Ising CFT}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \text{Majorana fermion}$. The subscripts of an operator $\mathcal{O}_{h, \bar{h}}$ denote its conformal weights h, \bar{h} .

⁷When the \mathbb{Z}_2 is anomalous, the partition function does not only depend on the cohomology class of the discrete \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field, but also on the explicit representative. This ruins the fermionization procedure [10].

⁸The \mathbb{Z}_2 -even subsector \mathcal{H}_η^+ of the defect Hilbert space becomes the twisted sector in the (bosonic) orbifold theory \mathcal{B}/\mathbb{Z}_2 .

2.4 Baby Monster as a Fermionic CFT

The Baby Monster VOA [11] is a VOA with $c = \frac{47}{2}$ that has three irreducible modules V_h^{Baby} labeled by their conformal weight $h = 0, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{31}{16}$. We interpret the $h = 0, \frac{3}{2}$ modules as the NS sector operators (together they are known mathematically as the Shorter Moonshine Module), and the $h = \frac{31}{16}$ operators as the R sector operators. Some more details of the construction as well as the characters and their modular properties are given in Appendix B.

The Baby Monster VOA is realized in a fermionic CFT with $c_L = \frac{47}{2}$ and $c_R = 0$, whose torus partition functions are

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{NS}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) &= \chi_0^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) + \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau), \\ \tilde{Z}_{NS}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) &= \chi_0^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) - \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau), \\ Z_R^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) &= \sqrt{2}\chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau), \\ \tilde{Z}_R^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Using the modular S and T matrices (B.5), one can check that these four partition functions obey the modular covariance of a fermionic CFT (2.2). Just like a single Majorana-Weyl fermion, the Ramond sector partition function Z_R^{Baby} does not admit a Hilbert space interpretation.

The global symmetry of the Baby Monster CFT is $(-1)^F \times \mathbb{B}$ [37], where \mathbb{B} is the Baby Monster group, the second largest sporadic finite simple group. As discussed in Section 2.1, while the global symmetry of a fermionic theory by definition acts linearly on the NS sector, it might act projectively on the R sector in the presence of a mixed anomaly between $(-1)^F$ and the global symmetry. It is known from Lemma 4.2.10 of [11] that the modules V_0^{Baby} and $V_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}$ can each be decomposed into irreps of \mathbb{B} . On the other hand, $V_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}$ can only be decomposed into *projective* irreps of \mathbb{B} , *i.e.* they are the irreps of the double cover $2.\mathbb{B}$ of the Baby Monster group, but not of \mathbb{B} . This is the effect of the mixed anomaly between $(-1)^F$ and \mathbb{B} in the Baby Monster CFT that extends \mathbb{B} to its double cover in the R sector.

The consistency of this theory beyond the torus partition functions has been studied in [38]. In particular, they computed the four-point conformal blocks for the Baby Monster VOA, but due to the large degeneracies of Baby Monster primaries, the OPE data cannot be simply solved by imposing crossing symmetry. In the next section, we provide an explicit construction of the Baby Monster CFT via fermionization of the Monster CFT.

3 Monster CFT and its 2A Fermionization

The torus partition function of the (holomorphic) $c_L = 24$ and $c_R = 0$ Monster CFT is

$$Z(\tau) = J(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + \cdots, \quad (3.1)$$

where $J = j - 744$ with j being Klein's j -invariant. The Monster CFT has two non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetries, usually denoted by \mathbb{Z}_{2A} and \mathbb{Z}_{2B} . We simply note that the fermionization with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_{2B} gives the “Beauty and the Beast” $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT, and defer some more details to Appendix C. We now discuss in detail the fermionization with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} .

We will denote the topological defect line associated with the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} symmetry as η . The torus partition function Z^η with a topological line η extended along the space direction (*i.e.* a \mathbb{Z}_{2A} twist in the time direction, see (5.5)) is

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\eta(\tau) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [\hat{\eta} q^{h-c_L/24}] = \frac{\eta(\tau)^{24}}{\eta(2\tau)^{24}} + 2^{12} \frac{\eta(2\tau)^{24}}{\eta(\tau)^{24}} + 24 \\ &= \frac{1}{q} + 4372q + 96256q^2 + 1240002q^3 + \mathcal{O}(q^4), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\hat{\eta} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ represents the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} charge operator obeying $\hat{\eta} \circ \hat{\eta} = 1$.⁹

The modular S transform of (3.2) is the partition function Z_η with a topological line η extended along the time direction (*i.e.* a \mathbb{Z}_{2A} twist in the space direction) [39],

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\eta(\tau) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_\eta} [q^{h-c_L/24}] = 2^{12} \frac{\eta(\tau)^{24}}{\eta(\tau/2)^{24}} + \frac{\eta(\tau/2)^{24}}{\eta(\tau)^{24}} + 24 \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{1/2}} + 4372q^{1/2} + 96256q + 1240002q^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(q^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where \mathcal{H}_η is the defect Hilbert space obtained by quantizing the Monster CFT with a \mathbb{Z}_{2A} twist in the space direction. The torus partition function with twists in both the time and space directions is obtained by applying a T transform on $Z_\eta(\tau)$, *i.e.* $Z_\eta^\eta(\tau) = Z_\eta(\tau + 1)$.

Let us consider the fermionization of the bosonic Monster CFT with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} symmetry. We will denote this fermionic theory by \mathcal{F} . Its NS sector torus partition function is given by (see (2.5) and Table 2 for the general fermionization prescription)

$$Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) + Z^\eta(\tau) + Z_\eta(\tau) - Z_\eta^\eta(\tau)] = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} + 4372\sqrt{q} + 100628q + \cdots. \quad (3.4)$$

⁹Throughout this paper, the superscript (subscript) of η or \mathcal{N} for the partition function means a twist in the time (space) direction, which is equivalent to putting the corresponding topological defect line extended along the space (time) direction.

Similarly, the R sector torus partition function is

$$Z_R^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) - Z^\eta(\tau) + Z_\eta(\tau) + Z_\eta^\eta(\tau)] = 192512q + 21397504q^2 + 863059968q^3 + \dots \quad (3.5)$$

The signs in the above formulae are determined by the Arf invariant and the cup product between the \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge fields as in (2.5).

The presence of the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}$ term in $Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}}$ means that \mathcal{F} contains a single free Majorana-Weyl fermion $\psi(z)$ of weight $h = \frac{1}{2}$. This means that \mathcal{F} takes the form¹⁰

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}' \otimes \text{MW fermion}, \quad (3.6)$$

where \mathcal{F}' is a fermionic CFT with $c_L = 23 + \frac{1}{2}$ and $c_R = 0$ (which will be identified as the Baby Monster CFT momentarily). We can thus write the NS sector partition function of \mathcal{F} as

$$Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) = Z_{NS}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}'}(\tau), \quad (3.7)$$

with $Z_{NS}^{\text{Ising}} = \sqrt{\frac{\theta_3}{\eta}}$ and $Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}'}$ given in (3.4). Inverting this relation, we find that the NS sector partition function of \mathcal{F}' is (see Appendix B for the characters of the Baby Monster VOA)

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}'}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{q^{47/48}} + 4371q^{25/48} + 96256q^{49/48} + 1143745q^{73/48} + \dots \\ &= \chi_0^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) + \chi_{3/2}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

This is precisely the Baby Monster CFT partition function (2.6). Thus, we identify \mathcal{F}' as the Baby Monster CFT. Indeed, the R sector partition function of \mathcal{F} can also be written as

$$Z_R^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) = Z_R^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) Z_R^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} \times \sqrt{2} \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau), \quad (3.9)$$

where the R sector partition function of the Baby Monster CFT is $Z_R^{\text{Baby}} = \sqrt{2} \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}$.

From (2.5), we can further compute the NS and R sector partition functions of \mathcal{F} with $(-1)^F$ inserted:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) + Z^\eta(\tau) - Z_\eta(\tau) + Z_\eta^\eta(\tau)] = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} - 4372\sqrt{q} + 100628q + \dots, \\ \tilde{Z}_R^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) - Z^\eta(\tau) - Z_\eta(\tau) - Z_\eta^\eta(\tau)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

¹⁰It was proven in [40] that a holomorphic CFT can always be decomposed into the tensor product of a sector of free Majorana-Weyl fermions (which might be empty) and a sector without any $h = \frac{1}{2}$ operator.

Note that $\tilde{Z}_R = 0$ because of the zero mode from the Majorana-Weyl fermion in \mathcal{F} . Again, we find that the partition functions of \mathcal{F} factorize into the product of that of the Baby Monster CFT and the free Majorana-Weyl fermion, $\tilde{Z}_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) = \tilde{Z}_{NS}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \tilde{Z}_{NS}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau)$, $\tilde{Z}_R^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) = \tilde{Z}_R^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \tilde{Z}_R^{\text{Baby}}(\tau)$ (of course, the latter is trivially true).

In [11, 12], it was shown that the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -invariant \mathcal{H}^+ sector of the Monster VOA is the \mathcal{H}_{NS}^+ sector of a free Majorana-Weyl fermion times an SVOA. The latter was given the name Baby Monster SVOA since it has the Baby Monster symmetry. This in particular implies that the partition function for \mathcal{H}^+ in the Monster CFT equals that for \mathcal{H}_{NS}^+ of a free Majorana-Weyl fermion times the Baby Monster CFT. In the above, we further check that the partition functions for the other three sectors \mathcal{H}^- , \mathcal{H}_η^+ , \mathcal{H}_η^- of the Monster agree with the \mathcal{H}_R^+ , \mathcal{H}_R^- , \mathcal{H}_{NS}^- of a free Majorana-Weyl fermion times the Baby Monster CFT.

To conclude, we have provided a new physical interpretation of the construction of the Baby Monster CFT in [11, 12] in terms of the fermionization of the Monster CFT with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} symmetry:

$$\boxed{2A \text{ Fermionization of Monster} = \text{Baby Monster} \otimes \text{MW Fermion}.}$$

Conversely, the bosonization (*i.e.* summing over the spin structures) of the tensor product of the Baby Monster CFT and the Majorana-Weyl fermion is the Monster CFT.

There is a mathematical identity expressing the J -function in terms of the inner product of the three Ising and the Baby Monster characters [11, 12, 41]:

$$J(\tau) = \chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_0^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) + \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau). \quad (3.11)$$

We can rewrite the above in terms of the torus partition functions of the four spin structures:

$$J(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \left[Z_{NS}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) Z_{NS}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) + \tilde{Z}_{NS}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \tilde{Z}_{NS}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) + Z_R^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) Z_R^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) + \tilde{Z}_R^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \tilde{Z}_R^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) \right]. \quad (3.12)$$

This is simply the statement that the bosonization (2.4) of the fermionic CFT \mathcal{F} (=Baby Monster \otimes MW Fermion) is the Monster CFT. We have therefore given a physical interpretation of the bilinear relation (3.11) as a GSO projection.

Finally, let us comment what happens to the global symmetry upon bosonization. As discussed in Section 2.4, the global symmetry of the Baby Monster CFT is $(-1)^F \times \mathbb{B}$. Due to the mixed anomaly between $(-1)^F$ and \mathbb{B} , the bosonization of \mathcal{F} extends the Baby Monster group symmetry \mathbb{B} to its double cover $2.\mathbb{B}$ in the resulting bosonic theory, the Monster CFT. Indeed, the Monster group contains $2.\mathbb{B}$ as a subgroup, but not \mathbb{B} .

4 Duality Defect of the Monster CFT

The free fermion sector of \mathcal{F} has a fermionic \mathbb{Z}_2^ψ symmetry, the chiral fermion parity, that flips the sign of $\psi(z)$,

$$\mathbb{Z}_2^\psi : \psi(z) \mapsto -\psi(z). \quad (4.1)$$

As discussed in Section 2.1, this chiral fermion parity has one unit of the mod 8 anomaly. Hence, under bosonization, it becomes a duality defect \mathcal{N} of the Monster CFT [22, 10]. See Table 3 for the relations between \mathbb{Z}_2^ψ , $(-1)^F$ of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{N} , \mathbb{Z}_{2A} of the Monster CFT.

The non-invertible duality defect line \mathcal{N} is not a symmetry defect. Rather, it satisfies the fusion rule:

$$\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} = I + \eta, \quad \eta \times \eta = I, \quad \mathcal{N} \times \eta = \eta \times \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}, \quad (4.2)$$

where η is the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} line. Indeed, we see that there is no inverse of \mathcal{N} , so it is not an invertible defect. This is an example of how a symmetry defect of a fermionic theory becomes a non-invertible (non-symmetry) defect in the bosonized theory. Together with the identity line, they form the Ising category.¹¹

It was shown in Section 4.3.1 of [16] that the existence of the duality defect \mathcal{N} implies that the bosonic theory is self-dual under the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold

$$\frac{\text{Monster}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2A}} = \text{Monster}. \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, the self-duality of the Monster CFT under the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold can be proven using the methods of *e.g.* [28, 29, 43]. As a consistency check, the torus partition function of the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold partition function is

$$\frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) + Z^\eta(\tau) + Z_\eta(\tau) + Z_\eta^\eta(\tau)] \quad (4.4)$$

which equals the original Monster partition function $Z(\tau) = J(\tau)$.

¹¹To be more precise, there are two fusion categories obeying the fusion rules (4.2), but with different F -symbols. They are collectively called the \mathbb{Z}_2 Tambara-Yamagami fusion categories [42]. One of them is realized in the Ising CFT (and will be called the Ising category), while the other is realized in the $SU(2)_2$ WZW model (and will be called the $SU(2)_2$ category). More generally, the $Spin(N)_1$ WZW model has the Ising category if $N = 1, 7 \bmod 8$, and has the $SU(2)_2$ fusion category if $N = 3, 5 \bmod 8$. The F -symbols of these categories can be found in [42] (see also [16]).

$\mathcal{F} = \text{MW} \otimes \text{Baby}$	$\xleftarrow{\text{bosonization}} \xrightarrow{\text{fermionization}}$	$\mathcal{B} = \text{Monster CFT}$
fermion parity $(-1)^F$	$\xleftrightarrow{\text{dual}}$	non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_{2A}
chiral fermion parity \mathbb{Z}_2^ψ	$\xrightarrow{\text{non-symmetry extension}}$	duality defect \mathcal{N}
Baby Monster group \mathbb{B}	$\xrightarrow{\text{symmetry extension}}$	double cover $2.\mathbb{B}$

Table 3: Under bosonization/fermionization, the fermion parity $(-1)^F$ is the emergent quantum symmetry of \mathbb{Z}_{2A} , while the chiral fermion parity \mathbb{Z}_2^ψ of the Majorana-Weyl fermion is extended to a non-invertible duality defect \mathcal{N} in the Monster CFT \mathcal{B} . The Baby Monster group \mathbb{B} is extended to its double cover due to the mixed anomaly with $(-1)^F$.

4.1 Duality Defect of the Ising CFT

How does the duality defect line act on the local operators? We refer the readers to [19, 16, 10] for detailed discussions on the duality defect line. Below we only give a lightening review. Using the duality defect \mathcal{N} , we define a map on a local operator $\phi(x)$ as follows: We circle \mathcal{N} around $\phi(x)$, and shrink the circle to produce another local operator (see Figure 3). We denote this map on the Hilbert space of local operators \mathcal{H} as $\hat{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Since \mathcal{N} is a topological defect line, it commutes with the stress tensor, and hence ϕ and $\hat{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \phi$ have the same conformal weights h, \bar{h} .




Figure 3: The duality defect line \mathcal{N} defines a (non-invertible) map $\hat{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ on the Hilbert space of local operators by encircling a local operator $\phi(x)$ and shrinking the circle.

If $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ were a symmetry defect, then $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ would have been the symmetry transformation on local operators and is in particular invertible. One characteristic feature of the duality

defect is that $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ is non-invertible. From the fusion rule $\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{N} = I + \eta$, we see that the eigenvalues of $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ are

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \phi = \begin{cases} \pm\sqrt{2} \phi, & \text{if } \phi \text{ is } \mathbb{Z}_{2A}\text{-even,} \\ 0, & \text{if } \phi \text{ is } \mathbb{Z}_{2A}\text{-odd.} \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Indeed, the map $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$ on the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -odd operators are non-invertible. In particular, an empty loop of the duality defect \mathcal{N} is $\sqrt{2}$, which is its quantum dimension.

Let us illustrate this in the Ising CFT. The \mathbb{Z}_2 line η acts on three primaries as

$$\widehat{\eta} \cdot 1 = 1, \quad \widehat{\eta} \cdot \varepsilon_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} = \varepsilon_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \widehat{\eta} \cdot \sigma_{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}} = -\sigma_{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}}, \quad (4.6)$$

and the duality defect line acts as

$$\widehat{\mathcal{N}} \cdot 1 = \sqrt{2}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \varepsilon_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} = -\sqrt{2} \varepsilon_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{N}} \cdot \sigma_{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}} = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

It follows that the torus partition function with an \mathcal{N} line extended along the space direction is

$$(\text{Ising}) : Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[\widehat{\mathcal{N}} q^{h-c_L/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{h}-c_R/24} \right] = \sqrt{2} |\chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)|^2 - \sqrt{2} |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)|^2. \quad (4.8)$$

By the modular S transformation, we immediately get the defect Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ for the duality defect line:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ising}) : Z_{\mathcal{N}}(\tau, \bar{\tau}) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}} \left[q^{h-c_L/24} \bar{q}^{\bar{h}-c_R/24} \right] = Z^{\mathcal{N}}(-1/\tau, -1/\bar{\tau}) \\ &= \chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\bar{\tau}) + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\bar{\tau}) + \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\bar{\tau}) + \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\bar{\tau}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

The partition function $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$ is realized by inserting a duality defect line along the time direction, and thereby twisting the space direction. We see that the defect Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ contains four primaries with conformal weights $(0, \frac{1}{16}), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}), (\frac{1}{16}, 0), (\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{2})$. These correspond to non-local operators living at the end of the duality defect line \mathcal{N} , so they do not need to have integer spins. In fact, their spins are constrained to be $s \equiv h - \bar{h} \in \pm \frac{1}{16} + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}$ [16].

4.2 Defect McKay-Thompson Series

In the following we will compute the torus partition functions of the Monster CFT twisted by the duality defect line \mathcal{N} . In the fermionic theory \mathcal{F} , the NS sector torus partition function with a \mathbb{Z}_2^{ψ} line extended along the space direction is

$$Z_{NS}^{\psi}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} Z_{NS}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\theta_3(\tau)}} Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau). \quad (4.10)$$

Recall that the NS sector of \mathcal{F} consists of the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -even subsector \mathcal{H}^+ and the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -odd subsector \mathcal{H}_η^- . From the spin selection rules derived in [16, 44], \mathcal{H}^+ only contains integer spin operators while \mathcal{H}_η^- only contains half-integer spin operators. Therefore we can single out $Z^\mathcal{N}$ by selecting the integer spin terms of Z_{NS}^ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^\mathcal{N}(\tau) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \left[\hat{\mathcal{N}} q^{h-c_L/24} \right] = \sqrt{2} \times \frac{1}{2} \left[Z_{NS}^\psi(\tau) + Z_{NS}^\psi(\tau+1) \right] \\ &= \sqrt{2} \chi_0^{\text{Ising}} \chi_0^{\text{Baby}} - \sqrt{2} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} \\ &= \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{q} + 91886q + 8498776q^2 + 301112552q^3 + \dots \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where we have written $Z^\mathcal{N}$ in terms of the characters of the Ising CFT and the Baby Monster CFT. The overall factor of $\sqrt{2}$ is included such that the duality defect line \mathcal{N} acts on the identity with the correct eigenvalue.

Recall that the $2A$ McKay-Thompson series Z^η is the torus partition function with a \mathbb{Z}_{2A} twist in the time direction. In this spirit, we call $Z^\mathcal{N}$ the *defect McKay-Thompson series* where the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} symmetry line is replaced by the non-invertible duality defect \mathcal{N} . Unlike its cousin, the $2A$ McKay-Thompson series Z^η , the defect McKay-Thompson series $Z^\mathcal{N}$ is not invariant under $\Gamma_0(2)+$.

Next, we perform the modular S transformation on $Z^\mathcal{N}$ to obtain the spectrum of the defect Hilbert space of the duality line \mathcal{N} :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\mathcal{N}(\tau) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{N}} \left[q^{h-c_L/24} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{\theta_3(\tau)}} Z_{NS}^\mathcal{F}(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\theta_2(\tau)}} Z_R^\mathcal{F}(\tau) \\ &= \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} (\chi_0^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}) + (\chi_0^{\text{Ising}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}) \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}} \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{15/16}} + q^{1/16} + 4371q^{9/16} + 96256q^{15/16} + 96257q^{17/16} + 96256q^{23/16} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

The conformal weights h are consistent with the spin selection rule for the defect Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{N}$ derived in (6.5) of [16]:

$$h \in \pm \frac{1}{16} + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}. \quad (4.13)$$

4.3 Modular Properties

Let us discuss some properties of the defect McKay-Thompson series $Z^\mathcal{N}(\tau)$. Let $\Gamma_0(N)$ be the congruence subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ defined as

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}. \quad (4.14)$$

We will show that $Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$ is invariant under $\Gamma_0(8)$ *up to a sign*. The generators of $\Gamma_0(8)$ (viewed as a subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ instead of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$) are

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ 16 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ 8 & -3 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.15)$$

Using the expression for $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ in terms of the Ising and the Baby Monster characters (4.11), as well as their modular S and T matrices (B.5), we find

$$Z^{\mathcal{N}}(G_1 \cdot \tau) = -Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau), \quad Z^{\mathcal{N}}(G_2 \cdot \tau) = Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau), \quad (4.16)$$

and of course $Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau + 1) = Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$. Hence $\Gamma_0(8)$ leaves $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ invariant up to a sign.¹²

The defect McKay-Thompson series is invariant under the subgroup of $\Gamma_0(8)$ that consists of the words formed by T, G_1, G_2 with an even number of G_1 . In Appendix D we show that this subgroup is (the name of $16D^0$ is taken from the classification of genus-zero congruence subgroups by [45])

$$16D^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{array}{l} (a = d = \pm 1 \bmod 8, \quad c = 0 \bmod 16) \\ \text{or } (a = d = \pm 3 \bmod 8, \quad c = 8 \bmod 16) \end{array} \right\}. \quad (4.17)$$

Below we record some of its known properties:

- It is genus-zero.
- It is a congruence subgroup at level 16, since we have $\Gamma(16) < \Gamma_1(16) < 16D^0 < \Gamma_0(8)$.¹³
- It is an index 24 subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.
- Its cusps and their widths are:

cusps	$i\infty$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{8}$
width	1	16	2	2	2	1

(4.19)

¹²The invariance up to a sign can be seen quite easily for a particular element ST^8S of $\Gamma_0(8)$. From the spin selection rule (4.13) or the explicit expression (4.12), we see that $Z_{\mathcal{N}}(T^8 \cdot \tau) = -Z_{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$, which then implies $Z^{\mathcal{N}}(ST^8S \cdot \tau) = -Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$.

¹³The level N of a congruence subgroup Γ is defined as the smallest N such that $\Gamma(N) \leq \Gamma$, where

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid a, d = 1 \bmod N, \quad b, c = 0 \bmod N \right\}. \quad (4.18)$$

Unlike the usual McKay-Thompson series, $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ does not appear to be invariant under $\Gamma_0(N)$ for any N . In particular, $16D^0$ does not contain any $\Gamma_0(N)$ as a subgroup.

Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$ be the invariance group of $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ inside $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ (instead of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$). Could the defect McKay-Thompson series $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ be the Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$? If so, all the poles of $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ must be related to the cusp at $\tau = i\infty$ by the action of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$. However, $Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$ diverges at $\tau = 0$, as can be seen from its S transform $Z_{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$ given in (4.12). Hence, for $Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$ to be a Hauptmodul, the full invariance group must include an element $\gamma \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ that maps $\tau = i\infty$ to $\tau = 0$. Such an element γ must be of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{c} \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R}). \quad (4.20)$$

The condition $Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\gamma \cdot \tau) = Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau)$ is equivalent to

$$Z_{\mathcal{N}}(\tau + cd) = Z^{\mathcal{N}}\left(\frac{\tau}{c^2}\right). \quad (4.21)$$

However, by comparing their explicit expressions (4.11) and (4.12), the above equality cannot be true for any $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, the defect McKay-Thompson series cannot be the Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{N}} < PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.¹⁴

4.4 Decomposition into the Baby Monster Representations

Let us next compute the partition functions of the Monster CFT restricted to different eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$. From the fusion rule (4.2), the zero eigenvalue operators are the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -odd operators, which are the states in \mathcal{H}^- :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}=0}(\tau) &= Z^{\hat{\eta}=-1}(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) - Z^{\eta}(\tau)] = \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) \\ &= 96256q + 10698752q^2 + 431529984q^3 + 10117578752q^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -even states are further split into the $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = \pm\sqrt{2}$ sectors, which are

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}=+\sqrt{2}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[Z(\tau) - Z^{\hat{\eta}=-1}(\tau) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau) \right] = \chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_0^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} + 96257q + 9646892q^2 + 366941269q^3 + 8233443176q^4 + \dots, \\ Z^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}=-\sqrt{2}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[Z(\tau) - Z^{\hat{\eta}=-1}(\tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z^{\mathcal{N}}(\tau) \right] = \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) \\ &= 4371q + 1148116q^2 + 65828717q^3 + 1894834328q^4 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

¹⁴For the usual McKay-Thompson series Z^g associated with a group element g of the Monster group, Z^g has a pole at $\tau = 0$ if and only if it is invariant under the Fricke involution $\tau \rightarrow -1/N\tau$ where N is the order of g [46]. However, the defect McKay-Thompson series $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ is not invariant under any Fricke involution, and yet it is divergent at $\tau = 0$.

For example, at $h = 2$, there are 196883 Virasoro primaries transforming in the smallest nontrivial irrep of the Monster group, and a single level-2 descendant of the vacuum, with eigenvalue $+\sqrt{2}$ (because its primary does). Out of the 196883 Virasoro primaries, 96256 of them have $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = +\sqrt{2}$, 4371 of them have $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = -\sqrt{2}$, and 96256 of them have $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = 0$. In particular, the duality defect does *not* commute with the Monster group.

Interestingly, the first few irreps of the Baby Monster group \mathbb{B} are **1**, **4371**, **96255**, and the smallest irrep of the double cover $2.\mathbb{B}$ that is not of \mathbb{B} is **96256**. Indeed, the three partition functions $Z^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}=\pm\sqrt{2},0}$ can all be written in terms of the Ising and the Baby Monster characters, which are known to be decomposable into the $2.\mathbb{B}$ irreps [11]. This generalizes the familiar fact that the coefficients of the 2A McKay-Thompson series Z^η can be decomposed into the dimensions of the $2.\mathbb{B}$ irreps.

The latter fact about the 2A McKay-Thompson series Z^η can be physically understood as follows. Recall that Z^η is the partition function with a \mathbb{Z}_{2A} twist in the time direction. The centralizer of the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} in the Monster group is $2.\mathbb{B}$, hence the coefficients of Z^η can be decomposed into the irreps of $2.\mathbb{B}$ (with signs). The same reasoning also applies to the duality defect \mathcal{N} . In the fermionic theory \mathcal{F} , the chiral fermion parity \mathbb{Z}_2^ψ commutes with the symmetry group \mathbb{B} of the Baby Monster CFT. Since the duality defect \mathcal{N} comes from \mathbb{Z}_2^ψ of \mathcal{F} (see Table 3), it commutes with the $2.\mathbb{B}$ symmetry subgroup of the Monster CFT. This explains why the coefficients of $Z^{\hat{\mathcal{N}}=\pm\sqrt{2},0}$ can be decomposed into the dimensions of the $2.\mathbb{B}$ irreps. Similarly, the degeneracies in $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$ in (4.12) can be decomposed into the dimensions of $2.\mathbb{B}$ irreps.

States with $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ eigenvalues $+\sqrt{2}$, $-\sqrt{2}$, 0 are analogous to the identity **1**, the energy operator $\varepsilon_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$, and the order operator $\sigma_{\frac{1}{16},\frac{1}{16}}$ in the Ising CFT, respectively. We summarize this analogy in Table 4.¹⁵

¹⁵Note that the 96256 Virasoro primaries at $h = 2$ with $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = +\sqrt{2}$ should be decomposed into **1** \oplus **96255** of \mathbb{B} , rather than **96256** of $2.\mathbb{B}$, because the Baby Monster module $h = 0$ has the \mathbb{B} symmetry and not the $2.\mathbb{B}$. By contrast, the 96256 Virasoro primaries of the $\hat{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ states should be identified with **96256** of $2.\mathbb{B}$, which is the symmetry of the Baby Monster module with $h = \frac{31}{16}$.

	$\hat{\mathcal{N}} = +\sqrt{2}$	$\hat{\mathcal{N}} = -\sqrt{2}$	$\hat{\mathcal{N}} = 0$
Ising	1	$\varepsilon_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$	$\sigma_{\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}}$
Monster at $h = 2$	$T(z), \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{96255}$	4371	96256

Table 4: Analogy between the Ising CFT and the Monster CFT, in regards to the duality defect line \mathcal{N} .

5 General Twisted Partition Functions

We can further compute more general torus partition functions twisted by the duality defect \mathcal{N} and the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} line η . We will use several of the F -moves of the duality defects and the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} lines in the Ising category, which we refer the readers to Figure 31 of [16].

To begin with, consider the partition function $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}}$ twisted by \mathcal{N} in both the time and the space directions. As explained in [16], partition functions involving an intersection of two topological defect line are generally ambiguous. To resolve the ambiguity, one needs to resolve the intersection. Out of the four possible resolutions shown in Figure 4, only two of them are linearly independent upon using the F -moves of the topological defect lines (see Figure 5).¹⁶ We will choose the two independent ones to be the ones with no intermediate η line (the first two configurations in Figure 4), and denote the corresponding twisted partition functions by $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}\pm}$, which can be obtained by performing T^{-1} and T on $Z_{\mathcal{N}}$:

$$Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}\pm}(\tau) = Z_{\mathcal{N}}(\tau \mp 1) = e^{\mp \frac{2\pi i}{16}} \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} (\chi_0^{\text{Baby}} - \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}) + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{16}} (\chi_0^{\text{Ising}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}) \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}. \quad (5.1)$$

¹⁶Note that there is no ambiguity in $Z_{\eta}^{\eta}(\tau) = Z_{\eta}(\tau \pm 1)$ for a non-anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry because the F -move is trivial. By contrast, the partition function with \mathbb{Z}_2 twists in both the time and space directions is ambiguous for an anomalous \mathbb{Z}_2 [44]. For this reason, we will use the same notation $\hat{\eta}$ both as a map on \mathcal{H} and on the defect Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{η} twisted by \mathbb{Z}_{2A} .




Figure 4: Four resolutions of $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}}$. The boundary square represents a torus with opposite sides identified. The solid line (in the interior of the square) stands for the duality defect \mathcal{N} , while the dashed line stands for the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} defect line η .

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}+} &= \text{[Diagram 1]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{[Diagram 2]} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{[Diagram 3]} \\
Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}-} &= \text{[Diagram 4]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{[Diagram 5]} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{[Diagram 6]} \\
Z_{\eta}^{\mathcal{N}-} &= \text{[Diagram 7]} = - \text{[Diagram 8]} = -Z_{\eta}^{\mathcal{N}+} \\
Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\eta-} &= \text{[Diagram 9]} = - \text{[Diagram 10]} = -Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\eta+}
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 5: F -moves relating the different resolutions for $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}}$, $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\eta}$, and $Z_{\eta}^{\mathcal{N}}$. There are two independent resolutions for $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\mathcal{N}}$, and we choose to use the two without an intermediate η line. The replacement rule for the other two (the ones with an intermediate η line) can be obtained by solving the first two equations. There is one independent resolution for $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\eta}$ and $Z_{\eta}^{\mathcal{N}}$, and we choose to use the ones on the left.

Next, we consider the partition function with \mathcal{N} extended along the space direction and η extended along the time direction. Again, this twisted partition function has an ambiguity in the intersection between the two lines. In this case, the F -moves of the Ising category imply that they only differ by an overall sign, $Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}+} = -Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}-}$. See Figure 5. From (2.5), we see that $Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}-}$ comprises exactly of the half-integer power terms of the fermionic partition function Z_{NS}^ψ :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}-}(\tau) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_\eta} \left[\hat{\mathcal{N}}_- q^{h-c_L/24} \right] = -Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}+}(\tau) \\ &= -\sqrt{2}i \times \frac{1}{2} \left[Z_{NS}^\psi(\tau) - Z_{NS}^\psi(\tau+1) \right] \\ &= -\sqrt{2}i \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} + 4370\sqrt{q} + 1047488q^{3/2} + 54941824q^{5/2} + \dots \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

The overall factor $-\sqrt{2}i$ requires some explanation. On the ordinary Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the duality defect \mathcal{N} defines a map $\hat{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ obeying $\hat{\mathcal{N}} \circ \hat{\mathcal{N}} = I + \hat{\eta}$. Consequently, $\hat{\mathcal{N}}$ has eigenvalues $\pm\sqrt{2}$ and 0. However, on the defect Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_η of \mathbb{Z}_{2A} , the fusion is modified in the following sense. Let us define the map $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_\pm : \mathcal{H}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\eta$ on the defect Hilbert space of \mathbb{Z}_{2A} as in Figure 6. Following a sequence of F -moves as in Figure 7, we find that $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ \circ \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ = \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- \circ \hat{\mathcal{N}}_- = -I + \hat{\eta}$. Therefore, the eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_\pm$ are $\pm\sqrt{2}i$ and 0. Between the two choices $\pm\sqrt{2}i$ of the overall factor, we claim that $-\sqrt{2}i$ is the correct choice. We show this is in the next paragraph.

Applying the modular S transformation on $Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}+} = -Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}-}$ given in (5.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\eta-}(\tau) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}} \left[\hat{\eta}_- q^{h-c_L/24} \right] = -Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\eta+}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{\theta_3(\tau)}} Z_{NS}^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\theta_2(\tau)}} Z_R^{\mathcal{F}}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{i}{q^{15/16}} + iq^{1/16} + 4371iq^{9/16} - 96256iq^{15/16} + 96257iq^{17/16} - 96256iq^{23/16} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

See Figure 5 for the meaning of $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^{\eta-}$ as a resolution of $Z_{\mathcal{N}}^\eta$. Here $\hat{\eta}_\pm : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ are maps on the defect Hilbert space of \mathcal{N} defined as in Figure 6. Again by the F -move, we have $\hat{\eta}_+ = -\hat{\eta}_-$. It was shown in Section 6.1.1 of [16] that the $\hat{\eta}_\pm$ obeys $\hat{\eta}_+ \circ \hat{\eta}_+ = \hat{\eta}_- \circ \hat{\eta}_- = -1$. Furthermore, the $\hat{\eta}_-$ eigenvalue is determined by the spin $s = h - \bar{h}$ of the operator,

$$\hat{\eta}_- = \begin{cases} +i, & \text{if } s \in \frac{1}{16} + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}, \\ -i, & \text{if } s \in -\frac{1}{16} + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

See (6.4) of [16] with $\epsilon = +1$ for the derivations. This spin selection rule is indeed consistent with our previously claimed sign choice for $Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}-}$, and would have been inconsistent with the other sign.




Figure 6: The duality defect defines two maps $\hat{N}_\pm : \mathcal{H}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\eta$ on the defect Hilbert space of η (top two figures). Similarly, the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} defect defines two maps $\hat{\eta}_\pm : \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{N}$ on the defect Hilbert space of \mathcal{N} (bottom two figures). For each map, we show the defect line configurations both on the cylinder (left) and on the plane (right), with the two related by the state-operator map. Using the F -move in Figure 5, we see that $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ = -\hat{\mathcal{N}}_-$ and $\hat{\eta}_+ = -\hat{\eta}_-$.














Figure 7: The upper left diagram illustrates the map $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ \circ \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+$ on the defect Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_η of \mathbb{Z}_{2A} . By applying a sequence of F -moves, we arrive at the second row, which implies that $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ \circ \hat{\mathcal{N}}_+ = -I + \hat{\eta}$.

To summarize, we have computed all the torus partition functions twisted by the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} line η and the duality defect line \mathcal{N} :

	$Z = J = \chi_0^{\text{Ising}} \chi_0^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}},$	
	$Z^\eta = \chi_0^{\text{Ising}} \chi_0^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}},$	
	$Z_\eta = \chi_0^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_0^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}},$	
	$Z_\eta^\eta = -\chi_0^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_0^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}},$	
	$Z^\mathcal{N} = \sqrt{2} \chi_0^{\text{Ising}} \chi_0^{\text{Baby}} - \sqrt{2} \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}},$	
	$Z_\mathcal{N} = \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} (\chi_0^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}) + (\chi_0^{\text{Ising}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}) \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}},$	(5.5)
	$Z_\mathcal{N}^\pm = e^{\mp \frac{2\pi i}{16}} \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} (\chi_0^{\text{Baby}} - \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}) + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{16}} (\chi_0^{\text{Ising}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}) \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}},$	
		
	$Z_\mathcal{N}^{\eta-} = i \chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}} (\chi_0^{\text{Baby}} + \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}) - i (\chi_0^{\text{Ising}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}) \chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}},$	
	$Z_\eta^{\mathcal{N}-} = -\sqrt{2} i \chi_0^{\text{Ising}} \chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} + \sqrt{2} i \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \chi_0^{\text{Baby}}.$	

Here we write all the partition functions in terms of the Ising and the Baby Monster characters using Table 2. Among the 10 partition functions, there is a linear relation: $Z = Z^\eta + Z_\eta + Z_\eta^\eta$, which is the statement that the theory is self-dual under the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} orbifold.

For comparison, we show the corresponding 10 twisted partition functions in the Ising CFT (we drop the superscript “Ising” below):¹⁷

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= |\chi_0|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}|^2, \\
Z^\eta &= |\chi_0|^2 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2 - |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}|^2, \\
Z_\eta &= \chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}} + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\chi}_0 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}|^2, \\
Z_\eta^\eta &= -\chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}} - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\chi}_0 + |\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}|^2, \\
Z^\mathcal{N} &= \sqrt{2} |\chi_0|^2 - \sqrt{2} |\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}|^2, \\
Z_\mathcal{N} &= \chi_{\frac{1}{16}} (\bar{\chi}_0 + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\chi_0 + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}, \\
Z_\mathcal{N}^{\pm} &= e^{\mp \frac{2\pi i}{16}} \chi_{\frac{1}{16}} (\bar{\chi}_0 - \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}) + e^{\pm \frac{2\pi i}{16}} (\chi_0 - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}, \\
Z_\mathcal{N}^{\eta-} &= i \chi_{\frac{1}{16}} (\bar{\chi}_0 + \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}}) - i (\chi_0 + \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{16}}, \\
Z_\mathcal{N}^{\eta-} &= -\sqrt{2} i \chi_0 \bar{\chi}_{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{2} i \chi_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\chi}_0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $\bar{\chi}_{\bar{h}} = \chi_{\bar{h}}(\bar{\tau})$. Note that the Monster twisted partition functions can be obtained from those of the Ising CFT by replacing the right-moving Ising characters $\bar{\chi}_{0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}$ by $\chi_{0, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}$. This reflects the fact that the modular S and T matrices of the Baby Monster modules are the complex conjugates of those of the Ising CFT (see Appendix B.3).

6 Summary and Outlook

We end with a summary of our results and several open questions for future exploration.

Summary

- We showed that the fermionization of the (bosonic) Monster CFT with respect to \mathbb{Z}_{2A} is the tensor product of a Majorana-Weyl fermion and the (fermionic) Baby Monster CFT.
- Through the above bosonization/fermionization relation, we identified a non-invertible duality defect \mathcal{N} in the Monster CFT, which together with the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} symmetry form an Ising category. This Ising category commutes with the $2.\mathbb{B}$ subgroup of the Monster group, and extends the Monster group symmetry to a larger tensor category of topological defect lines.

¹⁷We thank Wenjie Ji and Xiao-Gang Wen for discussions on this point.

- We introduced the defect McKay-Thompson series $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ as the Monster partition function twisted by the duality defect \mathcal{N} , and showed that its coefficients can be decomposed into the dimensions of the $2.\mathbb{B}$ irreps.
- The defect McKay-Thompson series $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ is invariant under $\Gamma_0(8)$ up to a sign. It is invariant under the congruence subgroup $16D^0$ of $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that is genus zero, index 24, and level 16. We further showed that $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ cannot be the Hauptmodul of its invariance group inside $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Open questions

- What is the full set of topological defect lines in the Monster CFT? What is the tensor category they form? The fusion subcategory consisting only of the invertible lines, or equivalently, the 't Hooft anomaly of the Monster group was studied in [47].
- Our investigation of \mathbb{Z}_{2A} has a natural generalization to \mathbb{Z}_{pA} with p a prime number dividing the order of the Monster group. For each such p , the Monster CFT is known to be self-dual under the \mathbb{Z}_{pA} orbifold [46, 28, 29, 48, 43], which implies that the Monster CFT must have a duality defect belonging to a \mathbb{Z}_p Tambara-Yamagami category.¹⁸ For each p , it would be interesting to determine which one of the two \mathbb{Z}_p Tambara-Yamagami categories is realized, perhaps by identifying relations to \mathbb{Z}_p parafermions and realizing the \mathcal{N} line in the parafermion theory.
- Conway and Norton [3] conjectured that every McKay-Thompson series is the unique Hauptmodul of a genus-zero subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. For example, the $2A$ series is the Hauptmodul of $\Gamma_0(2)+$. One could ask whether the defect McKay-Thompson series $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ of Section 5 as well as the generalizations to \mathbb{Z}_{pA} boasts any interesting modular properties.
- Generally, given a bosonic theory \mathcal{B} that is self-duality under a \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold, *i.e.* $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}/\mathbb{Z}_2$, how do we determine the category of the corresponding duality defect \mathcal{N} ? Which one of the two \mathbb{Z}_2 Tambara-Yamagami categories (*i.e.* the Ising category and the $SU(2)_2$ category) does it realize? In the Monster CFT, we determined the category to be the Ising category via the free fermion sector in the fermionized theory.
- Can other bilinear relations, such as the ones discussed in [49, 41, 50, 51], be understood in terms of (analogs of) bosonization/fermionization?

¹⁸We thank Theo Johnson-Freyd for pointing this out to us.

Acknowledgements

We thank Nathan Benjamin, Meng Cheng, Jeffrey Harvey, Wenjie Ji, Petr Kravchuk, Theo Johnson-Freyd, Natalie Paquette, and Xiao-Gang Wen for discussions. SHS would like to thank Kantaro Ohmori and Nathan Seiberg for useful discussions on global symmetries in bosonic and fermionic QFTs. We thank Theo Johnson-Freyd for comments on the draft. YL is supported by the Sherman Fairchild Foundation, and by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of High Energy Physics, under Award Number DE-SC0011632. The work of SHS is supported by the Simons Foundation/SFARI (651444, NS).

A Irreducible Representations of the Baby Monster Group

We denote the Baby Monster group by \mathbb{B} , and its double cover by $2.\mathbb{B}$. The latter is a subgroup of the Monster group, while the former is not. The dimensions of the first few irreps are

$$\begin{aligned} 1, \quad 4371, \quad 96255, \quad \underline{96256}, \quad 1139374, \quad 9458750, \quad 9550635, \quad \underline{10506240}, \\ 63532485, \quad 347643114, \quad 356054375, \quad \underline{410132480}, \quad 1407126890, \quad \dots, \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where the underlined ones are irreps of $2.\mathbb{B}$ but not of \mathbb{B} , and the rest are irreps of both $2.\mathbb{B}$ and \mathbb{B} .

B Baby Monster VOA

The Baby Monster VOA with central charge $c = \frac{47}{2}$ was constructed by [11] using the Monster VOA. It has three irreducible modules with conformal weights 0, $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{31}{16}$, which we denote by V_0^{Baby} , $V_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}$, and $V_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}$. In this appendix we review some key facts about the Baby Monster VOA and its irreducible modules.

B.1 Twisted Modules of the Monster VOA

The mathematical construction of the Baby Monster VOA uses the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -twisted module of the Monster VOA. Mathematically, the existence of such a module was part of the Generalized Moonshine Conjecture [52]. Physically, this module is the defect Hilbert space of the Monster CFT, where the boundary condition on the space circle is twisted by \mathbb{Z}_{2A} , represented by the

insertion of a topological defect line η (for \mathbb{Z}_{2A}) extended along the time direction. In both the original or defect Hilbert spaces, the states are separated into \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -even/odd sectors, and thus we have four sectors $\mathcal{H}^\pm, \mathcal{H}_\eta^\pm$. Under OPE, the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} -even operators of \mathcal{H}^+ form a VOA V_{00} , and each of the other three sectors forms a V_{00} -module, which we denote by V_{01} , V_{10} , and V_{11} , respectively (in the notation of [11]). Due to the self-duality (4.4), we have $V_{01} \cong V_{10}$ whose primary has conformal weight 2. Finally, the primary of V_{11} has conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$, which is the free Majorana-Weyl fermion. To make clear the following discussion of the relation to the Baby Monster VOA, we denote these modules by V_0^{Monster} , V_2^{Monster} , and $V_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Monster}}$.

The characters for the various modules defined above have the following closed forms:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_0^{\text{Monster}}(\tau) &= Z^\eta(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \left[Z^\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + Z^\eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right], \\ \chi_2^{\text{Monster}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[Z^\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) + Z^\eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right], \\ \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Monster}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[Z^\eta\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right) - Z^\eta\left(\frac{\tau+1}{2}\right) \right],\end{aligned}\tag{B.1}$$

where Z^η is the 24 McKay-Thompson series given in (3.2). The modular invariant torus partition function of the Monster CFT is $J(\tau) = j(\tau) - 744 = \chi_0^{\text{Monster}}(\tau) + \chi_2^{\text{Monster}}(\tau)$, where j denotes Klein's j -invariant.

B.2 Modules and Characters of the Baby Monster

The twisted modules of the Monster VOA have the following decomposition into the modules of the Baby Monster and Ising VOAs [11]:

$$\begin{aligned}V_0^{\text{Monster}} &= V_0^{\text{Baby}} \otimes V_0^{\text{Ising}} \oplus V_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}, \\ V_2^{\text{Monster}} &= V_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}, \\ V_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Monster}} &= V_0^{\text{Baby}} \otimes V_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}} \oplus V_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}} \otimes V_0^{\text{Ising}}.\end{aligned}\tag{B.2}$$

It is clear from the decomposition (B.2) that the Baby Monster characters can be written

in terms of the the Monster and Ising characters. The Ising characters are

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} + \sqrt{\frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} \right], \\
\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\theta_3(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} - \sqrt{\frac{\theta_4(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}} \right], \\
\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) &= \sqrt{\frac{\theta_2(\tau)}{2\eta(\tau)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

Combining (B.2), (B.1), and (B.3), we obtain the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) &= \frac{\chi_0^{\text{Monster}}(\tau)\chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) - \chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Monster}}(\tau)\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)}{[\chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)]^2 - [\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)]^2} \\
&= q^{-\frac{47}{48}} (1 + 96256q^2 + 9646891q^3 + O(q^4)) , \\
\chi_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) &= \frac{\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Monster}}(\tau)\chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau) - \chi_0^{\text{Monster}}(\tau)\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)}{[\chi_0^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)]^2 - [\chi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)]^2} \\
&= q^{\frac{3}{2} - \frac{47}{48}} (4371 + 1143745q + 64680601q^2 + 1829005611q^3 + O(q^4)) , \\
\chi_{\frac{31}{16}}^{\text{Baby}}(\tau) &= \frac{\chi_2^{\text{Monster}}(\tau)}{\chi_{\frac{1}{16}}^{\text{Ising}}(\tau)} \\
&= q^{\frac{31}{16} - \frac{47}{48}} (96256 + 10602496q + 420831232q^2 + 9685952512q^3 + O(q^4)) .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

The $h = 0$ and $h = \frac{3}{2}$ modules each can be decomposed into irreps of the Baby Monster group \mathbb{B} , while the $h = \frac{31}{16}$ module can be decomposed into the projective representations of \mathbb{B} (*i.e.* they are irreps of $2.\mathbb{B}$ but not of \mathbb{B}) [11].

B.3 Modular Properties

The characters for the irreducible modules of the Baby Monster VOA form a three-dimensional representation of the modular $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. More precisely, if the Ising characters transform under the representation \mathbf{R} of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, then the Baby Monster characters transform in the complex conjugate representation $\bar{\mathbf{R}}$. The S and T matrices for the Ising and the Baby Monster VOAs can be written uniformly as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\pi i \frac{c}{24}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i (-\frac{c}{24} + \frac{1}{2})} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{2\pi i (-\frac{c}{24} + \frac{c}{8})} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{B.5}$$

with $c = \frac{1}{2}$ for Ising and $c = \frac{47}{2}$ for the Baby Monster. Their representations are complex conjugates because $\frac{47}{2} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \pmod{24}$. The tensor product $\mathbf{R} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{R}}$ contains a singlet that corresponds to the modular invariant partition function $J(\tau) = j(\tau) - 744$ of the Monster CFT. Indeed, at the module level, the Monster CFT $V_0^{\text{Monster}} + V_2^{\text{Monster}}$ is the inner product of the Ising and Baby Monster modules as in (B.2).

C “Beauty and the Beast” and the $2B$ Fermionization

In this paper, we have argued that the \mathbb{Z}_{2A} fermionization of the Monster CFT is the tensor product of the Baby Monster CFT and the Majorana-Weyl fermion. There is another \mathbb{Z}_{2B} symmetry of the Monster CFT, whose partition function with a twist in the time direction is the $2B$ McKay-Thompson series

$$Z^{2B}(\tau) = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} [\hat{\eta}^{2B} q^{h-c/24}] = \frac{\eta(\tau)^{24}}{\eta(2\tau)^{24}} + 24 = \frac{1}{q} + 276q - 2048q^2 + 11202q^3 + \mathcal{O}(q^4). \quad (\text{C.1})$$

What is the \mathbb{Z}_{2B} fermionization of the Monster CFT?

The \mathbb{Z}_{2B} fermionization turns out to be the “Beauty and the Beast” $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT constructed in [5]. In fact, their construction in the context of VOA is exactly the fermionization procedure. We will check this at the level of the torus partition function below.

The modular S transformation of (C.1) gives the partition function of the defect Hilbert space associated with \mathbb{Z}_{2B} :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2B}(\tau) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_{2B}} [q^{h-c/24}] = 2^{12} \frac{\eta(\tau)^{24}}{\eta(\tau/2)^{24}} + 24 \\ &= 24 + 4096q^{1/2} + 98304q + 1228800q^{3/2} + 10747904q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^{5/2}). \end{aligned}$$

The partition function with \mathbb{Z}_{2B} twist both in the time and space directions is $Z_{2B}^{2B}(\tau) = Z_{2B}(\tau + 1)$. The NS and R sector partition functions (with and without the $(-1)^F$ inserted)

of the \mathbb{Z}_{2B} fermionization of the Monster CFT are computed by (2.5) to be

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{NS}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) + Z^{2B}(\tau) + Z_{2B}(\tau) - Z_{2B}^{2B}(\tau)] \\
&= \frac{1}{q} + 4096q^{1/2} + 98580q + 1228800q^{3/2} + 10745856q^2 + \dots, \\
\tilde{Z}_{NS}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) + Z^{2B}(\tau) - Z_{2B}(\tau) + Z_{2B}^{2B}(\tau)] \\
&= \frac{1}{q} - 4096q^{1/2} + 98580q - 1228800q^{3/2} + 10745856q^2 + \dots, \\
Z_R(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) - Z^{2B}(\tau) + Z_{2B}(\tau) + Z_{2B}^{2B}(\tau)] \\
&= 24 + 196608q + 21495808q^2 + 864288768q^3 + 20245905408q^4 + \dots, \\
\tilde{Z}_R(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) - Z^{2B}(\tau) - Z_{2B}(\tau) - Z_{2B}^{2B}(\tau)] \\
&= -24.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

These are indeed the spectra of operators in [5]. Note that $\tilde{Z}_R = \text{Tr}_R[(-1)^F q^{h-c/24}]$ is the Witten index of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SCFT.

Finally, we note that the \mathbb{Z}_{2B} orbifold of the Monster CFT gives back the Leech lattice CFT:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{\text{Leech}}(\tau) &= \frac{1}{2} [Z(\tau) + Z^{2B}(\tau) + Z_{2B}(\tau) + Z_{2B}^{2B}(\tau)] \\
&= \frac{1}{q} + 24 + 196884q + 21493760q^2 + 864299970q^3 + \dots.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.3}$$

D The Invariance Group of $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ in $\Gamma_0(8)$

In the beginning of Section 4.3, we established that $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ transforms under $\Gamma_0(8)$ only by ± 1 . As discussed there, the invariance group $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{N}}$ of $Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ in $\Gamma_0(8)$ is the set of words composed of T, G_1, G_2 in (4.15) with an even number of G_1 .¹⁹ From this definition, it is clear that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an index-2 normal subgroup inside $\Gamma_0(8)$.

Now, there is an index-4 normal subgroup

$$\Gamma^{0,0} \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid a = d = \pm 1 \pmod{8}, \quad c = 0 \pmod{16} \right\} \tag{D.1}$$

¹⁹Note that the symbol $\Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$ in Section 4.3 refers to the invariance group in $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Of course, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{N}} \leq \Gamma_{\mathcal{N}}$.

inside $\Gamma_0(8)$.²⁰ The four cosets of $\Gamma^{0,0}$ in $\Gamma_0(8)$ are $\Gamma^{0,0}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^{1,0} &\equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid a = d = \pm 3 \bmod 8, \quad c = 8 \bmod 16 \right\}, \\ \Gamma^{0,1} &\equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid a = d = \pm 3 \bmod 8, \quad c = 0 \bmod 16 \right\}, \\ \Gamma^{1,1} &\equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid a = d = \pm 1 \bmod 8, \quad c = 8 \bmod 16 \right\},\end{aligned}\tag{D.2}$$

with the quotient group being the Klein four group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$,

$$\Gamma^{a,b} \Gamma^{c,d} = \Gamma^{(a+c), (b+d)},\tag{D.3}$$

where the superscripts are defined modulo 2.

Since $T \in \Gamma^{0,0}$, $G_1 \in \Gamma^{0,1}$, $G_2 \in \Gamma^{1,0}$, it is clear that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{N}} \leq \Gamma^{0,0} \cup \Gamma^{1,0}$. But since $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an index-2 normal subgroup inside $\Gamma_0(8)$, it must be that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{N}} = \Gamma^{0,0} \cup \Gamma^{1,0}$. Hence we have shown that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{N}}$ indeed has the description (4.17).

References

- [1] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman, *A natural representation of the fischer-griess monster with the modular function j as character*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **81** (1984), no. 10 3256–3260, [<https://www.pnas.org/content/81/10/3256.full.pdf>].
- [2] J. G. Thompson, *Some Numerology between the Fischer-Griess Monster and the Elliptic Modular Function*, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **11** (10, 1979) 352–353, [<http://oup.prod.sis.lan/blms/article-pdf/11/3/352/6691611/11-3-352.pdf>].
- [3] J. H. Conway and S. P. Norton, *Monstrous moonshine*, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* **11** (1979), no. 3 308–339, [<https://londmathsoc.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1112/blms/11.3.308>].
- [4] E. Witten, *Three-Dimensional Gravity Revisited*, [arXiv:0706.3359](https://arxiv.org/abs/0706.3359).
- [5] L. J. Dixon, P. H. Ginsparg, and J. A. Harvey, *Beauty and the Beast: Superconformal Symmetry in a Monster Module*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **119** (1988) 221–241.
- [6] D. Gaiotto and A. Kapustin, *Spin TQFTs and fermionic phases of matter*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **31** (2016), no. 28n29 1645044, [[arXiv:1505.05856](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.05856)].

²⁰ $\Gamma^{0,0} = \Gamma_0(16) \cap \Gamma_1(8)$, which is called $16H^0$ in [45].

- [7] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, *Fermionic SPT phases in higher dimensions and bosonization*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 080, [[arXiv:1701.08264](#)].
- [8] A. Karch, D. Tong, and C. Turner, *A Web of 2d Dualities: \mathbf{Z}_2 Gauge Fields and Arf Invariants*, *SciPost Phys.* **7** (2019) 007, [[arXiv:1902.05550](#)].
- [9] Y. Tachikawa, “TASI 2019 Lectures.”
<https://member.ipmu.jp/yuji.tachikawa/lectures/2019-top-anom>.
- [10] W. Ji, S.-H. Shao, and X.-G. Wen, *Topological Transition on the Conformal Manifold*, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2** (2020), no. 3 033317, [[arXiv:1909.01425](#)].
- [11] G. Höhn, *Selbstduale vertexoperatorsuperalgebren und das babymonster (self-dual vertex operator super algebras and the baby monster)*, 2007.
- [12] H. Yamauchi, *On \mathbf{Z}_2 -twisted representation of vertex operator superalgebras and the Ising model SVOA*, *arXiv Mathematics e-prints* (Mar, 2002) math/0203086, [[math/0203086](#)].
- [13] A. Kapustin and N. Seiberg, *Coupling a QFT to a TQFT and Duality*, *JHEP* **04** (2014) 001, [[arXiv:1401.0740](#)].
- [14] D. Gaiotto, A. Kapustin, N. Seiberg, and B. Willett, *Generalized Global Symmetries*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 172, [[arXiv:1412.5148](#)].
- [15] L. Bhardwaj and Y. Tachikawa, *On finite symmetries and their gauging in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 189, [[arXiv:1704.02330](#)].
- [16] C.-M. Chang, Y.-H. Lin, S.-H. Shao, Y. Wang, and X. Yin, *Topological Defect Lines and Renormalization Group Flows in Two Dimensions*, *JHEP* **01** (2019) 026, [[arXiv:1802.04445](#)].
- [17] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *On fusion categories*, [math/0203060](#).
- [18] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *Tensor Categories*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2016.
- [19] J. Frohlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, and C. Schweigert, *Kramers-Wannier duality from conformal defects*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 070601, [[cond-mat/0404051](#)].
- [20] J. Frohlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, and C. Schweigert, *Duality and defects in rational conformal field theory*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B763** (2007) 354–430, [[hep-th/0607247](#)].
- [21] J. Frohlich, J. Fuchs, I. Runkel, and C. Schweigert, *Defect lines, dualities, and generalised orbifolds*, in *Proceedings, 16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics (ICMP09): Prague, Czech Republic, August 3-8, 2009*, 2009. [arXiv:0909.5013](#).
- [22] R. Thorngren, *Anomalies and Bosonization*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **378** (2020), no. 3 1775–1816, [[arXiv:1810.04414](#)].

- [23] L. Bhardwaj, D. Gaiotto, and A. Kapustin, *State sum constructions of spin-TFTs and string net constructions of fermionic phases of matter*, *JHEP* **04** (2017) 096, [[arXiv:1605.01640](#)].
- [24] W. Ji and X.-G. Wen, *Non-invertible anomalies and mapping-class-group transformation of anomalous partition functions*, *Phys. Rev. Research*. **1** (2019) 033054, [[arXiv:1905.13279](#)].
- [25] M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, M. Cheng, and Z. Wang, *Symmetry Fractionalization, Defects, and Gauging of Topological Phases*, *Phys. Rev.* **B100** (2019), no. 11 115147, [[arXiv:1410.4540](#)].
- [26] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*. 1988.
- [27] R. E. Borcherds, *Monstrous moonshine and monstrous lie superalgebras*, *Inventiones mathematicae* **109** (Dec, 1992) 405–444.
- [28] N. M. Paquette, D. Persson, and R. Volpato, *Monstrous BPS-Algebras and the Superstring Origin of Moonshine*, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **10** (2016) 433–526, [[arXiv:1601.05412](#)].
- [29] N. M. Paquette, D. Persson, and R. Volpato, *BPS Algebras, Genus Zero, and the Heterotic Monster*, *J. Phys.* **A50** (2017), no. 41 414001, [[arXiv:1701.05169](#)].
- [30] S. Ryu and S.-C. Zhang, *Interacting topological phases and modular invariance*, *Phys. Rev.* **B85** (2012) 245132, [[arXiv:1202.4484](#)].
- [31] H. Yao and S. Ryu, *Interaction effect on topological classification of superconductors in two dimensions*, *Phys. Rev.* **B88** (2013), no. 6 064507, [[arXiv:1202.5805](#)].
- [32] Z.-C. Gu and M. Levin, *The effect of interactions on 2D fermionic symmetry-protected topological phases with Z_2 symmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* **B89** (2014) 201113, [[arXiv:1304.4569](#)].
- [33] A. Kapustin, R. Thorngren, A. Turzillo, and Z. Wang, *Fermionic Symmetry Protected Topological Phases and Cobordisms*, *JHEP* **12** (2015) 052, [[arXiv:1406.7329](#)]. [[JHEP12,052\(2015\)](#)].
- [34] D. Gaiotto and T. Johnson-Freyd, *Holomorphic SCFTs with small index*, [arXiv:1811.00589](#).
- [35] P.-S. Hsin and S.-H. Shao, *Lorentz Symmetry Fractionalization and Dualities in $(2+1)d$* , *SciPost Phys.* **8** (2020) 018, [[arXiv:1909.07383](#)].
- [36] F. Gliozzi, J. Scherk, and D. I. Olive, *Supersymmetry, Supergravity Theories and the Dual Spinor Model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B122** (1977) 253–290.

- [37] G. Höhn, *The Group of Symmetries of the shorter Moonshine Module*, *arXiv Mathematics e-prints* (Oct, 2002) math/0210076, [math/0210076].
- [38] S. Mukhi and G. Muralidhara, *Universal RCFT Correlators from the Holomorphic Bootstrap*, *JHEP* **02** (2018) 028, [arXiv:1708.06772].
- [39] D. Gaiotto, *Monster symmetry and Extremal CFTs*, *JHEP* **11** (2012) 149, [arXiv:0801.0988].
- [40] P. Goddard and A. Schwimmer, *Factoring Out Free Fermions and Superconformal Algebras*, *Phys. Lett.* **B214** (1988) 209–214.
- [41] H. R. Hampapura and S. Mukhi, *Two-dimensional RCFTs without Kac-Moody symmetry*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 138, [arXiv:1605.03314].
- [42] D. Tambara and S. Yamagami, *Tensor categories with fusion rules of self-duality for finite abelian groups*, *Journal of Algebra* **209** (1998), no. 2 692 – 707.
- [43] S. Carnahan, *51 constructions of the Moonshine module*, arXiv:1707.02954.
- [44] Y.-H. Lin and S.-H. Shao, *Anomalies and Bounds on Charged Operators*, *Phys. Rev.* **D100** (2019), no. 2 025013, [arXiv:1904.04833].
- [45] C. J. Cummins and S. Pauli, *Congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{psl}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ of genus less than or equal to 24*, *Experimental Mathematics* **12** (2003), no. 2 243–255, [<https://doi.org/10.1080/10586458.2003.10504495>].
- [46] M. P. Tuite, *On the relationship between monstrous moonshine and the uniqueness of the moonshine module*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **166** (1995), no. 3 495–532.
- [47] T. Johnson-Freyd, *The Moonshine Anomaly*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **365** (2019), no. 3 943–970, [arXiv:1707.08388].
- [48] T. Abe, C. H. Lam, and H. Yamada, *A remark on \mathbb{Z}_p -orbifold constructions of the Moonshine vertex operator algebra*, arXiv:1705.09022.
- [49] M. R. Gaberdiel, H. R. Hampapura, and S. Mukhi, *Cosets of Meromorphic CFTs and Modular Differential Equations*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 156, [arXiv:1602.01022].
- [50] J. A. Harvey and Y. Wu, *Hecke Relations in Rational Conformal Field Theory*, *JHEP* **09** (2018) 032, [arXiv:1804.06860].
- [51] J.-B. Bae, K. Lee, and S. Lee, *Monster Anatomy*, *JHEP* **07** (2019) 026, [arXiv:1811.12263].
- [52] S. Norton, *Generalized moonshine*, in *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, vol. 47, pp. 208–209, 1987.