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#### Abstract

We compare three naturally occurring multi-indexed filtrations of ideals on the local ring of a Newton nondegenerate hypersurface surface singularity with rational homology sphere, which in many cases are all distinct. These are the divisorial, the order, and the image filtrations. These filtrations are indexed by the lattice associated with a toric partial resolution of the singularity, or equivalently, the free abelian group generated by the compact facets of the Newton polyhedron.

We prove that there exists a top dimensional cone contained in the Lipman cone having the property that the three ideals indexed by order vectors from this cone coincide. As a corollary, if a periodic constant can be associated with the Hilbert series associated with these filtrations on the Lipman cone, then they coincide.

In some cases, the Poincaré series associated with one of these filtrations has been shown to coincide with a zeta function associated with the topological type of the singularity. In the end of the article, we show that this is the case for all three filtrations considered in the case of a Newton nondegenerate suspension singularity. As a corollary, in this case, the zeta function provides a direct method of determining the Newton diagram from the link.


## 1 Introduction

Let $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0\right)$ be a hypersurface singularity given as the vanishing set of a function $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ with Newton nondegenerate principal part. Assume further that the link is a rational homology sphere. Let $\bar{G}$ be the dual graph to the compact Newton boundary of $f$. That is, the vertex set $\mathcal{N}$ indexes the compact facets of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ so that for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have a face $F_{n}=F_{n}(f)$, and two vertices are joined by an edge if and only if the corresponding faces intersect in a segment. There is a corresponding toric modification of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ which yields a $V$ resolution $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. To each $n \in \mathcal{N}$ there corresponds an irreducible component of the exceptional $\pi^{-1}(0)$, say $E_{n}$. This correspondence is bijective.

For each $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we denote by $\operatorname{div}_{n}$ the valuation on $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$ associated with the divisor $E_{n}$. Furthermore, the positive primitive normal vector to the face $F_{n}$ provides a valuation $\hat{\mathrm{wt}}{ }_{n}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ which induces the order function $\mathrm{wt}_{n}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$ via

$$
\mathrm{wt}_{n}(g)=\max \left\{\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{n}(h)|h|_{X}=g\right\} .
$$

For $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$ we set $\operatorname{div} g=\left(\operatorname{div}_{n} g\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ and wt $g=\left(\mathrm{wt}_{n} g\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{N}}$ we define

$$
\mathcal{F}(k)=\left\{g \in \mathcal{O}_{X, o} \mid \operatorname{div} g \geq k\right\}, \quad \mathcal{G}(k)=\left\{g \in \mathcal{O}_{X, o} \mid \mathrm{wt} g \geq k\right\}
$$

Similarly, let $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ be the divisorial filtration on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ associated with the valuations $\hat{w t}_{n}, n \in \mathcal{N}$. We define $\mathcal{I}(k)$ as the image of $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(k)$ under the natural projection $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$

It follows from these definition that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{N}}$ we have inclusions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(k) \subset \mathcal{G}(k) \subset \mathcal{F}(k) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, we may not expect equality here. In [5], Lemahieu shows that the $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ coincide if and only if the Newton diagram of $f$ is bi-stellar, i.e. every pair of compact facets of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ shares a point. In Example 7.6 of [8], Némethi provides an example of a Newton nondegenerate singularity whose diagram contains only two compact faces (in particular, it is bi-stellar) for which the inclusion $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is shown to be proper.

The following theorem is proved in section 6
Theorem 1.1. Let $(X, 0)$ be a Newton nondegenerate hypersurface singularity in $\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0\right)$ with a rational homology sphere link. Then there exists an $|\mathcal{N}|$ dimensional polyhedral cone $C \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see definitions 5.1 and 5.2 for $C$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ) satisfying

$$
\forall k \in C \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{N}}: \mathcal{F}(k)=\mathcal{G}(k)=\mathcal{I}(k)
$$

In section 7 we define the zeta function and prove the following
Theorem 1.2. If $(X, 0)$ is a Newton nondegenerate suspension singularity with rational homology sphere link, then $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}$ all coincide. Furthermore, the associated Poincaré series coincides with the reduced zeta function $Z_{0}^{\mathcal{N}}(t)$ with respect to nodes (see def. definition 7.8), which is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} f}}{\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{w} t} x}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} y}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{w}} t}\right)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## 2 Associated power series and the search for an equation

For a better understanding of these filtrations, the associated Hilbert and Poincaré series are introduced:

$$
H^{\mathcal{F}}(t)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{N}}} h_{k}^{\mathcal{F}} t^{k}, \quad P^{\mathcal{F}}(t)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathcal{N}}} p_{k}^{\mathcal{F}} t^{k}=-H^{\mathcal{F}}(t) \prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}}\left(1-t_{n}^{-1}\right)
$$

where $h_{k}^{\mathcal{F}}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X, 0} / \mathcal{F}(k)$. Similar definitions are made for the other filtrations.

These series provide very strong numerical invariants of the analytic structure of the singularity. Two leading questions in the theory of surface singularities are, on one hand, whether numerical analytic invariants such as these can be characterized by the topology of $(X, 0)$, and on the other, whether numerical invariants can be used to construct variables and equations realizing singularities with a given topology.

The divisorial filtration $\mathcal{F}$ is intrinsic to the singularity ( $X, 0$ ), and therefore one may hope for it to have the most direct relation to the link, whether or not the singularity $(X, 0)$ is a hypersurface. Indeed, in [8], Némethi provides a topological invariant, the zeta function, which coincides with $P^{\mathcal{F}}$ in many cases, e.g. for rational singularities and minimally elliptic singularities whose minimal resolution is good. These are examples of classes of singularities whose intrinsic analytic structure has restrictions. The main identity in [8] is not true for arbitrary singularities, but has been proved for singularities of splice-quotient type [9].

On the other hand, the filtrations $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are given in terms of the embedding of the singularity $(X, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0\right)$. It is not clear how to relate the topology of $(X, 0)$, or its embedded type to the Hilbert or Poincaré series associated with these filtration. On the other hand, as we shall see, there are cases when the knowledge of the Poincaré series can be used to rebuild the singularity, or a similar one.

There are no relations between the monomials of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$, and the filtration $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ is given by a grading of these monomials. As a result, one computes easily (see also Proposition 1 of [2]):

$$
P^{\hat{\mathcal{G}}}(t)=\frac{1}{\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} x}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{w} t} y}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} z}\right)}
$$

By a result of Lemahieu [5], this gives

$$
P^{\mathcal{I}}(t)=\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{w} t} f}\right) P^{\hat{\mathcal{G}}}=\frac{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{w} t} f}}{\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} x}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{w} t} y}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} z}\right)}
$$

If we assume that $f$ has a convenient Newton diagram (meaning in our case that $f(x, y, z)$ contains monomials of the form $x^{a}, y^{b}, z^{c}$ with nonzero coefficients),
then the arguments of section 5 of [5] show that this series in fact determines the Newton polyhedron (it is also determined by it). In particular, if this series can be computed using only the topological type of $(X, 0)$, then one obtains a method of determining from only the topology of $(X, 0)$ an equation for a singularity with that topological type. We shall see in section 7 that this program actually runs in the case of suspension singularities with rational homology sphere.

In fact, in [1], Braun and Némethi found, using totally different methods, that when the link of a Newton nondegenerate hypersurface singularity is a rational homology sphere, then the link determines the Newton diagram, up to permutation of the coordinates. Nonetheless, the above route identifies a more conceptual way of finding an equation determining a given topology.

## 3 Newton nondegeneracy

In this section we define the Newton polyhedron and its normal fan. We do not subdivide the normal fan to obtain a smooth variety. As a result, we obtain a partial resolution of $(X, 0)$ which has at most cyclic quotient singularities. This construction is described in details in [11].

Let $f$ be a convergent power series in three variables given as $f(x)=$ $\sum_{u \in \mathbb{N}^{3}} a_{u} x^{u}$. We define the support of $f$ as

$$
\operatorname{supp}(f)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{N}^{3} \mid a_{u} \neq 0\right\}
$$

and the Newton polyhedron of $f$ as

$$
\Gamma_{+}(f)=\operatorname{conv}\left(\operatorname{supp}(f)+\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3}\right)
$$

A facet of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ is a face of dimension 2 . We index the compact facets of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ by a set $\mathcal{N}$, which we take as the vertex set of a graph $\bar{G}$ as in the introduction. We define the graph $\bar{G}^{*}$ similarly, but we allow in this case noncompact facets as well. We denote the vertex set of $\bar{G}^{*}$ by $\mathcal{N}^{*}$.

To a vertex $n \in \mathcal{N}^{*}$, there corresponds a facet $F_{n} \subset \Gamma_{+}(f)$. To each such $n$ there corresponds a unique primitive integral linear functional $\ell_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having $F_{n}$ as its minimal set in $\Gamma_{+}(f)$.

We identify the set of integral linear functionals $\ell: \mathbb{Z}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ taking nonnegative values on $\mathbb{N}^{3}$ with $\mathbb{N}^{3}$ via the standard intersection product. Thus, for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$, the functional $\ell_{n}$ corresponds to the primitive normal vector to $F_{n}$ pointing into $\Gamma_{+}(f)$. For any face $F \subset \Gamma_{+}(f)$ (of any dimension) denote by

$$
f_{F}=\sum\left\{a_{u} x^{u} \mid u \in F \cap \operatorname{supp}(f)\right\}
$$

Definition 3.1. The function $f$ is Newton nondegenerate if for any compact face $F \subset \Gamma_{+}(f)$, the affine scheme

$$
\left\{x \in\left(\mathbb{C}^{*}\right)^{3} \mid f_{F}(x)=0\right\}
$$

is smooth.

Definition 3.2. The normal fan, denoted by $\triangle_{f}$ of the polyhedron $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ subdivides the positive octant $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ as follows.
(\% The one dimensional cones are generated by $\ell_{n}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}^{*}$.
A two dimensional cone in the normal fan is generated by two vectors $\ell_{n}$ and $\ell_{n^{\prime}}$ where $n, n^{\prime}$ are adjacent in $\bar{G}^{*}$. Equivalently, for any segment $S=F_{n} \cap F_{n}^{\prime}$, with $\operatorname{dim} S=1$, there is a cone consisting of those functionals whose minimal value on $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ is taken on all of $S$.
\% The above construction splits the positive octant into chambers, whose closures are the three dimensional cones in the normal fan. Equivalently, to each vertex $u \in \Gamma_{+}(f)$, there is a three dimensional cone in the normal fan consisting of those linear functions whose minimum on $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ is realized at the point $u$.

Denote by $Y_{f}$ the toric variety associated with $\triangle_{f}$. Then we have a canonical morphism $Y_{f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{3}$. Denote by $\bar{X} \subset Y_{f}$ the strict transform of $X$. Denote by $O_{n}$ the orbit in $Y_{f}$ corresponding to the cone generated by $\ell_{n}$, and by $E_{n}$ the closure of $O_{n} \cap \bar{X}$.

## 4 The intersection lattice

If $f$ is Newton nondegenerate, then the strict transform $\bar{X}$ has transverse intersections with all orbits in $Y_{f}$, meaning that, if $O$ is an orbit, then the scheme theoretic intersection $\bar{X} \cap O$ is smooth. Furthermore, the divisors $E_{n}$ are irreducible [11].

We will identify the lattice $\bar{L}=Z^{\mathcal{N}}$ with the set of divisors on $\bar{X}$ supported on the exceptional divisor, that is, the abelian group freely generated by the irreducible divisors $E_{n}$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. An intersection product is obtained on this lattice as follows. Take a resolution $\phi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ which is an isomorphism outside the singular set $\bar{X}_{\text {sing }}$. In particular, there is a well defined intersection theory on $\tilde{X}$. For any curve $C \subset \bar{X}$, the pullback $\phi^{*} E$ is defined as $\tilde{C}+C_{\text {exc }}$, where $\tilde{C}$ is the strict transform of $C$, and $E$ is the unique rational divisor supported on $\phi^{-1}\left(\bar{X}_{\text {sing }}\right)$, satisfying $\left(E, C_{\text {exc }}\right)=0$ for any divisor $E$ supported on $\phi^{-1}\left(\bar{X}_{\text {sing }}\right)$. We then set $\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)=\left(\phi^{*} C, \phi^{*} C^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 4.1. We refer to $\bar{L}$ with the intersection form defined above as the intersection lattice. Elements of $\bar{L}$, or or $\bar{L}_{\mathbb{R}}=\bar{L} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ are referred to as cycles. Let $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}^{*}$. We set $e_{n}=E_{n}^{2}=\left(E_{n}, E_{n}\right)$. Furthermore
\& Denote by $t_{n, n^{\prime}}$ the length of the segment $F_{n} \cap F_{n^{\prime}}$, that is, the number of relative interior integral points on this segment. In particular, $t_{n, n^{\prime}}=0$ if and only if $n, n^{\prime}$ are not adjacent.
\% Denote by $\alpha_{n, n^{\prime}}$ the index of the lattice generated by $\ell_{n}$ and $\ell_{n^{\prime}}$ in its saturation in $\operatorname{Hom}(\bar{L}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 4.2. The intersection lattice is negative definite. In particular, we have $e_{n}<0$. Let $n, n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}$ be adjacent in $\bar{G}$. Then $\left(E_{n}, E_{n^{\prime}}\right)=t_{n, n^{\prime}} / \alpha_{n, n^{\prime}}$. Furthermore, for any $n \in \mathcal{N}$, we have

$$
e_{n} \ell_{n}+\sum_{n^{\prime}} \frac{t_{n, n^{\prime}}}{\alpha_{n, n^{\prime}}} \ell_{n^{\prime}}=0
$$

Proof. The intersection lattice can be seen as a subspace of the intersection lattice associated with a resolution of $(X, 0)$, which is negative definite, see e.g. [7]. The rest follows from [11], see also [1].

## 5 Cycles, Newton diagrams and the cone

In this section we define the cone $C$ which appears in theorem 1.1. This requires some analysis of the geometry of Newton diagarams associated with arbitrary cycles. Lemma 5.3 shows that $C$ has the right properties, that is, it is a top dimensional rational cone contained in the Lipman cone. Lemma 5.5 is a workhorse used in the proof of theorem 1.1.

Definition 5.1. The Lipman cone $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set of vectors $Z \in \bar{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying $(Z, E) \leq 0$ for any effective cycle $E$.

It is well known that the Lipman cone is an $|\mathcal{N}|$-dimensional simplicial cone generated by elements with all coordinates positive.

We associate to a cycle $Z \in \bar{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ the Newton polyhedron

$$
\Gamma_{+}(Z)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3} \mid \forall n \in \mathcal{N}, \ell_{n}(u) \geq m_{n}(Z)\right\}
$$

where the $m_{n}$ are defined by $Z=\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} m_{n}(Z) E_{n}$. For a subgraph $A$ of $\bar{G}$ (or a subset of $\mathcal{N})$ let $\mathcal{N}_{A}$ be the set of vertices either in $A$ or connected to a vertex in $A$. For a cycle $Z$ let

$$
\Gamma_{+}^{A}(Z)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3} \mid \forall n \in \mathcal{N}_{A}, \ell_{n}(u) \geq m_{n}(Z)\right\}
$$

and for $a \in A$, denote by $F_{a}^{A}(Z)$ the corresponding face of this polyhedron, given by

$$
F_{a}^{A}(Z)=\left\{u \in \Gamma_{+}^{A}(Z) \mid \ell_{a}(u)=m_{n}(Z)\right\}
$$

Note that we may have $F_{a}^{A}(Z)=\emptyset$.
Definition 5.2. Let $C$ be the set of divisors $Z \in \bar{L}$ satisfying
$\emptyset \neq F_{n}^{\{n\}}(Z)=F_{n}(Z)$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$.
If $n, n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}$ are adjacent in $\bar{G}$ and $\rho\left(F_{n}(f) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}(f)\right)+u \subset F_{n}(Z) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}(Z)$ for some $\rho \geq 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ then $\rho F_{n}(f)+u \subset F_{n}(Z)$.

Lemma 5.3. $C$ is a top dimensional polyhedral cone contained in the Lipman cone $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. The definition of $C$ is equivalent to a finite number of rational inequalities, and so the set $C$ is a rational polyhedron. Furthermore, assume that $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $Z, Z^{\prime} \in C$. Then $F_{n}^{A}(\lambda Z)=\lambda F_{n}^{A}(Z)$ for any $A \subset \mathcal{N}$, which shows $\lambda Z \in \bar{C}$. Furthermore, $F_{n}^{A}\left(Z+Z^{\prime}\right)=F_{n}^{A}(Z)+F_{n}^{A}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, if $n, n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}$ are adjacent in $\bar{G}$, and

$$
\rho>0, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad \rho\left(F_{n}(f) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}(f)\right)+u \subset F_{n}\left(Z+Z^{\prime}\right) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}\left(Z+Z^{\prime}\right)
$$

then there are $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}>0, u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{1}\left(F_{n}(f) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}(f)\right)+u_{1} \subset F_{n}(Z) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}(Z), \\
& \rho_{2}\left(F_{n}(f) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}(f)\right)+u_{2} \subset F_{n}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \cap F_{n^{\prime}}\left(Z^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and we get
$\rho F_{n}(f)+u=\left(\rho_{1} F_{n}(f)+u_{1}\right)+\left(\rho_{2} F_{n}(f)+u_{2}\right) \subset F_{n}(Z)+F_{n^{\prime}}(Z)=F_{n}\left(Z+Z^{\prime}\right)$.
As a result, we find $Z, Z^{\prime} \in C$, and so $C$ is a cone.
Next, we prove $C \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and choose an $u \in F_{n}^{\{n\}}$, which is nonempty by assumption. We find

$$
\left(E_{n}, Z\right)=e_{n} m_{n}(Z)+\sum_{n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}_{n}} \frac{t_{n, n^{\prime}} m_{n^{\prime}}(Z)}{\alpha_{n, n^{\prime}}} \leq e_{n} \ell_{n}(u)+\sum_{n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}_{n}} \frac{t_{n, n^{\prime}} \ell_{n^{\prime}}(u)}{\alpha_{n, n^{\prime}}}=0
$$

Finally, we prove that $C$ has dimension $|\mathcal{N}|$. We will use the terminology introduced in [1], in particular, central faces and edges, arms and hands. Let $n_{0} \in \mathcal{N}$ be a vertex so that $F_{n_{0}}(f)$ intersects all the coordinate planes. Then the complement $\mathcal{N} \backslash n_{0}$ is a disjoint union of parts of arms. Let the vertices of the $k$-th partial arm have vertices $n_{k, j}$ in such a way that $n_{k, 1}$ is adjacent to $n_{0}$, and for $j \geq 2, n_{k, j}$ is adjacent to $n_{k, j-1}$. We also set $n_{k, 0}=n_{0}$ for any $k$.

Define $Z \in \bar{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$ recursively as follows. Start by choosing $\varepsilon>0$ very small and set $m_{n_{0}}(Z)=\hat{\mathrm{wt}_{n_{0}} f}$ and $m_{n_{k, 1}}(Z)=\hat{\mathrm{wt}_{n_{k, 1}}}(f)-\varepsilon$. Note that at this point we have a well defined facet

$$
F_{n_{0}}^{\left\{n_{0}\right\}}(Z)=\left\{u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3} \mid \ell_{n_{0}}=m_{n_{0}}(Z), \quad \forall k: \ell_{n_{k, 1}} \geq m_{n_{k, 1}}(Z)\right\}
$$

and it follows from this construction that this face intersects each coordinate hyperplane in a segment of positive length.

Next, assume that we have defined $m_{n_{k, j}}$ for $0<j \leq j_{0}$ for some $j_{0}>0$. In particular, the facet $F_{n_{k, j_{0}-1}}^{\left\{n_{k, j_{0}-1}\right\}}(Z)$ is well defined similarly as above. Unless $n_{k, j_{0}}$ is a hand, define

$$
m_{n_{k, j_{0}+1}}(Z)=\min \left\{\ell_{n_{k, j_{0}+1}}(u) \mid u \in F_{n_{k, j_{0}-1}}^{\left\{n_{k, j_{0}-1}\right\}}(Z)\right\}-\varepsilon
$$

In particular, the face $F_{n_{k, j_{0}}}^{\left\{n_{k, j_{0}}\right\}}(Z)$ is now well defined.

Note now that if $n$ is a node, and $F_{n}^{\{n\}}(Z)$ is already well defined, then the value $m_{n_{k, j_{0}+1}}(Z)$ is smaller than the minimal value of $\ell_{n_{k, j_{0}+1}}$ on $F_{n}^{\{n\}}(Z)$. Therefore, we find

$$
\forall n \in \mathcal{N}: F_{n}^{\{n\}}(Z)=F_{n}(Z)
$$

proving the first condition for $Z \in C$. The second condition follows similarly.
Finally note that at every step in the definition of $Z$, we may as well have used a differt epsilons, meaning that a generic small perturbation of $Z$ is also in $C$. It follows that $C$ contains an open subset of $\bar{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$, and so has highest dimension possible, $|\mathcal{N}|$.

Remark 5.4. By the above lemma, if $Z \in C$, then either $Z=0$, or all coordinates of $Z$ are positive, that is, $m_{n}(Z)>0$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$, since this holds for any element of the Lipman cone.

Lemma 5.5. Let $Z \in C, \rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ satisfying $\rho F_{n}(f)+u \subset F_{n}(Z)$ for some $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $\rho \Gamma_{+}(f)+u \subset \Gamma_{+}(Z)$.

Proof. For $A \subset \mathcal{N}$ a subset inducing a connected subgraph of $\bar{G}$ containing $n$, let $P_{A}(Z)$ be the following condition:
(i). We have $\rho F_{k}(f)+u \subset F_{k}^{A}(Z)$ for all $k \in A$.
(ii). For any $l \in \mathcal{N} \backslash A, l^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}_{l}$ and dilation $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, x \rightarrow \rho^{\prime} x+u^{\prime}$ so that $\phi\left(F_{l}(f) \cap F_{l^{\prime}}(f)\right) \subset F_{l}^{B}(Z) \cap F_{l^{\prime}}^{B}(Z)$ where $B$ is the connected component of $\bar{G} \backslash A$ containing $l$, we have $\phi\left(F_{l}(f)\right) \subset F_{l}^{B}(Z)$.

The assumptions of the lemma imply $P_{\{n\}}(Z)$. Assuming there is a $Z^{\prime} \in \bar{L}$ with $Z^{\prime} \geq Z$ so that $P_{\mathcal{N}}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$ holds, we find $\rho \Gamma_{+}(f)+u \subset \Gamma_{+}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \subset \Gamma_{+}(Z)$, proving the lemma. Thus, it is enough to prove that given an $n \in A \subset \mathcal{N}$ inducing a connected subgraph of $\bar{G}$, and a $Z^{\prime} \geq Z$ so that $P_{A}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$ holds, and an $i \in \mathcal{N}_{A} \backslash A$, there is a $Z^{\prime \prime} \geq Z^{\prime}$ so that $P_{A \cup\{i\}}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ holds.

So, let such an $i$ be given, assume that it is adjacent in $\bar{G}$ to a $j \in A$. Since $\rho F_{j}(f)+u \subset F_{j}^{A}(Z)$ we have $m_{i}(Z) \leq \rho \hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{i}(f)+\ell_{i}(u)$. Let $s=\frac{\rho \hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{i}(f)+\ell_{i}(u)}{m_{i}(Z)}$. Note that the denominator here is nonzero by remark 5.4. Then $s \geq 1$. Let $B$ be the connected component of $\bar{G} \backslash A$ containing $i$ and define the cycle $Z^{\prime \prime}$ by

$$
m_{k}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)= \begin{cases}s m_{k}(Z) & \text { if } k \in B \\ m_{k}(Z) & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Then $Z^{\prime \prime} \geq Z^{\prime}$. We start by noting that condition $P_{A \cup\{i\}}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (ii) follows immediately from $P_{A}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$ (ii).

We are left with proving $P_{A \cup\{i\}}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ (i). We must show that for $k \in A \cup\{i\}$ and $l \in \mathcal{N}_{A \cup\{i\}}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{l}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \min _{\rho F_{k}(f)+u} \ell_{l} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equiality in the case $k=l$.
If $k \in A$ and $l \neq i$, then this is clear from $P_{A}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$ (i).

The minimum of $\ell_{i}$ on $\cup_{k \in A} \rho F_{k}+u$ is taken on $\left(\rho F_{i}+u\right) \cap\left(\rho F_{j}+u\right)$, and so by definition of $m_{i}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$, eq. (3) holds also for $l=i$ and any $k \in A$.

Equation (3) is also clear when $k=i$ and $l$ is either $i$ or $j$.
Finally, we prove eq. (3) in the case $k \in A \cup\{i\}$ and $l \neq j$. Similarly as above, the function $\ell_{l}$ restricted to $\cup_{k \in A \cup\{i\}} \rho F_{k}+u$ takes its minimal value on $\left(\rho F_{i}+u\right) \cap\left(\rho F_{l}+u\right)$, and so it suffices to consider the case $k=i$.

Let $\rho^{\prime}>0$ and $u^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be such that $\rho^{\prime}\left(F_{i}(f) \cap F_{j}(f)\right)+u^{\prime}=F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \cap F_{j}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$. By $P_{A}\left(Z^{\prime}\right.$ (ii), we have $\rho^{\prime} F_{i}(f)+u^{\prime} \subset F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$. By the definition of $Z^{\prime \prime}$, we find $s \cdot F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \subset F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$. As a result,

$$
s\left(\rho^{\prime} F_{i}(f)+u^{\prime}\right) \subset s F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \subset F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

An application of lemma 5.6 now shows that if $\rho^{\prime \prime}>0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ are such that $\rho^{\prime \prime}\left(F_{i}(f) \cap F_{j}(f)\right)+u^{\prime \prime}=F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right) \cap F_{j}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$, then $\rho^{\prime \prime} F_{i}(f)+u^{\prime \prime} \subset F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Now, we get

$$
\rho\left(F_{i}(f) \cap F_{j}(f)\right)+u \subset \rho^{\prime \prime}\left(F_{i}(f) \cap F_{j}(f)\right)+u^{\prime \prime}
$$

which then implies

$$
\rho F_{i}(f)+u \subset \rho^{\prime \prime} F_{i}(f)+u^{\prime \prime} \subset F_{i}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

which is $P_{A \cup\{i\}}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for $k=i$.
Lemma 5.6. Let $A \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be an affine plane, $\ell_{i}: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ affine functions for $i=0, \ldots, s$ Assume that $P, Q \subset A$ are polygons given by inequalities $\ell_{i} \geq p_{i}$ and $\ell_{i} \geq q_{i}$ respectively, in such a way that $p_{i}=\min _{P} \ell_{i}$ and $q_{i}=\min _{Q} \ell_{i}$. Let $P_{i}$ and $Q_{i}$ be the minimal sets of $\ell_{i}$ on $P$ and $Q$ respectively. We assume that $Q \subset P$ and that $Q_{0}=P_{0}$ is a segment of positive length.

Take a $p_{0}^{\prime}<p_{0}$ in such a way that we have a polygon $P^{\prime}$ defined by inequalities $\ell_{0} \leq p_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\ell_{i} \leq p_{i}$ for $i>0$, and $p_{0}^{\prime}=\min _{P^{\prime}} \ell_{0}$, and define $P_{i}^{\prime}$ as the minimal set of $\ell_{i}$ on $P^{\prime}$. Assume that $P_{0}^{\prime}$ is a segment of positive length. Let $\phi: A \rightarrow A$ be the unique affine isomorphism which preserves directions (i.e. if $L \subset A$ is a line, then $L$ and $\phi(L)$ are parallel) so that $\phi\left(P_{0}\right)=P_{0}^{\prime}$. Then $\phi(Q) \subset P^{\prime}$.

Proof. We can assume that $P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P_{s}^{\prime}$ are adjacent to $P_{0}^{\prime}$. Consider three cases.
The first case is when the lines spanned by the segments $P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P_{s}^{\prime}$ are not parallel, and their intersection point $a$ satisfies $\ell_{0}(a)<p_{0}$. In this case, $\phi$ is a homothety with center $a$ and ratio $<1$. As a result, if we define $P^{1}$ as the convex hull of $P$ and $a$, then $\phi(P) \subset P^{1}$. In particular, $\phi(Q) \subset P_{1}$. The polygon $P^{1}$ can be defined by the inequalities $\ell_{i} \geq c_{i}$ for $i>0$. It is clear that $\phi(Q)$ also satisfies $\ell_{0} \geq c_{0}^{\prime}$. As a result, $\phi(Q) \subset P^{\prime}$.

In the second case, assume that the segments $P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P_{s}^{\prime}$ are parallel. In this case, $\phi$ is a translation preserving the lines spanned by $P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P_{s}^{\prime}$, and $P^{\prime}$ is the convex hull of $P$ and $\phi(P)$. In particular, $Q \subset P^{\prime}$.

In the third case, the lines spanned by $P_{1}^{\prime}$ and $P_{s}^{\prime}$ are not parallel, and their intersection point $a$ satisfies $\ell_{0}(a)>c_{0}$. In this case, $\phi$ is a homothety with center $a$ and ratio $>1$ and similarly as in the second case, $P^{\prime}$ is the convex hull of $P$ and $\phi(P)$, and so $\phi(Q) \subset P^{\prime}$.


Figure 1: Two homotheties and a translation.

## 6 Equality between ideals

For $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ denote by $g_{n}$ the principal part of $g$ with respect to the weight function $\ell_{n}$. For $i=1,2,3$ and $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$, we denote by $\hat{w t}{ }_{i}$ the weight of $g$ with respect to the $i$-th natural basis vector, i.e. $\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{i}\left(x_{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$. Then $\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{n} g \leq\left.\operatorname{div}_{n} g\right|_{X}$ with sharp inequality if and only if $f_{n}$ divides $g_{n}$ over the ring of Laurent polynomials.

Proof. See e.g. the proof of Proposition 1 of [3].
Lemma 6.2. Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ and assume $\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{n} g<\operatorname{div}_{n} g$ for some $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Let $h=g_{n} / f_{n}$ (a Laurent polynomial by 6.1). Writing $\{1,2,3\}=\{i, j, k\}$, if $F_{n}(f)$ intersects the $x_{j} x_{k}$ coordinate plane, then $\hat{\mathrm{w}}{ }_{i}(h) \geq 0$.

Proof. Assume that $h$ contains a monomial with a negative power of $x_{i}$. Then the same would hold for $g_{n}=h f_{n}$, since $f_{n}$ contains monomials with no power of $x_{i}$.

Proof of theorem 1.1. We want to show that for any $Z \in C$, we have $\mathcal{F}(Z)=$ $\mathcal{G}(Z)=\mathcal{I}(Z)$. In light of eq. (1), it suffices to show that $\mathcal{F}(Z)$ contains $\mathcal{I}(Z)$, that is, if $g \in \mathcal{F}(Z)$, then there exists a $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ restricting to $g$ with $\hat{w t} \hat{g} \geq$ $m_{n}(Z)$ for all $n \in \mathcal{N}$.

We use the classification in (1) to set up an induction on the vertices of $\bar{G}$. Assume that $n_{0}$ is a vertex which intersects all the coordinate axis. This can be done by Proposition 2.3 .9 of [1] by choosing $F_{n_{0}}$ either as a central facet or containing a central edge. We define the partial ordering $\leq$ on $\mathcal{N}$ by setting $n_{1} \leq n_{2}$ if $n_{1}$ lies on the geodesic connecting $n_{0}$ and $n_{2}$. Note that $\bar{G}$ has well defined geodesics since it is a tree.

We prove inductively the statement $P(A)$ that for a subset $A \subset \mathcal{N}$ satisfying

$$
n \in A, \quad n^{\prime} \leq n, \quad \Rightarrow \quad n^{\prime} \in A,
$$

there exists a $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ satisfying $\left.\hat{g}\right|_{X}=g$ and $\hat{w_{t}}{ }_{n} g \geq m_{n}(Z)$ for any $n \in A$.

The initial case $P(\emptyset)$ is clear, but we prove $P\left(\left\{n_{0}\right\}\right)$ as well. Take any $\hat{g} \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ restricting to $g$. If $\mathrm{wt}_{n_{0}} \hat{g}<m_{n_{0}}(Z)$, then by lemma 6.1 there is a Laurent polynomial $h$ so that $\hat{\mathrm{wt}} n_{0}(\hat{g}-h f)>\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{n_{0}} \hat{g}$. By our choice of $n_{0}$ and lemma 6.2 $h$ is a polynomial, and so we can replace $\hat{g}$ with $\hat{g}-h f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$. After repeating this argument finitely many times, we can assume that $\hat{w t}_{n_{0}} \hat{g} \geq m_{n_{0}}(Z)$.

Next, assume that $A \subset \mathcal{N}$ satisfies our inductive hypothesis, and that $n \in \mathcal{N}$ is a minimal element of $\mathcal{N} \backslash A$. It suffices to find a polynomial $h$ such that $\hat{g}-h f$ $P(A)$, as well as $\hat{w t_{n}}(\hat{g}-h f)>\hat{w t}_{n}(\hat{g})$.

By 6.1 there does exist a Laurent polynomial $h$ so that $\hat{w t}_{n}(\hat{g}-h f)>\hat{w t}_{n} \hat{g}$. Indeed, set $h=\hat{g}_{n} / f_{n}$. We can assume that $F_{n}(f)$ intersects the $x_{1} x_{3}$ and $x_{2} x_{3}$ coordinate hyperplanes. By 6.2 we have $\hat{\mathrm{wt}_{1}} h \geq 0$ and $\hat{\mathrm{wt}_{2}} h \geq 0$. In order to finish the proof, it therefore suffices to show $\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{3}(h) \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{wt}_{a}(h f) \geq m_{n}(Z)$.

We construct a cycle $Z^{\prime}$ as follows. Let $a$ be the unique vertex in $A$ adjacent to $n$ and $p$ the unique point on the $x_{3}$ axis satisfying $\ell_{a}(p)=m_{a}(Z)$. Set $m_{k}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=m_{k}(Z)$ for all $k$ in the connected component of $\bar{G} \backslash n$ containing $A$, otherwise set $m_{k}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=\ell_{k}(p)$. As a result, the Newton polyhedron $\Gamma_{+}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$ of $Z^{\prime}$ is the convex closure of $\Gamma(Z)$ and the point $p$. In particular, if $k$ is in the connected component of $G \backslash n$ containing $A$, then either $F_{k}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=F_{k}(Z)$, or $k=a$ and $F_{a}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \subset F_{a}(Z)$. For any other vertex $k$, we have $F_{k}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=\{p\}$. It follows from this that $Z^{\prime} \in C$.

In fact, we find that

$$
x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3}, \quad \ell_{a}(x)=m_{a}(Z), \quad \ell_{n}(x) \leq m_{n}(Z) \quad \Rightarrow \quad x \in F_{a}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)
$$

Now let $u \in \operatorname{supp}(h)$ and $w \in \operatorname{supp}\left(f_{n}\right)$. We then have $\ell_{a}(u+w) \geq m_{a}(Z)$ and $\ell_{n}(u+w)<m_{n}(Z)$. Since $\ell_{a}(0,0,1)>0$, there is a $t>0$ so that $\ell_{a}(u+w-$ $(0,0, t))=m_{n}(Z)$, and we also have $\ell_{n}(u+w-(0,0, t))<m_{n}(Z)$. We have thus proved that $F_{n}(f)+u-(0,0, t) \subset F_{n}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$. Lemma 5.5 now gives the middle containment in

$$
\Gamma_{+}(f)+u \subset \Gamma_{+}(f)+u-(0,0, t) \subset \Gamma_{+}\left(Z^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{3}
$$

which implies, on one hand, that $\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{k}(h f) \geq m_{k}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)=m_{k}(Z)$ for all $k \in A$, and on the other hand, $\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{3}(h)=\hat{\mathrm{wt}}_{3}(h f) \geq 0$, finishing the proof.

## $7 \quad$ Suspension singularities

In this section we consider suspension singularities. In this case, a stronger statement than theorem 1.1 holds, namely, the three filtrations all coincide. Most of the work in this section, however, goes into proving the reduced identity for nodes for suspension singularities, see [8] Definition 6.1.5. This means that the Poincaré series associated with the filtration $\mathcal{F}$ (or $\mathcal{G}$ or $\mathcal{I}$, as they coincide in this case) is identified by a topological invariant, the zeta function associated with the link of the singularity.

In this section we assume that $(X, 0)$ is a suspension singularity, that is, there is an $f_{0} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0}$ and an $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ so that $(X, 0)$ is given by an equation
$f=0$, where $f(x, y, z)=f_{0}(x, y)+z^{N}$. Newton nondegeneracy for $f$ means that $f_{0}$ is Newton nondegenerate. For convenience, we will also assume that the diagram of $f$ is convenient. This is equivalent to $f_{0}$ not vanishing along the $x$ or $y$ axis.

Proof of theorem 1.2. If $f$ is the $N$-th suspension of an equation of a plane curve given by $f_{0}=0$, so that $f(x, y, z)=f_{0}(x, y)+z^{N}$, then every compact facet of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ is the convex hull of a compact facet of the Newton polyhedron of $f_{0}$ and the point $(0,0, N)$. In particular, $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ is bi-stellar, and so by Proposition 4 of [5], we have $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{G}$.

Now, let $n \in \mathcal{N}$ correspond to the facet $F_{n} \subset \Gamma_{+}(f)$. By the description above, $F_{n}$ intersects all coordinate hyperplanes. If $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{3}, 0}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{wt}_{n}} \hat{g}<$ $\left.\operatorname{div}_{n} g\right|_{X}$, then by lemmas 6.1 and 6.2 , there is a polynomial $h$ so that $\hat{\mathrm{wt}_{n}} \hat{g}-$ $f h>\hat{\mathrm{wt}} \hat{g}$. As a result, we find $\mathrm{wt}_{n} g=\operatorname{div}_{n} g$ for $g=\left.\hat{g}\right|_{X}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{G}$.

The formula for the Poincaré series is shown in section 2 to follow from 5]. The formula for the zeta function is theorem 7.9 .

Using a smooth subdivision of the normal fan to $\Gamma_{+}(f)$, we obtain an embedded resolution of $(X, 0)$, whose resolution graph we denote by $G$. This graph is obtained as follows. From $\bar{G}^{*}$, construct $G^{*}$ by replacing edges between $n, n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}$ with a string, and an edge between $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{N}$ with $t_{n, n^{\prime}}$ bamboos. The graph $G$ is obtained from $G^{*}$ by removing the vertices in $\mathcal{N}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{N}$, see 11 for details. We denote by $\mathcal{V}$ the vertex set of $G$, and we have a natural inclusion $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{V}$, where if $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then $v \in \mathcal{N}$ if and only if $v$ has degree $>2$. We denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the set of vertices in $G$ with degree 1 . Note that if $e \in \mathcal{E}$, then there are unique $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}^{*} \backslash \mathcal{N}$ so that $e$ lies on a bamboo connecting $n$ and $n^{\prime}$. We set $\alpha_{e}=\alpha_{n, n^{\prime}}$ in this case, recall definition 4.1. For a given $n$, we denote the set of such $e \in \mathcal{E}$ by $\mathcal{E}_{n}$. Thus, the family $\left(\mathcal{E}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$ is a partitioning of $\mathcal{E}$. A vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ corresponds to an irreducible component of the exceptional divisor $E_{v}$.

The associated intersection lattice is negative definite, in particular, the intersection matrix is invertible. Thus, for $v \in \mathcal{V}$, we have a well defined cycle $E_{v}^{*}$, that is, divisor supported on the exceptional divisor of the resolution, satisfying $\left(E_{w}, E_{v}^{*}\right)=0$ if $w \neq 0$, but $\left(E_{v}, E_{v}^{*}\right)=-1$. We denote the lattice generated by $E_{v}$ by $L$, and the lattice generated by $E_{v}^{*}$ by $L^{\prime}$. We then have $L=H_{2}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $L^{\prime}=H_{2}(\tilde{X}, \partial \tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})=\operatorname{Hom}(L, \mathbb{Z})$.

Write $\Gamma_{+}\left(f_{0}\right)=\cup_{i=1}^{r} \Gamma_{0}^{i}$, where $\Gamma_{0}^{i}=\left[\left(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right),\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right]$ are the facets of the Newton polyhedron of $f_{0}$, so that $0=a_{0}<\ldots<a_{r}$ and $b_{r}=0$. Let $s_{i}$ be the length of the $i$-th segment, that is, the content of the vector $\left(a_{i}-a_{i-1}, b_{i}-b_{i-1}\right)$. Let $F_{n_{i}}$ be the facet of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ containing the segment $\left[\left(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right),\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right]$. Furthermore, let $s_{x}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(N, b_{0}\right)$ and $s_{y}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(N, a_{r}\right)$. Then, in fact, if $n_{x}, n_{y}$ are the vertices in $\mathcal{N}^{*}$ corresponding to the $y z$ and $x z$ coordinate hyperplanes, respectively, then $s_{x}=t_{n_{1}, n_{x}}$ and $s_{y}=t_{n_{r}, n_{y}}$.

It can happen that the diagram $\Gamma(f)$ is not minimal in the sense of [1]. This is the case if $s_{x}=N, s_{y}=N, a_{1}=1$ or $b_{r-1}=1$. If this is the case, we blow up the appropriate points to produce redundant legs consisting of a single -1
curve to make sure that nodes, that is, vertices of degree $>2$ in $G$ correspond to facets in $\Gamma(f)$ and their legs correspond to primitive segments on the boundary of $\Gamma(f)$. In particular, we assume that wt $x y z=\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} E_{e}^{*}$.

The sets $\mathcal{E}_{n_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{n_{r}}$ have special elements $e_{j}^{x}, 1 \leq j \leq s_{x}$, and $e_{j}^{y}, 1 \leq j \leq$ $s_{y}$, corresponding to the segments $\left[\left(0, b_{0}, 0\right),(0,0, N)\right]$ and $\left[\left(a_{r}, 0,0\right),(0,0, N)\right]$, respectively. Set $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{x}=\left\{e_{i}^{x} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s_{x}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{x}=\emptyset$ for $i>1$. Similarly, set $\mathcal{E}_{r}^{y}=\left\{e_{i}^{y} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s_{y}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{y}=\emptyset$ for $i<r$. Further, let $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{z}=\mathcal{E}_{n_{i}} \backslash\left(\mathcal{E}_{i}^{x} \cup \mathcal{E}_{i}^{y}\right)$. Set also $\mathcal{E}^{t}=\cup_{i} \mathcal{E}_{i}^{t}$ for $t=x, y, z$. Note that we get $\left|\mathcal{E}_{i}^{z}\right|=s_{i}$. Define $s_{z}=\sum_{i} s_{i}$. Write $\mathcal{E}_{i}^{z}=\left\{e_{1}^{z, i}, \ldots, e_{s_{i}}^{z, i}\right\}$. Note that the number $\alpha_{e}$ is constant for $e \in \mathcal{E}^{x}$ (in fact, we have $\alpha_{e}=a_{1} / s_{1}$ ). We denote this by $\alpha_{x}$. Define $\alpha_{y}$ similarly.

If $1<i<r$ we have $\alpha_{e}=N$ for $e \in \mathcal{E}_{i}^{z}$. We have $\alpha_{e}=N / s_{x}$ for $e \in \mathcal{E}_{1}^{z}$ and $\alpha_{e}=N / s_{y}$ for $e \in \mathcal{E}_{r}^{z}$.
Lemma 7.1. Let $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$. Then $\alpha_{e} E_{e}^{*}-E_{n}^{*} \in L$. Furthermore, $\alpha_{e} E_{e}^{*}-E_{n}^{*}$ is supported on the leg containing e, that is, the connected component of $G \backslash n$ containing $e$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 20.2 of [4].
Definition 7.2. Let $H$ be the first homology group of the link of $(X, 0)$. Thus, $H=L^{\prime} / L$, where $L \subset L^{\prime}$ via the intersection product. If $l \in L^{\prime}$, we denote its class in $H$ by [l].

Lemma 7.3. The order of $H$ is $N^{s_{z}-1} \alpha_{x}^{s_{x}-1} \alpha_{y}^{s_{y}-1}$.
Proof. From the proof of Theorem 8.5 of [6], we see that in fact, $|H|=\Delta(1)$, where $\Delta$ is the characteristic polynomial of the monodromy actiong on the second homology of the Milnor fiber. We leave to the reader to verify, using [12], that the characteristic polynomial is, in our case, given by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(t)= & {\left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(t^{m_{i}}-1\right)^{s_{i}}\right)\left(t^{m_{1}}-1\right)^{s_{x}-1}\left(t^{m_{r}}-1\right)^{s_{y}-1}\right] } \\
& {\left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(t^{\frac{m_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}}-1\right)^{s_{i}}\right)\left(t^{\frac{m_{1}}{\alpha_{x}}}-1\right)^{s_{x}}\left(t^{\frac{m_{r}}{\alpha_{y}}}-1\right)^{s_{y}}\right]^{-1} } \\
& {\left[\left(t^{\frac{m_{1}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{x}}}-1\right)\left(t^{\frac{m_{r}}{\alpha_{r} \alpha_{y}}}-1\right)\left(t^{N}-1\right)\right] } \\
& (t-1)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where for $i=1, \ldots, r$, we take $m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that the facet $F_{n_{i}}$ of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ containing $\left[\left(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right),\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right]$ is contained in the hyperplane $\ell_{n_{i}} \equiv m_{i}$. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(1) & =\frac{\left[\prod_{i=1} m_{i}^{s_{i}}\right] m_{1}^{s_{x}-1} m_{r}^{s_{y}-1}\left(\frac{m_{i}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{x}}\right)\left(\frac{m_{r}}{\alpha_{r} \alpha_{y}}\right) N}{\left[\prod_{i=1}\left(\frac{m_{i}}{\alpha_{i}}\right)^{s_{i}}\right]\left(\frac{m_{i}}{\alpha_{1}}\right)^{s_{x}}\left(\frac{m_{r}}{\alpha_{r}}\right)^{s_{y}}} \\
& =\left[\prod_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_{i}^{s_{i}}\right] \alpha_{1}^{-1} \alpha_{r}^{-1} \alpha_{x}^{s_{x}-1} \alpha_{y}^{s_{y}-1} N
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, for $1<i<r$, we have $\alpha_{i}=N$. Furthermore, if $s_{1} \neq 1$, then $s_{x}=1$ and $\alpha_{1}=N$. Similarly, if $s_{r} \neq 1$, then $s_{y}=1$ and $\alpha_{r}=N$. As a result, the above product equals $N^{s_{z}-1} \alpha_{x}^{s_{x}-1} \alpha_{y}^{s_{y}-1}$.

Lemma 7.4. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $g_{i}$ be a generic sum of $x^{\frac{a_{i+1}-a_{i}}{s_{i}}}$ and $y^{\frac{b_{i}-b_{i+1}}{s_{i}}}$. Then, for $1<i<r$ we have $\operatorname{div} g_{i}=E_{n_{i}}^{*}$. In particular, $\left[E_{n_{i}}^{*}\right]=0 \in H$.

Furthermore, we have $\operatorname{div} g_{1}=s_{x} E_{n_{1}}^{*}$ and $\operatorname{div} g_{r}=s_{y} E_{n_{r}}$ ). In particular, $s_{x}\left[E_{n_{1}}^{*}\right]=s_{y}\left[E_{n_{r}}^{*}\right]=0 \in H$.

Proof. The curve $(C, 0) \subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ defined by $f_{0}$ splits into branches $C=\cup_{i, j} C_{i, j}$ where $C_{i, 1} \cup \ldots \cup C_{i, s_{i}}$ correspond to the segment $\left[\left(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}\right),\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right]$. Let $G_{0}$ be the graph associated with the minimal resolution $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}$ of $f_{0}$. There are vertices $\bar{n}_{i}$ in $G_{0}$ so that the strict transforms $\tilde{C}_{i, j}$ intersect the component $E_{\bar{n}_{i}}$ transversely in one point each. The curve defined by $g_{i}$ is a curvette to $n_{i}$, that is, if we define $D_{i}=\left\{g_{i}=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$, then the strict transform $\tilde{D}_{i}$ in the resolution of $C$ is smooth and intersects $E_{\bar{n}_{i}}$ in one point, and is disjoint from the $\tilde{C}_{i}$.

The resolution of $(X, 0)$ is obtained by suspending the pull-back of $f_{0}$ to $V$, resolving some cyclic qutotient singularities, and then blowing down some $(-1)$-curves, see e.g. Appendinx 1 in 7]. In particular, we have a morphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow V$, mapping $E_{n_{i}}$ to $E_{\bar{n}_{i}}$. The condition that $(X, 0)$ has a rational homology sphere link implies that this map is branched of order $N$ along this divisor. As a result, it restricts to an isomorphism $E_{n_{i}} \rightarrow E_{\bar{n}_{i}}$, and the preimage $D_{i}$ of $\tilde{C}_{i}$ intersects $E_{n_{i}}$ transversally in one point. Clearly, $D_{i}$ is the strict transform of the vanishing set of $g_{i}$ seen as a function on $X$. It follows that $\operatorname{div}_{v} g_{i}=E_{n_{i}}^{*}$.

Simlarly, one verifies that we have maps $E_{n_{1}} \rightarrow E_{\bar{n}_{i}}$, which are branched covering maps of order $s_{x}$. Thus, the strict transform of the vanishing set of $g_{1}$ in $X$ consists of $s_{x}$ branches, each intersecting $E_{n_{1}}$ in one point. Thus, $\operatorname{div}_{v} g_{1}=s_{x} E_{n_{1}}^{*}$. A similar argument holds for $g_{r}$.

Definition 7.5. Let $V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}\left\langle E_{e}^{*} \mid e \in \mathcal{E}\right\rangle$ and $V_{\mathcal{E}}=V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime} \cap L$.
The group $H=L^{\prime} / L$ is generated by residue classes of ends $\left[E_{e}^{*}\right], e \in \mathcal{E}$. This is proved in Proposition 5.1 of [10]. In particular, the natural morphism $V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime} / V_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 7.6. The lattice $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ is generated by the following elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
N E_{e}^{*}, e \in \mathcal{E}^{z}, \quad \alpha_{x} s_{x} E_{e}^{*}, e \in \mathcal{E}^{x}, \quad \alpha_{y} s_{y} E_{e}^{*}, e \in \mathcal{E}^{x} \\
\alpha_{x}\left(E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}-E_{e_{i+1}^{x}}^{*}\right), 1 \leq i<s_{i}, \quad \alpha_{y}\left(E_{e_{i}^{y}}^{*}-E_{e_{i+1}^{y}}^{*}\right), 1 \leq i<s_{y} \\
\operatorname{div}(t)=\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}^{t}} E_{e}^{*}, \quad t=x, y, z
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. We start by noting that by lemmas 7.1 and 7.4, if $1<i<r$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}_{n_{r}}$, then

$$
N E_{e}^{*}=\alpha_{e} E_{e}^{*} \equiv E_{n}^{*} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod L)
$$

i.e. $N E_{e}^{*} \in V_{\mathcal{E}}$. Similarly, if $e \in \mathcal{E}_{1}^{z}$, then

$$
N E_{e}^{*}=s_{x} \alpha_{e} E_{e}^{*} \equiv s_{x} E_{n_{1}}^{*} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod L),
$$

and $N E_{e}^{*} \in V_{\mathcal{E}}$ for $e \in \mathcal{E}_{r}^{z}$ as well. A similar argument shows $\alpha_{x} s_{x} E_{e}^{*} \in V_{\mathcal{E}}$ for $e \in \mathcal{E}^{x}$ and $\alpha_{y} s_{y} E_{e}^{*} \in V_{\mathcal{E}}$ for $e \in \mathcal{E}^{y}$. Let $A$ be the sublattice of $V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}$ generated by these elements, that is, the top row in the statement of the lemma. We then have $A \subset V_{\mathcal{E}}$, and $\left[V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}: A\right]=\left(\alpha_{x} s_{x}\right)^{s_{x}}\left(\alpha_{y} s_{y}\right)^{s_{y}} N^{s_{z}}$. By lemma 7.3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[V_{\mathcal{E}}: A\right]=\left[V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}: V_{\mathcal{E}}\right]^{-1}\left[V_{\mathcal{E}}^{\prime}: A\right]=\alpha_{x} s_{x}^{s_{x}} \alpha_{y} s_{y}^{s_{y}} N . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements in the second row are also elements of $V_{\mathcal{E}}$, since, by lemma 7.1 we have

$$
\alpha_{x}\left(E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}-E_{e_{i+1}^{x}}^{*}\right)=\left(\alpha_{x} E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}-E_{n_{1}}^{*}\right)-\left(\alpha_{x} E_{e_{i+1}^{x}}^{*}-E_{n_{1}}^{*}\right) \in L,
$$

and similarly for $\alpha_{y}\left(E_{e_{i}^{y}}^{*}-E_{e_{i+1}^{y}}^{*}\right)$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be the subgroup of $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ generated by $A$ and these elements. Then $\left[A^{\prime}: A\right]=s_{x}^{s_{x}-1} s_{y}^{s_{y}-1}$.

Finally, we have $\operatorname{div}(t)=\sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}^{t}} E_{e}^{*} \in L$ for $t=x, y, z$. Define $A^{\prime \prime}$ as the subgroup of $V_{\mathcal{E}}$ generated by $A^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{div}(t), t=x, y, z$. Then $\left[A^{\prime \prime}: A^{\prime}\right]=$ $\left(\alpha_{x} s_{x}\right) \cdot\left(\alpha_{y} s_{y}\right) \cdot N$, and so $\left[A^{\prime \prime}: A\right]=\alpha_{x} s_{x}^{s_{x}} \alpha_{y} s_{y}^{s_{y}} N=\left[V_{\mathcal{E}}: A\right]$, which gives $A^{\prime \prime}=V_{\mathcal{E}}$.
Lemma 7.7. We have $\left.\hat{\mathrm{wt}} f\right|_{\mathcal{N}}=\left.N \hat{\mathrm{wt}} z\right|_{\mathcal{N}}$.
Proof. Indeed, every compact facet of $\Gamma_{+}(f)$ contains $(0,0, N)$.
Definition 7.8 ([8). The zeta function associated with the graph $G$ is the expansion at the origin of the rational function $Z(t)=\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}}\left(1-\left[E_{v}^{*}\right] E^{*}\right)^{\delta_{v}-2}$. Thus, if $G$ has more than one vertex, then we can write

$$
Z(t)=\left[\prod_{n \in \mathcal{N}}\left(1-\left[E_{v}^{*}\right] t^{E_{v}^{*}}\right)^{\delta_{v}-2}\right]\left[\prod_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\left[E_{e}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e}^{*}}\right)^{k}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}[H]\left[\left[t^{L^{\prime}}\right]\right],
$$

whereas if $G$ has exactly one vertex, say $v$, then

$$
Z(t)=\left(1-\left[E_{v}^{*}\right] t^{E_{v}^{*}}\right)^{-2}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+1)\left(\left[E_{v}^{*}\right] t^{E_{v}^{*}}\right)^{k} .
$$

This latter case does not appear in our study of suspension singularities. Here, $t$ denotes variables indexed by $\mathcal{V}$, and so if $l=\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} l_{v} E_{v} \in L^{\prime}$ with $l_{v} \in \mathbb{Q}$, then we write $t^{l}=\prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} t_{v}^{l_{v}}$.

We have $Z(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[H]\left[\left[t^{L^{\prime}}\right]\right] \cong \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[t^{L^{\prime}}\right]\right][H]$, and the coefficient in front of $t^{l}$ is in $[l] \cdot \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}[H]$. Therefore, we have a decomposition $Z(t)=\sum_{h \in H} h \cdot Z_{h}(t)$ with $Z_{h}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[t^{L^{\prime}}\right]\right]$ for each $h \in H$. In particular, $Z_{0}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[t^{L}\right]\right]$.

The reduced zeta function $Z^{\mathcal{N}}(t)$ with respect to $\mathcal{N}$ is obtained from $Z(t)$ by restricting $t_{v}=1$ for $v \notin \mathcal{N}$. By restricting $Z_{0}(t)$ similarly, we obtain $Z_{0}^{\mathcal{N}}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\left[t^{L}\right]\right]$.

In general, if $A(t)=\sum_{l \in L^{\prime}} a_{l} t^{l}$ is a powerseries, then we discard terms corresponding to $l \notin L$ by setting $A_{0}(t)=\sum_{l \in L} a_{l} t^{l}$

Theorem 7.9. Assume that $G$ is the resolution of a Newton nondegenerate suspension singularity, with rational homology sphere link. Then

$$
Z_{0}^{\mathcal{N}}(t)=\frac{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} f}}{\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} x}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} y}\right)\left(1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{w} t} z}\right)}
$$

where, on the right hand side, we restrict to variables associated with nodes only, i.e. we set $t_{v}=1$ if $v \notin \mathcal{N}$.

Proof. We assume that $s_{x}>1$ and $s_{y}=1$. The other cases are obtained by a small variation of this proof. Note that in this case we have $s_{1}=1$.

In what follows, we always assume all divisors to be restricted to $\mathcal{N}$. In particular, in view of 7.1 , we can make the identification $\left(\left[E_{e}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e}}\right)^{\alpha_{e}}=\left[E_{n}^{*}\right] t^{E_{n}}$ for any $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $e \in \mathcal{E}_{n}$. Given our assumption, we have $E_{e_{1}^{y}}^{*}=\mathrm{wt} y \in L$. This means that if we write $Z^{\prime}(t)=Z(t)\left(1-t^{E_{e_{1}^{y}}^{*}}\right)$ we have $Z_{0}(t)=Z_{0}^{\prime}(t) /\left(1-t^{\mathrm{wt} y}\right)$. We can therefore focus on $Z_{0}^{\prime}$ instead of $Z_{0}$. Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z^{\prime}(t) & =\frac{\left(1-\left[E_{n_{1}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{n_{1}}^{*}}\right)^{s_{x}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{s_{x}}\left(1-\left[E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{r} \frac{\left(1-\left[E_{n_{i}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{n_{i}}^{*}}\right)^{s_{i}}}{\prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} 1-\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i, k_{i}}}^{*}}} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{s_{x}} \sum_{j_{i}=0}^{\alpha_{x}-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}\right)^{j_{i}} \cdot \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\left(\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}\right)^{l} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{l_{i, k_{i}}=0}^{N-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{*}}^{*}}\right)^{l_{i, k_{i}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering the presentation for $H$ given in 7.6, one sees that if the coefficient

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{s_{x}}\left[E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}\right]^{j_{i}} \cdot\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right]^{l} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}}\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}\right]^{l_{k_{i}}}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{s_{x}} j_{i} E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}+l E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}+\sum_{i=2}^{r} \sum_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} l_{k_{i}} E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{*}}^{*}\right]
$$

is trivial and $0 \leq j_{i}<\alpha_{x}$, then in fact $j_{i}$ is constant and both $\prod_{i=1}^{s_{x}}\left[E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}\right]^{j_{i}}$ and $\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right]^{l} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}}\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}\right]^{l_{k_{i}}}$ are trivial. Therefore we get

$$
Z_{0}^{\prime}(t)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s_{x}} \sum_{j_{i}=0}^{\alpha_{x}-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}\right)^{j_{i}}\right)_{0} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{1-\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}} \prod_{i=2}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{l_{i, k_{i}}=0}^{N-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right)_{0}
$$

and

$$
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s_{x}} \sum_{j_{i}=0}^{\alpha_{x}-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}\right)^{j_{i}}\right)_{0}=\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_{x}-1} t^{j\left(E_{e_{1}^{x}}^{*}+\cdots+E_{e_{s_{x}}^{*}}^{*}\right)}=\frac{1-t^{\alpha_{x} \mathrm{wt} x}}{1-t^{\mathrm{wt} x}}
$$

We have $t^{\alpha_{x} \mathrm{wt} x}=t^{s_{x} E_{n_{1}}^{*}}=\left(\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}}\right)^{N}$ by 7.1. Thus, we may continue

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{0}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{1-t^{\mathrm{wt} x}} \cdot\left(\frac{1-\left(\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{1}^{z}, 1}^{*}}\right)^{N}}{1-\left[E_{e_{1,1}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i}^{x}}^{*}}} \prod_{i=2}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{l_{i, k_{i}}=0}^{N-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{*}}^{*}}\right)^{k_{i}}\right)_{0} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-t^{\mathrm{wt} x}} \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{l_{i, k_{i}}=0}^{N-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{z}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{i, k_{i}}^{*}}\right)^{l_{i, k_{i}}}\right)_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From lemma 7.6 one sees that $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}}\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{*}}^{*}\right]^{l_{i, k_{i}}}$ is trivial (assuming $0 \leq$ $\left.l_{i, k_{i}}<N\right)$ if and only if $l_{i, k_{i}}$ is constant. Thus,

$$
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} \sum_{l_{i, k_{i}}=0}^{N-1}\left(\left[E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{*}}^{*}\right] t^{E_{i \hat{i}, k_{i}}^{*}}\right)^{l_{i, k_{i}}}\right)_{0}=\sum_{l=0}^{N-1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{k_{i}=1}^{s_{i}} t^{E_{e_{i, k_{i}}^{*}}^{*}}\right)^{l}=\frac{1-t^{N \hat{\mathrm{wt}} z}}{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} z}}
$$

We therefore get, using lemma 7.7 .

$$
Z_{0}=\frac{1}{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} y}} \cdot \frac{1}{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} x}} \cdot \frac{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} f}}{1-t^{\hat{\mathrm{wt}} z}}
$$

which finishes the proof.

## 8 An example

Let

$$
f(x, y, z)=x^{9}+x^{4} y^{2}+x^{2} y^{4}+y^{7}+z^{7} .
$$

In this case we have $N=7$ and by theorem 1.2

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{x}=7, \quad \alpha_{x}=2, \quad s_{1}=1, \quad s_{y}=1, \quad \alpha_{y}=2, \quad s_{3}=7, \\
s_{z}=s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}=1+2+1=4
\end{gathered}
$$

By lemma 7.3 , we have $|H|=7^{3} 2^{6}=21952$, and

$$
P^{\mathcal{F}}(t)=Z_{0}^{\mathcal{N}}(t)=\frac{1-t_{1}^{14} t_{2}^{42} t_{3}^{126}}{\left(1-t_{1}^{3} t_{2}^{7} t_{3}^{14}\right)\left(1-t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{7} t_{3}^{55}\right)\left(1-t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{6} t_{3}^{18}\right)}
$$
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