

ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF MODULI STACK OF PROJECTIVE HIGGS BUNDLES OVER SURFACES

YUNFENG JIANG

ABSTRACT. We generalize the construction of M. Lieblich for the compactification of the moduli stack of PGL_r -bundles on algebraic spaces to the moduli stack of Tanaka-Thomas PGL_r -Higgs bundles on algebraic schemes. The method we use is the moduli stack of Higgs version of Azumaya algebras. In the case of smooth surfaces, we obtain a virtual fundamental class on the moduli stack of PGL_r -Higgs bundles. An application to the Vafa-Witten invariants is discussed.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Outline	3
1.2. Convention	3
Acknowledgments	3
2. Preliminaries on twisted objects and rigidifications	3
2.1. Notations	3
2.2. Construction of Lieblich	4
3. Moduli stack construction of projective Higgs bundles	5
3.1. Abstract approach	5
3.2. The construction using generalized Azumaya algebras	7
4. Stability, virtual fundamental classes and application to Vafa-Witten invariants	10
4.1. Stability conditions	10
4.2. Obstruction theory for twisted sheaves	11
4.3. Deformation theory and virtual classes for $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s$	11
4.4. Application to Vafa-Witten invariants	14
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the Langlands dual group of the group SL_r is PGL_r . The moduli space $M_{r,L}(X)$ of slope semistable vector bundles on a smooth algebraic curve X with rank r and fixed determinant $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is a smooth projective scheme. The moduli space $N_{r,L}(X)$ of semistable Higgs bundles (E, ϕ) on X with $\det(E) = L$ is a smooth hyperkähler manifold. The structure group for such moduli spaces is SL_r . Motivated by mirror symmetry and Langlands duality, it is interesting to study the moduli space of PGL_r -bundles or PGL_r -Higgs bundles.

The research along this direction has been studied for a long time. Especially in [8], Hausel-Thaddeus have made a conjecture that the moduli space of Higgs bundles for the group SL_r and the moduli space of PGL_r -Higgs bundles on X form a SYZ mirror partner. Hausel-Thaddeus have proved several cases, and recently this conjecture was proved in [6]. In [27], Yun has studied a more refined correspondence between the moduli stack of parabolic SL_r -Higgs bundles on X and the moduli stack of parabolic PGL_r -Higgs bundles on X via Hecke correspondence.

Let X be a smooth projective surface. The moduli space $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{r,L,c_2}(X)$ of stable Higgs sheaves (E, ϕ) was studied in [22], where a Higgs sheaf (E, ϕ) means that E is a torsion free coherent sheaf of rank r , $\det(E) = L$, and second Chern class $c_2(E) = c_2$; and $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K_X$ an \mathcal{O}_X -linear map called the Higgs field. This is not the case of Simpson Higgs bundles or sheaves, where Simpson takes the Higgs field as $\theta : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X$. The moduli space \mathcal{N} is isomorphic to the moduli space of stable torsion two dimensional sheaves on the total space $Y := \mathrm{Tot}(K_X)$ supported on X . The structure group for the moduli space \mathcal{N} is SL_r . In the Langlands dual side, the moduli stack $\mathbf{GA}_{\mathfrak{X}}^s(r)$ of stable PGL_r -bundles and its compactification was constructed in [18] using the generalized Azumaya algebras.

The S-duality conjecture of Vafa-Witten [24] predicted that the Langlands dual gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$ -Vafa-Witten theory can be obtained from the S-transformation of the gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(r)$ -Vafa-Witten theory. More details can be found in [24], [12] and [13]. The Vafa-Witten invariants for the surface S and gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(r)$ are defined by Tanaka-Thomas [22] using the moduli space \mathcal{N} of stable Higgs sheaves (E, ϕ) . The method to define the invariants was studied in [9], [10]. Tanaka-Thomas proved that there is a symmetric obstruction theory on \mathcal{N} in the sense of Behrend [2], and defined the Vafa-Witten invariants using virtual localization techniques in [7] on the moduli spaces. They proved several cases of the Vafa-Witten predictions for the group $\mathrm{SU}(r)$. To study the full S-duality conjecture in [24], in [13] the author has developed a theory of twisted Vafa-Witten invariants using the moduli space $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{tw}} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\mathrm{tw},s}(r, L, c_2)$ of stable \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs sheaves (E, ϕ) on \mathfrak{X} with $\det(E) = L \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $c_2(E) = c_2$, where $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ is a μ_r -gerbe over the surface X . The twisted invariants are also defined by virtual localization on the symmetric obstruction theory on $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{tw}}$. In [13], the author conjectured that the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants of all the μ_r -gerbes on X will give the gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$ -Vafa-Witten invariants and satisfy the S-duality conjecture.

In [18], for a μ_r -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ such that its order $|\mathfrak{X}|$ in the cohomological Brauer group $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathrm{tor}}$ is r (which is called an optimal gerbe), Lieblich showed that the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{tw}}$ of stable \mathfrak{X} -twisted sheaves on \mathfrak{X} is a cover over the moduli stack $\mathbf{GA}_{\mathfrak{X}}^s(r)$ of stable PGL_r -torsors. We generalize the construction to the moduli stack $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}}^s(r)$ of stable Higgs-Azumaya algebras of rank r^2 . We show that there exists a covering morphism from the moduli stack $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{tw}}$ of stable \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs sheaves to the moduli stack $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}}^s(r)$ of stable Higgs-Azumaya algebras of rank r^2 , see Proposition 4.4. We then show that this morphism is compatible with the perfect obstruction theories on $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{tw}}$ and $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}}^s(r)$ respectively. Thus the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants $\mathrm{VW}^{\mathrm{tw}}$ defined by $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{tw}}$ can be obtained from the PGL_r -invariants $\mathrm{VW}^{\mathrm{PGL}}$ defined by $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}}^s(r)$, see Theorem 4.8. This provides an evidence that the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants studied in [13] are really the Langlands dual side $\mathrm{SU}(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$ -Vafa-Witten invariants due to the fact that the Langlands dual group of the structure group SL_r is PGL_r .

1.1. Outline. This short note is organized as follows. In §2 we collect some results of twisted objects and rigidifications for a stack; In §3 we provide the construction of the moduli stack of PGL_r -Higgs bundles using the generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras. Finally in §4 we talk about stability, the construction of virtual fundamental classes and application to the Vafa-Witten invariants.

1.2. Convention. We work over an algebraically closed field κ of any character throughout of the paper. We denote by \mathbb{G}_m the multiplicative group over κ . We use Roman letter E to represent a coherent sheaf on a projective DM stack or an étale gerbe \mathfrak{X} , and use curl latter \mathcal{E} to represent the sheaves on the total space $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathcal{L})$ of a line bundle \mathcal{L} over \mathfrak{X} . We keep the convention in [24] to use $\mathrm{SU}(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$ as the Langlands dual group of $\mathrm{SU}(r)$. We always write $\mathrm{SL}_r := \mathrm{SL}_r(\kappa)$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_r := \mathrm{PGL}_r(\kappa)$.

Acknowledgments. Y. J. would like to thank Huai-Liang Chang, Amin Gholampour, Martijn Kool, Richard Thomas and Hsian-Hua Tseng for valuable discussions. This work is partially supported by NSF DMS-1600997.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON TWISTED OBJECTS AND RIGIDIFICATIONS

2.1. Notations. We mainly follow the notation and construction of Lieblich in his beautiful paper [18, §3]. Let X be a smooth projective scheme. (Note that Lieblich constructed the stack for any algebraic space X , for our purpose we fix to the case of schemes.) For the definition of stacks of sheaves, we use [21], [14].

Let E be a quasi-coherent sheaf of finite presentation on X . The definition of perfectness, pureness, total supportedness and total pureness of E are given in [18, §3]. We use the following notations:

- (1) $\mathcal{T}(X)$: the stack of totally supported sheaves;
- (2) $\mathcal{T}(X)^{\mathrm{parf}}$: the stack of perfect totally supported sheaves;
- (3) $\mathcal{P}(X)$: the stack of pure sheaves;
- (4) $\mathcal{P}(X)^{\mathrm{parf}}$: the stack of perfect pure sheaves.

We need to define the corresponding stack of Higgs sheaves.

Definition 2.1. A Tanaka-Thomas Higgs sheaf on X is a pair (E, ϕ) , where $E \in \mathrm{Coh}(X)$ is a coherent sheaf, and

$$\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K_X$$

is a \mathcal{O}_X -linear map which is called the Higgs field.

Remark 2.2. Let $Y := \mathrm{Tot}(K_X)$ be the total space of the canonical line bundle K_X . According to [22, Proposition 2.6], the category of Higgs sheaves on X is equivalent to the category of $\dim(X)$ -dimensional torsion sheaves on Y supported on the zero section $X \subset \mathrm{Tot}(K_X)$.

We define the corresponding stacks of Higgs sheaves.

- (1) $\mathcal{HT}(X)$: the stack of totally supported Higgs sheaves;
- (2) $\mathcal{HT}(X)^{\mathrm{parf}}$: the stack of perfect totally supported Higgs sheaves;
- (3) $\mathcal{HP}(X)$: the stack of pure Higgs sheaves;
- (4) $\mathcal{HP}(X)^{\mathrm{parf}}$: the stack of perfect pure Higgs sheaves.

Remark 2.3. A Higgs sheaf (E, ϕ) on X is called “totally supported”, “perfect totally supported”, “pure” and “perfect pure” if the corresponding sheaf E is “totally supported”, “perfect totally supported”, “pure” and “perfect pure” respectively.

2.2. Construction of Lieblich. In [18, §3.4], Lieblich constructed the “twisted objects and rigidification” for a stack. We recall it here. All the basic knowledge, like “the relative small étale site” on a scheme X , see [18, §3].

Let A be an abelian group scheme on X , and $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ an A -gerbe over X . Then we have $A_{\mathfrak{X}} \cong I\mathfrak{X}$, where $A_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is the sheaf of abelian group A on \mathfrak{X} and $I\mathfrak{X}$ is the inertia stack of \mathfrak{X} . In the following let us fix a stack $\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow X$ over the scheme X , and let

$$\chi : A \rightarrow I\mathfrak{Z}$$

be a central injection into the inertia stack of \mathfrak{Z} .

Definition 2.4. For the stack \mathfrak{Z} , an \mathfrak{X} -twisted section of \mathfrak{Z} over a scheme $T \rightarrow X$ is a 1-morphism $f : \mathfrak{X} \times_X T \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$ such that the induced map $A \rightarrow I(\mathfrak{X} \times_X T) \rightarrow f^*(I\mathfrak{Z})$ is identified with the pullback under f of the canonical inclusion $\chi : A \rightarrow I\mathfrak{Z}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathfrak{X}}$ the substack of the Hom stack $\text{Hom}_X(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Z})$ consisting of \mathfrak{X} -twisted sections of \mathfrak{Z} .

2.2.1. Cocycle twisted objects. The A -gerbes on X are classified by the second étale cohomology group $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, A)$. Then we can represent the A -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ as a cohomology class $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}} \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, A)$. Let $\{U_{\bullet}\} \rightarrow X$ be a hypercovering such that

- (1) there exists a section σ of \mathfrak{X} on U_0 , and
- (2) the two pullbacks of σ to U_1 are isomorphic via ϕ .

The coboundary of ϕ gives the cocycle $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}$. In general, this A -gerbe is the stack of “twisted A -torsors”.

Definition 2.5. Given a class $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}} \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, A)$, we fix a hypercover $\{U_{\bullet}\}$ and write the cocycle as $(\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}, U_{\bullet})$. A $(\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}, U_{\bullet})$ -twisted section of the stack \mathfrak{Z} over $T \rightarrow X$ is given by

- a 1-morphism $\phi : U_0 \times_X T \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$, and
- a 2-morphism $\psi : (p'_0)^*\phi \xrightarrow{\sim} (p'_1)^*\psi$, where p'_0 and p'_1 are the two natural maps $U_1 \times_X T \rightarrow U_0 \times_X T$, subject to the condition that the coboundary $\delta\psi \in \text{Aut}((p'_0)^*\phi)$ is equal to the action of $\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}$.

Proposition 2.6. ([18, Proposition 3.4.1.7]) There is a natural equivalence between the stack of \mathfrak{X} -twisted objects of \mathfrak{Z} and $(\alpha_{\mathfrak{X}}, U_{\bullet})$ -twisted objects of \mathfrak{Z} .

2.2.2. Pushing forward rigidifications. We recall the pushing forward and rigidification construction of Lieblich and Abramovich-Corti-Vistoli [1]. Let $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ be an A -gerbe and \mathfrak{Z} an X -stack. Assume that there is a central injection:

$$A_{\mathfrak{Z}} \hookrightarrow I\mathfrak{Z},$$

then we denote by $\mathfrak{Z} \int A$ the “rigidification” of \mathfrak{Z} along A . Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism of schemes. From [18, §3.4.2.], there is a morphism

$$f_*(\mathfrak{Z}) \rightarrow f_*(\mathfrak{Z} \int A).$$

This morphism implies that given a morphism

$$T \rightarrow S$$

of schemes, and a 1-morphism $g : T \rightarrow f_*(\mathfrak{Z} \int A)$, there is an A_T -gerbe on $X \times_T S$ which is given by the morphism $\mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} \int A$ (which is an A -gerbe) and the fact that $T \rightarrow f_*(\mathfrak{Z} \int A)$ corresponds to a morphism $X \times_S T \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z} \int A$.

Let us recall the gerbe \mathfrak{X} -twisted part of $f_*(\mathfrak{Z} \int A)$.

Definition 2.7. (*Lieblich*) Let $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow A$ be an A -gerbe. We use $f_*^{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{Z} // A)$ to denote by the stack-theoretic image of $f_*(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathfrak{X}})$ under the natural morphism $f_*(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathfrak{X}}) \hookrightarrow f_*(\mathfrak{Z}) \rightarrow f_*(\mathfrak{Z} // A)$. The part $f_*^{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{Z} // A)$ is called the “ \mathfrak{X} -twisted” part of $f_*(\mathfrak{Z} // A)$.

2.2.3. Deformation theory. We also recall the deformation theory results in [18, §3.4.3.]. For our purpose, we always assume $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a smooth morphism between smooth schemes. In particular we will take $S = \text{Spec}(\kappa)$.

Let A be an abelian group scheme over X . Then from [18, Lemma 3.4.3.1], the natural morphism

$$f_*\mathcal{B}A \rightarrow R^1f_*(A)$$

is a f_*A -gerbe over $R^1f_*(A)$, and the cotangent complex of $f_*\mathcal{B}A$ is trivial. We list a result of Lieblich here for a later use.

Proposition 2.8. ([18, Corollary 3.4.3.3, Proposition 3.4.3.5]) *The natural map*

$$\gamma : f_*(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathfrak{X}} // f_*(A)) \rightarrow f_*^{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{Z} // A)$$

is representable by finite étale covers.

Also the stack $f_(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathfrak{X}})$ is an Artin (resp. DM) stack if and only if the stack $f_*^{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{Z} // A)$ is an Artin (resp. DM) stack.*

The result in Proposition 2.8 implies the relationship of virtual fundamental classes on $f_*(\mathfrak{Z}^{\mathfrak{X}})$ and $f_*^{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{Z} // A)$ if they admit perfect obstruction theories, see Proposition 3.4.3.7, and Corollary 3.4.3.8. in [18].

3. MODULI STACK CONSTRUCTION OF PROJECTIVE HIGGS BUNDLES

In this section we present two approaches for the construction of the moduli stack compactification of PGL_r -Higgs bundles using the result in §2. In [18, §4, §5], Lieblich constructed some compactification of the moduli of PGL_r -torsors and his method works for the stack of Higgs bundles.

3.1. Abstract approach. Let us fix a smooth proper morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$, and $p : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ a cyclic group μ_r -gerbe over X . The map f also represents the geometric morphism $X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow S_{\text{ét}}$ as in [18, §2]. We recall the stack of twisted Higgs sheaves in [13].

3.1.1. Gerbe twisted sheaves. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ be a μ_r -gerbe and we denote by $[\mathfrak{X}]$ to be the class in $H^2(X, \mu_r)$. Let E be a sheaf on \mathfrak{X} , then there is a natural right group action

$$\mu : E \times I\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow E,$$

see [16, Lemma 2.1.1.8]. Let $\chi : \mu_r \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the inclusion character morphism. Let E be a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module, the module action $m : \mathbb{G}_m \times E \rightarrow E$ yields an associated right action $m' : E \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow E$ by $m'(s, \varphi) = m(\varphi^{-1}, s)$.

Definition 3.1. *A χ -twisted sheaf or \mathfrak{X} -twisted sheaf on \mathfrak{X} is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -module E such that the natural action $\mu : E \times \mu_r \rightarrow E$ given by the μ_r -gerbe structure makes the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times \mu_r & \xrightarrow{\quad} & E \\ \chi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ E \times \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{m'} & E \end{array}$$

commutes.

Example 1. We also can define the \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ twisted sheaf. Then let $\{U_i\}$ be an open covering of X . A \mathfrak{X} -twisted sheaf on X is given by:

- a sheaf of modules E_i on each U_i ;
- for each i and j an isomorphism of modules $g_{ij} : E_j|_{U_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_i|_{U_{ij}}$ such that on U_{ijk} , $E_k|_{U_{ijk}} \xrightarrow{\cong} E_k|_{U_{ijk}}$ is equal to the multiplication by the scalar $a \in \mathbb{G}_m(U_{ijk})$ giving the 2-cocycle $[\mathfrak{X}] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

3.1.2. Twisted Higgs sheaves. We fix a polarization $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ on X for the μ_r -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{Y} := \text{Tot}(K_{\mathfrak{X}})$, the canonical line bundle of $K_{\mathfrak{X}}$. This \mathfrak{Y} is a Calabi-Yau stack. Here we let $\text{Coh}_c(\text{Tot}(K_{\mathfrak{X}}))$ be the abelian category of compactly supported sheaves on $\text{Tot}(K_{\mathfrak{X}})$. We fix the following diagram:

$$(3.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{Y} & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{p} & X \end{array}$$

where $Y = \text{Tot}(K_X)$ is the total space of K_X , which is the coarse moduli space of \mathfrak{Y} . It is not hard to see that the DM stack $\mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is also a μ_r -gerbe, and the class $[\mathfrak{Y}] \in H^2(Y, \mu_r) \cong H^2(X, \mu_r)$.

Definition 3.2. ([13, Definition 3.32]) An \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs sheaf on \mathfrak{X} is a pair (E, ϕ) , where E is a \mathfrak{X} -twisted coherent sheaf on \mathfrak{X} as in Definition 3.1, and $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}}$ a $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -linear morphism such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E \times \mu_r & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \chi \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ E \times \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{m'} & E & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}} \end{array}$$

commutes.

From [11, Proposition 2.18] and [22, Proposition 2.2], for a μ_r -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$, there exists an abelian category $\text{Higg}_{K_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\text{tw}}(\mathfrak{X})$ of \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs pairs on \mathfrak{X} and an equivalence:

$$(3.1.2) \quad \text{Higg}_{K_{\mathfrak{X}}}^{\text{tw}}(\mathfrak{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}_c^{\text{tw}}(\mathfrak{Y})$$

where $\text{Coh}_c^{\text{tw}}(\mathfrak{Y})$ is the category of compactly supported \mathfrak{Y} -twisted coherent sheaves on \mathfrak{Y} .

As in [13, §3.4], we let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/X}^{\text{tw}}(r, \mathcal{O})$ be the moduli stack of \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs sheaves (E, ϕ) with rank r and $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$. Note that we haven't put any stability. Here is a generalization of Lemma 4.2.2. in [18] to Higgs sheaves:

Proposition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r)$ be the stack of totally supported Higgs sheaves with fixed determinant \mathcal{O} . Then the stack $f_* \left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \llbracket \mu_r \right)$ is an Artin stack locally of finite presentation over S . If the morphism f is smooth, then the stack is quasi-proper.

Proof. The proof is the same as in [18, Lemma 4.2.2.]. It is sufficient to show locally (hence globally) the morphism γ in Proposition 2.8 makes $f_* \left(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \llbracket \mu_r \right)$ a $R^2 f_*(\mu_r)$ -stack of finite presentations. Hence from the cohomology class of the μ_r -gerbe given by a section of $R^2 f_*(\mu_r)$, it is sufficient to show that given a μ_r -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$, the stack $f_*^{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \llbracket \mu_r \right)$ is an Artin stack locally of finite presentation.

From Proposition 2.8, it is sufficient to show that $f_* \left(\left(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \right)^{\mathfrak{X}} \right)$ is an Artin stack locally of finite presentation. This is true since it is an open substack of the stack of perfect \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs sheaves with trivial determinant.

The proof of quasi-properness is similar with [18, Lemma 4.2.2.], and is reduced to prove $f_* \left(\left(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{T}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \right)^{\mathfrak{X}} \right)$ is quasi-proper. Then the proof is reduced to show that given a discrete valuation ring R and a torsion free \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs sheaf (E, ϕ) of rank r with $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$ over the fractional field F of R , there is an extension of E to a flat family over a finite flat extension of R such that the condition $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$ extends to the whole R . From [18, Lemma 4.2.2.], the coherent sheaf E extends. It only need to check that the Higgs field $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}}$ extends too. But this is from ϕ is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -linear. \square

We then define the following:

Definition 3.4. Let $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ be a μ_r -gerbe over the scheme X . We define

$$\mathcal{H}_r^{\mathfrak{X}} := f_*^{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\mathcal{H} \mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \llbracket \mu_r \right).$$

This is the stack of PGL_r -Higgs torsors.

3.2. The construction using generalized Azumaya algebras. We give the construction of generalized Higgs version of Azumaya algebras. Lieblich used the derived Skolem-Noether in the derived category $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules to study generalized Azumaya algebras. For the basic facts about the weak algebras, see [18, §5.1].

Definition 3.5. A pre-generalized Azumaya algebra on X is a perfect algebra A in the derived category $D(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that there exists an open cover $\{U_i\} \in X_{\text{ét}}$ and a totally supported perfect sheaf E on $\{U_i\}$ such that

$$A|_{U_i} \cong R\mathcal{E}nd_{U_i}(E)$$

as weak algebras. An isomorphism of pre-generalized Azumaya algebras is an isomorphism in the category of weak algebras.

Definition 3.6. A pre-generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebra on X is given by a pair (A, Φ) , where A is a pre-generalized Azumaya algebra on X , and Φ is the Higgs section such that locally on U we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A|_U & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R\mathcal{E}nd_U(E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A \otimes K_X|_U & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R\mathcal{E}nd_U(E \otimes K_X) \end{array}$$

where $A \otimes K_X$ exists as a weak algebra.

3.2.1. *Liebllich's stack of generalized Azumaya algebras.* Using Definition 3.5 Liebllich defined the stack \mathcal{A}_X of generalized Azumaya algebras on X . Let us recall the degree of the generalized Azumaya algebra A on X . Associated to A , there is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe $\mathfrak{X}(A) \rightarrow X$, called the gerbe of trivializations of A , is by definition the stack on the small site $X_{\text{ét}}$ such that its sections over $U \rightarrow X$ is given by pairs (E, φ) , where E is a totally supported sheaf on U and $\varphi : R\mathcal{E}nd_U(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} A|_U$ an isomorphism of generalized Azumaya algebras.

Definition 3.7. *The generalized Azumaya algebra A on X is of degree r if for any $U \rightarrow X$,*

$$A|_U \cong R\mathcal{E}nd_U(E)$$

and E has rank r such that $\text{rk}(A) = r^2$. The degree of a generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebra (A, Φ) is the degree of A .

Let A be a generalized Azumaya algebra of degree r on X . We define the “gerbe of trivialized trivialization” of A , which is denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{triv}}(A)$, to be the stack on the small étale site of X such that its sections over $U \rightarrow X$ are given by triples (E, φ, δ) , where on an open cover $U \rightarrow X$,

$$\varphi : R\mathcal{E}nd_U(E) \cong A|_U$$

is the isomorphism of generalized Azumaya algebras and $\delta : \mathcal{O}_U \xrightarrow{\sim} \det(E)$ is the isomorphism of invertible sheaves after taking determinant. The isomorphisms in the fiber categories are isomorphisms of the sheaves which preserve the identifications with A and the trivializations of the determinants.

Remark 3.8. (1) From [18, Lemma 5.2.1.8], the gerbe $\mathfrak{X}(A) \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe on X ; and its cohomology class is $[\mathfrak{X}(A)] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$.

(2) The μ_r -gerbe $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{triv}}(A) \rightarrow X$ determines a cohomology class $[\mathfrak{X}_{\text{triv}}(A)] \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$ which maps to $[\mathfrak{X}(A)] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ from the exact sequence

$$\cdots H^1(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mu_r) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \cdots$$

which is given by the short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_r \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^r} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1.$$

3.2.2. *Stack of generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras.*

Definition 3.9. Define $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$ to be the stack of generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras on X of degree r .

We generalize Proposition 5.2.2.1 and Proposition 5.2.2.3 in [18].

Proposition 3.10. *The morphism*

$$\gamma : \mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}_X^{\text{parf}}(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$$

given by

$$(E, \phi) \mapsto (R\mathcal{E}nd(E), \Phi)$$

gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}_X(r) \parallel \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r).$$

And the morphism

$$\gamma^{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}_X^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$$

given by

$$(E, \phi) \mapsto (R\mathcal{E}nd(E), \Phi)$$

yields an isomorphism $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{T}_X^\mathcal{O}(r) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$.

Proof. The proof for the generalized Azumaya algebra A and the corresponding sheaf E are given in [18, Proposition 5.2.2.1, Proposition 5.2.2.3]. The only thing to check is that the morphisms are compatible with the Higgs fields ϕ and Φ . \square

Remark 3.11. Forgetting about the Higgs fields or setting $\phi = 0, \Phi = 0$, we get the morphisms

$$\gamma : \mathcal{T}_X(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X(r)$$

and

$$\gamma^\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{T}_X^\mathcal{O}(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X(r)$$

in [18].

Now let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism and we define the relative generalized Azumaya algebras.

Definition 3.12. A relative generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebra on X/S is a generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebra (A, Φ) on X such that the local sheaves are S -flat and totally pure on each geometric fiber.

Remark 3.13. The definition 3.12 is equivalent to the following: $A \cong R\pi_* R\mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{X}}(E)$ where $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe and E is a S -flat \mathfrak{X} -twisted sheaf which is totally pure on each geometric fiber.

Definition 3.14. We define $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}(r)$ to be the stack of generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras on X/S of rank r^2 such that on each geometric fiber of $f : X \rightarrow S$ the class of the Azumaya algebra agrees with $[\mathfrak{X}] \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$ étale locally around every point in the base.

We use the notation $\mathbf{HGA}_{X/S}(r)$ to represent the stack of generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras on X/S of rank r^2 on each fiber without mentioning the class $[\mathfrak{X}]$.

Proposition 3.15. There is an isomorphism of stacks:

$$\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}(r) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_r^{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

Proof. Recall from Definition 3.4,

$$\mathcal{H}_r^{\mathfrak{X}} = f_*^{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^\mathcal{O}(r) \twoheadrightarrow \mu_r \right).$$

The stack $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$ parametrizes the generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras (A, Φ) on X of degree r , such that locally they are isomorphic to $R\mathcal{E}nd(E)$ for an object $E \in \mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}(r)$. So when we fix the determinant, the natural map:

$$\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^\mathcal{O}(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$$

induces an isomorphism

$$(3.2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^\mathcal{O}(r) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r).$$

For the morphism $f : X \rightarrow S$, it is not hard to check that:

$$\mathbf{HGA}_{X/S}(r) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r))$$

and when fixing the cohomology class $[\mathfrak{X}] \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$ we have:

$$\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}(r) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_*^{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)) = \mathcal{H}_r^{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

□

4. STABILITY, VIRTUAL FUNDAMENTAL CLASSES AND APPLICATION TO VAFA-WITTEN INVARIANTS

In this section we fix a smooth projective surface $f : X \rightarrow S = \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$. We define stability for Higgs Azumaya algebras. As pointed out by Lieblich, it is not very convenient to define Gieseker stability for Higgs-Azumaya algebras and we fix to slope stability.

4.1. Stability conditions. Recall that for a torsion-free sheaf E on X , the slope of E is defined as: $\mu(E) = \frac{\deg(E)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)}$. The Hilbert polynomial of E is given by $H(E, m) = \chi(X, E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(m))$. For a coherent sheaf E on the μ_r -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$, we use the Vistoli Chow group $A_*(\mathfrak{X}) \cong A_*(X)$ with \mathbb{Q} -coefficient. There is a degree map

$$d : A_0(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

such that $d([pt]) = \frac{1}{r}$ if $[pt]$ is a 0-cycle supported on a single point of X . We define a normalized degree function

$$\deg : A_0(\mathfrak{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

by $\deg([pt]) = rd([pt]) = 1$. The slope of E on \mathfrak{X} is then again defined as $\mu(E) = \frac{\deg(E)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)}$.

Definition 4.1. (*Slope stability*) *A torsion-free sheaf E on \mathfrak{X} is stable if for any subsheaf $F \subset E$, $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$.*

Now let (A, Φ) be a Higgs-Azumaya algebra on X . The Higgs field is $\Phi : A \rightarrow A \otimes K_X$. For the Azumaya algebra A on X , we define

$$\mu(A) = \frac{\deg(A)}{\mathrm{rk}(A)}$$

where $\deg(A)$ is defined as the degree of the adjoint sheaf E .

Definition 4.2. ([18, Definition 6.1.2]) *An Higgs-Azumaya algebra (A, Φ) on X is called stable if for all non-zero Φ -invariant right ideals $I \subset A$ of rank strictly smaller than $\mathrm{rk}(A)$ we have $\mu(I) < 0$.*

Lemma 4.3. *For a μ_r -gerbe $p : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$, a locally free \mathfrak{X} -twisted sheaf E is stable if and only if the Azumaya algebra $p_*\mathcal{E}nd(E)$ is stable.*

Proof. This is from that any Φ -invariant right ideal of A has the form $\mathrm{Hom}(E, F)$ for a ϕ -invariant subsheaf $F \subset E$. □

We let

$$\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r) \subset \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}(r)$$

denote the open substack of $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}(r)$ parametrizing stable generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras by the isomorphism $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}(r) \cong \mathcal{H}_r^{\mathfrak{X}}$ in Proposition 3.15. Therefore $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r) \cong (\mathcal{H}_r^{\mathfrak{X}})^s$.

4.2. Obstruction theory for twisted sheaves. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth morphism, and let us fix a μ_r -gerbe $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $\text{per}(\mathfrak{X}) := |[\mathfrak{X}]| = r$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}$, i.e., it is an μ_r -optimal gerbe. Then from de Jong's result [4], the index $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{X}) = \text{per}(\mathfrak{X})$, where $\text{ind}(\mathfrak{X})$ is the minimal rank $r > 0$ such that there exists a \mathfrak{X} -twisted locally free sheaf of rank r . Moreover, any such a \mathfrak{X} -twisted sheaf E is stable automatically.

Let $\mathcal{M}^{s, \text{tw}} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}(r, L, c_2)$ be the moduli stack of stable \mathfrak{X} -twisted torsion free sheaves with rank r , fixed determinant $L \in \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{X})$ and second Chern class c_2 . Let

$$\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}(r, L, c_2)$$

be the universal twisted sheaf on $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{M}^{s, \text{tw}}$. Let

$$p_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}(r, L, c_2) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$$

and

$$p_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}(r, L, c_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}(r, L, c_2)$$

be the projections. Then from [17, §6.5.1], we have

$$\Phi : Rp_{\mathcal{M}*}(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{E}, \mathbb{L}p_{\mathfrak{X}}^* \omega_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes \mathbf{E})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}}[-1]$$

and we restrict it to the traceless part and get a morphism:

$$(4.2.1) \quad \Phi : Rp_{\mathcal{M}*}(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{E}, \mathbb{L}p_{\mathfrak{X}}^* \omega_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes \mathbf{E}))_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}}[-1].$$

Then the shift of Φ by 1, $\Phi[1]$ is a perfect obstruction theory for the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}$ in the sense of [3], [15].

4.3. Deformation theory and virtual classes for $\text{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s$. Recall that $\mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^{\text{tw}, s}(r, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ is the moduli stack of \mathfrak{X} -twisted stable Higgs sheaves of rank r with fixed determinant \mathcal{O} and second Chern class c_2 . If we let $\mathcal{N}_{\text{vb}}^{\text{tw}, s} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^{\text{tw}, \text{vb}, s}(r, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ be the substack of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^{\text{tw}, s}(r, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ parametrizing locally free \mathfrak{X} -twisted rank r sheaves, then $\mathcal{N}_{\text{vb}}^{\text{tw}, s}$ is schematically dense.

Recall from §2.1, the stack $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r)$ is the stack of Higgs pure sheaves on X of rank r and $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$. Let $\left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r)\right)^{\mathfrak{X}}$ be the substack of the \mathfrak{X} -twisted pure sheaves of $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r)$. Then

$$f_* \left(\left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \right)^{\mathfrak{X}} \right) = f_*^{\mathfrak{X}} \left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \right).$$

Also if we let $\left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r)\right)^{\mathfrak{X}, s}$ be the substack of $\left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r)\right)^{\mathfrak{X}}$ consisting of stable \mathfrak{X} -twisted pure Higgs sheaves of rank r , $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$, then

$$\left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \right)^{\mathfrak{X}, s} \cong \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^{\text{tw}, s}(r, \mathcal{O}).$$

From (3.2.1), $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \parallel \mu_r \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$. After fixing the cohomology class of the gerbe $[\mathfrak{X}]$, we have:

$$\left(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{P}_{X/S}^{\mathcal{O}}(r) \right)^{\mathfrak{X}, s} \parallel \mu_r \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r))^{\mathfrak{X}, s},$$

where $(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r))^{\mathfrak{X},s} \subset \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)$ is the substack of stable generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras (A, Φ) on X with cohomology class $[\mathfrak{X}] \in H^2(X, \mu_r)$. Hence there is a morphism:

$$(4.3.1) \quad \Psi_1 : \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^{\text{tw},s}(r, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r))^{\mathfrak{X},s}$$

which is a μ_r -gerbe. Also from the morphism $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\kappa) = S$, we have a morphism

$$(4.3.2) \quad \Psi_2 : (\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r))^{\mathfrak{X},s} \rightarrow f_*^{\mathfrak{X},s}(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r)) = (\mathcal{H}_r^{\mathfrak{X}})^s = \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)$$

which is a $R^1 f_*(\mu_r)$ -cover. Thus we have:

Proposition 4.4. *We get a covering morphism*

$$(4.3.3) \quad \Psi : \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^{\text{tw},s}(r, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)$$

which factors through $(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r))^{\mathfrak{X},s}$.

Remark 4.5. *The stack $(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}_X(r))^{\mathfrak{X},s}$ is actually isomorphic to the moduli stack of twisted stable Higgs sheaves in sense of Yoshioka [26] which is studied in [13, §3.3, §3.4].*

4.3.1. Perfect obstruction theory for twisted Higgs sheaves. For the stack $\mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} := \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^{\text{tw},s}(r, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ of \mathfrak{X} -twisted stable Higgs sheaves on \mathfrak{X} , we recall the perfect obstruction theory in [13, §4.2].

We work on a family $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X \rightarrow S$ over a scheme S . Recall that $\mathfrak{Y} = \text{Tot}(K_{\mathfrak{X}})$, and the category of \mathfrak{X} -twisted Higgs sheaves (E, ϕ) on \mathfrak{X} is equivalent to the category of torsion sheaves on \mathfrak{Y} supported on $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathfrak{Y}$. Let us pick up a twisted universal sheaf \mathcal{E} over $\mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \times_S \mathfrak{Y}$. We use the same π to represent the projection

$$\pi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}; \quad \pi : \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \times_S \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \times_S \mathfrak{X}.$$

We then let

$$\mathbf{E} := \pi_* \mathcal{E} \text{ on } \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \times_S \mathfrak{X}$$

is flat over \mathcal{N}^{tw} . \mathbf{E} is also coherent because it can be seen locally on \mathcal{N}^{tw} , and is also a \mathfrak{X} -twisted sheaf. It defines a classifying map:

$$\Pi : \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}}$$

by

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \pi_* \mathcal{E}; \quad (E, \phi) \mapsto E,$$

where \mathcal{M}^{tw} is the moduli stack of \mathfrak{X} -twisted coherent sheaves on the fibre of $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow S$. We use the same \mathbf{E} over $\mathcal{M}^{\text{tw}} \times \mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathbf{E} = \Pi^* \mathbf{E}$ on $\mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \times \mathfrak{X}$. Let

$$p_{\mathfrak{Y}} : \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \times_S \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}}; \quad p_{\mathfrak{X}} : \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \times_S \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}}$$

be the projections. From [13, (4.2.4)], we have:

$$(4.3.4) \quad R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}) \xrightarrow{[\cdot, \phi]} R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}}).$$

Taking the relative Serre dual of the above exact triangle we get

$$(4.3.5) \quad R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})[2] \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}/B})[2] \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})[3].$$

From [13, Proposition 4.1], (4.3.5) is the same as (4.3.4), just shifted.

We borrow the following commutative diagram in [22, Corollary 2.22], in which the exact triangle (4.3.4) fits:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}/S})_0[-1] & \longrightarrow & R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_{\perp} & \longrightarrow & R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})_0 \\
\updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\
R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}/S})[-1] & \longrightarrow & R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}) \\
\text{id} \uparrow \text{tr} & & \updownarrow & & \text{id} \uparrow \text{tr} \\
Rp_{\mathfrak{X}*}K_{\mathfrak{X}/S}[-1] & \longleftrightarrow & Rp_{\mathfrak{X}*}K_{\mathfrak{X}/S}[-1] \oplus Rp_{\mathfrak{X}*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} & \longleftrightarrow & Rp_{\mathfrak{X}*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}
\end{array}$$

where $(-)_0$ denotes the trace-free Homs. The $R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_{\perp}$ is the co-cone of the middle column. The truncation $\tau^{[-1,0]}R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$ defines a symmetric perfect obstruction theory on the moduli space \mathcal{N}^{tw} .

Now consider the morphism (4.3.3) in Proposition 4.4:

$$\Psi : \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \rightarrow \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r, c_2),$$

where $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r, c_2)$ represents the stack of generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebras on X with cohomology class $[\mathfrak{X}]$, and of rank r^2 and second Chern class c_2 . Let $\mathbf{HA} := (\mathbf{A}, \Phi)$ be the universal generalized Higgs-Azumaya algebra on $X \times \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)$ whose fiber over the moduli space have cohomology class $[\mathfrak{X}]$. Let

$$\mathbf{p} : \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{HA}) \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)$$

be the gerbe of trivialized trivializations of \mathbf{HA} . Then we have

$$\mathbf{A} \cong Rp_*R\mathcal{E}nd(\tilde{\mathbf{E}})$$

for an $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{HA})$ -twisted sheaf $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$, and a universal Higgs field Φ . Thus $(\tilde{\mathbf{E}}, \Phi)$ defines a universal $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{HA})$ -twisted sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\mathfrak{Y} \times \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}}$. Moreover, the covering morphism Ψ gives rise to an isomorphism:

$$\tilde{p} : \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{HA}) \times_{X \times \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)} X \times \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{X} \times \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}}$$

and $\tilde{p}^*\mathbf{E}$ is a universal sheaf $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$. Also we have an isomorphism:

$$\tilde{p} : \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{HA}) \times_{Y \times \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)} Y \times \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Y} \times \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}}$$

and $\tilde{p}^*\mathcal{E}$ is a universal sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. Thus we get

$$(4.3.6) \quad R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \cong \mathbf{L}\tilde{p}^*\mathbf{HA}.$$

Now let $\mathbf{HA}_0 \subset \mathbf{HA}$ be the trace-less part and we have

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_0^{\perp} \cong \mathbf{L}\tilde{p}^*\mathbf{HA}_0.$$

So from [18, Proposition 3.4.3.7], or the virtual pullback we have:

Theorem 4.6. *Let $L_{\mathbf{HGA}}^{\bullet}$ be the cotangent complex for the stack $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)$. Then*

$$\mathbf{HA}_0 \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{HGA}}^{\bullet}$$

defines a symmetric obstruction theory on $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^s(r)$ in sense of Behrend [2].

Proof. This is from the compatible diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_0^\perp & \longrightarrow & L_{\mathcal{N}^{\perp, \text{tw}}}^\bullet \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathbf{L}\tilde{p}^* \mathbf{HA}_0 & \longrightarrow & \Psi^* L_{\mathbf{HGA}}^\bullet \end{array}$$

The symmetric property of the perfect obstruction theory $\mathbf{HA}_0 \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{HGA}}^\bullet$ is just from the symmetric obstruction theory of $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_0^\perp$. \square

4.4. Application to Vafa-Witten invariants. Recall that in [13, §4.3], let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, \text{tw}} \subset \mathcal{N}^{\text{tw}}$ be the the moduli stack of \mathfrak{X} -twisted rank r stable Higgs sheaves with $\det(E) = \mathcal{O}$ and second Chern class c_2 . Then

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_\perp[1]\mathfrak{t}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, \text{tw}}}$$

defines a symmetric obstruction theory.

Here is the definition of twisted Vafa-Witten invariants in [13, Definition 4.4].

Definition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$ be the moduli space of \mathfrak{X} -twisted stable Higgs sheaves. Then the twisted Vafa-Witten invariants are defined as:

$$\text{VW}^{\text{tw}}(\mathfrak{X}) := \int_{[(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, \text{tw}})^{\mathbb{G}_m}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N^{\text{vir}})}.$$

Here $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, \text{tw}}$ admits a \mathbb{G}_m -action induced by the \mathbb{G}_m -action on $K_{\mathfrak{X}}$ by scaling the fibers and $[(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, \text{tw}})^{\mathbb{G}_m}]^{\text{vir}}$ is the virtual fundamental class on the fixed loci and $e(N^{\text{vir}})$ is the Euler class of the virtual normal bundle. The invariants are defined by the virtual localization in [7]. This corresponds to the gauge group $SU(r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$.

The symmetric obstruction theory \mathbf{HA}_0 on $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)$ also defines a virtual fundamental class

$$[\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)]^{\text{vir}} \in H_0(\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)).$$

The stack $\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)$ also admits a \mathbb{G}_m -action given by $\lambda(A, \Phi) = (A, \lambda\Phi)$ by scaling the Higgs fields. We write

$$\left(\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r) \right)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$$

as the \mathbb{G}_m -fixed loci.

We discuss the \mathbb{G}_m -fixed loci $\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, \text{tw}} \right)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$ and $\left(\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r) \right)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$.

4.4.1. Case I-Instanton Branch: This component corresponds to \mathbb{G}_m -fixed Higgs pairs (E, ϕ) such that $\phi = 0$. Then twisted sheaf E must be stable, and this component is the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}} := \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}(r, \mathcal{O}, c_2)$ of \mathfrak{X} -twisted stable sheaves on \mathfrak{X} with rank r , fixed determinant \mathcal{O} and second Chern class c_2 . The exact triangle in (4.3.4) splits the obstruction theory

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{Y}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})_\perp[1]\mathfrak{t}^{-1} \cong R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}})_0[1] \oplus R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})_0[2]\mathfrak{t}^{-1}$$

where \mathfrak{t}^{-1} represents the moving part of the \mathbb{G}_m -action. Then the \mathbb{G}_m -action induces a perfect obstruction theory

$$E_{\mathcal{M}}^\bullet := R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}})_0[1] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}}.$$

The virtual normal bundle

$$N^{\text{vir}} = R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}})_0 \mathfrak{t} = E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet} \otimes \mathfrak{t}[-1].$$

The twisted Vafa-Witten invariant contributed from $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}$ is

$$\int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N^{\text{vir}})} = \int_{[\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s, \text{tw}}]^{\text{vir}}} c_{\text{vd}}(E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet}) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This is the signed virtual Euler number of Ciocan-Fontanine-Kapranov/Fantechi-Göttsche, see [5].

On the stack $\left(\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)\right)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$, the first component corresponds to the \mathbb{G}_m -fixed Higgs-Azumaya pairs (A, Φ) such that $\Phi = 0$. It is the stack $\mathbf{GA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)$ of stable generalized Azumaya algebras A on X with cohomology class $[\mathfrak{X}]$.

4.4.2. Case II-Monopole Branch: The second component $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}$ corresponds to the case that in the \mathbb{G}_m -fixed \mathfrak{S} -twisted stable Higgs sheaf (E, ϕ) , the Higgs field $\phi \neq 0$. This component corresponds to nested Hilbert schemes, see [13]. For $\left(\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)\right)^{\mathbb{G}_m}$, the second component $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}$ corresponds to \mathbb{G}_m -fixed Higgs-Azumaya pairs (A, Φ) such that $\Phi \neq 0$.

4.4.3. Relationship between virtual classes. We present the pullback properties of virtual classes:

Theorem 4.8. *The morphism*

$$\Psi : \mathcal{N}^{tw} \rightarrow \mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)$$

and the obstruction theories $E_{\mathcal{N}^{tw}}^{\bullet}$ and \mathbf{HA}_0 are compatible with the \mathbb{G}_m -action, therefore gives

$$\Psi^! \left([\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r)]^{\text{vir}} \right) = [\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, tw}]^{\text{vir}}.$$

We also have:

$$\Psi^! \left(\left[\left(\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r) \right)^{\mathbb{G}_m} \right]^{\text{vir}} \right) = \left[\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\perp, tw} \right)^{\mathbb{G}_m} \right]^{\text{vir}}$$

and

$$\Psi^! (N_{\mathcal{N}^{tw}}^{\text{vir}}) = N_{\mathbf{HGA}}^{\text{vir}},$$

where $N_{\mathcal{N}^{tw}}^{\text{vir}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{HGA}}^{\text{vir}}$ are the virtual normal bundles for the obstruction theories $E_{\mathcal{N}^{tw}}^{\bullet}$ and \mathbf{HA}_0 . Thus the PGL_r -invariant

$$\text{VW}_{PGL}(X) = \int_{\left[\left(\mathbf{HGA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s(r) \right)^{\mathbb{G}_m} \right]^{\text{vir}}} \frac{1}{e(N_{\mathbf{HGA}}^{\text{vir}})}$$

can be calculated by the twisted invariant $\text{VW}^{tw}(\mathfrak{X})$ in Definition 4.7.

Proof. This is the perfect obstruction theory of \mathbf{HA}_0 in Theorem 4.6 and isomorphism (4.3.6). Also since the \mathbb{G}_m -action is given by scaling the Higgs fields ϕ and Φ , it is compatible with the symmetric obstruction theories. Thus the results are from the virtual pullback property in [19]. \square

The following corollary is from the instanton branch:

Corollary 4.9. *When restricting to the instanton branch, the perfect obstruction theory*

$$E_{\mathcal{M}}^{\bullet} := R\mathcal{H}om_{p_{\mathfrak{X}}}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{X}})_0[1] \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^{s,tw}}$$

is the same as the pullback of the perfect obstruction theory $\mathbf{A}_0 \rightarrow L_{\mathbf{GA}_{\mathfrak{X}/\kappa}^s}^{\bullet}$ in [18, §6.5.2].

.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, A. Corti and A. Vistoli, Twisted bundles and admissible covers, *Comm. Algebra*, 31 (8):3547-3618, 2003. Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman.
- [2] K. Behrend, Donaldson-Thomas invariants via microlocal geometry, *Ann. Math.* (2009), Vol. 170, No.3, 1307-1338, math.AG/0507523.
- [3] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, The intrinsic normal cone, alg-geom/9601010, *Invent. Math.* 128 (1997), no. 1, 45-88.
- [4] A. J. de Jong, A result of Gabber, 2003, Preprint.
- [5] B. Fantechi and L. Gottsche, Riemann-Roch theorems and elliptic genus for virtually smooth schemes, *Geom. Top.* 14 83-115, 2010. arXiv:0706.0988.
- [6] M. Groechenig, D. Wyss and P. Ziegler, . Mirror symmetry for moduli spaces of Higgs bundles via p-adic integration, arXiv:1707.06417.
- [7] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, Localization of virtual classes, *Invent. Math.* **135** 487-518, 1999. alg-geom/9708001.
- [8] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, Mirror symmetry, Langlands duality, and the Hitchin system, *Invent. Math.*, 153 (2003), no. 1, 197-229.
- [9] Y. Jiang and R. Thomas, Virtual signed Euler characteristics, *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 26 (2017) 379-397, arXiv:1408.2541.
- [10] Y. Jiang, Note on MacPherson's local Euler obstruction, *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 68 (2019), 227-250, arXiv:1412.3720.
- [11] Y. Jiang, and P. Kundu, The Tanaka-Thomas's Vafa-Witten invariants for surface Deligne-Mumford stacks, arXiv:1903.11477.
- [12] Y. Jiang, The Vafa-Witten invariants for surface Deligne-Mumford stacks and S-duality, Survey for ICCM-2019, arXiv:1909.03067.
- [13] Y. Jiang, Counting twisted sheaves and S-duality, preprint, arXiv:1909.04241.
- [14] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs Algebriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge / A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics.
- [15] J. Li and G. Tian, Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov-Witten invariants of algebraic varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11, 119-174, 1998, math.AG/9602007.
- [16] M. Lieblich, Moduli of twisted sheaves, *Duke Math. J.*, Vol. 138, No. 1 (2007), 23-118.
- [17] M. Lieblich, Twisted sheaves and the period-index problem, *Compositio. Math.*, Vol. 144, Iss. 1 (2008) , 1-31.
- [18] M. Lieblich, Compactified moduli of projective bundles, *Algebra Number Theory*, Vol. 3 (2009), No. 6, 653-695.
- [19] C. Manolache, Virtual pull-backs, *Journal Algebraic Geometry*, Vol:21,(2012), 201-245. arXiv:0805.2065.
- [20] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- [21] Stack Project: <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/download/stacks-morphisms.pdf>.
- [22] Y. Tanaka and R. P. Thomas, Vafa-Witten invariants for projective surfaces I: stable case, *J. Alg. Geom.* to appear, arXiv.1702.08487.
- [23] Y. Tanaka and R. P. Thomas, Vafa-Witten invariants for projective surfaces II: semistable case, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 13 (2017), 517-562, Special Issue in Honor of Simon Donaldson, arXiv.1702.08488.
- [24] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *A strong coupling test of S-duality*, Nucl. Phys. **B 431** 3-77, 1994. hep-th/9408074.
- [25] A. Vistoli, Intersection theory on algebraic stacks and on their moduli spaces, *Invent. Math.*, 97 (1989) 613-670.

- [26] K. Yoshioka, Moduli spaces of twisted sheaves on a projective variety, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics* 45, 2006 *Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic Geometry* (Kyoto, 2004) pp. 1-42.
- [27] Z. Yun, Global Springer theory, *Advances in Mathematics*, Vol. 228, Iss. 1, (2011), 266-328.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, 405 SNOW HALL 1460 JAYHAWK
BLVD, LAWRENCE KS 66045 USA
E-mail address: y.jiang@ku.edu