

Triple decomposition of velocity gradient tensor in homogeneous isotropic turbulence[☆]

Ryosuke Nagata^a, Tomoaki Watanabe^{a,1,*}, Koji Nagata^a, Carlos B. da Silva^b

^a*Department of Aerospace Engineering, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan*

^b*Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Lisboa 1049-001, Portugal*

Abstract

The triple decomposition of a velocity gradient tensor is studied with direct numerical simulations of homogeneous isotropic turbulence, where the velocity gradient tensor $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ is decomposed into three components representing an irrotational straining motion $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}$, a rigid-body rotation $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}$, and a shearing motion $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$. Strength of these motions can be quantified with the decomposed components. A procedure of the triple decomposition is proposed for three-dimensional flows, where the decomposition is applied in a basic reference frame identified by examining a finite number of reference frames obtained by three sequential rotational transformations of a Cartesian coordinate. Even though more than one basic reference frame may be available for the triple decomposition, the results of the decomposition depend little on the choice of basic reference frame. In homogeneous isotropic turbulence, regions with strong rigid-body rotations or straining motions are highly intermittent in space, while most flow regions exhibit moderately

[☆]Accepted for publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2019.104389>

*Corresponding author (+81-052-789-3279)

Email address: watanabe.tomoaki@c.nagoya-u.jp (Tomoaki Watanabe)

strong shearing motions in the absence of straining motions and rigid-body rotations. In the classical double decomposition, the velocity gradient tensor is decomposed into a rate-of-rotation tensor Ω_{ij} and a rate-of-strain tensor S_{ij} . Regions with large $\omega^2 = 2\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij}$ can be associated with rigid-body rotations and shearing motions while those with large $s^2 = 2S_{ij}S_{ij}$ can be associated with irrotational straining motions and shearing motions. Therefore, vortices with rigid-body rotations and shear layers in turbulence cannot be detected solely by thresholding ω or s while they can be identified simply with $(\nabla\mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}$ and $(\nabla\mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ in the triple decomposition, respectively. The thickness of the shear layer detected in the triple decomposition is about 10 times of Kolmogorov scale, while the velocity parallel to the layer changes rapidly across the layer, in which the velocity difference across the shear layer is of the order of the root-mean-squared velocity fluctuation.

Keywords: Turbulence, Triple decomposition, Internal shear layer, Vortex, Direct numerical simulation

1. Introduction

Turbulent flows appear in various scientific and engineering problems. In atmospheric science, turbulent boundary layers formed in the atmosphere are important in many aspects¹ because turbulence affects wind speed, temperature, heat transfer, and dispersion of pollutants. In engineering applications, the nature of turbulence is often utilized for improving the performance of equipment. For examples, chemical processes in reactors and propulsion systems often rely on the mixing of fluids enhanced by turbulence.^{2,3}

A velocity gradient tensor $\nabla\mathbf{u}$ is a useful quantity to characterize a tur-

bulent fluid motion at a point with respect to surrounding fluids. Herein, a component of a second-order tensor is denoted with subscripts, e.g., $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij} = \partial u_i / \partial x_j$. In incompressible flows, the velocity gradient tensor can be decomposed into the rate-of-strain tensor $S_{ij} = (\partial u_i / \partial x_j + \partial u_j / \partial x_i) / 2$ and the rate-of-rotation tensor $\Omega_{ij} = (\partial u_i / \partial x_j - \partial u_j / \partial x_i) / 2$, separately.⁴ The double decomposition $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij} = S_{ij} + \Omega_{ij}$ has been proven to be useful for investigating turbulence. A vorticity vector $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ is expressed by the components of Ω_{ij} . The rate-of-strain tensor can be used for expressing the viscous dissipation rate of kinetic energy as $\varepsilon = 2\nu S_{ij} S_{ij}$ (ν : kinematic viscosity), which is an essential quantity in turbulence theories and models.

Turbulent flows are often studied in terms of turbulent structures, which can be identified with their characteristic patterns in flow visualization. A well-known structure that can be found in most turbulent flows is a small-scale tube-like vortex. It can be identified with quantities related to the velocity gradient tensor. For example, vortices can be found in a region with high enstrophy.^{5,6,7} Various methods have been proposed for identifying vortices in turbulence. However, its definition is not unique, and many identification schemes for vortices have been proposed in previous studies.^{8,9,10,11,12} The methods based on the velocity gradient tensor mentioned above are local criteria applied at each flow point. It has been reported that vortex identification based on $\omega^2/2$ cannot distinguish a swirling motion associated with a vortex and a shearing motion.¹² For example, a parallel shear flow, such as the initial state of a mixing layer, exhibits a non-zero vorticity arising from the shear that is different from a vortex. The same problem is encountered in vortex identification in turbulent flows because a flow region with a

strong shear can appear along with vortices. The triple decomposition of the velocity gradient tensor¹² was proposed for overcoming the shortcoming of the double decomposition. The triple decomposition decomposes the velocity gradient tensor into three tensors associated with an irrotational straining motion, a rigid-body rotation, and a shearing motion. Figure 1 illustrates a square fluid element under these motions in two dimensions.¹² A shearing motion is expressed by the parallel relative motion, where the planes parallel to the motion are not deformed and rotated. In the triple decomposition, the rigid-body rotation expresses a swirling motion that is not contributed by shearing and irrotational straining motions. Thus, the tensor of the rigid-body rotation can be used for identifying vortices.

Many studies on vortices in turbulent flows have been performed. Recently, turbulent flows have been studied with special focus on a flow region with strong shear. A thin internal shear layer with a cluster of strong vortex tubes was found in isotropic turbulence at high Reynolds number.¹³ An internal shear layer was shown to exist in turbulent boundary layers.¹⁴ The internal shear layer separates a large-scale flow structure from a flow region associated with different flow structures.¹⁵ Investigation of the internal shear layer requires a robust identification scheme similar to vortex identifications. Hence, Eisma et al.¹⁶ utilized the triple decomposition, where the internal shear layer is detected with a tensor representing a shearing motion. The triple decomposition is expected to be applied to different flows to reveal the nature of internal shear layers that can exist in various turbulent flows.

The triple decomposition was originally proposed to decompose the velocity gradient tensor in three-dimensional flows, i.e., $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij}$ with $i, j = 1, 2,$

and 3. However, the procedure for applying the triple decomposition of the full velocity gradient tensor has not been proposed in previous studies. The triple decomposition requires identification of a basic reference frame where the decomposition of $(\nabla\mathbf{u})_{ij}$ can extract the tensors representing the three motions. This basic reference frame in three dimensional cases cannot be analytically obtained. Therefore, the triple decomposition has been applied to the velocity gradient tensor defined on a two-dimensional plane in three-dimensional flows, $(\nabla\mathbf{u})_{ij}$ with $i, j = 1$ and 2 , because the basic reference frame is analytically obtained from two-dimensional components of $(\nabla\mathbf{u})_{ij}$.^{12,17,16} Turbulent flows comprise complex three-dimensional fluid motions; vortices and internal shear layers are extended in a three-dimensional space, in which their characteristics in the three-dimensional space are of high interest. In the present study, the triple decomposition is tested in three-dimensional flows using a direct numerical simulation (DNS) database of incompressible, forced, and homogeneous isotropic turbulence, which is a canonical flow studied by turbulence researchers. The general numerical procedure of the triple decomposition in a three-dimensional flow field is presented for applying the decomposition to the full velocity gradient tensor. Once the basic reference frame is determined by the proposed method, the triple decomposition is applied to the velocity gradient tensor with the formula presented by Kolář.¹² The proposed procedure is tested with the DNS database in terms of computation time and flow fields described with the decomposed tensor. The results of the triple decomposition are discussed in comparison with the classical double decomposition. The triple decomposition is also used for detecting the region with strong shear.




Figure 1: Sketches of fluid motions around a square fluid element extracted by the triple decomposition: (a) square fluid element; (b) shearing motion; (c) irrotational straining motion; (d) rigid-body rotation.

2. Triple decomposition of velocity gradient tensor

The triple decomposition¹² decomposes the velocity gradient tensor into the shearing motion tensor $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ and the residual tensor defined as $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}} = \nabla \mathbf{u} - (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$. Subsequently, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}$ is further decomposed into two components associated with the irrotational straining motion (elongation) $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}$ and rigid-body rotation $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}$. The subscripts EL, RR, and SH denote elongation, rigid-body rotation, and shear, respectively. Thus, the triple decomposition decomposes the velocity gradient tensor into three components as $\nabla \mathbf{u} = (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$. A contribution of the shearing motion is given by $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ that is a purely asymmetric tensor satisfying $\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}\}_{ij}\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}\}_{ji} = 0$ (no summation). $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}$ and $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}$ are the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}$, respectively. An interaction scalar¹² I is defined with S_{ij} and Ω_{ij} by $I \equiv |S_{12}\Omega_{12}| + |S_{23}\Omega_{23}| + |S_{31}\Omega_{31}|$. The basic reference frame is defined such that I assumes the maximum value among all reference frames.¹²

The following algorithm is used for applying the triple decomposition in three-dimensional flows in this study. The basic reference frame is identified

from the reference frames obtained with the following rotational transformation tensor $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ applied to a Cartesian coordinate $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma - \sin \alpha \sin \gamma & \sin \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \gamma & -\sin \beta \cos \gamma \\ -\cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma - \sin \alpha \cos \gamma & -\sin \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma + \cos \alpha \cos \gamma & \sin \beta \sin \gamma \\ \cos \alpha \sin \beta & \sin \alpha \sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

This is obtained as three sequential rotational transformations with angles α , β , and γ in the ranges of $0^\circ \leq \alpha \leq 180^\circ$, $0^\circ \leq \beta \leq 180^\circ$, and $0^\circ \leq \gamma \leq 90^\circ$. The velocity gradient tensor expressed in the rotated reference frame $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}$ is obtained as $(\nabla \mathbf{u})^* = \mathbf{Q}(\nabla \mathbf{u})\mathbf{Q}^T$, where the superscript $*$ represents a quantity evaluated in the rotated reference frame. A finite number of reference frames are specified with integers (l, m, n) as $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (l\Delta, m\Delta, n\Delta)$, where $0 \leq l \leq 180^\circ/\Delta$, $0 \leq m \leq 180^\circ/\Delta$, and $0 \leq n \leq 90^\circ/\Delta$, and Δ is a small angle in units of degrees. For each reference frame with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (l\Delta, m\Delta, n\Delta)$, a rotational transformation is applied to the velocity gradient tensor, and I^* is computed in the rotated reference frame. Subsequently, the basic reference frame can be determined with (α, β, γ) that yields the maximum value of I^* among the reference frames considered. Apparently, a smaller Δ value results in a more accurate choice of the basic reference frame although it increases the computational cost.

Once the basic reference frame is determined, the triple decomposition is applied for the velocity gradient tensor $(\nabla \mathbf{u})^*$ in the basic reference frame, yielding $(\nabla \mathbf{u})^* = (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^* + (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}^*$. Here, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*$ is given by the following equation:¹²

$$\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*\}_{ij} = \text{sgn} [(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij}^*] \min [|(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij}^*|, |(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ji}^*|], \quad (2)$$

where $\text{sgn}(x)$ is the sign function that yields $\text{sgn}(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$, $\text{sgn}(x) = 0$ for $x = 0$, and $\text{sgn}(x) = -1$ for $x < 0$. $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}^*$ can be obtained by subtracting $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*$ from $(\nabla \mathbf{u})^*$. In Eq. (2), the diagonal part can be written as $\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*\}_{ii} = (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ii}^*$ (no summation for i). Equation (2) yields $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ in a purely asymmetric tensor form with $\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}^*\}_{ij} \{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}^*\}_{ji} = 0$ (no summation). Finally, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*$ can be further decomposed into symmetric and antisymmetric parts that are associated with the elongation $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}^*$ and the rigid-body rotation $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}^*$, respectively: $\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}^*\}_{ij} = [\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*\}_{ij} + \{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*\}_{ji}]/2$ and $\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}^*\}_{ij} = [\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*\}_{ij} - \{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RES}}^*\}_{ji}]/2$. The results of the decomposition are valid for arbitrary reference frames obtained with the orthogonal transformation of the basic reference frame. Therefore, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}$, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}$, and $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ in the laboratory reference frame can be obtained by applying the inverse tensor of the rotational transformation tensor. It is noteworthy that the rotational transformation tensor is an orthogonal tensor that satisfies $\mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$. Therefore, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}$ can be obtained by $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}} = \mathbf{Q}^T (\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}^* \mathbf{Q}$. Similarly, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}$ and $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ can also be obtained from $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}^*$ and $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}^*$. The triple decomposition is applied to the velocity gradient tensor defined at one point in a flow. The procedure above can be repeated for all points of the flow to obtain the spatial distributions of $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}$, $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}$, and $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$.

$(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ can be decomposed into symmetric and antisymmetric parts as $(S_{\text{SH}})_{ij} = [\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}\}_{ij} + \{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}\}_{ji}]/2$ and $(\Omega_{\text{SH}})_{ij} = [\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}\}_{ij} - \{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}\}_{ji}]/2$. The following symbols are introduced for representing the norm of the tensors: $\omega^2 = 2\Omega_{ij}\Omega_{ij}$; $\omega_{\text{RR}}^2 = 2\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}\}_{ij}\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{RR}}\}_{ij}$; $\omega_{\text{SH}}^2 = 2(\Omega_{\text{SH}})_{ij}(\Omega_{\text{SH}})_{ij}$; $s^2 = 2S_{ij}S_{ij}$; $s_{\text{EL}}^2 = 2\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}\}_{ij}\{(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{EL}}\}_{ij}$; $s_{\text{SH}}^2 = 2(S_{\text{SH}})_{ij}(S_{\text{SH}})_{ij}$.

Table 1: DNS of forced homogeneous isotropic turbulence.

Case	N	ν	u_{rms}	$\langle \varepsilon \rangle$	Re_0	Re_λ	L_u/η	λ/η	Δ_{xyz}/η
N128	128	0.025	2.96	10.1	101	49	27	14	1.4
N512	512	0.00375	2.65	10.2	933	177	140	26	1.4

ω_{RR}^2 can be used for vortex identification.¹² Similarly, s_{EL}^2 quantifies the strength of the irrotational straining motion. Because of the relation $\omega_{\text{SH}} = s_{\text{SH}}$, the strength of the shearing motion is expressed by either ω_{SH} or s_{SH} . The results of the triple decomposition are presented with ω_{RR} , ω_{SH} , s_{EL} , and s_{SH} .

3. Direct Numerical Simulations of Homogeneous Isotropic Turbulence

The triple decomposition is applied to the DNS database of forced homogeneous isotropic turbulence. The DNS was performed with a classical pseudo-spectral method that solves the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations, and the details of the DNS have been described in previous studies.^{18,19,20,21} The statistically stationary turbulence is simulated with an artificial forcing that is delta correlated in time and uncorrelated with the velocity field.²² Herein, the velocity components in the x , y , and z directions are denoted by u , v , and w , respectively. The computational domain is a periodic box with a side length of 2π and is represented with N^3 grid points.

The two DNS databases summarized in Tab. 1 are analyzed using a workstation (CPU: Intel Xeon E5-1620 v3, 3.50 GHz). In homogeneous isotropic turbulence, an average $\langle \rangle$ of physical variables is computed as the spatial av-

erage in the computational domain. Table 1 shows the kinematic viscosity ν , root-mean-squared velocity fluctuation u_{rms} , and kinetic energy dissipation rate $\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ in arbitrary units, where the subscript rms denotes a root-mean-squared fluctuation $f_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{\langle f^2 \rangle - \langle f \rangle^2}$. Table 1 shows the ratio among the integral length scale L_u , Taylor microscale λ , and Kolmogorov length scale η , where L_u is obtained by integrating the longitudinal autocorrelation function; λ and η are defined as $\lambda = u_{\text{rms}}/(\partial u/\partial x)_{\text{rms}}$ and $\eta = (\nu/\langle \varepsilon \rangle)^{1/4}$, respectively. Table 1 also shows the grid size $\Delta_{xyz} = 2\pi/N$ divided by η . The Kolmogorov length scale is often considered as the characteristic length scale of the smallest turbulent motions, and the grid size is small enough to perform direct numerical simulations.⁴ Table 1 also shows the Reynolds number based on the integral scale $Re_0 = u_{\text{rms}}L_u/\nu$ and turbulent Reynolds number $Re_\lambda = u_{\text{rms}}\lambda/\nu$.

Figure 2(a) shows the one-dimensional energy spectra of u , E_{uu} , normalized by $(\langle \varepsilon \rangle \nu^5)^{1/4}$. The present DNS results agree well with the experimental results in a turbulent wake²³ and the relation $E_{uu} = C_1 \langle \varepsilon \rangle^{2/3} k_x^{-5/3}$ for the inertial subrange. Figure 2(b) shows the joint probability density function (PDF) of the second and third invariants of $\nabla \mathbf{u}$ that are defined as $Q_u = -(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij}(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ji}/2$ and $R_u = -(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ij}(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{jk}(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{ki}/3$, respectively. The joint PDF exhibits the well-known teardrop shape in consistent with previous studies of turbulent flows.²⁴ A larger probability for $|Q_u| \gg 0$ or $|R_u| \gg 0$ can be found for the higher Reynolds number, where this tendency can be explained by larger flatness of the velocity derivative for a higher Reynolds number.




Figure 2: (a) Normalized one-dimensional energy spectra $E_{uu}/((\epsilon)\nu^5)^{1/4}$, plotted against $k_x \eta$, where k_x is the wavenumber in the x direction. The present results are compared with the experimental results of turbulent wake by Uberoi & Freymuth²³ and $E_{uu} = C_1 \langle \epsilon \rangle^{2/3} k_x^{-5/3}$ with $C_1 = 0.49$. (b) Isolines of the joint PDF of Q_u and R_u normalized by $\langle S_{ij} S_{ij} \rangle$. The contour levels are 10^{-4} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-1} , 10^0 , and 10^1 (from outside to center of the figure).

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Dependence of triple decomposition on choice of basic reference frame

The basic reference frame obtained by the present procedure depends on the parameter Δ introduced in Sec. 2. The triple decomposition is tested for $1^\circ \leq \Delta \leq 90^\circ$ for N128. It is expected that a smaller value of Δ enables us to more accurately obtain the basic reference frame, where the interaction scalar I assumes the largest value among all possible reference frames. However, the computational time of the triple decomposition increases as Δ becomes smaller. Figure 3(a) shows the computation time t_{calc} (CPU time) required for applying the triple decomposition at one grid point for various values of Δ . The red line in the figure is $t_{calc} = C(180^\circ/\Delta + 1)^2(90^\circ/\Delta + 1)$, where $(180^\circ/\Delta + 1)^2(90^\circ/\Delta + 1)$ is the




Figure 3: (a) Computation (CPU) time t_{calc} of the triple decomposition applied at one grid point and $\langle \delta \rangle = \langle |\omega_{SH}(\Delta) - \omega_{SH}(2^\circ)| / \omega_{SH}(2^\circ) \rangle$ as a function of Δ . The red line represents $t_{calc} \propto (180^\circ/\Delta + 1)^2(90^\circ/\Delta + 1)$. PDFs of (b) ω , ω_{RR} , and ω_{SH} and (c) s , s_{EL} , and s_{SH} . The results of the triple decomposition are shown for $\Delta = 2^\circ$ or 5° . These results are obtained from N128.

number of reference frames considered to obtain the basic reference frame, and the constant C is obtained as $C = t_{calc} / [(180^\circ/\Delta + 1)^2(90^\circ/\Delta + 1)]$ with t_{calc} measured for $\Delta = 1^\circ$. The computation time increases rapidly as Δ decreases, where $t_{calc} \propto (180^\circ/\Delta + 1)^2(90^\circ/\Delta + 1)$ agrees well with the measured computation time. Because the computation time decreases rapidly with Δ , increasing Δ from 1° reduces the computation time significantly.

The triple decomposition is applied to the whole computational domain in N128 for $2^\circ \leq \Delta \leq 45^\circ$. Here, the minimum value $\Delta = 2^\circ$ is restricted by the computational time. The dependence of the decomposition on Δ is examined by ω_{SH} obtained as a function of Δ at each computational grid point, where the relative deviation $\omega_{SH}(\Delta)$ from $\omega_{SH}(2^\circ)$ is defined as $\delta = (|\omega_{SH}(\Delta) - \omega_{SH}(2^\circ)|) / \omega_{SH}(2^\circ)$. The averaged value $\langle \delta \rangle$ is plotted against Δ in Fig. 3(a), where $\langle \delta \rangle$ becomes small as Δ decreases. $\langle \delta \rangle$ is less than 1% for




Figure 4: (a) Dependence of ω_{RR} , ω_{SH} , and s_{EL} on Δ at a flow point with the maximum value of ω (open symbols) and the maximum value of s (closed symbols) in N128. (b) Maximum interaction scalar I^* and angles (α, β, γ) that define the basic reference frame for various values of Δ at the location with the maximum value of ω in the flow (N128).

$\Delta \leq 15^\circ$, and the results of the decomposition depend little on Δ . In terms of both computation time and accuracy examined in Fig. 3(a), it is reasonable to choose Δ between 5° and 10° , for which the triple decomposition can be applied with a moderate computation time even for N512.

Figure 3(b) shows the PDFs of $\omega/\langle\omega\rangle$, $\omega_{RR}/\langle\omega\rangle$, and $\omega_{SH}/\langle\omega\rangle$, while Fig. 3(c) shows the PDFs of $s/\langle s\rangle$, $s_{EL}/\langle s\rangle$, and $s_{SH}/\langle s\rangle$. Here, the triple decomposition is applied with $\Delta = 2^\circ$ or 5° for N128. The PDFs do not change with Δ for $\Delta = 2^\circ$ and 5° . Figures 3(b,c) are further discussed in the next subsection.

The effect of Δ is further examined by applying the triple decomposition to the velocity gradient tensor at a point in the flow for $0.1^\circ \leq \Delta \leq 45^\circ$ in N128. Values of ω_{RR} , ω_{SH} , and s_{EL} are plotted against Δ in Fig. 4(a), where the triple decomposition is applied at a flow point with the maximum value

of ω or the maximum value of s . s_{EL} is negligible compared with ω_{RR} and ω_{SH} at these locations. When Δ is not extremely large, Δ hardly affects ω_{RR} , ω_{SH} , and s_{EL} .

Figure 4(b) shows the angles (α, β, γ) used for computing the basic reference frame. The largest interaction scalar I^* for (α, β, γ) is also shown in the figure. These results are plotted as a function of Δ . (α, β, γ) of the detected basic reference frame changes with Δ . However, the interaction scalar computed for (α, β, γ) does not change with Δ . Thus, more than one set of (α, β, γ) exists that yield the maximum value of the interaction scalar. The interaction scalar is closely related to the results of the triple decomposition because it is related to the extracted shear tensor.¹² The independence of the maximum value of the interaction scalar from Δ explains why the results of the triple decomposition ω_{RR} , ω_{SH} , and s_{EL} depend little on Δ . It is noteworthy that a similar observation was performed for general three-dimensional tensor data,¹² where the same results of the triple decomposition can be obtained for different basic reference frames. This is further supported by the present results for the velocity gradient tensor in homogeneous isotropic turbulence.

4.2. Irrotational straining motion, rigid-body rotation, and shearing motion extracted with triple decomposition

The PDFs shown in Figs. 3(b,c) are further discussed here. We have also confirmed that the shape of the PDFs is similar for N128 and N512 although the results in N512 are not shown in the figures. In Figs. 3(b,c), $\langle \omega \rangle$ and $\langle s \rangle$ are used for normalization for comparing magnitude between ω_{RR} and ω_{SH} and between s_{EL} and s_{SH} . Because of the decomposition, ω_{RR} and ω_{SH} are

smaller than ω . A large probability for moderate values of $\omega/\langle\omega\rangle \approx 0.6$ can be found, while the PDFs for larger values of $\omega/\langle\omega\rangle$ exhibit nonzero values because of an intermittent profile of the high vorticity region. After the decomposition, the PDFs exhibit vastly different profiles for ω_{RR} and ω_{SH} . The PDF of ω_{RR} increases as ω_{RR} becomes smaller, whereas the PDF of ω_{SH} exhibits a peak for $\omega_{\text{SH}}/\langle\omega\rangle \approx 0.6$, similarly to ω . These PDFs indicate that flow regions with a moderate level of vorticity $\omega/\langle\omega\rangle \approx 0.6$ are primarily associated with a shearing motion extracted as ω_{SH} . Similarly to ω , ω_{RR} , and ω_{SH} , the PDF of s_{EL} increases with decreasing s_{EL} , while the PDFs of s and s_{SH} exhibit large values at their moderate values ($s/\langle s\rangle \approx 0.8$ and $s_{\text{SH}}/\langle s\rangle \approx 0.5$). The flow primarily exhibits a weak irrotational straining motion ($s_{\text{EL}} \approx 0$) and a moderately strong shearing motion ($s_{\text{SH}} > 0$).

For a variable ϕ with the PDF $p(\phi)$, a cumulative distribution function $P(\psi)$ can be defined as $P(\psi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\psi} p(\phi)d\phi$, where $P(\psi)$ represents the probability of $\phi < \psi$. Herein, a value of ψ that satisfies $P(\psi) = c$ is denoted with superscript (c) . Figure 5 visualizes ω , ω_{RR} , ω_{SH} , s , and s_{EL} with isolines of $f = f^{(0.97)}$, $f = f^{(0.98)}$, and $f = f^{(0.99)}$ on a two-dimensional plane in N128. The flow primarily exhibits $\omega_{\text{RR}} \approx 0$, and a region with a large ω_{RR} is highly intermittent. A region with large ω_{RR} can be considered as a vortex with a strong rigid-body rotation. Some isolated regions with large ω_{RR} exhibit a circular shape, as shown in Fig. 5(b), where a slice of the vortex is visualized on a two-dimensional plane. These vortices are connected with each other by regions with moderately large ω when they are visualized with ω in Fig. 5(a). In Fig. 5(c), shearing motions with moderately large ω_{SH} exist primarily in the flow. Comparing Figs. 5(a) and (c), some patterns in




Figure 5: Color contour of (a) ω , (b) ω_{RR} , (c) ω_{SH} , (d) s , and (e) s_{EL} on a $x-y$ plane in N128, where the triple decomposition is applied with $\Delta = 2^\circ$. White, gray, and black lines represent isolines of $f = f^{(0.97)}$, $f = f^{(0.98)}$, and $f = f^{(0.99)}$, respectively.

the color contour of ω_{SH} are similar to those of ω . This indicates that these regions visualized with ω are associated with the shearing motion rather than the rigid-body rotation. In Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), similar patterns can be found in the regions with large ω_{SH} and s , where the shearing motions identified by the triple decomposition are related to the rate-of-strain tensor. However, regions with large s or large ω are not always coincident with regions with large ω_{SH} because large s and ω can also be related to large s_{EL} and ω_{RR} , respectively. Thus, Fig. 5 confirms that three different motions considered

Table 2: Values of $f^{(0.98)}/\langle f \rangle$ and $f^{(0.98)}/f^{(2.9\text{rms})}$ for $f = \omega_{\text{SH}}, \omega_{\text{RR}},$ and s_{EL} .

Case	$\omega_{\text{SH}}^{(0.98)}/\langle \omega_{\text{SH}} \rangle$	$\omega_{\text{RR}}^{(0.98)}/\langle \omega_{\text{RR}} \rangle$	$s_{\text{EL}}^{(0.98)}/\langle s_{\text{EL}} \rangle$	$\omega_{\text{SH}}^{(0.98)}/\omega_{\text{SH}}^{(2.9\text{rms})}$	$\omega_{\text{RR}}^{(0.98)}/\omega_{\text{RR}}^{(2.9\text{rms})}$	$s_{\text{EL}}^{(0.98)}/s_{\text{EL}}^{(2.9\text{rms})}$
N128	2.41	6.00	3.75	1.05	0.95	0.97
N512	2.88	7.00	3.99	1.06	0.98	0.99




Figure 6: Three-dimensional visualization of isosurfaces of (a) $\omega_{\text{RR}} = \omega_{\text{RR}}^{(0.98)}$, (b) $\omega_{\text{SH}} = \omega_{\text{SH}}^{(0.98)}$, and (c) $s_{\text{EL}} = s_{\text{EL}}^{(0.98)}$ in N128.

in the triple decomposition cannot be distinguished simply by s and ω in the double decomposition.

Hereafter, as examples of flow structures detected by the triple decomposition, $f^{(0.98)}$ is used as the level of the isosurfaces of variable f in three-dimensional visualization. Hence, the region surrounded by the isosurfaces occupies 2% of the computational domain. This method that is based on the cumulative distribution function has been used for choosing the threshold for detecting small-scale vortices in turbulence.^{6,7,25,26} Other previous studies visualize isosurfaces whose values are determined based on mean values of variables.^{27,28} Table 2 shows $\omega_{\text{RR}}^{(0.98)}$, $\omega_{\text{SH}}^{(0.98)}$, and $s_{\text{EL}}^{(0.98)}$ divided by the

average of the corresponding variables. The values corresponding to the cumulative probability of 0.98 divided by the average become larger as Re increases. $\omega_{\text{RR}}^{(0.98)}/\langle\omega_{\text{RR}}\rangle \approx 6-7$ is larger than the other quantities because of the large probability of $\omega_{\text{RR}} = 0$. Isosurface values in flow visualization can also be determined based on a mean value and rms fluctuation²⁹ as $f^{(\text{arms})} = \langle f \rangle + \alpha f_{\text{rms}}$. We have calculated $f^{(\text{arms})}$ for a wide range of α , and found that $f^{(0.98)}$ is very close to $f^{(2.9\text{rms})}$. Table 2 shows $f^{(0.98)}/f^{(2.9\text{rms})}$, which is close to 1 for all cases. Therefore, isosurfaces of $f = f^{(0.98)}$ mostly visualize flow regions with $f \geq \langle f \rangle + 2.9f_{\text{rms}}$. It is also noteworthy that values of $f^{(0.98)}/f^{(2.9\text{rms})}$ hardly depend on the Reynolds number considered in this study. From the definition, the region surrounded by the isosurface becomes smaller as the value of the isosurface is increased. However, most isolines of $f = f^{(0.97)}$, $f = f^{(0.98)}$, and $f = f^{(0.99)}$ surround the same regions in Fig. 5, and the isosurface of $f = f^{(0.97)}$ and $f = f^{(0.99)}$ in three-dimensional visualization leads to the same observations described below.

Figure 6 shows the isosurfaces of $\omega_{\text{RR}} = \omega_{\text{RR}}^{(0.98)}$, $\omega_{\text{SH}} = \omega_{\text{SH}}^{(0.98)}$, and $s_{\text{EL}} = s_{\text{EL}}^{(0.98)}$. Tube-like vortices and sheet-like structures with large ω have been reported in the visualization of ω or ω^2 in previous studies.³⁰ The sheet-like structures do not appear in the visualization of ω_{RR} in Fig. 6(a), where tube-like vortices can be clearly observed. In contrast, a large number of sheet-like structures are shown in the region with strong shear in the visualization of ω_{SH} , as shown in Fig. 6(b). In the triple decomposition, strong rigid-body rotation and shearing motion can be detected by thresholding ω_{RR} and ω_{SH} , respectively. The isosurface of $s_{\text{EL}} = s_{\text{EL}}^{(0.98)}$ in Fig. 6(c) is very intermittent, and each isolated region with $s_{\text{EL}} = s_{\text{EL}}^{(0.98)}$ occupies a smaller volume than




Figure 7: Joint PDFs of (a) ω and ω_{RR}/ω , (b) ω and ω_{SH}/ω , and (c) s and s_{SH}/s . The results are obtained with triple decomposition with $\Delta = 5^\circ$ from N512.

the isosurface of other quantities. It is noteworthy that s_{EL} exhibits a large probability for $s_{EL} \approx 0$ in Fig. 3(c), and the irrotational straining motion s_{EL} is weaker than the shearing motion ω_{SH} .

Figures 7(a) and (b) show the joint PDFs between ω and ω_{RR}/ω and between ω and ω_{SH}/ω , respectively. From the definition, ω_{RR} and ω_{SH} satisfy $\omega \geq \omega_{RR}$ and $\omega \geq \omega_{SH}$, respectively. A large probability appears for $\omega_{RR}/\omega \approx 0$ and $\omega_{SH}/\omega \approx 1$, and the flow primarily exhibits shearing motions without a strong rigid-body rotation. Positive and negative correlations with ω exist for ω_{RR}/ω and ω_{SH}/ω , respectively. A larger ω_{RR}/ω and a smaller ω_{SH}/ω are more frequently found for a larger ω . Thus, the relative importance of the rigid-body rotation and shearing motion, evaluated as ω_{RR}/ω and ω_{SH}/ω , respectively, depends on ω . The rigid-body rotation becomes more important for the region with large ω , while the shearing motion dominates over the rigid-body rotation for the region with small ω . This tendency agrees with the visualization of ω_{SH} and ω in Fig. 5, where ω_{SH} exhibits nonzero values




Figure 8: (a) Joint PDF of ω_{RR} and Q_u . (b) Joint PDF of ω_{SH} and Q_u . The results are obtained with triple decomposition with $\Delta = 5^\circ$ from N512.

even for small values of ω . Figure 7(c) shows the joint PDFs between s and s_{SH}/s . Flow regions with large s do not always correspond to large s_{SH}/s even though large PDF values appear for $s_{\text{SH}}/s \approx 1$ for a wide range of s . The joint PDF and visualization in Fig. 5 confirm that strength of the shearing motion cannot be accurately quantified by s .

Figure 8(a) shows the joint PDF of $\omega_{\text{RR}}/\langle\omega\rangle$ and $Q_u/\langle S_{ij}S_{ij}\rangle$ in N128. In the double decomposition, small-scale vortices are often detected as the region with $Q_u > 0$. The joint PDF shows that the region with $Q_u > 0$ tends to exhibit $\omega_{\text{RR}}/\langle\omega\rangle > 0$. Meanwhile, a large probability of $\omega_{\text{RR}}/\langle\omega\rangle \approx 0$ is found for $Q_u < 0$. The joint PDF indicates that the strong rigid-body rotation with large ω_{RR} can be detected by Q_u . However, a flow region with $Q_u \approx 0$ can exhibit a moderately large value of ω_{RR} , which is difficult to detect with Q_u . Figure 8(b) shows the joint PDF of $\omega_{\text{SH}}/\langle\omega\rangle$ and $Q_u/\langle S_{ij}S_{ij}\rangle$. Large ω_{SH} tends to appear for $Q_u \approx 0$, where s is as large as ω . This result agrees well with previous studies of vortex sheets, for which the enstrophy is comparable to the strain rate.³¹ It should be noted that the triple de-




Figure 9: Profile of velocity components (u, v, w) and ω_{SH} on the local coordinate (shown with an arrow in left figures) across the strong shear region visualized with the isosurface of $\omega_{SH} = \omega_{SH}^{(0.98)}$ (green). (a) N128 and (b) N512. The local coordinates y_l and z_l align with the y and z directions, respectively. Horizontal line represents $\omega_{SH} = \omega_{SH}^{(0.98)}$ in the right figures.

composition can detect regions with strong shearing motions solely with ω_{SH} while most methods proposed for detecting vortex sheets use more than one variable.³¹

An important application of the triple decomposition is the detection of the internal shear layer in turbulent flows. In previous studies, the layer-like structures in turbulence have also been observed in visualization of s or ω . However, regions detected by thresholding ω can be associated with rigid-

body rotation rather than the shearing motion in Fig. 5. Similarly, regions with large s are not always related to shear layers because of s_{EL} . Therefore, the detection of shearing motions with the double decomposition requires combining s or ω with other criteria. For example, the shear layer might be detected by visually assessing the shape of isosurface of ω . The advantage of the triple decomposition in the shear layer detection is that strength of the shearing motion is quantified solely by ω_{SH} defined at each point in a flow. Then, it is expected that the shear layers can be detected simply as regions with large ω_{SH} .

Figure 9 shows sheet-like structures of the strong shear region with $\omega_{\text{SH}} \geq \omega_{\text{SH}}^{(0.98)}$ in (a) N128 and (b) N512, where the normal direction of the shear layers is close to the z and y directions, respectively. Additionally, the figures show the profiles of u , v , w , and ω_{SH} on the local coordinate y_l or z_l normalized by the Kolmogorov length scale η , where y_l and z_l align with the y and z directions, respectively. Components of $(\nabla \mathbf{u})_{\text{SH}}$ can be used for examining the direction of detected shear layers although this is not addressed in this study. On the local coordinate, ω_{SH} increases rapidly, reaches a peak exceeding $\omega_{\text{SH}}^{(0.98)}$, and subsequently decreases rapidly. In Figs. 9(a) and (b), the thickness of the large ω_{SH} region can be estimated as 10η for both Reynolds numbers. In Fig. 9(a), two velocity components u and v that are parallel to the strong shear region change significantly across the shear layer. The difference in u and v between two sides of the shear layer is close to the rms velocity fluctuation u_{rms} . A similar velocity jump across the shear layer can be found for u for the high Reynolds number case in Fig. 9(b), where u changes by more than u_{rms} . The velocity jump and the thickness of the

detected shear layer can be related to the extreme events in turbulence whose characteristic length and velocity scales are η and u_{rms} , respectively.^{25,28} An average velocity field extracted in the strain eigenframe also exhibited a shear layer whose thickness scales with the Kolmogorov scale,²¹ while the present analysis identifies the shear layer in an instantaneous three-dimensional velocity field. The thickness and velocity jump across the strong shear layer are similar for different Reynolds numbers in N128 and N512 when they are normalized by u_{rms} and η . The rms velocity fluctuation is often considered as the characteristic velocity scale of large-scale motions in turbulent flows. The velocity jump of the order of u_{rms} across the shear layer implies that the strong shear layer detected by the triple decomposition separates regions of different large-scale motions. Sheet-like structures are found in the visualization of isosurface of vorticity magnitude in homogeneous isotropic turbulence.²⁵ These structures are often called vortex sheets. It was found that the thickness of the vortex sheets was related to the Kolmogorov scale, while the velocity difference across the sheet was close to the rms velocity fluctuation. These characteristics of the vortex sheets agree with the shear layer detected with ω_{SH} . This implies that the vortex sheets found with the double decomposition are related to the shearing motion with large ω_{SH} .

5. Concluding Remarks

A detailed procedure was proposed for the triple decomposition¹² in three-dimensional flows. This procedure comprised two parts: the identification of the basic reference frame from all reference frames obtained by three sequential rotational transformations defined with angles (α, β, γ) applied to

the Cartesian coordinate; the decomposition of the velocity gradient tensor in the basic reference frame into three components associated with an irrotational straining motion, rigid-body rotation, and shearing motion. Here, the basic reference frame, defined as the reference frame with the largest interaction scalar, was chosen from a finite number of reference frames by discretely setting (α, β, γ) with the angle interval Δ .

The triple decomposition of the velocity gradient tensor was tested for the DNS database of an incompressible, forced homogeneous isotropic turbulence with the turbulent Reynolds numbers $Re_\lambda = 27$ and 140. It was shown that more than one basic reference frame could provide the largest interaction scalar, whose value depended little on the specific choice of the basic reference frame. The results of the triple decomposition were shown to be robust for a wide range of Δ . The computation time of the triple decomposition changed as $(180^\circ/\Delta + 1)^2(90^\circ/\Delta + 1)$. In terms of the Δ dependences of the triple decomposition and its computation time, Δ between 2° and 5° was reasonable for applying the triple decomposition to the three-dimensional data of the velocity gradient tensor in turbulent flows.

The results of the triple decomposition in homogeneous isotropic turbulence were presented with ω_{SH} , ω_{RR} , and s_{EL} , representing the shearing motion, rigid-body rotation, and irrotational straining motions, respectively. Regions with strong rigid-body rotations or irrotational strains (large ω_{RR} or s_{EL}) appeared intermittently in space. Most flow regions exhibited small ω_{RR} and s_{EL} while shearing motions with moderately large ω_{SH} appeared in most flow regions. The flow visualized with large ω_{RR} exhibited a tube-like structure of vortices. A strong shear region (large ω_{SH}) exhibited sheet-like

structures (shear layers). Because a region with large ω or large s did not always possess large ω_{SH} , the strong shear region could not be simply detected by thresholding ω or s . The region with large ω_{SH} often appeared when ω was comparable to s , i.e. $Q_u \approx 0$. The velocity components parallel to the shear layer exhibited a sharp jump within the shear layer, where the velocity difference across the shear layer is of the order of the rms velocity fluctuation and the layer thickness was approximately 10η .

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by the Collaborative Research Project on Computer Science with High-Performance Computing in Nagoya University and by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K13682 and 18H01367. We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

References

- [1] L. Mahrt, Stably stratified atmospheric boundary layers, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 46 (2014) 23–45.
- [2] N. Cumpsty, A. Heyes, *Jet propulsion*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [3] A. I. Stankiewicz, J. A. Moulijn, Process intensification: transforming chemical engineering, *Chem. Eng. Prog.* 96 (1) (2000) 22–34.
- [4] S. B. Pope, *Turbulent Flows*, Cambridge Univ. Pr., 2000.
- [5] J. Jimenez, A. A. Wray, On the characteristics of vortex filaments in isotropic turbulence, *J. Fluid Mech.* 373 (1998) 255–285.

- [6] C. B. da Silva, R. J. N. Dos Reis, J. C. F. Pereira, The intense vorticity structures near the turbulent/non-turbulent interface in a jet, *J. Fluid Mech.* 685 (2011) 165–190.
- [7] R. Jahanbakhshi, N. S. Vaghefi, C. K. Madnia, Baroclinic vorticity generation near the turbulent/non-turbulent interface in a compressible shear layer, *Phys. Fluids* 27 (10) (2015) 105105.
- [8] J. C. R. Hunt, A. A. Wray, P. Moin, Eddies, streams, and convergence zones in turbulent flows, Center for Turbulence Research Report CTR-S88 (1988) 193–208.
- [9] M. S. Chong, A. E. Perry, B. J. Cantwell, A general classification of three-dimensional flow fields, *Phys. Fluids* 2 (5) (1990) 765–777.
- [10] J. Jeong, F. Hussain, On the identification of a vortex, *J. Fluid Mech.* 285 (1995) 69–94.
- [11] G. Haller, An objective definition of a vortex, *J. Fluid Mech.* 525 (2005) 1–26.
- [12] V. Kolář, Vortex identification: New requirements and limitations, *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* 28 (4) (2007) 638–652.
- [13] T. Ishihara, Y. Kaneda, J. C. R. Hunt, Thin shear layers in high Reynolds number turbulence—DNS results, *Flow, Turbul. Combust.* 91 (4) (2013) 895–929.
- [14] S. K. Robinson, Coherent motions in the turbulent boundary layer, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 23 (1) (1991) 601–639.

- [15] C. D. Meinhart, R. J. Adrian, On the existence of uniform momentum zones in a turbulent boundary layer, *Phys. Fluids* 7 (4) (1995) 694–696.
- [16] J. Eisma, J. Westerweel, G. Ooms, G. E. Elsinga, Interfaces and internal layers in a turbulent boundary layer, *Phys. Fluids* 27 (5) (2015) 055103.
- [17] Y. Maciel, M. Robitaille, S. Rahgozar, A method for characterizing cross-sections of vortices in turbulent flows, *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* 37 (2012) 177–188.
- [18] C. B. da Silva, J. C. F. Pereira, Invariants of the velocity-gradient, rate-of-strain, and rate-of-rotation tensors across the turbulent/nonturbulent interface in jets, *Phys. Fluids* 20 (5) (2008) 055101.
- [19] M. A. C. Teixeira, C. B. da Silva, Turbulence dynamics near a turbulent/non-turbulent interface, *J. Fluid Mech.* 695 (2012) 257–287.
- [20] P. C. Valente, C. B. da Silva, F. T. Pinho, The effect of viscoelasticity on the turbulent kinetic energy cascade, *J. Fluid Mech.* 760 (2014) 39–62.
- [21] G. E. Elsinga, T. Ishihara, M. V. Goudar, C. B. da Silva, J. C. R. Hunt, The scaling of straining motions in homogeneous isotropic turbulence, *J. Fluid Mech.* 829 (2017) 31–64.
- [22] K. Alvelius, Random forcing of three-dimensional homogeneous turbulence, *Phys. Fluids* 11 (7) (1999) 1880–1889.
- [23] M. S. Uberoi, P. Freymuth, Turbulent energy balance and spectra of the axisymmetric wake, *Phys. Fluids* 13 (9) (1970) 2205–2210.

- [24] P. A. Davidson, *Turbulence: An Introduction for Scientists and Engineers*, Oxford Univ. Pr., 2004.
- [25] J. Jiménez, A. A. Wray, P. G. Saffman, R. S. Rogallo, The structure of intense vorticity in isotropic turbulence, *J. Fluid Mech.* 255 (1993) 65–90.
- [26] S. Kida, H. Miura, Identification and analysis of vortical structures, *Eur. J. Mech. B* 17 (4) (1998) 471–488.
- [27] P. K. Yeung, X. M. Zhai, K. R. Sreenivasan, Extreme events in computational turbulence, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 112 (41) (2015) 12633–12638.
- [28] D. Buaria, A. Pumir, E. Bodenschatz, P. K. Yeung, Extreme velocity gradients in turbulent flows, *New J. Phys.* 21 (4) (2019) 043004.
- [29] Y. Kaneda, T. Ishihara, High-resolution direct numerical simulation of turbulence, *J. Turbulence* (7) (2006) N20.
- [30] Y. Dubief, F. Delcayre, On coherent-vortex identification in turbulence, *J. Turbulence* 1 (1) (2000) 011–011.
- [31] M. Tanaka, S. Kida, Characterization of vortex tubes and sheets, *Phys. Fluids* 5 (9) (1993) 2079–2082.