

A short note on the Liouville problem for the steady-state Navier-Stokes equations

Oscar Jarrín^{*1}

¹Escuela de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad de Las Américas, Vía a Nayón, C.P.170124, Quito, Ecuador.

March 2, 2023

Abstract

Uniqueness of the trivial solution (the zero solution) for the steady-state Navier-Stokes equations is an interesting problem who has known several recent contributions. These results are also known as the Liouville type problem for the steady-state Navier-Stokes equations. In the setting of the L^p - spaces, when $3 \leq p \leq 9/2$ it is known that the trivial solution of these equations is the unique one. In this note, we extend this previous result to other values of the parameter p . More precisely, we prove that the velocity field must be zero provided that it belongs to the L^p - space with $3/2 < p < 3$. Moreover, for the large interval of values $9/2 < p < +\infty$, we also obtain a partial result on the vanishing of the velocity under an additional hypothesis in terms of the Sobolev space of negative order \dot{H}^{-1} . This last result has an interesting corollary when studying the Liouville problem in the natural energy space of these solutions \dot{H}^1 .

Keywords: Steady-state Navier-Stokes equations; Liouville type problem; Cacciopoli type estimates.

AMS Classification: 35Q30, 35B53

1 Introduction

This short note deals with the homogeneous and incompressible steady-state (time-independent) Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$(NS) \quad -\Delta \vec{U} + (\vec{U} \cdot \nabla) \vec{U} + \nabla P = 0, \quad \operatorname{div}(\vec{U}) = 0.$$

Here $\vec{U} = (U_1, U_2, U_3) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the velocity of the fluid while $P : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the pressure. The equation $\operatorname{div}(\vec{U}) = 0$ represents the fluid incompressibility. We recall that (\vec{U}, P) is a smooth solution for the (NS) equations if $\vec{U} \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $P \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and if the couple (\vec{U}, P) verify these equations in the classical sense.

In the (NS) equations, we may observe that $\vec{U} = 0$ and $P = 0$ is always a smooth solution, also known as the *trivial solution*, and then it is quite natural to ask if the trivial solution is the unique one. In the general setting of the space $\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \times \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the answer to this question is negative and we are able to give a simple counterexample. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a scalar field such that $\Delta f = 0$. Then, by setting the velocity $\vec{U} = \nabla f$ and the pressure $P = -\frac{1}{2}|\nabla f|^2$, and by using some well-known rules of the vector calculus, we have that (\vec{U}, P) is also a smooth solution of the (NS) equations. See the Appendix A for all the details. We thus look for some *additional hypothesis* on smooth solutions of the (NS) equations to insure the uniqueness of

^{*}corresponding author: oscar.jarrin@udla.edu.ec

the trivial solution. This type of problem is also known as a the *Liouville problem* for the (NS) equations. We emphasize this problem has attained a lot of attention in the community of researchers. See, for instance, [1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11] and the references therein.

In the example above, we remark that as the scalar field f is a harmonic function then it is a polynomial and thus $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} f$ also has a polynomial growth at infinity. This fact strongly suggests that, in order to study the Liouville problem for the (NS) equations, we must seek for *decaying properties* at infinity on the velocity \vec{U} . Precisely, it was pointed out in the celebrated work of G. Galdi who showed in [4] (Chapter X, Remark X.9.4 and Theorem X.9.5, p. 729) that if \vec{U} is a smooth solution of the (NS) equations, and moreover, if $\vec{U} \in L^{9/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then we necessarily have $\vec{U} = 0$. This result follows from the following *Cacciopoli* type estimate: for $R > 1$, we denote $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < R\}$ and $C(R/2, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : R/2 < |x| < R\}$, and for a constant $C > 0$ which does not depend on R we have:

$$\int_{B_R} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq C \|\vec{U}\|_{L^{9/2}(C(R/2, R))}^3 + C R^{-1/3} \|\vec{U}\|_{L^{9/2}(C(R/2, R))}^2 + C \|\vec{U}\|_{L^{9/2}(C(R/2, R))} \|P\|_{L^{9/4}(C(R/2, R))}.$$

This estimate yields the identity $\vec{U} = 0$, provided that $\vec{U} \in L^{9/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $P \in L^{9/4}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, it is worth mentioning the value of the integration parameter $9/2$ naturally appears by the well-known scaling properties of (NS) equations.

Galdi's result was recently extended in [3] for other values of the parameter p in the Lebesgue spaces. More precisely, in Theorem 1 of [3], D. Chamorro, P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset and the author of this note proved that if \vec{U} is a smooth solution of the (NS) equations such that $\vec{U} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $3 \leq p < 9/2$, then we have $\vec{U} = 0$ and $P = 0$. For this, we performed the next *Cacciopoli* type estimate:

$$\int_{B_R} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq C R^{1-6/p} \|\vec{U}\|_{L^p(B_R)} + C_p R^{2-9/p} \|\vec{U}\|_{L^p(C(R/2, R))}^3 + C_p \|\vec{U}\|_{L^p(C(R/2, R))} \|P\|_{L^{p/2}(C(R/2, R))}.$$

Here, the constant $C_p > 0$ is the norm of a certain test function in the space $L^{\frac{p}{p-3}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and we thus need condition $3 \leq p$. On the other hand, in order to get a uniform control on $R > 1$, due to the expression $R^{2-9/p}$ in the second term on the right-hand side, we also need the condition $p \leq 9/2$. Consequently, the Liouville problem is solved in the L^p -space for $3 \leq p \leq 9/2$.

The aim of this short note is to continue with the study of the Liouville problem for the (NS) equations in the setting of the Lebesgue spaces. Specifically, we study this problem for the values of the parameter p outside the interval $3 \leq p \leq 9/2$. For the values of the parameter p lower than 3, our first result states as follows.

Theorem 1 *Let (\vec{U}, P) be a smooth solution of the (NS) equations. If $\vec{U} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $\frac{3}{2} < p < 3$, then we have $\vec{U} = 0$ and $P = 0$.*

The proof is based on a different and more technical *Cacciopoli* type estimate, which is stated in Proposition 2.1. This estimate was originally established in [10]. It is worth mentioning our computations are not longer valid when $1 \leq p \leq 3/3$ and, to the best of our knowledge, the Liouville problem for the (NS) equations is still an open question these values of the parameter p .

It is also worth emphasizing some recent preprints study this problem when $\vec{U} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, whit $1 \leq p < 3$, provided that $|\vec{U}(x)| \rightarrow 0$ when $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$. However, as \vec{U} is assumed a smooth solution, the last hypothesis implies that $\vec{U} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, by the interpolations inequalities we have $\vec{U} \in L^p \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, hence $\vec{U} \in L^{9/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequently, the additional vanishing assumption at infinity makes the problem trivial. In the sense, one the main interests on the result above is the study of the Liouville problem in the interval $3/2 < p < 3$ without any additional assumption.

When $9/2 < p < 6$, the Liouville problem in the L^p -spaces was study studied by using some supplementary hypothesis. In [3], this problem is solved in the space $L^p \cap B_{\infty, \infty}^{3/p-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where $B_{\infty, \infty}^{3/p-3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a

homogeneous Besov space (3). Moreover, for the value $p = 6$, an interesting result of G. Seregin given in [9] shows that this problem is solved in the space $L^6 \cap BMO^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge, there are not previous results for the values $6 < p$. In our next result, we study the Liouville problem in the large interval $9/2 < p < +\infty$. As the previous results, we shall need here an additional assumption on the velocity field.

Theorem 2 *Let (\vec{U}, P) be a smooth solution of the (NS) equations. If $\vec{U} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for $9/2 < p < +\infty$, then we have $\vec{U} = 0$ and $P = 0$.*

One the main interests of this result is the use of the space $\dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, which is the dual space of functions having a finite Dirichlet integral; and which provides us a different condition to solve the Liouville type problem. On the other hand, this result suggests that the value $p = 9/2$, found by G. Galdi in [4], seems to be the upper limit to solve the Liouville problem without any additional assumptions. This fact also suggests to look for non trivial solutions for the (NS) equations in the space $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $9/2 < p$. However, we think that this is still a very challenging open question.

In this result, the value $p = 6$ is of particular interest. Let us recall that the problem Liouville for the (NS) equations was originally stated in the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (see for instance the Chapter X in [4] and the Chapter 4 in [6]). This is the natural *energy space* for this equations, and moreover, not rigorous computations strongly suggest that all the solutions $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ must be identical to zero. However, the information $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is not enough to justify all the computations, in particular those estimates involving the nonlinear term $(\vec{U} \cdot \vec{\nabla})\vec{U}$. Consequently, the Liouville problem for the (NS) equations in the space $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is still an outstanding open question far from obvious.

By the Sobolev embeddings we have $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and then, we can use the Theorem 2 to prove the following corollary. This is a partial result on the Liouville problem in the space $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Corollary 1 *Let be $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a weak solution of the (NS) equations. If $\widehat{\vec{U}} \in L^r_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $r > 6$, then we have $\vec{U} = 0$.*

This corollary does not assume any smoothness of the velocity \vec{U} and we understand here $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as a weak solution, *i.e.*, \vec{U} verifies the (NS) equations in the distributional sense. On the other hand, we recall that the space $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined as the space of temperate distributions g such that $\widehat{g} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^2 |\widehat{g}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < +\infty$. We thus observe that a stronger locally-integrability condition on $\widehat{\vec{U}}$ yields the desired identity $\vec{U} = 0$.

2 The key tools

2.1 Homogeneous Besov spaces.

The first key tool deals with the homogeneous Besov spaces. Let $0 < s < 1$, and $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$. The homogeneous Besov space of positive order: $\dot{B}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, is defined as the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{p,q}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\|f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^p}}{|x|^{3+sq}} dx \right)^{1/q} < +\infty, \quad \text{with, } 1 \leq p, q < +\infty, \quad (1)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s_{\infty,\infty}} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\|f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty}}{|x|^s} < +\infty. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, the Besov space of negative order $\dot{B}^{-s}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ can be characterized by means of the heat kernel h_t as the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{-s}_{\infty,\infty}} = \sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{s}{2}} \|h_t * f\|_{L^\infty} < +\infty. \quad (3)$$

For more details on the Besov spaces and their application to the theoretical study of the Navier-Stokes equations (stationary or time-dependent) see the Chapter 8 in the book [8].

2.2 A Cacciopoli type estimate

The second key tool is the following *Cacciopoli* type estimate. This inequality is inspired by the estimate (2.2), page 9 in [10] and its proof essentially follows similar ideas. However, for the sake of completeness, we include the main steps of the proof.

Proposition 2.1 *Let (\vec{U}, P) be a smooth solution of the (NS) equation. Let \vec{V}_1 and \vec{V}_2 be smooth vector fields such that $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V}_1$ and $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V}_2$. Then, for all $3 < q < +\infty$, there exists a constant $C_q > 0$ such that for all $R > 1$ we have:*

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{C_q}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}_1(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}_2(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{4}{q-3}} \right).$$

Proof. We introduce the following cut-off functions, which were considered in [7]. For $R > 1$ fixed, we define first the function $\varphi_R \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(B_R)$ such that: for $R/2 < \rho < r < R$ it verifies: $\varphi_R(x) = 1$ when $|x| < \rho$, $\varphi_R(x) = 0$ when $|x| > r$ and, for all multi-indices $|\alpha| \leq 4$, $\|\partial^\alpha \varphi_R\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{c}{(r-\rho)^{|\alpha|}}$. Next, we define the function \vec{W}_R as the solution of the problem:

$$\operatorname{div}(\vec{W}_R) = \vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}, \quad \text{in } B_r, \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{W}_R = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_r.$$

In Lemma III.3.1, page 162 of [4], it is proven that for $1 < q < +\infty$ there exists a solution $\vec{W}_R \in W^{1,q}(B_R)$, which verifies $\operatorname{supp}(\vec{W}_R) \subset \mathcal{C}(R/2, R)$ and $\|\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{W}_R\|_{L^q(\mathcal{C}(R/2, R))} \leq c \|\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}\|_{L^q(\mathcal{C}(R/2, R))}$.

We consider now the function $\varphi_R \vec{U} - \vec{W}_R$, which has a support in the ball B_r , and we write

$$\int_{B_r} \left(-\Delta \vec{U} + (\vec{U} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{U} + \vec{\nabla} P \right) \cdot (\varphi_R \vec{U} - \vec{W}_R) dx = 0. \quad (4)$$

From this identity, by performing some integration by parts, and moreover, for a function \vec{V}_2 such that $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V}_2$ on B_R , for $1 = 1/p + 1/q$ we have the following estimate (see the page 6 of [10] or the appendix of [3]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx &\leq C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R| |\vec{U}| |\vec{V}_2| dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^p |\vec{U}|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we apply the discrete Young inequalities (with $1 = 1/2 + 1/2$) in both terms on the right-hand side to get:

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + C \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx + C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}|^p dx \right)^{2/p} \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{2/q}. \quad (5)$$

We must study the third term on the right-hand side. We set $2 < p < 6$. For $\theta = \frac{3(p-2)}{2p}$ (which verifies $0 < \theta < 1$) by the interpolation inequalities (with $2/p = \theta/3 + (1 - \theta)/1$) and the Sobolev embedding $\left(\int_{B_r} |f|^6 dx\right)^{1/6} \leq c \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} f|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}$, we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}|^p dx\right)^{2/p} = \left(\int_{B_r} \left(|\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}|^2\right)^{p/2} dx\right)^{2/p} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\int_{B_r} \left(|\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U}|^2\right)^3 dx\right)^{\theta} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{1-\theta} \left(\int_{B_r} \left|\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R \cdot \vec{U})\right|^2 dx\right)^{\theta} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{1-\theta} \left[\left(\int_{B_r} \left|\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R)\right|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{\theta} + \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{\theta} \right] \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{1-\theta} \left[\left(\int_{C(\rho, r)} \left|\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R)\right|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{\theta} + \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{\theta} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

With this estimate, we get back to (5). We applying again the discrete Young inequalities (with $1 = \theta + (1 - \theta)$) and moreover, by the estimate $\|\Delta \varphi_R\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{c}{(r-\rho)^2}$, we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx & \leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + C \underbrace{\int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx}_{(\mathcal{A})} \\
& + C \left(\int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{1-\theta} \underbrace{\left(\int_{C(\rho, r)} \left|\vec{\nabla} (\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R)\right|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{\theta}}_{(\mathcal{B})} \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx\right)^{2/q} \quad (6) \\
& + C \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^2}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} \left(\int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{\nabla} \varphi_R|^2 |\vec{U}|^2 dx\right) \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx\right)^{\frac{2}{q(1-\theta)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We must estimate now the terms (\mathcal{A}) and (\mathcal{B}) . By Lemma 2.1 of [10], for a smooth function \vec{V}_1 such that $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V}_1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{A}) & \leq \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} \underbrace{\left[\left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{(r-\rho)^2} \int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx \right]}_{(\mathcal{C})}, \\
(\mathcal{B}) & \leq \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^4} \underbrace{\left[\left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{(r-\rho)^2} \int_{C(\rho, r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx \right]}_{(\mathcal{C})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We get back to the estimate (6) to write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} (\mathcal{C}) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} (\mathcal{C}) \right)^{1-\theta} \left(\frac{C}{(r-\rho)^4} (\mathcal{C}) \right)^\theta \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{2/q} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} (\mathcal{C}) \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}|^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q(1-\theta)}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} (\mathcal{C}) + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^{2\theta}} \frac{1}{(r-\rho)^2} (\mathcal{C}) \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{2/q} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}+1} (\mathcal{C}) \left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q(1-\theta)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last term above, we remark that we have $\frac{\theta}{1-\theta} + 1 = \frac{1}{1-\theta}$. Moreover, by definition of the parameter $\theta = \frac{3(p-2)}{2p}$ and by the relation $1/2 = 1/p + 1/q$ we have $q\theta = 3$. Thus, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} (\mathcal{C}) \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^{q\theta}} \int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{2/q} + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^{q\theta}} \int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q(1-\theta)}} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} (\mathcal{C}) \underbrace{\left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^3} \int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{2/q} + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^3} \int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q(1-\theta)}} \right]}_{(\mathcal{D})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we write down the whole term (\mathcal{C}) , and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} \left[\left(\int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{C(\rho,r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{(r-\rho)^2} \int_{C(\rho,r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx \right] (\mathcal{D}) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} \left[\frac{(r-\rho)^2}{4C} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^2} \int_{C(\rho,r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx (\mathcal{D})^2 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)^4} \int_{C(\rho,r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx (\mathcal{D})^2
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we write down the whole term (\mathcal{D}) to write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)} \left[\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^3} \int_{C(\rho,r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx \right] \\
&\quad \times \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^3} \int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{4/q} + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^3} \int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{\frac{4}{q(1-\theta)}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that as $0 < 1 - \theta < 1$ and as $q\theta = 3$, we have $\frac{4}{q} \leq \frac{4}{q(1-\theta)} = \frac{4}{q-3}$. Then, from the last estimate we obtain:

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_r} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}|^2 dx + \frac{C}{(r-\rho)} \left[\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^3} \int_{C(\rho,r)} |\vec{V}_1|^2 dx \right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{(r-\rho)^3} \int_{B_r} |\vec{V}_2|^q dx \right)^{\frac{4}{q-3}} \right].$$

Finally, we use a well-known iterative argument, see for instance [3] and [5], to obtain the Cacciopoli type estimate stated in Proposition 2.1, which is now proven. \blacksquare

3 Proofs of the results

3.1 Proof of Theorem 1

Let (\vec{U}, P) be a smooth solution of the (NS) equations. We assume that $\vec{U} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $3/2 < p < 3$. In the framework of Proposition 2.1, we will set the vector fields \vec{V}_1 and \vec{V}_2 as follows: we define first the vector field \vec{V} by means of the velocity \vec{U} as $\vec{V} = \frac{1}{-\Delta}(\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U})$, where we have $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V}$. Indeed, as we have $\text{div}(\vec{U}) = 0$, then we can write

$$\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \left(\vec{\nabla} \wedge \left(\frac{1}{-\Delta} \vec{U} \right) \right) = \vec{\nabla} \left(\text{div} \left(\frac{1}{-\Delta} \vec{U} \right) \right) - \Delta \left(\frac{1}{-\Delta} \vec{U} \right) = \vec{U}.$$

Now, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we set the vector fields $\vec{V}_1(x) = \vec{V}(x)$ and $\vec{V}_2(x) = \vec{V}(x)$. Then, for all $3 < q < +\infty$ and for all $R > 1$, by Proposition 2.1 we have the estimate:

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{C_q}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{C(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{4}{q-3}} \right). \quad (7)$$

We must study now the term on the right-hand side. We recall that we have $\vec{V} = \frac{1}{-\Delta}(\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U})$, and moreover, we have $\vec{U} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $3/2 < p < 3$. Then, we get $\vec{V} \in L^{3p/(3-p)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $3p/(3-p) > 3$. Indeed, we write $\vec{V} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} (\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U}) \right)$, and then, by the properties of the Riesz potential $\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$, as well by the properties of the Riesz transforms $\frac{\partial_i}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$, we can write:

$$\|\vec{V}\|_{L^{3p/(3-p)}} \leq c \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} (\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U}) \right) \right\|_{L^{3p/(3-p)}} \leq c \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\Delta}} (\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U}) \right\|_{L^p} \leq c \|\vec{U}\|_{L^p}.$$

Moreover, as $3/2 < p < 3$ we have $3p/(3-p) > 3$. Thus, we set the parameter $q = 3p/(3-p)$ and we have $\|\vec{V}\|_{L^q} < +\infty$.

We get back to the estimate (7) to write:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R/2}} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx &\leq \frac{C_q}{R^4} \left(\int_{C(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{4}{q-3}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_q}{R^4} R^{6(1/2-1/q)} \left(\int_{C(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}(x)|^q dx \right)^{2/q} \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{4}{q-3}} \right) \\ &\leq C_q R^{-1-6/q} \left(\int_{C(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}(x)|^q dx \right)^{2/q} \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{4}{q-3}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we let $R \rightarrow +\infty$ and we obtain $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx = 0$. But, by the Sobolev embeddings we write $\|\vec{U}\|_{L^6} \leq c \|\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}\|_{L^2}$ and then we have the identity $\vec{U} = 0$. Finally, by splitting the pressure P as the well-known expression $P = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (U_i U_j)$, we conclude that $P = 0$. Theorem 1 is now proven. \blacksquare

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2

We assume now that the smooth solution (\vec{U}, P) verifies $\vec{U} \in L^p \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $9/2 \leq p < +\infty$. As before, we define the vector field $\vec{V} = \frac{1}{-\Delta}(\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U})$, and we set now the vector fields \vec{V}_1 and \vec{V}_2 as follows:

$$\vec{V}_1(x) = \vec{V}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{V}_2(x) = \vec{V}(x) - \vec{V}(0). \quad (8)$$

We remark that we have $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V}_1$ and $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{V}_2$, and then, for $q = p$ and for all $R > 1$, by Proposition 2.1 we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R/2}} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx &\leq \frac{C_p}{R} \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}_1(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}_2(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{4}{p-3}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_p}{R^4} \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}_1(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}_2(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{4}{p-3}} \right) \\ &\leq C_p \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}_1(x)|^2 dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{R^4} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{R^4} \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{B_R} |\vec{V}_2(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{4}{p-3}}}_{(I(R))} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We must estimate the term $I(R)$. As we have $\vec{U} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and moreover, by the continuous embedding $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-3/p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we obtain $\vec{U} \in \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-3/p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, as $\vec{V} = \frac{1}{-\Delta}(\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U})$ then we get $\vec{V} \in \dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-3/p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, as we have $9/2 \leq p < +\infty$, then we get $\frac{1}{3} \leq 1 - \frac{3}{p} < 1$, where the Besov space $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-3/p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined in the formula (2). By this formula, for all $R > 1$, we can write

$$\sup_{x \in B_R} \frac{|\vec{V}(x) - \vec{V}(0)|}{|x|^{1-\frac{3}{p}}} \leq \|\vec{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\frac{3}{p}}},$$

and by recalling that the vector field \vec{V}_2 is defined in the second identity in (8), then we obtain:

$$\sup_{|x| < R} \frac{|\vec{V}_2(x)|}{|x|^{1-\frac{3}{p}}} \leq \|\vec{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\frac{3}{p}}}.$$

Thereafter, for all $x \in B_R$ we have:

$$|\vec{V}_2(x)| \leq \|\vec{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\frac{3}{p}}} |x|^{1-\frac{3}{p}} \leq \|\vec{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\frac{3}{p}}} R^{1-\frac{3}{p}}.$$

We thus have:

$$\begin{aligned} I(R) &\leq C \frac{1}{R^4} \left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{|x| < R} |\vec{V}_2(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{4}{p-3}} \leq \|\vec{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\frac{3}{p}}} \frac{1}{R^4} \left(\left(\frac{1}{R^3} \int_{|x| < R} dx \right) R^{p(1-\frac{3}{p})} \right)^{\frac{4}{p-3}} \\ &\leq C, \|\vec{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\frac{3}{p}}} \frac{1}{R^4} (R^{p-3})^{\frac{4}{p-3}} \leq c \|\vec{V}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{1-\frac{3}{p}}} \leq c \|\vec{U}\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{-3/p}} \leq c \|\vec{U}\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

We get back to (9) to write

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}(x)|^2 dx \leq C_p \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}(R/2, R)} |\vec{V}_1(x)|^2 dx \right) \|\vec{U}\|_{L^p}.$$

We recall that by the first identity in formula (8) we have $\vec{V}_1 = \vec{V}$ where $\vec{V} = \frac{1}{-\Delta}(\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U})$. Moreover, as we have $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ then we obtain $\vec{V} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Consequently, by letting $R \rightarrow +\infty$ we have $\|\vec{\nabla} \otimes \vec{U}\|_{L^2}^2 = 0$. By proceeding as in the end of the proof of Theorem 1 we have $\vec{U} = 0$ and $P = 0$. Theorem 2 is proven. ■

3.3 Proof of Corollary 1

Let $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a weak solution of the (NS) equations. First we remark that as $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by the Sobolev embeddings we have $\vec{U} \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and consequently, $\vec{U} \in L_{loc}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, by Theorem X.1,1 at the page 658 in [4] we have $\vec{U} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $P \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Now, we shall prove that $\vec{U} \in \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. For $\rho > 0$ fixed, we write

$$\|\vec{U}\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| \widehat{\vec{U}}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi = \int_{|\xi| < \rho} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| \widehat{\vec{U}}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq \rho} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| \widehat{\vec{U}}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi.$$

In order to control the first term on the right-hand side, by the Hölder inequalities (with $1 = 2/p + 2/r$) we write

$$\int_{|\xi| < \rho} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| \widehat{\vec{U}}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi \leq \left(\int_{|\xi| < \rho} \frac{1}{|\xi|^p} d\xi \right)^{2/p} \left(\int_{|\xi| < \rho} \left| \widehat{\vec{U}}(\xi) \right|^r d\xi \right)^{2/r}.$$

We set $p < 3$ and the first term in the right side converges. Moreover, the hypothesis $\widehat{\vec{U}} \in L_{loc}^r(\mathbb{R}^3)$, with $r > 6$, allows us to conclude that the second term on the right-hand side also converges.

To control the second term on the right-hand side of the last identity, we just write

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq \rho} \frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \left| \widehat{\vec{U}}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi = \int_{|\xi| \geq \rho} \frac{1}{|\xi|^4} |\xi|^2 \left| \widehat{\vec{U}}(\xi) \right|^2 d\xi \leq \frac{1}{\rho^4} \|\vec{U}\|_{\dot{H}^1}^2 < +\infty.$$

We thus get $\vec{U} \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and by Theorem 2 we have the identities $\vec{U} = 0$ and $P = 0$. ■

A Appendix

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a harmonic function. We define $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} f$ and $P = -\frac{1}{2}|\vec{\nabla} f|^2$, and we will prove that (\vec{U}, P) is a solution of the (NS) equations. Indeed, as $\Delta f = 0$ we directly have $-\Delta \vec{U} = 0$. On the other hand, by well-known rules of the vector calculus we have the identity

$$(\vec{U} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\vec{U}|^2 \right) + (\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U}) \wedge \vec{U},$$

but, as $\vec{U} = \vec{\nabla} f$ then we have $\vec{\nabla} \wedge \vec{U} = 0$, and we can write

$$(\vec{U} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{U} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\nabla} (|\vec{U}|^2) = -\vec{\nabla} P.$$

Hence, (\vec{U}, P) solves the equation $-\Delta \vec{U} + (\vec{U} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \vec{U} + \vec{\nabla} P = 0$. Moreover, always as by the fact that f is an harmonic function we have $\text{div}(\vec{U}) = \text{div}(\vec{\nabla} f) = \Delta f = 0$.

Availability of data and materials

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- [1] D. Chae & J. Wolf. *On Liouville type theorems for the steady Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^3* . Journal of Differential Equations, Volume 261, Issue 10 (2016) 5541-5560.
- [2] D. Chae & S. Weng. *Liouville type theorems for the steady axially symmetric Navier-Stokes and magneto-hydrodynamic equations*. Discrete And Continuous Dynamical Systems, Volume 36, Number 10 (2016) 5267-5285.
- [3] D. Chamorro, O. Jarrín & P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *Some Liouville theorems for stationary Navier-Stokes equations in Lebesgue and Morrey spaces*. Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire; Volume 38, Issue 3 (2021) 689-710 .
- [4] G.P. Galdi. *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier-Stokes equations. Steady-state problems*. Second edition. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York (2011).
- [5] M. Giaquinta. *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations and non- linear elliptic systems*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 105. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983. vii+297 pp.
- [6] O. Jarrín. *Deterministic descriptions of turbulence in the Navier-Stokes equations*. Ph.D. thesis at Université Paris-Saclay, (2018).
- [7] O. Jarrín *A remark on the Liouville problem for stationary Navier-Stokes equations in Lorentz and Morrey spaces*. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 486, Issue 1 (2020).
- [8] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset. *The Navier-Stokes Problem in the 21st Century*. Chapman & Hall/CRC (2016).
- [9] G. Seregin. *A Liouville Type Theorem for Stationary Navier-Stokes Equations* Nonlinearity 29, 2191 (2016).
- [10] G. Seregin. *Remarks on Liouville type theorems for steady-state Navier-Stokes equations*. Algebra i Analiz, Vol. 30: (2018) 238- 248.
- [11] G. Seregin & W. Wang. *Sufficient conditions on Liouville type theorems for the 3D steady Navier-Stokes equations*. Algebra i Analiz, 2019, Volume 31, Issue 2 (2019) 269–278.