

FROM NON DEFECTIVITY TO IDENTIFIABILITY

ALEX CASAROTTI AND MASSIMILIANO MELLA

ABSTRACT. A projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is h -identifiable if the generic element in its h -secant variety uniquely determines h points on X . In this paper we propose an entirely new approach to study identifiability, connecting it to the notion of secant defect. In this way we are able to improve all known bounds on identifiability. In particular we give optimal bounds for some Segre and Segre-Veronese varieties and provide the first identifiability statements for Grassmann varieties.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of identifiability is ubiquitous both in applied and classical algebraic geometry. In general we say that an element p of a projective space \mathbb{P}^N is h -identifiable via a variety X if there is a unique way to write p as linear combination of h elements of X . In the classical setting this very often translates into rationality problems and it is linked to the existence of birational parameterizations. In the applied set up one usually considers a tensor space and the identifiability allows to reconstruct a tensor via a subset of special tensors defined by rank conditions or other special requirements. For applications ranging from biology to Blind Signal Separation, data compression algorithms, and analysis of mixture models, [DDL1] [DDL2] [DDL3] [KADL] [Si], uniqueness of decompositions allows to solve the problem once a solution is determined. For all these reasons it is interesting and often crucial to understand identifiability.

Over a decade ago the notion of h -weakly defective varieties has been connected to identifiability of polynomials, [Me]. This provided the first systematic study of identifiability for Veronese varieties. More recently with the work of Luca Chiantini and Giorgio Ottaviani, [CO], weakly defective varieties have been substituted by h -tangentially weakly defective varieties to study identifiability problems. In both approaches to provide identifiability one has to check the behavior of special linear systems and quite often this is done by an ad hoc degeneration argument. As a consequence identifiability has been proved in very few cases and quite often the result obtained are not expected to be sharp, [CO] [BDdG] [BC] [BCO] [Kr].

In this paper we want to develop an entirely new approach to study generic identifiability, see Definition 6 for the precise set up. Starting from the seminal paper [CC10], where the geometry of contact loci has been carefully studied, and the improvement presented in [BBC], we derive identifiability statements for non secant defective varieties. Even if new this is not really surprising since weakly defectiveness and tangentially weakly defectiveness, thanks to Terracini Lemma, have secant defectiveness as a common ancestor. With this new approach we are able to translate all the literature on defective varieties into identifiability statements, providing in many cases sharp classification of h -identifiability. One of the results

Date: February 25, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 15A69, 15A72, 11P05; Secondary 14N05, 15A69.

Key words and phrases. Tensor decomposition, Waring decomposition, identifiability, defective varieties.

we prove in this direction is the following conditional relation between identifiability and defectivity, we refer to section 1 for the necessary definitions.

Theorem. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible reduced variety. Assume that $h > \dim X$, X is not $(h-1)$ -tangentially weakly defective and it is not h -identifiable. Then X is $(h+1)$ -defective.*

As already mentioned identifiability issues are particularly interesting for tensor spaces. As a corollary we get the best asymptotic identifiability result so far for Segre, Segre-Veronese, and Grassmann varieties, that is, tensors and structured tensors, see section 3. As a sample we state the application to binary tensors.

Theorem. *The Segre embedding of n copies of \mathbb{P}^1 , with $n \geq 5$ is h -identifiable for any $h \leq \lfloor \frac{2^n}{n+1} \rfloor - 1$.*

Recall that the generic rank of the Segre embedding of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^n$ is $\lceil \frac{2^n}{n+1} \rceil$, therefore our result shows generic identifiability of all sub-generic binary tensors, qbits if you like the quantum computing dictionary, in the perfect case, that is when $\frac{2^n}{n+1}$ is an integer, and all but the last one in general, as predicted by the conjecture posed in [BC].

The starting point of our analysis was the observation that, in all known examples, when a variety X is not h -identifiable then any element in $\text{Sec}_{h+1}(X)$ has infinitely many decompositions, [CMO] [BBC] [BCO] [BV] [COV1]. Going back to the ideas in [Me] we realized that the best way to use this observation is to set a connection between the abstract secant map and the tangential projection. Via this we reduce the problem to study fiber type tangential projections. The latter is accomplished via the construction of a map from the Hilbert scheme of points of the contact loci of h -tangentially weakly defective varieties to a suitable Grassmannian. Under the right assumptions this is proved to be of fiber type and it allows us to connect defectivity and non identifiability. This, together with an improvement of the contact loci geometry studied in [CC10] and [BBC], lead us to derive identifiability from non defectivity under very mild hypothesis.

Theorem. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth variety. Assume that $\pi_k^X : \text{Sec}_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is generically finite and $k > 2 \dim X$. Then X is $(k-1)$ -identifiable.*

The paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we study the geometry of contact locus and prove the main general results about the connections between defectivity and non identifiability. In the final section we apply our techniques to varieties that are meaningful for tensor decomposition and streamline our argument for those.

We are much indebted with Luca Chiantini for many conversations on the subject and for explaining us the connection between tangentially weakly defective varieties and identifiability when we started to work on the subject.

1. NOTATION

We work over the complex field. A projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ is non degenerate if it is not contained in any hyperplane.

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible and reduced non degenerate variety. Let $X^{(h)}$ be the h -th symmetric product of X , that is the variety parameterizing unordered sets of h points of X . Let $U_h^X \subset X^{(h)}$ be the smooth locus, given by sets of h distinct points.

Definition 1. A point $z \in U_h^X$ represents a set of h distinct point, say $\{z_1, \dots, z_h\}$. We say that a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is in the span of z , $p \in \langle z \rangle$, if it is a linear combination of the z_i .

With this in mind we define

Definition 2. The *abstract h -Secant variety* is the irreducible and reduced variety

$$\text{sec}_h(X) := \overline{\{(z, p) \in U_h^X \times \mathbb{P}^N \mid p \in \langle z \rangle\}} \subset X^{(h)} \times \mathbb{P}^N.$$

Let $\pi : X^{(h)} \times \mathbb{P}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be the projection onto the second factor. The *h -Secant variety* is

$$\text{Sec}_h(X) := \pi(\text{sec}_h(X)) \subset \mathbb{P}^N,$$

and $\pi_h^X := \pi|_{\text{sec}_h(X)} : \text{sec}_h(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is the *h -secant map* of X .

The irreducible variety $\text{sec}_h(X)$ has dimension $(hn + h - 1)$. One says that X is *h -defective* if

$$\dim \text{Sec}_h(X) < \min\{\dim \text{sec}_h(X), N\}.$$

Remark 3. If X is h -defective then the h -secant map is of fiber type.

Note that a general point in $\text{sec}_h(X)$ is a linear combination of h points of X . Thanks to the non degeneracy assumption a general point in $\text{sec}_h(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ is not a linear combination of less points on X .

The tricky part in studying secant varieties is the closure. Many different things can happen, the h points can group in infinitely near cluster or positive dimensional intersection can appear. As a matter of facts these special loci are really difficult to control and the main advantage to use birational geometry is the opportunity to get rid of them.

Definition 4. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a non degenerate subvariety. We say that a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$ has rank h with respect to X if $p \in \langle z \rangle$, for some $z \in U_h^X$ and $p \notin \langle z' \rangle$ for any $z' \in U_{h'}^X$, with $h' < h$.

Remark 5. With this in mind it is easy to produce examples of limits of rank h points with different rank. If we let one of the point degenerate to the span of the others we lower the rank. If we let two points collapse the rank, generically, increases.

Definition 6. A point $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$ is *h -identifiable* with respect to $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ if p is of rank h and $(\pi_h^X)^{-1}(p)$ is a single point. The variety X is said to be *h -identifiable* if π_h^X is a birational map, that is the general point of $\text{Sec}_h(X)$ is h -identifiable

It is clear, by the above remark, that when X is h -defective, or more generally when π_h^X is of fiber type X is not h -identifiable.

The next ingredient we need to introduce is Terracini Lemma.

Theorem 7 (Terracini Lemma). [CC02] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible variety. Then we have*

- for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$ and $z \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$

$$\langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_k} X \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{T}_z \text{Sec}_k(X),$$

- there is a dense open set $U \subset X^{(k)}$ such that

$$\langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_k} X \rangle = \mathbb{T}_z \text{Sec}_k(X),$$

for a general point $z \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$ with $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in U$.

Terracini Lemma yields a direct consequence of h -defectiveness. If X is h -defective then the general fiber of π_h^X has positive dimension. Therefore by Terracini the general hyperplane tangent at h points of X is singular along a positive dimensional subvariety. This property does not characterize defective varieties.

Definition 8. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a non degenerate variety. The variety X is said *h -weakly defective* if the general hyperplane singular along h general points is singular along a positive dimensional subvariety.

There is a direct connection, proven in [CC02], between h -weakly defectiveness and identifiability.

Theorem 9. *If X is not h -weakly defective then it is h -identifiable.*

The main problem is that it is quite hard in general to verify if a variety is h -weakly defective.

To overcome this problem the notion of tangentially weakly defective varieties has been introduced, [CO]. Here we follow the notations of [BBC].

For a subset $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_h\} \subset X$ of general points we set

$$M_A := \langle \bigcup_i \mathbb{T}_{x_i} X \rangle.$$

By Terracini Lemma the space M_A is the tangent space to $\text{Sec}_h(X)$ at a general point in $\langle A \rangle$.

Definition 10. The tangential h -contact locus $\Gamma_h := \Gamma(A)$ is the closure in X of the union of all the irreducible components which contain at least one point of A , of the locus of points of X where M_A is tangent to X . We will write $\gamma_h := \dim \Gamma(A)$. We say that X is h -twd (tangentially weakly defective) if $\gamma_h > 0$.

Remark 11. It is clear that if X is h -twd then it is h weakly defective, it is $(h+1)$ -twd and $\Gamma_h \subseteq \Gamma_{h+1}$. Using scrolls it is not too difficult to produce explicit examples of varieties that are h -weakly defective but are not h -twd, see also Remark 19.

For what follows it is useful to introduce also the notion of tangential projection.

Definition 12. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a variety and $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_h\} \subset X$ a set of general points. The h -tangential projection (from A) of X is

$$\tau_h : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^M$$

the linear projection from M_A . That is, by Terracini Lemma, the projection from the tangent space of a general point $z \in \langle A \rangle$ of $\text{Sec}_h(X)$ restricted to X .

2. RELATION BETWEEN TWD AND DEFECTIVITY

We start collecting properties of the tangential contact loci that will be useful for our purpose.

Theorem 13. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, reduced, and non degenerate variety. Let $A \subset X$ be a set of h general points and Γ the associated contact locus. Assume that $\text{Sec}_{h-1}(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$. Then we have:*

- a) Γ is equidimensional and it is either irreducible (type I) or reduced (type II) with exactly h irreducible component, each of them containing a single point of A [CC10, Proposition 3.9],
- b) $\langle \Gamma \rangle = \text{Sec}_h(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Sec}_i(\Gamma) \neq \langle \Gamma \rangle$ for $i < h$ [CC10, Proposition 3.9],
- c) for $z \in \langle \Gamma \rangle$ general $\pi_h^X((\pi_h^X)^{-1}(z)) \subset \langle \Gamma \rangle$, [CC10, Proposition 3.9],
- d) if we are in type I $\gamma_h > \gamma_{h-1}$, [BBC, Lemma 3.5]
- e) if $\gamma_h = \gamma_{h+1}$ and $\text{Sec}_{h+1}(X)$ is not defective and does not fill up \mathbb{P}^N we are in type II, the irreducible components of both contact loci are linearly independent linear spaces, [BBC, Lemma 3.5],
- f) if we are in type I and $\text{Sec}_{h+1}(X)$ is not defective and does not fill up \mathbb{P}^N then Γ_{h+1} is of type I.

Proof. Points a)–e) are proved in the cited papers under the assumption that $\text{Sec}_h(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$. Points a)–d) are immediate when $\text{Sec}_h(X) = \mathbb{P}^N$ and $\text{Sec}_{h-1}(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$.

We have only to prove point f). Let $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_h\}$ and $B = A \cup \{x_{h+1}\}$ be general sets in X . Assume that $\Gamma(B)$ is of type II. By definition $\Gamma(A) \subset \Gamma(B)$, on the other hand by point a) the irreducible component of $\Gamma(B)$ through x_1 does not contain x_2 and therefore it cannot contain $\Gamma(A)$. This contradiction proves the claim. \square

From the point of view of identifiability the notions of weakly defectiveness and twd behave the same. The following proposition is well known to the experts in the field but we were not able to found a written version of it.

Proposition 14. [Ch] *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, reduced, and non degenerate variety. Assume that X is not h -twd, then X is h -identifiable.*

Proof. Assume that X is not h -identifiable and let $z \in \text{Sec}_h(X)$ be a general point. Let $z \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_h \rangle$, for x_i general in X . The existence of a different decomposition yields a new set $\{y_1, \dots, y_h\} \subset X$ such that $z \in \langle y_1, \dots, y_h \rangle$. Moving the point z in the linear space $\langle x_1, \dots, x_h \rangle$ yields a positive dimensional contact locus. \square

Remark 15. We want to stress that h -identifiability is not equivalent to non h -twd. In [COV2] and [BV] are described examples of Segre and Grassmannian varieties that are h -identifiable but h -twd.

We aim to study the relation between twd and defectivity. The next lemma is a first step in this direction.

Lemma 16. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, reduced, and non degenerate variety of dimension n ,*

$$\pi_k^X : \text{sec}_k(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$$

the k -secant map, $\tau_{k-1}^X : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^M$ the $(k-1)$ -tangential projection, and $\Gamma := \Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ the k -contact locus associated to the general points x_1, \dots, x_k .

- i) *The map π_k^X is of fiber type if and only if τ_{k-1}^X is of fiber type.*
- ii) *Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2\}$ be general points. Then*

$$\dim(\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1) \cap \Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_2)) > 0$$

in a neighborhood of x_i only if either X is k -twd or π_{k+2}^X has positive dimensional fibers.

- iii) *The map $(\tau_{k-1}^X)|_\Gamma : \Gamma \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\gamma_k}$ is either of fiber type or dominant.*

Proof. i) By Terracini Lemma π_k^X is of fiber type if and only if

$$\mathbb{T}_z \text{Sec}_{k-1}(X) \cap \mathbb{T}_y X \neq \emptyset$$

for $y \in X$ general. This condition is clearly equivalent to have τ_{k-1}^X of fiber type.

ii) Assume that X is not k -twd and $\dim(\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1) \cap \Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_2)) > 0$ in a neighborhood of x_i . Set

$$M_{A_i} = \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_k} X, \mathbb{T}_{y_i} X \rangle,$$

the variety X is not k -twd therefore

$$M_{A_1} \cap M_{A_2} \supsetneq \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_k} X \rangle.$$

In particular we have

$$(M_{A_1} \cap M_{A_2}) \cap \mathbb{T}_{y_i} X \neq \emptyset,$$

and hence

$$\langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_k} X, \mathbb{T}_{y_1} X \rangle \cap \mathbb{T}_{y_2} X \neq \emptyset.$$

This shows, by the generality of the points and point i) that π_{k+2}^X is of fiber type.

iii) Assume that $(\tau_{k-1}^X)|_\Gamma$ is not of fiber type. Then by point b) of Theorem 13 we have $\dim \langle \Gamma \rangle = k(\gamma_k + 1) - 1$. Hence $(\tau_{k-1}^X)|_\Gamma = \tau_{k-1}^\Gamma$ and both maps are dominant onto \mathbb{P}^{γ_k} . \square

Next we prove a general statement for type II contact loci.

Lemma 17. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, reduced, and non degenerate variety. Assume that:*

- a) X is k -twd,
- b) X is not $(k-1)$ -twd
- c) the k -contact locus is of type II.

Then π_{k+1}^X is of fiber type.

Proof. By point i) in Lemma 16 it is enough to prove that τ_k^X is of fiber type. Then by projection it is enough to prove the latter for $k = 2$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, y\} \subset X$ be a set of general points and $\Gamma = \Gamma(x_1, x_2, y)$ the contact locus associated to $\{x_1, x_2, y\}$. To conclude the proof it is enough to prove that $\langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1}, \mathbb{T}_{x_2} \rangle \cap \mathbb{T}_x X \neq \emptyset$, for $x \in \Gamma$ a general point.

For a general point $p \in \Gamma$ we set

$$\Gamma_p^i \subset \Gamma(x_i, p)$$

the irreducible component of the contact locus $\Gamma(x_i, p)$ through p . The contact locus is of type II, therefore $\Gamma_p^i \not\ni x_1, x_2$. Note that for a general point $x \in \Gamma_p^1$ we have $\mathbb{T}_x X \subset \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \mathbb{T}_p X \rangle$. Then by semicontinuity for any point $w \in \Gamma_p^1$ there is a linear space of dimension n , say $A_w \subseteq \mathbb{T}_w X$, contained in the span.

Set

$$\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_p^1) = \langle A_w \rangle_{w \in \Gamma_p^1}.$$

We may assume that X is not 2-defective, otherwise there is nothing to prove, that is

$$\mathbb{T}_{x_1} X \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_2} X = \emptyset, \quad (1)$$

and, since y is general,

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_y^1)}(\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_y^1) \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X) = n + 1. \quad (2)$$

The variety X is not 1-twd, then there are points $z \in \Gamma_y^1$ with $A_z \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in \Gamma_y^1$ be a point with

$$A_z \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X \neq \emptyset, \quad (3)$$

The contact locus is of type II, therefore $z \neq x_1$. We want to stress that this is the only point in the proof where we use the assumption that Γ is of type II.

If $A_z \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_2} X \neq \emptyset$, by Equations (1) and (2) we have

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_y^1)}(\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_y^1) \cap \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1}, \mathbb{T}_{x_2} \rangle) \leq n$$

and we conclude $\mathbb{T}_y X \cap \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1}, \mathbb{T}_{x_2} \rangle \neq \emptyset$, that is τ_2^X is of fiber type.

Assume that $A_z \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_2} X = \emptyset$. Then we consider the span $\langle A_z, \mathbb{T}_{x_2} \rangle$. By semicontinuity to this linear space is associated a contact locus and we set Γ_z^2 its irreducible component passing through z . As before we have

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_z^2)}(\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_z^2) \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_2}) = n + 1,$$

and by Equations (1) and (3) we conclude that

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_z^2)}(\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_z^2) \cap \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1}, \mathbb{T}_{x_2} \rangle) \leq n.$$

This yields

$$A_w \cap \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1}, \mathbb{T}_{x_2} \rangle \neq \emptyset, \quad (4)$$

for any point $w \in \Gamma_z^2$. We have $z \neq y_1$, then the general choice of the points x_i , and the assumption that X is not 2-defective ensure that

$$A_w \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X = \emptyset \quad (5)$$

for general $w \in \Gamma_z^2$.

We set Γ_w^1 the irreducible component through w of the contact locus associated to $\langle A_w, \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X \rangle$. Again $z \neq x_1$ and the general choice of the x_i ensure that $z \notin \Gamma_w^1$. In particular

$$\Gamma_w^1 \neq \Gamma_z^2.$$

Set

$$S^2 := \bigcup_{v \in \Gamma_y^1 \text{ general}} \Gamma_v^2.$$

Then Γ_z^2 is in the closure of S^2 and, for $p \in \Gamma_y^1$ general, Γ_y^1 is in the closure of

$$\bigcup_{w \in \Gamma_p^2 \text{ general}} \Gamma_w^1.$$

Hence Γ_y^1 is in the closure of

$$S^1 := \bigcup_{w \in \Gamma_z^2 \text{ general}} \Gamma_w^1.$$

In particular the general point of S^1 is a general point of X . By construction we have

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_w^1)}(\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_w^1) \cap \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X) \leq n + 1.$$

Equations (4) and (5) then give

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_w^1)}(\mathbb{T}(\Gamma_w^1) \cap \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1}, \mathbb{T}_{x_2} \rangle) \leq n$$

and this concludes the proof. \square

We are ready to prove our main result that connects twd and defectivity.

Theorem 18. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, reduced, and non degenerate variety of dimension n . Assume that:*

- a) X is k -twd,
- b) X is not $(k-1)$ -twd
- c) $k > n$ and $N \geq (k+1)(n+1) - 1$.

Then π_{k+1}^X is of fiber type.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 17 we may assume that the contact locus is of type I. By hypothesis the variety X is k -twd. Let $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset X$ be a set of general points and $\Gamma := \Gamma(A)$ the associated contact locus of dimension $\gamma > 0$. Let $z \in \langle A \rangle$ be a general point, $\tau_k := \tau_k^X : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^M$ the associated k -tangential projection, and $y \in X$ a general point. For a general set $Y := \{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\} \subset \Gamma$ let $\Gamma(Y \cup \{y\})$ be the contact locus associated to $\{y_1, \dots, y_k, y\}$.

Assume that π_{k+1}^X is not of fiber type. then, by i) in Lemma 16 τ_k is not of fiber type and by point iii) in Lemma 16, $\tau_k(\Gamma(Y \cup \{y\}))$ is a linear space of dimension γ through $z := \tau_k(y)$. This gives a map

$$\chi : \text{Hilb}_{k-1}(\Gamma) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}(\gamma - 1, M - 1).$$

The point z is smooth hence all these linear spaces sit in $\mathbb{T}_z \tau_k(X) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. In other words we have a map

$$\chi : \text{Hilb}_{k-1}(\Gamma) \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}(\gamma - 1, n - 1) \subset \mathbb{G}(\gamma - 1, M - 1).$$

Note that $\dim \mathbb{G}(\gamma - 1, n - 1) = \gamma(n - \gamma)$ and $\dim \text{Hilb}_{k-1}(\Gamma) = (k - 1)\gamma$. By hypothesis $k > n$ and $\gamma > 0$ hence we have

$$(k - 1)\gamma > \gamma(n - \gamma).$$

Then the map χ is of fiber type and fibers have, at least, dimension $\gamma(k - n + \gamma - 1)$.

Set $[Y_1], [Y_2] \in \chi^{-1}([\Lambda])$ general points, for $[\Lambda] \in \chi(\text{Hilb}_{k-1}(\Gamma)) \subset \mathbb{G}(\gamma-1, n-1)$ a general point. The variety X is not $(k-1)$ -twd and we are assuming that π_{k+1}^X is not of fiber type therefore, by ii) in Lemma 16,

$$\dim(\Gamma \cap \Gamma(Y_i \cup \{y\})) = 0,$$

in a neighborhood of y_i . Since the fiber of χ is positive dimensional we have

$$\Gamma(Y_1 \cup \{y\}) \not\supset Y_2. \quad (6)$$

The contact loci are irreducible then, by Equation (6), we conclude that

$$\Gamma(Y_1 \cup \{y\}) \neq \Gamma(Y_2 \cup \{y\}).$$

Therefore, by point iii) in Lemma 16, the positive dimensional fiber of χ induces a positive dimensional fiber of τ_k and we derive, by point i) Lemma 16, the contradiction that that π_{k+1}^X is of fiber type. \square

Remark 19. Both assumption b) and c) alone are reasonable and not over-demanding. Unfortunately the combination of them is quite restrictive and narrows the range of application we are aiming at.

We believe the statement is not optimal with respect to assumption c). But we are not sure it is true, in full generality, without any assumption of this kind. On the other hand we strongly believe that for many interesting varieties, like Segre, Grassmannian, Veronese and their combinations, twd can occur only one step before the secant map becomes of fiber type. This is not the case for weakly defectiveness as is shown in [BV]. In [BV, Theorem 1.1 a)] it is proven that $\mathbb{G}(2, 7)$ is 2 and 3 weakly defective without being 3-defective. Note that this variety is 3-twd and it is not 2-twd.

The next result generalizes the main result in [BBC] and it allows to avoid the bottleneck introduced by conditions b) and c) of Theorem 18 in many interesting situations.

Lemma 20. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, reduced, and non degenerate variety. Assume that X is not 1-twd and π_{k+1}^X is generically finite, in particular X is not $(k+1)$ -defective. If X is k -twd then $\gamma_k < \gamma_{k+1}$.*

Proof. The variety X is not 1-twd, then we may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$\gamma_{k-1} < \gamma_k = \gamma_{k+1}.$$

Then $\gamma_{k+1} < n$ and $\text{Sec}_{k+1}(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$, hence by e) in Theorem 13, the contact loci are of type II and linearly independent linear spaces. Fix $\{x_1, \dots, x_k, y\} \subset X$ a set of general points and let

$$\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_k, y) = \cup_1^k P_i \cup P_y$$

the contact locus. Moreover the assumption $\gamma_k = \gamma_{k+1}$ and point a) in Theorem 13 force

$$\Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y) = \cup_1^{k-1} P_i \cup P_y,$$

with the same P_i 's. Then

$$\bigcap_{y \in X} \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_{k-1}} X, \mathbb{T}_y X \rangle \supset \langle \mathbb{T}_z X \rangle_{z \in P_i, i=1, \dots, k-1}$$

We are assuming that $\gamma_{k-1} < \gamma_k$ therefore

$$P_i \not\subset \Gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}),$$

and we have a proper inclusion

$$\langle \mathbb{T}_z X \rangle_{z \in P_i, i=1, \dots, k-1} \subsetneq \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_{k-1}} X \rangle.$$

Set

$$M_{A_i} = \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_{k-1}} X, \mathbb{T}_{y_i} X \rangle,$$

for general points $y_1, y_2 \in X$. Then we have

$$M_{A_1} \cap M_{A_2} \supset \langle \mathbb{T}_z X \rangle_{z \in P_i, i=1, \dots, k-1} \supsetneq \langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_{k-1}} X \rangle.$$

and we conclude that

$$(M_{A_1} \cap M_{A_2}) \cap \mathbb{T}_{y_i} \neq \emptyset.$$

This shows that

$$\langle \mathbb{T}_{x_1} X, \dots, \mathbb{T}_{x_{k-1}} X, \mathbb{T}_{y_1} X \rangle \cap \mathbb{T}_{y_2} X \neq \emptyset.$$

hence the k -tangential projection τ_k^X is of fiber type and by Lemma 16 we derive the contradiction that π_{k+1}^X is of fiber type. \square

Remark 21. Let us recall that 1-twd varieties are classified in [GH] and are essentially generalized developable varieties. In particular they are ruled by linear spaces and, with the unique exception of linear spaces, they are singular.

We are ready to apply the above results to get not tangentially weakly defectiveness and hence identifiability statements.

Corollary 22. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be an irreducible, reduced, and non degenerate variety that is not 1-twd, for instance a smooth variety or a variety that is not covered by linear spaces. Assume that π_k^X is generically finite and $k \geq \dim X$.*

Then X is not $(k - \dim X)$ -twd and it is not $(k - \dim X + 1)$ -twd if π_k^X is not dominant. If moreover either $k > 2 \dim X$ or π_k^X is not dominant and $k \geq 2 \dim X$ then X is not $(k - 1)$ -twd.

In all the above cases X is h -identifiable.

Proof. By hypothesis π_h is generically finite for any $h \leq k$. Then by Theorem 20 if it is j -twd

$$\gamma_j < \gamma_{j+1}.$$

The contact locus is a subvariety of X , hence $\gamma_{k-\dim X} = 0$. This proves the first statement.

If π_k^X is not dominant then the contact locus is a proper subvariety and we have $\gamma_{k-\dim X+1} = 0$.

Assume that $k \geq 2 \dim X$ then by the first part X is not j -twd for some $j > \dim X$. Then we apply Theorem 18 recursively to conclude. We derive identifiability by Proposition 14. \square

Remark 23. The first part of Corollary 22 extends the bounds in [BBC] to non 1-twd varieties. The main novelty is the second part that allows to derive identifiability from non defectivity for large enough secant varieties.

3. APPLICATION TO TENSOR AND STRUCTURED TENSOR SPACES

As we already mentioned identifiability is particularly interesting for tensor spaces. In this section we use our main result to explicitly state identifiability of a variety of tensor spaces. For this we will consider Segre, Segre-Veronese and Grassmannian varieties and their h -twd properties.

We start with some notation

Notation 24. The variety $\Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r; n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is the Segre-Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_r}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{\Pi \binom{n_i+d_i}{n_i}-1}$ via the complete linear system $|\mathcal{O}(d_1, \dots, d_r)|$.

When all d_i 's are one we have the Segre embedding and we let $X_{n_1, \dots, n_r} := \Sigma(1, \dots, 1; n_1, \dots, n_r)$ and $X_n^r := \Sigma(1, \dots, 1; n, \dots, n) \cong (\mathbb{P}^n)^r$. The expected generic rank is

$$gr(\Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r; n_1, \dots, n_r)) = \lceil \frac{\prod (n_i + d_i)}{(\sum n_i) + 1} \rceil$$

Using the notations in [AOP] we define

$$s(\Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r; n_1, \dots, n_r)) := \lfloor \frac{\prod (n_i + d_i)}{(\sum n_i) + 1} \rfloor.$$

For simplicity in the case $n_1 = \dots = n_r = n$ and $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 1$ we set

$$s_n^r := s(\Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r; n_1, \dots, n_r))$$

The variety $\mathbb{G}(k, n)$ is the Grassmannian parameterizing k -planes in \mathbb{P}^n embedded in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^{k+1} V)$ via the Plücker embedding. The expected generic rank is

$$gr(\mathbb{G}(k, n)) = \lceil \frac{\binom{n+1}{k+1}}{(n-k)(k+1) + 1} \rceil$$

Remark 25. Note that we always have

$$s(\Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r; n_1, \dots, n_r)) \geq gr(\Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r; n_1, \dots, n_r)) - 1$$

and equality occurs only when $\frac{\prod (n_i + d_i)}{(\sum n_i) + 1}$ is not an integer. In particular for any $h < s(X)$ we have $\text{Sec}_h(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$.

The defectivity of Segre and Segre-Veronese varieties is in general very far from being completely understood, [AOP] [AB] [AMR], but it is in better shape than their identifiability. For the latter the best asymptotic bounds we are aware of is in [BBC].

We start proving the theorem in the introduction.

Theorem 26. *Let $X = X_1^k \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^k$. Then X is not h -twd and hence h -identifiable in the following range:*

- $(k, h) = (2, 1), (3, 2), (4, 2), (5, 4), (6, 9),$
- $k \geq 7 \quad h < s(X)$

Proof. For $k \leq 5$ this is well known, and can be easily checked also via a direct computation with commutative algebra software. For $k = 6$ this has been checked in [BC] by a computer aided computation. Let us fix $k \geq 7$. By [CGG, Theorem 4.1] X is never defective. In particular the morphism π_h^X is generically finite for $h \leq s_1^k$. When $k \geq 7$ we have

$$2 \dim X = 2k < \frac{2^k}{k+1} - 1 < s_1^k,$$

then we can apply Corollary 22. □

Remark 27. The Theorem answers positively Conjecture 1.2 in [BC] when the generic rank is an integer, that is $\frac{2^k}{k+1} \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \leq 6$ the one listed are the only identifiable cases.

For 3-factors Segre we plug [CO] directly in Theorem 18 to get the following.

Theorem 28. *Let $X = X_n^3$. Then X is h -identifiable for $h < s(X)$.*

Proof. For $n \leq 7$ the statement is proved in [CO, Theorem 1.2]. For $n > 7$, by [Li], the variety X is not h -defective for $h \leq s_n^3$ and by the results in [CO] X is not h -twd for $h = 3n$, confront the table in [CO, Theorem 1.2]. Then we are in the condition to apply Theorem 18 recursively to prove that X is not h -twd, and hence identifiable, for $h < s_n^3$. \square

For general diagonal Segre we have a similar statement using [AOP].

Theorem 29. *Let $X = X_n^k$, with $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 4$. Let*

$$n \geq \delta(X) \equiv s_n^k \pmod{n+1}$$

Then X is not h -twd and hence h -identifiable for $h < s(X) - \delta(X)$. In particular when $\delta(X) = 0$ X is h -identifiable for all $h < s(X)$.

Proof. Using the notations in [CO, Theorem 6.7] let α be the greatest integer such that $n+1 \geq 2^\alpha$. First we prove the statement for all but finitely many cases.

Claim 1. *If*

$$(k, n) \notin \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (k, 6) \text{ with } k \leq 6, & (k, 5) \text{ with } k \leq 5, \\ (k, 4) \text{ with } k \leq 5, & (k, 3) \text{ with } k \leq 4 \end{array} \right\}$$

then X is h -identifiable for $h < s(X) - \delta(X)$

Proof. By [AOP, Theorem 5.2] we know that X is not h -defective as long as $h \leq s(X) - \delta(X)$. The variety X_n^k is not h -twd for

$$h \leq 2^{(k-1)\alpha - (k-1)} = 2^{(k-1)(\alpha-1)}$$

by [CO, Theorem 6.7]. Let us assume that $n \neq 2$. A short hand computation shows that

$$2^{(k-1)(\alpha-1)} > \dim(X) = kn$$

is satisfied for every (k, n) in the list. Then, using recursively Theorem 18, we conclude. \square

For the case $n = 2$ it is easy to check that the inequality

$$s_2^k = \lfloor \frac{3^k}{2k+1} \rfloor - \delta(X_2^k) > 4k = 2\dim(X_2^k)$$

is satisfied for every $k \geq 5$ and so we can conclude using Corollary 22. When $(k, n) = (6, 6), (5, 6)$ we have the inequalities

$$s_6^6 - \delta(X_6^6) > 2 \cdot 36 = 2 \dim X_6^6$$

and

$$s_6^5 - \delta(X_6^5) > 2 \cdot 30 = 2 \dim X_6^5.$$

Then we conclude by Corollary 22.

For all the remaining cases we have that $(n+1)^k \leq 15000$ and we may use the computation in [COV1, Theorem 1.1] to conclude the required identifiability. \square

The next class of Segre varieties we treat in details is given by

$$X[k, n] := \mathbb{P}^k \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^{k+1}.$$

For these varieties we have

$$gr(X[k, n]) = \frac{(k+1)(n+1)^{k+1}}{(k+1)n+k+1} = (n+1)^k.$$

In particular $gr(X[k, n]) = s(X[k, n])$ is always an integer, that is $X[k, n]$ is always perfect. Thanks to this special condition we have the following.

Theorem 30. *Let $X = X[k, n]$ with n odd and $k > 1$. Then X is h -identifiable for $h < gr(X)$.*

Proof. The proof is entirely similar to that of Theorem 29. Indeed by [AOP, Theorem 5.11] we know that all these Segre are non defective. If

$$(k, n) \neq (4, 1), (3, 1), (2, 1), (2, 3), (2, 5)$$

the inequality

$$(n+1)^k > 2(k+kn+n) = 2\dim(X)$$

is satisfied and we conclude using Corollary 22. For all the exceptional cases we have

$$(k+1)(n+1)^{k+1} \leq 15000$$

hence we may apply [COV1, Theorem 1.1]. \square

Remark 31. Defective Segre are expected to be quite rare, beside the unbalanced ones, see the conjecture in [AOP]. This conjecture has been checked via a computer in many cases, [Va] [COV1]. For all these special values our argument gives identifiability confirming the numerical computation in [COV1].

Next we apply the same strategy to Segre–Veronese varieties. For this class of varieties the defectivity results are much weaker and so are our bounds. Again the special case of binary forms is in better shape. We start recalling the notation of [LP].

Definition 32. We say that $(d_1, \dots, d_r; n)$ is special if

$$(d_1, \dots, d_r; n) = (2, 2a; 2a+1), (1, 1, 2a; 2a+1), (2, 2, 2; 7), (1, 1, 1, 1; 3)$$

for $a \geq 1$. Otherwise $(d_1, \dots, d_r; n)$ is called not special.

Theorem 33. Let $X = \Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r; 1, \dots, 1)$ with $r = \dim X$. Assume that $(d_1, \dots, d_r; n)$ is not special and $r \geq 6$. Then X is h -identifiable for $h < s(X)$.

Proof. We are assuming that $(d_1, \dots, d_r; n)$ is not special. Then, by [LP, Theorem 2.1], the variety X is not h -defective for $h \leq \text{gr}(X)$. Thanks to Theorem 26 we may assume, without loss of generality that $d_1 > 1$ and we have

$$s(X) = \lfloor \frac{(d_1+1) \cdots (d_r+1)}{r+1} \rfloor \geq \frac{3 \cdot 2^{r-1}}{r+1} - 1.$$

In particular

$$\frac{3 \cdot 2^{r-1}}{r+1} - 1 > 2r = 2\dim X$$

holds for every $r \geq 6$.

The variety X is not 1-twd and so we conclude by Corollary 22. \square

For general Segre–Veronese we have the following.

Theorem 34. Let $X := \Sigma(d_1, \dots, d_r, n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be the Segre–Veronese variety. Assume $r \geq 2$,

$$n_1^{\lfloor \log_2(d-1) \rfloor} \geq 2(n_1 + \dots + n_r),$$

and set $d = d_1 + \dots + d_r$. Then X is h -identifiable for $h \leq n_1^{\lfloor \log_2(d-1) \rfloor} - 1$.

Proof. By [AMR, Theorem 1.1] X is not h -defective for

$$h \leq n_1^{\lfloor \log_2(d-1) \rfloor} - (n_1 + \dots + n_r) + 1.$$

In our numerical assumptions $\text{Sec}_h(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$ and we may assume

$$h \geq 2 \dim X.$$

Then we conclude by Corollary 22. \square

Remark 35. For the Veronese variety of \mathbb{P}^n , that is $\Sigma(d_1, n_1)$ it is easy, via Corollary 22 and [AH], to reprove the identifiability results in [Me] and [COV2].

As in the Segre case, for special classes of Segre–Veronese there are better non defectivity results. Here we recall the notation in [AB]. Let $X := \sum(1, 2; m, n)$ be the Segre–Veronese variety $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ embedded by $\mathcal{O}(1, 2)$ in \mathbb{P}^N where

$$N = (m+1)\binom{n+2}{2} - 1$$

Let

$$r(m, n) = \begin{cases} m^3 - 2m & \text{if } m \text{ even and } n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{(m-2)(m+1)^2}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$s(X) = \lfloor \frac{(m+1)\binom{n+2}{2}}{m+n+1} \rfloor$$

the meaningful numbers of X . With this in mind we have the following.

Corollary 36. *Let $X = \sum(1, 2; m, n)$. If $n > r(m, n)$ and*

$$\lfloor \frac{(m+1)\binom{n+2}{2}}{m+n+1} \rfloor \geq 2(m+n)$$

then X is not h -twd and hence h -identifiable for $h < s(X)$.

Proof. In our range X is not h -defective by [AB, Theorem 1.1] and $\text{Sec}_h(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$. Moreover

$$s(X) = \lfloor \frac{(m+1)\binom{n+2}{2}}{m+n+1} \rfloor \geq 2(m+n) = 2 \dim X$$

and we may apply Corollary 22 to conclude. \square

Let us consider now the case of $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ embedded with $\mathcal{O}(1, d)$ for $d \geq 3$.

Corollary 37. *Let $X = \sum(1, d; m, n)$ with $d \geq 3$ and $m, n \geq 1$. Let*

$$s(X) = \max \left\{ s \in \mathbb{N} \mid s \text{ is a multiple of } (m+1) \text{ and } s \leq \lfloor \frac{(m+1)\binom{n+d}{d}}{m+n+1} \rfloor \right\}$$

If $s(X) > 2(m+n)$ then X is not h -twd and hence h -identifiable for $h < s(X)$.

Proof. By [BCC, Theorem 2.3] X is not h -defective for $h \leq s(X)$ and $\text{Sec}_h(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^{(m+1)\binom{n+d}{d}-1}$.

X is smooth, in particular it is not 1-twd. Since

$$s(X) > 2(m+n) = 2 \dim(X)$$

we can apply Corollary 22 to conclude. \square

Remark 38. Similar statements about subgeneric identifiability of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ embedded with $\mathcal{O}(a, b)$ can be derived applying Corollary 22 using the non defectivity results in [BBC1].

Finally we consider Grassmannian varieties. For this class of tensor spaces very few is known about identifiability. To the best of our knowledge the following is the first non computer aided result for them.

Theorem 39. *Let $X = \mathbb{G}(k, n)$ such that $2k+1 \leq n$. Assume that*

$$\lfloor \left(\frac{n+1}{k+1} \right)^{\lfloor \log_2(k) \rfloor} \rfloor \geq 2(n-k)(k+1)$$

. Then X is h -identifiable for

$$h \leq \left(\frac{n+1}{k+1} \right)^{\lfloor \log_2(k) \rfloor} - 1$$

Proof. By [MR, Theorem 5.4] in our numerical range X is not h -defective and $\text{Sec}_h(X) \subsetneq \mathbb{P}^N$. Then we conclude by Corollary 22. \square

The technique we developed can be applied to many other classes of varieties, once it is known their defectivity behavior. As a sample we conclude with the following example.

Example 40. C. Améndola, J.-C. Faugre, K. Ranestad and B. Sturmfels in [AFS] and [ARS] studied the Gaussian moment variety

$$\mathcal{G}_{1,d} \subset \mathbb{P}^d$$

whose points are the vectors of all moments of degree $\leq d$ of a 1-dimensional Gaussian distribution. They proved that $\mathcal{G}_{1,d}$ is a surface for every d and $\text{Sec}_h(\mathcal{G}_{1,d})$ has always the expected dimension. In [BBC, Example 5.8] it is shown that $\mathcal{G}_{1,d}$ is not uniruled by lines, in particular it is not 1-twd. As usual let

$$s(\mathcal{G}_{1,d}) = \lfloor \frac{d+1}{3} \rfloor \geq \text{gr}(\mathcal{G}_{1,d}) - 1$$

Then by Corollary 22 $\mathcal{G}_{1,d}$ is h -identifiable, for $h < s(\mathcal{G}_{1,d})$ when $d \geq 14$.

REFERENCES

- [AB] Abo, H; Brambilla, M.C. *Secant Varieties of Segre-Veronese Varieties $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ Embedded by $\mathcal{O}(1,2)$* Experiment. Math. 18 (2009), no. 3, 369-384.
- [AOP] Abo, H; Ottaviani, G; Peterson, C *Induction for secant varieties of Segre varieties* Transactions of the AMS 361 (2009), no. 2, 767-792.
- [AH] Alexander, J.; Hirschowitz, A. *The blown-up Horace method: application to fourth-order interpolation* Invent. Math. **107** (1992), no. 3, 585-602.
- [AFS] Améndola, C; Faugre J.-C.; Sturmfels, B *Moment varieties of Gaussian mixtures* J. Algebr. Stat. 7 (2016), 1428.
- [ARS] Améndola, C; Ranestad, K; Sturmfels, B *Algebraic Identifiability of Gaussian Mixtures* Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnx090 (2016, to appear) Preprint
- [AMR] Araujo, C; Massarenti, A; Rischter, R *On non-secant defectivity of Segre-Veronese varieties* Transactions of the AMS 371 (2019), no.4, 2255-2278.
- [BBC] Ballico, E; Bernardi, A; Chiantini, L *On the dimension of contact loci and the identifiability of tensors* Ark. Mat. 56 (2018), no. 2, 265-283.
- [BBC1] Ballico, E; Bernardi, A; Catalisano, M.V. *Higher secant varieties of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1$ embedded in bi-degree (a,b)* Comm. Algebra 40 (2012), 3822-3840
- [BDdG] Baur, K.; Draisma, J. ; de Graaf, W., *Secant dimensions of minimal orbits: computations and conjectures*, Exp. Math. **16** (2007), 239-250.
- [BCC] Bernardi, A; Carlini, E; Catalisano, M.V. *Higher secant varieties of $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ embedded in bi-degree $(1,d)$* Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 215 (2011), no. 12, 2853-2858
- [BV] Bernardi, A.; Vanzo, D. *A new class of non-identifiable skew-symmetric tensors* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **197** (2018), no. 5, 1499-1510.
- [BC] Bocci, C.; Chiantini, L. *On the identifiability of binary Segre products* J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 1, 1-11.
- [BCO] Bocci, C.; Chiantini, L.; Ottaviani, G. *Refined methods for the identifiability of tensors* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **193** (2014), no. 6, 1691-1702.
- [BO] Boralevi, A; *A note on secants of Grassmannians* Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Universit di Trieste, Volume 1 (2013)
- [CGG] Catalisano, M.V.; Geramita, A.; Gimigliano, A. *Secant varieties of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ are not defective for $n \geq 5$* . J. Algebraic Geom. 20 (2011), no. 2, 295-327.
- [Ch] Chiantini, L. private communication.
- [CC02] Chiantini, L., Ciliberto, C.: *Weakly defective varieties* Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **354**, 151-178 (2002)
- [CC10] Chiantini, L. Ciliberto C.: *On the dimension of secant varieties*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 12 (2010), no. 5, 1267-1291.
- [CMO] Chiantini, L.; Mella, M.; Ottaviani, G. *One example of general unidentifiable tensors* J. Algebr. Stat. **5** (2014), no. 1, 64-71.
- [CO] Chiantini, L., Ottaviani, G.: *On generic identifiability of 3-tensors of small rank* SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **33**, 1018-1037 (2012)

- [COV1] Chiantini, L., Ottaviani, G., Vannieuwenhoven, N.: *An algorithm for generic and low-rank specific identifiability of complex tensors* SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **35**, 1265-1287 (2014)
- [COV2] Chiantini, L.; Ottaviani, G.; Vannieuwenhoven, N. *On generic identifiability of symmetric tensors of subgeneric rank* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 6, 4021-4042.
- [DDL1] Domanov, I.; De Lathauwer, L., *On the uniqueness of the canonical polyadic decomposition of third-order tensors Part I: Basic results and uniqueness of one factor matrix*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **34** (2013), 855875.
- [DDL2] Domanov, I.; De Lathauwer, L., *On the uniqueness of the canonical polyadic decomposition of third-order tensors Part II: Uniqueness of the overall decomposition*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **34** (2013), 876903.
- [DDL3] Domanov, I.; De Lathauwer, L., *Generic uniqueness conditions for the canonical polyadic decomposition and INDSCAL*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **36** (2015), 15671589.
- [GH] Griffiths, P.; Harris, J. *Algebraic geometry and local differential geometry* Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 3, 355-452.
- [KADL] Karfoul, A; Albera, L.; De Lathauwer, L. *Iterative methods for the canonical decomposition of multi-way arrays: Application to blind underdetermined mixture identification* Signal Processing **91**, Issue 8 2011, 1789-1802
- [Kr] Kruskal, J. B., *Three-way arrays: rank and uniqueness of trilinear decompositions, with application to arithmetic complexity and statistics*, Linear Algebra Appl. **18** (1977), 95138.
- [LP] Laface, A.; Postinghel, E. *Secant varieties of Segre-Veronese embeddings of $(\mathbb{P}^1)^r$* eprint arXiv:1105.2136, 2011
- [Li] Lickteig, T. *Typical tensorial rank* Linear Algebra Appl. **69** (1985) 95-120.
- [MR] Massarenti, A; Rischter, R *Non-secant defectivity via osculating projections* Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Vol XIX, Issue 1 (2019), 1-34.
- [Me] Mella, M. *Singularities of linear systems and the Waring problem* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 12, 5523-5538.
- [Si] Sidiropoulos, N. D; Bro, R., *On the uniqueness of multilinear decomposition of N-way arrays*, J. Chemom. **14** (2000), 229239.
- [Va] Vannieuwenhoven, N. *A randomized algorithm for testing nonsingularity of structured matrices with an application to asserting nondefectivity of Segre varieties*, IMA J Numer. Anal. (2014), 1-34

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI FERRARA, VIA MACHIAVELLI
35, 44121 FERRARA, ITALY

E-mail address: `csrlxa@unife.it`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI FERRARA, VIA MACHIAVELLI
35, 44121 FERRARA, ITALY

E-mail address: `mll@unife.it`