NON-ALGEBRAIC DEFORMATIONS OF FLAT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

VASYL ROGOV

Abstract. Let $X$ be a compact Kähler manifold with vanishing Riemann curvature. We prove that there exists a manifold $X'$, deformation equivalent to $X$, which is not an analytification of any projective variety, if and only if $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) \neq 0$. Using this, we recover a recent theorem of Catanese and Demleitner, which states that a rigid smooth quotient of a complex torus is always projective.

We also produce many examples of non-algebraic flat Kähler manifolds with vanishing first Betti number.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Any smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$ can be viewed as a compact Kähler manifold. However, the world of Kähler geometry is noticeably larger than the world of complex projective geometry. There exist holomorphic deformations of projective varieties which cannot be holomorphically embedded into any complex projective space. Moreover, Claire Voisin has constructed examples of compact Kähler manifolds, which are not homeomorphic to any projective variety ([Vois]).

Let us say that a complex structure is algebraic, or, more generally, that a complex manifold is algebraic, if it can be obtained as analytification of a smooth projective variety. The list of manifolds which admit both algebraic and non-algebraic complex structures includes K3-surfaces, hyperkähler manifolds, complex tori and some other examples. For complex tori it is well-known ([BL], [Sh]) that in every dimension $n > 1$ a very general $n$-dimensional complex torus is non-algebraic. As it follows from Barlet’s theorems on semi-continuity of algebraic dimension ([Bar]), if there exists at least one non-algebraic complex structure in a given deformation class, then a very general member of this family is non-algebraic.

The aim of this article is to study existence of non-algebraic deformations of complex manifolds admitting flat Kähler metric. Such manifolds are natural generalisations of complex tori, and, as follows from Bieberbach’s theory of crystallographic groups (see subsection 1.1), every flat Kähler manifold $X$ is isomorphic to a quotient $T/G$ for a complex torus $T$ and a finite group $G$ acting on $T$ holomorphically and free.

Observe that in this case $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(T, \mathbb{Q})^G$ and the isomorphism is compatible with Hodge decomposition.

In general, there might be no non-trivial holomorphic 2-forms on $X$. This gives an obvious obstruction for $X$ to admit a non-algebraic deformation. If $H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) = H^{2,0}(X) = 0$, the same holds for any deformation $X'$ of $X$, since Hodge numbers are constant in flat smooth families. The cone of Kähler classes is open inside $H^2(X', \mathbb{R}) = H^2(X', \mathbb{R}) \cap H^{1,1}(X')$ and we’re able to find a rational Kähler class. By Kodaira embedding theorem this realises $X'$ as a subvariety in $\mathbb{CP}^N$.
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In the Theorem 2.1 we show that this is indeed the only obstruction for a flat Kähler manifold to admit a non-algebraic deformations: if \( H^0(X, \Omega^2) \neq 0 \), there exists a family of flat Kähler manifolds over a disc (in fact, over a projective line) with special fibre isomorphic to \( X \) and very general fibre being non-algebraic (see Section 2 for the precise statement).

We would also like to mention another motivation for our work, which comes from Amerik-Rovinsky-Van de Ven conjecture. In their article [ARVdV] these authors conjectured that the second Betti number of any compact flat Kähler manifold is at least two. Observe that any compact Kähler manifold has \( b_2 > 0 \) and if \( b_2 = 1 \), this manifold automatically admits a Kähler form with integral cohomology class and therefore is algebraic.

The paper is organised in the following way: at first we explain the main properties of compact flat Kähler manifolds and give some examples of those. In the Section 2 we give the precise formulation for our main theorem (Theorem 2.1). Then we recall some heuristics coming from hyperkähler geometry, prove a pair of lemmas from linear algebra, and deduce the main theorem. As a corollary we obtain a new proof of the Catanese-Demleitner theorem ([CD+C]).

Finally, in the Section 3 we describe a construction for flat Kähler manifolds which admit holomorphic symplectic form and have vanishing first Betti number. Together with the main theorem this gives many examples of non-algebraic flat Kähler manifolds with \( b_1 = 0 \). This is of independent interest, since explicit examples of such manifolds doesn’t seem to be present in the literature.
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1.1. Flat Kähler manifolds. Recall that a crystallographic group is a discrete subgroup \( \Gamma \subset \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) of isometries of Euclidean space, such that \( \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma \) is compact. The study of such groups was initiated by Evgraf Fedorov [Fed]. Modern theory of crystallographic groups is based on the following theorem of Ludwig Bieberbach ([Bieb]):

**Theorem 1.1** (Bieberbach). A finitely generated group \( \Gamma \) can be realised as a crystallographic group in \( \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) if and only if \( \Gamma \) contains a normal free abelian subgroup of finite index. This subgroup acts on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) by translations. For a given \( n \) there exist only finite number of such groups \( \Gamma \subset \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) up to a conjugation.

Remark: The finiteness result in fact gives a partial solution for Hilbert’s 18th problem, which originally motivated Bieberbach in his studies. The finite group \( G = \Gamma/\mathbb{Z}^n \) is often called the rotation group of \( \Gamma \).

**Lemma 1.2.** Let \( M \) be a compact manifold of dimension \( n \). The following conditions are equivalent:

1. \( M \) admits a Riemannian metric \( g \), such that the Riemann curvature tensor \( R_g \) vanishes everywhere;
2. \( M = \mathbb{R}^n/\Gamma \) for some torsion-free crystallographic group \( \Gamma \subset \text{Iso}(\mathbb{R}^n) \);
3. \( M = T/G \), where \( T = (S^1)^n \) is a torus and \( G \) is a finite group which acts on \( T \) freely.

The proof can be founded e.g. in [Szcz].

In this article we are using the complex version of the same theory. Let \( \text{Iso}_\mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}^n) \) be the group of biholomorphisms of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) preserving the standard Hermitian metric. A complex
1.2. Examples. We finish this section by giving a number of examples of flat Kähler manifolds:

1. The obvious ones are just complex tori. The first non-trivial example arises in complex dimension 2. These are the so-called bielliptic surfaces. They are constructed as follows. Let $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where $n \in \{2, 4, 6\}$. Take two elliptic curves $E_1$ and $E_2$, such that the group of automorphisms of $E_1$ preserving the origin is isomorphic to $G$. Pick an $n$-torsion element $\tau \in E_2$. The group $G$ acts on $E_1 \times E_2$ by the formula

$$ [m] \cdot (x, y) = ([m] \cdot x, y + m\tau) $$

(here $m$ is an integer and $[m]$ denotes its class in $G$). This action is free and the quotient surface $S = (E_1 \times E_2) / G$ admits a flat Kähler metric.

2. Observe that one can apply a similar construction from the previous example for any pair of complex tori $T_1$ and $T_2$, and get a flat Kähler manifold

$$ X := (T_1 \times T_2) / (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), $$

where the group acts as multiplication by $(-1)$ on $T_1$ and as translation by a 2-torsion element on $T_2$.

Sometimes $T_1$ admits a (group) automorphism of order $d > 2$, and then one can use the same construction to obtain a free action of $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ on $T_1 \times T_2$. The quotients are called Bagnera - de Franchis varieties (see [BCF]). Of course, if $T_1$
and $T_2$ are non-algebraic, then $X = (T_1 \times T_2)/(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})$ is also non-algebraic. This is a general situation for flat Kähler manifolds with $b_1 \neq 0$, thus for our purposes flat Kähler manifolds with trivial first cohomology are of the main interest.

(3) In [DHS] many examples of flat Kähler manifolds are given. One can also find there a complete list of deformation types of 3-dimensional flat Kähler manifolds with their Hodge and Betti numbers. For example, one can find there a 3-dimensional flat Kähler manifold with trivial first cohomology and rotation group isomorphic to the dihedral group $D_8$. There is also a family of $n$-dimensional flat Kähler manifolds with vanishing first Betti number and rotation group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$, the so-called Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds ([DHS], [HI]). Both Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds and flat Kähler $D_8$-threefolds possess no non-zero holomorphic 2-forms. Therefore, as we’ve explained in the introduction, they are always algebraic.

A priori this is non clear if there exist non-algebraic flat Kähler manifolds. In the end of this paper (Section 3) we give a general construction which starts with a flat Kähler manifold with $b_1 = 0$ and produces a new flat Kähler manifold, which also has $b_1 = 0$ and admits a non-degenerate holomorphic 2-form. As it follows from Theorem 2.1, such manifolds can be deformed into non-algebraic.

Finally, we would like to emphasize, that Bieberbach’s theorem (Theorem 1.1) together with Lemma 1.3. imply that there exist only finitely many topological types of flat Kähler manifolds in every dimension.

2. Non-algebraic deformations of flat Kähler manifolds with $h^{2,0} \neq 0$.

In this section we prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $X$ be a compact complex manifold admitting a flat Kähler metric. Assume that $H^0(X, \Omega^2) \neq 0$. Then there exists a flat smooth holomorphic family $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$, such that

- All the fibres $X_t := \pi^{-1}(t)$ also admit flat Kähler metric.
- $\pi^{-1}(0) \cong X$.
- The set $R := \{t \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \mid X_t \text{ is algebraic}\}$ is at most countable.

2.1. Twistor spaces: classical theory. Let us first of all explain the heuristics that stays behind our theorem. It comes from the theory of hyperkähler manifolds. Recall that a hyperkähler manifold is a quadruple $(M, g, I, J)$, where $(M, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold and $I$ and $J$ are integrable complex structures, which anticommute and both are Kähler with respect to $g$. Together $I$ and $J$ generate an action of the algebra of quaternions on the tangent bundle of $M$.

If $\omega_I$ and $\omega_J$ are the $g$-Hermitian forms of $I$ and $J$, the form $\sigma_I := \omega_I + \sqrt{-1}\omega_J$ is a holomorphic symplectic form on $(M, I)$. Vice versa, if $(M, I)$ is a complex manifold of Kähler type which admits a holomorphic non-degenerate 2 form, there exist such $g$ and $J$, that $(M, g, I, J)$ is a hyperkähler manifold (see e.g. [Beau])

A holomorphically symplectic manifold is said to be irreducible holomorphic symplectic if the space of holomorphic 2-forms on it is generated by symplectic form. The Beauville-Bogomolov decomposition theorem ([Beau]) implies that any hyperkähler manifold, up to finite covering, is isomorphic to a product of irreducible ones and a complex torus.

Consider the set of purely imaginary quaternions $q$ which satisfy $q^2 = -1$. This naturally forms a 2-dimensional sphere $S^2$ inside $\mathbb{H}$ which we immediately identify with

---

1 In the literature $(M, I)$ is often assumed to be irreducible holomorphic symplectic and simply connected. A more general version of this statement follows from Beaville-Bogomolov decomposition theorem and some equivariant techniques which we describe below.
\( \mathbb{C}P^1 \). For each \( q \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \) one has the corresponding almost complex structure \( I_q \) on a hyperkähler manifold \( M \).

It is straightforward that all \( I_q \) are integrable and Kähler with respect to \( g \).

Consider the product \( M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \) with the almost complex structure \( I_{tw} \) defined by the following rule: in a point \((m, q) \in M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \) the operator \( I_{tw} \) is equal to \( I_q \oplus J_0 \), where \( J_0 \) is the standard complex structure on \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \). The complex manifold \( Tw(M) := (M \times \mathbb{C}P^1, I_{tw}) \) is called the twistor space of \( M \).

**Theorem 2.2.** (1) The complex structure \( I_{tw} \) is integrable and the natural projection \( Tw(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 \) is holomorphic .

(2) Assume that \( M \) is compact and irreducible holomorphic symplectic. The set

\[
R := \{ q \in \mathbb{C}P^1 | M_q \text{ is algebraic} \}
\]

is at most countable.

**Proof:** See [K] for the first statement and [Fu], [Ver] for the second. \( \square \)

One would like to say that the Theorem 2.2 immediately implies the Theorem 2.1 in case when \( X \) admits a holomorphic symplectic form. Unfortunately, even if a flat Kähler manifold is holomorphically symplectic, it is usually not irreducible holomorphic symplectic. This can be overruled by introducing equivariant versions of some classical linear algebraic arguments, which we do in the next subsection.

What seems to be a more serious problem is that \( X \) might carry only degenerate holomorphic 2-forms. Our plan is to take any non-zero holomorphic 2-form on \( X \) and, using the flatness assumption, imitate the twistor family in the direction, in which this form is degenerate, without changing the holomorphic structure on the kernel of this form.

### 2.2. Equivariant \( \mathbb{C} \)-symplectic linear algebra.

Now we are going to describe some linear algebraic constructions relating complex symplectic structures to Hermitian quaternionic structures. This is rather classical, but we will need an equivariant version of this construction.

Fix the following notation. Let \( V \) be a real vector space with complex structure operator \( I : V \rightarrow V, I^2 = -1 \). Let \( \sigma \in \Lambda^{2,0}V^\ast \) be a complex non-degenerate form of \((2,0)\)-type with respect to \( I \). A compatible hyper-Hermitian structure on \( V \) is a pair \((g, J)\), where \( J \) is an automorphism of \( V \) satisfying \( J^2 = -1 \) and \( JI = -IJ \), and \( g \) is a positive scalar product on \( V \), Hermitian with respect to both \( I \) and \( J \). Observe that in this case the algebra generated by \( I \) and \( J \) is isomorphic to quaternions.

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that a finite group \( G \) acts on \( V \) linearly, commuting with \( I \) and preserving \( \sigma \). Then there exists a \( G \)-equivariant compatible hyper-Hermitian structure (that is, both \( g \) and \( J \) can be chosen to be \( G \)-invariant).

**Proof:** Step 1. Denote by \( \sigma_1 \) the real part of \( \sigma \) and let \( h_1 \) be any \( G \)-invariant \( I \)-Hermitian metric on \( V \). Consider the operator \( A = h_1^{-1} \circ \sigma_1 : V \rightarrow V^\ast \rightarrow V \). In other words, \( A \) is defined by the property

\[
h_1(Ax, -) = \sigma_1(x, -).
\]

Of course, \( A \) is invertible and commutes with every element in \( G \). Observe also, that \( A \) anticommutates with \( I \). Indeed,

\[
h_1(IAx, y) = -h_1(Ax, Iy) = -\sqrt{-1}\sigma_1(x, y),
\]

while

\[
h_1(AIx, y) = \sigma_1(Ix, y) = \sqrt{-1}\sigma_1(x, y).
\]
Step 2. Since $\sigma_1$ is skew-symmetric, we see that $A$ is also skew-symmetric (with respect to $h_1$), thus $A^2$ is symmetric. Moreover, $h_1(A^2, x, x) = -h_1(Ax, Ax, x)$, so $A^2$ is negative definite. Therefore $A^2$ can be diagonalized in an $h_1$-orthonormal basis:

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_3 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \alpha_n
\end{pmatrix}$$

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_{<0}$. Consider a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ which satisfies $P(\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\alpha_i}}$ and denote $S := P(A^2)$. By construction, it is a symmetric positive operator, which commutes both with $A$ and with elements from $G$. We also have $(AS)^2 = -1_V$.

Since $A$ anticommutes with $I$ and $S$ is a polynomial of even degree in $A$, the operator $S$ commutes with $I$.

Step 3 Define $g(x, y) := h_1(Sx, y)$ and $J := AS$. It is clear that both $g$ and $J$ are $G$-invariant and that $J$ is an operator of almost complex structure.

Since $S$ commutes with $I$, the metric $g$ is $I$-Hermitian. What is left to check is that $J$ is $g$-orthogonal and anticommutes with $I$.

The first property follows from an explicit computation, which uses the fact that $A$ is skew-symmetric and $S$ is symmetric. The second follows from

$$g(IJx, y) = g(Jx, -Iy) = h_1(AS^2x, -Iy) = \sigma_1(S^2x, -Iy) = -\sqrt{-1}\sigma_1(S^2x, y),$$

while

$$g(JIx, y) = g(Ix, -Jy) = h_1(AS^2y, -Ix) = \sigma_1(S^2y, -Ix) = \sigma_1(-Ix, S^2y) = \sqrt{-1}\sigma_1(S^2x, y).$$

Here we used the fact that $\sigma_1(S^2x, y) = h_1(AS^2x, y) = h_1(Ax, S^2y) = \sigma_1(x, S^2y)$, and, what is more important, the fact that $\sigma_1$ is a real part of $(2, 0)$-symplectic form with respect to $I$.}

Let us also prove the following linear algebraic proposition, which will play an important role in the proof of the main theorem:

**Proposition 2.4.** Let $U$ and $W$ be finite dimensional real vector spaces. Assume that $I$ is a complex structure operator on $U$ and $W$ is endowed with an action of the quaternionic algebra $\mathbb{H} \times W \to W$. Therefore, for every purely imaginary $q \in \mathbb{H}$ with $q^2 = -1$ we obtain a complex structure operator $I_q : W \to W$. Let $H \subset U \oplus W$ be a vector subspace of real codimension $2$, which is a complex hyperplane in $(U \oplus W, I_q := I \oplus I_q)$ for every $q \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \mathbb{H}$. Then $H = W$ (and consequently $\dim_C U = 1$).

**Proof:** Consider $H' := H \cap W$. By the assumptions this is a $\mathbb{H}$-submodule in $W$. Therefore, its real dimension is divisible by $4$. But $\text{codim}_W H' \leq \text{codim}_U H = 2$. Hence $\text{codim}_W H' = 0$ and $H' = W$. Thus, $H$ contains $W$. This is possible only if $H = W$ and $\dim_C U = 1$.

2.3. Construction of the twistor family. Starting from now, let $X$ be a compact flat Kähler manifold and $p : T \to X$ be a Galois covering by a complex torus $T$, so that $X = T/G$ for some finite group $G$. Assume that $\eta \in H^0(X, \Omega^2)$ is a non-zero holomorphic 2-form. Consider $\mathcal{E} := \ker \eta \subset TX$. This is a holomorphic subsheaf. In fact, since $p^*\eta$ is a holomorphic 2-form on $T$ and all holomorphic forms on a complex torus are invariant...
under parallel transport, this is a subbundle. Moreover, since $\eta$ is closed, $\mathcal{E}$ is integrable, that is defines a holomorphic foliation on $X$.

**Proposition 2.5.** There exist a Riemannian metric $g$ on $X$, a family of complex structures $J_q$ on $X$, parametrised by $\mathbb{CP}^1$, and an integrable subbundle $\mathcal{F} \subset TX$, such that:

1. The metric $g$ is flat;
2. all the complex structures $J_q$ are integrable and Kähler with respect to $g$;
3. the subbundles $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are holomorphic with respect to $J_q$ for any $q$;
4. $TX = \mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F}$ as holomorphic bundle and $\mathcal{F} \perp_q \mathcal{E}$.

**Proof:** Denote by $V$ the (real) tangent space to the origin in $T$. There is a complex structure operator $I$ on $V$. As usually, we can identify $V$ with $H_1(T, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $V$ is naturally endowed with a complex-linear action of $G$. Every $G$-invariant tensor on $V$ defines a homogenous $G$-invariant tensor on $T$, which descends to $X = T/G$.

Let $\eta_0 := (p^*\eta)(0) \in \Lambda^2_{\mathbb{R}}V^*$ and $E = (p^*\mathcal{E})_0 = \text{Ker} \eta_0$. Since $\eta_0$ is a $G$-invariant complex linear form, $E$ is a $G$-invariant subspace of $V$, preserved by $I$. Since $G$ is finite, we can find a $G$-invariant $I$-complex complement $F \subset V$, so that $V = E \oplus F$ as complex representations of $G$. The form $\eta_0$ restricts to a non-degenerate form on $F$. By Lemma 2.3 there exists a $G$-invariant compatible hyper - Hermitian structure on $F$. Let $g_F$ be the corresponding (hyper-)Hermitian metric on $F$ and $(I_q)_{q \in \mathbb{CP}^1}$ be the associated family of complex structure operators.

Take a $G$-invariant $I$-Hermitian metric $g_E$ on $E$ and put $\tilde{g} := g_E \oplus g_F$ and $\tilde{J}_q := I|_E \oplus I_q$. As we have explained above, the metric $\tilde{g}$ induces a metric $\tilde{g}$ on $X$, which lifts to a homogeneous metric on $T$. Similarly, the family of operators $\tilde{J}_q$ induce a family of $g$-orthogonal almost complex structures $J_q$ on $X$, which are obtained by descending $G$-invariant homogeneous complex structures on $T$. Finally, $F$ induces a homogenous $G$-invariant subbundle on $T$, which descends to a subbundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$. This foliation is clearly preserved by every $J_q$ and its fibres are $g$-orthogonal complements to the fibres of $\mathcal{E}$ in each point.

Now we need to check the properties listed above. Since all of them are local, it is sufficient to check them for the pre-images of this objects on $T$. There exists a flat torsion-free connection $\nabla$ on $T$, which preserves all homogenous tensors. Therefore $\nabla p^*g = 0$ and $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $G$. In particular, $p^*g$ is flat. Because $\nabla$ is torsion-free and preserves $\mathcal{F}$, this subbundle is integrable. From $\nabla J_q = 0$ for every $q$, we deduce (2). The properties (3) and (4) follow from the construction.

Now we are able to define $\mathcal{X}$ as the “twistor space” of $(\mathbb{X}, g, J_q)$, that is, an almost complex manifold, isomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times X$ as a smooth manifold, and endowed with the almost complex structure

$$(I_{tw})_{(q, x)} = I_0 \oplus J_q,$$

where $I_0$ is the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{CP}^1$.

**Proposition 2.6.** The almost complex structure $I_{tw}$ is integrable.

**Proof:** Since integrability of the complex structure is a local property, we can prove it for a universal covering $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$. It is isomorphic to $E \times Tw(F)$, where $E$ and $F$ are complex vector spaces as above and $F$ is endowed with the hyperhermitian structure $(g, J_q)$. The classical twistor space $Tw(F)$ is a complex manifold by 2.2, [K].

### 2.4. SU(2)-action

The group generated by unitary imaginary quaternions is isomorphic to SU(2). Therefore, if $X$ is a flat Kähler manifold endowed with a non-zero holomorphic
2-form, we get a SU(2)-action on the tangent bundle of $X$. In the notations of the previous subsection it is generated by the operators $(\text{Id}_E \otimes I_q)_{q \in \mathbb{CP}^1}$.

By linearity this actions extends to differential forms on $X$.

**Proposition 2.7.** The defined above SU(2)-action descends to an action by algebra automorphisms on cohomology. This action preserves the intersection form.

**Proof:** Each cohomology class on $X$ can be uniquely represented by a harmonic form. The metric $g$ is locally homogenous, thus harmonic forms on $X$ are the same as $G$-invariant homogenous forms on $T$. This is clearly a SU(2)-invariant subalgebra inside de Rham algebra, hence this action descends to cohomology.

By construction this action preserves the metric $g$, thus it also preserves the associated volume form and the Poincaré pairing. □

**Proposition 2.8.** Let $\alpha \in H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\alpha \in H^{p,p}(X_q)$ for every $q \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ if and only if it is SU(2)-invariant.

**Proof:** Each complex structure $J_q$ generates an U(1)-action on the tangent bundle of $X$ which descends to cohomology. The condition $\alpha \in H^{p,p}(X_q)$ means that $\alpha$ is U(1)-invariant for every $q \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. But a vector is invariant under a representation of a compact Lie group if and only if it is invariant under every its 1-parameter subgroup. □

2.5. Non-algebraic points in twistor family. Let us now proof the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.9.** Let $D \subset X$ be an immersed submanifold of (real) codimension 2. Assume that $D$ is holomorphic for every complex structure $J_q$. Then the divisor $[D]$ is not ample in $X_q$ for any $q$.

**Proof:** Take any smooth point $x \in D$ and apply the Proposition 2.4 for $W := F|_x$ and $U := E|_x$ and $H := T_xD \subset U \oplus W = T_xX$. We see that $T_xD = F|_x$, hence it $D$ coincides with a leaf of the foliation, defined by $F$.

Assume that $D \subset X_q$ is ample for some $q$. Let $g_E$ be the restriction of the metric $g$ on $E$ and $\omega_E$ be its Hermitian form on $X_q$. This is a non-negative closed $(1,1)$-form, which is positive on $E$ and its kernel coincides with $F$. In particular, $\omega_E$ restricts on $D$ by 0 and

$$\int_{X_q} \omega_E \cdot [D]^{n-1} = 0$$

But the class of $\omega_E$ is nef and $D$ cannot be ample. □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem:

**Proof of the Theorem 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a “twistor space” as above. By construction this is a complex manifold, which admits a holomorphic topologically trivial fibration $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$. For every $q \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ the fibre $X_q = (X, J_q)$ admits a flat Kähler metric $g$. Moreover, $X_0 \simeq (X, I)$.

Now we want to prove, that for a very general $q \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ the fibre $X_q$ is not algebraic.

For every $\alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ define the set

$$R_\alpha := \{ q \in \mathbb{CP}^1 | \alpha \in H^{1,1}(X_q, \mathbb{Q}) \}.$$

The subsets $R_\alpha \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ are algebraic subvarieties, since they are Noether-Lefschetz loci for the (non-polarizable) variation of Hodge structures $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$. This means, that for each $\alpha$ either $R_\alpha = \mathbb{CP}^1$, or $R_\alpha$ is finite. In the former case, as follows from 2.8, $\alpha$ is SU(2)-invariant.
We claim that if \( R_\alpha = \mathbb{C}P^1 \), then for every \( q \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \) the class \( \alpha \) is not a class of a very ample divisor on \( X_q \).

Assume the opposite. With loss of generality we may assume that \( \alpha \) is a class of a very ample divisor \( D \subset X_0 \). We may also assume that \( D \) is smooth.

Recall the Wirtinger-Federer theorem (see e.g. \cite{St}): If \( Z \) is a smooth compact submanifold of dimension 2\( k \) inside a compact Kähler manifold \((X, g, \omega)\), then

\[
\int_Z \omega^k \leq \text{Vol}_q(Z),
\]

and the equality holds if and only if \( Z \subset X \) is complex analytic.

Let \( \omega_q := g(J_q, \cdot) \) be the Kähler form on \( X_q \). Let \( n = \dim X_q \). For every \( q \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \) there exists an element \( B(q) \in SU(2) \), such that \([\omega_q] = B(q) \cdot [\omega_0] \) in \( H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \). Hence

\[
\int_D \omega_q^{n-1} = \langle (\omega_q)^{n-1}, [D] \rangle = \langle B^{n-1}(q) \cdot \omega_0^{n-1}, \alpha \rangle = \langle B^{n-1}(q) \cdot \omega_0^{n-1}, B^{n-1}(q) \cdot \alpha \rangle = \int_D \omega_0^{n-1} = \text{Vol}_q(D)
\]

We deduce that \( D \) is holomorphic with respect to every complex structure \( J_q \) in our family. But this leads to a contradiction by Proposition 2.9.

Let \( \text{Amp}(X) \) be the set of \( \alpha \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) which occur as classes of a very ample divisor on \( X_q \) for at least one \( q \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \). Then the set

\[
R := \bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{Amp}(X)} R_\alpha
\]

is a countable union of finite sets, hence at most countable.

Take any point \( q \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus R \). The complex manifold \( X_q \) has no ample divisors, and thus is non-algebraic. This finishes the proof. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.10. Let \( X \) be a compact flat Kähler manifold. Then \( X \) admits a non-algebraic deformation if and only if \( H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) \neq 0 \).

We also obtain as a corollary the following theorem from [CD+C]:

Theorem 2.11 (Cataneo, Demleitner). Let \( X \) be a flat Kähler manifold. Assume that \( X \) is rigid (i.e. every holomorphic family of complex manifolds with special fibre isomorphic to \( X \) is constant). Then \( X \) is algebraic.

Proof: Assume that \( X \) is non-algebraic. Then, of course, \( h^{2,0}(X) \neq 0 \). Consider the family \( \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}P^1 \) from the Theorem 2.1. It is non-constant, because \( J_0 \) and \( J_1 \) anticommute and therefore are not conjugated by a diffeomorphism of \( X \). Hence, \( X \) is not rigid. \( \square \)

3. QUATERNIONIC DOUBLES

In this section, using the construction of quaternionic double, we prove the existence of non-algebraic flat Kähler manifolds with \( b_1 = 0 \).

We start with the following definition:

Definition 1. Let \((X, I_X)\) be a smooth complex manifold. Assume that \( X \) admits a flat connection \( \nabla \) on \( TX \), such that \( \nabla I_X = 0 \) and the monodromy action of \( \nabla \) preserves a lattice \( \Lambda_x \subset T_x X \). Consider the union of all possible parallel transports of vectors in \( \Lambda_x \) as a subset \( \Lambda \subset TX \) inside the total space of the tangent bundle. The intersection of \( \Lambda \) with each fibre of the tangent bundle is a lattice inside a real vector space, thus we can take a fibrewise quotient \( TX/\Lambda = : X_+ \). The resulting manifold is called the quaternionic double of \( X \).
This definition appears, for example, in the work of Soldatenkov and Verbitsky ([SV]). The name is motivated by the following property: the flat connection $\nabla$ splits the tangent bundle $TX_+$ into a direct sum of two copies of $TX$. One can consider three complex structures on $X_+$, written with respect to this splitting as

$$I := \begin{pmatrix} I_X & 0 \\ 0 & -I_X \end{pmatrix}; \quad J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad K := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_X \\ -I_X & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

In the same paper ([SV]) Soldatenkov and Verbitsky proved that these complex structures are integrable and satisfy quaternionic relations

$$I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1.$$ 

Moreover, as they showed, this establishes a hyperkähler structure on $X_+$ if and only if the initial flat connection $\nabla$ on $X$ was orthogonal with respect to some Hermitian metric (equivalently, $X$ is flat Kähler and $\nabla$ is its Levi-Civita connection).

**Corollary 3.1.** Let $X$ be a flat Kähler manifold. Then there exists a flat Kähler manifold $X_+$ endowed with holomorphic projection $X_+ \to X$, such that

- $X_+$ admits a holomorphic symplectic form and the fibres of the projection are Lagrangian;
- $b_1(X_+) = 2b_1(X)$.

In particular, if $X$ is a flat Kähler manifold with $b_1 = 0$, then $X_+$ is a flat Kähler manifold with $b_1 = 0$, which admits a non-algebraic deformation by the Theorem 2.1.

**Proof:** Let $T$ be a torus, which covers $X$, so that $X = T/G$. The group $G$ acts on $H_1(T, \mathbb{R})$, preserving the lattice $H_1(T, \mathbb{Z})$, and this induces a $G$-action by automorphisms on the torus $H_1(T, \mathbb{R})/H_1(T, \mathbb{Z})$. Observe, that $H_1(T, \mathbb{R})/H_1(T, \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $T$, however this new action of $G$ is different from the initial one, since it preserves the origin. Now the identification of $H_1(T, \mathbb{R})$ with a tangent space to $T$ in the origin gives rise to an identification of $H_1(T, \mathbb{R})$ with the tangent space to a point on $X$ as a $G$-module. One sees from the construction that the quaternionic double $X_+$ can be also described as

$$X_+ = \frac{T \times (H_1(T, \mathbb{R})/H_1(T, \mathbb{Z}))}{G}.$$ 

Therefore,

$$b_1(X_+) = \dim(H_1(T, \mathbb{R}) \oplus H_1(T, \mathbb{R}))^G = 2b_1(X).$$

**Remark:** Instead of taking tangent bundle in the definition of quaternionic double one might take cotangent bundle and obtain another flat Kähler manifold $X^+$. It also naturally carries a holomorphic symplectic form, which descends from the total space of the cotangent bundle, and also has $b_1(X^+) = 2b_1(X)$. Moreover, manifolds $X^+$ and $X_+$ admit holomorphic Lagrangian fibrations over the same base $X$ with fibres being dual complex tori.
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