Hybridization and correlation effects in the electronic structure of infinite-layer nickelates
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Abstract

We combine density functional theory and dynamical mean field theory to study the electronic structure of infinite-layer nickelate NdNiO\textsubscript{2}. Without considering correlation effects on Ni, we find adjacent NiO\textsubscript{2} planes are coupled by a metallic Nd spacer layer. However, the largest hybridization between Ni-\textit{d}_{\textit{x}^{2}-\textit{y}^{2}} state and itinerant electrons origins from an interstitial-\textit{s} orbital instead of Nd-\textit{d} orbitals due to a large inter-cell hopping. Correlation effects on Ni reduces the hybridization between Ni-\textit{d}_{\textit{x}^{2}-\textit{y}^{2}} state and itinerant electrons and when sufficiently strong, they can open a Mott gap separated by the lower Hubbard band of Ni-\textit{d}_{\textit{x}^{2}-\textit{y}^{2}} state and hybridization states (interstitial-\textit{s} and Nd-\textit{d} orbitals). With correlation strength increasing, antiferromagnetic ordering occurs before a metal-insulator transition. Experimentally long-range magnetic order has not been observed in NdNiO\textsubscript{2}. This places NdNiO\textsubscript{2} in a paramagnetic metallic phase in which hybridization between Ni-\textit{d}_{\textit{x}^{2}-\textit{y}^{2}} and itinerant electrons is significant and Ni correlation strength is moderate.
Since the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity in cuprates \([1]\), people have been attempting to search for superconductivity in other materials whose crystal and electronic structures are similar to those of cuprates \([2, 3]\). One of the obvious candidates is La\(_{2}\)NiO\(_4\) which is iso-structural to La\(_{2}\)CuO\(_4\) and Ni is the nearest neighbor of Cu in the periodic table. However, superconductivity has not been observed in doped La\(_{2}\)NiO\(_4\) \([4]\). This is in part due to the fact that in La\(_{2}\)NiO\(_4\), two Ni-\(e_g\) orbitals are active at the Fermi level, while in La\(_{2}\)CuO\(_4\) only Cu-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) appears at the Fermi level. Based on this argument, a series of materials engineering have appeared in the aim to realize a single orbital Fermi surface in nickelates. Those attempts started from infinite-layer nickelates \([2, 5, 6]\), to LaNiO\(_3\)/LaAlO\(_3\) superlattices \([7–10]\), to tri-component nickelate heterostructures \([11, 12]\), to reduced Ruddlesden-Popper series \([13, 14]\). Eventually, superconductivity with a transition temperature of about 15 K has recently been discovered in hole doped infinite-layer nickelate NdNiO\(_2\) \([15]\), injecting new vitality into the field of high-\(T_c\) superconductivity \([16–33]\).

However, there is an obvious difference between infinite-layer nickelate NdNiO\(_2\) and infinite-layer cuprate CaCuO\(_2\) \([16]\) in the electronic structure: in infinite-layer cuprates, only a single Cu-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) band crosses the Fermi level, while in infinite-layer nickelates, in addition to Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) band, another conduction band also crosses the Fermi level \([6, 21–23]\). Therefore it has been proposed that the itinerant electrons on the rare-earth element \(d\) orbitals can hybridize with Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital, rendering NdNiO\(_2\) an “oxide-intermetallic” compound \([20]\).

In this work, we combine density functional theory (DFT) \([1, 2]\) and dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) \([3, 4]\) to study the electronic structure of the parent compound of superconducting infinite-layer nickelates NdNiO\(_2\). We find from DFT calculations and Wannier function fitting that the non-Ni conduction electron band that crosses the Fermi level mainly consists of Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\), Nd-\(d_{xy}\) and interstitial-\(s\) orbitals. Moreover, the largest hybridization with Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) comes from interstitial-\(s\) orbital due to a large effective inter-cell hopping. However, the hybridization between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) and Nd-\(d\) orbitals is substantially weaker. Next we downfold the full electronic structure to a 4-orbital model which includes a correlated Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital and three non-interacting hybridization states (interstitial-\(s\), Nd-\(d_{xy}\) and Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) orbitals). From DFT+DMFT calculations, we find that in the paramagnetic state, with a weak Ni correlation strength, electrons on the interstitial-\(s\) orbital and Nd-\(d\) orbitals are itinerant and strongly hybridize with Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital, leading to a
three-dimensional electronic structure; sufficiently strong correlation effects on Ni can reduce the hybridization and open a Mott gap, which is separated by the lower Hubbard band of Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital and the hybridization states (interstitial-\(s\) and Nd-\(d\) orbitals). When spin polarization is allowed, we calculate the magnetic phase diagram of NdNiO\(_2\) and find that antiferromagnetic state emerges before a metal-insulator transition as the Ni correlation strength increases. Since long range magnetic order has not been observed in experiment so far [39], this places NdNiO\(_2\) in a paramagnetic metallic state, in which hybridization between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) and itinerant electrons is significant and Ni correlation strength is moderate.

The computational details of our DFT and DMFT calculations can be found in the Supplementary Materials [40].

In the panels a of Fig. 1 we show the DFT-calculated band structure and Wannier function fitting of NdNiO\(_2\) and CaCuO\(_2\), respectively. We use altogether 17 Wannier projectors to fit the DFT band structure: 5 Ni/Cu-\(d\) orbitals, 5 Nd/Ca-\(d\) orbitals, 3 O-\(p\) orbitals (for each O atom) and an interstitial-\(s\) orbital. The interstitial-\(s\) orbital is located at the position of the missing apical oxygen. Such a Wannier fitting exactly reproduces not only the band structure of the entire transition-metal and oxygen \(pd\) manifold, but also the band structure of unoccupied states about 5 eV above the Fermi level. In particular, the Ni/Cu-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) Wannier projector is highlighted by red dots in Fig. 1a1 and a2. For both compounds, Ni/Cu-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) band crosses the Fermi level. However, as we mentioned in the introduction, in addition to Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) band, another conduction band also crosses the Fermi level in NdNiO\(_2\). Using Wannier analysis, we find that the non-Ni conduction electron band is mainly composed of three orbitals: Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\), Nd-\(d_{xy}\) and interstitial-\(s\) orbital. The corresponding Wannier projectors are highlighted by dots in each sub-panel of Fig. 1b. Because all these three orbitals are located in the Nd spacer layer between adjacent NiO\(_2\) planes, if these three orbitals can hybridize with Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital, then the presence of itinerant electrons leads to a three-dimensional electronic structure [20].

However, from symmetry consideration, within the same cell the hopping between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital and any of those three orbitals (Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\), Nd-\(d_{xy}\) and interstitial-\(s\)) is exactly equal to zero [22]. Therefore hybridization between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) and itinerant electrons in that conduction band, if any, can only arise from inter-cell hopping. The direct inter-cell hopping between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) and any of those three orbitals is negligibly small. The effective hopping is via O-\(p\) orbitals. Fig. 2 shows the inter-cell hopping between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital and the other
FIG. 1. Panel a: DFT-calculated band structure and 17 Wannier functions fitting of (a1) NdNiO$_2$ and (a2) CaCuO$_2$. The thick blue lines are DFT-calculated bands and the red thin lines are bands reproduced by the Wannier functions. The red dots show the Wannier projection onto Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ and Cu-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals, respectively. Panel b: band structure reproduced by Wannier functions in an energy window close to the Fermi level. The dots show the Wannier projections onto (b1) Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital, (b2) Nd-$d_{xy}$ orbital and (b3) interstitial-$s$ orbital. The coordinates of the high-symmetry points on the $k$-path are $\Gamma(0,0,0)$-$X(0.5,0,0)$-$M(0.5,0.5,0)$-$\Gamma(0,0,0)$-$Z(0,0,0.5)$-$R(0.5,0,0.5)$-$A(0.5,0.5,0.5)$-$Z(0,0,0.5)$. The Fermi level (black dashed line) is shifted to zero energy.

three orbitals via one O-$p$ orbital. Among Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$, Nd-$d_{xy}$ and interstitial-$s$ orbitals, we find that the largest effective hopping (via one O-$p$ orbital) is that from interstitial-$s$ orbital (see Table I). The effective hopping between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and Nd-$d_{xy}$/$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital is one order of magnitude smaller because Nd atom is located at the corner of the cell, which is further from the O atom than the interstitial site is. Furthermore, the energy difference between interstitial-$s$ orbital and O-$p$ orbital is smaller than that between Nd-$d_{xy}$/$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital and O-$p$ orbital (see Table I). These two factors combined lead to the fact that interstitial-$s$ orbital has the largest hybridization with Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$, while the hybridization
FIG. 2. Inter-cell hopping from Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ (a) to interstitial-$s$ orbital, (b) to Nd-$d_{xy}$ orbital and (c) to Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital via one O-$p$ orbital.

TABLE I. The hopping $t$ and energy difference $\Delta$ between the five relevant orbitals of NdNiO$_2$ shown in Fig. 2: $d_{x^2-y^2}$ is the Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital; $p$ is the O-$p$ orbital; $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ is the Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbital; $d_{xy}$ is the Nd-$d_{xy}$ orbital; $s$ is the interstitial-$s$ orbital. The hopping and energy difference are obtained from 17 Wannier functions fitting. The unit is eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t_{pd_{x^2-y^2}}$</th>
<th>$t_{ps}$</th>
<th>$t_{pd_{xy}}$</th>
<th>$t_{pd_{3z^2-r^2}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta_{d_{x^2-y^2}p}$</td>
<td>$\Delta_{sp}$</td>
<td>$\Delta_{d_{xy}p}$</td>
<td>$\Delta_{d_{3z^2-r^2}p}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>7.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ and Nd-$d$ orbitals is secondary.

To further confirm the hybridization effects, we downfold the full band structure to a non-interacting model that is based on the above four orbitals (Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$, Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$, Nd-$d_{xy}$ and interstitial-$s$ orbitals). Eq. (1) shows the Wannier-based Hamiltonian $\langle 0 | H_0 | a_1 \rangle = H_0(a_1)$ in the matrix form (not the usual Hamiltonian $\langle 0 | H_0 | 0 \rangle = H_0(0)$). The important information is in the first row. The largest hopping is between neighboring Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals (this is due to the $\sigma$ bond between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ and O-$p_x/p_y$ orbitals). However, the hopping between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ and interstitial-$s$ orbital is comparable to the largest hopping. By contrast, the hopping between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and Nd-$d_{xy}$ ($d_{3z^2-r^2}$) orbital is about $\frac{1}{7}$ ($\frac{1}{11}$) of that
between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ and interstitial-$s$ orbital, which is consistent with the previous analysis.

$$H_0(a_1) = \begin{pmatrix} d_{x^2-y^2} & s & d_{xy} & d_{3z^2-r^2} \\ d_{x^2-y^2} & -0.37 & -0.22 & 0.03 & -0.02 \\ s & -0.22 & -0.24 & 0.68 & 0.45 \\ d_{xy} & 0.03 & 0.68 & -0.08 & 0 \\ d_{3z^2-r^2} & -0.02 & 0.45 & 0 & -0.19 \end{pmatrix}$$  \tag{1}

Next we use the above four orbitals to build an interacting model which can be used as a starting point to study correlation effects on Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital [11]:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{k,mm',\sigma} H_0(k)_{mm'} \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{kmm'\sigma} \hat{c}_{kmm'\sigma} + U_{Ni} \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \hat{V}_{dc}$$  \tag{2}

where $mm'$ labels different orbitals, $i$ labels Ni sites and $\sigma$ labels spins. $\hat{n}_{i\sigma}$ is the occupancy operator of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital at site $i$ with spin $\sigma$ and the onsite Coulomb repulsion is only applied on the Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. $H_0(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the Wannier-based Hamiltonian $H_0(R)$ [9] and $\hat{V}_{dc}$ is the double counting potential [40]. That we do not explicitly include O-$p$ states in the model is justified by noting that in NdNiO$_2$ O-$p$ states have much lower energy than Ni-$d$ states, which is different from perovskite rare-earth nickelates and charge-transfer-type cuprates [20]. In the model Eq. (2), the Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital is the correlated state while the other three orbitals (interstitial-$s$ and Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}/d_{xy}$) are non-interacting, referred to as hybridization states. The above 4-orbital model is different from a standard periodic-Anderson model in that the correlated orbital is a 3$d$-orbital with a strong dispersion instead of a 4$f$ or 5$f$ orbital whose dispersion is usually neglected [20, 42, 43]. Moreover, the hybridization of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ with the three non-interacting orbitals is inter-cell [44] and anisotropic with different types of symmetries, which may influence the symmetry of the superconducting order parameter in the ground state [45]. The largest hybridization of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, the one with interstitial-$s$ orbital, has $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry. Secondly, the hybridization of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ with Nd-$d_{xy}$ and Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals has $g_{xy}(x^2-y^2)$ and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetries, respectively [17, 40].

We perform dynamical mean field theory calculations on Eq. (2) and focus on how the presence of hybridization between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and other non-interacting states may change the property of a standard Hubbard model (single-orbital, two-dimensional square lattice at half-filling). We first study paramagnetic state (paramagnetism is imposed in the
calculations). Fig. 3 shows the spectral function with an increasing $U$ on Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. At $U = 0$ eV, the system is metallic with all the four orbitals crossing the Fermi level (the main contribution comes from Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$). As $U$ increases to 3 eV, a quasi-particle peak is evident with the other three orbitals still crossing the Fermi level. We find a critical $U$ of about 7 eV, where the quasi-particle peak becomes completely suppressed and the Mott gap emerges. As $U$ further increases to 9 eV, a clear Mott gap of about 1 eV is opened. We find that correlation effects reduce the hybridization of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital with the other three states and when sufficiently strong, can stabilize a Mott insulating state. However, different from the Hubbard model (single-orbital, two-dimensional square lattice at half filling), when the Mott gap is opened, the hybridization states (interstitial-$s$, Nd-$d_{xy}$ and Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals) have lower energy than the upper Hubbard band of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital, and hence the Mott gap is separated by the lower Hubbard band of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and the hybridization states. This feature in the electronic structure makes one expect that electron doped NdNiO$_2$ will be different from hole doped NdNiO$_2$. The electronic structure of the Mott insulating state (in the large $U_{\text{Ni}}$ limit) shown in Fig. 3 is distinct from that of a Mott-Hubbard insulator in which the Mott gap is separated by transition metal-$d$ states; it is also different from charge transfer insulator where the charge transfer gap is separated by ligand-$p$ states and upper Hubbard band of transition metal-$d$ states.

Next we allow spin polarization in the DMFT calculations and study both ferromagnetic and checkerboard antiferromagnetic states. We find that ferromagnetic ordering can not be stabilized up to $U_{\text{Ni}} = 9$ eV. Checkerboard antiferromagnetic state can emerge when $U_{\text{Ni}}$ exceeds 2.5 eV. A magnetic phase diagram is shown in Fig. 4 in which $M_d$ is the local magnetic moment on each Ni atom. We note that the critical value of $U_{\text{Ni}}$ is model-dependent. If we include O-$p$ states and semi-core states, the critical value of $U_{\text{Ni}}$ will be substantially larger. The robust result here is that with $U_{\text{Ni}}$ increasing, antiferromagnetic ordering occurs before the metal-insulator transition. In the antiferromagnetic state, the critical $U_{\text{Ni}}$ for the metal-insulator transition is about 6 eV, slightly smaller than that in the paramagnetic phase. The spectral function of antiferromagnetic metallic and insulating states can be found in the Supplementary Materials [40]. Considering that so far there is no experimental evidence for long-range magnetic orderings in NdNiO$_2$ [39], the calculated phase diagram implies that NdNiO$_2$ is in a paramagnetic metallic state, in which hybridization between Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ and itinerant electrons is non-negligible and Ni correlation strength is moderate.
FIG. 3. Spectral function of the 4-orbital interacting model Eq. (2) calculated by DFT+DMFT method at different values of $U_{\text{Ni}}$ and $T = 116$ K. The Fermi level (black dashed line) is shifted to zero energy. The red, blue, magenta, yellow and green curves are Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ projected spectral function, Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ projected spectral function, Nd-$d_{xy}$ projected spectral function, interstitial-$s$ projected spectral function and total spectral function, respectively. The Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ projected spectral function is highlighted by red shades. Upper left: $U_{\text{Ni}} = 0$ eV; upper right: $U_{\text{Ni}} = 3$ eV; lower left: $U_{\text{Ni}} = 7$ eV; lower right: $U_{\text{Ni}} = 9$ eV.

with $U_{\text{Ni}}/t_{dd}$ less than 7 ($t_{dd}$ is the effective hopping between nearest-neighbor Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ due to $\sigma_{pd}$ bond). This contrasts with the parent compounds of superconducting cuprates which are antiferromagnetic insulators and are described by an effective single-orbital Hubbard model at half filling with a larger correlation strength [48–51]. The strong hybridization of Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital with the itinerant electrons on the interstitial-$s$ orbital may screen the Ni spins and induce Kondo physics in NdNiO$_2$ [27], which can explain the low-temperature upturn in the resistivity observed in experiment [15].

In conclusion, we combine density functional theory and dynamical mean field theory
FIG. 4. Magnetic phase diagram of NdNiO$_2$, calculated by using DFT+DMFT method based on the 4-orbital interacting model Eq. (2). $M_d$ is the local moment on each Ni atom. ‘PM’ means paramagnetic state; ‘AFM’ means checkerboard antiferromagnetic state; italic ‘$M$’ means ‘metallic’; italic ‘$I$’ means ‘insulating’.

to study the electronic structure of the parent superconducting material NdNiO$_2$. From the DFT calculations and Wannier function analysis, we find that Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ hybridizes with itinerant electrons that are on interstitial-$s$ orbital and Nd-$d_{xy}/d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals via inter-cell hopping. The Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ hybridization with interstitial-$s$ orbital is substantially stronger than that with Nd-$d$ orbitals. We downfold the full Hamiltonian to a 4-orbital model in which we include onsite Coulomb repulsions on Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and treat the other three non-interacting orbitals as hybridization states (interstitial-$s$, Nd-$d_{xy}$ and Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals). We use DFT+DMFT calculations to solve the interacting 4-orbital model and find that in the paramagnetic state, correlation effects on Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital reduce the hybridization and when sufficiently strong, can stabilize a Mott insulating state in which a Mott gap is separated by the Ni lower Hubbard band and the hybridization states (interstitial-$s$ and Nd-$d_{xy}/d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals). This electronic structure is distinct from that of a Mott-Hubbard insulator and a charge-transfer insulator. When spin polarization is allowed, antiferromagnetic ordering emerges before a metal-insulator transition as $U_{Ni}$ increases. Considering that
long-range magnetic ordering has not been observed in NdNiO$_2$ \cite{39}, this places NdNiO$_2$ in a paramagnetic metallic phase, in which hybridization between Ni-$d_{x^2−y^2}$ and itinerant electrons is significant and Ni correlation strength is moderate.
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[40] Please find more details in the Supplementary Materials.

[41] We use the 4-orbital model because we want to explicitly show the microscropic origin of the hybridization between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) and itinerant electrons. If one is only interested in effective hybridization, one may study a 2-orbital model which only includes Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital and a hybridization state that is mainly composed of Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) and interstitial-s orbitals [20]. However, the 4-orbital model can include hybridization with different symmetries.

Within the same cell, Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital does not hybridize with interstitial-s, Nd-\(d_{xy}\) or Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) orbitals. However, among the three non-interacting orbitals, there could be nonzero hybridization within the same cell, such as one between interstitial-s and Nd-\(d_{xy}\) orbitals.
I. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

We perform first-principles calculations using density functional theory (DFT) \cite{1,2} and dynamical mean field theory (DMFT) \cite{3,4}.

The DFT method is implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) code \cite{5} with the projector augmented wave (PAW) method \cite{6}. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) \cite{7} functional is used as the exchange-correlation functional in DFT calculations. The Nd-4\textit{f} orbitals are treated as core states in the pseudopotential. We use an energy cutoff of 600 eV and sample the Brillouin zone by using Γ-centered \textbf{k}-mesh of $16 \times 16 \times 16$. The crystal structure is fully relaxed with an energy convergence criterion of $10^{-6}$ eV, force convergence criterion of 0.01 eV/Å and strain convergence of 0.1 kBar. The DFT-optimized crystal structures are in excellent agreement with the experimental structures (see Table II). To describe the checkerboard antiferromagnetic ordering, we expand the cell to a $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \times 1$ supercell. The corresponding Brillouin zone is sampled by using a Γ-centered \textbf{k}-mesh of $12 \times 12 \times 16$.

We use maximally localized Wannier functions \cite{8}, as implemented in Wannier90 code \cite{9} to fit the DFT-calculated band structure and build an \textit{ab initio} tight-binding model which includes onsite energies and hopping parameters for each Wannier function. We use two sets of Wannier functions to do the fitting. One set uses 17 Wannier functions to exactly reproduce the band structure of entire transition-metal and oxygen \textit{pd} manifold as well as the unoccupied states that are a few eV above the Fermi level. The other set uses 4 Wannier functions to reproduce the band structure close to the Fermi level. The second tight-binding Hamiltonian is used to study correlation effects when onsite interactions are included on Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital.

We use DMFT method to calculate the 4-orbital interacting model, which includes a correlated Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital and three non-interacting orbitals (interstitial-$s$, Nd-$d_{xy}$ and Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$). DMFT maps the interacting lattice Hamiltonian onto an auxiliary impurity problem which is solved using the continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo algorithm based on hybridization expansion \cite{10,11}. The impurity solver is developed by K. Haule \cite{12}. For each DMFT iteration, a total of 1 billion Monte Carlo samples are collected to converge the impurity Green function and self energy. We set the temperature to be 116 K. We check all the key results at a lower temperature of 58 K and no significant difference is found.
The interaction strength $U_{Ni}$ is treated as a parameter. We calculate both paramagnetic and magnetically ordered states. For magnetically ordered states, we consider ferromagnetic ordering and checkerboard antiferromagnetic ordering. For checkerboard antiferromagnetic ordering calculation, we double the cell and the non-interacting Hamiltonian is $8 \times 8$. We introduce formally two effective impurity models and use the symmetry that electrons at one impurity site are equivalent to the electrons on the other with opposite spins. The DMFT self-consistent condition involves the self-energies of both spins.

To obtain the spectral functions, the imaginary axis self energy is continued to the real axis using the maximum entropy method \[13\]. Then the real axis local Green function is calculated using the Dyson equation and the spectral function is obtained following:

$$A_m(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} G_i^{loc}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left( \sum_k \frac{1}{(\omega + \mu)1 - H_0(k) - \Sigma(\omega) + V_{dc}} \right)_{mm}$$

where $m$ is the label of a Wannier function. $1$ is an identity matrix, $H_0(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the Wannier-based Hamiltonian $H_0(R)$. $\Sigma(\omega)$ is the self-energy, understood as a diagonal matrix only with nonzero entries on the correlated orbitals. $\mu$ is the chemical potential. $V_{dc}$ is the fully localized limit (FLL) double counting potential, which is defined as \[14\]:

$$V_{dc} = U \left( N_d - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

where $N_d$ is the $d$ occupancy of a correlated site. Here the Hund’s $J$ term vanishes because we have a single correlated orbital Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ in the model. A $40 \times 40 \times 40$ $k$-point mesh is used to converge the spectral function.
II. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

Both NdNiO$_2$ and CaCuO$_2$ crystallize in a tetragonal structure with $P4/mmm$ symmetry. The crystal structure is shown in Fig. 5. The experimental and DFT-optimized cell parameters of NdNiO$_2$ and CaCuO$_2$ are compared in Table II.

![Crystal structure of NdNiO$_2$ and CaCuO$_2$](image)

**FIG. 5.** The crystal structures of NdNiO$_2$ and CaCuO$_2$ (space group $P4/mmm$).

**TABLE II.** The experimental and DFT-optimized crystal structure parameters for NdNiO$_2$ and CaCuO$_2$ (space group $P4/mmm$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>Volume (Å$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NdNiO$_2$, exp</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>50.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NdNiO$_2$, opt</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>50.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCuO$_2$, exp</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>47.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCuO$_2$, opt</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>48.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
III. WANNIER FUNCTION FITTING OF DFT-CALCULATED BAND STRUCTURE

A. Wannierization with 17 orbitals

In the first set of Wannier fitting, we use 17 Wannier functions: five Nd-$d$ (Ca-$d$) orbitals, five Ni-$d$ (Cu-$d$) orbitals, three O-$p$ orbitals for each oxygen and an interstitial-$s$ orbital for NdNiO$_2$ (CaCuO$_2$) to extract the onsite and hopping parameters for each Wannier function. The Wannier-based Hamiltonian $H_0(R)$ with $R = 0$ is shown in Table III and IV.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>orbitals</th>
<th>Nd,$d_{3z^2-r^2}$</th>
<th>Nd,$d_{yz}$</th>
<th>Nd,$d_{xz}$</th>
<th>Nd,$d_{x^2-y^2}$</th>
<th>Ni,$d_{3z^2-r^2}$</th>
<th>Ni,$d_{yz}$</th>
<th>Ni,$d_{xz}$</th>
<th>Ni,$d_{x^2-y^2}$</th>
<th>O$_1,p_z$</th>
<th>O$_1,p_x$</th>
<th>O$_1,p_y$</th>
<th>O$_2,p_z$</th>
<th>O$_2,p_x$</th>
<th>O$_2,p_y$</th>
<th>O$_{interstitial} s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nd,$d_{3z^2-r^2}$</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd,$d_{xz}$</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd,$d_{yz}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd,$d_{x^2-y^2}$</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd,$d_{xy}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni,$d_{3z^2-r^2}$</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni,$d_{xz}$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni,$d_{yz}$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni,$d_{x^2-y^2}$</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni,$d_{xy}$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_1,p_z$</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-0.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_1,p_x$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_1,p_y$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2,p_z$</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2,p_x$</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_2,p_y$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_{interstitial} s$</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE IV. Onsite and hopping parameters for CaCuO$_2$ in the $R = 0$ cell, extracted from 17 Wannier function fitting. The unit is eV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>orbitals</th>
<th>$Ca,d_{3z^2-r^2}$</th>
<th>$Ca,d_{xz}$</th>
<th>$Ca,d_{yz}$</th>
<th>$Cu,d_{3z^2-r^2}$</th>
<th>$Cu,d_{xz}$</th>
<th>$Cu,d_{yz}$</th>
<th>$Cu,d_{xy}$</th>
<th>$O_1,p_z$</th>
<th>$O_1,p_x$</th>
<th>$O_1,p_y$</th>
<th>$O_2,p_z$</th>
<th>$O_2,p_x$</th>
<th>$O_2,p_y$</th>
<th>interstitial s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Ca,d_{3z^2-r^2}$</td>
<td>10.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ca,d_{xz}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ca,d_{yz}$</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>10.93</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ca,d_{xy}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Ca,d_{xy}$</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu,d_{3z^2-r^2}$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu,d_{xz}$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu,d_{yz}$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu,d_{xy}$</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Cu,d_{xy}$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_1,p_z$</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_1,p_x$</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_1,p_y$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_2,p_z$</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_2,p_x$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_2,p_y$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interstitial s</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-1.19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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B. Wannierization with 4 orbitals

![Band Structures](image)

FIG. 6. The band structures of (a) NdNiO$_2$ and (b) CaCuO$_2$. Red lines represent the DFT-calculated band structures and blue lines represent the band structures reproduced by 4 Wannier functions fitting. The coordinates of the high-symmetry points on the k-path are $\Gamma(0,0,0)$-$X(0.5,0,0)$-$M(0.5,0.5,0)$-$\Gamma(0,0,0)$-$Z(0,0,0.5)$-$R(0.5,0,0.5)$-$A(0.5,0.5,0.5)$-$Z(0,0,0.5)$.

In the second set of Wannier fitting, we use 4 Wannier functions: Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$, Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$, Nd-$d_{xy}$ and interstitial-$s$ for NdNiO$_2$; Cu-$d_{x^2-y^2}$, Ca-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$, Ca-$d_{xy}$ and interstitial-$s$ for CaCuO$_2$. The Wannier fitting is shown in Fig. 6, which reproduces the DFT-calculated band structure close to Fermi level.

For NdNiO$_2$, the Wannier-based Hamiltonian $H_0(\mathbf{R})$ with $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{0}$ is shown in Eq. (5):

$$H_0^{\text{NdNiO}_2}(\mathbf{0}) =
\begin{pmatrix}
    d_{x^2-y^2} & s & d_{xy} & d_{3z^2-r^2} \\
    d_{x^2-y^2} & 7.10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    s & 0 & 10.18 & -0.68 & 0.45 \\
    d_{xy} & 0 & -0.68 & 10.08 & 0 \\
    d_{3z^2-r^2} & 0 & 0.45 & 0 & 9.67
\end{pmatrix}
$$ (5)
and the Wannier-based Hamiltonian $H_0(R)$ with $R = a_1$ is shown in Eq. (6):

$$H_0^{\text{NdNiO}_2}(a_1) = \begin{pmatrix} d_{x^2-y^2} & s & d_{xy} & d_{3z^2-r^2} \\ d_{x^2-y^2} & -0.37 & -0.22 & 0.03 & -0.02 \\ s & -0.22 & -0.24 & 0.68 & 0.45 \\ d_{xy} & 0.03 & 0.68 & -0.08 & 0 \\ d_{3z^2-r^2} & -0.02 & 0.45 & 0 & -0.19 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The unit is eV here.

For CaCuO$_2$, the Wannier-based Hamiltonian $H_0(R)$ with $R = 0$ is shown in Eq. (7):

$$H_0^{\text{CaCuO}_2}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} d_{x^2-y^2} & s & d_{xy} & d_{3z^2-r^2} \\ d_{x^2-y^2} & 4.51 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ s & 0 & 8.82 & -0.57 & 0.31 \\ d_{xy} & 0 & -0.57 & 10.31 & 0 \\ d_{3z^2-r^2} & 0 & 0.31 & 0 & 10.37 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

and the Wannier-based Hamiltonian $H_0(R)$ with $R = a_1$ is shown in Eq. (8):

$$H_0^{\text{CaCuO}_2}(a_1) = \begin{pmatrix} d_{x^2-y^2} & s & d_{xy} & d_{3z^2-r^2} \\ d_{x^2-y^2} & -0.44 & -0.28 & 0.03 & -0.03 \\ s & -0.28 & -0.24 & 0.57 & 0.31 \\ d_{xy} & 0.03 & 0.57 & -0.02 & 0 \\ d_{3z^2-r^2} & -0.03 & 0.45 & 0 & -0.12 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The unit is eV here.
IV. SYMMETRY OF THE HYBRIDIZATION BETWEEN Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) ORBITAL AND OTHER ORBITALS

As mentioned in the main text, the hybridization between Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital and the other non-interacting orbitals is only nonzero when the hopping is inter-cell. This means that Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) hybridizes with the second nearest neighbour (SNN) interstitial/Nd sites. In the NdNiO\(_2\) crystal structure, there are 8 SNN interstitial sites and 16 SNN Nd sites. The hybridization of Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) with interstitial-\(s\) has \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) symmetry; the hybridization of Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) with Nd-\(d_{xy}\) has \(g_{xy}(x^2-y^2)\) symmetry, the hybridization of Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) with Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) has \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) symmetry \[17\]. The symmetry of the hopping from Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) to other orbitals can be found explicitly in Fig. 7.

![FIG. 7. The sketch of intercell hoppings between the Ni-\(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital and (a) the interstitial-\(s\) orbitals; (b) the Nd-\(d_{xy}\) orbitals; (c) the Nd-\(d_{3z^2-r^2}\) orbitals. Brown and green arrows represent positive and negative hoppings, respectively. Note that this is a top view. Nd spacer layer and NiO\(_2\) layer are not in the same plane.](image-url)
V. SPECTRAL FUNCTION OF ANTIFERROMAGNETIC METALLIC AND INSULATING STATES

The interacting 4-orbital model predicts antiferromagnetic ordering when $U_{\text{Ni}}$ exceeds 2.5 eV. Panel a of Fig. 8 shows the spectral function of antiferromagnetic metallic state calculated at $U_{\text{Ni}} = 3$ eV. Panel b of Fig. 8 shows the spectral function of antiferromagnetic insulating state calculated at $U_{\text{Ni}} = 9$ eV.
FIG. 8. Panel a: spectral function of the 4-orbital interacting model Eq. (2) in the main text calculated by DFT+DMFT method at $U_{\text{Ni}} = 3$ eV in the antiferromagnetic state. (a1): the complete spectral function with positive $A(\omega)$ meaning spin up channel and negative $A(\omega)$ meaning spin down channel. The red, blue, magenta, yellow and green curves are Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ projected spectral function, Nd-$d_{3z^2-r^2}$ projected spectral function, Nd-$d_{xy}$ projected spectral function, interstitial-$s$ projected spectral function and total spectral function, respectively. The Ni-$d_{x^2-y^2}$ projected spectral function is highlighted by red shades. (a2): the spectral function projected onto only one Ni $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. Panel b: similar to panel a with the only difference that $U_{\text{Ni}} = 9$ eV. The Fermi level is shifted to zero energy.