REMARK ON A LOWER BOUND OF PERTURBATIONS IN 2D INVISCID SHEAR FLOW IN A PERIODIC BOX
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ABSTRACT. Bedrossian and Masmoudi (2015) showed nonlinear stability of 2D inviscid parallel shear flows in the infinite stripe \((\mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}\). Inspired by their result, combining a diffeomorphism group result by Misiołek (1993), in this paper we give a lower bound of perturbations in 2D inviscid shear flow in a periodic box.

1. Introduction and the main theorem

For \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), let us define a stripe type of periodic box as \(\mathbb{T}^2 := (\mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2m\mathbb{Z}))\). The incompressible Euler equations in \(\mathbb{T}^2\) are described as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla) u &= -\nabla p, \quad x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2, \quad t > 0, \\
\nabla \cdot u &= 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(u = u(t, x)\) is the velocity and \(p = p(t, x)\) is the pressure. Throughout this paper we always handle smooth solutions, that is, we take initial velocity \(u_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)\). It is well known that the corresponding unique smooth solution \(u\) exists globally in time (see [3] for example). Next we define the Lagrangian flow \(\eta\).

For \(u \in C^\infty([0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^2)\), let \(\eta(t, x)\) be a solution to the following ODE:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \eta(t, x) = u(t, \eta(t, x)) =: u \circ \eta, \quad \eta(0, x) =: e(x) = x.
\]

We can express it in Riemannian geometric language using the exponential map of the right-invariant metric (defined by the \(L^2\) kinetic energy of the fluid) on the group \(\mathcal{D}_s^H(\mathbb{T}^2)\) of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of \(\mathbb{T}^2\) of Sobolev class \(H^s\) with \(s > 2\), namely \(\eta(t) = \exp_{e(t)} tu_0\) (see for example [2] or [4]). Clearly, \(\eta\) uniquely exists globally in time and is smooth. Now let us define the inviscid parallel shear flow (stationary Euler flow):

\[
u_\infty(x) = (f_m(x_2), 0), \quad f_m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}/(2m\mathbb{Z})).
\]

Here we choose \(f_m\) in the following way: First let us take \(f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})\) with \(\|f^\prime\|_{L^\infty} < \infty\), and then we take \(f_m\) satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{m \leq x_2 \leq m + \frac{1}{2}} (|f_m(x_2) - f(x_2)| + |f_m^\prime(x_2) - f^\prime(x_2)|) &= 0, \\
\sup_m \|f_m^\prime\|_{L^\infty} &< \infty.
\end{align*}
\]
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For any $U_{in} \in C^\infty$ with $\nabla \cdot U_{in} = 0$, from now on, we consider the following family of $L^2$ geodesics in $\mathcal{G}_\mu^\nu$, or equivalently, fluid flows in $T^2$, starting at the identity configuration $e$ in the direction $u_\infty + \sigma U_{in}$:

$$\tilde{\eta}(\sigma, t) := \exp_e(t(u_\infty + \sigma U_{in})), \quad \sigma \in (-1, 1), \quad t \geq 0.$$ 

Note that $\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(\sigma, t)|_{t=0} = 0$. Let $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}(\sigma, t)$ be the corresponding solution to the Euler equations (1.1) for the initial data $u_\infty + \sigma U_{in}$, and let us set

perturbation: \quad $U = (U_1, U_2) = U(\sigma, t) := \tilde{u}(\sigma, t) - u_\infty$.

Note that $U(0, t) = 0$ ($t > 0$). In the infinite stripe case $(\mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ (formally $m = \infty$), Bedrossian and Masmoudi [1] showed the following nonlinear stability result (we rewrite their statement into our setting): For any $U_{in} \in \mathcal{G}_{\lambda_0}$ with $\| U_{in} \|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda_0}} \sim 1$ (for the precise definition of the Gevrey-norm $\| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda_0}}$, see [1]). In this paper, we just use the embeddings: $\| \cdot \|_{L^2} \lesssim \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}}$ and $\| \nabla \cdot \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \| \cdot \|_{H^1} \lesssim \| \cdot \|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}}$ and $\sigma > 0$, then there is $\tilde{f}$ sufficiently smooth and appropriately decaying (depending on $\sigma$, but controlled by $\| \tilde{f} \|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \| \tilde{f} \|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lambda}} < \sigma \ll 1$), such that if

$$u_\infty(x) = \left( \begin{array}{c} f(x_2) \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad f(x_2) = x_2 + \tilde{f}(x_2),$$

then we have the following stability estimate:

$$(1.3) \quad \| U_1(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{\sigma}{\langle t \rangle}, \quad \| U_2(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \frac{\sigma}{\langle t \rangle^{1/2}},$$

where $\langle t \rangle := (1 + |t|^2)^{1/2}$.

On the other hand, inspired by their result, we constructed the following lower bound.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let us consider the perturbation $U$ of the shear flow $(f_m, 0)$ in $(\mathbb{R}/2\mathbb{Z}) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2m\mathbb{Z}))$ satisfying (1.2). For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$, there is $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that if $\sigma < \sigma_0$, we have

$$\| U_1(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \gtrsim \sigma \quad \text{or} \quad \| U_2(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)} \gtrsim \frac{\sigma}{\langle t \rangle^{1+\epsilon}},$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, T]$. In particular, this lower bound is independent of $m$ and $T$.

**Remark 1.** If the energy of the initial velocity $u_0 = u_\infty + \sigma U_{in}$ is not equal to the energy of the shear flow, namely, $\| u_0 \|_{L^2} \neq \| u_\infty \|_{L^2}$, then we can easily see that the energy of perturbation $\| U(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2}$ is not zero for any $t > 0$. This is just due to the triangle inequality (the following is the case when $\| u_0 \|_{L^2} > \| u_\infty \|_{L^2}$):

$$\| u_0 \|_{L^2} = \| \tilde{u}(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2} \leq \| u_\infty \|_{L^2} + \| U(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2} \Rightarrow \| u_0 \|_{L^2} - \| u_\infty \|_{L^2} \leq \| U(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2}.$$ 

However, this estimate cannot exclude the case $\| u_0 \|_{L^2} = \| u_\infty \|_{L^2}$ (with $u_0 \neq u_\infty$).

**2. Proof of the main theorem**

First we show $\partial_\sigma^k U(\cdot, \cdot) \in C^\infty([0, \infty) \times T^2)$ ($\sigma \in (-1, 1), k = 1, 2, \cdots$). In order to do so, just multiply $\partial_\sigma^k$ to (1.1) on both sides (replace $u$ with $\tilde{u}$), and just apply inductively the existence theory of the usual Euler equations (for the existence theory itself, see [3] example). Since $\tilde{u}(\sigma, t) = u_\infty + U(\sigma, t)$, we see

$$\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta} = u_\infty \circ \tilde{\eta} + U \circ \tilde{\eta}.$$
Thus by differentiation in $\sigma$ on both sides, we have
$$\partial_t \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta} = ((\nabla u_\infty) \circ \tilde{\eta}) \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta} + (\partial_\sigma U) \circ \tilde{\eta} + (\nabla U \circ \tilde{\eta}) \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}$$
and then
\begin{equation}
(2.1) \quad \partial_t \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta} |_{\sigma = 0} = ((\nabla u_\infty) \circ \tilde{\eta}) \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta} |_{\sigma = 0} + (\partial_\sigma U) \circ \tilde{\eta} |_{\sigma = 0}.
\end{equation}
We see that
\begin{equation}
((\nabla u_\infty) \circ \tilde{\eta}) \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta} = \left( \frac{\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_2 (\partial_2 f) \circ \tilde{\eta}_2}{\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_2} \right),
\end{equation}
thus multiplying $\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_2$ to the second component of (2.1), and take integration in space, we have
\begin{equation}
(1/2)\partial_t \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_2(0,t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\partial_\sigma U_2(0,t)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_2(0,t)\|_{L^2},
\end{equation}
and this means
$$\|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_2(0,t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_\sigma U_2(0,t')\|_{L^2} dt'.$$
We plug the above estimate into the first component of (2.1), we obtain
\begin{equation}
(1/2)\partial_t \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_1(0,t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_0^t \|\partial_\sigma U_2(0,t')\|_{L^2} dt' \|f_m''\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_1(0,t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_\sigma U_1(0,t)\|_{L^2} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_1(0,t)\|_{L^2},
\end{equation}
and this means
$$\|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_1(0,t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \int_0^t \int_0^{t'} \|\partial_\sigma U_2(0,t'')\|_{L^2} dt'' dt' \|f_m''\|_{L^\infty} + t \sup_{0 < t' < t} \|\partial_\sigma U_1(0,t')\|_{L^2}.$$  
On the other hand, observe that by construction $\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(\sigma,t) |_{\sigma = 0}$ is a Jacobi field along the geodesic $\tilde{\eta}(0,t)$ and therefore we have (see [4], and, for the recent development on the inviscid parallel shear flow on compact manifolds, see [5])
\begin{equation}
\|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2} \geq C t \quad \text{for any} \quad t > 0,
\end{equation}
where $C = \partial_{t|_{t=0}} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2}$. In our case this positive constant $C$ is nothing more than $\|U_{in}\|_{L^2}$, which is (essentially) independent of $m$. In fact, since
\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)}{t} \sim \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (\partial_\sigma U \circ \tilde{\eta})(0,t') dt' \sim U_{in},
\end{equation}
from (2.1), we see that, at least $\partial_{t|_{t=0}} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2}$ is nonzero (for each $m$). Second we show that $C = \|U_{in}\|_{L^2}$ rigorously. By
\begin{equation}
\partial_t \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2} = \frac{\partial_t \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2}}{\|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2}} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2},
\end{equation}
and since the Jacobi field vanishes at $t = 0$, i.e., $\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,0) = 0$, then, by L'Hôpital's rule, we have
\begin{equation}
\partial_t |_{t=0} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2} = \frac{\partial_t |_{t=0} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2}}{\partial_t |_{t=0} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2}} = \frac{\|U_{in}\|_{L^2}}{\|U_{in}\|_{L^2}} = \|U_{in}\|_{L^2}.
\end{equation}
Thus we have $\partial_t |_{t=0} \|\partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0,t)\|_{L^2} = \|U_{in}\|_{L^2}$. 

Therefore we have
\[ Ct \leq \| \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}(0, t) \|_{L^2} \sim \| \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_1(0, t) \|_{L^2} + \| \partial_\sigma \tilde{\eta}_2(0, t) \|_{L^2} \]
\[ \lesssim \int_0^t \| \partial_\sigma U_2(0, t') \|_{L^2} dt' + \int_0^t \int_0^{t'} \| \partial_\sigma U_2(0, t'') \|_{L^2} dt'' dt' \left\| f_m \right\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t \sup_{0 < t' < t} \| \partial_\sigma U_1(0, t') \|_{L^2}. \]

For any sufficiently small \( \epsilon > 0 \), let us assume that both \( \partial_\sigma U_1 \) and \( \partial_\sigma U_2 \) are controlled by
\[ \| \partial_\sigma U_1(0, t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \| \partial_\sigma U_2(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} \]
for \( t > 0 \). Then this assumption breaks the lower bound estimate, and it is in contradiction. Finally, for any sufficiently large \( T > 0 \), there is \( \sigma_0 > 0 \) such that for any \( \sigma < \sigma_0 \) and \( t \in [0, T] \),
\[ \| U_1(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2} \sim \sigma \| U_1(0, t) \|_{L^2} \gtrsim \sigma \quad \text{or} \quad \| U_2(\sigma, t) \|_{L^2} \sim \sigma \| U_2(0, t) \|_{L^2} \gtrsim \sigma \langle t \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} \]
by the Taylor expansion along \( \sigma \)-direction.
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