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Abstract

In this paper we strengthen to Morrey-Lorentz spaces the Sobolev-trace principle discovered by D. R. Adams and extended to another functions spaces by Adams, Xiao and Liu. More precisely, we show that Riesz potential $I_\alpha$ mapping

$$I_\alpha : \mathcal{M}_p^\lambda (\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_q^\lambda_\ast (\Omega, d\mu),$$

continuously if and only if the Radon measure $\mu$ satisfies $\mu(B_r(x)) \lesssim r^\beta$ for every $x \in \text{supp}(\mu) \subset \Omega$ and $r > 0$, provided $n - \alpha p < \beta \leq n$, $\alpha = \frac{n}{q} - \frac{\beta}{\lambda}$ and $\frac{1}{\lambda} q \leq \frac{1}{p}$. The brand-new is $\mu(B_r(x)) \lesssim r^{k/2}$ over smooth surfaces $\Omega$ with $k$ non-vanishing principal curvatures at each $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, then tracing principle applies.
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1 Introduction

In classical harmonic analysis, one of the most crucial assumption in many topics of research is given by doubling property of the underlying measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, because Vitali covering lemma and Calderón-Zygmund decomposition essentially depend on the doubling condition. Here a Borel regular measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be doubling if there exists a constant $C$ such that $\mu(B_{2r}(x)) \leq C\mu(B_r(x))$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, $r > 0$. Recently, has been shown that many classical results remain valid if doubling property is replaced by growth condition over open balls, namely,

$$\mu(B_r(x)) \leq Cr^\beta \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \text{supp}(\mu), \quad r > 0,$$

(1.1)

which may be doubling or non-doubling measure, where $0 < \beta \leq n$, $B_r(x)$ is an open ball centered at $x$ of radius $r > 0$ and $C$ is a positive constant uniformly on $\text{supp}(\mu)$ and $r > 0$. The pioneering work on Calderón-Zygmund theory for measures $\mu$ satisfying such a growth condition have been shown by Tolsa [19, 20, 21] and, independently, by Nazarov, Treil and Volberg [15]. According to Frostman’s lemma [14, Chapter 1] the class of measures satisfying (1.1) is close to Hausdorff measures and Riesz capacitaries of Borel sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. It states essentially that Hausdorff dimension of a Borel set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is equal to

$$\dim_{\Lambda_{\beta}} \Omega = \sup \{ \beta \in (0, n) : \exists \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \text{ such that (1.1) holds} \} = \sup \{ \beta > 0 : \text{cap}_\beta(\Omega) > 0 \}$$

where $\beta$—dimensional Hausdorff measure is defined by $\Lambda_{\beta}(\Omega) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \Lambda_{\beta, \epsilon}(\Omega)$,

$$\Lambda_{\beta, \epsilon}(\Omega) = c_{\beta, \epsilon} \inf \sum_i (\text{diam } E_i)^\beta, \quad \epsilon > 0 \quad c_{\beta, \epsilon} = 2^{-\beta} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) / \Gamma \left( \frac{\beta}{2} + 1 \right)$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\epsilon$—covering $\{E_i\}_i$ of $\Omega$ with diameters $\text{diam } E_i \leq \epsilon$. Here $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is the space of all Borel measures with support $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset A$ and Riesz $\beta$—capacity $\text{cap}_\beta$ is defined by

$$\text{cap}_\beta(\Omega) = \sup \{ |E_\beta(\mu)|^{-1} : \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) \text{ finite} \},$$

where the $\beta$-energy of a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$E_\beta(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{-\beta} d\mu(x)d\mu(y).$$

For $L^p$—Riesz capacity on compact sets

$$\dot{c}_{\alpha, p}(E) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p d\nu : f \geq 0 \text{ and } I_\alpha f(x) \geq 1 \text{ on } E \right\},$$

where $I_\alpha$ denotes the Riesz-potential

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{n-\alpha}{2} \right)}{2^{\alpha}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d\nu(y) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{as} \quad 0 < \alpha < n.$$
on “lower triangle” \(1 < p \leq q < \infty, 0 < \alpha < n, p < n/\alpha\) is given by isocapacitary inequality
\[
\mu(E) \lesssim [\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,p}(E)]^{q/p}, \tag{1.2}
\]
whenever \(E\) is a compact subset of \(\mathbb{R}^n\) and \(\mu\) is a Radon measure with \(\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \Omega\). Since \(\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,p}\)-capacity of the ball \(E = \mathbb{B}_r(x)\) is given by 
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{B}_r(x)) \sim r^{n-\alpha p},
\]
then capacitary inequality (1.2) implies the growth condition (1.1) with \(\beta = q(n - \alpha p)/p\). The capacitary inequality is too difficult verify for every compact sets, then one may ask: does the trace embedding \(\hat{L}^q_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega, \mu)\) still hold if (1.2) is replaced by (1.1)? The continuous embedding \(\hat{L}^q_\beta \subset L^q(\mu)\) is equivalent to characterization of measures \(\mu\) for which the mapping \(I_\alpha : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^q(\Omega, \mu)\) defined by \(f \mapsto I_\alpha f\) is continuous,
\[
\int_{\Omega} |I_\alpha f(x)|^q d\mu \lesssim \|\mu\|_\beta \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p d\nu\right)^{q/p}, \tag{1.3}
\]
for all \(f \in L^p(d\nu)\), where \(d\nu\) is denoted by Lebesgue measure in \(\mathbb{R}^n\). It is worth noting for \(\alpha = k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\}\) the integral representation formula [2, (3.5)] of \(f \in C^-_0(\mathbb{R}^n)\) gives the equivalence between (1.3) and higher order Sobolev trace inequality
\[
\left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^q d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|\mu\|_\beta \|f\|_{\hat{L}^q_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)},
\]
where \(\|f\|_{\hat{L}^q_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq k} \|D^\gamma f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\). In particular, from Calderón-Stein’s extension (see [17, Theorem 5’] for Lipschitz domain) or Jones-Rogers’ extension (see [16] for \((\varepsilon, \delta)\)-domain and [10] for \(\beta\)-set) formally one has the higher order Sobolev trace theorem
\[
\left(\int_{\partial \Omega} |f|_{\partial \Omega}(x')|^q d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \|\mu\|_\beta \|f\|_{\hat{L}^q_\beta(\Omega)},
\]
where \(\mu = \Lambda_\beta|_{\partial \Omega}\) for each \(x \in \partial \Omega\) and \(\beta = q(n - kp)/p\). Here, trace operator \(f_{|_{\partial \Omega}}\) is defined to be \(\text{Tr} f = \mathcal{E} f|_{\partial \Omega}\) where \(\mathcal{E}_\Omega : L^p_\beta(\Omega) \to \hat{L}^q_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)\) is a continuous extension. The pioneering work on characterization of embedding \(\hat{L}^q_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega, \mu)\) by Radon measures \(\mu\) satisfying growth condition (1.1), was first showed by Adams [1, Theorem 2] when \(1 < p < q < \infty\) and \(0 < \beta \leq n\) satisfies \(\beta = q(n - \alpha p)/p\) and \(0 < \alpha < n/p\). This result is known as Adams’ trace principle. This theorem has been extended to weak-Morrey space \(\mathcal{M}^\beta(\Omega, \mu)\) in [2, Theorem 5.1] (see also [22, Lemma 2.1]) and finally extended to Morrey space \(\mathcal{M}^\beta(\Omega, \mu)\) by Liu and Xiao [11, Theorem 1.1]. More precisely, let \(\mathcal{M}^\beta(\Omega, \mu)\) be the space of real valued \(\mu\)-measurable functions \(f\) in \(\Omega\) such that
\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^\beta(\Omega, \mu)} = \sup_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu), R > 0} R^{-\beta(1 - \frac{1}{p})} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_R} |f(y)|^p d\mu|_\Omega\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,
\]
where the supremum is taken over balls (or cubes) \(\mathbb{B}_R(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(1 \leq r \leq \ell < \infty\). In [11] \(\mathcal{M}^\beta(\Omega, \mu)\) is denoted by \(L^{r,\kappa}\) for \(r/n = \kappa/\ell\) and Peetre denoted by \(\mathcal{M}_{r,\kappa}\) with \((n - \kappa)/r = n/\ell\). In general, a \(\mu\)-measurable function \(f \in L^{r,*}(\mu|_{\mathbb{B}_R(\Omega)})\) belongs to Morrey-Lorentz space \(\mathcal{M}^{r,s}_{\alpha,s}(\Omega, \mu)\) if
\[
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{r,s}_{\alpha,s}(\Omega, \mu)} = \sup_{x \in \text{supp}(\mu), R > 0} R^{-\beta(1 - \frac{1}{p})} \|f\|_{L^{r,*}(\mu|_{\mathbb{B}_R(\Omega)})} < \infty, \tag{1.4}
\]
where \(L^{r,*}(\mu|_{\mathbb{B}_R(\Omega)})\) denotes the Lorentz space (see Section 2)
\[
\|f\|_{L^{r,*}(\mu|_{\mathbb{B}_R(\Omega)})} = \left(\int_0^{\mu|_{\mathbb{B}_R(\Omega)}} \left[\int_0^{t}(u^{r}(t))^{\frac{s}{r}} dt\right]^{\frac{1}{s}} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.
\]
for all Radon measure $\mu$ with $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq \Omega$ and $\mu|_{\Omega}(B_R) = \mu(B_R \cap \Omega)$. Therefore, in the “open lower triangle” $1 < p < q < \infty$ satisfying

$$\frac{q}{\lambda_s} \leq \frac{p}{\lambda}, \quad 0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{\lambda}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\beta}{\lambda_s} = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \alpha,$$

(1.5)

Adams [2, Theorem 5.1], states that

$$I_\alpha : \mathcal{M}_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \to \mathcal{M}_{q_\infty}^{\lambda^*}(\Omega, d\mu)$$

is continuous if the non-negative Radon measure $\mu$ supported in $\Omega$ satisfies (1.1). We known that in Morrey spaces there is no Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem to make sure that weak trace inequality (1.6) implies strong trace inequality,

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q_\infty}^{\lambda^*}(d\mu)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_p^\lambda(d\nu)}.$$

However, from pointwise inequality [11, Lemma 4.1] and atomic decomposition of Hardy-Morrey space $\mathcal{A}_p^\lambda = \mathcal{A}_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu)$, the space of distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_p^\lambda} = \left\| \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} |\varphi_t \ast f| \right\|_{\mathcal{M}_p^\lambda} < \infty \quad \text{for all mollifiers} \ \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

Liu and Xiao at [11, Theorem 1.1] have shown that $I_\alpha : \mathcal{A}_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \to \mathcal{M}_{q_\infty}^{\lambda^*}(d\mu)$ is continuous if, and only if the non-negative Radon measure $\mu$ satisfies $\|\mu\|_\beta < \infty$, for all $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ satisfying (1.5) on closed lower triangle $\Delta_{P_1P_2P_3}$ except for the line segments $[P_1, P_2]$ and $[P_1, P_3]$ whose vertices $P_i$’s are

$$P_1 = (0, 0), \quad P_2 = (1, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad P_3 = (1, 1).$$

In particular, since $\mathcal{A}_{p>1} = \mathcal{M}_p^\lambda$, they have shown the strong trace inequality in Morrey spaces commented above. By choosing a specific atom [12, Lemma 2.2] and using Calderón reproducing formula [12, Lemma 3.2] in Hardy-Morrey spaces, Liu and Xiao strengthen strong trace principle [11, Theorem 1.1] to Hardy-Morrey spaces $I_\alpha : \mathcal{A}_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \to \mathcal{M}_{q_\infty}^{\lambda^*}(d\mu)$ on rectangle $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in (1, \infty)^2$ satisfying (1.5).

Also, Liu and Xiao [13, Theorem 1.1] states essentially that Riesz-Campanato space $I_{\lambda}L_q^{\lambda^*}(\mu)$ is the trace space of Hölder-Lipschitz space $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}^{\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu)$ if and only if $\|\mu\|_\beta < \infty$. However, the measure $\mu$ must be a doubling measure.

Our main theorem extend [11, Theorem 1.1] to Morrey-Lorentz space $\mathcal{M}_{q_\delta}^{\lambda^*}(d\mu)$ even when the measure $\mu$ is non-doubling, for all $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q}) \in \Delta_{P_1P_2P_3}$ satisfying (1.5). More precisely,

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $1 < p \leq \lambda < \infty$ and $1 < q \leq \lambda_* < \infty$ be such that $q/\lambda_* \leq p/\lambda$, for all $n - \delta p < \beta \leq n$ and $1 < q < \infty$. Then the mapping

$$I_\delta : \mathcal{M}_p^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q_\delta}^{\lambda^*}(\Omega, d\mu) \quad \text{is continuous}$$

if, and only if the Radon measure $\mu$ satisfies $\|\mu\|_\beta < \infty$, for all $\delta = \frac{\beta}{\lambda} - \frac{\beta}{\lambda_*} < 0 < \delta < \frac{\beta}{\lambda}$ and $1 \leq l < s \leq \infty$. In another words,

$$\|I_\delta f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{q_\delta}^{\lambda^*}(d\mu)} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{\beta}^{1/2} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_p^\lambda(d\nu)}.$$

A few remarks are in order.

**Remark 1.2.**
(i) (Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev) Let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ be Euclidean space endowed by Lebesgue measure $d\mu = d\nu$ and $\beta = n$, then
\[
\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}} \lesssim \|\nu\|_{\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}}.
\]

(ii) (Regularity on Morrey spaces) The distributional solution $v$ of the fractional Laplace equation
\[
(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} v = f,
\]
where
\[
(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} v(x) = C(n, \delta) \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\delta}} dy,
\]
for $0 < \delta < 2$ satisfies $v \in \mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}(\Omega, d\mu)$, provided $\text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq \Omega$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu)$. Indeed, take $v = I_\alpha f$ and note that
\[
\langle (-\Delta)^{\delta/2} v, \hat{\varphi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{v}(\xi) |\xi|^{\delta} \varphi(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \varphi(\xi) d\xi = \langle f, \hat{\varphi} \rangle \quad (1.7)
\]
for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) (Optimal decay of surface-carried measures on smooth compact surfaces) Let $\Omega$ be a smooth surface and denote by $\hat{d\mu}(\xi)$ the Fourier transform
\[
\hat{d\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\Omega} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\mu
\]
of a surface measure $d\mu$. If $\Omega$ has at least $k$ non-vanishing principal curvatures at each point $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$, the stationary phase method (see Stein and Shakarchi [18, Chapter 8]) give us
\[
|\hat{d\mu}(\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|^{-\frac{k}{2}} \quad \text{as} \quad |\xi| > 1
\]
and this decay is optimal. Choosing $\phi_{x,r}(y) = \phi(\frac{x-y}{r})$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ non-negative, $\phi \gtrsim 1$ on $B(0,1)$ and $\hat{\phi} = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,\delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$, it follows that
\[
\mu(B_r(x)) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{x,r}(y) d\mu(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{\phi}_{x,r}(\xi) \hat{\mu}(-\xi) d\xi = r^n \int_{|\xi| \leq \delta} \hat{\phi}(r\xi) \hat{\mu}(-\xi) d\xi
\]
\[
\leq r^n \int_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)| \mu(-\xi/r) d\xi \lesssim r^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{|\xi| \leq \delta} |\hat{\phi}(\xi)| |\xi|^{-\frac{k}{2}} d\xi
\]
\[
\lesssim r^{k/2} \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in \text{supp}(\mu).
\]
Therefore, according to Theorem 1.1 if $\Omega$ is a smooth surfaces with $k$ non-vanishing principal curvatures at $x \in \text{supp}(\mu)$,
\[
\|I_\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}(\Omega, d\mu)} \lesssim \|\mu\|_{k/2}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}}
\]
for every $f \in \mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}$.

According to Calderón-Stein’s extension (5.2) on upper half-spaces (also works in Lipschitz domains), we get the fractional Sobolev trace inequality.

Corollary 1.3 (Sobolev trace in Morrey). Let $\frac{1}{p} < \delta < 2$ and $0 < \lambda < n/\delta$ be such that $\frac{n-1}{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \delta$, where $1 < p \leq \lambda < \infty$ and $1 < q \leq \lambda_\ast < \infty$ satisfies $q/\lambda_\ast \leq p/\lambda$. There is a positive constant $C$ (independent of $f$) such that
\[
\|f(x',0)\|_{\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}(\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+, d\sigma)} \leq C \left\|(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} f\right\|_{\mathcal{M}^\lambda_{pl}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} \quad (1.8)
\]
where $1 \leq l < s \leq \infty$, $1 < p < q < \infty$ and $d\sigma$ denote $(n-1)$-dimensional surface measure on $\partial \mathbb{R}^n_\ast$. 
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By employing the non-doubling CZ-decomposition \cite{20}, and inspired on proof of \cite[Theorem 5]{18}, we could finally obtain the “good-type inequality”

\[
\sum_{j} \mu(Q^j) \leq \mu(\{x : M^j I_\alpha f(x) > 3\epsilon t/4\}) + \epsilon \sum_{j} \mu(Q^j), \text{ for } s = 4^{-n-2}t
\]

provided that Radon measure \( \mu \) satisfies (1.1), where \( M^j \) is denoted by sharp maximal function and \( \{Q^j\}_j \) is a family of doubling cubes (see Theorem 3.3). Hence, we obtain the following “trace” equivalence

\[
\|M_\alpha f\|_{L^p_I(d\mu)} \sim \|I_\alpha f\|_{L^p_I(d\mu)}
\]

for every Radon measure \( \mu \) such that \( \mu(B_r(x)) \leq r^\beta \) on \( x \in \text{supp}(\mu) \), where the fractional maximal function \( M_\alpha \) is defined by

\[
M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{r>0} r^{\alpha-n} \int_{|y-x|<r} |f(y)|d\nu.
\]

In particular, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let \( 1 < p \leq \lambda < \infty \) and \( 1 < q \leq \lambda_* < \infty \) be such that \( q/\lambda_* \leq p/\lambda \), for all \( n - \delta p < \beta \leq n \) and \( 1 < p < q < \infty \). Then the mapping

\[
M_\beta : \mathcal{M}^p_{\text{pd}}(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \to \mathcal{M}^\lambda_{\text{pd}}(\Omega, d\mu)
\]

is continuous if, and only if \( \|\mu\|_\beta < \infty \), for all \( \delta = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \frac{\beta}{\lambda_*} \), \( 0 < \delta < \frac{n}{\lambda} \) and \( 1 \leq l < s \leq \infty \).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize properties of Lorentz spaces. In Section 3 we deal with non-doubling CZ-decomposition for polynomial growth measures and estimates for sharp maximal function. In Section 4 and 5 we prove our main theorems.

## 2 The Lorentz spaces

Let \((\Omega, B, \mu)\) be a measure space endowed by Borel regular measure \(d\mu\), it is well known that Lebesgue space \(L^p(\Omega, d\mu)\) can be completely characterized by non-increasing rearrangement function \(f^*(t)\) or distribution function \(d_f(s)\). Precisely, for \(0 < p < \infty\) we have

\[
\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega, d\mu)} = \left( \int_0^{\mu(\Omega)} [t^{1/p} f^*(t)]^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p} = \left( p \int_0^{\mu(\Omega)} [s^{p} d_f(s)]^p \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/p},
\]

where

\[
f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : d_f(s) \leq t\} \text{ and } d_f(s) = \mu(\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > s\}).
\]

This motivated to define Lorentz space \(L^{pd}(\Omega, \mu)\), as the set of \(\mu\)-measurable functions \(f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}\) such that

\[
\|f\|_{pd}^p = \left( \frac{d}{p} \int_0^{\mu(\Omega)} \left[t^{1/p} f^*(t)\right]^d \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/d} = \left( p \int_0^{\mu(\Omega)} [s^p d_f(s)]^d \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/d} < \infty \quad (2.1)
\]

for all \(1 < p < \infty\) and \(1 \leq d < \infty\). For \(1 \leq p \leq \infty\) and \(d = \infty\), the Lorentz spaces are defined as follows

\[
\|f\|_{p\infty}^p = \sup_{0 < t < \mu(\Omega)} t^{1/p} f^*(t) = \sup_{0 < s < \mu(\Omega)} \left[s^p d_f(s)\right]^{1/p}. \quad (2.2)
\]
Note that $L^\infty = \{0\}$ for $1 \leq d < \infty$, $L^\infty = L^\infty$, $L^p \approx L^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $L^p$ denotes the weak-$L^p$ space. The Lorentz space $L^{pd}(\Omega, d\mu)$ increases with the index $d$. More precisely, we have the continuous inclusions

$$L^{p_1} \subset L^{pd_1} \subset L^p \subset L^{pd_2} \subset L^{p_\infty}$$

for $1 \leq d_1 \leq p \leq d_2 < \infty$. The quantities (2.1) and (2.2) are not a norm. However,

$$\|f\|_{pd_1}^2 = \left(\frac{d}{p} \int_0^{\mu(\Omega)} \left(t^{1/p} f^*(t)\right)^{dt/t} \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

for $f^*(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s)ds$

define a norm into $L^{pd}(\Omega, d\mu)$ and we have

$$\|f\|_{pd} \leq \|f\|_{pd_1} \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_{pd_2},$$

for all $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq d \leq \infty$.

**Lemma 2.1** (General Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem). Let $(\Omega_1, \mu_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mu_2)$ be measure spaces, and let $T$ be a sublinear operator such that

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{\theta_1}(\Omega_1, d\mu_1)} \leq C_i \|f\|_{L^{\theta_i}(\Omega_2, d\mu_2)}, \quad i = 0, 1$$

for all $p_0 \neq p_1$ and $q_0 \neq q_1$. Let $0 < \theta < 1$ be such that $1/p = (1-\theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ and $1/q = (1-\theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1$, then

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{\theta}(\Omega_1, d\mu_1)} \leq C_0 C_1^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{L^{\theta}(\Omega_2, d\mu_2)},$$

provided $p \leq q$ and $0 < r \leq s \leq \infty$, where $C_i > 0$ depends only on $p_j, q_j, p, q$.

## 3 Trace maximal functions

In this section we are interested in the following inequalities

$$\|I_\alpha f\|_{M_{p_\alpha}^\delta(d\mu)} \lesssim \|M^\delta I_\alpha f\|_{M_{p_\alpha}^\delta(d\mu)} \quad \text{and} \quad M^\delta I_\alpha f(x) \lesssim \|f\|_{M_{p_\alpha}^\delta(d\mu)},$$

for every Radon measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying (1.1). Here, we denote by $M^\delta I_\alpha f$ the sharp maximal function

$$M^\delta I_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |I_\alpha f(y) - (I_\alpha f)_Q|d\mu(y) \right\}$$

where $(I_\alpha f)_Q = \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q I_\alpha f(y)d\mu(y)$. For $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(d\nu)$, denote by $M_\alpha$ the centered fractional maximal function

$$M_\alpha f(x) = \sup_{r > 0} r^{\alpha-n} \int_{|y-x| < r} |f(y)|d\nu.$$

### 3.1 Non-doubling CZ-decomposition

Let us recall that a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called $(\tau, \gamma)$-doubling cube with respect to polynomial growth measure $\mu$, if $\mu(\tau Q) \leq \gamma \mu(Q)$ as $\tau > 1$ and $\gamma > \tau^p$. According to Tolsa [20, Remark 2.1 & Remark 2.2], we have small/big $(\tau, \gamma)$-doubling cubes in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Lemma 3.1** ([20]). Let $\mu$ be a Radon measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with growth condition (1.1), then

(i) (Small doubling cubes) Assume $\gamma > \tau^n$, then for $\mu$-a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a sequence $\{Q_j\}_j$ of $(\tau, \gamma)$-doubling cubes centered at $x$ such that $\ell(Q_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.
Let \( f \in L^1_{loc}(\mu) \) and fix \( \lambda > \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \| f \|_{L^1(\mu)} \) such that \( \Omega_\lambda = \{ x \in E_0 : |f(x)| > \lambda \} \neq \emptyset \), by Lemma 3.1-(i) and Lebesgue differentiation theorem, for \( \mu \)-a.e. \( x \in \Omega_\lambda \) there exists a sequence of \((2, 2^{n+1})\)-doubling cubes \( \{Q_j(x)\}_j \) with \( \ell(Q_j) \to 0 \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{\mu(Q_j)} \int_{Q_j} |f|d\mu > \lambda
\]

for \( j \) large enough. Since there are big \((2, 2^{n+1})\)-doubling cubes \( Q_j \), it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{\mu(Q_j)} \int_{Q_j} |f|d\mu \leq \frac{\| f \|_{L^1(\mu)}}{\mu(Q_j)} \leq \lambda, \quad \text{for } \mu(Q_j) > c \text{ big enough.}
\]

In other words, for \( \mu \)-almost all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( |f(x)| > \lambda \), one has a \((2, 2^{n+1})\)-doubling cube \( Q' \in \{Q_x\}_{x \in \Omega_\lambda} \) centered in \( x \) and satisfying

\[
\frac{1}{\mu(2Q')} \int_{Q'} |f|d\mu \leq \lambda/2^{n+1}
\]

also, if \( Q = Q(x) \) is a \((2, 2^{n+1})\)-doubling cube with \( \ell(Q) < \ell(Q')/2 \), then

\[
\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |f|d\mu > \lambda.
\]

Hence, we obtain the following non-doubling Calderón-Zygmund decomposition for cubes \( Q \).

**Lemma 3.2** ([20] non-doubling CZ-decomposition). Assume that \( \mu \) satisfies (1.1). Let \( Q \) be a doubling cube big enough so that \( \lambda > \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |f|d\mu \) for \( f \in L^1(\mu)(Q) \). Then there is a sequence of \((2, 2^{n+1})\)-doubling cubes \( \{Q_j\}_j \) containing \( Q \) such that every point \( z \in Q \) belongs to at most \( \theta_n \) cubes \( Q_j \) i.e. \( \sum_j \chi_{Q_j}(z) \leq \theta_n \).

(i) \( |f(x)| \leq \lambda \) for \( x \in Q \setminus \bigcup_j Q_j \), \( \mu \)-a.e.

(ii) \( \lambda < \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_{Q_j} |f|d\mu \leq 4^{n+1}\lambda \)

(iii) \( \bigcup_j Q_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{r_n} \bigcup_{Q_j^k \in F_k} Q_j^k \), where the family \( F_k = \{Q_j^k\} \) of cubes are pairwise disjoints.

**Proof.** This lemma is consequence of Besicovitch’s covering theorem and was proved by Tolsa in [20, Lemma 2.4]. We only remark that \( \eta = 4 \) yields

\[
\frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |f|d\mu \leq \frac{\mu(\eta Q_j)}{\mu(Q_j)} \left( \frac{1}{\mu(Q_j)} \int_{Q_j} |f|d\mu \right) \leq \frac{\mu(\eta Q_j)}{\mu(Q_j)} \left( \frac{2^{n+1}}{\mu(\eta Q_j)} \int_{\eta Q_j} |f|d\mu \right) \leq 4^{n+1}\lambda,
\]

because \( \mu(2\eta Q_j) \leq 2^{n+1} \mu(\eta Q_j) \) and \( \mu(4Q_j) \leq 4^{n+1} \mu(Q_j) \). \( \square \)

### 3.2 Estimates for sharp maximal function

Now inspired on ideas in [18, Theorem 5], we obtain the main theorem of the section.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \( \mu \) be a Radon measure on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( \mu(B_r(x)) \leq Cr^\beta \) for \( 0 < \beta \leq n \) and \( x \in \text{supp}(\mu) \). If \( I_\alpha f \in L^1_{loc}(d\mu) \) for \( 0 < \alpha < n \), then

\[
\| I_\alpha f \|_{M^\alpha_p(d\mu)} \lesssim \| M^\ell f \|_{M^\ell_p(d\mu)}, \quad (3.1)
\]

for every \( 1 \leq p \leq \lambda < \infty \) and \( 1 \leq \ell \leq \infty \).
Proof. Applying CZ-decomposition Lemma 3.2 for \( I_\alpha f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mu)(Q_0) \), \( t = \lambda \) and a cube \( Q_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \), we obtain a family of almost disjoint doubling cubes \( \{Q_j^s\} \) so that

\[
 t < \frac{1}{\mu(Q_j^s)} \int_{Q_j^s} |I_\alpha f|d\mu \leq 4^{n+1}t \tag{3.2}
\]

and \( I_\alpha f(x) \leq t \) for \( x \notin \bigcup_j Q_j^s \) \( \mu \)-a.e. Let us denote by \( \rho(t) = \sum_j \mu(Q_j^s) \), the main inequality to be proved reads as follows

\[
 \rho(t) \leq \mu(\{x : M^2 I_\alpha f(x) > 3\epsilon t/4\}) + \epsilon \rho(4^{-n-2}t) \tag{A}
\]

which holds for all \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( t = \lambda \) given in Lemma 3.2. Indeed, denote \( M^2 I_\alpha f \) by \( (I_\alpha f)^2 \) and fix \( s = 4^{-n-2}t \). Let \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) be the family of doubling cubes \( \{Q_j^s\} \) of the CZ-decomposition associated to \( s \) and satisfying

\[
 Q_j^s \subset \left\{ x \in Q_0 : (I_\alpha f)^2(x) > \frac{3\epsilon t}{4} \right\} \tag{3.3}
\]

and let \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) be the family of doubling cubes such that \( Q_j^s \not\subset \{x \in Q_0 : (I_\alpha f)^2(x) > 3\epsilon t/4\} \). If \( Q \in \mathcal{F}_2 \), then obviously

\[
 \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |I_\alpha f(x) - (I_\alpha f)_Q|d\mu \leq \frac{3\epsilon t}{4}
\]

and right-hand side of (3.2) gives \( (I_\alpha f)_Q = \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \int_Q |I_\alpha f|d\mu \leq 4^{n+1}s = t/4 \). Now by left-hand side of (3.2) one has

\[
 \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \frac{t\mu(Q_j^s)}{\mu(Q)} < \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \int_{Q_j^s} |I_\alpha f(x)|d\mu \\
 \leq \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \int_{Q_j^s} |I_\alpha f(x) - (I_\alpha f)_Q|d\mu + (I_\alpha f)_Q \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \mu(Q_j^s) \\
 \leq \int_Q |I_\alpha f(x) - (I_\alpha f)_Q|d\mu + (I_\alpha f)_Q \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \mu(Q_j^s) \\
 \leq \frac{3\epsilon}{4}t \mu(Q) + \frac{t}{4} \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \mu(Q_j^s).
\]

Hence, summing over all cubes \( Q \in \mathcal{F}_2 \), we have

\[
 \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_2} \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \mu(Q_j^s) \leq \epsilon \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_2} \mu(Q). \tag{3.4}
\]

If \( Q \in \mathcal{F}_1 \), trivially (3.3) give us

\[
 \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_1} \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \mu(Q_j^s) \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_1} \mu(\left\{ x \in Q_0 : (I_\alpha f)^2(x) > 3\epsilon t/4 \right\} \cap Q) \\
 \leq \mu(\left\{ x \in Q_0 : (I_\alpha f)^2(x) > 3\epsilon t/4 \right\}). \tag{3.5}
\]

Considering the fact

\[
 \rho(t) = \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_1} + \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{F}_2} \right) \sum_{Q_j^s \subset Q} \mu(Q_j^s),
\]

from estimate (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain (A).
Let \( d_{I_{t}}(t) = \mu(\{x \in Q : I_{t}f(x) > t\}) \) be the distribution function of \( I_{t}f \), it follows from CZ-decomposition that
\[
d_{I_{t}}(t) \leq \rho(t)
\]
thanks to Lemma 3.2-(i). Now, denote by \( N = \mu_{\mu}(B_R) \) and invoke (A) to infer
\[
p \int_{0}^{N} t^\ell \left[ \rho(t) \right]^p dt \lesssim p \int_{0}^{N} t^\ell \left[ d_{(I_{t})f}(t) \right]^\frac{p}{2} dt + p \int_{0}^{N} t^{\ell-1} \left[ \epsilon \rho(4^{-n-2}t) \right]^\frac{p}{2} dt
\]
\[
= (4/3)\epsilon p \int_{0}^{3\epsilon N^{4/1}} t^\ell \left[ d_{(I_{t})f}(t) \right]^\frac{p}{2} dt + 4(\ell+2)\epsilon \frac{p}{2} \int_{0}^{N} t^{\ell-1} \left[ \rho(t) \right]^\frac{p}{2} dt.
\]
Now choosing \( \epsilon > 0 \) in such a way that \( \epsilon \frac{4}{4}(\ell+2)^{\ell} = 1/2 \),
\[
p \int_{0}^{N} t^\ell \left[ \rho(t) \right]^p dt \lesssim p \int_{0}^{N} t^\ell \left[ d_{(I_{t})f}(t) \right]^\frac{p}{2} dt
\]
which together with (B) give us
\[
\|I_{t}f\|_{M_{\mu}^p(d\mu)}^\ell = \sup_{x \in supp(\mu), R > 0} R^{-\ell\beta} \left( p \int_{0}^{\mu_{\mu}(B_R)} t^\ell \left[ d_{(I_{t})f}(t) \right]^\frac{p}{2} dt \right)
\]
\[
\lesssim p \sup_{x \in supp(\mu), R > 0} R^{-\ell\beta} \left( p \int_{0}^{\mu_{\mu}(B_R)} t^{\ell-1} \left[ d_{(I_{t})f}(t) \right]^\frac{p}{2} dt \right)
\]
\[
= \left\| (I_{t})f \right\|_{M_{\mu}^p(d\mu)}^\ell
\]
as we desired. The case \( \ell = \infty \) is achieved without great effort. \( \Box \)

Denote by \( \overline{M}^\ell g \) the centered sharp maximal function
\[
\overline{M}^\ell g(x_0) = \sup_{r > 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{r^\beta} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |g(x) - g_{B_r}| d\mu(x) \right\}.
\]

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( \mu \) be a Radon measure such that
\[
\|\mu\|_\beta = \sup_{r > 0} r^{-\beta} \mu(B_r(x)) < \infty \text{ on } supp(\mu),
\]
where \( n - \alpha < \beta \leq n \) and \( 0 < \alpha < n \). For any \( f \in L^1_{loc}(d\nu) \) such that \( I_{t}f \in L^1_{loc}(d\mu) \), then
\[
\overline{M}^\ell I_{t}f(x) \lesssim \|\mu\|_\beta M_{\alpha}(f)(x).
\]

**Proof.** Taking \( f' = f \chi_{B(x_0, 2r)} \) and \( f'' = \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 2r)} \), by Fubini’s theorem and [5, Lemma 3.1.1],

\[
\int_{|x-x_0| < r} |I_{t}f'(x)| d\mu(x) \leq \int_{|x-x_0| < r} \left( \int_{|y-x_0| < 2r} |y-x|^{\alpha-n}|f(y)| d\nu \right) d\mu(x)
\]
\[
\leq \int_{|y-x_0| < 2r} \left( \int_{|y-x| < 3r} |y-x|^{\alpha-n} d\mu(x) \right) |f(y)| d\nu
\]
\[
\leq \int_{|y-x_0| < 2r} \left[ (n-\alpha) \int_{0}^{3r} \frac{\mu(B(x, s))}{s^{n-\alpha}} ds + \frac{\mu(B(x, 3r))}{(3r)^{n-\alpha}} \right] |f(y)| d\nu
\]
\[
\lesssim \|\mu\|_\beta r^\beta |y-x|^{\alpha-n} \int_{|y-x_0| < 2r} |f(y)| d\nu
\]
\[
\leq r^\beta \|\mu\|_\beta M_{\alpha}(f)(x_0).
\]
In particular, we obtain
\[ M^2 I_\alpha f'(x_0) \lesssim \| \mu \|_\beta M_\alpha f(x_0). \]

Now by mean value theorem, we have
\[ |x - z|^{\alpha-n} - |y - z|^{\alpha-n} \lesssim r |z - x_0|^{\alpha-n-1}, \]
for \( |x - x_0| < r \) and \( |y - x_0| < r \). Hence, by Fubini’s theorem
\[
\left| (I_\alpha f^{\prime\prime})(x) - (I_\alpha f^{\prime\prime})_{B_r(x_0)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{\mu(B_r)} \int_{|z-x_0|>2r} \left\{ r \int_{|y-x_0|<r} |z - x_0|^{\alpha-n-1} d\mu(y) \right\} |f(z)| d\nu
\]
\[
\lesssim r \int_{|z-x_0|>2r} |z - x_0|^{\alpha-n-1} |f(z)| d\nu
\]
\[
= r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k r \leq |z-x_0|<2^{k+1} r} |z - x_0|^{\alpha-n-1} |f(z)| d\nu
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(k+1)} M_\alpha f(x_0) \lesssim M_\alpha f(x_0).
\]

Since \( \mu(B_r(x_0)) \lesssim r^\beta \) on \( \text{supp}(\mu) \) for \( r > 0 \),
\[ M^2 I_\alpha f^{\prime\prime}(x_0) \lesssim \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\mu(B_r(x_0))} \int_{|z-x_0|<r} \left| (I_\alpha f^{\prime\prime})(x) - (I_\alpha f^{\prime\prime})_{B_r(x_0)} \right| d\mu(x) \lesssim M_\alpha f(x_0)
\]
as we desired.

Since \( M_\alpha f(x) \lesssim I_\alpha f(x) \) and \( M^2 I_\alpha f(x) \) is comparable to \( M^2 I_\alpha f(x) \) \( x \)-a.e., the Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.3 yield the following corollary for non-doubling Radon measures:

**Corollary 3.5** (Trace-type equivalence). **Consider** \( \| \mu \|_\beta < \infty \), **for all** \( n - \alpha < \beta \leq n \) and \( 0 < \alpha < n \). **Then** for any \( f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(d\nu) \) such that \( I_\alpha f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(d\mu) \),
\[ \| M_\alpha f \|_{\mathcal{M}^\alpha_{\mu}(d\mu)} \sim \| I_\alpha f \|_{\mathcal{M}^\alpha_{\mu}(d\mu)} \]
for every \( 1 \leq p \leq \lambda < \infty \) and \( 1 \leq \ell \leq \infty \).

### 4 Proof of trace Theorem 1.1

Let us recall a pointwise estimate between Riesz potential and fractional maximal operator.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \) and \( B_r \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) a ball with radius \( r > 0 \).

(i) If \( 0 \leq \gamma < \delta < \alpha \leq n \), then
\[ |I_\delta f(x)| \lesssim [M_\alpha f(x)]^{\frac{\delta}{\alpha-n}} [M_\gamma f(x)]^{1-\frac{\delta}{\alpha-n}}, \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \]

(ii) If \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and \( 1 \leq k \leq \infty \), then
\[ \left[ \nu(B(x,r)) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| d\nu \lesssim \| f \|_{L^p(B(x,r))}. \]

In particular, \( (M_\gamma f)(x) \lesssim \| f \|_{\mathcal{M}^\gamma_{\mu}(d\nu)}, \) for all \( p \leq \lambda < \infty \).
Proof. The item (i) was proven in [11, Lemma 4.1]. To show item (ii), recall of the following Hardy-Littlewood inequality
\[
\int_{B(x,r)} |f(x)g(x)|d\nu \leq \int_0^{\nu(B(x,r))} f^*(t)g^*(t)dt.
\]
Hence, the above inequality joins in with Hölder’s inequality on \(L^k(\mathbb{R}, dt/t)\) giving us
\[
\int_{B(x,r)} |f(x)|d\nu \leq \int_0^{\nu(B(x,r))} t^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \left( \frac{1}{t} f^*(t) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \leq \left( \int_0^{\nu(B(x,r))} \left( t^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^{\nu(B(x,r))} \left( t^\frac{1}{p} f^*(t) \right)^k \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \lesssim [\nu(B(x,r))]^{\frac{1}{q}} \| f \|_{L^p(B(x,r))},
\]
as we desired.

Now, we are in position to prove Theorem 1.1.

4.1 The condition \([\| \mu \|_\beta] < \infty\) is sufficient

For \(x \in B_\rho = B(x_0, \rho)\) with \(\rho > 0\), let us write
\[
I_\delta f(x) = \int_{|y-x|<\rho} |x - y|^{-n} f(y)dy + \int_{|y-x|\geq\rho} |x - y|^{-n} f(y)dy := I_\delta f'(x) + I_\delta f''(x),
\]
where \(f' = \chi_{B(x_0,2\rho)}f\) and \(f'' = f - f'\). If \(y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x_0, 2\rho)\), using integration by parts and Lemma 4.1-(ii), respectively, we have
\[
|I_\delta f''(x)| \leq \int_2^{\infty} s^{\delta - n} \left( \int_{B(x,s)} |f(y)|d\nu \right) \frac{ds}{s} \leq \int_2^{\infty} s^{\delta - n} [\nu(B(x,s))]^{\frac{1}{q}} \| f \|_{L^p(B(x,s))} \frac{ds}{s} \leq \left( \int_2^{\infty} s^{\delta - 1 - \frac{n}{q}} ds \right) \| f \|_{M^\infty_B(d\nu)} \lesssim \rho^{\frac{\delta - 1}{\beta}} \| f \|_{M^\infty_B(d\nu)},
\]
in view of \(0 < \delta < \frac{n}{\beta}\). Therefore, \((I_\delta f'')^* (t) \lesssim \rho^{\frac{\delta - 1}{\beta}} \| f \|_{M^\infty_B(d\nu)}\) and we may estimate
\[
\| I_\delta f'' \|_{L^r(B(x_0,\rho), d\mu)} \lesssim \rho^{\frac{\delta - 1}{\beta}} \| f \|_{M^\infty_B(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu)} \left( \int_0^{\nu(B(x_0,\rho))} t^{\frac{1}{q} - 1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \rho^{\frac{\delta - 1}{\beta}} \mu(B(x_0, \rho))^{\frac{1}{q}} \| f \|_{M^\infty_B(d\nu)} \leq \rho^{\frac{\delta - 1}{\beta} + [\| \mu \|_\beta] \frac{1}{\beta}} \| f \|_{M^\infty_B(d\nu)},
\]
thanks to \(\delta = \frac{n}{\beta} - \frac{2}{\beta} + \frac{2}{\beta} \mu(B(x_0, r)) \leq [\| \mu \|_\beta] r^\beta\) for all \(x_0 \in \text{supp}(\mu)\) and \(r > 0\).
On the other hand, if \( y \in B(x_0, 2\rho) \) we invoke Lemma 4.1 with \( \alpha = n/\lambda \) and, respectively, by \((M_{n/\lambda} f')(x) \lesssim \| f \|_{M_{n/\lambda}^b(B(x_0,\rho))} \| |g|^b \|_{L_n^\alpha} = \| g \|_{L_{n/\lambda}^b}^b \) and embedding (2.3), we have

\[
\| I_\delta f' \|_{L_n^\alpha(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \lesssim \| f \|_{M_{n/\lambda}^b(B(x_0,\rho))} \| M_\gamma f'(1 - \frac{\gamma}{n/\lambda}) \|_{L_n^\alpha(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \lesssim \| f \|_{M_{n/\lambda}^b(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \| M_\gamma f' \|_{L_{n/\lambda}^b(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \lesssim \| f \|_{M_{n/\lambda}^b(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \| M_\gamma f' \|_{L_{n/\lambda}^b(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \quad (4.2)
\]

where \( b = 1 - \frac{\delta - \gamma}{\alpha - \gamma}, \quad a = 1 - b \) and \( sb \geq 1 \). Let \( 0 < \theta < 1 \) be such that

\[
\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_0} - \frac{\theta}{p_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{\bar{p}_0} + \frac{\theta}{\bar{p}_1}.
\]

where \( 1 < p_i < \bar{p}_i = \frac{\beta p}{n - \gamma p}, \quad 0 < \beta \leq n \) and \( n - \beta < \gamma p_i < n \). Hence, by pointwise inequality \( M_\gamma f'(x) \lesssim I_\gamma |f'(x)| \) and trace principle [1, Theorem 2] for potentials in \( L^p(d\mu) \) we have

\[
\| M_\gamma f' \|_{L^{\bar{p}_i} \gamma(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \lesssim \| I_\gamma f' \|_{L^{\bar{p}_i} \gamma(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \leq \| \mu \|^1_{\bar{p}_0} \| f' \|_{L^{\bar{p}_0} \gamma(R^n,d\nu)} \quad i = 0, 1
\]

provided that Radon measure \( \mu \) satisfies \( \| \mu \|_{\bar{p}_0} < \infty \). Therefore, thanks to Hunt’s Theorem (see Lemma 2.1)

\[
\| M_\gamma f' \|_{L^{\bar{p}_i} \gamma(R^n,d\nu)} \lesssim \| \mu \|^1_{\bar{p}_0} \| f' \|_{L^{\bar{p}_0} \gamma(R^n,d\nu)} \quad 1 \leq l \leq \infty,
\]

for all \( f' \in L^{\bar{p}_0} \gamma(d\nu) \) with \( 1 < p < \bar{p} = \beta p/(n - \gamma p), \quad 0 < \beta \leq n \) and \( n - \beta < \gamma p < n \). Since \( \alpha = n/\lambda \) and \( \delta = n/\lambda - \beta/\lambda, \) the request

\[
q \beta = q \left( 1 - \frac{\delta - \gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} \right) = \frac{\beta p}{n - \gamma p}
\]

is equivalent to

\[
\gamma p \beta \left( 1 - \frac{q}{\lambda*} \right) = n \beta \left( 1 - \frac{q}{\lambda*} \right) - \beta n \left( 1 - \frac{p}{\lambda} \right).
\]

In other words, from (4.5) and condition \( q/\lambda* \leq p/\lambda \) and \( 0 < \beta \leq n \) we are able to take \( n - \beta < \gamma p < n \) which yields \( p < \bar{p} \). Hence, we can insert (4.3) into (4.2) to yield

\[
\| I_\delta f' \|_{L_n^\alpha(B(x_0,\rho),d\mu)} \lesssim \| f \|_{M_{n/\lambda}^b(R^n,d\nu)} \| \mu \|^1_{\bar{p}_0} \| f' \|_{L^{\bar{p}_0} \gamma(R^n,d\nu)} \lesssim \| \mu \|^1_{\bar{p}_0} \| f' \|_{L^{\bar{p}_0} \gamma(B(x_0,2\rho),d\nu)} \lesssim \| \mu \|^1_{\bar{p}_0} \| f' \|_{M_{n/\lambda}^b(R^n,d\nu)} \quad (4.6)
\]

the equality (4.6) is consequence of (4.4) and (4.5). Indeed,

\[
\left( \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{\lambda} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\delta - \gamma}{\alpha - \gamma} \right) = \frac{\bar{p}}{q} \left( \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{\lambda} \right) = \frac{\bar{p}}{q} \frac{1}{p \beta} \left[ n \beta \left( 1 - \frac{p}{\lambda} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{n - \gamma p} \left[ n \beta \left( 1 - \frac{q}{\lambda*} \right) - \gamma p \beta \left( 1 - \frac{q}{\lambda*} \right) \right] = \beta \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\lambda*} \right).
\]
Note that \( 0 < \gamma < \delta < \alpha \) implies \( 1 > b > p/q \geq \lambda/\lambda_* \) and \( l/s \leq b < 1 \). The estimates (4.1) and (4.6) give us
\[
\rho^{-\beta\left(\frac{\beta}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right)} \|I_\delta f\|_{L^{q_0}((\mu|_{M})_n(B_\rho))} \lesssim \|\mu\|_\beta^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \|f\|_{M_{pl}^\lambda(d\nu)},
\]
the desired continuity of the map \( I_\delta : M_{pl}^\lambda(d\nu) \to M_{q_0}^\lambda(M, d\mu) \).

4.2 The condition \( \|\mu\|_\beta < \infty \) is necessary

Let \( B(x_0, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) be a ball centered in \( x_0 \) and with radii \( r > 0 \). Choosing \( f = \chi_{B(x_0, r)} \), for \( x \in B(x_0, r) \) we may estimate
\[
(I_\delta f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x - y|^{-n} \chi_{B(x_0, r)}(y) d\nu = \int_{|x - y| < r} |x - y|^{-n} d\nu \gtrsim r^{\delta - n} \nu(B(x_0, r)) \sim r^\delta
\]
thanks to \( 0 < \delta < n \) and \( |x - y| \leq 2r \). It follows that decreasing rearrangement of \( I_\delta f \) satisfies \( (I_\delta f)^*(t) \gtrsim r^\delta \). Therefore, by definitions (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain
\[
\|I_\delta f\|_{L^{q_0}(B(x_0, r), d\mu)} \gtrsim r^\delta \left( \int_0^1 \|I_\delta f\|_{L^{q_0}(B(x_0, r), d\mu)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \sim r^{\frac{n}{\lambda} - \frac{\beta}{\lambda}} \|\mu(B(x_0, r))\|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \text{ for } x_0 \in \text{supp}(\mu).
\]
(4.7)

Since \( I_\delta : M_{pl}^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n, d\nu) \to M_{q_0}^\lambda(M, d\mu) \) is bounded and \( \|\chi_{B(x_0, r)}\|_{M_{pl}^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim r^{n/\lambda} \), it follows from (4.7) that
\[
r^{\frac{n}{\lambda}} \gtrsim \|I_\delta (\chi_{B(x_0, r)})\|_{M_{q_0}^\lambda(M, d\mu)} \gtrsim r^{\beta\left(\frac{\beta}{p} - \frac{1}{s}\right)} \|I_\delta f\|_{L^{q_0}(B(x_0, r), d\mu)} \gtrsim r^{\frac{n}{\lambda} - \frac{\beta}{\lambda}} \|\mu(B(x_0, r))\|^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.
\]
Hence, the Radon measure \( \mu \) satisfies \( \mu(B(x_0, r)) \lesssim r^\delta \).

5 Proof of Sobolev trace on Morrey

Recall that an extension over Lipschitz domain \( \Omega = \{(x', x_n) : (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : x_n > \varphi(x')\} \) can be defined as follows. Let \( f \in M_{pl}^\lambda(\Omega) \), the Calderón-Stein’s extension \( \bar{f} \) is defined by
\[
\bar{f}(x', x_n) = \begin{cases} f(x', x_n) & \text{on } \Omega \\ f_{1}^{\infty} f(x', x_n + t\delta^*(x', x_n)) \psi(t) dt & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}
\]
(5.1)

where \( \psi \) is a continuous function on \([1, \infty)\) such that \( \psi(t) = O(t^{-N}) \) as \( t \to \infty \) for all \( N \) (see [17, Lemma 1, p.182]).
\[
\int_{1}^{\infty} \psi(t) dt = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{1}^{\infty} t^k \psi(t) dt = 0, \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \cdots
\]
and \( \delta^*(x) \) denote the regularized distance of \( \text{dist}(x, \Omega) \), see [17, Theorem 2]. In particular, if \( \Omega = \mathbb{R}^{n}_{+} \) and \( f \in M_{pl}^\lambda(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+}) \), the Calderón-Stein’s extension is given by
\[
\bar{f}(x', x_n) = \begin{cases} f(x', x_n) & \text{if } x_n \geq 0 \\ f_{1}^{\infty} f(x', (1 - 2t)x_n) \psi(t) dt & \text{if } x_n < 0, \end{cases}
\]
(5.2)
provided the integral \( \int_{1}^{\infty} (\cdots) dt \) converge.
Lemma 5.1. Let \( n \geq 2 \) and \( f \in \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+) \), then its extension (5.2) satisfies \( \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and there exists \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\| \tilde{f} \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\| f \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} ,
\]
for all \( 1 < p \leq \lambda < \infty, 1 \leq d \leq \infty \).

Proof. For each \( x' \) fixed, the scaling property \( \| f(\gamma \cdot) \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}} = \gamma^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \| f \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}} \) yields
\[
\| f(x', (2t - 1)x_n) \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} = (2t - 1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \| f(x', x_n) \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}.
\]
It follows that
\[
\| \tilde{f}\chi_{\{x_n < 0\}} \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\| \int_1^\infty f(x', (2t - 1)x_n)\psi(t)dt \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}
\leq \int_1^\infty \| f(x', (2t - 1)x_n) \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} |\psi(t)|dt
\leq \left( \int_1^\infty (2t - 1)^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} |\psi(t)|dt \right) \| f \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)}
\leq C \| f \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} ,
\]
in view of decay \( \psi(t) = O(t^{-N}) \). Since \( \| \tilde{f}\chi_{\{x_n \geq 0\}} \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \| f \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} \), these considerations imply (5.3).

Let \( \bar{u} \in \mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be extension of \( u \) and \( \bar{f} = I_{\partial^n} \bar{u} \). Thanks to Lemma 5.1 and trace Theorem 1.1 on \( \partial^n \mathbb{R}^n_+ \),
\[
\| \text{Tr} \bar{f} \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\partial^n \mathbb{R}^n_+)} \lesssim \| \bar{u} \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \| u \|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} = \left\| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\lambda}{2p}} f \right\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}_{pd}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)} ,
\]
since \( \text{Tr}_{\partial^n \mathbb{R}^n_+} \bar{f} = f(x', 0) \) thanks to \( \int_1^\infty \psi(t)dt = 1 \), this finish the proof.
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