# EXTRACTING NON-CANONICAL PLACES

JOAQUÍN MORAGA

ABSTRACT. Let (X, B) be a log canonical pair and  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of divisorial valuations with log discrepancy in [0, 1). We prove that there exists a projective birational morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  so that the exceptional divisors are Q-Cartier and correspond to elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ . We study how two such models are related. Moreover, we provide an application to the study of deformations of log canonical singularities.

## Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	3
3.	Proof of the main Theorem	4
Re	References	

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study the extraction of log canonical places from a log canonical pair (X, B). In particular, we are interested in studying models that extract a prescribed finite set of divisorial valuations with log discrepancy in [0, 1). Our proof is an inductive argument in which we extract such valuations one-by-one. The main techniques involved are the minimal model program for Q-factorial dlt pairs over a birational base [BCHM10] and the existence of certain good minimal models due to Birkar, Hacon and Xu [Bir12, HX13]. We will prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let (X, B) be a log canonical pair with B a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of divisorial valuations with log discrepancy in [0, 1). Then, there exists a projective birational morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) The exceptional locus  $Ex(\pi)$  is purely divisorial,
- (2) the exceptional divisors are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier,
- (3) the exceptional divisors correspond to elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ , and

(4) there exists an anti-effective divisor supported on the exceptional locus which is ample over X.

In particular, we may find a boundary divisor  $B_Y$  on Y satisfying the following conditions:

- (5)  $B_Y$  and  $\pi_*^{-1}B$  only differ at exceptional divisors of  $\pi$ ,
- (6)  $(Y, B_Y)$  is log canonical,
- (7)  $K_Y + B_Y$  is ample over X, and
- (8) no log canonical center of  $(Y, B_Y)$  is contained in  $Ex(\pi)$ .

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14E30, Secondary 14B05.

#### J. MORAGA

It is well-known for the experts that we can extract all the valuations in  $\mathcal{V}$  in a Q-factorial dlt modification of (X, B). However, such a model would often extract more exceptional divisors and contain small components in the exceptional locus. Controlling the number of exceptional divisors on the dlt modifications seems impossible. Indeed, the log canonical places extracted by a dlt modification come from log canonical places extracted in a log resolution. Hence to control the former, we would need to control the construction of log resolutions. Instead, in this article, we will start from a dlt modification and contract the extra divisors by running several MMP's over different bases. Applying the above result, we can generalize the extraction of non-canonical places of klt pairs [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3].

**Corollary 1.** Let (X, B) be a log canonical pair with  $B \ a \mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of divisorial valuations with log discrepancy in [0, 1]. Furthermore, assume that no canonical center contains a log canonical center. Then, there exists a projective birational morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  so that the exceptional divisors are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and correspond to elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

It is natural to ask how to relate two such birational extractions. Once we fix the boundary  $B_Y$  such model is unique due to the uniqueness of ample models over the base. However, it is interesting to understand how two models  $Y_1 \to X$  and  $Y_2 \to X$  satisfying (1)-(3) are related. In this direction, we can prove the following statement up to dimension three.

**Proposition 1.** Let (X, B) be a log canonical pair of dimension at most three with B a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of divisorial valuations with log discrepancy in [0, 1). Let  $Y_1 \to X$  and  $Y_2 \to X$  two birational models satisfying (1)-(3) of Theorem 1. Then the small birational map  $Y_1 \dashrightarrow Y_2$  factors as a sequence of flops over X and possibly a small contraction over X. In particular, if  $\rho(Y_1/X) = \rho(Y_2/X)$ , then the small birational map  $Y_1 \dashrightarrow Y_2$  factors as a sequence of flops over X.

Here,  $\rho(Y|X)$  is the rank of the Q-vector space generated by Q-Cartier divisors on Y modulo Q-linear equivalence over X. In higher dimensions, the above statement follows from the minimal model program for log canonical pairs over a birational morphism and the abundance conjecture.

It is an interesting question whether a birational morphism admits an anti-effective divisor supported in the exceptional locus which is ample over the base. This is the case for instance if the birational morphism is a composition of blow-ups of centers of codimension at least two. As an application of the main theorem, we may find such divisor on a small model of our birational map whenever we extract log discrepancies in the interval [0, 1).

**Corollary 2.** Let (X, B) be a log canonical pair and  $\pi: Y \to X$  be a birational morphism which only extract divisors with log discrepancy in [0, 1). Then, there exists a small morphism  $Y \dashrightarrow Y'$  over X, so that  $\pi': Y' \to X$  admits an anti-effective divisor supported on  $Ex(\pi')$  which is ample over the base.

Another straightforward application is the extraction of a unique exceptional divisor E over a log canonical germ whose normalization carries a log Calabi-Yau structure. We remark that it is not expected that E carries the structure of a slc pair, since in general, it may not be  $S_2$  (see, e.g., [92]). This an analog of the so-called plt blow-up for klt singularities [Xu14].

**Corollary 3.** Let  $x \in (X, B)$  be a log canonical singularity, then there exists a birational morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  which extracts a unique divisor E mapping onto x, so that -E is ample over X, and  $(Y, B_Y + E)$  is log canonical. Here,  $B_Y$  is the strict transform of B on Y. Moreover, if  $E^{\eta} \to E$  is the normalization of E, then there exists a boundary  $B^{\eta}$  so that  $(E^{\eta}, B^{\eta})$  is a log Calabi-Yau pair.

Given a log canonical pair (X, B) which is not klt, we can ask how many divisors we need to extract over X to obtain a variety with klt singularities. The following application states that such number equals the number of maximal log canonical centers of (X, B), i.e., the number of log canonical centers which are maximal with respect to the inclusion. **Corollary 4.** Let (X, B) be a log canonical pair which is not klt. There exists a projective birational morphism  $Y \to X$  so that Y has klt singularities, and  $\pi$  extracts exactly one divisor over each maximal log canonical center of (X, B).

Finally, we apply the main theorem to study degenerations of log canonical singularities. The following statement is a generalization of the fact that klt singularities deform to cone over Fano type varieties [LX16].

**Theorem 2.** Log canonical singularities degenerate to singularities whose normalizations are log canonical cones over log Calabi-Yau pairs. Moreover, isolated  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial log canonical singularities degenerate to cones over slc Calabi-Yau pairs.

## 2. Preliminaries

We work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

**Definition 2.1.** A log pair is a pair (X, B) consisting of a normal quasi-projective variety X and an effective  $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor B so that  $K_X + B$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier. Given a projective birational morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  from a normal quasi-projective variety Y, and a prime divisor E on Y, we define the log discrepancy of (X, B) at E to be

$$a_E(X, B) := 1 - \operatorname{coeff}_E(\pi^*(K_X + B)).$$

We say that a log discrepancy is exceptional if the center of E on X is not a divisor. We say that a log pair (X, B) is *terminal* (resp. *canonical*) if all its exceptional log discrepancies are greater than one (resp. greater than or equal to one). We say that a log pair (X, B) is *Kawamata log terminal* (resp. *log canonical*) if all its log discrepancies are positive (resp. non-negative). We may use the usual abbreviation lc (resp. klt) for log canonical (resp. Kawamata log terminal).

**Definition 2.2.** Let (X, B) be a log canonical pair. A divisor E over X is said to be a log canonical place (resp. canonical place) if  $a_E(X, B) = 0$  (resp.  $a_E(X, B) = 1$ ). The image of a log canonical place (resp. canonical place) in X is said to be a log canonical center (resp. canonical center).

**Definition 2.3.** A log pair (X, B) is said to be *divisorially log terminal* (or dlt for short) if there exists an open set  $U \subset X$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) the coefficients of B are at most one,
- (2) U is smooth and  $B|_U$  has simple normal crossing, and
- (3) any log canonical center of (X, B) intersect U and is given by strata of  $\lfloor B \rfloor$ .

Given a log canonical pair (X, B), we say that  $\pi: Y \to X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial dlt modification if Y is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial,  $\pi$  only extract log canonical places, and  $\pi^*(K_X + B) = K_Y + B_Y$  is a dlt pair. The existence of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial dlt modifications for log canonical pairs is well-known (see, e.g. [KK10]).

**Definition 2.4.** A demi-normal scheme is a  $S_2$  scheme whose codimension one points are either regular or nodes. Let  $\pi: X^{\eta} \to X$  be the normalization morphism. The conductor ideal  $\operatorname{Hom}_X(\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{X^{\eta}}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the largest ideal sheaf on X which is also an ideal sheaf on  $X^{\eta}$ . Therefore, it defines two subschemes D and  $D^{\eta}$ on X and  $X^{\eta}$  respectively which we call the *conductor subschemes*.

Let X be a demi-normal scheme. Denote by D its conductor. Let B be an effective divisor on X whose support does not contain any component of the conductor D. Let  $\pi: X^{\eta} \to X$  be the normalization morphism and  $B^{\eta}$  the divisorial part of  $\pi^{-1}(B)$ . We say that (X, B) is semi log canonical if  $K_X + B$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and  $K_{X^{\eta}} + B^{\eta} + D^{\eta}$  is log canonical.

### J. MORAGA

#### 3. Proof of the main Theorem

Proof of Theorem 1. We will construct the morphism  $Y \to X$  inductively by extracting the non-canonical places one-by-one. We denote by  $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$  the finite set of divisorial valuations.

Let  $Y_1 \to X$  be a Q-factorial dlt modification of (X, B). We may assume that all the divisorial valuations of  $\mathcal{V}$  which have log discrepancy zero are indeed divisors on  $Y_1$ . Since  $Y_1$  is klt and Q-factorial, we may apply [BCHM10, Corollary 1.4.3] to further extract all divisorial valuations of  $\mathcal{V}$  with positive log discrepancy. Hence, we may assume  $Y_1 \to X$  extracts all the divisorial valuations of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Moreover, we have that the log pull-back of  $K_X + B$  to  $Y_1$  is Q-factorial and log canonical. We further replace  $Y_1$  with a Q-factorial dlt modification for the log pull-back of  $K_X + B$  to  $Y_1$ . Let  $\pi_1 \colon Y_1 \to X$  be the projective birational morphism. We can write

$$\pi_1^*(K_X + B) = K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + E_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q},X} 0$$

where  $B_{Y_1}$  is the strict transform of B on  $Y_1$ , and  $E_{Y_1}$  is an effective divisor supported on the exceptional divisors. By construction, the above pair is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial and dlt. Let  $F_{Y_1}$  be the center of  $v_1$  on  $Y_1$ . We may run a minimal model program for

$$K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + E_{Y_1} - \epsilon_1 F_{Y_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, X} -\epsilon_1 F_{Y_1}$$

over X with scaling of an ample divisor. The existence of such a minimal model program follows from [BCHM10]. By [HX13, Theorem 1.6], this minimal model program terminates with a Q-factorial good minimal model  $Y'_1$ . Let  $K_{Y'_1} + B_{Y'_1} + E_{Y'_1} - \epsilon_1 F_{Y'_1}$  be the relatively semiample divisor over X.

We claim that the MMP  $Y \rightarrow Y'_1$  over X does not contract  $F_{Y_1}$ . We proceed by contradiction. Assume that the minimal model program  $Y \rightarrow Y'_1$  over X contract  $F_{Y_1}$ . By monotonicity of log discrepancies under the minimal model program, we obtain

$$\alpha := a_{v_1}(X, B) = a_{v_1}(K_{Y_1'} + B_{Y_1'} + E_{Y_1'}) > a_{v_1}(K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + E_{Y_1} - \epsilon_1 E_{Y_1}) = \alpha + \epsilon_1,$$

leading to a contradiction. Hence, the center of  $F_1$  on  $Y'_1$  is a divisor.

Let  $X_1 \to X$  be the relative ample model for

$$K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + E_{Y_1} - \epsilon_1 F_{Y_1}$$

over X. By construction, the morphism  $Y'_1 \to X_1$  is  $F_{Y_1}$ -trivial. Hence, if  $Y'_1 \to X_1$  contract  $F_{Y'_1}$ , then we obtain

$$\alpha = a_{E_1}(K_X + B) = a_{E_1}(K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1} + E_{Y_1} - \epsilon_1 F_{Y_1}) = \alpha + \epsilon_1$$

leading to a contradiction. Thus, we conclude that the strict transform of  $F_{Y'_1}$  on  $X_1$  is a divisor. We call such divisor  $F_{X_1}$ . By construction,  $F_{X_1}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and  $-F_{X_1}$  is ample over X. In particular, the exceptional locus of  $\phi_1 \colon X_1 \to X$  is purely divisorial and extract a unique divisor  $F_{X_1}$ . Hence, we have that

$$K_{X_1} + B_{X_1} + (1 - \alpha)F_{X_1} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, X} 0_{\mathcal{Q}}$$

is log canonical, and

$$K_{X_1} + B_{X_1} + (1 - \alpha - \epsilon_1)F_{X_1}$$

is log canonical and ample over X. It is clear that all the log canonical centers of  $(X_1, B_{X_1} + (1 - \alpha)F_{X_1})$  are either the strict transform of a log canonical center of (X, B) or are contained in the support of  $F_{X_1}$ . Hence, the log canonical centers of  $(X_1, B_{X_1} + (1 - \alpha - \epsilon_1)F_{X_1})$  are the strict transform of the log canonical centers of (X, B). We define  $B_1 := B_{X_1} + (1 - \alpha - \epsilon_1)F_{X_1}$ . Thus, the projective birational morphism  $X_1 \to X$  and the pair  $(X_1, B_1)$  satisfy the conditions of the statement for  $\mathcal{V}_1 := \{v_1\}$ .

Proceeding inductively, we can find a sequence of birational extractions

$$X =: X_0 \leftarrow X_1 \leftarrow X_2 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow X_k$$

where each  $X_i \to X_{i-1}$ , with  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , only extracts the divisor corresponding to  $v_i$ . Hence, we may define  $Y := X_k$ . Observe that the centers of  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  on Y are Q-Cartier. We denote such centers by  $F_i$ . Hence, the morphism  $Y \to X$  satisfies the conditions (1)-(3) of the statement. It suffices to prove that  $Y \to X$  admits an anti-effective divisor supported on the exceptional locus which is ample over the base. By construction, we know that for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$  the divisor  $-F_{X_i}$  (the center of  $v_i$  on  $X_i$  is ample over  $X_{i-1}$ . Then, we can choose

$$1 \gg \epsilon_1 \gg \epsilon_2 \gg \cdots \gg \epsilon_k > 0,$$

so that the divisor

$$-\sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i F_i$$

has support equal to  $\text{Ex}(\pi)$  and is ample over X. Let  $(Y, \Delta_Y)$  be the log pull-back of (X, B). We define the divisor

$$B_Y := \Delta_Y - \sum_{i=1}^k \epsilon_i F_i.$$

Thus, the pair  $K_Y + B_Y$  is log canonical and ample over X. Moreover, no log canonical center of  $K_Y + B_Y$  is contained in the exceptional locus of  $Y \to X$ . In particular, all the log canonical centers of  $(Y, B_Y)$  are strict transforms of the log canonical centers of (X, B) which are not contained in the image of the exceptional locus.

Proof of Corollary 1. Since no canonical center of (X, B) contains a log canonical center of (X, B), we can add an effective ample divisor A which contains all the canonical centers and doesn't contain any log canonical center. In particular, (X, B + A) is log canonical, and all the canonical centers of (X, B) are non-canonical centers of (X, B + A). Hence, the finite set  $\mathcal{V}$  of divisorial valuations with log discrepancy in [0, 1] for (X, B)becomes a set of divisorial valuations with log discrepancy in [0, 1) for (X, B + A). Fianlly, we can apply Theorem 1 to the log pair (X, B + A) to extract all the valuations corresponding to elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Proof of Propostion 1. By Theorem 1, we know that there exists a divisor  $B_{Y_2}$  on  $Y_2$  so that  $(Y_2, B_{Y_2})$  is an ample log canonical model over X. Moreover, the log pull-back of (X, B) to  $Y_2$  and  $K_{Y_2} + B_{Y_2}$  differ only at the exceptional divisors of  $Y_2 \to X$ . Hence, if we let  $B_{Y_1}$  to be the strict transform of  $B_{Y_2}$  on  $Y_1$ , we have that  $(Y_1, B_{Y_1})$  is a log canonical pair. We can run a minimal model program for  $K_{Y_1} + B_{Y_1}$  with scaling of an ample divisor over X. Such minimal model program contract no divisors, so it is a sequence of flops relative to X for the log pull-back of (X, B). It terminates with a good minimal model over X whose ample model is  $(Y_2, B_{Y_2})$ . The morphism to the ample model is a small contraction to  $Y_2$  over X. Thus, we achieved to factor the morphism  $Y_1 \dashrightarrow Y_2$  as a sequence of flops over X and possibly a small contraction over X. If  $\rho(Y_1/X) = \rho(Y_2/X)$ , then the minimal model and the ample model agree, so there is no such small contraction.

Proof of Corollary 2. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of divisorial valuations extracted by  $\pi$ . Applying Theorem 1 to the set of valuations  $\mathcal{V}$  of the log canonical pair (X, B), we get such small projective birational morphism  $\pi' \colon Y' \to X$ .

Proof of Corollary 3. Let  $x \in (X, B)$  be a log canonical singularity. Without loss of assumptions, we may assume that x is a log canonical center. Otherwise, we may cut down the minimal log canonical center containing x by adding a Q-Cartier ample divisor. Hence, there exists a divisorial valuation v whose center on X is x. By Theorem 1 applied to the set  $\mathcal{V} = \{v\}$ , we conclude that there exists a projective birational morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  that extracts a unique divisor E over X which is anti-ample over X, and  $(Y, B_Y + E)$ is log canonical. Here, we are denoting by  $B_Y$  the strict transform of B on Y. By construction  $K_Y + B_Y +$   $E \sim_{\mathbb{Q},X} 0$ , hence the restriction  $K_Y + B_Y + E|_E$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly trivial. The last statement follows from the theory of adjunction to log canonical places [Hac14].

Proof of Corollary 4. For each maximal log canonical center  $Z_i$  of (X, B) we may find a log canonical place that maps to it. We denote by  $v_i$  the corresponding divisorial valuation. Since (X, B) has finitely many log canonical centers, then we may find a finite set of divisorial valuations  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  each of them corresponding to a single maximal log canonical center of (X, B). Let  $\pi: Y \to X$  be the projective birational morphism constructed by Theorem 1 applied to the finite set  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then, by construction  $(Y, B_Y)$  has klt singularities.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 2. By Corollary 3 there exists a projective birational morphism  $\pi: Y \to X$  which extracts a unique divisor E mapping onto x, so that -E is ample over X, and  $(Y, B_Y + E)$  is log canonical. Moreover, if  $E^{\eta} \to E$  is the normalization of E, then there exists a boundary  $B^{\eta}$  so that  $(E^{\eta}, B^{\eta})$  is a log Calabi-Yau pair. We denote by  $v = \operatorname{ord}_E$ . Consider the graded ring

$$A := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(v) / a_{k-1}(v),$$

where

$$a_k(v) := \{ f \in \mathbb{K}(X) \mid v(f) \ge k \}$$

is an ideal sheaf on X. We can also consider the extended Rees algebra of the above ring

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k(v) t^{-k} \subset A[t, t^{-1}]$$

The affine variety  $\mathcal{X} := \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{R})$  admits a flat morphism to  $\mathbb{A}^1$  and its general fiber is isomorphic to X. Moreover, the central fiber is isomorphic to  $X_0 := \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ . We claim that  $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$  is isomorphic to the orbifold cone over E with respect to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -polarization  $-E|_E$ . Since E may be non-normal, the orbifold cone may be non-normal as well. Let c be a natural number so that cE is Cartier on Y. By the restriction exact sequence, we have

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_Y(-(c+1)E) \to \mathcal{O}_Y(-cE) \to \mathcal{O}_E(-cE) \to 0$$

Observe that  $-(c+1)E - K_Y - B_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} -cE$  which is ample over X and  $(Y, B_Y)$  has log canonical singularities. Hence, we may apply a relative version of Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing for log canonical pairs [Fuj14, Theorem 1.7], to deduce that

$$R^{1}\pi_{*}\mathcal{O}_{X}(-(c+1)E)) \simeq H^{1}(Y,\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-(c+1)E)) = 0$$

So, we have an isomorphism

$$H^{0}(E, \mathcal{O}(-cE|_{E})) \simeq H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-cE))/H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-(c+1)E)) \simeq a_{c}(v)/a_{c+1}(v)$$

Then  $A^{(c)} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{ck}(v)/a_{ck+1}(v)$  is isomorphic to the cone over E with respect to the polarization  $-cE|_E$ . We define

$$X_0^{(c)} := \operatorname{Spec}(A^{(c)}).$$

Note that we have a cyclic *c*-th cover  $X_0^{(c)} \to X_0$ . This cyclic cover ramifies over the points of *E* on which *E* is not a Cartier divisor on *Y*. This proves the claim. On the other hand, the normalization of  $X_0$  is the cone over  $E^{\nu}$  with respect to the Q-polarization given by the pull-back of  $-E|_E$  to  $E^{\nu}$ . Since  $(E^{\nu}, B^{\nu})$  is a log canonical log Calabi-Yau pair, we conclude that the cone is a log canonical cone over a log Calabi-Yau pair [Kol13].

Finally, if  $x \in (X, B)$  is a Q-factorial isolated singularity, we conclude that  $(Y, B_Y)$  is a Q-factorial klt pair. In particular, Y is Cohen-Macaulay, so E is Cohen-Macaulay as well. We deduce that E is a  $S_2$  scheme, so it is semi-log canonical. Hence, the central fiber of the above degeneration is a cone over a semi-log canonical log Calabi-Yau pair.  $\hfill \Box$ 

#### References

- [BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James McKernan, Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 2, 405–468, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-09-00649-3. MR2601039 ↑1, 2, 4
  - [Bir12] Caucher Birkar, Existence of log canonical flips and a special LMMP, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 115 (2012), 325–368, DOI 10.1007/s10240-012-0039-5. MR2929730 ↑1
  - [Fuj14] Osamu Fujino, Fundamental theorems for semi log canonical pairs, Algebr. Geom. 1 (2014), no. 2, 194–228, DOI 10.14231/AG-2014-011. MR3238112 ↑6
  - [Hac14] Christopher D. Hacon, On the log canonical inversion of adjunction, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 57 (2014), no. 1, 139–143, DOI 10.1017/S0013091513000837. MR3165017  $\uparrow 6$
  - [HX13] Christopher D. Hacon and Chenyang Xu, Existence of log canonical closures, Invent. Math. 192 (2013), no. 1, 161–195, DOI 10.1007/s00222-012-0409-0. MR3032329 ↑1, 4
  - [KK10] János Kollár and Sándor J. Kovács, Log canonical singularities are Du Bois, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (2010), no. 3, 791–813, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-10-00663-6. MR2629988  $\uparrow 3$ 
    - [92] Adjunction and discrepancies in "Flips and abundance for algebraic threefolds", Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1992. Papers from the Second Summer Seminar on Algebraic Geometry held at the University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, August 1991; Astérisque No. 211 (1992) (1992). MR1225842 ↑2
  - [Kol13] János Kollár, Singularities of the minimal model program, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács. MR3057950 ↑6
  - [LX16] Chi Li and Chenyang Xu, Stability of Valuations and Kollár Components, 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.05398. ↑3
  - [Xu14] Chenyang Xu, Finiteness of algebraic fundamental groups, Compos. Math. 150 (2014), no. 3, 409–414, DOI 10.1112/S0010437X13007562. MR3187625 ↑2

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, FINE HALL, WASHINGTON ROAD, PRINCETON, NJ 08544-1000, USA

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{jmoraga@princeton.edu}$