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#### Abstract

For an integer $d \geq 2$, a family $\mathcal{F}$ of sets is $d$-wise intersecting if for any distinct sets $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{d} \in \mathcal{F}, A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \cdots \cap A_{d} \neq \emptyset$, and non-trivial if $\cap \mathcal{F}=\emptyset$. Hilton and Milner conjectured that for $k \geq d \geq 2$ and large enough $n$, the extremal non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family of $k$-element subsets of $[n]$ is one of the following two families: $$
\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(k, d) & =\left\{A \in\binom{[n]}{k}:[d-1] \subset A, A \cap[d, k+1] \neq \emptyset\right\} \cup\{[k+1] \backslash\{i\}: i \in[d-1]\} \\ \mathcal{A}(k, d) & =\left\{A \in\binom{n j]}{k}:|A \cap[d+1]| \geq d\right\} . \end{aligned}
$$


The celebrated Hilton-Milner Theorem states that $\mathcal{H}(k, 2)$ is the unique extremal non-trivial intersecting family for $k>3$. We prove the conjecture and prove a stability theorem, stating that any large enough non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family of $k$-element subsets of $[n]$ is a subfamily of $\mathcal{A}(k, d)$ or $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$.

## 1 Introduction

The celebrated Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem [3] states that for $n \geq 2 k$, the maximum size of an intersecting family of $k$-element subsets of $[n]:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$. Furthermore, equality holds for $n>2 k$ if and only if there is a point in the intersection of all sets in the family. Here and in what follows we write $\binom{[n]}{k}$ for the family of $k$-element subsets of $[n]$, and $[a, b]=\{a, a+1, \ldots, b\}$ for integers $a<b$. In their paper, Erdős, Ko and Rado asked for the maximum size of an intersecting family $\mathcal{F}$ of $k$-element subsets of $[n]$ such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F}=\emptyset$. This question was answered by Hilton and Milner (15).

Theorem 1 (Hilton-Milner). Let $n>2 k$ and $k \geq 3$. If $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ is a non-trivial intersecting family, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq\binom{ n-1}{k-1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-1}+1$.

This may be viewed as a stability version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem, in the sense that an intersecting family of size larger than the bound in Theorem $\square$ is necessarily a subfamily of the extremal intersecting family - i.e. there a point in the intersection of all sets in the family. There

[^0]have been many recent directions [1,9,12,14,16] in the classical Hilton-Milner theory generalizing Theorem [1. Hilton and Milner [15] considered an extension of Theorem 1 to $d$-wise intersecting families: a family $\mathcal{F}$ of sets is $d$-wise intersecting if any set of $d$ distinct sets in $\mathcal{F}$ have non-empty intersection, with the case $d=2$ corresponding to intersecting families. Hilton and Milner [15] conjectured that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a $d$-wise intersecting family of $k$-sets in $[n]$, then for large enough $n$, one of the following two families is extremal:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}(k, d)=\left\{A \in\binom{[n]}{k}:|A \cap[d+1]| \geq d\right\} \\
& \mathcal{H}(k, d)=\left\{A \in\binom{[n]}{k}:[d-1] \subset A, A \cap[d, k+1] \neq \emptyset\right\} \cup\{[k+1] \backslash\{i\}: i \in[d-1]\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

We suppress notation to indicate that $\mathcal{A}(k, d)$ and $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$ depend on $n$. It is straightforward to check for large enough $n$ relative to $k$ and $d$ that $|\mathcal{A}(k, d)| \geq|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|$ if and only if $2 d \geq k+1$. In fact, the sizes of these families are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}(k, d)| & =(d+1)\binom{n-d-1}{k-d}+\binom{n-d-1}{k-d-1} \\
|\mathcal{H}(k, d)| & =\binom{n-d+1}{k-d+1}-\binom{n-k-1}{k-d+1}+d-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper, we prove the conjecture of Hilton and Milner, including a stability result. To state our theorem, we need the following additional non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family:

$$
\mathcal{B}(k, d)=\left\{B \in\binom{[n]}{k}:|B \cap[d-1]|=d-2,[d, k] \subset B\right\} \cup\left\{B \in\binom{[n]}{k}:[d-1] \subset B, B \cap[d, k] \neq \emptyset\right\} .
$$

The role of this family is in the stability for non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting families of $k$-element sets when $2 d<k$, in which case $|\mathcal{A}(k, d)| \leq|\mathcal{B}(k, d)| \leq|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|$ with equalities if and only if $k=3$ and $d=2$ and are all isomorphic when $d=k$. Our main theorem is as follows:

Theorem 2. Let $k, d$ be integers with $2 \leq d<k$. Then there exists $n_{0}(k, d)$ such that for $n \geq$ $n_{0}(k, d)$, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a non-trivial, $d$-wise intersecting family of $k$-element subsets of $[n]$, then

$$
|\mathcal{F}| \leq \max \{|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|,|\mathcal{A}(k, d)|\} .
$$

Furthermore, if $2 d \geq k$ and $|\mathcal{F}|>\min \{|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|,|\mathcal{A}(k, d)|\}$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(k, d)$ or $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(k, d)$. If $2 d<k$ and $|\mathcal{F}|>|\mathcal{B}(k, d)|$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(k, d)$.
We use the Delta system method to prove Theorem 2, which gives $n_{0}(k, d)=d+e\left(k^{2} 2^{k}\right)^{2^{k}}(k-d)$. This is very unlikely to be best possible, and we conjecture that the following holds:

Conjecture 1. For $k>d \geq 2$ and $n \geq k d /(d-1)$, the unique extremal non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting families of $k$-element subsets of $[n]$ are $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$ and $\mathcal{A}(k, d)$.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we establish basic properties and structural results. In Section 3 we describe the Delta system method and apply it to non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting families. We then prove Theorem 2 in Section 4. We will use calligraphic font to denote set families, capital letters to denote sets and lower case letters to denote elements.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will prove some basic facts and structural results pertaining to non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting families.

### 2.1 Basic Properties

We will first show, as was initially done by Hilton and Milner in [15, that there cannot be a $k$-uniform non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family for $d>k$.

Lemma 1. [15] Let $d>k$, then there does not exist a d-wise intersecting non-trivial $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$.
Proof. Fix $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then for each $a \in A$, there exists $X_{a} \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $a \notin X_{a}$ by the definition of non-trivial. Then $A \cap \bigcap_{a \in A} X_{a}=\emptyset$ which is a contradiction.

A similar argument as in Lemma 1 also gives an upper-bound on the $m$-wise intersections from a non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family.

Lemma 2. Given a non-trivial d-wise intersecting family $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ and $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$
\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} A_{i}\right| \geq d-(m-1)
$$

Proof. Suppose not, then we may find a set in $\mathcal{F}$ for each element in the above intersection which does not contain that element and violate the $d$-wise intersecting property of $\mathcal{F}$.

In the case where $d=k$, we first note that $K_{k+1}^{(k)}$ is a non-trivial $k$-wise intersecting family and prove this is the only such example.

Proposition 1. [15] If $n \geq k+1$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ is a $k$-wise intersecting non-trivial family, then $\mathcal{F} \cong K_{k+1}^{(k)} \cong \mathcal{A}(k, k)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then without loss of generality we may assume that $A=[k]$. Observe that there exists $A_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $1 \notin A_{1}$ and by Lemma 2, $\left|A \cap A_{1}\right|=k-1$. Without loss of generality, let $A_{1} \backslash A=\{k+1\}$. Then for each $i \in[2, k]$, there exists $A_{i} \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $i \notin A_{i}$. Next, Lemma 2 yields that $\left|A \cap A_{i}\right|=k-1$ and $\left|A_{1} \cap A_{i}\right|=k-1$ and as a result $A_{i} \cap[k+2, n]=\emptyset$. Putting these all together we get that $K_{k+1}^{(k)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ and noting that $K_{k+1}^{(k)}$ is saturated yields the desired result.

Proposition $\mathbb{\square}$ verifies Conjecture $\mathbb{1}$ in the case where $d=k$.

### 2.2 Structure of non-trivial $d$-wise Intersecting Families

Following the notation from Mubayi and the second author [17], a Delta system is a hypergraph $\Delta$ such that for all distinct $e, f \in \Delta, e \cap f=\cap_{g \in \Delta} g$. We let $\Delta_{k, s}$ be a $k$-uniform Delta system with $s$ edges and define core $(\Delta):=\cap_{g \in \Delta} g$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{n n}{k}$ and $X \subset[n]$, then define the core degree of $X$ in $\mathcal{F}$ to be

$$
d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\star}(X):=\max \left\{s: \exists \Delta_{k, s} \text { so that } \operatorname{core}\left(\Delta_{k, s}\right)=X\right\} .
$$

In this section, we will examine the collection of $d$-sets with large core degree with respect to a non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family. We will show that this collection of $d$-sets is necessarily isomorphic to a subfamily of one of the corresponding collections of $d$-sets in the extremal examples $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$ and $\mathcal{A}(k, d)$. Moreover, given enough $d$-sets with large core degree, we show that $|\mathcal{F}|$ is less than or equal to $\max \{|\mathcal{A}(k, d)|,|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|\}$.
By Lemma 2, $|A \cap B| \geq d-1$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ in a non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family $\mathcal{F}$, and hence $d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\star}(X) \leq 1$ whenever $|X|<d-1$. We will now show that $(d-1)$-sets cannot have large core degree in non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting families.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be non-trivial d-wise intersecting and $X \in\binom{[n]}{d-1}$. Then $d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\star}(X)<k$.
Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose that $X=[d-1]$ is so that $d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\star}(X) \geq k$. Thus, there exists $\Delta_{k, k}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ so that core $\left(\Delta_{k, k}\right)=[d-1]$. Next, by the nontriviality of $\mathcal{F}$, for each $j \in[d-1]$, there exists $X_{j} \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $j \notin X_{j}$.

Now, when $d \geq 4$, since $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=[d-1]$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is $d$-wise intersecting, for $3 \leq m \leq d-1$

$$
F_{1} \cap F_{2} \cap\left(\bigcap_{j \neq m} X_{j}\right)=\{m\} .
$$

As a result, $\left|X_{m} \cap[d-1]\right|=d-2$ and hence $\left|X_{m} \cap\left(F_{j} \backslash[d-1]\right)\right| \geq 1$ for all $j \in[k]$. This yields a contradiction as

$$
\left|X_{m}\right| \geq\left|X_{m} \cap[d-1]\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|X_{m} \cap\left(F_{j} \backslash[d-1]\right)\right|>k
$$

When $d=3$, the result follows similarly by considering the cases where $2 \in X_{1}$ and $2 \notin X_{1}$.
We are interested in $d$-sets which have large core degree since they intersect elements of our family $\mathcal{F}$ in many places. To this end, we say $D \in\binom{[n]}{d}$ has large core degree if $d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\star}(D) \geq k$.

Lemma 4. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be non-trivial d-wise intersecting and $D \subset[n]$ have large core degree. Then $|A \cap D| \geq d-1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Seeking a contradiction, suppose there exists such a $D \subset[n]$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $|A \cap D|<$ $d-1$. By definition of large core degree, there exists a Delta system $\Delta_{k, k}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ so that core $\left(\Delta_{k, k}\right)=D$. By Lemma 2, $\left|A \cap\left(F_{j} \backslash D\right)\right| \geq(d-1)-|A \cap D|$ for all $j \in[k]$. This is a contradiction as

$$
|A| \geq|A \cap D|+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|A \cap\left(F_{j} \backslash D\right)\right|>k
$$

Given a family $\mathcal{F}$, we let $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ be the possibly empty collection of $d$-sets with large core degree in $\mathcal{F}$. In the proof of Theorem [2, we will iteratively find $d$-sets with large core degree and these will always lie within some ground set of size at most $k+1$. As a result, we think of $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F}) \subset\binom{[k+1]}{d}$.

We now note that for $n \geq k(k-d)+d$, the two extremal families $\mathcal{A}(k, d)$ and $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$ are so that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{H}(k, d))=\left\{A \in\binom{[k+1]}{d}:[d-1] \subset A\right\} \\
& \mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{A}(k, d))=K_{d+1}^{(d)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be a non-trivial d-wise intersecting family. Then $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F}) \subset\binom{[k+1]}{d}$ is a ( $d-1$ )-intersecting family.

Proof. Suppose there exists $D_{1}, D_{2} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ so that $\left|D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right| \leq d-2$. By definition, there exists $\Delta_{k, k}^{1}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{k}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\Delta_{k, k}^{2}=\left\{G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}\right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ so that $\operatorname{core}\left(\Delta_{k, k}^{i}\right)=D_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Note that there necessarily exists $F_{i} \in \Delta_{k, k}^{(1)}$ so that $\left|\left(F_{i} \backslash D_{1}\right) \cap D_{2}\right|=0$. By Lemma 2, $\left|\left(F_{i} \backslash D_{1}\right) \cap\left(G_{j} \backslash D_{2}\right)\right| \geq(d-1)-\left|D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right|$. This is a contradiction since

$$
\left|F_{i}\right| \geq\left|D_{1} \cap D_{2}\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\left(F_{i} \backslash D_{1}\right) \cap\left(G_{j} \backslash D_{2}\right)\right|>k
$$

As a result of Lemma 4, we are interested in $\mathcal{S} \subset\binom{[k+1]}{d}$ which are $(d-1)$-intersecting. The following structural type result yields that the collection of $d$-sets with large core degree is necessarily isomorphic to a subfamily of the collection of $d$-sets with large core degree in the extremal families $\mathcal{A}(k, d)$ and $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$.

Lemma 6. If $\mathcal{S} \subset\binom{[k+1]}{d}$ is $(d-1)$-intersecting, then $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to a subfamily of $K_{d+1}^{(d)}$ or $\left\{D \in\binom{[k+1]}{d}:[d-1] \subset D\right\}$.

Proof. Given distinct $F_{1}, F_{2} \in \mathcal{S}$, we have $\left|F_{1} \cap F_{2}\right|=d-1$ and hence without loss of generality, we may assume that $F_{1}=[d]$ and $F_{2}=[d-1] \cup\{d+1\}$. Now, we let

$$
\mathcal{S}_{1}:=\left\{F \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}\right\}:|F \cap[d-1]|=d-2\right\}
$$

and note that if $F \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$, then $\{d, d+1\} \subset F$ as $\mathcal{S}$ is ( $d-1$ )-intersecting. We then let

$$
\mathcal{S}_{2}:=\left\{F \in \mathcal{S} \backslash\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}\right\}:|F \cap[d-1]|=d-1\right\} .
$$

For all $F \in \mathcal{S}_{1}$ and for all $G \in \mathcal{S}_{2},|F \cap G|=d-2$ and thus if $\mathcal{S}_{2} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{S}_{1}=\emptyset$.
We will now show that if a non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ has a particular structure of $d$-sets with large core degree, then $|F| \leq|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|$.

Lemma 7. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be a non-trivial d-wise intersecting family. If $\left\{A \in\binom{[k]}{d}:[d-1] \subset A\right\} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|$. Moreover, if $|\mathcal{F}|>|\mathcal{B}(k, d)|$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is necessarily isomorphic to some subfamily of $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$.

Proof. We have that $D_{x}:=[d-1] \cup\{x\} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ for all $x \in[d, k]$. As a result of Lemma (4, for all $A \in \mathcal{F},|A \cap[d-1]| \geq d-2$. We let $\mathcal{F}_{1}:=\{A \in \mathcal{F}:|A \cap[d-1]|=d-2\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2}:=\{A \in \mathcal{F}:|A \cap[d-1]|=d-1\}$. Next, using the nontriviality of $\mathcal{F}$, for each $i \in[d-1]$
there exists $X_{i} \in \mathcal{F}$ so that $i \notin X_{i}$. We now have two cases based on the collection of sets $\mathcal{F}(\bar{i})=\{A \in \mathcal{F}: 1 \notin A\}$ for $i \in[d-1]$.

First, we consider the case where we may find $X_{i} \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{i})$ so that

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} X_{i}=[d, k] .
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{1} \subseteq\left\{A \in\binom{[n]}{k}:|A \cap[d-1]|=d-2,[d, k] \subset A\right\} \\
& \mathcal{F}_{2} \subseteq\left\{A \in\binom{[n]}{k}:[d-1] \subset A, A \cap[d, k] \neq \emptyset\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $|\mathcal{F}|=\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{F}_{2}\right| \leq|\mathcal{B}(k, d)| \leq|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|$.
Next, if we cannot find $X_{i}$ 's so that they fall in the above case, then without loss of generality

$$
\bigcap_{i=1}^{d-1} X_{i}=[d, k+1] .
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}_{1} \subseteq\{[k+1] \backslash\{i\}: i \in[d-1]\} \\
& \mathcal{F}_{2} \subseteq\left\{A \in\binom{[n]}{k}:[d-1] \subset A, A \cap[d, k+1] \neq \emptyset\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $|\mathcal{F}|=\left|\mathcal{F}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{F}_{2}\right| \leq|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|$.
We now will prove the analog of Lemma 7 when $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to a subfamily of $K_{d+1}^{(d)}$.
Lemma 8. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be a non-trivial d-wise intersecting family. Given that $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})\right| \geq 3$ and $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq K_{d+1}^{(d)}$, then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{A}(k, d)$.

Proof. Let $D_{1}, D_{2}, D_{3} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ be distinct $d$-sets with large core degree. By Lemma 4 and Lemma 6. we may assume $D_{i}=[d+1] \backslash\{i\}$ and that $\left|A \cap D_{i}\right| \geq d-1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$ for $i=1,2,3$. This then implies that $|A \cap[d+1]| \geq d$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and thus the result follows.

## 3 The Delta system method

The Delta system method is a powerful tool in extremal combinatorics that initially appeared in Deza, Erdős and Frankl's [2] study of $(n, k, L)$-systems. It has also been used by Frankl and Füredi [7] in Chvátal's problem of avoiding $d$-simplicies as well as by Füredi [10,11] on the problem of embedding expansions of forests in $r$-graphs for $r \geq 4$.

### 3.1 Füredi Intersection Semilattice Theorem

Given a $k$-partite hypergraph $\mathcal{H} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ with parts $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$, we let the projection of $e$ be

$$
\operatorname{proj}(e)=\left\{i: e \cap X_{i} \neq \emptyset\right\} .
$$

Then, let the intersection pattern of $e$ on $\mathcal{H}$ be

$$
I_{\mathcal{H}}(e)=\left\{\operatorname{proj}(g):\left.g \in \mathcal{H}\right|_{e}\right\} .
$$

We are now able to state Füredi's Intersection Semilattice lemma.
Lemma 9. For fixed $s, k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c:=c(s, k)$ so that for all $\mathcal{H} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ there exists $k$-partite $\mathcal{H}^{\star} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $J \subset 2^{[k]}$ so that $\left|\mathcal{H}^{\star}\right|>c|\mathcal{H}|$ and $J$ is intersection closed. Moreover, for all $e \in \mathcal{H}^{\star}$ that $I_{\mathcal{H}^{\star}}(e)=J$ and that for all $\left.f \in \mathcal{H}^{\star}\right|_{e}, d_{\mathcal{H}^{\star}}^{\star}(f) \geq s$.

Given $\mathcal{H} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ so that it satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 9 , we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is $(s, J)$-homogeneous and if there exists an $s \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\mathcal{H}$ is $(s, J)$-homogeneous, we say that $\mathcal{H}$ is $J$-homogeneous. Let $J \subset 2^{[k]}$, then the rank of $J$, denoted $\rho(J)$ is defined as

$$
\rho(J):=\min \left\{|e|: e \subset[k]: d_{J}(e)=0\right\} .
$$

### 3.2 Application to Non-trivial $d$-wise Intersecting Families

Let $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ be non-trivial and $d$-wise intersecting. Applying Lemma 9 with $s=k$ to large subfamilies $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ when $n$ sufficiently large gives a particular intersection structure $J$. To this end, let $n_{0}(k, d):=d+e\left(k^{2} 2^{k}\right)^{2^{k}}(k-d)$ and we let $c_{k}:=c(k, k)>\left(k^{2} 2^{k}\right)^{-2^{k}}$.

Lemma 10. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be J-homogeneous and so that $|\mathcal{H}| \geq\binom{ n-d}{k-d}$ where $n>n_{0}(k, d)$. Then

$$
J \subset \bigcup_{l=d}^{k-1}\binom{[k]}{l}
$$

Moreover, $\left|J \cap\binom{[k]}{d}\right|=1$.
Proof. Lemma 2 gives that $|A \cap B| \geq d-1$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ which yields that

$$
J \subset \bigcup_{l=d-1}^{k-1}\binom{[k]}{l} .
$$

Lemma 3 yields that $J \cap\binom{[k]}{d-1}=\emptyset$ and hence

$$
J \subset \bigcup_{l=d}^{k-1}\binom{[k]}{l}
$$

Now, since $J$ is intersection closed, $\left|J \cap\binom{[k]}{d}\right| \leq 1$. If $J \cap\binom{[k]}{d}=\emptyset$, then without loss of generality,
suppose that $[d+1]$ is the inclusion minimal element of $J$. Suppose there exists $X \in J$ so that $[d+2, k] \subset X$, then there is an $i \in[d+1]$ so that $i \notin X$ as $[k] \notin J$. Now, $X \cap[d+1] \in J$, but $|X \cap[d+1]|<(d+1)$ so we necessarily have that $d_{J}([d+2, k])=0$. As a result, $\rho(J) \leq k-d-1$. Next, if $\mathcal{H}^{\star} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ is $J$-homogeneous with $\rho(J)=r$, then $\left|\mathcal{H}^{\star}\right| \leq\binom{ n}{r}$ (see [17]). Thus, $\left|\mathcal{H}^{\star}\right| \leq\binom{ n}{k-d-1}$. However, for $n>n_{0}(k, d)$,

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}^{\star}\right|>c_{k}|\mathcal{H}| \geq c_{k}\binom{n-d}{k-d}>\binom{n}{k-d-1} .
$$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2 by repeated application of Lemma 10 and the structural results from Section 2.2.

Proof of Theorem [2. As a result of Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, it suffices to show that $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})\right| \geq 3$ and that we either have $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ contains $\left\{A \in\binom{[k]}{d}:[d-1] \subset A\right\}$ with $\left|\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})\right|=k-d+1$ or $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to a subfamily of $K_{d+1}^{(d)}$. An application of Lemma 10 yields a $d$-set $D_{1}$ which has large core degree. We now consider

$$
\mathcal{H}_{1}:=\left\{A \in \mathcal{F}: D_{1} \nsubseteq A\right\}
$$

and again applying Lemma 10 yields a $d$-set $D_{2}$ which has large core degree and $D_{1} \neq D_{2}$. We can iteratively apply Lemma $10 s$ times to get $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{s}\right\} \in \mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ where the particular value of $s$ depends on $|\mathcal{F}|$.
In the case where $2 d<k$, we may suppose that $|\mathcal{F}|>|\mathcal{B}(k, d)|>|\mathcal{A}(k, d)|$ and we take $s=k-d+1$. Noting that $s>d+1$ then yields that $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ is not isomorphic to a subfamily of $K_{d+1}^{(d)}$. Lemma 7 then yields that $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to a subfamily of $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$.

In the case where $2 d=k$, we may suppose that $|\mathcal{F}|>|\mathcal{A}(k, d)|>|\mathcal{B}(k, d)|$ and also take $s=k-d+1$ where we note $k-d+1=d+1$. Noting that $|\mathcal{F}|>|\mathcal{A}(k, d)|$ then yields that $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ is not isomorphic to $K_{d+1}^{(d)}$. Lemma 7 then yields that $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to a subfamily of $\mathcal{H}(k, d)$.

In the case where $2 d \geq k+1$, we may suppose that $|\mathcal{F}|>|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|>|\mathcal{B}(k, d)|$. When $d<k-1$, we take $s=k-d+1 \geq 3$ and when $d=k-1$, and a Inclusion-Exclusion argument yields that we may take $s=3$. In both of these cases, noting that $|\mathcal{F}|>|\mathcal{H}(k, d)|$ then yields that $\mathcal{S}_{d}(\mathcal{F})$ is not isomorphic to a subfamily of $\{A \subset[k]:[d-1] \subset A\}$. Lemma 8 yields that $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to a subfamily of $\mathcal{A}(k, d)$.

## 5 Concluding Remarks

The general framework of considering $d$-sets with large core degree from Section 2.2 requires that $n \geq k(k-d)+d$. One can probably alter the threshold of $d$-sets with large core degree to $k-d+3$ as opposed to $k$ via similar arguments in this paper to slightly improve our value of $n_{0}(k, d)$, but it would still be doubly exponential.

For the particular cases of $d=k-1$ and $d=k-2$, we can achieve more reasonable values on $n_{0}(k, d)$ via direct arguments. In the case where $d=k-2$, Lemma 2 yields that $|A \cap B| \geq k-3$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$. By considering the trace of $\mathcal{F}$ on $A$ for a fixed $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$
|\mathcal{F}|=\sum_{\left.X \in \mathcal{F}\right|_{A}}\left|H_{X}\right|
$$

where we let $H_{X}=\{Y \subset[n]: X \sqcup Y \in \mathcal{F}\}$ be the link hypergraph of $X$ in $\mathcal{F}$. If $\left|X_{1} \cap X_{2}\right|=m$, then the link hypergraphs $H_{X_{1}}$ and $H_{X_{2}}$ are necessarily $(d-1)-m$ cross intersecting. Moreover, Frankl's improved bounds [6] on the Erdős Matching conjecture give that if $\left|H_{X}\right|$ is sufficiently large, then $\nu\left(H_{X}\right)=d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\star}(X)=s$. Using these facts, we consider various cases and in each case prove that if $n \geq 7 k$, then

$$
|\mathcal{F}|=\sum_{\left.X \in \mathcal{F}\right|_{A}}\left|H_{X}\right| \leq|\mathcal{A}(k, d)| .
$$

Given a family $\mathcal{F} \subset 2^{[n]}$, let $\delta(\mathcal{F}):=\min _{i \in[n]}|\{A \in \mathcal{F}: i \in A\}|$ be the minimum degree of an element in $\mathcal{F}$. Recently, Frankl, Han, Huang, and Zhao 9 proved a degree version of Theorem 1 , It would be interesting to see if a degree version of our result would hold:
Does there exist $n_{1}(k, d)$ so that for $n>n_{1}(k, d)$, if $\mathcal{F} \subset\binom{[n]}{k}$ is a non-trivial $d$-wise intersecting family, then $\delta(\mathcal{F}) \leq \max \{\delta(\mathcal{H}(k, d)), \delta(\mathcal{A}(k, d))\}$ ?

Moreover, it would be interesting to see how an optimal $n_{0}(k, d)$ compares with optimal an $n_{1}(k, d)$. In the case where $d=2$, we have that $n_{0}(k, 2)=2 k+1$ and $n_{1}(k, 2)=O\left(k^{2}\right)$ although Frankl et al. [9] asked if their degree Hilton-Milner holds for $n \geq 2 k+1$.
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