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1 Introduction

Let $X, Y, Z$ and $W$ be normed spaces and $f : X \times Y \times Z \to W$ be a bounded tri-linear mapping. The natural extensions of $f$ are as following:

1. $f^* : W^* \times X \times Y \to Z^*$, given by $\langle f^*(w^*, x, y), z \rangle = \langle w^*, f(x, y, z) \rangle$ where $x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z, w^* \in W^*$.

The map $f^*$ is a bounded tri-linear mapping and is said the adjoint of $f$.
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2. \( f^{**} = (f^*)^*: Z^{**} \times W^* \times X \to Y^* \), given by \( \langle f^{**}(z^{**}, w^*, x), y \rangle = \langle z^{**}, f^*(w^*, x, y) \rangle \) where \( x \in X, y \in Y, z^{**} \in Z^{**}, w^* \in W^* \).

3. \( f^{***} = (f^{**})^*: Y^{**} \times Z^{**} \times W^* \to X^* \), given by \( \langle f^{***}(y^{**}, z^{**}, w^*), x \rangle = \langle y^{**}, f^*(z^{**}, w^*, x) \rangle \) where \( x \in X, y^{**} \in Y^{**}, z^{**} \in Z^{**}, w^* \in W^* \).

4. \( f^{****} = (f^{**})^*: X^{**} \times Y^{**} \times Z^{**} \to W^{**} \), given by \( \langle f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}), w^* \rangle = \langle x^{**}, f^{**}(y^{**}, z^{**}, w^*) \rangle \) where \( x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**}, z^{**} \in Z^{**}, w^* \in W^* \).

The bounded tri-linear map \( f^{****} \) is the unique extension of \( f \) such that the maps

\[
\begin{align*}
x^{**} \to f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : X^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
y^{**} \to f^{****}(x, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Y^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
z^{**} \to f^{****}(x, y, z^{**}) & : Z^{**} \to W^{**},
\end{align*}
\]

are weak*-weak* continuous for each \( x \in X, y \in Y, x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**} \) and \( z^{**} \in Z^{**} \). Now let

\[
\begin{align*}
f^i : Y \times X \times Z \to W & : f^i(y, x, z) = f(x, y, z), \\
f^j : X \times Z \times Y \to W & : f^j(x, z, y) = f(x, y, z), \\
f^k : Z \times Y \times X \to W & : f^k(z, y, x) = f(x, y, z), \\
f^l : Z \times X \times Y \to W & : f^l(z, x, y) = f(x, y, z), \\
f^m : Y \times Z \times X \to W & : f^m(y, z, x) = f(x, y, z),
\end{align*}
\]

be the flip maps of \( f \), for every \( x \in X, y \in Y \) and \( z \in Z \). The flip maps of \( f \) are bounded tri-linear maps. Also \( f^{i*}, f^{j*}, f^{k*} \) and \( f^{m*} \) are bounded tri-linear maps from \( X \times Y \times Z \) to \( W \). It is easily seen that \( f^{****}, f^{***}, f^{**}, f^{*} \) and \( f^{**} \) are natural extensions of \( f \) such that bounded linear operators

\[
\begin{align*}
x^{**} \to f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : X^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
y^{**} \to f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Y^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
z^{**} \to f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Z^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
x^{**} \to f^{***}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : X^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
y^{**} \to f^{***}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Y^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
z^{**} \to f^{***}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Z^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
x^{**} \to f^{**}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : X^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
y^{**} \to f^{**}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Y^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
z^{**} \to f^{**}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Z^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
x^{**} \to f^{*}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : X^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
y^{**} \to f^{*}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Y^{**} \to W^{**}, \\
z^{**} \to f^{*}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) & : Z^{**} \to W^{**},
\end{align*}
\]

are weak*-weak* continuous for each \( x \in X, y \in Y, z \in Z, x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**} \) and \( z^{**} \in Z^{**} \). For natural extensions of \( f \) we have
1. \( f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\gamma} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), \)
2. \( f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\gamma} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), \)
3. \( f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\gamma} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), \)
4. \( f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\gamma} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), \)
5. \( f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\gamma} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), \)
6. \( f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\gamma} f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), \)

where \( \{x_\alpha\}, \{y_\beta\} \) and \( \{z_\gamma\} \) are nets in \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) which converge to \( x^* \in X^*, y^* \in Y^* \) and \( z^* \in Z^* \) in the \( w^* \)-topologies, respectively.

**Definition 1** A bounded tri-linear map \( f \) is said to be completely regular when all natural extensions are equal, that is, \( f^{****} = f^{****} = f^{****} = f^{****} = f^{****} \) holds. Also \( f \) is said to be close-to-regular if \( f^{****} = f^{****} \).

If \( f \) is completely regular, then trivially \( f \) is close-to-regular. It is obvious that \( f \) is close-to-regular if and only if \( f^{****} = f^{****} \).

Throughout the article, we usually identify a normed space with its canonical image in its second dual.

2 Close-to-regular maps

We commence with the following theorem for close-to-regular maps.

**Theorem 1** For a bounded tri-linear map \( f : X \times Y \times Z \to W \) the following statements are equivalent:

1. \( f \) is close-to-regular.
2. \( f^{****}(Y^*, W^*, Z) \subseteq X^* \) and \( f^{****}(X^*, W^*, Y^*) \subseteq Z^*. \)
3. \( f^{****}(W^*, Z^*, X^*) \subseteq Y^*. \)

**Proof** Suppose \( \{x_\alpha\}, \{y_\beta\} \) and \( \{z_\gamma\} \) are nets in \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) which converge to \( x^* \in X^*, y^* \in Y^* \) and \( z^* \in Z^* \) in the \( w^* \)-topologies, respectively.

\( (1) \Rightarrow (2) \), if \( f \) is close-to-regular, then \( f^{****} = f^{****} \). For every \( x^* \in X^*, y^* \in Y^* \), \( z \in Z \) and \( w^* \in W^* \) we have

\[
\langle f^{****}(y^*, w^*, z), x^* \rangle = \langle y^*, f^{****}(z, x^*, w^*) \rangle
\]

\[
= (f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z), w^*) = (f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z), w^*)
\]

\[
= f^{****}(z, x^*, y^*) \subseteq (f^{****}(y^*, w^*, z), x^*).
\]

Therefore \( f^{****}(y^*, w^*, z) = f^{****}(y^*, w^*, z) \in X^* \), follows that \( f^{****}(Y^*, W^*, Z) \subseteq X^* \). In the other hand,

\[
\langle f^{****}(x^*, w^*, y^*), z^* \rangle = \langle w^*, f^{****}(y^*, z^*, x^*) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle w^*, f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) \rangle = \langle w^*, f^{****}(x^*, y^*, z^*) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle w^*, f^{****}(z^*, x^*, y^*) \rangle = \langle z^*, f^{****}(x^*, y^*, w^*) \rangle.
\]

Since the \( f^{****}(x^*, y^*, w^*) \in z^* \), thus \( f^{****}(X^*, W^*, Y^*) \subseteq Z^* \), as claimed.
Theorem 2

Let \( L \)

Corollary 1

It follows that \( f \)

Let \( G \)
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(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3), if (2) holds then

\[
\langle f^{+++}(w^*, z^*, x^*), y^* \rangle = \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} (f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), w^*)
\]

\[
= \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} (f^{+++}(w^*, z_\gamma, x_\alpha), y_\beta)
\]

\[
= \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} (f^{+++}(z_\gamma, w_\alpha, y_\beta), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= \lim_{\gamma} (f^{+++}(z_\gamma, w_\alpha, y_\beta), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= (f^{+++}(z_\gamma, w_\alpha, y_\beta), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= \langle f^{+++}(w^*, z^*, x^*), y^* \rangle
\]

Since \( f^{+++}(w^*, z^*, x^*) \in Y^* \), thus (3) holds.

(3) \( \Rightarrow \) (1), let \( f^{+++}(W^*, Z^*, X^*) \subseteq Y^* \). Then for every \( w^* \in W^* \) we have,

\[
\langle f^{+++}(x^*, y^*, z^*), w^* \rangle = \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} (f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), w^*)
\]

\[
= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} (f^{+++}(w_\alpha, z_\gamma, y_\beta), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} (f^{+++}(z_\gamma, w_\alpha, y_\beta), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= \lim_{\beta} (f^{+++}(z_\gamma, w_\alpha, y_\beta), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= (f^{+++}(z_\gamma, w_\alpha, y_\beta), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= \langle f^{+++}(w^*, z^*, x^*), y^* \rangle = \langle f^{+++}(x^*, y^*, z^*), w^* \rangle
\]

It follows that \( f \) is close-to-regular and this completes the proof.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 we deduce the next result.

Corollary 1

Let \( f : X \times Y \times Z \longrightarrow W \) be a bounded tri-linear mapping.

1. If \( Y \) is reflexive, then \( f \) is close-to-regular.
2. If \( X \) and \( Z \) are reflexive, then \( f \) is close-to-regular.

Example 1

Let \( G \) be a finite locally compact Hausdorff group. We know from [13] that \( L^1(G) \) is regular if and only if it is reflexive or \( G \) is finite. So the bounded tri-linear mapping \( f : L^1(G) \times L^1(G) \times L^1(G) \longrightarrow L^1(G) \) defined by \( f(k, g, h) = k \ast g \ast h \) is close-to-regular, where \( (k \ast g)(x) = \int_G k(y)g(y^{-1}x)dy \) for every \( k, g \) and \( h \in L^1(G) \).

Theorem 2

Let \( f : X \times Y \times Z \longrightarrow W \) be a bounded tri-linear map. Then,

1. \( f^r \) is close-to-regular if and only if \( f^{+++} = f^{+++} \).
2. \( f^s \) is close-to-regular if and only if \( f^{+++} = f^{+++} \).
3. \( f^l \) is close-to-regular if and only if \( f^{+++} = f^{+++} \).
4. \( f^r \) is close-to-regular if and only if \( f^{+++} = f^{+++} \).
5. \( f^s \) is close-to-regular if and only if \( f^{+++} = f^{+++} \).
Theorem 3
Let $h$ be compact. Thus we give the next result.

Corollary 3
If $h$ is tri-linear mappings and let $f$ be close-to-regular. As immediate consequences of the Theorem 2 we have the next corollaries.

Proof
We prove only (1), the other parts have the same argument. Let $x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**}, z^{**} \in Z^{**}$ and $w^{*} \in W^{*}$ and let $\{x_{\alpha}\}, \{y_{\beta}\}$ and $\{z_{\gamma}\}$ be nets in $X, Y$ and $Z$ which converge to $x^{**}, y^{**}$ and $z^{**}$ in the $w^{*}$-topologies, respectively. Then we have

$$
\langle f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}), w^{*} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\beta} \langle f(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}, z_{\gamma}), w^{*} \rangle
$$

Therefore $f^{****} = f^{****}$. In the other hand

$$
\langle f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}), w^{*} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\beta} \langle f(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}, z_{\gamma}), w^{*} \rangle
$$

Thus $f^{****} = f^{****}$ and this completes the proof.

As immediate consequences of the Theorem 2 we have the next corollaries.

Corollary 2
If $f$ is completely regular, then $f^1, f^1, f^2, f^3$ and $f^4$ are close-to-regular.

Corollary 3
If $f^5$ and $f^4$ are close-to-regular, then $f$ is close-to-regular.

Theorem 3
Let $f : X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow W$ and $g : X \times S \times Z \rightarrow W$ be bounded tri-linear mappings and let $h : Y \rightarrow S$ be a bounded linear mapping such that $f(x, y, z) = g(x, h(y), z)$, for every $x \in X, y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$. If $h$ is weakly compact, then $f$ is close-to-regular.

Proof
Suppose $\{x_{\alpha}\}, \{y_{\beta}\}$ and $\{z_{\gamma}\}$ are nets in $X, Y$ and $Z$ which converge to $x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**}$ and $z^{**} \in Z^{**}$ in the $w^{*}$-topologies, respectively. Then a direct verification reveals that $f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) = g^{****}(x^{**}, h^{**}(y^{**}), z^{**})$. Then for each $y^{**} \in Y^{**}$ we have

$$
\langle f^{****}(w^{*}, z^{**}, x^{**}), y^{**} \rangle = \langle w^{*}, f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) \rangle = \langle w^{*}, f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) \rangle = \langle w^{*}, g^{****}(x^{**}, h^{**}(y^{**}), z^{**}) \rangle = \langle g^{****}(w^{*}, z^{**}, x^{**}), h^{**}(y^{**}) \rangle = \langle h^{**}(g^{****}(w^{*}, z^{**}, x^{**})), y^{**} \rangle.
$$

Therefore $f^{****}(w^{*}, z^{**}, x^{**}) = h^{**}(g^{****}(w^{*}, z^{**}, x^{**}))$. The weak compactness of $h$ implies that of $h^{*}$, from which we have $h^{**}(S^{**}) \subseteq Y^{*}$. In particular, $h^{**}(g^{****}(W^{*}, Z^{**}, X^{**})) \subseteq Y^{*}$, thus we deduce $f^{****}(W^{*}, Z^{**}, X^{**}) \subseteq Y^{*}$. It follows that $f$ is close-to-regular and this completes the proof.

If $Y$ or $S$ is reflexive, then every bounded linear mapping $h : Y \rightarrow S$ is weakly compact. Thus we give the next result.
Corollary 4 Let $f: X \times Y \times Z \to W$ and $g: X \times S \times Z \to W$ be bounded tri-linear mappings and let $h: Y \to S$ be a bounded linear mapping such that $f(x, y, z) = g(x, h(y), z)$, for every $x \in X, y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$. If $S$ is reflexive, then $f$ is close-to-regular.

Theorem 4 Let $f: X \times Y \times Z \to W$ be bounded tri-linear mapping. If $f^{****} = f^{*****}$ and $f^{******} = f^{******}$. Then $f$ is close-to-regular

Proof Let $\{y_\beta\} \subseteq Y$ be net which converge to $y^{**} \in Y^{**}$ in the $w^{**}$-topologies. Using the equality $f^{*******} = f^{******}$, a standard argument applies to show that $f^{***} = f^{****}$. In the other hand, let $\{z_\gamma\} \subseteq Z$ be net which converge to $z^{**} \in Z^{**}$ in the $w^{***}$-topologies. Then equality $f^{*******} = f^{******}$ implies that $f^{***} = f^{****}$. Therefore $f^{***} = f^{****}$, as claimed.

3 Relationship between close-to-regularity bounded tri-linear maps and Arens regularity bounded bilinear maps

Let $m: X \times Y \to Z$ be a continuous bilinear map. The extension of $m$ on normed spaces and the concept of regularity of $m$ were introduced by Richard Arens in [1] and [2]. Some characterizations for the Arens regularity of bounded bilinear map $m$ and Banach algebra $A$ are proved in [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9] and [10].

S. Mohammadzade and H. R. E. Vishki in [10, Theorem 2.1] (see also, [6, Proposition 4.1]) have shown that, $m^{****}(Z^*, X^{**}) \subseteq Y^*$ if and only if $m$ is Arens regular. Now applying Theorem 4 and we give next Theorem.

Theorem 5 Let $f: X \times Y \times Z \to W$ be a bounded tri-linear mapping and let $m: Y \times Z \to X^*$ be a bounded bilinear mapping such that $m(y, z) = f^*(w^*, y, z)$, for every $y \in Y, z \in Z$ and $w^* \in W^*$. If $f$ is close-to-regular, then $m$ is Arens regular.

Proof Let $\{y_\beta\}$ and $\{z_\gamma\}$ be two net in $Y$ and $Z$ which converge to $y^{**}$ and $z^{**}$ in the $w^{**}$-topologies, respectively. Using the equality $m(y, z) = f^*(w^*, y, z)$, we have

$$
\langle f^{*******}(x^{**}, w^*, y^{**}), z^{**} \rangle = \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \langle x^{**}, f^{**}(w^*, y_\beta, z_\gamma) \rangle \\
= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \langle x^{**}, m(y_\beta, z_\gamma) \rangle \\
= \langle x^{**}, m^*(y^{**}, z^{**}) \rangle \\
= \langle m^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}), z^{**} \rangle.
$$

It show that $f^{*******}(X^{**}, W^*, Y^{**}) = m^{****}(X^{**}, Y^{**})$. The close-to-regular of $f$ implies that $f^{*******}(X^{**}, W^*, Y^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$. Therefore $m^{****}(X^{**}, Y^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$, as claimed.

In the Theorem 4 if $m$ is Arens regular, then a direct verification reveals that $f^{******} = f^{******}$.

Theorem 6 Let $f: X \times Y \times Z \to W$ be a bounded tri-linear mapping and let $m: X \times Y \to Z$ and $\theta: X \times Y \to W$ be bounded bilinear mappings such that $\theta(x, y) = f(x, y, m(x, y))$, for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. If $f^{****} = f^{******}$ and $f^{*******} = f^{*******}$, then $\theta$ is Arens regular.
Proof Using the equality $f^{****} = f^{*****}$, we have

$$
(f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, z^*), w^*) = (z^*, f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, w^*))
= (z^*, f^{*****}(w^*, y^*, x^*))
= (z^*, f^{*****}(w^*, y^*, x^*))
= (z^*, f^{*****}(y^*, x^*, w^*))
= (f^{*****}(y^*, x^*, w^*), w^*).
$$

It follows that $f^{*****} = f^{****}$. In the other hand, using the equality $f^{*****} = f^{*****}$ we have

$$
(f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, z^*), w^*) = (z^*, f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, w^*))
= \lim_{\gamma} f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, w^*), z_{\gamma})
= \lim_{\gamma} (f^{*****}(w^*, z_{\gamma}, y^*))
= (f^{*****}(y^*, x^*, w^*))
= (f^{*****}(y^*, x^*, w^*), x^*)
= (f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, z^*), w^*).
$$

Thus $f^{*****} = f^{****}$. Therefore $f^{*****} = f^{****}$. Now we have

$$
(\theta^{***}(x^*, y^*), w^*) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} (w^*, \theta(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}))
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} (w^*, f(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}, m(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}))
= (f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, m^{***}(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta})), w^*)
= (f^{*****}(x^*, y^*, m^{***}(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta})), w^*)
= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\alpha} (w^*, f(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta}, m(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta})))
= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\alpha} (w^*, \theta(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta})) = (\theta^{*****}(x^*, y^*), w^*).
$$

It follows that $\theta$ is Arens regular.

4 Completely regular maps

In this section, we provide a criterion for the completely regularity of a bounded tri-linear map.

**Theorem 7** For a bounded tri-linear map $f : X \times Y \times Z \to W$ the following statements are equivalent:

1. $f$ is completely regular.
2. $f^{*****}(W^*, X^{**}, Y^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$ and $f^{*****}(Z^{**}, W^{**}, X^{**}) \subseteq Y^*$.
3. $f^{*****}(W^*, Y^{**}, X^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$ and $f^{*****}(Z^{**}, W^{**}, Y^{**}) \subseteq X^*$.
4. $f^{*****}(W^*, X^{**}, Z^{**}) \subseteq Y^*$ and $f^{*****}(Y^{**}, W^*, X^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$.
5. $f^{*****}(W^*, Z^{**}, X^{**}) \subseteq X^*$ and $f^{*****}(X^{**}, W^*, Z^{**}) \subseteq Y^*$.
6. $f^{*****}(W^*, Z^{**}, X^{**}) \subseteq Y^*$ and $f^{*****}(Y^{**}, W^*, Z^{**}) \subseteq X^*$.
7. $f^{*****}(W^*, Y^{**}, Z^{**}) \subseteq X^*$ and $f^{*****}(X^{**}, W^*, Y^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$.

Proof We prove only (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), and the other parts (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) , (1) $\Rightarrow$ (7) and (7) $\Rightarrow$ (1) have the same argument.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Since $f$ is completely regular, thus all natural extensions are equal.

In particular, $f^{*****} = f^{****}$. So for every $x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**}, z^{**} \in Z^{**}$ and $w^* \in W^*$ we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle f^{*****}(z^{**}, w^*, x^{**}), y^{**} \rangle &= \langle z^{**}, f^{*****}(w^*, x^{**}, y^{**}) \rangle \\
&= \langle w^*, f^{*****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) \rangle = \langle w^*, f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}) \rangle \\
&= \langle f^{****}(y^{**}, x^{**}, z^{**}), w^* \rangle = \langle f^{****}(x^{**}, z^{**}, w^*), y^{**} \rangle \\
&= \langle f^{****}(z^{**}, w^*, x^{**}), y^{**} \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore $f^{*****} = f^{****}$. Since $f^{*****}(Z^{**}, W^*, X^{**}) \subseteq Y^*$, thus $f^{*****}(Z^{**}, W^*, X^{**}) \subseteq Y^*$. Similarly, if $f^{*****} = f^{****}r$ then $f^{*****} = f^{****}r$ and since $f^{****}r(W^*, X^{**}, Y^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$ this $f^{*****}(W^*, X^{**}, Y^{**}) \subseteq Z^*$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1), let (2) holds and let $\{x_\alpha\}, \{y_\beta\}$ and $\{z_\gamma\}$ be nets in $X, Y$ and $Z$ which converge to $x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**}$ and $z^{**} \in Z^{**}$ in the $w^*$--topologies, respectively. Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle f^{****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}), w^* \rangle &= \lim_{\beta \gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\alpha} \langle f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), w^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\beta \gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\alpha} \langle f^{****}(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), w^* \rangle = \lim_{\beta \gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\alpha} \langle f^{****}(w^*, x^{**}, y_\beta), z_\gamma \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\beta \gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\alpha} \langle z^{**}, f^{*****}(w^*, x^{**}, y_\beta), z_\gamma \rangle = \lim_{\beta \gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\alpha} \langle f^{*****}(z^{**}, w^*, x^{**}), y_\beta \rangle \\
&= \langle f^{*****}(z^{**}, w^*, x^{**}), y^{**} \rangle = \langle z^{**}, f^{*****}(w^*, x^{**}, y^{**}) \rangle \\
&= \langle f^{*****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}), w^* \rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that $f^{****} = f^{****}$. A similar argument applies equality $f^{*****} = f^{****}, f^{*****} = f^{****}, f^{*****} = f^{****}$ and $f^{*****} = f^{****}$. Therefore $f$ is completely regular.

As immediate consequences of the Theorem we have the next corollaries.

Corollary 5 A bounded tri-linear map $f$ is completely regular if and only if $f^i$ (or $f^j$ or $f^r$ or $f^q$) is completely regular.

Corollary 6 If from $X, Y$ or $Z$ at least two reflexive then $f$ is completely regular.

Corollary 7 If $X$ is reflexive then the bounded tri-linear map $g : X \times X \times X \rightarrow X$ is completely regular.
Corollary 8 Let \( X, Y, Z, W \) and \( S \) be Banach spaces and let \( f : X \times Y \times Z \to W \), \( g : X \times S \times Z \to W \) and \( K : X \times Y \times S \to W \) be bounded tri-linear mappings and \( h_1 : Y \to S, h_2 : Z \to S \) be bounded linear mappings such that

\[
f(x, y, z) = K(x, y, h_2(z)) = g(x, h_1(y), z).
\]

If \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are weakly compact then \( f \) is completely regular.

Proof Let \( \{x_\alpha\}, \{y_\beta\} \) and \( \{z_\gamma\} \) are nets in \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) which converge to \( x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^{**} \in Y^{**} \) and \( z^{**} \in Z^{**} \) in the \( w^* \)-topologies, respectively. Then we have

\[
\langle f^{*****}(w^*, x^{**}, y^{**}), z^{**} \rangle = \langle f^{*****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, z^{**}), w^* \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (f(x_\alpha, y_\beta, z_\gamma), w^*)
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (K(x_\alpha, y_\beta, h_2(z_\gamma)), w^*)
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (K^*(w^*, x_\alpha, y_\beta), h_2(z_\gamma))
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (K^*(w^*, x_\alpha, y_\beta), z_\gamma)
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (h_2^*(z^{**}), K^*(w^*, x_\alpha, y_\beta))
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (h_2^*(z^{**}), K^*(w^*, x_\alpha, y_\beta))
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (K^*(y^{**}, h_2^*(z^{**}), w^*), x_\alpha)
\]

\[
= \lim \alpha \lim \beta \lim \gamma (K^{*****}(x^{**}, y^{**}, h_2^*(z^{**})), w^*)
\]

\[
= \langle K^{*****}(w^*, x^{**}, y^{**}), h_2^*(z^{**}) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle h_2^{****}(K^{*****}(w^*, x^{**}, y^{**})), z^{**} \rangle.
\]

Thus \( f^{*****}(W^*, X^{**}, Y^{**}) = h_2^{****}(K^{*****}(W^*, X^{**}, Y^{**})). \) Since the weak compactness of \( h_2 \) implies that of \( h_2^* \), from which we have \( h_2^{****}(S^{**}) \subseteq Z^* \). In particular, \( h_2^{****}(K^{*****}(W^*, X^{**}, Y^{**})) \subseteq Z^* \), thus we deduce \( f^{*****}(W^*, X^{**}, Y^{**}) \subseteq Z^* \). Similarly, a direct verification reveals that

\[
f^{*****}(Z^{**}, W^*, X^{**}) = h_1^{***}(g^{*****}(Z^{**}, W^*, X^{**})).
\]

The weak compactness of \( h_1 \) implies that \( f^{*****}(Z^{**}, W^*, X^{**}) \subseteq Y^* \). Now Theorem 7 follows that \( f \) is completely regular.

Example 2 Let \( G \) be a locally compact group and let \( M(G) \) be measure algebra of \( G \), see [5] Section 2.5. Let the convolution for \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in M(G) \) defined by

\[
\int \psi d(\mu_1 * \mu_2) = \int \int \psi(xy) d\mu_1(x) d\mu_2(y), \ (\psi \in C_0(G)).
\]

We define the bounded tri-linear mapping

\[
f : M(G) \times M(G) \times M(G) \to M(G)
\]

by \( f(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = \int \psi d(\mu_1 * \mu_2 * \mu_3) \) for \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) and \( \mu_3 \in M(G). \) If \( G \) is finite, then \( f \) is completely regular.
5 The fourth adjoint of a tri-derivation

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $\pi_1 : A \times X \to X$ be a bounded bilinear map. The pair $(\pi_1, X)$ is said to be a left Banach $A$–module when $\pi_1(\pi_1(a, b), x) = \pi_1(a, \pi_1(b, x))$, for every $a, b \in A$ and $x \in X$. A right Banach $A$–module may be defined similarly. Let $\pi_2 : X \times A \to X$ be a bounded bilinear map. The pair $(X, \pi_2)$ is said to be a right Banach $A$–module if $\pi_2(x, \pi_2(a, b)) = \pi_2(\pi_2(x, a), b)$.

A triple $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$ is said to be a Banach $A$–module if $(X, \pi_1)$ and $(X, \pi_2)$ are left and right Banach $A$–modules, respectively, and $\pi_1(\pi_2(a, b), x) = \pi_2(\pi_1(a, x), b)$.

(see, for instance, [10] and [7])

**Definition 2** Let $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$ be a Banach $A$–module. A tri-derivation from $A \times A \times A$ to $X$ is a bounded tri-linear map $D : A \times A \times A \to X$ satisfying for every $a, b, c, d \in A$,

$$D(a, b, c) = \pi_2(D(a, b, c), d) + \pi_1(a, D(b, c, d)),
\quad
D(a, b, c) = \pi_2(D(a, b, c), d) + \pi_1(b, D(a, d, c)),
\quad
D(a, b, \pi(c, d)) = \pi_2(D(a, b, c), d) + \pi_1(c, D(a, b, d)).$$

In the section, the bounded trilinear maps $\varphi_a$ and $\psi_{x^*}$ for every $a, b, c, d \in A$ and $x^* \in X^*$ define by

$$\varphi_a : A \times A \times A \to X, \quad \psi_{x^*} : A \times A \times A \to A^*$$

$$(c, b, d) \mapsto \pi_2(D(a, b, c), d) \quad (a, d, b) \mapsto D^*(\pi_1(x^*, b), a, d)$$

A standard argument applies to show that

1. If $\pi_2$ is Arens regular, then $\varphi_a^{****} = \varphi_a^{****}$ and $\varphi_a^{****} = \varphi_a^{****}$,
2. If $D^{****} = D^{**}$, then $\varphi_a^{****} = \varphi_a^{****}$ and $\varphi_a^{**} = \varphi_a^{***}$,
3. If $D^{****} = D^{****}$ or $D^{****} = D^{****}$, then $\varphi_a^{****} = \varphi_a^{**}$,
4. If $\pi_2$ is regular and $D^{****} = D^{****}$, then $\varphi_a^{****} = \varphi_a^{**}$.

For the $\varphi_a$ have the same argument. In the next result we provide a necessary and sufficient condition such that the fourth adjoint $D^{****}$ of a tri-derivation is again a tri-derivation.

**Theorem 8** Let $(\pi_1, X, \pi_2)$ be a Banach $A$–module and let $D : A \times A \times A \to X$ be a tri-derivation. Then $D^{****} : (A^{**}, \square) \times (A^{**}, \square) \times (A^{**}, \square) \to X^{**}$ is a tri-derivation if and only if $\varphi_a^{**} = \varphi_a^{**} = \varphi_a^{**}$ and $\psi_{x^*}^{**} = \varphi_a^{**} = \psi_{x^*}^{****}$.

**Proof** First we show that $D^{****}$ is a tri-derivation. Let $\{a_\alpha\}, \{b_\beta\}, \{c_\gamma\}$ and $\{d_\tau\}$ are bounded nets in $A$ which converge to $a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}$ and $d^{**}$ in $A^{**}$ in the $w^{**}$–topologies, respectively. If $\varphi_a^{**} = \varphi_a^{**}$, then we have

$$\lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\tau} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim(x^*, \pi_2(D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma), d_\tau)) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\tau} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim(x^*, \varphi_{a_\alpha}(c_\beta, b_\beta, d_\tau))$$

$$= \lim_{\alpha}(\varphi_{a_\alpha}^{**}(c_\gamma, b^{**}, d^{**}), x^*)$$

$$= \lim_{\alpha}(\varphi_{a_\alpha}^{**}(c_\gamma, b^{**}, d^{**}), x^*)$$

$$= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim(x^*, \varphi_{a_\alpha}(c_\beta, b_\beta, d_\tau))$$

$$= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim(x^*, \pi_2(D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma), d_\tau))$$

$$= \langle \pi_2^{**}(D^{****}(a_{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}, d^{**}), x^*) \rangle.$$
Therefore \( w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\tau} \lim_{\beta, \gamma} \pi_2(D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma), d_\tau) = \pi_2^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}). \)

So

\[
\langle D^{****}(\pi^{**}(a^{**}, d^{**}), b^{**}, c^{**}), x^* \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\tau} \lim_{\beta, \gamma} \langle x^*, \pi_2(D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma), d_\tau) + \pi_1(a_\alpha, D(d_\tau, b_\beta, c_\gamma)) \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\tau} \lim_{\beta, \gamma} \langle x^*, \pi_2(D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma), d_\tau) + \pi_1(a_\alpha, D(d_\tau, b_\beta, c_\gamma)) \rangle
\]

\[
+ \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\tau} \lim_{\beta, \gamma} \langle x^*, \pi_1(a_\alpha, D(d_\tau, b_\beta, c_\gamma)) \rangle
\]

\[
= (\pi_2^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}), x^*) + (\pi_1^{**}(a^{**}, D^{****}(d^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**})), x^*)
\]

\[
= (\pi_2^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}) + \pi_1^{**}(a^{**}, D^{****}(d^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**})), x^*).
\]

Thus

\[
D^{****}(\pi^{**}(a^{**}, d^{**}), b^{**}, c^{**}) = \pi_2^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**})
\]

\[
+ \pi_1^{**}(a^{**}, D^{****}(d^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**})).
\]

Using the equality \( \varphi_0^{**} = \varphi_0^{***} \) we have

\[
w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \pi_2(D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma), d_\tau) = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \varphi_{a_\alpha}(c_\gamma, b_\beta, d_\tau)
\]

\[
= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \varphi_{a_\alpha}^{**}(c^{**}, b^{**}, d^{**})
\]

\[
= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \varphi_{a_\alpha}^{***}(c^{**}, b^{**}, d^{**})
\]

\[
= \pi_2^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}).
\]

In the other hand, \( \psi_{x^{**}} = \psi_{x^{***}} \) implies that

\[
\lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \langle x^*, \pi_1(b_\beta, D(a_\alpha, d_\tau, c_\gamma)) \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \langle \pi_1(x^*, b_\beta), D(a_\alpha, d_\tau, c_\gamma) \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \langle D^*(\pi_1(x^*, b_\beta), a_\alpha, d_\tau), c_\gamma \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \langle c^{**}, D^*(\pi_1(x^*, b_\beta), a_\alpha, d_\tau) \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \langle c^{**}, \psi_{x^*}(a_\alpha, d_\tau, b_\beta) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \psi_{x^*}^{***}(a^{**}, d^{**}, b^{**}), c^{**} \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \psi_{x^*}^{**}(a^{**}, d^{**}, b^{**}), c^{**} \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \langle c^{**}, \psi_{x^*}(a_\alpha, d_\tau, b_\beta) \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} \langle c^{**}, D^*(\pi_1(x^*, b_\beta), a_\alpha, d_\tau) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \pi_1^{**}(b^{**}, D^{****}(a^{**}, d^{**}, c^{**}), x^*) \rangle.
\]
Thus, using the equality
\[
\psi_2^{***} = \psi_2^{****}
\]
we have
\[
\lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(a^*, \pi(c_\beta, d_\tau, a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau))
\]
Therefore we have
\[
(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*)) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} (D(a_\alpha, \pi(b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau), c_\gamma))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} (D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau))
\]
\[
+ \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} (D(a_\alpha, \pi_2(b_\beta, D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma), d_\tau)))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} (D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau} (D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*))
\]
Thus
\[
(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*)) = \pi_2^{***}(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*))
\]
\[
+ \pi_1^{***}(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*))
\]
Now we show that
\[
(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*))) = \pi_2^{***}(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*))
\]
\[
+ \pi_1^{***}(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d*))
\]
Using the equality \(\psi_2^{****} = \psi_2^{****}\) we have
\[
\lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(x^*, \pi_1(c_\gamma, D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau)))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(\pi_1(x^*, c_\gamma, D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau)))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(D^{****}(x^*, c_\gamma, a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(d^*, \psi_2(x^*, a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma))
\]
\[
= \langle \psi_2^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), d^*)
\]
\[
= \langle \psi_2^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(D^{****}(x^*, c_\gamma, a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma))
\]
\[
= \langle \pi_1^{***}(c^*, D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*)), x^*)
\]
So it follows that
\[
(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*)), x^*) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(x^*, D^{****}(a_\alpha, b_\beta, (c_\gamma, d_\tau)))
\]
\[
= \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(x^*, \pi_2(D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau)))
\]
\[
+ \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\tau}(x^*, \pi_1(c_\gamma, D(a_\alpha, b_\beta, c_\gamma, d_\tau)))
\]
\[
= \langle \pi_2^{****}(D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*), x^*)
\]
\[
+ \langle \pi_1^{****}(c^*, D^{****}(a^*, b^*, (c^*, d^*)), x^*)
\]
For the converse, we prove only $\varphi_{\omega}^{r^{****}} = \varphi_{\omega}^{r^{***}}$, the other part has the same argument. Let $D^{****}$ be a tri-derivation. Then we have

$$D^{****}(\pi^{**}(a^{**}, d^{**}), b^{**}, c^{**}) = \pi_{2}^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}) + \pi_{1}^{**}(a^{**}, D^{****}(d^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**})).$$

In the other hand

$$D^{****}(\pi^{**}(a^{**}, d^{**}), b^{**}, c^{**}) = w^{*} - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} D(\pi(a_{\alpha}, d_{\gamma}), b_{\beta}, c_{\gamma})$$

$$= w^{*} - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \pi_{2}(D(a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta}, c_{\gamma}), d_{\gamma})$$

$$+ \pi_{1}^{**}(a^{**}, D^{****}(d^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**})).$$

Therefore

$$\pi_{2}^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}) = w^{*} - \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \pi_{2}(D(a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta}, c_{\gamma}), d_{\gamma}).$$

In particular,

$$\pi_{2}^{**}(D^{****}(a^{**}, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}) = w^{*} - \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \pi_{2}(D(a, b_{\beta}, c_{\gamma}), d_{\gamma}).$$

Thus we have

$$\langle \varphi_{\omega}^{r^{****}}(c^{**}, b^{**}, d^{**}), x^{*} \rangle = \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \langle \varphi_{\omega}(c_{\gamma}, b_{\beta}, d_{\gamma}), x^{*} \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \langle \varphi_{\omega}(D(a, b_{\beta}, c_{\gamma}), d_{\gamma}), x^{*} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \varphi_{\omega}(D^{****}(a, b^{**}, c^{**}), d^{**}), x^{*} \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\gamma} \lim_{\alpha} \langle \varphi_{\omega}(D(a_{\alpha}, b_{\beta}, c_{\gamma}), d_{\gamma}), x^{*} \rangle$$

$$= \langle \varphi_{\omega}(c^{**}, b^{**}, d^{**}), x^{*} \rangle.$$ 

Therefore $\varphi_{\omega}^{r^{****}} = \varphi_{\omega}^{r^{***}}$.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 8 we deduce the next result.

**Corollary 9** If the maps $\varphi_{\omega}$ and $\psi_{\omega}$ are completely regular, then fourth adjoint $D^{****} : (A^{**}, \odot) \times (A^{**}, \odot) \times (A^{**}, \odot) \rightarrow X^{**}$ is a tri-derivation where $\odot \in \{\Box, \Diamond\}$.

**References**