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Abstract
We consider a function $G(\lambda, z)$, entire in $\lambda$, which interpolates the derivatives of the Gamma function in the sense that $G(m, z) = \Gamma^{(m)}(z)$ for any integer $m \geq 0$ and we calculate the asymptotics of $G(\lambda, z)$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$.
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1 Introduction—the function $G(\lambda, z)$

Starting with the familiar integral representation of the Gamma function
\[ \Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0, \]
and then taking derivatives, one obtains
\[ \Gamma^{(m)}(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (\ln t)^m t^{z-1} dt, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \Re(z) > 0. \]

The goal of this article is to construct and study an analytic function $G$ of two variables $\lambda$ and $z$ which, for $\lambda = m$ becomes the $m$-th derivative $\Gamma^{(m)}(z)$ of the Gamma function.

To construct such a function we simply set
\[ G(\lambda, z) := \int_{C_\epsilon} e^{-\zeta (\ln \zeta)^\lambda \zeta^{z-1}} d\zeta, \quad \Re(z) > 0, \]
where:

(i) The contour $C_r$ consists of the intervals $[0, 1 - r]$ and $[1 + r, \infty)$ of the positive real semiaxis $(0 < r < 1)$ connected with the semicircle \( \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} : \zeta = 1 + re^{i\theta}, \ 0 < \theta < \pi \} \), lying in the upper half-plane. The orientation of $C_r$ is $0 \to \infty$.

(ii) $w = \ln \zeta$ denotes the branch of the logarithm which maps the open upper half-plane $\Im(\zeta) > 0$ conformally onto the open strip $0 < \Im(w) < \pi$. In particular, $\ln 1 = 0$ and $\ln(-1) = \pi i$. In particular $1^\lambda = 1^{z-1} = 1$.

(iii) $(\ln \zeta)^\lambda = e^{\lambda \ln \ln \zeta}$ and $\zeta^{z-1} = e^{(z-1)\ln \zeta}$. In particular $1^\lambda = 1^{z-1} = 1$.

It is clear that the value of the integral in (3) is independent of $r \in (0, 1)$ and hence it defines a unique function $G(\lambda, z)$, which is entire in $\lambda$. Moreover, it is not hard to see that, as a function of $\lambda$, $G(\lambda, z)$ is of order 1 and of maximal (i.e., infinite) type for any $z$ with $\Re(z) > 0$.

If $\Re(\lambda) > -1$ (and $\Re(z) > 0$), we can let $r \to 0^+$ in (3) and arrive at the formula

$$G(\lambda, z) = e^{\pi i \lambda} \int_0^1 e^{-t(-\ln t)^\lambda t^{z-1}} dt + \int_1^{\infty} e^{-t(-\ln t)^\lambda t^{z-1}} dt, \quad (4)$$

since for the negative values of $\ln t$ we have

$$(-\ln t)^\lambda = e^{\lambda \ln(-\ln t)} = e^{\lambda \ln(-\ln t) + \pi i} = e^{\pi i \lambda(-\ln t)^\lambda}. \quad (5)$$

Formula (4) can be also written as

$$G(\lambda, z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t(-\ln t)^\lambda t^{z-1}} dt, \quad \Re(\lambda) > -1, \ \Re(z) > 0, \quad (6)$$

from which we can see immediately that $G(m, z) = \Gamma^{(m)}(z)$ for $m = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $\Re(z) > 0$.

2 Some basic properties of $G(\lambda, z)$

Before we start our analysis let us introduce the notation

$$\mathbb{C}_\xi := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) > \xi \}, \quad (7)$$

where $\xi$ is a real number.

As we have, essentially, already observed, it follows from (3) that $G(\lambda, z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}_0$.

We continue with some basic properties of $G(\lambda, z)$.

**Property 1.** For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-1}$ we have

$$L(\lambda) := \lim_{z \to 0} z^{\lambda+1} G(\lambda, z) = e^{\pi i \lambda} \Gamma(\lambda + 1), \quad (8)$$

where $z^{\lambda+1} = e^{(\lambda+1)\ln z}$, $\ln z$ being continuous in $\mathbb{C}_0$ (in particular $1^{\lambda+1} = 1$).
Proof. In view of (4) we have

\[ G(\lambda, z) = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} e^{-t/(\ln t)} t^z dt + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-t/(\ln t)} t^z dt, \quad \Re(\lambda) > -1, \Re(z) > 0, \]  

(9)

for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. Now, the integral

\[ \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{-t/(\ln t)} t^z dt \]  

(10)

is entire in $z$ and, in particular, finite at $z = 0$; thus it does not contribute to the value of the limit $L(\lambda)$. Consequently, for the limit in (8) we have

\[ L(\lambda) = \lim_{z \to 0} z^{\lambda+1} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} e^{-t/(\ln t)} t^z dt, \]  

(11)

independently of $\varepsilon$, as long as $\varepsilon > 0$. Since for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ the quantity $e^{-t}$ can be made as close to 1 as we wish by choosing $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small, it follows that

\[ L(\lambda) = \lim_{z \to 0} z^{\lambda+1} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} (\ln t)^\lambda t^z dt. \]  

(12)

But the limit in (12) is, also, independent of $\varepsilon$, as long as $\varepsilon > 0$. Therefore, we can take $\varepsilon = 1$ in (12) and get

\[ L(\lambda) = \lim_{z \to 0} z^{\lambda+1} \int_{0}^{1} (\ln t)^\lambda t^z dt \]

\[ = e^{\pi i \lambda} \lim_{z \to 0} z^{\lambda+1} \int_{0}^{1} (-\ln t)^\lambda t^z dt \]

\[ = e^{\pi i \lambda} \lim_{z \to 0} z^{\lambda+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z \tau^\lambda} d\tau \]  

(13)

(the last integral is obtained by substituting $\tau = -\ln t$ in the previous integral). Finally, since for real and positive $z$, the substitution $t = z \tau$ yields

\[ z^{\lambda+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-z \tau^\lambda} d\tau = \Gamma(\lambda + 1), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-1}, \]  

(14)

it follows by analytic continuation that the equality (14) holds for any $z \in \mathbb{C}_{0}$. □

Property 2. If $\partial_z$ denotes the derivative operator with respect to $z$, then

\[ \partial_z G(\lambda, z) = G(\lambda + 1, z), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_{0}. \]  

(15)

Property 2 follows by differentiating (3) with respect to $z$. 
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Property 3. The function \( G(\lambda, z) \) satisfies the functional equation
\[
G(\lambda, z + 1) = zG(\lambda, z) + \lambda G(\lambda - 1, z), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_0. \tag{16}
\]

Proof. For \( \Re(\lambda) > 1 \) and \( \Re(z) > 0 \) integration by parts yields
\[
z \int_0^\infty e^{-t}(\ln t)^\lambda t^{z-1} dt = e^{-t}(\ln t)^\lambda t^z \bigg|_{t=0}^{t=\infty} + \int_0^\infty [e^{-t}(\ln t)^\lambda t^z - \lambda e^{-t}(\ln t)^{\lambda-1} t^{z-1}] dt
\]
\[= \int_0^\infty e^{-t}(\ln t)^\lambda t^z dt - \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-t}(\ln t)^{\lambda-1} t^{z-1} dt
\]
\[= G(\lambda, z + 1) - \lambda G(\lambda - 1, z).
\]
Thus, \( G(\lambda, z) \) satisfies (16) for \( \Re(\lambda) > 1 \) and, consequently, for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) by analytic continuation.

We can now combine Property 2 with Property 3 and get
\[
G(\lambda, z + 1) = z \partial_z G(\lambda - 1, z) + \lambda G(\lambda - 1, z), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_0. \tag{17}
\]
Multiplying (17) by \( z^\lambda \) yields
\[
z^\lambda G(\lambda, z + 1) = \partial_z \left[z^\lambda G(\lambda - 1, z)\right], \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_0, \tag{18}
\]
so that, by integrating (18) from 0 to \( z \) we obtain
\[
z^\lambda G(\lambda - 1, z) - z_0^\lambda G(\lambda - 1, z_0) = \int_{z_0}^{z} z^\lambda G(\lambda, \zeta + 1) d\zeta, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{19}
\]
where the integral is taken over a rectifiable arc joining \( z_0 \) and \( z \), and lying entirely in the open right half-plane \( \mathbb{C}_0 \).

Incidentally, if we restrict \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_0 \), then we can let \( z_0 \rightarrow 0 \) in (19) and invoke Property 1 in order to get
\[
z^\lambda G(\lambda - 1, z) + e^{\pi i \lambda} \Gamma(\lambda) = \int_0^{z} z^\lambda G(\lambda, \zeta + 1) d\zeta, \quad \lambda, z \in \mathbb{C}_0. \tag{20}
\]
Noticing that, for any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), the integral in the right-hand side of (19) defines a (multivalued) analytic function of \( z \) in the half-plane \( \mathbb{C}_{-1} \) we can conclude that \( z^\lambda G(\lambda - 1, z) \) and, consequently, \( G(\lambda - 1, z) \) have a meromorphic extension for \( z \in \mathbb{C}_{-1} \), with a branch point at \( z = 0 \). It is convenient (and harmless) to denote by \( G(\lambda - 1, z) \) too the meromorphic extension of \( G(\lambda - 1, z) \).

It, then, follows that for a fixed \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_0 \) formula (19) remains valid for \( z \in \mathbb{C}_{-1} \) (as long as the integral is taken over an arc joining \( z_0 \) and \( z \), and lying entirely in \( \mathbb{C}_{-1} \)). Therefore, by the same argument \( G(\lambda - 1, z) \) has a (multivalued) meromorphic extension for \( z \in \mathbb{C}_{-2} \). As the dummy variable \( \zeta \) of the integral in (19) takes the value \( -1 \), the function \( G(\lambda, \zeta + 1) \) appearing in the integrand is evaluated at its branch point 0. Hence \( z = -1 \) is a branch point of the integral and, hence, of \( G(\lambda - 1, z) \). Keeping arguing in the same manner, we
can conclude that \( G(\lambda - 1, z) \) has a (multivalued) meromorphic extension in \( \mathbb{C}_{-n} \) for any \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \), with branch points at \( z = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). And since \( n \) is arbitrary, we can finally conclude that \( G(\lambda - 1, z) \) has a (multivalued) meromorphic extension in \( \mathbb{C} \) with branch points at the nonpositive integers.

From the above discussion it also follows that the limit in (8) of Proposition 1 remains valid as long as \( z \) approaches zero in a continuous fashion, without ever crossing the negative real semiaxis.

Let us summarize the above observations in the following property.

**Property 4.** For any complex \( \lambda \), the function \( G(\lambda, z) \), viewed as a function of \( z \), has a (multivalued) meromorphic extension in \( \mathbb{C} \) with branch points at \( z = 0, -1, -2, \ldots \). Furthermore, Property 1 can be strengthen as

\[
\lim_{z \to 0} z^\lambda G(\lambda, z) = e^{\pi i\lambda} \Gamma(\lambda + 1),
\]

where \( \gamma \) is a continuous curve which does not cross the negative real semiaxis, while \( z^{\lambda+1} \) is defined so that it is continuous in \( z \) and \( 1^{\lambda+1} = 1 \).

Finally, from (19) it follows easily the following property:

**Property 5.** For any \( z \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots \), the function \( G(\lambda, z) \) is entire in \( \lambda \).

### 3 Asymptotics of \( G(\lambda, z) \) as \( \lambda \to +\infty \)

For typographical convenience we write (4) as

\[
G(\lambda, z) = G_0(\lambda, z) + G_1(\lambda, z),
\]

where

\[
G_0(\lambda, z) := e^{\pi i\lambda} \int_0^1 e^{-t (\ln t)^\lambda} t^{z-1} dt, \quad \Re(\lambda) > -1, \quad \Re(z) > 0,
\]

and

\[
G_1(\lambda, z) := \int_1^\infty e^{-(t-\lambda \ln t)} t^{z-1} dt, \quad \Re(\lambda) > -1, \quad \Re(z) > 0
\]

(in fact, \( G_1(\lambda, z) \) is entire in \( z \)).

#### 3.1 The expansion of \( G_0(\lambda, z) \)

By expanding \( e^{-t} \) in (23) as a power series we obtain

\[
G_0(\lambda, z) = e^{\pi i\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_0^1 (-\ln t)^\lambda t^{n+z-1} dt, \quad \Re(\lambda) > -1, \quad \Re(z) > 0.
\]

(25)
Now, as in [13]-[14],
\[
\int_0^1 (-\ln t)^\lambda t^{n+z-1} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-(n+z)t} t^{\lambda} dt = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{(n+z)^{\lambda+1}}.
\] (26)

Thus, (25) becomes
\[
G_0(\lambda, z) = e^{\pi i \lambda} \Gamma(\lambda+1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! (n+z)^{\lambda+1}}, \quad \Re(\lambda) > -1, \quad \Re(z) > 0,
\] (27)

and the series converges very rapidly. Since for \(\Re(z) > 0\)
\[
\frac{1}{(n+1+z)^{\lambda+1}} \ll \frac{1}{(n+z)^{\lambda+1}} \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to +\infty,
\]
for any \(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\), the expansion in (27) describes completely the behavior of \(G_0(\lambda, z)\) as \(\lambda \to +\infty\). In particular (27) implies that for any fixed \(z \in \mathbb{C}_0\) we have
\[
G_0(\lambda, z) = e^{\pi i \lambda} \Gamma(\lambda+1) \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)}{z^{\lambda+1}} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha} \right) \right], \quad \lambda \to +\infty.
\] (28)

3.2 Asymptotics of \(G_1(\lambda, z)\) as \(\lambda \to +\infty\)

Let us, first, introduce some notation. We set
\[
\psi(t) := t \ln t, \quad t \in [1, \infty)
\] (29)

and
\[
\omega(\lambda) := \psi^{-1}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in [0, \infty).
\] (30)

The function \(\omega(\lambda)\) is well defined, smooth, and strictly increasing on \([0, \infty)\), with \(\omega(0) = 1\), since \(\psi(t)\) is smooth and strictly increasing on \([1, \infty)\), with \(\psi(1) = 0\). Furthermore, it is easy to see that
\[
\omega(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda}{\ln \lambda} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{\ln \ln \lambda}{\ln \lambda} \right) \right], \quad \lambda \to +\infty.
\] (31)

Lemma 1. For any fixed \(z \in \mathbb{C}_0\) and for any fixed \(\alpha\) such that
\[
0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2},
\] (32)

the function \(G_1(\lambda, z)\) of (24) satisfies
\[
G_1(\lambda, z) = \sqrt{\pi} e^{\lambda \ln \ln \omega(\lambda)} e^{-\omega(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \omega(\lambda) z \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha} \right) \right], \quad \lambda \to +\infty.
\] (33)
where $\omega(\lambda)$ is given by (29)-(30) and

$$A := \frac{\lambda(1 + \ln \omega(\lambda))}{2 \ln^2 \omega(\lambda)}$$

(34)

(thus, from (31) we have that $A \sim \lambda/(2 \ln \lambda)$ as $\lambda \to +\infty$).

Proof. The integral of (24) can be viewed as a “Laplace integral” with large parameter $\lambda$ [1]. Thus, we will try to apply the so-called Laplace method for integrals.

We begin by looking for the value of $t$ which minimizes the function

$$h(t) := t - \lambda \ln \ln t, \quad t \in [1, \infty),$$

(35)

appearing in the exponent of the integrand in (24). Since

$$h'(t) = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{t \ln t}$$

(36)

we have that $h(t)$ has a unique minimum attained when

$$t \ln t = \lambda$$

namely when (recall (29)-(30))

$$t = \omega(\lambda)$$

(37)

and, since $\omega(\lambda) > 1$ for $\lambda > 0$, this minimum is attained in the interior of the interval $[1, \infty)$, for every $\lambda > 0$.

From the theory of Laplace integrals we know that the main contribution to the value of the integral in (24), as $\lambda$ gets large, comes from the values of $t$ around $\omega(\lambda)$. In order to avoid the dependence in $\lambda$ we make the substitution $t = \omega(\lambda) x$. Then, formula (24) becomes

$$G_1(\lambda, z) = \omega(\lambda)^2 \int_{1/\omega(\lambda)}^{\infty} e^{-p(x)x^{z-1}} dx, \quad x \in [1/\omega(\lambda), \infty).$$

(38)

where for typographical convenience we have set

$$p(x) := h(\omega(\lambda)x) = \omega(\lambda)x - \lambda \ln(\ln \omega(\lambda) + \ln x), \quad x \in [1/\omega(\lambda), \infty).$$

(39)

The minimum of $p(x)$ on $[1/\omega(\lambda), \infty)$ is attained at $x = 1$. Since $p'(1) = 0$ the Taylor expansion of $p(x)$ (with remainder) about $x = 1$ up to the cubic term is

$$p(x) = p(1) + \frac{p''(1)}{2}(x - 1)^2 + \frac{p'''(c)}{6}(x - 1)^3,$$

(40)

where $c$ is some number between 1 and $x$.

From (39) we have

$$p(1) = \omega(\lambda) - \lambda \ln \ln \omega(\lambda), \quad p''(1) = \lambda\frac{1 + \ln \omega(\lambda)}{\ln^2 \omega(\lambda)},$$

(41)
and
\[ p'''(c) = -\lambda \left\{ \frac{2[\ln \omega(\lambda) + \ln c]^2 + 3[\ln \omega(\lambda) + \ln c] + 2}{c^3[\ln \omega(\lambda) + \ln c]^3} \right\}, \quad (42) \]

In particular, formula (42), combined with (31), imply that
\[ p'''(c) = O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda \ln \lambda} \right) \quad \text{as} \quad \lambda \to +\infty, \quad (43) \]

provided \( \delta \leq x \leq 1/\delta \) for some fixed \( \delta \in (0, 1) \). Moreover, by (40) and (41) we can see that the quadratic Taylor polynomial of \( p(x) \) about \( x = 1 \) is
\[ P(x; \lambda) := \omega(\lambda) - \lambda \ln \omega(\lambda) + \lambda \frac{1 + \ln \omega(\lambda)}{2 \ln^2 \omega(\lambda)} (x - 1)^2 \quad (44) \]

and it follows easily from (40), (41), (42), (44), and (32) that for all \( \lambda \) sufficiently large we must have
\[ |p(x) - P(x; \lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{3\alpha - 1}}, \quad x \in I_\lambda, \quad (45) \]

where \( I_\lambda \) is the interval
\[ I_\lambda := \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha}, 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha} \right]. \quad (46) \]

From now on let us assume, without loss of generality, that \( \alpha > 1/3 \), so that \( 1/\lambda^{3\alpha - 1} \to 0 \) as \( \lambda \to +\infty \). Then, from (45) it follows that
\[ e^{-p(x)} = e^{-P(x; \lambda)} + R(x; \lambda), \quad x \in I_\lambda, \quad (47) \]

where
\[ |R(x; \lambda)| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda^{3\alpha - 1}} e^{-P(x; \lambda)}, \quad x \in I_\lambda, \quad (48) \]

for all sufficiently large \( \lambda \).

Multiplying by \( x^{z-1} \) and then taking integrals in (47) yields
\[ \int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-p(x)} x^{z-1} dx = \int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-P(x; \lambda)} x^{z-1} dx + \int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} R(x; \lambda)x^{z-1} dx. \quad (49) \]

Having formula (49), in order to complete the proof of the lemma, we need to verify the following three claims.

**Claim 1.** For the first integral in the right-hand side of (49) we have
\[ \int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-P(x; \lambda)} x^{z-1} dx = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} e^{-\omega(\lambda) + \lambda \ln \ln \omega(\lambda)}}{\sqrt{A}} \left[ 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{\lambda^\alpha} \right) \right], \quad \lambda \to +\infty, \quad (50) \]
Claim 2. For the second integral in the right-hand side of (49) we have
\[
\int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} R(x; \lambda)^{x^{z-1}} dx = \frac{e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)}}{\sqrt{A}} O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{\lambda-1}} \right), \quad \lambda \to +\infty. \tag{51}
\]

Claim 3. For the integral in the left-hand side of (49) we have
\[
\int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-p(x)} x^{z-1} dx = \int_{1/\omega(\lambda)}^{\infty} e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha}} \right) \int_{-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-A\xi^2} d\xi, \tag{52}
\]
as \lambda \to +\infty.

Proof of Claim 1. In view of (44) we have
\[
\int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-p(x)} x^{z-1} dx = e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)} \int_{1/\omega(\lambda)}^{\infty} e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha}} \right) \int_{-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-A\xi^2} d\xi. \tag{53}
\]
Now, for \(|\xi| \leq \lambda^{-\alpha}\) we have (since \(z\) is fixed)
\[
(1 + \xi)^{z-1} = 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha}} \right), \quad \lambda \to +\infty. \tag{54}
\]
Thus, (53) implies
\[
\int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-p(x)} x^{z-1} dx = e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)} \left[ 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha}} \right) \right] \int_{-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-A\xi^2} d\xi, \tag{55}
\]
where \(A\) is given by (34). Finally, since from (32), (31), and (34) we have that \(\sqrt{A} \lambda^{-\alpha} \gg \lambda^{(1-2\alpha)/4} \to +\infty\), while
\[
\int_{0}^{\sqrt{A} \lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-u^2} du = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} + O \left( \frac{e^{-A\lambda^{-2\alpha}}}{\sqrt{A} \lambda^{-\alpha}} \right) \tag{56}
\]
as \lambda \to +\infty, formula (55) implies (50).

Proof of Claim 2. Using (48) we get
\[
\left| \int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} R(x; \lambda)^{x^{z-1}} dx \right| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda^{2\alpha-1}} \int_{1-\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}} e^{-p(x; \lambda)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx, \tag{57}
\]
thus (51) follows by using (50) in (57).

Proof of Claim 3. We assume, without loss of generality, that \(\lambda > 1\). Then
\[
\int_{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{\infty} e^{-p(x)} x^{z-1} dx \leq \int_{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}}^{2} e^{-p(x)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx + \int_{2}^{\infty} e^{-p(x)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx \tag{58}
\]
Consequently, for the first integral in the right-hand side of (58) we have

\[ p(x) \leq 2 p(z) \int_{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}}^2 e^{-p(x)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx \leq 2 p(z) \int_{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}}^2 e^{-p(z)} dx \]

\[ \leq 2 p(z) e^{-p(1+\lambda^{-\alpha})} \int_{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}}^2 e^{-p(1+\lambda^{-\alpha})(x-1-\lambda^{-\alpha})} dx \]

(since \( p(x) \geq p(1+\lambda^{-\alpha}) + p'(1+\lambda^{-\alpha})(x-1-\lambda^{-\alpha}) \) on \([1/\omega(\lambda), \infty)\) by convexity). Formula (59) implies

\[ \int_{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}}^2 e^{-p(x)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx \leq 2 p(z) e^{-p(1+\lambda^{-\alpha})} \]

By (65) we have

\[ p(1+\lambda^{-\alpha}) = \omega(\lambda) - \lambda \ln \omega(\lambda) + \frac{1 + \ln \omega(\lambda)}{2 \ln \omega(\lambda)} \lambda^{1-2\alpha} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3\alpha-1}}\right), \]

thus

\[ e^{-p(1+\lambda^{-\alpha})} = e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)} e^{-\frac{1+\ln \omega(\lambda)}{2 \ln \omega(\lambda)} \lambda^{1-2\alpha}} \left[ 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{3\alpha-1}}\right)\right]. \]  

Furthermore, from the Taylor expansion with remainder of \( p'(x) \) about \( x = 1 \), namely

\[ p'(x) = p'(1) + p''(1)(x-1) + \frac{p'''(c)}{2} (x-1)^2, \quad x \in I, \]

together with (61), (63), and the fact that \( p'(1) = 0 \), we obtain

\[ p'(1+\lambda^{-\alpha}) = \frac{\lambda^{1-\alpha}}{\ln \omega(\lambda)} \left[ 1 + O\left(\lambda^{1-2\alpha}\right)\right]. \]  

Hence, by using (62) and (63) in (60) (and recalling (31) and the fact that \( 1 - 2\alpha > 0 \)) it follows that

\[ \int_{1+\lambda^{-\alpha}}^2 e^{-p(x)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx = e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)} o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\alpha}}\right), \quad \lambda \to +\infty. \]  

As for the second integral in the right-hand side of (58), it is easy to see that it is much smaller than the bound given by (64) for the first integral in the right-hand side of (58). We can see that, e.g., by writing

\[ \int_2^\infty e^{-p(x)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx = \int_2^\infty e^{-p(x-3/2)} e^{-p(x-3/2)} x^{\Re(z)-1} dx \]  
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and observing that the function $e^{-p(x-3/2)x^{\Re(z)-1}}$ is bounded on $[2, \infty)$ uniformly in $\lambda$ (for, say, $\lambda > 2$).

Finally, in the same manner we can show that

$$
\int_{1/\omega(\lambda)}^{1-\lambda^{-n}} e^{-p(x)x^{z-1}} dx = \frac{e^{-\omega(\lambda)+\lambda \ln \omega(\lambda)}}{\sqrt{A}} o \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \right), \quad \lambda \to +\infty. \quad (66)
$$

Therefore, (62) follows immediately by using (64) and (66) in (58).

If we use the estimate (31) in (33) we get the following corollary

**Corollary 1.** For the function $G_1(\lambda, z)$ of (24) we have

$$
G_1(\lambda, z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[ \ln \omega(\lambda) \right]^{\lambda} e^{-\omega(\lambda)} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\ln \lambda} \right)^{z-(1/2)} \text{ as } \lambda \to +\infty, \quad (67)
$$

where $\omega(\lambda)$ is given by (29)-(30).

**Remark 1.** For the function $G_1(\lambda, z)$ of (24) we have

$$
G_1(m, z) = \Gamma^{(m)}(z, 1), \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad (68)
$$

where

$$
\Gamma(z, 1) := \int_1^\infty e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (69)
$$

is the so-called upper incomplete Gamma function. Since $\Gamma(z, 1)$ is entire in $z$, the radius of convergence of its Taylor series about any $z_0$ is infinite, and this agrees with the asymptotics of $G_1(\lambda, z)$ for large $\lambda$, as given by (67).

As for the function $G_0(\lambda, z)$ of (23) we have

$$
G_0(m, z) = \gamma^{(m)}(z, 1), \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad (70)
$$

where

$$
\gamma(z, 1) := \int_0^1 e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0, \quad (71)
$$

is the so-called lower incomplete Gamma function. Since $\gamma(z, 1)$ has a simple pole at $z = 0$, the radius of convergence of its Taylor series about any $z_0$ with $\Re(z) > 0$ is $|z_0|$, and this agrees with the asymptotics of $G_0(\lambda, z)$ for large $\lambda$, as given by (28). Thus $G_0(\lambda, z)$ grows much faster than $G_1(\lambda, z)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. This is, also, reflected in the corollary that follows.

**Corollary 2.** For the function $G(\lambda, z)$ of (3) we have

$$
G(\lambda, z) = e^{z\lambda} \Gamma(\lambda + 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! (n + z)^{\lambda + 1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[ \ln \omega(\lambda) \right]^{\lambda} e^{-\omega(\lambda)} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\ln \lambda} \right)^{z-(1/2)} [1 + o(1)], \quad \lambda \to +\infty, \quad (72)
$$
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where \( z \) is fixed with \( \Re(z) > 0 \) and \( \omega(\lambda) \) is given by (29)-(30).

Corollary 2 follows immediately from (27) and Corollary 1. Since \( G(m, z) = \Gamma^{(m)}(z) \) we obtain immediately from (72) the behavior of \( \Gamma^{(m)}(z) \) as \( m \to \infty \).

**Corollary 3.** For \( \Re(z) > 0 \) we have

\[
\Gamma^{(m)}(z) = (-1)^m m! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(n+z)^{m+1}}
+ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[ \ln \omega(m) \right]^m e^{-\omega(m)} \left( \frac{m}{\ln m} \right)^{z-(1/2)} \left[ 1 + o(1) \right], \quad \lambda \to +\infty,
\]

(73)

where \( \omega(\cdot) \) is given by (29)-(30). In particular,

\[
\Gamma^{(m)}(z) \sim \frac{(-1)^m m!}{z^{m+1}}, \quad \lambda \to +\infty.
\]

(74)

### 3.3 Examples

1. If we set \( z = 1 \) in (73), we obtain

\[
\Gamma^{(m)}(1) = (-1)^m m! \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!(n+1)^{m+1}}
+ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[ \ln \omega(m) \right]^m e^{-\omega(m)} \sqrt{\frac{m}{\ln m}} \left[ 1 + o(1) \right], \quad \lambda \to +\infty,
\]

(75)

in particular, \( \Gamma^{(m)}(1) \sim (-1)^m m! \). Also, in view of (68), formula (67) gives

\[
\Gamma^{(m)}(1, 1) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[ \ln \omega(m) \right]^m e^{-\omega(m)} \sqrt{\frac{m}{\ln m}} \text{ as } m \to \infty,
\]

(76)

where \( \omega(\cdot) \) is given by (29)-(30).

2. Suppose we want the asymptotics of \( G(\lambda, z) \) as \( \lambda \to \infty \), in the case where \( z \) is a given complex number with \( \Re(z) < 0 \). Then, we can employ formula (19) or (20). For instance, for \( z = -1/2 \) formula (20) gives

\[
G \left( \lambda - 1, -\frac{1}{2} \right) = -2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda) - 2^\lambda e^{-\pi i \lambda} \int_{-1/2}^{0} x^{\lambda-1} G(\lambda, x+1) \, dx,
\]

or

\[
G \left( \lambda - 1, -\frac{1}{2} \right) = -2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda) - e^{-\pi i \lambda} \int_{0}^{1} (\xi - 1)^{\lambda-1} G \left( \lambda, \frac{\xi+1}{2} \right) \, d\xi.
\]

(77)
We can now use (72) in (77) and get (as \( \lambda \to +\infty \))

\[
G \left( \lambda - 1, -\frac{1}{2} \right) = -2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda) + e^{\pi i \lambda} 2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda + 1) J(\lambda)
\]

\[
+ \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} + o(1) \right] \left[ \ln \omega(\lambda) \right]^\lambda e^{-\omega(\lambda)} \int_0^1 (\xi - 1)^{\lambda-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\ln \lambda} \right)^{\xi/2} d\xi
\]

where we have set

\[
J(\lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n+1} \right)^{\lambda+1} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^{\lambda+1}} \right]^{1/2}
\]

(78)

By invoking the formula

\[
-2^{a+b} \lambda \frac{d}{d\xi} \left[ \frac{(a-\xi)\lambda}{(b+\xi)\lambda} \right] = \frac{(a-\xi)\lambda-1}{(b+\xi)\lambda+1}
\]

(79) becomes

\[
J(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{1}{(n+1)! (2n+1)^\lambda}
\]

(81)

Also, it is not hard to show that

\[
\int_0^1 (\xi - 1)^{\lambda-1} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\ln \lambda} \right)^{\xi/2} d\xi \sim \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \to +\infty.
\]

(82)

Using (81) and (82) in (78) yields

\[
G \left( \lambda - 1, -\frac{1}{2} \right) = (e^{\pi i \lambda} - 1) 2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda) + e^{\pi i \lambda} 2^\lambda \Gamma(\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)! (2n+1)^\lambda}
\]

\[
+ \left[ \frac{\ln \omega(\lambda)}{\lambda} \right]^\lambda e^{-\omega(\lambda)} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} + o(1) \right], \quad \lambda \to +\infty.
\]

(83)

By analytic continuation we have that \( G(m, z) = \Gamma(m)(z) \) for all \( z \neq 0, -1, \ldots \). Hence, (83) implies

\[
\Gamma(m) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) = 2^{m+1} m! \left\{ (-1)^{m+1} - (-1)^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)! (2n+1)^m+1} \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{\left[ \ln \omega(m + 1) \right]^{m+1}}{m+1} e^{-\omega(m+1)} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} + o(1) \right], \quad m \to \infty,
\]

(84)

in particular,

\[
\Gamma(2k) \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sim -2^{2k+2} (2k)! \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma(2k+1) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) \sim -2^{2k+1} \frac{(2k+1)!}{3^{2k+2}}
\]
as $k \to \infty$. The above asymptotic formulas are in agreement with the fact that for $z \in (-1, 0)$ the “dominant” part of $\Gamma(z)$ is

$$D_{\Gamma}(z) := \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{z + 1}$$

for which we have $D_{\Gamma}^{(2k)}(-1/2) = -2^{2k+2}(2k)!$, while $D_{\Gamma}^{(2k+1)}(-1/2) = 0$. Let us also mention that from the functional equation

$$\Gamma^{(m)}(z+1) = z\Gamma^{(m)}(1) + m\Gamma^{(m-1)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad m = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad (85)$$

and the limits

$$\Gamma^{(2k)}(0^-) = \Gamma^{(2k)}(-1^+) = -\infty, \quad \Gamma^{(2k+1)}(0^-) = -\Gamma^{(2k)}(-1^+) = -\infty, \quad (86)$$

it follows that $\Gamma^{(2k)}(x) < 0$ for $x \in (-1, 0)$ and hence that $\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(x)$ is decreasing on $(-1, 0)$. Consequently, for each $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ the function $\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(z)$ has a unique zero, say $\eta_k$, in $(-1, 0)$. A natural question here is whether $\eta_k, k \geq 0$, is a monotone sequence and, furthermore, whether $\eta_k \to -1/2$ as $k \to \infty$.

3. Let us, finally, consider the case where $z$ is real and positive. Then formula (2) implies that $\Gamma^{(2k)}(z) > 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. Consequently, all odd derivatives $\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(z), k \geq 0$, are increasing in $(0, \infty)$, with $\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(0^+) = -\infty$ and $\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(+\infty) = +\infty$. Hence, for each $k \geq 0$ there is a unique $\zeta_k \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(\zeta_k) = 0. \quad (87)$$

Suppose that the sequence $\zeta_k, k \geq 0$, has a bounded subsequence, namely there is an $M > 0$ such that $\zeta_k < M$ for infinitely many values of $k$. Then, we should have $\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(M) > 0$ for infinitely many values of $k$. But this is impossible, since formula (73), or just (74), implies that $\Gamma^{(2k+1)}(M) < 0$ for all sufficiently large $k$. Therefore $\zeta_k \to \infty$. A natural question here is whether $\zeta_k$ is increasing.
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