

C^∞ -algebraic geometry with corners

Kelli Francis-Staite and Dominic Joyce

Abstract

If X is a manifold then the set $C^\infty(X)$ of smooth functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^∞ -ring, a rich algebraic structure with many operations. C^∞ -schemes are schemes over C^∞ -rings, a way of using Algebro-Geometric techniques in Differential Geometry. They include smooth manifolds, but also many singular and infinite-dimensional spaces. They have applications to Synthetic Differential Geometry, and to derived manifolds.

In this book, a sequel to [26], we define and study new categories of C^∞ -rings with corners and C^∞ -schemes with corners, which generalize manifolds with corners in the same way that C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes generalize manifolds. These will be used in future work [21] as the foundations of theories of derived manifolds and derived orbifolds with corners.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Background on C^∞-schemes	10
2.1	C^∞ -rings	10
2.2	Modules and cotangent modules of C^∞ -rings	15
2.3	Sheaves	17
2.4	C^∞ -schemes	19
2.5	Complete C^∞ -rings	22
2.6	Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on C^∞ -ringed spaces	23
2.7	Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on C^∞ -schemes	24
3	Background on manifolds with (g-)corners	25
3.1	Manifolds with corners	26
3.2	Monoids	28
3.3	Manifolds with g-corners	30
3.4	Boundaries, corners, and the corner functor	32
3.5	Tangent bundles and b-tangent bundles	35
4	(Pre) C^∞-rings with corners	37
4.1	Categorical pre C^∞ -rings with corners	38
4.2	Pre C^∞ -rings with corners	39
4.3	Adjoints and (co)limits for pre C^∞ -rings with corners	43

4.4	C^∞ -rings with corners	46
4.5	Free objects, generators, relations, and localization	50
4.6	Local C^∞ -rings with corners	54
4.7	Special classes of C^∞ -rings with corners	60
5	C^∞-schemes with corners	66
5.1	(Local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners	66
5.2	Special classes of local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners	69
5.3	The spectrum functor	70
5.4	C^∞ -schemes with corners	75
5.5	Semi-complete C^∞ -rings with corners	78
5.6	Special classes of C^∞ -schemes with corners	85
5.7	Fibre products of C^∞ -schemes with corners	89
6	Boundaries, corners, and the corner functor	91
6.1	The corner functor for C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners	91
6.2	The corner functor for C^∞ -schemes with corners	95
6.3	The corner functor for firm C^∞ -schemes with corners	101
6.4	The sheaves of monoids $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$ on $C(\mathbf{X})$	104
6.5	The boundary $\partial\mathbf{X}$ and k -corners $C_k(\mathbf{X})$	107
6.6	Fibre products and corner functors	108
6.7	B- and c-transverse fibre products in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^{\text{c}}$	112
7	Modules, and sheaves of modules	116
7.1	Modules over C^∞ -rings with corners, (b-)cotangent modules	116
7.2	(B-)cotangent modules for manifolds with (g-)corners	118
7.3	Some computations of b-cotangent modules	121
7.4	B-cotangent modules of pushouts	126
7.5	Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and (b-)cotangent sheaves	128
7.6	(B-)cotangent sheaves and the corner functor	132
8	Further generalizations	139
8.1	C^∞ -stacks with corners	139
8.2	C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes with a-corners	140
8.3	Derived manifolds and orbifolds with corners	144
	Bibliography	145

1 Introduction

If X is a manifold then the \mathbb{R} -algebra $C^\infty(X)$ of smooth functions $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^∞ -ring. That is, for each smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there is an n -fold operation $\Phi_f : C^\infty(X)^n \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ acting by $\Phi_f : c_1, \dots, c_n \mapsto f(c_1, \dots, c_n)$, and these operations Φ_f satisfy many natural identities. Thus, $C^\infty(X)$ actually has a far richer structure than the obvious \mathbb{R} -algebra structure.

C^∞ -algebraic geometry [8, 19, 26, 29, 40] is a version of algebraic geometry in which rings are replaced by C^∞ -rings. Our primary reference is the second author's monograph [26]. Its basic objects are C^∞ -schemes, which form a category $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$. Any smooth manifold X determines a C^∞ -scheme $\underline{X} = \text{Spec } C^\infty(X)$, giving an embedding $\mathbf{Man} \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ as a full subcategory. But C^∞ -schemes are far more general than manifolds, and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ contains many singular or infinite-dimensional objects. C^∞ -algebraic geometry has been applied in the foundations of Synthetic Differential Geometry [8, 29, 38–40], and Derived Differential Geometry [32, §4.5], [20, 21, 45].

Manifolds with corners \mathbf{Man}^c are a generalization of manifolds locally modelled on $[0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ rather than on \mathbb{R}^n . The goal of this book, which is a sequel to [26], is to extend C^∞ -algebraic geometry to manifolds with boundary and corners (and more generally ‘things with boundary and corners’). We introduce notions of C^∞ -rings with corners, and C^∞ -schemes with corners, which form a category $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ with a full embedding $\mathbf{Man}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$.

One of our motivations for introducing C^∞ -schemes with corners is to provide the foundations for a theory of *derived manifolds and derived orbifolds with corners*, which will be done by the second author [21], by regarding them as special examples of derived C^∞ -schemes with corners. These will have applications in Symplectic Geometry, and elsewhere, as the natural geometric structures on some important classes of moduli spaces. Our results could also be applied to develop a theory of Synthetic Differential Geometry with corners.

In the rest of this introduction we summarize the contents of the chapters.

Chapter 2. C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes

We begin with background on C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes, mostly following [26]. A *categorical C^∞ -ring* is a product-preserving functor $F : \mathbf{Euc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$, where $\mathbf{Euc} \subset \mathbf{Man}$ is the full subcategory of the category of smooth manifolds \mathbf{Man} with objects the Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 0$. These form a category $\mathbf{CC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$, with morphisms natural transformations, which is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ of C^∞ -rings by mapping $F \mapsto \mathfrak{C} = F(\mathbb{R})$.

Here a C^∞ -ring is data $(\mathfrak{C}, (\Phi_f)_{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}})$, where \mathfrak{C} is a set and $\Phi_f : \mathfrak{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ a map for all smooth maps $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfying some axioms. Usually we write this as \mathfrak{C} , leaving the C^∞ -operations Φ_f implicit. The motivating examples for X a smooth manifold are $\mathfrak{C} = C^\infty(X)$, the set of smooth functions $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with operations $\Phi_f(c_1, \dots, c_n)(x) = f(c_1(x), \dots, c_n(x))$. We discuss \mathfrak{C} -modules, which are just modules over \mathfrak{C} considered as an \mathbb{R} -algebra, and the *cotangent module* $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$, generalizing $\Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$, the vector space of smooth sections of T^*X , as a module over $C^\infty(X)$.

We then define the subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ of C^∞ -ringed spaces $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where X is a topological space and \mathcal{O}_X a sheaf of C^∞ -rings on X , and the full subcategory $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS} \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ of *local C^∞ -ringed spaces* for which the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are local C^∞ -rings. The global sections functor $\Gamma : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$ has a right adjoint $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$, the *spectrum functor*. An object $\underline{X} \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ is called a C^∞ -scheme if we may cover X

with open $U \subseteq X$ with $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U) \cong \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$ for $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$. These form a full subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch} \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$.

There is a full embedding $\mathbf{Man} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ mapping $X \mapsto \underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where \mathcal{O}_X is the sheaf of local smooth functions $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

In classical algebraic geometry $\Gamma \circ \text{Spec} \cong \text{Id} : \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$, so $\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$ is equivalent to the category \mathbf{ASch} of affine schemes. In C^∞ -algebraic geometry it is not true that $\Gamma \circ \text{Spec} \cong \text{Id}$, but we do have $\text{Spec} \circ \Gamma \circ \text{Spec} \cong \text{Spec}$. Define a C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} to be *complete* if $\mathfrak{C} \cong \Gamma \circ \text{Spec } \mathfrak{D}$ for some \mathfrak{D} . Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{co}} \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ for the full subcategory of complete C^∞ -rings. Then $(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{co}})^{\text{op}}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ of affine C^∞ -schemes.

We define \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a C^∞ -scheme \underline{X} , and the *cotangent sheaf* $T^*\underline{X}$, which generalizes cotangent bundles of manifolds.

Chapter 3. Manifolds with (g-)corners

We discuss *manifolds with corners*, locally modelled on $[0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. The definition of manifold with corners X, Y is an obvious generalization of the definition of manifold. However, the definition of smooth map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *not* obvious: what conditions should we impose on f near the boundary and corners of X, Y ? There are several non-equivalent definitions of morphisms of manifolds with corners in the literature. The one we choose is due to Melrose [36, §1.12], [30, §1], who calls them *b-maps*. This gives a category \mathbf{Man}^c .

A smooth map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called *interior* if $f(X^\circ) \subset Y^\circ$, where X° is the *interior* of X (that is, if X is locally modelled on $[0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, then X° is locally modelled on $(0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$). Write $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$ for the subcategory with only interior morphisms.

A manifold with corners X has a *boundary* ∂X , a manifold with corners of dimension $\dim X - 1$, with a (non-injective) morphism $\Pi_X : \partial X \rightarrow X$. For example, if $X = [0, \infty)^2$, then ∂X is the disjoint union $(\{0\} \times [0, \infty)) \amalg ([0, \infty) \times \{0\})$ with the obvious map Π_X , so two points in ∂X lie over $(0, 0) \in X$. There is a natural, free action of the symmetric group S_k on the k^{th} boundary $\partial^k X$, permuting the boundary strata. The *k-corners* is $C_k(X) = \partial^k X / S_k$, of dimension $\dim X - k$. The *corners* of X is $C(X) = \coprod_{k=0}^{\dim X} C_k(X)$, an object in the category $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ of *manifolds with corners of mixed dimension*.

We call X a *manifold with faces* if $\Pi_X|_F : F \rightarrow X$ is injective for each connected component F of ∂X (called a *face*).

Now boundaries are not functorial: if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is smooth, there is generally no natural morphism $\partial f : \partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$. However, there is a natural, interior morphism $C(f) : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$, giving the *corner functor* $C : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$ or $C : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$. We explain that C is right adjoint to the inclusion $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Man}^c$. Thus, from a categorical point of view, boundaries and corners in \mathbf{Man}^c are determined uniquely by the inclusion $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Man}^c$, that is, by the comparison between interior and smooth maps.

A manifold with corners X has two notions of (co)tangent bundle: the *tangent bundle* TX and its dual the *cotangent bundle* T^*X , and the *b-tangent*

bundle bTX and its dual the b -cotangent bundle ${}^bT^*X$. Here TX is the obvious notion of tangent bundle. There is a morphism $I_X : {}^bTX \rightarrow TX$ which identifies $\Gamma^\infty({}^bTX)$ with the subspace of vector fields $v \in \Gamma^\infty(TX)$ which are tangent to every boundary stratum of X . Tangent bundles are functorial over smooth maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (that is, f lifts to $Tf : TX \rightarrow TY$ linear on the fibres). B-tangent bundles are functorial over interior maps $f : X \rightarrow Y$.

We also discuss the categories $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}$ of *manifolds with generalized corners*, or *manifolds with g -corners*, introduced by the second author in [23]. These allow more complicated local models than $[0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$. The local models X_P are parametrized by *weakly toric monoids* P , with $X_P = [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ when $P = \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$. So we provide an introduction to the theory of monoids. (All monoids in this book are commutative.)

The simplest manifold with g -corners which is not a manifold with corners is $X = \{(w, x, y, z) \in [0, \infty)^4 : wx = yz\}$. Most of the theory above extends to manifolds with g -corners, except that tangent bundles TX are not well behaved, and we no longer have $C_k(X) \cong \partial^k X / S_k$.

We call morphisms $g : X \rightarrow Z, h : Y \rightarrow Z$ in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ or $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ *b-transverse* if ${}^bT_x g \oplus {}^bT_y h : {}^bT_x X \oplus {}^bT_y Y \rightarrow {}^bT_z Z$ is surjective for all $x \in X, y \in Y$ with $g(x) = h(y) = z$. Manifolds with g -corners have the nice property that all b-transverse fibre products exist in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$, whereas fibre products only exist in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}, \mathbf{Man}^{\text{c}}$ under rather restrictive conditions. We can think of $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ as a kind of closure of $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ under b-transverse fibre products.

Chapter 4. (Pre) C^∞ -rings with corners

We introduce *C^∞ -rings with corners*. To decide on the correct definition, the obvious starting point is categorical C^∞ -rings, i.e. product-preserving functors $F : \mathbf{Euc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$. We define a *categorical pre C^∞ -ring with corners* to be a product-preserving functor $F : \mathbf{Euc}^{\text{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$, where $\mathbf{Euc}^{\text{c}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{c}}$ is the full subcategory with objects $[0, \infty)^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for $m, n \geq 0$. These form a category $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$, with morphisms natural transformations.

Then we define the category $\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$ of *pre C^∞ -rings with corners*, with objects $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, (\Phi_f)_{f: \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} C^\infty}, (\Psi_g)_{g: \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty) C^\infty})$ for $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ sets and $\Phi_f : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}, \Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ maps, satisfying some axioms. Usually we write this as $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$, leaving the C^∞ -operations Φ_f, Ψ_g implicit. There is an equivalence $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$ mapping $F \mapsto (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) = (F(\mathbb{R}), F([0, \infty)))$. The motivating example, for X a manifold with (g -)corners, is $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) = (C^\infty(X), \text{Ex}(X))$, where $C^\infty(X)$ is the set of smooth maps $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\text{Ex}(X)$ the set of *exterior* (i.e. smooth, but not necessarily interior) maps $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

We define the category of *C^∞ -rings with corners* $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$ to be the full subcategory of $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$ satisfying an extra condition, that if $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} then $c' = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(c)$ for some $c \in \mathfrak{C}$. In terms of spaces X , this says that if $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is smooth and invertible (hence positive) then $c' = \text{exp } c$ for some smooth $c = \log c' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Now we could also have started with $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$. So we write $\mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}} \subset \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$

for the full subcategory with objects $[0, \infty)^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and define the category $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ of *categorical interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners* to be product-preserving functors $F : \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$, with morphisms natural transformations. We define a subcategory $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ of *interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners*, and an equivalence $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ mapping $F \mapsto (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) = (F(\mathbb{R}), F([0, \infty)) \amalg \{0\})$. The category $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ of *interior C^∞ -rings with corners* is the intersection $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \cap \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. The motivating example, for X a manifold with (g-)corners, is $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) = (C^\infty(X), \text{In}(X) \amalg \{0\})$, for $\text{In}(X)$ the interior maps $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Although a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ has a huge number of C^∞ -operations Φ_f, Ψ_g , it is helpful much of the time to focus on a small subset of these, giving $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ smaller, more manageable structures. In particular, we often think of \mathfrak{C} as an \mathbb{R} -algebra, with addition and multiplication given by $\Phi_{f_+}, \Phi_f : \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ for $f_+, f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f_+(x, y) = x + y, f(x, y) = xy$. And we think of \mathfrak{C}_{ex} as a *monoid*, with multiplication given by $\Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ for $g : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $g(x, y) = xy$. Note that monoids also control the corner structure of manifolds with g-corners, in the same way.

We define various important subcategories of C^∞ -rings with corners by imposing conditions on \mathfrak{C}_{ex} as a monoid. For example, a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is *interior* if $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$ where \mathfrak{C}_{in} is a submonoid of \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , that is, \mathfrak{C}_{ex} has no zero divisors. In terms of spaces X , we interpret \mathfrak{C}_{in} as the monoid $\text{In}(X)$ of interior maps $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ are interior, a morphism $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is *interior* if $\phi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ maps $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$.

The subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ of *firm C^∞ -rings with corners* are those whose sharpening $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ is finitely generated.

Chapter 5. C^∞ -schemes with corners

We define the subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ of *C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners* $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where X is a topological space and $\mathcal{O}_X = (\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})$ a sheaf of C^∞ -rings with corners on X , and the full subcategory $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ of *local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners* for which the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for $x \in X$ are local C^∞ -rings with corners. The global sections functor $\Gamma^c : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$ has a right adjoint $\text{Spec}^c : (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, the *spectrum functor*. An object \mathbf{X} in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ is called a *C^∞ -scheme with corners* if we may cover X with open $U \subseteq X$ with $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U) \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for \mathfrak{C} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. These form a full subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$.

One might expect that to define corresponding subcategories $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ of *interior* (local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners, we should just replace $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ by $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. However, as $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ does not preserve limits, a sheaf of interior C^∞ -rings with corners is only a presheaf of C^∞ -rings with corners. So we define $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ to be *interior* if \mathcal{O}_X is the sheafification, as a sheaf valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, of a sheaf valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. Then the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of \mathcal{O}_X lie in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, so $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$.

We define $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}}$ by $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c(\mathbf{X}) = (\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X), \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}))$

$\amalg \{0\}$), where $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})$ is the subset of sections s with $s|_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ for each $x \in X$. Then Γ_{in}^c has a right adjoint $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c : (\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, where $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c = \text{Spec}^c |_{(\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}}}$. An object $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ is called an *interior C^∞ -scheme with corners* if we may cover X with open $U \subseteq X$ with $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U) \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. These form a full subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, with $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$.

We define full embeddings $\mathbf{Man}^c, \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ or $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ mapping $X \mapsto (X, (\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}))$ or $X \mapsto (X, (\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}))$, where $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$ are the sheaves of local smooth functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and exterior functions $X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and interior functions $X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, respectively. Thus, manifolds with (g-)corners may be regarded as special examples of C^∞ -schemes with corners. Manifolds with (g-)faces map to *affine C^∞ -schemes with corners*.

For C^∞ -schemes, $\Gamma \circ \text{Spec} \not\cong \text{Id}$ but $\text{Spec} \circ \Gamma \circ \text{Spec} \cong \text{Spec}$. Thus we can define a full subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{co}} \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}$ of *complete C^∞ -rings*, with $(\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{co}})^{\text{op}}$ equivalent to the category $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}$ of affine C^∞ -schemes.

For C^∞ -schemes with corners, the situation is worse: we have both $\Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \not\cong \text{Id}$ and $\text{Spec}^c \circ \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \not\cong \text{Spec}^c$. To see why, note that if X is a manifold with corners then smooth functions $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are essentially local objects — they can be glued using partitions of unity.

However, smooth functions $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ have some *strictly global* behaviour: there is a locally constant function $\mu_{c'} : \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \amalg \{\infty\}$ giving the order of vanishing of c' along the boundary ∂X . So the behaviour of c' near distant points x, y in X is linked, if x, y lie in the image of the same connected component of ∂X . This means that smooth functions $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ cannot always be glued using partitions of unity, and localizing a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ at an \mathbb{R} -point $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as one does to define Spec^c , does not see only local behaviour around x .

Since $\text{Spec}^c \circ \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \not\cong \text{Spec}^c$, we cannot define a subcategory of ‘complete’ C^∞ -rings with corners equivalent to affine C^∞ -schemes with corners. As a partial substitute, we define *semicomplete C^∞ -rings with corners* $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$, such that $\Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c$ is an isomorphism on \mathfrak{C} and injective on \mathfrak{C}_{ex} .

If $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$, a morphism $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$. Although locally we can write $\mathbf{X} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$, $\mathbf{X} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$, because of the lack of a good notion of completeness, we do *not* know that locally we can write $\mathbf{f} = \text{Spec}^c \phi$ for some $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$. One problem this causes is that $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ are morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$, we do not know that the fibre product $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$ (which always exists) lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$, if \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} are not locally of the form $\text{Spec}^c \phi$. So we do not know that all fibre products exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$.

To get round this, we introduce the full subcategory $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ of *firm C^∞ -schemes with corners* \mathbf{X} , which are locally of the form $\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for \mathfrak{C} a firm C^∞ -ring with corners. Morphisms $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f}}^c$ are always locally of the form $\text{Spec}^c \phi$, so we can prove that $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f}}^c$ is closed under fibre products in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$, and thus all fibre products exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f}}^c$.

In general, $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ contains a huge variety of objects, many of which are very singular and pathological, and do not fit with our intuitions about manifolds

with corners. So it can be helpful to restrict to smaller subcategories of better behaved objects in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, such as $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{f}}^c$. For example, for \mathbf{X} to be firm means that locally \mathbf{X} has only finitely many boundary strata, which seems likely to hold in almost every interesting application.

We define several subcategories of $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, and prove results such as existence of reflection functors between them, and existence of fibre products and finite limits in them. Two particularly interesting and well behaved examples are the full subcategories $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to,ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ of *toric* C^∞ -schemes with corners, whose corner structure is controlled by toric monoids in the same way that manifolds with g-corners are.

Chapter 6. Boundaries, corners, and the corner functor

One of the most important properties of manifolds with corners X is the existence of boundaries ∂X , and clearly we want to generalize this to C^∞ -schemes with corners. Our starting point, as in Chapter 3, is that the boundary $\partial X = C_1(X)$ is part of the corners $C(X) = \coprod_{k=0}^{\dim X} C_k(X)$, and the corner functor $C : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{an}_{\text{in}}^c$ is right adjoint to the inclusion $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{an}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Man}^c$.

We construct a right adjoint *corner functor* $C : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ to the inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$. We prove that the restriction to $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ maps to $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$, giving $C : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ right adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$. For \mathbf{X} in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, points in $C(X)$ are pairs (x, P) for $x \in X$ and $P \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ a prime ideal in the monoid $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ of the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of \mathcal{O}_X at x . This is an analogue, for $X \in \mathbf{Man}^c$, of a point in $C(X)$ being (x, γ) for $x \in X$ and γ a local corner component of X at x .

The corner functors for $\mathbf{Man}^c, \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ commute with the embeddings $\mathbf{Man}^c, \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$.

To get an analogue of the decomposition $C(X) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} C_k(X)$ for C^∞ -schemes with corners, we restrict to the full subcategories $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{f}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{f},\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ of *firm* C^∞ -schemes with corners, where C maps $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{f}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{f},\text{in}}^c$. For \mathbf{X} firm there is a locally constant sheaf $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ of finitely generated monoids on $C(\mathbf{X})$, with $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}|_{(x,P)} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}/[c' = 1 \text{ if } c' \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \setminus P]$. We define a decomposition $C(\mathbf{X}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} C_k(\mathbf{X})$ with $C_k(\mathbf{X})$ open and closed in $C(\mathbf{X})$, by saying that $(x, P) \in C_k(\mathbf{X})$ if the maximum length of a chain of prime ideals in $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}|_{(x,P)}$ is $k+1$. This recovers the usual decomposition $C(X) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} C_k(X)$ if X is a manifold with (g-)corners. We define the *boundary* $\partial\mathbf{X} = C_1(\mathbf{X})$.

For toric C^∞ -schemes with corners C maps $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to,ex}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$, and C preserves fibre products, and all fibre products exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$. We use this to give criteria for when fibre products exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to,ex}}^c$. This is an analogue of results in [23] giving criteria for when fibre products exist in \mathbf{Man}^{gc} , given that b-transverse fibre products exist in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$.

Chapter 7. Modules, and sheaves of modules

If $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is a C^∞ -ring with corners, we define a \mathfrak{C} -*module* to be a module over \mathfrak{C} as an \mathbb{R} -algebra. Similarly, if $\mathbf{X} = (X, (\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}))$ is a C^∞ -scheme with corners, we consider \mathcal{O}_X -*modules* on X , which are just modules on the underlying C^∞ -scheme $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. So the theory of modules over C^∞ -rings with corners and C^∞ -schemes with corners lifts immediately from modules over C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes in [26, §5], with no extra work to do.

If X is a manifold with corners then as in Chapter 3 we have two notions of cotangent bundle T^*X , ${}^bT^*X$, where ${}^bT^*X$ is functorial only under interior morphisms. Similarly, if $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is a C^∞ -ring with corners, we have the *cotangent module* $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ of \mathfrak{C} from [26, §5]. If \mathfrak{C} is interior we also define the *b-cotangent module* ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$, which uses the corner structure. If X is a manifold with (g-)faces and $\mathfrak{C} = C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$ then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} = \Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$ and ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} = \Gamma^\infty({}^bT^*X)$. We show that b-cotangent modules are functorial under interior morphisms and have exact sequences for pushouts and coequalizers.

If \mathbf{X} is a C^∞ -scheme with corners we define the *cotangent sheaf* $T^*\mathbf{X}$, and if \mathbf{X} is interior the *b-cotangent sheaf* ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$, by sheafifying the (b-)cotangent modules of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ for open $U \subset X$. If $\mathbf{X} = F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}(X)$ for $X \in \mathbf{Man}^c$ these are the sheaves of sections of T^*X and ${}^bT^*X$. We show that Cartesian squares in subcategories such as $C^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c, C^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, in}}^c \subset C^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ yield exact sequences of b-cotangent sheaves. On the corners $C(\mathbf{X})$ we construct an exact sequence relating ${}^bT^*C(\mathbf{X}), \Pi_{\mathbf{X}}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{X})$ and $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{C(\mathbf{X})}$.

Chapter 8. Further generalizations

Finally we propose three directions in which this book can be generalized:

C^∞ -stacks with corners. In classical algebraic geometry, schemes are generalized to (Deligne–Mumford or Artin) stacks. The second author [26] extended the theory of C^∞ -schemes to C^∞ -*stacks*, including *Deligne–Mumford C^∞ -stacks*. This corresponds to generalizing manifolds to orbifolds.

We discuss a theory of C^∞ -*stacks with corners*, including *Deligne–Mumford C^∞ -stacks with corners*. These generalize *orbifolds with (g-)corners*. Much of the theory follows from [26] with only cosmetic changes.

C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes with a-corners. Our theory starts with the categories $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$ of manifolds with corners defined in Chapter 3. The second author [24] also defined categories $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{ac}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{ac}}$ of *manifolds with analytic corners*, or *manifolds with a-corners*. Even the simplest objects $[[0, \infty)$ in \mathbf{Man}^{ac} and $[0, \infty)$ in \mathbf{Man}^c have different smooth structures.

Manifolds with a-corners have applications to p.d.e.s with boundary conditions of asymptotic type, and to moduli spaces with boundary and corners, such as moduli spaces of Morse flow lines, in which (we argue) manifolds with a-corners give the correct smooth structure.

This entire book could be rewritten over $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{ac}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{ac}}$ rather than $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$. We explain the first steps in this.

Derived manifolds and derived orbifolds with corners. Classical Algebraic Geometry has been generalized to Derived Algebraic Geometry, which is now a major area of mathematics. It is less well known that classical Differential Geometry can be generalized to *Derived Differential Geometry*, the study of *derived manifolds* and *derived orbifolds*, regarded as special examples of *derived C^∞ -schemes* and *derived C^∞ -stacks*. Some references are Lurie [32, §4.5], Spivak [45], Borisov and Noel [3, 4], and the second author [20, 21].

It is desirable to extend the subject to *derived manifolds with corners* and *derived orbifolds with corners*, regarded as special examples of *derived C^∞ -schemes with corners* and *derived C^∞ -stacks with corners*. This will be done by the second author in [21], with this book as its foundations. Derived orbifolds with corners will have important applications in Symplectic Geometry, as (we argue) they are the correct way to make Fukaya–Ohta–Oh–Ono’s ‘Kuranishi spaces with corners’ [10] into well behaved geometric spaces.

Acknowledgements. This book is based on the first author’s PhD thesis [12], supervised by the second author. Some previous work on the subject was done by Elana Kalashnikov in her MSc thesis [28], also supervised by the second author. The first author would like to thank the Rhodes Trust for funding during her PhD.

2 Background on C^∞ -schemes

We begin with background material and results on C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes, which we will later generalize to C^∞ -rings with corners and C^∞ -schemes with corners. Our main reference is the second author [26, §2–§4], see also [19], Dubuc [8], Moerdijk and Reyes [40], and Kock [29].

2.1 C^∞ -rings

Here are two equivalent definitions of C^∞ -ring.

Definition 2.1. Write **Man** for the category of manifolds, and **Euc** for the full subcategory of **Man** with objects the Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^n . That is, the objects of **Euc** are \mathbb{R}^n for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and the morphisms in **Euc** are smooth maps $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Write **Sets** for the category of sets. In both **Euc** and **Sets** we have notions of (finite) products of objects (that is, $\mathbb{R}^{m+n} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and products $S \times T$ of sets S, T), and products of morphisms.

Define a *categorical C^∞ -ring* to be a product-preserving functor $F : \mathbf{Euc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$. Here F should also preserve the empty product, that is, it maps \mathbb{R}^0 in **Euc** to the terminal object in **Sets**, the point $*$. If $F, G : \mathbf{Euc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ are categorical C^∞ -rings, a *morphism* $\eta : F \rightarrow G$ is a natural transformation $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$. We write **CC $^\infty$ Rings** for the category of categorical C^∞ -rings.

Categorical C^∞ -rings in this sense are an example of an *algebraic theory* in the sense of Adámek, Rosický and Vitale [1], and many of the basic categorical properties of C^∞ -rings follow from this.

Definition 2.2. A C^∞ -ring is a set \mathfrak{C} together with operations

$$\Phi_f : \mathfrak{C}^n = \overset{\text{"n copies"}}{\mathfrak{C} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{C}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and smooth maps $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where by convention when $n = 0$ we define \mathfrak{C}^0 to be the single point $\{\emptyset\}$. These operations must satisfy the following relations: suppose $m, n \geq 0$, and $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions. Define a smooth function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n)),$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for all $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathfrak{C}^n$ we have

$$\Phi_h(c_1, \dots, c_n) = \Phi_g(\Phi_{f_1}(c_1, \dots, c_n), \dots, \Phi_{f_m}(c_1, \dots, c_n)).$$

We also require that for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, defining $\pi_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\pi_j : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto x_j$, we have $\Phi_{\pi_j}(c_1, \dots, c_n) = c_j$ for all $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathfrak{C}^n$.

Usually we refer to \mathfrak{C} as the C^∞ -ring, leaving the C^∞ -operations Φ_f implicit.

A *morphism* between C^∞ -rings $(\mathfrak{C}, (\Phi_f)_{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}})$, $(\mathfrak{D}, (\Psi_f)_{f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}})$ is a map $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\Psi_f(\phi(c_1), \dots, \phi(c_n)) = \phi \circ \Phi_f(c_1, \dots, c_n)$ for all smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathfrak{C}$. We will write **C^∞ Rings** for the category of C^∞ -rings.

Each C^∞ -ring has an underlying \mathbb{R} -algebra structure.

Proposition 2.3. *There is an equivalence of categories from **C^∞ Rings** to **CC^∞ Rings**, identifying \mathfrak{C} in **C^∞ Rings** with $F : \mathbf{Euc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ in **CC^∞ Rings** such that $F(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathfrak{C}^n$ for $n \geq 0$.*

The following example motivates these definitions:

Example 2.4. (a) Let X be a manifold. Define a functor $F_X : \mathbf{Euc} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ by $F_X(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}}(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$, and $F_X(g) = g \circ : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}}(X, \mathbb{R}^m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}}(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for each morphism $g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ in \mathbf{Euc} . Then F_X is a categorical C^∞ -ring. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map of manifolds define a natural transformation $F_f : F_Y \Rightarrow F_f$ by $F_f(\mathbb{R}^n) = \circ f : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}}(Y, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}}(X, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then F_f is a morphism in **CC^∞ Rings**. Define a functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}}^{\mathbf{CC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}} : \mathbf{Man} \rightarrow \mathbf{CC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$ to map $X \mapsto F_X$ and $f \mapsto F_f$.

(b) Let X be a manifold. Write $C^\infty(X)$ for the set of smooth functions $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For non-negative integers n and smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define C^∞ -operations $\Phi_f : C^\infty(X)^n \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ by composition

$$(\Phi_f(c_1, \dots, c_n))(x) = f(c_1(x), \dots, c_n(x)), \quad (2.1)$$

for all $c_1, \dots, c_n \in C^\infty(X)$ and $x \in X$. The composition and projection relations follow directly from the definition of Φ_f , so that $C^\infty(X)$ forms a C^∞ -ring. If we consider the \mathbb{R} -algebra structure of $C^\infty(X)$ as a C^∞ -ring, this is the canonical \mathbb{R} -algebra structure on $C^\infty(X)$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map of manifolds, then $f^* : C^\infty(Y) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ mapping $c \mapsto c \circ f$ is a morphism of C^∞ -rings.

Define $F_{\mathbf{Man}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} : \mathbf{Man} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$ to map $X \mapsto C^\infty(X)$ and $f \mapsto f^*$. Moerdijk and Reyes [40, Th. I.2.8] show that $F_{\mathbf{Man}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$ is full and faithful, and takes transverse fibre products in \mathbf{Man} to fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$.

There are many more C^∞ -rings than those that come from manifolds. For example, if X is a smooth manifold X of $\dim X > 0$, then the set $C^k(X)$ of k -differentiable maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^∞ -ring with operations Φ_f defined as in (2.1), and each of these C^∞ -rings is different for all $k = 0, 1, \dots$.

Example 2.5. Consider $X = *$ the point, so $\dim X = 0$, then $C^\infty(*) = \mathbb{R}$ and Example 2.4 shows the C^∞ -operations $\Phi_f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Phi_f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ make \mathbb{R} into a C^∞ -ring. This is the initial object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$, and the simplest nonzero example of a C^∞ -ring. The zero C^∞ -ring is the set $\{0\}$ where all C^∞ -operations $\Phi_f : \{0\} \rightarrow \{0\}$ send $0 \mapsto 0$, and this is the final object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$.

By Moerdijk and Reyes [40, p. 21–22] and Adámek et al. [1, Prop.s 1.21, 2.5 & Th. 4.5] we have:

Proposition 2.6. *The category $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ of C^∞ -rings has all small limits and all small colimits. The forgetful functor $\Pi : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ preserves limits and directed colimits, and can be used to compute such (co)limits, however it does not preserve general colimits such as pushouts.*

For the pushout of morphisms $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, $\psi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$, we write $\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\phi, \psi} \mathfrak{E}$ or $\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E}$. In the special case $\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}$ the coproduct $\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{E}$ will be written as $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{E}$. Recall that coproduct of \mathbb{R} -algebras A, B is the tensor product $A \otimes B$, however $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{E}$ is usually different from their tensor product $\mathfrak{D} \otimes \mathfrak{E}$. For example, for $m, n > 0$, then $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes_{\infty} C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$ as in [40, p. 22], which contains $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ but is larger than this, as it includes elements such as $\exp(fg)$ for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The next definition and proposition come from Adámek et al. [1, Rem. 11.21, Prop.s 11.26, 11.28, 11.30 & Cor. 11.33].

Definition 2.7. If A is a set then by [1, Rem. 11.21] we can define the *free C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{F}^A generated by A* . We may think of \mathfrak{F}^A as $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^A)$, where $\mathbb{R}^A = \{(x_a)_{a \in A} : x_a \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Explicitly, we define \mathfrak{F}^A to be the set of maps $c : \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which depend smoothly on only finitely many variables x_a , and operations Φ_f are defined as in (2.1). Regarding $x_a : \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as functions for $a \in A$, we have $x_a \in \mathfrak{F}^A$, and we call x_a the *generators* of \mathfrak{F}^A .

Then \mathfrak{F}^A has the property that if \mathfrak{C} is any C^∞ -ring then a choice of map $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ uniquely determines a morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^A \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ with $\phi(x_a) = \alpha(a)$ for $a \in A$. When $A = \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have $\mathfrak{F}^A \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 2.8. (a) *Every object \mathfrak{C} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ admits a surjective morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^A \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ from some free C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{F}^A . We call \mathfrak{C} **finitely generated** if this holds with A finite.*

(b) Every object \mathfrak{C} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ fits into a coequalizer diagram

$$\mathfrak{F}^B \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^A \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{C}, \quad (2.2)$$

that is, \mathfrak{C} is the colimit of $\mathfrak{F}^B \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{F}^A$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$, where ϕ is automatically surjective. We call \mathfrak{C} **finitely presented** if this holds with A, B finite.

Actually as any relation $f = g$ in \mathfrak{C} is equivalent to the relation $f - g = 0$, we can simplify (2.2) by taking β to map $x_b \mapsto 0$ for all $b \in B$. But for the analogue for C^∞ -rings with corners in §4.5, this will not work.

Definition 2.9. Recall that a *local \mathbb{R} -algebra*, is an \mathbb{R} -algebra R with a unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . The residue field of R is the field isomorphic to R/\mathfrak{m} . A C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} is called *local* if, regarded as an \mathbb{R} -algebra, \mathfrak{C} is a local \mathbb{R} -algebra with residue field \mathbb{R} . The quotient morphism gives a (necessarily unique) morphism of C^∞ -rings $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ is invertible if and only if $\pi(c) \neq 0$. Equivalently, if such a morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ exists with this property, then \mathfrak{C} is local with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \text{Ker } \pi$. Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{lo}} \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ for the full subcategory of local C^∞ -rings.

Usually morphisms of local rings are required to send maximal ideals into maximal ideals. However, if $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is any morphism of local C^∞ -rings, we see that $\phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{D}}) = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ as the residue fields in both cases are \mathbb{R} , so there is no difference between local morphisms and morphisms for C^∞ -rings.

The next proposition may be found in Moerdijk and Reyes [40, §I.3].

Proposition 2.10. *All finite colimits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{lo}}$, and agree with the corresponding colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$.*

Localizations of C^∞ -rings were studied in [7, 8, 38, 39], [40, p. 23] and [26].

Definition 2.11. A *localization* $\mathfrak{C}(s^{-1} : s \in S) = \mathfrak{D}$ of a C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} at a subset $S \subset \mathfrak{C}$ is a C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{D} and a morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\pi(s)$ is invertible in \mathfrak{D} for all $s \in S$, which has the universal property that for any morphism of C^∞ -rings $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ such that $\phi(s)$ is invertible in \mathfrak{E} for all $s \in S$, there is a unique morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ with $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$. We call $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ the *localization morphism* for \mathfrak{D} .

By adding an extra generator s^{-1} and extra relation $s \cdot s^{-1} - 1 = 0$ for each $s \in S$ to \mathfrak{C} , it can be shown that localizations $\mathfrak{C}(s^{-1} : s \in S)$ always exist and are unique up to unique isomorphism. When $S = \{c\}$ then $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1}) \cong (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_\infty C^\infty(\mathbb{R}))/I$, where I is the ideal generated by $\iota_1(c) \cdot \iota_2(x) - 1$, x is the generator of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, and ι_1, ι_2 are the coproduct morphisms $\iota_1 : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes_\infty C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\iota_2 : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes_\infty C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

An example of this is that if $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a smooth function, and $U = f^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, then using partitions of unity one can show that $C^\infty(U) \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)(f^{-1})$, as in [40, Prop. I.1.6].

Definition 2.12. A C^∞ -ring morphism $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where \mathbb{R} is regarded as a C^∞ -ring as in Example 2.5, is called an \mathbb{R} -point. Note that a map $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a morphism of C^∞ -rings whenever it is a morphism of the underlying \mathbb{R} -algebras, as in [40, Prop. I.3.6]. We define \mathfrak{C}_x as the localization $\mathfrak{C}_x = \mathfrak{C}(s^{-1} : s \in \mathfrak{C}, x(s) \neq 0)$, and denote the projection morphism by $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$. Importantly, [39, Lem. 1.1] shows \mathfrak{C}_x is a local C^∞ -ring.

We can describe \mathfrak{C}_x explicitly as in the second author [26, Prop. 2.14].

Proposition 2.13. *Let $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an \mathbb{R} -point of a C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} , and consider the projection morphism $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathfrak{C}/\text{Ker } \pi_x$. This kernel is $\text{Ker } \pi_x = I$ where*

$$I = \{c \in \mathfrak{C} : \text{there exists } d \in \mathfrak{C} \text{ with } x(d) \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \text{ and } c \cdot d = 0 \text{ in } \mathfrak{C}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

While this localization morphism $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ is surjective, general localizations of C^∞ -rings need not have surjective localization morphisms.

Example 2.14. Let $C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of germs of smooth functions $c : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We give $C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a C^∞ -ring structure by using (2.1) on germs of functions. There are several equivalent definitions:

- (i) $C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of \sim -equivalence classes $[U, c]$ of pairs (U, c) , where $p \in U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and $c : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, and $(U, c) \sim (U', c')$ if there exists open $p \in U'' \subseteq U \cap U'$ with $c|_{U''} = c'|_{U''}$.
- (ii) $C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/I_p$, where $I_p \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the ideal of functions vanishing near p .
- (iii) $C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)(f^{-1} : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), f(p) \neq 0)$.

Then $C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is local, with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_p = \{[U, c] \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) : c(x) = 0\}$.

We prove some facts about exponentials and logs in (local) C^∞ -rings.

Proposition 2.15. (a) *Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring. Then the C^∞ -operation $\Phi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ induced by $\text{exp} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is injective.*

(b) *Let \mathfrak{C} be a local C^∞ -ring, with morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $a \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $\pi(a) > 0$ then there exists $b \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $\Phi_{\text{exp}}(b) = a$. This b is unique by (a).*

Proof. For (a), let $a \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $b = \Phi_{\text{exp}}(a) \in \mathfrak{C}$. Then $\Phi_{\text{exp}}(-a)$ is the inverse b^{-1} of b . The map $t \mapsto \text{exp}(t) - \text{exp}(-t)$ is a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $e : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be its inverse. Define smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x, y) = e(x - y)$. Then $f(\text{exp } t, \text{exp}(-t)) = t$. Hence in the C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} we have

$$\Phi_f(b, b^{-1}) = \Phi_f(\Phi_{\text{exp}}(a), \Phi_{\text{exp} \circ -}(a)) = \Phi_{f \circ (\text{exp}, \text{exp} \circ -)}(a) = \Phi_{\text{id}}(a) = a.$$

But b determines b^{-1} uniquely, so $\Phi_f(b, b^{-1}) = a$ implies that $b = \Phi_{\text{exp}}(a)$ determines a uniquely, and $\Phi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is injective.

For (b), choose smooth $g, h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $g(x) = \log x$ for $x \geq \frac{1}{2}\pi(a) > 0$, $h(\pi(a)) > 0$, and $h(x) = 0$ for $x \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi(a)$. Set $b = \Phi_g(a)$ and $c = \Phi_h(a)$. Then

$$c \cdot (\Phi_{\exp}(b) - a) = \Phi_h(a) \cdot (\Phi_{\exp \circ g}(a) - a) = \Phi_{h(x) \cdot (\exp \circ g(x) - x)}(a) = 0,$$

since $h(x) \cdot (x - \exp \circ g(x)) = 0$ as $h(x) = 0$ for $x \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi(a)$ and $\exp \circ g(x) - x = 0$ for $x \geq \frac{1}{2}\pi(a)$. Also $\pi(c) = h(\pi(a)) > 0$, so c is invertible. Thus $\Phi_{\exp}(b) = a$. \square

2.2 Modules and cotangent modules of C^∞ -rings

We discuss modules and cotangent modules for C^∞ -rings, following [26, §5].

Definition 2.16. A *module* M over a C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} is a module over \mathfrak{C} as a commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra, and morphisms of \mathfrak{C} -modules are the usual morphisms of \mathbb{R} -algebra modules. Denote $\mu_M : \mathfrak{C} \times M \rightarrow M$ the multiplication map, and write $\mu_M(c, m) = c \cdot m$ for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $m \in M$. The category $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ of \mathfrak{C} -modules is an abelian category.

If a \mathfrak{C} -module M fits into an exact sequence $\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ then it is *finitely generated*; if it further fits into an exact sequence $\mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ it is *finitely presented*. This second condition is not automatic from the first as C^∞ -rings are generally not Noetherian.

For a morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ of C^∞ -rings and M in $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ we have $\phi_*(M) = M \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathfrak{D}\text{-mod}$, giving a functor $\phi_* : \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}\text{-mod}$. For N in $\mathfrak{D}\text{-mod}$ there is a \mathfrak{C} -module $\phi^*(N) = N$ with \mathfrak{C} -action $\mu_{\phi^*(N)}(c, n) = \mu_N(\phi(c), n)$. This gives a functor $\phi^* : \mathfrak{D}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$.

Example 2.17. Let $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ be the collection of smooth sections e of a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ of a manifold X , so $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ is a vector space and a module over $C^\infty(X)$. If $\lambda : E \rightarrow F$ is a morphism of vector bundles over X , then there is a morphism of $C^\infty(X)$ -modules $\lambda_* : \Gamma^\infty(E) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(F)$ where $\lambda_* : e \mapsto \lambda \circ e$.

For each smooth map of manifolds $f : X \rightarrow Y$ there is a morphism of C^∞ -rings $f^* : C^\infty(Y) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$. Each vector bundle $E \rightarrow Y$ gives a vector bundle $f^*(E) \rightarrow X$. Using $(f^*)_* : C^\infty(Y)\text{-mod} \rightarrow C^\infty(X)\text{-mod}$ from Definition 2.16, then $(f^*)_*(\Gamma^\infty(E)) = \Gamma^\infty(E) \otimes_{C^\infty(Y)} C^\infty(X)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma^\infty(f^*(E))$ in $C^\infty(X)\text{-mod}$.

The definition of \mathfrak{C} -module only used the commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra structure of \mathfrak{C} , however the *cotangent module* $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ of \mathfrak{C} uses the full C^∞ -ring structure.

Definition 2.18. Take a C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} and $M \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$, then a *C^∞ -derivation* is a map $d : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow M$ that satisfies the following: for any smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and elements $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathfrak{C}$, then

$$d\Phi_f(c_1, \dots, c_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}}(c_1, \dots, c_n) \cdot dc_i = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

This implies that d is \mathbb{R} -linear and is a derivation of \mathfrak{C} as a commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra, that is, $d(c_1 c_2) = c_1 \cdot dc_2 + c_2 \cdot dc_1$ for all $c_1, c_2 \in \mathfrak{C}$.

The pair (M, d) is called a *cotangent module* for \mathfrak{C} if it is universal in the sense that for any $M' \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ with C^∞ -derivation $d' : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow M'$, there exists a unique morphism of \mathfrak{C} -modules $\lambda : M \rightarrow M'$ with $d' = \lambda \circ d$. Then a cotangent module is unique up to unique isomorphism. We can explicitly construct a cotangent module for \mathfrak{C} by considering the free \mathfrak{C} -module over the symbols dc for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, and quotienting by all relations (2.4) for smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and elements $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathfrak{C}$. We call this construction ‘the’ cotangent module of \mathfrak{C} , and write it as $d_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

If we have a morphism of C^∞ -rings $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} = \phi^*(\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}})$ can be considered as a \mathfrak{D} -module with C^∞ -derivation $d_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$. The universal property of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ gives a unique morphism $\Omega_{\phi} : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ of \mathfrak{C} -modules such that $d_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \phi = \Omega_{\phi} \circ d_{\mathfrak{C}}$. From this we have a morphism of \mathfrak{D} -modules $(\Omega_{\phi})_* : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$. If we have two morphisms of C^∞ -rings $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, $\psi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ then uniqueness implies that $\Omega_{\psi \circ \phi} = \Omega_{\psi} \circ \Omega_{\phi} : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{E}}$.

Example 2.19. As in Example 2.17, if X is a manifold, its cotangent bundle T^*X is a vector bundle over X , and its global sections $\Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$ form a $C^\infty(X)$ -module, with C^∞ -derivation $d : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$, $d : c \mapsto dc$ the usual exterior derivative and equation (2.4) following from the chain rule.

One can show that $(\Gamma^\infty(T^*X), d)$ has the universal property in Definition 2.18, and so form a cotangent module for $C^\infty(X)$. This is stated in [26, Ex. 5.4], and proved in greater generality in Theorem 7.7(a) below.

If we have a smooth map of manifolds $f : X \rightarrow Y$, then $f^*(T^*Y), T^*X$ are vector bundles over X , and the derivative $df : f^*(T^*Y) \rightarrow T^*X$ is a vector bundle morphism. This induces a morphism of $C^\infty(X)$ -modules $(df)_* : \Gamma^\infty(f^*(T^*Y)) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$, which is identified with $(\Omega_{f^*})_*$ from Definition 2.18 using that $\Gamma^\infty(f^*(T^*Y)) \cong \Gamma^\infty(T^*Y) \otimes_{C^\infty(Y)} C^\infty(X)$.

This example shows that Definition 2.18 abstracts the notion of sections of a cotangent bundle of a manifold to a concept that is well defined for any C^∞ -ring.

Example 2.20. (a) Let A be a set and \mathfrak{F}^A the free C^∞ -ring from Definition 2.7, with generators $x_a \in \mathfrak{F}^A$ for $a \in A$. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}^A} \cong \langle dx_a : a \in A \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F}^A.$$

(b) Suppose \mathfrak{C} is defined by a coequalizer diagram (2.2) in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$. Then writing $(x_a)_{a \in A}, (\tilde{x}_b)_{b \in B}$ for the generators of $\mathfrak{F}^A, \mathfrak{F}^B$, we have an exact sequence

$$\langle d\tilde{x}_b : b \in B \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \langle dx_a : a \in A \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$, where if α, β in (2.2) map $\alpha : \tilde{x}_b \mapsto f_b((x_a)_{a \in A}), \beta : \tilde{x}_b \mapsto g_b((x_a)_{a \in A})$, for f_b, g_b depending only on finitely many x_a , then γ, δ are given by

$$\gamma(d\tilde{x}_b) = \sum_{a \in A} \phi \left(\frac{\partial f_b}{\partial x_a}((x_a)_{a \in A}) - \frac{\partial g_b}{\partial x_a}((x_a)_{a \in A}) \right) dx_a, \quad \delta(dx_a) = d \circ \phi(x_a).$$

Hence as in [26, Prop. 5.6], if \mathfrak{C} is finitely generated (or finitely presented) in the sense of Proposition 2.8, then $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is finitely generated (or finitely presented).

Cotangent modules behave well under localization, [26, Prop. 5.7]:

Proposition 2.21. *Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring, $S \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$, and $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}(s^{-1} : s \in S)$ be the localization of \mathfrak{C} at S with projection $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, as in Definition 2.11. Then $(\Omega_\pi)_* : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{D} -modules.*

Here is [26, Th. 5.8]:

Theorem 2.22. *Suppose we are given a pushout diagram of C^∞ -rings:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \beta \quad} & \mathfrak{C} \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \delta \\ \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\quad \gamma \quad} & \mathfrak{F}, \end{array}$$

so that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}$. Then the following sequence of \mathfrak{F} -modules is exact:

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}, \gamma \circ \alpha} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{(\Omega_\alpha)_* \oplus -(\Omega_\beta)_*} \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}, \gamma} \mathfrak{F} \oplus \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}, \delta} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{(\Omega_\gamma)_* \oplus (\Omega_\delta)_*} \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Here $(\Omega_\alpha)_* : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}, \gamma \circ \alpha} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}, \gamma} \mathfrak{F}$ is induced by $\Omega_\alpha : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$, and so on. Note the sign of $-(\Omega_\beta)_*$ in (2.5).

2.3 Sheaves

We explain presheaves and sheaves with values in a (nice) category \mathcal{A} , following Godement [14] and Mac Lane and Moerdijk [33]. Throughout we suppose \mathcal{A} is *complete*, that is, all small limits exist in \mathcal{A} , and *cocomplete*, that is, all small colimits exist in \mathcal{A} . The categories of sets, abelian groups, rings, C^∞ -rings, monoids etc. all satisfy this, as will (interior) C^∞ -rings with corners. Sometimes it is helpful to suppose that objects of \mathcal{A} are sets with extra structure, so there is a faithful functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ taking each object to its underlying set.

Definition 2.23. A *presheaf* \mathcal{E} on a topological space X valued in \mathcal{A} gives an object $\mathcal{E}(U) \in \mathcal{A}$ for every open set $U \subseteq X$, and a morphism $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(V)$ in \mathcal{A} called the *restriction map* for every inclusion $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ of open sets, satisfying the conditions that

- (i) $\rho_{UU} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}(U)} : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(U)$ for all open $U \subseteq X$; and
- (ii) $\rho_{UV} = \rho_{VW} \circ \rho_{UV} : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(W)$ for all open $W \subseteq V \subseteq U \subseteq X$.

A presheaf \mathcal{E} is called a *sheaf* if for all open covers $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U , then

$$\mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{E}(U_i \cap U_j)$$

forms an equalizer diagram in \mathcal{A} . This implies:

- (iii) $\mathcal{E}(\emptyset) = 0$ where 0 is the final object in \mathcal{A} .

If there is a faithful functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ taking an object of \mathcal{A} to its underlying set that preserves limits, then a presheaf \mathcal{E} valued in \mathcal{A} on X is a sheaf if it equivalently satisfies the following:

- (iv) (Uniqueness.) If $U \subseteq X$ is open, $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ is an open cover of U , and $s, t \in F(\mathcal{E}(U))$ with $F(\rho_{UV_i})(s) = F(\rho_{UV_i})(t)$ in $F(\mathcal{E}(V_i))$ for all $i \in I$, then $s = t$ in $F(\mathcal{E}(U))$; and
- (v) (Gluing.) If $U \subseteq X$ is open, $\{V_i : i \in I\}$ is an open cover of U , and we are given elements $s_i \in F(\mathcal{E}(V_i))$ for all $i \in I$ such that $F(\rho_{V_i(V_i \cap V_j)})(s_i) = F(\rho_{V_j(V_i \cap V_j)})(s_j)$ in $F(\mathcal{E}(V_i \cap V_j))$ for all $i, j \in I$, then there exists $s \in F(\mathcal{E}(U))$ with $F(\rho_{UV_i})(s) = s_i$ for all $i \in I$.

If $s \in F(\mathcal{E}(U))$ and $V \subseteq U$ is open we write $s|_V = F(\rho_{UV})(s)$.

If \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} are presheaves or sheaves valued in \mathcal{A} on X , then a *morphism* $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism $\phi(U) : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ in \mathcal{A} for all open $U \subseteq X$ such that the following diagram commutes for all open $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}(U) & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi(U) \quad} & \mathcal{F}(U) \\ \downarrow \rho_{UV} & & \rho'_{UV} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{E}(V) & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi(V) \quad} & \mathcal{F}(V), \end{array}$$

where ρ_{UV} is the restriction map for \mathcal{E} , and ρ'_{UV} the restriction map for \mathcal{F} . We write $\text{PreSh}(X, \mathcal{A})$ and $\text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A})$ for the categories of presheaves and sheaves on a topological space X valued in \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.24. For \mathcal{E} a presheaf valued in \mathcal{A} on a topological space X , then we can define the *stalk* $\mathcal{E}_x \in \mathcal{A}$ at a point $x \in X$ to be the direct limit of the $\mathcal{E}(U)$ in \mathcal{A} for all $U \subseteq X$ with $x \in U$, using the restriction maps ρ_{UV} .

If there is a faithful functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ taking an object of \mathcal{A} to its underlying set that preserves colimits, then explicitly it can be written as a set of equivalence classes of sections $s \in F(\mathcal{E}(U))$ for any open U which contains x , where the equivalence relation is such that $s_1 \sim s_2$ for $s_1 \in F(\mathcal{E}(U))$ and $s_2 \in F(\mathcal{E}(V))$ with $x \in U, V$ if there is an open set $W \subset V \cap U$ with $x \in W$ and $s_1|_W = s_2|_W$ in $F(\mathcal{E}(W))$.

The stalk is an object of \mathcal{A} , and the restriction morphisms give rise to morphisms $\rho_{U,x} : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_x$. A morphism of presheaves $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ induces morphisms $\phi_x : \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x$ for all $x \in X$. If \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} are sheaves then ϕ is an isomorphism if and only if ϕ_x is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.25. There is a *sheafification* functor $\text{PreSh}(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A})$, which is left adjoint to the inclusion $\text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \text{PreSh}(X, \mathcal{A})$. We write $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ for the sheafification of a presheaf \mathcal{E} . The adjoint property gives a morphism $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{E}}$ and a universal property: whenever we have a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of presheaves on X and \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, then there is a unique morphism $\hat{\phi} : \hat{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ with $\phi = \hat{\phi} \circ \pi$. Thus sheafification is unique up to canonical isomorphism.

Sheafifications always exists for our categories \mathcal{A} , and there are isomorphisms of stalks $\mathcal{E}_x \cong \hat{\mathcal{E}}_x$ for all $x \in X$. If there is a faithful functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$

taking an object of \mathcal{A} to its underlying set that preserves colimits and limits, it can be constructed (as in [16, Prop. II.1.2]) by defining $\hat{\mathcal{E}}(U)$ as the subset of all functions $t : U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{E}_x$ such that for all $x \in U$, then $t(x) = F(\rho_{V,x})(s) \in \mathcal{E}_x$ for some $s \in F(\mathcal{E}(V))$ for open $V \subset U$, $x \in V$.

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map of topological spaces, we can consider *pushforwards* and *pullbacks* of sheaves by f . We will use both of these definitions when defining C^∞ -schemes (with corners).

Definition 2.26. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map of topological spaces, and \mathcal{E} is a sheaf valued in \mathcal{A} on X , then the *direct image* (or *pushforward*) sheaf $f_*(\mathcal{E})$ on Y is defined by $(f_*(\mathcal{E}))(U) = \mathcal{E}(f^{-1}(U))$ for all open $U \subseteq Y$. Here, we have restriction maps $\rho'_{UV} = \rho_{f^{-1}(U)f^{-1}(V)} : (f_*(\mathcal{E}))(U) \rightarrow (f_*(\mathcal{E}))(V)$ for all open $V \subseteq U \subseteq Y$ so that $f_*(\mathcal{E})$ is a sheaf valued in \mathcal{A} on Y .

For a morphism $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in $\text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A})$ we can define $f_*(\phi) : f_*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{F})$ by $(f_*(\phi))(U) = \phi(f^{-1}(U))$ for all open $U \subseteq Y$. This gives a morphism $f_*(\phi)$ in $\text{Sh}(Y, \mathcal{A})$, and a functor $f_* : \text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(Y, \mathcal{A})$. For two continuous maps of topological spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$, $g : Y \rightarrow Z$, then $(g \circ f)_* = g_* \circ f_*$.

Definition 2.27. For a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a sheaf \mathcal{E} valued in \mathcal{A} on Y , we define the *pullback* (*inverse image*) of \mathcal{E} under f to be the sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto \lim_{A \supseteq f(U)} \mathcal{E}(A)$ for open $U \subseteq X$, where the direct limit is taken over all open $A \subseteq Y$ containing $f(U)$, using the restriction maps ρ_{AB} in \mathcal{E} . We write this sheaf as $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$. If $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism in $\text{Sh}(Y, \mathcal{A})$, there is a *pullback morphism* $f^{-1}(\phi) : f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow f^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$.

Remark 2.28. For a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of topological spaces we have functors $f_* : \text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(Y, \mathcal{A})$, and $f^{-1} : \text{Sh}(Y, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A})$. Hartshorne [16, Ex. II.1.18] gives a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_X(f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{E}, f_*(\mathcal{F})) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Sh}(Y, \mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(X, \mathcal{A})$, so that f_* is right adjoint to f^{-1} . This will be important in several proofs we consider below.

2.4 C^∞ -schemes

We now recall the definition of (local) C^∞ -ringed spaces and C^∞ -schemes, following the second author [26].

Definition 2.29. A *C^∞ -ringed space* $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a topological space X with a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of C^∞ -rings on X .

A *morphism* $\underline{f} = (f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of C^∞ ringed spaces consists of a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a morphism $f^\# : f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of C^∞ -rings on X , for $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ the inverse image sheaf as in Definition 2.27. From (2.6), we know f_* is right adjoint to f^{-1} , so there is a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_X(f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y), \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Hom}_Y(\mathcal{O}_Y, f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)). \quad (2.7)$$

We will write $f_{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for the morphism of sheaves of C^∞ -rings on Y corresponding to the morphism f^\sharp under (2.7), so that

$$f_{\sharp} : f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad f_{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X). \quad (2.8)$$

Given two C^∞ -ringed space morphisms $\underline{f} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ and $\underline{g} : \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{Z}$ we can compose them to form

$$\underline{g} \circ \underline{f} = (g \circ f, (g \circ f)^\sharp) = (g \circ f, f^\sharp \circ f^{-1}(g^\sharp)).$$

If we consider $f_{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$, then the composition is

$$(g \circ f)_{\sharp} = g_*(f_{\sharp}) \circ g_{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow (g \circ f)_*(\mathcal{O}_X) = g_* \circ f_*(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

We call $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ a *local C^∞ -ringed space* if it is C^∞ -ringed space for which the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ of \mathcal{O}_X at x are local C^∞ -rings for all $x \in X$. As in Definition 2.9, since morphisms of local C^∞ -rings are automatically local morphisms, morphisms of local C^∞ -ringed spaces $(X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ are just morphisms of C^∞ -ringed spaces without any additional locality condition.

We will follow the notation of [26] and write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ for the category of C^∞ -ringed spaces, and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ for the full subcategory of local C^∞ -ringed spaces. We write underlined upper case letters such as $\underline{X}, \underline{Y}, \underline{Z}, \dots$ to represent C^∞ -ringed spaces $(X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), (Z, \mathcal{O}_Z), \dots$, and underlined lower case letters $\underline{f}, \underline{g}, \dots$ to represent morphisms of C^∞ -ringed spaces $(f, f^\sharp), (g, g^\sharp), \dots$. When we write ' $x \in \underline{X}$ ' we mean that $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $x \in X$. If we write ' \underline{U} is open in \underline{X} ' we will mean that $\underline{U} = (U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ and $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $U \subseteq X$ an open set and $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_X|_U$.

Example 2.30. For a manifold X , we have a C^∞ -ringed space $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with topological space X and its sheaf of smooth functions $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = C^\infty(U)$ for each open subset $U \subseteq X$, with $C^\infty(U)$ defined in Example 2.4. If $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ then the restriction morphisms $\rho_{UV} : C^\infty(U) \rightarrow C^\infty(V)$ are the usual restriction of a function to an open subset $\rho_{UV} : c \mapsto c|_V$.

As the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ at $x \in X$ are local C^∞ -rings, isomorphic to the ring of germs as in Example 2.14, then \underline{X} is a local C^∞ -ringed space.

For a smooth map of manifolds $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with corresponding local C^∞ -ringed spaces $(X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ as above we define $f_{\sharp}(U) : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) = C^\infty(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U)) = C^\infty(f^{-1}(U))$ for each open $U \subseteq Y$ by $f_{\sharp}(U) : c \mapsto c \circ f$ for all $c \in C^\infty(U)$. This gives a morphism $f_{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of sheaves of C^∞ -rings on Y . Then $\underline{f} = (f, f^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a morphism of (local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with $f^\sharp : f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ corresponding to f_{\sharp} under (2.8).

Definition 2.31. Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring, and write $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ for the set of all \mathbb{R} -points x of \mathfrak{C} , as in Definition 2.11. Write $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ for the topology on $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ that has basis of open sets $U_c = \{x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}} : x(c) \neq 0\}$ for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$. For each $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ define a map $c_* : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $c_* : x \mapsto x(c)$.

For a morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ of C^∞ -rings, we can define $f_\phi : X_{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ by $f_\phi(x) = x \circ \phi$, which is continuous.

From [26, Lem. 4.15], this definition implies that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is the weakest topology on $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ such that the $c_* : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$. Also $(X_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{C}})$ is a regular, Hausdorff topological space.

Definition 2.32. For a C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} , we will define the *spectrum* of \mathfrak{C} , written $\text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$. Here, $\text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$ is a C^∞ -ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , with X the topological space $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ from Definition 2.31. For open $U \subseteq X$, then $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is the set of functions $s : U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathfrak{C}_x$, where we write s_x for the image of x under s , such that around each point $x \in U$ there is an open subset $x \in W \subseteq U$ and element $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $s_y = \pi_y(c) \in \mathfrak{C}_y$ for all $y \in W$. This is a C^∞ -ring with the operations Φ_f on $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ defined using the operations Φ_f on \mathfrak{C}_x for $x \in U$.

For $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, the restriction map of functions $s \mapsto s|_V$ for open $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ is a morphism of C^∞ -rings, giving the restriction map $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$. The stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ at $x \in X$ is isomorphic to \mathfrak{C}_x , which is a local C^∞ -ring. Hence (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a local C^∞ -ringed space.

For a morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ of C^∞ -rings, we have an induced morphism of local C^∞ -rings, $\phi_x : \mathfrak{C}_{f_\phi(x)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_x$. If we let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$, $(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \text{Spec } \mathfrak{D}$, then for open $U \subseteq X$ define $(f_\phi)_\#(U) : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(f_\phi^{-1}(U))$ by $(f_\phi)_\#(U)s : x \mapsto \phi_x(s_{f_\phi(x)})$. This gives a morphism $(f_\phi)_\# : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow (f_\phi)_*(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ of sheaves of C^∞ -rings on X . Then $\underline{f}_\phi = (f_\phi, f_\phi^\#) : (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a morphism of local C^∞ -ringed spaces, where $f_\phi^\#$ corresponds to $(f_\phi)_\#$ under (2.8). Then Spec is a functor $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$, called the *spectrum functor*, where $\text{Spec } \phi : \text{Spec } \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$ is defined by $\text{Spec } \phi = \underline{f}_\phi$.

Example 2.33. For a manifold X then $\text{Spec } C^\infty(X)$ is isomorphic to the local C^∞ -ringed space \underline{X} constructed in Example 2.30.

Here is [26, Lem 4.28]:

Lemma 2.34. *Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring, set $\underline{X} = \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and let $c \in \mathfrak{C}$. If we write $U_c = \{x \in X : x(c) \neq 0\}$ as in Definition 2.31, then $U_c \subseteq X$ is open and $\underline{U}_c = (U_c, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_c}) \cong \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$.*

Definition 2.35. The *global sections functor* $\Gamma : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$ takes (X, \mathcal{O}_X) to $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ and morphisms $(f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ to $\Gamma : (f, f^\#) \mapsto f_\#(Y)$, for $f_\#$ relating $f^\#$ as in (2.8).

For each C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} we can define a morphism $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Gamma \circ \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$. Here, for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ then $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}(c) : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathfrak{C}_x$ is defined by $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}(c)_x = \pi_x(c) \in \mathfrak{C}_x$, so $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}(c) \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(X_{\mathfrak{C}}) = \Gamma \circ \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$. This $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a C^∞ -ring morphism as it is built from C^∞ -ring morphisms $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$, and the C^∞ -operations on $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(X_{\mathfrak{C}})$ are defined pointwise in the \mathfrak{C}_x . This defines a natural transformation $\Xi : \text{Id}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} \Rightarrow \Gamma \circ \text{Spec}$ of functors $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$.

Theorem 2.36. *The functor $\text{Spec} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ is right adjoint to $\Gamma : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{op}}$. Here, $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is the unit of the adjunction between Γ and Spec . This implies Spec preserves limits as in [8, p. 687]. Hence if we have C^∞ -ring morphisms $\phi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, $\psi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ then their pushout $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{E}$ has image that is isomorphic to the fibre product $\text{Spec } \mathfrak{C} \cong \text{Spec } \mathfrak{D} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathfrak{F}} \text{Spec } \mathfrak{E}$.*

We extend this theorem to C^∞ -schemes with corners in §5.3.

Remark 2.37. Our definition of spectrum functor follows [26] and Dubuc [8], and is called the *Archimedean spectrum* in Moerdijk et al. [38, §3]. They also show it is a right adjoint to the global sections functor as above.

Definition 2.38. Objects $\underline{X} \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ that are isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{C}$ for some $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ are called *affine C^∞ -schemes*. Elements $\underline{X} \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ that are locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{C}$ for some $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ (depending upon the open sets) are called *C^∞ -schemes*. We define $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ and $\mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ to be the full subcategories of C^∞ -schemes and affine C^∞ -schemes in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ respectively.

Remark 2.39. Unlike ordinary algebraic geometry, affine C^∞ -schemes are very general objects. All manifolds are affine, and all their fibre products are affine. But not all manifolds with corners are affine C^∞ -schemes with corners.

2.5 Complete C^∞ -rings

In ordinary algebraic geometry, if A is a commutative ring then $\Gamma \circ \mathrm{Spec} A \cong A$, and $\mathrm{Spec} : \mathbf{Rings}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{ASch}$ is an equivalence of categories, with inverse Γ . For C^∞ -rings \mathfrak{C} , in general $\Gamma \circ \mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{C} \not\cong \mathfrak{C}$, and $\mathrm{Spec} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ is neither full nor faithful. But as in [26, Prop. 4.34], we have:

Proposition 2.40. *For each C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} , $\mathrm{Spec} \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathrm{Spec} \circ \Gamma \circ \mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{C}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$.*

This motivates the following definition [26, Def. 4.35]:

Definition 2.41. A C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} is called *complete* if $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Gamma \circ \mathrm{Spec} \mathfrak{C}$ is an isomorphism. We define $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}}$ to be the full subcategory in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ of complete C^∞ -rings. By Proposition 2.40 we see that $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}}$ is equivalent to the image of the functor $\Gamma \circ \mathrm{Spec} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$, which gives a left adjoint to the inclusion of $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}}$ into $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$. Write this left adjoint as the functor $\Pi_{\mathrm{all}}^{\mathrm{co}} = \Gamma \circ \mathrm{Spec} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}}$.

An example of a non-complete C^∞ -ring is the quotient $\mathfrak{C} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/I_{\mathrm{cs}}$ of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $n > 0$ by the ideal I_{cs} of compactly supported functions, and $\Pi_{\mathrm{all}}^{\mathrm{co}}(\mathfrak{C}) = 0 \not\cong \mathfrak{C}$. The next theorem comes from [26, Prop. 4.11 & Th. 4.25].

Theorem 2.42. (a) $\mathrm{Spec} |_{(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}})^{\mathrm{op}}} : (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ is full and faithful, and an equivalence $\mathrm{Spec} |_{\dots} : (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$.

(b) Let \underline{X} be an affine C^∞ -scheme. Then $\underline{X} \cong \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_X(X)$, where $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is a complete C^∞ -ring.

(c) The functor $\Pi_{\mathrm{all}}^{\mathrm{co}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}}$ is left adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathrm{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$. That is, $\Pi_{\mathrm{all}}^{\mathrm{co}}$ is a **reflection functor**.

(d) All small colimits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}}$, although they may not coincide with the corresponding small colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$.

(e) $\mathrm{Spec} |_{(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}})^{\mathrm{op}}} = \mathrm{Spec} \circ \mathrm{inc} : (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ is right adjoint to $\Pi_{\mathrm{all}}^{\mathrm{co}} \circ \Gamma : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS} \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}})^{\mathrm{op}}$. Thus $\mathrm{Spec} |_{\dots}$ takes limits in $(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}})^{\mathrm{op}}$ (equivalently, colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{co}}$) to limits in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$.

In the following theorem we summarize results found in Dubuc [8, Th. 16], Moerdijk and Reyes [39, § II. Prop. 1.2], and the second author [26, Cor. 4.27].

Theorem 2.43. *There is a full and faithful functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}}^{\mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}} : \mathbf{Man} \rightarrow \mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ that takes a manifold X to the affine C^∞ -scheme $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = C^\infty(U)$ is the usual smooth functions on U . Here $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \text{Spec}(C^\infty(X))$ and hence \underline{X} is affine. The functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}}^{\mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}}$ sends transverse fibre products of manifolds to fibre products of C^∞ -schemes.*

2.6 Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on C^∞ -ringed spaces

This section follows [26, §5.3]. Our definition of \mathcal{O}_X -module is the usual definition of sheaf of modules on a ringed space as in Hartshorne [16, §II.5] and Grothendieck [15, §0.4.1], using the \mathbb{R} -algebra structure on our C^∞ -rings.

Definition 2.44. For each C^∞ -ringed space $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ we define a category $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$. The objects are *sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules* (or simply *\mathcal{O}_X -modules*) \mathcal{E} on X . Here, \mathcal{E} is a functor on open sets $U \subseteq X$ such that $\mathcal{E} : U \mapsto \mathcal{E}(U)$ in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)\text{-mod}$ is a sheaf as in Definition 2.23. This means we have linear restriction maps $\mathcal{E}_{UV} : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(V)$ for each inclusion of open sets $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$, such that the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \times \mathcal{E}(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(U) \\ \downarrow \rho_{UV} \times \mathcal{E}_{UV} & & \mathcal{E}_{UV} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(V) \times \mathcal{E}(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}(V), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are module multiplication. Morphisms in $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ are sheaf morphisms $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ commuting with the \mathcal{O}_X -actions. An \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{E} is called a *vector bundle* if it is locally free, that is, around every point there is an open set $U \subseteq X$ with $\mathcal{E}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.45. We define the *pullback* $\underline{f}^*(\mathcal{E})$ of a sheaf of modules \mathcal{E} on \underline{Y} by a morphism $\underline{f} = (f, f^\sharp) : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ of C^∞ -ringed spaces as $\underline{f}^*(\mathcal{E}) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} \mathcal{O}_X$. Here $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ is as in Definition 2.27, so that $\underline{f}^*(\mathcal{E})$ is a sheaf of modules on \underline{X} . Morphisms of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ give morphisms of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\underline{f}^*(\phi) = f^{-1}(\phi) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X} : \underline{f}^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \underline{f}^*(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 2.46. Let $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a C^∞ -ringed space. Define a presheaf $\mathcal{PT}^*\underline{X}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X such that $\mathcal{PT}^*\underline{X}(U)$ is the cotangent module $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}$ of Definition 2.18, regarded as a module over the C^∞ -ring $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$. For open sets $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ we have restriction morphisms $\Omega_{\rho_{UV}} : \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)}$ associated to the morphisms of C^∞ -rings $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$ so that the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \times \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \\ \downarrow \rho_{UV} \times \Omega_{\rho_{UV}} & & \Omega_{\rho_{UV}} \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_X(V) \times \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)}. \end{array}$$

Definition 2.18 implies $\Omega_{\psi \circ \phi} = \Omega_\psi \circ \Omega_\phi$, so this is a well defined presheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. The *cotangent sheaf* $T^*\underline{X}$ of X is the sheafification of $\mathcal{P}T^*\underline{X}$.

The universal property of sheafification shows that for open $U \subseteq X$ we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X|_U$ -modules

$$T^*\underline{U} = T^*(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U) \cong T^*\underline{X}|_U.$$

For a morphism $f : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}$ we have $\underline{f}^*(T^*\underline{Y}) = f^{-1}(T^*\underline{Y}) \otimes_{f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)} \mathcal{O}_X$. The universal properties of sheafification imply that $\underline{f}^*(T^*\underline{Y})$ is the sheafification of the presheaf $\mathcal{P}(\underline{f}^*(T^*\underline{Y}))$, where

$$U \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\underline{f}^*(T^*\underline{Y}))(U) = \lim_{V \supseteq f(U)} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{O}_X(U).$$

This gives a presheaf morphism $\mathcal{P}\Omega_f : \mathcal{P}(\underline{f}^*(T^*\underline{Y})) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}T^*\underline{X}$ on X , where

$$(\mathcal{P}\Omega_f)(U) = \lim_{V \supseteq f(U)} (\Omega_{\rho_{f^{-1}(V)}U \circ f^\#(V)})^*.$$

Here, we have morphisms $f^\#(V) : \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))$ from $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ corresponding to $f^\#$ in \underline{f} as in (2.8), and $\rho_{f^{-1}(V)}U : \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ in \mathcal{O}_X so that $(\Omega_{\rho_{f^{-1}(V)}U \circ f^\#(V)})^* : \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} = (\mathcal{P}T^*\underline{X})(U)$ is constructed as in Definition 2.18. Then write $\Omega_f : \underline{f}^*(T^*\underline{Y}) \rightarrow T^*\underline{X}$ for the induced morphism of the associated sheaves. This corresponds to the morphism $df : f^*(T^*Y) \rightarrow T^*X$ of vector bundles over a manifold X and smooth map of manifolds $f : X \rightarrow Y$ as in Example 2.19.

2.7 Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on C^∞ -schemes

We define a spectrum functor for modules, as in [26, Def.s 5.16, 5.17 & 5.25].

Definition 2.47. Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring and set $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$. Let $M \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ be a \mathfrak{C} -module. For each open subset $U \subseteq X$ there is a natural morphism $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$. Using this we make $M \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ into an $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module. This assignment $U \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is naturally a presheaf $\mathcal{P} \text{MSpec } M$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Define $\text{MSpec } M \in \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ to be its sheafification.

A morphism $\mu : M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ induces $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module morphisms $M \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow N \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ for all open $U \subseteq X$, and hence a presheaf morphism, which descends to a morphism $\text{MSpec } \mu : \text{MSpec } M \rightarrow \text{MSpec } N$ in $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$. This defines a functor $\text{MSpec} : \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$. It is an exact functor of abelian categories.

There is also a global sections functor $\Gamma : \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ mapping $\Gamma : \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}(X)$, where the $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ -module $\mathcal{E}(X)$ is viewed as a \mathfrak{C} -module via the natural morphism $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$.

For any $M \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ there is a natural morphism $\Xi_M : M \rightarrow \Gamma \circ \text{MSpec } M$ in $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$, by composing $M \rightarrow M \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{O}_X(X) = \mathcal{P} \text{MSpec } M(X)$ with the sheafification morphism $\mathcal{P} \text{MSpec } M(X) \rightarrow \text{MSpec } M(X) = \Gamma \circ \text{MSpec } M$. Generalizing Definition 2.41, we call M *complete* if Ξ_M is an isomorphism. Write $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}_{\text{co}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ for the full subcategory of complete \mathfrak{C} -modules.

Here is [26, Th.s 5.19, Prop. 5.20, Th. 5.26, & Prop. 5.31]:

Theorem 2.48. (a) In Definition 2.47, $\text{MSpec} : \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ is left adjoint to $\Gamma : \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$, generalizing Theorem 2.36.

(b) There is a natural isomorphism $\text{MSpec} \circ \Gamma \Rightarrow \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}}$. This gives a natural isomorphism $\text{MSpec} \circ \Gamma \circ \text{MSpec} \Rightarrow \text{MSpec}$, generalizing Proposition 2.40.

(c) $\text{MSpec}|_{\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}_{\text{co}}} : \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}_{\text{co}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ is an equivalence of categories, generalizing Theorem 2.42(a).

(d) The functor $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{co}} = \Gamma \circ \text{MSpec} : \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}_{\text{co}}$ is left adjoint to the inclusion functor $\text{inc} : \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}_{\text{co}} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$, generalizing Theorem 2.42(c).

(e) There is a natural isomorphism $T^*\underline{X} \cong \text{MSpec} \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$.

Remark 2.49. In [26, §5.4], following conventional algebraic geometry as in Hartshorne [16, §II.5], we define a notion of *quasicoherent sheaf* \mathcal{E} on a C^∞ -scheme \underline{X} , which is that we may cover \underline{X} by open $\underline{U} \subseteq \underline{X}$ with $\underline{U} \cong \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathcal{E}|_{\underline{U}} \cong \text{MSpec } M$ for $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ and $M \in \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$. But then [26, Cor. 5.22] uses Theorem 2.48(c) to show that every \mathcal{O}_X -module is quasicoherent, that is, $\text{qcoh}(\underline{X}) = \mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$, which is not true in conventional algebraic geometry. So here we will not bother with the language of quasicoherent sheaves.

Here is [26, Th. 5.32], where part (b) is deduced from Theorem 2.22:

Theorem 2.50. (a) Let $\underline{f} : \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ and $\underline{g} : \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{Z}$ be morphisms of C^∞ -schemes. Then in $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ we have

$$\Omega_{\underline{g} \circ \underline{f}} = \Omega_{\underline{f}} \circ \underline{f}^*(\Omega_{\underline{g}}) : (\underline{g} \circ \underline{f})^*(T^*\underline{Z}) \longrightarrow T^*\underline{X}.$$

(b) Suppose we are given a Cartesian square in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{W} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \underline{Y} \\ \downarrow \underline{e} & \underline{f} & \downarrow \underline{h} \\ \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad \underline{g} \quad} & \underline{Z}, \end{array}$$

so that $\underline{W} = \underline{X} \times_{\underline{Z}} \underline{Y}$. Then the following is exact in $\mathcal{O}_W\text{-mod}$:

$$(\underline{g} \circ \underline{e})^*(T^*\underline{Z}) \xrightarrow{\underline{e}^*(\Omega_{\underline{g}}) \oplus -\underline{f}^*(\Omega_{\underline{h}})} \underline{e}^*(T^*\underline{X}) \oplus \underline{f}^*(T^*\underline{Y}) \xrightarrow{\Omega_{\underline{e}} \oplus \Omega_{\underline{f}}} T^*\underline{W} \longrightarrow 0.$$

3 Background on manifolds with (g-)corners

Next we discuss *manifolds with corners*, following Melrose [35–37] and the second author [18], [23, §2], and a generalization of them, *manifolds with g-corners*, introduced in [23]. There is more than one notion of smooth map between manifolds with corners. The one we choose was introduced by Melrose [35–37], who calls them *b-maps*.

3.1 Manifolds with corners

We define manifolds with corners, following the second author [23, §2].

Definition 3.1. Use the notation $\mathbb{R}_k^m = [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$, and write points of \mathbb{R}_k^m as $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ for $u_1, \dots, u_k \in [0, \infty)$, $u_{k+1}, \dots, u_m \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}_k^m$ and $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}_l^n$ be open, and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : U \rightarrow V$ be a continuous map, so that $f_j = f_j(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ maps $U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $j = l + 1, \dots, n$. Then we say:

- (a) f is *weakly smooth* if all derivatives $\frac{\partial^{a_1 + \dots + a_m}}{\partial u_1^{a_1} \dots \partial u_m^{a_m}} f_j(u_1, \dots, u_m) : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ exist and are continuous for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m \geq 0$, including one-sided derivatives where $u_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

By Seeley's Extension Theorem, this is equivalent to requiring f_j to extend to a smooth function $f'_j : U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on open neighbourhood U' of U in \mathbb{R}^m .

- (b) f is *smooth* if it is weakly smooth and every $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in U$ has an open neighbourhood \tilde{U} in U such that for each $j = 1, \dots, l$, either:

- (i) we may uniquely write $f_j(\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_m) = F_j(\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_m) \cdot \tilde{u}_1^{a_{1,j}} \dots \tilde{u}_k^{a_{k,j}}$ for all $(\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_m) \in \tilde{U}$, where $F_j : \tilde{U} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is weakly smooth and $a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{k,j} \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, with $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $u_i \neq 0$; or
(ii) $f_j|_{\tilde{U}} = 0$.

- (c) f is *interior* if it is smooth, and case (b)(ii) does not occur.
(d) f is *strongly smooth* if it is smooth, and in case (b)(i), for each $j = 1, \dots, l$ we have $a_{i,j} = 1$ for at most one $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $a_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise.
(e) f is a *diffeomorphism* if it is a smooth bijection with smooth inverse.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a second countable Hausdorff topological space. An m -dimensional chart on X is a pair (U, ϕ) , where $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}_k^m$ is open for some $0 \leq k \leq m$, and $\phi : U \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism with an open set $\phi(U) \subseteq X$.

Let $(U, \phi), (V, \psi)$ be m -dimensional charts on X . We call (U, ϕ) and (V, ψ) *compatible* if $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : \phi^{-1}(\phi(U) \cap \psi(V)) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(\phi(U) \cap \psi(V))$ is a diffeomorphism between open subsets of $\mathbb{R}_k^m, \mathbb{R}_l^m$, in the sense of Definition 3.1(e).

An m -dimensional atlas for X is a system $\{(U_a, \phi_a) : a \in A\}$ of pairwise compatible m -dimensional charts on X with $X = \bigcup_{a \in A} \phi_a(U_a)$. We call such an atlas *maximal* if it is not a proper subset of any other atlas. Any atlas $\{(U_a, \phi_a) : a \in A\}$ is contained in a unique maximal atlas, the set of all charts (U, ϕ) of this type on X which are compatible with (U_a, ϕ_a) for all $a \in A$.

An m -dimensional manifold with corners is a second countable Hausdorff topological space X equipped with a maximal m -dimensional atlas. Usually we refer to X as the manifold, leaving the atlas implicit, and by a *chart* (U, ϕ) on X , we mean an element of the maximal atlas.

Now let X, Y be manifolds with corners of dimensions m, n , and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map. We call f *weakly smooth*, or *smooth*, or *interior*, or *strongly smooth*, if whenever $(U, \phi), (V, \psi)$ are charts on X, Y with $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}_k^m, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}_l^n$

open, then $\psi^{-1} \circ f \circ \phi : (f \circ \phi)^{-1}(\psi(V)) \rightarrow V$ is weakly smooth, or smooth, or interior, or strongly smooth, respectively, as maps between open subsets of $\mathbb{R}_k^m, \mathbb{R}_l^n$ in the sense of Definition 3.1. We call $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a *diffeomorphism* if it is a bijection and f, f^{-1} are smooth.

Write $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{Man}_{\text{st}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}_{\text{we}}^c$ for the categories with objects manifolds with corners, and morphisms interior maps, and strongly smooth maps, and smooth maps, and weakly smooth maps, respectively. We will be interested almost exclusively in the categories $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$.

We also write $\bar{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ for the category with objects disjoint unions $\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$, where X_n is a manifold with corners of dimension n (we call these *manifolds with corners of mixed dimension*), allowing $X_n = \emptyset$, and morphisms continuous maps $f : \coprod_{m=0}^{\infty} X_m \rightarrow \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n$, such that $f_{mn} := f|_{X_m \cap f^{-1}(Y_n)} : X_m \cap f^{-1}(Y_n) \rightarrow Y_n$ is a smooth map of manifolds with corners for all $m, n \geq 0$. We write $\bar{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \bar{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ for the category with the same objects, and with morphisms f such that f_{mn} is interior for all $m, n \geq 0$. There are obvious full and faithful embeddings $\mathbf{Man}^c \subset \bar{\mathbf{Man}}^c, \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \bar{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Remark 3.3. Some references on manifolds with corners are Cerf [5], Douady [6], Gillam and Molcho [13, §6.7], Kottke and Melrose [30], Margalef-Roig and Outerelo Dominguez [34], Melrose [35–37], Monthubert [41], and the second author [18, 23]. Just as objects, without considering morphisms, most authors define manifolds with corners X as in Definition 3.2. However, Melrose [35–37] and authors who follow him impose an extra condition, that X should be a *manifold with faces* in the sense of Definition 3.13 below.

There is no general agreement in the literature on how to define smooth maps, or morphisms, of manifolds with corners:

- (i) Our ‘smooth maps’ in Definitions 3.1–3.2 are due to Melrose [36, §1.12], [30, §1], who calls them *b-maps*. ‘Interior maps’ are also due to Melrose.
- (ii) The author [18] defined and studied ‘strongly smooth maps’ above (which were just called ‘smooth maps’ in [18]).
- (iii) Gillam and Molcho’s *morphisms of manifolds with corners* [13, §6.7] coincide with our ‘interior maps’.
- (iv) Most other authors, such as Cerf [5, §I.1.2], define smooth maps of manifolds with corners to be weakly smooth maps, in our notation.

We will base our theory of (interior) C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes with corners on the categories $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$. Section 8.2 will discuss theories based on other categories of ‘manifolds with corners’ including the category \mathbf{Man}^{ac} of *manifolds with analytic corners*, or *manifolds with a-corners*, defined by the second author [24], which are rather different to the categories above.

Definition 3.4. Let X be a manifold with corners (or a manifold with g -corners in §3.3). Smooth maps $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ will be called *exterior maps*, to contrast them with interior maps. We write $C^\infty(X)$ for the set of smooth maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\text{In}(X)$ for the set of interior maps $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and $\text{Ex}(X)$ for the set of exterior maps $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Thus, we have three sets:

- (a) $C^\infty(X)$ of smooth maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;
- (b) $\text{In}(X)$ of interior maps $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$; and
- (c) $\text{Ex}(X)$ of exterior (smooth) maps $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, with $\text{In}(X) \subseteq \text{Ex}(X)$.

Much of the book will be concerned with algebraic structures on these three sets, and generalizations to other spaces X . In Chapter 4 we give $(C^\infty(X), \text{In}(X) \amalg \{0\})$ and $(C^\infty(X), \text{Ex}(X))$ the (large and complicated) structure of ‘(pre) C^∞ -rings with corners’. But a lot of the time, it will be enough that $C^\infty(X)$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra, and $\text{In}(X), \text{Ex}(X)$ are monoids under multiplication, as in §3.2.

3.2 Monoids

We will use monoids to define manifolds with g-corners in §3.3, and in the study of C^∞ -rings with corners in Chapter 4. Here we recall some facts about monoids, in the style of log geometry. A reference is Ogus [42, §I].

Definition 3.5. A (commutative) monoid is a set P equipped with an associative commutative binary operation $+$: $P \times P \rightarrow P$ that has an identity element 0 . All monoids in this book will be commutative. A morphism of monoids $P \rightarrow Q$ is a morphism of sets that respects the binary operations and sends the identity to the identity. Write **Mon** for the category of monoids. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in P$ we will write $np = n \cdot p = p + \cdots + p$, and set $0 \cdot p = 0$.

The above is *additive notation* for monoids. We will also very often use *multiplicative notation*, in which the binary operation is written \cdot : $P \times P \rightarrow P$, thought of as multiplication, and the identity element is written 1 , and we write p^n rather than np , with $p^0 = 1$.

If P is a monoid written in multiplicative notation, a *zero element* is $0 \in P$ with $0 \cdot p = 0$ for all $p \in P$. Zero elements need not exist, but are unique if they do. One should not confuse zero elements with identities.

The rest of this definition will use additive notation.

A *submonoid* Q of a monoid P is a subset that is closed under the binary operation and contains the identity element. We can form the *quotient monoid* P/Q which is the set of all \sim -equivalence classes $[p]$ of $p \in P$ such that $p \sim p'$ if there are $q, q' \in Q$ with $p + q = p' + q' \in P$. It has an induced monoid structure from the monoid P . There is a morphism $\pi : P \rightarrow P/Q$. This quotient satisfies the following universal property: it is a monoid P/Q with a morphism $\pi : P \rightarrow P/Q$ such that $\pi(Q) = \{0\}$ and if $\mu : P \rightarrow R$ is a monoid morphism with $\mu(Q) = \{0\}$ then $\mu = \nu \circ \pi$ for a unique morphism $\nu : P/Q \rightarrow R$.

A *unit* in P is an element $p \in P$ that has a (necessarily unique) inverse under the binary operation, p' , so that $p' + p = 0$. Write P^\times for the set of all units of P . It is a submonoid of P , and an abelian group. A monoid P is an abelian group if and only if $P = P^\times$.

An *ideal* I in a monoid P is a nonempty proper subset $\emptyset \neq I \subsetneq P$ such that if $p \in P$ and $i \in I$ then $i + p \in I$, so it is necessarily closed under P 's binary operation. It must not contain any units. An ideal I is called *prime* if whenever

$a + b \in I$ for $a, b \in P$ then either a or b is in P . We say the complement $P \setminus I$ of a prime ideal I is a *face* which is automatically a submonoid of P . If we have elements $p_j \in P$ for j in some indexing set J then we can consider the *ideal generated* by the p_j , which we write as $\langle p_j \rangle_{j \in J}$. It consists of all elements in P of the form $a + p_j$ for any $a \in P$ and any $j \in J$. Note that if any of the p_j are units then the ‘ideal’ generated by these p_j is a misnomer, as $\langle p_j \rangle_{j \in J}$ is not an ideal and instead equal to P .

For any monoid P there is an associated abelian group P^{gp} and morphism $\pi^{\text{gp}} : P \rightarrow P^{\text{gp}}$. This has the universal property that any morphism from P to an abelian group factors through π^{gp} , so P^{gp} is unique up to canonical isomorphism. It can be shown to be isomorphic to the quotient monoid $(P \times P)/\Delta_P$, where $\Delta_P = \{(p, p) : p \in P\}$ is the diagonal submonoid of $P \times P$, and $\pi^{\text{gp}} : p \mapsto [p, 0]$.

For a monoid P we have the following properties:

- (i) If there is a surjective morphism $\mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow P$ for some $k \geq 0$, we call P *finitely generated*. This morphism can be uniquely written as $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \mapsto n_1 p_1 + \dots + n_k p_k$ for some $p_1, \dots, p_k \in P$ which we call the *generators* of P . This implies P^{gp} is finitely generated. If there is an isomorphism $P \cong \mathbb{N}^A$ for some set A (e.g. if $P \cong \mathbb{N}^k$ for $k \geq 0$) then P is called *free*.
- (ii) If $P^\times = \{0\}$ we call P *sharp*. Any monoid has an associated *sharpening* P^\sharp which is the sharp quotient monoid P/P^\times with surjection $\pi^\sharp : P \rightarrow P^\sharp$.
- (iii) If $\pi^{\text{gp}} : P \rightarrow P^{\text{gp}}$ is injective we call P *integral* or *cancellative*. This occurs if and only if $p + p' = p + p''$ implies $p' = p''$ for all $p, p', p'' \in P$. Then P is isomorphic to its image under π^{gp} , so we consider it a subset of P^{gp} .
- (iv) If P is integral and whenever $p \in P^{\text{gp}}$ with $np \in P \subset P^{\text{gp}}$ for some $n \geq 1$ implies $p \in P$ then we call P *saturated*.
- (v) If P^{gp} is a torsion free group, then we call P *torsion free*. That is, if there is $n \geq 0$ and $p \in P^{\text{gp}}$ such that $np = 0$ then $p = 0$.
- (vi) If P is finitely generated, integral, saturated and torsion free then it is called *weakly toric*. It has *rank* $\text{rank } P = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R})$. For a weakly toric P there is an isomorphism $P^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}^l$ and P^\sharp is a toric monoid (defined below). The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P^\times \rightarrow P \rightarrow P^\sharp \rightarrow 0$ splits, so that $P \cong P^\sharp \times \mathbb{Z}^l$. Then the rank of P is equal to $\text{rank } P = \text{rank } P^{\text{gp}} = \text{rank } P^\sharp + l$.
- (vii) If P is a weakly toric monoid and is also sharp we call P *toric* (note that saturated and sharp together imply torsion free). For a toric monoid P its associated group P^{gp} is a finitely generated, torsion-free abelian group, so $P^{\text{gp}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ for $k \geq 0$. Then the rank of P is $\text{rank } P = k$.

Parts (vi)–(vii) are not universally agreed in the literature. For example, Ogus [42, p. 13], calls our weakly toric monoids *toric monoids*, and our toric monoids *sharp toric monoids*.

Example 3.6. (a) The most basic toric monoid is \mathbb{N}^k under addition for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, with $(\mathbb{N}^k)^{\text{gp}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$.

(b) \mathbb{Z}^k under addition for $k > 0$ is weakly toric, but not toric.

(c) $([0, \infty), \cdot, 1)$ under multiplication is a monoid that is not finitely generated. It has identity 1 and zero element 0. We have $[0, \infty)^{\text{gp}} = \{1\}$, so $[0, \infty)$ is not integral, and $[0, \infty)^\times = (0, \infty)$, so $[0, \infty)$ is not sharp.

3.3 Manifolds with g-corners

The second author [23] defined the category \mathbf{Man}^{gc} of *manifolds with generalized corners*, or *manifolds with g-corners*. These extend manifolds with corners X in §3.1, but rather than being locally modelled on $\mathbb{R}_k^n = [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$, they are modelled on spaces X_P for P a weakly toric monoid in §3.2.

Definition 3.7. Let P be a weakly toric monoid. As in [23, §3.2] we define $X_P = \text{Hom}(P, [0, \infty))$ to be the set of monoid morphisms $x : P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where the target is considered as a monoid under multiplication as in Example 3.6(c). The *interior* of X_P is defined to be $X_P^\circ = \text{Hom}(P, (0, \infty))$ where $(0, \infty)$ is a submonoid of $[0, \infty)$, so that $X_P^\circ \subset X_P$.

For $p \in P$ there is a corresponding function $\lambda_p : X_P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\lambda_p(x) = x(p)$. For any $p, q \in P$ then $\lambda_{p+q} = \lambda_p \cdot \lambda_q$ and $\lambda_0 = 1$. Define a topology on X_P to be the weakest topology such that each λ_p is continuous. Then X_P is locally compact and Hausdorff and X_P° is an open subset of X_P . The *interior* U° of an open set $U \subset X_P$ is defined to be $U \cap X_P^\circ$.

As P is weakly toric, then we can take a presentation for P with generators p_1, \dots, p_m and relations

$$a_1^j p_1 + \dots + a_m^j p_m = b_1^j p_1 + \dots + b_m^j p_m \quad \text{in } P \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, k,$$

for $a_i^j, b_i^j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then we have a continuous function $\lambda_{p_1} \times \dots \times \lambda_{p_m} : X_P \rightarrow [0, \infty)^m$ that is a homeomorphism onto its image

$$X'_P = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in [0, \infty)^m : x_1^{a_1^j} \dots x_m^{a_m^j} = x_1^{b_1^j} \dots x_m^{b_m^j}, j = 1, \dots, k\},$$

which is closed subset of $[0, \infty)^m$.

Let U be an open subset of X_P , and $U' = \lambda_{p_1} \times \dots \times \lambda_{p_m}(U) \subset X'_P$. Then we say a continuous function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or $f : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is *smooth* if there exists an open neighbourhood W' of U' in $[0, \infty)^m$ and a smooth function $g : W' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or $g : W' \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that is smooth in the sense above, such that $f = g \circ \lambda_{p_1} \times \dots \times \lambda_{p_m}$. As in [23, Prop. 3.14], this is independent of the choice of generators p_1, \dots, p_m for P .

Suppose Q is another weakly toric monoid, and consider open $V \subseteq X_Q$ and a continuous function $f : U \rightarrow V$. Then f is *smooth* if $\lambda_q \circ f : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is smooth for all $q \in Q$ in the sense above. We call smooth f *interior* if $f(U^\circ) \subseteq V^\circ$, and a *diffeomorphism* if it is bijective with smooth inverse.

Example 3.8. If $P = \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$ then P is weakly toric. We can take generators $p_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), p_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, p_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1), p_{n+1} = (0, \dots, 0, -1, \dots, -1)$ with p_{n+1} having -1 in the $k+1$ to $n+1$ entries, so the only relation is $p_{k+1} + \dots + p_{n+1} = 0$. Then X_P is homeomorphic to

$$X'_P = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty)^{n+1} : x_{k+1} \dots x_{n+1} = 1\}.$$

This means that for $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in X'_P$ we have $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n+1} > 0$ with $x_{n+1} = x_{k+1}^{-1} \cdots x_n^{-1}$. So there is a homeomorphism from $X_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_k^n$ mapping $(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_k, \log(x_{k+1}), \dots, \log(x_n))$. In [23, Ex. 3.15] we show that this identification $X_P \cong \mathbb{R}_k^n$ identifies the topology, and the notion of smooth maps to $\mathbb{R}, [0, \infty)$ and between open subsets of $X_P \cong \mathbb{R}_k^n$ and $X_Q \cong \mathbb{R}_l^m$, in Definition 3.1 for \mathbb{R}_k^n and above for X_P . Thus, the spaces X_P generalize the spaces \mathbb{R}_k^n used as local models for manifolds with corners.

Following [23, §3.3] we define manifolds with g-corners:

Definition 3.9. Let X be a topological space. Define a *g-chart* on X to be a triple (P, U, ϕ) , where P is a weakly toric monoid, $U \subset X_P$ is open and $\phi : U \rightarrow X$ is a homeomorphism with an open subset $\phi(U) \subset X$. If $\text{rank } P = n$ we call (P, U, ϕ) *n-dimensional*. For set theory reasons (to ensure a maximal atlas is a set not a class) we suppose P is a submonoid of \mathbb{Z}^k for some $k \geq 0$.

We call *n-dimensional g-charts* (P, U, ϕ) and (Q, V, ψ) on X *compatible* if $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi : \phi^{-1}(\phi(U) \cap \psi(V)) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(\phi(U) \cap \psi(V))$ is a diffeomorphism between open subsets of X_P and X_Q . A *g-atlas* on X is a family $\mathcal{A} = \{(P_i, U_i, \phi_i) : i \in I\}$ of pairwise compatible g-charts (P_i, U_i, ϕ_i) on X with the same dimension n , with $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} \phi_i(U_i)$. We call \mathcal{A} *maximal* if it is not a proper subset of any other g-atlas. We define a *manifold with g-corners* (X, \mathcal{A}) to be a Hausdorff, second countable topological space X with a maximal g-atlas \mathcal{A} .

We define smooth maps, and interior maps, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between manifolds with g-corners X, Y as in Definition 3.2. We write \mathbf{Man}^{sc} for the category of manifolds with g-corners and smooth maps, and $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{sc}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{sc}}$ for the subcategory of manifolds with g-corners and interior maps.

As in Example 3.8, if $P \cong \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$ we may identify $X_P \cong \mathbb{R}_k^n$. So as in [23, Def. 3.22], we may identify $\mathbf{Man}^{\text{c}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{sc}}$ and $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}} \subset \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{sc}}$ as full subcategories, where a manifold with g-corners (X, \mathcal{A}) is a manifold with corners if \mathcal{A} has a g-subatlas of g-charts (P_i, U_i, ϕ_i) with $P_i \cong \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$.

We also write \mathbf{Man}^{sc} for the category with objects disjoint unions $\coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n$, where X_n is a manifold with g-corners of dimension n (we call these *manifolds with g-corners of mixed dimension*), allowing $X_n = \emptyset$, and morphisms continuous maps $f : \coprod_{m=0}^{\infty} X_m \rightarrow \coprod_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_n$, such that $f_{mn} := f|_{X_m \cap f^{-1}(Y_n)} : X_m \cap f^{-1}(Y_n) \rightarrow Y_n$ is a smooth map of manifolds with g-corners for all $m, n \geq 0$. We write $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{c}}$ for the category with the same objects, and with morphisms f such that f_{mn} is interior for all $m, n \geq 0$. There are obvious full embeddings $\mathbf{Man}^{\text{sc}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{sc}}$ and $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{sc}} \subset \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{sc}}$.

Remark 3.10. Any weakly toric monoid P is isomorphic to $P^\sharp \times \mathbb{Z}^l$, where P^\sharp is toric and $l \geq 0$. Then $X_P \cong X_{P^\sharp} \times X_{\mathbb{Z}^l} \cong X_{P^\sharp} \times \mathbb{R}^l$. Hence manifolds with g-corners have local models $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$ for toric monoids Q and $l \geq 0$, where $X_{\mathbb{N}^k} \cong [0, \infty)^k$. Each toric monoid Q has a natural point $\delta_0 \in X_Q$ called the *vertex* of X_Q , which acts by taking $0 \in Q$ to $1 \in [0, \infty)$ and all non-zero $q \in Q$ to zero. Given a manifold with g-corners X and a point $x \in X$, there is a toric monoid Q such that X near x is modelled on $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$ near $(\delta_0, 0) \in X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$, where $\text{rank } Q + l = \dim X$.

From [23, Ex. 3.23] we have the simplest example of a manifold with g-corners that is not a manifold with corners.

Example 3.11. Let P be the weakly toric monoid of rank 3 with

$$P = \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 : a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a + b \geq c \geq 0\}.$$

This has generators $p_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $p_2 = (0, 1, 1)$, $p_3 = (0, 1, 0)$, and $p_4 = (1, 0, 1)$ and one relation $p_1 + p_2 = p_3 + p_4$. The local model it induces is

$$X_P \cong X'_P = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in [0, \infty)^4 : x_1 x_2 = x_3 x_4\}. \quad (3.1)$$




Figure 3.1: 3-manifold with g-corners $X'_P \cong X_P$ in (3.1)

Figure 3.1 is a sketch of X'_P as a square-based 3-dimensional infinite pyramid. As in Remark 3.10, X'_P has vertex $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ corresponding to $\delta_0 \in X_P$. It has 1-dimensional edges of points $(x_1, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, x_2, 0, 0)$, $(0, 0, x_3, 0)$, $(0, 0, 0, x_4)$, and 2-dimensional faces of points $(x_1, 0, x_3, 0)$, $(x_1, 0, 0, x_4)$, $(0, x_2, x_3, 0)$, $(0, x_2, 0, x_4)$. Its interior $X'_P \cong \mathbb{R}^3$ consists of points (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) with x_1, \dots, x_4 non-zero and $x_1 x_2 = x_3 x_4$. Then $X_P \setminus \{\delta_0\}$ is a 3-manifold with corners, but X_P is not a manifold with corners near δ_0 , as it is not locally isomorphic to \mathbb{R}_k^n .

We give more material on manifolds with g-corners in §3.4, §3.5, and §6.7.1.

3.4 Boundaries, corners, and the corner functor

This section follows the second author [18] and [23, §2.2 & §3.4].

Definition 3.12. Let X be a manifold with corners, or g-corners, of dimension n . For each $x \in X$ we define the *depth* $\text{depth}_X(x) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ as follows: if X is a manifold with corners then $\text{depth}_X(x) = k$ if X near x is locally modelled on \mathbb{R}_k^n near 0. And if X is a manifold with g-corners then $\text{depth}_X(x) = k$ if X near x is locally modelled on $X_P \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ near $(\delta_0, 0)$, using Remark 3.10, where P is a toric monoid of rank k .

Write $S^k(X) = \{x \in X : \text{depth}_X(x) = k\}$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$, the *codimension k boundary stratum*. This defines a stratification $X = \coprod_{k=0}^n S^k(X)$ called the

depth stratification. Then $S^k(X)$ has a natural structure of a manifold without boundary of dimension $n - k$. Its closure is $\overline{S^k(X)} = \coprod_{l=k}^n S^l(X)$. The *interior* of X is $X^\circ := S^0(X)$.

Define a *local k -corner component* γ of X at $x \in X$ to be a local choice of connected component of $S^k(X)$ near x . That is, for any sufficiently small open neighbourhood V of x in X , then γ assigns a choice of connected component W of $V \cap S^k(X)$ with $x \in \overline{W}$, and if V', W' are alternative choices then $x \in \overline{W' \cap W}$. The local 1-corner components are called *local boundary components* of X .

As sets, we define the *boundary* and *k -corners* of X for $k = 0, \dots, n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial X &= \{(x, \beta) : x \in X, \beta \text{ is a local boundary component of } X \text{ at } x\}, \\ C_k(X) &= \{(x, \gamma) : x \in X, \gamma \text{ is a local } k\text{-corner component of } X \text{ at } x\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$. This implies that $\partial X = C_1(X)$ and $C_0(X) \cong X$. In [23, §2.2 & §3.4] we define natural structures of a manifold with corners, or g-corners (depending on which X is) on ∂X and $C_k(X)$, so that $\dim \partial X = n - 1$ and $\dim C_k(X) = n - k$. The interiors are $(\partial X)^\circ \cong S^1(X)$ and $C_k(X)^\circ \cong S^k(X)$. We define smooth maps $\Pi_X : \partial X \rightarrow X$, $\Pi_X : C_k(X) \rightarrow X$ by $\Pi_X : (x, \beta) \mapsto x$ and $\Pi_X : (x, \gamma) \mapsto x$. These are generally neither interior, not injective.

The next definition will be important in Chapter 5, as a manifold with corners corresponds to an *affine* C^∞ -scheme with corners if it is a manifold with faces. We extend Definition 3.13 to manifolds with g-corners in Definition 4.37.

Definition 3.13. A manifold with corners X is called a *manifold with faces* if $\Pi_X|_F : F \rightarrow X$ is injective for each connected component F of ∂X . The *faces* of X are the components of ∂X , regarded as subsets of X . Melrose [35–37] assumes his manifolds with corners are manifolds with faces. Write $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^f \subset \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c$, $\mathbf{Man}^f \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$ for the full subcategories of manifolds with faces.

Example 3.14. Define the *teardrop* to be the subset $T = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0, y^2 \leq x^2 - x^4\}$, as in [23, Ex. 2.8]. As shown in Figure 3.2, T is a manifold with corners of dimension 2. The teardrop is not a manifold with faces as in Definition 3.13, since the boundary is diffeomorphic to $[0, 1]$, and so is connected, but the map $\Pi_T : \partial T \rightarrow T$ is not injective.




Figure 3.2: The teardrop T

Remark 3.15. Let X be a manifold with (g-)corners. Then smooth functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are local objects: we can combine them using partitions of unity. In contrast, exterior functions $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as in Definition 3.4 cannot be combined using partitions of unity, and have some non-local, global features.

To see this, observe that if $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an exterior function, we may define a *multiplicity function* $\mu_g : \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ giving the order of vanishing of g on the boundary faces of X . If $x \in X$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_k^n$ are local coordinates on X near x with $x = (0, \dots, 0)$ then either $g = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ near x for $F > 0$ smooth, and we set $\mu_g(x, \{x_i = 0\}) = a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, or $g = 0$ near x , when we write $\mu_g(x, \{x_i = 0\}) = \infty$.

Then $\mu_g : \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is locally constant, and hence constant on each connected component of ∂X . Also g interior implies μ_g maps to $\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. If two points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ lie in the image of the same connected component of ∂X then the behaviour of g near x_1, x_2 is linked even if x_1, x_2 are far away in X . Interior functions $\{g_i : i \in I\}$ can be combined with a partition of unity $\{\eta_i : i \in I\}$ to give interior $\sum_{i \in I} \eta_i g_i$ if the μ_{g_i} for $i \in I$ are all equal.

The boundary ∂X and corners $C_k(X)$ are not functorial under smooth or interior maps: smooth $f : X \rightarrow Y$ generally do not lift to smooth $\partial f : \partial X \rightarrow \partial Y$. However, as in the second author [18, §4] and [23, §2.2 & §3.4], the corners $C(X) = \coprod_{k=0}^{\dim X} C_k(X)$ do behave functorially.

Definition 3.16. Let X be a manifold with corners, or with g-corners. The *corners* of X is the manifold with (g-)corners of mixed dimension

$$C(X) = \coprod_{k=0}^{\dim X} C_k(X),$$

as an object of $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ or $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^{gc}$ in Definitions 3.2 and 3.9. By (3.2) we have

$$C(X) = \{(x, \gamma) : x \in X, \gamma \text{ is a local } k\text{-corner component of } X \text{ at } x, k \geq 0\}.$$

Define morphisms $\Pi_X : C(X) \rightarrow X$ and $\iota_X : X \rightarrow C(X)$ in $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ or $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^{gc}$ by $\Pi_X : (x, \gamma) \mapsto x$ and $\iota_X : x \mapsto (x, X^\circ)$, that is, $\iota_X : X \xrightarrow{\cong} C^0(X) \subset C(X)$. Here ι_X is interior, but Π_X generally is not.

Now let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in \mathbf{Man}^c or \mathbf{Man}^{gc} . Suppose γ is a local k -corner component of X at $x \in X$. For each small open neighbourhood V of x in X , γ gives a connected component W of $V \cap S^k(X)$ with $x \in \overline{W}$. As f preserves the stratifications $X = \coprod_{k \geq 0} S^k(X)$, $Y = \coprod_{l \geq 0} S^l(Y)$, we have $f(W) \subseteq \overline{S^l(Y)}$ for some $l \geq 0$. Since f is continuous, $f(W)$ is connected, and $f(x) \in \overline{f(W)}$. Thus there is a unique l -corner component $f_*(\gamma)$ of Y at $f(x)$, such that if \tilde{V} is a sufficiently small open neighbourhood of $f(x)$ in Y , then the connected component \tilde{W} of $\tilde{V} \cap S^l(Y)$ given by $f_*(\gamma)$ has $\tilde{W} \cap f(W) \neq \emptyset$. This $f_*(\gamma)$ is independent of the choice of sufficiently small V, \tilde{V} , so is well-defined.

Define a map $C(f) : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ by $C(f) : (x, \gamma) \mapsto (f(x), f_*(\gamma))$. As in [23, §2.2 & §3.4], this $C(f)$ is smooth, and interior, and so is a morphism in $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{gc}$. Also $C(g \circ f) = C(g) \circ C(f)$ and $C(\text{id}_X) = \text{id}_{C(X)}$. Thus we have defined functors $C : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $C : \mathbf{Man}^{gc} \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{gc}$, which

we call the *corner functors*. We extend them to $C : \check{\mathbf{Man}}^c \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $C : \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ by $C(\coprod_{m \geq 0} X_m) = \coprod_{m \geq 0} C(X_m)$.

Consider the inclusions of subcategories $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ and $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \hookrightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}}$. The morphisms $\Pi_X : C(X) \rightarrow X$ give a natural transformation $\Pi : \text{inc} \circ C \Rightarrow \text{Id}$ in $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ or $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}}$. The morphisms $\iota_X : X \rightarrow C(X)$ give a natural transformation $\iota : \text{Id} \Rightarrow C \circ \text{inc}$ in $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$ or $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$.

Remark 3.17. If X is an object in $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ or $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}}$, and Y an object in $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$ or $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$, it is easy to check that we have inverse 1-1 correspondences

$$\text{Hom}_{\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \text{ or } \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}(\text{inc } X, Y) \xrightleftharpoons[g \mapsto \Pi_Y \circ g]{f \mapsto C(f) \circ \iota_X} \text{Hom}_{\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \text{ or } \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}(X, C(Y)).$$

Therefore $C : \check{\mathbf{Man}}^c \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $C : \check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ are *right adjoint* to $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ and $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \hookrightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}}$, and Π, ι are the units of the adjunction. (This is a new observation, not in [18, 23].)

This implies that the corner functors C , and hence the boundary ∂X and corners $C_k(X)$ of a manifold with (g-)corners X , are not arbitrary constructions, but are determined up to natural isomorphism by the inclusions of subcategories $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ and $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \subset \check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}}$.

3.5 Tangent bundles and b-tangent bundles

Finally we briefly discuss (co)tangent bundles of manifolds with (g-)corners. For a manifold with corners X there are two kinds: the (*ordinary*) *tangent bundle* TX , the obvious generalization of tangent bundles of manifolds, and the *b-tangent bundle* bTX introduced by Melrose [36, §2.2], [37, §I.10], [35, §2]. The duals of the tangent bundle and b-tangent bundle are the *cotangent bundle* T^*X and *b-cotangent bundle* ${}^bT^*X$. If X is a manifold with g-corners, then bTX is well defined, but in general TX is not. We follow [23, §2.3 & §3.5].

Remark 3.18. (a) Let X be a manifold with corners of dimension n . The *tangent bundle* $TX \rightarrow X$, *cotangent bundle* $T^*X \rightarrow X$, *b-tangent bundle* ${}^bTX \rightarrow X$, and *b-cotangent bundle* ${}^bT^*X \rightarrow X$, are natural rank n vector bundles on X , defined in detail in [23, §2.3]. In local coordinates, if $(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_k^n$ are local coordinates on an open set $\phi(U) \subset X$, from a chart (U, ϕ) with $U \subset \mathbb{R}_k^n$ open, then $TX, \dots, {}^bT^*X$ are given on $\phi(U)$ by the bases of sections:

$$\begin{aligned} TX|_{\phi(U)} &= \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \\ T^*X|_{\phi(U)} &= \langle dx_1, \dots, dx_k, dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \\ {}^bTX|_{\phi(U)} &= \left\langle x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \\ {}^bT^*X|_{\phi(U)} &= \langle x_1^{-1} dx_1, \dots, x_k^{-1} dx_k, dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that “ $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ ” is a nonzero section of bTX even where $x_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and “ $x_i^{-1} dx_i$ ” is a well defined section of ${}^bT^*X$ even where $x_i = 0$. These are formal symbols, but are useful as they determine the transition functions for ${}^bTX, {}^bT^*X$ under change of coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \rightsquigarrow (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m)$, etc.

There is a natural morphism of vector bundles $I_X : {}^bTX \rightarrow TX$ mapping $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mapsto x_i \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ for $i = k + 1, \dots, n$. It is an isomorphism over X° , and has kernel of rank k over $S^k(X)$.

(b) Tangent bundles TX in \mathbf{Man}^c are functorial under smooth maps. That is, for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Man}^c there is a corresponding morphism $Tf : TX \rightarrow TY$ defined in [23, Def. 2.14], functorial in f and linear on the fibres of TX, TY , in a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TX & \xrightarrow{\quad Tf \quad} & TY \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y. \end{array}$$

Equivalently, we may write Tf as a vector bundle morphism $df : TX \rightarrow f^*(TY)$ on X . It has a dual morphism $df^* : f^*(T^*Y) \rightarrow T^*X$ on cotangent bundles.

Similarly, b-tangent bundles bTX in \mathbf{Man}^c are functorial under *interior* maps. That is, for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c$ there is a corresponding morphism ${}^bTf : {}^bTX \rightarrow {}^bTY$ defined in [23, Def. 2.15], functorial in f and linear on the fibres of ${}^bTX, {}^bTY$, in a commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^bTX & \xrightarrow{\quad {}^bTf \quad} & {}^bTY & & \\ & \searrow^{I_X} & & \searrow^{I_Y} & \\ & & TX & \xrightarrow{\quad Tf \quad} & TY \\ & \searrow^{\pi} & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & Y. \end{array}$$

Equivalently, we have a vector bundle morphism ${}^bdf : {}^bTX \rightarrow f^*({}^bTY)$ on X , with a dual morphism ${}^bdf^* : f^*({}^bT^*Y) \rightarrow {}^bT^*X$ on b-cotangent bundles.

(c) As in [23, §3.5], tangent bundles $TX \rightarrow X$ do not extend to manifolds with g-corners X . That is, for each $x \in X$ we can define a tangent space $T_x X$ with the usual functorial properties of tangent spaces, but $\dim T_x X$ need not be locally constant on X , so $T_x X, x \in X$ are not the fibres of a vector bundle.

However, b-(co)tangent bundles ${}^bTX \rightarrow X, {}^bT^*X \rightarrow X$ do extend nicely to manifolds with g-corners. If X is locally modelled on X_P for a weakly toric monoid P , as in §3.3, then bTX is locally trivial with fibre $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Mon}}(P, \mathbb{R})$, and ${}^bT^*X$ is locally trivial with fibre $P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$. B-tangent bundles are functorial under interior maps, as in (b). So if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{g,c}$, as in [23, Def. 3.43] we have a morphism ${}^bTf : {}^bTX \rightarrow {}^bTY$, and vector bundle morphisms ${}^bdf : {}^bTX \rightarrow f^*({}^bTY)$ and ${}^bdf^* : f^*({}^bT^*Y) \rightarrow {}^bT^*X$ on X .

(d) Let X be a manifold with (g-)corners. Then [23, §3.6] constructs a natural exact sequence of vector bundles of mixed rank on $C(X)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^bN_{C(X)} \xrightarrow{\quad {}^b i_T \quad} \Pi_X^*({}^bTX) \xrightarrow{\quad {}^b \pi_T \quad} {}^bT(C(X)) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Here ${}^bN_{C(X)}$ is called the *b-normal bundle* of $C(X)$ in X . It has rank k on $C_k(X)$ for $k \geq 0$. Note that ${}^b\pi_T$ is not ${}^b\mathrm{d}\Pi_X$ from (c) for $\Pi_X : C(X) \rightarrow X$; ${}^b\mathrm{d}\Pi_X$ is undefined as Π_X is not interior, and would go the opposite way to ${}^b\pi_T$.

Here is the dual complex to (3.3), with ${}^bN_{C(X)}^*$ the *b-conormal bundle*:

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^bT^*(C(X)) \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_T^*} \Pi_X^*({}^bT^*X) \xrightarrow{{}^b i_T^*} {}^bN_{C(X)}^* \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.4)$$

In [23, §3.6] we define the *monoid bundle* $M_{C(X)} \rightarrow C(X)$, which is a locally constant bundle of toric monoids with an embedding $M_{C(X)} \subset {}^bN_{C(X)}$ such that ${}^bN_{C(X)} \cong M_{C(X)} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$. The monoid fibres have rank k on $C_k(X)$. If X is a manifold with corners then $M_{C(X)}$ has fibre \mathbb{N}^k on $C_k(X)$, and the embedding $M_{C(X)} \subset {}^bN_{C(X)}$ is locally modelled on $\mathbb{N}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. We also have a dual *comonoid bundle* $M_{C(X)}^\vee \rightarrow C(X)$, with an embedding $M_{C(X)}^\vee \subset {}^bN_{C(X)}^*$.

If $(x, \gamma) \in C(X)$, then X near x is locally diffeomorphic to $X_P \times Y$ for P a toric monoid and Y a manifold with g-corners, such that γ is identified with $\{\delta_0\} \times Y$ for δ_0 the vertex in X_P . Then near (x, γ) in $C(X)$, the fibres of ${}^bN_{C(X)}$, ${}^bN_{C(X)}^*$, $M_{C(X)}$, $M_{C(X)}^\vee$ are $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Mon}}(P, \mathbb{R})$, $P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$, P^\vee , P , respectively.

Example 3.19. Let X be a manifold with corners, and $(x, \gamma) \in C_k(X)^\circ \subset C(X)$. We will explain the ideas of Remark 3.18(d) near (x, γ) . We can choose local coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_k^n$ near x in X , such that the local boundary component γ of X at x is $x_1 = \dots = x_k = 0$ in coordinates. Then (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) in \mathbb{R}^{n-k} are local coordinates on $C_k(X)^\circ$ near (x, γ) , and (3.3)–(3.4) become

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & {}^bN_{C(X)} & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_T} & \Pi_X^*({}^bT^*X) & \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_T} & {}^bT(C(X)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \langle x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \langle x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}, \\ \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & {}^bT^*(C(X)) & \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_T^*} & \Pi_X^*({}^bT^*X) & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_T^*} & {}^bN_{C(X)}^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \langle dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \langle x_1^{-1} dx_1, \dots, x_k^{-1} dx_k, dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \langle x_1^{-1} dx_1, \dots, x_k^{-1} dx_k \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{array}$$

Under these identifications we have

$$M_{C(X)} = \langle x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \rangle_{\mathbb{N}}, \quad M_{C(X)}^\vee = \langle x_1^{-1} dx_1, \dots, x_k^{-1} dx_k \rangle_{\mathbb{N}}.$$

4 (Pre) C^∞ -rings with corners

Sections 4.1–4.3 study the most obvious generalization of (categorical) C^∞ -rings to manifolds with corners, which we will call (*categorical*) *pre C^∞ -rings with corners*. These were introduced by Kalashnikov [28], who called them C^∞ -rings with corners. But we define *C^∞ -rings with corners* in §4.4 as the full subcategory of pre C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{ex}})$ satisfying an extra condition, that invertible functions in $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ should have logs in \mathfrak{C} . This will make C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes with corners better behaved. Sections 4.5–4.7 develop the theory of C^∞ -rings with corners.

4.1 Categorical pre C^∞ -rings with corners

Here is the obvious generalization of Definition 2.1.

Definition 4.1. Write \mathbf{Euc}^c , $\mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c$ for the full subcategories of \mathbf{Man}^c , $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c$ with objects the Euclidean spaces with corners $\mathbb{R}_k^n = [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. We have inclusions of subcategories

$$\mathbf{Euc} \subset \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Euc}^c. \quad (4.1)$$

Define a *categorical pre C^∞ -ring with corners* to be a product-preserving functor $F : \mathbf{Euc}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$. Here F should also preserve the empty product, i.e. it maps $\mathbb{R}^0 \times [0, \infty)^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ in \mathbf{Euc}^c to the terminal object in \mathbf{Sets} , the point $*$.

If $F, G : \mathbf{Euc}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ are categorical pre C^∞ -rings with corners, a *morphism* $\eta : F \rightarrow G$ is a natural transformation $\eta : F \Rightarrow G$. Such natural transformations are automatically product-preserving. We write $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$ for the category of categorical pre C^∞ -ring with corners.

Define a *categorical interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners* to be a product-preserving functor $F : \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$. These form a category $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, with morphisms natural transformations.

Define a commutative triangle of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{CC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings} \\ & \searrow \Pi_{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} & \nearrow \Pi_{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{CC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}} \\ & \mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c & \end{array} \quad (4.2)$$

by restriction to subcategories in (4.1), so that for example $\Pi_{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ maps $F : \mathbf{Euc}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ to $F|_{\mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$.

Here is the motivating example:

Example 4.2. (a) Let X be a manifold with corners. Define a categorical pre C^∞ -ring with corners $F : \mathbf{Euc}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ by $F = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}^c}(X, -)$. That is, for objects $\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n$ in $\mathbf{Euc}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$ we have

$$F(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}^c}(X, \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n),$$

and for morphisms $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m'} \times [0, \infty)^{n'}$ in \mathbf{Euc}^c we have

$$F(g) = g \circ : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}^c}(X, \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}^c}(X, \mathbb{R}^{m'} \times [0, \infty)^{n'})$$

mapping $F(g) : h \mapsto g \circ h$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth map of manifolds with corners, and $F, G : \mathbf{Euc}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ the functors corresponding to X, Y . Define a natural transformation $\eta : G \Rightarrow F$ by

$$\eta(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) = \circ f : \text{Hom}(Y, \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n)$$

mapping $\eta : h \mapsto h \circ f$.

Define a functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$ to map $X \mapsto F$ on objects, and $f \mapsto \eta$ on morphisms, for X, Y, F, G, f, η as above.

All of this also works if X, Y are manifolds with g -corners, as in §3.3, giving a functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}^{gc}}^{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^{gc} \rightarrow (\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$.

(b) Similarly, if X is a manifold with (g) -corners, define a categorical interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners $F : \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ by $F = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c}(X, -)$. This gives functors $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}}$ and $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{gc}}^{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{gc} \rightarrow (\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}}$.

In the language of Algebraic Theories, as in Adámek, Rosický and Vitale [1], $\mathbf{Euc}, \mathbf{Euc}^c, \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c$ are examples of *algebraic theories* (that is, small categories with finite products), and $\mathbf{CC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}, \mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ are the corresponding *categories of algebras*. Also the inclusions of subcategories (4.1) are *morphisms of algebraic theories*, and the functors (4.2) the corresponding morphisms. So, as for Proposition 2.6, Adámek et al. [1, Prop.s 1.21, 2.5, 9.3 & Th. 4.5] give important results on their categorical properties:

Theorem 4.3. (a) *All small limits and directed colimits exist in the categories $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, and they may be computed objectwise in $\mathbf{Euc}^c, \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c$ by taking the corresponding small limits/directed colimits in \mathbf{Sets} .*

(b) *All small colimits exist in $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ though in general they are not computed objectwise in $\mathbf{Euc}^c, \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c$ as colimits in \mathbf{Sets} .*

(c) *The functors $\Pi_{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{CC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}, \dots, \Pi_{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ in (4.2) have left adjoints, so they preserve limits.*

4.2 Pre C^∞ -rings with corners

The next definition corresponds to Definition 4.1 as Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 do.

Definition 4.4. A *pre C^∞ -ring with corners* \mathfrak{C} assigns the data:

- (a) Two sets \mathfrak{C} and \mathfrak{C}_{ex} .
- (b) Operations $\Phi_f : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ for all smooth maps $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- (c) Operations $\Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ for all exterior $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

Here we allow one or both of m, n to be zero, and consider S^0 to be the single point $\{\emptyset\}$ for any set S . These operations must satisfy the following relations:

- (i) Suppose $k, l, m, n \geq 0$, and $e_i : \mathbb{R}^k \times [0, \infty)^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $f_j : \mathbb{R}^k \times [0, \infty)^l \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. Define smooth $h : \mathbb{R}^k \times [0, \infty)^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_l) = g(e_1(x_1, \dots, y_l), \dots, e_m(x_1, \dots, y_l), f_1(x_1, \dots, y_l), \dots, f_n(x_1, \dots, y_l)). \quad (4.3)$$

Then for all $(c_1, \dots, c_k, c'_1, \dots, c'_l) \in \mathfrak{C}^k \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^l$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_h(c_1, \dots, c_k, c'_1, \dots, c'_l) &= \Phi_g(\Phi_{e_1}(c_1, \dots, c'_1), \dots, \Phi_{e_m}(c_1, \dots, c'_l), \\ &\quad \Psi_{f_1}(c_1, \dots, c'_l), \dots, \Psi_{f_n}(c_1, \dots, c'_l)). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Suppose $k, l, m, n \geq 0$, and $e_i : \mathbb{R}^k \times [0, \infty)^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $f_j : \mathbb{R}^k \times [0, \infty)^l \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior for $j = 1, \dots, n$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior. Define exterior $h : \mathbb{R}^k \times [0, \infty)^l \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by (4.3). Then for all $(c_1, \dots, c_k, c'_1, \dots, c'_l) \in \mathfrak{C}^k \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^l$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_h(c_1, \dots, c_k, c'_1, \dots, c'_l) &= \Psi_g(\Phi_{e_1}(c_1, \dots, c'_1), \dots, \Phi_{e_m}(c_1, \dots, c'_l), \\ &\quad \Psi_{f_1}(c_1, \dots, c'_l), \dots, \Psi_{f_n}(c_1, \dots, c'_l)). \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Write $\pi_i : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for projection to the i^{th} coordinate of \mathbb{R}^m for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $\pi'_j : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ for projection to the j^{th} coordinate of $[0, \infty)^n$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then for all $(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n) \in \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n$ and all $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\Phi_{\pi_i}(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n) = c_i, \quad \Psi_{\pi'_j}(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n) = c'_j.$$

We will refer to the operations Φ_f, Ψ_g as the C^∞ -operations, and we often write a pre C^∞ -ring with corners as a pair $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$, leaving the C^∞ operations implicit.

Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ and $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ be pre C^∞ -rings with corners. A *morphism* $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a pair $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}})$ of maps $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ and $\phi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$, which commute with all the operations Φ_f, Ψ_g on $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$. Write $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ for the category of pre C^∞ -rings with corners.

As for Proposition 2.3, we have:

Proposition 4.5. *There is an equivalence of categories from $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ to $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, which identifies $F : \mathbf{Euc}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ in $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ with $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ such that $F(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) = \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n$ for $m, n \geq 0$.*

Under this equivalence, for a smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}_k^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we identify $F(f)$ with Φ_f , and for an exterior function $g : \mathbb{R}_k^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we identify $F(g)$ with Ψ_g . The proof of the proposition then follows from F being a product preserving functor, and the definition of pre C^∞ -ring with corners.

We could define ‘interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners’ following Definition 4.4, but replacing exterior maps by interior maps throughout. Instead we will do something more complicated, and define interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners as special examples of pre C^∞ -rings with corners, and interior morphisms as special morphisms between (interior) pre C^∞ -rings with corners. The advantage of this is that we can work with both interior and non-interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners and their morphisms in a single theory.

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Then \mathfrak{C}_{ex} is a monoid and $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $c' \cdot 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} = 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.

We call \mathfrak{C} an *interior* pre C^∞ -ring with corners if $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \neq 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$, and there do not exist $c', c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $c' \neq 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \neq c''$ and $c' \cdot c'' = 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$. That is, \mathfrak{C}_{ex} should have no zero divisors. Write $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus \{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\}$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\}$, where \amalg is the disjoint union. Since \mathfrak{C}_{ex} has no zero divisors, \mathfrak{C}_{in} is closed under multiplication, and $1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ as $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \neq 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$. Thus \mathfrak{C}_{in} is a submonoid of \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . We write $1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}} = 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ be interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners, and $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. We call ϕ *interior* if $\phi_{\text{ex}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$. Then we write $\phi_{\text{in}} = \phi_{\text{ex}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$. Interior morphisms are closed under composition and include the identity morphisms.

Write $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ for the (non-full) subcategory of $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ with objects interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners, and morphisms interior morphisms.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be an interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$. If $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is interior, then $\Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ maps $\mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$.*

Proof. Clearly $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ is not invertible, so $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \notin \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus \{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$. As g is interior we may write

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) = y_1^{a_1} \cdots y_n^{a_n} \cdot \exp \circ h(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n), \quad (4.4)$$

for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth. Then for $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ we have

$$\Psi_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n) = c_1^{a_1} \cdots c_n^{a_n} \cdot \Psi_{\text{exp}}[\Phi_h(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n)].$$

Here $c_1^{a_1} \cdots c_n^{a_n} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ as \mathfrak{C}_{in} is a submonoid of \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , and $\Psi_{\text{exp}}[\cdots] \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ as Ψ_{exp} maps to $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. Thus $\Psi_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$. \square

Here is the analogue of Proposition 4.5:

Proposition 4.8. *There is an equivalence $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \cong \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, which identifies $F : \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ in $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ with $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ such that $F(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) = \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n$ for $m, n \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $F : \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ be a categorical interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Define sets $\mathfrak{C} = F(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} = F([0, \infty))$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\}$, where \amalg is the disjoint union. Then $F(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) = \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n$, as F is product-preserving. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be smooth. We must define maps $\Phi_f : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ and $\Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.

Let $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. Then some of c'_1, \dots, c'_n lie in \mathfrak{C}_{in} and the rest in $\{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\}$. For simplicity suppose that $c'_1, \dots, c'_k \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ and $c'_{k+1} = \cdots = c'_n = 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Define smooth $d : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $e : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_k) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_k, 0, \dots, 0), \\ e(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_k) &= g(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_k, 0, \dots, 0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Then $F(d)$ maps $\mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^k \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. Set

$$\Phi_f(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k, 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, \dots, 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}) = F(d)(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k).$$

Either $e : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is interior, or $e = 0$. If e is interior define

$$\Psi_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k, 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, \dots, 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}) = F(e)(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k).$$

If $e = 0$ set $\Psi_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k, 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, \dots, 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}) = 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$. This defines Φ_f, Ψ_g , and makes $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ into an interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners.

Conversely, let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be an interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$ and we define a product-preserving functor $F : \mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ with $F(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) = \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n$, using the fact from Lemma 4.7 that $\Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ maps $\mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ for g interior. The rest of the proof follows that of Proposition 4.5. \square

It is often helpful to work with a small subset of C^∞ -operations. The next definition explains this small subset. Monoids were discussed in §3.2.

Definition 4.9. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Then (as in Definition 4.11 below) \mathfrak{C} is a C^∞ -ring, and thus a commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra. The \mathbb{R} -algebra structure makes \mathfrak{C} into a monoid in two ways: under multiplication ‘ \cdot ’ with identity 1, and under addition ‘ $+$ ’ with identity 0.

Define $g : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $g(x, y) = xy$. Then g induces $\Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. Define multiplication $\cdot : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ by $c' \cdot c'' = \Psi_g(c', c'')$. The map $1 : \mathbb{R}^0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ gives an operation $\Psi_1 : \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. The *identity* in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} is $1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} = \Psi_1(\emptyset)$. Then $(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, \cdot, 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}})$ is a monoid.

The map $0 : \mathbb{R}^0 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ gives an operation $\Psi_0 : \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. This gives a distinguished element $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} = \Psi_0(\emptyset)$ in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , which satisfies $c' \cdot 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} = 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, that is, $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ is a *zero element* in the monoid $(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, \cdot, 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}})$. We have:

- (a) \mathfrak{C} is a commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra.
- (b) \mathfrak{C}_{ex} is a monoid, in multiplicative notation, with zero element $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.
We write $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ for the group of invertible elements in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} .
- (c) $\Phi_i : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is a monoid morphism, for $i : [0, \infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the inclusion and \mathfrak{C} a monoid under multiplication.
- (d) $\Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ is a monoid morphism, for $\text{exp} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and \mathfrak{C} a monoid under addition.
- (e) $\Phi_{\text{exp}} = \Phi_i \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ for $\text{exp} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and Ψ_{exp} in (d).

Many of our definitions will use only the structures (a)–(e). When we write $\Phi_i, \Psi_{\text{exp}}, \Phi_{\text{exp}}$ without further explanation, we mean those in (c)–(e).

Proposition 4.10. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a pre C^∞ -ring with corners, and suppose c' lies in the group $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ of invertible elements in the monoid \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . Then there exists a unique $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ such that $\Phi_{\text{exp}}(c) = \Phi_i(c')$ in \mathfrak{C} .

Proof. Since $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ we have a unique inverse $c'^{-1} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.15(a), let $e : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the inverse of $t \mapsto \exp(t) - \exp(-t)$. Define smooth $g : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x, y) = e(x - y)$. Observe that if $(x, y) \in [0, \infty)^2$ with $xy = 1$ then $x = \exp t$, $y = \exp(-t)$ for $t = \log x$, and so

$$\exp \circ g(x, y) = \exp \circ g(\exp t, \exp(-t)) = \exp \circ e(\exp t - \exp(-t)) = \exp(t) = x.$$

Therefore there is a unique smooth function $h : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\exp \circ g(x, y) - x = h(x, y)(xy - 1). \quad (4.6)$$

We have operations $\Phi_g, \Phi_h : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. Define $c = \Phi_g(c', c'^{-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\exp}(c) - \Phi_i(c') &= \Phi_{\exp \circ g(x, y) - x}(c', c'^{-1}) = \Phi_{h(x, y)(xy - 1)}(c', c'^{-1}) \\ &= \Phi_h(c', c'^{-1}) \cdot (\Phi_i(c' \cdot c'^{-1}) - 1_{\mathfrak{C}}) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

using Definition 4.1(i) in the first and third steps, and (4.6) in the second. Hence $\Phi_{\exp}(c) = \Phi_i(c')$. Uniqueness of c follows from Proposition 2.15(a). \square

4.3 Adjoints and (co)limits for pre C^∞ -rings with corners

The equivalences of categories in Propositions 2.3, 4.5 and 4.8 mean that facts about $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, $\mathbf{CPC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, $\mathbf{CC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ in §4.1 correspond to fact about $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$. Hence (4.2) should correspond to a commutative triangle of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \\ & \searrow \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} & \nearrow \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} \\ & \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c & \end{array} \quad (4.7)$$

The explicit definitions, which we give next, follow from the correspondences.

Definition 4.11. The functor $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ in (4.7) acts on objects by $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \mapsto \mathfrak{C}$, where the C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} has C^∞ -operations $\Phi_f : \mathfrak{C}^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ from smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in Definition 4.4(b) with $n = 0$, and on morphisms by $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) \mapsto \phi$.

Define $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ in (4.7) to be the restriction of $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$ to $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$.

To define $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ in (4.7), let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. We will define an interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} = (\mathfrak{C}, \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}})$ where $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \amalg \{0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}\}$, and set $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}(\mathfrak{C}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$. Here \mathfrak{C}_{ex} already contains a zero element $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$, but we are adding an extra $0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}$ with $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \neq 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be smooth, and write Φ_f, Ψ_g for the operations in \mathfrak{C} . We must define maps $\tilde{\Phi}_f : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}$. Let $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}$. Then some of c'_1, \dots, c'_n lie in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} and the rest in $\{0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}\}$. For simplicity suppose that

$c'_1, \dots, c'_k \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ and $c'_{k+1} = \dots = c'_n = 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$. Define smooth $d : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $e : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by (4.5). Set

$$\tilde{\Phi}_f(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k, 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}, \dots, 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}) = \Phi_d(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k).$$

Either $e : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^k \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is interior, or $e = 0$. If e is interior define

$$\tilde{\Psi}_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k, 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}, \dots, 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}) = \Psi_e(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k).$$

If $e = 0$ define $\tilde{\Psi}_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_k, 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}, \dots, 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}) = 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}$. This defines the maps $\tilde{\Phi}_f, \tilde{\Psi}_g$. It is easy to check that these make $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}} = (\mathfrak{C}, \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}})$ into an interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners.

Now let $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$, and define $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ as above. Define $\tilde{\phi}_{\text{ex}} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\text{ex}}$ by $\tilde{\phi}_{\text{ex}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} = \phi_{\text{ex}}$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}}) = 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\text{ex}}}$. Then $\tilde{\phi} = (\phi, \tilde{\phi}_{\text{ex}}) : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Define $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ by $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} : \phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}$, for $\mathfrak{C}, \dots, \tilde{\phi}$ as above.

We will show that $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ is right adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ are pre C^∞ -rings with corners with \mathfrak{C} interior. Then we can define a 1-1 correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c}(\text{inc}(\mathfrak{C}), \mathfrak{D}) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}(\mathfrak{C}, \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}(\mathfrak{D})), \end{aligned}$$

identifying $\phi : \text{inc}(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ with $\hat{\phi} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}(\mathfrak{D})$, where $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}})$ and $\hat{\phi} = (\phi, \hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}})$ with $\hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}} = \phi_{\text{ex}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}}$ and $\hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}(0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}) = 0_{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{\text{ex}}}$. This is functorial in $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$, and so proves that $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ is right adjoint to inc .

Also define functors $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{ex}} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ by $\Pi_{\text{sm}} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}$, $\Pi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ on objects, and $\Pi_{\text{sm}} : \phi \mapsto \phi$, $\Pi_{\text{ex}} : \phi \mapsto \phi_{\text{ex}}$ on morphisms, where ‘sm’, ‘ex’ are short for ‘smooth’ and ‘exterior’. Define functors $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{in}} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ by $\Pi_{\text{sm}} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}$, $\Pi_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ on objects, and $\Pi_{\text{sm}} : \phi \mapsto \phi$, $\Pi_{\text{in}} : \phi \mapsto \phi_{\text{in}}$ on morphisms, where ‘in’ is short for ‘interior’.

Combining the equivalences in Propositions 2.3, 4.5 and 4.8 with Theorem 4.3 and Definition 4.11 yields:

Theorem 4.12. (a) *All small limits and directed colimits exist in the categories $\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. The functors $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{ex}} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ and $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{in}} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ preserve limits and directed colimits.*

(b) *All small colimits exist in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, though in general they are not preserved by $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{ex}}$ and $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}$.*

(c) *The functors $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c}, \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}, \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ in (4.7) have left adjoints, so they preserve limits. The left adjoint of $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ is the inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$, so inc preserves colimits.*

Example 4.13. The inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{c}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ in general does not preserve limits, and therefore cannot have a left adjoint.

For example, suppose $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ are interior pre C^∞ -rings with corners, and write $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{C} \times_{\text{in}} \mathfrak{D}$ for the products in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\mathfrak{c}}$ and $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{c}}$. Then Theorem 4.12(a) implies that $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}$, $\mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$, $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{C} \times \mathfrak{D}$, $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$. Since $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\}$, etc., this gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}} &= (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}) \amalg (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \times \{0_{\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}}\}) \amalg (\{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\} \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}) \amalg (\{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\} \times \{0_{\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}}\}), \\ \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}} &= (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}) \amalg (\{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\} \times \{0_{\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}}\}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathfrak{E} \not\cong \mathfrak{F}$. Moreover in \mathfrak{E}_{ex} we have $(1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, 0_{\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}}) \cdot (0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, 1_{\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}}) = (0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, 0_{\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}})$, so \mathfrak{E}_{ex} has zero divisors, and \mathfrak{E} is not an object in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Proposition 4.14. *The functors $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\mathfrak{c}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$, $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{c}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$ in (4.7) have right adjoints, so they preserve colimits.*

Proof. We will define a functor $F_{\geq 0} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \rightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\mathfrak{c}}$, and show that it is right adjoint to $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\mathfrak{c}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$. Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring, and define $\mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}$ to be the subset of elements $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ that satisfy the following condition: for all smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_{[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}} = 0$ and for $d_1, \dots, d_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{C}$, then $\Phi_f(c, d_1, \dots, d_{n-1}) = 0 \in \mathfrak{C}$. Note that $\mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}$ is non-empty, as it contains $\Phi_{\text{exp}}(\mathfrak{C}) \amalg \{0\}$. Also, any pre C^∞ -ring with corners $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ has $\Phi_i(\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\geq 0}$.

We will make $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0})$ into a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Take a smooth map $f : \mathbb{R}_k^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Then there is a (non-unique) smooth extension $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g|_{\mathbb{R}_k^n} = i \circ f$ for $i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the inclusion map. We define

$$\Psi_f(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n) = \Phi_g(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n)$$

for $c'_i \in \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $c_i \in \mathfrak{C}$. To show this is well defined, say h is another such extension, then $(g-h)|_{\mathbb{R}_k^n} = 0$. We must prove $\Phi_{g-h}(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n) = 0$ for all $c'_i \in \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $c_i \in \mathfrak{C}$.

As $g-h$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.15 below, we can assume $g-h = f_1 + \dots + f_k$ such that $f_i|_{\mathbb{R}^{i-1} \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i-1}} = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{g-h}(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n) &= \Phi_{f_1 + \dots + f_k}(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n) \\ &= \Phi_{f_1}(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n) + \dots + \Phi_{f_k}(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

as c'_i are in $\mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore Ψ_f is independent of the choice of extension g .

To show that Ψ_f maps to $\mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$, suppose $e : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth with $e|_{[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}} = 0$, and let $d_1, \dots, d_{m-1} \in \mathfrak{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_e(\Psi_f(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n), d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}) &= \Phi_e(\Phi_g(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n), d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}) \\ &= \Phi_{e \circ (g \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}})}(c'_1, \dots, c'_k, c_{k+1}, \dots, c_n, d_1, \dots, d_{m-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

Here $e \circ (g \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}}) : \mathbb{R}^{n+m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ restricts to zero on $\mathbb{R}_k^{n+m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m-1}$, since $(g \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}})|_{\mathbb{R}_k^{n+m-1}} = f \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}}$, which maps to $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, and

$e|_{[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{m-1}} = 0$. Regarding $e\circ(g\times\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}})$ as an extension of $0 : \mathbb{R}_k^{n+m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the argument above shows (4.8) is independent of extension, so we can replace $e\circ(g\times\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m-1}})$ by $0 : \mathbb{R}^{n+m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and (4.8) is zero. As this holds for all e, m , we have $\Psi_f(c'_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}$, as we want.

Similarly, smooth functions $f : \mathbb{R}_k^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ give well defined C^∞ -operations Φ_f . Hence $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0})$ is a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Set $F_{\geq 0}(\mathfrak{C}) = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0})$.

On morphisms, $F_{\geq 0}$ sends $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ to $(\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\geq 0})$, where $\phi_{\text{ex}} = \phi|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}}$. As ϕ respects the C^∞ -operations, the image of $\phi(\mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$, so ϕ_{ex} is well defined.

To show $F_{\geq 0}$ is right adjoint to $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$, we describe the unit and counit of the adjunction. The unit acts on $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \in \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ as $(\text{id}_{\mathfrak{C}}, \Phi_i) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\geq 0})$. The counit acts on $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ as $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. The compositions $F_{\geq 0} \Rightarrow F_{\geq 0} \circ \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} \circ F_{\geq 0} \Rightarrow F_{\geq 0}$ and $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} \Rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} \circ F_{\geq 0} \circ \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} \Rightarrow \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$ are the identity.

Since $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} = \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}} \circ \text{inc}$, it now follows from Definition 4.11 that $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} \circ F_{\geq 0}$ is right adjoint to $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}}$. \square

The next lemma was used in the previous proof.

Lemma 4.15. *If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth such that $f|_{\mathbb{R}_k^n} = 0$, then there are smooth $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ such that $f_i|_{\mathbb{R}^{i-1} \times [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i-1}} = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $f = f_1 + \dots + f_k$.*

Proof. Let f be as in the lemma. Consider the following open subset $U = \mathcal{S}^{k-1} \setminus \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_i \geq 0\}$ of the dimension $k-1$ unit sphere $\mathcal{S}^{k-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. Define an open cover U_1, \dots, U_k of U by $U_i = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in U : x_i < 0\}$. Take a partition of unity $\rho_i : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, subordinate to $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$, with ρ_i having support on U_i and $\sum_{i=1}^k \rho_i = 1$.

Define the f_i as follows

$$f_i = \begin{cases} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rho_i \left(\frac{(x_1, \dots, x_k)}{|(x_1, \dots, x_k)|} \right), & \text{if } x_i < 0 \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $|(x_1, \dots, x_k)|$ is the length of the vector $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. It is clear these are smooth where the ρ_i are defined. The ρ_i are not defined in the first quadrant of \mathbb{R}^k , where all $x_i \geq 0$, however approaching the boundary of this quadrant, the ρ_i are all constant. As $f|_{\mathbb{R}_k^n} = 0$, then all derivatives of f are zero in this quadrant, so the f_i are smooth and identically zero on \mathbb{R}_k^n . In addition, $f_i|_{\mathbb{R}^{i-1} \times [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-i-1}} = 0$, as the ρ_i are zero outside of U_i . Finally, as $\sum \rho_i = 1$ and $f|_{\mathbb{R}_k^n} = 0$, then $f = f_1 + \dots + f_k$ as required. \square

4.4 C^∞ -rings with corners

We can now define C^∞ -rings with corners.

Definition 4.16. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. We call \mathfrak{C} a C^∞ -ring with corners if any (hence all) of the following hold:

- (i) $\Phi_i|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is injective.
- (ii) $\Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ is surjective.
- (iii) $\Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ is a bijection.

Here Proposition 4.10 implies that (i),(ii) are equivalent, and Definition 4.9(e) and Proposition 2.15(a) imply that $\Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ is injective, so (ii),(iii) are equivalent, and therefore (i)–(iii) are equivalent. Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ for the full subcategory of C^∞ -rings with corners in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

We call a C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} *interior* if it is an interior pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ for the subcategory of interior C^∞ -rings with corners, and interior morphisms between them.

Define a commutative triangle of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings} \\
& \searrow_{\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}} & \nearrow_{\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}} \\
& & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c
\end{array} \tag{4.9}$$

by restricting the functors in (4.7) to $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. It is clear from Definition 4.11 that the restriction of $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ to $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ does map to $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. Then $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ right adjoint to inc in Definition 4.11 implies that $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ is right adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Remark 4.17. We can interpret the condition that $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners as follows. Imagine there is some ‘space with corners’ X , such that $\mathfrak{C} = \{\text{smooth maps } c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \{\text{exterior maps } c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)\}$. If $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ is invertible then c' should map $X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, and we require there to exist smooth $c = \log c' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in \mathfrak{C} with $c' = \exp c$. This makes C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes with corners behave more like manifolds with corners.

Here is the motivating example, following Example 4.2:

Example 4.18. (a) Let X be a manifold with corners. Define a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ by $\mathfrak{C} = C^\infty(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \text{Ex}(X)$, as sets. If $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, define the operation $\Phi_f : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ by

$$\Phi_f(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n) : x \mapsto f(c_1(x), \dots, c_m(x), c'_1(x), \dots, c'_n(x)). \tag{4.10}$$

If $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior, define $\Psi_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ by

$$\Psi_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_1, \dots, c'_n) : x \mapsto g(c_1(x), \dots, c_m(x), c'_1(x), \dots, c'_n(x)). \tag{4.11}$$

It is easy to check that \mathfrak{C} is a C^∞ -ring with corners. We write $\mathbf{C}^\infty(X) = \mathfrak{C}$.

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map of manifolds with corners, and let $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ be the C^∞ -rings with corners corresponding to X, Y . Write $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}})$,

where $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ maps $\phi(d) = d \circ f$ and $\phi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ maps $\phi(d') = d' \circ f$. Then $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Define a functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$ to map $X \mapsto \mathfrak{C}$ on objects, and $f \mapsto \phi$ on morphisms, for $X, Y, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, f, \phi$ as above.

All the above also works for manifolds with g-corners, as in §3.3, giving a functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$.

(b) Similarly, if X is a manifold with (g-)corners, define an interior C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ by $\mathfrak{C} = C^\infty(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \text{In}(X) \amalg \{0\}$ as sets, where $0 : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is the zero function, with C^∞ -operations as in (4.10)–(4.11). We write $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) = \mathfrak{C}$.

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an interior map of manifolds with (g-)corners, and let $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ be the interior C^∞ -rings with corners corresponding to X, Y . Write $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}})$, where $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ maps $\phi(d) = d \circ f$ and $\phi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ maps $\phi(d') = d' \circ f$. Then $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Define $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$ and $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$ to map $X \mapsto \mathfrak{C}$ on objects, and $f \mapsto \phi$ on morphisms, for $X, Y, \mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, f, \phi$ as above.

Recall that if \mathcal{D} is a category and $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ a full subcategory, then \mathcal{C} is a *reflective subcategory* if the inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ has a left adjoint $\Pi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which is called a *reflection functor*.

Proposition 4.19. *The inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ has a left adjoint reflection functor $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}$. Its restriction to $\mathbf{PC}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ is a left adjoint $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}$ for $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PC}_{\text{in}}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. We will define a C^∞ -ring with corners $\hat{\mathfrak{C}} = (\mathfrak{C}, \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}})$. As a set, define $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} / \sim$, where \sim is the equivalence relation on \mathfrak{C}_{ex} given by $c' \sim c''$ if there exists $c''' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ with $\Phi_i(c''') = 1$ and $c' = c'' \cdot c'''$. That is, $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}$ is the quotient of \mathfrak{C}_{ex} by the group $\text{Ker}(\Phi_i|_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times}) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$. There is a natural surjective projection $\hat{\pi} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}$.

If $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior, it is not difficult to show there exist unique maps $\hat{\Phi}_f : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ and $\hat{\Psi}_g : \mathfrak{C}^m \times \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^n \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}$ making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi_f} & \mathfrak{C} & & \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Psi_g} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \\
\downarrow \text{id}^m \times \hat{\pi}^n & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}^m \times \hat{\pi}^n & & \hat{\pi} \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{C}^m \times \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Phi}_f} & \mathfrak{C} & & \mathfrak{C}^m \times \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\hat{\Psi}_g} & \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}
\end{array} \quad (4.12)$$

and these make $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}$ into a pre C^∞ -ring with corners. Clearly $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}$ satisfies Definition 4.16(i). Therefore $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a C^∞ -ring with corners.

Suppose $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a morphism of pre C^∞ -rings with corners, and define $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}, \hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ as above. Then by a similar argument to (4.12), we find that

there is a unique map $\hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}$ such that the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi_{\text{ex}} \quad} & \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \\ \downarrow \hat{\pi} & & \hat{\pi} \downarrow \\ \hat{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}} & \xrightarrow{\quad \hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}} \quad} & \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\text{ex}}, \end{array}$$

and then $\hat{\phi} = (\phi, \hat{\phi}_{\text{ex}}) : \hat{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{D}}$ is a morphism of C^∞ -rings with corners. Define a functor $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ to map $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto \hat{\mathfrak{C}}$ on objects and $\phi \mapsto \hat{\phi}$ on morphisms.

Now let \mathfrak{C} be a pre C^∞ -ring with corners, \mathfrak{D} a C^∞ -ring with corners, and $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ a morphism. Then we have a morphism $\hat{\phi} : \hat{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{D}}$, as $\hat{\mathfrak{D}} = \mathfrak{D}$, and $\phi \leftrightarrow \hat{\phi}$ gives a 1-1 correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}(\mathfrak{C}, \text{inc}(\mathfrak{D})) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}(\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}(\mathfrak{C}), \mathfrak{D}), \end{aligned}$$

which is functorial in $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$. Hence $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}$ is left adjoint to inc .

If \mathfrak{C}, ϕ above are interior then $\hat{\mathfrak{C}}, \hat{\phi}$ are interior, so $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}$ restricts to a functor $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, which is left adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. \square

We extend Theorem 4.12, Example 4.13 and Proposition 4.14 to $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Theorem 4.20. (a) *All small limits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. The functors $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{ex}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ and $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{in}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ preserve limits.*

(b) *All small colimits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, though in general they are not preserved by $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{ex}}$ and $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}$.*

(c) *The functors $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}, \Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ in (4.9) have left and right adjoints, so they preserve limits and colimits.*

(d) *The left adjoint of $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ is the inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, so inc preserves colimits. However, inc does not preserve limits, and has no left adjoint.*

Proof. For (a), we claim that $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ are closed under limits in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. To see this, note that $\Pi_{\text{sm}}, \Pi_{\text{ex}} : \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ preserve limits by Theorem 4.12(a), and the conditions Definition 4.16(i)–(iii) are closed under limits of sets $\mathfrak{C} = \Pi_{\text{sm}}(\mathfrak{C})$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \Pi_{\text{ex}}(\mathfrak{C})$. Thus part (a) follows from Theorem 4.12(a).

For (b), let J be a small category and $F : J \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ a functor. Then a colimit $\mathfrak{C} = \varinjlim F$ exists in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ by Theorem 4.12(b). Since $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}$ is a left adjoint it takes colimits to colimits, so $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}(\mathfrak{C}) = \varinjlim \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} \circ F$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. But $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}$ acts as the identity on

$\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \subset \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, so $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} \circ F \cong F$, and $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}(\mathfrak{C}) = \varinjlim F$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. The same argument works for $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$.

For (c), $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ has a left adjoint by Theorem 4.12(c) and Proposition 4.19, as $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} = \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} \circ \text{inc}$. It has a right adjoint as $F_{\geq 0}$ in the proof of Proposition 4.14 maps to $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \subset \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. The same works for $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$. For (d), $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ has left adjoint inc by Definition 4.16, and inc does not preserve limits by the analogue of Example 4.13. \square

Remark 4.21. Although $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ has no left adjoint, as in Theorem 4.20(d), we will show in §6.2 that $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ does have a right adjoint, which is the analogous statement for spaces.

4.5 Free objects, generators, relations, and localization

We generalize Definition 2.7:

Definition 4.22. If A, A_{ex} are sets then by [1, Rem. 14.12] we can define the *free C^∞ -ring with corners* $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} = (\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}, \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}})$ generated by (A, A_{ex}) . We may think of $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ as $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^A \times [0, \infty)^{A_{\text{ex}}})$, where $\mathbb{R}^A = \{(x_a)_{a \in A} : x_a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $[0, \infty)^{A_{\text{ex}}} = \{(y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{ex}}} : y_{a'} \in [0, \infty)\}$. Explicitly, we define $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ to be the set of maps $c : \mathbb{R}^A \times [0, \infty)^{A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which depend smoothly on only finitely many variables $x_a, y_{a'}$, and $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ to be the set of maps $c' : \mathbb{R}^A \times [0, \infty)^{A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which depend smoothly on only finitely many variables $x_a, y_{a'}$, and operations Φ_f, Ψ_g are defined as in (4.10)–(4.11). Regarding $x_a : \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $y_{a'} : [0, \infty)^{A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as functions for $a \in A, a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$, we have $x_a \in \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ and $y_{a'} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$, and we call $x_a, y_{a'}$ the *generators* of $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$.

Then $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ has the property that if $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is any (pre) C^∞ -ring with corners then a choice of maps $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ and $\alpha_{\text{ex}} : A_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ uniquely determine a morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ with $\phi(x_a) = \alpha(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $\phi_{\text{ex}}(y_{a'}) = \alpha_{\text{ex}}(a')$ for $a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$. We write $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} = \mathfrak{F}^{m, n}$ when $A = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $A_{\text{ex}} = \{1, \dots, n\}$, and then $\mathfrak{F}^{m, n} \cong C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n) = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_n^{m+n})$.

The analogue of all this also holds in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, with the same objects $\mathfrak{F}^{m, n}$ and $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$, which are interior C^∞ -rings with corners, and the difference that (interior) morphisms $\mathfrak{F}^{m, n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ or $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ are uniquely determined by elements $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ (rather than $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$), and similarly for $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ with $\alpha_{\text{in}} : A_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$.

As for Proposition 2.8, every object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ can be built out of free C^∞ -rings with corners, in a certain sense.

Proposition 4.23. (a) *Every object \mathfrak{C} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ admits a surjective morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ from some free C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$.*

(b) *Every object \mathfrak{C} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ fits into a coequalizer diagram*

$$\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{ex}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{C}, \quad (4.13)$$

that is, \mathfrak{C} is the colimit of the diagram $\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{ex}}} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, where $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is automatically surjective.

The analogues of (a) and (b) also hold in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Proof. The analogue in $\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ holds by Adámek et al. [1, Props. 11.26, 11.28, 11.30, Cor. 11.33, & Rem. 14.14], by general facts about algebraic theories. The result for $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ follows by applying the reflection functors $\Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}, \Pi_{\mathbf{PC}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}$ of Proposition 4.19. \square

Definition 4.24. Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring with corners, and A, A_{ex} be sets. We will write $\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ for the C^∞ -ring with corners obtained by adding extra generators x_a for $a \in A$ of type \mathbb{R} and $y_{a'}$ for $a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$ of type $[0, \infty)$ to \mathfrak{C} . That is, by definition

$$\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}] := \mathfrak{C} \otimes_\infty \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}, \quad (4.14)$$

where $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ is the free C^∞ -ring with corners from Definition 4.22, with generators x_a for $a \in A$ of type \mathbb{R} and $y_{a'}$ for $a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$ of type $[0, \infty)$, and \otimes_∞ is the coproduct in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. As coproducts are a type of colimit, Theorem 4.20(b) implies that $\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ is well defined. Since $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ is interior, if \mathfrak{C} is interior then (4.14) is a coproduct in both $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, so $\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ is interior by Theorem 4.20(d).

By properties of coproducts and free C^∞ -rings with corners, morphisms $\phi : \mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ are uniquely determined by a morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ and maps $\alpha : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}, \alpha_{\text{ex}} : A_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$. If $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \psi$ are interior and $\alpha_{\text{ex}}(A_{\text{ex}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$ then ϕ is interior.

Next suppose B, B_{ex} are sets and $f_b \in \mathfrak{C}$ for $b \in B, g_{b'}, h_{b'} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ for $b' \in B_{\text{ex}}$. We will write $\mathfrak{C}/(f_b = 0 : b \in B)[g_{b'} = h_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{ex}}]$ for the C^∞ -ring with corners obtained by imposing relations $f_b = 0, b \in B$ in \mathfrak{C} of type \mathbb{R} , and $g_{b'} = h_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{ex}}$ in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} of type $[0, \infty)$. That is, we have a coequalizer diagram

$$\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{ex}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} \end{array} \mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{C}/(f_b = 0 : b \in B)[g_{b'} = h_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{ex}}], \quad (4.15)$$

where α, β are determined uniquely by $\alpha(x_b) = f_b, \alpha_{\text{ex}}(y_{b'}) = g_{b'}, \beta(x_b) = 0, \beta_{\text{ex}}(y_{b'}) = h_{b'}$ for all $b \in B$ and $b' \in B_{\text{ex}}$. As coequalizers are a type of colimit, Theorem 4.20(b) shows that $\mathfrak{C}/(f_b = 0 : b \in B)[g_{b'} = h_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{ex}}]$ is well defined. If \mathfrak{C} is interior and $g_{b'}, h_{b'} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ for all $b' \in B_{\text{ex}}$ (that is, $g_{b'}, h_{b'} \neq 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$) then (4.14) is also a coequalizer in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, so Theorem 4.20(d) implies that $\mathfrak{C}/(f_b = 0 : b \in B)[g_{b'} = h_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{ex}}]$ and π are interior.

Note that round brackets (\dots) denote generators or relations of type \mathbb{R} , and square brackets $[\dots]$ generators or relations of type $[0, \infty)$. If we add generators or relations of only one type, we use only these brackets.

We construct two explicit examples of quotients in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$:

Example 4.25. (a) Say we wish to quotient a C^∞ -ring with corners $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ by an ideal I in \mathfrak{C} . Quotienting the C^∞ -ring by the ideal will result in additional

relations on the monoid. While this quotient, $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is the coequalizer of a diagram such as (4.15), it is also equivalent to the following construction:

The quotient is a C^∞ -ring with corners $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ with a morphism $\pi = (\pi, \pi_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ such that I is contained in the kernel of π , and is universal with respect to this property. That is, if $(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ is another C^∞ -ring with corners with morphism $\pi' = (\pi', \pi'_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ with I contained in the kernel of π' , then there is a unique morphism $\mathbf{p} : (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ such that $\mathbf{p} \circ \pi = \pi'$.

As a coequalizer is a colimit, by Theorem 4.20(c) we have $\mathfrak{D} \cong \mathfrak{C}/I$, the quotient in C^∞ -rings. For the monoid, we require that smooth $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ give well defined operations $\Psi_f : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$. This means we require that if $a - b \in I$, then $\Psi_f(a) \sim \Psi_f(b) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, and this needs to generate a monoid equivalence relation on \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , so that a quotient by this relation is well defined. If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is identically zero, this follows. If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-zero and smooth this means that f is positive, and hence that $\log f$ is well defined with $f = \exp \circ \log f$. By Hadamard's Lemma, if $a - b \in I$, then $\Phi_g(a) - \Phi_g(b) \in I$, for all $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth, and therefore $\Phi_{\log f}(a) - \Phi_{\log f}(b) \in I$. Hence in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} we only require that if $a - b \in I$, then $\Psi_{\exp}(a) \sim \Psi_{\exp}(b)$ in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . The monoid equivalence relation that this generates is equivalent to $c'_1 \sim_I c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ if there exists $d \in I$ such that $c'_1 = \Psi_{\exp}(d) \cdot c'_2$.

We claim that $(\mathfrak{C}/I, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I)$ is the required C^∞ -ring with corners. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, and $c'_1 \sim_I c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, then $\Phi_f(c'_1) = \Phi_f(\Psi_{\exp}(d)c'_2)$ in \mathfrak{C} for some $d \in I$. Applying Hadamard's Lemma twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_f(c'_1) - \Phi_f(c'_2) &= \Phi_{(x-y)g(x,y)}(\Psi_{\exp}(d)c'_2, c'_2) \\ &= \Phi_i(c'_2)(\Phi_{\exp}(d) - 1)\Phi_g(\Psi_{\exp}(d)c'_2, c'_2) \\ &= \Phi_i(c'_2)(d - 0)\Phi_{h(x,y)}(d, 0)\Phi_g(\Psi_{\exp}(d)c'_2, c'_2), \end{aligned}$$

for smooth maps $g, h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As $d \in I$, then $\Phi_f(c'_1) - \Phi_f(c'_2) \in I$, and Φ_f is well defined. A similar proof shows all the C^∞ -operations are well defined, and so $(\mathfrak{C}/I, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I)$ is a pre C^∞ -ring with corners.

We must show that $\Psi_{\exp} : \mathfrak{C}/I \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I$ has image equal to (not just contained in) the invertible elements $(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I)^\times$. Say $[c'_1] \in (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I)^\times$, then there is $[c'_2] \in (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I)^\times$ such that $[c'_1][c'_2] = [c'd'] = [1]$. So there is $d \in I$ such that $c'_1 c'_2 = \Psi_{\exp}(d)$. However, $\Psi_{\exp}(d)$ is invertible, so each of c'_1, c'_2 must be invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , and using that Ψ_{\exp} is surjective onto invertible elements in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ gives the result. Thus $(\mathfrak{C}/I, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I)$ is a C^∞ -ring with corners. The quotient morphisms $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}/I$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_I$ give the required map π .

To show that this satisfies the required universal property of either (4.15) or the above, let $(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ be another C^∞ -ring with corners with a morphism $\pi' = (\pi', \pi'_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ such that $I \subset \text{Ker } \pi'$. Then the unique morphism $\mathbf{p} : (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ is defined by $\mathbf{p}([c], [c']) = [\pi'(c), \pi'(c')]$. The requirement that $(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ factors through each diagram shows that this morphism is well defined and unique, giving the result.

(b) Say we wish to quotient a C^∞ -ring with corners $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ by an ideal P in the monoid \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . By this we mean quotient \mathfrak{C}_{ex} by the equivalence relation $c'_1 \sim c'_2$

if $c'_1 = c'_2$ or $c'_1, c'_2 \in P$. This is known as a Rees quotient of semigroups, see Rees [44, p. 389]. Quotienting the monoid by this ideal will result in additional relations on both the monoid and the C^∞ -ring, which we will now show. While this quotient, $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is the coequalizer of a diagram such as (4.15), it is also equivalent to the following construction:

The quotient is a C^∞ -ring with corners $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ with a morphism $\pi = (\pi, \pi_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ such that P is contained in the kernel of π_{ex} , and is universal with respect to this property. That is, if $(\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ is another C^∞ -ring with corners with morphism $\pi' = (\pi', \pi'_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ with P contained in the kernel of π'_{ex} , then there is a unique morphism $\mathfrak{p} : (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \circ \pi = \pi'$.

Similarly to part (a), we begin by quotienting \mathfrak{C}_{ex} by P , and then require that the C^∞ -operations are well defined. As all smooth $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are equal to $\hat{f} \circ i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for a smooth function $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we need only require that if $c'_1 \sim c'_2$, then $\Phi_i(c'_1) \sim \Phi_i(c'_2)$. This generates a C^∞ -ring equivalence relation on the C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} ; such a C^∞ -ring equivalence relation is the same data as giving an ideal $I \subset \mathfrak{C}$ such that $c_1 \sim c_2 \in \mathfrak{C}$ whenever $c_1 - c_2 \in I$. Here, this equivalence relation will be given by the ideal $\langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$, that is, the ideal generated by the image of P under Φ_i . Quotienting \mathfrak{C} by this ideal generates a further condition on the monoid \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , as in part (a), that is $c'_1 \sim c'_2$ if there is $d \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ such that $c'_1 = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(d)c'_2$.

The claim then is that we may take $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ equal to $(\mathfrak{C}/\langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_P)$ where $c'_1 \sim_P c'_2$ if either $c'_1, c'_2 \in P$ or there is $d \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ such that $c'_1 = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(d)c'_2$. Similar applications of Hadamard's Lemma as in (a) show that $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is a pre C^∞ -ring with corners, and similar discussions show it is a C^∞ -ring with corners, and is isomorphic to the quotient. We will use the notation

$$(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})/\sim_P = (\mathfrak{C}/\langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_P) = (\mathfrak{C}/\sim_P, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_P)$$

to refer to this quotient later.

Definition 4.26. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners, and $A \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$, $A_{\text{ex}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ be subsets. A *localization* $\mathfrak{C}(a^{-1} : a \in A)[a'^{-1} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ of \mathfrak{C} at (A, A_{ex}) is a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}(a^{-1} : a \in A)[a'^{-1} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ and a morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\pi(a)$ is invertible in \mathfrak{D} for all $a \in A$ and $\pi_{\text{ex}}(a')$ is invertible in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} for all $a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$, with the universal property that if $\mathfrak{E} = (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ is a C^∞ -ring with corners and $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ a morphism with $\phi(a)$ invertible in \mathfrak{E} for all $a \in A$ and $\phi_{\text{ex}}(a')$ invertible in \mathfrak{E}_{ex} for all $a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$, then there is a unique morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ with $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$.

Localizations $\mathfrak{C}(a^{-1} : a \in A)[a'^{-1} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ always exist, and are unique up to canonical isomorphism. In the notation of Definition 4.24 we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}(a^{-1} : a \in A)[a'^{-1} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}] &= & (4.16) \\ (\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]) / (a \cdot x_a = 1 : a \in A)[a' \cdot y_{a'} = 1 : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]. \end{aligned}$$

That is, we add an extra generator x_a of type \mathbb{R} and an extra relation $a \cdot x_a = 1$ of type \mathbb{R} for each $a \in A$, so that $x_a = a^{-1}$, and similarly for each $a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$.

If \mathfrak{C} is interior and $A_{\text{ex}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ then $\mathfrak{C}(a^{-1} : a \in A)[a'^{-1} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ makes sense and exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ as well as in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, and Theorem 4.20(d) implies that the two localizations are the same.

The next lemma follows from Theorem 4.20(c).

Lemma 4.27. *Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners and $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, and write $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$. Then $\mathfrak{D} \cong \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$, the localization of the C^∞ -ring.*

4.6 Local C^∞ -rings with corners

We now define local C^∞ -rings with corners.

Definition 4.28. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners. We call \mathfrak{C} *local* if there exists a C^∞ -ring morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that each $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C} if and only if $\pi(c) \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R} , and each $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} if and only if $\pi \circ \Phi_i(c') \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R} . Note that if \mathfrak{C} is local then $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is determined uniquely by $\text{Ker } \pi = \{c \in \mathfrak{C} : c \text{ is not invertible}\}$, and \mathfrak{C} is a local C^∞ -ring.

Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{lo}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in,lo}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ for the full subcategories of (interior) local C^∞ -rings with corners.

Proposition 4.29. *$\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{lo}}^c$ is closed under colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. Thus all small colimits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{lo}}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in,lo}}^c$.*

Proof. Let J be a category and $\mathbf{F} = (F, F_{\text{ex}}) : J \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{lo}}^c$ a functor, and suppose a colimit $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) = \varinjlim \mathbf{F}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, with projections $\phi_j : \mathbf{F}(j) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. Then $\mathfrak{C} = \varinjlim F$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}$ by Theorem 4.20(c). For each $j \in J$ we have a unique C^∞ -ring morphism $\pi_j : F(j) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $F(j)$ is local, with $\pi_j = \pi_k \circ F(\alpha)$ for all morphisms $\alpha : j \rightarrow k$ in J . Hence by properties of colimits there is a unique morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\pi_j = \pi \circ \phi_j$ for all $j \in J$.

Suppose $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $\pi(c) \neq 0$. Then $c = \phi_j(f)$ for some $j \in J$ and $f \in F(j)$, with $\pi_j(f) = \pi \circ \phi_j(f) = \pi(c) \neq 0$. Hence as $\mathbf{F}(j)$ is local, we have an inverse $f^{-1} \in F(j)$, and $\phi_j(f^{-1}) = c^{-1}$ is the inverse of c in \mathfrak{C} . The same argument shows that if $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\pi \circ \Phi_i(c') \neq 0$ then c' is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . So \mathfrak{C} is local, and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{lo}}^c$ is closed under colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. The last part holds by Theorem 4.20(b),(d). \square

Lemma 4.30. *If $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is a (pre) C^∞ -ring with corners and $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^∞ -ring morphism, then we have a morphism of (pre) C^∞ -rings with corners $(x, x_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, [0, \infty))$, where $x_{\text{ex}}(c') = x \circ \Phi_i(c')$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ and $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as in the statement. Take $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. To show $x_{\text{ex}} = x \circ \Phi_i : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is well defined, assume for a contradiction that $x \circ \Phi_i(c') = \epsilon < 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that f is the identity on $[0, \infty)$ and it is zero on $(-\infty, \epsilon/2)$. Then $f \circ i = i$ for $i : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the inclusion. So we have $0 > \epsilon = x \circ \Phi_i(c') = x \circ \Phi_f \circ \Phi_i(c') = f(x \circ \Phi_i(c')) = f(\epsilon) = 0$, and $x_{\text{ex}} = x \circ \Phi_i : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is well defined.

For (x, x_{ex}) to be a morphism of pre C^∞ -rings with corners, it must respect the C^∞ -operations. For example, let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be smooth, then there

is a smooth function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that extends f , so that $g \circ i = i \circ f$. Then $x_{\text{ex}}(\Psi_f(c')) = x \circ \Phi_i(\Psi_f(c')) = \Phi_g(x \circ \Phi_i(c')) = \Phi_g(x_{\text{ex}}(c'))$ as required. A similar proof holds for the other C^∞ -operations. \square

Definition 4.31. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners. An \mathbb{R} -point x of \mathfrak{C} is a C^∞ -ring morphism (or equivalently, an \mathbb{R} -algebra morphism) $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define \mathfrak{C}_x to be the localization

$$\mathfrak{C}_x = \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1} : c \in \mathfrak{C}, x(c) \neq 0) [c'^{-1} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, x \circ \Phi_i(c') \neq 0], \quad (4.17)$$

with projection $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$. If \mathfrak{C} is interior then \mathfrak{C}_x is interior by Definition 4.26. Theorem 4.32 below shows \mathfrak{C}_x is local. Theorem 4.32(c) is the analogue of Proposition 2.13. The point of the proof is to give an alternative construction of \mathfrak{C}_x from \mathfrak{C} by imposing relations, but adding no new generators.

Theorem 4.32. *Let $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ be as in Definition 4.31. Then:*

- (a) \mathfrak{C}_x is a local C^∞ -ring with corners.
- (b) $\mathfrak{C}_x = (\mathfrak{C}_x, \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}})$ and $\pi_x = (\pi_x, \pi_{x,\text{ex}})$, where $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ is the local C^∞ -ring associated to $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in Definition 2.11.
- (c) $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ and $\pi_{x,\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ are surjective.

Proof. Proposition 2.13 says that the local C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C}_x is \mathfrak{C}/I , for $I \subset \mathfrak{C}$ the ideal defined in (2.3), with $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ the projection $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}/I$. Define

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}_x = \mathfrak{C}/I \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim,$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation on \mathfrak{C}_{ex} given by $c' \sim c''$ if there exists $i \in I$ with $c'' = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(i) \cdot c'$, and $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}/I$, $\phi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim$ to be the natural surjective projections. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be exterior, and write Φ_f, Ψ_g for the operations in \mathfrak{C} . Then it is not difficult to show there exist unique maps Φ'_f, Ψ'_g making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi_f} & \mathfrak{C} \\ \downarrow \phi^m \times \phi_{\text{ex}}^n & & \downarrow \phi \\ \mathfrak{D}^m \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi'_f} & \mathfrak{D} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}^m \times \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Psi_g} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \\ \downarrow \phi^m \times \phi_{\text{ex}}^n & & \downarrow \phi_{\text{ex}} \\ \mathfrak{D}^m \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Psi'_g} & \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \end{array} \quad (4.18)$$

and these Φ'_f, Ψ'_g make $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ into a C^∞ -ring with corners, and $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ into a surjective morphism.

Suppose that \mathfrak{F} is a C^∞ -ring with corners and $\chi = (\chi, \chi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ a morphism such that $\chi(c)$ is invertible in \mathfrak{F} for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $x(c) \neq 0$. The definition $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}_x = \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1} : c \in \mathfrak{C}, x(c) \neq 0)$ in **C $^\infty$ Rings** in Definition 2.11 implies that $\chi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ factorizes uniquely as $\chi = \xi \circ \phi$ for $\xi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ a morphism in **C $^\infty$ Rings**. Hence $\chi(i) = 0$ in \mathfrak{F} for all $i \in I$, so $\chi_{\text{ex}}(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(i)) = 1_{\mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}}$ for all $i \in I$. Thus if $c', c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $c'' = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(i) \cdot c'$ for $i \in I$ then $\chi_{\text{ex}}(c') = \chi_{\text{ex}}(c'')$. Hence χ_{ex} factorizes uniquely as $\chi_{\text{ex}} = \xi_{\text{ex}} \circ \phi_{\text{ex}}$ for $\xi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}$.

As χ, ϕ are morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ with ϕ surjective we see that $\xi = (\xi, \xi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ is a morphism. Therefore $\chi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ factorizes uniquely as $\chi = \xi \circ \phi$. Also $\phi(c)$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}_x$ for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $x(c) \neq 0$, by definition of \mathfrak{C}_x in Definition 2.11. Therefore we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{D} \cong \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1} : c \in \mathfrak{C}, x(c) \neq 0)$$

identifying $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ with the projection $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1} : c \in \mathfrak{C}, x(c) \neq 0)$. Note that $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ factorizes as $x = \tilde{x} \circ \phi$ for a unique morphism $\tilde{x} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Next define

$$\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}_x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} / \approx,$$

where \approx is the monoidal equivalence relation on \mathfrak{D}_{ex} generated by the conditions that $d' \approx d''$ whenever $d', d'' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\Phi'_i(d') = \Phi'_i(d'')$ in \mathfrak{D} and $\tilde{x} \circ \Phi'_i(d') \neq 0$. Write $\psi = \text{id} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$, and let $\psi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$ be the natural surjective projection. Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior. Then as for (4.18), we claim there are unique maps Φ'_f, Ψ''_g making the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D}^m \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi'_f} & \mathfrak{D} \\ \downarrow \psi^m \times \psi_{\text{ex}}^n & & \downarrow \psi \\ \mathfrak{E}^m \times \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi'_f} & \mathfrak{E} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D}^m \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Psi''_g} & \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \\ \downarrow \psi^m \times \psi_{\text{ex}}^n & & \downarrow \psi_{\text{ex}} \\ \mathfrak{E}^m \times \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Psi''_g} & \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}} \end{array} \quad (4.19)$$

To see that Φ'_f in (4.19) is well defined, note that as $\Phi'_i : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a monoid morphism and \approx is a monoidal equivalence relation generated by $d' \approx d''$ when $\Phi'_i(d') = \Phi'_i(d'')$, we have a factorization $\Phi'_i = \tilde{\Phi}'_i \circ \psi_{\text{ex}}$. We may extend f to smooth $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and then Φ'_f factorizes as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D}^m \times \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^n & \xrightarrow{\Phi'_f} & \mathfrak{D} \\ \text{id}_{\mathfrak{D}}^m \times (\Phi'_i)^n \searrow & & \swarrow \Phi'_f \\ & \mathfrak{D}^{m+n} & \end{array}$$

Using $\Phi'_i = \tilde{\Phi}'_i \circ \psi_{\text{ex}}$, we see that Φ'_f in (4.19) exists and is unique.

For Ψ''_g , if $g = 0$ then $\Psi''_g = 0_{\mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}} = [0_{\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}}]$ in (4.19). Otherwise we may write g using a_1, \dots, a_n and $h : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as in (4.4), and then

$$\Psi''_g(d_1, \dots, d_m, d'_1, \dots, d'_n) = (d'_1)^{a_1} \dots (d'_n)^{a_n} \cdot \Psi'_{\text{exp}}[\Phi'_h(d_1, \dots, d_m, d'_1, \dots, d'_n)].$$

Since $\psi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$ is a monoid morphism, as it is a quotient by a monoidal equivalence relation, we see from this and the previous argument applied to $\Phi'_h(d_1, \dots, d_m, d'_1, \dots, d'_n)$ that Ψ''_g in (4.19) exists and is unique. These Φ'_f, Ψ''_g make $\mathfrak{E} = (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ into a C^∞ -ring with corners, and $\psi = (\psi, \psi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ into a surjective morphism.

We will show that there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{E} \cong \mathfrak{C}_x$ which identifies $\psi \circ \phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ with $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$. Firstly, suppose $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $x(c) \neq 0$. Then $\psi \circ \phi(c)$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{C}_x$ by definition of \mathfrak{C}_x in Definition 2.11. Secondly, suppose $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $x \circ \Phi'_i(c') \neq 0$. Set $d' = \phi_{\text{ex}}(c')$. Then $\Phi'_i(d') = \phi \circ \Phi'_i(c')$

is invertible in \mathfrak{D} . Now in the proof of Proposition 4.10, we do not actually need c' to be invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , it is enough that $\Phi_i(c')$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C} . Thus this proof shows that there exists a unique $d \in \mathfrak{D}$ with $\Phi'_i(d') = \Phi'_{\text{exp}}(d) = \Phi'_i \circ \Psi'_{\text{exp}}(d)$. But then $d' \approx \Psi'_{\text{exp}}(d)$, so $\psi_{\text{ex}}(d') = \psi_{\text{ex}} \circ \Psi'_{\text{exp}}(d) = \Psi''_{\text{exp}}(\psi(d))$. Hence $\psi_{\text{ex}} \circ \phi_{\text{ex}}(c') = \psi_{\text{ex}}(d')$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , with inverse $\Psi''_{\text{exp}}(-\psi(d))$.

Thirdly, suppose that \mathfrak{G} is a C^∞ -ring with corners and $\zeta = (\zeta, \zeta_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ a morphism such that $\zeta(c)$ is invertible in \mathfrak{G} for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $x(c) \neq 0$ and $\zeta_{\text{ex}}(c')$ is invertible in \mathfrak{G}_{ex} for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $x \circ \Phi_i(c') \neq 0$. Then $\zeta = \eta \circ \phi$ for a unique $\eta : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$, by the universal property of \mathfrak{D} . Since $\phi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ is surjective and $x = \tilde{x} \circ \phi$ we see that $\eta_{\text{ex}}(d')$ is invertible in \mathfrak{G}_{ex} for all $d' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\tilde{x} \circ \Phi'_i(d') \neq 0$.

Let $d', d'' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\Phi'_i(d') = \Phi'_i(d'')$ in \mathfrak{D} and $\tilde{x} \circ \Phi'_i(d') \neq 0$, so that $d' \approx d''$. Then $\eta_{\text{ex}}(d'), \eta_{\text{ex}}(d'')$ are invertible in \mathfrak{G}_{ex} with $\Phi_i \circ \eta_{\text{ex}}(d') = \Phi_i \circ \eta_{\text{ex}}(d'')$ in \mathfrak{G} , so Definition 4.16(i) for \mathfrak{G} implies that $\eta_{\text{ex}}(d') = \eta_{\text{ex}}(d'')$. Since $\eta_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_{\text{ex}}$ is a monoid morphism, and \approx is a monoidal equivalence relation, and $\eta_{\text{ex}}(d') = \eta_{\text{ex}}(d'')$ for the generating relations $d' \approx d''$, we see that η_{ex} factorizes via $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}/\approx$. Thus there exists unique $\theta_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\eta_{\text{ex}} = \theta_{\text{ex}} \circ \psi_{\text{ex}}$. Set $\theta = \eta : \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$. Then $\eta = \theta \circ \psi$ as $\psi = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{D}}$. As ψ is surjective we see that $\theta = (\theta, \theta_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, with $\eta = \theta \circ \psi$, so that $\zeta = \theta \circ \psi \circ \phi$.

This proves that $\psi \circ \phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ satisfies the universal property of $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ from the localization (4.17), so $\mathfrak{E} \cong \mathfrak{C}_x$ as we claimed. Parts (b),(c) of the theorem are now immediate, as $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{C}_x$ and ϕ, ψ are surjective. For (a), observe that $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ factorizes as $\pi \circ \pi_x$ for $\pi : \mathfrak{C}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a morphism. If $\bar{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_x$ with $\pi(\bar{c}) \neq 0$ then as $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ is surjective by (c) we have $\bar{c} = \pi_x(c)$ with $x(c) \neq 0$, so $\bar{c} = \pi_x(c)$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_x by (4.17). Similarly, if $\bar{c}' \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ with $\pi \circ \Phi_i(\bar{c}') \neq 0$ then as $\pi_{x,\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective we find that \bar{c}' is invertible in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$. Hence \mathfrak{C}_x is a local C^∞ -ring with corners. \square

We can characterize the equivalence relations that define $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ in the above proof using the following proposition.

Proposition 4.33. *Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners and $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an \mathbb{R} -point of \mathfrak{C} . Let $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ be as in Definition 4.31, and I the ideal defined in (2.3). For any $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, then $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ if and only if there are elements $a', b' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ such that $\Phi_i(a') - \Phi_i(b') \in I$, $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$, and $a'c'_1 = b'c'_2$. Hence $\mathfrak{C}_x = (\mathfrak{C}/I, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim)$ where $c'_1 \sim c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ if and only if there are elements $a', b' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ such that $\Phi_i(a') - \Phi_i(b') \in I$, $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$, and $a'c'_1 = b'c'_2$.*

Proof. We first show that if $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$, then there are a', b' satisfying the conditions. In Theorem 4.32, we constructed C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ and $\mathfrak{E} = (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$ and surjective morphisms $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, $\psi = (\psi, \psi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$, where

$$\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}_x = \mathfrak{C}/I, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}/\approx,$$

and $I \subset \mathfrak{C}$ is the ideal defined in (2.3), and \sim, \approx are explicit equivalence relations. Then we showed that there is a unique isomorphism $\mathfrak{C} \cong \mathfrak{C}_x$ identifying $\psi \circ \phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ with $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$. As $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ we have $\psi_{\text{ex}} \circ \phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \psi_{\text{ex}} \circ \phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . Thus $\phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_1) \approx \phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_2)$.

By definition \approx is the monoidal equivalence relation on \mathfrak{D}_{ex} generated by the condition that $d' \approx d''$ whenever $d', d'' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\Phi'_i(d') = \Phi'_i(d'')$ in \mathfrak{D} and $\tilde{x} \circ \Phi'_i(d') \neq 0$, where $\Phi'_i : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is the C^∞ -operation from the inclusion $i : [0, \infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ factorizes as $x = \tilde{x} \circ \phi$ for a unique morphism $\tilde{x} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_1) \approx \phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ means that there is a finite sequence $\phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_1) = d'_0, d'_1, d'_2, \dots, d'_{n-1}, d'_n = \phi_{\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} , and elements $e'_i, f'_i, g'_i \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ such that $\Phi'_i(e'_i) = \Phi'_i(f'_i)$, $\tilde{x} \circ \Phi'_i(e'_i) \neq 0$, and $d'_{i-1} = e'_i g'_i$, $d'_i = f'_i g'_i$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

As $\phi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ is surjective we can choose $e_i, f_i, g_i \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $e'_i = \phi_{\text{ex}}(e_i)$, $f'_i = \phi_{\text{ex}}(f_i)$, $g'_i = \phi_{\text{ex}}(g_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the conditions become

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i(e_i) - \Phi_i(f_i) &\in I, \quad x \circ \Phi_i(e_i) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ c'_1 \sim e_1 g_1, \quad e_{i+1} g_{i+1} &\sim f_i g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad c'_2 \sim f_n g_n, \end{aligned}$$

since equality in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} lifts to \sim -equivalence in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . By definition of \sim , this means that there exist elements h_0, h_1, \dots, h_n in the ideal $I \subset \mathfrak{C}$ in (2.3) such that

$$\begin{aligned} c'_1 &= \Psi_{\text{exp}}(h_0) e_1 g_1, \quad c'_2 = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(h_n) f_n g_n, \\ \text{and } e_{i+1} g_{i+1} &= \Psi_{\text{exp}}(h_i) f_i g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

It is not hard to show that for any element $h \in I$, then the conditions $\Phi_i(e_i) - \Phi_i(f_i) \in I$ and $x \circ \Phi_i(e_i) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ hold if and only if $\Phi_i(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(h)e_i) - \Phi_i(f_i) \in I$ and $x \circ \Phi_i(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(h)e_i) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ hold. So we can remove the h_i in (4.20). We have that $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ if and only if there are $e_i, f_i, g_i \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} c'_1 &= e_1 g_1, \quad c'_2 = f_n g_n, \quad e_{i+1} g_{i+1} = f_i g_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \text{and } x \circ \Phi_i(e_i) &\neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

We define $a' = f_1 f_2 \cdots f_n$ and $b' = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n$. Then using (4.21), we see that $a' c'_1 = b' c'_2$, $\Phi_i(a') - \Phi_i(b') \in I$ and $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$ as required.

For the reverse argument, say we have $a', b' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\Phi_i(a') - \Phi_i(b') \in I$ and $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$. Let $n = 1$, $e_1 = a'$, $g_1 = 0$ and $f_1 = b'$ in (4.20) then we see that $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(a') = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(b')$. As $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$, then $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(a')$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . If we also have that $a' c'_1 = b' c'_2$, then as $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is a morphism, $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ and the result follows. \square

Remark 4.34. Suppose $0 \neq c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c') = 0$ for some \mathbb{R} -point $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then Proposition 4.33 gives $a' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$ and $a' c' = 0$. Thus a', c' are zero divisors in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . Conversely, if \mathfrak{C} is interior then \mathfrak{C}_{ex} has no zero divisors, so $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c') \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.

Example 4.35. Let X be a manifold with corners, or a manifold with g-corners, and $x \in X$. Define a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C}_x = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ such that \mathfrak{C} is the set

of germs at x of smooth functions $c : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and \mathfrak{C}_{ex} is the set of germs at x of exterior functions $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

That is, elements of \mathfrak{C} are \sim -equivalence classes $[U, c]$ of pairs (U, c) , where U is an open neighbourhood of x in X and $c : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, and $(U, c) \sim (\tilde{U}, \tilde{c})$ if there exists an open neighbourhood \tilde{U} of x in $U \cap \tilde{U}$ with $c|_{\tilde{U}} = \tilde{c}|_{\tilde{U}}$. Similarly, elements of \mathfrak{C}_{ex} are equivalence classes $[U, c']$, where U is an open neighbourhood of x in X and $c' : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior. The C^∞ -operations Φ_f, Ψ_g are defined as in (4.10)–(4.11), but for germs.

As the set of germs only depends on the local behaviour, the set of germs at x of exterior functions is equal to the set of germs at x of interior functions and the zero function. Hence \mathfrak{C}_x is an interior C^∞ -ring with corners.

There is a morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ mapping $\pi : [U, c] \mapsto c(x)$. If $\pi([U, c]) \neq 0$ then $[U, c]$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C} , and if $\pi \circ \Phi_i([U, c']) = c'(x) \neq 0$ then $[U, c']$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . Thus \mathfrak{C}_x is a local C^∞ -ring with corners. Write $C_x^\infty(X) = \mathfrak{C}_x$.

Example 4.18(a) defines a C^∞ -ring with corners $C^\infty(X)$. The localization $\pi_{x_*} : (C^\infty(X))_{x_*}$ of $C^\infty(X)$ at $x_* : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ from Definition 4.31 is also a local C^∞ -ring with corners. There is a morphism $\pi_x : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C_x^\infty(X)$ mapping $c \mapsto [X, c]$, $c' \mapsto [X, c']$. By the universal property of $(C^\infty(X))_{x_*}$ we have $\pi_x = \lambda_x \circ \pi_{x_*}$ for a unique morphism $\lambda_x : (C^\infty(X))_{x_*} \rightarrow C_x^\infty(X)$.

The proof of [23, Th. 4.41] implies that the C^∞ -ring morphism λ_x in $\lambda_x = (\lambda_x, \lambda_{x,\text{ex}})$ is always an isomorphism. But $\lambda_{x,\text{ex}}$ need not be an isomorphism, as the next proposition shows.

Proposition 4.36. *In Example 4.35, suppose X is a manifold with faces, as in Definition 3.12. Then λ_x is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$.*

If X is a manifold with corners, but not a manifold with faces, then λ_x is not an isomorphism for some $x \in X$.

Proof. Suppose X is a manifold with faces, and $x \in X$, giving $\lambda_x = (\lambda_x, \lambda_{x,\text{ex}})$. Then λ_x is an isomorphism as in Example 4.35. We will show $\lambda_{x,\text{ex}}$ is both surjective and injective, so that λ_x is an isomorphism.

For surjectivity, we need to show that for any $[U, c'] \in C_{x,\text{ex}}^\infty(X)$ there exists $c'' \in C_{\text{ex}}^\infty(X)$ with $c' = c''$ near x in X , so that $c' = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'') = \lambda_{x,\text{ex}}(\pi_{x_*,\text{ex}}(c''))$. If $[U, c'] = 0$ we take $c' = 0$, so suppose $[U, c'] \neq 0$, and take c'' to be interior. As in Remark 3.15, such c'' has a locally constant map $\mu_{c''} : \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that c'' vanishes to order $\mu_{c''}$ along ∂X locally, and $c'' > 0$ on X° . We choose $\mu_{c''}$ such that $\mu_{c''} = \mu_{c'}$ on any connected component of ∂X which meets x , and $\mu_{c''} = 0$ on other components. Then local choices of interior c'' on X with prescribed vanishing order $\mu_{c''}$ on ∂X can be combined using a partition of unity on X , with the local choice $c'' = c'$ near x , to get c'' as required.

For injectivity, let $c'_1, c'_2 \in C_{\text{ex}}^\infty(X)$ with $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ in $C_{x,\text{ex}}^\infty(X)$, which means that $c'_1 = c'_2$ near x in X . We must show that $\pi_{x_*,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{x_*,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ in $C_{x_*,\text{ex}}^\infty(X)$. By Proposition 4.33, this holds if there are $a', b' \in C_{\text{ex}}^\infty(X)$ such that $a' = b'$ near x in X , and $a'(x) \neq 0$, and $a'c'_1 = b'c'_2$.

Let \tilde{X} be the connected component of X containing x . If $c'_1 = 0$ near x then $c'_1|_{\tilde{X}} = c'_2|_{\tilde{X}}$, and we can take $a' = b' = 1$ on \tilde{X} and $a' = b' = 0$ on

$X \setminus \tilde{X}$. Otherwise c'_1, c'_2 are interior on \tilde{X} , so $\mu_{c'_1}, \mu_{c'_2}$ map $\partial\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Define a', b' to be zero on $X \setminus \tilde{X}$. On \tilde{X} , define locally constant $\mu_{a'}, \mu_{b'} : \partial\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by $\mu_{a'} = \max(\mu_{c'_2} - \mu_{c'_1}, 0)$ and $\mu_{b'} = \max(\mu_{c'_1} - \mu_{c'_2}, 0)$, so that $\mu_{a'} + \mu_{c'_1} = \mu_{b'} + \mu_{c'_2}$ on $\partial\tilde{X}$, and $\mu_{a'}, \mu_{b'} = 0$ near $\Pi_{\tilde{X}}^{-1}(x)$. Let $a'|_{\tilde{X}} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be arbitrary interior with order of vanishing $\mu_{a'}$ on $\partial\tilde{X}$. Then there is a unique interior $b'|_{\tilde{X}} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $a'c'_1 = b'c'_2$, defined by $b' = a'c'_1(c'_2)^{-1}$ on \tilde{X}° , and extended continuously over \tilde{X} . These a', b' show $\lambda_{x, \text{ex}}$ is injective.

If X is a manifold with corners, but not a manifold with faces, then there exist $x \in X$ and distinct $x'_1, x'_2 \in \Pi_X^{-1}(x)$ which lie in the same connected component $\partial_i X$ of ∂X . Then any $c'' \in C_{\text{ex}}^\infty(X)$ has $\mu_{c''}(x'_1) = \mu_{c''}(x'_2)$, but there exists $[U, c'] \in C_{x, \text{ex}}^\infty(X)$ with $\mu_{c'}(x'_1) \neq \mu_{c'}(x'_2)$, so $[U, c']$ does not lie in the image of $\pi_{x, \text{ex}} = \lambda_{x, \text{ex}} \circ \pi_{x_*, \text{ex}}$, and hence not in the image of $\lambda_{x, \text{ex}}$, as $\pi_{x_*, \text{ex}}$ is surjective. Thus $\lambda_{x, \text{ex}}$ is not surjective, and λ_x not an isomorphism. \square

The proposition justifies the following definition in the g-corners case:

Definition 4.37. A manifold with g-corners X is called a *manifold with g-faces* if $\lambda_x : (C^\infty(X))_{x_*} \rightarrow C_x^\infty(X)$ in Example 4.35 is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$.

In §5.4 we will show that a manifold with g-corners defines an *affine* C^∞ -scheme with corners if and only if it is a manifold with g-faces.

Example 4.38. For any weakly toric monoid P , one can show using an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 4.36 that X_P in Definition 3.5 is a manifold with g-faces. Since every manifold with g-corners X can be covered by open neighbourhoods diffeomorphic to X_P , it follows that every manifold with g-corners can be covered by open subsets which are manifolds with g-faces.

Remark 4.39. Let us compare Definitions 3.13 and 4.37. For a manifold with g-corners X to be a manifold with g-faces it is necessary, but not sufficient, that $\Pi_X|_{\partial_i X} : \partial_i X \rightarrow X$ is injective for each connected component $\partial_i X$ of ∂X . This is because not every locally constant map $\mu_{c'} : \partial X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ can be the vanishing multiplicity map of an interior morphism $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, as g-corner strata of codimension ≥ 3 can impose extra consistency conditions on $\mu_{c'}$. The first author [12, Ex. 5.5.4] gives an example of a manifold with g-corners with $\Pi_X|_{\partial_i X}$ injective for all components $\partial_i X \subset \partial X$, which is not a manifold with g-faces.

4.7 Special classes of C^∞ -rings with corners

We define and study several classes of C^∞ -rings with corners. Throughout, if $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is an (interior) C^∞ -ring with corners, then we regard \mathfrak{C}_{ex} (and \mathfrak{C}_{in}) as monoids under multiplication, as in §3.2.

Definition 4.40. Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring with corners, and see Proposition 4.23. We call \mathfrak{C} *finitely generated* if there exists a surjective morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ from some free C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ with A, A_{ex} finite sets. Equivalently, \mathfrak{C} is finitely generated if it fits into a coequalizer diagram (4.13) with

A, A_{ex} finite. We call \mathfrak{C} *finitely presented* if it fits into a coequalizer diagram (4.13) with $A, A_{\text{ex}}, B, B_{\text{ex}}$ finite. Finitely presented implies finitely generated.

Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fp}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fg}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ for the full subcategories of finitely presented, and finitely generated, objects in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Proposition 4.41. *Suppose we are given a pushout diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathfrak{E} \\ \downarrow \alpha & \beta & \downarrow \delta \\ \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathfrak{F}, \end{array}$$

so that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E}$, and $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}$ fit into coequalizer diagrams in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$:

$$\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{ex}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \epsilon \quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \zeta \quad} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \xrightarrow{\quad \phi \quad} \mathfrak{C}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\mathfrak{F}^{D, D_{\text{ex}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \eta \quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \theta \quad} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{ex}}} \xrightarrow{\quad \chi \quad} \mathfrak{D}, \quad (4.23)$$

$$\mathfrak{F}^{F, F_{\text{ex}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \kappa \quad} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{E, E_{\text{ex}}} \xrightarrow{\quad \psi \quad} \mathfrak{E}. \quad (4.24)$$

Then \mathfrak{F} fits into a coequalizer diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$:

$$\mathfrak{F}^{H, H_{\text{ex}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \lambda \quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \mu \quad} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{G, G_{\text{ex}}} \xrightarrow{\quad \omega \quad} \mathfrak{F}, \quad \text{with} \quad (4.25)$$

$$G = C \amalg E, \quad G_{\text{ex}} = C_{\text{ex}} \amalg E_{\text{ex}}, \quad H = A \amalg D \amalg F, \quad H_{\text{ex}} = A_{\text{ex}} \amalg D_{\text{ex}} \amalg F_{\text{ex}}.$$

The analogue holds in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ rather than $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Proof. As coproducts exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, by general properties of colimits we may rewrite the pushout $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E}$ as a coequalizer diagram

$$\mathfrak{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \iota_{\mathfrak{D}} \circ \alpha \quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \iota_{\mathfrak{E}} \circ \beta \quad} \end{array} \mathfrak{D} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{E} \xrightarrow{\quad (\gamma, \delta) \quad} \mathfrak{F}. \quad (4.26)$$

Now in a diagram $\mathfrak{H} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{G}$, the coequalizer is the quotient of \mathfrak{G} by relations from each element of $\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H}_{\text{ex}}$. Given a surjective morphism $\mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}$, the coequalizers of $\mathfrak{H} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{I} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{G}$ are the same, as we quotient \mathfrak{G} by the same set of relations. Hence using (4.22), in (4.26) we can replace \mathfrak{C} by $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$. Also using (4.23)–(4.24) gives a coequalizer diagram

$$\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightrightarrows \text{Coeq}(\mathfrak{F}^{D, D_{\text{ex}}} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{ex}}}) \otimes_{\infty} \text{Coeq}(\mathfrak{F}^{F, F_{\text{ex}}} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{F}^{E, E_{\text{ex}}}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}.$$

As coproducts commute with coequalizers, this is equivalent to

$$\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightrightarrows \text{Coeq}((\mathfrak{F}^{D, D_{\text{ex}}} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{F, F_{\text{ex}}}) \rightrightarrows (\mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{ex}}} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{E, E_{\text{ex}}})) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}}$ is free, the two morphisms $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \text{Coeq}(\dots)$ factor through the surjective morphism $\mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{ex}}} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{E, E_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \text{Coeq}(\dots)$. Thus we may combine the two coequalizers into one, giving a coequalizer diagram

$$\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{D, D_{\text{ex}}} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{F, F_{\text{ex}}} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{ex}}} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{E, E_{\text{ex}}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F}. \quad (4.27)$$

But coproducts of free C^{∞} -rings with corners are free over the disjoint unions of the generating sets. Thus (4.27) is equivalent to (4.25). The same argument works in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$. \square

Proposition 4.42. $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fg}}^{\text{c}}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fp}}^{\text{c}}$ are closed under finite colimits in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$.

Proof. $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\ast) = (\mathbb{R}, [0, \infty))$ is an initial object in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fg}}^{\text{c}}, \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fp}}^{\text{c}}$. As $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fg}}^{\text{c}}, \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fp}}^{\text{c}}$ have an initial object, finite colimits may be written as iterated pushouts, so it is enough to show $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fg}}^{\text{c}}, \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fp}}^{\text{c}}$ are closed under pushouts. This follows from Proposition 4.41, noting that if $A, A_{\text{ex}}, C, C_{\text{ex}}, E, E_{\text{ex}}$ are finite then G, G_{ex} are finite, and if A, \dots, F_{ex} are finite then G, \dots, H_{ex} are finite. \square

Definition 4.43. We call a C^{∞} -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ *firm* if the sharpening $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ is a finitely generated monoid. We denote by $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{f}}^{\text{c}}$ the full subcategory of $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$ consisting of firm C^{∞} -rings with corners.

If \mathfrak{C} is firm, then there are c'_1, \dots, c'_n in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} whose images under the quotient $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ generate $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$. This implies that each element in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} can be written as $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(c)c_1^{a_1} \dots c_n^{a_n}$ for some $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $a_i \geq 0$. If there exists a surjective morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{ex}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ with A_{ex} finite then \mathfrak{C} is firm, as $[\phi_{\text{ex}}(y_{a'})]$ for $a' \in A_{\text{ex}}$ generate $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$. Hence $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fp}}^{\text{c}} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fg}}^{\text{c}} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{f}}^{\text{c}}$.

Proposition 4.44. $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{f}}^{\text{c}}$ is closed under finite colimits in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$.

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.42, it is enough to show $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{f}}^{\text{c}}$ is closed under pushouts in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$. Take $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E} \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{f}}^{\text{c}}$ with morphisms $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$, and consider the pushout $\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E}$, with its morphisms $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E}$ and $\psi : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E}$. Then every element of $(\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E})_{\text{ex}}$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_f(\phi(d_1), \dots, \phi(d_m), \psi(e_1), \dots, \psi(e_n), \\ & \phi_{\text{ex}}(d'_1), \dots, \phi_{\text{ex}}(d'_k), \psi_{\text{ex}}(e'_1), \dots, \psi_{\text{ex}}(e'_l)), \end{aligned}$$

for smooth $f : \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \times [0, \infty)^{k+l} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, where $d_i \in \mathfrak{D}, d'_i \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}, e_i \in \mathfrak{E}, e'_i \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$, and d'_i are generators of the sharpening of \mathfrak{D}_{ex} , and e'_i generate the sharpening of \mathfrak{E}_{ex} . If $f = 0$ this gives 0, otherwise we may write

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n}, y_1, \dots, y_{k+l}) = y_1^{a_1} \dots y_{k+l}^{a_{k+l}} e^{F(x_1, \dots, x_{m+n}, y_1, \dots, y_{k+l})},$$

for $F : \mathbb{R}^{m+n} \times [0, \infty)^{k+l} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth. In $(\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E})_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$, the above element maps to

$$[\phi_{\text{ex}}(d'_1)]^{a_1} \dots [\phi_{\text{ex}}(d'_k)]^{a_k} [\psi_{\text{ex}}(e'_1)]^{a_{k+1}} \dots [\psi_{\text{ex}}(e'_l)]^{a_{k+l}}.$$

Hence $(\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E})_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ is generated by the images of the generators of $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ and $\mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$, and zero, and so $(\mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E})_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ is finitely generated. Thus $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{ff}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is closed under pushouts. \square

Definition 4.45. Suppose $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is an interior C^{∞} -ring with corners, and let $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ be the submonoid of §4.2, and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\sharp}$ its sharpening. Then:

- (i) We call \mathfrak{C} *integral* if \mathfrak{C}_{in} is an integral monoid.
- (ii) We call \mathfrak{C} *torsion-free* if \mathfrak{C}_{in} is an integral, torsion-free monoid.
- (iii) We call \mathfrak{C} *saturated* if it is integral, and \mathfrak{C}_{in} is a saturated monoid. Note that $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\times} \cong \mathfrak{C}$ as abelian groups since \mathfrak{C} is a C^{∞} -ring with corners, so $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\times}$ is torsion-free. Therefore \mathfrak{C} saturated implies that \mathfrak{C} is torsion-free.
- (iv) We call \mathfrak{C} *toric* if it is saturated and firm. This implies that $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\sharp}$ is a toric monoid.
- (v) We call \mathfrak{C} *simplicial* if it is toric and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\sharp} \cong \mathbb{N}^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will write $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\Delta}^{\mathfrak{C}} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{tf}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \subset \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ for the full subcategories of simplicial, toric, saturated, torsion-free, and integral objects in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Example 4.46. Let X be a manifold with corners, and $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^{\infty}(X)$ be the interior C^{∞} -ring with corners from Example 4.18(b). Let S be the set of connected components of ∂X . For each $F \in S$, we choose an interior map $c_F : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which vanishes to order 1 on F , and to order zero on $\partial X \setminus F$, such that $c_F = 1$ outside a small neighbourhood U_F of $\Pi_X(F)$ in X , where we choose $\{U_F : F \in S\}$ to be locally finite in X . Then every interior map $g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ may be written uniquely as $g = \exp(f) \cdot \prod_{F \in S} c_F^{a_F}$, for $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$ and $a_F \in \mathbb{N}$, $F \in S$.

Hence as monoids we have $\text{In}(X) \cong C^{\infty}(X) \times \mathbb{N}^S$. Therefore $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^{\infty}(X)$ is integral, torsion-free, and saturated, and it is simplicial and toric if and only if ∂X has finitely many connected components. A more complicated proof shows that if X is a manifold with g-corners then $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^{\infty}(X)$ is integral, torsion-free, and saturated, and it is toric if ∂X has finitely many connected components.

The functor $\Pi_{\text{in}} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ mapping $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ may be enhanced to a functor $\bar{\Pi}_{\text{in}} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mon}$ by regarding \mathfrak{C}_{in} as a monoid, and then Theorem 4.20(a) implies that $\bar{\Pi}_{\text{in}}$ preserves limits and directed colimits.

Write $\mathbf{Mon}_{\text{sa,tf},\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{Mon}_{\text{tf},\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{Mon}$ for the full subcategories of saturated, torsion-free integral, and torsion-free integral, and integral monoids. They are closed under limits and directed colimits in \mathbf{Mon} . Thus we deduce:

Proposition 4.47. $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{tf}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ are closed under limits and under directed colimits in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Thus, all small limits and directed colimits exist in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{tf}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

In a similar way to Proposition 4.19 we prove:

Theorem 4.48. *There are reflection functors $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ in a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}} & & \\ & & & & \longleftarrow & & \\ & & & & \longleftarrow & & \\ \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^{\text{c}} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}} & \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{tf}}^{\text{c}} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}} & \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{c}} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}} & \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}, \end{array}$$

such that each of $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ is left adjoint to the corresponding inclusion functor inc.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{E} be an object in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$. We will construct an object $\mathfrak{D} = \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{E})$ in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{c}}$ and a projection $\pi : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, with the property that if $\phi : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ with $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{c}}$ then $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$ for a unique morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \pi = \pi^0 & & \\ & & & & \xrightarrow{\pi^1} & & \\ & & & & \xrightarrow{\pi^2} & & \\ \mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{E}^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & \mathfrak{E}^1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} & \mathfrak{E}^2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} & \dots & \xrightarrow{\alpha^2} & \mathfrak{D} & (4.28) \\ & \searrow^{\phi = \phi^0} & & \searrow^{\phi^1} & & \searrow^{\phi^2} & & & \downarrow \psi & \\ & & & & & & & & \mathfrak{E}. & \end{array}$$

Define $\mathfrak{E}^0 = \mathfrak{E}$ and $\phi^0 = \phi$. By induction on $n = 0, 1, \dots$, if \mathfrak{E}^n, ϕ^n are defined, define an object $\mathfrak{E}^{n+1} \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ and morphisms $\alpha^n : \mathfrak{E}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}^{n+1}$, $\phi^{n+1} : \mathfrak{E}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ as follows. We have a monoid $\mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n$, which as in §3.2 has an abelian group $(\mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{gp}}$ with projection $\pi^{\text{gp}} : \mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n \rightarrow (\mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{gp}}$, where $\mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n, \mathfrak{E}^n$ are integral if π^{gp} is injective. Using the notation of Definition 4.24, we define

$$\mathfrak{E}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{E}^n / [c' = c'' \text{ if } c', c'' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n \text{ with } \pi^{\text{gp}}(c') = \pi^{\text{gp}}(c'')]. \quad (4.29)$$

Write $\alpha^n : \mathfrak{E}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}^{n+1}$ for the natural surjective projection. Then $\mathfrak{E}^{n+1}, \alpha^n$ are both interior, since the relations $c' = c''$ in (4.29) are all interior.

We have a morphism $\phi^n : \mathfrak{E}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ with \mathfrak{E} integral, so by considering the diagram with bottom morphism injective

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\text{gp}}} & (\mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{gp}} \\ \downarrow \phi_{\text{in}}^n & & (\phi_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{gp}} \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}} & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\text{gp}}} & (\mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}})^{\text{gp}}, \end{array}$$

we see that if $c', c'' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{in}}^n$ with $\pi^{\text{gp}}(c') = \pi^{\text{gp}}(c'')$ then $\phi_{\text{in}}^n(c') = \phi_{\text{in}}^n(c'')$. Thus by the universal property of (4.29), there is a unique morphism $\phi^{n+1} : \mathfrak{E}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ with $\phi^n = \phi^{n+1} \circ \alpha^n$. This completes the inductive step, so we have defined $\mathfrak{E}^n, \alpha^n, \phi^n$ for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$, where \mathfrak{E}^n, α^n are independent of \mathfrak{E}, ϕ .

Now define \mathfrak{D} to be the directed colimit $\mathfrak{D} = \varinjlim_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{E}^n$ in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$, using the morphisms $\alpha^n : \mathfrak{E}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}^{n+1}$. This exists by Theorem 4.20(a), and commutes with $\Pi_{\text{in}} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$, where we can think of Π_{in} as mapping to monoids. It has a natural projection $\pi : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, and also projections

$\pi^n : \mathfrak{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ for all n . By the universal property of colimits, there is a unique morphism ψ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ making (4.28) commute.

The purpose of the quotient (4.29) is to modify \mathfrak{C}^n to make it integral, since if \mathfrak{C}^n were integral then $\pi^{\text{sp}}(c') = \pi^{\text{sp}}(c'')$ implies $c' = c''$. It is not obvious that \mathfrak{C}^{n+1} in (4.29) is integral, as the quotient modifies $(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{sp}}$. However, the direct limit \mathfrak{D} is integral. To see this, suppose $d', d'' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$ with $\pi^{\text{sp}}(d') = \pi^{\text{sp}}(d'')$ in $(\mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}})^{\text{sp}}$. Since $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}} = \varinjlim_{m=0}^\infty \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^m$ in \mathbf{Mon} , for $m \gg 0$ we may write $d' = \pi_{\text{in}}^m(c')$, $d'' = \pi_{\text{in}}^m(c'')$ for $c', c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^m$. As $(\mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}})^{\text{sp}} = \varinjlim_{n=0}^\infty (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{sp}}$ and $\pi^{\text{sp}}(d') = \pi^{\text{sp}}(d'')$, for some $n \gg m$ we have

$$\pi^{\text{sp}} \circ \alpha_{\text{in}}^{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \alpha_{\text{in}}^m(c') = \pi^{\text{sp}} \circ \alpha_{\text{in}}^{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \alpha_{\text{in}}^m(c'') \quad \text{in } (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{sp}}.$$

But then (4.29) implies that $\alpha_{\text{in}}^n \circ \dots \circ \alpha_{\text{in}}^m(c') = \alpha_{\text{in}}^n \circ \dots \circ \alpha_{\text{in}}^m(c'')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{n+1}$, so $d' = d''$. Therefore $\pi^{\text{sp}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}})^{\text{sp}}$ is injective, and \mathfrak{D} is integral.

Set $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}) = \mathfrak{D}$. If $\xi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}'$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, by taking $\mathfrak{E} = \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}')$ and $\phi = \pi' \circ \xi$ in (4.28) we see that there is a unique morphism $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\xi)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ making the following commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} & \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}) \\ \downarrow \xi & & \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\xi) \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C}' & \xrightarrow{\quad \pi' \quad} & \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}'). \end{array}$$

This defines the functor $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. For any $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, the correspondence between ϕ and ψ in (4.28) implies that we have a natural bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}}(\mathfrak{C}, \text{inc}(\mathfrak{E})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}}(\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}), \mathfrak{E}).$$

This is functorial in $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{E}$, and so $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is left adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, as we have to prove.

The constructions of $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ are very similar. For $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}$, if \mathfrak{C} is an object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, the analogue of (4.29) is

$$\mathfrak{C}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{C}^n / [c' = c'' \text{ if } c', c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n \text{ with } \pi^{\text{tf}}(c') = \pi^{\text{tf}}(c'')],$$

where $\pi^{\text{tf}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n \rightarrow (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{sp}}/\text{torsion}$ is the natural projection. For $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$, if \mathfrak{C} is an object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, the analogue of (4.29) is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{C}^n [s_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n \subseteq (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{sp}} \text{ and there exists } c'' \in (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{sp}} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n \\ \text{with } c' = n_{c'} \cdot c'', n_{c'} = 2, 3, \dots] / [n_{c'} \cdot s_{c'} = c', \text{ all } c', n_{c'}, s_{c'}]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we set $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}} = \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}} \circ \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}} \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. This completes the proof. \square

As for Theorem 4.20(b), we deduce:

Corollary 4.49. *Small colimits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{tf}}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.*

In Definition 4.24 we explained how to modify a C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} by adding generators $\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{ex}}]$ and imposing relations $\mathfrak{C}/(f_b = 0 : b \in B)[g_{b'} = h_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{ex}}]$. This is just notation for certain small colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ or $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. Corollary 4.49 implies that we can also add generators and relations in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{tf}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$, provided the relations $g_{b'} = h_{b'}$ are interior, that is, $g_{b'}, h_{b'} \neq 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$.

Proposition 4.50. $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$ is closed under finite colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^c$.

Proof. Let J be a finite category and $F : J \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$ a functor. Write $\mathfrak{C} = \varinjlim F$ for the colimit in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. As $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fi}}^c$, Proposition 4.44 says \mathfrak{C} is firm. Now $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\mathfrak{C})$ is the colimit $\varinjlim F$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^c$, as $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ is a reflection functor. By construction in the proof of Theorem 4.48 we see that $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ takes firm objects to firm objects, so $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\mathfrak{C})$ is firm, and hence toric, as toric means saturated and firm. \square

5 C^∞ -schemes with corners

We extend the theory of C^∞ -schemes in Chapter 2 to C^∞ -schemes with corners.

5.1 (Local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners

Definition 5.1. A C^∞ -ringed space with corners $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a topological space X with a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of C^∞ -rings with corners on X . That is, for each open set $U \subseteq X$, then $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = (\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U))$ is a C^∞ -ring with corners and \mathcal{O}_X satisfies the sheaf axioms in §2.3.

A morphism $\mathbf{f} = (f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners is a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a morphism $\mathbf{f}^\sharp = (f^\sharp, f_{\text{ex}}^\sharp) : f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on X , for $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) = (f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y), f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}))$ as in Definition 2.27. Note that \mathbf{f}^\sharp is adjoint to a morphism $\mathbf{f}_\sharp : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ on Y as in (2.8).

A local C^∞ -ringed space with corners $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a C^∞ -ringed space for which the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = (\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}})$ of \mathcal{O}_X at x are local C^∞ -rings with corners for all $x \in X$. We define morphisms of local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners $(X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ to be morphisms of C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners, without any additional locality condition.

Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ for the category of C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners, and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ for the full subcategory of local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners.

For brevity, we will use the notation that bold upper case letters $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \dots$ represent C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners $(X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), (Z, \mathcal{O}_Z), \dots$, and bold lower case letters $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \dots$ represent morphisms of C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners $(f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp), (g, \mathbf{g}^\sharp), \dots$. When we write ' $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ' we mean that $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $x \in X$. When we write ' U is open in \mathbf{X} ' we mean that $U = (U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ and $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $U \subseteq X$ an open set and $\mathcal{O}_U = \mathcal{O}_X|_U$.

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}) \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, and let U be open in X . Take elements $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$. Then s and s' induce functions $s : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$s' : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that at each $x \in U$ are the compositions

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \xrightarrow{\rho_{X,x}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{\pi_x} \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U) \xrightarrow{\rho_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \xrightarrow{\pi_x^{\text{ex}}} [0, \infty).$$

Here, $\rho_{X,x}, \rho_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ are the restriction morphism to the stalks, and π_x, π_x^{ex} are the unique morphisms that exist as $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is local for each $x \in X$, as in Definition 4.28 and Lemma 4.30. We denote by $s(x)$ and $s'(x)$ the values of $s : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $s' : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ respectively at the point $x \in U$. We denote by $s_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $s'_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ the values of s and s' under the restriction morphisms to the stalks $\rho_{X,x}$ and $\rho_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ respectively.

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a C^∞ -ringed space with corners. We call \mathbf{X} an *interior* C^∞ -ringed space with corners if one (hence all) of the following conditions hold, which are equivalent by Lemma 5.3:

- (a) For all open $U \subseteq X$ and each $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$, then $U_{s'} = \{x \in U : s'_x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}\}$, which is always closed in U , is open in U , and the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are interior C^∞ -rings with corners.
- (b) For all open $U \subseteq X$ and each $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$, then $U \setminus U_{s'} = \hat{U}_{s'} = \{x \in U : s'_x = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}\}$, which is always open in U , is closed in U , and the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are interior C^∞ -rings with corners.
- (c) $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}$ is the sheafification of a presheaf of the form $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$, where $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$ is a sheaf of monoids, such that $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) = (\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \amalg \{0\})$ is an interior C^∞ -ring with corners for each open $U \subseteq X$.

In each case, we can define a sheaf of monoids $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$, such that $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) = \{s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U) : s'_x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \text{ for all } x \in U\}$.

We call \mathbf{X} an *interior* local C^∞ -ringed space with corners if \mathbf{X} is both a local C^∞ -ringed space with corners and an interior C^∞ -ringed space with corners.

If \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} are interior (local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners, a morphism $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is called *interior* if the induced maps on stalks $f_x^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are interior morphisms of interior C^∞ -rings with corners for all $x \in X$. This gives a morphism of sheaves $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{in}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$. Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$ (and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$) for the non-full subcategories of interior (local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners and interior morphisms.

Lemma 5.3. *Parts (a)–(c) in Definition 5.2 are equivalent.*

Proof. Parts (a), (b) are equivalent by definition. The set $\hat{U}_{s'}$ is open, as the requirement that an element is zero in the stalk is a local requirement. That is, $s'_x = 0$ if and only if $s'|_V = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(V)$ for some $x \in V \subseteq U$.

Suppose (a), (b) hold. Write $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) = \{s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U) : s'_x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \text{ for all } x \in U\}$. If $s'_1, s'_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ then $s'_{1,x}, s'_{2,x} \neq 0$ for $x \in U$, and as the stalks are interior $s'_{1,x} \cdot s'_{2,x} \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$. So $s'_1 \cdot s'_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$, and $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$ is a monoid. Thus $(\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \amalg \{0\})$ is a pre- C^∞ -ring with corners, where the C^∞ -operations come from restriction from $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$. As the invertible elements of the monoid and the C^∞ -rings of $(\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \amalg \{0\})$ are the same as those from $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$,

it is a C^∞ -ring with corners. Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\text{ex}}$ be the sheafification of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$, which is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$ already satisfies uniqueness, so the sheafification process means $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\text{ex}}$ now satisfies gluing. Then $(\mathcal{O}_X, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\text{ex}})$ is a sheaf of C^∞ -rings with corners.

There is a morphism $(\text{id}, \text{id}^\sharp, \iota_{\text{ex}}^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\text{ex}})$. This is the identity on X, \mathcal{O}_X . On the sheaves of monoids, we have an inclusion $\iota_{\text{ex}}^\sharp(U) : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\text{ex}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$. On stalks, any non-zero element of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ is an equivalence class represented by a section $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$. As (a) holds, we can choose $U \ni x$ so that $s'_y \neq 0$ for all $y \in U$. Then $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$, so there is $s'' \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$ mapping to s' under $\iota_{\text{ex}}^\sharp(U)$. So $s''_x \mapsto s'_x$, and ι_{ex}^\sharp is surjective on stalks as $0 \mapsto 0$. As $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_X^{\text{ex}}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}$, ι_{ex}^\sharp is injective on stalks. Hence $(\text{id}, \text{id}^\sharp, \iota_{\text{ex}}^\sharp)$ is an isomorphism, and (c) holds. Thus (a), (b) imply (c).

Now suppose (c) holds. If $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$, where $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}$ is the sheafification of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$, then if $s'_x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ there is an open $x \in V \subseteq U$ and $s'' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(V)$ representing $s'|_V$, and therefore $s'_{x'} \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x'}^{\text{ex}}$ for all $x' \in V$, and the $U_{s'}$ defined in (a) is open. If $s'_1, s'_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$, and $s'_{1,x}, s'_{2,x} \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$, then there is an open set open $x \in V \subseteq U$ and $s''_1, s''_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(V)$ representing $s'_1|_V, s'_2|_V$. Then $s''_{1,x} \cdot s''_{2,x} \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}} \not\cong 0$, so the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} = (\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\})$ is interior, and (a) holds. Hence (c) implies (a). \square

Remark 5.4. In §4.1 we defined interior C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ as special examples of C^∞ -rings of corners, with $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}$, so that $0 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ plays a special, somewhat artificial rôle in the interior theory; really one would prefer to write $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}})$ and exclude 0 altogether.

One place this artificiality appears is in Definition 5.2(a)–(c). We want interior (local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ to be special examples of (local) C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners. At the same time, we naïvely expect that if \mathbf{X} is interior then \mathcal{O}_X should be a sheaf of interior C^∞ -rings with corners. But this is false: \mathcal{O}_X is *never* a sheaf valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ in the sense of Definition 2.23. For example, $\mathcal{O}_X(\emptyset) = (\{0\}, \{0\})$, where $(\{0\}, \{0\})$ is the final object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$, and it is not equal to $(\{0\}, \{0, 1\})$, the final object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$, contradicting Definition 2.23(iii).

A sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$ on X valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ is also a presheaf (but not a sheaf) valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$, so it has a sheafification $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}})^{\text{sh}}$ valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$. Conversely, given a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X on X valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\text{c}}$, we can ask whether it is the sheafification of a (necessarily unique) sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$ valued in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$. Definition 5.2(a)–(c) characterize when this holds, and this is the correct notion of ‘sheaf of interior C^∞ -rings with corners’ in our context. The extra conditions $U_{s'}$ open and $\hat{U}_{s'}$ closed in U in Definition 5.2(a),(b) are surprising, but they will be essential in the construction of the corner functor in §6.1.

The proof of the next theorem is essentially the same as showing ordinary ringed spaces have all small limits. An explicit proof can be found in the first author [12, Th. 5.1.10]. Existence of small limits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^{\text{c}}, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ depends on Theorem 4.20(b); and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^{\text{c}}, \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\text{c}}$ being closed under limits in

$\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ depends on Proposition 4.29; and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ being closed under limits in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ depends on Theorem 4.20(d).

Theorem 5.5. *The categories $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ have all small limits. Small limits commute with the inclusion and forgetful functors in the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Top}. \end{array}$$

In contrast to Theorem 4.20(d), in Theorem 6.3 below we show that $\text{inc} : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ has a right adjoint C . This implies:

Corollary 5.6. *The inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ preserves colimits.*

5.2 Special classes of local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners

We extend parts of §4.7 to local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners.

Definition 5.7. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be an interior local C^∞ -ringed space with corners. We call \mathbf{X} *integral*, *torsion-free*, or *saturated*, if for each $x \in \mathbf{X}$ the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is an integral, torsion-free, or saturated, interior local C^∞ -ring with corners, respectively, in the sense of Definition 4.45.

For each open $U \subseteq X$, the monoid $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ is a submonoid of $\prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}}$. If \mathbf{X} is integral then each $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}}$ is an integral monoid, so it is a submonoid of a group $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}})^{\text{gp}}$, and $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ is a submonoid of a group $\prod_{x \in U} (\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}})^{\text{gp}}$, so it is integral, and $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ is an integral C^∞ -ring with corners. Conversely, if $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ is integral for all open $U \subseteq X$ one can show the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are integral, so \mathbf{X} is integral. Thus, an alternative definition is that \mathbf{X} is integral if $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ is integral for all open $U \subseteq X$. The analogues hold for torsion-free and saturated.

Write $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ for the full subcategories of saturated, torsion-free, and integral objects in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$. Also write $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa,ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf,ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in,ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ for the full subcategories of saturated, \dots , interior objects in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, but with exterior (i.e. all) rather than interior morphisms.

Here is the analogue of Theorem 4.48:

Theorem 5.8. *There are coreflection functors $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ in a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \xleftarrow{\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}} & & & \\ & & & \xleftarrow{\Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}} & & & \\ \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}} & \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}} & \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}} & \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c, \end{array}$$

such that each of $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ is right adjoint to the corresponding inclusion functor inc .

Proof. We explain the functor $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$; the arguments for $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ are the same.

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be an object in $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We will construct an object $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (X, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X)$ in $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}}$, and set $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}) = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$. The topological spaces X in $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \mathbf{X}$ are the same. Define a presheaf $\mathcal{P}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X$ of C^{∞} -rings with corners on X by $\mathcal{P}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X(U) = \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U))$ for each open $U \subseteq X$, where $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is as in Definition 5.2(c), and $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is as in Theorem 4.48. For open $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$, the restriction morphism ρ_{UV} in $\mathcal{P}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X$ is $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ applied to $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(V)$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X$ be the sheafification of $\mathcal{P}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X$.

Definition 5.2(c) implies that $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (X, \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X)$ is an object in $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since stalks are given by direct limits as in Definition 2.24, and $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ preserves direct limits as it is a left adjoint, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \cong \mathcal{P}\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Hence $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is an object of $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ by Definition 5.7.

If $f = (f, f^{\sharp}) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ are as above, in an obvious way we define a morphism $\tilde{f} = (f, \tilde{f}^{\sharp}) : \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that if $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y \in Y$ then \tilde{f}^{\sharp} acts on stalks by

$$\tilde{f}_x^{\sharp} \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(f_x^{\sharp}) : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}).$$

We define $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(f) = \tilde{f}$. This gives a functor $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We can deduce that $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is right adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ from the fact that $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is left adjoint to inc in Theorem 4.48, applied to stalks $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ as above for all $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y$ in Y . \square

Remark 5.9. The analogue of Theorem 5.8 does not work for the categories $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa,ex}}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \dots \subset \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in,ex}}^{\mathbb{C}}$. This is because the functors $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \dots, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ in Theorem 4.48 do not extend to exterior morphisms.

Since right adjoints preserve limits, Theorems 5.5 and 5.8 imply:

Corollary 5.10. *All small limits exist in $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf}}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}}$.*

5.3 The spectrum functor

We now define a spectrum functor for C^{∞} -rings with corners, in a similar way to Definition 2.32.

Definition 5.11. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^{∞} -ring with corners, and use the notation of Definition 4.31. As in Definition 2.31, write $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ for the set of \mathbb{R} -points of \mathfrak{C} with topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{C}}$. For each open $U \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{C}}$, define $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U) = (\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\text{ex}}(U))$. Here $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U)$ is the set of functions $s : U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathfrak{C}_x$ (where we write s_x for its value at the point $x \in U$) such that $s_x \in \mathfrak{C}_x$ for all $x \in U$, and such that U may be covered by open $W \subseteq U$ for which there exist $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $s_x = \pi_x(c)$ in \mathfrak{C}_x for all $x \in W$. Similarly, $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\text{ex}}(U)$ is the set of $s' : U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ with $s'_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ for all $x \in U$, and such that U may be

covered by open $W \subseteq U$ for which there exist $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $s'_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ for all $x \in W$.

Define operations Φ_f and Ψ_g on $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U)$ pointwise in $x \in U$ using the operations Φ_f and Ψ_g on \mathfrak{C}_x . This makes $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U)$ into a C^∞ -ring with corners. If $V \subseteq U \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ are open, the restriction maps $\rho_{UV} = (\rho_{UV}, \rho_{UV,\text{ex}}) : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(V)$ mapping $\rho_{UV} : s \mapsto s|_V$ and $\rho_{UV,\text{ex}} : s' \mapsto s'|_V$ are morphisms of C^∞ -rings with corners.

The local nature of the definition implies that $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}} = (\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\text{ex}})$ is a sheaf of C^∞ -rings with corners on $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$. In fact, $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ is the sheaf of C^∞ -rings in Definition 2.32. By Proposition 5.12 below, the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}$ at $x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is naturally isomorphic to \mathfrak{C}_x , which is a local C^∞ -ring with corners by Theorem 4.32(a). Hence $(X_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}})$ is a local C^∞ -ringed space with corners, which we call the *spectrum* of \mathfrak{C} , and write as $\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$.

Now let $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a morphism of C^∞ -rings with corners. As in Definition 2.32, define the continuous function $f_\phi : X_{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ by $f_\phi(x) = x \circ \phi$. For open $U \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ define $(f_\phi)_\#(U) : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{D}}}(f_\phi^{-1}(U))$ to act by $\phi_x : \mathfrak{C}_{f_\phi(x)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_x$ on stalks at each $x \in f_\phi^{-1}(U)$, where ϕ_x is the induced morphism of local C^∞ -rings with corners. Then $(f_\phi)_\# : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \rightarrow (f_\phi)_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{D}}})$ is a morphism of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let $f_\phi^\# : f_\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{D}}}$ be the corresponding morphism of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on $X_{\mathfrak{D}}$ under (2.8). The stalk map $f_{\phi,x}^\# : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},f_\phi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{D}},x}$ of $f_\phi^\#$ at $x \in X_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is identified with $\phi_x : \mathfrak{C}_{f_\phi(x)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_x$ under the isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},f_\phi(x)} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{f_\phi(x)}$, $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{D}},x} \cong \mathfrak{D}_x$ in Proposition 5.12. Then $f_\phi = (f_\phi, f_\phi^\#) : (X_{\mathfrak{D}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{D}}}) \rightarrow (X_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}})$ is a morphism of local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners. Define $\text{Spec}^c \phi : \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ by $\text{Spec}^c \phi = f_\phi$. Then Spec^c is a functor $(\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$, the *spectrum functor*.

Proposition 5.12. *In Definition 5.11, the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}$ at $x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is naturally isomorphic to \mathfrak{C}_x .*

Proof. We have $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x} = (\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}^{\text{ex}})$ where elements $[U, s] \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}$ and $[U, s'] \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}^{\text{ex}}$ are \sim -equivalence classes of pairs (U, s) and (U, s') , where U is an open neighbourhood of x in $X_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $s \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U)$, $s' \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\text{ex}}(U)$, and $(U, s) \sim (V, t)$, $(U, s') \sim (V, t')$ if there exists open $x \in W \subseteq U \cap V$ with $s|_W = t|_W$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(W)$ and $s'|_W = t'|_W$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\text{ex}}(W)$. Define a morphism of C^∞ -rings with corners $\Pi = (\Pi, \Pi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ by $\Pi : [U, s] \mapsto s_x \in \mathfrak{C}_x$ and $\Pi_{\text{ex}} : [U, s'] \mapsto s'_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$.

Suppose $c_x \in \mathfrak{C}_x$ and $c'_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$. Then $c_x = \pi_x(c)$ for $c \in \mathfrak{C}_x$ and $c'_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c')$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ by Theorem 4.32(c). Define $s : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{y \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathfrak{C}_y$ and $s' : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{y \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathfrak{C}_{y,\text{ex}}$ by $s_y = \pi_y(c)$ and $s'_y = \pi_{y,\text{ex}}(c')$. Then $s \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(X_{\mathfrak{C}})$, so that $[X_{\mathfrak{C}}, s] \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}$ with $\Pi([X_{\mathfrak{C}}, s]) = s_x = \pi_x(c) = c_x$, and similarly $s' \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\text{ex}}(X_{\mathfrak{C}})$ with $\Pi_{\text{ex}}([X_{\mathfrak{C}}, s']) = c'_x$. Hence $\Pi : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ and $\Pi_{\text{ex}} : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ are surjective.

Let $[U_1, s_1], [U_2, s_2] \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}$ with $\Pi([U_1, s_1]) = s_{1,x} = s_{2,x} = \Pi([U_2, s_2])$. Then by definition of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U_1), \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}}(U_2)$ there exists an open neighbourhood V of x in $U_1 \cap U_2$ and $c_1, c_2 \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $s_{1,v} = \pi_v(c_1)$ and $s_{2,v} = \pi_v(c_2)$ for all

$v \in V$. Thus $\pi_x(c_1) = \pi_x(c_2)$ as $s_{1,x} = s_{2,x}$. Hence $c_1 - c_2$ lies in the ideal I in (2.3) by Proposition 2.13. Thus there exists $d \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $x(d) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \cdot (c_1 - c_2) = 0 \in \mathfrak{C}$.

Making V smaller we can suppose that $v(d) \neq 0$ for all $v \in V$, as this is an open condition. Then $\pi_v(c_1) = \pi_v(c_2) \in \mathfrak{C}_v$ for $v \in V$, since $\pi_v(d) \cdot \pi_v(c_1) = \pi_v(d) \cdot \pi_v(c_2)$ as $d \cdot c_1 = d \cdot c_2$ and $\pi_v(d)$ is invertible in \mathfrak{C}_v . Thus $s_{1,v} = \pi_v(c_1) = \pi_v(c_2) = s_{2,v}$ for $v \in V$, so $s_1|_V = s_2|_V$, and $[U_1, s_1] = [V, s_1|_V] = [V, s_2|_V] = [U_2, s_2]$. Therefore $\Pi : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ is injective, and an isomorphism.

Let $[U_1, s'_1], [U_2, s'_2] \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}^{\text{ex}}$ with $\Pi_{\text{ex}}([U_1, s'_1]) = s'_{1,x} = s'_{2,x} = \Pi([U_2, s'_2])$. As above there exist an open neighbourhood V of x in $U_1 \cap U_2$ and $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $s'_{1,v} = \pi_{v,\text{ex}}(c'_1)$ and $s'_{2,v} = \pi_{v,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ for all $v \in V$. At this point we can use Proposition 4.33, which says that $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(x'_2) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'_1)$ if and only if there are $a, b \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ such that $\Phi_i(a) - \Phi_i(b) \in I_x$, $x \circ \Phi_i(a) \neq 0$ and $ac_1 = bc_2$, where I_x is the ideal in (2.3). The third condition does not depend on x , whereas the first two conditions are open conditions in x , that is, if $\Phi_i(a) - \Phi_i(b) \in I_x$, $x \circ \Phi_i(a) \neq 0$, then there is an open neighbourhood of X such that $\Phi_i(a) - \Phi_i(b) \in I_v$, $v \circ \Phi_i(a) \neq 0$ for all v in that neighbourhood.

Making V above smaller if necessary, we can suppose that these conditions hold in V and thus that $\pi_{v,\text{ex}}(c'_1) = \pi_{v,\text{ex}}(c'_2)$ for all $v \in V$. Hence $s'_{1,v} = s'_{2,v}$ for all $v \in V$, and $s'_1|_V = s'_2|_V$, so that $[U_1, s'_1] = [V, s'_1|_V] = [V, s'_2|_V] = [U_2, s'_2]$. Therefore $\Pi_{\text{ex}} : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ is injective, and an isomorphism. So $\Pi = (\Pi, \Pi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ is an isomorphism, as we have to prove. \square

Definition 5.13. As $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a subcategory of $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ we can define the functor $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ by restricting $\text{Spec}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ to $(\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}})^{\text{op}}$. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be an interior C^∞ -ring with corners, and $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Then Definition 4.26 implies the localizations \mathfrak{C}_x are interior C^∞ -rings with corners, and $\mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ by Proposition 5.12.

If $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$ with $s'_x \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ at $x \in X$, then $s'_{x'} = \pi_{x',\text{ex}}(c')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{x',\text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x'}^{\text{ex}}$ for some $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ and all x' in an open neighbourhood V of x in U . Then $c' \neq 0$ in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , so c' is non-zero in every stalk by Remark 4.34 as \mathfrak{C} is interior, and s' is non-zero at every point in V . Therefore \mathbf{X} is an interior local C^∞ -ringed space with corners by Definition 5.2(a).

If $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a morphism of interior C^∞ -rings with corners, then $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \phi = (f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp)$ has stalk map $\mathbf{f}_x^\sharp = \phi_x : \mathfrak{C}_{f_\phi(x)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_x$. This map fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi \quad} & \mathfrak{D} \\ \downarrow \pi_{f_\phi(x)} & & \downarrow \pi_x \\ \mathfrak{C}_{f_\phi(x)} & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi_x \quad} & \mathfrak{D}_x \end{array}$$

As ϕ is interior, and the maps $\pi_{f_\phi(x)}, \pi_x$ are interior and surjective, then \mathbf{f}_x^\sharp is interior. This implies that $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \phi$ is an interior morphism of interior local C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners. Hence $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} : (\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a well defined functor, which we call the *interior spectrum functor*.

Definition 5.14. The *global sections functor* $\Gamma^c : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$ takes objects $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ to $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ and takes morphisms $(f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ to $\Gamma^c : (f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp) \mapsto \mathbf{f}_\sharp(Y)$. Here $\mathbf{f}_\sharp : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ corresponds to \mathbf{f}^\sharp under (2.8).

The composition $\Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c$ is a functor $(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$, or equivalently a functor $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. For each C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} we define a morphism $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} = (\Xi, \Xi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ by $\Xi(c) : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}, x}$, $\Xi(c) : x \mapsto \pi_x(c)$ in $\mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}, x}$ for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, and $\Xi_{\text{ex}}(c') : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}, x}^{\text{ex}}$, $\Xi_{\text{ex}}(c') : x \mapsto \pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{x, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}}, x}^{\text{ex}}$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. This is functorial in \mathfrak{C} , so that the $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ for all \mathfrak{C} define a natural transformation $\Xi : \text{Id}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c} \Rightarrow \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c$ of functors $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}, \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Here is the analogue of Lemma 2.34.

Lemma 5.15. *Let \mathfrak{C} be a C^∞ -ring with corners, and $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. For any $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, let $U_c \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ be as in Definition 2.31. Then $U_c \cong \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1}))$. If \mathfrak{C} is firm, or interior, then so is $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$.*

Proof. Write $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$. By Lemma 4.27 we have $\mathfrak{D} \cong \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$. By Lemma 2.34, we need only show there is an isomorphism of stalks $\mathfrak{C}_{x, \text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\hat{x}, \text{ex}}$. However, using the universal properties of \mathfrak{C}_x , $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$ and $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})_{\hat{x}}$ this follows by the same reasoning as Lemma 2.34. If \mathfrak{C} is firm, then so is $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$, as $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ is generated by the image of $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ under the morphism $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$. If \mathfrak{C} is interior, then $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})$ is also interior, as otherwise zero divisors in $\mathfrak{C}(c^{-1})_{\text{ex}}$ would have to come from zero divisors in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . \square

Theorem 5.16. *The functor $\text{Spec}^c : (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ is right adjoint to $\Gamma^c : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}}$. This implies that for all \mathfrak{C} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ and all \mathbf{X} in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ there are inverse bijections*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c}(\mathfrak{C}, \Gamma^c(\mathbf{X})) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}} \\ \xleftarrow{R_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}} \end{array} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c}(\mathbf{X}, \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}). \quad (5.1)$$

If we let $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ then $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} = R_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}(\text{id}_{\mathbf{X}})$, and Ξ is the unit of the adjunction between Γ^c and Spec^c .

Proof. We follow the proof of [26, Th. 4.20]. Take $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ and $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, and let $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. Define a functor $R_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}$ in (5.1) by taking $R_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{f}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Gamma^c(\mathbf{X})$ to be the composition

$$\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}} \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} = \Gamma^c(\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma^c(\mathbf{f})} \Gamma^c(\mathbf{X})$$

for each morphism $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$. If $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ then we have $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} = R_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}(\text{id}_{\mathbf{X}})$. We see that $R_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}$ is an extension of the functor $R_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}$ constructed in [26, Th. 4.20] for the adjunction between Spec and Γ . This will also occur for $L_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}$.

Given a morphism $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Gamma^c(X)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ we define $L_{\mathfrak{C}, X}(\phi) = \mathbf{g} = (g, g^\sharp, g_{\text{ex}}^\sharp)$ where $(g, g^\sharp) = L_{\mathfrak{C}, X}(\phi)$ with $L_{\mathfrak{C}, X}$ constructed in [26, Th. 4.20]. Here, g acts by $x \mapsto x_* \circ \phi$ where $x_* : \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the composition of the $\sigma_x : \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ with the unique morphism $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, as $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a local C^∞ -ring with corners. The morphisms $g^\sharp, g_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ are constructed as g^\sharp is in [26, Th. 4.20], and we explain this explicitly now.

For $x \in X$ and $g(x) = y \in Y$, take the stalk map $\sigma_x = (\sigma_x, \sigma_x^{\text{ex}}) : \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. This gives the following diagram of C^∞ -rings with corners

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi \quad} & \Gamma^c(X) \\ \downarrow \pi_y & & \downarrow \sigma_x \\ \mathfrak{C}_y \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} & \xrightarrow{\quad \phi_x \quad} & \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{\quad \pi \quad} \mathbb{R}. \end{array} \quad (5.2)$$

We know $\mathfrak{C}_y \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ by Proposition 5.12 and $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the unique local morphism. If we have $(c, c') \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $y(c) \neq 0$, and $y \circ \Phi_i(c') \neq 0$ then $\sigma_x \circ \phi(c, c') \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ with $\pi(\sigma_x \circ \phi(c)) \neq 0$ and

$$\pi(\Phi_i \circ \sigma_x^{\text{ex}} \circ \phi_{\text{ex}}(c)) = \pi(\sigma_x \circ \phi \circ \Phi_i(c)) \neq 0.$$

As $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a local C^∞ -ring with corners then $\sigma_x \circ \phi(c, c')$ is invertible in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. The universal property of $\pi_y : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_y$ gives a unique morphism $\phi_x : \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ that makes (5.2) commute.

We define

$$\mathbf{g}_\sharp(V) = (g_\sharp(V), g_\sharp^{\text{ex}}(V)) : \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow g_*(\mathcal{O}_X)(V) = \mathcal{O}_X(U)$$

for each open $V \subseteq Y$ with $U = g^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$ to act by $\phi_x = (\phi_x, \phi_{x,\text{ex}})$ on stalks at each $x \in U$. We can identify elements $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$ with maps $s : U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $s' : U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$, such that s, s' are locally of the form $s : x \mapsto \pi_x(c)$ in $\mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $s' : x \mapsto \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, and similarly for $t \in \mathcal{O}_Y(V)$, $t' \in \mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}(V)$. If t is locally of the form $t : y \mapsto \pi_y(d)$ in $\mathfrak{D}_y \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y,c}$ near $\tilde{y} = g(\tilde{x})$ for $d \in \mathfrak{D}$, then $s = g_\sharp(V)(t)$ is locally of the form $s : x \mapsto \pi_x(c)$ in $\mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ near \tilde{x} for $c = \phi(d) \in \mathfrak{C}$, so $g_\sharp(V)$ does map $\mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, and similarly $g_\sharp^{\text{ex}}(V)$ maps $\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$. Hence $g_\sharp(V)$ is well defined.

This defines a morphism $\mathbf{g}_\sharp : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow g_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on Y , and we write $\mathbf{g}^\sharp : g^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ for the corresponding morphism of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on X under (2.8). At a point $x \in X$ with $g(x) = y \in Y$, the stalk map $\mathbf{g}_x^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is ϕ_x . Then $\mathbf{g} = (g, \mathbf{g}^\sharp)$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, and $L_{\mathfrak{C}, X}(\phi) = \mathbf{g}$. It remains to show that these define natural bijections, but this follows in a very similar way to [26, Th. 4.20]. \square

Definition 5.17. We define the *interior global sections functor* $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}}$ to act on objects (X, \mathcal{O}_X) by $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \mapsto (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ where $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ and \mathfrak{C}_{ex} to be the set containing the zero element of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ and the elements of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ that are non-zero in every stalk. That is,

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \{c' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X) : c' = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X), \text{ or } \sigma_x^{\text{ex}}(c') \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \forall x \in X\}, \quad (5.3)$$

where σ_x^{ex} is the stalk map $\sigma_x^{\text{ex}} : \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$. This is an interior C^∞ -ring with corners, where the C^∞ -ring with corners structure is given by restriction from $(\mathcal{O}_X(X), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))$. We define Γ_{in}^c to act on morphisms $(f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ by $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c : (f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp) \mapsto \mathbf{f}^\sharp(Y)|_{(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})}$ for $\mathbf{f}^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ corresponding to \mathbf{f}^\sharp under (2.8).

As in Definition 5.14, for each interior C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} , we define a morphism $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{in}} = (\Xi^{\text{in}}, \Xi_{\text{ex}}^{\text{in}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c \circ \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ by $\Xi^{\text{in}}(c) : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}$, $\Xi^{\text{in}}(c) : x \mapsto \pi_x(c)$ in $\mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}$ for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, and $\Xi_{\text{ex}}^{\text{in}}(c') : X_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}^{\text{ex}}$, $\Xi_{\text{ex}}^{\text{in}}(c') : x \mapsto \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{C}},x}^{\text{ex}}$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. We need to check $\Xi_{\text{ex}}^{\text{in}}(c')$ lies in (5.3), but this is immediate as $\pi_x = (\pi_x, \pi_{x,\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ is interior. The $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{in}}$ for all \mathfrak{C} define a natural transformation $\Xi^{\text{in}} : \text{Id}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c} \Rightarrow \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c \circ \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c$ of functors $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c}, \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c \circ \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Theorem 5.18. *The functor $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c : (\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ is right adjoint to $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c : \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}}$.*

Proof. This proof is identical to that of Theorem 5.16. We need only check that the definition of $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c(\mathbf{X})$, which may not be equal to $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$, gives well defined maps $\sigma_x^{\text{in}} : \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. As $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c(\mathbf{X})$ is a subobject of $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$, these maps are the restriction of the stalk maps $\sigma_x : \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ to $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c(\mathbf{X})$. The definition of $\Gamma_{\text{in}}^c(\mathbf{X})$ implies these maps are interior. \square

5.4 C^∞ -schemes with corners

Definition 5.19. A local C^∞ -ringed space with corners that is isomorphic in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$ to $\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for some C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} is called an *affine C^∞ -scheme with corners*. We define the category $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ to be the full sub-category of affine C^∞ -schemes with corners in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$.

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a local C^∞ -ringed space with corners. We call \mathbf{X} a *C^∞ -scheme with corners* if X can be covered by open sets $U \subseteq X$ such that $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$ is a affine C^∞ -scheme with corners. We define the category $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ of C^∞ -schemes with corners to be the full sub-category of C^∞ -schemes with corners in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$. Then $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$.

A local C^∞ -ringed space with corners that is isomorphic in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ to $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for some interior C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} is called an *interior affine C^∞ -scheme with corners*. We define the category $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ of interior affine C^∞ -schemes with corners to be the full sub-category of interior affine C^∞ -schemes with corners in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, so $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ is a non-full subcategory of $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$. We call an object $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ an *interior C^∞ -scheme with corners* if it can be covered by open sets $U \subseteq X$ such that $(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$ is an interior affine C^∞ -scheme with corners. We define the category $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ of interior C^∞ -schemes with corners to be the full sub-category of interior C^∞ -schemes with corners in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$. This implies that $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. Clearly $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$.

In Theorem 5.28(b) below we show that an object \mathbf{X} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ if and only if lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$. That is, the two natural definitions of ‘interior C^∞ -scheme with corners’ turn out to be equivalent.

Lemma 5.20. *Let \mathbf{X} be an (interior) C^∞ -scheme with corners, and $U \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ be open. Then U is also an (interior) C^∞ -scheme with corners.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.15 and the fact that the topology on $\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ is generated by subsets U_c in Definition 2.31. \square

We explain the relation with manifolds with (g-)corners in Chapter 3:

Definition 5.21. Define a functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ that acts on objects $X \in \mathbf{Man}^c$ by $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(X) = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = C^\infty(U) = (C^\infty(U), \text{Ex}(U))$ from Example 4.18(a) for each open subset $U \subseteq X$. If $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ are open we define $\rho_{UV} = (\rho_{UV}, \rho_{UV}^{\text{ex}}) : C^\infty(U) \rightarrow C^\infty(V)$ by $\rho_{UV} : c \mapsto c|_V$ and $\rho_{UV}^{\text{ex}} : c' \mapsto c'|_V$.

It is easy to verify that \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of C^∞ -rings with corners on X , so $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is a C^∞ -ringed space with corners. We show in Theorem 5.22(b) that \mathbf{X} is a C^∞ -scheme with corners, and it is also interior.

Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in \mathbf{Man}^c . Writing $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(X) = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(Y) = (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, for all open $U \subseteq Y$, we define

$$f_{\sharp}(U) : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) = C^\infty(U) \longrightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U)) = C^\infty(f^{-1}(U))$$

by $f_{\sharp}(U) : c \mapsto c \circ f$ for all $c \in C^\infty(U)$ and $f_{\sharp}^{\text{ex}}(U) : c' \mapsto c' \circ f$ for all $c' \in \text{Ex}(U)$. Then $f_{\sharp}(U)$ is a morphism of C^∞ rings with corners, and $f_{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a morphism of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on Y . Let $f^{\sharp} : f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ correspond to f_{\sharp} under (2.8). Then $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(f) = \mathbf{f} = (f, f^{\sharp}) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$. Define a functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ by restriction of $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}$ to $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c$.

All the above generalizes immediately from manifolds with corners to manifolds with g-corners in §3.3, giving functors $F_{\mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ and $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. It also generalizes to manifolds with (g-)corners of mixed dimension $\check{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{an}^c, \dots, \check{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{an}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$.

These functors $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}, \dots, F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c}$ map to various subcategories of $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ defined in §5.6, including the subcategories $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to,ex}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ of toric C^∞ -schemes with corners. We will write $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c}, \dots$ for $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}, \dots$ considered as mapping to these subcategories.

We say that a C^∞ -scheme with corners \mathbf{X} is a manifold with corners (or is a manifold with g-corners) if $\mathbf{X} \cong F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(X)$ for some $X \in \mathbf{Man}^c$ (or $\mathbf{X} \cong F_{\mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(X)$ for some $X \in \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}$).

Theorem 5.22. *Let X be a manifold with corners, or a manifold with g-corners, and $\mathbf{X} = F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(X)$ or $\mathbf{X} = F_{\mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c}(X)$. Then:*

(a) If X is a manifold with faces or g -faces, then \mathbf{X} is an affine C^∞ -scheme with corners, and is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}^c C^\infty(X)$. It is also an interior affine C^∞ -scheme with corners, with $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{in}}^c C_{\mathrm{in}}^\infty(X)$.

If X is a manifold with corners, but not with faces, then \mathbf{X} is not affine.

(b) In general, \mathbf{X} is an interior C^∞ -scheme with corners.

(c) The functors $F_{\mathrm{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}^c}$, $F_{\mathrm{Man}_{\mathrm{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathrm{in}}^c}$, $F_{\mathrm{Man}^{\mathrm{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}^c}$, $F_{\mathrm{Man}_{\mathrm{in}}^{\mathrm{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}_{\mathrm{in}}^c}$ are fully faithful.

Proof. For (a), let X be a manifold with corners or a manifold with g -corners, and write $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Note that $\Gamma^c(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{O}_X(X) = C^\infty(X)$ by the definition of \mathcal{O}_X . Consider the map $L_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathbf{X}}$ in (5.1). In the notation of Theorem 5.16, if we let $\mathfrak{C} = \Gamma^c(\mathbf{X}) = C^\infty(X)$, then $L_{C^\infty(X), \mathbf{X}}$ is a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} L_{C^\infty(X), \mathbf{X}} &: \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Rings}^c}(C^\infty(X), C^\infty(X)) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{LC}^\infty \mathrm{RS}^c}(\mathbf{X}, \mathrm{Spec}^c C^\infty(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Write $\mathbf{Y} = \mathrm{Spec}^c C^\infty(X)$, and define a morphism $\mathbf{g} = (g, \mathbf{g}^\sharp) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathrm{LC}^\infty \mathrm{RS}^c$ by $\mathbf{g} = L_{C^\infty(X), \mathbf{X}}(\mathrm{id}_{C^\infty(X)})$.

The continuous map $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined in the proof of Theorem 5.16 by $g(x) = x_* \circ \mathrm{id}_{C^\infty(X)}$, where x_* is the evaluation map at the point $x \in X$. This is a homeomorphism of topological spaces as in the proof of [26, Th. 4.41]. On stalks at each $x \in X$ we have $\mathbf{g}_x^\sharp = \lambda_x : (C^\infty(X))_{x_*} \rightarrow C_x^\infty(X)$, where λ_x is as in Example 4.35. If X has faces then λ_x is an isomorphism by Proposition 4.36, and if X has g -faces then λ_x is an isomorphism by Definition 4.37. Hence if X has (g -)faces then g, \mathbf{g}^\sharp and \mathbf{g} are isomorphisms, and $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathrm{Spec}^c C^\infty(X)$.

Essentially the same proof for the interior case, using $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{in}}^c, \Gamma_{\mathrm{in}}^c$ adjoint by Theorem 5.18, shows that $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{in}}^c C_{\mathrm{in}}^\infty(X)$ if X has (g -)faces.

If X has corners, but not faces, then Proposition 4.36 gives $x \in X$ such that $\mathbf{g}_x^\sharp = \lambda_x$ is not an isomorphism. The proof shows that $\lambda_{x, \mathrm{ex}}$ is not surjective. If $\mathbf{X} \cong \mathrm{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for some C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} then $\mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x} = C_x^\infty(X)$, and the localization morphism $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ is surjective. But $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x \cong C_x^\infty(X)$ factors as $\mathfrak{C} \rightarrow C^\infty(X) \xrightarrow{\lambda_x} C_x^\infty(X)$, and $\lambda_{x, \mathrm{ex}}$ is not surjective, a contradiction. Hence \mathbf{X} is not affine, completing part (a).

For (b), for any point $x \in X$, we can find an open neighbourhood U of x which is a manifold with (g -)faces, as in Example 4.38 in the g -corners case. Then $\mathbf{U} \cong \mathrm{Spec}^c C^\infty(U) \cong \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{in}}^c C_{\mathrm{in}}^\infty(U)$ by (a). So \mathbf{X} can be covered by open $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ which are (interior) affine C^∞ -schemes with corners, and \mathbf{X} is an (interior) C^∞ -scheme with corners.

For (c), if $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ are morphisms in Man^c and $(f, \mathbf{f}^\sharp) = F_{\mathrm{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}^c}(f) = F_{\mathrm{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}^c}(g) = (g, \mathbf{g}^\sharp)$, we see that $f = g$, so $F_{\mathrm{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}^c}$ is faithful. Suppose $\mathbf{h} = (h, \mathbf{h}^\sharp) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Sch}^c$, and $x \in X$ with $h(y) = y$ in Y . We may choose open $y \in V \subseteq Y$ and $x \in U \subseteq h^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$ such that $\mathbf{U} = (U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$ and $\mathbf{V} = (V, \mathcal{O}_Y|_V)$ are affine. Then \mathbf{h}^\sharp induces a morphism $\mathbf{h}_{UV}^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_Y(V) = C^\infty(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U) = C^\infty(U)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathrm{Rings}^c$.

By considering restriction to points in U, V we see that \mathbf{h}_{UV}^\sharp maps $C^\infty(V) \rightarrow C^\infty(U)$ and $C_{\mathrm{ex}}^\infty(V) \rightarrow C_{\mathrm{ex}}^\infty(U)$ by $c \mapsto c \circ h|_U$ for c in $C^\infty(V)$ or $C_{\mathrm{ex}}^\infty(V)$. Thus,

if $c : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, or $c : V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior, then $c \circ h|_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, or $c \circ h|_U : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is exterior. Since V is affine, this implies that $h|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is smooth, and $\mathbf{h}|_U = F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}(h|_U)$. As we can cover X, Y by such U, V , we see that h is smooth and $\mathbf{h} = F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}(h)$. Hence $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}$ is full. The argument for $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c}, \dots, F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c}$ is essentially the same. \square

Theorem 5.22 shows that we can regard $\mathbf{Man}^c, \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}$ (or $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$) as full subcategories of $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ (or $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$), and regard C^∞ -schemes with corners as generalizations of manifolds with (g-)corners.

5.5 Semi-complete C^∞ -rings with corners

Proposition 2.40 says $\text{Spec } \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \text{Spec } \circ \Gamma \circ \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$ is an isomorphism for any C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} . This was used in §2.5 to define the category $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{co}}$ of *complete* C^∞ -rings (those isomorphic to $\Gamma \circ \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$), such that $(\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{co}})^{\text{op}}$ is equivalent to the category of affine C^∞ -schemes $\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}$. This can be used to prove that fibre products and finite limits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}$.

We now consider to what extent these results generalize to the corners case. Firstly, it turns out that $\text{Spec}^c \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ need not be an isomorphism for C^∞ -rings with corners \mathfrak{C} . The next remark and example explain what can go wrong.

Remark 5.23. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners. Write $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$, an affine C^∞ -scheme with corners. The global sections $\Gamma^c(\mathbf{X}) = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is a C^∞ -ring with corners, with a morphism $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$, so we have a morphism of C^∞ -schemes with corners

$$\text{Spec}^c \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} = \text{Spec}^c(\Xi, \Xi_{\text{ex}}) : \text{Spec}^c \circ \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}. \quad (5.4)$$

We want to know how close (5.4) is to being an isomorphism.

First note that as the underlying C^∞ -scheme of \mathbf{X} is $\underline{X} = \text{Spec } \mathfrak{C}$, Proposition 2.40 shows (5.4) is an isomorphism on the level of C^∞ -schemes, and hence of topological spaces. Thus (5.4) is an isomorphism if Ξ_{ex} induces an isomorphism of sheaves of monoids. It is sufficient to check this on stalks. Therefore, (5.4) is an isomorphism if the following is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$:

$$\Xi_{x, \text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{x, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))_{x*}.$$

Consider the diagram of monoids for $x \in X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{x, \text{ex}}} & \mathfrak{C}_{x, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}} \\ \downarrow \Xi_{\text{ex}} & \nearrow \rho_{X, x}^{\text{ex}} & \downarrow \Xi_{x, \text{ex}} \\ \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}_{x, \text{ex}}} & (\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))_{x*}. \end{array} \quad (5.5)$$

Here $\rho_{X, x}^{\text{ex}}$ takes an element $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ to its value in the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}}$. It is not difficult to show that the upper left triangle and the outer rectangle in

(5.5) commute, $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}, \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}$ are surjective, and $\Xi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is injective. However, as Example 5.24 shows, $\Xi_{x,\text{ex}}$ need not be surjective (in which case (5.4) is not an isomorphism), and the bottom right triangle of (5.5) need not commute.

That is, if two elements of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ agree locally, then while they have the same image in the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ they do not necessarily have the same value in the localization of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ at x_* . This is because for $c', d' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, equality in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex},x}$ requires a global equality. That is, there need to be $a', b' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ such that $a'c' = b'd' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with a', b' satisfying additional conditions as in Proposition 4.33. In the C^∞ -ring \mathfrak{C} , this equality is only a local equality, as the a' and b' can come from bump functions. However, in the monoid, bump functions do not necessarily exist, meaning this condition is stronger and harder to satisfy.

In the following example, \mathfrak{C} is interior, and the above discussion also holds with $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c, \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c$ in place of Spec^c, Γ^c .

Example 5.24. Define open subsets $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by $U = (-1, 1) \times (-1, \infty)$ and $V = (-1, 1) \times (-\infty, 1)$. The important properties for our purposes are that $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus U, \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V$ are connected, but $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (U \cup V)$ is disconnected, being divided into connected components $(-\infty, -1] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $[1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Choose smooth $\varphi, \psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi|_U > 0, \varphi|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus U} = 0, \psi|_V > 0, \psi|_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus V} = 0$, so φ, ψ map to $[0, \infty)$. Define a C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} by

$$\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}(x_1, x_2)[y_1, \dots, y_6] / (\Phi_i(y_1) = \Phi_i(y_2) = 0, \Phi_i(y_3) = \Phi_i(y_4) = \varphi(x_1, x_2), \\ \Phi_i(y_5) = \Phi_i(y_6) = \psi(x_1, x_2)) [y_1 y_3 = y_2 y_4, y_1 y_5 = y_2 y_6],$$

using the notation of Definition 4.24. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$, an affine C^∞ -scheme with corners. As a topological space we write

$$X = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_6) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, \infty)^6 : y_1 = y_2 = 0, y_3 = y_4 = \varphi(x_1, x_2), \\ y_5 = y_6 = \psi(x_1, x_2)\}.$$

The projection $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ mapping $(x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_6) \mapsto (x_1, x_2)$ is a homeomorphism, and we use this to identify $X \cong \mathbb{R}^2$.

We will show that (5.4) is *not* an isomorphism, in contrast to Proposition 2.40 for C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes.

For each $x \in X$ we have a monoid $\mathcal{O}_{X,x,\text{ex}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ by Proposition 5.12, containing elements $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 6$. If $x \in U$ then using Proposition 4.33 and the relations $\Phi_i(y_3) = \Phi_i(y_4) = \varphi(x_1, x_2), y_1 y_3 = y_2 y_4$, and $\varphi(x) > 0$ we see that $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_2)$. Similarly if $x \in V$ then $\Phi_i(y_5) = \Phi_i(y_6) = \psi(x_1, x_2), y_1 y_5 = y_2 y_6$, and $\psi(x) > 0$ give $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_2)$. Thus as $U \cup V = (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R}$ we may define an element $z \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ by

$$z(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_1), & x \leq -1, \\ \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_1) = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_2), & x \in (-1, 1), \\ \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(y_2), & x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We will show that for $x = (-2, 0)$ in X there does not exist $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\Xi_{x,\text{ex}} \circ \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c') = \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(z)$ in $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))_{x_*}$. Thus $\Xi_{x,\text{ex}} : \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))_{x_*}$

is not surjective. This implies that the bottom right triangle of (5.5) does not commute at $z \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$. Also $\text{Spec}^c \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in (5.4) is not an isomorphism, as $\Xi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is part of the action of $\text{Spec}^c \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ on stalks at x , and is not an isomorphism.

Suppose for a contradiction that such c' does exist. Then $\hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}} \circ \Xi_{\text{ex}}(c') = \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(z)$ as the outer rectangle of (5.5) commutes, so by Proposition 4.33 there exist $a', b' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ with $a'(x) = b'(x) \neq 0$ and $a' = b'$ near x , such that $a'z = b'\Xi_{\text{ex}}(c')$. Locally on X , a', b' are of the form $\exp(f(x_1, x_2, y_1, \dots, y_6))y_1^{a_1} \dots y_6^{a_6}$ or zero, where the transitions between different representations of this form (e.g. changing a_1, \dots, a_6) satisfy strict rules. We will consider a', b' in the regions $x_1 \leq -1$, $x_1 \geq 1$, $U \setminus V$, $V \setminus U$. In each region, a', b' must admit a global representation $\exp(f)y_1^{a_1} \dots y_6^{a_6}$.

Since $a'(x) = b'(x) \neq 0$, we see that a', b' are of the form $\exp(f)$ in $x_1 \leq -1$. On crossing the wall $x = -1$, $y \leq -1$ between $x \leq -1$ and $U \setminus V$, y_3, y_4 become invertible, so a', b' may be of the form $\exp(f)y_3^{a_3}y_4^{a_4}$ in $U \setminus V$. On crossing the wall $x = 1$, $y \leq -1$ between $U \setminus V$ and $x \geq 1$, we see that a', b' must be of the form $\exp(f)y_3^{a_3}y_4^{a_4}$ in $x_1 \geq 1$. Similarly, on crossing the wall $x = -1$, $y \geq 1$ between $x \leq -1$ and $V \setminus U$, y_5, y_6 become invertible, so a', b' may be of the form $\exp(f)y_5^{a_5}y_6^{a_6}$ in $V \setminus U$. On crossing the wall $x = 1$, $y \geq 1$ we see that a', b' must be of the form $\exp(f)y_5^{a_5}y_6^{a_6}$ in $x_1 \geq 1$. Comparing this with the previous statement gives $a_5 = a_6 = 0$. Hence a', b' are invertible at $(2, 0)$.

Now c' is either zero, or of the form $\exp(f)y_1^{a_1} \dots y_6^{a_6}$. Specializing $a'z = b'\Xi_{\text{ex}}(c')$ at $(-2, 0)$ and using a', b' invertible there gives $c' \neq 0$ with $a_1 = 0$ and $a_i = 0$ for $i \neq 1$. But specializing at $(2, 0)$ and using a', b' invertible there gives $a_2 = 1$ and $a_i = 0$ for $i \neq 2$, a contradiction. So no such c' exists.

One can prove that \mathfrak{C} above is toric, and hence also firm, saturated, torsion-free, integral, and interior, in the notation of §4.7. There are simpler examples of \mathfrak{C} lacking these properties for which (5.4) is not an isomorphism and (5.5) does not commute. We chose this example to show that we cannot easily avoid the problem by restricting to nicer classes of C^∞ -rings with corners.

Example 5.24 implies that we cannot generalize complete C^∞ -rings in §2.5 to the corners case, such that the analogue of Theorem 2.42(a) holds. But we will use the following proposition to define *semi-complete* C^∞ -rings with corners, which have some of the good properties of complete C^∞ -rings. Note that Example 5.24 gives an example where no choice of \mathfrak{D}_{ex} can make the canonical map $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ surjective on the monoids.

Proposition 5.25. *Let $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners and set $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$. Then there is a C^∞ -ring with corners $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ with $\mathfrak{D} \cong \Gamma \circ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ a complete C^∞ -ring, such that $\text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \cong \mathbf{X}$ and the canonical map $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is an isomorphism on \mathfrak{D} , and injective on \mathfrak{D}_{ex} . If $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is firm, or interior, then $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is firm, or interior.*

Proof. We define $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ such that $\mathfrak{D} = \Gamma \circ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$, and let \mathfrak{D}_{ex} be the submonoid of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ generated by the invertible elements $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\mathfrak{D})$ and the image $\phi_{\text{ex}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$. One can check that the C^∞ -operations from $(\mathcal{O}_X(X), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))$ restrict to C^∞ -operations on $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$, and make $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ into a C^∞ -ring with

corners. Let $Y = \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$. If $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is firm, then $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is firm, as the sharpening $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ is the image of $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ under ϕ_{ex} , hence the image of the generators generates $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$. If $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ is interior, then $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is interior, as elements in both $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\mathfrak{D})$ and $\phi_{\text{ex}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ have no zero divisors.

Now the canonical morphism $(\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ gives a morphism $(\psi, \psi_{\text{ex}}) : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ where $\psi = \phi$, and $\psi_{\text{ex}} = \phi_{\text{ex}}$ with its image restricted to the submonoid \mathfrak{D}_{ex} of $\mathcal{O}_{X,\text{ex}}(X)$. As \mathfrak{D} is complete, then $\text{Spec}(\mathfrak{D}) \cong \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{C}) \cong (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and $\text{Spec}^c(\psi, \psi_{\text{ex}}) : Y \cong \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \cong X$ is an isomorphism on the topological space and the sheaves of C^∞ -rings. To show that $\text{Spec}^c(\psi, \psi_{\text{ex}})$ is an isomorphism on the sheaves of monoids, we show ψ_{ex} induces an isomorphism on the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}^{\text{ex}} \cong \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}$, for all \mathbb{R} -points $x \in X$.

The stalk map corresponds to the morphism $\psi_{x,\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}$, which is defined by $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}(\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c')) = \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(\psi_{\text{ex}}(c'))$, where $\pi_{x,\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ and $\hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}$ are the localization morphisms. Now, as $\text{Spec}^c(\psi, \psi_{\text{ex}})$ is an isomorphism on the sheaves of C^∞ -rings, we know that $\psi_x : \mathfrak{C}_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_x$ is an isomorphism, which implies we have an isomorphism $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times} : \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times$ of invertible elements in the monoids. This gives the following commutative diagram of monoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{\text{ex}}} & \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \subset \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X) & \\
& \downarrow \pi_{x,\text{ex}} & & \downarrow \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}} & \\
\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times & \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{x,\text{ex}}} & \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}} & \longleftarrow \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times \\
& \searrow & \cong & & \swarrow
\end{array}$$

To show $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is injective, suppose $a'_x, b'_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ with $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}(a'_x) = \psi_{x,\text{ex}}(b'_x)$. As $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective we have $a'_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(a')$, $b'_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(b')$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ for $a', b' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. Then in $\mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(\psi_{\text{ex}}(a')) &= \psi_{x,\text{ex}}(\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(a')) = \psi_{x,\text{ex}}(a'_x) \\
&= \psi_{x,\text{ex}}(b'_x) = \psi_{x,\text{ex}}(\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(b')) = \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(\psi_{\text{ex}}(b')),
\end{aligned}$$

so Proposition 4.33 gives $e', f' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ such that $e'\psi_{\text{ex}}(a') = f'\psi_{\text{ex}}(b')$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} , with $\Phi_i(e') - \Phi_i(f') \in I$ and $x \circ \Phi_i(e') \neq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
e'|_x a'_x &= e'|_x \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(a') = e'|_x \psi_{\text{ex}}(a')|_x = (e'\psi_{\text{ex}}(a'))|_x \\
&= (f'\psi_{\text{ex}}(b'))|_x = f'|_x \psi_{\text{ex}}(b')|_x = f'|_x \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(b') = f'|_x b'_x
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$. Under the maps $\Phi_{i,x} : \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x$ and $\pi : \mathfrak{C}_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have $\Phi_{i,x}(e'|_x) = \Phi_{i,x}(f'|_x)$ as $\Phi_i(e') - \Phi_i(f') \in I$, and $\pi \circ \Phi_{i,x}(e'|_x) = x \circ \Phi_i(e') \neq 0$. Hence $e'|_x$ is invertible in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$, so $\Phi_{i,x}(e'|_x) = \Phi_{i,x}(f'|_x)$ and Definition 4.16(i) imply that $e'|_x = f'|_x$, and thus (5.6) shows that $a'_x = b'_x$, so $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is injective.

To show $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective, let $d'_x \in \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}$, and write $d'_x = \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(d')$ for $d' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$. As \mathfrak{D}_{ex} is generated by $\psi_{\text{ex}}(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ and $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\mathfrak{D})$ we have $d' = \psi_{\text{ex}}(c') \cdot e'$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $e' \in \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\mathfrak{D})$ invertible. Thus $d'_x = \psi_{x,\text{ex}} \circ \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c') \cdot \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(e')$, where $\hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(e') \in \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times$. As $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}|_{\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times} : \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}^\times$ is an isomorphism and

$\pi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective, there exists $c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\psi_{x,\text{ex}} \circ \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'') = \hat{\pi}_{x,\text{ex}}(e')$. Then $d'_x = \psi_{x,\text{ex}}[\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c'')]$, so $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective. Hence $\psi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is an isomorphism, and $\text{Spec}^c(\psi, \psi_{\text{ex}}) : \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) = \mathbf{X}$ is an isomorphism.

Thus there is an isomorphism $\Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \cong \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$, which identifies the canonical map $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ with the inclusion $(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X(X), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)) = \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$. By definition this inclusion is an isomorphism on \mathfrak{D} , and injective on \mathfrak{D}_{ex} . This completes the proof. \square

Definition 5.26. We call a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ *semi-complete* if \mathfrak{D} is complete, and $\Xi_{\mathfrak{D}} : (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}})$ is an isomorphism on \mathfrak{D} (this is equivalent to \mathfrak{D} complete) and injective on \mathfrak{D}_{ex} . Write $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc, in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ for the full subcategories of (interior) semi-complete C^∞ -rings with corners.

Given a C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} , Proposition 5.25 constructs a semi-complete C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{D} with a morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ such that $\text{Spec}^c \psi : \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ is an isomorphism. It is easy to show that this map $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{D}$ is functorial, yielding a functor $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$ mapping $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto \mathfrak{D}$ on objects, with $\text{Spec}^c \cong \text{Spec}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}$. Similarly we get $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sc, in}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc, in}}^c$ with $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sc, in}}$.

Here is a partial analogue of Theorem 2.42:

Theorem 5.27. (a) $\text{Spec}^c | \dots : (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{AC}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ is faithful and essentially surjective, but not full.

(b) Let \mathbf{X} be an affine C^∞ -scheme with corners. Then $\mathbf{X} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$, where \mathfrak{D} is a semi-complete C^∞ -ring with corners.

(c) The functor $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$ is left adjoint to the inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$. That is, $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}$ is a **reflection functor**.

(d) All small colimits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$, although they may not coincide with the corresponding small colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

(e) $\text{Spec}^c | \dots : (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ is right adjoint to $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}} \circ \Gamma^c : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c)^{\text{op}}$. Thus $\text{Spec}^c | \dots$ takes limits in $(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c)^{\text{op}}$ (equivalently, colimits in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$) to limits in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$.

The analogues of (a)–(e) hold in the interior case, replacing $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c, \dots, \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ by $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc, in}}^c, \dots, \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Proof. For (a), if $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}} & \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D} \\ \downarrow \phi & & \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \phi \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}} & \Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} \end{array} \quad (5.7)$$

As the rows are injective by semi-completeness, $\Gamma^c \circ \text{Spec}^c \phi$ determines ϕ , so $\text{Spec}^c \phi$ determines ϕ . Thus $\text{Spec}^c | \dots$ is injective on morphisms, that is, it is

faithful. Essential surjectivity follows by Proposition 5.25. To see that $\text{Spec}^c | \dots$ is not full, let \mathfrak{C} be as in Example 5.24, and set $\mathfrak{D} = C^\infty([0, \infty))$. Then

$$\text{Spec}_{\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}}^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c}(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}, \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D})$$

may be identified with the non-surjective map

$$\Xi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X).$$

Part (b) is immediate from Proposition 5.25. Part (c) is easy to check, where the unit of the adjunction is $\psi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} = \text{inc} \circ \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\mathfrak{C})$, and the counit is $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{D}} : \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}} \circ \text{inc}(\mathfrak{D}) = \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. For (d), given a small colimit in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$, the colimit exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$ by Theorem 4.20(b), and applying the reflection functor $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}$ gives the (possibly different) colimit in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$. Part (e) holds as $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}, \Gamma^c$ are left adjoint to $\text{inc}, \text{Spec}^c$ by (c) and Theorem 5.16. The extension to the interior case is immediate. \square

Theorem 5.28. (a) *Suppose $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$ and $X = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$. Then $X \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{D}$ for some $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$.*

(b) *An object $X \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ if and only if it lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$.*

Proof. For (a), by Theorem 5.27(b) we may take \mathfrak{C} to be semi-complete, so that $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X(X), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))$ is an isomorphism on \mathfrak{C} and injective on \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . As X lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are interior for $x \in X$, and writing

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X) = \{f' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X) : f'|_x \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \text{ for all } x \in X\},$$

then $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X) = (\mathcal{O}_X(X), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X) \amalg \{0\})$ is an interior C^∞ -subring with corners of $\mathcal{O}_X(X) = (\mathcal{O}_X(X), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X))$. Define $\mathfrak{E} = (\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}})$, where $\mathfrak{E} = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}} = \{e' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X) : \text{there exists a decomposition } X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i \text{ with} \\ X_i \text{ open and closed in } X \text{ and elements } c'_i \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \text{ for } i \in I \quad (5.8) \\ \text{with } \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c'_i)|_{X_i} = e'|_{X_i} \text{ for all } i \in I\}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$ are closed under the C^∞ -operations on $\mathcal{O}_X(X), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$, so that \mathfrak{E} is a C^∞ -subring with corners of $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$. To see this, let $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be exterior and $e_1, \dots, e_m \in \mathfrak{E}, e'_1, \dots, e'_n \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$. Then $e_j = \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}(c_j)$ for unique $c_j \in \mathfrak{C}, j = 1, \dots, m$ as $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an isomorphism. Also for each $k = 1, \dots, n$ we get a decomposition $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ in (5.8) for e'_k . By intersecting the subsets of the decompositions we can take a common decomposition $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, and elements $c'_{i,k} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c'_{i,k})|_{X_i} = e'_k|_{X_i}$ for all $i \in I$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then $e' = \Psi_g(e_1, \dots, e_m, e'_1, \dots, e'_n) \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$ satisfies the conditions of (5.8) with the same decomposition $X = \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$, and elements $c'_i = \Psi_g(c_1, \dots, c_m, c'_{i,1}, \dots, c'_{i,n})$, so $e' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$, and $\mathfrak{E} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Observe that $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ factors via the inclusion $\mathfrak{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$, by taking the decomposition in (5.8) to be into one set $X_1 = X$.

Next define $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}} = \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X) \cap \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$, so that $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\})$ is the intersection of the C^∞ -subrings $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X)$ and \mathfrak{E} in $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$, and thus lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, as $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X)$ does. We now have morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$

$$\mathfrak{E} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathfrak{E}}} \mathfrak{E} \xleftarrow{\text{inc}} \mathfrak{D},$$

giving morphisms in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$

$$\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{E} \xleftarrow{\text{Spec}^c \Xi_{\mathfrak{E}}} \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{E} \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}^c \text{inc}} \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{D}. \quad (5.9)$$

We will show that both morphisms in (5.9) are isomorphisms, so that $\mathbf{X} \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{D}$, as we have to prove. As $\mathfrak{E} \cong \mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{E}$, equation (5.9) is isomorphic on the level of C^∞ -schemes. Thus it is sufficient to prove (5.9) induces isomorphisms on the stalks of the sheaves of monoids. That is, for each $x \in X$ we must show that the following maps are isomorphisms:

$$\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathfrak{E},x,\text{ex}}} \mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}} \xleftarrow{\text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}}} \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}.$$

Suppose $e'' \in \mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}$. Then $e'' = \pi_{\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}}(e')$ for $e' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$. Let $X_i, c'_i, i \in I$ be as in (5.8) for e' . Then $x \in X_i$ for unique $i \in I$. Define $a', b' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$ by $a'|_{X_i} = 1$, $a'|_{X \setminus X_i} = 0$ and $b' = a'$. Then $a'e' = b'\Xi_{\mathfrak{E},\text{ex}}(c'_i)$, so Proposition 4.33 gives $e'' = \pi_{\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}}(e') = \pi_{\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}} \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{E},\text{ex}}(c'_i) = \Xi_{\mathfrak{E},x,\text{ex}} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}}(c'_i)$. Thus $\Xi_{\mathfrak{E},x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective.

As the composition $\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}} \xrightarrow{\Xi_{\mathfrak{E},x,\text{ex}}} \mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ is an isomorphism since $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{E}$, we see that $\Xi_{\mathfrak{E},x,\text{ex}}$ is injective, and thus an isomorphism.

Suppose $0 \neq e'' \in \mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}$. Then $e'' = \pi_{\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}}(e')$ for $e' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(X)$. Define $Y = \{y \in X : e''|_y \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{X,y}^{\text{ex}}\}$. Then Y is open and closed in X by Definition 5.2(a), as \mathbf{X} is interior, and $x \in Y$ as $e'' \neq 0$. Define $d' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$ by $d'|_Y = e''|_Y$ and $d'|_{X \setminus Y} = 1$. Define $a', b' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$ by $a'|_Y = 1$, $a'|_{X \setminus Y} = 0$ and $b' = a'$. Then $a'd' = b'e'$ with $x \circ \Phi_i(a') = 1$, so Proposition 4.33 gives $e'' = \pi_{\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}}(e') = \pi_{\mathfrak{E}_{x,\text{ex}}} \circ \text{inc}(d') = \text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}}(d')$, and $\text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective.

Suppose $d''_1, d''_2 \in \mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}$ with $\text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}}(d''_1) = \text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}}(d''_2)$. Then $d''_i = \pi_{\mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}}(d'_i)$ for $d'_i \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}, i = 1, 2$. By Proposition 4.33, $\text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}}(d''_1) = \text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}}(d''_2)$ means that there exist $a', b' \in \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$ with $\Phi_i(a') = \Phi_i(b')$ near x , and $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$, and $a'd'_1 = b'd'_2$ in \mathfrak{E}_{ex} . If $a'd'_1|_x = b'd'_2|_x = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}}$ then $d'_1 = d'_2 = 0$, so $d''_1 = d''_2 = 0$, as we want. Otherwise $d'_1, d'_2 \neq 0$, so $d''_1, d''_2 \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$.

Define $Y = \{y \in X : a'|_y \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{X,y}^{\text{ex}}\}$. Then Y is open and closed in X as above, and $Y = \{y \in X : b'|_y \neq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_{X,y}^{\text{ex}}\}$, since $a'd'_1 = b'd'_2$ with $d'_1, d'_2 \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$. Define $a'', b'' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$ by $a''|_Y = a'|_Y$, $a''|_{X \setminus Y} = d'_2|_{X \setminus Y}$, $b''|_Y = b'|_Y$, $b''|_{X \setminus Y} = d'_1|_{X \setminus Y}$. Then $\Phi_i(a'') = \Phi_i(b'')$ near x as $x \in Y$ and $\Phi_i(a') = \Phi_i(b')$ near x , and $x \circ \Phi_i(a'') \neq 0$, and $a''d''_1 = b''d''_2$, so Proposition 4.33 says that $d''_1 = \pi_{\mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}}(d''_1) = \pi_{\mathfrak{D}_{x,\text{ex}}}(d''_2) = d''_2$. Hence $\text{inc}_{x,\text{ex}}$ is injective, and thus an isomorphism. This proves (a). Part (b) follows from (a) by covering \mathbf{X} with open affine C^∞ -subschemes. \square

5.6 Special classes of C^∞ -schemes with corners

Definition 5.29. Let X be a C^∞ -scheme with corners. We call X *finitely presented*, or *finitely generated*, or *firm*, or *integral*, or *torsion-free*, or *saturated*, or *toric*, or *simplicial*, if we can cover X by open $U \subseteq X$ with $U \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for \mathfrak{C} a C^∞ -ring with corners which is firm, integral, torsion-free, saturated, toric, or simplicial, respectively, in the sense of Definitions 4.40, 4.43, 4.45. We write

$$\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fp}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fg}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$$

for the full subcategories of finitely presented, finitely generated, and firm C^∞ -schemes with corners. We write

$$\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\Delta}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$$

for the full subcategories of simplicial, toric, saturated, torsion-free, and integral objects in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$, respectively. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\Delta, \text{ex}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa, ex}}^c \subset \\ \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf, ex}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in, ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

for the full subcategories of simplicial, \dots , interior objects in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$, but with exterior (i.e. all) rather than interior morphisms. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, in}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c, & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, } \mathbb{Z}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c, \\ \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, tf}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c, & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, in, ex}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in, ex}}^c, \\ \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ex}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{ex}}^c, & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, tf, ex}}^c &\subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf, ex}}^c, \end{aligned}$$

for the full subcategories of objects which are also firm.

These live in a diagram of subcategories

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\Delta}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, tf}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, } \mathbb{Z}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, in}}^c & (5.11) \\ \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & \\ \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\Delta, \text{ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, tf, ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, } \mathbb{Z}, \text{ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f, in, ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f}}^c \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c & & \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa, ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf, ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}, \text{ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in, ex}}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c \end{array}$$

Remark 5.30. (i) General C^∞ -schemes with corners $X \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ may have ‘corner behaviour’ which is very complicated and pathological. The subcategories of $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ in (5.11) have ‘corner behaviour’ which is progressively nicer, and more like ordinary manifolds with corners, as we impose more conditions.

(ii) It is easy to see that if X is a manifold with corners then $X = F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}(X)$ in Definition 5.21 is an object of $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\Delta}^c$, since $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^n)$ is a simplicial C^∞ -ring with corners, and similarly if X is a manifold with g-corners then $X = F_{\text{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}(X)$ is an object of $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$.

We can think of $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_\Delta^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\Delta,\text{ex}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to},\text{ex}}^c$ as good analogues of $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{Man}^c, \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}$ in the world of C^∞ -schemes.

(iii) For a C^∞ -scheme with corners \mathbf{X} to be *firm* basically means that locally \mathbf{X} has only finitely many boundary faces. This is a mild condition, which will hold automatically in most interesting applications. An example of a non-firm C^∞ -scheme with corners is $[\mathbf{0}, \infty)^I := \prod_{i \in I} [\mathbf{0}, \infty)$ for I an infinite set.

We will need to restrict to firm C^∞ -schemes with corners to define fibre products in §5.7, and for parts of the theory of corner functors in Chapter 6.

Parts (b),(c) of the next theorem are similar to Theorem 5.28(b).

Theorem 5.31. (a) *The functor $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ of Theorem 5.8 maps $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$. Restricting gives a functor $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ which is right adjoint to the inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$.*

The analogues also hold for the subcategories $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf}}^c$ and functors $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$, giving a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}} & & & \\ & & & \longleftarrow & & & \\ \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}} & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}} & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}} & \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \end{array}$$

(b) *An object \mathbf{X} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c$ or $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ if and only if it lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c, \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf}}^c$ or $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$, respectively.*

(c) *Let \mathbf{X} be an object in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi}}^c$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *\mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi},\text{in}}^c$.*
- (ii) *\mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$.*
- (iii) *\mathbf{X} may be covered by open $U \subset \mathbf{X}$ with $U \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for \mathfrak{C} a firm, interior C^∞ -ring with corners.*

Also \mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ if and only if it lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c$.

Proof. For (a), consider the diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c)^{\text{op}} & \xrightleftharpoons[\text{inc}]{\Gamma_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}} & (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}} \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \updownarrow \text{Spec}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c & & \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c \updownarrow \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \\ \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}]{\text{inc}} & \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \end{array} \quad (5.12)$$

Here $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c, \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ are the restrictions of $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c, \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c$ to $(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c)^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$, and do map to $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c, (\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c)^{\text{op}}$. The functors $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ are from Theorems 4.48 and 5.8, and are right adjoint to the inclusions inc , noting that taking opposite categories converts left to right adjoints. Also $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c$ is right adjoint to Γ_{in}^c by Theorem 5.18, which implies that $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ is right adjoint to $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$.

Clearly $\text{inc} \circ \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^c = \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c \circ \text{inc}$. As $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \circ \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c$ are the right adjoints of these we have a natural isomorphism $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \circ \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c$. Thus, for any $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ we have $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}) \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \circ \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$.

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. Then \mathbf{X} is covered by open $U \subset \mathbf{X}$ with $U \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. Hence $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ is covered by open $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(U) \subset \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X})$ with $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(U) \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \circ \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C} \cong \text{Spec}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C})$ for $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$. Thus $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$, and $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ maps $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$. That the restriction $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ is right adjoint to inc follows from Theorem 5.8. This proves the first part of (a). The rest of (a) follows by the same argument.

For (b), the ‘only if’ part is obvious. For the ‘if’ part, suppose \mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c$. Then $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\mathbf{X})$ lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c$ by (a). But $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ is isomorphic to the identity on $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, so $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\mathbf{X}) \cong \mathbf{X}$, and \mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c$. The arguments for $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ are the same.

For (c), clearly (iii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (ii), so it is enough to show that (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose \mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{f}}^c$ and $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, and $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Then x has an open neighbourhood $V \subset \mathbf{X}$ with $V \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$ for \mathfrak{D} firm. Let $d'_1, \dots, d'_n \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ such that $[d'_1], \dots, [d'_n]$ are generators for $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$. Choose an open neighbourhood U of x in V such that for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, either $\pi_{u, \text{ex}}(d'_i) = 0$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{u, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}}$ for all $u \in U$, or $\pi_{e, \text{ex}}(d'_i) \neq 0$ in $\mathfrak{D}_{u, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}}$ for all $u \in U$. As the topology of $V \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$ is generated by open sets U_d as in Definition 2.31, making U smaller if necessary we can suppose that $U = U_d$ for $d \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then Lemma 5.15 says that $U \cong \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}(d^{-1}))$.

Define \mathfrak{C} to be the semi-complete C^∞ -ring with corners constructed from $\mathfrak{D}(d^{-1})$ in Proposition 5.25, so that we have a morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{D}(d^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ with $\text{Spec}^c \psi$ an isomorphism, implying that $U \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. We will show that \mathfrak{C} is firm and interior. Write d''_1, \dots, d''_n for the images of d'_1, \dots, d'_n in $\mathfrak{D}(d^{-1})_{\text{ex}}$. Since $[d'_1], \dots, [d'_n]$ generate $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$, $[d''_1], \dots, [d''_n]$ generate $\mathfrak{D}(d^{-1})_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$. As by construction \mathfrak{C}_{ex} is generated by $\psi_{\text{ex}}(\mathfrak{D}(d^{-1})_{\text{ex}})$ and invertibles $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\mathfrak{C})$, so $[\psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_1)], \dots, [\psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_n)]$ generate $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$, and \mathfrak{C} is firm.

By definition the natural map $\Xi_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$ is injective. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, either $\Xi_{\text{ex}} \circ \psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_i)|_u = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X, u}^{\text{ex}}$ for all $u \in U$, so that $\psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_i) = 0$, or $\Xi_{\text{ex}} \circ \psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_i)|_u = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X, u}^{\text{ex}}$ for all $u \in U$, so that $\Xi_{\text{ex}} \circ \psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_i)$ is not a zero divisor in $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$, as the $\mathcal{O}_{X, u}^{\text{ex}}$ are interior, and thus $\psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_i)$ is not a zero divisor in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . Since $[\psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_1)], \dots, [\psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_n)]$ generate $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$, and each $\psi_{\text{ex}}(d''_i)$ is either zero or not a zero divisor, we see that \mathfrak{C}_{ex} has no zero divisors. Also $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \neq 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ as $U \neq \emptyset$. So \mathfrak{C} is interior. Thus (ii) implies (iii), and the proof is complete. \square

Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$, and $x \in \mathbf{X}$ with $f(x) = y$ in \mathbf{Y} . Then by definition, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} are locally isomorphic to $\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}, \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$ near x, y for C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$. However, it is *not* clear that f be locally isomorphic to $\text{Spec}^c \phi$ for some morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$, and the authors expect this is false in some cases. This is because $\text{Spec}^c : (\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{AC}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ is not full, as in Theorem 5.27(a), so morphisms $\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ need not be of the form $\text{Spec}^c \phi$. We now show that if \mathbf{Y} is *firm*, then f is locally isomorphic to $\text{Spec}^c \phi$. We use this in Theorem 5.33 to give a criterion for existence of fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$.

Proposition 5.32. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ with Y firm. Then for all $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y \in Y$, there exists a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & U \subseteq X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
\downarrow \mathrm{Spec}^c \phi & & \downarrow f|_U & & \downarrow f \\
\mathrm{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & V \subseteq Y & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y.
\end{array} \tag{5.13}$$

Here $U \subseteq X$, $V \subseteq Y$ are affine open neighbourhoods of x, y with $U \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$, and $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{sc}}^c$ with \mathfrak{D} firm. The analogue also holds with $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{sc}}^c$ replaced by $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\mathrm{in}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathrm{sc}, \mathrm{in}}^c$.

Proof. As Y is a firm C^∞ -scheme with corners there exists an open neighbourhood V of y in Y and an isomorphism $V \cong \mathrm{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$ for \mathfrak{D} a firm C^∞ -ring with corners. By Proposition 5.25 we may take \mathfrak{D} to be semi-complete.

As X is a C^∞ -scheme with corners there exists an affine open neighbourhood \dot{U} of x in X with $\dot{U} \cong \mathrm{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. Since subsets $\dot{U}_c = \{x \in \dot{U} : x(c) \neq 0\}$ for $c \in \mathring{\mathfrak{C}}$ are a basis for the topology of \dot{U} by Definition 2.32, there exists $c \in \mathring{\mathfrak{C}}$ with $\ddot{U} := \dot{U}_c$ an open neighbourhood of x in $\dot{U} \cap f^{-1}(V)$. Set $\mathring{\mathfrak{C}} = \mathring{\mathfrak{C}}(c^{-1})$. Then $\ddot{U} \cong \mathrm{Spec}^c \mathring{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an open neighbourhood of x in $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X$ by Lemma 5.15.

As \mathfrak{D} is firm, the sharpening $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp$ is finitely generated. Choose d'_1, \dots, d'_n in $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ whose images generate $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp$. Then $\Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d'_i)$ lies in $(\Gamma^c \circ \mathrm{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D})_{\mathrm{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y^{\mathrm{ex}}(V)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, so $f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(\ddot{U}) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d'_i)$ lies in $(\Gamma^c \circ \mathrm{Spec}^c \mathring{\mathfrak{C}})_{\mathrm{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{ex}}(\ddot{U})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. By definition of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{ex}}$, any section of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{ex}}$ is locally modelled on $\Xi_{\mathring{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(c')$ for some $c' \in \mathring{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathrm{ex}}$. Thus, we may choose an open neighbourhood U of x in \ddot{U} and elements $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathring{\mathfrak{C}}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ such that $f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(\ddot{U}) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d'_i)|_U = \Xi_{\mathring{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(c'_i)|_U$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Making U smaller if necessary, as above we may take U of the form \dot{U}_c for $c \in \mathring{\mathfrak{C}}$. Set $\mathfrak{C} = \Pi_{\mathrm{all}}^{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathring{\mathfrak{C}}(c^{-1}))$. Then $U \cong \mathrm{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ by Lemma 5.15 and Definition 5.26, for U an affine open neighbourhood of x in X with $U \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$, and \mathfrak{C} a semi-complete C^∞ -ring.

We will show there is a unique morphism $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\mathrm{ex}}) : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ such that (5.13) commutes. To see this, note that there is a unique C^∞ -ring morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ making the C^∞ -scheme part of (5.13) commute by Theorem 2.42(a), as $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ are complete. Let $d' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{ex}}$. Since d'_1, \dots, d'_n generate $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp$ we may write $d' = \Psi_{\mathrm{exp}}(d)(d'_1)^{a_1} \cdots (d'_n)^{a_n}$ for $d \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$.

We claim that defining $\phi_{\mathrm{ex}}(d') = \Psi_{\mathrm{exp}}(\phi(d))(c'_1)^{a_1} \cdots (c'_n)^{a_n}$ is independent of the presentation $d' = \Psi_{\mathrm{exp}}(d)(d'_1)^{a_1} \cdots (d'_n)^{a_n}$, and yields a monoid morphism $\phi_{\mathrm{ex}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\mathrm{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ with all the properties we need. To see this, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(\phi_{\mathrm{ex}}(d')) &= \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(\Psi_{\mathrm{exp}}(\phi(d))(c'_1)^{a_1} \cdots (c'_n)^{a_n}) \\
&= (\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathrm{ex}} \circ \Psi_{\mathrm{exp}} \circ \phi(d)) (\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(c'_1))^{a_1} \cdots (\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(c'_n))^{a_n} \\
&= \Psi_{\mathrm{exp}}(\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \phi(d)) (f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(U) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d'_1))^{a_1} \cdots (f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(U) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d'_n))^{a_n} \\
&= \Psi_{\mathrm{exp}}(f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(U) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}(d)) (f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(U) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d'_1))^{a_1} \cdots (f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(U) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d'_n))^{a_n} \\
&= f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(U) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(\Psi_{\mathrm{exp}}(d)(d'_1)^{a_1} \cdots (d'_n)^{a_n}) = f_{\mathrm{ex}}^\sharp(U) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathrm{ex}}(d').
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{ex}}(\phi_{\text{ex}}(d'))$ is independent of the presentation, and $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\text{ex}}$ is injective as \mathfrak{C} is semi-complete, so $\phi_{\text{ex}}(d')$ is well defined. By identifying $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ with C^∞ -subrings with corners of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}(U), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(V)$, and $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}})$ with the restriction of $f_{\sharp}(U) : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}(U)$ to these C^∞ -subrings, in a similar way to (5.7), we see that ϕ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, proving the first part. The same arguments work in the interior case. \square

5.7 Fibre products of C^∞ -schemes with corners

We use Proposition 5.32 to construct fibre products of C^∞ -schemes with corners.

Theorem 5.33. *Suppose $g : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, h : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ are morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, and \mathbf{Z} is firm. Then the fibre product $\mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, h} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, and is equal to the fibre product in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$. Similarly, if $g : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, h : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ are morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$, and \mathbf{Z} is firm, then the fibre product $\mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, h} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$, and is equal to the fibre product in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$.*

The inclusion $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ preserves fibre products.

Proof. Let $g : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, h : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$. By Theorem 5.5 there exists a fibre product $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, h} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, in a Cartesian square in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{W} & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow h \\ \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad g \quad} & \mathbf{Z}. \end{array} \quad (5.14)$$

We will prove that \mathbf{W} is a C^∞ -scheme with corners. Then (5.14) is Cartesian in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, so \mathbf{W} is a fibre product $\mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, h} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$.

Let $w \in \mathbf{W}$ with $e(w) = x \in \mathbf{X}$, $f(w) = y \in \mathbf{Y}$ and $g(x) = h(y) = z \in \mathbf{Z}$. As \mathbf{Z} is firm we may pick an affine open neighbourhood \mathbf{V} of z in \mathbf{Z} with $\mathbf{V} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{F}$ for a firm C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{F} . By Proposition 5.25 we may take \mathfrak{F} to be semi-complete.

By Proposition 5.32 we may choose affine open neighbourhoods \mathbf{T} of x in $g^{-1}(\mathbf{V}) \subset \mathbf{X}$ and \mathbf{U} of y in $h^{-1}(\mathbf{V}) \subset \mathbf{Y}$, and semi-complete C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}$ with isomorphisms $\mathbf{T} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$, $\mathbf{U} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{E}$, and morphisms $\phi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}, \psi : \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$ such that $\text{Spec}^c \phi, \text{Spec}^c \psi$ are identified with $g|_{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}, h|_{\mathbf{U}} : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ by the isomorphisms $\mathbf{T} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}, \mathbf{U} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{E}$ and $\mathbf{V} \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{F}$.

Set $\mathbf{S} = e^{-1}(\mathbf{T}) \cap f^{-1}(\mathbf{U})$. Then \mathbf{S} is an open neighbourhood of w in \mathbf{W} . Write $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\phi, \mathfrak{F}, \psi} \mathfrak{E}$ for the pushout in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$, which exists by Theorem 5.27(d), with projections $\eta : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}, \theta : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$. Consider the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{S} & \xrightarrow{\quad f|_{\mathbf{S}} \quad} & \mathbf{U} \\ \downarrow e|_{\mathbf{S}} & & \downarrow h|_{\mathbf{U}} \\ \mathbf{T} & \xrightarrow{\quad g|_{\mathbf{T}} \quad} & \mathbf{V}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{Spec}^c \theta \quad} & \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} \\ \downarrow \text{Spec}^c \eta & & \downarrow \text{Spec}^c \psi \\ \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{Spec}^c \phi \quad} & \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{F}, \end{array}$$

in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$. The left hand square is Cartesian as (5.14) is, by local properties of fibre products. The right hand square is Cartesian by Theorem 5.27(e), as

$\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\phi, \mathfrak{F}, \psi} \mathfrak{E}$. The isomorphisms $T \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$, $U \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{E}$, $V \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{F}$ identify the right and bottom sides of both squares. Hence the two squares are isomorphic. Thus $S \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. So each point w in W has an affine open neighbourhood, and W is a C^∞ -scheme with corners, as we have to prove.

The proof for the interior case is essentially the same. Theorem 5.31(c) allows us to write $V \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{F}$ for $\mathfrak{F} \in C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$, and Theorem 5.27 and Propositions 5.25 and 5.32 also work in the interior case. The last part then follows from Theorem 5.5. \square

Theorem 5.34. *Consider the family of full subcategories:*

$$\begin{aligned} C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fp}}^c &\subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}^c, & C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fg}}^c &\subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}^c, \\ C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c &\subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}^c, & C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c &\subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\text{in}}^c, \\ C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c &\subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c, & C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{tf}}^c &\subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\text{tf}}^c, \\ C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c &\subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

In each case, the subcategory is closed under finite limits in the larger category. Hence, fibre products and all finite limits exist in $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fp}}^c, \dots, C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 5.33, if X, Y, Z are finitely presented we may take $\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{F}$ to be finitely presented, and then \mathfrak{C} is finitely presented by Proposition 4.42, so $W = X \times_{g, Z, h} Y$ is finitely presented. Hence all fibre products exist in $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fp}}^c$, and agree with fibre products in $\text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}^c$.

As $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fp}}^c$ has a final object $\text{Spec}^c(\mathbb{R}, [0, \infty))$, and all fibre products in a category with a final object are (iterated) fibre products, then $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fp}}^c$ is closed under finite limits in $\text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}^c$, and all such finite limits exist in $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fp}}^c$ by Theorem 5.5. The same argument works for $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fg}}^c, C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c, C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{in}}^c$, using Proposition 4.44 for the latter two.

For $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c, C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{tf}}^c, C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ we apply the coreflection functors $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}$ of Theorem 5.8, noting that the restrictions of these map

$$C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}} C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}} C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{tf}}^c \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}} C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c,$$

by Theorem 5.31 and the fact (clear from the proof of Theorem 5.31) that $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}$ preserve firmness.

Let J be a finite category and $F : J \rightarrow C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c$ a functor. Then a limit $X = \varprojlim F$ exists in $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c$ from above, which is also a limit in $\text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$. Hence $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ is a limit $\varprojlim \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \circ F$ in $\text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$, since $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ preserves limits as it is a right adjoint. But $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ acts as the identity on $\text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c \subset \text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, so $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X)$ is a limit $\varprojlim F$ in $\text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$. As $X \in C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c$ we have $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \in C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c$, so $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c$ is closed under finite limits in $\text{LC}^\infty \text{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$, and all finite limits exist in $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c$ by Corollary 5.10. The arguments for $C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{tf}}^c, C^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ are the same. \square

We will return to the subject of fibre products in §6.6–§6.7, using ‘corner functors’ from Chapter 6 as a tool to study them.

6 Boundaries, corners, and the corner functor

In §3.4, for manifolds with (g-)corners of mixed dimension, we defined *corner functors* $C : \check{\mathbf{Man}}^c \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $C : \check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ which are right adjoint to the inclusions $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}^c$ and $\text{inc} : \check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \hookrightarrow \check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}}$. These encode the boundary ∂X and k -corners $C_k(X)$ of a manifold with (g-)corners X in a functorial way.

This chapter will show that analogous corner functors $C : \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $C : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ may be defined for local C^∞ -ringed spaces and C^∞ -schemes with corners \mathbf{X} . This allows us to define the boundary $\partial \mathbf{X}$ and k -corners $C_k(\mathbf{X})$ for firm C^∞ -schemes with corners \mathbf{X} . We use corner functors to study existence of fibre products in categories such as $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to,ex}}^c$.

6.1 The corner functor for C^∞ -ringed spaces with corners

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a local C^∞ -ringed space with corners. We will define the *corners* $C(\mathbf{X})$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$. As a set, we define

$$C(X) = \{(x, P) : x \in X, P \text{ is a prime ideal in } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}\}, \quad (6.1)$$

where prime ideals in monoids are as in Definition 3.5. Define $\Pi_X : C(X) \rightarrow X$ as a map of sets by $\Pi_X : (x, P) \mapsto x$.

We define a topology on $C(X)$ to be the weakest topology such that Π_X is continuous, so $\Pi_X^{-1}(U)$ is open for all $U \subset X$, and such that for all open $U \subset X$, for all elements $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$, then

$$\dot{U}_{s'} = \{(x, P) : x \in U, s'_x \notin P\} \subseteq \Pi_X^{-1}(U) \quad \text{and} \quad \ddot{U}_{s'} = \Pi_X^{-1}(U) \setminus \dot{U}_{s'} \quad (6.2)$$

are both open and closed in $\Pi_X^{-1}(U)$. Then $\Pi_X^{-1}(U) = \dot{U}_1 = \ddot{U}_0$, and $\emptyset = \ddot{U}_1 = \dot{U}_0$ for $0, 1 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$. The collection $\{\dot{U}_{s'}, \ddot{U}_{s'} : \text{open } U \subset X, s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)\}$ is a subbase for the topology.

Pull back the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}$ by Π_X to get sheaves $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X), \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})$ on $C(X)$. For each $x \in X$ and each prime P in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$, we have ideals

$$P \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \cong (\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}))_{(x,P)}, \quad \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \cong (\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X))_{(x,P)}.$$

Here $\langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ is the ideal generated by the image of P under $\Phi_i : \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$.

We define the sheaf of C^∞ -rings $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ on $C(X)$ to be the sheafification of the presheaf of C^∞ -rings $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)/I$ on $C(X)$, where for open $U \subset C(X)$

$$I(U) = \{s \in \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)(U) : s_{(x,P)} \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle \text{ for all } (x, P) \in U\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ be the sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U)/\sim$ where the equivalence relation \sim is generated by the relations, for $s'_1, s'_2 \in \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U)$, that $s'_1 \sim s'_2$ if for each $(x, P) \in U$ either $s'_{1,x}, s'_{2,x} \in P$, or there is $p \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ such that $s'_{1,x} = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(p)s'_{2,x}$. This is similar to quotienting the C^∞ -ring with corners $(\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)(U), \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U))$ by a prime ideal in $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U)$, which

we described in Example 4.25(b), and creates a sheaf of C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)} = (\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}, \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})$ on $C(X)$.

Lemma 6.2 shows $C(\mathbf{X}) = (C(X), \mathcal{O}_{C(X)})$ is an interior local C^∞ -ringed space with corners, and the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ at (x, P) is an interior local C^∞ -ring with corners isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P$, using the notation of Example 4.25(b).

Define sheaf morphisms $\Pi_X^\sharp : \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ and $\Pi_{X,\text{ex}}^\sharp : \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ to be the sheafifications of the projections $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)/I$ and $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})/\sim$. Then $\Pi_X^\sharp = (\Pi_X^\sharp, \Pi_{X,\text{ex}}^\sharp) : \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ is a morphism of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners, and $\Pi_X = (\Pi_X, \Pi_X^\sharp)$ is a morphism $\Pi_X : C(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$.

Let $\mathbf{f} = (f, f^\sharp, f_{\text{ex}}^\sharp) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$. We will define a morphism $C(\mathbf{f}) : C(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Y})$. On topological spaces, we define $C(f) : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ by $(x, P) \mapsto (f(x), (f_{\text{ex},x}^\sharp)^{-1}(P))$ where $f_{\text{ex},x}^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}^{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ is the stalk map of f_{ex}^\sharp . This is continuous as if $t \in \mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}(U)$ for some open $U \subset Y$ then $C(f)^{-1}(\dot{U}_t) = (f^{-1}(U))_{f_{\text{ex}}^\sharp(U)(t)}$ is open, and similarly $C(f)^{-1}(\ddot{U}_t) = (f^{-1}(U))_{f_{\text{ex}}^\sharp(U)(t)}$ is open. Also $\Pi_Y \circ C(f) = f \circ \Pi_X$.

To define the sheaf morphism $C(\mathbf{f})^\sharp : C(f)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(Y)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$, consider the diagram of presheaves on $C(X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(f)^{-1} \circ \Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) = \Pi_X^{-1} \circ f^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_X^{-1}(f^\sharp)} & \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C(f)^{-1}(\Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y)/I_Y) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_X^{-1}(f^\sharp)_*} & \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)/I_X \\ \downarrow \text{sheafify} & & \text{sheafify} \downarrow \\ C(f)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(Y)}) & \xrightarrow{C(\mathbf{f})^\sharp} & \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}. \end{array}$$

Here there is a unique morphism $\Pi_X^{-1}(f^\sharp)_*$ making the upper rectangle commute as $\Pi_X^{-1}(f^\sharp)$ maps $C(f)^{-1}(I_Y) \rightarrow I_X$ by definition of $I_Y, I_X, C(f)$. Hence there is a unique morphism $C(\mathbf{f})^\sharp$ making the lower rectangle commute by properties of sheafification. Similarly we define $C(f)_{\text{ex}}^\sharp : C(f)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(Y)}^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$, and $C(\mathbf{f})^\sharp = (C(\mathbf{f})^\sharp, C(f)_{\text{ex}}^\sharp) : C(f)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(Y)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ is a morphism of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on $C(X)$, so $C(\mathbf{f}) = (C(f), C(\mathbf{f})^\sharp) : C(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Y})$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$. We see that $\Pi_Y \circ C(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f} \circ \Pi_X$.

On the stalks at (x, P) in $C(X)$, we have

$$C(\mathbf{f})_{(x,P)}^\sharp = (f_x^\sharp)_* : \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}/\sim_{(f_{\text{ex},x}^\sharp)^{-1}(P)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P.$$

For the monoid sheaf, if $s' \mapsto 0$ in the stalk, then $s' = [s'']$ for some $s'' \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}^{\text{ex}}$, and $f_{\text{ex},(x,P)}^\sharp(s'')_x \in P$. Then $s''_{f(x)} \in (f_{\text{ex},x}^\sharp)^{-1}(P)$, so $s'' \sim_{(f_{\text{ex},x}^\sharp)^{-1}(P)} 0$, giving $s' = 0$. Therefore $C(\mathbf{f})$ is an interior morphism. One can check that $C(\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}) = C(\mathbf{f}) \circ C(\mathbf{g})$ and $C(\text{id}_{\mathbf{X}}) = \text{id}_{C(\mathbf{X})}$, and thus $C : \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ is a well defined functor.

If we now assume \mathbf{X} is interior, then $\{(x, \{0\}) : x \in X\}$ is contained in $C(X)$, and there is an inclusion of sets $\iota_X : X \hookrightarrow C(X)$, $\iota_X : x \mapsto (x, \{0\})$. The image of X under $\iota_X : X \hookrightarrow C(X)$ is closed in $C(X)$, since for all open $U \subset X$,

$$\iota_X(U) = \bigcap_{s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)} \hat{U}_{s'}$$

is closed in $\Pi_X^{-1}(U)$. Also, ι_X is continuous, as the definition of interior implies $\iota_X^{-1}(\hat{U}_{s'}) = \{x \in U : s'_x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}\}$ is open, and $\iota_X^{-1}(\check{U}_{s'}) = \{x \in U : s'_x = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}\}$ is open for all $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$.

Now any $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ gives equivalence classes in $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)(\Pi_X^{-1}(U))$, and $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)(\Pi_X^{-1}(U))/\sim$, and $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}(\Pi^{-1}(U))$, and $\iota_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)})(U)$. So there is a map $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \iota_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)})(U)$, and a similar map $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U) \rightarrow \iota_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})(U)$. These maps respect restriction and form morphisms of sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners. The stalks of $\iota_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)})$ are isomorphic to the stalks of $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$, which are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_{\{0\}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ by Lemma 6.2. So there is a canonical isomorphism $\iota_{\mathbf{X}}^\sharp : \iota_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, and $\iota_{\mathbf{X}} = (\iota_X, \iota_{\mathbf{X}}^\sharp) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})$ is a morphism, which is interior, as the stalk maps are isomorphisms.

Thus, for each interior \mathbf{X} we have defined a morphism $\iota_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, which is an inclusion of \mathbf{X} as an open and closed C^∞ -subscheme with corners in $C(\mathbf{X})$. One can show that $\Pi_{\mathbf{X}} \circ \iota_{\mathbf{X}} = \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}}$. Also, if $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ one can show that $\iota_{\mathbf{Y}} \circ \mathbf{f} = C(\mathbf{f}) \circ \iota_{\mathbf{X}}$.

Lemma 6.2. *In Definition 6.1, $C(\mathbf{X}) = (C(X), \mathcal{O}_{C(X)})$ is an interior local C^∞ -ringed space with corners in the sense of Definition 5.2. The stalk $\mathcal{O}_{C(X), (x,P)}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ at (x, P) in $C(X)$ is an interior local C^∞ -ring with corners isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P$, in the notation of Example 4.25(b).*

Proof. We first verify the openness conditions for $C(\mathbf{X})$ to be an interior C^∞ -ringed space with corners in Definition 5.2(a),(b). Let $U \subset C(X)$ be open and $s' \in \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}(U)$, and as in Definition 5.2(a),(b) write

$$U_{s'} = \{(x, P) \in U : s'_{(x,P)} \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_{C(X), (x,P)}^{\text{ex}}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{U}_{s'} = U \setminus U_{s'}.$$

Then there is an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of U such that $s'|_{U_i} = [s'_i]$ for $s'_i \in \Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U_i)$, where $s'_i|_{(x,P)} \notin P$ if $(x, P) \in U_{s'} \cap U_i$ and $s'_i|_{(x,P)} \in P$ if $(x, P) \in U_{s'} \cap \hat{U}_i$. The definition of the inverse image sheaf implies there is an open cover $\{W_j^i\}_{j \in J_i}$ of U_i such that $s'_i|_{W_{i,j}} = [s'_{i,j}]$ for some $s'_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(V_{i,j})$ for open $V_{i,j} \subset X$ such that $V_{i,j} \supset \Pi(W_{i,j})$. Then $s'_{i,j}|_x \notin P$ for all $(x, P) \in U_{s'} \cap W_{i,j}$, and $s'_{i,j}|_x \in P$ for all $(x, P) \in \hat{U}_{s'} \cap W_{i,j}$. Thus

$$U_{s'} \cap W_{i,j} = W_{i,j} \cap (\check{V}_{i,j})_{s'_{i,j}} \quad \hat{U}_{s'} \cap W_{i,j} = W_{i,j} \cap (\check{V}_{i,j})_{s'_{i,j}}$$

are both open in $C(X)$ by the definition of the topology on $C(X)$ involving $\hat{U}_{s'}, \check{U}_{s'}$ in (6.2). Taking the union over $i \in I, j \in J_i$, and using that $\bigcup_{i \in I, j \in J_i} W_{i,j} = U$, we see that $U_{s'}, \hat{U}_{s'}$ are open in U , as we have to prove.

The stalks of $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$, $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ at a point $(x, P) \in C(X)$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}/\sim_P$, where $s'_1 \sim_P s'_2$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ if $s'_1, s'_2 \in P$ or there is a $p \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ such that $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(p)s'_1 = s'_2$, as in Example 4.25(b). To see this, consider that the definitions give us the following diagram, where we will show the arrow \mathbf{t} exists and is an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_{X,x} & \xrightarrow[\hat{\Pi}_x^\#]{\sim} & \Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)_{(x,P)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{C(X),(x,P)} \\
\downarrow & & & \nearrow & \\
\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P & \xrightarrow[\mathbf{t}]{\cong} & & &
\end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

To see that \mathbf{t} exists, we use the universal property of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P$ as in Example 4.25(b). Let U be an open set in X and take $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$ such that $s'_x \in P$. Then s' maps to an equivalence class in $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}(\Pi^{-1}(U))$. Consider the open set $\ddot{U}_{s'} = \{(x, P) \in C(X) : x \in U, s'_x \in P\}$, then restricting to this open set, we see that our (x, P) is in $\ddot{U}_{s'} \subset \Pi^{-1}(U)$ and so we can restrict the equivalence class of s' to $\ddot{U}_{s'}$. In this open set however, $s'_x \in P$ for all $(x, P) \in \ddot{U}_{s'}$ so $s' \sim 0$, and s' lies in the kernel of the composition of the top row of (6.3). Then the universal property of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P$ says that \mathbf{t} must exist and commute with the diagram. Also, \mathbf{t} must be surjective as the top line is surjective.

To see that \mathbf{t} is injective is straightforward. For example, in the monoid case, if $[s'_{1,x}], [s'_{2,x}] \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}/\sim_P$ with representatives $s'_1, s'_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$, and if $t_{\text{ex}}([s'_{1,x}]) = t_{\text{ex}}([s'_{2,x}])$ then $s'_{1,x} \sim_P s'_{2,x}$ for all $(x, P) \in V$ for some open $V \subset \Pi^{-1}(U)$. This means at every $(x, P) \in V$ then $s'_{1,x} \sim_P s'_{2,x}$, so this must be true at our (x, P) , so that $[s'_{1,x}] = [s'_{2,x}] \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}/\sim_P$ as required.

Now $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P$ is interior as the complement of $P \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ has no zero divisors. It is also local, as the unique morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ must have P in its kernel so it factors through the morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}/\sim_P$ giving a unique morphism $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}/\sim_P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the correct properties to be local. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{C(X),(x,P)}$ is an interior local C^∞ -ring with corners for all $(x, P) \in C(X)$, so $C(\mathbf{X})$ is an interior local C^∞ -ringed space with corners by Definition 5.2. \square

Theorem 6.3. *The corner functor $C : \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ is right adjoint to the inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$. Thus we have natural, functorial isomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c}(C(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Y}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c}(\mathbf{X}, \text{inc}(\mathbf{Y}))$.*

As C is a right adjoint, it preserves limits in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c, \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Proof. We describe the unit $\eta : \text{Id} \Rightarrow C \circ \text{inc}$ and counit $\epsilon : \text{inc} \circ C \Rightarrow \text{Id}$ of the adjunction. Here $\epsilon_{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{X}}$ for \mathbf{X} a C^∞ -scheme with corners, and $\eta_{\mathbf{X}} = \iota_{\mathbf{X}}$ for \mathbf{X} an interior C^∞ -scheme with corners. That ϵ is a natural transformation follows from $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{Y}} \circ C(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{X}}$ for morphisms $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$, and that η is a natural transformation follows from $\iota_{\mathbf{Y}} \circ \mathbf{f} = C(\mathbf{f}) \circ \iota_{\mathbf{X}}$ for morphisms $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$. Finally, to prove the adjunction, we must show the following compositions are the identity natural transformations:

$$C \xrightarrow{\eta * \text{id}_C} C \circ \text{inc} \circ C \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C * \epsilon} C, \quad \text{inc} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\text{inc}} * \eta} \text{inc} \circ C \circ \text{inc} \xrightarrow{\eta * \text{id}_{\text{inc}}} \text{inc}.$$

Both of these follow as $\Pi_{\mathbf{X}} \circ \iota_{\mathbf{X}} = \text{id}_{\mathbf{X}}$. \square

Definition 5.7 defined subcategories $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c \subset \dots \subset \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ and $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa,ex}}^c \subset \dots \subset \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in,ex}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}^c$, where the objects \mathbf{X} have stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ which are saturated, torsion-free, integral, or interior. From the definition of $C(\mathbf{X})$ in Definition 6.1, we see that if the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are saturated, \dots , interior then the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{C(\mathbf{X}), (x,P)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\sim_P$ are also saturated, \dots , interior, as these properties are preserved by quotients by prime ideals, so $C(\mathbf{X})$ is saturated, \dots , interior, respectively. Hence from Theorem 6.3 we deduce:

Theorem 6.4. *Restricting C in Theorem 6.3 gives corner functors*

$$\begin{aligned} C : \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa,ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{sa}}^c, & C : \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf,ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{tf}}^c, \\ C : \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c, & C : \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in,ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c, \end{aligned}$$

which are right adjoint to the corresponding inclusions.

6.2 The corner functor for C^{∞} -schemes with corners

Motivated by Theorem 6.3, naively we might expect that there exists $\tilde{C} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$ left adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c$, fitting into a diagram of adjoint functors similar to (5.12):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c)^{\text{op}} & \xrightleftharpoons[\tilde{C}]{\text{inc}} & (\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}} \\ \Gamma_{\text{in}}^c \updownarrow \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c & & \Gamma^c \updownarrow \text{Spec}^c \\ \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c & \xrightleftharpoons[C]{\text{inc}} & \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}^c. \end{array} \quad (6.4)$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5.31(a) this would imply $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \circ \tilde{C} \cong C \circ \text{Spec}^c$, allowing us to deduce that C maps $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. However, no such left adjoint \tilde{C} for inc exists by Theorem 4.20(d).

Surprisingly, we can define a ‘corner functor’ $\tilde{C} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$ such that $\text{Spec}^c \circ \tilde{C}$ maps to $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}^c$, with $\text{Spec}^c \circ \tilde{C} \cong C \circ \text{Spec}^c$, as we prove in the next definition and theorem.

Definition 6.5. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^{∞} -ring with corners. Using the notation of Definition 4.24, define a C^{∞} -ring with corners $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} &= \mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}] / (\Phi_i(\alpha_{c'}) + \Phi_i(\beta_{c'}) = 1 \ \forall c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \\ &\quad [\alpha_{1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}} = 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, \ \alpha_{c'}\alpha_{c''} = \alpha_{c'c''} \ \forall c', c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, \ c'\beta_{c'} = \alpha_{c'}\beta_{c'} = 0 \ \forall c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

That is, we add two $[0, \infty)$ -type generators $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$ to \mathfrak{C} for each $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, and impose \mathbb{R} -type relations (\dots) and $[0, \infty)$ -type relations $[\dots]$ as shown.

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c$, and define $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ from $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ as above, writing the additional generators in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} as $\bar{\alpha}_{d'}, \bar{\beta}_{d'}$. Define a morphism

$\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}] & \xrightarrow{\text{project}} & \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \\ \downarrow \phi[\alpha_{c'} \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}_{\phi_{\text{ex}}(c')}, \beta_{c'} \mapsto \tilde{\beta}_{\phi_{\text{ex}}(c')}, c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}] & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi} \\ \mathfrak{D}[\tilde{\alpha}_{d'}, \tilde{\beta}_{d'} : d' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}] & \xrightarrow{\text{project}} & \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}. \end{array}$$

That is, we begin with $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, and act on the extra generators by mapping $\alpha_{c'} \mapsto \tilde{\alpha}_{\phi_{\text{ex}}(c')}$, $\beta_{c'} \mapsto \tilde{\beta}_{\phi_{\text{ex}}(c')}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. These map the relations in $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ to the relations in $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}$, and so descend to a unique morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ as shown.

Clearly mapping $\phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}$ takes compositions and identities to compositions and identities, so mapping $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ on objects and $\phi \mapsto \tilde{\phi}$ on morphisms defines a functor $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$. For reasons explained in §6.3, we define $\tilde{C} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$ to be the composition of this functor with $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$ in Definition 5.26. That is, we define the *corner functor* $\tilde{C} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sc}}^c$ to map $\mathfrak{C} \mapsto \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}})$ on objects, and $\phi \mapsto \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\phi})$ on morphisms, for $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \phi, \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}, \tilde{\phi}$ as above.

For each $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$, define $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})$ to be the composition

$$\mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} \mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}] \xrightarrow{\text{project}} \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \xrightarrow{\psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}}} \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}) = \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}), \quad (6.6)$$

for $\psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}}$ as in Definition 5.26. This is functorial in \mathfrak{C} , and so defines a natural transformation $\tilde{\Pi} : \text{Id} \Rightarrow \tilde{C}$ of functors $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$.

We will also write $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$ for the images of $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$ in $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})_{\text{ex}}$, and write

$$\text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \{P \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} : P \text{ is a prime ideal}\}. \quad (6.7)$$

Theorem 6.6. *In Definition 6.5, with $C : \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ as in Definition 6.1, for each $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$ there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}) \quad (6.8)$$

in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$. So $\text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})$ lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$. The following diagram commutes in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathfrak{C}}} & C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}) \\ & \searrow \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}} & \swarrow \Pi_{\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}} \\ & \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} & \end{array} \quad (6.9)$$

For each morphism $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$ the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{D}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\eta_{\mathfrak{D}}} & C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}) \\ \downarrow \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\phi) & & C(\text{Spec}^c \phi) \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\eta_{\mathfrak{C}}} & C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}), \end{array} \quad (6.10)$$

so $\text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\phi)$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$. Thus $\eta : \text{Spec}^c \circ \tilde{C} \Rightarrow C \circ \text{Spec}^c$ is a natural isomorphism of functors $(\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$, and define $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ as in (6.4), so that $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) = \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}})$. Write $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$. As in (6.6), there is a functorial morphism $\psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})$ such that $\text{Spec}^c \psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}} : \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an isomorphism. Write $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ for the composition of the first two morphisms in (6.6), so that $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}} = \psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}} \circ \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and set $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathbf{X}} = \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}} : \tilde{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$. We will construct an isomorphism

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \longrightarrow C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}) = C(\mathbf{X}) \quad (6.11)$$

in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$, and define $\eta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in (6.8) by $\eta_{\mathfrak{C}} = \zeta_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \text{Spec}^c \psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}}$.

First we define the isomorphism (6.11) at the level of points. A point of $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra morphism $\tilde{x} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Composing with the projection $\mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ gives a morphism $\hat{x} : \mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Such morphisms are in 1-1 correspondence with data $(x, a_{c'}, b_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$, where $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra morphism (i.e. $x \in X$) and $a_{c'} = \hat{x}(\alpha_{c'})$, $b_{c'} = \hat{x}(\beta_{c'})$ lie in $[0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. A morphism $\hat{x} : \mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ descends to a (unique) morphism $\tilde{x} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if \hat{x} maps the relations in (6.5) to relations in \mathbb{R} . Hence we may identify

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X} \cong \{ & (x, a_{c'}, b_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) : x \in X, a_{c'}, b_{c'} \in [0, \infty) \forall c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, \\ & a_{c'} + b_{c'} = 1 \forall c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, a_{1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}} = 1, a_{c'} a_{c''} = a_{c'c''} \forall c', c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, \\ & x \circ \Phi_i(c') b_{c'} = a_{c'} b_{c'} = 0 \forall c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

We can simplify (6.12). Let $(x, a_{c'}, b_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a point in the right hand side. The equations $a_{c'} + b_{c'} = 1$ and $a_{c'} b_{c'} = 0$ imply that $(a_{c'}, b_{c'})$ is $(1, 0)$ or $(0, 1)$ for each c' . Define $P = \{c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} : a_{c'} = 0\}$. Then $a_{1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}} = 1$ implies that $1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} \notin P$, and $a_{c'} a_{c''} = a_{c'c''}$ implies that if $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ and $c'' \in P$ then $c'c'' \in P$, so P is an ideal. Also $a_{c'} a_{c''} = a_{c'c''}$ implies that if $c'c'' \in P$ then $c' \in P$ or $c'' \in P$, so P is a prime ideal. The condition $x \circ \Phi_i(c') b_{c'} = 0$ then becomes $x \circ \Phi_i(c') = 0$ if $c' \in P$.

Conversely, if P is a prime ideal and $x \circ \Phi_i(c') = 0$ for $c' \in P$, then setting $a_{c'} = 0$, $b_{c'} = 1$ if $c' \in P$ and $a_{c'} = 1$, $b_{c'} = 0$ if $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P$ then all the equations of (6.12) hold. Thus, mapping $(x, a_{c'}, b_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \mapsto (x, P = \{c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} : a_{c'} = 0\})$ turns (6.12) into a bijection

$$\tilde{X} \cong \{(x, P) : x \in X, P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}, x \circ \Phi_i(c') = 0 \text{ if } c' \in P\}. \quad (6.13)$$

Lemma 6.7. *Let $x \in \mathbf{X}$, so that $x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathbb{R} -point, with localization $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ giving a morphism $\pi_{x,\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$. Then we have inverse bijective maps*

$$\{P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}} : x \circ \Phi_i(c') = 0 \forall c' \in P\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{P \mapsto P_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(P)} \\ \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}_x} \cong \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} \\ \xleftarrow{P_x \mapsto P = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(P_x)} \end{array} \quad (6.14)$$

Proof. Let P lie in the left hand side of (6.14), and set $P_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(P)$. Then $1 \notin P_x$ as $x \circ \Phi_i(c') = 0$ for all $c' \in P$. If $c'_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ and $c''_x \in P_x$ then

$c'_x c''_x \in P_x$ as P is an ideal and $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective. Thus P_x is an ideal. To show P_x is prime, suppose $c'_x, d'_x \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex},x}$ with $c'_x d'_x \in P_x$. As $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective we can choose $c', d' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ and $p' \in P$ with $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c') = c'_x$, $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(d') = d'_x$ and $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c' d') = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(p')$. Hence Proposition 4.33 gives $a', b' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $a' c' d' = b' p'$ and $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$. But $b' p' \in P$ as P is an ideal, so $a' c' d' \in P$. Since P is prime, one of a', c', d' must lie in P , and $a' \notin P$ as $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$, so one of c', d' must lie in P . Thus one of c'_x, d'_x lie in P_x , and P_x is prime. Hence the top morphism in (6.14) is well defined.

Next let $P_x \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}_x}$, and set $P = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(P_x)$. It is easy to check P lies in the left hand side of (6.14). So the bottom morphism in (6.14) is well defined.

Suppose P lies in the left hand side of (6.14). Clearly $P \subseteq \pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(P))$. If $c' \in \pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(P))$ then there exists $p' \in P$ with $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c') = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(p')$. Proposition 4.33 gives $a', b' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $a' c' = b' p'$ and $x \circ \Phi_i(a') \neq 0$, and $p' \in P$ implies $a' c' = b' p' \in P$, which implies $c' \in P$ as $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(a') \neq 0$ so $a' \notin P$. Hence $P = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(\pi_{x,\text{ex}}(P))$. If $P_x \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}_x}$ then $P_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(\pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(P_x))$ as $\pi_{x,\text{ex}}$ is surjective. Thus the maps in (6.14) are inverse. \square

Combining equations (6.1) and (6.13) and Lemma 6.7 gives a bijection of sets $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C(X)$, defined explicitly by, if $\tilde{x} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponds to $(x, a_{c'}, b_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ in (6.25), and $P = \{c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} : a_{c'} = 0\}$ is the associated prime ideal, and $P_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(P)$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$, then $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tilde{x}) = (x, P_x)$ in $C(X)$ in (6.1). Then in an analogue of (6.9), on the level of sets we have

$$\tilde{\Pi}_X = \Pi_X \circ \zeta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X, \quad (6.15)$$

as both sides map $\tilde{x} \mapsto x$.

Lemma 6.8. $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow C(X)$ is a homeomorphism of topological spaces.

Proof. By definition of the topology on $\text{Spec } \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ in Definition 2.31, the topology on \tilde{X} is generated by open sets $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{c}} = \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X} : \tilde{x}(\tilde{c}) \neq 0\}$ for $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$. As $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a quotient of $\mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}]$, the topology is generated by \tilde{U}_c for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, and $\tilde{U}_{\Phi_f(\alpha_{c'})}, \tilde{U}_{\Phi_f(\beta_{c'})}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ and smooth $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. But as $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$ take only values 0,1 on \tilde{X} with $\alpha_{c'} + \beta_{c'} = 1$, the only possibilities for $\tilde{U}_{\Phi_f(\alpha_{c'})}, \tilde{U}_{\Phi_f(\beta_{c'})}$ are $\dot{V}_{c'} = \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X} : \alpha_{c'}|_{\tilde{x}} \neq 0\}$ and $\dot{V}'_{c'} = \{\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X} : \alpha_{c'}|_{\tilde{x}} \neq 1\}$. So the topology on \tilde{X} is generated by \tilde{U}_c for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\dot{V}_{c'}, \dot{V}'_{c'}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.

For $C(X)$ in Definition 6.1, the topology is generated by open sets $\Pi_X^{-1}(U_c)$ for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and subsets $\dot{U}_{s'}, \ddot{U}_{s'}$ for open $U \subset X$ and $s' \in \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$. As $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$, rather than taking all such $\dot{U}_{s'}, \ddot{U}_{s'}$, it is enough to take $U = X$ and $s' = \Xi_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, as all other $\dot{U}_{s'}, \ddot{U}_{s'}$ can be generated from $\Pi_X^{-1}(U_c)$ and $\dot{X}_{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')}, \ddot{X}_{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')}$. Thus, the topology on $C(X)$ is generated by $\Pi_X^{-1}(U_c)$ for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\dot{X}_{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')}, \ddot{X}_{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.

It is easy to check that $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ maps $\tilde{U}_c \mapsto \Pi_X^{-1}(U_c)$, $\dot{V}_{c'} \mapsto \dot{X}_{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')}$ and $\dot{V}'_{c'} \mapsto \ddot{X}_{\Xi_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')}$. Therefore $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a bijection and maps a basis for the topology of \tilde{X} to a basis for the topology of $C(X)$, so it is a homeomorphism. \square

Lemma 6.9. *In sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners on \tilde{X} , there is a unique morphism $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^\sharp$ making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1} \circ \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) & \xlongequal[\text{by (6.15)}]{} & \check{\Pi}_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \\
\downarrow \zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\Pi_X^\sharp) & & \check{\Pi}_X^\sharp \downarrow \\
\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}) & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^\sharp} & \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}.
\end{array} \tag{6.16}$$

Furthermore, $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^\sharp$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Definition 6.1, $\Pi_X^\sharp : \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ is the universal surjective quotient of $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ in sheaves of C^∞ -rings with corners, such that if $U \subseteq C(X)$ is open and $s' \in \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U)$ with $s'|_{(x, P_x)} \in P_x$ for each $(x, P_x) \in U$ then $\Pi_{X, \text{ex}}^\sharp(U)(s') = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}(U)$. As $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$, locally on X any section of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}$ is of the form $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c')$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, so locally on $C(X)$ any section s' of $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is of the form $\Pi_{X, \text{ex}}^{-1} \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c')$. Thus it is enough to verify the universal property above when $s' = \Pi_{X, \text{ex}}^{-1} \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c')|_U$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, as it is local in s' anyway. That is, $\Pi_X^\sharp : \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$ is characterized by the property that if $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ and $U \subset C(X)$ is open with $\pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c') \in P_x \subset \mathfrak{C}_{x, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}}$ for all $(x, P_x) \in U$ then $\Pi_{X, \text{ex}}^\sharp(C(X)) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c')|_U = 0$.

Since $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a homeomorphism by Lemma 6.8, the pullback $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\Pi_X^\sharp)$ in (6.16) has the analogous universal property. Let $U \subseteq C(X)$ and $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ have the properties above, and set $\tilde{U} = \zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(U)$. Suppose $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}$ with $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tilde{x}) = (x, P_x)$ in $U \subseteq C(X)$, and let \tilde{x} correspond to $(x, a_{c'}, b_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ in (6.12). By construction of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ we have $a_{c'} = 0, b_{c'} = 1$ as $\pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c') \in P_x$. Thus the relation $c' \beta_{c'} = 0$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}$ in (6.5) implies that $\tilde{\pi}_{\tilde{x}, \text{ex}}(c') = 0$ in $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\tilde{x}, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}}^{\text{ex}}$. As this holds for all $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{U}$ we have $\check{\Pi}_{X, \text{ex}}^\sharp(\tilde{X}) \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c')|_{\tilde{U}} = 0$. Hence the universal property of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\Pi_X^\sharp)$ gives a unique morphism $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^\sharp$ making (6.16) commute.

To show $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^\sharp$ is an isomorphism, it is enough to show it is an isomorphism on stalks at each $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$. Let \tilde{x} correspond to $(x, a_{c'}, b_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ in (6.12), with $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tilde{x}) = (x, P_x)$, so that $a_{c'} = 0, b_{c'} = 1$ if $\pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c') \in P_x$ and $a_{c'} = 1, b_{c'} = 0$ otherwise. The stalk $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)})_{\tilde{x}}$ is $\mathcal{O}_{C(X), (x, P_x)}$, which is $\mathfrak{C}_x / \sim_{P_x}$. The stalk $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}}$ is $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\tilde{x}}$. By the values of $a_{c'}, b_{c'}$, in (6.5) we know that $\alpha_{c'} = 0, \beta_{c'} = 1$ near \tilde{x} if $\pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c') \in P_x$, and $\alpha_{c'} = 1, \beta_{c'} = 0$ near \tilde{x} otherwise. Hence the generators $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$ add no new generators to $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\tilde{x}}$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\tilde{x}}$ is a quotient of \mathfrak{C}_x . The relations in (6.5) turn into relations in $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\tilde{x}}$ according to the local values 0 or 1 of $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$. Therefore we see that

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\tilde{x}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_x / [\pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c') = 0 \text{ if } c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \text{ with } \pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c') \in P_x] = \mathfrak{C}_x / \sim_{P_x},$$

where the second step holds as $\pi_{x, \text{ex}}$ is surjective. So we have an isomorphism of stalks $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(X)})_{\tilde{x}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}}$, which is the natural map $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^\sharp$. \square

Lemmas 6.8 and 6.9 show $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}} = (\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}, \zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\sharp})$ is an isomorphism $\tilde{X} \rightarrow C(X)$, as in (6.11). So $\eta_{\mathfrak{C}} = \zeta_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ \text{Spec}^c \psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}}$ is an isomorphism in (6.8). Equations (6.15)–(6.16) imply that (6.9) commutes. This proves the first part of Theorem 6.6.

For the second part, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & \eta_{\mathfrak{D}} & & \\
& \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & \\
\text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{D}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}^c \psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}}} & \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\mathfrak{D}} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathfrak{D}}} & C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}) \\
\downarrow \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\phi) = \text{Spec}^c \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\phi}) & & \downarrow \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\phi} & & \downarrow C(\text{Spec}^c \phi) \\
\text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}^c \psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}}} & \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\mathfrak{C}} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}} & C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}) \\
& \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & \eta_{\mathfrak{C}} & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} &
\end{array}$$

The left hand square commutes as $\psi : \text{Id} \Rightarrow \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}$ is a natural transformation in Definition 5.26. The right hand square commutes by functoriality of $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in (6.11). The semicircles commute by definition of $\eta_{\mathfrak{C}}, \eta_{\mathfrak{D}}$. Hence (6.10) commutes, and the theorem follows. \square

The next proposition follows from the proof of Theorem 6.6. Here $C(X)^P$ is closed as it may be defined by the equations $\alpha_{c'} = 0$ for $c' \in P$, $\alpha_{c'} = 1$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P$. Example 6.18 below shows the $C(X)^P$ need not be open.

Proposition 6.10. *Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c$, and write $X = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. With $C(X)$ as in (6.1), for each $P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$, define*

$$\begin{aligned}
X^P &= \{x \in X : x \circ \Phi_i(c') = 0 \text{ for all } c' \in P\}, & (6.17) \\
C(X)^P &= \{(x, P_x) \in C(X) : \pi_{x, \text{ex}}(P) = P_x \text{ in } \mathfrak{C}_{x, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}}\} \\
&= \{(x, P_x) \in C(X) : P = \pi_{x, \text{ex}}^{-1}(P_x)\} \\
&= \{(x, P_x) \in C(X) : \text{if } c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \text{ then } \pi_{x, \text{ex}}(c') \in P_x \\
&\quad \text{in } \mathfrak{C}_{x, \text{ex}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}} \text{ if and only if } c' \in P\} \subseteq C(X), & (6.18)
\end{aligned}$$

where the three expressions in (6.18) are equivalent. Then $\Pi_X : C(X) \rightarrow X$ restricts to a bijection $C(X)^P \rightarrow X^P$. Also $C(X)^P$ is closed in $C(X)$, but not necessarily open, and just as sets we have

$$C(X) = \coprod_{P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}} C(X)^P. \quad (6.19)$$

As an easy consequence of Theorem 6.6, we deduce:

Theorem 6.11. *The corner functor $C : \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ of §6.1 maps $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. We write $C : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ for the restriction, and call it a **corner functor**. It is right adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}^c$.*

As C is a right adjoint, it preserves limits in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}^c, \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Proof. Let X lie in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}^c$. Then we can cover X by open $U \subseteq X$ with $U \cong \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c$. Since the definition of $\Pi_X : C(X) \rightarrow X$ is local over X , we have $\Pi_X^{-1}(U) \cong C(U) \cong C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C})$. Theorem 6.6 gives $C(\text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}) \cong \text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})$, with $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) \in \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}^c$.

Since $\text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})$ lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$, Theorem 5.28 shows that $\text{Spec}^c \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{D}$ for $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. Thus we can cover $C(\mathbf{X})$ by open $\Pi_X^{-1}(U) \subseteq C(\mathbf{X})$ with $\Pi_X^{-1}(U) \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{D}$ for $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$, so $C(\mathbf{X})$ is an interior C^∞ -scheme with corners. Therefore $C : \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$ maps $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. The rest is immediate from Theorem 6.3. \square

The next proposition is easy to prove by considering local models.

Proposition 6.12. *The corner functors C for $\mathbf{Man}^c, \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}, \check{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{an}^c, \check{\mathbf{M}}\mathbf{an}^{\text{gc}}$ in Definition 3.16, and for $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c$ in Theorem 6.11, commute with the functors $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}, \dots, F_{\mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}$ in Definition 5.21 up to natural isomorphism.*

Combining Theorems 5.31(b), 6.4 and 6.11 yields:

Theorem 6.13. *Restricting C in Theorem 6.11 gives corner functors*

$$\begin{aligned} C : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa,ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{sa}}^c, & C : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf,ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{tf}}^c, \\ C : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z},\text{ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c, & C : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in,ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c, \end{aligned}$$

in the notation of Definition 5.29, which are right adjoint to the corresponding inclusions. As these corner functors are right adjoints, they preserve limits.

6.3 The corner functor for firm C^∞ -schemes with corners

The following proposition is why we included $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}$ in defining \tilde{C} in Definition 6.5. It forces relations on $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$ in $\tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C}) = \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}})$, which need not hold in $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Proposition 6.14. *Let $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$ and write $\mathfrak{D} = \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})$ with morphism $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, so we have elements $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}, \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. Write $c' \mapsto [c'], d' \mapsto [d']$ for the projections $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp, \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \mapsto \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$. Then:*

- (a) *If $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $[c'_1] = [c'_2]$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ then $\alpha_{c'_1} = \alpha_{c'_2}, \beta_{c'_1} = \beta_{c'_2}$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} .*
- (b) *If $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ and $i_1, \dots, i_n, j_1, \dots, j_n$ are positive integers then $\alpha_{c'_1{}^{i_1} \dots c'_n{}^{i_n}} = \alpha_{c'_1{}^{j_1} \dots c'_n{}^{j_n}}$ and $\beta_{c'_1{}^{i_1} \dots c'_n{}^{i_n}} = \beta_{c'_1{}^{j_1} \dots c'_n{}^{j_n}}$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} .*
- (c) *$\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ is generated by $[\alpha_{c'}], [\beta_{c'}], [\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C},\text{ex}}(c')]$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$.*
- (d) *If \mathfrak{C} is firm then \mathfrak{D} is firm. Thus \tilde{C} maps $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{ff}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{ff}}^c$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$ be as in (6.5) and $\tilde{X} = \text{Spec}^c \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}$, so that $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\tilde{X})$, and elements of \mathfrak{D}_{ex} are global sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{\text{ex}}$ on \tilde{X} . Hence $d'_1, d'_2 \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}$ have $d'_1 = d'_2$ if and only if $d'_1|_{\tilde{x}} = d'_2|_{\tilde{x}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},\tilde{x}}^{\text{ex}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\tilde{x},\text{ex}}$ for all $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$.

In the proof of Theorem 6.6 we saw that if $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ with $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}}(\tilde{x}) = (x, P_x)$ in $C(X)$ and $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, and P corresponds to P_x under (6.14), then $\alpha_{c'}|_{\tilde{x}} = 0, \beta_{c'}|_{\tilde{x}} = 1$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X},\tilde{x}}^{\text{ex}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\tilde{x},\text{ex}}$ if $c' \in P$, and $\alpha_{c'}|_{\tilde{x}} = 1, \beta_{c'}|_{\tilde{x}} = 0$ otherwise. Thus, if $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ satisfy $c'_1 \in P$ if and only if $c'_2 \in P$ for any prime ideal $P \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, then $\alpha_{c'_1}|_{\tilde{x}} = \alpha_{c'_2}|_{\tilde{x}}, \beta_{c'_1}|_{\tilde{x}} = \beta_{c'_2}|_{\tilde{x}}$ for all $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$, so $\alpha_{c'_1} = \alpha_{c'_2}, \beta_{c'_1} = \beta_{c'_2}$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} .

Parts (a),(b) are consequences of this criterion. For (a), as prime ideals in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} are preimages of prime ideals in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$, if $[c'_1] = [c'_2]$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ then $c'_1 \in P$ if and only if $c'_2 \in P$ for any prime $P \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. For (b), if $i_1, \dots, j_n > 0$ the prime property of P implies that $c_1^{i_1} \cdots c_n^{j_n} \in P$ if and only if $c_1^{j_1} \cdots c_n^{j_n} \in P$.

For (c), $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ is generated by $[\alpha_{c'}], [\beta_{c'}], [c']$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ by (6.5), and $\psi_{\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}, \text{ex}}^{\sharp} : \tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ is surjective as in the construction of $\mathfrak{D} = \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}})$ in Proposition 5.25, \mathfrak{D}_{ex} is generated in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}^{\text{ex}}(X)$ by invertibles and $\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{\text{ex}}$, so (c) follows.

For (d), let \mathfrak{C} be firm, so that $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ is finitely generated, and choose c'_1, \dots, c'_n in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} such that $[c'_1], \dots, [c'_n]$ generate $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$. Then (a),(b) imply that there are at most 2^n distinct $\alpha_{c'}$ and 2^n distinct $\beta_{c'}$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} , as every $\alpha_{c'}$ equals $\alpha_{\prod_{i \in S} c'_i}$ for some subset $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence (c) shows that $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}}^{\sharp}$ is generated by the $2^{n+1} + n$ elements $[\alpha_{\prod_{i \in S} c'_i}], [\beta_{\prod_{i \in S} c'_i}]$ for $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $[\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{ex}}(c'_i)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore \mathfrak{D} is firm. \square

As for Theorem 6.11, Theorems 5.31(c), 6.11 and Proposition 6.14(d) imply:

Theorem 6.15. *The corner functor $C : \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ from §6.2 maps $\mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. We call the restriction $C : \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ a **corner functor**. It is right adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$.*

As C is a right adjoint, it preserves limits in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$.

Combining Theorems 5.31(c), 6.13, and 6.15 yields:

Theorem 6.16. *Restricting C in Theorem 6.15 gives corner functors*

$$\begin{aligned} C : \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}, \text{ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c, & C : \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{tf}, \text{ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{tf}}^c, \\ C : \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}, \text{ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}, \mathbb{Z}}^c, & C : \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}, \text{ex}}^c &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c, \end{aligned}$$

in the notation of Definition 5.29, which are right adjoint to the corresponding inclusions. As these corner functors are right adjoints, they preserve limits.

For a firm C^{∞} -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} with $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$, we can give an alternative description of $C(\mathbf{X})$:

Theorem 6.17. *Let \mathfrak{C} be a firm C^{∞} -ring with corners and $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. Then $\text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in (6.7) is finite. Hence in the decomposition (6.19), the subsets $C(\mathbf{X})^P \subseteq C(\mathbf{X})$ are open as well as closed, and (6.19) lifts to a disjoint union*

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \coprod_{P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}} C(\mathbf{X})^P \quad \text{in } \mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c. \quad (6.20)$$

For each $P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$, Example 4.25(b) defines a C^{∞} -ring with corners \mathfrak{C}/\sim_P with projection $\pi_P : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}/\sim_P$, where \mathfrak{C}/\sim_P is firm and interior. Write $\mathbf{X}^P = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c(\mathfrak{C}/\sim_P)$ and $\Pi^P = \text{Spec}^c \pi_P : \mathbf{X}^P \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$. Then

$$C(\Pi^P) \circ \iota_{\mathbf{X}^P} : \mathbf{X}^P \longrightarrow C(\mathbf{X}) \quad (6.21)$$

is an isomorphism with the open and closed C^{∞} -subscheme $C(\mathbf{X})^P \subseteq C(\mathbf{X})$. Thus $\coprod_{P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathbf{X}^P \cong C(\mathbf{X})$.

Proof. First note that prime ideals $P \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ are in 1-1 correspondence with prime ideals P^\sharp in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$, which is finitely generated as \mathfrak{C} is firm. If $[c'_1], \dots, [c'_n]$ are generators of $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ then $P^\sharp = \langle \{[c'_1], \dots, [c'_n]\} \cap P^\sharp \rangle$, so there are at most 2^n such prime ideals P^\sharp . Hence $\text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is finite. Thus in (6.19), $C(\mathbf{X})$ is the disjoint union of *finitely many* closed sets $C(\mathbf{X})^P$, so the $C(\mathbf{X})^P$ are also open. Equation (6.20) follows.

Write $\mathfrak{D} = \tilde{C}(\mathfrak{C})$ as in Proposition 6.14 and $\mathbf{Y} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{D}$, so Theorem 6.6 gives an isomorphism $\eta_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})$. Fix $P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and write $\mathbf{Y}^P = \eta_{\mathfrak{C}}^{-1}(C(\mathbf{X})^P)$, so that \mathbf{Y}^P is open and closed in \mathbf{Y} with $\eta_{\mathfrak{C}}|_{\mathbf{Y}^P} : \mathbf{Y}^P \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})^P$ an isomorphism. The proof of Theorem 6.6 implies that \mathbf{Y}^P may be defined as a C^∞ -subscheme of \mathbf{Y} by the equations $\alpha_{c'} = 0, \beta_{c'} = 1$ for $c' \in P$ and $\alpha_{c'} = 1, \beta_{c'} = 0$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P$, where this is only finitely many equations, as there are only finitely many distinct $\alpha_{c'}$ in \mathfrak{D}_{ex} by the proof of Proposition 6.14(d). Thus we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Y}^P &\cong \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{D}/[\alpha_{c'} = 0, \beta_{c'} = 1, c' \in P, \alpha_{c''} = 1, \beta_{c''} = 0, c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P]) \\
&= \text{Spec}^c(\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}})/[\alpha_{c'} = 0, \beta_{c'} = 1, c' \in P, \alpha_{c''} = 1, \beta_{c''} = 0, c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P]) \\
&\cong \text{Spec}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{C}}/[\alpha_{c'} = 0, \beta_{c'} = 1, c' \in P, \alpha_{c''} = 1, \beta_{c''} = 0, c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P]) \\
&= \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}[\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'} : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}]/(\Phi_i(\alpha_{c'}) + \Phi_i(\beta_{c'}) = 1 \ \forall c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}) \\
&\quad [\alpha_{1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}} = 1_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}, \alpha_{c'}\alpha_{c''} = \alpha_{c'c''} \ \forall c', c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}, c'\beta_{c'} = \alpha_{c'}\beta_{c'} = 0 \ \forall c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \\
&\quad \alpha_{c'} = 0, \beta_{c'} = 1, c' \in P, \alpha_{c''} = 1, \beta_{c''} = 0, c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P]) \\
&\cong \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}/[c' = 0, c' \in P]) = \text{Spec}^c(\mathfrak{C}/\sim_P) = \mathbf{X}^P, \tag{6.22}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the third step we use that $\Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}}$ commutes with applying relations after composing with Spec^c , in the fourth that $\text{Spec}^c \circ \Pi_{\text{all}}^{\text{sc}} \cong \text{Spec}^c$ and (6.5), and in the fifth that adding generators $\alpha_{c'}, \beta_{c'}$ and setting them to 0 or 1 is equivalent to adding no generators, and the only remaining effective relations are $c'\beta_{c'} = 0$ when $c' \in P$, so that $\beta_{c'} = 1$, giving $c' = 0$.

Composing the inverse of (6.22) with $\eta_{\mathfrak{C}}|_{\mathbf{Y}^P}$ gives a morphism $\theta_P : \mathbf{X}^P \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})$ which is an isomorphism with $C(\mathbf{X})^P \subset C(\mathbf{X})$. As \mathfrak{C}/\sim_P is interior, \mathbf{X}^P is interior, so (6.21) is well defined. One can check, from the actions on points and on stalks $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}^P, x}, \mathcal{O}_{C(\mathbf{X}), (x, P_x)}$, that (6.21) is θ_P . The theorem follows. \square

We explain by example how Theorem 6.17 goes wrong in the non-firm case.

Example 6.18. Write $[0, \infty) = F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}([0, \infty)) = \text{Spec}^c \mathbf{C}^\infty([0, \infty))$ as an affine C^∞ -scheme with corners. Proposition 6.12 gives $C([0, \infty)) \cong \{*\} \amalg [0, \infty)$, writing $\partial[0, \infty) = \{*\}$, a single point.

Let S be an infinite set. Consider $\mathbf{X} = \prod_{s \in S} [0, \infty)_s$, the product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c$ of a copy $[0, \infty)_s$ of $[0, \infty)$ for each s in S . Since Spec^c preserves limits as it is a right adjoint, we have $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for $\mathfrak{C} = \bigotimes_{\infty}^{s \in S} \mathbf{C}^\infty([0, \infty))_s$.

As $C : \mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$ preserves limits by Theorem 6.11 we have

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = C(\prod_{s \in S} [0, \infty)_s) \cong \prod_{s \in S} (\{*\}_s \amalg [0, \infty)_s). \tag{6.23}$$

Just as a set, we may decompose the right hand side of (6.23) as

$$C(X) \cong \coprod_{T \subseteq S} \left(\prod_{t \in T} \{*\}_t \times \prod_{s \in S \setminus T} [0, \infty)_s \right) =: \coprod_{T \subseteq S} C(X)^T. \quad (6.24)$$

One can show that subsets $T \subseteq S$ are in natural 1-1 correspondence with prime ideals $P \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$, and (6.24) is the decomposition (6.19) for $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$.

Since S is an infinite set, the subsets $C(X)^T \subset C(X)$ in (6.24) are closed, but not open, in (6.24). This is because $C(X)$ has the product topology, as the forgetful functor $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ preserves limits, and an infinite product of topological spaces $\prod_{s \in S} X_s$ has a weaker topology than one might expect: if $U_s \subseteq X_s$ is open for $s \in S$ then $\prod_{s \in S} U_s$ is generally *not* open in $\prod_{s \in S} X_s$, unless $U_s = X_s$ for all but finitely many $s \in S$.

Although Theorem 6.17 makes sense when \mathfrak{C} is not firm and $\text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is infinite, it is false in general, as $C(\mathbf{X}) \cong \coprod_P \mathbf{X}^P$ fails already for topological spaces.

6.4 The sheaves of monoids $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$ on $C(\mathbf{X})$

As in Remark 3.18(d), for a manifold with g-corners X , in [23, §3.6] we defined the *comonoid bundle* $M_{C(X)}^\vee \rightarrow C(X)$, a local system of toric monoids on $C(X)$ with the property that if $(x, \gamma) \in C(X)^\circ$ and $M_{C(X)}^\vee|_{(x, \gamma)} = P$ then X near x is locally diffeomorphic to $X_P \times \mathbb{R}^{\dim X - \text{rank } P}$ near $(\delta_0, 0)$. If X is a manifold with corners then $M_{C(X)}^\vee$ has fibre \mathbb{N}^k over $C_k(X)$. We now generalize these ideas to firm C^∞ -schemes with corners.

Definition 6.19. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a local C^∞ -ringed space with corners, so that Definition 6.1 defines the corners $C(\mathbf{X}) = (C(X), \mathcal{O}_{C(X)})$ in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$, with a morphism $\Pi_{\mathbf{X}} : C(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$. We have a sheaf of monoids $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})$ on $C(X)$, with stalks $(\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}))_{(x, P)} = \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}}$ at (x, P) in $C(X)$, and we have a natural prime ideal $P \subset (\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}))_{(x, P)}$ in the stalk at each $(x, P) \in C(X)$.

Define a presheaf of monoids $\mathcal{P}\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ on $C(X)$ by, for each open $U \subseteq C(X)$,

$$\mathcal{P}\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}(U) = \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U) / [s = 1 : s \in \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U), s|_{(x, P)} \notin P \text{ for all } (x, P) \in U].$$

For open $V \subseteq U \subseteq C(X)$, the restriction map $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{P}\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}(V)$ is induced by $\rho_{UV} : \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U) \rightarrow \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(V)$. This gives a well defined presheaf $\mathcal{P}\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ valued in \mathbf{Mon} . Write $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ for its sheafification.

The surjective projections $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}(U)$ for open $U \subseteq C(X)$ induce a surjective morphism $\Pi_{\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}} : \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$. Local sections s of $\Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}})$ lying in $\mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}} \setminus P$ at each (x, P) have $\pi(s) = 1$ in $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$, and $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ is universal with this property. The stalk of $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ at (x, P) is

$$(\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})_{(x, P)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}} / [s = 1 : s \in \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\text{ex}} \setminus P]. \quad (6.25)$$

Now suppose that \mathbf{X} is interior. Then as $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is interior for $x \in X$, the monoid $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ has no zero divisors, and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{in}} = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \setminus \{0\}$ is also a monoid. Write $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}} \subset \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ for the subsheaf of sections of $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ which are nonzero at every $(x, P) \in C(X)$. Then $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$ is also a sheaf of monoids on $C(X)$, with stalk $(\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)} = (\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)} \setminus \{0\}$ at each $(x, P) \in C(X)$.

Next let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$, so that $C(f) : C(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Y})$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^c$. Consider the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
C(f)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{C(Y)}^{\text{ex}}) & \xleftarrow{C(f)^{-1} \circ \Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}) =} & & \xrightarrow{C(f)^{-1}(\check{M}_{C(Y)}^{\text{ex}})} & C(f)^{-1}(\check{M}_{C(Y)}^{\text{ex}}) \\
\downarrow C(f)_{\text{ex}}^\# & \xleftarrow{C(f)^{-1}(\Pi_{Y,\text{ex}}^\#)} & \Pi_X^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \circ (\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}) & \xrightarrow{C(f)^{-1}(\Pi_{\check{M}_{C(Y)}^{\text{ex}}}^\#)} & \check{M}_{C(f)}^{\text{ex}} \downarrow \\
\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}} & \xleftarrow{\Pi_{X,\text{ex}}^\#} & \Pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}) & \xrightarrow{\Pi_{\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}}^\#} & \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}
\end{array} \quad (6.26)$$

Here $C(f)^{-1}(\Pi_{\check{M}_{C(Y)}^{\text{ex}}}^\#) : C(f)^{-1} \circ \Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow C(f)^{-1}(\check{M}_{C(Y)}^{\text{ex}})$ is the quotient sheaf of monoids which is universal for morphisms $C(f)^{-1} \circ \Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow M$, for M a sheaf of monoids on $C(X)$, such that a local section s of $C(f)^{-1} \circ \Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}})$ is mapped to 1 in M if $s|_{(x,P)} \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}^{\text{ex}} \setminus Q$ at each $(x, P) \in C(X)$ with $C(f)(x, P) = (y, Q)$ in $C(Y)$.

Now taking $M = \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$, the morphism $\Pi_{\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}}^\# \circ \Pi_X^{-1}(f_{\text{ex}}^\#) : C(f)^{-1} \circ \Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ has the property required. This is because $C(f)$ is interior, so $C(f)_{\text{ex}}^\#$ maps $\mathcal{O}_{C(Y),(y,Q)}^{\text{ex}} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C(X),(x,P)}^{\text{ex}} \setminus \{0\}$, and thus $\Pi_X^{-1}(f_{\text{ex}}^\#)$ maps $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}^{\text{ex}} \setminus Q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \setminus P$, and $\Pi_{\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}}^\#$ maps $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} \setminus P \rightarrow 1$. Hence there is a unique morphism $\check{M}_{C(f)}^{\text{ex}} : C(f)^{-1} \circ \Pi_Y^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ of sheaves of monoids on $C(X)$ making (6.26) commute.

If $g : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is another morphism in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty \mathbf{RS}^c$, then composing (6.26) for f, g vertically we see that $\check{M}_{C(g \circ f)}^{\text{ex}} = \check{M}_{C(f)}^{\text{ex}} \circ C(f)^{-1}(\check{M}_{C(g)}^{\text{ex}})$. So the $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$, $\check{M}_{C(f)}^{\text{ex}}$ are contravariantly functorial.

Proposition 6.20. *Let \mathbf{X} be a firm C^∞ -scheme with corners. Then $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ in Definition 6.19 is a **locally constant** sheaf of monoids on $C(X)$, and the stalks $(\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)}$ for $(x, P) \in C(X)$ are finitely generated sharp monoids, with zero elements not equal to the identity. If \mathbf{X} is interior, the same holds for $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$, but without with zero elements.*

Proof. As the proposition is local in \mathbf{X} , it is sufficient to prove it in the case that $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$ for \mathfrak{C} a firm C^∞ -ring with corners. Then Theorem 6.17 defines an isomorphism $\coprod_{P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}} \mathbf{X}^P \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})$.

We will prove that for $P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$, the restriction of $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ to the image $C(\mathbf{X})^P$ of \mathbf{X}^P under (6.21) is the constant sheaf with fibre the monoid

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} / [c' = 1 : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P] \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\# / [c'' = 1 : c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\# \setminus P^\#]. \quad (6.27)$$

Here $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ and $P^\sharp = P/\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$, and the two sides of (6.27) are isomorphic as $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P$, so quotienting by $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times$ commutes with setting all elements of $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P$ or $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp \setminus P^\sharp$ equal to 1. Equation (6.27) is finitely generated as $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\sharp$ is, since \mathfrak{C} is firm. Also (6.27) is the disjoint union of $\{1\}$, and the image of P , which is an ideal in (6.27), and so contains no units. Hence (6.27) is sharp. The image of $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ is a zero element not equal to the identity.

Suppose $P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and $x' \in \mathbf{X}^P$ maps to $(x, P_x) \in C(\mathbf{X})$ under (6.21), where $P_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ is a prime ideal, with $P_x = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(P) \subsetneq \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ and $P = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(P_x) \subsetneq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ by Lemma 6.7. Consider the diagram of monoids:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} & \xrightarrow{\text{project}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/[c' = 1 : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P] = (6.27) \\ \downarrow \pi_{x,\text{ex}} & & (\pi_{x,\text{ex}})_* \downarrow \ddots \\ \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} & \xrightarrow{\text{project}} & \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}/[c'' = 1 : c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \setminus P_x] = (\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P_x)}. \end{array} \quad (6.28)$$

As $P = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}^{-1}(P_x)$, if $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P$ then $c'' = \pi_{x,\text{ex}}(c') \in \mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}} \setminus P_x$, so there is a unique, surjective morphism $(\pi_{x,\text{ex}})_*$ making (6.28) commute.

We claim that $(\pi_{x,\text{ex}})_*$ is an isomorphism. To see this, note that as in §4.6, $\mathfrak{C}_{x,\text{ex}}$ may be obtained from \mathfrak{C}_{ex} by inverting all elements $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $x \circ \Phi_i(c') \neq 0$, and setting $c'' = 1$ for all $c'' \in \Psi_{\text{exp}}(I)$, where $I \subset \mathfrak{C}$ is the ideal vanishing near x . Here $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ with $x \circ \Phi_i(c') \neq 0$ and $c'' \in \Psi_{\text{exp}}(I)$ both lie in the set $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P$ of elements set to 1 in the right hand of (6.28). Also first inverting c' and then setting $c' = 1$ is equivalent to just setting $c' = 1$.

Thus $(\pi_{x,\text{ex}})_*$ is an isomorphism. So the fibre of $(\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P_x)}$ at each (x, P_x) in the image of \mathbf{X}^P is naturally isomorphic to (6.27), which is independent of (x, P_x) . It easily follows that $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ is constant with fibre (6.27) on the image \mathbf{X}^P . The analogue for $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$ when \mathbf{X} is interior is immediate. \square

Remark 6.21. If \mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{LC}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$ or $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, but not in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fl}}^c$, then $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ in Definition 6.19 may not be locally constant.

For example, suppose $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ is not firm, and \mathfrak{C}_{ex} has infinitely many prime ideals P , and $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C}$. Then (6.19) decomposes $C(\mathbf{X})$ into infinitely many disjoint closed subsets $C(\mathbf{X})^P$, which need not be open. The proof of Proposition 6.20 shows that $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}|_{C(\mathbf{X})^P}$ is constant on $C(\mathbf{X})^P$ with value (6.27) for each P . But if the $C(\mathbf{X})^P$ are not open, as happens in Example 6.18, then in general $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}}$ is not locally constant.

Proposition 6.20 implies that if \mathbf{X} is a firm C^∞ -scheme with corners then

$$C(\mathbf{X}) = \coprod_{\substack{\text{iso. classes } [M] \text{ of finitely generated} \\ \text{sharp monoids } M \text{ with zero elements}}} C_M(\mathbf{X}),$$

where $C_M(\mathbf{X}) \subseteq C(\mathbf{X})$ is the open and closed C^∞ -subscheme of points (x, P_x) in $C(\mathbf{X})$ with $(\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P_x)} \cong M$.

Example 6.22. In Theorem 6.17, let $\mathfrak{C} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^n)$, so that $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} = F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(\mathbb{R}_k^n)$. Take P to be the prime ideal $P = \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$ in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , so that (6.21) maps \mathbf{X}^P to the corner stratum $\{x_1 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ of \mathbb{R}_k^n in $C(\mathbf{X})$. The proof of Proposition 6.20 shows that $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}}$ on \mathbf{X}^P is the constant sheaf with fibre (6.27). In this case \mathfrak{C} is interior with

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} = \{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k} \exp f : a_i \in \mathbb{N}, f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^n)\}, \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\}. \quad (6.29)$$

Since $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus P = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times = \{\exp f : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^n)\}$, equation (6.27) is $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\times = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\# \cong \mathbb{N}^k \amalg \{0\}$. So $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}}$ has fibre $\mathbb{N}^k \amalg \{0\}$, and $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}}$ has fibre \mathbb{N}^k , on \mathbf{X}^P .

Now let Y be a manifold with corners, and $\mathbf{Y} = F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(Y)$. Then Proposition 6.12 says that $C(\mathbf{Y}) \cong F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(C(Y))$. Let $(y, \gamma) \in C_k(Y)^\circ$. Then $y \in S^k(Y)$, and Y near y is locally diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}_k^n near 0, such that the local k -corner component γ of Y at y is identified with $\{x_1 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}_k^n . Hence $C(\mathbf{Y})$ and $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{in}}$ near (y, γ) are locally isomorphic to $C(\mathbf{X}), \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}}$ near $(0, \{x_1 = \dots = x_k = 0\})$. Therefore $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{in}}$ have fibres $\mathbb{N}^k \amalg \{0\}, \mathbb{N}^k$ on $C_k(Y)^\circ$, and hence on $C_k(Y)$, as $C_k(Y)^\circ$ is dense in $C_k(Y)$ and $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{in}}$ are locally constant by Proposition 6.20.

As in Remark 3.18(d), in [23, §3.6] we define the *comonoid bundle* $M_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^\vee \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Y})$, which has fibre \mathbb{N}^k over $C_k(Y)$. It is easy to check that the sheaf of continuous sections of $M_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^\vee$ is canonically isomorphic to $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{in}}$.

Example 6.23. We can generalize Example 6.22 to manifolds with g-corners. Let Q be a toric monoid and $l \geq 0$, and set $\mathfrak{C} = C^\infty(X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l)$, so that $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c \mathfrak{C} = F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l)$. Take P to be the prime ideal of functions in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} vanishing on $\{\delta_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^l$, so that (6.21) maps \mathbf{X}^P to the corner stratum $\{\delta_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^l$ in $C(\mathbf{X})$. The analogue of (6.29) is

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} = \{\lambda_q \exp f : q \in Q, f \in C^\infty(X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l)\}, \quad \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0\},$$

for $\lambda_q : X_Q \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as in Definition 3.7, so $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^\# \cong Q$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\# \cong Q \amalg \{0\}$, and $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}}$ have fibres $Q \amalg \{0\}, Q$ on \mathbf{X}^P .

Now let Y be a manifold with g-corners, and $\mathbf{Y} = F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(Y)$, so that $C(\mathbf{Y}) \cong F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(C(Y))$ by Proposition 6.12. Let $(y, \gamma) \in C(Y)^\circ$. Then Y near y is locally diffeomorphic to $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$ near $(\delta_0, 0)$ for some toric monoid Q and $l \geq 0$, such that the local corner component γ of Y at y is identified with $\{\delta_0\} \times \mathbb{R}^l$ in $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$. Hence $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{ex}}, \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{in}}$ have fibres $Q \amalg \{0\}, Q$ at (y, γ) .

As in Remark 3.18(d), the comonoid bundle $M_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^\vee \rightarrow C(\mathbf{Y})$ of [23, §3.6] also has fibre Q at (y, γ) . It is easy to check that the sheaf of continuous sections of $M_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^\vee$ is canonically isomorphic to $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{in}}$.

6.5 The boundary $\partial \mathbf{X}$ and k -corners $C_k(\mathbf{X})$

If X is a manifold with (g-)corners then the corners $C(X)$ from §3.4 has a decomposition $C(X) = \coprod_{k=0}^{\dim X} C_k(X)$ with $C_0(X) \cong X$ and $C_1(X) = \partial X$. We generalize this to firm (interior) C^∞ -schemes with corners \mathbf{X} .

Definition 6.24. The *dimension* $\dim M$ in $\mathbb{N} \amalg \{\infty\}$ of a monoid M is the maximum length d (or ∞ if there is no maximum) of a chain of prime ideals $\emptyset \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq P_2 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq P_d \subsetneq M$. If M is finitely generated then $\dim M < \infty$. If M is toric then $\dim M = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(M \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R})$.

Let \mathbf{X} be a firm C^∞ -scheme with corners. For each $k = 0, 1, \dots$, define the k -corners $C_k(\mathbf{X}) \subseteq C(\mathbf{X})$ to be the C^∞ -subscheme of $(x, P) \in C(\mathbf{X})$ with $\dim(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)} = k + 1$. Here $(x, P) \mapsto \dim(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)}$ is a locally constant function $C(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 6.20, so $C_k(\mathbf{X})$ is open and closed in $C(\mathbf{X})$. Also $\dim(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)} \geq 1$ as $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)}$ has at least one prime ideal $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)} \setminus \{1\}$, since $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)}$ is sharp and $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)} \setminus \{1\} \neq \emptyset$ as $0 \neq 1$. Hence $C(\mathbf{X}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} C_k(\mathbf{X})$.

We define the *boundary* $\partial \mathbf{X}$ to be $\partial \mathbf{X} = C_1(\mathbf{X}) \subset C(\mathbf{X})$.

If \mathbf{X} is interior then $\dim(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)} = \dim(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)} + 1$, as chains of ideals in $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)}$ lift to chains in $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{ex}})_{(x,P)}$, plus $\{0\}$. Hence $C_k(\mathbf{X})$ is the C^∞ -subscheme of $(x, P) \in C(\mathbf{X})$ with $\dim(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)} = k$.

For \mathbf{X} interior, if $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)} \neq \{1\}$ then $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)} \setminus \{1\}$ is prime, so $\dim(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)} > 0$. But $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)} = \{1\}$ if and only if $P = \{0\}$, as $0 \neq p \in P$ descends to $[p] \neq 1$ in $(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}})_{(x,P)}$ since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ has no zero divisors. Hence $C_0(\mathbf{X}) = \{(x, \{0\}) : x \in \mathbf{X}\} \subseteq C(\mathbf{X})$. It is now easy to see that $\iota_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})$ in Definition 6.1 is an isomorphism $\iota_{\mathbf{X}} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow C_0(\mathbf{X})$.

Example 6.25. Let X be a manifold with (g-)corners and $\mathbf{X} = F_{\text{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(X)$, which is a firm interior C^∞ -scheme with corners. As in Proposition 6.12 there is a natural isomorphism $C(\mathbf{X}) \cong F_{\text{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(C(X))$. It is easy to check that this identifies $C_k(\mathbf{X}) \cong F_{\text{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}^c}(C_k(X))$ for each $k = 0, \dots, \dim X$.

Example 6.26. If \mathbf{X} lies in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fi}}^c$ but not $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fi, in}}^c$ then $C_0(\mathbf{X})$ can contain (x, P) with $P \neq \{0\}$. For instance, if $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}^c(\mathbb{R}[x, y]/[xy = 0])$ then $((0, 0), \langle x \rangle)$ and $((0, 0), \langle y \rangle)$ lie in $C_0(\mathbf{X})$. Also $\{0\}$ is not prime in $\mathcal{O}_{X, (0,0)}^{\text{ex}}$, so $((0, 0), \{0\}) \notin C(\mathbf{X})$. Clearly $\mathbf{X} \not\cong C_0(\mathbf{X})$, as only one of the two is interior.

6.6 Fibre products and corner functors

The authors' favourite categories of 'nice' C^∞ -schemes with corners generalizing $\text{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}, \text{Man}^{\text{gc}}$ are $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c$. Theorem 5.34 says that fibre products and finite limits exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$. Now we address the question of when fibre products exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c$, or more generally in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{fi, in, ex}}^c$, or in categories like those in (5.10) in which the objects are interior, but the morphisms need not be interior. We use corner functors as a tool to do this.

Theorem 6.27. Let $g : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}, h : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c$. Consider the question of whether a fibre product $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, h} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c$, with projections $e : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}, f : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$.

(a) If \mathbf{W} exists then the following is a bijection of sets:

$$(e, f) : \mathbf{W} \longrightarrow \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : g(x) = h(y)\}. \quad (6.30)$$

Note that this need not hold for fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$.

(b) If \mathbf{W} exists then \mathbf{W} is isomorphic to an open and closed C^∞ -subscheme \mathbf{W}' of $C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{g}), C(\mathbf{Z}), C(\mathbf{h})} C(\mathbf{Y})$, where the fibre product is taken in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$, using $C(\mathbf{g}), C(\mathbf{h})$ interior, and exists by Theorem 5.34.

(c) Writing $C(X) \times_{C(Z)} C(Y)$ for the topological space of $C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{Z})} C(\mathbf{Y})$, we have a natural continuous map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(X) \times_{C(Z)} C(Y) & \longrightarrow & \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : g(x) = h(y)\}, \\ w' \xleftarrow{(\Pi_{C(X)}, \Pi_{C(Y)})} & ((x, P_x), (y, P_y)) & \xleftarrow{\Pi_X \times \Pi_Y} (x, y). \end{array} \quad (6.31)$$

If there does not exist an open and closed subset $W' \subset C(X) \times_{C(Z)} C(Y)$ such that (6.31) restricts to a bijection on W' , then no fibre product $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$.

(d) Suppose \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h} are interior, and a fibre product $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{Y}$, e, f exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$. Then \mathbf{W} is also a fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$ if and only if the following diagram is Cartesian in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(\mathbf{W}) & \xrightarrow{C(f)} & C(\mathbf{Y}) \\ \downarrow C(e) & & C(\mathbf{h}) \downarrow \\ C(\mathbf{X}) & \xrightarrow{C(g)} & C(\mathbf{Z}), \end{array} \quad (6.32)$$

that is, if $C(\mathbf{W}) \cong C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{g}), C(\mathbf{Z}), C(\mathbf{h})} C(\mathbf{Y})$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$.

The analogues hold for $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$, and $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{tf}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$, except that (6.30) is a bijection for fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c$.

Proof. For (a), suppose \mathbf{W} exists, and apply the universal property of fibre products for morphisms from the point $*$ into $\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$. There is a 1-1 correspondence between morphisms $w : * \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$ and points $w' = w(*) \in \mathbf{W}$. Using this, the universal property gives the bijection (6.30).

This argument does not work for fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$, as (necessarily interior) morphisms $w : * \rightarrow \mathbf{W}$ need not correspond to points of \mathbf{W} . For example, morphisms $w : * \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$ correspond to points of $(0, \infty)$ not $[0, \infty)$. Equation (6.30) is not a bijection for the fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$ in Examples 6.29–6.30. This also holds for $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{tf}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$.

The inclusion $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$ preserves fibre products by Theorems 5.33 and 5.34. Thus a fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c$ is also a fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$, and (6.30) is a bijection.

For (b), suppose \mathbf{W} exists. As $C : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$ preserves limits by Theorem 6.16, we have $C(\mathbf{W}) \cong C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{g}), C(\mathbf{Z}), C(\mathbf{h})} C(\mathbf{Y})$. But

Hence no fibre product $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}, \text{in}, \text{ex}}^c$, or $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}, \text{ex}}^c$, or in any category like those in (5.10) in which the objects are interior, but the morphisms need not be interior.

The next two examples are ‘b-transverse’, but not ‘c-transverse’, in the sense of Definition 6.31 below.

Example 6.29. Define manifolds with corners $X = Y = [0, \infty)$, $Z = [0, \infty)^2$, and interior maps $g : X \rightarrow Z$, $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ by $g(x) = (x, x)$ and $h(y) = (y, y^2)$. Let $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ be the images of X, \dots, h under $F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c}$. Then $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ are morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$, so a fibre product $\tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ by Theorem 5.34.

Using the proof of Theorem 5.34 we can show that $\tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$, where

$$\mathfrak{C} = \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\mathbb{R}[x, y]/[x = y, x = y^2]) \cong \mathbb{R}[x, y]/[x = y = 1] \cong \mathbf{C}^\infty(*), \quad (6.33)$$

so $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ is a single ordinary point, which maps to $x = 1$ in \mathbf{X} and $y = 1$ in \mathbf{Y} . This is because on applying Π_{in}^Z in Theorem 4.48, $x = y, x = y^2$ force $x = y = 1$.

In contrast, the fibre product $\hat{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}, \text{in}}^c$ is $\hat{\mathbf{W}} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c(\mathbb{R}[x, y]/[x = y, x = y^2])$, which is two points, an ordinary point at $x = y = 1$, and another at $x = y = 0$ with a non-toric corner structure. Theorems 5.33–5.34 imply that $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$ is also the fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}}^c$.

For the fibre product $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}, \text{ex}}^c$, we have

$$C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{Z})} C(\mathbf{Y}) \cong \{(1, 1)\} \amalg \{(0, 0)\} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c,$$

which is two ordinary points, $(1, 1)$ from $C_0(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C_0(\mathbf{Z})} C_0(\mathbf{Y}) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{W}}$, and $(0, 0)$ from $C_1(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C_2(\mathbf{Z})} C_1(\mathbf{Y}) \cong * \times_* *$. (If we had formed the fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}, \text{in}}^c$ there would have been a third, non-toric point over $(0, 0)$ in $C_0(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C_0(\mathbf{Z})} C_0(\mathbf{Y}) \cong \hat{\mathbf{W}}$.) Also

$$\{(x, y) \in X \times Y : g(x) = h(y)\} = \{(1, 1), (0, 0)\}.$$

Thus $W' = C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{Z})} C(\mathbf{Y})$ satisfies the condition in Theorem 6.27(c), and in fact $\mathbf{W} = C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{Z})} C(\mathbf{Y}) \cong \{(1, 1)\} \amalg \{(0, 0)\}$ is a fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}, \text{ex}}^c$. Hence in this case fibre products $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}, \text{ex}}^c$, but are different, so $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}, \text{ex}}^c$ does not preserve limits, in contrast to the last part of Theorem 5.33. The same holds for $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}, \mathbf{Z}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}, \mathbf{Z}, \text{ex}}^c$ and $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}, \text{tf}}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathfrak{fi}, \text{tf}, \text{ex}}^c$, as the fibre products $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}, \mathbf{W}$ are the same in these categories.

Example 6.30. Define manifolds with corners $X = [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, $Y = [0, \infty)$ and $Z = [0, \infty)^2$, and interior maps $g : X \rightarrow Z$, $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ by $g(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_1 e^{x_2})$ and $h(y) = (y, y)$. Let $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ be the images of X, \dots, h under $F_{\text{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}$. Then $\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{h} : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ are morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$, so a fibre product

$\tilde{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, h} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$ by Theorem 5.34. As for (6.33) we find that $\tilde{W} = \text{Spec}_{\mathbf{in}}^c \mathbf{C}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} &= \Pi_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, y]/[x_1 = y, x_1 e^{x_2} = y]) \cong \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, y]/[x_1 = y, x_2 = 0] \\ &\cong \mathbb{R}[y] \cong C^\infty([0, \infty)), \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{W} \cong [0, \infty)$. Similarly, we have a fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$

$$C(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C(\mathbf{Z})} C(\mathbf{Y}) \cong \{(y, \mathbf{0}, y) : y \in [0, \infty)\} \amalg \{(\mathbf{0}, x_2, \mathbf{0}) : x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

where the first component is $C_0(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C_0(\mathbf{Z})} C_0(\mathbf{Y}) \cong \tilde{W}$, and the second is $C_1(\mathbf{X}) \times_{C_2(\mathbf{Z})} C_1(\mathbf{Y})$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : g(x) = h(y)\} &= \{(x_1, x_2, y) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty) : \\ &\text{either } x_1 = y, x_2 = 0 \text{ or } x_1 = y = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Considering a neighbourhood of $(0, 0, 0)$ we see from Theorem 6.27(c) that no fibre product $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \text{ex}}^c$. Thus in this case a fibre product $\mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ exists in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$, but not in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \text{ex}}^c$.

6.7 B- and c-transverse fibre products in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$

6.7.1 Results on b- and c-transverse fibre products from [23]

In [23, Def. 4.24] we define transversality for manifolds with g-corners:

Definition 6.31. Let $g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be morphisms in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ or $\tilde{\mathbf{Man}}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{gc}}$. Then:

- (a) We call g, h *b-transverse* if ${}^b T_x g \oplus {}^b T_y h : {}^b T_x X \oplus {}^b T_y Y \rightarrow {}^b T_z Z$ is surjective for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ with $g(x) = h(y) = z \in Z$.
- (b) We call g, h *c-transverse* if they are b-transverse, and for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ with $g(x) = h(y) = z \in Z$, the linear map ${}^b \tilde{N}_x g \oplus {}^b \tilde{N}_y h : {}^b \tilde{N}_x X \oplus {}^b \tilde{N}_y Y \rightarrow {}^b \tilde{N}_z Z$ is surjective, and the submonoid

$$\{(\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{M}_x X \times \tilde{M}_y Y : \tilde{M}_x g(\lambda) = \tilde{M}_y h(\mu) \text{ in } \tilde{M}_z Z\} \subseteq \tilde{M}_x X \times \tilde{M}_y Y$$

is not contained in any proper face $F \subsetneq \tilde{M}_x X \times \tilde{M}_y Y$ of $\tilde{M}_x X \times \tilde{M}_y Y$.

Here are the main results on b- and c-transversality [23, Th.s 4.26–4.28]:

Theorem 6.32. Let $g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be b-transverse (or c-transverse) morphisms in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{gc}}$. Then $C(g) : C(X) \rightarrow C(Z)$ and $C(h) : C(Y) \rightarrow C(Z)$ are also b-transverse (or c-transverse) morphisms in $\tilde{\mathbf{Man}}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{gc}}$.

Theorem 6.33. Let $g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be b-transverse morphisms in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{gc}}$. Then a fibre product $W = X \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, h} Y$ exists in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\text{gc}}$, with $\dim W = \dim X + \dim Y - \dim Z$. Explicitly, we may write

$$W^\circ = \{(x, y) \in X^\circ \times Y^\circ : g(x) = h(y) \text{ in } Z^\circ\},$$

and take W to be the closure $\overline{W^\circ}$ of W° in $X \times Y$, and then W is an embedded submanifold of $X \times Y$, and $e : W \rightarrow X$ and $f : W \rightarrow Y$ act by $e : (x, y) \mapsto x$ and $f : (x, y) \mapsto y$.

Theorem 6.34. *Suppose $g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ are c -transverse morphisms in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$. Then a fibre product $W = X \times_{g, Z, h} Y$ exists in \mathbf{Man}^{gc} , with $\dim W = \dim X + \dim Y - \dim Z$. Explicitly, we may write*

$$W = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : g(x) = h(y) \text{ in } Z\},$$

and then W is an embedded submanifold of $X \times Y$, and $e : W \rightarrow X$ and $f : W \rightarrow Y$ act by $e : (x, y) \mapsto x$ and $f : (x, y) \mapsto y$. This W is also a fibre product in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$, and agrees with that in Theorem 6.33.

Furthermore, the following is Cartesian in both $\check{\mathbf{Man}}^{\text{gc}}$ and $\check{\mathbf{Man}}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C(W) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C(Y) \\ \downarrow C(e) & \begin{array}{c} C(f) \\ C(g) \end{array} & C(h) \downarrow \\ C(X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C(Z). \end{array} \quad (6.34)$$

Equation (6.34) has a grading-preserving property, in that if $(w, \beta) \in C_i(W)$ with $C(e)(w, \beta) = (x, \gamma) \in C_j(X)$, and $C(f)(w, \beta) = (y, \delta) \in C_k(Y)$, and $C(g)(x, \gamma) = C(h)(y, \delta) = (z, \epsilon) \in C_l(Z)$, then $i + l = j + k$. Hence

$$C_i(W) \cong \coprod_{j, k, l \geq 0: i=j+k-l} C_j^l(X) \times_{C(g)|\dots, C_l(Z), C(h)|\dots} C_k^l(Y),$$

where $C_j^l(X) = C_j(X) \cap C(g)^{-1}(C_l(Z))$ and $C_k^l(Y) = C_k(Y) \cap C(h)^{-1}(C_l(Z))$, open and closed in $C_j(X), C_k(Y)$. When $i = 1$, this gives a formula for ∂W .

6.7.2 $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c}, F_{\mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c}$ preserve b -, c -transverse fibre products

We generalize the last part of Theorem 2.43 to the corners case.

Theorem 6.35. (a) *The functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ takes b - and c -transverse fibre products in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ to fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$.*

(b) *The functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^{\text{gc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c$ takes c -transverse fibre products in \mathbf{Man}^{gc} to fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to, ex}}^c$.*

Proof. For (a), suppose $g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ are b -transverse in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$, and let $W = X \times_{g, Z, h} Y$ be the fibre product in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ given by Theorem 6.33, with projections $e : W \rightarrow X$ and $f : W \rightarrow Y$. Write $\mathbf{W}, \dots, \mathbf{h}$ for the images of W, \dots, h under $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\text{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c}$. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{X} \times_{g, Z, h} \mathbf{Y}$ be the fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$, with projections $\tilde{e} : \tilde{\mathbf{W}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, $\tilde{f} : \tilde{\mathbf{W}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$, which exists by Theorem 5.34. As $\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{f}$, the universal property of $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ gives a unique morphism $\mathbf{b} : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ with $\mathbf{e} = \tilde{e} \circ \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{f} = \tilde{f} \circ \mathbf{b}$. We must prove \mathbf{b} is an isomorphism.

First note that as morphisms $\mathbf{b} : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ form a sheaf, this question is local in $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$ and hence in $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$, so it is enough to prove it over small open

neighbourhoods of points $x \in \mathbf{X}$, $y \in \mathbf{Y}$, $z \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $\mathbf{g}(x) = \mathbf{h}(y) = z$. Thus we may replace X, Y, Z by small open neighbourhoods of x, y, z in X, Y, Z , or equivalently, by small open neighbourhoods of $(\delta_0, 0)$ in the local models $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$ for manifolds with g-corners, where Q is a toric monoid, as in Remark 3.10. This also replaces W by a small open neighbourhood of $w = (x, y)$ in W . As small open balls about $(\delta_0, 0)$ in $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$ are diffeomorphic to $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$, this means we can suppose that W, \dots, Z are of the form $X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l$. So we can take

$$W \cong X_P \times \mathbb{R}^k, \quad X \cong X_Q \times \mathbb{R}^l, \quad Y \cong X_R \times \mathbb{R}^m, \quad Z \cong X_S \times \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (6.35)$$

for toric monoids P, Q, R, S and $k, l, m, n \geq 0$, where $e, f, g, h : (\delta_0, 0) \mapsto (\delta_0, 0)$.

Then W, X, Y, Z are manifolds with g-faces, so by Theorem 5.22(a) we may take $\mathbf{W} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(W)$, and similarly for $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}$. Then $e = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c(e^*, e_{\text{ex}}^*)$, where $e^* : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(W)$, $e_{\text{ex}}^* : \text{In}(X) \amalg \{0\} \rightarrow \text{In}(W) \amalg \{0\}$ are the pullbacks, and similarly for $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$. Form a commutative diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Y) & & \\
 & \nearrow^{(h^*, h_{\text{ex}}^*)} & & \searrow_{(f^*, f_{\text{ex}}^*)} & \\
 \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Z) & & & & \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(W), \\
 & \searrow_{(g^*, g_{\text{ex}}^*)} & & \nearrow_{(e^*, e_{\text{ex}}^*)} & \\
 & & \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) & & \\
 & & \nearrow_{\phi} & \searrow_{\psi} & \\
 & & \mathbf{C} & \xrightarrow{\beta} &
 \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{C} is the pushout $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) \amalg_{\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Z)} \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Y)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$, which exists by Proposition 4.50, and ϕ, ψ are the projections to the pushout, and β exists by the universal property of pushouts. Since $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c : (\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$ preserves limits, it follows that $\tilde{\mathbf{W}} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{b} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^c \beta$, and it is enough to prove that β is an isomorphism.

The pushout \mathbf{C} is equivalent to a coequalizer diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Z) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(g^*, g_{\text{ex}}^*) \circ i_{\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)}} \\ \xrightarrow{(h^*, h_{\text{ex}}^*) \circ i_{\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Y)}} \end{array} \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) \otimes_{\infty}^{\text{to}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \quad (6.36)$$

by properties of colimits, for $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) \otimes_{\infty}^{\text{to}} \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Y)$ the coproduct in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$. Now one can show that the coproduct $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) \otimes_{\infty} \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Y)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$ is $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X \times Y)$. Since this is toric, it coincides with the coproduct in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$. Thus we may replace (6.36) by the coequalizer diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^c$:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(Z) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{((g \circ \pi_X)^*, (g \circ \pi_X)_{\text{ex}}^*)} \\ \xrightarrow{((h \circ \pi_Y)^*, (h \circ \pi_Y)_{\text{ex}}^*)} \end{array} \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X \times Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}. \quad (6.37)$$

We deduce that

$$\mathbf{C} \cong \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X \times Y) / [(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c') = (h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c'), c' \in \text{In}(Z)]). \quad (6.38)$$

Here the term $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X \times Y) / [\dots]$ is the coequalizer of (6.37) in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^c$. There is no need to also impose \mathbb{R} -type relations $(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c) = (h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c)$ for

$x \in C^\infty(Z)$, as these are implied by $(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c') = (h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c')$ for $c' = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(c)$ in $\text{In}(X)$. The proof of Theorem 4.20(b) then implies that $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}(\dots)$ is the coequalizer of (6.37) in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^{\text{c}}$.

In (6.38), we think of $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ as mapping $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{fl,in}}^{\text{c}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^{\text{c}}$. It does not change $\mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X \times Y)$ as this is already toric, but it can add extra relations. From the construction of $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ in the proof of Theorem 4.48, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C} \cong \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X \times Y) / [c'_1 = c'_2: c'_1, c'_2 \in \text{In}(X \times Y), \text{ for some } c' \in \text{In}(Z) \\ \text{and } n \geq 1 \text{ we have } c'_1(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c')^n = c'_2(h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c')^n]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.39)$$

Here imposing relations $c'_1 = c'_2$ if $c'_1(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c') = c'_2(h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c')$ for $c' \in \text{In}(Z)$ has the effect of making (6.39) integral, that is, it applies $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{Z}}$. (Note that taking $c'_1 = (h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c')$ and $c'_2 = (g \circ \pi_X)^*(c')$ recovers the relations in (6.38), but $c'_1(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c') = c'_2(h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c')$ also includes relations in the integral completion.) Then forcing $c'_1 = c'_2$ when $c'_1(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c')^n = c'_2(h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c')^n$ for $n \geq 1$ also makes (6.39) torsion-free and saturated, that is, it applies $\Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}} \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{Z}}$, so overall we apply $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}} = \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}} \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{Z}}$.

Using (6.39), we now show that $\beta = (\beta, \beta_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(W)$ is an isomorphism, where W is an embedded submanifold in $X \times Y$ as in Theorem 6.33. To show that β, β_{ex} are surjective, it is enough to show that the restriction maps $\mathbf{C}^\infty(X \times Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty(W)$, $\text{In}(X \times Y) \rightarrow \text{In}(W)$ are surjective. That is, all smooth or interior maps $W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, [0, \infty)$ should extend to $X \times Y$. Locally near (x, y) in $W, X \times Y$ this follows from extension properties of smooth maps on $X_P \times \mathbb{R}^l$ in [23, Prop. 3.14], and globally it follows from (6.35), which ensures there are no obstructions from multiplicity functions $\mu_{c'}$ as in Remark 3.15.

To show β (or β_{ex}) is injective, we need to show that if $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(X \times Y)$ (or $c'_1, c'_2 \in \text{In}(X \times Y)$), then $c_1|_W = c_2|_W$ (or $c'_1|_W = c'_2|_W$) if and only if $c_1 - c_2$ lies in the ideal I in $\mathbf{C}^\infty(X \times Y)$ generated by the relations in (6.39) (or $c'_1 \sim c'_2$, where \sim is the equivalence relation on $\text{In}(X \times Y)$ generated by the relations in (6.39)). Since W is an embedded submanifold defined as a subset of $X \times Y$ by the b-transverse relations $(g \circ \pi_X)^*(c') = (h \circ \pi_Y)^*(c')$ for $c' \in \text{In}(Z)$, this is indeed true, though proving it carefully requires a little work on ideals in $\mathbf{C}^\infty(X \times Y)$. Therefore β is an isomorphism, completing part (a).

For (b), suppose $g : X \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ are c-transverse in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$, which implies g, h b-transverse, and let $W = X \times_{g,Z,h} Y$ be the fibre product in both $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ and \mathbf{Man}^{gc} given by Theorems 6.33–6.34. Then (6.34) is Cartesian in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ by Theorem 6.34. Write $\mathbf{W}, \dots, \mathbf{h}$ for the images of W, \dots, h under $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^{\text{c}}}$. Then part (a), Proposition 6.12, and Theorem 6.16 imply that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^{\text{c}}$, and (6.32) is Cartesian in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^{\text{c}}$. Hence Theorem 6.27(d) says $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to,ex}}^{\text{c}}$, and the theorem follows. \square

Example 6.36. Let $X = Y = Z = [0, \infty)$, and $g : X \rightarrow Z, h : Y \rightarrow Z$ map $g(x) = x^2, h(y) = y^2$. Then g, h are b- and c-transverse, and the fibre product $W = X \times_{g,Z,h} Y$ in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{gc}}$ and \mathbf{Man}^{gc} is $W = [0, \infty)$ with projections $e : W \rightarrow X, f : W \rightarrow Y$ mapping $e(w) = w, f(w) = w$.

Let $\mathbf{W}, \dots, \mathbf{h}$ be the images of W, \dots, h under $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^c}$. Then Theorem 6.35 implies that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$. But what about fibre products $\mathbf{X} \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{Y}$ in other categories?

As $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z} = \text{Spec}_{\mathbf{in}}^c \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{in}}^\infty([0, \infty))$ are affine, we can show that the fibre product $\hat{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c$ is $\text{Spec}_{\mathbf{in}}^c \mathfrak{C}$, where $\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}[x, y]/[x^2 = y^2]$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{in}}^\sharp \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, identifying $[x] = (1, 0)$ and $[y] = (1, 1)$ in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We see that \mathfrak{C} is firm and integral, but not torsion-free (as $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{in}}^\sharp$ has torsion \mathbb{Z}_2) or saturated (as $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{in}}$ with $x \neq y$ but $x^2 = y^2$). Thus $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$ is also firm and integral, but not torsion-free or saturated, and $\mathbf{W} \not\cong \hat{\mathbf{W}}$.

This shows that $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{Z}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{gc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{Z}}^c$ and $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{gc}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c$ need not preserve b-transverse fibre products. Example 6.29 above is similar, but $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$ is not integral. One conclusion is that in Theorem 6.35 it is essential to work in the categories $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$ of *toric* C^∞ -schemes with corners, rather than larger categories such as $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{tf}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{Z}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c$ discussed in §5.6–§5.7. Thus, $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c, \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$ may be regarded as natural generalizations of $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{gc}}, \mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{gc}}$.

6.7.3 Restriction to manifolds with corners

There is an easy way to restrict Theorem 6.35 to $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^c, \mathbf{Man}^c$:

Definition 6.37. Let $g : h \rightarrow Z$ and $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ be morphisms in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^c$. Following the second author [25, §2.5.4], we call g, h *sb-transverse* or *sc-transverse* (short for *strictly b-transverse* or *strictly c-transverse*) if they are b-transverse or c-transverse in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{gc}}$, respectively, and the fibre product $W = X \times_{g, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} Y$ in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{gc}}$ is a manifold with corners, not just g-corners. In [25, §2.5.4] we explain the sb- and sc-transverse conditions explicitly. Theorems 6.33–6.34 imply that sb- and sc-transverse fibre products exist in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^c$ and \mathbf{Man}^c , respectively.

Then Theorem 6.35 implies:

Corollary 6.38. (a) *The functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$ takes sb- and sc-transverse fibre products in $\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^c$ to fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c$.*

(b) *The functor $F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c} : \mathbf{Man}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$ takes sc-transverse fibre products in \mathbf{Man}^c to fibre products in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}, \mathbf{ex}}^c$.*

7 Modules, and sheaves of modules

Next we discuss modules over C^∞ -rings with corners, sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on C^∞ -schemes with corners \mathbf{X} , and (b-)cotangent modules and sheaves.

7.1 Modules over C^∞ -rings with corners, (b-)cotangent modules

In §2.2 we discussed modules over C^∞ -rings. Here is the corners analogue:

Definition 7.1. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners. A *module* M over \mathfrak{C} , or \mathfrak{C} -*module*, is a module over \mathfrak{C} regarded as a commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra as in Definition 2.16, and morphisms of \mathfrak{C} -modules are morphisms of \mathbb{R} -algebra modules. Then \mathfrak{C} -modules form an abelian category, which we write as $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$.

The basic theory of §2.2 extends trivially to the corners case. So if $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ then using $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ we get functors $\phi_* : \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}\text{-mod}$ mapping $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$, and $\phi^* : \mathfrak{D}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ mapping $N \mapsto N$.

One might expect that modules over $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ should also include some kind of monoid module over \mathfrak{C}_{ex} , but we do not do this.

Example 7.2. Let X be a manifold with corners (or g-corners) and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle, and write $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ for the vector space of smooth sections e of E . This is a module over $C^\infty(X)$, and hence over the C^∞ -rings with corners $C^\infty(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$ from Example 4.18.

Section 2.2 discussed cotangent modules of C^∞ -rings, the analogues of cotangent bundles of manifolds. As in §3.5 manifolds with corners X have cotangent bundles T^*X which are functorial over smooth maps, and b-cotangent bundles ${}^bT^*X$, which are functorial only over interior maps. In a similar way, for a C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} we will define the *cotangent module* $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$, and if \mathfrak{C} is interior we will also define the *b-cotangent module* ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Definition 7.3. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be a C^∞ -ring with corners. Define the *cotangent module* $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ of \mathfrak{C} to be the cotangent module $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ of §2.2, regarded as a \mathfrak{C} -module. If $\phi = (\phi, \phi_{\text{ex}}) : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$ then from Ω_ϕ in §2.2 we get functorial morphisms $\Omega_\phi : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} = \phi^*(\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}})$ in $\mathfrak{C}\text{-mod}$ and $(\Omega_\phi)_* : \phi_*(\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}) = \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ in $\mathfrak{D}\text{-mod}$.

Definition 7.4. Let $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ be an interior C^∞ -ring with corners, so that $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \amalg \{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\}$ with \mathfrak{C}_{in} a monoid. Let M be a \mathfrak{C} -module. A *b-derivation* is a map $d_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow M$ satisfying the following corners analogue of (2.4): for any interior $g : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and elements $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$, then

$$d_{\text{in}}(\Psi_g(c'_1, \dots, c'_n)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_{g^{-1}x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}}(c'_1, \dots, c'_n) \cdot d_{\text{in}}c'_i = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

Here g interior implies that $g^{-1}x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i} : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth.

It is easy to show that (7.1) implies:

- (i) $d_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow M$ is a monoid morphism, where M is a monoid over addition.
- (ii) $d = d_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow M$ is a C^∞ -derivation in the sense of Definition 2.18.
- (iii) $d_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\Phi_i(c')) = \Phi_i(c') \cdot d_{\text{in}}c'$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$.

As interior $g : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are of the form $g = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \exp f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, with $\Psi_g(c'_1, \dots, c'_n) = c'_1{}^{a_1} \cdots c'_n{}^{a_n} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\Phi_f(c'_1, \dots, c'_n))$ for $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$, we can show that (i)–(iii) are equivalent to (7.1).

We call such a pair (M, d_{in}) a *b-cotangent module* for \mathfrak{C} if it has the universal property that for any b-derivation $d'_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow M'$, there exists a unique morphism of \mathfrak{C} -modules ${}^b\lambda : M \rightarrow M'$ with $d'_{\text{in}} = {}^b\lambda \circ d_{\text{in}}$.

There is a natural construction for a b-cotangent module: we take M to be the quotient of the free \mathfrak{C} -module with basis of symbols $d_{\text{in}}c'$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ by the \mathfrak{C} -submodule spanned by all expressions of the form (7.1). Thus b-cotangent modules exist, and are unique up to unique isomorphism. When we speak of ‘the’ b-cotangent module, we mean that constructed above, and we write it as $d_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Since $d_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is a C^∞ -derivation, the universal property of $\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} = \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in §2.2 implies that there is a unique \mathfrak{C} -module morphism $I_{\mathfrak{C}} : \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ with $d_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}} = I_{\mathfrak{C}} \circ d_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Then we have a monoid morphism $\phi_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$. Regarding ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ as a \mathfrak{C} -module using $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, then $d_{\mathfrak{D}, \text{in}} \circ \phi_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ becomes a b-derivation. Thus by the universal property of ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$, there exists a unique \mathfrak{C} -module morphism ${}^b\Omega_{\phi} : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ with $d_{\mathfrak{D}, \text{in}} \circ \phi_{\text{in}} = {}^b\Omega_{\phi} \circ d_{\mathfrak{C}, \text{in}}$. This then induces a morphism of \mathfrak{D} -modules $({}^b\Omega_{\phi})_* : \phi_*({}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}) = {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$. If $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, $\psi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ are morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ then ${}^b\Omega_{\psi \circ \phi} = {}^b\Omega_{\psi} \circ {}^b\Omega_{\phi} : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{E}}$.

Remark 7.5. (a) We may think of $d_{\text{in}}c'$ above as $d(\log c')$. As in Example 7.6(b), if X is a manifold with corners and $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is interior, then $d(\log c')$ is well defined section of ${}^bT^*X$. This holds even at ∂X where we allow $c' = 0$ and $\log c'$ is undefined, as ${}^bT^*X$ is spanned by $x_1^{-1}dx_1, \dots, x_k^{-1}dx_k, dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_n$ in local coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_k^n$, and the factors $x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_k^{-1}$ control the singularities of $d \log c'$.

(b) In Definition 7.4 we could have omitted the condition that \mathfrak{C} be interior, and considered monoid morphisms $d_{\text{ex}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \rightarrow M$ such that $d = d_{\text{ex}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow M$ is a C^∞ -derivation and $d_{\text{ex}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\Phi_i(c')) = \Phi_i(c')d_{\text{ex}}c'$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. However, since $c' \cdot 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} = 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ we would have $d_{\text{ex}}c' + d_{\text{ex}}0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}} = d_{\text{ex}}0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}$ in M , so $d_{\text{ex}}c' = 0$, and this modified definition would give ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} = 0$ for any \mathfrak{C} . To get a nontrivial definition we took \mathfrak{C} to be interior, and defined d_{in} only on $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}} \setminus \{0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}}\}$.

If \mathfrak{C} lies in the image of $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^{\mathfrak{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ from Definition 4.11 then ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} = 0$, since \mathfrak{C}_{in} then contains a zero element $0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}}$ with $c' \cdot 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}} = 0_{\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}}$ for all $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$.

If $d_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow M$ is a b-derivation then it is a morphism from a monoid to an abelian group, and so factors through $\pi^{\text{gp}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}})^{\text{gp}}$. This suggests that b-cotangent modules may be most interesting for *integral* C^∞ -rings with corners, as in §4.7, for which $\pi^{\text{gp}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}})^{\text{gp}}$ is injective.

7.2 (B-)cotangent modules for manifolds with (g-)corners

Example 7.6. (a) Let X be a manifold with corners. Then $\Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$ is a module over the \mathbb{R} -algebra $C^\infty(X)$, and so over the C^∞ -rings with corners $C^\infty(X)$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty_{\text{in}}(X)$ from Example 4.18. The exterior derivative $d : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow$

$\Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$ is a C^∞ -derivation, and there is a unique morphism $\lambda : \Omega_{C^\infty(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$ such that $d = \lambda \circ d$.

(b) Let X be a manifold with corners (or g-corners), with b-cotangent bundle ${}^bT^*X$ as in §3.5. Example 4.18(b) defines a C^∞ -ring with corners $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) = \mathfrak{C}$ with $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} = \text{In}(X)$, the monoid of interior maps $c' : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. We have a $C^\infty(X)$ -module $\Gamma^\infty({}^bT^*X)$. Define $d_{\text{in}} : \text{In}(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty({}^bT^*X)$ by

$$d_{\text{in}}(c') = c'^{-1} \cdot {}^bdc' = {}^bd(\log c'), \quad (7.2)$$

where ${}^bd = I_X^* \circ d$ is the composition of the exterior derivative $d : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$ with the projection $I_X^* : T^*X \rightarrow {}^bT^*X$. Here (7.2) makes sense on the interior X° where $c' > 0$, but has a unique smooth extension over $X \setminus X^\circ$.

We can now show that $d_{\text{in}} : \text{In}(X) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty({}^bT^*X)$ is a b-derivation in the sense of Definition 7.4, so there is a unique morphism ${}^b\lambda : {}^b\Omega_{C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)} \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty({}^bT^*X)$ such that $d_{\text{in}} = {}^b\lambda \circ d_{\text{in}}$.

Theorem 7.7. (a) *Let X be a manifold with faces, as in Definition 3.13, such that ∂X has finitely many connected components. Then $\Gamma^\infty(T^*X)$ is the cotangent module of $C^\infty(X)$. That is, λ from Example 7.6(a) is an isomorphism.*

(b) *Let X be a manifold with faces, or a manifold with g-faces, as in Definition 4.37, such that ∂X has finitely many connected components. Then $\Gamma^\infty({}^bT^*X)$ is the b-cotangent module of $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$. That is, ${}^b\lambda$ from Example 7.6(b) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The first part of the proof is common to both (a) and (b). Let X be a manifold with faces, or with g-faces, and suppose ∂X has finitely many connected components, which we write $\partial_1 X, \dots, \partial_N X$. We will show that the sharpened monoid $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$ is finitely generated.

Write $[c'] \in C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$ for the $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\times$ -orbit of $c' \in C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$. Each such c' vanishes to some order $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ on $\partial_i X$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. The map $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^N$, $c' \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_N)$ is a monoid morphism, which descends to a morphism $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^N$, $[c'] \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_N)$, as \mathbb{N}^N is sharp.

It is easy to show that this morphism $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^N$ is injective. If X is a manifold with faces it is also surjective, so $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp \cong \mathbb{N}^N$. If X is a manifold with g-faces, the image of $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$ is the submonoid of \mathbb{N}^N satisfying some linear equations coming from the higher-dimensional g-corner strata. Therefore $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$ is a toric monoid, and so finitely generated.

Choose $x_1, \dots, x_k \in C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$ whose images $[x_1], \dots, [x_k]$ generate $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$. Following the proof of the Whitney Embedding Theorem, we may choose a smooth map $(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_l) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l-k}$ for some l with $l-k \geq 2 \dim X$ which is a closed embedding (in a weak sense, in which the inclusion $[0, \infty) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ counts as an embedding). Then $F := (x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_l) : X \hookrightarrow [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{l-k} = \mathbb{R}_k^l$ is an interior closed embedding in a stronger sense, which embeds the corner strata of X into corner strata of \mathbb{R}_k^l .

Here as X has (g-)faces, by Proposition 4.36 and Definition 4.37 the natural morphism $\lambda_x : (C^\infty(X))_{x_*} \rightarrow C_x^\infty(X)$ is an isomorphism for all $x \in X$, so as

$[x_1], \dots, [x_k]$ generate $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$, they also generate $C_{x,\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$ for each $x \in X$. Geometrically this means that X near x is nicely embedded in \mathbb{R}_k^l near $F(x)$, in such a way that the corner strata of X near x all come from corner strata of \mathbb{R}_k^l near $F(x)$. Write $\tilde{X} = F(X)$, as a closed, embedded submanifold of \mathbb{R}_k^l .

Thus we have a morphism $F^* : C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l) \rightarrow C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c$. We claim that F^* is surjective. To see this, note that F^* is surjective on C^∞ -rings as it is a closed embedding, so every smooth function $F(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ extends to a smooth function $\mathbb{R}_k^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the usual way. Also $(F_{\text{in}}^*)^\sharp : C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)^\sharp \cong \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$ is surjective as it maps $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \mapsto [x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_k^{a_k}]$, where $[x_1], \dots, [x_k]$ generate $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)^\sharp$. Surjectivity of $F_{\text{in}}^* : C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l) \rightarrow C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$ follows.

Therefore $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ by relations generating the kernel of F_{in}^* , or equivalently, by equations defining \tilde{X} as a submanifold of \mathbb{R}_k^l . If X is a manifold with faces then relations of type $f = 0$ for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ are sufficient, so in the notation of Definition 4.24 we have

$$C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) \cong C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l) / (f = 0 : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l), f|_{\tilde{X}} = 0). \quad (7.3)$$

If X is a manifold with g-faces we may also need relations of type $g = h$ for $g, h \in C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$, giving

$$C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X) \cong C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l) / (f = 0 : f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l), f|_{\tilde{X}} = 0) \\ [g = h : g, h \in C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l), g|_{\tilde{X}} = h|_{\tilde{X}}]. \quad (7.4)$$

We now prove part (a), so suppose X is a manifold with faces and ∂X has finitely many boundary components, so that (7.3) holds. Consider the commutative diagram of $C^\infty(X)$ -modules with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow K = \text{Ker}(\Omega_{F^*}) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)} \otimes_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)} C^\infty(X) = & \xrightarrow{\Omega_{F^*}} & \Omega_{C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)} & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \langle dx_1, \dots, dx_l \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^\infty(X) & & & & \\ & \downarrow \mu & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(\text{Ker}(dF^*)) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma^\infty(F^*(T^*\mathbb{R}_k^l)) = \Gamma^\infty(dF^*) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma^\infty(T^*X) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \langle dx_1, \dots, dx_l \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^\infty(X) & & & & \end{array} \quad (7.5)$$

Here as $F^* : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ is surjective, Ω_{F^*} is surjective, and the top row is exact. As the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(dF^*) \rightarrow F^*(T^*\mathbb{R}_k^l) \rightarrow T^*X \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles on X splits, the bottom row is exact. It is easy to check that $\Omega_{C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)} = \Omega_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)} = \langle dx_1, \dots, dx_l \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ satisfies the universal property for cotangent modules. Since $\Gamma^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}_k^l) = \langle dx_1, \dots, dx_l \rangle_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)}$, we see that the two centre terms are $\langle dx_1, \dots, dx_l \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^\infty(X)$, and are isomorphic. Clearly the right hand square commutes. Hence by exactness there is a unique map $\mu : K \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(\text{Ker}(dF^*))$ making the diagram commute.

As the right hand square of (7.5) commutes, with the central column an isomorphism, we see that λ is surjective. To prove that λ is injective, and hence an isomorphism, it is enough to show that μ is surjective. Since \tilde{X} is cut out transversely in \mathbb{R}_k^l by equations $f = 0$ for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ with $f|_{\tilde{X}} = 0$, as in

(7.3), we see that the vector bundle $\text{Ker}(dF^*) \rightarrow X$ is spanned over $C^\infty(X)$ by sections $F^*(df)$ for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ with $f|_{\tilde{X}} = 0$. But then $(df) \otimes 1$ lies in $K = \text{Ker}(\Omega_{F^*})$ with $F^*(df) = \mu((df) \otimes 1)$, so the image of μ contains a spanning set, and μ is surjective. This proves (a).

For (b), allow X to have faces or g-faces, so that (7.4) holds, and replace (7.5) by the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & {}^b K = \text{Ker}({}^b \Omega_{F^*}) & \longrightarrow & {}^b \Omega_{C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l) \otimes_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)} C^\infty(X)} & \xrightarrow{{}^b \Omega_{F^*}} & {}^b \Omega_{C_{\text{in}}^\infty(X)} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow {}^b \mu & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow {}^b \lambda \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma^\infty(\text{Ker}({}^b dF^*)) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma^\infty(F^*({}^b T^* \mathbb{R}_k^l)) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma^\infty({}^b dF^*)} & \Gamma^\infty({}^b T^* X) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & = \langle x_1^{-1} dx_1, \dots, x_k^{-1} dx_k, dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_l \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^\infty(X) & &
\end{array}$$

Here ${}^b \Omega_{C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)} = \langle x_1^{-1} dx_1, \dots, x_k^{-1} dx_k, dx_{k+1}, \dots, dx_l \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ satisfies the universal property for b-cotangent modules. The argument above gives a unique map ${}^b \mu$ making the diagram commute, and shows ${}^b \lambda$ is surjective. To prove ${}^b \lambda$ is injective, and hence an isomorphism, it is enough to show that ${}^b \mu$ is surjective.

Since \tilde{X} is cut out b-transversely in \mathbb{R}_k^l by equations $f = 0$ for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ with $f|_{\tilde{X}} = 0$, and (if X has g-faces) equations $g = h$ for $g, h \in C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ with $g|_{\tilde{X}} = h|_{\tilde{X}}$, we see that the vector bundle $\text{Ker}({}^b dF^*) \rightarrow X$ is spanned over $C^\infty(X)$ by sections $F^*({}^b d \exp(f))$ for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ with $f|_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ and $F^*({}^b dg - {}^b dh)$ for $g, h \in C_{\text{in}}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_k^l)$ with $g|_{\tilde{X}} = h|_{\tilde{X}}$. But then ${}^b d \exp(f) \otimes 1$ and $({}^b dg - {}^b dh) \otimes 1$ lie in ${}^b K = \text{Ker}({}^b \Omega_{F^*})$ with $F^*({}^b d \exp(f)) = {}^b \mu({}^b d \exp(f) \otimes 1)$ and $F^*({}^b dg - {}^b dh) = {}^b \mu(({}^b dg - {}^b dh) \otimes 1)$, so the image of ${}^b \mu$ contains a spanning set, and ${}^b \mu$ is surjective. This proves (b). \square

Remark 7.8. The first author [12, Prop.s 4.7.5 & 4.7.9] proves by a different method that if we allow ∂X to have infinitely many connected components, then λ is still an isomorphism in Theorem 7.7(a), and ${}^b \lambda$ is surjective in (b).

7.3 Some computations of b-cotangent modules

The next proposition generalizes Example 2.20:

Proposition 7.9. (a) *Let A, A_{in} be sets and $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ the free interior C^∞ -ring with corners from Definition 4.22, with generators $x_a \in \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$, $y_{a'} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ for $a \in A$, $a' \in A_{\text{in}}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$${}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} \cong \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), d_{\text{in}} y_{a'} : a \in A, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}. \quad (7.6)$$

(b) *Suppose \mathfrak{C} is defined by a coequalizer diagram (4.13) in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{c}}$. Then writing $(x_a)_{a \in A}$, $(y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}$ and $(\tilde{x}_b)_{b \in B}$, $(\tilde{y}_{b'})_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}}$ for the generators of $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$*

and $\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{in}}}$, we have an exact sequence

$$\langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B, \quad d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A, \quad d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C} \xrightarrow{\delta} {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (7.7)$$

in \mathfrak{C} -mod, where if $\alpha = (\alpha, \alpha_{\text{ex}})$ and $\beta = (\beta, \beta_{\text{ex}})$ in (4.13) map

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : \tilde{x}_b &\mapsto e_b((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}), & \alpha_{\text{ex}} : \tilde{y}_{b'} &\mapsto g_{b'}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}), \\ \beta : \tilde{x}_b &\mapsto f_b((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}), & \beta_{\text{ex}} : \tilde{y}_{b'} &\mapsto h_{b'}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

for $e_b, f_b, g_{b'}, h_{b'}$ depending only on finitely many $x_a, y_{a'}$, then γ, δ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b)) &= \sum_{a \in A} \phi \left(\frac{\partial e_b}{\partial x_a}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial f_b}{\partial x_a}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right) d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}} \phi \left(y_{a'} \frac{\partial e_b}{\partial y_{a'}}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - y_{a'} \frac{\partial f_b}{\partial y_{a'}}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right) d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, \\ \gamma(d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}) &= \sum_{a \in A} \phi \left(g_{b'}^{-1} \frac{\partial g_{b'}}{\partial x_a}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - h_{b'}^{-1} \frac{\partial h_{b'}}{\partial x_a}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right) d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}} \phi \left(y_{a'} g_{b'}^{-1} \frac{\partial g_{b'}}{\partial y_{a'}}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - y_{a'} h_{b'}^{-1} \frac{\partial h_{b'}}{\partial y_{a'}}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}}) \right) d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, \\ \delta(d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a)) &= d_{\text{in}} \circ \phi_{\text{in}}(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a)), & \delta(d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}) &= d_{\text{in}} \circ \phi_{\text{in}}(y_{a'}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

Hence if \mathfrak{C} is finitely generated (or finitely presented) in the sense of Definition 4.40, then ${}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is finitely generated (or finitely presented).

Proof. Part (a) follows from (b) with $B = B_{\text{in}} = \emptyset$, so it is enough to prove (b).

For (b), first note that by Definition 7.4, we have

$${}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} = \langle d_{\text{in}} c' : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C} / \langle \text{all relations (7.1)} \rangle. \quad (7.10)$$

Now let $S \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ be a generating subset, that is, every $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ may be written as $c' = \Psi_g(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ for some interior $g : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$. For each $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus S$, choose interior $g^{c'} : [0, \infty)^{n^{c'}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $s_1^{c'}, \dots, s_{n^{c'}}^{c'} \in S$ with $c' = \Psi_{g^{c'}}(s_1^{c'}, \dots, s_{n^{c'}}^{c'})$, using the Axiom of Choice. Then (7.1) gives

$$d_{\text{in}} c' = \sum_{i=1}^{n^{c'}} \Phi_{(g^{c'})^{-1} x_i \frac{\partial g^{c'}}{\partial x_i}}(s_1^{c'}, \dots, s_{n^{c'}}^{c'}) \cdot d_{\text{in}} s_i^{c'}. \quad (7.11)$$

Hence ${}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is spanned over \mathfrak{C} by $d_{\text{in}} s$ for $s \in S$, and we may write ${}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ as $\langle d_{\text{in}} s : s \in S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C} / (\text{relations})$. The relations required are the result of taking all relations (7.1) in (7.10), and using (7.11) to substitute for all terms $d_{\text{in}} c'$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus S$, so that the resulting relation is a finite \mathfrak{C} -linear combination of $d_{\text{in}} s$

for $s \in S$. A calculation using Definition 4.4(ii) shows that this relation is of the form (7.1) with $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in S$. Hence

$${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \langle d_{\text{in}}c' : c' \in S \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C} / \langle \text{all relations (7.1) with } c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in S \rangle. \quad (7.12)$$

Now let \mathfrak{C} be as in (4.13). Then \mathfrak{C} has \mathbb{R} type generators $\phi(x_a)$ for $a \in A$ and $[0, \infty)$ type generators $\phi_{\text{in}}(y_{a'})$ for $a' \in A_{\text{in}}$. But (7.12) only allows for $[0, \infty)$ type generators. To convert \mathbb{R} type generators to $[0, \infty)$ type generators observe that, in a similar way to the proof of Proposition 4.10, any smooth function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ may be extended to a smooth function $[0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or $[0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by mapping $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to $(x, y) = (e^t, e^{-t}) \in [0, \infty)^2$, which then satisfies $xy = 1$. Thus, we may replace \mathbb{R} type generators $\phi(x_a) \in \mathfrak{C}$ by pairs of $[0, \infty)$ type generators $\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), \phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(-x_a)$ in \mathfrak{C}_{in} , satisfying the relation $(\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a)) \cdot (\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(-x_a)) = 1$. But applying d_{in} to this relation yields $d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a)) + d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(-x_a)) = 0$. Hence by taking $S = \{\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\pm x_a) : a \in A\} \cup \{\phi_{\text{in}}(y_{a'}) : a' \in A_{\text{in}}\}$ in (7.12), we see that

$${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \frac{\langle d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\pm x_a)) : a \in A, d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}}(y_{a'})) : a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C}}{\langle d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a)) + d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(-x_a)) = 0, a \in A, \text{ other relations (7.1) with } c'_i = \phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\pm x_a), \phi_{\text{in}}(y_{a'}) \rangle}. \quad (7.13)$$

Clearly we can omit the generator $d_{\text{in}}\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(-x_a)$ and the first relation on the bottom of (7.13) for $a \in A$. We can also omit the ' ϕ_{in} ' in $d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\pm x_a))$, $d_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}}(y_{a'}))$, as these are only formal symbols anyway. Furthermore, in $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ in (4.13), the only relations between the generators $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\pm x_a)$, $a \in A$, $y_{a'}$, $a' \in A_{\text{in}}$, are generated by $\Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a) \cdot \Psi_{\text{exp}}(-x_a) = 1$ for $a \in A$. Hence in (7.13), the 'other relations' must all come from $\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{in}}}$. But the relations in $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ from $\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{in}}}$ are generated by $\alpha_{\text{in}}(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\pm \tilde{x}_b)) = \beta_{\text{in}}(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\pm \tilde{x}_b))$, $b \in B$, and $\alpha_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}) = \beta_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'})$, $b' \in B_{\text{in}}$. Putting all this together and using (7.8) yields

$${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \frac{\langle d_{\text{in}}\Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a) : a \in A, d_{\text{in}}y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C}}{\langle d_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(e_b((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}})) - d_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(f_b((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}})) = 0, b \in B, d_{\text{in}}(g_{b'}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}})) - d_{\text{in}}(h_{b'}((x_a)_{a \in A}, (y_{a'})_{a' \in A_{\text{in}}})) = 0, b' \in B_{\text{in}} \rangle}. \quad (7.14)$$

By (7.1) and (7.9), the relations in (7.14) are $\gamma(d_{\text{in}}\Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b)) = 0$, $b \in B$, and $\gamma(d_{\text{in}}\tilde{y}_{b'}) = 0$, $b' \in B_{\text{in}}$. Hence (7.14) shows that ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \text{Coker } \gamma$. Since this isomorphism is realized by mapping $d_{\text{in}}\Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a) \mapsto d_{\text{in}} \circ \phi_{\text{in}}(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a))$, $d_{\text{in}}y_{a'} \mapsto d_{\text{in}} \circ \phi_{\text{in}}(y_{a'})$, which is δ in (7.9), we see that (7.7) is exact, proving (b). \square

We can compute the b-cotangent module of an interior C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C} modified by generators and relations, as in §4.5.

Proposition 7.10. *Suppose $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, and we are given sets $A, A_{\text{in}}, B, B_{\text{in}}$, so that $\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}] \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, and elements*

$f_b \in \mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]$ and $g_{b'}, h_{b'} \in \mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]_{\text{in}}$ for $b \in B$ and $b' \in B_{\text{in}}$. Define $\mathfrak{D} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ by

$$\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]) / (f_b = 0, b \in B)[g_{b'} = h_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}}], \quad (7.15)$$

in the notation of Definition 4.24, with natural morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. Then we have an exact sequence in \mathfrak{D} -mod:

$$\begin{array}{c} \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B \rangle_{\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}} \\ \oplus \langle d_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}), b' \in B_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \begin{array}{c} {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \oplus \\ \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A \rangle_{\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}} \\ \oplus \langle d_{\text{in}}(y_{a'}), a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\beta} {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (7.16)$$

Here we regard α as mapping to

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]} \mathfrak{D} = {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} \mathfrak{D} \\ & \cong ({}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}) \oplus {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} (\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}})) \otimes_{\mathfrak{C} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} \mathfrak{D} \\ & \cong {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \oplus {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}} \mathfrak{D} \\ & \cong {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \oplus \langle p_a, a \in A \rangle_{\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}} \oplus \langle q_{a'}, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}}, \end{aligned}$$

using Proposition 7.9(a) in the last step, and then α, β act by

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b) \mapsto d_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(f_b) \\ d_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}) \mapsto d_{\text{in}}(g_{b'}) - d_{\text{in}}(h_{b'}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} ({}^b \Omega_{\pi})_* \\ \text{id} \\ \text{id} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.17)$$

Proof. Proposition 4.23(b) gives a coequalizer diagram for \mathfrak{C} in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$:

$$\mathfrak{F}^{D, D_{\text{in}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{in}}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{C}. \quad (7.18)$$

We can extend this to a coequalizer diagram for \mathfrak{D} :

$$\mathfrak{F}^{B \amalg D, B_{\text{in}} \amalg D_{\text{in}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \\ \xrightarrow{\zeta} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{A \amalg C, A_{\text{in}} \amalg C_{\text{in}}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{D}. \quad (7.19)$$

Here ϵ, ζ act as γ, δ do on the generators from D, D_{in} , and as $\epsilon(\tilde{x}_b) = \tilde{f}_b$, $\epsilon_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}) = \tilde{g}_{b'}$, $\zeta(\tilde{x}_b) = 0$, $\zeta_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}) = \tilde{h}_{b'}$ on the generators from B, B_{in} , where $\tilde{f}_b, \tilde{g}_{b'}, \tilde{h}_{b'}$ are lifts of $f_b, g_{b'}, h_{b'}$ along the surjective morphism $\mathfrak{F}^{A \amalg C, A_{\text{in}} \amalg C_{\text{in}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]$ induced by ϕ . Also ψ acts as ϕ does on the generators from C, C_{in} , and as the identity on generators $x_a, y_{a'}$ from A, A_{in} .

Thus the effect of enlarging $\mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{in}}}$ to $\mathfrak{F}^{A \amalg C, A_{\text{in}} \amalg C_{\text{in}}}$ is to enlarge \mathfrak{C} to $\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]$, and the effect of enlarging $\mathfrak{F}^{D, D_{\text{in}}}$ to $\mathfrak{F}^{B \amalg D, B_{\text{in}} \amalg D_{\text{in}}}$ is to quotient $\mathfrak{C}(x_a : a \in A)[y_{a'} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]$ by extra relations $\epsilon(\tilde{x}_b) = \zeta(\tilde{x}_b)$ for $b \in B$, which means $f_b = 0$, and $\epsilon_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}) = \zeta_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'})$ for $b' \in B_{\text{in}}$, which means $g_{b'} = h_{b'}$. So the result is \mathfrak{D} in (7.15).

Now consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{v}_d), d \in D, & \xrightarrow{\binom{0}{\text{id}}} & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B, & & \\
d_{\text{in}} \tilde{w}_{d'}, d' \in D_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} \oplus & & \\
& & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{v}_d), d \in D, & & \\
& & d_{\text{in}} \tilde{w}_{d'}, d' \in D_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \\
& \downarrow \binom{0}{*} & \downarrow \binom{*}{**} & & \\
\langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A, & \xlongequal{\quad} & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A, & & \\
d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \\
\langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(v_c), c \in C, & & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(v_c), c \in C, & & \\
d_{\text{in}} w_{c'}, c' \in C_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & d_{\text{in}} w_{c'}, c' \in C_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \\
& \downarrow \binom{0}{\text{id} \ *} & \downarrow (***) & & \\
\langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \longrightarrow 0 \\
\oplus \langle d_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}), b' \in B_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \oplus \langle d_{\text{in}}(y_{a'}), a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \downarrow \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0.
\end{array}$$

The middle column is the direct sum of $-\otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$ applied to (7.7) from Proposition 7.9(b) for (7.18) and the identity map on $\langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), d_{\text{in}} y_{a'} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}$, and the right hand column is (7.7) for (7.19). Thus the columns are exact. Some diagram-chasing in exact sequences and the snake lemma then shows the third row is exact. But this is (7.16), so the proposition follows. \square

Here is an analogue of Proposition 2.21:

Proposition 7.11. *Let \mathfrak{C} be an interior C^∞ -ring with corners and $A \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$, $A_{\text{in}} \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$ be subsets, and write $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}(a^{-1} : a \in A)[a'^{-1} : a' \in A_{\text{in}}]$ for the localization in Definition 4.26, with projection $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. Then $({}^b\Omega_\pi)_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{D} -modules.*

Proof. We may write \mathfrak{D} by adding generators and relations to \mathfrak{C} as in (4.16), where we add an \mathbb{R} -generator x_a and \mathbb{R} -relation $a \cdot x_a = 1$ for each $a \in A$, and a $[0, \infty)$ -generator $y_{a'}$ and $[0, \infty)$ -relation $a' \cdot y_{a'} = 1$ for each $a' \in A_{\text{in}}$. Then Proposition 7.10 gives an exact sequence (7.16) computing ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$. But in this case the contributions from the generators $x_a, y_{a'}$ in the middle of (7.16) are exactly cancelled by the contributions from the relations $a \cdot x_a = 1, a' \cdot y_{a'} = 1$ on the left of (7.16). That is, α in (7.16) induces an isomorphism from the $\tilde{x}_b, \tilde{y}_{b'}$ terms to the $x_a, y_{a'}$ terms. So by exactness we see that the top component of β in (7.16), which is $({}^b\Omega_\pi)_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$, is an isomorphism. \square

We show that the reflection functors $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ in Theorem 4.48 do not change b-cotangent modules, in a certain sense.

Proposition 7.12. *Theorem 4.48 constructs $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ left adjoint to $\text{inc} : \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. For each $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, there is a natural surjective morphism $\pi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C}) =: \mathfrak{D}$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$,*

the unit of the adjunction. Thus Definition 7.4 gives a morphism $({}^b\Omega_\pi)_* : \pi_*({}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}) = {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ in \mathfrak{D} -mod. Then $({}^b\Omega_\pi)_*$ is an isomorphism.

The analogue holds for the other functors $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}, \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}, \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ in Theorem 4.48.

Proof. The definition of $\mathfrak{D} = \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathfrak{C})$ in the proof of Theorem 4.48 involves inductively constructing a sequence $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C}^0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^2 \rightarrow \dots$ and setting $\mathfrak{D} = \varinjlim_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{C}^n$. Here $\mathfrak{C}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{C}^n/[g_{b'} = h_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}}^n]$, where the relations are $g_{b'} = h_{b'}$ if $g_{b'}, h_{b'} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n$ with $\pi^{\text{gp}}(g_{b'}) = \pi^{\text{gp}}(h_{b'})$. This is equivalent to the existence of $i_{b'} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n$ with $g_{b'}i_{b'} = h_{b'}i_{b'}$. But then in ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n}$ we have

$$d_{\text{in}}g_{b'} + d_{\text{in}}i_{b'} = d_{\text{in}}(g_{b'}i_{b'}) = d_{\text{in}}(h_{b'}i_{b'}) = d_{\text{in}}h_{b'} + d_{\text{in}}i_{b'},$$

so $d_{\text{in}}g_{b'} = d_{\text{in}}h_{b'}$. Applying Proposition 7.10 with \mathfrak{C}^n in place of \mathfrak{C} and $A = A_{\text{in}} = B = \emptyset$ gives an exact sequence in \mathfrak{C}^{n+1} -mod:

$$\langle d_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}), b' \in B_{\text{in}}^n \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{\alpha} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \mathfrak{C}^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\beta} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here α maps $d_{\text{in}}(\tilde{y}_{b'}) \mapsto d_{\text{in}}g_{b'} - d_{\text{in}}h_{b'} = 0$ by (7.17), so $\alpha = 0$, and β is an isomorphism. Applying $-\otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}} \mathfrak{D}$ shows that the natural map ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}} \mathfrak{D}$ is an isomorphism. Thus by induction on n and $\mathfrak{C}^0 = \mathfrak{C}$ we see that ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \cong {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \mathfrak{D}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Now $\mathfrak{D} \cong \mathfrak{C}/[\tilde{g}_{b'} = \tilde{h}_{b'}, b' \in \coprod_{n \geq 0} B_{\text{in}}^n]$, where the relations $\tilde{g}_{b'} = \tilde{h}_{b'}$ are lifts to \mathfrak{C} of all relations $g_{b'} = h_{b'}$ in $\mathfrak{C}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{C}^n/[g_{b'} = h_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}}^n]$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $d_{\text{in}}g_{b'} = d_{\text{in}}h_{b'}$ in ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n}$, and hence in ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \mathfrak{D}$, and ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \cong {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \mathfrak{D}$, we see that $d_{\text{in}}\tilde{g}_{b'} = d_{\text{in}}\tilde{h}_{b'}$ in ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$ for all such $\tilde{g}_{b'}, \tilde{h}_{b'}$. Thus in (7.16) for $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}$ with $A, A_{\text{in}}, B = \emptyset$ and $B_{\text{in}} = \coprod_{n \geq 0} B_{\text{in}}^n$ we again have $\alpha = 0$, so $\beta = ({}^b\Omega_\pi)_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is an isomorphism.

For $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}}$ a similar proof works. In this case $\mathfrak{C}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{C}^n/[g_{b'} = h_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}}^n]$, with relations $g_{b'} = h_{b'}$ if $g_{b'}, h_{b'} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n$ with $\pi^{\text{tf}}(g_{b'}) = \pi^{\text{tf}}(h_{b'})$, which (as \mathfrak{C}^n is integral) is equivalent to $g_{b'}^n = h_{b'}^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. But then $n d_{\text{in}}g_{b'} = d_{\text{in}}(g_{b'}^n) = d_{\text{in}}(h_{b'}^n) = n d_{\text{in}}h_{b'}$, so $d_{\text{in}}g_{b'} = d_{\text{in}}h_{b'}$, and the rest of the proof is unchanged.

For $\Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}}$, now $\mathfrak{C}^{n+1} = \mathfrak{C}^n[y_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{in}}^n]/[y_{b'}^{n_{b'}} = h_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}}^n]$, for $h_{b'}$ in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n \subseteq (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{gp}}$ and $n_{b'} \geq 2$ for which there exists (unique) $c'' \in (\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n)^{\text{gp}} \setminus \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^n$ with $n_{b'} \cdot c'' = h_{b'}$. That is, we introduce generators $y_{b'}$ and relations $y_{b'}^{n_{b'}} = h_{b'}$ in pairs. Since $y_{b'}^{n_{b'}} = h_{b'}$ implies that $n_{b'} \cdot d_{\text{in}}y_{b'} = d_{\text{in}}h_{b'}$, again (7.16) implies that ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}^n} \mathfrak{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}^{n+1}}$ is an isomorphism, and the rest of the argument is similar. As $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}} = \Pi_{\text{tf}}^{\text{sa}} \circ \Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{tf}} \circ \Pi_{\text{in}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the result for $\Pi_{\text{in}}^{\text{sa}}$ follows. \square

7.4 B-cotangent modules of pushouts

The next theorem generalizes Theorem 2.22.

Theorem 7.13. *Suppose we are given a pushout diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{tf}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, or $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{sa}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \beta \quad} & \mathfrak{E} \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \delta \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{\quad \gamma \quad} & \mathfrak{F}, \end{array} \quad (7.20)$$

so that $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{E}$. Then the following sequence of \mathfrak{F} -modules is exact:

$${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}, \gamma \circ \alpha} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* \oplus -({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_*} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}, \gamma} \mathfrak{F} \oplus {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}, \delta} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* \oplus ({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_*} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (7.21)$$

Here $({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}, \gamma \circ \alpha} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}, \gamma} \mathfrak{F}$ is induced by ${}^b\Omega_{\alpha} : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$, and so on. Note the sign of $-({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_*$ in (7.21).

Proof. First suppose (7.20) is a pushout in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. By ${}^b\Omega_{\psi \circ \phi} = {}^b\Omega_{\psi} \circ {}^b\Omega_{\phi}$ in Definition 7.4 and commutativity of (7.20) we have ${}^b\Omega_{\gamma} \circ {}^b\Omega_{\alpha} = {}^b\Omega_{\gamma \circ \alpha} = {}^b\Omega_{\delta \circ \beta} = {}^b\Omega_{\delta} \circ {}^b\Omega_{\beta} : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Tensoring with \mathfrak{F} then gives $({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* \circ ({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* = ({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_* \circ ({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}}$. As the composition of morphisms in (7.21) is $({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* \circ ({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* - ({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_* \circ ({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_*$, this implies (7.21) is a complex.

Apply Proposition 4.41 to (7.20), using Proposition 4.23(b) to choose co-equalizer presentations (4.22)–(4.24) for $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}$ in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, so we have a presentation (4.25) for \mathfrak{F} . Thus Proposition 7.9(b) gives exact sequences

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{s}_b), b \in B, \\ d_{\text{in}} \tilde{t}_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(s_a), a \in A, \\ d_{\text{in}} t_{a'}, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\zeta_1} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (7.22)$$

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{u}_d), d \in D, \\ d_{\text{in}} \tilde{v}_{d'}, d' \in D_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(u_c), c \in C, \\ d_{\text{in}} v_{c'}, c' \in C_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\zeta_2} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (7.23)$$

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{w}_f), f \in F, \\ d_{\text{in}} \tilde{x}_{f'}, f' \in F_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_3} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(w_e), e \in E, \\ d_{\text{in}} x_{e'}, e' \in E_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\zeta_3} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (7.24)$$

$$\left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{y}_h), h \in H, \\ d_{\text{in}} \tilde{z}_{h'}, h' \in H_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_4} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(y_g), g \in G, \\ d_{\text{in}} z_{g'}, g' \in G_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \xrightarrow{\zeta_4} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (7.25)$$

where for (7.22)–(7.24) we have tensored (7.7) for $\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}$ with \mathfrak{F} , and we write $s_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_{h'}$ for the generators in $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}, \dots, \mathfrak{F}^{H, H_{\text{in}}}$ as shown.

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(s_a), a \in A, \\ d_{\text{in}} t_{a'}, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \oplus \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{u}_d), d \in D, \\ d_{\text{in}} \tilde{v}_{d'}, d' \in D_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \oplus \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{w}_f), f \in F, \\ d_{\text{in}} \tilde{x}_{f'}, f' \in F_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & \epsilon_2 & 0 \\ -\theta_2 & 0 & \epsilon_3 \end{pmatrix}} & \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(u_c), c \in C, \\ d_{\text{in}} v_{c'}, c' \in C_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} \oplus \left\langle \begin{array}{l} d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(w_e), e \in E, \\ d_{\text{in}} x_{e'}, e' \in E_{\text{in}} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_4} & {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix} & & \parallel \text{id}_{{}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}}} \\ {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} ({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* \\ -({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_* \end{pmatrix}} & {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{F} \oplus {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* \oplus ({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_*} & {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (7.26)$$

Here the top row is (7.25), except that we have substituted for $G, G_{\text{in}}, H, H_{\text{in}}$ in terms of A, \dots, F_{in} as in (4.25), and labelled the corresponding generators as in (7.22)–(7.24). Then ϵ_4 in (7.25) is written in matrix form in (7.26) as shown, where θ_1, θ_2 are induced by the morphism $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^{C, C_{\text{in}}} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{F}^{E, E_{\text{in}}} \cong$

$\mathfrak{F}^{CIE, C_{in}IE_{in}} = \mathfrak{F}^{G, G_{in}}$ lifting $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{in}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D} \otimes_{\infty} \mathfrak{E}$ chosen during the proof of Proposition 4.41. The bottom line is the complex (7.21).

The left hand square of (7.26) commutes as $\zeta_2 \circ \epsilon_2 = \zeta_3 \circ \epsilon_3 = 0$ by exactness of (7.23)–(7.24) and $\zeta_2 \circ \theta_1 = ({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* \circ \zeta_1$, $\zeta_3 \circ \theta_2 = ({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_* \circ \zeta_1$ follow from the definition of θ_1, θ_2 . The right hand square commutes as ζ_4 and $({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* \circ \zeta_2$ map $d_{in}\Psi_{exp}(u_c) \mapsto d_{in}\Psi_{exp} \circ \gamma \circ \chi(u_c)$ and $d_{in}v_{c'} \mapsto d_{in}\gamma_{in} \circ \chi_{in}(v_{c'})$, and similarly for $({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_* \circ \zeta_3$. Hence (7.26) commutes. The columns are surjective since $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3$ are surjective as (7.22)–(7.24) are exact and identities are surjective.

The bottom right morphism $(({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* ({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_*)$ in (7.26) is surjective as ζ_4 is and the right hand square commutes. Also surjectivity of the middle column implies that it maps $\text{Ker } \zeta_4$ surjectively onto $\text{Ker}(({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* ({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_*)$. But $\text{Ker } \zeta_4 = \text{Im } \epsilon_4$ as the top row is exact, so as the left hand square commutes we see that $({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* - ({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_*^T$ surjects onto $\text{Ker}(({}^b\Omega_{\gamma})_* ({}^b\Omega_{\delta})_*)$, and the bottom row of (7.26), which is (7.21), is exact. This proves the theorem for $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{in}^c$.

Next suppose (7.20) is a pushout diagram in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} = \mathfrak{D} \amalg_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{E}$ be the pushout in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{in}^c$, which exists by Theorem 4.20(b), with projections $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\delta} : \mathfrak{E} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. Since $\Pi_{in}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{in}^c \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathbb{Z}}^c$ in Theorem 4.48 preserves colimits as it is a left adjoint, and acts as the identity on $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{E}$ as they are integral, we see that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cong \Pi_{in}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}})$. The natural projection $\pi : \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow \Pi_{in}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}) \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ is the morphism induced by (7.20) and the pushout property of $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, so that $\gamma = \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ and $\delta = \pi \circ \tilde{\delta}$.

The first part implies that (7.21) with $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\delta}$ in place of $\mathfrak{F}, \gamma, \delta$ is exact. Applying $- \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ to this shows the following is exact:

$${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}, \gamma \circ \alpha} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \xrightarrow{({}^b\Omega_{\alpha})_* \oplus - ({}^b\Omega_{\beta})_*} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}, \gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \oplus {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}, \delta} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \xrightarrow{({}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})_* \oplus ({}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\delta}})_*} {}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (7.27)$$

Now Proposition 7.12 shows that $({}^b\Omega_{\pi})_* : {}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is an isomorphism. Combining this with (7.27) and $({}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})_* = ({}^b\Omega_{\pi})_* \circ ({}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})_*$, $({}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\delta}})_* = ({}^b\Omega_{\pi})_* \circ ({}^b\Omega_{\tilde{\delta}})_*$ as $\gamma = \pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}, \delta = \pi \circ \tilde{\delta}$ shows that (7.21) is exact. The proofs for $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{tf}^c, \mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{sa}^c$ are the same, using $\Pi_{\mathbb{Z}}^{tf}, \Pi_{tf}^{sa}$ in Theorem 4.48. \square

7.5 Sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, and (b-)cotangent sheaves

We define sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on a C^{∞} -ringed space with corners, as in §2.6.

Definition 7.14. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a C^{∞} -ringed space with corners. A *sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules*, or simply an *\mathcal{O}_X -module*, \mathcal{E} on X , is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X , as in Definition 2.44, where \mathcal{O}_X is the sheaf of C^{∞} -rings in \mathcal{O}_X . A *morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules* is a morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Then \mathcal{O}_X -modules form an abelian category, which we write as $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$. An \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{E} is called a *vector bundle of rank n* if we may cover X by open $U \subseteq X$ with $\mathcal{E}|_U \cong \mathcal{O}_X|_U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n$.

Pullback sheaves are defined analogously to Definition 2.45.

Definition 7.15. Let $\mathbf{f} = (f, f^\sharp, f_{\text{ex}}^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^{\text{ex}})$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{RS}^c$, and \mathcal{E} be an \mathcal{O}_Y -module. Define the *pullback* $\mathbf{f}^*(\mathcal{E})$ by $\mathbf{f}^*(\mathcal{E}) = \underline{f}^*(\mathcal{E})$, the pullback in Definition 2.45 for $\underline{f} = (f, f^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. If $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules we have a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathbf{f}^*(\phi) = \underline{f}^*(\phi) = f^{-1}(\phi) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X} : \mathbf{f}^*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{f}^*(\mathcal{F})$.

Example 7.16. Let X be a manifold with corners, or with g-corners, and $E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle. Write $\mathbf{X} = F_{\text{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\text{Sch}^c}(X)$ for the associated C^∞ -scheme with corners. For each open set $U \subseteq X$, write $\mathcal{E}(U) = \Gamma^\infty(E|_U)$, as a module over $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = C^\infty(U)$, and for open $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$ define $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{E}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(V)$ by $\rho_{UV}(e) = e|_V$. Then \mathcal{E} is the \mathcal{O}_X -module of smooth sections of E .

If $F \rightarrow X$ is another vector bundle with \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} , then vector bundle morphisms $E \rightarrow F$ are in natural 1-1 correspondence with \mathcal{O}_X -module morphisms $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$. If $f : W \rightarrow X$ is a smooth map of manifolds with (g-)corners and $\mathbf{f} = F_{\text{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\text{Sch}^c} : \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ then the vector bundle $f^*(E) \rightarrow W$ corresponds naturally to the \mathcal{O}_W -module $\mathbf{f}^*(\mathcal{E})$.

Definition 7.17. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a C^∞ -ringed space with corners, and $\underline{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ the underlying C^∞ -ringed space. Define the *cotangent sheaf* $T^*\mathbf{X}$ of \mathbf{X} to be the cotangent sheaf $T^*\underline{X}$ of \underline{X} from Definition 2.46.

If $U \subseteq X$ is open then we have an equality of $\mathcal{O}_X|_U$ -modules

$$T^*\mathbf{U} = T^*(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U) = T^*\mathbf{X}|_U.$$

Let $\mathbf{f} = (f, f^\sharp, f_{\text{ex}}^\sharp) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism of C^∞ -ringed spaces. Define $\Omega_{\mathbf{f}} : \mathbf{f}^*(T^*\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow T^*\mathbf{X}$ to be a morphism of the cotangent sheaves by $\Omega_{\mathbf{f}} = \Omega_{\underline{f}}$, where $\underline{f} = (f, f^\sharp) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$.

Definition 7.18. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be an interior local C^∞ -ringed space with corners. For each open $U \subset X$, let $d_{U, \text{in}} : \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_U}$ be the b-cotangent module associated to the interior C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C}_U = (\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \amalg \{0\})$, where \amalg is the disjoint union. Here $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ is the set of all elements of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{ex}}(U)$ that are non-zero in each stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{ex}}$ for all $x \in U$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}$ is a sheaf of monoids on X .

For each open $U \subseteq X$, the b-cotangent modules ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_U}$ define a presheaf $\mathcal{P}^{bT^*}\mathbf{X}$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, with restriction map ${}^b\Omega_{\rho_{UV}} : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_U} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_V}$ defined in Definition 7.4. Denote the sheafification of this presheaf the *b-cotangent sheaf* ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ of \mathbf{X} . Properties of sheafification imply that, for each open set $U \subseteq X$, there is a canonical morphism ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_U} \rightarrow {}^bT^*\mathbf{X}(U)$, and we have an equality of $\mathcal{O}_X|_U$ -modules ${}^bT^*(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U) = {}^bT^*\mathbf{X}|_U$. Also, for each $x \in X$, the stalk ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X}|_x \cong {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_{X,x}}$, where ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_{X,x}}$ is the b-cotangent module of the interior local C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{C}_{X,x}$.

For a morphism $\mathbf{f} = (f, f^\sharp, f_{\text{ex}}^\sharp) : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ of interior local C^∞ -ringed spaces, we define the morphism of b-cotangent sheaves ${}^b\Omega_{\mathbf{f}} : \mathbf{f}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow {}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ by firstly noting that $\mathbf{f}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Y})$ is the sheafification of the presheaf $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{f}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Y}))$ acting by

$$U \longmapsto \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{f}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Y}))(U) = \lim_{V \supseteq f(U)} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_V(V)} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}_V(V)} \mathcal{O}_X(U),$$

as in Definition 2.46. Then, following Definition 2.46, define a morphism of presheaves $\mathcal{P}^b\Omega_{\mathbf{f}} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{f}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Y})) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}T^*\mathbf{X}$ on X by

$$(\mathcal{P}^b\Omega_{\mathbf{f}})(U) = \lim_{V \supseteq f(U)} ({}^b\Omega_{\rho_{f^{-1}(V)U} \circ \mathbf{f}_{\sharp}(V)})_*,$$

where $({}^b\Omega_{\rho_{f^{-1}(V)U} \circ \mathbf{f}_{\sharp}(V)})_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} = (\mathcal{P}^bT^*\mathbf{X})(U)$ is constructed as in Definition 2.18 from the C^∞ -ring with corners morphisms $\mathbf{f}_{\sharp}(V) : \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))$ from $\mathbf{f}_{\sharp} : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$ corresponding to \mathbf{f}^{\sharp} in \mathbf{f} as in (2.8), and $\rho_{f^{-1}(V)U} : \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ in \mathcal{O}_X . Define ${}^b\Omega_{\mathbf{f}} : \mathbf{f}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Y}) \rightarrow {}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ to be the induced morphism of the associated sheaves.

We prove an analogue of Theorem 2.48(e):

Proposition 7.19. *Let $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, and set $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X} \cong \text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$.*

Proof. By Definitions 2.47 and 7.18, $\text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$ and ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ are sheafifications of presheaves $\mathcal{P} \text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}, \mathcal{P}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$, where for open $U \subseteq X$ we have

$$\mathcal{P} \text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}(U) = {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}^bT^*\mathbf{X}(U) = {}^b\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)},$$

writing $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) = (\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U) \amalg \{0\})$. We have morphisms $\Xi_{\mathfrak{C}} : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X)$ in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ from Definition 5.14, and restriction $\rho_{XU} : \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)$ from \mathcal{O}_X , and so as in Definition 7.3 a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules $\mathcal{P}\rho(U) := (\rho_{XU} \circ \Xi_{\mathfrak{C}})_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{in}}(U)}$. This defines a morphism of presheaves $\mathcal{P}\rho : \mathcal{P} \text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$, and so sheafifying induces a morphism $\rho : \text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow {}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$.

The induced morphism on stalks at $x \in X$ is $\rho_x = (\pi_x)_* : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}_x \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}_x}$, where $\pi_x : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is projection to the local C^∞ -ring with corners \mathfrak{C}_x . But \mathfrak{C}_x is the localization (4.17), so Proposition 7.11 says $(\pi_x)_*$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\rho : \text{MSpec } \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow T^*\underline{X}$ is a sheaf morphism which induces isomorphisms on stalks at all $x \in X$, so ρ is an isomorphism. \square

The next theorem shows that $T^*\mathbf{X}, {}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ are good analogues of the (b)-cotangent bundles of manifolds with (g)-corners.

Theorem 7.20. (a) *Let X be a manifold with corners, and $\mathbf{X} = F_{\text{Man}^{\mathfrak{C}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\text{Sch}^{\mathfrak{C}}}(X)$ the associated C^∞ -scheme with corners. Then there is a natural, functorial isomorphism between the cotangent sheaf $T^*\mathbf{X}$ of \mathbf{X} , and the \mathcal{O}_X -module $T^*\mathbf{X}$ associated to the vector bundle $T^*X \rightarrow X$ in Example 7.16.*

(b) *Let X be a manifold with corners, or with g-corners, and $\mathbf{X} = F_{\text{Man}^{\text{gc}}}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\text{Sch}^{\mathfrak{C}}}(X)$ the associated C^∞ -scheme with corners. Then there is a natural, functorial isomorphism between the b-cotangent sheaf ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ of \mathbf{X} , and the \mathcal{O}_X -module ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ associated to the vector bundle ${}^bT^*X \rightarrow X$ in Example 7.16.*

Proof. For (a), every $x \in X$ has arbitrarily small open neighbourhoods $x \in U \subseteq X$ such that U is a manifold with faces, and ∂U has finitely many boundary components (for example, we can take $U \cong \mathbb{R}_k^n$). Then we have

$$\mathcal{P}T^*\underline{X}(U) = \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} = \Omega_{C^\infty(U)} \cong \Gamma^\infty(T^*X|_U) = T^*\mathbf{X}(U).$$

Here in the first step $\mathcal{P}T^*\underline{X}$ is the presheaf in Definition 2.46, whose sheafification is $T^*\mathbf{X} = T^*\underline{X}$ by Definition 7.17. In the second step $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = C^\infty(U)$ by Definition 5.21. The third step holds by Theorem 7.7(a), and the fourth by Example 7.16. Hence we have natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{P}T^*\underline{X}(U) \cong T^*\mathbf{X}(U)$ for arbitrarily small open neighbourhoods U of each $x \in X$. These isomorphisms are compatible with the restriction morphisms in $\mathcal{P}T^*\underline{X}$ and $T^*\mathbf{X}$. Thus properties of sheafification give a canonical isomorphism $T^*\mathbf{X} \cong T^*\underline{X}$. Part (b) is proved in the same way, using Definition 7.18, Example 4.38, and Theorem 7.7(b). \square

Here is a corners analogue of Theorem 2.50. It is proved following the proof of Theorem 2.50 in [26, §5.6], but using as input the identity ${}^b\Omega_{\psi \circ \phi} = {}^b\Omega_\psi \circ {}^b\Omega_\phi$ in Definition 7.4 for (a), and Theorem 7.13 for (b). We restrict to *firm* C^∞ -schemes with corners so that Proposition 5.32 applies.

Theorem 7.21. (a) *Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ and $g : \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, in}}^c$. Then in $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-mod}$ we have*

$${}^b\Omega_{g \circ f} = {}^b\Omega_f \circ f^*({}^b\Omega_g) : (g \circ f)^*(T^*\mathbf{Z}) \longrightarrow T^*\mathbf{X}.$$

(b) *Suppose we are given a Cartesian square in any of $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, in}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, Z}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, tf}}^c$ or $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{W} & \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \downarrow e & & \downarrow h \\ \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad g \quad} & \mathbf{Z}, \end{array}$$

so that $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Y}$. Then the following is exact in $\mathcal{O}_W\text{-mod}$:

$$(g \circ e)^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{e^*({}^b\Omega_g) \oplus -f^*({}^b\Omega_h)} e^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{X}) \oplus f^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{{}^b\Omega_e \oplus {}^b\Omega_f} {}^bT^*\mathbf{W} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (7.28)$$

Example 7.22. Define morphisms $g : X \rightarrow Z$, $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ in $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c$ by $X = [0, \infty)$, $Y = *$, $Z = \mathbb{R}$, $g(x) = x^n$ for some $n > 0$, and $h(*) = 0$. Write $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}$ for the images of X, \dots, h under $F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c}$. Write $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{Y}$ for the fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{to}}^c$, which exists by Theorem 5.34 (it is also the fibre product in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, tf}}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, Z}}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, in}}^c$).

Now ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X} = \langle x^{-1}dx \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$, ${}^bT^*\mathbf{Y} = 0$ and ${}^bT^*\mathbf{Z} = \langle dz \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$, where ${}^b\Omega_g$ maps $dz \mapsto nx^n \cdot x^{-1}dx$. So by Theorem 7.20(b), ${}^bT^*\mathbf{X}, {}^bT^*\mathbf{Z}$ are trivial line bundles, such that ${}^b\Omega_g$ is multiplication by nx^n on \mathbf{X} , and ${}^bT^*\mathbf{Y} = 0$. Since \mathbf{W} is defined by $x^n = 0$ in \mathbf{X} , we see that $e^*({}^b\Omega_g) = 0$ on \mathbf{W} . Thus (7.28) implies that ${}^bT^*\mathbf{W} \cong e^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{X})$, so ${}^bT^*\mathbf{W}$ is a rank 1 vector bundle on \mathbf{W} .

We might have hoped that if \mathbf{W} is an interior C^∞ -scheme with corners such that ${}^bT^*\mathbf{W}$ is a vector bundle, and \mathbf{W} satisfies a few other obvious necessary conditions (e.g. \mathbf{W} should be Hausdorff, second countable, toric, locally finitely presented) then \mathbf{W} should be a manifold with g-corners. However, in this example ${}^bT^*\mathbf{W}$ is a vector bundle, but \mathbf{W} is not a manifold with g-corners, and there do not seem to be obvious conditions to impose which exclude \mathbf{W} .

7.6 (B-)cotangent sheaves and the corner functor

If X is a manifold with (g-)corners, then as in [23, §3.5] and Remark 3.18(d) we have an exact sequence of vector bundles on $C(X)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^bT^*(C(X)) \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_T^*} \Pi_X^*({}^bT^*X) \xrightarrow{{}^b i_T^*} {}^bN_{C(X)}^* \longrightarrow 0. \quad (7.29)$$

Note that ${}^b\pi_T^*$ is *not* the morphism ${}^b d\Pi_X^*$ associated to $\Pi_X : C(X) \rightarrow X$: this is not defined as Π_X is not interior, and if it were it would map in the opposite direction. Both ${}^b\pi_T^*, {}^b i_T^*$ are specially constructed using the geometry of corners.

We will construct an analogue of (7.29) for (firm, interior) C^∞ -schemes with corners. First we define an analogue for (interior) C^∞ -rings with corners.

Definition 7.23. Let \mathfrak{C} be an interior C^∞ -ring with corners, and $P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a prime ideal in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . Then Example 4.25(b) defines a C^∞ -ring with corners $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}/\sim_P$, which is also interior, with (non-interior) projection $\pi_P : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. Writing $P_{\text{in}} = P \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$, define a monoid

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}/[c' = 1 : c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus P_{\text{in}}] \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^\sharp/[c'' = 1 : c'' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^\sharp \setminus P_{\text{in}}^\sharp], \quad (7.30)$$

with projection $\lambda^P : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$. We will construct an exact sequence in \mathfrak{D} -mod:

$${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_{\mathfrak{C},P}} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathfrak{C},P}} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (7.31)$$

Beware of a possible confusion here: in (7.30) we regard $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$ as a monoid under multiplication, with identity 1, but in (7.31) it is better to think of $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$ as a monoid under addition, so that the identity $1 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$ is mapped to 0 in the \mathfrak{D} -module $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D}$, i.e. $1 \otimes 1 = 0$. This arises as for b-cotangent modules we think of $d_{\text{in}} = d \circ \log$, where \log maps multiplication to addition and $1 \mapsto 0$.

As in Example 4.25(b), $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}/\sim_P$, where for $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$ we have $c'_1 \sim_P c'_2$ if either $c'_1, c'_2 \in P$ or $c'_1 = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(c)c'_2$ for c in the ideal $\langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ in \mathfrak{C} generated by $\Phi_i(P)$. Thus $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus P_{\text{in}}$ is mapped surjectively to $\mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\text{ex}} \setminus \{0\}$.

Define a map $d'_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$ by $d'_{\text{in}}[c'] = (d_{\text{in}}c') \otimes 1$, where $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus P_{\text{in}}$, and $[c'] \in \mathfrak{D}_{\text{in}}$ is its \sim_P -equivalence class, and $d_{\text{in}}c' \in {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$, so that $(d_{\text{in}}c') \otimes 1$ lies in ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$. To show this is well defined, note that if $c'_1, c'_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus P_{\text{in}}$ with $[c'_1] = [c'_2]$ then $c'_1 = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(c)c'_2$ for $c \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$. We may write $c = a_1\Phi_i(b'_1) + \cdots + a_n\Phi_i(b'_n)$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $b'_1, \dots, b'_n \in P$. Hence

$$d_{\text{in}}c'_1 = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(c) \cdot d_{\text{in}}c'_2 + \sum_{j=1}^n c'_2\Phi_i(b'_j)(d_{\text{in}}\Psi_{\text{exp}}(a_j) + a_j \cdot d_{\text{in}}b'_j)$$

in ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$, using Definition 7.4. Applying $-\otimes 1 : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$, we have $\pi_P(\Psi_{\text{exp}}(c)) = 1$ as $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}/I$ with $c \in I$, and $\pi_P(c'_2\Phi_i(b'_j)) = 0$ as $b'_j \in P$ so $c'_2\Phi_i(b'_j) \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$. Hence $d_{\text{in}}c'_1 \otimes 1 = 1 \cdot (d_{\text{in}}c'_2 \otimes 1) + 0 = d_{\text{in}}c'_2 \otimes 1$, so d'_{in} is well defined. As d_{in} is a b-derivation, d'_{in} is too. Thus by the universal property of ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ there is a unique morphism ${}^b\pi_{\mathfrak{C},P} : {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$ in \mathfrak{D} -mod with $d'_{\text{in}} = {}^b\pi_{\mathfrak{C},P} \circ d_{\text{in}}$, as required in (7.31).

Next, define a map $d''_{\text{in}} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D}$ by $d''_{\text{in}}(c') = \lambda^P(c') \otimes 1$. Regard $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D}$ as a \mathfrak{C} -module via $\pi_P : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$, with trivial \mathfrak{C} -action on the $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$ factor, so that $c \cdot (\bar{c} \otimes d) = \bar{c} \otimes (\pi_P(c)d)$ for $c \in \mathfrak{C}$, $d \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $\bar{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$. We claim that d''_{in} is a b-derivation. To prove this, let $g : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be interior and $c'_1, \dots, c'_n \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}$. Write $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \exp(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))$, for $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0$ and $f : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ smooth. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d''_{\text{in}}(\Psi_g(c'_1, \dots, c'_n)) &= d''_{\text{in}}(c_1^{a_1} \cdots c_n^{a_n} \Psi_{\exp}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))) \\ &= \lambda^P(c_1^{a_1} \cdots c_n^{a_n} \Psi_{\exp}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))) \otimes 1 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \lambda^P(c'_j) \otimes 1 + \lambda^P(\Psi_{\exp}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))) \otimes 1 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j d''_{\text{in}}(c'_j) + 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7.32)$$

using in the third step that λ^P is a monoid morphism, and in the fourth that $\lambda^P(\Psi_{\exp}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))) = 1$ by (7.30) as $\Psi_{\exp}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus P_{\text{in}}$, so $\lambda^P(\Psi_{\exp}(f(x_1, \dots, x_n))) \otimes 1 = 0$ as $-\otimes 1$ maps $1 \mapsto 0$. Now

$$g^{-1} x_j \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j} = a_j + x_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Hence

$$\Phi_{g^{-1} x_i \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}}(c'_1, \dots, c'_n) = a_j + \Phi_i(c'_j) \Phi_{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}}(c'_1, \dots, c'_n). \quad (7.33)$$

Combining (7.32) with π_P applied to (7.33) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} d''_{\text{in}}(\Psi_g(c'_1, \dots, c'_n)) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \pi_P(\Phi_{g^{-1} x_j \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_j}}(c'_1, \dots, c'_n)) \cdot d''_{\text{in}} c'_j \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n \pi_P(\Phi_i(c'_j)) \pi_P(\Phi_{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}}(c'_1, \dots, c'_n)) \cdot d''_{\text{in}} c'_j. \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, n$, if $c'_j \in P$ then $\Phi_i(c'_j) \in \langle \Phi_i(P) \rangle$ so $\pi_P(\Phi_i(c'_j)) = 0$, and if $c'_j \notin P$ then $\lambda^P(c'_j) = 1$ so $d''_{\text{in}} c'_j = 0$. Hence the second line of (7.34) is zero. So d''_{in} satisfies (7.1), and is a b-derivation.

Thus there exists a unique morphism ${}^b i'_{\mathfrak{C}, P} : {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D}$ in \mathfrak{C} -mod with $d''_{\text{in}} = {}^b i'_{\mathfrak{C}, P} \circ d_{\text{in}}$, by the universal property of ${}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}}$. Applying $-\otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$ to ${}^b i'_{\mathfrak{C}, P}$ and noting that $\mathfrak{D} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \cong \mathfrak{D}$ as $\pi_P : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$ is surjective gives a morphism ${}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P} : {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D}$, as required in (7.31). Proposition 7.24 shows (7.31) is an exact sequence.

Now suppose also that $\phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, and $Q \subset \mathfrak{E}_{\text{ex}}$ is a prime ideal with $P = \phi_{\text{ex}}^{-1}(Q)$, and write $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{E}/\sim_Q$. As $\phi_{\text{ex}}(P) \subseteq Q$ there is a unique morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ with $\psi \circ \pi_P = \pi_Q \circ \phi : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$. From the definitions, it is not difficult to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{D}} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{{}^b \pi_{\mathfrak{C}, P} \otimes \text{id}} & {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P} \otimes \text{id}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{F} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow ({}^b \Omega_{\psi})_* & & \downarrow {}^b \Omega_{\phi} \otimes \text{id} & & \downarrow (\phi_{\text{in}})_* \otimes \text{id} & & \\ {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{F}} & \xrightarrow{{}^b \pi_{\mathfrak{C}, Q}} & {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{E}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{E}} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathfrak{E}, Q}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^Q \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{F} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \quad (7.35)$$

Proposition 7.24. *Equation (7.31) is an exact sequence.*

Proof. Work in the situation of Definition 7.23. Then ${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is generated over \mathfrak{D} by $d_{\text{in}}[c']$ for $c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus P_{\text{in}}$. But

$$\begin{aligned} {}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P} \circ {}^b \pi_{\mathfrak{C}, P}(d_{\text{in}}[c']) &= {}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P} \circ d'_{\text{in}}[c'] = {}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P}((d_{\text{in}}c') \otimes 1) = {}^b i'_{\mathfrak{C}, P}(d_{\text{in}}c') \\ &= d''_{\text{in}}(c') = \lambda^P(c') \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ${}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P} \circ {}^b \pi_{\mathfrak{C}, P} = 0$, so (7.31) is a complex.

By Proposition 4.23(b), \mathfrak{C} fits into a coequalizer diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$:

$$\mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{in}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{C}. \quad (7.36)$$

Define prime ideals $Q = \phi_{\text{ex}}^{-1}(P) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ and

$$R = \alpha_{\text{ex}}^{-1}(Q) = (\phi_{\text{ex}} \circ \alpha_{\text{ex}})^{-1}(P) = (\phi_{\text{ex}} \circ \beta_{\text{ex}})^{-1}(P) = \beta_{\text{ex}}^{-1}(Q) \subset \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}}.$$

As $\mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}, \mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{in}}}$ are free, prime ideals in $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}, \mathfrak{F}_{\text{ex}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}}$ are parametrized by subsets of $A_{\text{in}}, B_{\text{in}}$. Thus there are unique subsets $\dot{A}_{\text{in}} \subseteq A_{\text{in}}, \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \subseteq B_{\text{in}}$ with $Q = \langle y_{a'} : a' \in \dot{A}_{\text{in}} \rangle$ and $R = \langle \tilde{y}_{b'} : b' \in \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \rangle$. Set $\dot{A}_{\text{in}} = A_{\text{in}} \setminus \dot{A}_{\text{in}}$ and $\dot{B}_{\text{in}} = B_{\text{in}} \setminus \dot{B}_{\text{in}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} / \sim_Q &= \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} / [y_{a'} = 0 : a' \in \dot{A}_{\text{in}}] \cong \mathfrak{F}^{A, \dot{A}_{\text{in}}}, \\ \mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{in}}} / \sim_R &= \mathfrak{F}^{B, B_{\text{in}}} / [\tilde{y}_{b'} = 0 : b' \in \dot{B}_{\text{in}}] \cong \mathfrak{F}^{B, \dot{B}_{\text{in}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus equation (7.36) descends to a coequalizer diagram for \mathfrak{D} :

$$\mathfrak{F}^{B, \dot{B}_{\text{in}}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \\ \xleftarrow{\delta} \end{array} \mathfrak{F}^{A, \dot{A}_{\text{in}}} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathfrak{D}. \quad (7.37)$$

Consider the diagram in $\mathfrak{D}\text{-mod}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B, & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B, & \xrightarrow{\text{project}} & \langle d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} \twoheadrightarrow 0 \\ d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \\ \downarrow \gamma_* - \delta_* & & \downarrow \alpha_* - \beta_* & & \downarrow \alpha_* - \beta_* \\ \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A, & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A, & \xrightarrow{\text{project}} & \langle d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in \dot{A}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} \twoheadrightarrow 0 \\ d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in \dot{A}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in \dot{A}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \\ \downarrow \psi_* & & \downarrow \phi_* & & \downarrow d_{\text{in}} y_{a'} \mapsto [y_{a'}] \\ {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} & \xrightarrow{{}^b \pi_{\mathfrak{C}, P}} & {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 & & 0. \end{array} \quad (7.38)$$

Here the first column is (7.7) for (7.37), and so is exact. The maps $\gamma_*, \delta_*, \psi_*$ are defined as in (7.8)–(7.9) using γ, δ, ψ . The second column is $- \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}$ applied to (7.7) for (7.36) in the same way, and so is exact.

For the third column of (7.38), equation (7.36) and the definition of Q, R induce a coequalizer diagram in **Mon**:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}} / [\tilde{y}' = 1 : & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_{\text{in}})_*} & \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} / [y' = 1 : & \xrightarrow{(\phi_{\text{in}})_*} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P = \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} / [c' = 1 : \\ \tilde{y}' \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}} \setminus R_{\text{in}}] & \xrightarrow{(\beta_{\text{in}})_*} & y' \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} \setminus Q_{\text{in}}] & & c' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}} \setminus P_{\text{in}}]. \end{array}$$

Applying $- \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D}$, which preserves coequalizers, gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}} / [\tilde{y}' = 1 : & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_{\text{in}})_* - (\beta_{\text{in}})_*} & \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} / [y' = 1 : & \xrightarrow{(\phi_{\text{in}})_*} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow 0. \\ \tilde{y}' \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}} \setminus R_{\text{in}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} & & y' \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} \setminus Q_{\text{in}}] \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} & & \end{array}$$

But this is isomorphic to the third column of (7.38), which is therefore exact.

The top two squares of (7.38) commute by the relation between (7.36) and (7.37). The bottom two squares commute as they are (7.35) for $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^{A, A_{\text{in}}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$, using (7.6). Thus (7.38) commutes. The columns are all exact, and the top two rows are obviously exact as $A_{\text{in}} = \dot{A}_{\text{in}} \amalg \check{A}_{\text{in}}$, $B_{\text{in}} = \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \amalg \check{B}_{\text{in}}$. The third row is a complex as above. Therefore by some standard diagram-chasing in exact sequences, the third row, which is (7.31), is exact. \square

Example 7.25. Set $\mathfrak{C} = \mathbb{R}(x)[y]/[y = y^2, e^x y = y]$, and let $P = \langle y \rangle \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}$. Then $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}/\sim_P \cong \mathbb{R}(x)$. Proposition 7.10 implies that

$${}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \cong \frac{\langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x), d_{\text{in}} y \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{C}}{d_{\text{in}} y = 2d_{\text{in}} y, d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x) + d_{\text{in}} y = d_{\text{in}} y} = 0, \quad {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \cong \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}.$$

Also (7.30) implies that $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P = \{[1], [y]\}$ with $[y]^2 = [y]$, so $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} = 0$. Thus in this case (7.31) becomes the exact sequence $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$.

Note in particular that in Example 7.25, in contrast to (7.29), if we add ' $0 \rightarrow$ ' to the left of (7.31), it may no longer be exact. However, this is exact if we also assume \mathfrak{C} is toric.

Proposition 7.26. *In Definition 7.23, suppose \mathfrak{C} is toric. Then we may extend (7.31) to an exact sequence in \mathfrak{D} -mod:*

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_{\mathfrak{C}, P}} {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P}} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (7.39)$$

Proof. We will explain how to modify the proof of Proposition 7.24. Firstly, as \mathfrak{C} is toric we may take (7.36) to be a coequalizer diagram in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Rings}_{\mathfrak{t}_o}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ rather than in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty} \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, which implies $A_{\text{in}}, B_{\text{in}}$ are finite. We choose it so that $|A_{\text{in}}|$ is as small as possible. This implies that we have a 1-1 correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{in}}^{\#} : A_{\text{in}} &\cong \{[y_{a'}] : a' \in A_{\text{in}}\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \\ &\{[c'] \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\#} \setminus \{1\} : [c'] \neq [c'_1][c'_2] \text{ for } [c'_1], [c'_2] \in \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\#} \setminus \{1\}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.40)$$

where the second line is the unique minimal set of generators of $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^{\#}$.

Having fixed A_{in} , we choose (7.36) so that $|B_{\text{in}}|$ is also as small as possible, that is, we define \mathfrak{C} using the fewest possible $[0, \infty)$ -type relations in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^{\mathfrak{c}}$. From (7.36) we may form diagrams

$$\mathbb{N}^{B_{\text{in}}} = (\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}})^\# \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\text{in}}^\#} \\ \xrightarrow{\beta_{\text{in}}^\#} \end{array} \mathbb{N}^{A_{\text{in}}} = (\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}})^\# \xrightarrow{\phi_{\text{in}}^\#} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^\#, \quad (7.41)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{B_{\text{in}}} = (\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}})^\# \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\text{in}}^\# - \beta_{\text{in}}^\#} \mathbb{Q}^{A_{\text{in}}} = (\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}})^\# \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\text{in}}^\#} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^\# \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0. \quad (7.42)$$

Here (7.41) is a coequalizer in the category of toric monoids \mathbf{Mon}_{to} , as (7.36) is in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^{\mathfrak{c}}$. This implies (7.42) is exact at the third and fourth terms.

Choosing $|B_{\text{in}}|$ as small as possible also forces (7.42) to be exact at the second term. Suppose for a contradiction that $0 \neq (y_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{in}}) \in \text{Ker}(\alpha_{\text{in}}^\# - \beta_{\text{in}}^\#)$ in (7.42). By rescaling we can suppose all $y_{b'}$ lie in $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Write $\alpha_{\text{in}}(y_{b'}) = g_{b'} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ and $\beta_{\text{in}}(y_{b'}) = h_{b'} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ for $b' \in B_{\text{in}}$. Then $(y_{b'} : b' \in B_{\text{in}}) \in \text{Ker}(\alpha_{\text{in}}^\# - \beta_{\text{in}}^\#)$ implies that in $(\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}})^\#$ we have

$$\prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} > 0} [g_{b'}]^{y_{b'}} \prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} < 0} [h_{b'}]^{-y_{b'}} = \prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} > 0} [h_{b'}]^{y_{b'}} \prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} < 0} [g_{b'}]^{-y_{b'}}.$$

Therefore there is a unique $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ such that in $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{A, A_{\text{in}}}$ we have

$$\prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} > 0} g_{b'}^{y_{b'}} \prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} < 0} h_{b'}^{-y_{b'}} = \Psi_{\text{exp}}(f) \prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} > 0} h_{b'}^{y_{b'}} \prod_{b' \in B_{\text{in}}: y_{b'} < 0} g_{b'}^{-y_{b'}}. \quad (7.43)$$

As $g_{b'} = h_{b'}$ in \mathfrak{C}_{in} , and \mathfrak{C} is toric, (7.43) implies that $\phi_{\text{in}} \circ \Psi_{\text{exp}}(f) = 1$ in \mathfrak{C}_{in} , so $\phi(f) = 0$ in \mathfrak{C} . Conversely, if we impose the relation $f = 0$ then the other relations involved in (7.43) become dependent. Pick $b' \in B_{\text{in}}$ with $y_{b'} \neq 0$. Then we may modify $\mathfrak{F}_{\text{in}}^{B, B_{\text{in}}}$, α, β in (7.36), replacing B, B_{in} by $B \amalg \{\tilde{b}\}, B_{\text{in}} \setminus \{b\}$, where α, β act by $\alpha(x_{\tilde{b}}) = f$ and $\beta(x_{\tilde{b}}) = 0$. That is, we replace the $[0, \infty)$ -type relation $g_{b'} = h_{b'}$ by the \mathbb{R} -type relation $f = 0$. The modified equation (7.36) is still a coequalizer diagram in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}_{\text{to}}^{\mathfrak{c}}$, but we have decreased $|B_{\text{in}}|$, a contradiction. Thus (7.42) is exact, and applying $-\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ to it is also exact.

Observe that the 1-1 correspondence (7.40) for A_{in} also determines $\dot{A}_{\text{in}}, \dot{A}_{\text{in}}$ uniquely, as \dot{A}_{in} is identified with the intersection of the second line of (7.40) with $P_{\text{in}}^\#$. With $|A_{\text{in}}|, |B_{\text{in}}|$ fixed and minimal, we choose (7.36) so that $|\dot{B}_{\text{in}}|$ is as small as possible, or equivalently $|\ddot{B}_{\text{in}}|$ is as large as possible. In (7.42) we have $\mathbb{Q}^{A_{\text{in}}} = \mathbb{Q}^{\dot{A}_{\text{in}}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{\ddot{A}_{\text{in}}}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^{B_{\text{in}}} = \mathbb{Q}^{\dot{B}_{\text{in}}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{\ddot{B}_{\text{in}}}$, with $\text{Ker} \phi_{\text{in}}^\# \subset \mathbb{Q}^{\text{in}}$. The definitions imply that $(\alpha_{\text{in}}^\# - \beta_{\text{in}}^\#)(\mathbb{Q}^{\ddot{B}_{\text{in}}}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^{\dot{A}_{\text{in}}} \cap \text{Ker} \phi_{\text{in}}^\#$. Choosing $|\ddot{B}_{\text{in}}|$ as large as possible forces $(\alpha_{\text{in}}^\# - \beta_{\text{in}}^\#)(\mathbb{Q}^{\ddot{B}_{\text{in}}}) = \mathbb{Q}^{\dot{A}_{\text{in}}} \cap \text{Ker} \phi_{\text{in}}^\#$. Taking the quotient of (7.42) by the subsequence generated by $\mathbb{Q}^{\dot{A}_{\text{in}}}, \mathbb{Q}^{\ddot{B}_{\text{in}}}$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{\dot{B}_{\text{in}}} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\text{in}}^\# - \beta_{\text{in}}^\#} \mathbb{Q}^{\dot{A}_{\text{in}}} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\text{in}}^\#} \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (7.44)$$

where exactness at $\mathbb{Q}^{\dot{B}_{\text{in}}}$ holds as $(\alpha_{\text{in}}^{\sharp} - \beta_{\text{in}}^{\sharp})(\mathbb{Q}^{\dot{B}_{\text{in}}}) = \mathbb{Q}^{\dot{A}_{\text{in}}} \cap \text{Ker } \phi_{\text{in}}^{\sharp}$.

Now consider the following commutative diagram extending (7.38):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & & 0 & & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B, & \longrightarrow & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(\tilde{x}_b), b \in B, & \longrightarrow & \langle d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in \dot{B}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & d_{\text{in}} \tilde{y}_{b'}, b' \in B_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \\
& & \downarrow \gamma_* - \delta_* & & \downarrow \alpha_* - \beta_* & & \downarrow \alpha_* - \beta_* \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A, & \longrightarrow & \langle d_{\text{in}} \Psi_{\text{exp}}(x_a), a \in A, & \longrightarrow & \langle d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in \dot{A}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in \dot{A}_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & d_{\text{in}} y_{a'}, a' \in A_{\text{in}} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D} & & \\
& & \downarrow \psi_* & & \downarrow \phi_* & & \downarrow d_{\text{in}} y_{a'} \mapsto [y_{a'}] \\
0 & \longrightarrow & {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} & \xrightarrow{{}^b \pi_{\mathfrak{C}, P}} & {}^b \Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathfrak{C}, P}} & \mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0, \tag{7.45}
\end{array}$$

where we have added ‘0 \rightarrow ’ to the rows and third column of (7.38). The first and second rows are clearly exact. As for (7.38), the first and second columns of (7.45) come from (7.7) for (7.37) and (7.36). In this case (7.36)–(7.37) are coequalizers in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathfrak{C}_0}^{\mathfrak{C}}$, not in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. But applying Proposition 7.12 as in the last part of the proof of Theorem 7.13, we can show that Proposition 7.9 also holds for coequalizers in $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Rings}_{\mathfrak{C}_0}^{\mathfrak{C}}$. Thus the first and second columns of (7.45) are exact. The third column is exact as it is $- \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathfrak{D}$ applied to (7.44). Therefore by some standard diagram-chasing in exact sequences, the third row, which is (7.39), is exact. \square

Next we generalize (7.29) to C^{∞} -schemes with corners. We restrict to *firm* interior C^{∞} -schemes with corners $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi, in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ so that we can use Theorem 6.17 and Proposition 6.20, though one can also prove slightly weaker results for $\mathbf{LC}^{\infty}\mathbf{RS}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

Definition 7.27. Let \mathfrak{C} be a firm, interior C^{∞} -ring with corners, and write $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}$. Let $P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ be a prime ideal in \mathfrak{C}_{ex} . Then Example 4.25(b) defines a C^{∞} -ring with corners $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{C}/\sim_P$, which is also firm and interior, with projection $\pi_P : \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. Theorem 6.17 shows there is an open and closed C^{∞} -subscheme $C(\mathbf{X})^P$ in $C(\mathbf{X})$ with an isomorphism $\mathbf{Y} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow C(\mathbf{X})^P$.

As \mathbf{X} is interior, Definition 6.19 defines a sheaf of monoids $\tilde{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}}$ on $C(\mathbf{X})$. The proof of Proposition 6.20 shows that $\tilde{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}}|_{C(\mathbf{X})^P}$ is a constant sheaf on $C(\mathbf{X})^P$ with fibre $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$ in (7.30).

Consider the diagram in $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)^P}\text{-mod} \cong \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-mod}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{D}} & \longrightarrow & \text{MSpec}({}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \otimes_{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D}) & \longrightarrow & \text{MSpec}(\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{D}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow \cong & \text{MSpec } {}^b\pi_{\mathfrak{C},P} & \downarrow \cong & \text{MSpec } {}^b i_{\mathfrak{C},P} & \downarrow \cong & & \\
{}^bT^*C(\mathbf{X})^P & \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_{\mathbf{X}}|_{C(X)^P}} & \mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{X}}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{X})|_{C(X)^P} & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathbf{X}}|_{C(X)^P}} & \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{C(X)^P} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array} \tag{7.46}$$

Here the top row is the exact functor $\text{MSpec} : \mathfrak{D}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-mod}$ from Definition 2.47 applied to (7.31), and so is exact. The isomorphism in the left column comes from Proposition 7.19 for \mathfrak{D} , as $\text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{D} \cong C(\mathbf{X})^P$. The isomorphism in the middle column is $\mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{X}}^*$ applied to the isomorphism $\text{MSpec } {}^b\Omega_{\mathfrak{C}} \cong {}^bT^*\mathbf{X}$ from Proposition 7.19. The isomorphism in the right hand column holds as $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$ is the constant sheaf on $C(X)^P$ with fibre $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{in}}^P$, and $\text{MSpec } \mathfrak{D} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C(X)^P}$.

Thus there exist unique morphisms ${}^b\pi_{\mathbf{X}}|_{C(X)^P}, {}^b i_{\mathbf{X}}|_{C(X)^P}$ making (7.46) commute, and the bottom line of (7.46) is exact. Since $C(\mathbf{X}) = \coprod_{P \in \text{Pr}_{\mathfrak{C}}} C(\mathbf{X})^P$ by (6.20), there is a unique exact sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}\text{-mod}$:

$${}^bT^*C(\mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_{\mathbf{X}}} \mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{X}}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathbf{X}}} {}^b\check{N}_{C(X)} = \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{C(X)} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{7.47}$$

which restricts to the bottom line of (7.46) on $C(X)^P$ for each P . Here we define ${}^b\check{N}_{C(X)} = \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{C(X)}$. As $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$ is a locally constant sheaf of finitely generated monoids, ${}^b\check{N}_{C(X)}$ is a finite rank locally free sheaf, that is, a vector bundle on $C(\mathbf{X})$, which we call the *b-conormal bundle* of $C(\mathbf{X})$.

We have constructed an exact sequence (7.47) in $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}\text{-mod}$ whenever \mathfrak{C} is a firm, interior C^∞ -ring with corners and $\mathbf{X} = \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}$. We now claim that if $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\text{Sch}_{\text{fin}, \text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ then there is a unique exact sequence (7.47) in $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}\text{-mod}$, which restricts to the sequence constructed above on $C(U)$ for any open $U \subseteq \mathbf{X}$ with $U \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}} \mathfrak{C}$ for some $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\text{Rings}_{\text{fin}, \text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$.

To see this, it is enough to show that the morphisms $({}^b\pi_{\mathbf{X}})_1, ({}^b i_{\mathbf{X}})_1$ and $({}^b\pi_{\mathbf{X}})_2, ({}^b i_{\mathbf{X}})_2$ constructed above on affine open subsets $C(U_1), C(U_2) \subset C(\mathbf{X})$ agree on overlaps $C(U_1) \cap C(U_2)$ for any two such $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbf{X}$ with $U_i \cong \text{Spec}_{\text{in}}^{\mathfrak{C}_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Further, it is enough to show these morphisms agree on stalks at each $(x, P_x) \in C(U_1) \cap C(U_2)$. But the restriction of (7.47) to the stalk at (x, P_x) is equation (7.31) for the local C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathfrak{C}_{1,x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{2,x}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{1,x} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C(X),(x,P_x)} \cong \mathfrak{D}_{2,x}$. As this depends only on $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathcal{O}_{C(X),(x,P_x)}$, it is independent of the choice of $\mathfrak{C}_1, \mathfrak{C}_2$, as we want.

If we suppose that $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty\text{Sch}_{\text{to}}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ then we can use (7.39) instead of (7.31), and so get an extended exact sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{C(X)}\text{-mod}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow {}^bT^*C(\mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{{}^b\pi_{\mathbf{X}}} \mathbf{\Pi}_{\mathbf{X}}^*({}^bT^*\mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathbf{X}}} {}^b\check{N}_{C(X)} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{7.48}$$

Applying MSpec to Example 7.25 shows that if \mathbf{X} is not toric, then (7.48) may not be exact at the second term.

Now let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C(\mathbf{f})^*({}^b T^* C(\mathbf{Y})) & \xrightarrow{C(\mathbf{f})^* \circ \Pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^* ({}^b T^* \mathbf{Y}) =} & C(\mathbf{f})^*({}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow {}^b \Omega_{C(\mathbf{f})} & \xrightarrow{C(\mathbf{f})^* ({}^b \pi_{\mathbf{Y}})} & \Pi_{\mathbf{Y}}^* \circ f^* ({}^b T^* \mathbf{Y}) & \xrightarrow{C(\mathbf{f})^* ({}^b i_{\mathbf{Y}})} & \downarrow {}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{f})} \\
{}^b T^* C(\mathbf{X}) & \xrightarrow{{}^b \pi_{\mathbf{X}}} & \Pi_{\mathbf{X}}^* ({}^b T^* \mathbf{X}) & \xrightarrow{{}^b i_{\mathbf{X}}} & {}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{X})} & \rightarrow & 0,
\end{array} \tag{7.49}$$

where we define

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{f})} &= \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{f})}^{\text{ex}} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \text{id} : C(\mathbf{f})^*({}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}) \cong C(\mathbf{f})^{-1}(\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{Y})}^{\text{ex}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{C(\mathbf{X})} \\
&\longrightarrow {}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{X})} = \check{M}_{C(\mathbf{X})}^{\text{in}} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{C(\mathbf{X})},
\end{aligned}$$

for $\check{M}_{C(\mathbf{f})}^{\text{ex}}$ as in (6.26). Over affine subsets of \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} and $C(\mathbf{X}), C(\mathbf{Y})$, equation (7.49) may be identified with MSpec applied to (7.35), using (7.46). Thus (7.49) commutes on affine open subsets covering $C(\mathbf{X})$, and hence it commutes on $C(\mathbf{X})$. So the exact sequence (7.47) is functorial over $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{fi}, \mathbf{in}}^c$.

Let X be a manifold with corners, and set $\mathbf{X} = F_{\mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c}(X)$ as in Definition 5.21. Proposition 6.12 says $C(\mathbf{X}) \cong F_{\mathbf{Man}^c}^{\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}_{\mathbf{to}}^c}(C(X))$. Theorem 7.20(b) identifies ${}^b T^* \mathbf{X}, {}^b T^* C(\mathbf{X})$ with the sheaves of sections of ${}^b T^* X, {}^b T^* C(X)$. Example 6.22 identifies the sheaf of sections of $M_{C(X)}^\vee$ with $\check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}}$. As ${}^b N_{C(X)}^* = M_{C(X)}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$ on $C(X)$ and ${}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{X})} = \check{M}_{C(X)}^{\text{in}} \otimes_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{O}_{C(\mathbf{X})}$ on $C(\mathbf{X})$, the sheaf of sections of ${}^b N_{C(X)}^*$ is identified with ${}^b \check{N}_{C(\mathbf{X})}$. By comparing definitions, one can show that all these isomorphisms identify the exact sequences (7.29) on $C(X)$ and (7.48) on $C(\mathbf{X})$. The analogue holds for manifolds with g-corners.

8 Further generalizations

We discuss three directions in which Chapters 4–7 can be generalized.

8.1 C^∞ -stacks with corners

In classical algebraic geometry, schemes are generalized to (Deligne–Mumford or Artin) stacks, as in Olsson [43], Laumon and Moret-Bailly [31], and de Jong [17]. This is useful as many moduli spaces naturally have the structure of stacks, but not schemes. Stacks over a field \mathbb{K} form a 2-category $\mathbf{Sta}_{\mathbb{K}}$.

The second author [26, §6–§A] extended the theory of C^∞ -schemes in [26, §2–§5] to C^∞ -stacks, including *Deligne–Mumford C^∞ -stacks*, which form 2-categories $\mathbf{DMC}^\infty \mathbf{Sta} \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sta}$. One reason this is interesting is that the category of manifolds \mathbf{Man} generalizes to the 2-category of *orbifolds* \mathbf{Orb} , which are roughly Deligne–Mumford stacks in manifolds. The full embedding $\mathbf{Man} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}$ generalizes to a full embedding $\mathbf{Orb} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{DMC}^\infty \mathbf{Sta}$.

Thus, it seems an obvious project to generalize our theory of C^∞ -schemes with corners to 2-categories $\mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}^c$ of (Deligne–Mumford) C^∞ -stacks with corners, with a full embedding $\mathbf{Orb}^c \hookrightarrow \mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}^c$ of the 2-category of orbifolds with corners.

Now most of the generalization from $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ to $\mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta} \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}$ in [26, §6–§A] is an exercise in the theory of stacks, which depends only on a few properties of C^∞ -schemes:

- (i) We define a *Grothendieck topology* \mathcal{J} on $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$, making $(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}, \mathcal{J})$ into a *site*, by the usual open sets and open covers for topological spaces. This is a reasonable definition as affine C^∞ -schemes are Hausdorff, and every open subset of a C^∞ -scheme is a C^∞ -subscheme. So there are ‘enough’ ordinary open sets in C^∞ -schemes, and we do not need an exotic notion of ‘open set’ to define stacks, as for the étale or smooth topologies in ordinary algebraic geometry.
- (ii) Objects and morphisms in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$ can be glued on covers, and form a sheaf. Thus $(\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}, \mathcal{J})$ is a *subcanonical site*.
- (iii) It is convenient, but not essential, that all fibre products exist in $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}$.

Parts (i),(ii) work for $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$ and $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c$. For (iii), in parts of the theory requiring fibre products, we should restrict to subcategories such as $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi}}^c$ or $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{fi,in}}^c$, in which fibre products exist by Theorem 5.34.

Thus we may define 2-categories $\mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}^c$ of (Deligne–Mumford) C^∞ -stacks with corners by following [26, §6–§7] with only cosmetic changes. The material of §5.6 gives interesting 2-subcategories such as toric and interior $\mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}_{\text{to}}^c \subset \mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}^c$. Theorem 5.34 implies that fibre products exist in 2-categories of C^∞ -stacks with corners corresponding to categories in (5.15), such as $\mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}_{\text{to}}^c, \mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}_{\text{fi,in}}^c, \mathbf{DMC}^\infty\mathbf{Sta}_{\text{fi}}^c$. Most of the material of §7.1–§7.5 also generalizes immediately, following [26, §8]. Extending Chapter 6 and §7.6 to stacks will require some work.

8.2 C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes with a-corners

Our notion of C^∞ -ring with corners started with the categories $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$ of manifolds with corners defined in §3.1, and the full subcategories $\mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^c, \mathbf{Euc}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$ with objects $\mathbb{R}_k^n = [0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$.

As we explained in Remark 3.3, there are several non-equivalent definitions of categories of manifolds with corners in the literature. So we can consider alternative theories of C^∞ -rings and C^∞ -schemes with corners starting with one of these categories instead of \mathbf{Man}^c . In particular:

- (a) If we use the categories $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^f \subset \mathbf{Man}^f$ of *manifolds with faces* in Definition 3.13, following Melrose [35–37], we do not change $\mathbf{Euc}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{Euc}^c$, so the theory is unchanged.
- (b) The category $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{st}}^c$ of manifolds with corners and *strongly smooth maps*, from Definition 3.2 and [18], gives a theory which can be embedded as

subcategories $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{st}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{st}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, as for the embeddings $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Rings}^c$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}_{\text{in}}^c \subset \mathbf{C}^\infty\mathbf{Sch}^c$, since $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{st}}^c \subset \mathbf{Man}^c$. The theory also seems less interesting (roughly, $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{st-ex}}$ is a set, compared to \mathfrak{C}_{ex} being a monoid).

- (c) The category $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{we}}^c$ of manifolds with corners and *weakly smooth maps*, from Definition 3.2, Cerf [5, §I.1.2], and other authors, yields a theory which is essentially equivalent to ordinary C^∞ -schemes. As weakly smooth maps have no compatibility with boundaries, and no notion of ‘interior’, corner functors in Chapter 6 and b-cotangent modules in Chapter 7 no longer work.
- (d) The second author [24] defined the category \mathbf{Man}^{ac} of *manifolds with analytic corners*, or *manifolds with a-corners*, which we explain next.

We recall the definition of manifolds with a-corners from [24, §3]:

Definition 8.1. We write $\llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket$ to mean $[0, \infty)$, but the notation emphasizes that $\llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket$ has a different kind of boundary (an *a-boundary*) at 0, giving a different notion of smooth function on $\llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket$. We write other intervals such as $\llbracket 0, 1 \rrbracket = [0, 1]$ in the same way. For $0 \leq k \leq m$ we write $\mathbb{R}^{k,m} = \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$.

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k,m}$ be open and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Write points of U as (x_1, \dots, x_m) with $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket$ and $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$. The *b-derivative* of f (if it exists) is a map ${}^b\partial f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, written ${}^b\partial f = ({}^b\partial_1 f, \dots, {}^b\partial_m f)$ for ${}^b\partial_i f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where by definition

$${}^b\partial_i f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \begin{cases} 0, & x_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \\ x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_m), & x_i > 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_m), & i = k+1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

We say that ${}^b\partial f$ *exists* if (8.1) is well defined, that is, if $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ exists on $U \cap \{x_i > 0\}$ if $i = 1, \dots, k$, and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ exists on U if $i = k+1, \dots, m$.

We can iterate b-derivatives (if they exist), to get maps ${}^b\partial^l f : U \rightarrow \bigotimes^l \mathbb{R}^m$ for $l = 0, 1, \dots$, by taking b-derivatives of components of ${}^b\partial^j f$ for $j = 0, \dots, l-1$.

- (i) We say that f is *roughly differentiable*, or *r-differentiable*, if ${}^b\partial f$ exists and is a continuous map ${}^b\partial f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.
- (ii) We say that f is *roughly smooth*, or *r-smooth*, if ${}^b\partial^l f : U \rightarrow \bigotimes^l \mathbb{R}^m$ is r-differentiable for all $l = 0, 1, \dots$.
- (iii) We say that f is *analytically differentiable*, or *a-differentiable*, if it is r-differentiable and for any compact subset $S \subseteq U$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$, there exist positive constants C, α such that

$$|{}^b\partial_i f(x_1, \dots, x_m)| \leq C x_i^\alpha \quad \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in S.$$

- (iv) We say that f is *analytically smooth*, or *a-smooth*, if ${}^b\partial^l f : U \rightarrow \bigotimes^l \mathbb{R}^m$ is a-differentiable for all $l = 0, 1, \dots$.

One can show that f is a-smooth if for all $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any compact subset $S \subseteq U$, there exist positive constants C, α such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{a_1 + \dots + a_m}}{\partial x_1^{a_1} \dots \partial x_m^{a_m}} f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right| \leq C \prod_{i=1, \dots, k: a_i > 0} x_i^{\alpha - a_i}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in S$ with $x_i > 0$ if $i = 1, \dots, k$ with $a_i > 0$,

where continuous partial derivatives must exist at the required points.

If $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are a-smooth (or r-smooth) and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\lambda f + \mu g$ and $f g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are a-smooth (or r-smooth). Thus, the set $C^\infty(U)$ of a-smooth functions $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an \mathbb{R} -algebra, and in fact a C^∞ -ring.

If $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval, such as $I = (0, \infty)$, we say that a map $f : U \rightarrow I$ is *a-smooth*, or just *smooth*, if it is a-smooth as a map $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 8.2. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k,m}$ and $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l,n}$ be open, and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : U \rightarrow V$ be a continuous map, so that $f_j = f_j(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ maps $U \rightarrow \llbracket 0, \infty$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $j = l + 1, \dots, n$. Then we say:

- (a) f is *r-smooth* if $f_j : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is r-smooth in the sense of Definition 8.1 for $j = l + 1, \dots, n$, and every $u = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in U$ has an open neighbourhood \tilde{U} in U such that for each $j = 1, \dots, l$, either:
 - (i) we may uniquely write $f_j(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m) = F_j(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m) \cdot \tilde{x}_1^{a_{1,j}} \dots \tilde{x}_k^{a_{k,j}}$ for all $(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m) \in \tilde{U}$, where $F_j : \tilde{U} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is r-smooth as in Definition 8.1, and $a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{k,j} \in [0, \infty)$, with $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $x_i \neq 0$; or
 - (ii) $f_j|_{\tilde{U}} = 0$.
- (b) f is *a-smooth* if $f_j : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a-smooth in the sense of Definition 8.1 for $j = l + 1, \dots, n$, and every $u = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in U$ has an open neighbourhood \tilde{U} in U such that for each $j = 1, \dots, l$, either:
 - (i) we may uniquely write $f_j(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m) = F_j(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m) \cdot \tilde{x}_1^{a_{1,j}} \dots \tilde{x}_k^{a_{k,j}}$ for all $(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_m) \in \tilde{U}$, where $F_j : \tilde{U} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a-smooth as in Definition 8.1, and $a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{k,j} \in [0, \infty)$, with $a_{i,j} = 0$ if $x_i \neq 0$; or
 - (ii) $f_j|_{\tilde{U}} = 0$.
- (c) f is *interior* if it is a-smooth, and case (b)(ii) does not occur.
- (d) f is an *a-diffeomorphism* if it is an a-smooth bijection with a-smooth inverse.

Definition 8.3. We define the category \mathbf{Man}^{ac} of *manifolds with a-corners* X and *a-smooth maps* $f : X \rightarrow Y$ following the definition of \mathbf{Man}^{c} in Definition 3.2, but using *a-charts* (U, ϕ) with $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k,m}$ open, where a-charts (U, ϕ) , (V, ψ) are *compatible* if $\psi^{-1} \circ \phi$ is an a-diffeomorphism between open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{k,m}, \mathbb{R}^{l,m}$, in the sense of Definition 8.2(d). We also define an a-smooth map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to be *interior* if it is locally modelled on interior maps between open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{k,m}, \mathbb{R}^{l,n}$, in the sense of Definition 8.2(c), and we write $\mathbf{Man}_{\text{in}}^{\text{ac}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\text{ac}}$ for the subcategory with interior morphisms.

In [24, §3.5] the second author also defines the category $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{ac}}$ of *manifolds with corners and a-corners*, which are locally modelled on $\mathbb{R}_l^{k,m} = \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket^k \times [0, \infty)^l \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k-l}$, with smooth functions defined as in Definitions 8.1–8.2 for the $\llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket$ factors, and as in Definition 3.1 for the $[0, \infty)$ factors. There are full embeddings $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{c}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{ac}}$ and $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{ac}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{ac}}$. For $X \in \mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{c},\mathbf{ac}}$, the boundary $\partial X = \partial^{\mathbf{c}} X \amalg \partial^{\mathbf{ac}} X$ decomposes into the ordinary boundary $\partial^{\mathbf{c}} X$ and the a-boundary $\partial^{\mathbf{ac}} X$.

Remark 8.4. (a) Manifolds with a-corners are significantly different to manifolds with corners. Even the simplest examples $\llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket$ in $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{ac}}$ and $[0, \infty)$ in $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{c}}$ have different smooth structures. For example, $x^\alpha : \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a-smooth for all $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, but $x^\alpha : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is only smooth for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Here are two of the reasons for introducing manifolds with a-corners in [24].

Firstly, boundary conditions for p.d.e.s are usually of two types:

- (i) Boundary ‘at finite distance’. For example, solve $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^2} = f$ on the closed unit disc $D^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with boundary condition $u|_{\partial D^2} = g$.
- (ii) Boundary ‘at infinite distance’, or ‘of asymptotic type’. For example, solve $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y^2} = f$ on \mathbb{R}^2 with asymptotic condition $u = O((x^2 + y^2)^\alpha)$, $\alpha < 0$.

We argue in [24] that it is natural to write type (i) using ordinary manifolds with corners, but type (ii) using manifolds with a-corners. In the example, we would compactify \mathbb{R}^2 to a manifold with a-corners $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^2$ by adding a boundary circle \mathcal{S}^1 at infinity, and then u extends to a-smooth $\bar{u} : \bar{\mathbb{R}}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Secondly, and related, several important areas of geometry involve forming moduli spaces $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ of some geometric objects, such that $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ is a manifold with corners, or a more general space such as a Kuranishi space with corners in Fukaya et al. [10, 11], where the ‘boundary’ $\partial \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ parametrizes singular objects included to make $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ compact. Examples include moduli spaces of Morse flow lines [2], of J -holomorphic curves with boundary in a Lagrangian [10], and of J -holomorphic curves with cylindrical ends in Symplectic Field Theory [9].

We argue in [24] that the natural smooth structure to put on $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ in such moduli problems is that of a manifold with a-corners (or Kuranishi space with a-corners, etc.). This resolves a number of technical problems in these theories.

We can now write down a new version of our theory, starting instead with the full subcategories $\mathbf{Euc}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{ac}} \subset \mathbf{Man}_{\mathbf{in}}^{\mathbf{ac}}$, $\mathbf{Euc}^{\mathbf{ac}} \subset \mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{ac}}$ with objects $\mathbb{R}^{k,m} = \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq m$. This yields categories of (pre) C^∞ -rings with a-corners $\mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\mathbf{ac}}$, $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\mathbf{ac}}$ and C^∞ -schemes with a-corners $\mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Sch}^{\mathbf{ac}}$. We write $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{PC}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\mathbf{ac}}$ as $(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a-ex}})$ satisfying the analogue of Definition 4.4, but for the operations Φ_f, Ψ_g we take $f : \mathbb{R}^m \times \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \times \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket^n \rightarrow \llbracket 0, \infty \rrbracket$ to be a-smooth.

Much of Chapters 4–7 generalizes immediately to the a-corners case, with only obvious changes. Here are some important differences, though:

- (a) There should be a category $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{gac}}$ of ‘manifolds with generalized a-corners’, which relates to $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{ac}}$ as $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{gc}}$ relates to $\mathbf{Man}^{\mathbf{c}}$. But no

such category has been written down yet. So, for the present, we do not generalize the material on manifolds with g -corners to the a -corners case.

- (b) The special classes of C^∞ -rings with corners $\mathfrak{C} = (\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}})$ in §4.7, and hence of C^∞ -schemes with corners in §5.6, relied on treating \mathfrak{C}_{ex} or \mathfrak{C}_{in} as monoids, and imposing conditions such as $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{ex}}^\#$ finitely generated.

For (interior) C^∞ -rings with a -corners, $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}$ (and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-in}}$) are still monoids. However, requiring $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}^\#$ to be finitely generated is not a useful condition. For example, if $\mathfrak{C} = C^\infty([0, \infty))$ then by mapping $[x^\alpha] \mapsto e^{-\alpha}$, $[0] \mapsto 0$ we may identify $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}^\# \cong [0, 1]$, $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-in}}^\# \cong (0, 1]$ as monoids under multiplication, and $[0, 1]$, $(0, 1]$ are not finitely generated monoids.

Instead, we should regard $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-in}}$ as $[0, \infty)$ -modules. That is, $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-in}}$ are monoids under multiplication, with operations $c \mapsto c^\alpha$ for c in $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}$ or $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-in}}$ and $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ satisfying $(cd)^\alpha = c^\alpha d^\alpha$, $(c^\alpha)^\beta = c^{\alpha\beta}$, and $c^0 = 1$. The operation $c \mapsto c^\alpha$ is $\Psi_{x^\alpha} : \mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}$. Monoids are to abelian groups as $[0, \infty)$ -modules are to real vector spaces.

- (c) Continuing (b), we should for example define $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty \mathbf{Rings}^{\text{ac}}$ to be *firm* if $\mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}^\#$ is a *finitely generated* $[0, \infty)$ -module, that is, there is a surjective $[0, \infty)$ -module morphism $[0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\text{a-ex}}^\#$. Key properties of firm C^∞ -schemes with corners such as Proposition 5.32, and hence Theorem 5.33, then extend to the a -corners case.
- (d) Continuing (b), to define the corners $C(\mathbf{X})$ in Definition 6.1 in the a -corners case, for points $(x, P) \in C(X)$ we should take P to be a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\text{a-ex}}$ considered as a $[0, \infty)$ -module, not as a monoid.

We leave it to the interested reader to work out the details.

8.3 Derived manifolds and orbifolds with corners

It is well known that classical Algebraic Geometry, studying schemes and stacks, has been extended to Derived Algebraic Geometry by Lurie [32], Toën and Vezzosi [46, 47] and others, studying derived schemes and derived stacks. It is less well known that classical Differential Geometry, studying manifolds and orbifolds, has been extended to Derived Differential Geometry, the study of *derived manifolds* and *derived orbifolds*.

The subject began with a short section in Lurie [32, §4.5], where he sketched how to define an ∞ -category of *derived C^∞ -schemes*, including derived manifolds. Lurie's student David Spivak [45] worked out the details of this, defining an ∞ -category of derived manifolds. Simplifications and extensions of Spivak's theory were given by Borisov and Noel [3, 4] and the second author [20, 21].

Actually, one could argue that Fukaya and Ono's *Kuranishi spaces* [10, 11] (see also [22, 25, 27] for the second author's improved, 'derived' 2-categorical definition of Kuranishi spaces) are a prototype of derived orbifolds, which predate the invention of Derived Algebraic Geometry. To understand the relationship, observe that there are two ways to define ordinary manifolds:

- (A) A manifold is a Hausdorff, second countable topological space X equipped with a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of \mathbb{R} -algebras (or C^∞ -rings) such that (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is locally isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n with its sheaf of smooth functions $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.
- (B) A manifold is a Hausdorff, second countable topological space X equipped with a maximal atlas of charts $\{(U_i, \phi_i) : i \in I\}$.

If we try to define derived manifolds by generalizing approach (A), we get some kind of derived C^∞ -scheme, as in [3, 4, 20, 21, 32, 45]; if we try to generalize (B), we get something like Kuranishi spaces in [10, 11, 22, 25, 27].

Derived manifolds and orbifolds are interesting for many reasons, including:

- (a) Much of classical Differential Geometry extends nicely to the derived case.
- (b) Many mathematical objects are naturally derived manifolds, for example:
 - (i) The solution set of $f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \dots = f_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$, where x_1, \dots, x_n are real variables and f_1, \dots, f_k are smooth functions.
 - (ii) (Non-transverse) intersections $X \cap Y$ of submanifolds $X, Y \subset Z$.
 - (iii) Moduli spaces \mathcal{M} of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations on compact manifolds. Also, if we consider moduli spaces \mathcal{M} for nonlinear equations which are elliptic modulo symmetries, and restrict to objects with finite automorphism groups, then \mathcal{M} is a derived orbifold.
- (c) A compact, oriented derived manifold (or orbifold) \mathbf{X} has a *virtual class* $[\mathbf{X}]_{\text{vir}}$ in (Steenrod or Čech) homology $H_{\text{vdim } \mathbf{X}}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ (or $H_{\text{vdim } \mathbf{X}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$), which has deformation invariance properties. Combining this with (b)(iii), we can use derived orbifolds as tools in enumerative invariant theories such as Gromov–Witten invariants in Symplectic Geometry.

It is obviously desirable to extend Derived Differential Geometry to theories of *derived manifolds with corners*, and *derived orbifolds with corners*. This will be done by the second author in [21] by approach (A) and derived C^∞ -schemes with corners, using the theory of this book. See [22, 25] for a parallel treatment using approach (B) and Kuranishi spaces with corners.

Just as derived manifolds/orbifolds have applications in enumerative invariant theories (when one considers moduli spaces without boundary, which have virtual classes), so derived manifolds/orbifolds with corners have applications in Floer-type theories and Fukaya categories (when one considers moduli spaces with boundary and corners, which have virtual chains). An eventual goal of the second author’s Derived Differential Geometry project [20–22, 25, 27] is to rewrite and extend the foundations of large areas of Symplectic Geometry.

References

- [1] A. Adámek, J. Rosický and E.M. Vitale, *Algebraic theories*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.

- [2] D.M. Austin and P.M. Braam, *Morse–Bott theory and equivariant cohomology*, pages 123–183 in *The Floer memorial volume*, Progress in Math. 133, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [3] D. Borisov, *Derived manifolds and Kuranishi models*, arXiv:1212.1153, 2012.
- [4] D. Borisov and J. Noel, *Simplicial approach to derived differential geometry*, arXiv:1112.0033, 2011.
- [5] J. Cerf, *Topologie de certains espaces de plongements*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 89 (1961), 227–380.
- [6] A. Douady, *Variétés à bord anguleux et voisinages tubulaires*, Séminaire Henri Cartan 14 (1961-2), exp. 1, 1–11.
- [7] E.J. Dubuc, *Schémas C^∞* , Prepub. de la Université de Montreal 80 (1980), Exposé 3.
- [8] E.J. Dubuc, *C^∞ -schemes*, Amer. J. Math. 103, (1981), 683–690.
- [9] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental and H. Hofer, *Introduction to Symplectic Field Theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 2000, Special Volume, Part II, 560–673. math.SG/0010059.
- [10] K. Fukaya, Y.-G. Oh, H. Ohta and K. Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory — anomaly and obstruction*, Parts I & II. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 46.1 & 46.2, A.M.S./International Press, 2009.
- [11] K. Fukaya and K. Ono, *Arnold Conjecture and Gromov–Witten invariant*, Topology 38 (1999), 933–1048.
- [12] K.L. Francis-Staite, *C^∞ -algebraic geometry with corners*, DPhil thesis, Oxford University, 2019. Available online at <https://ora.ox.ac.uk>.
- [13] W.D. Gillam and S. Molcho, *Log differentiable spaces and manifolds with corners*, arXiv:1507.06752, 2015.
- [14] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
- [15] A. Grothendieck, *Elements de géométrie algébrique I*, Publ. Math. IHES 4, 1960.
- [16] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math. 52, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [17] A.J. de Jong et al., *The Stacks Project*, online reference available at <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.

- [18] D. Joyce, *On manifolds with corners*, pages 225–258 in S. Janeczko et al., editors, *Advances in Geometric Analysis*, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics 21, International Press, Boston, 2012. arXiv:0910.3518.
- [19] D. Joyce, *An introduction to C^∞ -schemes and C^∞ -algebraic geometry*, Surveys in Differential Geometry 17 (2012), 299–325. arXiv:1104.4951.
- [20] D. Joyce, *An introduction to d -manifolds and derived differential geometry*, pages 230–281 in L. Brambila-Paz et al., editors, *Moduli spaces*, L.M.S. Lecture Notes 411, Cambridge University Press, 2014. arXiv:1206.4207.
- [21] D. Joyce, *D -manifolds and d -orbifolds: a theory of derived differential geometry*, to be published by Oxford University Press, circa 2020. Preliminary version (2012) available at <http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~joyce/dmanifolds.html>.
- [22] D. Joyce, *A new definition of Kuranishi space*, arXiv:1409.6908, 2014.
- [23] D. Joyce, *A generalization of manifolds with corners*, Adv. Math. 299 (2016), 760–862. arXiv:1501.00401.
- [24] D. Joyce, *Manifolds with analytic corners*, arXiv:1605.05913, 2016.
- [25] D. Joyce, *Kuranishi spaces and Symplectic Geometry*, multiple volume book in progress, 2017-2027. Preliminary versions of volumes I, II available at <http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~joyce/Kuranishi.html>.
- [26] D. Joyce, *Algebraic Geometry over C^∞ -rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 260 (2019), no. 1256. arXiv:1001.0023.
- [27] D. Joyce, *Kuranishi spaces as a 2-category*, pages 253–298 in J. Morgan, editor, *Virtual Fundamental Cycles in Symplectic Topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 237, A.M.S., 2019. arXiv:1510.07444.
- [28] E. Kalashnikov, *C^∞ -Algebraic Geometry*, MSc thesis, Oxford, 2014. Available at <http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~joyce/theses/theses.html>.
- [29] A. Kock, *Synthetic differential geometry*, second edition, L.M.S. Lecture Notes 333, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [30] C. Kottke and R.B. Melrose, *Generalized blow-up of corners and fibre products*, Trans. A.M.S. 367 (2015), 651–705. arXiv:1107.3320.
- [31] G. Laumon and L. Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergeb. der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete 39, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [32] J. Lurie, *Derived Algebraic Geometry V: Structured spaces*, arXiv:0905.0459, 2009.
- [33] S. Mac Lane and I. Moerdijk, *Sheaves in Geometry and Logic: A first introduction to topos theory*, Springer, 1992.

- [34] J. Margalef-Roig and E. Outerelo Dominguez, *Differential Topology*, North-Holland Math. Studies 173, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [35] R.B. Melrose, *Calculus of conormal distributions on manifolds with corners*, IMRN (1992), 51–61.
- [36] R.B. Melrose, *The Atiyah–Patodi–Singer Index Theorem*, A.K. Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1993.
- [37] R.B. Melrose, *Differential analysis on manifolds with corners*, unfinished book available at <http://math.mit.edu/~rbm>, 1996.
- [38] I. Moerdijk, N. van Quê and G.E. Reyes, *Rings of smooth functions and their localizations II*, pages 277–300 in D.W. Kueker et al., editors, *Mathematical logic and theoretical computer science*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Math. 106, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1987.
- [39] I. Moerdijk and G.E. Reyes, *Rings of smooth functions and their localizations I*, J. Algebra 99 (1986), 324–336.
- [40] I. Moerdijk and G.E. Reyes, *Models for smooth infinitesimal analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [41] B. Monthubert, *Groupoids and pseudodifferential calculus on manifolds with corners*, J. Funct. Anal. 199 (2003), 243–286.
- [42] A. Ogus, *Lectures on Logarithmic Algebraic Geometry*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 178, Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [43] M. Olsson, *Algebraic Spaces and Stacks*, A.M.S. Colloquium Publications 62, A.M.S., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [44] D. Rees, *On semi-groups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 36 (1940), 387–400.
- [45] D.I. Spivak, *Derived smooth manifolds*, Duke Math. J. 153 (2010), 55–128. arXiv:0810.5174.
- [46] B. Toën, *Derived Algebraic Geometry*, EMS Surveys in Mathematical Sciences 1 (2014), 153–240. arXiv:1401.1044.
- [47] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, *Homotopical Algebraic Geometry II: Geometric Stacks and Applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 193 (2008), no. 902. math.AG/0404373.

THE MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, RADCLIFFE OBSERVATORY QUARTER, WOODSTOCK ROAD, OXFORD, OX2 6GG, U.K.
 E-MAILS: kelli.francis-staite@maths.ox.ac.uk, joyce@maths.ox.ac.uk.