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Abstract

Given a signed graph \( \Sigma \) with \( n \) vertices, let \( \mu \) be an eigenvalue of \( \Sigma \), and let \( t \) be the codimension of the corresponding eigenspace. We prove that

\[
    n \leq \binom{t + 2}{3}
\]

whenever \( \mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\} \). We show that this bound is sharp by providing examples of signed graphs in which it is attained. We also discuss particular cases in which the bound can be decreased. 
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1. Introduction

A signed graph \( \Sigma \) is a pair \((G, \sigma)\), where \( G = (V,E) \) is an (unsigned) graph, called the underlying graph, and \( \sigma: E \rightarrow \{1,-1\} \) is the sign function.
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or the signature. The edge set $E$ consists of positive and negative edges. Throughout the paper we interpret a graph as a signed graph in which all edges are positive.

The degree $d_i$ of a vertex $i$ coincides with its degree in the underlying graph. Its net-degree $d_i^\pm$ is the difference between the numbers of positive and negative edges incident with it. A signed graph is called net-regular if the net-degree is constant on the vertex set, and it is called regular if the underlying graph is regular.

The adjacency matrix $A_\Sigma$ of $\Sigma$ is obtained from the adjacency matrix of its underlying graph by reversing the sign of all 1s which correspond to negative edges. The eigenvalues of $\Sigma$ are identified as the eigenvalues of $A_\Sigma$.

Let $\mu$ be an eigenvalue of $\Sigma$ with multiplicity $k$, and let $t = n - k$. Then $k$ is the dimension of the eigenspace $E(\mu)$ and $t$ is its codimension, i.e., the dimension of $E(\mu)^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. It is not difficult to show that if $\mu \in \{0, 1, -1\}$, then $n$ is not bounded above by a function of $t$.

The spectral theory of signed graphs, which nicely encapsulates the spectral theory of graphs, has received a significant deal of attention in the recent past. In this study we use the concept of star complements in signed graphs to extend some results of [1] concerning graphs to the class of signed graphs. In particular, we prove that, for $\mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\},$

\[
n \leq \left(\frac{t + 2}{3}\right). \tag{1}\]

We provide examples of signed graphs attaining the bound (1) and consider certain cases in which the bound can be decreased; for example, we prove that the bound is decreased by 1 for any signed graph switching equivalent to a net-regular signed graph.

In Section 2 we fix some terminology and notation and list some preliminary results. This section also contains a brief introduction to the concept of star complements in signed graphs. Our contribution is reported in Sections 3 and 4.

2. Preliminaries

If the vertices $u$ and $v$ of a signed graph $\Sigma$ are adjacent, we write $u \sim v$; in particular, the existence of a positive (resp. negative) edge between these vertices is designated by $u \sim^+ v$ (resp. $u \sim^- v$). The set of vertices adjacent to a vertex $u$ is denoted by $N(u)$. A signed graph is said to be homogeneous if all its edges have the same sign (in particular, if its edge set is empty). Otherwise, it is inhomogeneous.
The negation $-\Sigma$, of $\Sigma$, is obtained by reversing the sign of all edges of $\Sigma$. Thus it has $-A_\Sigma$ as an adjacency matrix.

For $U$ a subset of the vertex set $V(\Sigma)$, let $\Sigma^U$ be the signed graph obtained from $\Sigma$ by reversing the sign of each edge between a vertex in $U$ and a vertex in $V(\Sigma) \setminus U$. The signed graph $\Sigma^U$ is said to be switching equivalent to $\Sigma$. Switching equivalent signed graphs share the same spectrum.

As noted in [8], the all-1 vector $j_n$ is an eigenvector of a signed graph $\Sigma$ if and only if $\Sigma$ is net-regular, and then $j_n$ belongs to the eigenspace of its net-degree.

We turn to star complements. Let $\mu$ be an eigenvalue of $\Sigma$ with multiplicity $k$, and let $P$ be the matrix representing the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^n$ onto the eigenspace $E(\mu)$ with respect to the canonical basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$. A set $S \subseteq V(\Sigma) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, such that the vectors $Pe_u$ ($u \in S$) form a basis of $E(\mu)$, is called a star set for $\mu$, while the signed graph induced by the set $\overline{S} = V(\Sigma) \setminus S$ is called a star complement for $\mu$. We note that $|S| = k$ and write $t = n - k$.

Many results on star complements in graphs can be found in [2, 3], and some of them are transferred to the class of signed graphs in recent publications, for example [6]. In particular, $\mu$ is not an eigenvalue of any star complement for $\mu$. Moreover if $\mu \neq 0$, then every vertex of $S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of $\overline{S}$, and if $\mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$, then any two vertices of $S$ have distinct neighbourhoods in $\overline{S}$ [6]. Therefore, when $\mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$, we have $k \leq 3^t - 1$, i.e., $n \leq 3^t + t - 1$. This is an initial upper bound for $n$, which will be improved to the cubic function of $t$ given in (1).

The following theorem, called the Reconstruction Theorem, is a straightforward analogue of [3, Theorem 5.1.7].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $\Sigma$ be a signed graph with adjacency matrix
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A_S & B^T \\
B & C
\end{pmatrix},
\]
where $A_S$ is the $k \times k$ adjacency matrix of the subgraph induced by a vertex set $S$. Then $S$ is a star set for $\mu$ if and only if $\mu$ is not an eigenvalue of $C$ and
\[
\mu I - A_S = B^T (\mu I - C)^{-1} B.
\]

If $S$ is a star set for $\mu$, then $C$ is the adjacency matrix of the corresponding star complement. Now for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^t$, we define the bilinear form
\[
\langle x, y \rangle = x^T (\mu I - C)^{-1} y.
\]

Here is a direct consequence.
Corollary 2.2. (cf. [1], Corollary 5.1.9]) If \( \mu \) is not an eigenvalue of the signed graph \( \Sigma' \) then there is a signed graph \( \Sigma \) with \( \Sigma' \) as a star complement for \( \mu \) if and only if

\[
\langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_u \rangle = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_v \rangle \in \{0, 1, -1\},
\]

for all distinct \( u, v \in S = V(\Sigma) \setminus V(\Sigma') \), where \( \mathbf{b}_u \) and \( \mathbf{b}_v \) determine the neighbourhoods of \( u \) and \( v \) in \( \Sigma' \), respectively.

Clearly, the vectors \( \mathbf{b}_u \) (1 \( \leq u \leq k \)) form the \( t \times k \) submatrix \( B \) in the Reconstruction Theorem. Also, if \( \langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_v \rangle = 0 \) (resp. \( \langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_v \rangle = -1 \), \( \langle \mathbf{b}_u, \mathbf{b}_v \rangle = 1 \)), then \( u \not\sim v \) (resp. \( u \overset{+}{\sim} v \), \( u \overset{-}{\sim} v \)).

3. A sharp upper bound

We retain the notation from the previous section; in particular, we assume that \( \mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\} \) is an eigenvalue of a signed graph \( \Sigma \). The dimension and codimension of \( E(\mu) \) are denoted by \( k \) and \( t \), respectively.

We set \( S = (B \mid C - \mu I) \) and denote the columns of \( S \) by \( \mathbf{s}_u \) (1 \( \leq u \leq n \)). In particular, we have \( \mathbf{s}_u = \mathbf{b}_u \), for \( 1 \leq u \leq k \). Then,

\[
\mu I - A_{\Sigma} = S^T(\mu I - C)^{-1}S.
\]

It follows that, for every pair of vertices of \( \Sigma \), we have

\[
\langle \mathbf{s}_u, \mathbf{s}_v \rangle = \begin{cases} 
\mu, & u = v, \\
0, & u \not\sim v, \\
-1, & u \overset{+}{\sim} v, \\
1, & u \overset{-}{\sim} v.
\end{cases}
\] (2)

The following lemma is taken from [1]; the proof is basically unchanged.

Lemma 3.1. (cf. [1]) Let \( \mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)^T \in E(\mu)^\perp \), and let \( \mathbf{q} = (w_{k+1}, w_{k+2}, \ldots, w_n)^T \). Then

\[
\langle \mathbf{s}_u, \mathbf{q} \rangle = -w_u, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq u \leq n.
\]

To each vertex \( u \) we associate a function \( F_u : \mathbb{R}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
F_u(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{s}_u, \mathbf{x} \rangle^3. \quad (3)
\]

Lemma 3.2. The functions \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n \) defined by (3) are linearly independent.
Proof. Using (2) and (3), we obtain

\[
F_u(s_v) = \begin{cases} 
\mu^3, & u = v, \\
0, & u \sim v, \\
-1, & u \not\sim v.
\end{cases}
\]

Assume that there is a vector \(a = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n\), such that \(\sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u F_u(x) = 0\) holds for every \(x \in \mathbb{R}^t\). Then, for all \(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^t\), we have

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x + y \rangle^3 = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle^3 + 3 \sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \langle s_u, y \rangle + 3 \sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle \langle s_u, y \rangle^2 + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, y \rangle^3 = 0,
\]

i.e.,

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \langle s_u, y \rangle + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle \langle s_u, y \rangle^2 = 0.
\]

In the similar way, by computing \(\sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x - y \rangle^3\), we obtain

\[
-\sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \langle s_u, y \rangle + \sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle \langle s_u, y \rangle^2 = 0,
\]

which, together with the previous equality, gives

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \langle s_u, y \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^t. \tag{4}
\]

Now, let \(w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n)^T \in \mathcal{E}(\mu)^+, q = (w_{k+1}, \ldots, w_n)^T\). By Lemma 3.1, we have \(\langle s_u, q \rangle = -w_u\), for \(1 \leq u \leq n\). By setting \(x = q\) and \(y = s_i\) in (4), we obtain

\[
\sum_{u=1}^{n} \alpha_u w_u^2 \langle s_u, s_i \rangle = 0,
\]

which implies that

\[
\mu \alpha_i w_i^2 - \sum_{u \sim i} \alpha_u w_u^2 \sigma(u) = 0.
\]
Then we have

\[(\mu I - A^\Sigma)a^* = 0,\]

where \(a^* = (\alpha_1 w_1^2, \alpha_2 w_2^2, \ldots, \alpha_n w_n^2)^T.\) On the other hand, it is also the case that

\[(\mu^3 I - A^\Sigma)a = 0.\]

Since \(\mu \neq \mu^3\), we have \(a^T a^* = 0\), whence

\[\sum_{u=1}^n \alpha_u w_u^2 = 0.\]

Therefore, \(\alpha_u w_u = 0\) holds for \(1 \leq u \leq n\), and so the functions \(\langle s_u, x \rangle^3\) are linearly independent.

Using the foregoing lemma we arrive at the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let \(\Sigma\) be a signed graph with \(n\) vertices, and let \(\mu\) be an eigenvalue of \(\Sigma\) with multiplicity \(k\). If \(\mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\}\) then

\[n \leq \left(\frac{t+2}{3}\right),\]

where \(t = n - k\).

**Proof.** The functions \(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n\) are linearly independent and belong to the space \(H_3\) of homogeneous cubic functions on \(R^t\). Since this space has dimension \(\left(\frac{t+2}{3}\right)\), the result follows.

In the particular case of graphs, there is a similar result stating that \(n \leq \left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right)\) holds whenever \(t > 2\) and \(\mu \notin \{0, -1\}\) [1]. The problem of determining all the graphs which attain this bound is open; one example can be found in [3]. We provide some examples of signed graphs.

**Example 3.4.** The quadrangle with an odd number of negative edges has the eigenvalues \(\sqrt{2}\) and \(-\sqrt{2}\), both with multiplicity 2. Thus, the upper bound of Theorem 3.3 is attained (for both eigenvalues and \((n, t) = (4, 2))\).

**Example 3.5.** We recall that if \(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_8\) are the vectors of the canonical basis of \(R^8\), then the root system \(E_8^8\) consists of the 112 vectors (also known as the roots) of the form \(\pm e_i \pm e_j\), for \(1 \leq i < j \leq 8\), and the additional 128 roots of the form \(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 \pm e_i\), where the number of positive summands is even. A system of positive roots is a subset \(E_8^+\) of \(E_8\), such that (1) for \(\pm x \in E_8\), exactly one of \(x, -x\) belongs to \(E_8^+\) and (2) for \(x, y \in E_8^+\), if \(x + y \in E_8\) then \(x + y \in E_8^+\). (A set of positive roots of \(E_8\) is given in [4].) Now, by [4], if the columns of \(N\) are the 120 roots of \(E_8^+\), then
$N^T N - 2I$ is the adjacency matrix of a signed graph with eigenvalues 28 (with multiplicity 8) and $-2$ (with multiplicity 112). Therefore, the upper bound of Theorem 3.3 is attained (for $(\mu, n, t) = (-2, 120, 8)$).

We remark that different sets of positive roots generate switching equivalent signed graphs and that the negation of any of them also attains our upper bound (for $\mu = 2$).

4. Two particular cases

Here we consider the particular cases in which the bound obtained in the previous section can be decreased.

First, if $\mu$ is a non-main eigenvalue (that is, if $\mathcal{E}(\mu)$ is orthogonal to the all-1 vector $j_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$), then the bound of Theorem 3.3 can be decreased by 1.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $\Sigma$ be a signed graph with $n$ vertices, and let $\mu$ be a non-main eigenvalue of $\Sigma$ with multiplicity $k < n - 1$. If $\mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\}$ then

$$n \leq \left(\frac{t + 2}{3}\right) - 1,$$

where $t = n - k$.

**Proof.** Since $j_n \in \mathcal{E}(\mu)\perp$, Lemma 3.1 shows that $\langle s_u, j \rangle = -1$ ($1 \leq u \leq n$), where $j$ is the all-1 vector in $\mathbb{R}^t$. Set $F(x) = (j, x)^3$ and suppose by way of contradiction that $F, F_1, \ldots, F_n$ are linearly dependent. By Lemma 3.2, $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ are linearly independent, and so $F$ belongs to the span of these functions, say $F = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \beta_u F_u$, that is,

$$\langle j, x \rangle^3 = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \beta_u \langle s_u, x \rangle^3, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^t.$$

By the argument exploited in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\langle j, x \rangle^2 \langle j, y \rangle = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \beta_u \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \langle s_u, y \rangle, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^t. \quad (6)$$

By setting $x = y = s_i$, we obtain $-1 = \mu^3 \beta_i - \sum_{u \sim i} \beta_u \sigma(\mathcal{S}(ui))$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, that is

$$j_n = (-\mu^3 I + A_\Sigma) b,$$

where $b = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n)^T$. Similarly, by setting $x = j$, $y = s_i$, we obtain

$$-\langle j, j \rangle^2 = \sum_u \beta_u \langle s_u, s_i \rangle = \mu \beta_i - \sum_{u \sim i} \beta_u \sigma(\mathcal{S}(ui)),$$

which implies

$$-\langle j, j \rangle^2 j_n = (\mu I - A_\Sigma) b.$$
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From (7) and (8), we obtain

\[(1 - \langle j, j \rangle^2)j_n = (\mu - \mu^3)b.\]

Observe that \(\mu - \mu^3 \neq 0\), and so \(b = \beta j_n\) with \(\beta = \frac{1 - x^2}{\mu(1 - \mu^2)}\), where \(s = \langle j, j \rangle\).

In other words, \(b\) is a multiple of \(j_n\), which, together with (6), gives

\[\langle j, x \rangle^2 \langle j, y \rangle^2 = \beta \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \langle s_u, y \rangle^2, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^l.\]  

(9)

By setting \(y = j\) in (9), we get

\[s \langle j, x \rangle^2 = -\beta \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle^2, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^l,\]  

(10)

which gives

\[s^2 \langle j, x \rangle^2 \langle j, y \rangle^2 = \beta^2 \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, y \rangle^2, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^l.\]  

(11)

By (10), we also have

\[s \langle j, x + y \rangle^2 = -\beta \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x + y \rangle^2, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^l,\]  

i.e.,

\[s \langle j, x \rangle^2 + 2 \langle j, x \rangle \langle j, y \rangle + \langle j, y \rangle^2 = -\beta \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 + 2\langle s_u, x \rangle \langle s_u, y \rangle + \langle s_u, y \rangle^2,\]

\[s \langle j, x \rangle \langle j, y \rangle = -\beta \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle \langle s_u, y \rangle,\]

\[s^2 \langle j, x \rangle^2 \langle j, y \rangle^2 = \beta^2 \left( \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle \langle s_u, y \rangle \right)^2.\]  

(12)

Now, \(\beta \neq 0\) in view of (9), and so by (11) and (12), we see that

\[\sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle^2 \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, y \rangle^2 = \left( \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, x \rangle \langle s_u, y \rangle \right)^2\]
holds for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^t \). In other words, a Cauchy-Schwarz bound is attained and we may use the following argument from [1]. We have \( \langle s_u, x \rangle = \gamma \langle s_u, y \rangle \), for some \( \gamma = \gamma(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \). Then \( \langle s_u, x - \gamma y \rangle = 0 \), which gives \( s_u^T (\mu I - C)^{-1}(x - \gamma y) = 0 \), for \( 1 \leq u \leq n \), and so

\[
(C - \mu I)(\mu I - C)^{-1}(x - \gamma y) = 0.
\]

The last identity implies that \( x = \gamma y \) holds for all \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^t \), which is possible only for \( t = 1 \), contrary to the assumption. Consequently, \( F \) does not belong to the span of \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n \), also contrary to assumption. Hence, \( \mathcal{H}_3 \) contains \( n + 1 \) linearly independent functions \( F, F_1, \ldots, F_n \), and we have \( n + 1 \leq \dim(\mathcal{H}_3) = t + \frac{2}{3} \).

We arrive immediately at the following consequence.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let a signed graph \( \Sigma \) with \( n \) vertices be switching equivalent to a net-regular signed graph \( \Sigma' \) with net-degree \( \rho \). Also, let \( \mu \notin \{0, 1, -1, \rho\} \) be an eigenvalue of \( \Sigma \) with multiplicity \( k \), and set \( t = n - k \). If \( t \geq 2 \), then

\[
n \leq \left( \frac{t + 2}{3} \right) - 1.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( \Sigma \) and \( \Sigma' \) share the same spectrum, we deduce that the multiplicity of \( \mu \) in \( \Sigma' \) is also \( k \). Now, \( \mu \) is non-main in \( \Sigma' \) since \( j_n \in \mathcal{E}(\varrho) \), and so the result follows by Theorem 4.1. \( \square \)

If \( \Sigma \) is a homogeneous signed graph, by [1] the upper bound (5) reduces to \( n \leq \left( \frac{t + 1}{2} \right) - 1 \), and the case of equality is fully resolved in the same reference (see also [2, Theorem 5.3.3]). In what follows we consider inhomogeneous signed graphs that attain the equality in (5). For this purpose, we need the following combinatorial definition taken from [7]. We say that a signed graph \( \Sigma \) is **strongly regular** whenever it is regular and satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \( \Sigma \) is neither homogeneous complete nor totally disconnected,
(ii) there exists \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \sum_{u \in N(i) \cap N(j)} \sigma(ui)\sigma(uj) = a \), for all \( i \sim j \),
(iii) there exists \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \sum_{u \in N(x) \cap N(y)} \sigma(ui)\sigma(uj) = b \) holds for all \( i \sim j \) and
(iv) there exists \( c \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \rho \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( \sum_{u \in N(i) \cap N(j)} \sigma(ui)\sigma(uj) = c \) holds for all \( i \approx j \).
Resuming the previous notation, if equality is attained in (5), then the functions $F, F_1, \ldots, F_n$ form a basis for $H_3$. In particular, we have

$$\langle x, x \rangle \langle j, x \rangle = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \beta_u F_u(x) + \gamma F(x),$$

for some $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n)^T = b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. We first prove that $b$ is a multiple of $j_n$.

By considering $x + y$ and $x - y$ instead of $x$, we obtain

$$\langle x, x \rangle \langle j, y \rangle + 2\langle x, y \rangle \langle j, x \rangle = 3 \sum_{u=1}^{n} \beta_u (s_u, x)^2 (s_u, y) + 3\gamma (j, x)^2 (j, y). \quad (13)$$

By setting $x = j$, $y = s_i$, we obtain

$$3s^2\gamma - 3s = 3(\beta_i \mu - \sum_{u \sim i} \beta_u \sigma(ui)),$$

and so

$$(s^2\gamma - s)j_n = (\mu I - A_\Sigma)b,$$

where $b = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n)^T$. By setting $x = y = s_i$ in (13), we get

$$-\mu = \sum_{u=1}^{n} \beta_u (s_u, s_i)^3 - \gamma,$$

and so

$$(\gamma - \mu)j_n = (\mu^3 I - A_\Sigma)b.$$ It follows that $b = \beta j_n$, where $\beta = \frac{s^2 - s - \gamma + \mu}{\mu(1 - \mu^2)}$.

Again, if we consider $x + z$ and $x - z$ instead of $x$ in (13), in a very similar way we arrive at

$$\langle x, y \rangle \langle j, z \rangle + (x, z) \langle j, y \rangle + (y, z) \langle j, x \rangle = 3\beta \sum_{u=1}^{n} (s_u, x) (s_u, y) (s_u, z) + 3\gamma (j, x) (j, y) (j, z). \quad (14)$$

By setting $x = s_i$, $y = z = j$, we obtain

$$-3s = 3\beta \mu - 3\beta d_i^3 - 3\gamma s^2. \quad (15)$$

Similarly, for $x = y = s_i$, $z = j$ in (13), we obtain

$$\mu s + 2 = -3\beta \mu^2 - 3\beta d_i + 3\gamma s. \quad (16)$$
Finally, for \( x = s_i, y = s_j, z = j \), we find
\[
\langle s_i, s_j \rangle \langle j, j \rangle + \langle s_i, j \rangle \langle j, s_j \rangle + \langle s_j, j \rangle \langle j, s_i \rangle = 3\beta \sum_{u=1}^{n} \langle s_u, s_i \rangle \langle s_u, s_j \rangle \langle s_u, j \rangle + 3\gamma \langle j, s_i \rangle \langle j, s_j \rangle \langle j, j \rangle.
\]

For \( i \sim j \), we immediately obtain
\[
3\beta \sum_{u \in N(i) \cap N(j)} \sigma(u)\sigma(u_j) = 3\gamma s - 2. \tag{17}
\]

For \( i \sim j \), we obtain
\[
3\beta \sum_{u \in N(i) \cap N(j)} \sigma(u_i)\sigma(u_j) = 6\sigma(ij)\beta\mu - 2 + \sigma(ij)s + 3\gamma s. \tag{18}
\]

Since \( \Sigma \) is inhomogeneous, the last equality implies \( \beta \neq 0 \). Gathering the above results, we arrive at the following conclusion.

**Theorem 4.3.** If equality in \( (5) \) is attained for a signed graph \( \Sigma \), then \( \Sigma \) is a net-regular strongly regular signed graph with parameters
\[
a = (6\beta\mu - 2 + s + 3\gamma s)/3\beta, \quad b = (-6\beta\mu - 2 - s + 3\gamma s)/3\beta, \quad c = (3\gamma s - 2)/3\beta.
\]

**Proof.** Note that the upper bound is not attained for \( t = 2 \), while for \( t \geq 3 \), \( \Sigma \) must be inhomogeneous (as \( (\frac{t+1}{2}) < (\frac{t+2}{3}) \)). Then, by the above discussion, we have \( \beta \neq 0 \). The net-regularity follows from \( (15) \). The strong regularity follows from \( (16) \)–\( (18) \). \( \Box \)

It follows that if \( \Sigma \) is a signed graph which attains the upper bound in Corollary 4.2, then its switching equivalence class contains a net-regular strongly regular signed graph.

In the case of Theorem 4.3, we have \( c = \frac{1}{3}(a + b) \). From \( [5] \) we know that, under this condition, either \( \Sigma \) has exactly two eigenvalues or it has exactly three eigenvalues, one of them being the net-degree with multiplicity 1. Conversely, if a net-regular signed graph of order \( n \) has spectrum \( g, \lambda (f), \mu (g) \) \((f \leq g)\), then a star complement for \( \mu \) has order \( f + 1 \), and so \( n \leq \left( \frac{f+3}{3} \right) - 1 \), by Theorem 4.1 this inequality can be seen as an analogue of Seidel’s ‘absolute bound’ for strongly regular graphs.

We conclude with another particular case in which the upper bound of Theorem 4.3 can be significantly improved.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( \Sigma \) be a signed graph with \( n \) vertices, let \( \mu \notin \{0, 1, -1\} \) be an eigenvalue of \( \Sigma \) with multiplicity \( k \), and let \( t = n - k \). If \( -\mu^2 \) is not an eigenvalue of the underlying graph \( G \), then
\[
n \leq \left( \frac{t + 1}{2} \right).
\]
Proof. We define functions $P_u : \mathbb{R}^t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$P_u(x) = \langle su, x \rangle^2,$$

so that

$$P_u(s_v) = \begin{cases} 
\mu^2, & u = v, \\
0, & u \not\sim v, \\
1, & u \sim v. 
\end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$(P_u(s_v))_{u,v \in V(\Sigma)} = \mu^2 I + AG.$$ Since $-\mu^2$ is not an eigenvalue of $G$, the functions $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n$ are linearly independent. The assertion follows since the dimension of the space $\mathcal{H}_2$ of homogeneous quadratic functions on $\mathbb{R}^t$ is \binom{t+1}{2}.

Of course, the previous theorem improves the bound of Theorem 3.3 whenever $t \geq 3$.
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