QUASI-ISOMETRIC DIVERSITY OF MARKED GROUPS

A. MINASYAN, D. OSIN, S. WITZEL

Abstract. We use basic tools of descriptive set theory to prove that a closed set $S$ of marked groups has $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes provided every non-empty open subset of $S$ contains at least two non-quasi-isometric groups. It follows that every perfect set of marked groups having a dense subset of finitely presented groups contains $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes. These results account for most known constructions of continuous families of non-quasi-isometric finitely generated groups. They can also be used to prove the existence of $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated groups having interesting algebraic, geometric, or model-theoretic properties.

1. Introduction

Quasi-isometry is an equivalence relation that identifies metric spaces having the same large scale geometry. It is especially useful in geometric group theory and plays essentially the same role as the isomorphism relation in algebra.

It is well-known that there exist $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated groups. Indeed, this immediately follows from the existence of $2^{\aleph_0}$ groups with pairwise inequivalent growth functions proved by Grigorchuk [Gri]. A different proof, using small cancellation theory, was given by Bowditch in [Bow].

More recently, the question of quasi-isometric diversity of certain particular classes of groups has received considerable attention. For example, Cornulier and Tessera showed that there are $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated solvable groups [CT] (see also [BC]). A continuous family of pairwise non-quasi-isometric groups with property FP was constructed by Kropholler, Leary and Soroko [KLS]. Gruber and Sisto showed that Gromov’s construction of groups associated to a random labeling of certain expanders yields $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes [GS].

Our main goal is to show that these results can be thought of as particular manifestations of a more general phenomenon. To state our main theorem we need to recall the definition of the space of marked groups introduced by Grigorchuk [Gri]. An $n$-generated marked group is a pair $(G, X)$, where $G$ is a group generated by an ordered $n$-tuple $X \subseteq G$. Every such a pair can be naturally identified with a normal subgroup of $F_n$, the free group of rank $n$; namely, $(G, X)$ corresponds to the kernel of the natural homomorphism $F_n \to G$ induced by mapping a fixed basis of $F_n$ to $X$. The Tychonoff topology on $2^{F_n}$ induces the structure
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of a second countable compact Hausdorff topological space on the set of normal subgroups of $F_n$ and, via the above identification, on the set of $n$-generated marked groups, which is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_n$.

Recall that a subset of a topological space $X$ is said to be comeagre if it is the intersection of countably many subsets with dense interiors. We will say that a subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n$ is quasi-isometrically diverse if every comeagre subset of $\mathcal{S}$ has $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes. Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a non-empty closed subset of $\mathcal{G}_n$. Suppose that every non-empty open subset of $\mathcal{S}$ contains at least two non-quasi-isometric groups. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is quasi-isometrically diverse.

Here is a typical application of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.2.** Every non-empty perfect subset of $\mathcal{G}_n$ containing a dense subset of finitely presented groups is quasi-isometrically diverse.

It is worth noting that there exist non-empty perfect subsets of $\mathcal{G}_2$ consisting of pairwise quasi-isometric groups, see Example 6.4 below.

The proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are fairly elementary modulo known facts. Nevertheless, these results allow one to avoid non-trivial computations of particular invariants used to distinguish the quasi-isometry classes in [Bow, CT, GS, KLS] (for a more detailed discussion, we refer to Examples 3.2–3.5).

Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ denote the closure of the set of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups with trivial finite radical in $\mathcal{G}_n$. Applying Corollary 1.2 to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ and using some standard small cancelation arguments, we obtain a quasi-isometrically diverse zoo of “monsters”, i.e., finitely generated groups with exotic algebraic properties.

**Corollary 1.3.** There exist $2^{\aleph_0}$ pairwise non-quasi-isometric finitely generated groups which simultaneously satisfy the properties of being torsion, simple, verbally complete, and being common quotients of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups.

Recall that a group $G$ is verbally complete if for every element $g \in G$ and each non-trivial reduced word $v(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ in the alphabet $\{x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}, \ldots\}$, the equation $v(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = g$ has a solution in $G$. In particular, every verbally complete group is divisible; that is, the equation $x^n = g$ has a solution in $G$ for all $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Rational numbers provide the obvious example of a divisible group. For a long time, the existence of finitely generated divisible groups was an open question; the affirmative answer was given by Guba in [Gub]. Infinite common quotients of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups have property (T) of Kazhdan and hence they are non-amenable (but not uniformly non-amenable, see [Osi02]). In particular, groups from Corollary 1.3 are examples of non-amenable groups without non-cyclic free subgroups. The existence of such groups was another open problem, commonly referred to as the Day–von Neumann problem, solved by Olshanskii in [Ols80]. Common quotients of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups also have strong fixed point properties for actions on finite-dimensional contractible Hausdorff spaces [ABJLMS]. It is also worth mentioning that Corollary 1.3 provides the first example of an uncountable family of quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated simple groups.
In a similar way, we show that there exists a continuum of pairwise non-quasi-isometric finitely generated groups, the so-called Tarski Monsters, in which all proper subgroups are cyclic: see Corollary 5.2. Note that the property of being a Tarski Monster is inconsistent with being divisible and, therefore, it cannot be added to Corollary 1.3.

Finally, we mention an application of Corollary 1.2 to the following general question: to what extent does the first order theory of a finitely generated group determine its quasi-isometry type?

**Corollary 1.4.** There exist $2^\aleph_0$ pairwise non-quasi-isometric finitely generated lacunary hyperbolic groups having the same $\forall\exists$-theory. Moreover, we can ensure that these groups are torsion-free.

Lacunary hyperbolic groups were introduced in [OOS] as infinitely presented counterparts of hyperbolic groups. More precisely, a finitely generated group $G$ is lacunary hyperbolic if at least one asymptotic cone of $G$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-tree; for finitely presented groups this condition is equivalent to hyperbolicity.

A quantifier elimination procedure, established by Sela [Sel], implies that torsion-free hyperbolic groups with the same $\forall\exists$-theories are elementarily equivalent. In [Sel, Section 7] Sela proved that elementarily equivalent torsion-free hyperbolic groups that do not have non-trivial splittings over cyclic subgroups are isomorphic. Similar first order rigidity holds for non-uniform irreducible lattices in higher rank Lie groups: such groups are defined by their elementary theory up to isomorphism within the class of finitely generated groups [ALM]. For any polycyclic-by-finite group $G$, a combination of the results of Sabbagh-Wilson [SW] and Raphael [Rap] (see also [Las]) implies that any finitely generated group with the same $\forall\exists$-theory as $G$ is commensurable (hence, quasi-isometric) to $G$. Our Corollary 1.4 shows that, by contrast, lacunary hyperbolic groups (even torsion-free ones) are very far from being $\forall\exists$-rigid.

As a by-product, we obtain a zero-one law for $\forall\exists$-theories in $\mathcal{H}_n$, which seems to be of independent interest.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let $T$ be a $\forall\exists$-theory in the language of groups. The set of all models of $T$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$ is either meagre or comeagre, simultaneously for all $n \geq 2$.

In the settings of Corollary 1.2, generic groups in $\mathcal{S}$ will have ‘sparse’ sets of relations. However, Theorem 1.1 can also be used to produce examples of non-quasi-isometric groups of completely different type, namely densely related groups in the sense of [BC]. To illustrate this, we prove the following.

**Corollary 1.6.** There exist $2^\aleph_0$ pairwise non-quasi-isometric finitely generated groups $G$ splitting as

$$
\{1\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2^\infty \to G \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \wr \mathbb{Z} \to \{1\},
$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_2^\infty$ is central in $G$. In particular,

(a) there exist continuously many quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated groups of asymptotic dimension 1;
(b) there exist continuously many quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated center-by-metabelian groups (i.e., groups \( G \) satisfying the identity \([G'', G] = 1\)).

Claim (a) should be compared to the well-known fact that every finitely presented group of asymptotic dimension 1 is virtually free \([FW]\) and, therefore, there are only 2 quasi-isometry classes of such groups: virtually infinite cyclic and virtually non-cyclic free groups. Claim (b) improves the result of Cornulier and Tessera, who constructed continuously many quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated solvable groups in \([CT]\); in fact, their groups are (nilpotent of class 3)-by-abelian, while our groups are (nilpotent of class 2)-by-abelian. Note that there is no hope to prove the same result for solvable groups of derived length 2 as finitely generated metabelian groups satisfy the maximum condition for normal subgroups \([Hal]\) and, therefore, the set of such groups is countable.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling basic definitions. Given a group \( G \) generated by a set \( X \), we denote by \(|\cdot|_X\) the word length with respect to \( X \) and by \( d_X \) the corresponding word metric on \( G \); by \( \Gamma(G, X) \) we denote the Cayley graph of \( G \) with respect to \( X \).

For a group \( G \), denote by \( \mathcal{N}(G) \) the set of normal subgroups of \( G \) endowed with the topology induced by the Tychonoff topology on \( 2^G \). If \( G \) is generated by a finite set \( X \), it is convenient to think of the topology on \( \mathcal{N}(G) \) as follows. For every pair of normal subgroups \( M, N \leq G \), let

\[
\delta_X(M, N) = \min_{g \in M \triangle N} |g|_X.
\]

Then a sequence of normal subgroups \((N_i)\) converges to some \( N \in \mathcal{N}(G) \) if and only if \( \delta_X(N_i, N) \to \infty \).

Let \( F_n \) denote the free group with a fixed basis \( A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \). An \( n \)-generated marked group is a pair \((G, X)\), where \( G \) is a group generated by an ordered \( n \)-tuple \( X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \). Every such a pair corresponds to a normal subgroup of \( F_n \), namely the kernel of the homomorphism sending \( a_i \) to \( x_i \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Pairs corresponding to the same normal subgroup are identified. The set of all \( n \)-generated marked groups (up to this equivalence) is denoted by \( \mathcal{G}_n \). There is a canonical identification of \( \mathcal{N}(F_n) \) with \( \mathcal{G}_n \), sending any \( N \triangleleft F_n \) to the marked group \((G, X)\), where \( G = F_n/N \) and \( X \) is the image of \( A \) in \( G \).

**Example 2.1.** Let \( G \) be a group generated by a finite set \( X \) of cardinality \(|X| = n\). Suppose that we have a sequence of groups and epimorphisms

\[
G \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} G_1 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} G_2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} \ldots \cdot \cdot \cdot
\]

Let \( X_i = \varepsilon_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \varepsilon_0(X) \) and \( N_i = \ker(\varepsilon_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \varepsilon_0) \), \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Observe that \((N_i)\) is a nested sequence of normal subgroups in \( G \). Finally, let \( G_\infty = G/\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i \) be the direct limit of the sequence (2), and let \( X_\infty \) denote the natural image of \( X \) in \( G_\infty \). Then \((G_i, X_i) \to (G_\infty, X_\infty)\) in \( \mathcal{G}_n \).
The topology on \( \mathcal{G}_n \) can be visualized as follows. For a group \( G \) generated by a set \( X \) and \( r > 0 \), we define the \textit{ball of radius } \( r \) \textit{centered at the identity element} by
\[
B_{G,X}(r) = \{ g \in G \mid \|g\|_X \leq r \}.
\]
We say that two \( n \)-generated marked groups \((G,X)\) and \((H,Y)\), where \( X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \) and \( Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \), are \textit{\( r \)-locally isomorphic} for some \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), if there exists an isomorphism between the induced subgraphs of the Cayley graphs \( \Gamma(G, X) \) and \( \Gamma(H, Y) \), with vertex sets \( B_{G,X}(r) \) and \( B_{H,Y}(r) \) respectively, that maps the identity element of \( G \) to the identity element of \( H \) and edges labelled by \( x_i \) to edges labelled by \( y_i \), for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). It is easy to see that a sequence of \( n \)-generated marked groups \( \{(G_i, X_i)\} \) converges to \( (G, X) \) in \( \mathcal{G}_n \) if for every \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), \( (G_i, X_i) \) and \( (G, X) \) are \( r \)-locally isomorphic for all large enough \( i \in \mathbb{N} \).

Let \((S,d_S)\) and \((T,d_T)\) be metric spaces. A map \( \varphi \colon S \to T \) is called \textit{\( C \)-coarsely Lipschitz} for some constant \( C \) if
\[
d_T(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \leq C d_S(x, y) + C \text{ for all } x,y \in S.
\] (3)
Two metric spaces \((S,d_S)\) and \((T,d_T)\) are said to be \textit{quasi-isometric} if there exists a constant \( C \) and \( C \)-coarsely Lipschitz maps \( \varphi \colon S \to T \) and \( \psi \colon T \to S \) such that \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) are \( C \)-\textit{coarse inverses} of each other; that is,
\[
\sup_{t \in T} d_T(\varphi \circ \psi(t), t) \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{s \in S} d_S(\psi \circ \varphi(s), s) \leq C.
\] (4)
The quasi-isometry relation between metric spaces is denoted by \( \sim_{\text{q.i.}} \).

We now restrict to the case when \((S,d_S) = (G,d_X)\) and \((T,d_T) = (H,d_Y)\) are groups endowed with word metrics with respect to some generating sets \( X \) and \( Y \). Two marked groups \((G,X)\) and \((H,Y)\) are \textit{quasi-isometric} if so are the metric spaces \((G,d_X)\) and \((H,d_Y)\). Further, we say that marked groups \((G,X)\) and \((H,Y)\) are \textit{\( C \)-quasi-isometric} for some constant \( C > 0 \) and write \( (G,X) \sim_{C-\text{q.i.}} (H,Y) \) if there exist maps \( \varphi, \psi \) as above satisfying (3), (4), and the additional property
\[
\varphi(1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(1) = 1;
\] (5)
in what follows, we refer to \( \varphi, \psi \) as a \textit{\( C \)-quasi-isometry witnessing pair} for \((G,X)\) and \((H,Y)\). Note that, unlike \( \sim_{\text{q.i.}} \), the relation \( \sim_{C-\text{q.i.}} \) is not transitive.

If \((G,X) \sim_{q.i.} (H,Y)\), we can always adjust the corresponding maps \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) satisfying (3) and (4) by redefining them at the identity elements so that they enjoy the additional property (5) and are still \( C' \)-coarsely Lipschitz and \( C' \)-coarsely mutually inverse for some (possibly larger) constant \( C' \). Thus, for the binary relations \( \sim_{\text{q.i.}} \) and \( \sim_{C-\text{q.i.}} \) on \( \mathcal{G}_n \), considered as subsets of \( \mathcal{G}_n \times \mathcal{G}_n \), we have
\[
\sim_{\text{q.i.}} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{N}} \sim_{C-\text{q.i.}}.
\] (6)

We will need the following lemma, which can be extracted from the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [Tho]. Since this result is crucial for our paper, we provide a brief proof for convenience of the reader. Our proof is slightly different from the one suggested in [Tho].

**Lemma 2.2 (Thomas).** For all \( n,C \in \mathbb{N} \), the relation \( \sim_{C-\text{q.i.}} \) is closed in \( \mathcal{G}_n \times \mathcal{G}_n \).
Proof. Let \((G, X)\) and \((H, Y)\) be two marked \(n\)-generated groups such that \(((G, X), (H, Y))\) is contained in the closure of \(\sim_{C_{\text{q.i.}}}\) in \(G_n \times G_n\). Approximating \((G, X)\) and \((H, Y)\) by \(C\)-quasi-isometric marked groups, for every \(M \in \mathbb{N}\), we can find maps \(\varphi_M : B_{G, X}(M) \to H\) and \(\psi_M : B_{H, Y}(M) \to G\) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{(a)} \quad \varphi_M(1) = 1 \text{ and } \psi_M(1) = 1; \\
&\text{(b)} \quad \text{for every } g_1, g_2 \in B_{G, X}(M), \text{ we have } d_Y(\varphi_M(g_1), \varphi_M(g_2)) \leq C d_X(g_1, g_2) + C; \text{ similarly for } \psi_M; \\
&\text{(c)} \quad \text{we have } d_X(\psi_M \circ \varphi_M(g), g) \leq C \text{ whenever } \psi_M \circ \varphi_M(g) \text{ is well-defined; similarly for } \varphi_M \circ \psi_M.
\end{align*}
\]

By (a) and (b), we have \(\varphi_M(g) \in B_{H, Y}(C|g|_X + C)\) for all \(g \in G\) and \(M \geq |g|_X\). Thus, for every fixed \(g \in G\), there are only finitely many possibilities for \(\varphi_M(g)\) over all \(M \geq |g|_X\). It follows that there exists a subsequence \(\{\varphi_{M_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\) which converges pointwise to a map \(\varphi : G \to H\); that is, for each \(g \in G\) we have \(\varphi(g) = \varphi_{M_i}(g)\) for all but finitely many indices \(i \in \mathbb{N}\). By the same argument applied to the maps \(\psi_{M_i}\), we can assume, without loss of generality, that the sequence \(\{\psi_{M_i}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\) also converges pointwise to some map \(\psi : H \to G\). Obviously \(\varphi\) and \(\psi\) satisfy all necessary conditions to be a \(C\)-quasi-isometry witnessing pair for \((G, X)\) and \((H, Y)\). \(\Box\)

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Recall that a subset of a topological space is perfect if it is closed and has no isolated points. It is well-known that every non-empty perfect subset of a Polish space has cardinality \(2^{\aleph_0}\) (see [Kec, Corollary I.6.3]).

To prove our main theorem we will need a classical result of Mycielski [Myc].

**Theorem 3.1** (Mycielski). Let \(\mathcal{E}\) be an equivalence relation on a non-empty Polish space \(\mathcal{S}\). If \(\mathcal{E}\) is a meagre subset of \(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}\), then there exists a non-empty perfect subset \(\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\) such that \((x, y) \notin \mathcal{E}\) for any two distinct \(x, y \in \mathcal{P}\).

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Lemma 2.2 and the assumption that every non-empty open subset of \(\mathcal{S}\) contains non-quasi-isometric groups imply that \(\sim_{C_{\text{q.i.}}}\) is a nowhere dense subset of \(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}\) for every \(C > 0\). Since a countable union of nowhere dense subsets is meagre and \(\sim_{\text{q.i.}}\) is the union of \(\sim_{C_{\text{q.i.}}}\) for all \(C \in \mathbb{N}\), see (6), the relation \(\sim_{\text{q.i.}}\) is meagre in \(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}\).

Note that \(\mathcal{S}\) is a Polish space being is a closed subset of the compact metrizable space \(G_n\). Let \(\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{S}\) be a comeagre subset. By the Baire category theorem, \(\mathcal{D}\) contains a dense \(G_\delta\)-subset \(\mathcal{D}_0\) of \(\mathcal{S}\). Note that \(\mathcal{D}_0\) is itself a Polish space being a \(G_\delta\)-subset of the Polish space \(\mathcal{S}\) (see [Kec, Theorem I.3.11]). Since \(\sim_{\text{q.i.}}\) is meagre in \(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}\), it follows that \(\sim_{\text{q.i.}}\) is also meagre in \(\mathcal{D}_0 \times \mathcal{D}_0\) (indeed, it is easy to see that for a meagre subset \(\mathcal{M}\) and a dense subset \(\mathcal{Y}\) in a topological space, \(\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{Y}\) is meagre in \(\mathcal{Y}\)). Therefore, by Mycielski’s theorem, there exists a non-empty perfect subset \(\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{D}\) consisting of pairwise non-quasi-isometric groups. In particular, \(\mathcal{P}\) has cardinality \(2^{\aleph_0}\). \(\Box\)
We now prove Corollary 1.2 and discuss its relation to some previously known results.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $S$ be a perfect subset of $\mathcal{G}_n$ that contains a dense subset of finitely presented groups. Let $U$ be any non-empty open subset of $S$. By our assumption, there is a finitely presented marked group $(G, X) \in U$. Being a non-empty open subset of a completely metrizable space without isolated points, $U$ is uncountable (by the Baire category theorem). Since there are only countably many finitely presented groups, $U$ contains a marked group $(H, Y)$ that is not finitely presented. Recall that being finitely presented is a quasi-isometry invariant (cf. [BH, I.8.24]), therefore, $G \not\sim_{q.i.} H$ and Theorem 1.1 applies. □

Example 3.2. In [Bow] Bowditch considers an infinite set of words $w_1, w_2, \ldots$ in the alphabet $\{a, b\}$, satisfying the $C'(1/6)$ small cancellation condition, and for each $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ defines the group

$$G_I = \langle a, b \mid w_i = 1, i \in I \rangle.$$ 

He then uses a quasi-isometric invariant based on the notion of a taut loop to distinguish uncountably many quasi-isometry classes in the set $S = \{G_I \mid I \subseteq \mathbb{N}\}$.

We note that computing this invariant is unnecessary. Indeed Greendlinger’s lemma for $C'(1/6)$ presentations (cf. [LS, V.4.5]) ensures that the map $2^\mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{G}_2$ defined by $I \mapsto (G_I, \{a, b\})$ is injective and continuous. In particular, its image is homeomorphic to the Cantor set and contains a dense subset of finitely presented groups (corresponding to finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$). Therefore, Corollary 1.2 applies.

Example 3.3. In [CT], Cornulier and Tessera start with a finitely presented solvable group $G$, which has a central subgroup of the form $E = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i$, where $E_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for all $i$ and some fixed prime $p$. Then they use a rather sophisticated (and not so easy to compute) invariant – the set of ultrafilters, for which the asymptotic cones of a group are simply connected – to distinguish continuously many non-quasi-isometric quotients of $G$. Our approach allows one to avoid computing this invariant as every such a group $G$ always has $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ non-quasi-isometric quotients. This result is an easy consequence of Corollary 1.2. For an expository reason, we postpone the proof till Section 6 (see Corollary 6.3) as it uses the notation introduced there.

Example 3.4. We leave it to the reader to verify that the proof of quasi-isometric diversity of groups constructed by Kropholler–Leary–Soroko [KLS] and Gruber–Sisto [GS] can also be reduced to Corollary 1.2. In particular, this allows one to avoid computing Bowditch’s invariant in [KLS] and divergence functions in [GS] (at least for the purpose of distinguishing non-quasi-isometric groups).

Example 3.5. The quasi-isometric diversity of groups constructed by Grigorchuk [Gri] cannot be derived from Corollary 1.2. However, it can be explained using Theorem 1.1.

Indeed, Grigorchuk shows that there exists a (non-empty) perfect subset of $\mathcal{G}_4$, which contains a dense subset of groups of exponential growth and a dense subset of groups of subexponential growth; therefore, Theorem 1.1 applies. Granted, proving the facts mentioned in the previous sentence is not much easier than distinguishing $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$ inequivalent growth functions. Thus, unlike in Examples 3.2–3.4, our approach does not help much here (and, after all, constructing non-quasi-isometric groups was not the main purpose of [Gri]).
4. Generic properties and limits of hyperbolic groups

Our proof of Corollary 1.3 makes use of genericity of certain group-theoretic properties.

Definition 4.1. Let $S$ be a subset of $G_n$ and let $P$ be a group property. We say that $P$ is generic in $S$ if the set

$$P(S) = \{(G, X) \in S \mid G \text{ has } P\}$$

is comeagre in $S$.

In this paper, we employ the definition of a $\delta$-hyperbolic space via the Rips condition: a geodesic metric space $S$ is said to be $\delta$-hyperbolic (or simply hyperbolic), for some $\delta \geq 0$, if for every geodesic triangle in $S$, each side of the triangle is contained in the union of the open $\delta$-neighborhoods of the other two sides; every such $\delta$ is called a hyperbolicity constant of $S$. A group $G$ is hyperbolic if so is its Cayley graph with respect to some (equivalently, any) finite generating set.

Recall that every hyperbolic group $G$ has a maximal finite normal subgroup, which we denote by $E(G)$ and call the finite radical of $G$. A hyperbolic group is called non-elementary if it is not virtually cyclic. We denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the class of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups with trivial finite radical and let

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \{(G, X) \in G_n \mid G \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$ 

We also denote by $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ the closure of $\mathcal{H}_n$ in $G_n$. Since $\mathcal{H}_n$ is empty for $n = 1$, we will assume that $n \geq 2$ throughout this section.

For the purpose of proving Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4, we introduce the following notation for properties of groups:

- $S$: being simple;
- $T$: being torsion;
- $V$: being verbally complete;
- $Q$: being a common quotient of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups;
- $L$: being lacunary hyperbolic.

The main goal of this section is to prove Theorem 4.2 below. Combined with Theorem 1.1, it implies Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4, as explained in the next section.

Theorem 4.2. For each $n \geq 2$, properties $S, T, V, Q$ and $L$ are generic in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 makes use of two ingredients: an approach to $G_\delta$-properties via first order logic suggested in [KOO] and Olshanskii’s generalization of small cancellation theory [Ols93].

We begin by recalling some relevant definitions and results from [KOO]. Let $\mathcal{L}_n$ be the first order language that contains the standard group operations $\cdot$, $^{-1}$, the constant symbol 1, and constant symbols $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Every marked group $(G, X) \in G_n$ can be naturally thought of as an $\mathcal{L}_n$-structure, where the constant symbol $x_i$ is interpreted as the $i$-th element of $X$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. 
A sentence in a first order language is called an $\forall\exists$-sentence if it has the form

$$\forall a_1 \ldots \forall a_k \exists b_1 \ldots \exists b_m \phi(a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_1, \ldots, b_m),$$

where $\phi(a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ is a quantifier-free formula. If such a sentence only contains existential quantifiers, it is called existential. We say that a subset $S \subseteq G_n$ is $\forall\exists$-definable if there exists an $\forall\exists$-sentence $\Sigma$ in $L_n$ such that

$$S = \{ (G, X) \in G_n \mid (G, X) \models \Sigma \},$$

i.e., $S$ is exactly the set of all elements of $G_n$ satisfying $\Sigma$. Similarly we define existentially definable subsets and subsets definable by a quantifier-free sentence.

The following result was proved in [KOO, Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2]. Part (a) is a simple observation and parts (b), (c) are immediate consequences of (a) and a natural ‘quantifier elimination’ procedure in $L_n$ (see Section 3 of [KOO]) for more detail).

**Lemma 4.3.** For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following hold:

1. every subset of $G_n$ defined by a quantifier-free sentence is clopen;
2. every existentially defined subset of $G_n$ is open;
3. every $\forall\exists$-definable subset of $G_n$ is a $G_\delta$-set.

**Definition 4.4.** We say that a group property $P$ is a $G_\delta$-property, if $P(G_n)$ is a $G_\delta$-subset of $G_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Remark 4.5.** It is easy to see that the intersection of a $G_\delta$-subset of a topological space with any subset $R$ is a $G_\delta$-subset in $R$. Therefore, for any $G_\delta$-property $P$ and any $R \subseteq G_n$, $P(R)$ is a $G_\delta$-subset in $R$.

**Lemma 4.6.** Properties $S$, $T$, $V$ and $Q$ are $G_\delta$-properties.

**Proof.** For $S$, this result was proved in [KOO, Proposition 3.4 (d)]; the proofs for the other properties are based on the same idea.

Fix an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be the free group with basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. For every $w \in F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we think of $w^m = 1$ as a quantifier-free sentence in the language $L_n$ (recall that $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are constant symbols in $L_n$) and let

$$T_{n,w,m} = \{ (G, X) \in G_n \mid (G, X) \models (w^m = 1) \}.$$

The set $T_{n,w,m}$ is open by part (a) of Lemma 4.3 and hence so is the set $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} T_{n,w,m}$. Clearly, we have

$$T(G_n) = \bigcap_{w \in F(x_1, \ldots, x_n)} \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} T_{n,w,m}.$$

Thus, $T$ is a $G_\delta$-property.

Further, for each non-trivial reduced word $v = v(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ in the infinite alphabet

$$A = \{ a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_2^{-1}, \ldots \},$$

we consider the set

$$V_{n,v} = \{ (G, X) \in G_n \mid (G, X) \models (\forall g \exists a_1 \ldots \exists a_k v(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = g) \}.$$
By Lemma 4.3 (c), $V_{n,v}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set. Clearly $V(G_n) = \bigcap_v V_{n,v}$, where the intersection is taken over all non-trivial reduced words in the alphabet $A$. Since the family of $G_{\delta}$-subsets is closed under countable intersections, $V$ is a $G_{\delta}$-property.

Now let us fix a finitely presented group

$$H = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_k \mid R_1(z_1, \ldots, z_k), \ldots, R_\ell(z_1, \ldots, z_k) \rangle.$$  

For every $n$-tuple $U = (u_1(a_1, \ldots, a_k), \ldots, u_n(a_1, \ldots, a_k))$ of words in the alphabet $A$, we denote by $Q_{n,H,U}$ the set of all $(G,X) \in G_n$ satisfying the existential sentence

$$\exists a_1 \ldots \exists a_k \left( R_1(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 1 & \ldots & R_\ell(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = 1 & u_1(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = x_1 & \ldots & u_n(a_1, \ldots, a_k) = x_n. \right)$$

It is easy to see that the set $Q_{n,H} = \bigcup_U Q_{n,H,U}$, where the union is taken over all $n$-tuples $U$ as above, is exactly the set of all pairs $(G,X) \in G_n$ such that $G$ is a quotient of $H$. By Lemma 4.3 (b), $Q_{n,H}$ is open, being a union of open sets. To prove that $Q$ is a $G_{\delta}$-property, it remains to note that $Q(G_n)$ coincides with the intersection of the sets $Q_{n,H}$ taken over all non-elementary hyperbolic groups $H$. Since the set of hyperbolic groups is countable, we are done.

\[ \square \]

The next ingredient in the proof of Theorem 4.2 comes from Olshanskii’s generalized small cancellation theory. We will need the following particular case of [Ols93, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 4.7** (Olshanskii). Let $G \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ be subgroups of $G$ such that each $H_i$ is not virtually cyclic and does not normalize any non-trivial finite subgroup of $G$. Then for every finite subset $M \subset G$ there exists an epimorphism $\varphi : G \to Q$ such that

(a) $Q \in \mathcal{H}$;
(b) the restriction of $\varphi$ to $M$ is injective;
(c) $\varphi(H_i) = Q$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$;
(d) if $G$ is torsion-free then so is $Q$;
(e) for every $g \in M$, $C_Q(\varphi(a)) = \varphi(C_G(a))$.

**Remark 4.8.** Condition (a) means that $Q$ is non-elementary hyperbolic and $E(Q) = \{1\}$. The later property was not stated in [Ols93], but can be proved using the same technique; see, for example, claim 9 of [Min, Theorem 1].

Given a group $G$ and an element $g \in G$ we denote by $\langle g \rangle$ the normal closure of $g$ in $G$.

**Lemma 4.9.** For any $G \in \mathcal{H}$ and any finite subsets $M \subset G$ and $S \subset G \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{H}$ and an epimorphism $\varphi : G \to Q$ such that the restriction of $\varphi$ to $M$ is injective and $Q = \langle \varphi(g) \rangle$ for every $g \in S$.

**Proof.** Let $S = \{g_1, \ldots, g_k\}$ be the list of all elements of $S$, and set $H_i = \langle g_i \rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Since $E(G) = \{1\}$ and $g_i \neq 1$, $H_i$ is an infinite normal subgroup of $G$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

A virtually cyclic subgroup of a hyperbolic group is necessarily quasi-convex (see [BH, III.Γ.3.10 and III.Γ.3.6]). Hence every infinite virtually cyclic subgroup of $G$ must have finite index in its normalizer (see [BH, III.Γ.3.16]). Since $G$ is non-elementary, it follows that $H_i$ is not virtually cyclic for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$. 

By [Ols93, Proposition 1], for each $i$, there exists a maximal finite normal subgroup $E_i$ of $G$ normalized by $H_i$. Since $H_i \triangleleft G$, $g^{-1}E_ig$ is also normalized by $H_i$ for every $g \in G$ and, therefore, $g^{-1}E_i g = E_i$ by maximality. It follows that $E_i \triangleleft G$ and hence $E_i = \{1\}$ as $E(G) = 1$.

Thus the assumptions of Theorem 4.7 are satisfied, and we can apply it to find a non-elementary hyperbolic group $Q$ with trivial finite radical and an epimorphism $\varphi: G \to Q$ which enjoy the desired properties.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ denote the set of all groups which are limits of non-elementary hyperbolic groups with trivial finite radical in some $\mathcal{G}_n$. That is,

\begin{equation}
\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \{G \mid (G, X) \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n \text{ for some } n \geq 2\}.
\end{equation}

**Corollary 4.10.** Let $P$ be a property of groups such that every group from $\mathcal{H}$ has a quotient which belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ and has property $P$. Then $P(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n)$ is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that for every $(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}_n$, every neighborhood of $(G, X)$ contains an element of $P(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n)$. By the definition of the topology on marked groups, this is equivalent to the following statement: for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a group $(R, Z) \in P(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n)$ that is $r$-locally isomorphic to $(G, X)$.

Fix some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. By applying Lemma 4.9 to $G$ and the finite sets $M = B_{G, X}(r)$ and $S = B_{G, X}(2r) \setminus \{1\}$, we can find a group $(Q, Y) \in \mathcal{H}_n$ and an epimorphism $\varphi: G \to Q$ mapping $X$ to $Y$ such that $(Q, Y)$ is $r$-isomorphic to $(G, X)$ and satisfies

\begin{equation}
\langle \varphi(g) \rangle = Q \quad \forall g \in B_{G, X}(2r) \setminus \{1\}.
\end{equation}

By our assumption, $Q$ has a quotient group $R \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ that has property $P$. Let $Z$ be the image of $Y$ in $R$. The composition of the homomorphisms $G \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q \xrightarrow{\pi} R$ must be injective on $B_{G, X}(r)$; indeed, otherwise it sends a non-trivial element $g \in G$ of length $|g|_X \leq 2r$ to 1, which implies $R = \{1\} \notin \overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$ by (9). It follows that $(G, X)$ and $(R, Z)$ are $r$-locally isomorphic.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Fix $n \geq 2$. We first deal with properties $S$, $T$, $V$ and $Q$. Recall that every subset of a topological space containing a dense $G_\delta$-subset is comeagre. By Lemma 4.6 (see also Remark 4.5), the subsets $S(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n)$, $T(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n)$, $V(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n)$, and $Q(\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n)$ are $G_\delta$-subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$. Thus it suffices to show that these subsets are dense in $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_n$.

In [Min, Corollary 2], the first author proved that there exists an infinite simple group $S$, which is a common quotient of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups. This simple group is constructed as the direct limit of a sequence of hyperbolic groups and epimorphisms

\begin{equation}
G_1 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} G_2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} \ldots
\end{equation}

such that each of the groups $G_i$ is non-elementary and has trivial finite radical; although these properties of $G_i$ are not included in the statement of [Min, Corollary 2], they are explicitly stated (and used as an inductive assumption) in the proof. Thus, $S \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}$ (see
Example 2.1). Now we can apply Corollary 4.10 and conclude that $S(\mathcal{H}_n)$ and $Q(\mathcal{H}_n)$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}_n$.

The same argument works for $T(\mathcal{H}_n)$ and $V(\mathcal{H}_n)$. The only difference is that we have to refer to [Ols93, Corollary 2] and [MO, Theorem 2]. In these papers, the authors show that each non-elementary hyperbolic group $G$ has an infinite torsion (respectively, verbally complete) quotient. In both cases, the desired quotient group $L$ is constructed as the direct limit of a sequence of non-elementary hyperbolic groups and epimorphisms (10). In the latter paper, the property $E(G_i) = \{1\}$ is explicitly stated (and used) in the proof. In the former paper, this property may not hold for the sequence (10), but we can go around this problem as follows. For each $i$, let $\hat{G}_i = G_i/E(G_i)$. Clearly $\hat{G}_i$ is non-elementary whenever $G_i$ is. Since $\varphi(E(G_i)) \leq E(G_{i+1})$ for any epimorphism $\phi: G_i \to G_{i+1}$, we get an “induced” sequence $\hat{G}_1 \to \hat{G}_2 \to \ldots$, where each group is non-elementary hyperbolic with trivial finite radical, i.e., belongs to $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\hat{L}$ denote the limit of this sequence. Clearly $\hat{L}$ is a quotient group of $L$; in particular, $\hat{L}$ is torsion whenever so is $L$. As above, we can apply Corollary 4.10 and conclude that $T(\mathcal{H}_n)$ and $V(\mathcal{H}_n)$ are dense in $\mathcal{H}_n$.

It remains to prove that $L$ is generic in $\mathcal{H}_n$. To this end we will use a characterization of lacunary hyperbolic groups obtained in [OOS, Theorem 1.1]: a group $K$ is lacunary hyperbolic if and only if it is the limit of a sequence of hyperbolic groups and epimorphisms

$$
K_1 = \langle Z_1 \rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} K_2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} \ldots,
$$

where $Z_1$ is a finite generating set of $K_1$, satisfying the following properties. Let $Z_i$ denote the natural image of $Z_1$ in $K_i$. Then there exist positive constants $\delta_i$ and $r_i$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

(a) the Cayley graph of $K_i$ with respect to $Z_i$ is $\delta_i$-hyperbolic;
(b) the epimorphism $\varepsilon_i$ is injective on the finite subset $\{k \in K_i \mid |k|_{Z_i} \leq r_i\}$;

and $\lim_{i \to \infty} r_i / \delta_i = \infty$.

From now on, for each $(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}_n$, we fix a hyperbolicity constant $\delta_{G,X} \in \mathbb{N}$ of the corresponding Cayley graph. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}_n$, we let $U_j(G, X)$ denote an open neighborhood of $(G, X)$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$ such that every $(H, Y) \in U_j(G, X)$ is $j(4\delta_{G,X} + 6)$-locally isomorphic to $(G, X)$. Let

$$
\mathcal{U} = \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}_n} U_j(G, X),
$$

and let $(K, Z) \in \mathcal{U}$. We will show that $K$ is lacunary hyperbolic.

To this end, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we fix some $(K_j', Z_j') \in \mathcal{H}_n$ such that $(K, Z) \in U_j(K_j', Z_j')$. Define a sequence $(j(i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ inductively by letting $j(1) = 1$ and $j(i+1) = j(i)(4\delta_{K_j', Z_j'} + 6)$ for $i \geq 1$, and set

$(K_i, Z_i) = (K_{j(i)}, Z_{j(i)}) \in \mathcal{H}_n$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Set $r_i = j(i + 1)$. By our construction, $(K, Z)$ is $r_i$-locally isomorphic to $(K_i, Z_i)$ for all $i$. Note that

$$
r_{i+1} = j(i + 2) = j(i + 1)(4\delta_{K_{i+1}, Z_{i+1}} + 6) > j(i + 1) = r_i, \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}.
$$
Thus, \((K, Z)\) is \(r_i\)-locally isomorphic to both \((K_i, Z_i)\) and \((K_{i+1}, Z_{i+1})\). It follows that \((K_i, Z_i)\) and \((K_{i+1}, Z_{i+1})\) are also \(r_i\)-locally isomorphic.

Being a hyperbolic group, \(K_i\) admits a finite presentation \(K_i = \langle Z_i | R_i \rangle\), where every relator \(R_i \in R_i\) has length at most \(4\delta_{K_i, Z_i} + 6\) (see, for example, the proof of [BH, Chapter III.Γ.2.2]). Since \(r_i \geq 4\delta_{K_i, Z_i} + 6\), the map \(Z_i \to Z_{i+1}\) extends to an epimorphism \(\varepsilon_i: K_i \to K_{i+1}\) which is injective on the set of all elements \(k \in K_i\) with \(|k|_{Z_i} \leq r_i\). Similarly, there exists an epimorphism \(K_i \to K\) that maps \(Z_i\) to \(Z\) and is injective of the set of elements of length at most \(r_i\). Clearly, we have \(\lim_{i \to \infty} r_i/\delta_{K_i, Z_i} = \infty\). In particular, \(\lim_{i \to \infty} r_i = \infty\).

This easily implies that \(K\) is the direct limit of the sequence \((11)\) satisfying conditions (a) and (b). Hence, \(\mathcal{U}\) consists of lacunary hyperbolic groups.

By definition, \(\mathcal{U}\) is a \(G_\delta\)-set in \(\mathcal{H}_n\). It is also dense in \(\mathcal{H}_n\) since \(\mathcal{H}_n \subset \mathcal{U}\), by construction. Thus \(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_n)\) is comeagre in \(\mathcal{H}_n\). \(\square\)

5. Lacunary hyperbolic monsters

In this section, we discuss applications of our method to constructing non-quasi-isometric limits of hyperbolic groups having interesting algebraic and model-theoretic properties. In particular, we prove Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4.

**Proof of Corollary 1.3.** Fix some \(n \geq 2\). Theorem 4.7 implies that \(\mathcal{H}_n\) has no isolated points and, therefore, the set \(\mathcal{H}_n\) is perfect. Since every hyperbolic group is finitely presented, \(\mathcal{H}_n\) is quasi-isometrically diverse by Corollary 1.2. The claim of Corollary 1.3 now follows from Theorem 4.2 and the fact that a finite intersection of comeagre sets is again comeagre. \(\square\)

Given a group \(G\), we denote by \(Th_{\forall\exists}(G)\) its \(\forall\exists\)-theory, i.e., the set of all \(\forall\exists\)-sentence in the standard language of groups (without constants) that hold true in \(G\). We also let \([G]_n = \{(H, Y) \in \mathcal{G}_n | H \cong G\}\).

**Proof of Corollary 1.4.** Let \(\mathcal{H}\) be the set of groups defined by \((8)\) and let \(Q(\mathcal{H})\) denote the set of all groups from \(\mathcal{H}\) that are common quotients of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups. Let

\[
(12)\quad T_Q = \bigcup_{R \in Q(\mathcal{H})} Th_{\forall\exists}(R).
\]

Every sentence in the standard language of groups is also a sentence in \(\mathcal{L}_n\). Thus, we can apply Lemma 4.3 to any sentence \(\Sigma \in T_Q\) and conclude that the set \(\Sigma(\mathcal{H}_2) = \{(G, X) \in \mathcal{H}_2 | G \models \Sigma\}\) is a \(G_\delta\)-subset of \(\mathcal{H}_2\). By Corollary 4.10, \(\Sigma(\mathcal{H}_2)\) is also dense in \(\mathcal{H}_2\). Therefore, it is a comeagre subset of \(\mathcal{H}_2\). Recall also that the subsets \(Q(\mathcal{H}_2)\) and \(L(\mathcal{H}_2)\) are comeagre in \(\mathcal{H}_2\) by Theorem 4.2. Since \(T_Q\) is countable (being a theory in a countable language), the set

\[
\mathcal{M} = Q(\mathcal{H}_2) \cap L(\mathcal{H}_2) \cap \left( \bigcap_{\Sigma \in T_Q} \Sigma(\mathcal{H}_2) \right)
\]

is also comeagre.
is comeagre in $\mathcal{H}_2$.

Let $(G, X) \in \mathcal{M}$. We obviously have $T_Q \subseteq Th_{\forall \exists}(G)$. On the other hand, every such $G$ is a common quotient of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups and, therefore, $Th_{\forall \exists}(G) \subseteq T_Q$ by the definition of $T_Q$. Thus, $Th_{\forall \exists}(G) = T_Q$ for all $(G, X) \in \mathcal{M}$. To finish the proof, it remains to note that $\mathcal{M}$ contains $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes by Corollary 1.2 applied to $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{H}_2$.

Alternatively, instead of working in $\mathcal{H}_2$, we can work in the closure $\mathcal{H}_2^{tf}$, of the set $\mathcal{H}_2^{tf}$ consisting of all torsion-free hyperbolic groups in $\mathcal{H}_2$. In this case, the same arguments combined with part (d) of Theorem 4.7 produce a continuum of pairwise non-quasi-isometric torsion-free lacunary hyperbolic groups having the same $\forall \exists$-theory.

□

Remark 5.1. (a) Since the intersection of finitely many comeagre sets is again comeagre, we can combine Corollary 1.3 with the first claim of Corollary 1.4 in one statement: there exist $2^{\aleph_0}$ quasi-isometry classes of lacunary hyperbolic groups that have the same $\forall \exists$-theory and satisfy all the properties mentioned Corollary 1.3.

(b) Observe that any two groups $(G, X), (H, Y) \in Q(\mathcal{H}_2)$ have the same $\exists$-theories (without coefficients). Indeed, let $P$ be the property of being isomorphic to $G$, then $P(\mathcal{H}_2)$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_2$ by Corollary 4.10. It follows that for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a 2-element generating set $X_r$, of $G$, such that $(G, X_r)$ is $r$-locally isomorphic to $(H, Y)$. The latter implies that $Th_{\exists}(H) \subseteq Th_{\exists}(G)$. A symmetric argument shows the opposite inclusion, hence $Th_{\exists}(G) = Th_{\exists}(H)$.

Nevertheless, there exist lacunary hyperbolic groups that are common quotients of all non-elementary hyperbolic groups and have different $\forall \exists$-theories. Indeed, using Olshanskii’s small cancellation techniques [Ols93], one can construct both divisible and non-divisible groups in $Q(\mathcal{H}_2) \cap L(\mathcal{H}_2)$. For instance, one can ensure that such a non-divisible group $G$ has an element which has no square root, i.e., $G$ does not satisfy the $\forall \exists$-sentence $\forall g \exists h (g = h^2)$ which is true in any divisible group.

Let $T$ be a theory in the language of groups. By a slight abuse of language, we say that a (marked) group $(G, X)$ is a model of $T$ if so is $G$. Recall that $T$ is a $\forall \exists$-theory if it entirely consists of $\forall \exists$-sentences.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let $T$ be a $\forall \exists$-theory in the language of groups and let $n \geq 2$. Arguing as in the proof of Corollary 1.4, we can construct a comeagre subset $\mathcal{M}_n$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$ such that all groups from $\mathcal{M}_n$ have the same $\forall \exists$-theory $T_Q$. We note that this theory is independent of $n$ (see (12)). Thus, either all groups from all $\mathcal{M}_n$ are simultaneously models of $T$ or all of them are not models of $T$. In the former case, the set of models of $T$ in $\mathcal{H}_n$ is comeagre and in the later case it is meagre as it is contained in the complement of a comeagre set.

□

We conclude this section by mentioning two other applications of our method. Recall that a that a group $G$ is a torsion-free Tarski Monster if $G$ is non-cyclic, finitely generated and every non-trivial proper subgroup of $G$ is infinite cyclic. First examples of such groups
were constructed by Olshanskii in [Ols79]. Torsion-free Tarski Monsters with the additional properties listed in the corollary below were constructed by the first author in [Min].

**Corollary 5.2.** There exists $2^\aleph_0$ pairwise non-quasi-isometric torsion-free Tarski Monsters that are common quotients of all non-cyclic torsion-free hyperbolic groups and have the property that all maximal subgroups are malnormal and conjugate to each other.

**Proof.** Consider the set $\mathcal{K}$ of all marked groups $(H, \{a,b\}) \in G_2$ such that $H$ is non-cyclic, torsion-free, hyperbolic and $\langle a \rangle$ is malnormal in $H$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ denote the closure of $\mathcal{K}$ in $G_2$, and let $P$ be the property of a marked group $(G, \{a,b\}) \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}$ saying that:

(a) every element of $G$ is conjugate to a power of $a$;

(b) for each $g \in G \setminus \langle a \rangle$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $\langle a^k, g \rangle = G$;

(c) $G$ is a quotient of every non-cyclic torsion-free hyperbolic group.

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, it is not hard to show that $P$ is a $G_\delta$-property in $G_2$. The proof of [Min, Corollary 3] shows that every group $(H, \{a,b\}) \in \mathcal{K}$ has a quotient in $\mathcal{K}$ satisfies property $P$. Similarly to Corollary 4.10, this implies that marked groups with property $P$ are dense in $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ (one has to use parts (d) and (e) of Theorem 4.7 here; we leave this as an exercise for an interested reader). Thus, property $P$ is generic in $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$. It is easy to see that any group in $P(\overline{\mathcal{K}})$ is a torsion-free Tarski Monster such that all maximal subgroups are malnormal and conjugate to each other, and so Corollary 1.2 implies the desired result. □

**Remark 5.3.** Working with $\mathcal{K}$ instead of $\mathcal{H}_n$ is necessary in Corollary 5.2. Indeed, the property of being a torsion-free Tarski Monster is inconsistent with being torsion and, therefore, the former cannot be generic in any $\mathcal{H}_n$ (cf. Theorem 4.2). It is a bit more difficult to show that the property of being a torsion-free Tarski Monster is also not generic in $\mathcal{H}^f_n$. In fact, for any $n \geq 2$, a generic group in $\mathcal{H}^f_n$ will have all maximal subgroups isomorphic to the group $\mathbb{Q}$. We leave this as an exercise for the interested reader.

Finally, we would like to outline a construction of $2^\aleph_0$ quasi-isometry classes of finitely generated groups with exactly two conjugacy classes (i.e., groups where all non-trivial elements are conjugate). The first examples of such groups, other than $\mathbb{Z}_2$, were constructed by the second author in [Osi10]).

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the set of all $(G, X) \in G_2$, where $G$ is a torsion-free finitely presented acylindrically hyperbolic group (see [Osi16] for the definition). Using ideas from [Osi10] and [Hul], it is possible to show that the set of groups with two conjugacy classes is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Note also that the property “all non-trivial elements are conjugate” can be expressed by the $\forall \exists$-sentence

$$\forall g \forall h \exists t \ (g = 1 \lor h = 1 \lor t^{-1} gt = h).$$

Therefore, the property of having two conjugacy classes is generic in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by Lemma 4.3 and the desired result follows. Note that we cannot consider any set of hyperbolic groups instead of $\mathcal{A}$ here since groups with two conjugacy classes do not occur in $\mathcal{H}$ at all, as explained in the introduction of [Osi10].
6. Quasi-isometric diversity of central extensions

The main goal of this section is to prove Corollary 1.6 but we work in slightly more general settings than necessary.

Let \( \{E_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) be a collection of non-trivial abelian groups and let
\[
(13) \quad E = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i.
\]
Let \((G, X) \in \mathcal{G}_n \) and assume that \( G \) contains \( E \) as a central subgroup. For each subset \( I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \), we let
\[
(14) \quad G_I = G/E_I, \quad \text{where} \quad E_I = \langle E_i \mid i \in I \rangle.
\]
Finally, let
\[
(15) \quad S = \{ (G_I, X_I) \mid I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \},
\]
where \( X_I \) is the natural image of \( X \) in \( G_I \).

We let \( \mathcal{C} \) denote the Cantor set, which we identify with \( 2^\mathbb{N} \) endowed with the product topology. In this notation, we have the following.

**Lemma 6.1.** The map \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{G}_n \), given by \( I \mapsto (G_I, X_I) \), is continuous and injective. In particular, the set \( S \) is closed in \( \mathcal{G}_n \).

**Proof.** Let \( A \) be a fixed basis of \( F_n \) and let \( \varepsilon : F_n \to G \) denote the homomorphism which sends \( A \) to \( X \). Consider the sequence of maps
\[
\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{N}(G) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{N}(F_n) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{G}_n,
\]
where \( \alpha(I) = E_I \) for all \( I \in \mathcal{C} \), \( \beta(M) = \varepsilon^{-1}(M) \) for all \( M \lhd G \), and \( \gamma \) is the canonical identification.

First let us check that \( \alpha \) is continuous. Indeed, for any \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) the ball \( B_{G,X}(r) \) is finite, so there is a finite subset \( K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( E \cap B_{G,X}(r) = E_K \cap B_{G,X}(r) \). Note that for any \( I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \), \( E_I \cap E_K = E_{I \cap K} \), so \( \delta_X(E_I, E_J) > r \) (see (1)) as long as \( J \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) satisfies \( I \cap K = J \cap K \). This ensures that \( \alpha \) is continuous; moreover, \( \alpha \) is also clearly injective.

The continuity of \( \beta \) follows immediately from the fact that \( \delta_A(\varepsilon^{-1}(M_1), \varepsilon^{-1}(M_2)) = \delta_X(M_1, M_2) \); the injectivity of \( \beta \) is obvious. Thus the composition \( \gamma \circ \beta \circ \alpha \) is a continuous embedding, which obviously takes \( I \) to \( (G_I, X_I) \). \qed

Thus, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to \( S \) whenever we can ensure the existence of non-quasi-isometric groups in every non-empty open subset of \( S \). We consider several applications of this idea.

**Proposition 6.2.** In the notation introduced above, suppose that every \( E_i \) is finite. Then the set \( S \) contains either 1 or \( 2^{\aleph_0} \) quasi-isometry classes.

**Proof.** Suppose that there exist \( I, J \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( G_I \) and \( G_J \) are not quasi-isometric. Let
\[
S_I = \{ (G_K, X_K) \mid K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad |K \triangle I| < \infty \} \subseteq S,
\]
and similarly we define \( S_J \subseteq S \). Note that both \( S_I \) and \( S_J \) are dense in \( S \) and all groups in \( S_I \) (respectively, \( S_J \)) are quasi-isometric to \( G_I \) (respectively, \( G_J \)), because they are commensurable up to finite kernels. This allows us to apply Theorem 1.1 and conclude that \( S \) contains \( 2^{\aleph_0} \) quasi-isometry classes.

**Proof of Corollary 1.6.** In the proof of [Hal, Theorem 7] (in the particular case \( p = 2 \)), Hall constructed a group \( G \), generated by two elements, which splits as \( \{1\} \to E \to G \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{wr} \mathbb{Z} \to \{1\} \), where the subgroup \( E \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^\infty \) is central in \( G \). We define \( G_I \) as above (with \( E_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \)).

Eskin, Fisher and Whyte [EFW, Theorem 1.3] showed that if a finitely generated group is quasi-isometric to the lamplighter group \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{wr} \mathbb{Z} \), then it has a finite index subgroup that acts properly and cocompactly on the Diestel–Leader graph \( DL(n,n) \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

An algebraic description of lattices in the isometry group of the Diestel–Leader graph \( DL(n,n) \) was given by Cornulier, Fisher and Kashyap in [CFK]. In particular, they showed in [CFK, Theorem 1.1] that every such lattice \( R \) contains a normal subgroup \( H \) such that \( R/H \cong \mathbb{Z} \); moreover, if \( t \) is any element of \( R \) mapping onto a generator of \( R/H \), then \( H \) contains two subgroups \( L \) and \( L' \) with the following properties:

\[
\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{-k}Lt^k = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} t^kL't^{-k} = H
\]

and

\[
|L \cap L'| < \infty.
\]

Assume now that \( G_N \sim_{q.i} G_\emptyset = \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{wr} \mathbb{Z} \). Then the lattice \( R \) in the group \( \text{Isom}(DL(n,n)) \) corresponding to \( G_N \) will have an infinite center \( Z \). In the notation introduced in the previous paragraph, condition (16) obviously implies that \( Z \leq L \cap L' \), which contradicts (17). Thus \( G_N \not\sim_{q.i} G_\emptyset \). Therefore, \( S \) contains \( 2^{\aleph_0} \) quasi-isometry classes by Proposition 6.2. □

We also record a general result, which accounts for the Cornulier–Tessera construction of \( 2^{\aleph_0} \) quasi-isometry classes of solvable groups (see Example 3.3).

**Corollary 6.3.** Let \( G \) be a finitely presented group containing a central subgroup of the form \( E = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} E_i \), where \( E_i \) are arbitrary non-trivial abelian groups. Then \( G \) has \( 2^{\aleph_0} \) pairwise non-quasi-isometric quotients.

**Proof.** Let \( S \) be defined by (15) and let \( S_0 = \{(G_I, X_I) \mid |I| < \infty\} \). Without loss of generality we can assume that each \( E_i \) is finitely generated. Since \( G \) is finitely presented, so is every group from \( S_0 \). As \( S_0 \) is dense in \( S \) and \( S \) is perfect by Lemma 6.1, Corollary 1.2 applies. □

Finally, we provide an example of a perfect set of quasi-isometric groups.

**Example 6.4.** Let \( G \) be any \( n \)-generated group containing a central subgroup of the form \( E = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} E_i \), where \( E_i \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \) (e.g., Hall’s group used in the proof of Corollary 1.6). Let
Let $e_i$ be the non-trivial element of $E_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we define $Q_I$ to be the quotient of $G$ obtained by imposing relations

$$e_i = 1 \quad \forall i \in I \quad \text{and} \quad e_j = e_0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I.$$ 

As above, it is not difficult to check that the groups $Q_I$ (with the appropriate generating sets) form a subset $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{G}_n$ homeomorphic to the Cantor set. In particular, $\mathcal{U}$ is perfect. However, all groups from $\mathcal{U}$ are obviously quasi-isometric (they are all commensurable up to finite kernels).
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