

INVARIANTS OF MODELS OF GENUS ONE CURVES AND MODULAR FORMS

MANH HUNG TRAN

ABSTRACT. An invariant of a model of genus one curve is a polynomial in the coefficients of the model that is stable under certain linear transformations. The classical example of an invariant is the discriminant, which characterizes the singularity of models. The ring of invariants of genus one models over a field is generated by two elements. Fisher normalized these invariants for models of degree $n = 2, 3, 4$ in such a way that these invariants are moreover defined over the integers. We provide an alternative way to express these normalized invariants using modular forms. This method relies on a direct computation for the discriminants based on their own geometric properties.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Models of genus one curves and their invariants	3
3. Discriminants of genus one curves and their Jacobians	8
4. Invariants and modular forms	11
Acknowledgement	18
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a curve C given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6. \quad (1)$$

There are two classical invariants labeled by c_4 and c_6 defined for instance in [12, p. 42] as

$$c_4 = b_2^2 - 24b_4, c_6 = -b_2^3 + 36b_2b_4 - 216b_6, \quad (2)$$

where $b_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2$, $b_4 = 2a_4 + a_1a_3$ and $b_6 = a_3^2 + 4a_6$. They define the discriminant $\Delta = (c_4^3 - c_6^2)/1728$ with the property that $\Delta \neq 0$ if and only if the curve is non-singular. In this case, the curve C is of genus one. An invariant of the model associated to (1) is a polynomial in their coefficients, which remains unchanged under the linear algebraic transformations mentioned in Section 2.

We want to explore this study to other models of genus one curves by a natural connection to modular forms as described in Section 4.

Let C be a smooth curve of genus one over a field K and suppose that D is a K -rational divisor on C of degree n . In case $n = 1$, C has a K -rational point so that it can be given by a Weierstrass equation (1).

If $n \geq 2$, there exists a morphism $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined by the complete linear system associated to D . This morphism is an embedding if $n \geq 3$. We define models of genus one of degrees $n \leq 5$ as follows

Definition. *A genus one model of degree $n \leq 5$ is a*

- a) Weierstrass form if $n = 1$.*
- b) pair of a binary quadratic and a binary quartic if $n = 2$.*
- c) ternary cubic if $n = 3$.*
- d) pair of quadrics in four variables if $n = 4$.*
- e) 5×5 alternating matrix of linear forms in five variables if $n = 5$.*

The equation defined by a genus one model (p, q) of degree $n = 2$ is $y^2 + p(x, z)y = q(x, z)$. In case $n = 5$, the equations defining the model are the 4×4 Pfaffians of the matrix. In general, such models define smooth curves of genus one.

The classical invariants where $n = 2, 3, 4$ were studied in [13] and [1, Section 3]. These invariants were normalized by Fisher [6, Sections 6,7] so that they are usual formulae when restricted to the Weierstrass family.

The aim of this paper is to give an alternative way to express the normalized invariants c_4, c_6 and thus $\Delta = (c_4^3 - c_6^2)/1728$ for genus one models of degrees $n = 2, 3, 4$. To do this, we establish formulae in all characteristics relating the invariants of smooth genus one models of degrees $n \leq 4$ and the corresponding Jacobians in the classical setting as in [1]. More precisely, the authors in [1, Section 3] define a map f_n from a smooth curve C_ϕ , which is defined by a model of genus one ϕ of degree n ($n = 2, 3, 4$), to the corresponding Jacobian E_ϕ . In addition, they describe explicitly when $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$ the map and the Jacobian E_ϕ given by a Weierstrass equation of the form

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3.$$

The map f_n will be described explicitly in Section 2. We construct from it in Section 3 the map $\varphi_n : X_n \rightarrow W$ from the affine space X_n of genus one models of degree n ($n = 2, 3, 4$) to the space W of Weierstrass forms. We first compute the normalized discriminants.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C_ϕ be a curve defined by a genus one model ϕ of degree n ($n = 2, 3, 4$) over a field K and $\Delta_\phi, \Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)}$ be the discriminants of ϕ and its corresponding*

Weierstrass form $\varphi_n(\phi)$. We have

$$\Delta_\phi = \alpha_n^{12} \Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)},$$

where $\alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = 1/2, \alpha_4 = 2$.

This theorem is established directly using the singularities of genus one models. To obtain the analogous result for any invariant, we will use geometric modular forms defined in [11]. To be precise, we will see in Section 4 that it is possible to associate to a geometric modular form \mathcal{F} an invariant $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ of the same weight. We will prove the following result

Theorem 1.2. *Let C_ϕ be a smooth curve of genus one defined by a model ϕ of degree n ($n = 2, 3, 4$) over a field K with the corresponding Jacobian E_ϕ defined by $\varphi_n(\phi)$. Let k be an integer and $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the invariant of weight k associated to a geometric modular form \mathcal{F} of weight k , we have*

$$I_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \alpha_n^k I_{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_n(\phi)),$$

where $\alpha_2 = 1, \alpha_3 = 1/2, \alpha_4 = 2$.

The appearance of α_n naturally comes from the transformation property of modular forms as described in Section 4. However, we can even prove that α_n is a universal constant.

Recently, Fisher [8, p. 2126] have obtained a formula for the invariants c_4, c_6 and the Jacobian of smooth genus one models of arbitrary degree n in characteristic 0. More details about models of genus one curves and their invariants will be discussed in Section 2.

2. MODELS OF GENUS ONE CURVES AND THEIR INVARIANTS

Let X_n be the set of all genus one models of degree n over a field K (see Definition 1), we will see later in this section that X_n is an affine space of dimension 5, 8, 10, 20, 50 for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ respectively. In [6, Section 3], the author defined natural linear algebraic groups \mathcal{G}_n acting on X_n ($n \leq 5$), which preserve the solutions of the models. Let G_n be the commutator subgroups of \mathcal{G}_n and $K[X_n]$ be the coordinate ring of X_n .

Definition 2.1. *The ring of invariants of X_n ($n \leq 5$) over K is*

$$K[X_n]^{G_n} := \{I \in K[X_n] : I \circ g = I \text{ for all } g \in G_n(\overline{K})\}.$$

The vector space of invariants of weight k of X_n over K is defined as

$$K[X_n]_k^{G_n} := \{I \in K[X_n] : I \circ g = (\det g)^k I \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_n(\overline{K})\}.$$

The character \det on \mathcal{G}_n is chosen so that we get appropriate weights for the invariants and moreover (see [6, Lemma 4.3])

$$K[X_n]^{G_n} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} K[X_n]_k^{G_n}.$$

We now describe in detail affine spaces X_n for $n \leq 5$, their classical invariants and the map $f_n : C_\phi \rightarrow E_\phi$ ($n = 2, 3, 4$) from a smooth curve defined by a model $\phi \in X_n^0 := \{\phi \in X_n \mid C_\phi \text{ is smooth}\}$ to its corresponding Jacobian E_ϕ . This map is defined by a divisor D of degree n on the curve C_ϕ , which is the intersection of C_ϕ with the hyperplane at infinity (see [1, p. 305]), as $f_n(P) = nP - D$. It is given explicitly when $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$ as below. In addition, we introduce in each degree the natural non-zero regular 1-form ω_ϕ defined in [6, Section 5.4] for a smooth curve of genus one C_ϕ . This 1-form is useful for relating invariants and modular forms as we will see in Section 4.

2.1. Models of degree $n = 1$. The space X_1 is of dimension 5 where each model corresponding to (1) can be identify with the point $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$ in \mathbb{A}^5 . Their invariants c_4, c_6 are defined in the usual way as in (2). The natural regular 1-form on a smooth curve C_ϕ defined by model ϕ is:

$$\omega_\phi := \frac{dx}{2y + a_1x + a_3}.$$

2.2. Models of degree $n = 2$. The equation of a genus one model of degree $n = 2$ is written as:

$$y^2 + (\alpha_0x^2 + \alpha_1xz + \alpha_2z^2)y = ax^4 + bx^3z + cx^2z^2 + dxz^3 + ez^4. \quad (3)$$

Each model in X_2 corresponds to a point $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, a, b, c, d, e) \in \mathbb{A}^8$ and thus $\dim(X_2) = 8$. Moreover, if $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ or 3 , we can rewrite (3) as:

$$y^2 = ax^4 + bx^3z + cx^2z^2 + dxz^3 + ez^4. \quad (4)$$

As in [1, Section 3.1], the model ϕ defined by (4) has two classical invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} i &= (12ae - 3bd + c^2)/12, \\ j &= (72ace - 27ad^2 - 27b^2e + 9bcd - 2c^3)/432 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and a corresponding Weierstrass equation is:

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - ix - j. \quad (6)$$

The map f_2 from a smooth curve C_ϕ defined by (4) to the Jacobian E_ϕ defined by (6) is given as in [1, (3.3)] by:

$$f_2(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{g(x, z)}{(yz)^2}, \frac{h(x, z)}{(yz)^3} \right),$$

where

$$g(x, z) = \frac{1}{144}(q_{xz}^2 - q_{xx}q_{zz}), \quad h(x, z) = \frac{1}{8} \begin{vmatrix} q_x & q_z \\ g_x & g_z \end{vmatrix}$$

with q being the binary quartic on the right hand side of (4).

The corresponding results to the generalized equation (3) can be found in [4, p. 766] by completing the square. We define the regular 1-form for a smooth curve C_ϕ defined by a model ϕ corresponding to (3) as:

$$\omega_\phi := \frac{z^2 d(x/z)}{2y + p(x, z)}.$$

Here $p(x, z) = \alpha_0 x^2 + \alpha_1 xz + \alpha_2 z^2$.

2.3. Models of degree $n = 3$. In case $n = 3$, a genus one model ϕ is a ternary cubic:

$$ax^3 + by^3 + cz^3 + a_2 x^2 y + a_3 x^2 z + b_1 x y^2 + b_3 y^2 z + c_1 x z^2 + c_2 y z^2 + mxyz \quad (7)$$

with two classical invariants S, T defined in [1, p. 309-310] and we can see that $\dim(X_3) = 10$. A corresponding Weierstrass equation is also given there by:

$$y^2 = 4x^3 + 108Sx - 27T. \quad (8)$$

The map f_3 from a smooth curve C_ϕ defined by the model (7) to the Jacobian E_ϕ defined by (8) is given as in [1, (3.9)] by:

$$f_3(x, y, z) = \left(\frac{\Theta(x, y, z)}{H(x, y, z)^2}, \frac{J(x, y, z)}{H(x, y, z)^3} \right),$$

where

$$H = \frac{1}{216} \begin{vmatrix} \phi_{xx} & \phi_{xy} & \phi_{xz} \\ \phi_{yx} & \phi_{yy} & \phi_{yz} \\ \phi_{zx} & \phi_{zy} & \phi_{zz} \end{vmatrix}, \quad J = -\frac{1}{9} \left| \frac{\partial(\phi, H, \Theta)}{\partial(x, y, z)} \right|$$

and Θ is the covariant defined in [1, p. 308]. The regular 1-form on the smooth curve C_ϕ is defined as

$$\omega_\phi := \frac{x^2 d(y/x)}{\partial\phi/\partial z}.$$

2.4. Models of degree $n = 4$. We relate to the case $n = 2$ as follows: if the model ϕ is given by a pair of quadrics $q_1, q_2 \in K[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ (hence $\dim(X_4) = 20$), we can write $q_1 = \bar{x}A\bar{x}^T$ and $q_2 = \bar{x}B\bar{x}^T$ for two symmetric 4×4 matrices A, B with $\bar{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$. The invariants of ϕ are then defined by the invariants of the quartic:

$$\det(xA + zB) = ax^4 + bx^3z + cx^2z^2 + dxz^3 + ez^4 \quad (9)$$

as in the case $n = 2$. A corresponding Weierstrass equation is thus given in the form (6) with i, j defined by the coefficients of the model (9) as in (5). The explicit map f_4

from a smooth curve defined by (9) to the Jacobian is given in [1, (3.12)] as:

$$f_4(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \left(\frac{g}{J^2}, \frac{h}{J^3} \right),$$

where g, h, J are defined as in [1, Section 3.3]. The regular 1-form for the smooth curve C_ϕ is defined as:

$$\omega_\phi := \frac{x_0^2 d(x_1/x_0)}{(\partial q_1/\partial x_3)(\partial q_2/\partial x_2) - (\partial q_1/\partial x_2)(\partial q_2/\partial x_3)}.$$

2.5. Models of degree $n = 5$. A genus one model of degree $n = 5$ is a 5×5 alternating matrix of linear forms in five variables. The equations defined by this model are the 4×4 Pfaffians of the matrix. Here a square matrix is called alternating if it is skew-symmetric and all of its diagonal entries are zero. The reader can have a look at [6, Section 5.2] and [9] for reference. We can check that $\dim(X_5) = 50$. Fisher [6, p. 770] also defines a regular 1-form to the case $n = 5$ when $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. This restriction on $\text{char}(K)$ is removed in [7, Remark 7.6].

Observe that if I is an invariant of weight k then so is λI for any constant $\lambda \in K^*$. We want to normalize the invariants so that they have appropriate formulae in any characteristic.

2.6. Normalized invariants. The author in [6, Theorem 10.2] proves that $K[X_n]^{G_n}$ is isomorphic to $K[X_1]^{G_1}$ ($n \leq 5$) in any characteristic. This extends the invariants $c_4, c_6, \Delta \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1]$ of $K[X_1]^{G_1}$ defined in (2) to the corresponding ones in $K[X_n]^{G_n}$ ($n \leq 5$) denoted again by c_4, c_6, Δ . In fact, we have (see [6, Lemma 4.15 and remark 4.16])

Lemma 2.2. *The invariants c_4, c_6 and Δ are primitive polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]$ for any $n \leq 5$.*

Thus it is possible to normalize these invariants up to sign. Furthermore, the two invariants $c_4, c_6 \in K[X_n]^{G_n}$ ($n \leq 5$) constructed above define the suitable discriminant $\Delta = (c_4^3 - c_6^2)/1728$ in the following way:

Definition/Lemma 2.3. *Let R be a Noetherian (unital commutative) ring and C_ϕ be a curve over R defined by some genus one model ϕ of degree $n \leq 5$. There exists the discriminant Δ , which is a universal polynomial with integer coefficients, is defined such that $\Delta_\phi \in R^*$ if and only if C_ϕ is non-singular over R . Here R^* is the group of units of R .*

Proof. Fisher [6, Theorem 4.4] shows the properties of the discriminant of genus one models of degree $n \leq 5$ over a field K , but it is indeed equivalent to the Definition/Lemma 2.3. \square

He also proves there that if $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ or 3 , then the invariants $c_4(\phi), c_6(\phi)$ provide for the smooth genus one curve C_ϕ defined by a model ϕ of degree $n \leq 5$ the Jacobian

$$y^2 = x^3 - 27c_4(\phi)x - 54c_6(\phi).$$

The main ingredient of the proof of the above result is that, a pair (C_ϕ, ω_ϕ) of a model $\phi \in X_n^0$ is isomorphic to a pair of the form given in Section 2.1. Then the invariants $c_4(\phi), c_6(\phi)$ of ϕ are determined by the ones of that pair as in (2) (see [6, Definition 2.1 and Proposition 5.23]).

Then, in [6, Section 7], he gives the normalized formulae to the invariants c_4, c_6 of genus one models of degrees $n = 2, 3, 4$. More precisely, in the case $n = 2$, the normalized invariants of the model corresponding to (4) are

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 &= 2^4(12ae - 3bd + c^2), \\ c_6 &= 2^5(72ace - 27ad^2 - 27b^2e + 9bcd - 2c^3). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In comparison with the classical case (5), we have $c_4 = 2^6 3i$ and $c_6 = 2^9 3^3 j$. The normalized invariants of the generalized model corresponding to (3) can be found in [4, p. 766] by reducing to the form (4) from a completing square.

When $n = 3$, the normalized invariants of the model (7) are

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 &= -216abcm + 144abc_1c_2 + 144acb_1b_3 + \dots - 8a_3b_3m^2 + 16b_1^2c_1^2 - 8b_1c_1m^2 + m^4, \\ c_6 &= 5832a^2b^2c^2 - 3888a^2bcb_3c_2 + 864a^2bc_2^3 + \dots + 64b_1^3c_1^3 - 48b_1^2c_1^2m^2 + 12b_1c_1m^4 - m^6. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We have $c_4 = -2^4 3^4 S$, $c_6 = 2^3 3^6 T$ in comparing with the classical invariants S, T defined in [1, p. 309-310].

When $n = 4$, we relate to the quartic as in (9) and then the invariants are

$$\begin{aligned} c_4 &= 12ae - 3bd + c^2, \\ c_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(72ace - 27ad^2 - 27b^2e + 9bcd - 2c^3). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus in comparing with the classical case, we have $c_4 = 2^{10} 3i$ and $c_6 = 2^{15} 3^3 j$.

In the case of plane cubics, these invariants were normalized before in [2, p. 367-368] where the authors explicitly provide the corresponding Weierstrass equations in any characteristic. Strictly speaking, they associate to a ternary cubic ϕ a Weierstrass form ϕ^* associated to (1) with the coefficients determined by the coefficients of ϕ . Then they observe that $(\phi^*)^* = \phi^*$ and thus naturally define:

$$c_4(\phi) := c_4(\phi^*), c_6(\phi) := c_6(\phi^*), \Delta_\phi := \Delta_{\phi^*}.$$

In Section 4, we will provide a new way for expressing these normalized invariants using modular forms. Before that, however, we will establish the normalized discriminants of genus one models directly by using the singularities of the models in the next section.

3. DISCRIMINANTS OF GENUS ONE CURVES AND THEIR JACOBIANS

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. Fix $n \leq 5$, let X_n be the affine space of all genus one models ϕ of degree n , let W be the affine space of Weierstrass forms $y^2 - 4x^3 + g_2x + g_3$ if $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$ and $W = X_1$ otherwise. We define the map $\varphi_n : X_n \rightarrow W$ based on the discussion about the map f_n in Section 2 for $n = 2, 3, 4$. More precisely, for any smooth model $\phi \in X_n^0$, we have a map $f_n : C_\phi \rightarrow E_\phi$ from the smooth curve C_ϕ to its Jacobian E_ϕ coming from a divisor of degree n . We define the image $\varphi_n(\phi)$ of ϕ to be the model in W defining E_ϕ . This gives us a map $X_n^0 \rightarrow W$ which extends uniquely to a map $\varphi_n : X_n \rightarrow W$.

The map φ_n is given explicitly in case $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ or 3 , which also applies to singular models as follows. The map φ_2 sends a model ϕ of degree 2 corresponding to (3) to the Weierstrass form defined by (6) of the model corresponding to (4) obtained from ϕ after a completing square. The map φ_3 sends a model ϕ of degree 3 of the form (7) to the Weierstrass form defined by (8). The map φ_4 is defined by relating to the case $n = 2$. More precisely, φ_4 sends a model ϕ of degree 4 given by a pair of quadrics (q_1, q_2) to the Weierstrass form defined by (6) obtained from the quartic (9) as in the case $n = 2$. We denote by E_ϕ the curve given by the corresponding Weierstrass form $\varphi_n(\phi) \in W$ of a model $\phi \in X_n$.

This map sends non-singular curves to non-singular curves and singular curves to singular curves when $\text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3$. We know that there exist discriminants (see Definition/Lemma 2.3) Δ_{X_n} in X_n and Δ_W in W parametrizing singular curves and they are both geometrically irreducible polynomials (see [6, Proposition 4.5]). The discriminant Δ_ϕ of ϕ is determined by evaluating Δ_{X_n} at coefficients of the model ϕ . i.e., $\Delta_\phi = \Delta_{X_n}(\phi)$.

We denote by $V(p)$ the set of points in \mathbb{P}_K^m vanished at p for any homogeneous polynomial $p \in K[x_0, \dots, x_m]$. Observe that Δ_{X_n} and the pull back $\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W)$ of Δ_W have the same vanishing property:

$$V(\Delta_{X_n}) = V(\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W)), \quad (13)$$

where φ_n^* is defined such that $\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W)(\phi) = \Delta_W(\varphi_n(\phi))$ for any model ϕ . We have the following:

Proposition 3.1. *For $n \leq 4$, there exists a constant $c \in K^*$ such that*

$$\Delta_{X_n} = c\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W).$$

Proof. For an ideal $J \subset K[x_0, \dots, x_m]$, we denote by \sqrt{J} the radical ideal of J over \overline{K} . From (13) and Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, we obtain that $\sqrt{(\Delta_{X_n})} = \sqrt{(\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W))}$. Note that Δ_{X_n} is geometrically irreducible as mentioned above. Then $\sqrt{(\Delta_{X_n})} = (\Delta_{X_n})$ (which is an ideal over \overline{K}) and thus there exist constants $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c \in \overline{K}^*$ such that $\Delta_{X_n}^k = c\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W)$. Since both $\Delta_{X_n}^k$ and $\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W)$ are defined over K , we conclude that $c \in K^*$.

We will prove that $k = 1$ by comparing the degrees of Δ_{X_n} and $\varphi_n^*(\Delta_W)$. We first consider the case $n = 3$. By [3, Example 1.8], we know that Δ_{X_n} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 12 with respect to the coefficients of the plane cubics. Besides, $\varphi_3^*(\Delta_W)$ is also of degree 12 in the coefficients of the cubics so that it has the same degree with Δ_{X_n} .

If $n = 4$, by [3, Example 1.10] we see that Δ_{X_n} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 24 in the coefficients of the two quadratic forms defining the models. The degree of $\varphi_4^*(\Delta_W)$ is also 24.

The case $n = 2$ is different since the model $y^2 + p(x, z)y = q(x, z)$ is no longer homogeneous. Here p, q are homogeneous polynomials of degrees 2, 4 respectively. If $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, this model can be brought to $y^2 = h(x, z)$ by completing square, where $h = \frac{p^2}{4} + q$. The singular locus of this latter model is $\{2y = h_x = h_z = 0\}$, which is equal to $\{h_x = h_z = 0\}$ if $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Consequently, the discriminant of the model (p, q) above is the discriminant of the quartic h from Definition/Lemma 2.3 (up to some power of 2). Hence, by [3, Example 1.8] again, we know that $\Delta_{X_n}(p, q)$ is of degree 6 with respect to the coefficients of h . We can check that $\varphi_2^*(\Delta_W)(p, q)$ is of degree 6 in terms of coefficients of h as well. Thus $k = 1$ for $n = 2, 3, 4$. \square

We actually have more information about the constant c by looking at models with integer coefficients.

Proposition 3.2. *For $n = 2, 3, 4$, the constant c in Proposition 3.1 can be expressed as $\pm 2^a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover,*

$$\begin{cases} a = 0, & \text{If } n = 2; \\ a = -12, & \text{If } n = 3; \\ a = 12, & \text{If } n = 4. \end{cases}$$

We will see later in Theorem 4.10 that one can exclude the minus sign of the constant c above and thus obtain Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We consider the curve C_ϕ defined by a model ϕ with integer coefficients so that we can make use of reduction modulo prime numbers. Note that $\Delta_\phi \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Lemma 2.2. Since the map φ_n sends non-singular curves to non-singular curves over characteristics not 2 and 3, the constant c is of the form $\pm 2^a 3^b$ for some integers a, b .

To compute the powers of 2 and 3, we need to compare Δ_ϕ and $\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)}$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{Z}_3 (see Definition/Lemma 2.3). Here $\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)} = \Delta_W(\varphi_n(\phi))$ is the discriminant of the Weierstrass form $\varphi_n(\phi)$ of ϕ . Since $\Delta_\phi = c\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)}$, we get the following p -adic valuation identity for a prime number p :

$$v_p(\Delta_\phi) = v_p(c) + v_p(\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)}). \quad (14)$$

Suppose that C_ϕ is non-singular over \mathbb{F}_2 and \mathbb{F}_3 , then $v_2(\Delta_\phi) = v_3(\Delta_\phi) = 0$ and we get from (14) that $v_2(c) = -v_2(\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)})$, $v_3(c) = -v_3(\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)})$. So to find c , we just need to compute $\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)}$ in some special case in which C_ϕ is non-singular over \mathbb{F}_2 and \mathbb{F}_3 .

In the case $n = 2$, we consider the genus one model ϕ with equation

$$y^2 + yz^2 = x^4 + x^3z + x^2z^2.$$

The corresponding Weierstrass equation of $\varphi_2(\phi)$ is:

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - \frac{1}{3}x - \frac{37}{1728}$$

and $\Delta_{\varphi_2(\phi)} = 101$. The model ϕ is non-singular over both \mathbb{F}_2 and \mathbb{F}_3 . Hence $v_2(c) = 0$, $v_3(c) = 0$ and thus $a = 0$, $b = 0$.

If $n = 3$, we consider the curve C_ϕ given by $y^2z + yz^2 - x^3 = 0$ with the corresponding equation of $\varphi_3(\phi) : y^2 = 4x^3 + 1$ and we have $\Delta_{\varphi_3(\phi)} = -2^{12}3^3$. The curve C_ϕ is non-singular over \mathbb{F}_2 and thus $v_2(c) = -12$ or $a = -12$. To compute the power of 3, we consider the following curve $y^2z - x^3 - xz^2 = 0$ which is non-singular over \mathbb{F}_3 with the corresponding equation of $\varphi_3(\phi) : y^2 = 4x^3 + 4x$ and $\Delta_{\varphi_3(\phi)} = -2^{18}$. Therefore, we have $v_3(c) = 0$ or $b = 0$.

When $n = 4$, we consider the curve C_ϕ given by the following complete intersection of two quadratic forms

$$\begin{cases} x_0x_1 + x_0x_2 + x_2x_3 = 0 \\ x_0x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the corresponding Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - \frac{1}{2^{10}3}x + \frac{161}{2^{15}3^3}$$

computed by φ_4 with $\Delta_{\varphi_4(\phi)} = -3.5/2^{12}$. It is possible to check that C_ϕ is non-singular over \mathbb{F}_2 . This implies that $v_2(c) = 12$ and hence $a = 12$. Observe that this curve is singular over \mathbb{F}_3 since $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ is a singular point modulo 3. So to compute the power of 3, we need to look at another example. For instance, we consider the following complete intersection which is non-singular over \mathbb{F}_3

$$\begin{cases} x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 = 0 \\ x_0^2 + 2x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 5x_3^2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

with the corresponding equation of $\varphi_4(\phi) : y^2 = 4x^3 - x$ and we obtain in this case that $\Delta_{\varphi_4(\phi)} = 2^{12}$. This means that $v_3(c) = 0$ and thus $b = 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

4. INVARIANTS AND MODULAR FORMS

We now in this section study all invariants of genus one models. To do this, we first give a brief introduction to the theory of modular forms and the connection to invariants. The goal is to establish Theorem 1.2 by proving Theorem 4.10, which is the main result of this section.

4.1. Weakly holomorphic and geometric modular forms. A weakly holomorphic modular form F of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a holomorphic function on the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}\tau > 0\}$, that is meromorphic at ∞ and satisfies the equation

$$F\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = (c\tau + d)^k F(\tau) \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where

$$SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}.$$

Denote by $M_k^!(\mathbb{C})$ the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight k and $M^!(\mathbb{C})$ the graded algebra

$$M^!(\mathbb{C}) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} M_k^!(\mathbb{C}).$$

F is called holomorphic if it is holomorphic at ∞ , i.e., F has a Fourier expansion

$$F(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n \tau}$$

which is absolutely convergent for each $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. We denote by $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ the space of holomorphic modular forms of weight k and $M(\mathbb{C})$ the graded algebra

$$M(\mathbb{C}) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k(\mathbb{C}).$$

One of the most important examples of holomorphic modular forms is the Eisenstein series G_{2k} , which is of weight $2k$, is defined for an integer $k \geq 2$ as

$$G_{2k} = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (0,0)} \frac{1}{(m + n\tau)^{2k}}. \quad (15)$$

We usually use the following normalized notation of the Eisenstein series

$$E_{2k} := \frac{G_{2k}}{2\zeta(2k)} = 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(n) q^n, \quad (16)$$

where ζ is the Riemann zeta function, B_{2k} are the Bernoulli numbers, σ is the divisor sum function and $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$.

Next step is to follow [11, p. 9,10] to introduce the notion of geometric modular forms. Here an elliptic curve E over a scheme S is a proper smooth morphism $\pi : E \rightarrow S$ whose generic fibers are connected smooth curves of genus one together with a section $e : S \rightarrow E$.

Definition 4.1. *A geometric modular form of weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ over a scheme S is a rule \mathcal{F} which assigns to every pair $(E/R, \omega)$ of an elliptic curve $\pi : E \rightarrow R$ over S and a basis ω of $\pi_*\Omega_{E/R}^1$ an element $\mathcal{F}(E/R, \omega) \in R$ such that*

- a) $\mathcal{F}(E/R, \omega)$ depends only on the R -isomorphism class of the pair $(E/R, \omega)$.*
- b) For any $\lambda \in R^*$ we have $\mathcal{F}(E, \lambda\omega) = \lambda^{-k}\mathcal{F}(E, \omega)$.*
- c) $\mathcal{F}(E'/R', \omega_{R'}) = \psi(\mathcal{F}(E/R, \omega))$ for any morphism $\psi : R \rightarrow R'$, i.e., \mathcal{F} commutes with arbitrary base change. Here $(E'/R', \omega_{R'})$ is the base change of $(E/R, \omega)$ along ψ .*

We adopt the same definition if we only assume that E/R is a smooth genus one curve over R by the following lemma, which is surely known to the experts but the author was unable to locate it in the literature.

Lemma 4.2. *There is a natural correspondence between:*

- Geometric modular forms of weight k for elliptic curves over a scheme S .*
- Geometric modular forms of weight k for smooth genus one curves over a scheme S .*

Proof. Suppose first that we are given a geometric modular form for curves of genus one, \mathcal{F} . An elliptic curve E/R is a pair (C, e) where C is a smooth genus one curve C over R and a section of $e : \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow C$. We can simply forget the section and set $\mathcal{F}(E/R, \omega) := \mathcal{F}(C/R, \omega)$. This will satisfy all the properties in the definition because $\mathcal{F}(C/R, \omega)$ does.

We have to show the converse, and suppose we are given a geometric modular form \mathcal{F} for elliptic curves. Locally for the étale topology (see [10, 17.16.3 (ii)]), there are étale ring extensions $\psi_i : R \rightarrow R_i$ such that $C_i := C \otimes_R R_i$ admits a section, e , over R_i , giving an elliptic curve E_i/R_i . We argue that $\mathcal{F}(E_i/R_i, \omega_i)$ is independent of the choice of section. One can compare e with another choice of section $P_i : \text{Spec}(R_i) \rightarrow C_i$ by the R_i -isomorphism given by translation by P_i , $\tau_{P_i} : (C_i, e_i) \simeq (C_i, P_i)$. This is an isomorphism of elliptic curves. Since the differential ω_i is invariant under τ_{P_i} , the property a) in Definition 4.1 shows that $\mathcal{F}((C_i, e), \omega_i) = \mathcal{F}((C_i, P_i), \omega_i)$.

We then set $\alpha_i := \mathcal{F}(E_i/R_i, \omega_i) \in R_i$, independent of any choice of section. Let R_j be another (local) ring extension such that C_j/R_j admits a section, and denote by $\psi_{ij} : R_i \rightarrow R_{ij} = R_i \otimes_R R_j$ the natural map. Then $\psi_{ji}(\alpha_j) = \alpha_{ij} = \psi_{ij}(\alpha_i)$ by the property c) of Definition 4.1. By étale descent we obtain an element $\alpha \in R$ such that for all the maps $\psi_i : R \rightarrow R_i$, $\psi_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$. It is a straightforward verification that $\mathcal{F}(C/R, \omega) = \alpha$ satisfies the definition of a geometric modular form of a genus one curve. \square

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_k^!(R)$ the R -module of geometric modular forms of weight k over a ring R and $\mathcal{M}^!(R)$ the graded algebra

$$\mathcal{M}^!(R) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_k^!(R).$$

As in [11, p. 10], a geometric modular form \mathcal{F} has its q -expansion as an element of $\mathbb{Z}((q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$ obtained by evaluating on the pair $(\text{Tate}(q), \omega)_R$ consisting of the Tate curve and its canonical differential. \mathcal{F} is called holomorphic if it is holomorphic at ∞ , i.e., its q -expansion lies in $\mathbb{Z}[[q]] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_k(R)$ the R -module of holomorphic geometric modular forms of weight k over a ring R and $\mathcal{M}(R)$ the graded algebra

$$\mathcal{M}(R) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{M}_k(R).$$

We have in addition the relation

$$\mathcal{M}^!(R) = \mathcal{M}(R)[\mathcal{D}^{-1}], \quad (17)$$

where \mathcal{D} is the cusp form of weight 12 defined below.

It turns out that we can identify weakly holomorphic and geometric modular forms over \mathbb{C} from the following discussion in [11, p. 91]. Let $C_\tau := \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. For any geometric modular form $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M}_k^!(\mathbb{C})$, we can define the corresponding weakly holomorphic modular form of the same weight

$$F(\tau) = \mathcal{F}(C_\tau, 2\pi i \, dz) \quad (18)$$

with dz being the canonical differential on \mathbb{C} . Then the map $\mathcal{F} \mapsto F$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}_k^!(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_k^!(\mathbb{C})$.

Observe that for $k = 2, 3$ the quotient $4k/B_{2k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and thus the Eisenstein series E_4, E_6 in (16) are defined over \mathbb{Z} . By the q -expansion principle as in [11, Corollary 1.9.1], the corresponding geometric modular forms \mathcal{E}_{2k} of E_{2k} of weight $2k$ ($k = 2, 3$) are also defined over \mathbb{Z} .

It is known that the ring of holomorphic geometric modular forms $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z})$ over \mathbb{Z} is generated by $\mathcal{E}_4, \mathcal{E}_6$ and the cusp form \mathcal{D} satisfying $1728\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E}_4^3 - \mathcal{E}_6^2$. More precisely,

we have (see [5, Proposition 6.1])

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{E}_4, \mathcal{E}_6, \mathcal{D}] / (\mathcal{E}_4^3 - \mathcal{E}_6^2 - 1728\mathcal{D}).$$

If $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ or 3 , then 1728 is invertible over K and thus $\mathcal{M}(K) = K[\mathcal{E}_4, \mathcal{E}_6]$. In this case, \mathcal{E}_4 and \mathcal{E}_6 are algebraically independent since so are E_4 and E_6 .

We have a type of geometric modular forms in any characteristic p called the Hasse invariants defined for instance in [11] (p. 29). For any prime number p , the Hasse invariant \mathcal{A}_p is a geometric modular form over \mathbb{F}_p of weight $p - 1$, which satisfy a certain property. The Hasse invariant \mathcal{A}_p has q -expansion equal to 1 in $\mathbb{F}_p[[q]]$. For any prime $p > 3$, we have $\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{E}_{p-1} \pmod{p}$ since they are both geometric modular forms of the same weight $p - 1$ with the same q -expansions (see [11] (p. 30)).

The structure of the graded ring of holomorphic geometric modular forms can be summarized from Propositions 6.1, 6.2, Remark 6.3 and the formula (8.4) in [5] as follows:

Proposition 4.3. *The graded ring $\mathcal{M}(K)$ of holomorphic geometric modular forms over a field K is*

$$\begin{cases} K[\mathcal{E}_4, \mathcal{E}_6], & \text{if } \text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3; \\ K[\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{D}], \mathcal{E}_4 = \mathcal{A}_2^4 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_6 = -\mathcal{A}_2^6, & \text{if } \text{char}(K) = 2; \\ K[\mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{D}], \mathcal{E}_4 = \mathcal{A}_3^2 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_6 = -\mathcal{A}_3^3, & \text{if } \text{char}(K) = 3. \end{cases}$$

4.2. Invariants and modular forms. Similarly, the structure of the graded ring of invariants of X_n can be summarized from [6, Theorem 4.4, Lemma 10.1, Theorem 10.2] as below. Here c_4, c_6 are the usual invariants defined in Section 2 and a_1, b_2 are the invariants of weight 1, 2 respectively defined as in [6, Theorem 10.2].

Proposition 4.4. *The ring of invariants $K[X_n]^{G_n}$ of X_n ($n \leq 5$) over a field K is*

$$\begin{cases} K[c_4, c_6], & \text{if } \text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3; \\ K[a_1, \Delta], & \text{if } \text{char}(K) = 2; \\ K[b_2, \Delta], & \text{if } \text{char}(K) = 3. \end{cases}$$

The algebraic independence of the invariants c_4 and c_6 if $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ or 3 , a_1 and Δ if $\text{char}(K) = 2$, b_2 and Δ if $\text{char}(K) = 3$ is clear in case $n = 1$. Thus they are algebraically independent for all $n \leq 5$.

We can link modular forms to invariants. To see this, we first recall a result from [6, Proposition 5.19]. Here the regular 1-form ω_ϕ of a model $\phi \in X_n^0$ is defined as in Section 2.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $C_\phi, C_{\phi'}$ be smooth curves of genus one over a field K corresponding to the models $\phi, \phi' \in X_n^0$ respectively ($n \leq 5$). Suppose $\phi' = g\phi$ for some $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$, then the isomorphism $\varphi : C_{\phi'} \rightarrow C_\phi$ determined by g satisfies $\varphi^*\omega_\phi = (\det g)\omega_{\phi'}$.*

The author in [6] provides an explicit proof for $n \leq 5$ corresponding to the explicit linear algebraic groups \mathcal{G}_n acting on X_n . We observe from this lemma that for any $g \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and any genus one curves $C_\phi, C_{\phi'}$ defined by models $\phi, \phi' = g\phi$ in X_n^0 , we have for a geometric modular form \mathcal{F} of weight k

$$\mathcal{F}(C_\phi, \omega_\phi) = \mathcal{F}(C_{\phi'}, \varphi^* \omega_\phi) = \mathcal{F}(C_{\phi'}, (\det g) \omega_{\phi'}) = (\det g)^{-k} \mathcal{F}(C_{\phi'}, \omega_{\phi'})$$

or

$$\mathcal{F}(C_{\phi'}, \omega_{\phi'}) = (\det g)^k \mathcal{F}(C_\phi, \omega_\phi).$$

We have thus proved

Proposition 4.6. *For $n \leq 5$, a geometric modular form \mathcal{F} over a field K defines an invariant of the same weight $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ over K of X_n^0 such that $I_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \mathcal{F}(C_\phi, \omega_\phi)$ for any $\phi \in X_n^0$.*

This fact over the complex numbers can also be seen directly from holomorphic modular forms. For a smooth curve C given in the Weierstrass form ϕ such that $C(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$ for some $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Then ϕ is of the form $y^2 - 4x^3 + g_2x + g_3$, whose the two coefficients g_2, g_3 is written as

$$g_2 = 60G_4, g_3 = 140G_6 \quad (19)$$

with G_4, G_6 defined in (15). The normalized invariants of the model ϕ are (see [2, p. 367-368])

$$c_4(\phi) = 2^6 3g_2, c_6(\phi) = 2^9 3^3 g_3. \quad (20)$$

The following identities are then a consequence of (16), (19), (20) and the special values of zeta function $\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90, \zeta(6) = \pi^6/945$

$$c_4(\phi) = (4\pi)^4 E_4(\tau), c_6(\phi) = (4\pi)^6 E_6(\tau). \quad (21)$$

It is then possible to compare the invariant $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ in case $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_4$ and \mathcal{E}_6 with the normalized ones c_4, c_6 as follows

Lemma 4.7. *We have the following identities for any smooth model $\phi \in X_n^0$ ($n \leq 5$) over a field K*

$$I_{\mathcal{E}_4}(\phi) = c_4(\phi), I_{\mathcal{E}_6}(\phi) = -c_6(\phi).$$

Proof. Since both $I_{\mathcal{E}_{2k}}(\phi)$ and $c_{2k}(\phi)$ ($k = 2, 3$) depend only on the K -isomorphism class of the pair (C_ϕ, ω_ϕ) (see Definition 4.1 and [6, Definition 2.1 and Proposition 5.23]), it is enough to consider the case $n = 1$. We know that both $I_{\mathcal{E}_4}$ and c_4 are defined over \mathbb{Z} . Moreover, c_4 is a primitive polynomial. There exists thus a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $I_{\mathcal{E}_4}(\phi) = \alpha c_4(\phi)$ for any $\phi \in X_1^0$. Consider a model ϕ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we obtain from (18) and (21) that $I_{\mathcal{E}_4}(\phi) = c_4(\phi)$ and hence $\alpha = 1$. Here

we are using the regular 1-form $\omega_\phi = dx/2y$ while the differential dz in (18) is equal to dx/y . Similarly, we have $I_{\mathcal{E}_6}(\phi) = -c_6(\phi)$ for any $\phi \in X_1^0$. \square

One can look closer at the connection between invariants and geometric modular forms. The author in [5, Propositions 6.1, 6.2 and Remark 6.3] proved that $\mathcal{M}(K)$ is isomorphic to $K[X_1]^{G_1}$ over any field K . We want to get a similar phenomena when replacing X_1 by X_n for any $n \leq 5$. Denote by $K[X_n^0]^{G_n}$ the ring of invariants of X_n^0 defined in the same way as in Definition 2.1, i.e.,

$$K[X_n^0]^{G_n} := \{I \in K[X_n^0] : I \circ g = I \text{ for all } g \in G_n(\overline{K})\}.$$

Since $K[X_n^0] = K[X_n][\Delta^{-1}]$, we conclude that

$$K[X_n^0]^{G_n} = (K[X_n][\Delta^{-1}])^{G_n} = K[X_n]^{G_n}[\Delta^{-1}],$$

where the latter identity holds since Δ is an invariant in $K[X_n]^{G_n}$. The structure of $K[X_n^0]^{G_n}$ is deduced from Proposition 4.4 with notations from there as follows.

Proposition 4.8. *The ring of invariants $K[X_n^0]^{G_n}$ of X_n^0 ($n \leq 5$) over a field K is*

$$\begin{cases} K[c_4, c_6][\Delta^{-1}], & \text{if } \text{char}(K) \neq 2, 3; \\ K[a_1, \Delta][\Delta^{-1}], & \text{if } \text{char}(K) = 2; \\ K[b_2, \Delta][\Delta^{-1}], & \text{if } \text{char}(K) = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.6 yields a ring homomorphism $I : \mathcal{M}^!(K) \rightarrow K[X_n^0]^{G_n}$ defined by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto I_{\mathcal{F}}$. There are, however, even more to this.

Theorem 4.9. *Let K be a field, the map $I : \mathcal{M}^!(K) \rightarrow K[X_n^0]^{G_n}$ ($n \leq 5$) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. When $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ or 3 , we know from Lemma 4.7 that on X_n^0 : $I_{\mathcal{E}_4} = c_4$, $I_{\mathcal{E}_6} = -c_6$ and $I_{\mathcal{D}} = \Delta$. Thus the ring homomorphism I is bijective from Propositions 4.3, 4.8, formula (17) and the algebraic independence of \mathcal{E}_4 and \mathcal{E}_6 , c_4 and c_6 . Hence I is an isomorphism.

In the case $\text{char}(K) = 2$, I sends \mathcal{A}_2 to αa_1 for some constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_2^*$, i.e., $\alpha = 1$. This comes from the fact that a_1 is (up to constants) the only invariant of weight one. Moreover, I sends \mathcal{D} to Δ by Lemma 4.7 and is thus an isomorphism from the algebraic independence of \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{D} , a_1 and Δ . The independence of \mathcal{A}_2 and \mathcal{D} is deduced from the independence of a_1 and Δ since $I_{\mathcal{A}_2} = \alpha a_1$ and $I_{\mathcal{D}} = \Delta$ on X_n^0 . The case $\text{char}(K) = 3$ is treated similarly with the identities $I_{\mathcal{A}_3} = \beta b_2$ and $I_{\mathcal{D}} = \Delta$ on X_n^0 . Here β is some constant in \mathbb{F}_3^* . \square

Back to our purpose, the key result in this section (which is Theorem 1.2 in Section 1) is the following:

Theorem 4.10. *Let \mathcal{F} be a geometric modular form of weight k , there exists a constant $\alpha_n \in K^*$ ($n \leq 4$) such that*

$$I_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \alpha_n^k I_{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_n(\phi))$$

for any smooth genus one model (C_ϕ, ω_ϕ) of degree n over a field K with the Jacobian $(E_\phi, \omega_{\varphi_n(\phi)})$ constructed by the map φ_n . Moreover, $\alpha_2 = \pm 1, \alpha_3 = \pm 1/2, \alpha_4 = \pm 2$.

From Proposition 4.8 we know that the ring of invariants of X_n^0 is generated by elements of even weights except in the case of characteristic 2 in which $1 = -1$. Therefore, we can in any case forget the about the sign of α_n and this gives a proof for Theorem 1.2.

Proof. We consider the map $\varphi_n : X_n \rightarrow W$ defined in Section 3, there exists $\alpha_n = \alpha_n(\phi) \in K^*$ depending on φ_n and ϕ such that $\varphi_n^*(\omega_{\varphi_n(\phi)}) = \alpha_n \omega_\phi$ for any $\phi \in X_n^0$. We have for any $\phi \in X_n^0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_n(\phi)) &= \mathcal{F}(E_\phi, \omega_{\varphi_n(\phi)}) = \mathcal{F}(C_\phi, \varphi_n^* \omega_{\varphi_n(\phi)}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(C_\phi, \alpha_n \omega_\phi) = \alpha_n^{-k} \mathcal{F}(C_\phi, \omega_\phi) = \alpha_n^{-k} I_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$I_{\mathcal{F}}(\phi) = \alpha_n^k I_{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_n(\phi)). \quad (22)$$

Since α_n does not depend on k , we can consider the case in which $k = 12$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{D}$ to get the identities $\Delta_\phi = I_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi)$, $\Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)} = I_{\mathcal{D}}(\varphi_n(\phi))$ from Lemma 4.7 for the corresponding geometric modular form $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{E}_4^3 - \mathcal{E}_6^2)/1728$. This deduces

$$\Delta_\phi = \alpha_n^{12} \Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)}.$$

As proved in Proposition 3.2, $\Delta_\phi = c \Delta_{\varphi_n(\phi)}$ for some constant c when $n \leq 4$. More precisely,

$$\begin{cases} c = \pm 1, & \text{If } n = 2; \\ c = \pm 2^{-12}, & \text{If } n = 3; \\ c = \pm 2^{12}, & \text{If } n = 4. \end{cases}$$

We now need to compute α_n from $\alpha_n^{12} = c$. Look again at (22) to the case $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_4$ and \mathcal{E}_6 , we have $c_4(\phi) = \alpha_n^4 c_4(\varphi_n(\phi))$ and $c_6(\phi) = \alpha_n^6 c_6(\varphi_n(\phi))$ for any $\phi \in X_n^0$. Consider a model ϕ with integer coefficients, we conclude from Lemma 2.2 that $\alpha_n^4, \alpha_n^6 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and thus $\alpha_n^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$. This enables us to exclude the minus sign of the constant c above and deduce the discussion at the end of Section 3. We have in addition

$$\alpha_2^2 = 1, \alpha_3^2 = 1/4 \text{ and } \alpha_4^2 = 4$$

or

$$\alpha_2 = \pm 1, \alpha_3 = \pm 1/2 \text{ and } \alpha_4 = \pm 2.$$

□

Observe that the formulae of c_4, c_6 obtained from Theorem 1.2 for $k = 4, 6$ respectively in cases $n = 2, 3, 4$ are the same with the normalized ones given by Fisher as in (10), (11) and (12).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my advisor Dennis Eriksson for introducing me to the topic, providing me with many important ideas and materials as well as crucial corrections and comments. I am also grateful to my co-advisor Martin Raum for his useful discussions and feedback.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Y. An, S. Y. Kim, D. C. Marshall, S. H. Marshall, W. G. McCallum and A. R. Perlis, *Jacobians of genus one curves*, J. Number Theory **90**, 2001, no. 2, 304-315.
- [2] M. Artin, F. Rodriguez-Villegas, J. Tate, *On the Jacobians of plane cubics*, Adv. Math. **198**, 2005, 366-382.
- [3] O. Benoist, *Degres des homogeneite de les ensemble des intersections completes singulieres*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **62**, No.3, 2012, 1189-1214.
- [4] J. Cremona, T. Fisher, M. Stoll, *Minimisation and reduction of 2-, 3- and 4-coverings of elliptic curves*, Algebra Number Theory **4**, No. 6, 2010, 763-820.
- [5] P. Deligne, *Courbes elliptiques: formulaire d'après J. Tate*, Modular functions of one variable, IV (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Springer, Berlin, 1975, 53-73.
- [6] T. Fisher, *The invariants of a genus one curve*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **97**, no. 3, 2008, 753-782.
- [7] T. Fisher, M. Sadek, *On genus one curves of degree 5 with square-free discriminant*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **31**, no. 4, 2016, 359-383.
- [8] T. Fisher, *A formula for the Jacobian of a genus one curve of arbitrary degree*, Algebra Number Theory **12**, no. 9, 2018, 2123-2150.
- [9] T. Fisher, *Genus one curves defined by Pfaffians*, <https://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~taf1000/>, Preprint.
- [10] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **32**, 1967.
- [11] N. M. Katz, *p-adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **350**, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [12] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **106**, Springer, New York, 1986.
- [13] A. Weil, *Remarques sur un mémoire d'Hermite*, Arch. Math. **5**, 1954, 197-202.

CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN

E-mail address: tranmanhhungkhtn@gmail.com