FLUCTUATIONS OF A NONLINEAR STOCHASTIC HEAT EQUATION IN DIMENSIONS THREE AND HIGHER
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Abstract. We study the solution to a nonlinear stochastic heat equation in $d \geq 3$. The equation is driven by a Gaussian multiplicative noise that is white in time and smooth in space. In a weak disorder regime, we prove (i) the solution converges to the stationary distribution in large time; (ii) the diffusive scale fluctuations are described by the Edwards-Wilkinson equation.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Main result. We study the solution to the nonlinear stochastic heat equation

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + \beta \sigma(u) \dot{W}_\phi(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 3,$$

with a constant initial data $u(0, x) \equiv 1$, where $\beta > 0$ is a constant, $\sigma$ is a Lipschitz function, and $\dot{W}_\phi$ is a centered Gaussian noise that is white in time and smooth in space, constructed from a spacetime white noise $\dot{W}$ and a non-negative mollifier $\phi \in C_\infty^c(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\dot{W}_\phi(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x - y) \dot{W}(t, y) dy.$$

The covariance function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\dot{W}_\phi(t, x) \dot{W}_\phi(s, y)] = \delta_0(t - s) R(x - y),
R(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x + y) \phi(y) dy \in C_\infty^c(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Under our assumptions, there exists a unique continuous random field as the mild solution to (1.1), given by

$$u(t, x) = 1 + \beta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, x - y) \sigma(u(s, y)) \dot{W}_\phi(s, y) dy ds,$$

where $p(t, x) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2 / 4t}$ is the heat kernel, and the stochastic integral in (1.2) is interpreted in the Itô-Walsh sense. We rescale the solution diffusively, and define

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = u\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The first result is about the behavior of $u$ as $t \to \infty$.

**Theorem 1.1.** There exists $\beta_0 = \beta_0(d, \phi, \sigma) > 0$ such that if $\beta < \beta_0$, then $u(t, \cdot) \Rightarrow Z(\cdot)$ in $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$, as $t \to \infty$, where $Z(\cdot)$ is a stationary random field.

On top of this result, we obtain the Edwards-Wilkinson limit as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** Under the same assumption of Theorem 1.1, for any test function $g \in C_\infty^c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t > 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u_{\varepsilon}(t, x) - 1) g(x) dx \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U(t, x) g(x) dx$$
in distribution as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), where \( U \) solves the Edwards-Wilkinson equation
\[
\partial_t U = \Delta U + \beta \nu \bar{W}(t, x), \quad U(0, x) \equiv 0,
\]
and \( \nu \) is the effective constant depending on \( \sigma \), the spatial covariance function \( R \), and the stationary random field \( \nu \) and \( \sigma \) are determined by the scaling (1.1) is less
\[
\nu^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} E[\sigma(Z(0))\sigma(Z(x))]R(x)dx.
\]

1.2. Context. The linear version of (1.1) was studied in [11, 17]: for small \( \beta \) and the equation
\[
\partial_t u = \Delta u + \beta u \bar{W}_\varphi(t, x),
\]
results similar to Theorem 1.1 and 1.2 were proved: (i) the pointwise distribution of \( u(t, x) \) converges as \( t \to \infty \); (ii) as a random Schwartz distribution, \( \varepsilon^{1/2} \| u_{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot) - 1 \| \)
converges to the Gaussian field given by the solution to the Edwards-Wilkinson equation. Through a Hopf-Cole transformation \( h = \log u \), a KPZ-type equation
\[
\partial_t h = \Delta h + |\nabla h|^2 + \beta \bar{W}_\varphi(t, x)
\]
was also studied, and the same Edwards-Wilkinson limit was established in [8, 16].
Similar results were proved in [2, 3, 4, 10] when \( d = 2 \), where the coupling constant \( \beta \) is tuned logarithmically in \( \varepsilon \). The studies of the nonlinear equation (1.5) in [2, 3, 4, 8, 10, 16] all rely on the Hopf-Cole transformation and the fact that the solution to the linear equation (1.4) can be written explicitly by the Feynman-Kac formula or the Wiener chaos expansion. In light of the Haire-Quastel universality result in the subcritical setting [12], it is very natural to ask, in the present critical setting, if we can study a more general type of Hamilton-Jacobi equation
\[
\partial_t h = \Delta h + H(\nabla h) + \beta \bar{W}_\varphi(t, x),
\]
where the Hamiltonian \( H \) is not necessarily quadratic, and prove a similar result of convergence to the Edwards-Wilkinson equation, for small \( \beta \). The only result in this direction that we are aware of is a two-dimensional anisotropic KPZ equation studied in [1], where the authors considered the nonlinearity \( H(\nabla h) = (\partial_{x_1} h)^2 - (\partial_{x_2} h)^2 \)
and proved the existence of subsequential limits of the solutions started from an invariant measure.

In this short note, we study (1.1), which to some extent sits between the linear equation (1.4) and the nonlinear equation (1.6). The nonlinearity \( \sigma(u) \) excludes the use of the Feynman-Kac formula or the Wiener chaos expansion as in the case of (1.4), so the approaches in [11, 17] do not apply. On the other hand, (1.1) is less nonlinear compared to (1.6), and we are able to make a substantial use of the mild formulation (1.2).

Part of our approach is inspired by another line of work, where similar results were proved for the spatial averages of \( u(t, \cdot) \) [13, 14, 19]. For a large class of equations and noises, which in particular covers (1.1), central limit theorems were proved for the random variables
\[
\varepsilon^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u(t, \xi) - 1]g(x)dx.
\]
The fact that we consider the scaling \( (t, x) \to (\frac{t}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \) here requires a good understanding of the local statistics of \( u(t, x) \) as \( t \to \infty \), which is provided by Theorem 1.1 and appears in the expression of the effective variance (1.3) as well. For the linear stochastic heat equation (1.4) in \( d \geq 3 \) with \( \beta \ll 1 \), the convergence to the stationary solution was shown in [9, 15], based on the Feynman-Kac formula. For semilinear equations, the existence of stationary solutions/invariant measures was proved e.g.
in the early work [7, 20], but the convergence to the invariant measure as stated in Theorem 1.1 seems to be unknown.

**Organization of the paper.** In Section 2, we introduce the basic tools of analysis on Gaussian space and prove some estimates on the solution $u$ as well as its Malliavin derivative that are used in the sequel. The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are in Sections 3 and 4 respectively.

**Notations.** We use the following notations and conventions throughout this paper.

(i) We use $a \leq b$ to denote $a \leq Cb$ for some constant $C$ that is independent of $\varepsilon$.

(ii) $\sigma(\cdot)$ is a global Lipschitz function satisfying $|\sigma(x) - \sigma(y)| \leq \sigma_{Lip}|x-y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and we have $|\sigma(x)| \leq 1 + |x|$.

(iii) $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm of the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ where the spacetime white noise $\dot{W}$ is built on.

(iv) $p(t,x) = (4\pi t)^{-d/2}e^{-|x|^2/4t}$ is the heat kernel of $\partial_t - \Delta$.

(v) The Fourier transform of $f$ is denoted by $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)e^{-i\xi \cdot x}dx$.
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2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we consider the centered Gaussian noise $\dot{W}_\phi(t,x)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 3$, whose covariance is given by

$$E[\dot{W}_\phi(t,x)\dot{W}_\phi(s,y)] = \delta_0(t-s)R(x-y),$$

where the spatial covariance function $R$ is assumed to be smooth and has a compact support. One may associate an isonormal Gaussian process to this noise. Consider a stochastic process

$$\left\{ W_\phi(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(s,x)\dot{W}_\phi(s,x)dxds, \quad h \in C_c(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}$$

defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ satisfying

$$E[W_\phi(h)W_\phi(g)] = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(s,x)g(s,y)R(x-y)dx dy ds.$$

As $R$ is positive definite, the above integral defines an inner product, which we denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$, so that $E[W_\phi(h)W_\phi(g)] = (h,g)_H$ for all $h, g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Complete the space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to this inner product, and denote the completion by $\mathcal{H}$, and thus we obtain an isonormal Gaussian process $\{W_\phi(h), h \in \mathcal{H}\}$. Consider the $\sigma$-algebra defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_t^0 := \sigma\{W_\phi(1_{[0,t]})(\cdot), 1_A(\cdot) : 0 \leq s \leq t, A \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)\},$$

where $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the bounded Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ and let $\mathcal{F}_t$ denote the completion of $\mathcal{F}_t^0$ with respect to the measure $\mathbb{P}$. Denote $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$, which is the natural filtration generated by $\dot{W}$, and then for all $\mathcal{F}$-adapted, jointly measurable random field $(\Phi(t,x) : (t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$E[\|\Phi\|_H^2] = E\left[\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(t,x)\Phi(t,y)R(x-y)dx dy dt\right] < \infty,$$

the stochastic integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(t,x)dW_\phi(t,x)$$
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is well-defined in the Itô-Walsh sense, and the Itô isometry holds:

\[(2.1)\quad \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Phi(t, x) dW_\phi(t, x) \right|^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} [\|\Phi\|^2_H].\]

Throughout the paper, we will not distinguish the following two expressions:

\[\int \Phi(t, x) W_\phi(t, x) dx dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int \Phi(t, x) dW_\phi(t, x).\]

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we also need to adopt methods from Malliavin calculus, so let us introduce a differential structure on the infinite-dimensional space in the manner of Malliavin. We shall follow the notations from [18]. Let \(S\) be the space of random variables of the form \(F = f(W_\phi(h_1), \ldots, W_\phi(h_n))\), where \(f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\) with all derivatives having at most polynomial growth. Its Malliavin derivative is an \(\mathcal{H}\)-valued random variable given by

\[DF = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i f(W_\phi(h_1), \ldots, W_\phi(h_n)) h_i,\]

where \(\partial_i f\) denotes the partial derivative of \(f\) with respect to the \(i\)-th variable. By induction, one may define the higher-order derivative \(D^l F, l = 1, 2, \ldots\), which is an \(\mathcal{H}^\otimes_l\)-valued random variable. Then the Sobolev norm \(\|\cdot\|_{r,p}\) of such an \(F\) is defined as

\[\|F\|_{r,p} = \left( \mathbb{E} [|F|^p] + \sum_{l=1}^r \mathbb{E} [\|D^l F\|_{\mathcal{H}^\otimes_l}^p] \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\]

Complete \(S\) with respect to this Sobolev norm and denote the completion by \(\mathbb{D}^{r,p}\).

Let \(\delta\) be the divergence operator, which is the adjoint operator of the differential operator \(D\). For each \(v \in \text{Dom}\delta\), define \(\delta(v)\) to be the unique element in \(L^2(\Omega)\) such that

\[(2.2)\quad \mathbb{E} [F \delta(v)] = \mathbb{E} [(DF, v)_\mathcal{H}], \quad \forall F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}.\]

For \(v \in \text{Dom}\delta\), \(\delta(v)\) is also called the Skorodhod integral of \(v\). In our case of \(v\) being adapted to the filtration \(\mathcal{F}_t\), it coincides with the Walsh integral, which is written as \(\delta(v) = \int v(t, x) dW_\phi(t, x)\). The Malliavin derivative \(D_{t,x} \delta(v) = D\delta(v)(t, x)\) is given by (see Proposition 3.1.8, Chapter 1, [18])

\[D_{t,x} \delta(v) = v(t, x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D_{t,x} v(s, y) dW_\phi(s, y).\]

Using the mild formulation (1.2) of the nonlinear stochastic heat equation, we may write

\[u(t, x) = 1 + \delta(v_{t,x}),\]

where

\[v_{t,x}(s, y) = \beta \mathbb{1}_{[0,\beta]}(s)p(t-s, x-y)\sigma(u(s, y)),\]

so the Malliavin derivative of the solution \(u\) is given by

\[D_{r,z} u(t, x) = \beta \mathbb{1}_{[0,\beta]}(r)p(t-r, x-z)\sigma(u(r, z)) + \beta \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\beta]}(s)p(t-s, x-y)\Sigma(s, y) D_{r,z} u(s, y) dW_\phi(s, y).\]

where \(\Sigma(s, y)\) is an adapted process, bounded by the Lipschitz constant \(\sigma_{\text{Lip}}\). If we further assume that \(\sigma(\cdot)\) is continuously differentiable, then \(\Sigma(s, y) = \sigma'(u(s, y))\).

We first prove the following moment estimates on \(u\).
Lemma 2.1. For any $p > 1$, there exists $\beta_0 = \beta_0(d, p, \phi, \sigma_{\text{Lip}})$ and $C = C(\beta_0, d, p, \phi, \sigma_{\text{Lip}})$ such that if $\beta < \beta_0$, we have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t, 0)\|_p \leq C.$$ 

Proof. By the mild formulation,

$$u(t, 0) = 1 + \beta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, y) \sigma(u(s, y)) dW_\phi(s, y).$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, by the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\|u(t, 0)\|_{2n}^2 \leq 1 + \beta^{2n} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, y_1)p(t - s, y_2) \sigma(u(s, y_1)) \sigma(u(s, y_2)) R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds \right)^n$$

$$\leq 1 + \beta^{2n} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, y_1)p(t - s, y_2) \|\sigma(u(s, y_1)) \sigma(u(s, y_2))\|_n R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds \right)^n.$$ 

Applying Hölder’s inequality and using the stationarity of $u(s, \cdot)$, we further obtain

$$\|\sigma(u(s, y_1)) \sigma(u(s, y_2))\|_n \leq \|\sigma(u(s, 0))\|_{2n}^2 \leq 1 + \|u(s, 0)\|_{2n}^2.$$ 

Thus, if we define $f(t) = \|u(t, 0)\|_{2n}^2$, then

$$f(t) \leq 1 + \beta^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, y_1)p(t - s, y_2)(1 + f(s)) R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds.$$ 

For the integration in $y_1, y_2$, we use the elementary inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, y_1)p(t - s, y_2) R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 \leq 1 \wedge (t - s)^{-d/2},$$

which yields the integral inequality for $f$: there exists $C > 0$ independent of $t$ such that

$$f(t) \leq C + C\beta^2 \int_0^t [1 \wedge (t - s)^{-d/2}] f(s) ds.$$ 

As the kernel $1 \wedge s^{-d/2}$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ in $d \geq 3$, we choose $\beta$ small so that

$$C\beta^2 \int_0^\infty [1 \wedge s^{-d/2}] ds < 1$$

and a direct iteration of (2.3) shows that $\sup_{t \geq 0} f(t) \leq 1$, which completes the proof. \hfill \Box

Next, we establish an upper bound of $\|D_{r,z}u(t, x)\|_p$ which will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. For all $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $p \geq 2$, there exists some constant $C = C(\beta, d, p, \phi, \sigma_{\text{Lip}})$ such that

$$\|D_{r,z}u(t, x)\|_p \leq C p(t - r, x - z), \quad \text{for all } (r, z) \in (0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$ 

Proof. The proof follows from [6, Lemma 3.11], with slight modifications. Let $S_{r,z}(t, x)$ be the solution to

$$S_{r,z}(t, x) = \beta p(t - r, x - z) + \beta \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, x - y) \Sigma(s, y) S_{r,z}(s, y) dW_\phi(s, y),$$

then due to the uniqueness of the solution to the above equation,

$$D_{r,z}u(t, x) = S_{r,z}(t, x) \sigma(u(r, z)).$$
By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality,

\[
\|S_{r,z}(t,x)\|^2 \leq \beta^2 p(t-r,x-z)^2 + \beta^2 \int_r^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s,x-y_1)p(t-s,x-y_2) ds.
\]

Notice that \(S_{r,z}(\theta + r, \eta + z)\) is independent of \((r, z)\), so we may denote

\[
g(\theta, \eta) = \|S_{r,z}(\theta + r, \eta + z)\|_p,
\]

and thus

\[
g(\theta, \eta)^2 \leq C \beta^2 p(\theta, \eta)^2 + C \beta^2 \int_0^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(\theta-s, \eta-y_1)p(\theta-s, \eta-y_2) ds.
\]

Then according to Lemma 2.7 in [6], we may conclude that

\[
g(\theta, \eta) \leq \sqrt{C \beta^2 p(\theta, \eta)} H(\theta, 2C \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\]

and \(H\) is defined as

\[
H(t, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \lambda^n h_n(t),
\]

where \(h_0(t) = 1\) and

\[
h_n(t) = \int_0^t h_{n-1}(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s, z) R(z) ds dz,
\]

for all \(t\),

\[
|h_1(t)| \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(s, z) R(z) ds dz = C_R,
\]

and thus for all \(n \geq 1\) and \(t \geq 0\),

\[
|h_n(t)| \leq C_R^n.
\]

Therefore, for sufficiently small \(\beta\) we have \(H(\theta, 2C \beta^2) \leq 1\) uniformly in \(\theta\), and hence, it follows that for all \(p > 1\),

\[
\|D_{r,z} u(t, x)\|_p \leq \|S_{r,z}(t, x)\|_p \|\sigma(u(r, z))\|_p \leq C p(t-r, x-z),
\]

where in the last step we used the fact that \(|\sigma(x)| \leq 1 + |x|\) and Lemma 2.1. \(\Box\)

In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need the following result which is also used in [13]:

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \(X\) be a random variable such that \(X = \delta(v)\) for \(v \in \text{Dom} \delta\). Assume \(X \in D^{1,2}\). Let \(Z\) be a centered Gaussian random variable with variance \(\Sigma\). For any \(C^2\)-function \(h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) with bounded second order derivative, then

\[
\|Eh(X) - Eh(Z)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|h''\| \sqrt{\mathbb{E} \left[ (\Sigma - \langle DX, v⟩) h'' \right]^2}.
\]

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove the convergence of \(u(t, .)\) to the stationary distribution, we use a rather standard approach: instead of sending \(t \to \infty\) and showing \(u(t, .)\) converges weakly, we initiate the equation at \(t = -K\) and consider the solution at \(t = 0\), then send \(K \to \infty\) to prove the strong convergence.

More precisely, for \(K > 0\), we consider a family of equations indexed by \(K\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t u_K &= \Delta u_K + \beta \sigma(u_K) \tilde{W}_c(t, x), & t > -K, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \\
u_K(-K, x) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)
By the stationarity of the noise $\dot{W}_\phi$, we know that for all $K > 0$, $u(K, \cdot)$ and $u_K(0, \cdot)$ as random variables taking values in $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$, have the same law. Then the problem reduces to proving the weak convergence of $C(\mathbb{R})$-valued random variables $u_K(0, \cdot)$.

The following two propositions combine to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 3.1.** For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, \( \{u_K(0,x)\}_{K \geq 0} \) is a Cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$.

**Proposition 3.2.** The sequence of $C(\mathbb{R})$-valued random variables $\{u(t, \cdot)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is tight.

Since $u_K(0,x)$ is a stationary process in $x$, to show $\{u_K(0,x)\}_{K \geq 0}$ is Cauchy in $L^2(\Omega)$, we only need to consider $x = 0$. We write (3.1) in the mild formulation:

\[
    u_K(t,x) = 1 + \beta \int_{-K}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(u_K(s,y))dW_\phi(s,y), \quad t > -K, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Therefore, for any $K_1 > K_2 \geq 0$, and $t > -K_2$, we can write the difference as

\[
    u_K(t,0) - u_{K_2}(t,0) = \beta[I_{K_1,K_2}(t) + J_{K_1,K_2}(t)],
\]

with

\[
    I_{K_1,K_2}(t) = \int_{-K_2}^{-K_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s,x-y)\sigma(u_K(s,y))dW_\phi(s,y),
\]

\[
    J_{K_1,K_2}(t) = \int_{-K_2}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s,x-y)[\sigma(u_K(s,y)) - \sigma(u_{K_2}(s,y))]dW_\phi(s,y).
\]

For $t > -K_2 > -K_1$, define

\[
    \alpha_{K_1,K_2}(t) = \int_{-K_2}^{t+K_1} (1 \wedge s^{-d/2})ds.
\]

and

\[
    \gamma_{K_1,K_2}(t) = \mathbb{E}[|u_K(t,0) - u_{K_2}(t,0)|^2].
\]

We have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3.** For any $t > -K_2$, \( \mathbb{E}[I_{K_1,K_2}(t)^2] \leq \alpha_{K_1,K_2}(t) \).

**Lemma 3.4.** \( \mathbb{E}[J_{K_1,K_2}(t)^2] \leq \int_{-K_2}^{t} [1 \wedge (t-s)^{-d/2}] \gamma_{K_1,K_2}(s)ds \)

**Proof of Lemma 3.3.** By Itô’s isometry and the fact that $|\sigma(x)| \leq 1 + |x|$, we have

\[
    \mathbb{E}[I_{K_1,K_2}(t)^2] \leq \int_{-K_2}^{-K_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s,y_1)p(t-s,y_2)
    \cdot \mathbb{E}[(1 + u_K(s,y_1))(1 + u_K(s,y_2))]R(y_1-y_2)dy_1dy_2ds.
\]

Further applying Lemma 2.1 yields

\[
    \mathbb{E}[I_{K_1,K_2}(t)^2] \leq \int_{-K_2}^{-K_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s,y_1)p(t-s,y_2)R(y_1-y_2)dy_1dy_2ds
    \leq \int_{-K_1}^{-K_2} [1 \wedge (t-s)^{-d/2}]ds = \alpha_{K_1,K_2}(t).
\]

**Proof of Lemma 3.4.** By Itô’s isometry, the Liipchitz property of $\sigma$, and the stationarity of $u_K(s, \cdot)$, we have

\[
    \mathbb{E}[J_{K_1,K_2}(t)^2] \leq \int_{-K_2}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t-s,y_1)p(t-s,y_2)
    \cdot \mathbb{E}[|u_K(s,0) - u_{K_2}(s,0)|^2]R(y_1-y_2)dy_1dy_2ds.
\]
After an integration in $y_1, y_2$, the right-hand side of the above inequality can be bounded by
\[
\int_{K_2}^t [1 \wedge (t - s)^{-d/2}] \mathbb{E}[(u_{K_1}(s, 0) - u_{K_2}(s, 0))^2] \mathrm{d}s,
\]
which completes the proof. \(\square\)

Combining the above two lemmas with (3.3), we have the integral inequality (3.6)
\[
\gamma_{K_1, K_2}(t) = \beta^2 \mathbb{E}[(I_{K_1, K_2}(t) + J_{K_1, K_2}(t))^2] \leq C \beta^2 \alpha_{K_1, K_2}(t) + C \beta^2 \int_{K_2}^t [1 \wedge (t - s)^{-d/2}] \gamma_{K_1, K_2}(s) \mathrm{d}s,
\]
where \(C > 0\) is a constant independent of \(t, K_1, K_2\). The following lemma completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.

**Lemma 3.5.** For fixed \(t > -K_2\), \(\gamma_{K_1, K_2}(t) \to 0\) as \(K_2 \to \infty\).

**Proof.** To ease the notation in the proof, we simply write (3.6) as
\[
\gamma(t) \leq C \beta^2 \alpha(t) + C \beta^2 \int_{K_2}^t k(t - s) \gamma(s) \mathrm{d}s,
\]
where we omitted the dependence on \(K_1, K_2\) and denote \(k(s) = 1 \wedge s^{-d/2}\).

Before going to the iteration, we claim the following inequality holds:

\[
(3.8) \quad \int_{K_2}^t k(t - s)[1 \wedge (s + K_2)^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \mathrm{d}s \leq 1 \wedge (t + K_2)^{-\frac{d}{2}}, \quad \text{for } t \geq -K_2.
\]

By a change of variable, we have
\[
\int_{K_2}^t k(t - s)[1 \wedge (s + K_2)^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \mathrm{d}s = \int_{0}^{t+K_2} [1 \wedge (t + K_2 - s)^{-\frac{d}{2}}][1 \wedge s^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \mathrm{d}s.
\]
First note that the integral is bounded uniformly in \(t + K_2\), then we decompose the integration domain:
\[
\int_{0}^{t+K_2} [1 \wedge (t + K_2 - s)^{-\frac{d}{2}}][1 \wedge s^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \mathrm{d}s \leq \int_{0}^{t+K_2} [1 \wedge (t + K_2 - s)^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \mathrm{d}s \leq (t + K_2)^{-\frac{d}{2}},
\]
and
\[
\int_{t+K_2}^{t+K_2} [1 \wedge (t + K_2 - s)^{-\frac{d}{2}}][1 \wedge s^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \mathrm{d}s \leq (t + K_2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{t+K_2}^{t+K_2} [1 \wedge (t + K_2 - s)^{-\frac{d}{2}}] \mathrm{d}s \leq (t + K_2)^{-\frac{d}{2}},
\]
which proves (3.8).

Now we iterate (3.7) to obtain \(\gamma(t) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(t)\) with
\[
\gamma_0(t) = C \beta^2 \alpha(t)
\]
and
\[
\gamma_n(t) = (C \beta^2)^{n+1} \int_{K_2 < s_n < \ldots < s_1 < t} k(s_j - s_{j+1}) \alpha(s_j) \mathrm{d}s_n \ldots \mathrm{d}s_1
\]
where we used the convention \(s_0 = t\). From the explicit expression of \(\alpha\) in (3.4), we know that there exists \(C > 0\) such that
\[
\alpha(s) \leq C [1 \wedge (s + K_2)^{-\frac{d}{2}}], \quad \text{for } s > -K_2.
\]
Proof of Proposition 3.2. The tightness of \( \{u(t, \cdot)\}_{t \geq 0} \) in \( C(\mathbb{R}^d) \) follows from

(i) \( \{u(t, 0)\}_{t \geq 0} \) is tight in \( \mathbb{R} \);

(ii) For any \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( |x_1 - x_2| \leq 1 \)

\[
E[|u(t, x_1) - u(t, x_2)|^{2n}] \leq C|x_1 - x_2|^{2\delta n}.
\]

The tightness of \( \{u(t, 0)\}_{t \geq 0} \) comes from the bound \( \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t, 0)\|_p \leq C \) given by Lemma 2.1. To prove (3.9), we write

\[
u(t, x_1) - u(t, x_2) = \beta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s,y) \sigma(u(s,y)) dW_\phi(s,y).
\]

with

\[
G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s,y) = p(t-s, x_1-y) - p(t-s, x_2-y).
\]

Follow the same argument in Lemma 2.1, we have

\[
E[|u(t, x_1) - u(t, x_2)|^{2n}]
\]

\[
\leq E \left[ \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_1)G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_2) \sigma(u(s, y_1)) \sigma(u(s, y_2)) R(y_1-y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds \right)^n \right]
\]

\[
\leq \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_1)G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_2) \| \sigma(u(s, y_1)) \|_p R(y_1-y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds \right)^n
\]

\[
\leq \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_1)G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_2) R(y_1-y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds \right)^n.
\]

By [5, Lemma 3.1], for any \( \delta \in (0, 1) \), there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that

\[
G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y) \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{d}{2}} [p(2(t-s), x_1-y) + p(2(t-s), x_2-y)] |x_1 - x_2|^{\delta}.
\]

Thus we can bound the integral as

\[
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_1)G_{x_1, x_2}(t-s, y_2) R(y_1-y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds
\]

\[
\leq |x_1 - x_2|^{2\delta} \sum_{i,j=1,2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (t-s)^{-\delta} p(2(t-s), x_i - y_1) p(2(t-s), x_j - y_2) R(y_1-y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\]

For any \( i, j \), we write the integral in Fourier domain to derive

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(2(t-s), x_i - y_1) p(2(t-s), x_j - y_2) R(y_1-y_2) dy_1 dy_2
\]

\[
= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot (t-s)} \hat{R}(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot (x_i-x_j)} d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot (t-s)} \hat{R}(\xi) d\xi.
\]

Another integration in \( s \) leads to

\[
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (t-s)^{-\delta} e^{-i\xi \cdot (t-s)} \hat{R}(\xi) d\xi ds \leq \int_0^\infty s^{-\delta} e^{-4s} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi|^{2\delta-2} \hat{R}(\xi) d\xi < \infty,
\]

Now we apply (3.8) to derive that (with a possibly different constant \( C > 0 \))

\[
\gamma_n(t) \leq (C\beta^2)^{n+1}[1 \wedge (t + K_2)^{-\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)}].
\]

Choose \( C\beta^2 < 1 \) and sum over \( n \), we know that

\[
\gamma(t) \leq 1 \wedge (t + K_2)^{-\left(\frac{d}{2}+1\right)} \to 0
\]

as \( K_2 \to \infty \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

Remark 3.6. It is clear from the proof that the assumption of the constant initial data \( u(0, x) \equiv u_K(-K, x) \equiv 1 \) can be relaxed. A similar proof should work for more general initial conditions which we do not pursue here.
which implies

$$\mathbb{E}[|u(t, x_1) - u(t, x_2)|^2n] \leq C|x_1 - x_2|^{2n}$$

and completes the proof. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Recall that the goal is to show that for $g \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u_\varepsilon(t, x) - 1) g(x) dx \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U(t, x) g(x) dx,$$

where $U$ solves

$$\partial_t U = \Delta U + \beta \nu_\sigma \dot{W}(t, x).$$

Before going to the proof, we first give some heuristics which leads to the above equation and the expression of the effective variance

$$\nu_\sigma^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}[\sigma(Z(0)) \sigma(Z(x))] R(x) dx.$$  \hfill (4.2)

By the equation satisfied by $u$, we know that the diffusively rescaled solution satisfies

$$\partial_t u_\varepsilon = \Delta u_\varepsilon + \beta \varepsilon^{-2} \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \dot{W}_\phi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}).$$

Since $\dot{W}_\phi(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \phi(x - y) \dot{W}(t, y) dy$, using the scaling property of $\dot{W}$, we have

$$\varepsilon^{-2} \dot{W}_\phi(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \overset{law}{\approx} \varepsilon^{-1} \dot{W}_\phi'(t, x)$$

as random processes, with $\dot{W}_\phi(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-2-d} \phi(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon)$ and

$$\dot{W}_\phi'(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \dot{\phi}_c(x - y) \dot{W}(t, y) dy.$$

Then the rescaled fluctuations has the same law as the solution to

$$\partial_t \left( \frac{u_\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon^{2-1}} \right) = \Delta \left( \frac{u_\varepsilon - 1}{\varepsilon^{2-1}} \right) + \beta \sigma(u_\varepsilon(t, x)) \dot{W}_\phi'(t, x).$$  \hfill (4.3)

By Theorem 1.1, for fixed $t > 0$, $\sigma(u_\varepsilon(t, x)) = \sigma(u(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}))$ has the same local statistical behavior as $\sigma(Z(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}))$ when $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Since the product between $\varepsilon$ and $\dot{W}_\phi'$ is in the Itô's sense, roughly speaking, these two terms are independent. The fact that $u(t, \cdot) \approx Z(\cdot)$ in law for microscopically large $t$ induces a “renewal” mechanism which leads to a $\delta$-correlation in time of the driving force $\sigma(u_\varepsilon(t, x)) \dot{W}_\phi'(t, x)$ after passing to the limit. While the spatial covariance function of $\dot{W}_\phi'$ is $\varepsilon^{-d} R(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, the overall spatial covariance function is

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma(u_\varepsilon(t, x)) \dot{W}_\phi'(t, x) \sigma(u_\varepsilon(t, y)) \dot{W}_\phi'(t, y)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\sigma(Z(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \dot{W}_\phi'(t, x) \sigma(Z(\frac{y}{\varepsilon})) \dot{W}_\phi'(t, y)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\sigma(Z(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})) \sigma(Z(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}))] \mathbb{E}[\dot{W}_\phi'(t, x) \dot{W}_\phi'(t, y)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\sigma(Z(0)) \sigma(Z(\frac{x - y}{\varepsilon}))] \cdot \varepsilon^{-d} R(\frac{x - y}{\varepsilon}).$$

After integrating the variable “$x - y$”, we derive the effective variance in (4.2).

For $t > 0$ fixed, by the mild solution formulation

$$u_\varepsilon(t, x) = u(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}) = 1 + \beta \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \theta \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y \right) \sigma(u(s, y)) d\dot{W}_\phi(s, y).$$
As we may write
\[
X_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (v_\varepsilon(t,x) - 1) g(x) dx
\]
(4.4)
\[
= \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y \right) \sigma(u(s,y)) dW_\phi(s,y) \right) g(x) dx
= \delta(v_\varepsilon),
\]
where we recall that \( \delta(\cdot) \) is the divergence operator defined in (2.2) and
\[
v_\varepsilon(s,y) = \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^{d-1}} \chi_{[0,\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}]}(s) \sigma(u(s,y)) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y \right) g(x) dx.
\]
As \( \mathcal{U} \) solves the equation \( \partial_t \mathcal{U} = \Delta \mathcal{U} + \beta v_\varepsilon \tilde{W}(t,x) \), we have \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{U}(t,x) g(x) dx \) is of Gaussian distribution with zero mean and variance
\[
\Sigma_\varepsilon := \text{Var} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{U}(t,x) g(x) dx \right] = \beta^2 v_\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(2(t-s),x_1-x_2) g(x_1) g(x_2) dx_1 dx_2.
\]
Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.2 reduces to showing that
\[
X_\varepsilon = \delta(v_\varepsilon) \Rightarrow N(0,\Sigma_\varepsilon), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.
\]
By Proposition 2.3, we only need to show
(4.5)
\[
\mathbb{E}[|\Sigma_\varepsilon - \langle DX_\varepsilon,v_\varepsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2] \to 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.
\]
From (4.4), the Malliavin derivative of \( X_\varepsilon \) satisfies
\[
D_{s,y} X_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon(s,y) + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_s^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y \right) D_{s,y} \sigma(u(r,z)) dW_\phi(r,z) \right) g(x) dx
= v_\varepsilon(s,y) + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_s^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x}{\varepsilon} - y \right) \Sigma(r,z) D_{s,y} u(r,z) dW_\phi(r,z) \right) g(x) dx,
\]
and \( \Sigma(r,z) \) as a random variable is bounded by the Lipschitz constant \( \sigma_{\text{Lip}} \). Recall that
\[
\langle h, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1+2d}} h(s,x) g(s,y) R(x-y) dx dy ds
\]
for all \( h,g \in \mathcal{H} \), we have
\[
\langle DX_\varepsilon,v_\varepsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\beta^2}{\varepsilon^{d-2}} (A_{1,\varepsilon} + A_{2,\varepsilon}),
\]
where
(4.6)
\[
A_{1,\varepsilon} = \int_0^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - y \right) g(x_1) dx_1 \right) \sigma(u(s,y_1)) \sigma(u(s,y_2)) R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds
\]
and
(4.7)
\[
A_{2,\varepsilon} = \int_0^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - y \right) g(x_1) dx_1 \right) \Sigma(r,z) D_{s,y_1} u(r,z) dW_\phi(r,z)
\]
\[
\cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p \left( \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2} - s, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} - y_2 \right) g(x_2) dx_2 \right) \sigma(u(s,y_2)) R(y_1 - y_2) dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\]
We also notice that
\[
\mathbb{E}[|\Sigma_\varepsilon - \langle DX_\varepsilon,v_\varepsilon \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|^2] = \mathbb{E}[|\Sigma_\varepsilon - \beta^2 \varepsilon^{2-d} (A_{1,\varepsilon} + A_{2,\varepsilon})|^2]
\leq 2 \|\Sigma_\varepsilon - \beta^2 \varepsilon^{2-d} A_{1,\varepsilon} \|^2 + 2 \beta^4 \varepsilon^{4-2d} \|A_{2,\varepsilon}\|^2.
so to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, it remains to show the right-hand side of the above inequality goes to zero as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

**Lemma 4.1.** As $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\|\Sigma_y - \beta^2 \varepsilon^{2-d} A_{1,\varepsilon}\|_2 \to 0$.

**Lemma 4.2.** As $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\varepsilon^{2-d}\| A_{2,\varepsilon}\|_2 \to 0$.

In the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and 4.2, we will use the notation

$$g_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t, x - y) g(y) dy, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

so

$$|g_t(x)| \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g_t(x)| dx \leq \|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

for all $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Without loss of generality, we assume the function $g$ is non-negative when we estimate integrals involving $g$.

**Proof of Lemma 4.1.** We first simplify the expression of $A_{1,\varepsilon}$ defined in (4.6). After the change of variables $y_1 \mapsto y_1/\varepsilon, y_2 \mapsto y_2/\varepsilon, s \mapsto s/\varepsilon^2$ and using the scaling property of the heat kernel, we have

$$(4.8) \quad A_{1,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, x_1 - y_1) g(x_1) dx_1 \right)$$

$$\cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, x_2 - y_2) g(x_2) dx_2 \right) \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) R \left( \frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon} \right) dy_1 dy_2 ds.$$

Further change $\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon} \mapsto z$ and $y_2 \mapsto y$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{-d} A_{1,\varepsilon} = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} g_{t-s}(y + z) g_{t-s}(y) \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y}{\varepsilon} + z \right) \right) \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{z}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) R(z) dz dy dz,$$

where we recall that $g_{t-s}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(t - s, y - z) g(z) dz$. The proof is then divided into two steps:

(i) $\beta^2 \varepsilon^{-d} \mathbb{E}[A_{1,\varepsilon}] \to \Sigma_y$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

(ii) $\varepsilon^{-2d} \text{Var}[A_{1,\varepsilon}] \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

To prove (i), it suffices to note that $u(s/\varepsilon^2, x)$ is stationary in $x$-variable, so

$$\beta^2 \varepsilon^{-d} \mathbb{E}[A_{1,\varepsilon}] = \beta^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} g_{t-s}(y + \varepsilon z) g_{t-s}(y) \mathbb{E} \left[ \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, z \right) \right) \right] \mathbb{E} \left[ \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, 0 \right) \right) \right] R(z) dz dy dz,$$

By Theorem 1.1, we know that for $s > 0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the random vector

$$(u(s/\varepsilon^2, z), u(s/\varepsilon^2, 0)) \Rightarrow (Z(z), Z(0))$$

in distribution as $\varepsilon \to 0$. By the fact that $\sigma$ is Lipschitz and applying Lemma 2.1, we have the uniform integrability to pass to the limit and conclude that

$$\beta^2 \varepsilon^{-d} \mathbb{E}[A_{1,\varepsilon}] \to \beta^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} g_{t-s}(y)^2 \mathbb{E}[\sigma(Z(z)) \sigma(Z(0))] R(z) dz dy dz = \Sigma_y.$$

To prove (ii), we first use (4.8) to write

$$(4.9) \quad \varepsilon^{-2d} \text{Var}[A_{1,\varepsilon}] = \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} g_{t-s}(y_1) g_{t-s}(y_2) g_{t-s}(y'_1) g_{t-s}(y'_2)$$

$$\cdot \text{Cov}[\Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2), \Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y'_1, y'_2)] R \left( \frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon} \right) R \left( \frac{y'_1 - y'_2}{\varepsilon} \right) dy_1 dy_2 dy'_1 dy'_2 ds,$$

where

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon(s, y_1, y_2) = \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \sigma \left( u \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right).$$
Applying Lemma 2.1, 2.2, and using the fact that
\[ C_\varepsilon \]
we deduce that
\[ \text{Cov}[\Lambda_\varepsilon(s,y_1,y_2),\Lambda_\varepsilon(s,y'_1,y'_2)] = \int_0^infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \text{E}[D_{r,z}\Lambda_\varepsilon(s,y_1,y_2)D_{r,z}\Lambda_\varepsilon(s,y_1,y_2)|\mathcal{F}_r] dW_\varepsilon(r,z). \]

By the Chain Rule, we have
\[ D_{r,z}\Lambda_\varepsilon(s,y_1,y_2) = \sum \left( \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2} - r, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} - z_1 \right) + \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2} - r, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon} - z_1 \right) \right) \]
\[ + \sum \left( \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2} - r, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon} - z_2 \right) + \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2} - r, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} - z_2 \right) \right) R(z_1 - z_2) dz_1 dz_2 dr. \]

Applying Lemma 2.1, 2.2, and using the fact that \( \Sigma \) is uniformly bounded, we derive that
\[ \| \text{E}[D_{r,z}\Lambda_\varepsilon(s,y_1,y_2)|\mathcal{F}_r] \|_2 \leq \| D_{r,z}\Lambda_\varepsilon(s,y_1,y_2) \|_2 \]
\[ \leq p \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2} - r, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon} - z_1 \right) + p \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2} - r, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon} - z_1 \right) \]
\[ \leq \sum_{i,j=1,2} F \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_i - y'_j}{\varepsilon} \right), \]
where
\[ F \left( \frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_i - y'_j}{\varepsilon} \right) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} P(r - \frac{y_i - y'_j}{\varepsilon} - z_1) p(r,z_2) R(z_1 - z_2) dz_1 dz_2 dr \]
\[ \leq \hat{F} \left( \frac{y_i - y'_j}{\varepsilon} \right), \]
with
\[ \hat{F}(x) := \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(r,x-z_1) p(r,z_2) R(z_1 - z_2) dz_1 dz_2 dr \leq 1 \wedge |x|^{2-d}. \]

Going back to (4.9), it suffices to estimate the integral
\[ \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} g_{t-s}(y_1)g_{t-s}(y_2)g_{t-s}(y'_1)g_{t-s}(y'_2) \]
\[ \cdot \hat{F} \left( \frac{y_i - y'_j}{\varepsilon} \right) R \left( \frac{y_i - y_2}{\varepsilon} \right) R \left( \frac{y'_i - y'_j}{\varepsilon} \right) dy_1 dy_2 dy'_1 dy'_2 ds \]
for \( i, j = 1, 2 \) and show it vanishes as \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). By symmetry, we only need to consider the case \( i = j = 1 \). After a change of variables \( y_1 \mapsto y_2 + \varepsilon y_1, y'_1 \mapsto y'_2 + \varepsilon y'_1 \) and use
the fact that $|g_{t-s}(\cdot)| \leq \|g\|_{\infty}$, the above expression is bounded by
\[
\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{t-s}(y_2) g_{t-s}(y_2') R(y_1) R(y_1') \tilde{F}\left(\frac{y_2 - y_2'}{\varepsilon} + y_1 - y_1'\right) dy_1 dy_2 dy_1' dy_2' ds \\
\leq e^{-\varepsilon^d} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{t-s}(y_2) g_{t-s}(y_2') R(y_1) R(y_1') |y_2 - y_2'|^{2-d} dy_1 dy_2 dy_1' dy_2' ds \leq e^{-\varepsilon^d/2}.
\]
The proof is complete. □

**Proof of Lemma 4.2.** Define
\[
(4.10) \quad \tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon}(s, x_1, y_1) := \int_s^{s+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} - s, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - y_1\right) \Sigma(r, z) D_s y_1 u(r, z) dW_r(r, z),
\]
and we can write
\[
\tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon} = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon}(s, x_1, y_1) p\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} - s, \frac{x_2}{\varepsilon} - y_2\right) \sigma(u(s, y_2)) \\
\cdot g(x_1) g(x_2) R(y_1 - y_2) dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\]

After the change of variable $s \mapsto s/\varepsilon^2$, $y_1 \mapsto y_1/\varepsilon$ and integrating in $x_2$, we have
\[
\tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon} = e^{-\varepsilon^d/2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon}(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, x_1, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon}) \sigma\left(u\left(\frac{s}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) g(x_1) g(-s(y_2)) \\
\cdot R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) dx_1 dy_1 dy_2 ds.
\]

Define
\[
B_{\varepsilon}(s, x_1, x_1', y_1, y_1', y_2, y_2') \\
= \mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon}\left(s, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1}{\varepsilon}\right) \tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon}\left(s, \frac{x_1'}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{y_1'}{\varepsilon}\right) \sigma\left(u\left(s, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \sigma\left(u\left(s, \frac{y_2'}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right],
\]
then by Minkowski inequality, we have
\[
(4.11) \quad \|A_{2,\varepsilon}\|_2 \leq e^{-\varepsilon^d/2} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} B_{\varepsilon}(s, x_1, x_1', y_1, y_1', y_2, y_2') g(x_1) g(-s(y_2)) g(-s(y_2')) \\
\cdot R\left(\frac{y_1 - y_2}{\varepsilon}\right) R\left(\frac{y_1' - y_2'}{\varepsilon}\right) dx_1 dx_2 dy_1 dy_2 dy_1' dy_2'\right)^{1/2} ds.
\]

Meanwhile, by the expression of $\tilde{A}_{2,\varepsilon}$ in (4.10) and Itô’s isometry, we have
\[
B_{\varepsilon}(s, x_1, x_1', y_1, y_1', y_2, y_2') \\
= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{E}\left[\Sigma(r, z_1) \Sigma(r, z_2) D_{\varepsilon, z_1} u(r, z_1) D_{\varepsilon, z_2} u(r, z_2) \sigma\left(u\left(s, \frac{y_2}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \sigma\left(u\left(s, \frac{y_2'}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)\right] \\
\cdot p\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} - r, \frac{x_1}{\varepsilon} - z_1\right) p\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon} - r, \frac{x_1'}{\varepsilon} - z_2\right) R(z_1 - z_2) d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 dr.
\]

Applying Lemma 2.1, 2.2, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and a change of variables,
\[
|B_{\varepsilon}(s, x_1, x_1', y_1, y_1', y_2, y_2')| \leq e^{-2\varepsilon^d} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(r - s, z_1 - y_1) p(r - s, z_2 - y_1') p(t - r, x_1 - z_1) \\
\cdot p(t - r, x_1' - z_2) R\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{\varepsilon}\right) d\sigma_1 d\sigma_2 dr.
\]
Substitute the above estimate into (4.11) and integrate in $x_1, x'_1$, we finally obtain
\[ \|A_{2,e}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon^{-3} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(r-s, z_1-y_1) p(r-s, z_2-y_1') g_{t-s}(z_1) g_{t-s}(z_2) g_{t-s}(y_2) \right. \]
\[ \left. \cdot R\left( \frac{y_1-y_2}{\varepsilon} \right) R\left( \frac{y_1'-y_2'}{\varepsilon} \right) R\left( \frac{z_1-z_2}{\varepsilon} \right) dy_1 dy_2 dy_1' dy_2' dz_1 dz_2 d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds. \]

For the integral on the right-hand side of the above inequality, we compute the integral in $y_1, y_2$ explicitly:
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(r-s, z_1-y_1) g_{t-s}(y_2) R\left( \frac{y_1-y_2}{\varepsilon} \right) dy_1 dy_2 = \varepsilon^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} g_{t+s-2s}(z_1-\varepsilon y_1) R(y_1) dy_1 \leq \varepsilon^d. \]

Similarly, the integral in $y_1', y_2'$ is also bounded by
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p(r-s, z_2-y_1') g_{t-s}(y_2') R\left( \frac{y_1'-y_2'}{\varepsilon} \right) dy_1' dy_2' \leq \varepsilon^d. \]

Thus,
\[ \|A_{2,e}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon^{-3} \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_{t-s}(z_1) g_{t-s}(z_2) R\left( \frac{z_1-z_2}{\varepsilon} \right) dz_1 dz_2 d\gamma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon^d}{\varepsilon^d}. \]

The proof is complete. \( \square \)
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