Physics Beyond The Standard Model with Circular Polarization in the CMB and CMB-21cm Cross-Correlation

Stephon Alexander,^{*a*} Evan McDonough,^{*a*} Anthony Pullen,^{*b,c*} Bradley Shapiro^{*d*}

^aBrown Theoretical Physics Center and Department of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

^bDepartment of Physics, New York University University, New York, NY, USA

^cCenter for Computational Astrophysics, Flatiron Institute, New York, NY, USA

^dDepartment of Physics, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

Abstract. Circular polarization is a relatively unexplored realm of CMB physics. Given the substantial community effort towards building next generation CMB polarization experiments, including those which will be sensitive to circular polarization, it behooves theorists to understand the possible sources and relevant physics of circular polarization, as encoded in the Stokes V parameter. In this work we develop and derive the requisite formalism, namely the Boltzmann hierarchy for V-mode scalar, vector, and tensor, anisotropies. We derive the V-mode anisotropies induced by a general source term, and demonstrate how existing proposals for the generation of V can be incorporated as source terms in the Boltzmann hierarchy. A subset of these effects may be correlated with 21cm intensity; we provide a worked example and derive an estimator to extract this information from observations. We conclude by computing the CMB TV cross-correlation generated by axions, and find a relation between TV and VV spectra in axion models.

Contents

1	Introduction	1		
2	Circular Polarization Preliminaries			
3	Boltzmann Equations for Circular Polarization	4		
	3.1 Multipole Expansion and Boltzmann Hierarchy	7		
	3.2 The Fate of Primordial V	8		
	3.3 Generating V-mode Polarization from a General Source	9		
4	New Physics and Sources of Circular Polarization	10		
	4.1 Faraday Conversion	11		
	4.2 Axions	12		
	4.3 General Vector Coupling to Photons	13		
	4.4 One-loop QED (Second Order Cosmological Perturbation Theory)	14		
	4.5 Photon-Fermion Interactions	14		
	4.6 Non-Commutative Spacetime	15		
5	CMB-21cm Cross Correlation	15		
	5.1 Faraday Conversion	16		
	5.2 Towards An Estimator for CMB-V-21cm-I Cross-Correlation	19		
6	Axions and CMB Cross-Correlation			
7	Conclusion			
A	Solution to the Boltzmann hierarchy with a general source			
в	The effect of axions on V			

1 Introduction

The polarization of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) has for decades been a subject of extensive study, and is poised to do so for decades to come. Much of this attention is focused on B-mode polarization, e.g. as a measure of the energy scale of inflation [1] or other early universe phenomena [2]. However, much like the LHC and the energy scale of supersymmetry, there is no strong theory prior on the energy scale of inflation, and hence, nature makes no promise of a detection. Meanwhile, the CMB circular "V-mode" polarization has received very little attention, and is often implicitly taken to have a theory prior of 0 (see e.g. [3]). Similar to B-modes, on the observational front there exist only upper bounds: the V-mode angular power spectrum $\ell(\ell + 1)C_{\ell}/(2\pi)$ was constrained by SPIDER to be $\leq 10^2 \,\mu\text{K}^2$ in the range 33 < ℓ < 307 [4], and by MIPOL to be $\leq 10^5 \,\mu\text{K}^2$ on larger angular scales [5]. Most recently, the CLASS experiment found upper bounds of $0.4 \,\mu\text{K}^2$ to $13.5 \,\mu\text{K}^2$ in the range $1 \leq \ell \leq 120$ [6].

From a particle physics perspective, there is a strong science case for studying Stokes V: the requisite imbalance of left- and right-handed photons is indicative of parity violation. We

will study one such example of this in detail, namely the interaction of photons with an axion particle. Other works have proposed the generation of V through a variety of mechanisms: Faraday conversion [7–10], interactions with axions [11–14], a vector coupling to the photon [15] and more general Lorentz violating interactions [16], non-commutative geometry [16, 17], photon-photon scattering via loop corrections in quantum electrodynamics or secondorder cosmological perturbation theory [8, 9, 18–20], interactions with neutrinos [21], sterile neutrino dark matter [22], and other fermions [23], and polarized Compton scattering due to a non-vanishing bulk velocity of cosmic electrons [24]. Interestingly, in contrast to B-modes, the particle physics interactions probed by V are not those at play during inflation, since Thomson scattering in the radiation dominated era quickly and efficiently damps out any primordial V [13]. Thus it is necessarily the physics of the post-inflationary universe which is probed by V-modes.

This latter fact suggests that any beyond the standard model physics which generates V may also affect other probes of the high-redshift universe, and in particular, 21cm cosmology [25]. The potential to cross-correlate the CMB anisotropies with 21cm anisotropies opens a wealth of new data to explore. Moreover, any V-generating mechanism that relies upon the production of photons necessarily also contributes to the overall radiation intensity, opening the possibility for cross-correlating the CMB V-mode polarization with the 21cm intensity. This correlator is able to single out the generation of V that occurs not via early universe scatterings, but by traversing cosmology distances.

A primary aim of this work is to emphasize that the theory prior on V as identically 0 is biased towards the standard Λ CDM cosmology. There are a wealth of mechanisms beyond the standard model which source V in the CMB, and may be correlated with other observables. In the absence of the detection of B-modes, it may be V-modes that lead the way for the future of CMB polarization.

To this end, in this work we derive from first principles the Boltzmann hierarchy governing the scalar, vector, and tensor, anisotropies of the Stokes V-parameter. We confirm the suppression of primordial V, and find an exact line-of-sight solution that applies in the presence of a general source term. The latter is independent of the details of the underlying mechanism, and takes the form of a sum over all multipole moments. We collect proposals and results in the literature, and map them on to the results derived here. We then turn to 21cm cosmology, and the cross-correlation of the aforementioned V-modes with the 21cm intensity. We build an estimator to extract this information from real data. As a final exercise, we study CMB cross-correlation, namely the TV cross-correlation, and consider the specific case of axions.

The structure of this paper is as follows: In section 2 we introduce definitions and conventions relating to polarization. In section 3 we derive the Boltzmann hierarchy for circular polarization, and consider the impact of both primordial V and of a general source term in the Boltzmann equation, and in section 4, we collect V-generating mechanisms from the literature, and demonstrate how they can be incorporated into the Boltzmann hierarchy. In section 5 we compute the cross-correlation with the 21cm intensity, and build an estimator. In Section 6 we compute the TV cross-correlation in the CMB. We close in section 7 with a discussion of future research directions.

2 Circular Polarization Preliminaries

We begin by defining the notation and relevant quantities for the study of circular polarization. We consider an FRW spacetime with scale factor a(t). For an electromagnetic wave \vec{E} propagating in the \hat{z} -direction, the Stokes parameters are defined as

$$I = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(|E_x|^2 + |E_y|^2 \right), \qquad V = \frac{i}{a^2} \left(E_x^* E_y - E_y^* E_x \right),$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(|E_x|^2 - |E_y|^2 \right), \qquad U = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(E_x^* E_y + E_y^* E_x \right).$$
(2.1)

These can be written in a rotated coordinates system $\{x_+, x_-\}$, defined by $\sqrt{2}\hat{x}_{\pm} = \hat{x} \pm i\hat{y}$,

$$I = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(|E_+|^2 + |E_-|^2 \right), \qquad V = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(|E_+|^2 - |E_-|^2 \right),$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{a^2} \left(E_+^* E_- + E_-^* E_+ \right), \qquad U = \frac{i}{a^2} \left(E_+^* E_- - E_-^* E_+ \right),$$
(2.2)

which makes manifest the nature of V as circular polarization.

The above Stokes parameters have units of intensity, while it is conventional to express the CMB fluctuations as a brightness temperature. Anisotropies in V can be converted to a fractional temperature fluctuation Θ_V via the rescaling

$$\Theta_V \equiv \frac{V_T}{T} = \frac{V}{I},\tag{2.3}$$

where V_T is V in units of temperature, i.e. the brightness temperature perturbation of the circular polarization, while T and I are the background CMB temperature and intensity respectively. In this work we use Θ_V , V_T and V interchangeably, and their distinction should be obvious from the context.

An essential component in the analysis of CMB polarization is the multipole decomposition. The V polarization at conformal time η , position \vec{x} , and propagation direction \hat{n} is expressed in terms of multipole moments $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$, which we define as

$$V(\eta, \vec{x}, \vec{n}) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{\ell \ge |m|} (2\ell + 1) V_\ell^{(m)}(k, \eta) G_\ell^m,$$
(2.4)

where we define the Fourier transform as $f(x) = \int d^3k f(k) e^{ikx}$, and

$$G_{\ell}^{m} = (-i)^{\ell} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2\ell+1}} Y_{\ell}^{m}(\hat{n}) \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}).$$
(2.5)

The power spectrum coefficients C_{ℓ} are then given by the two-point correlation function,

$$\delta_{\ell\ell'}\delta_{mm'}C_{\ell}^{VV} = \langle V_{\ell}^m(x)V_{\ell'}^{m'*}(y)\rangle, \qquad (2.6)$$

For the scalar perturbations m = m' = 0, this simplifies to

$$C_{\ell}^{VV}(\eta_0) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^V(k), \qquad (2.7)$$

with

$$\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{V}(k)\delta(k-k') = \langle V_{\ell}(k)V_{\ell}^{*}(k')\rangle.$$
(2.8)

In this work we also consider the formulation of circular polarization in a spherical basis, as recently done in [9], following the formalism developed in [26, 27]. In this context, fields are decomposed as

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{\ell m} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \phi^k_{\ell m} \Psi^k_{\ell m}(x), \qquad (2.9)$$

where $\Psi^k_{\ell m}$ are eigenstates of total angular momentum, i.e. they satisfy the Helmholtz equation

$$\left(\nabla^2 + k^2\right)\Psi^k_{\ell m} = 0,$$
 (2.10)

and are given by

$$\Psi_{\ell m}^{k} = 4\pi i^{\ell} j_{\ell}(kx) Y_{\ell}^{m}(\hat{x}).$$
(2.11)

The power spectrum is related to the two-point correlation function in the usual manner,

$$\langle \phi_{\ell m}^k \phi_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle = \frac{(2\pi)^3}{k^2} \delta(k - k') \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{m m'} P_{\phi}(k).$$
(2.12)

In section 5 we will use this formalism to compute the cross-correlation of CMB V-mode polarization with the 21cm temperature anisotropies.

3 Boltzmann Equations for Circular Polarization

We begin our analysis by establishing the formalism for studying V, and the equations of motion that govern the evolution of anisotropies. We derive the Boltzmann equation for circular polarization brightness temperature perturbations, following closely the analogous calculation for temperature and linear polarization anisotropies [28].

The general form of the Boltzmann equation is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\vec{T} = \vec{C}[\vec{T}] + \vec{G}[h_{\mu\nu}],\tag{3.1}$$

where the terms on the right-hand side correspond to collision and gravitational terms respectively, and

$$\vec{T} \equiv (T, Q + iU, Q - iU, V), \qquad (3.2)$$

is the temperature vector. This is a simple generalization of the expression in [28] to include the V Stokes parameter.

The left-hand side of the Boltzmann equation can be expanded as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\eta}\vec{T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta}\vec{T} + n^i \nabla_i \vec{T},\tag{3.3}$$

where the gradient term describes the effect of photon free-streaming, given in Fourier space by

$$in^i k_i = i \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}} k Y_1^0.$$
 (3.4)

Meanwhile, on the right-hand side, gravitational redshift does not affect V, and hence the V component of \vec{G} vanishes. This follows from the equivalence principle, which implies that left- and right-handed photons behave identically under gravitational forces.

The task remains to compute the collision term. In the standard treatment of CMB anisotropies, the collision term is dominated by the effects of Thomson scattering, and we will see that this is also the case for V. To do this we follow the method of [28]: the collision term in the photon rest frame can be derived from the scattering frame via a rotation and de-rotation by the two Euler angles α and γ .

The angular dependence in the scattering frame can be compactly expressed as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{\parallel} \\ \Theta_{\perp} \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix}' = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \beta \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ \cos \beta \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_{\parallel} \\ \Theta_{\perp} \\ U \\ V \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.5)

where Θ_{\parallel} and Θ_{\perp} refer to Θ parallel and perpendicular to the scattering plane respectively, and β is the scattering angle. This can be written in terms of \vec{T} as,

$$\vec{T}' = \mathbf{S}\vec{T} = \frac{3}{4} \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2\beta + 1 & -\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\beta & -\frac{1}{2}\sin^2\beta & 0\\ -\sin^2\beta & \frac{1}{2}(\cos\beta + 1)^2 & \frac{1}{2}(\cos\beta - 1)^2 & 0\\ -\sin^2\beta & \frac{1}{2}(\cos\beta - 1)^2 & \frac{1}{2}(\cos\beta + 1)^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \vec{T},$$
(3.6)

where we have used the normalization used in [28].

We now transform this to to the photon rest frame. Circular polarization V is invariant under rotations, and hence under a rotation parametrized by an angle ψ , the vector \vec{T} transforms as $\mathbf{R}(\psi)\vec{T} = \text{diag}(1, e^{2i\psi}, e^{-2i\psi}, 1)\vec{T}$. The properly rotated scattering matrix is then given by

$$\mathbf{R}(\gamma)\mathbf{S}(\beta) \quad \mathbf{R}(-\alpha) = \tag{3.7}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{5}} \begin{pmatrix} Y_{2}^{0}(\beta,\alpha) + 2\sqrt{5}Y_{0}^{0}(\beta,\alpha) & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}Y_{2}^{-2}(\beta,\alpha) & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}Y_{2}^{2}(\beta,\alpha) & 0 \\ -\sqrt{6}_{2}Y_{2}^{0}(\beta,\alpha)e^{-2i\gamma} & 3_{2}Y_{2}^{-2}(\beta,\alpha)e^{-2i\gamma} & 3_{2}Y_{2}^{2}(\beta,\alpha)e^{-2i\gamma} & 0 \\ -\sqrt{6}_{-2}Y_{2}^{0}(\beta,\alpha)e^{2i\gamma} & 3_{-2}Y_{2}^{-2}(\beta,\alpha)e^{2i\gamma} & 3_{-2}Y_{2}^{2}(\beta,\alpha)e^{2i\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{15}Y_{1}^{0}(\beta,\alpha) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this one can express the collision term in the photon rest frame as

$$\vec{C}[\vec{T}]_{rest} = -\dot{\tau}\vec{T} + \dot{\tau}\int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega}{4\pi} \mathbf{R}(\gamma)\mathbf{S}(\beta)\mathbf{R}(-\alpha)\vec{T}(\Omega').$$
(3.8)

Finally, we transform back to the background frame. Similar to Q and U, the circular polarization is not affected by Doppler shifting from the rest frame to the background frame. Hence, the V-component of $\vec{C}[\vec{T}]$ is given by,

$$C_V = C_V^{rest} = -\dot{\tau}V + \Gamma_V, \qquad (3.9)$$

where Γ_V is the V-component of,

$$\vec{\Gamma} \equiv \frac{1}{10} \dot{\tau} \int d\Omega' \sum_{m=-2}^{2} \mathbf{P}^{(m)}(\Omega, \Omega') \vec{T}(\Omega'), \qquad (3.10)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P}^{(m)} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_2^{m'} Y_2^m & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} {}_2 Y_2^{m'} Y_2^m & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} {}_{-2} Y_2^{m'} Y_2^m & 0\\ -\sqrt{6} Y_2^{m'} {}_2 Y_2^m & 3 {}_2 Y_2^{m'} {}_2 Y_2^m & 3 {}_{-2} Y_2^{m'} {}_2 Y_2^m & 0\\ -\sqrt{6} Y_2^{m'} {}_{-2} Y_2^m & 3 {}_2 Y_2^{m'} {}_{-2} Y_2^m & 3 {}_{-2} Y_2^{m'} {}_{-2} Y_2^m & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 Y_1^{m'} Y_1^m \end{pmatrix},$$
(3.11)

and $Y_{\ell}^{m'} \equiv Y_{\ell}^m(\Omega')$. From this, one can see that Γ_V is given by,

$$\Gamma_V = \frac{\dot{\tau}}{2} \sum_{m=-2}^{2} Y_1^m \int d\Omega' Y_1^{m*}(\Omega') V(\Omega'), \qquad (3.12)$$

where Ω and Ω' represent directions \hat{n} . We now perform a multipole decomposition of V as defined in (2.4):

$$\Gamma_V = \frac{\dot{\tau}}{2} \sum_{m=-2}^2 Y_1^m(\Omega) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{m'=-2}^2 \sum_{\ell \ge |m'|} (2\ell+1) V_\ell^{(m')} \int \mathrm{d}\Omega' Y_1^{m*}(\Omega') G_\ell^{m'}(\vec{x},\Omega',\vec{k}).$$
(3.13)

Using the fact that $\int d\Omega Y_{\ell}^{m*} Y_{\ell'}^{m'} = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}$, this can be further simplified to

$$\Gamma_V = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{\ell \ge |m|} \frac{\dot{\tau}}{2} \delta_{\ell 1} (2\ell+1) V_\ell^{(m)} G_\ell^m(\vec{x},\Omega,\vec{k}).$$
(3.14)

This determines the collision term of the Boltzmann equation via equation (3.9).

We can read off the Boltzmann equations of scalar, vector and tensor brightness perturbations, corresponding to m = 0, 1, 2 respectively in the multipole decomposition, by combining (3.14) with equations (3.1), (3.4), and (3.9), The respective Boltzmann equations are given by,

$$V^{S'} + k\mu V^S + \dot{\tau} V^S = \frac{3}{2} \dot{\tau} V_1^S, \qquad (3.15)$$

$$V^{V'} + k\mu V^{V} + \dot{\tau} V^{V} = \frac{3}{2} \dot{\tau} V_{1}^{V}, \qquad (3.16)$$

$$V^{T'} + k\mu V^T + \dot{\tau} V^T = 0, \qquad (3.17)$$

where V_1 denotes the $\ell = 1$ multipole expansion, $\mu \equiv \cos \theta$, and $\{S, V, T\}$ superscripts denote scalar, vector, and tensor. The scalar and tensor expressions match that given in [29], while the Boltzmann equation for vector perturbations has not previously appeared in the literature.

These equations can be succinctly described by a set of source terms,

$$S_V^S = \frac{3}{2}\dot{\tau}V_1^S$$
, $S_V^V = \frac{3}{2}\dot{\tau}V_1^V$, $S_V^T = 0.$ (3.18)

which correspond to the source terms for scalar, vector, and tensor modes of V respectively. These can be compared with the source terms for temperature anisotropies [28],

$$S_T^S = \dot{\tau} \left(\Theta_0^S + v_B + \frac{1}{10} \Theta_2^S - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{10} E_2^S \right) + \left(k\Psi - \dot{\Phi} \right), \qquad (3.19)$$

$$S_T^V = \dot{\tau} \left(v_B + \frac{1}{10} \Theta_2^V - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{10} E_2^V \right) + \dot{v}, \qquad (3.20)$$

$$S_T^T = \dot{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{10} \Theta_2^T - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{10} E_2^T \right) - \dot{H}.$$
 (3.21)

Crucially, the Θ_0 term in S_T^S has no counterpart in the Boltzmann equation for V. As we will see, this ultimately leads to an uncancelled $\dot{\tau}V_0$ in the equation of motion for V_0 , resulting in an exponential damping $V_0 \sim e^{-\tau}$.

3.1 Multipole Expansion and Boltzmann Hierarchy

We now expand the Boltzmann equation to find the Boltzmann hierarchy for the multipoles. As derived in the previous subsection, the Boltzmann equation for V is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}V + ik\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_1^0V = -\dot{\tau}V + \Gamma_V.$$
(3.22)

Using the Clebsch-Gordan relation (see [28]),

$$\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_1^0 Y_\ell^m = \sqrt{\frac{\ell^2 - m^2}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell-1)}}Y_{\ell-1}^m + \sqrt{\frac{(\ell+1)^2 - m^2}{(2\ell+1)(2\ell+3)}}Y_{\ell+1}^m, \tag{3.23}$$

the second term on the left-hand side is given by,

$$ik\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{3}}Y_1^0 V = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{m=-2}^2 \sum_{\ell \ge |m|} k\left(\sqrt{(\ell+1)^2 - m^2} V_{\ell+1}^{(m)} - \sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2} V_{\ell-1}^{(m)}\right) G_\ell^m.$$
(3.24)

The Boltzmann equation then becomes,

$$0 = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{m=-2}^{2} \sum_{\ell \ge |m|} \left((2\ell+1) \left(V_{\ell}^{(m)'} + \dot{\tau} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\ell 1} \right) V_{\ell}^{(m)} \right) + k \left(\sqrt{(\ell+1)^{2} - m^{2}} V_{\ell+1}^{(m)} - \sqrt{\ell^{2} - m^{2}} V_{\ell-1}^{(m)} \right) \right) G_{\ell}^{m}.$$

$$(3.25)$$

By the linear independence of G_{ℓ}^m , we get the Boltzmann hierarchy, i.e. for any m and ℓ ,

$$V_{\ell}^{(m)'} + \dot{\tau} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\ell 1}\right) V_{\ell}^{(m)} + k \left(\frac{\sqrt{(\ell+1)^2 - m^2}}{2\ell + 1} V_{\ell+1}^{(m)} - \frac{\sqrt{\ell^2 - m^2}}{2\ell + 1} V_{\ell-1}^{(m)}\right) = 0.$$
(3.26)

This is the V-mode Boltzmann hierarchy; a series of coupled differential equations that directly gives the scalar, vector, and tensor multipole moments (m = 0, 1, 2 respectively). This expression has not been derived in the literature previously.

3.2 The Fate of Primordial V

With the Boltzmann hierarchy in hand, we can immediately study the evolution of V that is present at the beginning of standard cosmology, having been produced in a previous epoch e.g. cosmological inflation, namely *primordial* circular polarization. As emphasized in [13], any primordial V is exponentially suppressed in the CMB. This can be understood as a straightforward consequence of the suppression of circular polarization by Thomson scattering. We can see this in detail as follows.

As is the case for temperature [30], the scalar modes admit an exact integral solution,

$$V_{\ell}(\eta) = \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{3}{2} \dot{\tau} e^{-\tau(\eta',\eta)} V_{1}(\eta') j_{\ell}'(k(\eta-\eta')) \mathrm{d}\eta', \qquad (3.27)$$

where

$$\tau(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \int_{\eta_1}^{\eta_2} \dot{\tau} \mathrm{d}\eta, \qquad (3.28)$$

is the optical depth from η_1 to η_2 . This further simplifies in the sudden decoupling approximation, $\dot{\tau}e^{-\tau} = \delta(\eta' - \eta_{LS})$, where the subscript *LSS* refers to quantity evaluated at last scattering. In this case, (3.27) becomes,

$$V_{\ell} \simeq \frac{3}{2} V_1(\eta_{LS}) j'_{\ell}(k(\eta - \eta_{\rm LS})).$$
(3.29)

and thus depends very sensitively on the value of V_1 at last scattering.

From this, one can compute the spectrum of V-mode anisotropies C_{ℓ}^{VV} via equation (2.7), with V_1 determined by the first moments of the Boltzmann hierarchy,

$$V_0' + \dot{\tau} V_0 = -kV_1 \tag{3.30}$$

$$V_1' + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\tau}V_1 = -\frac{2}{3}kV_2 + \frac{1}{3}kV_0 \tag{3.31}$$

and a similar equation for V_2 . This system does not admit undamped oscillatory solutions, but instead both V_0 and V_1 inherit an exponential suppression from the friction term $\dot{\tau}V_{0,1}$.

This behavior can be easily seen by considering long-wavelength modes. Working in a series expansion in $k/\dot{\tau} \ll 1$, and using the fact that $\dot{\tau}$ is decreasing as a function of time, an approximate solution can be found as,

$$V_1(\eta) \simeq V_1(0)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\tau_0} + \frac{k\eta}{3}e^{-\tau_0}V_0(0), \qquad (3.32)$$

where τ_0 is defined as the optical depth at the beginning of the radiation dominated era, and $V_1(0)$ and $V_0(0)$ are the initial conditions on V_0 and V_1 . The large value of the optical depth τ_0 induces an extreme suppression of V_1 , and hence by (3.29) the whole tower of V_{ℓ} . Quantitatively, this suppression is at least of order $10^{10^{20}}$ for standard Λ CDM cosmology [13].

This indicates that any observed V must be due to new source terms, and not due to primordial production (e.g. during inflation). With this in mind, we now study the impact of a completely general source term on V-mode polarization, for the moment remaining agnostic as to the physical origins of this effect.

3.3 Generating V-mode Polarization from a General Source

We now generalize our discussion in section 3.2 to account for general source terms for V. In section 4 we will see that there are a number of physical processes, in addition to Thomson scattering, which may introduce source terms to the right-hand side of (3.22). Let S be the sum of all these source terms. We may perform a multipole decomposition on S, just as we did on V, so that the Boltzmann hierarchy becomes

$$V_{\ell}' + \dot{\tau} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\ell 1} \right) V_{\ell} + k \left(\frac{\ell + 1}{2\ell + 1} V_{\ell + 1} - \frac{\ell}{2\ell + 1} V_{\ell - 1} \right) = S_{\ell}.$$
 (3.33)

This is a generalization of (3.26); whereas (3.26) assumes that there is only an $\ell = 1$ source (Thomson scattering), (3.33) permits sources in any mode.

As with the previous subsection, the hierarchy (3.33) possesses an exact integral solution. However, while (3.27) has only one term corresponding to the $\ell = 1$ source term in (3.26), the integral solution for (3.33) will have a sum over *all* ℓ . It is given by

$$V_{\ell} = \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\frac{3}{2} \dot{\tau} V_{1} j_{\ell}'(k(\eta - \eta')) + \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell'} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell'}{2} \rfloor} A_{n}^{\ell'} j_{\ell}^{(\ell'-2n)}(k(\eta - \eta')) \right) e^{-\tau(\eta',\eta)} \mathrm{d}\eta', \qquad (3.34)$$

where $A_n^{\ell'}$ is defined recursively as

$$A_{0}^{\ell'} = \frac{1}{\Sigma_{00}^{\ell'}} = \frac{(2\ell'+1)!}{2^{\ell'}\ell'!^{2}}$$

$$A_{n}^{\ell'} = \frac{-1}{\Sigma_{nn}^{\ell'}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} A_{p}^{\ell'} \Sigma_{np}^{\ell'} \text{ for } n > 0$$
(3.35)

and we have defined

$$\Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'} = \frac{2^{\ell'-2k}(-1)^{k-n}(\ell'-k-n)!(\ell'-2n)!}{k!(2\ell'-2k-2n+1)!}.$$
(3.36)

This provides an exact solution in the presence of any source term.

To demonstrate that (3.34) indeed solves (3.33), one may rely upon the result

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell'}{2} \rfloor} A_n^{\ell'} j_{\ell}^{(\ell'-2n)}(0) = \delta_{\ell\ell'}, \qquad (3.37)$$

where $A_n^{\ell'}$ and $\Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'}$ are defined in (3.35) and (3.36). We present a proof of this in Appendix A. With this established, it is easy to check that (3.34) solves (3.33). Applying Leibniz' rule to (3.34), and applying (3.37), yields

$$V_{\ell}' = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\tau} V_{\ell} \delta_{\ell 1} + S_{\ell} - \dot{\tau} V_{\ell}$$

$$+ k \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\tau} V_{1} j_{\ell}''(k(\eta - \eta')) + \sum_{\ell'=0}^{\infty} S_{\ell'} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell'}{2} \rfloor} A_{n}^{\ell'} j_{\ell}^{(\ell'-2n+1)}(k(\eta - \eta')) \right) e^{-\tau(\eta',\eta)} d\eta'.$$
(3.38)

The first three terms (i.e., those outside of the integral) trivially cancel with other terms in (3.33). The last term, as a consequence of the relation,

$$(2\ell+1)j'_{\ell}(x) = \ell j_{\ell-1}(x) - (\ell+1)j_{\ell+1}(x), \tag{3.39}$$

cancels with the $V_{\ell+1}$ and $V_{\ell-1}$ terms in (3.33). Thus (3.34) indeed solves (3.33)

Before we carry on, we should consider the information contained within (3.34). Interestingly, V_{ℓ} depends not only on the corresponding multipole of the source term, but on all multipole moments of the source. This implies that V_{ℓ} can in principle behave very different than its sources. For example, in many cases S_{ℓ} will depend on U_{ℓ} or Q_{ℓ} , which have well defined trends with respect to ℓ . However, since V_{ℓ} is a sum over all $S_{\ell'}$, these trends will not necessarily carry over to V_{ℓ} .

4 New Physics and Sources of Circular Polarization

As discussed in section 3.3, if we wish to model physical processes aside from Thomson scattering, then these will be represented as additional source terms on the right-hand side of (3.26). In this section we collect V-generation proposals in the literature and translate them to explicit forms of the induced source term in the Boltzmann equation. These results are summarized in Table 1.

Section	Physical process	Type of effect	Form of the source term
4.1	Faraday conversion	Collision effect	proportional to $U_{\ell}^{(m)}$
4.2	Axions	Propagation effect	one term for $\ell = m = 0$ mode, one term proportional to $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$, rescaling of all other sources
4.3	Vector coupling to QED	Collision effect	linear combination of $U_{\ell}^{(m)}$ and $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$
4.4	One-loop QED (photon-photon scattering)	Collision effect	proportional to $U_{\ell}^{(m)}$
4.5	Photon-fermion scattering	Collision effect	mixing of $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$ with different multipoles of U and Q , including vector and tensor modes
4.6	Non-commutative spacetime	Propagation effect	linear combination of $U_{\ell}^{(m)}$ and $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$

Table 1. Sources of Circular Polarization

4.1 Faraday Conversion

Early work on circular polarization [7] introduced Faraday conversion as an important tool for understanding varied sources. Recalling,

$$V = \frac{2}{q^2} |E_x| |E_y| \sin \Delta \phi ,$$

$$U = \frac{2}{a^2} |E_x| |E_y| \cos \Delta \phi ,$$
(4.1)

we see that if the amplitudes $|E_x|$ and $|E_y|$ are constant, then it follows that V and U are related via

$$V' = U \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi}{\mathrm{d}\eta} = 2U \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi_{FC}}{\mathrm{d}\eta},\tag{4.2}$$

where, following the convention of [7], $\Delta \phi_{FC} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta \phi$. This implies that any process that introduces a phase difference between the x and y components will cause V to change at a rate proportional to U. This phenomenon is known as Faraday conversion¹. It can be incorporated in the Boltzmann hierarchy via the source term,

$$S_{\ell}^{(m)} = 2U_{\ell}^{(m)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi_{FC}}{\mathrm{d}\eta}.$$
(4.3)

We will discuss several sources of Faraday conversion below, regardless of sourcing phenomenon.

One source of Faraday conversion is that caused by a magnetized relativistic plasma [7], in which

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{e^4 \lambda^3}{\pi m_e^3 c^5} \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta - 2} \int dl \, n_r \gamma_{\min} |\mathbf{B}|^2 (1 - \mu^2). \tag{4.4}$$

where **B** is the magnetic field, m_e is the electron mass, μ is the cosine of the angle between **B** and the line of sight, n_r is the number density of relativistic particles, β is an index for the distribution of the particles in terms of their Lorentz-factor γ [7], γ_{min} is the minimum value of γ , and λ is the wavelength of radiation. The total impact of this source on V (in units of T_{CMB}) is estimated to be on the order of 10^{-9} at 10 GHz.

As an additional example of Faraday conversion, [8] showed that if hydrogen atoms become spin-polarized, they may induce a birefringence between the x and y directions. This spin-polarization is caused by Balmer radiation during the epoch of recombination, and by 21cm radiation during the cosmic dawn era (in both cases ultimately coming from other nearby hydrogen atoms). Specifically, this spin-polarization induces a phase change at a rate of

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi}{\mathrm{d}\ln a} = -C \,(1+z)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathcal{P}_{2,2} + \mathcal{P}_{2,-2}\right) \frac{100 \text{ GHz}}{\nu_{\mathrm{today}}},\tag{4.5}$$

where $C = 3.13 \times 10^{-3}$ during the cosmic dawn and $C = 2.45 \times 10^{-3}$ during recombination, and the alignment tensor $\mathcal{P}_{2,m}$ is given in [8]. The total impact of this source on V is estimated to be (in units of T_{CMB}) on the order of 10^{-16} during the cosmic dawn and 10^{-19} during recombination.

¹Note that Faraday conversion should not be confused with Faraday *rotation*, also discussed in [7].

4.2 Axions

Axions naturally interact with photons according to the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -\frac{g_{\phi}}{4} \phi F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \tag{4.6}$$

where $F^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\sigma\rho}F_{\sigma\rho}$ is the Hodge dual of Maxwell field strength tensor F, ϕ is the axion field, and g_{ϕ} is the coupling constant. This induces two qualitatively distinct effects: axion-photon scattering, discussed in section 4.3, and a modified dispersion relation for photons [12]:

$$A''_{\pm} + (k^2 \pm g_{\phi} \phi' k) A_{\pm} = 0 \tag{4.7}$$

where A_{\pm} are (Fourier-transformed) components of the electromagnetic four-potential. The resulting A_{\pm} depend sensitively on time-dependence ϕ , which is model-dependent.

For the moment, we work in full generality. Let us write the solution as

$$A_{\pm} = A_{\pm 0} e^{ik \int \gamma_{\pm} \mathrm{d}\eta}.$$
(4.8)

Note that any solution to (4.7) can be written in this way; this follows from the fact that if $f_{\pm}(\eta)$ solves (4.7), then

$$\gamma_{\pm} = \frac{1}{ik} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\eta} \ln f_{\pm}(\eta). \tag{4.9}$$

In the trivial case of $\phi' = 0$, we get $\gamma_{\pm} = 1$; this is the case where there is no photon-axion interaction. If instead ϕ' is constant, e.g. during a slow-roll phase, then

$$\gamma_{\pm} = \sqrt{1 \pm \frac{g_{\phi} \phi'}{k}}.\tag{4.10}$$

Taking the derivative of (4.8), we get that

$$|A'_{\pm}| = |k\gamma_{\pm}A_{\pm 0}|e^{-k\int \operatorname{Im}(\gamma_{\pm})\mathrm{d}\eta}.$$
(4.11)

But recall that when there is no photon-axion interaction, $\gamma_{\pm} = 1$, and so in that case $|A'_{\pm}| = |kA_{\pm 0}|$. Defining $A_{\pm w/o}$ as the value that A_{\pm} would have if there were no photon-axion interaction, we get

$$|A'_{\pm}| = |\gamma_{\pm}| e^{-k \int \operatorname{Im}(\gamma_{\pm}) \mathrm{d}\eta} \left| A'_{\pm w/o} \right|.$$
(4.12)

We define $\Gamma_{\pm} = |\gamma_{\pm}|^2 e^{-2k \int \operatorname{Im}(\gamma_{\pm}) \mathrm{d}\eta}$, so that

$$|A'_{\pm}|^{2} = \Gamma_{\pm} \left| A'_{\pm w/o} \right|^{2}.$$
(4.13)

One can then compute the source term, and applying the definition of the Stokes parameters, one arrives at

$$V' = \frac{\Gamma'_{+} + \Gamma'_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}}V + \frac{\Gamma_{-}\Gamma'_{+} - \Gamma_{+}\Gamma'_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}}T + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2}T' + \frac{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}}{2}V'_{w/o},$$
(4.14)

where T is the temperature fluctuation, and $V_{w/o}$ is the V generated in the absence of axions.

Thus we see that axions introduce four changes to V': it introduces one term proportional to V and another term proportional to T, it causes all sources of T to become sources of V (scaled by $(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_-)/2$), and it causes all of V's pre-existing (i.e., non-axion) sources to be scaled by $(\Gamma_+ + \Gamma_-)/2$. Performing a multipole decomposition, we find that the right-hand side of the Boltzmann hierarchy (3.26) becomes

$$S_{V\ell}^{(m)} = \frac{\Gamma'_{+} + \Gamma'_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}} V_{\ell}^{(m)} + \frac{\Gamma_{-}\Gamma'_{+} - \Gamma_{+}\Gamma'_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}} T_{\ell}^{(m)} + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2} \sum S_{T\ell}^{(m)} + \frac{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}}{2} \sum \hat{S}_{V\ell}^{(m)}.$$
(4.15)

where S_T and \hat{S}_V are the source-term for T and non-axion sources for V respectively, and the sum is over all such source terms. Additionally, because the $\dot{\tau}$ terms in (3.26) are the source term for Thomson scattering, they also are rescaled by $\frac{\Gamma_+ + \Gamma_-}{2}$.

The above results are entirely general, but one specific case is worth considering [12]. This is the adiabatic model, in which $\frac{g_{\phi}\phi''}{k^2} \ll 1$ for all modes observable in the CMB, and $\sqrt{1 \pm \frac{g_{\phi}\phi'}{k}} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since ϕ'' is insignificant in this model, $\gamma_{\pm} = \sqrt{1 \pm \frac{g_{\phi}\phi'}{k}}$, and so $\Gamma_{\pm} = 1 \pm \frac{g_{\phi}\phi'}{k}$ (see section IV A of [12] for more details). Thus (4.15) becomes

$$S_{V\ell}^{(m)} = \frac{g_{\phi}\phi''}{k}T_{\ell}^{(m)} + \frac{g_{\phi}\phi'}{k}\sum S_{T\ell}^{(m)} + \sum \hat{\mathcal{S}}_{V\ell}^{(m)}, \qquad (4.16)$$

where again S_T and \hat{S}_V are the source-term for T and non-axion sources for V respectively, and the sum is over all such source terms. We see that in this adiabatic model, non-axion sources are not scaled, while all sources of T are introduced as sources of V, and there is a direct sourcing of V by T (i.e. the first term in the above).

4.3 General Vector Coupling to Photons

A generalization of the axion-gauge field interaction is to promote $\partial_{\mu}\phi$ to a general vector T_{μ} [15] and consider the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_T = g_T \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} A_\mu T_\nu F_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad (4.17)$$

where g_T is a coupling constant. This causes polarization modes to rotate in to each other, and the sourced V-modes are given by,

$$V' = \frac{g_T^2}{ak} \left(\chi(\hat{k})U + \zeta(\hat{k})Q \right), \qquad (4.18)$$

where χ and ζ are functions of the stress-energy tensor and the polarization four-vectors. There are similar relations for the rotation of V into U and Q, however these may be safely ignored to a good approximation, since the V contribution is vastly subdominant to standard contributions to U and Q. To incorporate this effect in the Boltzmann hierarchy, the source term is given by,

$$S_{\ell}^{(m)} = \frac{g_T^2}{ak} \left(\chi(\hat{k}) U_{\ell}^{(m)} + \zeta(\hat{k}) Q_{\ell}^{(m)} \right).$$
(4.19)

Note that the axion model considered in the previous section is actually a specific case of the model in this section; the two are equivalent with the identification $T_{\nu} = \partial_{\nu}\phi$. In that case, one should incorporate both the effects of this section and of the previous section, including both as source terms in the Boltzmann equation. The latter is a propagation effect, while (4.19) is a collision effect, and the two can happen simultaneously.

4.4 One-loop QED (Second Order Cosmological Perturbation Theory)

An additional possibility is that circular polarization can be generated at second order in cosmological perturbation theory, via photon-photon scattering. This was studied by [8], and expanded on in [9], which found a Faraday conversion

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta\phi_{FC}}{\mathrm{d}\ln a} = 8.7 \times 10^{-8} \left(\frac{\nu_{\mathrm{today}}}{100 \text{ GHz}}\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{1000}\right)^{\frac{7}{2}} \frac{\mathrm{Re}\,a_{22}^E}{10^{-6}}.\tag{4.20}$$

where a_{2m}^E is the local quadropole moment. The corresponding source term in the Boltzmann hierarchy follows from (4.3).

For the sake of completeness, we note differing results in the literature: [18] found $V' \propto UQ$; [19], while [20] found rotations of both U and Q into V, i.e. of the form $V' \propto U+Q$. For a detailed comparison and discussion see [8].

Photon-photon interactions do represent a somewhat different situation than the phenomena we have considered thus far, since they are not new physics *per se*. Whereas we do not know whether axions and non-commutative spacetimes actually exist, we do know for a fact that photons do interact with other photons. Thus, any circular polarization induced by photon-photon interactions will represent a baseline V, analogous to the neutrino floor in direct detection searches for dark matter, onto which the effects of other phenomena might be added.

4.5 Photon-Fermion Interactions

In a similar vein to the previous section, [21] examines the effect of photon-neutrino scattering², finding

$$V' = a \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha G_F}{3\pi k^0} \int d\vec{q} \, n_\nu(\vec{x}, \vec{q}) \left[\left(\left(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_1 \right)^2 - \left(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_2 \right)^2 \right) Q - 2 \left(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_1 \right) \left(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_2 \right) U \right], \tag{4.21}$$

where n_{ν} is the number density of neutrinos, [23] considers the more general case of photonfermion scattering, and [22] considers sterile neutrino dark matter. These cases lead to a similar structure, where U and Q both source V:

$$V' = c_Q Q + c_U U \tag{4.22}$$

where the coefficients $c_{Q,U}$, given in [22], themselves have an angular dependence.

In this case, one cannot deduce the source terms for the multipole moments by simply transforming $Q \to Q_{\ell}^{(m)}$ and $U \to U_{\ell}^{(m)}$, as we have done in previous sections, since c_Q and c_U have their own angular dependence. What we must therefore do is to write these coefficients as linear combinations of spherical harmonics:

$$V' = \left(\sum_{\ell,m} c_{Q\ell,m} Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta,\phi)\right) Q + \left(\sum_{\ell,m} c_{U\ell,m} Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta,\phi)\right) U$$

= $\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{\ell,m} \sum_{\ell',m'} (2\ell'+1) \left(c_{Q\ell,m} Q_{\ell'}^{(m')} + c_{U\ell,m} U_{\ell'}^{(m')}\right) Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta,\phi) G_{\ell'}^{m'}(\theta,\phi).$ (4.23)

²We note that, following the first footnote in [8], it is not clear that photon-neutrino scattering should source circular polarization. [8] presents an elegant argument that there should be no neutrino-induced V, which is clearly at odds with the result of [21]. We therefore encourage the reader to maintain some skepticism with regards to (4.21).

Simplifying this further can be done via manipulation of identities and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

However, even without detailed calculations, one can see an interesting consequence of this angular dependence. A term proportional to $Y_L^{m+m'}$ in the expression for V' corresponds to a source term in the $V_L^{(m+m')}$ Boltzmann hierarchy equation. We have seen that the expression for V' will incorporate terms proportional to $Q_{\ell'}^{(m')}Y_L^{m+m'}$ and $U_{\ell'}^{(m')}Y_L^{m+m'}$, so that $V_L^{(m+m')}$ is coupled to $Q_{\ell'}^{(m')}$ and $U_{\ell'}^{(m')}$. Since ℓ' and m' need not be equal to L and m + m', what we get is that a V multipole moment can be coupled to Q and U multipole moments with different values of ℓ and m. A tensor perturbation of Q can affect a scalar perturbation of V, for example.

4.6 Non-Commutative Spacetime

Non-commutative space and spacetime arise in string theory [31], and are thought to arise in quantum gravity more generally. Stated formally, this corresponds to a non-zero commutator,

$$\theta^{\mu\nu} = -i[\hat{x}^{\mu}, \hat{x}^{\nu}], \tag{4.24}$$

where $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ is a real, constant, antisymmetric matrix. This non-commutative geometry causes Q and U to convert into V [17], as

$$V' = d_Q Q + d_U U, \tag{4.25}$$

where $d_{Q/U}$ are functions of angle and the polarization vector. Similar to photon-fermion interactions of the previous subsection, the angular dependence of the coefficients gives a non-trivial structure to the source term. The resulting C_{ℓ}^{VV} is given by [17] as roughly on the order of nK² if the energy-scale of non-commutativity Λ is ~ 10 TeV, or on the order of μ K² if $\Lambda \sim 1$ TeV.

5 CMB-21cm Cross Correlation

We now shift our focus away from the CMB, and towards a broader perspective on the future of cosmology.

A promising new observational probe of cosmological physics is the 21cm signal; produced by hyperfine splitting in the hydrogen atom, this is a tracer of the intergalactic medium (IGM). For a detailed review see [25]. This probes both the cosmic dark ages and the epoch of reionization, two periods in the universe's history about which we currently have very little information. In addition to circumventing the cosmic variance limitations of CMB probes, measurements of the power spectrum from the dark ages can in principle be more accurate than those from the CMB, because during the dark ages the IGM is not affected by photon diffusion. At lower redshifts, the 21cm signal probes cosmic structure, as after reionization the 21cm signal traces dark matter halos rather than the IGM. Observations of the global 21cm signal were first reported by the EDGES experiment [32], and many new experiments will be going after both the global signal [33–35] and anisotropies, e.g. LOFAR [36], MWA [37], PAPER [38], CHIME [39], HIRAX [40], HERA [41], and SKA [42]. See [43] for a detailed review.

The high energy physics prospects of 21cm cosmology range from precision measurements of primordial non-Gaussianity [35, 44, 45], or constraining or observing the velocitydependence of dark matter scattering cross section [46–48], to a probe of cosmic strings [49, 50]. This is in addition to constraining the dark energy equation of state, dark matterdark energy interactions, and the standard Λ CDM parameters.

If there is CP-violating physics at play during the dark ages of epoch of reionization, this may generate circular polarization of the 21cm emission. However, instead of going after the circular polarization of the 21cm signal directly, here we propose to isolate CP-violating effects using the cross-correlation of the *intensity* of the 21cm signal with the Stokes V of the CMB. This is conceivably within reach for next generation cosmology experiments. However, we do note that 21cm circular polarization is in itself an interesting observable, and can probe primordial gravitational waves [51, 52].

We emphasize that despite being generated by parity-violating processes, the V Stokes parameter of the CMB is a scalar [29], and the multipole expansion into Y_{ℓ}^{m} enjoys the same parity properties as that for T. This allows for non-vanishing TV cross-correlation, and similarly, CMB-V-21cm-I. This is in contrast to B-mode polarization, which is expanded in spin-2 basis functions and has opposite parity to T, implying the TB cross-correlation vanishes in the absence of additional parity violating effects, such as chiral gravitational waves [53]. Incidentally, many of the effects that lead to V will also source chiral gravitational waves (e.g. axions [54–66]), and thus one additionally expects non-vanishing TB, EB, and VB in these models.

Among these possibilities, the cross correlation of V and the 21cm signal is uniquely positioned to probe the propagation of photons across cosmological distances. While crosscorrelations of V with any signal originating before last scattering, such as V itself, encode information about both collision and propagation effects, a cross-correlation between V and a signal arising *after* last scattering will encode only information about the propagation effects.

With this in mind, here we develop the CMB-21cm cross-correlation in the model of [9], and compute an estimator for extracting this from data.

5.1 Faraday Conversion

Here we consider the model of [9]. In this scenario, circular polarization is generated by the propagation of light through a medium with an anisotropic index of refraction tensor,

$$n_{ij}(\vec{x}) \propto \left(\nabla_i \nabla_j - \frac{1}{3} g_{ij} \nabla^2\right) \hat{\delta}(\vec{x}), \qquad (5.1)$$

where $\hat{\delta}$ is the matter perturbation; typically written as δ , we have added a hat to differentiate it from the Kronecker delta. This leads to Faraday conversion along the lines discussed in section 4.1.

The resulting circular polarization is given by,

$$V(\hat{n}) = \epsilon_{ab} P^{ac} \Phi^b_c, \tag{5.2}$$

where P is the linear polarization matrix,

$$P_{ab}(\hat{n}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} Q(\hat{n}) & U(\hat{n}) \\ U(\hat{n}) & -Q(\hat{n}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(5.3)

and Φ_{ab} is a phase shift tensor,

$$\Phi_{ab}(\hat{n}) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_Q(\hat{n}) & \phi_U(\hat{n}) \\ \phi_U(\hat{n}) & -\phi_Q(\hat{n}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5.4)

In both expressions \hat{n} is a direction that specifies a point on the celestial sphere. We expand these in multipoles as,

$$\Phi_{ab}(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} \Phi_{\ell m} Y^{\mathrm{E}}_{(\ell m)ab}(\hat{n}), \qquad (5.5)$$

and similarly for $P_{ab}(\hat{n})$, where Y^E are the E-mode tensor spherical harmonics (defined in [67]).

One can straightforwardly compute the spherical harmonic coefficients for V, and these are given by

$$V_{\ell m} = \sum_{\ell_1 \ell_2 m_1 m_2} P_{\ell_1 m_1} \Phi_{\ell_2 m_2} G_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2}^{\ell m},$$
(5.6)

where G is defined in terms of Wigner-3j functions [9]. We note that the $V_{\ell m}$ are different from $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$ used in previous sections: $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$ refer to a Fourier mode of a multipole moment, as per (2.4), while the $V_{\ell m}$ above are position space multipole moments, defined by the decomposition,

$$V(\hat{n}) = \sum_{\ell m} V_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{n}).$$
 (5.7)

We also note that the definition of $V_{\ell}^{(m)}$ includes scaling factors via the definition of G_{ℓ}^{m} , which are not present in the definition of $V_{\ell m}$.

For the specific case of birefringence due to spin polarizations of hydrogen atoms, one finds [9] that the phase shift is determined by the matter perturbation, as

$$\Phi_{\ell m}^{k} = 2\sqrt{15\pi} i^{\ell} \frac{p}{N_{\ell}} \int \mathrm{d}\chi W(\chi) \frac{j_{\ell}(k\chi)}{(k\chi)^{2}} \hat{\delta}_{\ell m}^{k}, \qquad (5.8)$$

where again $\hat{\delta}$ denotes the matter perturbation, p and W are given in [8], and $N_l \equiv \sqrt{2(l-2)!/(l+2)!}$. It is important to note that $\Phi_{\ell m}^k$ is not a coefficient of the expansion given in (2.9); rather, it is the contribution to $\Phi_{\ell m}$ from a given value of k, i.e.

$$\Phi_{\ell m} = \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3} \Phi_{\ell m}^k.$$
(5.9)

Here $\Phi_{\ell m}^k$ is a notational exception; every other quantity with a subscript of ℓm and superscript of k will indicate a coefficient in the spherical basis, as per (2.9).

We now consider the 21cm signal, $\tilde{\delta}_{\ell m}^k$. We assume that the 21cm is a biased tracer of the matter distribution, such that

$$\tilde{\delta}^k_{\ell m} = a_k \hat{\delta}^k_{\ell m},\tag{5.10}$$

where a_k is a redshift-dependent constant of proportionality. The cross-correlation with the matter density perturbation is then given by,

$$\langle \hat{\delta}_{\ell m}^k \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle = a_k^* \langle \hat{\delta}_{\ell m}^k \hat{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle = a_k^* \delta_{\ell \ell'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{kk'} P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k), \tag{5.11}$$

where $P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k)$ is the matter perturbation power spectrum. It follows that the circular polarizationmatter perturbation cross correlation is then given by

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi_{\ell m}^{k} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle &= 2\sqrt{15\pi} i^{\ell} \frac{p}{N_{\ell}} \int \mathrm{d}\chi W(\chi) \frac{j_{\ell}(k\chi)}{(k\chi)^{2}} \langle \hat{\delta}_{\ell m}^{k} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle \\ &= 2\sqrt{15\pi} i^{\ell} \frac{p}{N_{\ell}} a_{k'}^{*} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{kk'} P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k) \int \mathrm{d}\chi W(\chi) \frac{j_{\ell}(k\chi)}{(k\chi)^{2}}, \end{split}$$
(5.12)

and the $\Phi \tilde{\delta}$ angular power spectrum is

$$C_{\ell}^{\Phi\tilde{\delta}} = \int \frac{k^2 \mathrm{d}k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{k'^2 \mathrm{d}k'}{(2\pi)^3} \langle \Phi_{\ell m}^k \tilde{\delta}_{\ell m}^{k'*} \rangle = 2\sqrt{15\pi} i^{\ell} \frac{p}{N_{\ell}} \int \frac{k^2 \mathrm{d}k}{(2\pi)^3} a_k^* P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k) J_{\ell}^W(k), \tag{5.13}$$

where we have defined

$$J_{\ell}^{W}(k) = \int \mathrm{d}\chi W(\chi) \frac{j_{\ell}(k\chi)}{(k\chi)^{2}}.$$
(5.14)

We note the factor of i^{ℓ} is a reflection of the choice of basis functions for the multipole expansion (5.7); the physical observable $C(\theta)$ is real.

We will use (5.13) to compute the $V\tilde{\delta}$ angular power spectrum. The cross correlation is:

$$\langle V_{\ell m} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle = \sum_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2} \langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \Phi_{\ell_2 m_2} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle G_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2}^{\ell m}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2} \left(\langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle \langle \Phi_{\ell_2 m_2} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle + \langle \Phi_{\ell_2 m_2} \rangle \langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle \langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \Phi_{\ell_2 m_2} \rangle \right) G_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2}^{\ell m}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2} \langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle \langle \Phi_{\ell_2 m_2} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle G_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_2 m_2}^{\ell m}$$

$$= \int \frac{k^2 dk}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\ell_1 m_1} \langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle \langle \Phi_{\ell' m'}^{k} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle G_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell' m'}^{\ell m}.$$

$$(5.15)$$

In second line we have approximated the three-point function by considering one of P, Φ , or δ , to be a long-wavelength fluctuation, such that it is an effective background for the cross-correlations. In the second-to-last line we have used the fact that, absent any effects beyond the Faraday conversion of P into V, P and δ are uncorrelated, as are P and Φ .

When considering the entire sky³, $\langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle$ vanishes. Thus in the above approximation of three-point function, the all-sky $\langle V_{\ell m} \delta_{\ell' m'}^{k'*} \rangle$ also vanishes. However, on any individual patch of the sky, $\langle P_{\ell_1 m_1} \rangle$ need not be 0. The average value of $P_{\ell_1 m_1}$ on such a patch is given by the rms, $\sqrt{\langle P_{\ell_1 m_1}^2 \rangle}$, which we will define as $\sigma_{\ell_1 m_1}$. Therefore, the angular power spectrum is given by

$$C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}} = \int \frac{k'^{2} dk'}{(2\pi)^{3}} \langle V_{\ell m} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell m}^{k'*} \rangle$$

$$= \int \frac{k^{2} dk}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{k'^{2} dk'}{(2\pi)^{3}} \sum_{\ell_{1}m_{1}} \sigma_{\ell_{1}m_{1}} \langle \Phi_{\ell m}^{k} \tilde{\delta}_{\ell m}^{k'*} \rangle G_{\ell_{1}m_{1}\ell m}^{\ell m}$$

$$= C_{\ell m}^{\Phi \tilde{\delta}} \sum_{\ell_{1}m_{1}} \sigma_{\ell_{1}m_{1}} G_{\ell_{1}m_{1}\ell m}^{\ell m}$$

$$= 2\sqrt{15\pi} i^{\ell} \frac{p}{N_{\ell}} \left(\int \frac{k^{2} dk}{(2\pi)^{3}} a_{k}^{*} P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k) J_{\ell}^{W}(k) \right) \sum_{\ell_{1}m_{1}} \sigma_{\ell_{1}m_{1}} G_{\ell_{1}m_{1}\ell m}^{\ell m}.$$
(5.16)

We can simplify this expression by utilizing the full form of $G_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell m}^{\ell m}$:

$$G_{\ell_1 m_1 \ell_m}^{\ell_m} = (-1)^{m_1 + 1} (2\ell + 1) \sqrt{\frac{2\ell_1 + 1}{4\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell & \ell \\ m_1 & m & m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell & \ell \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (5.17)

³As in the previous sections, here we equate ensemble and spatial averages, by the Ergodic hypothesis

This is 0 unless $|\ell_1| \ge |m_1|$, $m_1 = -2m$, and $0 \le \ell_1 \le 2\ell$, and hence,

$$C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}} = 2\sqrt{15\pi} i^{\ell} \frac{p}{N_{\ell}} \left(\int \frac{k^2 \mathrm{d}k}{(2\pi)^3} a_k^* P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k) J_{\ell}^W(k) \right) \sum_{\ell_1 = |2m|}^{2\ell} \sigma_{\ell_1 - 2m} G_{\ell_1 - 2m\ell m}^{\ell m}.$$
 (5.18)

This is the 21cm-I–CMB-V cross-correlation for the model of [9].

5.2 Towards An Estimator for CMB-V-21cm-I Cross-Correlation

Our analysis has been purely theoretical, and we have not touched upon a procedure for the extraction of this information from real data. To do this it would be useful to have a quantity that is easy to measure (i.e., has a low error associated with its measurement), and which is not 0 when $C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}} \neq 0$. More precisely, we seek to construct a minimum-variance estimator of the CMB-V-21cm-I cross-correlation.

To do this, we follow a method described in e.g. [68]. We define the estimator F_{ℓ} to be the weighted average over values of m of the $C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}$,

$$F_{\ell} = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} w_{\ell m} C_{\ell m}^{V \tilde{\delta}}, \qquad (5.19)$$

where we require $\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} w_{\ell m} = 1$. The minimum-variance estimator is given by an inversevariance-weighted mean. This general result can be derived in the present context by computing the set of weights $w_{\ell m}$ that minimize δF_{ℓ} . To do this, we note that δF_{ℓ} is minimized when $\sum_{m=-\ell}^{l} w_{\ell m}^2 \delta C_{\ell m}^{V \delta^2}$ is minimized. Adding a Lagrange multiplier so that our condition will be satisfied, we wish to minimize

$$L = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} w_{\ell m}^2 \delta C_{\ell m}^{V \tilde{\delta}^2} - \lambda \left(\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} w_{\ell m} - 1 \right).$$
(5.20)

It can be shown that this is minimized when

$$\lambda = 2 \left[\sum_{m_1 = -\ell}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\delta C_{\ell m_1}^{V \tilde{\delta}^2}} \right]^{-1} , \quad w_{\ell m} = \left[\sum_{m_1 = -\ell}^{\ell} \frac{\delta C_{\ell m}^{V \tilde{\delta}^2}}{\delta C_{\ell m_1}^{V \tilde{\delta}^2}} \right]^{-1}, \quad (5.21)$$

and hence

$$F_{\ell} = \frac{\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}}{\delta C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}^2}}}{\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\delta C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}^2}}},$$
(5.22)

which is precisely an inverse-variance-weighted sum over m.

The task remains to compute $\delta C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}$. The three sources of experimental error for $C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}$ are a_k , $P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}$, and $\sigma_{\ell_1,-2m}$. Assuming all variables are approximately Gaussian, (5.18) gives

$$\left(\frac{\delta C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}}{C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}}\right)^{2} = \left(\int \frac{k^{2} \mathrm{d}k}{(2\pi)^{3}} a_{k}^{*} P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k) J_{\ell}^{W}(k)\right)^{-2} \int \mathrm{d}k \left(\frac{k^{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} J_{\ell}^{W}(k)\right)^{2} \left(\delta a_{k}^{*^{2}} P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k)^{2} + a_{k}^{*^{2}} \delta P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k)^{2}\right) \\
+ \left(\sum_{\ell_{1}=|2m|}^{2\ell} \sigma_{\ell_{1},-2m} G_{\ell_{1},-2m,\ell,m}^{\ell m}\right)^{-2} \sum_{\ell_{1}=|2m|}^{2\ell} \left(\delta \sigma_{\ell_{1},-2m} G_{\ell_{1},-2m,\ell,m}^{\ell m}\right)^{2}. \tag{5.23}$$

To find $\delta \sigma_{\ell_1,-2m}$, let us suppose that we calculate $\sigma_{\ell m}$ by dividing the sky into N equal patches and averaging $P_{\ell m}^2$ over these patches, so that $\sigma_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\text{patches}} P_{\ell m}^2}$. Then the relative error is,

$$\frac{\delta\sigma_{\ell m}}{\sigma_{\ell m}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta \sum_{\text{patches}} P_{\ell m}^2}{\sum_{\text{patches}} P_{\ell m}^2} = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{\text{patches}} (P_{\ell m} \delta P_{\ell m})^2}}{\sum_{\text{patches}} P_{\ell m}^2}.$$
(5.24)

Assuming that the RMS $\delta P_{\ell m}$ is constant across all patches, we get

$$\delta\sigma_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{\text{patches}} \left(P_{\ell m} \delta P_{\ell m}\right)^2}{N \sum_{\text{patches}} P_{\ell m}^2}} = \frac{\delta P_{\ell m}}{\sqrt{N}},\tag{5.25}$$

where $\delta P_{\ell m}$ on the right-hand side is understood as the RMS value. In the limit $N \to \infty$, $\delta \sigma_{\ell m} \to 0$. Thus if we calculate $\sigma_{\ell m}$ using very many patches, then

$$\delta C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}} = 2\sqrt{15\pi} i^{\ell} \frac{p}{N_{\ell}} \sqrt{\int \mathrm{d}k \left(\frac{k^2}{(2\pi)^3} J_{\ell}^W(\chi)\right)^2 \left(\delta a_k^{*2} P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k)^2 + a_k^{*2} \delta P_{\hat{\delta}\hat{\delta}}(k)^2\right)} \\ \cdot \sum_{\ell_1 = |2m|}^{2\ell} \sigma_{\ell_1, -2m} G_{\ell_1, -2m, \ell, m}^{lm}.$$
(5.26)

Plugging this into (5.22), one finds,

$$F_{\ell} = \frac{\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}}{\left(\sum_{\ell_{1}=|2m|}^{2\ell} \sigma_{\ell_{1},-2m} G_{\ell_{1},-2m,\ell,m}^{\ell m}\right)^{2}}}{\sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{\ell_{1}=|2m|}^{2\ell} \sigma_{\ell_{1},-2m} G_{\ell_{1},-2m,\ell,m}^{\ell m}\right)^{2}}}.$$
(5.27)

This constitutes a minimum variance estimator of the cross-correlation $C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}$, as generated by the model [9]. We emphasize this is specific to the form (5.18), and hence this is a modelspecific estimator, which allows for an estimation of $C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}$ once the individual components in (5.18) have been estimated directly from data using conventional methods. One could alternatively attempt to construct an estimator to extract $C_{\ell m}^{V\tilde{\delta}}$ itself directly from data; we leave this interesting possibility to future work.

6 Axions and CMB Cross-Correlation

As a final exercise in V-mode physics, here we consider the TV cross-correlation of the CMB, and to do this, we continue with the total angular momentum framework utilized in section 5. We note again that despite being generated by parity-violating processes, the V Stokes parameter of the CMB is a scalar [29], and the multipole expansion into Y_{ℓ}^m enjoys the same parity properties as that for T. This allows for non-vanishing TV cross-correlation. As a concrete example, we consider a model in which axions are the only significant common source of V and T, and we shall examine how axions manifest in the TV angular power spectrum.

Let us define $T_{\text{axions}} = T - T_{\text{w/o}}$ to be the component of the temperature fluctuation that can be attributed to axions. It follows that,

$$T_{\text{axions},k} = (\Gamma_{+} - 1) \left| A'_{+\text{w/o}} \right|^{2} + (\Gamma_{-} - 1) \left| A'_{-\text{w/o}} \right|^{2}$$
$$= \frac{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-} - \Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-}} T_{k} + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-}} V_{k}.$$
(6.1)

The subscripts of k are added as a reminder that this equation refers to individual Fourier modes of the T and V signals, i.e.

$$T = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} T_k e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}},$$
(6.2)

and analogously with V and T_{axions} . From the plane-wave expansion,

$$e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \sum_{\ell m} \Psi^{k}_{\ell m} Y^{*}_{\ell m}(\hat{k}), \tag{6.3}$$

it follows that $T_{\ell m}^k = T_k Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{k})$, and analogously with V and T_{axions} . Thus (6.1) becomes

$$T_{\text{axions},\ell m}^{k} = \frac{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-} - \Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-}} T_{\ell m}^{k} + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-}} V_{\ell m}^{k}.$$
(6.4)

We have assumed that axion-photon interaction is the only significant common source of Vand T, which means that the correlation of V with T should be the same as the correlation of V with V_{axions} . Thus we get that the TV angular power spectrum is given by,

$$\left\langle T_{\ell m}^{k} V_{\ell m}^{k*} \right\rangle = \left\langle T_{\text{axions},\ell m}^{k} V_{\ell m}^{k*} \right\rangle = \frac{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-} - \Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-}} \left\langle T_{\ell m}^{k} V_{\ell m}^{k*} \right\rangle + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2\Gamma_{+}\Gamma_{-}} \left\langle V_{\ell m}^{k} V_{\ell m}^{k*} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}} \left\langle V_{\ell m}^{k} V_{\ell m}^{k*} \right\rangle$$

$$(6.5)$$

$$C_{\ell}^{TV} = \int \frac{k^2 \mathrm{d}k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}} \left| V_{\ell m}^k \right|^2.$$
(6.6)

We see that C_{ℓ}^{TV} is given by a quantity that is quite similar to C_{ℓ}^{VV} , except for a factor of $(\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-})/(\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-})$ inside the integration, which is general k-dependent. The relation of C_{ℓ}^{TV} and C_{ℓ}^{VV} provides a relation for V-modes generated by axions.

This can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{TV}(k) = \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}} \mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{VV}(k), \qquad (6.7)$$

with $\mathcal{P}_{\ell}(k)$ the power spectrum of a multipole ℓ . For the example of adiabatic evolution [12], discussed above (4.16), this prefactor is simply $g\phi'/k$. Independent measurements of C_{ℓ}^{TV} and C_{ℓ}^{VV} thus uniquely specify the axion coupling and evolution.

7 Conclusion

In this work we have endeavored to perform a systematic analysis of circular polarization of the cosmic microwave background, and the cross-correlation of this with 21cm cosmology. We have derived the Boltzmann hierarchy for scalar, vector, and tensor modes, of Stokes V parameter, and derived an analytic solution to the Boltzmann hierarchy in the presence of a general source. We then collected proposals in the literature and mapped them onto this description, providing the corresponding source term in the Boltzmann equation. We put this forward as a roadmap of the science that can be done with future studies of V-modes and their impact on the cosmic microwave background.

In our analysis of 21cm cosmology, we have considered only a single mechanism of CMB-V-21cm-I cross correlation. More generally, one may expect that any V that is generated by propagation of CMB photons across cosmological distances may be correlated with the 21cm intensity, since both populations of photons must traverse the cosmos. Given the swath of 21cm experiments to be launched in the near future, it is imperative to perform a thorough exploration of model space, namely the set of mechanisms which can generate such a cross-correlation.

We have also considered TV cross-correlation in the CMB. In contrast with TB, this can be non-vanishing even in the absence of additional parity violation. This is due to the simple fact that $V(\theta)$ and $T(\theta)$ are both scalar quantities [29]. We find axion models lead to a relation between the TV and VV correlations, which relates the spectra and the axion velocity and coupling. This suggests that V may be a useful element in the suite of observational probes of axion.

There are many directions for future work; here we have but scratched the surface. An obvious next step is build a V-mode polarization module to interface with known Boltzmann solvers like CLASS [69] or CAMB [70]. This will allow for a quantitative analysis of the V-mode polarization. From there one may consider additional fields and interactions, incorporated as additional fluid components to the universe and collision terms in the V-mode Boltzmann equation. It will also be interesting to perform a full analysis of the vector and tensor modes of V, which we did not develop beyond the Boltzmann hierarchy.

We also note that many mechanisms to generate V-modes also generate B-modes, e.g. through the corresponding production of chiral gravitational waves, and vice versa [71]. Chiral gravitational waves play an important role in models of of leptogenesis [61–63, 72, 73] and dark matter [74], and also serve as a complementary signal to the dark matter production in [75]. It will be interesting to understand the extent to which V-modes may be a probe of more general baryogenesis and dark matter models. We leave this, and topics discussed above, to future work.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Steven J. Clark and Simon Foreman for useful discussions and insightful comments. AP is supported by NASA ROSES NNH17ZDA001N-ATP Grant No. 80NSSC18K10148.

A Solution to the Boltzmann hierarchy with a general source

To show that (3.34) solves (3.33), one must use the result that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell'}{2} \rfloor} A_n^{\ell'} j_{\ell}^{(\ell'-2n)}(0) = \delta_{\ell\ell'}, \tag{A.1}$$

where $A_n^{\ell'}$ and $\Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'}$ are defined in (3.35) and (3.36). In this appendix we present a proof for (A.1).

The spherical Bessel function has a series expansion

$$j_{\ell}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\ell}(-1)^{k}(\ell+k)!}{k!(2\ell+2k+1)!} x^{\ell+2k}.$$
(A.2)

Taking the ℓ'^{th} derivative, we find

$$j_{\ell}^{(\ell')}(x) = \sum_{k=\max\left(0, \lceil \frac{\ell'-\ell}{2} \rceil\right)}^{\infty} \frac{2^{\ell}(-1)^k (\ell+k)! (\ell+2k)!}{k! (2\ell+2k+1)! (\ell-\ell'+2k)!} x^{\ell-\ell'+2k}.$$
 (A.3)

When we evaluate at 0, we will only get the term corresponding to $\ell - \ell' + 2k = 0$, so

$$j_{\ell}^{(\ell')}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 \quad \ell > \ell' \text{ or } \ell' - \ell \text{ odd} \\ \frac{2^{\ell}(-1)^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} \left(\frac{\ell'+\ell}{2}\right)!\ell'!}{\left(\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}\right)!(\ell'+\ell+1)!} \quad \ell \le \ell' \text{ and } \ell' - \ell \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \frac{\ell'-\ell}{2} \rceil} \frac{2^{\ell}(-1)^{k}(\ell+k)!(\ell+2k)!}{k!(2\ell+2k+1)!} \delta_{\ell,\ell'-2k}$$
(A.5)

If $\ell > \ell'$ or $\ell' - \ell$ is odd, then (A.1) follows trivially from (A.4). If $\ell' - \ell$ is even, so that $\frac{\ell' - \ell}{2} \leq \lfloor \frac{\ell'}{2} \rfloor$, then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\ell'}{2} \rfloor} A_n^{\ell'} j_{\ell}^{(\ell'-2n)}(0) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} A_n^{\ell'} j_{\ell}^{(\ell'-2n)}(0) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} A_n^{\ell'} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2} - n} \frac{2^{\ell}(-1)^k (\ell+k)! (\ell+2k)!}{k! (2\ell+2k+1)!} \delta_{\ell,\ell'-2(n+k)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} A_n^{\ell'} \sum_{k=n}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} \frac{2^{\ell}(-1)^{k-n} (\ell+k-n)! (\ell+2k-2n)!}{k! (2\ell+2k-2n+1)!} \delta_{\ell,\ell'-2k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} A_n^{\ell'} \sum_{k=n}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} \frac{2^{\ell'-2k} (-1)^{k-n} (\ell'-k-n)! (\ell'-2n)!}{k! (2\ell'-2k-2n+1)!} \delta_{\ell,\ell'-2k} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{\ell'-\ell}{2}} \delta_{\ell,\ell'-2k} \sum_{n=0}^{k} A_n^{\ell'} \Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'} \end{split}$$
(A.6)

From the definition of $A_n^{\ell'}$, it is easy to show that $\sum_{n=0}^k A_n^{\ell'} \Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'} = \delta_{k0}$:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k} A_n^{\ell'} \Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'} = A_k^{\ell'} \Sigma_{kk}^{\ell'} + \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} A_n^{\ell'} \Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'} = 0 \qquad \text{if } k > 0 \tag{A.7}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k} A_n^{\ell'} \Sigma_{kn}^{\ell'} = A_0^{\ell'} \Sigma_{00}^{\ell'} = 1 \qquad \text{if } k = 0 \qquad (A.8)$$

Plugging this into (A.6) yields (A.1).

B The effect of axions on V

Following the definitions in section 2, the T and V Stokes parameters can be expressed in terms of A_{\pm} ; specifically,

$$V = \frac{1}{a^4} \left(|A'_+|^2 - |A'_-|^2 \right) \qquad T = \frac{1}{a^4} \left(|A'_+|^2 + |A'_-|^2 \right) \tag{B.1}$$

We can define $V_{w/o}$ and $T_{w/o}$ analogously, except with $A_{\pm w/o}$ instead of A_{\pm} ; these are the values V and T would have, if there were no photon-axion interaction. Substituting in (4.13), we get

$$V = \frac{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}}{2} V_{\rm w/o} + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2} T_{\rm w/o}.$$
 (B.2)

We will assume that $T_{w/o} \approx T$, that is, that axion-photon interaction does not contribute significantly to the temperature fluctuations of the CMB. This is a reasonable assumption, as we know that C_{ℓ}^{TT} is quite well predicted using standard cosmology, without including axions. Also, on a more practical level, if axions *did* significantly affect *T*, then we wouldn't have to use circular polarization to look for evidence of axions in the first place. Taking the derivative, we get

$$V' = \frac{\Gamma'_{+} + \Gamma'_{-}}{2} V_{w/o} + \frac{\Gamma'_{+} - \Gamma'_{-}}{2} T + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2} T' + \frac{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}}{2} V'_{w/o}$$

= $\frac{\Gamma'_{+} + \Gamma'_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}} V + \frac{\Gamma_{-} \Gamma'_{+} - \Gamma_{+} \Gamma'_{-}}{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}} T + \frac{\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-}}{2} T' + \frac{\Gamma_{+} + \Gamma_{-}}{2} V'_{w/o},$ (B.3)

where in the second line we have substituted for $V_{w/o}$ using (B.2).

Note that the assumption that $T_{\rm w/o} \approx T$, i.e. that $T_{\rm axions}$ is negligible, while necessary to derive (4.15), is not used in section 6.

References

- D. H. Lyth, What would we learn by detecting a gravitational wave signal in the cosmic microwave background anisotropy?, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 1861 [hep-ph/9606387].
- R. H. Brandenberger, Is the spectrum of gravitational waves the Holy Grail of inflation?, Eur. Phys. J. C79 (2019) 387 [1104.3581].
- [3] K. Harrington et al., Variable-delay Polarization Modulators for the CLASS Telescopes, 1807.03807.

- [4] SPIDER collaboration, J. M. Nagy et al., A New Limit on CMB Circular Polarization from SPIDER, Astrophys. J. 844 (2017) 151 [1704.00215].
- [5] R. Mainini, D. Minelli, M. Gervasi, G. Boella, G. Sironi, A. Ba et al., An improved upper limit to the CMB circular polarization at large angular scales, JCAP 1308 (2013) 033 [1307.6090].
- [6] I. L. Padilla et al., Two-year Cosmology Large Angular Scale Surveyor (CLASS) Observations: A Measurement of Circular Polarization at 40 GHz, 1911.00391.
- [7] A. Cooray, A. Melchiorri and J. Silk, Is the cosmic microwave background circularly polarized?, Phys. Lett. B554 (2003) 1 [astro-ph/0205214].
- [8] P. Montero-Camacho and C. M. Hirata, Exploring circular polarization in the CMB due to conventional sources of cosmic birefringence, JCAP 1808 (2018) 040 [1803.04505].
- M. Kamionkowski, Circular polarization in a spherical basis, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 123529 [1804.06412].
- [10] K. Inomata and M. Kamionkowski, Circular polarization of the cosmic microwave background from vector and tensor perturbations, Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 043501 [1811.04957].
- [11] N. Agarwal, P. Jain, D. W. McKay and J. P. Ralston, Signatures of Pseudoscalar Photon Mixing in CMB Radiation, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 085028 [0807.4587].
- [12] F. Finelli and M. Galaverni, Rotation of Linear Polarization Plane and Circular Polarization from Cosmological Pseudo-Scalar Fields, Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 063002 [0802.4210].
- [13] S. Alexander and E. McDonough, Primordial Circular Polarization in the Cosmic Microwave Background, Phys. Lett. B789 (2019) 197 [1811.05953].
- [14] S. Alexander, E. McDonough and R. Sims, V-mode Polarization in Axion Inflation and Preheating, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 063506 [1704.00838].
- [15] S. Alexander, J. Ochoa and A. Kosowsky, Generation of Circular Polarization of the Cosmic Microwave Background, Phys. Rev. D79 (2009) 063524 [0810.2355].
- [16] E. Bavarsad, M. Haghighat, Z. Rezaei, R. Mohammadi, I. Motie and M. Zarei, Generation of circular polarization of the CMB, Phys. Rev. D81 (2010) 084035 [0912.2993].
- [17] S. Tizchang, S. Batebi, M. Haghighat and R. Mohammadi, Cosmic microwave background polarization in non-commutative space-time, Eur. Phys. J. C76 (2016) 478 [1605.09045].
- [18] I. Motie and S.-S. Xue, Euler-Heisenberg Lagrangian and Photon Circular Polarization, EPL 100 (2012) 17006 [1104.3555].
- [19] R. F. Sawyer, *Photon-photon interactions can be a source of CMB circular polarization*, 1408.5434.
- [20] M. Sadegh, R. Mohammadi and I. Motie, Generation of circular polarization in CMB radiation via nonlinear photon-photon interaction, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 023023 [1711.06997].
- [21] R. Mohammadi, Evidence for cosmic neutrino background form CMB circular polarization, Eur. Phys. J. C74 (2014) 3102 [1312.2199].
- [22] M. Haghighat, S. Mahmoudi, R. Mohammadi, S. Tizchang and S. S. Xue, Circular polarization of cosmic photons due to their interactions with Sterile neutrino dark matter, 1909.03883.
- [23] N. Bartolo, A. Hoseinpour, S. Matarrese, G. Orlando and M. Zarei, CMB Circular and B-mode Polarization from New Interactions, Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 043516 [1903.04578].
- [24] A. Vahedi, J. Khodagholizadeh, R. Mohammadi and M. Sadegh, Generation of Circular Polarization of CMB via Polarized Compton Scattering, JCAP 1901 (2019) 052 [1809.08137].
- [25] S. Furlanetto, S. P. Oh and F. Briggs, Cosmology at Low Frequencies: The 21 cm Transition and the High-Redshift Universe, Phys. Rept. 433 (2006) 181 [astro-ph/0608032].

- [26] L. Dai, M. Kamionkowski and D. Jeong, Total Angular Momentum Waves for Scalar, Vector, and Tensor Fields, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 125013 [1209.0761].
- [27] L. Dai, D. Jeong and M. Kamionkowski, Wigner-Eckart theorem in cosmology: Bispectra for total-angular-momentum waves, Phys. Rev. D87 (2013) 043504 [1211.6110].
- [28] W. Hu and M. J. White, CMB anisotropies: Total angular momentum method, Phys. Rev. D56 (1997) 596 [astro-ph/9702170].
- [29] A. Kosowsky, Cosmic microwave background polarization, Annals Phys. 246 (1996) 49 [astro-ph/9501045].
- [30] U. Seljak and M. Zaldarriaga, A Line of sight integration approach to cosmic microwave background anisotropies, Astrophys. J. 469 (1996) 437 [astro-ph/9603033].
- [31] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, String theory and noncommutative geometry, JHEP 09 (1999) 032 [hep-th/9908142].
- [32] J. D. Bowman, A. E. E. Rogers, R. A. Monsalve, T. J. Mozdzen and N. Mahesh, An absorption profile centred at 78 megahertz in the sky-averaged spectrum, Nature 555 (2018) 67 [1810.05912].
- [33] A. Liu, J. Pritchard, M. Tegmark and A. Loeb, Global 21cm Signal Experiments: A Designer's Guide, in American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #221, vol. 221 of American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts, p. 229.05, Jan., 2013.
- [34] G. Bernardi, Measurements of the global 21-cm signal from the Cosmic Dawn, IAU Symp. 333 (2017) 98 [1802.07532].
- [35] COSMIC VISIONS 21 CM collaboration, R. Ansari et al., Inflation and Early Dark Energy with a Stage II Hydrogen Intensity Mapping experiment, 1810.09572.
- [36] M. P. van Haarlem, M. W. Wise, A. W. Gunst, G. Heald, J. P. McKean, J. W. T. Hessels et al., LOFAR: The LOw-Frequency ARray, A&A 556 (2013) A2 [1305.3550].
- [37] S. J. Tingay, R. Goeke, J. D. Bowman, D. Emrich, S. M. Ord, D. A. Mitchell et al., The Murchison Widefield Array: The Square Kilometre Array Precursor at Low Radio Frequencies, PASA 30 (2013) e007 [1206.6945].
- [38] A. R. Parsons, D. C. Backer, G. S. Foster, M. C. H. Wright, R. F. Bradley, N. E. Gugliucci et al., The Precision Array for Probing the Epoch of Re-ionization: Eight Station Results, Ap. J. 139 (2010) 1468 [0904.2334].
- [39] K. Bandura et al., Canadian Hydrogen Intensity Mapping Experiment (CHIME) Pathfinder, Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 9145 (2014) 22 [1406.2288].
- [40] L. B. Newburgh et al., HIRAX: A Probe of Dark Energy and Radio Transients, Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng. 9906 (2016) 99065X [1607.02059].
- [41] D. R. DeBoer et al., Hydrogen Epoch of Reionization Array (HERA), Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 129 (2017) 045001 [1606.07473].
- [42] A. Weltman et al., Fundamental Physics with the Square Kilometre Array, 1810.02680.
- [43] C. M. Trott and J. C. Pober, The status of 21cm interferometric experiments, 1909.12491.
- [44] J. B. Muoz, Y. Ali-Hamoud and M. Kamionkowski, Primordial non-gaussianity from the bispectrum of 21-cm fluctuations in the dark ages, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 083508 [1506.04152].
- [45] T. Sekiguchi, T. Takahashi, H. Tashiro and S. Yokoyama, Probing primordial non-Gaussianity with 21 cm fluctuations from minihalos, JCAP 1902 (2019) 033 [1807.02008].
- [46] J. B. Muoz and A. Loeb, A small amount of mini-charged dark matter could cool the baryons in the early Universe, Nature 557 (2018) 684 [1802.10094].

- [47] J. B. Muoz, C. Dvorkin and A. Loeb, 21-cm Fluctuations from Charged Dark Matter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 121301 [1804.01092].
- [48] R. Barkana, N. J. Outmezguine, D. Redigolo and T. Volansky, Strong constraints on light dark matter interpretation of the EDGES signal, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 103005 [1803.03091].
- [49] R. H. Brandenberger, R. J. Danos, O. F. Hernandez and G. P. Holder, The 21 cm Signature of Cosmic String Wakes, JCAP 1012 (2010) 028 [1006.2514].
- [50] E. McDonough and R. H. Brandenberger, Searching for Signatures of Cosmic String Wakes in 21cm Redshift Surveys using Minkowski Functionals, JCAP 1302 (2013) 045 [1109.2627].
- [51] C. M. Hirata, A. Mishra and T. Venumadhav, Detecting primordial gravitational waves with circular polarization of the redshifted 21 cm line. I. Formalism, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 103521 [1707.03513].
- [52] A. Mishra and C. M. Hirata, Detecting primordial gravitational waves with circular polarization of the redshifted 21 cm line. II. Forecasts, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 103522 [1707.03514].
- [53] A. Lue, L.-M. Wang and M. Kamionkowski, Cosmological signature of new parity violating interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 1506 [astro-ph/9812088].
- [54] L. Sorbo, Parity violation in the Cosmic Microwave Background from a pseudoscalar inflaton, JCAP 1106 (2011) 003 [1101.1525].
- [55] R. Z. Ferreira and M. S. Sloth, Universal Constraints on Axions from Inflation, JHEP 12 (2014) 139 [1409.5799].
- [56] R. Z. Ferreira, J. Ganc, J. Norea and M. S. Sloth, On the validity of the perturbative description of axions during inflation, JCAP 1604 (2016) 039 [1512.06116].
- [57] R. Namba, M. Peloso, M. Shiraishi, L. Sorbo and C. Unal, Scale-dependent gravitational waves from a rolling axion, JCAP 1601 (2016) 041 [1509.07521].
- [58] P. Adshead, E. Martinec and M. Wyman, Gauge fields and inflation: Chiral gravitational waves, fluctuations, and the Lyth bound, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 021302 [1301.2598].
- [59] P. Adshead, E. Martinec and M. Wyman, Perturbations in Chromo-Natural Inflation, JHEP 09 (2013) 087 [1305.2930].
- [60] A. Maleknejad, Axion Inflation with an SU(2) Gauge Field: Detectable Chiral Gravity Waves, JHEP 07 (2016) 104 [1604.03327].
- [61] A. Maleknejad, Chiral Gravity Waves and Leptogenesis in Inflationary Models with non-Abelian Gauge Fields, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 023542 [1401.7628].
- [62] A. Maleknejad, Gravitational leptogenesis in axion inflation with SU(2) gauge field, JCAP 1612 (2016) 027 [1604.06520].
- [63] R. R. Caldwell and C. Devulder, Axion Gauge Field Inflation and Gravitational Leptogenesis: A Lower Bound on B Modes from the Matter-Antimatter Asymmetry of the Universe, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 023532 [1706.03765].
- [64] E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello and T. Fujita, Primordial Gravitational Waves from Axion-Gauge Fields Dynamics, JCAP 1701 (2017) 019 [1608.04216].
- [65] J. Bielefeld and R. R. Caldwell, Cosmological consequences of classical flavor-space locked gauge field radiation, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 124004 [1503.05222].
- [66] E. McDonough and S. Alexander, Observable Chiral Gravitational Waves from Inflation in String Theory, JCAP 1811 (2018) 030 [1806.05684].
- [67] M. Kamionkowski and E. D. Kovetz, The quest for b modes from inflationary gravitational waves, Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics 54 (2016) 227269.

- [68] P. Chakraborty and A. R. Pullen, Full-sky lensing reconstruction of 21 cm intensity maps, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 488 (2019) 1828 [1906.05873].
- [69] D. Blas, J. Lesgourgues and T. Tram, The Cosmic Linear Anisotropy Solving System (CLASS). Part II: Approximation schemes, JCAP 2011 (2011) 034 [1104.2933].
- [70] A. Lewis and S. Bridle, Cosmological parameters from CMB and other data: A Monte Carlo approach, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 103511 [astro-ph/0205436].
- [71] K. Inomata and M. Kamionkowski, Chiral photons from chiral gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 031305 [1811.04959].
- [72] S. H.-S. Alexander, M. E. Peskin and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Leptogenesis from gravity waves in models of inflation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 081301 [hep-th/0403069].
- [73] P. Adshead, A. J. Long and E. I. Sfakianakis, Gravitational Leptogenesis, Reheating, and Models of Neutrino Mass, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 043511 [1711.04800].
- [74] S. Alexander, E. McDonough and D. N. Spergel, Chiral Gravitational Waves and Baryon Superfluid Dark Matter, JCAP 1805 (2018) 003 [1801.07255].
- [75] A. Maleknejad, Dark Fermions and Spontaneous CP violation in SU(2)-axion Inflation, 1909.11545.