A note on the lower bound of representation functions
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Abstract. For a set $A$ of nonnegative integers, let $R_2(A, n)$ denote the number of solutions to $n = a + a'$ with $a, a' \in A$, $a < a'$. Let $A_0$ be the Thue-Morse sequence and $B_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus A_0$. Let $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $N$ be a positive integer such that $R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \geq 2N - 1$. Previously, the first author proved that if $|A \cap A_0| = +\infty$ and $|A \cap B_0| = +\infty$, then $R_2(A, n) \geq \frac{n+3}{50N-52} - 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. In this paper, we prove that the above lower bound is nearly best possible. We also get some other results.
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1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of nonnegative integers. For a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $R_2(A, n)$ denote the number of solutions to $a + a' = n$ with $a, a' \in A$, $a < a'$. In the last few decades, there are many research on
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the representation function \( R_2(A, n) \). Professor Sárközy posed the problem that whether there exist two sets \( A \) and \( B \) with infinite symmetric difference such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(B, n) \) for all sufficiently large integers \( n \). Let \( D(0) = 0 \) and let \( D(a) \) be the number of ones in the binary representation of \( a \). Let \( A_0 \) be the set of all nonnegative integers \( a \) with even \( D(a) \) and let \( B_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus A_0 \). The sequence \( A_0 \) is called Thue-Morse sequence. In 2002, Dombi \([6]\) proved that \( R_2(A_0, n) = R_2(B_0, n) \) for all \( n \geq 0 \) which answered the problem. In 2004, using the generating functions, Sándor \([13]\) proved the following precise formulation.

**Theorem A ([13, Theorem 1]).** Let \( N \) be a positive integer. Then \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \) if and only if \( |A \cap [0, 2N - 1]| = N \) and \( 2m \in A \iff m \in A, 2m + 1 \notin A \) for all \( m \geq N \).

Let \( R_{A,B}(n) \) be the number of solutions to \( a + b = n, a \in A, b \in B \). In 2011, Chen \([1]\) gave the lower bound of \( R_2(A, n) \). The following theorems are proved.

**Theorem B ([1, Theorem 1.4 (i)]).** Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \) be a positive integer such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). If \( |A \cap A_0| = +\infty \) and \( |A \cap B_0| = +\infty \), then for all \( n \geq 1 \), we have

\[
R_2(A, n) \geq \frac{n}{40N(N + 1)} - 1, \quad R_{A,B}(n) \geq \frac{n}{20N(N + 1)} - 1.
\]

**Theorem C ([1, Theorem 1.5]).** Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \) be a positive integer such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). Then, for any function \( f \) with \( f(x) \to +\infty \) as \( x \to +\infty \), the set of integers \( n \) with

\[
R_2(A, n) \geq \frac{n}{16} - f(n), \quad R_{A,B}(n) \geq \frac{n}{8} - f(n)
\]

has the density one.

Recently, Jiang, Sándor and Yang \([11]\) improved the result of Theorem C as follows.

**Theorem D ([11, Corrollary 1.3]).** Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \) be a positive integer such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). Then for any \( 0 < \theta < \frac{2 \log 2 - \log 3}{42 \log 2 - 9 \log 3} = 0.0149 \ldots \), the set of integers \( n \) with

\[
R_2(A, n) = \frac{n}{8} + O(n^{1-\theta})
\]

has density one.

They also posed a problem for further research.

**Problem 1.1.** ([11, Problem 1.5]) Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \) be a positive integer such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). Does there exist a sequence \( n_1, n_2, \ldots \) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{R_2(A, n_k)}{n_k} \neq \frac{1}{8}?
\]
Afterwards, Jiang [12] improved the result of Theorem B.

Theorem E ([12, Theorem 1.4]). Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \geq 2 \) such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). If \( |A \cap A_0| = +\infty \) and \( |A \cap B_0| = +\infty \), then for all \( n \geq 1 \), we have

\[
R_2(A, n) \geq \frac{n+3}{56N-52} - 1, \quad R_{A,N\setminus A}(n) \geq \frac{n+3}{28N-26} - 1.
\]

For more related result, see [2, 3, 5, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16]. In this paper, we prove that the lower bound of Theorem E is nearly best possible. The following theorems are proved.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( N \geq 2 \). Then there exists a set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \), \( |A \cap A_0| = +\infty \) and \( |A \cap B_0| = +\infty \) and

\[
R_2(A, n) \leq \frac{n+1}{4 \cdot 2^\left\lfloor \log_2(N-1) \right\rfloor}
\]

for infinitely many integers \( n \).

As corollaries we get the following two results

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \( N \geq 3 \). Then there exists a set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \), \( |A \cap A_0| = +\infty \), \( |A \cap B_0| = +\infty \) and

\[
R_2(A, n) < \frac{n+1}{2(N-1)}
\]

for infinitely many integers \( n \).

**Corollary 1.4.** For \( k \geq 0 \), let \( M_k = 2^k + 1 \). Then there exists a set \( D_k \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( R_2(D_k, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus D_k, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2M_k - 1 \), \( |D_k \cap A_0| = +\infty \), \( |D_k \cap B_0| = +\infty \) and

\[
R_2(D_k, n) \leq \frac{n+1}{4(M_k - 1)}
\]

for infinitely many integers \( n \).

A trivial corollary of [12] is the following result.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \geq 2 \) such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). If \( |A \cap A_0| = +\infty \) and \( |A \cap B_0| = +\infty \), then for all \( n \geq 56N - 54 \), we have

\[
R_2(A, n) \geq 1.
\]

The bound \( 56N - 54 \) can be improved to \( 14(N-1) \) (see [12]).

Theorem F ([12, Theorem 1.3]). Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) and \( N \geq 2 \) such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). If \( A \neq A_0, B_0 \) then for all \( n \geq 14(N-1) \), we have

\[
R_2(A, n) \geq 1.
\]

The following statements show that the previous theorem nearly best possible.
Theorem 1.6. For nonnegative integers \( k \), let \( N_k = 3 \cdot 4^k \). Then there exists a set \( C_k \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( R_2(C_k, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus C_k, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N_k - 1 \), \( |C_k \cap A_0| = +\infty \), \( |C_k \cap B_0| = +\infty \) and

\[
R_2\left(C_k, \frac{14}{3}N_k - 1 \right) = 0.
\]

Theorem 1.7. Let \( N \geq 3 \). Then there exists a set \( A \subseteq \mathbb{N} \) such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \), \( |A \cap A_0| = +\infty \), \( |A \cap B_0| = +\infty \) and

\[
R_2(A, 3N - 1) = 0.
\]

2 Proofs

In this section, we prove our main results. Firstly, we give a lemma which will be used in the proofs of theorems.

Lemma 2.1. ([4, Lemma 1]) Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{N} \) such that \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). Let \( l, k, i \) be integers with \( k \geq N \), \( l \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq i \leq 2^l - 1 \). Then

(i) if \( 2|D(i) \), then \( k \in A \iff 2^l k + i \in A \);

(ii) if \( 2 \not| D(i) \), then \( k \in A \iff 2^l k + i \notin A \).

Now, we give the proofs of theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

\[
A \cap [0, 2N - 1] = (A_0 \cap [2, 2N - 3]) \cup \{2N - 2, 2N - 1\}. \tag{2.1}
\]

For \( a \geq N \), let \( 2a \in A \), \( 2a + 1 \notin A \) if \( a \in A \) and \( 2a \notin A \), \( 2a + 1 \in A \) if \( a \notin A \). By Theorem A, \( R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n) \) for all \( n \geq 2N - 1 \). By definition of \( A_0 \), we get that \( |\{2N - 2, 2N - 1\} \cap A_0| = 1 \). It follows that \( |A \cap A_0| = +\infty \) and \( |A \cap B_0| = +\infty \). It is enough to prove that there are infinitely many integers \( n \) with \( R_2(A, n) \leq \frac{n+1}{4 \cdot 2^{\log_2(3N-1)}} \).

For \( N \leq k \leq 2N - 1 \), let \( S^{(k)}_A = A_0 \) if \( k \in A \) and \( S^{(k)}_A = B_0 \) if \( k \notin A \). By Lemma 2.1, one can get easily that

\[
A = (A_0 \cap [2, N - 1]) \cup (\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{2^{N-1}} (2^l k + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap S^{(k)})).
\]

Furthermore, by (2.1),

\[
(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=N}^{2^{N-3}} (2^l k + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap S^{(k)})) \subseteq A_0
\]

and we have that, if \( 2N - 2 \in B_0 \), then

\[
(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^l(2N - 2) + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap S^{(2N-2)})) \subseteq B_0,
(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} (2^l(2N - 1) + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap S^{(2N-1)})) \subseteq A_0;
\]
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if $2N - 1 \in B_0$, then
\[
\begin{align*}
\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty}(2^l(2N - 2) + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap S^{(2N-2)}) & \subseteq A_0, \\
\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty}(2^l(2N - 1) + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap S^{(2N-1)}) & \subseteq B_0.
\end{align*}
\]

It is known that $R_2(A_0, 2^{2m+1} - 1) = 0$ for any nonnegative integers $m$. Thus, if $2^{2m+1} - 1 = a + a'$ with $a < a'$ and $a, a' \in A$, then either $a \in A \setminus A_0$ or $a' \in A \setminus A_0$. Let us suppose that $2N - i \in B_0$, where $i = 1$ or $2$. Then
\[
A \setminus A_0 = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty}(2^l(2N - i) + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap A_0).
\]
Hence
\[
R_2(A, 2^{2m+1} - 1) \leq |(A \setminus A_0) \cap [0, 2^{2m+1} - 1]|
= |(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty}(2^l(2N - i) + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap A_0)) \cap [0, 2^{2m+1} - 1]|.
\]
If $2^l(2N - 2) \geq 2^{2m+1}$ for some $l$, then for
\[
a \in (2^l(2N - i) + [0, 2^l - 1] \cap A_0),
\]
we have $a \geq 2^{2m+1}$. Therefore, for $m > \frac{\log_2(N-1)}{2}$,
\[
R_2(A, 2^{2m+1} - 1) \leq \sum_{l=0}^{[2m-1-\log_2(N-1)]} |[0, 2^l - 1] \cap A_0|
= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{[2m-1-\log_2(N-1)]} 2^{l-1} = 2^{[2m-1-\log_2(N-1)]} = \frac{2^{2m+1}}{4 \cdot 2^{[\log_2(N-1)]}}.
\]
This completes the proof. \qed

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** Let
\[
C_0 \cap [0, 5] = \{0, 3, 4\},
\]
and let $2c \in C_0$, $2c + 1 \notin C_0$ if $c \in C_0$ and $2c \notin C_0$, $2c + 1 \in C_0$ if $c \notin C_0$ for $c \geq 3$. For $k \geq 1$, let
\[
C_k = (4C_{k-1} + \{0, 3\}) \cup (4(\mathbb{N} \setminus C_{k-1}) + \{1, 2\}).
\]
Now, we prove that $C_k$ is the desired set by using induction on $k$.

By Theorem A, one can verify easily that Theorem 1.6 holds for $k = 0$. Suppose that it is true for $k - 1$ $(k \geq 1)$. Then
\[
|C_{k-1} \cap [0, 2N_{k-1} - 1]| = |(\mathbb{N} \setminus C_{k-1}) \cap [0, 2N_{k-1} - 1]| = N_{k-1},
\]
\[
|C_{k-1} \cap A_0| = +\infty, \quad |C_{k-1} \cap B_0| = +\infty
\]
and for $m \geq N_{k-1}$,
\[
2m \in C_{k-1} \iff m \in C_{k-1}, \quad 2m + 1 \in C_{k-1} \iff m \notin C_{k-1}.
\quad (2.2)
\]
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By the definition of $C_k$, 

$$|C_k \cap [0,2N_k-1]| = 2(|C_{k-1} \cap [0,2N_{k-1}-1]| + |(\mathbb{N} \setminus C_{k-1}) \cap [0,2N_{k-1}-1]|) = N_k$$

and

$$|C_k \cap A_0| = +\infty, \; |C_k \cap B_0| = +\infty.$$ 

For $m \geq N_k$, by (2.2),

$$2m \in C_k \iff m \in C_k, \; 2m + 1 \in C_k \iff m \notin C_k.$$ 

It follows from Theorem A that $R_2(C_k, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus C_k, n)$ for all $n \geq 2N_k - 1$.

Suppose that $\frac{14}{3}N_k - 1 = 14 \cdot 4^k - 1 = a_k + a'_k$ for some $a_k, a'_k \in C_k$, $a_k < a'_k$. Then there exist integers $a_{k-1}, a'_{k-1} \in C_{k-1}$ such that

$$14 \cdot 4^k - 1 = 4a_{k-1} + (4a'_{k-1} + 3)$$

or there exist integers $a_{k-1}, a'_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus C_{k-1}$ such that

$$14 \cdot 4^k - 1 = (4a_{k-1} + 1) + (4a'_{k-1} + 2).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{14}{3}N_{k-1} - 1 = 14 \cdot 4^{k-1} - 1 = a_{k-1} + a'_{k-1},$$

where $a_{k-1}, a'_{k-1} \in C_{k-1}$ or $a_{k-1}, a'_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus C_{k-1}$. Clearly, $a_{k-1} \neq a'_{k-1}$. Since $R_2(C_{k-1}, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus C_{k-1}, n)$ for all $n \geq 2N_{k-1} - 1$, we have $R_2(C_{k-1}, \frac{14}{3}N_{k-1} - 1) \geq 1$, a contradiction. This completes the proof. \hfill \square

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** For odd integers $N$, let

$$A \cap [0,2N-1] = \left\{1, 3, 5, \ldots, N-2, N, N+1, \ldots, \frac{3N-1}{2}\right\}$$

and let $2a \in A$, $2a+1 \notin A$ if $a \in A$ and $2a \notin A$, $2a+1 \in A$ if $a \notin A$ for $a \geq N$. Then $|A \cap A_0| = +\infty$, $|A \cap B_0| = +\infty$ and

$$A \cap [2N, 3N-1] = \{2N, 2N+2, 2N+4, \ldots, 3N-1\}.$$

It is easy to see that $R_2(A, 3N-1) = 0$. By Theorem A, $R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbb{N} \setminus A, n)$ for all $n \geq 2N-1$.

For even integers $N$, let

$$A \cap [0,2N-1] = \left\{0, 2, 4, \ldots, N-2, N, N+1, \ldots, \frac{3N}{2} - 1\right\}$$

and let $2a \in A$, $2a+1 \notin A$ if $a \in A$ and $2a \notin A$, $2a+1 \in A$ if $a \notin A$ for $a \geq N$. Similar to the above argument, we can get the same result. This completes the proof. \hfill \square
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