

# Extended hybrid kinetic-magnetohydrodynamic model for ignited burning plasmas

Modhuchandra Laishram,<sup>1,\*</sup> Ping Zhu,<sup>2,3</sup> and Yawei Hou<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*CAS Key Laboratory of Geospace Environment and  
Department of Engineering and Applied Physics,  
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China*

<sup>2</sup>*International Joint Research Laboratory of  
Magnetic Confinement Fusion and Plasma Physics,  
State Key Laboratory of Advanced Electromagnetic Engineering and Technology,  
School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering,  
Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Engineering Physics,  
University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA*

(Dated: December 31, 2021)

## Abstract

A brief review of the existing kinetic-magnetohydrodynamic(MHD) hybrid models for the alpha particle physics in burning plasma demonstrates that the pressure-coupling scheme is equivalent to the current-coupling scheme only in a specific dynamic regime where the alpha particle density is much lower than the background ion and electron. A more comprehensive kinetic-multifluid model is proposed for a proper account of the dynamical regime of the burning plasma where both the energetic alpha and the helium ash particles are present. The Kinetic-multifluid model is further simplified into an extended hybrid kinetic-MHD model in the MHD limit. This reduction process demonstrates that the existing pressure-coupling scheme is more extensive than the current-coupling scheme and sufficient for the wide range of dynamical regimes. This analysis further shows a significant change in the model equations mainly the generalized Ohm's law due to the contributions of a significant amount of alpha particles in the system. These models can be used for studies of the impact of the alpha particles present in ignited burning plasma and space plasma.

---

\*Electronic address: modhu@ustc.edu.cn

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ignition in burning plasma is an important stage of thermonuclear fusion reaction in which the electrically charged  $\alpha$  particles resulting from the fusion reaction can heat up the plasma and enable the reaction to achieve a self-sustained condition [1–4]. Thus, the fusion reaction passes a balance condition of output alpha power  $P_\alpha$  to the external input power  $P_{in}$  (from neutral beam injection (NBI), resonance frequency (RF), and Ohmic heating), beyond which external heating is no more necessary [3, 5, 6]. This balance condition corresponds to  $P_\alpha/(P_\alpha + P_{in}) \geq 1/2$  or  $Q \geq 5$  in term of the power gain  $Q = P_{out}/P_{in}$ , where,  $P_{out} = P_\alpha + P_n \approx 5P_\alpha$  [1, 4, 6]. It means, at the higher value of the power gain ( $Q > 5$ ), there would be a substantial amount of alpha particles (both energetic and thermalized) in addition to the thermalized electrons and ions in the core of fusion plasma. In fusion plasma, energetic alpha particles are usually produced by the neutral beam injection (NBI) and the radio frequency (RF) heating in addition to the main fusion reactions [3, 5, 7], whereas, the thermalized alpha particles arises due to frequent collisions with the thermalized bulk particles [2, 3, 8, 9]. Experiments in Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR), Joint European Torus (JET), and JT-60U have confirmed the alpha particle generation even though it could not reach the state of self-sustained nuclear reactions [1, 2, 4, 6]. Due to the lack of adequate datas, there has been very less discussion on the effects of the alpha particles and alpha-particle physics remain one of the active research area for fusion plasma [10].

To give an estimate on the  $n_\alpha/n_b$ , (i.e., the density ratio of alpha particles to the background plasma) for the existing and future tokamak experiments, we take the recent design of CFETR (China Fusion Engineering Test Reactor)[11–13], which is a little bigger than ITER (International Tokamak Experimental Reactor) [14], as an illustration. The recent CFETR is designed with 7.2 m major radius, 2.2 m minor radius,  $P_{out} \sim 1$  GW fusion power and  $\beta_\alpha/\beta_b = 0.1$  [15, 16]. Here  $\beta_j = 2\mu_0 p_j/B^2$  is the ratio of thermal to magnetic energy of  $j$ -species [10]. Considering the plasma pressure is proportional to density and temperature, the density ratio between  $\alpha$  particles and background plasma should be  $n_\alpha/n_b = (\beta_\alpha T_b)/(\beta_b T_\alpha)$ . In a burning plasma,  $\alpha$  particles are a mixture of thermalized helium ash (of density  $n_0$ ) and slowing down particles (of density  $n_f$ ) i.e.,  $n_\alpha = (n_0 + n_f)$ . Here, the subscripts 0 and  $f$  denote the thermalized helium ash and energetic alpha particles respectively. The character temperature of background plasma, slowing down, and helium ash are picked to be 30 KeV,

1.5 MeV and 90 KeV, respectively. Therefore, the approximate density ratio  $n_\alpha/n_b$  would be 0.002 and 0.033 for slowing down  $\alpha$  particles and helium ash, separately.

Further, from the relations,  $P_{out} = n_D n_T < \sigma v > \epsilon = n_b^2 < \sigma v > \epsilon$  and  $P_{out} = 5P_\alpha = 5n_\alpha \epsilon$ , fusion power  $P_{out}$  is proportional to  $n_b^2$  and  $n_\alpha$  separately [10]. Where,  $P_{out}$  is fusion power,  $P_\alpha$  is the power of  $\alpha$  particles,  $n_D$ ,  $n_T$ , and  $n_b$  are deuterium, tritium and background plasma, resistivity.  $\sigma$  is the cross-section of deuterium and tritium,  $v$  is the velocity of particle and  $\epsilon$  is the energy released per reaction. Then, density ratio  $n_\alpha/n_b$  is proportional to  $\sqrt{P_{out}}$ . If  $P_{out}$  is increased to be 10GW,  $n_\alpha/n_b$  should be 0.007 and 0.11 for slowing down  $\alpha$  particles and helium ash, separately. If  $P_{out}$  is increased to be 100HGW,  $n_\alpha/n_b$  should be 0.02 and 0.33 for slowing down  $\alpha$  particle and helium ash, separately. Although the slow down  $\alpha$  particles density is less  $n_f \ll n_b$ , the helium ash density is  $n_0 \simeq n_b$  ( $\sim 10\%$ ), thus need to modeled as differently than the slow down alpha particles. Systematic studies of the effect of both energetic  $\alpha$  particles and thermalized helium ash on the plasma behavior on and after the self-sustained condition are indeed very important and always necessary to control the thermonuclear reactions in future fusion devices [1, 17, 18].

Hybrid kinetic-MHD models such as pressure-coupling model [9, 19, 20] and current-coupling model [21–25] are two existing and equivalent models that have been using for studies of energetic  $\alpha$  particle physics in burning plasmas. Thus, the model has been used successfully by several groups to study Alfvén eigenmodes (AEs), Toroidal Alfvén eigenmodes (TAE) modes, fishbone oscillations, and stability analysis of the modes that can be driven unstable by interaction with the energetic particles [7, 20, 24–27]. The equivalent condition and the whole derivation of the existing models are started under the assumption that the alpha particles are energetic and very rarefied i.e.,  $n_0 \approx 0$ ,  $n_\alpha = (n_0 + n_f) \approx n_f$ , and  $n_f \ll n_b$  [9, 28]. However, in the ignited burning plasmas [3, 7], the thermalized helium ash density is sometimes comparable of background plasma density i.e.,  $n_0 \gg n_f$  and  $n_\alpha \approx n_b$ . A sufficiently large population of alpha particles both energetic and thermalized helium ash can significantly influence plasma equilibrium, stability, transport, and the confinement [10, 22, 29, 30]. Thus, studies of alpha particle plasma physics is one of the crucial physics goals of the next generation fusion plasma.

In this work, we review the limitations of the existing hybrid kinetic-MHD model and extend it to a more generalized kinetic-multifluid model to account for both the thermalized and the energetic alpha particles present in the burning plasma. In particular, the non-

thermalized particles are modeled using the kinetic approach, whereas, the fluid model is considered for the other thermalized particles. Taking advantage of the MHD limit, the generalized kinetic-multifluid model can be reduced to a new extended hybrid kinetic-MHD model. This reduction to the single fluid model demonstrates a significant change in the resulting generalized Ohm's law due to the presence of a substantial amount of alpha particles in the system. [31].

Thus, the manuscript is organized as follows. In Sec. II, a brief review of the existing Kinetic-MHD Hybrid Models for burning plasma are analyzed regarding its limitations. Then, in Sec. III, we present a detailed description of a more general kinetic-multifluid model in presence of comparable amount alpha particles to the background plasma. This is followed in Sec. IV, by a reduction to an extended hybrid kinetic-MHD model showing significant changes in the generalized Ohm's law due to the presence of alpha particles. Summary and conclusions are presented in Sec. V. This paper contains an appendix A, which is included for a full derivation of the extended generalized Ohm's law.

## II. EXISTING KINETIC-MHD HYBRID MODEL

Before introducing a generalized model for  $\alpha$  particles physics in burning plasma, we start from a brief review of the existing kinetic-MHD hybrid models and their limitations. The existing models assume that the plasma consists of two main components, the low-density energetic  $\alpha$  particles and the high-density bulk plasma components, i.e.,  $n_\alpha \ll n_b$  and  $\beta_\alpha \approx \beta_b$ . Besides, there is no consideration of thermalized helium ash in the existing models. Thus, in the pressure-coupling model [19, 20], where the  $\alpha$  particle physics is coupled to the

bulk plasma equation through pressure tensor as follows,

$$\frac{\partial n_b}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_b \mathbf{v}_b) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$n_b m_b \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_b \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_b \right) = \mathbf{J}_b \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p_b - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_\alpha, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_b - 1} \left( \frac{\partial p_b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_b \cdot \nabla p_b \right) = -p_b \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_b, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_b = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_b \times \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Where, the subscripts  $b$  and  $\alpha$  denote the bulk plasma and the  $\alpha$  particles, respectively.  $n_b$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_b$ , and  $\mathbf{J}_b$  are the particles density, the mean velocity, and the current of the bulk plasma, respectively.  $p_b$  is the pressure affiliated with the thermalized bulk plasma, and  $\mathbf{P}_\alpha$  is the pressure tensor associated with the energetic  $\alpha$  particles which can be calculated using  $\delta f$  method in the kinetic approach [19, 29].  $\gamma_b$  is a ratio of specific heats ( $C_p/C_v$ ), whose value depends on the nature of heat flux and its distribution [10].  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are self-consistent electric and magnetic field associated with the system.

In the current-coupling model [21–24], the  $\alpha$  particles charge and current are coupled to the bulk plasma momentum equation as follows,

$$n_b m_b \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_b}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_b \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_b \right) = (\mathbf{J}_b - \mathbf{J}_\alpha) \times \mathbf{B} - q_\alpha n_\alpha \mathbf{E} - \nabla p_b. \quad (7)$$

Where the rest of the equations remain the same. Both the coupling models have been used successfully by several groups, and generally adequate for describing the low frequency global behaviors and geometrical effects of the burning plasma that can be affected by interaction with the energetic particles [7, 20, 24–27].

Now, in general, one can define the macroscopic dynamical variables for  $\alpha$  particles as the moments of corresponding distribution function  $f_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{u}_\alpha)$  (from the probabilistic kinematic treatment [19, 29],) as follows,

$$n_\alpha = \int f_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{u}_\alpha) d^3 u_\alpha, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_\alpha = \frac{1}{n_\alpha} \int \mathbf{u}_\alpha f_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{u}_\alpha) d^3 u_\alpha, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{P}_\alpha = m_\alpha \int (\mathbf{u}_\alpha - \mathbf{v}_\alpha)(\mathbf{u}_\alpha - \mathbf{v}_\alpha) f_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_\alpha, \mathbf{u}_\alpha) d^3 u_\alpha. \quad (10)$$

The dynamics of the  $\alpha$  particles can be approximated from the moment of Boltzmann kinetic equation such as,

$$m_\alpha \frac{\partial n_\alpha \mathbf{v}_\alpha}{\partial t} = q_\alpha n_\alpha \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{J}_\alpha \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_\alpha. \quad (11)$$

This equation clearly shows that the pressure-coupling model in Eq. (2) is equivalent to the current-coupling model in Eq. (7), only when the inertial term  $m_\alpha \frac{\partial n_\alpha \mathbf{v}_\alpha}{\partial t}$  in Eq. (11) is zero or negligible. Therefore, the equivalent condition of these existing hybrid models are restricted only to the regime where energetic particle density is relatively small ( $n_\alpha \ll n_b$ ). Besides, there is no consideration of thermalized helium ash (of density  $n_0$ ) in the existing models. Further, the existing models have been deficient in other aspects regarding the validation of the adiabatic energy equation and the ideal Ohm's law in the presence of  $\alpha$  particles [17]. The adiabatic or the equation of state given in Eq. (3) is valid only when the heat flow is negligible, and the dissipative process due to resistivity becomes important in the system with a substantial amount of  $\alpha$  particles in burning plasma. Thus, a brief review of the existing kinetic-MHD hybrid models has demonstrated that the existing hybrid models are deficient in many aspects and require more generalization including the dynamic regime of  $n_\alpha \approx n_b$ , which would be observed on or after the ignited burning plasma. Therefore, in the following section, we propose a kinetic-multifluid model for proper treatment of the dynamical regime of burning plasma when  $n_\alpha \approx n_b$ .

### III. DESCRIPTION OF KINETIC-MULTIFLUID MODEL

A complete treatment for burning plasma carrying a substantial amount of alpha particles both thermalized helium ash and energetic particles would be a multifluid model that allows us to see physics of varying length scales and time scales [9, 17, 18, 32]. Thus, we introduce a more comprehensive kinetic-multifluid model for describing its various constituents such as the thermalized helium ash (of density  $n_0$ ) and the energetic particles (of density  $n_f$ ) in addition to the bulk plasma electrons (of density  $n_e$ ) and ions (of density  $n_i$ ). For such a multifluid system having substantial amount of alpha particles i.e.,  $n_\alpha = (n_0 + n_f) \approx n_i$ , with possible cases of density  $n_0 \ll n_f$ ,  $n_0 \approx n_f$ , and  $n_0 \gg n_f$ , one can start from the distribution function for each species, then define the macroscopic dynamical variables and moment equations respectively. Thus, starting from the zeroth moment of the Boltzmann equation, i.e., the continuity equations for each species of the multifluid system can be

written as,

$$\frac{\partial n_j}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_j \mathbf{v}_j) = \eta_j n_j. \quad (12)$$

Where,  $n_j$  and  $\mathbf{v}_j$  are average particle density and velocity of  $j$ th-species.  $\eta_j$  in the source term is the generation or annihilation rate of each species which depends on the various system properties, and therefore, require a systematic analysis [10, 33]. For example,  $\eta_j$  is a function of fueling or reaction between a  $j$ th-species and other  $k$ th-species (electrons, ions, and alpha particles). And it could be zero for electrons and ions, whereas,  $\eta_\alpha$  (for alpha species) is non-zero and function of space and time.

The momentum balance equation (the first moment) of each  $j$ th-species can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} n_j m_j \frac{d\mathbf{v}_j}{dt} = & \eta_j n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j - \nabla p_j + q_j n_j (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_j \times \mathbf{B}) \\ & + \sum_k m_j n_j \nu_{jk} (\mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_k), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $m_j$  is mass,  $p_j$  is the pressure of each  $j$ th-species,  $\nu_{jk}$  is Coulomb interaction coefficient between the charged  $j$ th and  $k$ th-species. Now, the above momentum Eq. (13) is meant for electrons, ions, and the thermalized helium ash (of the density  $n_0$ ), but not for the non-thermalized energetic alpha particles of density  $n_f (\approx n_\alpha - n_0)$ . Therefore, for the energetic alpha particles with a distribution function  $f_f(\mathbf{r}_f, \mathbf{u}_f)$ , one can obtain the macroscopic dynamical variables ( $n_f$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_f$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_f$ ) [19], and the corresponding momentum balance equation for the energetic alpha particle species can be approximated as the above Eq. (11) (given in section II), with additional source term  $\eta_f n_f m_f \mathbf{v}_f$  and Coulomb interaction terms ( $m_f n_f \nu_{fk} (\mathbf{v}_f - \mathbf{v}_k)$ ) with other  $k$ th-species [21].

The energy equation (the second moment) for each thermal  $j$ th-species can be written as,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\gamma_j - 1} \left( \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_j \cdot \nabla p_j \right) = & -p_j \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}_j - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_j \\ & + S_j + \sum_k 2n_j m_j \frac{m_j}{m_k} \nu_{jk} (T_j - T_k). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Where,  $\frac{p_j}{\rho_j(\gamma_j - 1)}$  is the internal energy,  $\gamma_j$  is the ratio of specific heats,  $\mathbf{q}_j$  is the heat flux due to thermal conduction,  $S_j$  is the sources of internal energy such as the ohmic heating, external auxiliary heating, radiation losses, and many more [10]. The last term represents the energy

transfer rate due to the Coulomb interaction with other  $k$ th-species [28]. The temperature  $T_j$  of each thermalized  $j$ th-species are well known and satisfies the relation  $p_j = n_j T_j$ , here, the unit of  $T_j$  is chosen to make the Boltzmann's constant unity. However, the temperature for non-thermalized particles present in the system is not yet defined. For the non-thermalized state of the energetic alpha particles, we can approximate a new dynamical variable  $T_f$  using the following,

$$T_f = (m_f/3n_f) \int |(\mathbf{u}_f - \mathbf{v}_f)|^2 f_f(\mathbf{r}_f, \mathbf{u}_f) d^3 u_f, \quad (15)$$

which reduces to the roles of temperature in the case of thermalized species [34, 35]. Thus, the same form of approximate energy equation can be written for the variable  $T_f$  along with the diagonal elements of the pressure tensor  $\mathbf{P}_f$  of the energetic alpha particles present in the multi-species system.

Furthermore, the charged multifluid system couple to the self-consistent electromagnetic fields through the current density  $\mathbf{J}$  and the charge density  $\rho_q$  in the following Maxwell's equations,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho_q}{\epsilon_0}, \quad (16)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}. \quad (19)$$

Where,

$$\rho_q = \sum_j q_j n_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J} = \sum_j q_j n_j \mathbf{v}_j. \quad (20)$$

These sets of equations from Eqns. (12) to (20) are the kinetic-multifluid model for a wide range of dynamical regimes of the burning plasma. This model will allow us to describe multi-scale phenomena in tokamak in addition to the studies of macroscopic equilibrium, instabilities, and transports processes [10, 31, 34, 34]. This model is also appropriate for study of multifluid phenomena in space plasma such as the coupling between the energetic solar wind and magnetosphere, ionosphere, and thermosphere [36]. The major difficulty of this model is the governing equations which describe explicitly the role of each dynamical variables, sources terms, and parameters such as the generation or annihilation, the exchange



between the multi-species, the thermal conductivity, the resistivity, and many more which are contributing to varying scales, are all significant in the model [9, 17, 18, 32]. However, when the real macroscopic effects are important, the above equation can be simplified into various form of MHD model. In the following section, we reduce the generalized kinetic-multifluid model to an extended hybrid kinetic-MHD model which can be useful for describing low frequency burning plasma processes in the presence of both thermalized and non-thermalized energetic alpha particles.

#### IV. REDUCTION OF KINETIC-MULTIFLUID MODEL TO EXTENDED HYBRID KINETIC-MHD MODEL

Single-fluid MHD model is a reduced form of the multifluid model in terms of global dynamic variables describing various large spatial scale ( $L > r_L$ ) and slow dynamical behaviors ( $\omega < \omega_{ci}$ ) [10], where,  $r_L$  is Larmor radius and  $\omega_{ci}$  is ion cyclotron frequency [19]. From the continuity equation for each species given in Eq. (12), we multiply it by each species mass  $m_j$  (or charge  $q_j$ ) and summing over all the species, thus the mass (or charge) continuity equation in term of global variables  $\rho_m$ ,  $\mathbf{V}$ , and  $\mathbf{J}$  can be obtained as follows,

$$\frac{\partial \rho_m}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \mathbf{V}) = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\partial \rho_q}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0, \quad (22)$$

where the average mass density  $\rho_m$ , the charge density  $\rho_q$ , the center of mass bulk velocity  $\mathbf{V}$ , and the total current  $\mathbf{J}$  are defined as,

$$\rho_m = \sum_j n_j m_j, \quad \rho_q = \sum_j n_j q_j \approx 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\rho_m \mathbf{V} = \sum_j n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j, \quad \text{and } \mathbf{J} = \sum_j n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j. \quad (24)$$

From the momentum Eq. (13) for electrons and ions, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} n_e m_e \frac{d\mathbf{v}_e}{dt} + n_i m_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = & -\nabla(p_e + p_i) + (q_e n_e + q_i n_i) \mathbf{E} \\ & + (q_e n_e \mathbf{v}_e + q_i n_i \mathbf{v}_i) \times \mathbf{B} + f_{e\alpha} + f_{i\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_{e\alpha}$  and  $f_{i\alpha}$  are Coulomb interactions factor of electrons and ions with the alpha particles respectively. Now, applying the MHD approximations ( $m_e \ll m_i$ ) and quasineutrality,

the above equation can be expressed in term of global variables as follows,

$$n_i m_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = -\nabla(p_e + p_i) - (q_\alpha n_\alpha) \mathbf{E} \\ + (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}_\alpha) \times \mathbf{B} + f_{e\alpha} + f_{i\alpha}. \quad (25)$$

In the absence of Coulomb interactions with the  $\alpha$  particles, (i.e., if  $f_{e\alpha}$  and  $f_{i\alpha}$  are neglected), the above Eq. (25) represents the current-coupling model discussed in section II, Eq. (7). It demonstrates that the current-coupling model is restricted to a regime where the contributions of alpha particles such as the inertial and the Coulomb interaction effects are negligible.

Now, in presence of significant amount of the alpha particles both thermalized helium ash and energetic particles with density ( $n_\alpha = n_0 + n_f$ ) comparable to the background plasma density ( $n_b$ ), the sum of momentum equation for both the species becomes,

$$m_f \frac{\partial n_f \mathbf{v}_f}{\partial t} + n_0 m_0 \frac{d\mathbf{v}_0}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_f - \nabla p_0 + (q_f n_f + q_0 n_0) \mathbf{E} \\ + (q_f n_f \mathbf{v}_f + q_0 n_0 \mathbf{v}_0) \times \mathbf{B} + f_{\alpha e} + f_{\alpha i}.$$

Where,  $\mathbf{v}_f$  and  $\mathbf{v}_0$  are the average velocities of energetic particles and thermalized helium ash respectively. Applying the general conditions,  $n_f \ll n_0$ ,  $m_0 = m_f (\approx m_\alpha)$ , and  $q_0 = q_f (\approx q_\alpha)$ , the equation can be rewritten as follows,

$$n_0 m_0 \frac{d\mathbf{v}_0}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_f - \nabla p_0 + (q_\alpha n_\alpha) \mathbf{E} \\ + \mathbf{J}_\alpha \times \mathbf{B} + f_{\alpha e} + f_{\alpha i}. \quad (26)$$

Further, coupling the above two equations i.e., Eq. (25) for the background plasma and Eq. (26) for the alpha particles into a single equation, and applying ( $f_{ij} = -f_{ji}$ ), the total momentum balance equation becomes,

$$n_i m_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} + n_0 m_0 \frac{d\mathbf{v}_0}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_f - \nabla p + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}.$$

Where,  $p = p_e + p_i + p_0$  is the sum of the separate partial pressure of all the thermalized species. Using the MHD limits and general approximations that  $m_e \ll m_i$ ,  $n_0 < n_i$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_0 \approx \mathbf{v}_i$  in the relation  $\rho_m \mathbf{V} = \sum_j n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j$ , the above equation can be reduced as follows,

$$\rho_m \frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_f - \nabla p + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}. \quad (27)$$

This new equation becomes a modified form of the pressure-coupling scheme [19, 21], with contributions of alpha particles in all the global dynamical variables  $\rho_m$ ,  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $p$ , and  $\mathbf{J}$ . It further demonstrated that the pressure-coupling model Eq. (27) is more extensive than the current-coupling model recovered in Eq. (25), and useful for cases having a substantial amount of thermalized helium ash and energetic alpha particles.

Now, for the energy equation, if we ignore the various sources in the multifluid energy equation (14) using the MHD limit. The energy equation in terms of global variables can be written as follows,

$$\frac{1}{\gamma - 1} \left( \frac{dp}{dt} \right) = -p \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}, \quad (28)$$

where,  $\gamma$  is sum of  $\gamma_j$ ,  $p$  is the total pressure, and  $\mathbf{q}$  is the heat flux due to thermal conduction [28]. Furthermore, the associated Maxwell's equations in the MHD limit are as follows,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad (29)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \quad (30)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0. \quad (31)$$

The other important relation is the generalized Ohm's law that relates the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  to the global fluid variables  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{J}$ . It is found that the Ohm's law is modified in the presence of the substantial amount of alpha particles in the system. The full derivation of the extended generalized Ohm's law for such a multifluid system is given in Appendix A, and the final equation is given here,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) = & \left( \frac{n_\alpha m_\alpha}{\rho_m} - n_\alpha q_\alpha \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} + \frac{q_e}{m_e} - \frac{q_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \right) \right) (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_\alpha \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} \\
& + \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \left( \frac{q_e}{m_e} + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) - \left( \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \right) \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \nabla p_e + \frac{q_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \nabla p_\alpha \right) \\
& - \left( \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \right) \left[ \mathbf{J} (\nu_{i\alpha} + \nu_{\alpha e} + \nu_{ei}) + \rho_m \mathbf{V} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nu_{ie} + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \nu_{e\alpha} + \frac{q_\alpha}{m_\alpha} \nu_{\alpha i} \right) \right. \\
& - \nu_{\alpha i} \left( (n_\alpha q_\alpha + \frac{n_i m_i q_\alpha}{m_\alpha}) \mathbf{v}_i + (\frac{n_\alpha m_\alpha}{n_i m_i} n_e q_e + \frac{n_e m_e q_\alpha}{m_\alpha}) \mathbf{v}_e - (\frac{n_\alpha m_\alpha}{n_i m_i} n_e q_e) \mathbf{v}_\alpha \right) \\
& - \nu_{ie} \left( (-\frac{n_i m_i}{n_e m_e} n_\alpha q_\alpha) \mathbf{v}_i + (n_i q_i + \frac{n_e m_e q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_e + (\frac{n_i m_i}{n_e m_e} n_\alpha q_\alpha + \frac{n_\alpha m_\alpha q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_\alpha \right) \\
& \left. - \nu_{e\alpha} \left( (\frac{n_e m_e}{n_\alpha m_\alpha} n_i q_i + \frac{n_i m_i q_e}{m_e}) \mathbf{v}_i - (\frac{n_e m_e}{n_\alpha m_\alpha} n_i q_i) \mathbf{v}_e + (n_e q_e + \frac{n_\alpha m_\alpha q_e}{m_\alpha}) \mathbf{v}_\alpha \right) \right]. \quad (32)
\end{aligned}$$

The above equation has shown how the Ohm's law is modified due to the presence of  $\alpha$  particles in the burning plasma. This equation relates the electric field to the density and fluid velocity of all multi-species present in the system. Specifically, the left-hand side represents the electric field in the reference frame moving with the plasma. Again, in the right-hand side, the first term arises mainly due to the presence of the new component alpha species, the second term arises from the inertial flows, the third term arises because of Hall current across the magnetic field, the fourth term is due to pressure variations of all the species, and the last term is due to Coulomb collisions which give the resistivity effects in the multi-species flow system. In the general MHD approximations that  $m_e \ll m_i$ ,  $\frac{q_e}{m_e} \gg \frac{q_i}{m_i}$ , and  $p_e \sim p_i$ , the order of the inertial term is relatively small and negligible. The Hall term and pressure term are of the same order, but both are negligible for low frequencies process. However, inertial terms and Hall term are very important if one wants to properly capture the plasma dynamics in tokamak MHD modeling [37]. The resistivity terms which represent the effects of the substantial amount of alpha particles are important in such a multi-species system [17].

The above equation for extended generalized Ohm's law readily reduces to the following equation for two-fluid plasma if the contributions from the alpha particles are ignored.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) = & -\frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} + \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \left( \frac{q_e}{m_e} + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) - \left( \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \right) \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \nabla p_e \right) \\
& - \left( \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \right) \left[ \mathbf{J} \nu_{ei} + \rho_m \mathbf{V} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) \nu_{ie} - \nu_{ie} \left( n_i q_i + \frac{n_e m_e q_i}{m_i} \right) \mathbf{v}_e \right],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) &= -\frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} + \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \left( \frac{q_e}{m_e} + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) \\ &\quad - \left( \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \right) \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_e}{m_e} \nabla p_e \right) - \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \left( 1 + \frac{n_e m_e}{n_i m_i} \right) \nu_{ie} \mathbf{J}. \end{aligned}$$

This is an expanded form of the generalized Ohm's law for the two-fluid plasma [17, 38]. Further, dropping the inertia, Hall term, and pressure terms in the resistive MHD limit, the equation reduces to the simplified Ohm's law as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) &\approx \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e \rho_m} \left( \frac{n_i m_i + n_e m_e}{n_i m_i} \right) \nu_{ie} \mathbf{J}, \\ &\approx \frac{m_i m_e}{q_i q_e} \left( \frac{1}{n_i m_i} \right) \nu_{ie} \mathbf{J}, \\ &\approx \eta \mathbf{J}, \end{aligned}$$

where,  $\eta = \frac{m_e \nu_{ie}}{n_i q_e q_i}$  is the resistivity of two-fluid plasma. Now, it is to be noted that, the above single-fluid equations (27) to (33) are derived for the general ignited burning plasma that has contributions from both non-thermalized energetic alpha particles and a major amount of thermalized helium ash, i.e.,  $n_\alpha = (n_0 + n_f) \approx n_b$  and  $n_0 \gg n_f$ . These equations can be used to check whether the alpha pressure gradient will excite instabilities that would cause the alphas particles lost at a fast rate. Besides, a significant amount of helium ash will dilute the DT fuel, leading to a reduction in fusion reactions.

Further, when the contribution of the thermalized particles is reduced, i.e.,  $n_0 \approx 0$  and  $n_f \ll n_b$ , the whole single-fluid model tends to a Non-Hamiltonian form of the pressure coupling model (derived by C. Tronci *et al* [35]). The non-Hamiltonian nature is due to the continuous loss of energetic particles from the plasma system. But, the density condition of relatively less energetic particles  $n_f \ll n_0$  would lead to a nearly conservation of the total energy. Under the same density regime, the model is completely equivalent to the current coupling model as discussed in above section II.

## V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We start from a brief review of the pressure and current-coupling model of kinematic-MHD hybrid models for alpha particle physics in burning plasma. These equivalent models are limited to specific parameter regimes where energetic alpha particles are rarefied and their pressure is comparable to that of the bulk plasma. Besides, there is no consideration

of thermalized helium ash in the existing models. We extend the existing model to consider the contributions from both the non-thermalized alpha particles and a major amount of thermalized helium ash present in the ignited burning plasma, where  $n_\alpha = (n_0 + n_f) \approx n_b$ , with all the possible regimes  $n_0 \ll n_f$ ,  $n_0 \approx n_f$ , or  $n_0 \gg n_f$ . In this kinetic-multifluid model, the non-thermalized particles are treated by the kinetic approach, and the thermalized particles are described as fluid. This model describes explicitly the role of each dynamical variables and system parameters in a wide range of varying length scale and time scale.

The kinetic-multifluid model is further reduced to an extended hybrid kinetic-MHD model in the MHD limit. This reduction process demonstrates that the existing pressure-coupling model is more extensive than the current-coupling scheme and may be sufficient for the regime where  $n_0 \gg n_f$ , whereas the kinetic-multifluid model is still required in the regime  $n_0 \approx n_f$ . The single-fluid MHD limit of the model is derived to account the global impact of the substantial amount of alpha particles in the low-frequency regime of burning plasma. In the model, substantial changes are introduced to the Ohm's law due to the presence of the major amount of alpha particles in the system. Further, when the contribution of the thermalized particles is neglected, i.e.,  $n_0 \approx 0$  and  $n_f \ll n_b$ , the model reduces to a Non-Hamiltonian form of the pressure coupling model which is again equivalent to the existing current coupling model. In the future, we plan on implementing these extended hybrid models in Non-Ideal MHD with Rotation (NIMROD) code and studies the impact of the alpha particles presence in tokamak system. Besides fusion plasma, the proposed models can be used for studies of low-frequency processes in space plasma such as the interaction of energetic solar wind with the magnetosphere, the ionosphere, and the thermosphere.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge the support of the State Administration of Foreign Experts Affairs - Foreign Talented Youth Introduction Plan under Grant No. WQ2017ZGKX065.

## Appendix A: Derivation of the generalized Ohm's law

Starting from the momentum equation for each  $j$ -species in the multi-fluid model given in Eq. (13) as follows,

$$n_i m_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = \pm \eta_i n_i m_i \mathbf{v}_i - \nabla p_i + q_i n_i (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}) \pm \sum_j m_i n_i \nu_{ij} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \quad (\text{A1})$$

Then, we also redefine the global dynamical variables of the multi-species system as,

$$\rho_m = n_i m_i + n_j m_j + n_k m_k \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\rho_m \mathbf{V} = n_i m_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j + n_k m_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\mathbf{J} = q_i n_i \mathbf{v}_i + q_j n_j \mathbf{v}_j + q_k n_k \mathbf{u}_k \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$0 = q_i n_i + q_j n_j + q_k n_k \quad (\text{A5})$$

Here,  $i$ ,  $j$ , and  $k$  represent electrons, ions, and alpha particles.  $n_k (= n_f + n_0)$  includes the contribution from both energetic particles ( $n_f$ ) and thermalized alpha particles ( $n_0$ ). The generation or annihilation term ( $\pm \eta_j n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j$ ) is ignored in the MHD limit. Then, we multiply the equation (A1) by  $\frac{q_i}{m_i}$  and take sum over all the  $i$ - species. We get,

$$\sum_i n_i q_i \frac{d\mathbf{v}_i}{dt} = \sum_i \left[ -\frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_i^2 n_i}{m_i} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B}) \pm \frac{q_i}{m_i} \sum_j m_i n_i \nu_{ij} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \right].$$

The difficulty with the left hand side (LHS) is that the sum over of nonlinear convective terms is not easily lend itself into a single global variables. LHS can be express as  $[\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V} \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{V})]$  in more complete form [19]. However, using linearize approximation, we ignore the convective part and thus LHS is written as  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t}$  for the present analysis. For the right hand side (RHS), we use quasi-neutrality condition given in Eqn (A5), to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} 1^{st} \text{ term} &= \sum_i -\frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i \\ &= -\left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nabla p_j + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nabla p_k \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{st} \text{ term} &= \sum_i \frac{q_i^2 n_i}{m_i} \mathbf{E} \\
&= (n_i q_i \frac{q_i}{m_i} + n_j q_j \frac{q_j}{m_j} + n_k q_k \frac{q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{E} \\
&= \left( (-n_j q_j - n_k q_k) \frac{q_i}{m_i} + (-n_i q_i - n_k q_k) \frac{q_j}{m_j} + n_k q_k \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \mathbf{E} \\
&= \left( -\frac{n_j q_j m_j q_i + n_i q_i m_i q_j}{m_i m_j} - \frac{n_k q_k m_j q_i + n_k q_k m_i q_j}{m_i m_j} + n_k q_k \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \mathbf{E} \\
&= \left( -\frac{q_j q_j}{m_i m_j} \rho_m + \frac{q_j q_j}{m_i m_j} n_k m_k - n_k q_k \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} - \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \right) \mathbf{E}. \tag{A7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
3^{th} \text{ term} &= \sum_i \frac{q_i^2 n_i}{m_i} \mathbf{v}_i \times \mathbf{B} \\
&= \left( \frac{n_i q_i^2 \mathbf{v}_i}{m_i} + \frac{n_j q_j^2 \mathbf{v}_j}{m_j} + \frac{n_k q_k^2 \mathbf{v}_k}{m_k} \right) \times \mathbf{B} \\
&= \left[ \frac{q_i q_j}{m_i m_j} \left( n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i \frac{m_j}{q_j} + n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j \frac{m_i}{q_i} \right) + \frac{n_k q_k^2 \mathbf{v}_k}{m_k} \right] \times \mathbf{B} \\
&= \left[ -\frac{q_i q_j}{m_i m_j} \left( (n_i m_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j) - \left( \frac{m_j}{q_j} + \frac{m_i}{q_i} \right) n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i - \left( \frac{m_i}{q_i} + \frac{m_j}{q_j} \right) n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j \right) + \frac{n_k q_k^2 \mathbf{v}_k}{m_k} \right] \times \mathbf{B} \\
&= \left[ -\frac{q_i q_j}{m_i m_j} \rho_m \mathbf{V} + \frac{q_i q_j}{q_i q_j} n_k m_k \mathbf{v}_k + \left( \frac{q_j}{m_j} + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) \mathbf{J} - n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} - \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \right] \times \mathbf{B}.
\end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned}
4^{th} \text{ term} &= \sum_i \frac{q_i}{m_i} \sum_j m_i n_i \nu_{ij} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \\
&= \sum_i \left( n_i q_i \nu_{ik} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_k) - n_i q_i \nu_{ij} (\mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_j) \right) \\
&= \sum_i \left( -\nu_{ik} (\mathbf{J} - n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j - n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k - n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_k) + \nu_{ij} (n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_j - n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Further, summing over all the  $i$ -species, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
4^{th} \text{ term} &= -\mathbf{J}(\nu_{ik} + \nu_{kj} + \nu_{ji}) + \nu_{ik}(n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j + n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k + n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_k) + \nu_{ij}(n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_j - n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i) \\
&+ \nu_{ji}(n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k + n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i) + \nu_{jk}(n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_k - n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j) + \nu_{kj}(n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j + n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_j) \\
&+ \nu_{ki}(n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_i - n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k)
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\mathbf{J}(\nu_{ik} + \nu_{kj} + \nu_{ji}) + \nu_{ik}(n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j + n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k + n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_k) + \nu_{ij} \frac{q_i}{m_i} (n_i m_i \mathbf{v}_j - \rho_m \mathbf{V} + n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j + n_k m_k \mathbf{v}_k) \\
&\quad + \nu_{ji} (n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k + n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i) + \nu_{jk} \frac{q_j}{m_j} (n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_k - \rho_m \mathbf{V} + n_i m_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_k m_k \mathbf{v}_k) \\
&\quad + \nu_{kj} (n_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_j q_j \mathbf{v}_j + n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_j) + \nu_{ki} \frac{q_k}{m_k} (n_k m_k \mathbf{v}_k - \rho_m \mathbf{V} + n_i m_i \mathbf{v}_i + n_j m_j \mathbf{v}_j) \\
&= -\mathbf{J}(\nu_{ik} + \nu_{kj} + \nu_{ji}) - \rho_m \mathbf{V} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nu_{ij} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nu_{jk} + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nu_{ki} \right) + \nu_{ki} \left( (n_k q_k + \frac{n_i m_i q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_i + (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n_j m_j q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_j - (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j) \mathbf{v}_k \right) + \nu_{ij} \left( (-\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k) \mathbf{v}_i + (n_i q_i + \frac{n_j m_j q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_j + (\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n_k m_k q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_k \right) + \nu_{jk} \left( (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i + \frac{n_i m_i q_j}{m_j}) \mathbf{v}_i - (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i) \mathbf{v}_j + (n_j q_j + \frac{n_k m_k q_j}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_k \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, regrouping all the terms in Eqn (A6), we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} &= - \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nabla p_j + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nabla p_k \right) + \left( -\frac{q_i q_j}{m_i m_j} \rho_m + \frac{q_i q_j}{m_i m_j} n_k m_k - n_k q_k \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} - \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \right) \mathbf{E} \\
&\quad + \left( -\frac{q_i q_j}{m_i m_j} \rho_m \mathbf{V} + \frac{q_i q_j}{m_i m_j} n_k m_k \mathbf{v}_k + \left( \frac{q_j}{m_j} + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) \mathbf{J} - n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} - \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \right) \times \mathbf{B} \\
&\quad - \mathbf{J}(\nu_{ik} + \nu_{kj} + \nu_{ji}) - \rho_m \mathbf{V} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nu_{ij} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nu_{jk} + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nu_{ki} \right) + \nu_{ki} \left( (n_k q_k + \frac{n_i m_i q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_i + (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n_j m_j q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_j - (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j) \mathbf{v}_k \right) + \nu_{ij} \left( (-\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k) \mathbf{v}_i + (n_i q_i + \frac{n_j m_j q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_j + (\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{n_k m_k q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_k \right) + \nu_{jk} \left( (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i + \frac{n_i m_i q_j}{m_j}) \mathbf{v}_i - (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i) \mathbf{v}_j + (n_j q_j + \frac{n_k m_k q_j}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_k \right). \\
\\
\Rightarrow \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} &= - \left( \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \right) \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nabla p_j + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nabla p_k \right) + \left( -1 + \frac{n_k m_k}{\rho_m} - n_k q_k \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{q_j}{m_j} - \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \right) \mathbf{E} + \left[ -\mathbf{V} + \frac{n_k m_k}{\rho_m} \mathbf{v}_k + \left( \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \right) \left( \frac{q_j}{m_j} + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) \mathbf{J} - n_k q_k \mathbf{v}_k \left( \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \right) \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \right] \times \mathbf{B} - \left( \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \right) \left[ \mathbf{J}(\nu_{ik} + \nu_{kj} + \nu_{ji}) + \rho_m \mathbf{V} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nu_{ij} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nu_{jk} + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nu_{ki} \right) - \nu_{ki} \left( (n_k q_k \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{n_i m_i q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_i + (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j + \frac{n_j m_j q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_j - (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j) \mathbf{v}_k \right) - \nu_{ij} \left( (-\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k) \mathbf{v}_i \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (n_i q_i + \frac{n_j m_j q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_j + (\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k + \frac{n_k m_k q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_k \right) - \nu_{jk} \left( (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i + \frac{n_i m_i q_j}{m_j}) \mathbf{v}_i \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i) \mathbf{v}_j + (n_j q_j + \frac{n_k m_k q_j}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_k \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}) = & \left( \frac{n_k m_k}{\rho_m} - n_k q_k \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} - \frac{q_k}{m_k} \right) \right) (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_k \times \mathbf{B}) - \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}}{\partial t} \\
& + \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \left( \frac{q_j}{m_j} + \frac{q_i}{m_i} \right) (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}) - \left( \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \right) \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nabla p_i + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nabla p_j + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nabla p_k \right) - \left( \frac{m_i m_j}{q_i q_j \rho_m} \right) \left[ \mathbf{J} (\nu_{ik} + \nu_{kj} + \nu_{ji}) \right. \\
& + \rho_m \mathbf{V} \left( \frac{q_i}{m_i} \nu_{ij} + \frac{q_j}{m_j} \nu_{jk} + \frac{q_k}{m_k} \nu_{ki} \right) - \nu_{ki} \left( (n_k q_k + \frac{n_i m_i q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_i + (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j + \frac{n_j m_j q_k}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_j \right. \\
& \left. \left. - (\frac{n_k m_k}{n_i m_i} n_j q_j) \mathbf{v}_k \right) - \nu_{ij} \left( (-\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k) \mathbf{v}_i + (n_i q_i + \frac{n_j m_j q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_j + (\frac{n_i m_i}{n_j m_j} n_k q_k + \frac{n_k m_k q_i}{m_i}) \mathbf{v}_k \right) \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \nu_{jk} \left( (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i + \frac{n_i m_i q_j}{m_j}) \mathbf{v}_i - (\frac{n_j m_j}{n_k m_k} n_i q_i) \mathbf{v}_j + (n_j q_j + \frac{n_k m_k q_j}{m_k}) \mathbf{v}_k \right) \right] \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This is an extended form of generalized Ohm's law, which relates the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  to the global variables  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{J}$ . This equation can readily reduce to the well-known generalized Ohm's law and simplified Ohm's law for general two fluid plasma if the contributions associated with the third component ( $n_k, q_k, \mathbf{v}_k$ ) is removed from the above equation in the MHD limit [17, 38].

- 
- [1] B. J. Green, I. I. Team, and P. Teams, Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion **45**, 687 (2003), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0741-3335/45/i=5/a=312>.
  - [2] S. Zweben, R. Budny, D. Darrow, S. Medley, R. Nazikian, B. Stratton, E. Synakowski, and G. T. for the TFTR Group, Nuclear Fusion **40**, 91 (2000), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0029-5515%2F40%2F1%2F307>.
  - [3] W. Heidbrink and G. Sadler, Nuclear Fusion **35**, 243 (1995), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0029-5515/35/i=2/a=514>.
  - [4] M.-L. Mayoral, R. Buttery, T. T. C. Jones, V. Kiptily, S. Sharapov, M. J. Mantsinen, S. Coda, O. Sauter, L.-G. Eriksson, F. Nguyen, et al., Phys. Plasmas **11**, 2607 (2004), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1690763>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1690763>.
  - [5] S. Sharapov, Fusion Science and Technology **61**, 104 (2012), <https://doi.org/10.13182/FST12-A13497>, URL <https://doi.org/10.13182/FST12-A13497>.
  - [6] H. Takenaga, Y. Miura, H. Kubo, Y. Sakamoto, H. Hiratsuka, H. Ichige, I. Yonekawa, Y. Kawamata, S. Tsuiji-Iio, R. Sakamoto, et al., Fusion Science and Technology **50**, 76 (2006), <https://doi.org/10.13182/FST06-A1222>, URL <https://doi.org/10.13182/FST06-A1222>.

- [7] W. W. Heidbrink, *Phys. Plasmas* **9**, 2113 (2002), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1461383>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1461383>.
- [8] S. J. Zweben et al., *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **39**, A275 (1997), URL <http://stacks.iop.org/0741-3335/39/i=5A/a=025>.
- [9] X. Wang, S. Briguglio, L. Chen, C. Di Troia, G. Fogaccia, G. Vlad, and F. Zonca, *Physics of Plasmas* **18**, 052504 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3587080>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3587080>.
- [10] J. P. Freidberg, *Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy* (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [11] J. Chen, X. Jian, V. S. Chan, Z. Li, Z. Deng, G. Li, W. Guo, N. Shi, X. Chen, et al., *Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion* **59**, 075005 (2017).
- [12] N. Shi, V. Chan, Y. Wan, J. Li, X. Gao, and M. Ye, *Fusion Engineering and Design* **112**, 47 (2016).
- [13] W. Yang, G. Li, Y. Hu, and X. Gao, *Fusion Engineering and Design* **114**, 118 (2017).
- [14] <https://www.iter.org/sci/BeyondITER>.
- [15] Y. Wan, J. Li, Y. Liu, X. Wang, V. Chan, C. Chen, X. Duan, P. Fu, X. Gao, K. Feng, et al., *Nuclear Fusion* **57**, 102009 (2017).
- [16] V. S. Chan, A. E. Costley, B. N. Wan, A. M. Garofalo, and J. A. Leuer, *Nuclear Fusion* **55**, 023017 (2015).
- [17] C. Z. Cheng and J. R. Johnson, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **104**, 413 (1999), <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/1998JA900065>, URL <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/1998JA900065>.
- [18] C. Z. Cheng, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics* **96**, 21159 (1991), <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1029/91JA01981>, URL <https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1029/91JA01981>.
- [19] C. C. Kim, C. R. Sovinec, and S. E. Parker, *Computer Physics Communications* **164**, 448 (2004), ISSN 0010-4655, proceedings of the 18th International Conferene on the Numerical Simulation of Plasmas, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465504003170>.
- [20] Y. Hou, P. Zhu, C. C. Kim, Z. Hu, Z. Zou, and Z. Wang, *Physics of Plasmas* **25**, 012501 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4999619>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4999619>.
- [21] W. Park, S. Parker, H. Biglari, M. Chance, L. Chen, C. Z. Cheng, T. S. Hahm, W. W.

- Lee, R. Kulsrud, D. Monticello, et al., *Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics* **4**, 2033 (1992), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.860011>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.860011>.
- [22] H. Wang and Y. Todo, *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 012506 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4774410>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4774410>.
- [23] Y. Todo and T. Sato, *Physics of Plasmas* **5**, 1321 (1998), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872791>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872791>.
- [24] E. Belova, R. Denton, and A. Chan, *Journal of Computational Physics* **136**, 324 (1997), ISSN 0021-9991, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021999197957387>.
- [25] Y. Todo, *New Journal of Physics* **18**, 115005 (2016), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088%2F1367-2630%2F18%2F11%2F115005>.
- [26] S. Briguglio, F. Zonca, and G. Vlad, *Physics of Plasmas* **5**, 3287 (1998), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872997>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.872997>.
- [27] S. Briguglio, G. Vlad, F. Zonca, and C. Kar, *Physics of Plasmas* **2**, 3711 (1995), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.871071>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.871071>.
- [28] W. Park, E. V. Belova, G. Y. Fu, X. Z. Tang, H. R. Strauss, and L. E. Sugiyama, *Physics of Plasmas* **6**, 1796 (1999), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.873437>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.873437>.
- [29] C. C. Kim, *Physics of Plasmas* **15**, 072507 (2008), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2949704>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2949704>.
- [30] S. D. Pinches, I. T. Chapman, P. W. Lauber, H. J. C. Oliver, S. E. Sharapov, K. Shinohara, and K. Tani, *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 021807 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4908551>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4908551>.
- [31] K. Kimura and P. J. Morrison, *Physics of Plasmas* **21**, 082101 (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890955>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890955>.
- [32] L. Guazzotto and R. Betti, *Physics of Plasmas* **24**, 082504 (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4994073>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4994073>.
- [33] T. Gyergyek and J. Kovai, *Physics of Plasmas* **22**, 093511 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4931169>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4931169>.
- [34] S. I. BRAGINSKII, *Reviews of Plasma Physics* **1** (1965), URL <https://ci.nii.ac.jp/naid/10007639755/en/>.

- [35] C. Tronci, E. Tassi, E. Camporeale, and P. J. Morrison, Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion **56**, 095008 (2014), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0741-3335%2F56%2F9%2F095008>.
- [36] P. Song, V. Vasylinas, and L. Ma, in *Multiscale Coupling of Sun-Earth Processes*, edited by A. Lui, Y. Kamide, and G. Consolini (Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2005), pp. 447 – 456, ISBN 978-0-444-51881-1, URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780444518811500332>.
- [37] P. A. Gourdain, *The impact of the hall term on tokamak plasmas* (2017), 1703.00987.
- [38] J. Szab and I. Abonyi, Beitrge aus der Plasmaphysik **5**, 9 (1965), <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ctpp.19650050103>, URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ctpp.19650050103>.