

SOLUTIONS FOR THE LÉVY-LEBLOND OR PARABOLIC DIRAC EQUATION AND ITS GENERALIZATIONS

SIJIA BAO, DENIS CONSTALES, HENDRIK DE BIE, AND TEPPA MERTENS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we determine solutions for the Lévy-Leblond operator or a parabolic Dirac operator in terms of hypergeometric functions and spherical harmonics. We subsequently generalise our approach to a wider class of Dirac operators depending on 4 parameters.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Dirac operator was originally introduced by Dirac in [14] to study the quantum mechanical behaviour of the electron. This operator arises by constructing a suitable square root of the Laplace operator. This is achieved using gamma matrices in 4 dimensions, or in arbitrary dimension by using Clifford algebras [24].

It took a remarkably long time before Lévy-Leblond realized in [23] that it is equally possible to construct the square root of the Schrödinger operator and, in a similar vein, of the heat operator in $1 + 3$ dimensions. The resulting operators are called the Lévy-Leblond operator and the parabolic Dirac operator respectively. The latter name was introduced in [7, 8] because the operator stated there factors the heat operator, which is parabolic. The authors of [7, 8] seemed unaware of the work of Lévy-Leblond. However, they do give a construction in general $(m + 1)$ -dimensional space, whereas Lévy-Leblond only treats dimension $1 + 3$.

The literature on the Lévy-Leblond equation investigates it in various physical contexts. We mention supersymmetry and Chern-Simons theory, see e.g. [18, 17, 21, 15, 22]. The direct inspiration for the present paper stems from the recent results in [1, 2]. There the symmetries of the Lévy-Leblond operator are computed and organised in terms of a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie superalgebra. This immediately gives rise to two questions:

- **Q1** Can we construct special classes of solutions of the Lévy-Leblond equation in arbitrary dimension?
- **Q2** How do the symmetries of [1, 2] act on the solutions of the Lévy-Leblond equation?

The present paper aims to tackle **Q1**, not only for the Lévy-Leblond or parabolic Dirac equation but also for generalizations thereof. We postpone **Q2** to future work.

The construction of special classes of solutions for Dirac operators has recently received a lot of interest. In [26] series expansions of such solutions have been constructed. The case of the standard Dirac operator and polynomial expressions in it was treated in [28, 27, 25, 19], leading to solutions expressed as series expansions in Bessel functions multiplied with spherical monogenics [3, 12]. The hyperbolic Dirac operator was treated in [16], now using hypergeometric functions. Several other generalisations of the Dirac operator were treated in [4, 5, 6], where again hypergeometric functions arise. The series expansions mentioned here often serve as a starting point in the construction

Date: November 10, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 81Q05, 35Q41, 30G35.

Key words and phrases. Lévy-Leblond operator, parabolic Dirac operator, hypergeometric function, spherical harmonic.

of generalised Fourier transforms interacting with Dirac operators. We refer the reader to e.g. [9, 14, 11].

It is our goal to show that the solutions for the Lévy-Leblond operator can also be written using suitable hypergeometric functions. This will be achieved as follows. We expand the solutions first as a series of spherical harmonics or spherical monogenics multiplied with radial functions. Using a result from [8], we find the relation between the coefficients and express them as hypergeometric functions. A clever rewriting yields a concise result, see Theorem 3.1. Finally, we will extend these techniques to find solutions of the generalised parabolic Dirac operator leading to Theorems 4.4 and 4.5.

The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 contains the necessary preliminaries on Clifford algebras and the Lévy-Leblond or the parabolic Dirac operator. Section 3 determines solutions of the parabolic Dirac operator, culminating in Theorem 3.1. In Section 4 we define the generalised parabolic Dirac operator and determine its solution. We end with conclusions and an outlook for further research.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce all concepts necessary for the paper. We mostly follow the notations from [8].

2.1. Clifford algebras. Let us consider the vector space $\mathbb{R}^{1,m+1}$ with basis $(\epsilon, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{m+1})$. We use it to construct the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$ of signature $(1, m+1)$ as the algebra generated by the basis elements $\epsilon, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{m+1}$ under the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 &= +1 \\ e_j^2 &= -1 & j \in \{1, \dots, m+1\} \\ e_j e_k + e_k e_j &= 0 & j \neq k \\ \epsilon e_j + e_j \epsilon &= 0 & j \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

The main involution is defined on the basis elements as

$$e_j^* = -e_j, \quad \epsilon^* = -\epsilon$$

and it extends to the whole Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$ by

$$(ab)^* = a^* b^* \quad \text{and} \quad (a+b)^* = a^* + b^*.$$

We now introduce the nilpotent elements $\mathfrak{f} = (e_{m+1} - \epsilon)/2$ and $\mathfrak{f}^\dagger = -(e_{m+1} + \epsilon)/2$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}^2 &= \mathfrak{f}^{\dagger 2} = 0 \\ \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger \mathfrak{f} &= 1 \\ \mathfrak{f} e_j + e_j \mathfrak{f} &= \mathfrak{f}^\dagger e_j + e_j \mathfrak{f}^\dagger = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The Clifford algebras $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}$ generated by $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^\dagger$ and $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$ generated by e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m are clearly sub-algebras of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$. In fact, it holds that $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1} = \mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$. The elements \mathfrak{f} and \mathfrak{f}^\dagger are crucial to factor the heat operator. For more details on Clifford algebras we refer the reader to e.g. [24].

2.2. The Lévy-Leblond or parabolic Dirac operator. The standard (orthogonal) Dirac operator is given by

$$\partial_{\underline{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i \partial_{x_i}.$$

Its square satisfies $\partial_{\underline{x}}^2 = -\Delta$, where $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_{x_i}^2$ is the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^m . The symbol of the Dirac operator $\partial_{\underline{x}}$ is denoted by the vector variable

$$\underline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^m e_i x_i$$

and satisfies $\underline{x}^2 = -|\underline{x}|^2 = -\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2$.

Using the nilpotent elements $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^\dagger$ we can now introduce the Lévy-Leblond or parabolic Dirac operator.

Definition 2.1. We define the parabolic Dirac operator as

$$D_{\underline{x},t} = \partial_{\underline{x}} + \mathfrak{f} \partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger.$$

It is easy to show, using (2.1), that the parabolic Dirac operator indeed factors the heat operator, i.e.

$$(\partial_{\underline{x}} + \mathfrak{f} \partial_t + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger)^2 = -\Delta + \partial_t.$$

Remark 2.2. The parabolic Dirac operator can be altered to the Lévy-Leblond operator by putting imaginary units at suitable positions. The Lévy-Leblond operator will factor the Schrödinger operator instead of the heat operator, see [23, 1, 2]. This choice has no impact on the sequel, apart from the normalizations of constants.

Using the decomposition $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1} = \mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1} \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$ in terms of the nilpotent elements $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^\dagger$, any $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$ -valued function $F = F(x, t)$ can be uniquely decomposed as

$$(2.2) \quad F(x, t) = F^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} F^{[1]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger F^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger F^{[3]}(x, t)$$

where the components $F^{[i]}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, are $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$ -valued polynomials in the space-time domain $\Omega = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^+\}$. We will say that a $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$ -valued function $F(x, t)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}^{[k,l]}(\Omega)$ if and only if F is of class \mathcal{C}^k with respect to x and of class \mathcal{C}^l with respect to t .

The following theorem was proven in [8].

Theorem 2.3. Let $F = F^{[0]} + \mathfrak{f} F^{[1]} + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger F^{[2]} + \mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{f}^\dagger F^{[3]} \in \mathcal{C}^{[2,1]}(\Omega)$. We have $D_{\underline{x},t} F = 0$ in Ω if and only if its components $F^{[i]}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, satisfy

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta - \partial_t) F^{[i]} = 0, & i = 0, 2 \\ F^{[1]} = -\partial_{\underline{x}} F^{[0]} \\ F^{[3]} = \partial_{\underline{x}} F^{[2]} - F^{[0]}. \end{cases}$$

Finally, we introduce a few important spaces of polynomials with values in suitable Clifford algebras. Let $\mathcal{P}_k(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be the space of k -homogeneous polynomials on \mathbb{R}^m . Then we introduce the space of spherical monogenics of degree k , see [3, 12], as

$$\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}) = (\mathcal{P}_k(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}) \cap \ker \partial_{\underline{x}}.$$

This is a refinement of the space of Clifford algebra-valued spherical harmonics of degree k defined as

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}) = (\mathcal{P}_k(\mathbb{R}^m) \otimes \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}) \cap \ker \Delta.$$

Depending on the situation, we may let the spherical harmonics or monogenics take values in a larger Clifford algebra such as $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$.

We will need the following technical lemma, which was proven in [12, Prop 2, p217]

Lemma 2.4. *For $M_k(x) \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m})$ and $g(\rho)$ a scalar function of $\rho = |\underline{x}|$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \partial_{\underline{x}}(M_k(x)g(\rho)) = \underline{x}M_k(x)\frac{g'(\rho)}{\rho}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \partial_{\underline{x}}(\underline{x}M_k(x)g(\rho)) = -((2k+m)M_k(x)g(\rho) + M_k(x)\rho g'(\rho)).$$

We then immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *For any integer $\ell \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \partial_{\underline{x}}[\rho^{2\ell}M_k(x)] = 2\ell\rho^{2\ell-2}\underline{x}M_k(x)$$

$$(2.6) \quad \partial_{\underline{x}}[\rho^{2\ell}\underline{x}M_k(x)] = -2(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2})\rho^{2\ell}M_k(x)$$

with $M_k(x) \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m})$.

3. NULL-SOLUTIONS OF THE PARABOLIC DIRAC OPERATOR

Now we will investigate the null-solutions of the parabolic Dirac operator $D_{\underline{x},t}$, i.e. functions $F(x, t)$ satisfying

$$D_{\underline{x},t}F = 0.$$

We will do this by means of series expansions of the component functions $F^{[i]}(x, t)$, $i = 0, \dots, 3$. By separating the radial variable $\rho = |\underline{x}|$, the general terms will be of the form

$$\rho^{2\ell}M_k(x)a_\ell(t) + \rho^{2\ell}\underline{x}M_k(x)b_\ell(t),$$

where $M_k(x) \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m})$ is a spherical monogenic of degree k and $a_\ell(t)$, $b_\ell(t)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, are $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$ -valued functions.

From Corollary 2.5 we observe the following relations:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_{\underline{x}}(\rho^{2\ell}M_k(x)a(t)) &= 2\ell\rho^{2\ell-2}\underline{x}M_k(x)a(t) \\ \partial_{\underline{x}}(\rho^{2\ell}\underline{x}M_k(x)b(t)) &= -2(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2})\rho^{2\ell}M_k(x)b(t) \\ \partial_t(\rho^{2\ell}M_k(x)a(t)) &= \rho^{2\ell}M_k(x)a'(t) \\ \partial_t(\rho^{2\ell}\underline{x}M_k(x)b(t)) &= \rho^{2\ell}\underline{x}M_k(x)b'(t). \end{cases}$$

We combine (3.1) with the necessary and sufficient condition of Theorem 2.3. This will give us conditions under which a $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$ -valued function of the form

$$(3.2) \quad F(x, t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} [(M_k(x)a_\ell^0(t) + \underline{x}M_k(x)b_\ell^0(t)) + \mathfrak{f}(M_k(x)a_\ell^1(t) + \underline{x}M_k(x)b_\ell^1(t)) \\ + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger(M_k(x)a_\ell^2(t) + \underline{x}M_k(x)b_\ell^2(t)) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger(M_k(x)a_\ell^3(t) + \underline{x}M_k(x)b_\ell^3(t))]$$

is a null-solution of the parabolic Dirac operator. At this point we assume $F \in \mathcal{C}^{[2,\infty]}$ and absolute convergence of the series in (3.2).

The function

$$F^{[0]}(x, t) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell}(M_k(x)a_\ell^0(t) + \underline{x}M_k(x)b_\ell^0(t))$$

satisfies the heat equation if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta - \partial_t)F^{[0]} &= -\partial_{\underline{x}}^2 F^{[0]} - \partial_t F^{[0]} \\
&= -\partial_{\underline{x}} \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2\ell \rho^{2(\ell-1)} \underline{x} M_k(x) a_{\ell}^0(t) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2 \left(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2} \right) \rho^{2\ell} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^0(t) \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} [M_k(x)(a_{\ell}^0)'(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x)(b_{\ell}^0)'(t)] \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} \left[4(\ell+1) \left(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2} \right) M_k(x) a_{\ell+1}^0(t) + 4(\ell+1) \left(\ell + k + \frac{m+2}{2} \right) \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell+1}^0(t) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - M_k(x)(a_{\ell}^0)'(t) - \underline{x} M_k(x)(b_{\ell}^0)'(t) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the functions $a_{\ell}^0(t)$ and $b_{\ell}^0(t)$ have to fulfil the recurrence relations

$$\begin{cases} (a_{\ell}^0)'(t) &= 4(\ell+1)(\ell+k+\frac{m}{2})a_{\ell+1}^0(t) \\ (b_{\ell}^0)'(t) &= 4(\ell+1)(\ell+k+\frac{m+2}{2})b_{\ell+1}^0(t) \end{cases}$$

and thus

$$\begin{cases} a_{\ell+1}^0(t) &= \frac{(a_{\ell}^0)'(t)}{4(\ell+1)(\ell+k+\frac{m}{2})} \\ &= \frac{(a_{\ell-1}^0)''(t)}{4(\ell+1)(\ell+k+\frac{m}{2})4\ell(\ell-1+k+\frac{m}{2})} \\ &= \dots \\ &= \frac{(a_0^0)^{(\ell+1)}(t)}{4^{(\ell+1)}(\ell+1)!(\ell+k+\frac{m}{2}) \dots (0+k+\frac{m}{2})} \\ (b_{\ell+1}^0)(t) &= \frac{(b_0^0)^{(\ell+1)}(t)}{4^{(\ell+1)}(\ell+1)!(\ell+k+\frac{m+2}{2}) \dots (0+k+\frac{m+2}{2})} \end{cases}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} a_{\ell}^0(t) &= \frac{(a_0^0)^{(\ell)}(t)}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m}{2})_{\ell}} \\ b_{\ell}^0(t) &= \frac{(b_0^0)^{(\ell)}(t)}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m+2}{2})_{\ell}} \end{cases}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. A similar reasoning shows

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} a_{\ell}^2(t) &= \frac{(a_0^2)^{(\ell)}(t)}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m}{2})_{\ell}} \\ b_{\ell}^2(t) &= \frac{(b_0^2)^{(\ell)}(t)}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m+2}{2})_{\ell}} \end{cases}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. In (3.3) and (3.4) we have used the Pochhammer symbol: $(a)_0 = 1$ and $(a)_k = a(a+1) \dots (a+k-1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Summarising, $F^{[0]}$ and $F^{[2]}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.5) \quad F^{[0]}(x, t) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} (M_k(x) a_{\ell}^0(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^0(t)) \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{2\ell}}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m}{2})_{\ell}} M_k(x) (a_0^0)^{(\ell)}(t) + \underline{x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{2\ell}}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m+2}{2})_{\ell}} M_k(x) (b_0^0)^{(\ell)}(t) \\
&= {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) M_k(x) a_0^0(t) + {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) \underline{x} M_k(x) b_0^0(t)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad F^{[2]}(x, t) = {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) M_k(x) a_0^2(t) + {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) \underline{x} M_k(x) b_0^2(t)$$

where $s = \partial_t$ and ${}_0F_1(\gamma; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n! (\gamma)_n}$ is a hypergeometric function. Using Theorem 2.3 we can generate the remaining components $F^{[1]}$ and $F^{[3]}$. From $F^{[1]}(x, t) = -\partial_{\underline{x}} F^{[0]}(x, t)$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} (M_k(x) a_{\ell}^1(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^1(t)) &= -\partial_{\underline{x}} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} (M_k(x) a_{\ell}^0(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^0(t)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2 \left(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2} \right) \rho^{2\ell} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^0(t) - \underline{x} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} 2(\ell+1) \rho^{2\ell} M_k(x) a_{\ell+1}^0(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} a_{\ell}^1(t) = 2(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2}) b_{\ell}^0(t) = \frac{2(k+\frac{m}{2})}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m}{2})_{\ell}} (b_0^0)^{(\ell)}(t) \\ b_{\ell}^1(t) = -2(\ell+1) a_{\ell+1}^0(t) = -\frac{1}{(2k+m) 4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m+2}{2})_{\ell}} (a_0^0)^{(\ell+1)}(t) \end{cases}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} F^{[1]}(x, t) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} (M_k(x) a_{\ell}^1(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^1(t)) \\ (3.8) \quad &= (2k+m) {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) M_k(x) b_0^0(t) - \frac{\underline{x}}{(2k+m)} {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) s M_k(x) a_0^0(t). \end{aligned}$$

From $F^{[3]} = \partial_{\underline{x}} F^{[2]}(x, t) - F^{[0]}(x, t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} (M_k(x) a_{\ell}^3(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^3(t)) &= \partial_{\underline{x}} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} (M_k(x) (a_{\ell}^2(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^2(t)) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} (M_k(x) (a_{\ell}^0(t) + \underline{x} M_k(x) b_{\ell}^0(t)) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \rho^{2\ell} \left(-2 \left(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2} \right) M_k(x) b_{\ell}^2(t) - M_k(x) a_{\ell}^0(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \underline{x} [2(\ell+1) M_k(x) a_{\ell+1}^2(t) - M_k(x) b_{\ell}^0(t)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} a_{\ell}^3(t) = -2(\ell + k + \frac{m}{2}) b_{\ell}^2(t) - a_{\ell}^0(t) \\ b_{\ell}^3(t) = 2(\ell+1) a_{\ell+1}^2(t) - b_{\ell}^0(t) \end{cases}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Substituting (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.9), yields

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} a_{\ell}^3(t) = -\frac{1}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m}{2})_{\ell}} [(2k+m) (b_0^2)^{(\ell)}(t) + (a_0^0)^{(\ell)}(t)] \\ b_{\ell}^3(t) = \frac{1}{4^{\ell} \ell! (k+\frac{m+2}{2})_{\ell}} \left[\frac{(a_0^2)^{(\ell+1)}(t)}{2k+m} - (b_0^0)^{(\ell)}(t) \right] \end{cases}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} F^{[3]}(x, t) &= {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) (-(2k+m) M_k(x) b_0^2(t) - M_k(x) a_0^0(t)) \\ (3.11) \quad &+ {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) \underline{x} \left(\frac{s}{2k+m} M_k(x) a_0^2(t) - M_k(x) b_0^0(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.5), (3.6), (3.8) and (3.11) into (2.2), we get the following null-solutions of the parabolic Dirac operator $D_{\underline{x},t}$ by means of series expansions with general term $\rho^{2\ell}[M_k(x)a_\ell(t) + \underline{x}M_k(x)b_\ell(t)]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad F(x, t) = & F^{[0]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}F^{[1]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger F^{[2]}(x, t) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger F^{[3]}(x, t) \\
 = & {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) [(1 - \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger)M_k(x)a_0^0(t) + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger M_k(x)a_0^2(t) \\
 & + (2k + m)(\mathfrak{f}M_k(x)b_0^0(t) - \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger M_k(x)b_0^2(t))] \\
 & + {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) \underline{x} \left[(1 - \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger)M_k(x)b_0^0(t) - \mathfrak{f}^\dagger M_k(x)b_0^2(t) \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{s}{(2k + m)}(\mathfrak{f}M_k(x)a_0^0(t) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger M_k(x)a_0^2(t)) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

It is now possible to formulate the following theorem, which presents the solution (3.12) in a much more concise way.

Theorem 3.1. *The general solution of the parabolic Dirac equation $D_{\underline{x},t}F(x, t) = 0$ of type (3.2) is given by*

$$(3.13) \quad F(x, t) = \left[1 - \left(\mathfrak{f} + \frac{1}{s}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger \right) \partial_{\underline{x}} \right] {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t).$$

where $s = \partial_t$, $M_k(x) \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m})$ is a spherical monogenic of degree k and $a(t)$ is a $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$ -valued function.

Proof. By taking $a_0^0(t) = a(t)$, $b_0^2 = -a(t)/(2k + m)$ and $a_0^2 = b_0^0 = 0$ in (3.12), the null-solution for the parabolic Dirac operator can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad F(x, t) = & {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) [(1 - \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger)M_k(x)a(t) + \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger M_k(x)a(t)] \\
 & + {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) \underline{x} \left[\frac{1}{2k + m}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger M_k(x)a(t) + \frac{s}{2k + m}\mathfrak{f}M_k(x)a(t) \right] \\
 = & {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t) + {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) \underline{x} \left[\frac{\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + s\mathfrak{f}}{2k + m} M_k(x)a(t) \right] \\
 = & \left[{}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) + {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) \underline{x} \frac{\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + s\mathfrak{f}}{2k + m} \right] M_k(x)a(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\partial_{\underline{x}} \left({}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t) \right) = \frac{\underline{x}s}{k + 2m} {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(x, t) = & {}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t) - \mathfrak{f}\partial_{\underline{x}} \left({}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t) \right) \\
 & - \frac{\mathfrak{f}^\dagger}{s} \partial_{\underline{x}} \left({}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t) \right) \\
 = & \left[1 - \left(\mathfrak{f} + \frac{1}{s}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger \right) \partial_{\underline{x}} \right] \left({}_0F_1\left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4}\right) M_k(x)a(t) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence for suitable choices of the input functions, (3.12) indeed reduces to (3.13).

Now we show that any solution of the form (3.13) is of the type (3.12). If $a(t)$ is not restricted to $\mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m}$ but allowed to vary in $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,m+1}$, we verify that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left({}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) + \frac{\underline{x}}{2k+m} (s\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}^\dagger) {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) \right) M_k(x)(1 - \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger) \\ &= \left({}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) (1 - \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger) + \frac{\underline{x}s\mathfrak{f}}{2k+m} {}_0F_1 \left(k + \frac{m+2}{2}; \frac{\rho^2 s}{4} \right) \right) M_k(x), \end{aligned}$$

which is the coefficient of $a_0^0(t)$ in the general solution (3.12). Similarly, the coefficient of $a_0^2(t)$ is obtained by multiplying (3.14) to the right by \mathfrak{f}^\dagger . Analogously, multiplying to the right by $(m+2k)\mathfrak{f}$ and $-\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger$ gives the coefficient of $b_0^0(t)$ and $b_0^2(t)$ respectively. \square

4. SOLUTIONS OF THE GENERALISED PARABOLIC DIRAC OPERATOR

Definition 2.1 inspires us to define a more general class of Dirac operators as follows. Introduce the following element of $\mathcal{C}\ell_{1,1}$:

$$\zeta = a\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + b\mathfrak{f} + c\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + d\mathfrak{f}^\dagger\mathfrak{f}$$

where a, b, c, d are complex constants.

Definition 4.1. We define the generalised parabolic Dirac operator as

$$\partial_{\underline{x}} + \zeta$$

where $\zeta = a\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + b\mathfrak{f} + c\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + d\mathfrak{f}^\dagger\mathfrak{f}$ with $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$.

As far as we are aware, the operator of Definition 4.1 has not been studied before.

We state some direct observations. Let ζ be as above, then it can be represented by $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Its main involution is given by

$$\zeta^* = a\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger - b\mathfrak{f} - c\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + d\mathfrak{f}^\dagger\mathfrak{f}$$

This is represented by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

or in terms of the Clifford elements:

$$\zeta^* = (\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger - \mathfrak{f}^\dagger\mathfrak{f})\zeta(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger - \mathfrak{f}^\dagger\mathfrak{f})$$

so that $\zeta^*\zeta = \xi^2$ where

$$\xi = (\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger - \mathfrak{f}^\dagger\mathfrak{f})\zeta = a\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{f}^\dagger + b\mathfrak{f} - c\mathfrak{f}^\dagger - d\mathfrak{f}^\dagger\mathfrak{f}.$$

Thus ξ is represented by $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix}$.

We are looking for solutions of the generalised Dirac equations, i.e. functions g that satisfy

$$(4.1) \quad (\partial_{\underline{x}} + \zeta)g = 0.$$

Consequently, they also satisfy $(-\partial_{\underline{x}} + \zeta^*)(\partial_{\underline{x}} + \zeta)g = 0$, hence they are solutions of the generalised Helmholtz equation

$$(4.2) \quad (\Delta + \zeta^*\zeta)g = 0.$$

By separating the radial variable $\rho = |\underline{x}|$, we search for solutions of the form $h_k(\rho)H_k(x)$ where $H_k \in \mathcal{H}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m})$ and $h_k(\rho)$ is an entire function.

Proposition 4.2. *The general solution of the generalised Helmholtz equation (4.2) as a series of harmonic polynomials is given by*

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} {}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2 \right) H_k(x).$$

Proof. By change of variables we find for a radial, $\mathcal{C}_{1,1}$ -valued, entire function $f(\rho)$:

$$\partial_{\underline{x}} f(\rho) = \frac{1}{\rho} \underline{x} f'(\rho) = (f'(\rho))^* \frac{1}{\rho} \underline{x}.$$

If we now use $\underline{x}\partial_{\underline{x}} + \partial_{\underline{x}}\underline{x} = -2\mathbb{E} - m$, where $\mathbb{E} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \partial_{x_i}$ is the Euler operator, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta [h_k(\rho)H_k(x)] &= -\partial_{\underline{x}}^2 [h_k(\rho)H_k(x)] \\ &= -\partial_{\underline{x}} [(\partial_{\underline{x}} h_k(\rho))H_k(x) + (h_k(\rho))^*(\partial_{\underline{x}} H_k(x))] \\ &= -\partial_{\underline{x}} \left[\frac{(h'_k(\rho))^*}{\rho} \underline{x} H_k(x) + (h_k(\rho))^*(\partial_{\underline{x}} H_k(x)) \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{h''_k(\rho)}{\rho} - \frac{h'_k(\rho)}{\rho^2} \right) \left(-\frac{\underline{x}^2}{\rho} \right) H_k(x) + \frac{h'_k(\rho)}{\rho} (2\mathbb{E} + m) H_k \\ &= \left(h''_k(\rho) - \frac{h'_k(\rho)}{\rho} \right) H_k(x) + (2k + m) \frac{h'_k(\rho)}{\rho} H_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\Delta + \zeta^*\zeta) [h_k(\rho)H_k(x)] = 0$ if $h_k(\rho)$ satisfies $\frac{d^2 h_k}{d\rho^2} + \frac{m+2k-1}{\rho} \frac{dh_k}{d\rho} + \zeta^*\zeta h_k = 0$. Writing $h_k(\rho) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n \rho^n$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d^2 h_k}{d\rho^2} + \frac{m+2k-1}{\rho} \frac{dh_k}{d\rho} + \zeta^*\zeta h_k \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \theta_n n(n-1) \rho^{n-2} + \frac{m+2k-1}{\rho} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n n \rho^{n-1} + \zeta^*\zeta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_n \rho^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\theta_{n+2}(n+2)(n+m+2k) + \zeta^*\zeta \theta_n) \rho^n + \theta_1(m+2k-1) \frac{1}{\rho}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{cases} \theta_{n+2}(n+2)(n+m+2k) = -\zeta^*\zeta \theta_n \\ \theta_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

whence $h_k(\rho) = {}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2 \right)$. Hence a general solution in terms of harmonic polynomials is given by

$$(4.3) \quad g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} {}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2 \right) H_k(x).$$

□

It is a well-known fact, see [13, Theorem 4.4, p138], that each harmonic polynomial has a monogenic decomposition $H_k(x) = M_k(x) + \underline{x}\widetilde{M}_{k-1}(x)$, with $M_k(x) \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m})$ and $\widetilde{M}_{k-1}(x) \in \mathcal{M}_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathcal{C}\ell_{0,m})$. Thus in terms of monogenic polynomials, the building blocks of solutions to (4.2)

are ${}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) M_k(x)$ and ${}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) \underline{x}\widetilde{M}_k(x)$, where the M_k and \widetilde{M}_k are monogenic and homogeneous of degree k . We are left with considering linear combinations of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[{}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) M_k(x) + {}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) \underline{x}\widetilde{M}_k(x) \right].$$

as potential solutions for the generalised parabolic Dirac equation.

Proposition 4.3. *A general solution of the generalised parabolic Dirac equation (4.1) expressed as a series of monogenic polynomials is given by*

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[{}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) + \frac{{}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) \underline{x}\zeta}{m + 2k} \right] M_k(x).$$

Proof. Applying the Dirac operator to the building blocks (4.3) in terms of monogenic polynomials, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\underline{x}} \left({}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) M_k(x) \right) &= -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^n \frac{\underline{x}^{2n-1}}{(k + \frac{m}{2})_n (n-1)!} M_k(x) \\ &= \frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{m + 2k} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) \underline{x} M_k(x) \\ \partial_{\underline{x}} \left({}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) \underline{x}\widetilde{M}_k(x) \right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^n \frac{(-2n - 2k - m)\underline{x}^{2n}}{(k + 1 + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} \widetilde{M}_k(x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Requiring that $(\partial_{\underline{x}} + \zeta)g = 0$ yields the following equation for each k :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\partial_{\underline{x}} + \zeta) \left[{}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) M_k(x) + {}_0F_1\left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2\right) \underline{x}\widetilde{M}_k(x) \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^n \frac{(-2n)\underline{x}^{2n-1}}{(k + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} M_k(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^n \frac{(-2n - 2k - m)\underline{x}^{2n}}{(k + 1 + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} \widetilde{M}_k(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta \left(\frac{\zeta^*\zeta}{4} \right)^n \frac{\underline{x}^{2n}}{(k + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} M_k(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \zeta \left(\frac{\zeta^*\zeta}{4} \right)^n \frac{\underline{x}^{2n+1}}{(k + 1 + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} \widetilde{M}_k(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^{n+1} \frac{(-2)\underline{x}^{2n+1}}{(k + \frac{m}{2})_{n+1} n!} M_k + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^n \frac{(-2n - 2k - m)\underline{x}^{2n}}{(k + 1 + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} \widetilde{M}_k(x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^n \frac{\underline{x}^{2n}}{(k + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} \zeta M_k + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{4} \right)^n \frac{\underline{x}^{2n+1}}{(k + 1 + \frac{m}{2})_n n!} \zeta^* \widetilde{M}_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the factors of each power of \underline{x} we get

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\zeta}{(k + \frac{m}{2})_n} M_k(x) = \frac{2(n + k + \frac{m}{2})}{(k + 1 + \frac{m}{2})_n} \widetilde{M}_k(x) \\ \frac{\zeta^*}{(k + 1 + \frac{m}{2})_n} \widetilde{M}_k(x) = \frac{\zeta^*\zeta}{2(k + \frac{m}{2})_{n+1}} M_k(x) \end{cases}$$

which yields

$$\widetilde{M}_k(x) = \frac{\zeta}{2k+m} M_k(x).$$

This allows to finally express the solutions as

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right) + \frac{{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right) \underline{x} \zeta}{m + 2k} \right] M_k(x).$$

□

Rewriting Proposition 4.3 yields the following theorem:

Theorem 4.4. *The solution of the generalised parabolic Dirac equation (4.1) as a series of spherical monogenics is given by*

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (\zeta^* - \partial_{\underline{x}}) \left[\frac{\left({}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1, -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right) \right)^*}{m + 2k} \underline{x} M_k(x) \right]$$

Proof. Let $g(x)$ be of the form found in Proposition 4.3, i.e.

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left[\underbrace{{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right)}_{:=A} + \underbrace{\frac{{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right) \underline{x} \zeta}{m + 2k}}_{:=B} \right] M_k(x).$$

Note that

$$\partial_{\underline{x}} \left(\frac{{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right)}{m + 2k} \underline{x} M_k(x) \right) = -{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right) M_k(x).$$

Hence $A = -\partial_{\underline{x}} \left(\frac{{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right)}{m + 2k} \underline{x} M_k(x) \right)$. The term B on the other hand, can be rewritten as

$$B = \zeta^* \frac{{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right)}{m + 2k} \underline{x}.$$

Combining these results yields

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (\zeta^* - \partial_{\underline{x}}) \left[\frac{\left({}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right) \right)^*}{m + 2k} \underline{x} M_k(x) \right].$$

□

For invertible ζ , we can rework Proposition 4.3 as follows.

Theorem 4.5. *If ζ is invertible, then*

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (1 - \zeta^{-1} \partial_{\underline{x}}) \left[{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^* \zeta \rho^2 \right) M_k(x) \right]$$

Proof. Recall the result obtained in (4.4)

$$\partial_{\underline{x}} \left({}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2 \right) M_k(x) \right) = \frac{\zeta\zeta^*}{m+2k} {}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta\zeta^*\rho^2 \right) \underline{x}M_k(x)$$

Thus if ζ is invertible we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{-1}\partial_{\underline{x}} \left({}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2 \right) M_k(x) \right) &= \frac{\zeta^*}{m+2k} {}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta\zeta^*\rho^2 \right) \underline{x}M_k(x) \\ &= \frac{{}_0F_1 \left(\frac{m}{2} + k + 1; -\frac{1}{4}\zeta^*\zeta\rho^2 \right) \underline{x}\zeta}{m+2k} M_k(x) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. \square

At the beginning of this section, we introduced a matrix representation for both ζ and ξ . In doing so, we can interpret the previous two results using Sylvester's formula (see [20]):

Lemma 4.6. *Let f be an analytic function and let B be a diagonalisable matrix with distinct eigenvalues λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. Then*

$$f(B) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(\lambda_i) B_i$$

where

$$B_i = \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} (B - \lambda_j I)$$

with I the identity matrix.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (a - d \pm \sqrt{(a+d)^2 - 4bc})$ be the eigenvalues of the matrix A representing ξ and let ψ be an entire function, then*

$$\psi(\zeta^*\zeta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi - \lambda_-}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} \psi(\lambda_+^2) + \frac{\xi - \lambda_+}{\lambda_- - \lambda_+} \psi(\lambda_-^2), & \text{if } \lambda_+ \neq \lambda_-, \\ \psi(\lambda^2) + 2\lambda\psi'(\lambda^2)(\xi - \lambda), & \text{if } \lambda_+ = \lambda_- = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $\lambda_+ \neq \lambda_-$, then we can use Sylvester's formula to find

$$\psi(A^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda_+ - \lambda_-} (A - \lambda_- I_2) \psi(\lambda_+^2) + \frac{1}{\lambda_- - \lambda_+} (A - \lambda_+ I_2) \psi(\lambda_-^2).$$

If $\lambda_+ = \lambda_- = \lambda$, we have two cases:

- (i) A is diagonalisable. In this case we have only one possibility for A , namely λI , i.e. $\xi = \lambda$. Using Sylvester's formula we find

$$\psi(A^2) = \psi(\lambda^2) I.$$

- (ii) A is not diagonalisable. In this case, there exists a matrix Q such that

$$A = Q \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} Q^{-1}.$$

Easy calculations show

$$A^n = Q \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^n \end{pmatrix} Q^{-1} = \lambda^n I + Q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} Q^{-1}.$$

It is now easy to see that

$$\psi(A^2) = \psi(\lambda^2) + 2\lambda\psi'(\lambda^2)(A - \lambda I).$$

□

Remark 4.8. When putting $a = d = 0$, $c = 1$ and $b = \partial_t$ we reobtain the parabolic Dirac operator $D_{\underline{x},t}$. Here we are slightly abusing notation by allowing b to be a partial derivative with respect to t , as this still commutes with \underline{x} -variables. If we now apply Proposition 4.7 with $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{-s}$, where $s = \partial_t$, to the solution of the generalised parabolic Dirac operator, we get the same result as in Section 3.

5. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In Theorem 3.1 we have shown that solutions of the parabolic Dirac operator can be written in terms of hypergeometric functions. Using these techniques we proved that solutions of the generalised Dirac operator can be written as a series of hypergeometric functions multiplied with spherical monogenics. If we represent the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{Cl}_{1,1}$ by complex-valued 2×2 matrices we can use Sylvester's formula to interpret the results.

In future research we will address the question of how the symmetries of [1, 2] act on the solutions of the Lévy-Leblond equation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This paper was written during a visit of SB to Ghent University, funded by CSC. The work of HDB is supported by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) under Grant EOS 30889451.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, H. Tanaka, F. Toppan, Generalized supersymmetry and Lévy-Leblond equation. In *“Physical and Mathematical Aspects of Symmetries”*, J.-P. Gazeau, S. Faci, T. Micklitz, R. Scherer, F. Toppan (editors), Springer (2017) 79-84.
- [2] N. Aizawa, Z. Kuznetsova, H. Tanaka, F. Toppan, $Z_2 \times Z_2$ -graded Lie symmetries of the Lévy-Leblond equations. *PTEP. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 2016, no. 12, 123A01, 26 pp.
- [3] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe, F. Sommen, *Clifford analysis*, vol. **76** of *Research Notes in Mathematics*. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1982.
- [4] I. Cação, D. Constales, R. Kraussnar, On the role of arbitrary order Bessel functions in higher dimensional Dirac type equations. *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **87** (2006), no. 5, 468-477.
- [5] I. Cação, D. Constales, R. Kraussnar, On rotationally symmetric Dirac equations and hypergeometric functions. *I. Arch. Math. (Basel)* **90** (2008), no. 5, 440-449.
- [6] I. Cação, D. Constales, R. Kraussnar, Explicit representations of the regular solutions to the time-harmonic Maxwell equations combined with the radial symmetric Euler operator. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **32** (2009), no. 1, 1-11.
- [7] P. Cerejeiras, U. Kähler, F. Sommen, Parabolic Dirac operators and the Navier-Stokes equations over time-varying domains. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **28** (2005), no. 14, 1715-1724.
- [8] P. Cerejeiras, F. Sommen, N. Vieira, Fischer decomposition and special solutions for the parabolic Dirac operator. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **30** (2007), no. 9, 1057-1069.
- [9] H. De Bie, Y. Xu, On the Clifford-Fourier transform. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2011), no. 22, 5123-5163.
- [10] H. De Bie, Clifford algebras, Fourier transforms and quantum mechanics. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **35** (2012), 2198-2228.
- [11] H. De Bie, R. Oste, J. Van der Jeugt, Generalized Fourier transforms arising from the enveloping algebras of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2016, no. 15, 4649-4705.

- [12] R. Delanghe, F. Sommen, V. Souček, *Clifford algebra and spinor-valued functions*, vol. 53 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [13] R. Delanghe, Clifford analysis: history and perspective, vol. 1 of *Computational Methods and Function Theory* (2001), 107-153.
- [14] P. A. M. Dirac, The quantum theory of the electron. *Proc. Roy. Soc. of Lond. A* **117** (1928), 610-624.
- [15] C. Duval, P. A. Horváthy, L. Palla, Spinors in Non-relativistic Chern-Simons Electrodynamics. *Ann. Phys.* **249** (1996), 265-297.
- [16] D. Eelbode, Solutions for the hyperbolic Dirac equation on $\mathbb{R}_{1,m}$. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **48** (2003), no. 5, 377-395.
- [17] J. P. Gauntlett, J. Gomis, P. K. Townsend, Supersymmetry and the physical-phase-space formulation of spinning particles. *Phys. Lett. B* **248** (1990), 288-294.
- [18] J. Gomis, M. Novell, Pseudoclassical description for a nonrelativistic spinning particle. I. The Levy-Leblond equation. *Phys. Rev. D* **33** (1986), 2212.
- [19] Y. F. Gong, T. Qian, D. Y. Du, Structure of solutions of polynomial Dirac equations in Clifford analysis. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **49** (2004), no. 1, 15-24.
- [20] N.J.Higham, Functions of matrices: theory and computation. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2008.
- [21] P. A. Horváthy, Non-Relativistic Conformal and Supersymmetries. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **3** (1993) 339-342.
- [22] P. A. Horváthy, M. S. Plyushchay, M. Valenzuela, Supersymmetry of the planar Dirac-Deser-Jackiw-Templeton system and of its nonrelativistic limit. *J. Math. Phys.* **51** (2010), 092108.
- [23] J-M. Lévy-Leblond, Nonrelativistic particles and wave equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **6** (1967), no. 4, 286-311.
- [24] P. Lounesto, Clifford algebras and spinors. *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series* **239**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. x+306 pp.
- [25] J. Ryan, Cauchy-Green type formulae in Clifford analysis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995), no. 4, 1331-1341.
- [26] F. Sommen, B. Jancewicz, Explicit solutions of the inhomogeneous Dirac equation. *J. Anal. Math.* **71** (1997), 59-74.
- [27] F. Sommen, Z. Xu, Fundamental solutions for operators which are polynomials in the Dirac operator. In *Clifford algebras and their applications in mathematical physics* (Montpellier, 1989), 313-326, Fund. Theories Phys., 47, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1992.
- [28] Z. Xu, A function theory for the operator $(D - \lambda)$. *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **16** (1991), 27-42.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARBIN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HARBIN, 150001, CHINA.
E-mail address: 15b912013@hit.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM.
E-mail address: denis.constales@ugent.be

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM.
E-mail address: hendrik.debie@ugent.be

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND ARCHITECTURE, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, 9000 GENT, BELGIUM.
E-mail address: teppo.mertens@ugent.be