

TRANSLATION QUIVER VARIETIES

SERGEY MOZGOVOY

ABSTRACT. We introduce a framework of translation quiver varieties which includes Nakajima quiver varieties as well as their graded and cyclic versions. An important feature of translation quiver varieties is that the sets of their fixed points under toric actions can be again realized as translation quiver varieties. This allows one to simplify quiver varieties in several steps. We prove that translation quiver varieties are smooth, pure and have Tate motivic classes. We also describe an algorithm to compute those motivic classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nakajima quiver varieties play a prominent role in the geometric interpretation of irreducible integrable representations of Kac-Moody Lie algebras associated to a quiver [32]. Considering fixed point sets of quiver varieties under a torus action one obtains graded quiver varieties [33, 34], which have important applications in the study of quantum affine algebras and cluster algebras [34, 35, 36, 27].

In this paper we propose a framework of translation quiver varieties which includes Nakajima quiver varieties as well as their graded and cyclic versions. It also includes generalized Nakajima quiver varieties introduced in [42]. The key property of translation quiver varieties is that the sets of their fixed points under toric actions can be again realized as translation quiver varieties. This implies that we can perform localization and apply Białynicki-Birula decomposition without ever leaving the realm of translation quiver varieties.

A translation quiver is a triple (Γ, τ, σ) , where Γ is a quiver and

$$\tau: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0, \quad \sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$$

are bijections such that, for any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Γ , the arrow σa goes from τj to i . One calls τ a translation and σ a semitranslation (note that the pair (τ, σ^2) defines an automorphism of the quiver Γ). The above notion is closely related to translation quivers in Auslander-Reiten theory (see §2.3), where Γ is the Auslander-Reiten quiver of a category and τ is its Auslander-Reiten translation. Most of our translation quivers can be constructed in the following way. Let (Q, τ) be a quiver with an automorphism. We define its τ -twisted double quiver $\Gamma = Q^\tau$ by adding to the quiver Q new arrows $a^*: \tau j \rightarrow i$, for every arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q . Then we define $\sigma(a) = a^*$ and $\sigma(a^*) = \tau(a)$.

For example, if $\tau = \text{id}$, then we obtain the usual double quiver \bar{Q} . One defines Nakajima quiver varieties as moduli spaces of semistable representations of \bar{Q} subject to certain relations. As we will see later (see Example 2.11), one can realize graded and cyclic quiver varieties as moduli spaces of semistable representations of some τ -twisted double quiver $\Gamma = Q^\tau$ subject to the relation

$$\mathfrak{r} = \sum_{a \in Q_1} (a \cdot \sigma a - \sigma a \cdot \tau a).$$

Generally, given a translation quiver $\Gamma = Q^\tau$, we consider its mesh algebra $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma) = k\Gamma/(\tau)$ and, for any stability parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma_0}$ and finite dimension vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, define the translation quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ to be the moduli space of θ -semistable Π -modules having dimension vector \mathbf{v} .

We also define (framed) translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$, for $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, as a particular case of the above construction. The vector \mathbf{w} is used to construct a new (framed) translation quiver $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{w}} \supset \Gamma$. Then we define $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\widehat{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{w}}), \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ for a certain extension $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ of the vector \mathbf{v} and a certain stability parameter $\hat{\theta}$ on $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{w}}$. We define the nilpotent translation quiver variety $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ to be the subvariety parametrizing nilpotent representations.

After developing some homological algebra of translation quivers we will prove that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is smooth and admits Białynicki-Birula decompositions with respect to some toric actions. Then we prove

Theorem 1.1. *The translation quiver variety $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is smooth and has dimension*

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau) - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau),$$

where χ is the Euler-Ringel form of Q . Both varieties $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ are pure and their motivic classes are related by

$$[\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})]^\vee = \mathbb{L}^{-\dim \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})} [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})],$$

where $[\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})]^\vee$ denotes the dual motivic class (see §5.1) and $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$.

Nakajima quiver varieties as well as their graded and cyclic versions are polynomial-count by the results of [34]. The same approach can be used to show that they have Tate motivic classes, meaning polynomials in $\mathbb{L}^{\pm 1}$. In the case of Nakajima quiver varieties one can actually obtain explicit formulas for their counting polynomials [23, 30] as well as for their motivic classes [46]. In this paper we prove that translation quiver varieties also have Tate motivic classes and give at the same time a new way to compute motivic classes of graded and cyclic quiver varieties. Ideally one would like to prove that translation quiver varieties have cellular decompositions.

Theorem 1.2. *The translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ have Tate motivic classes.*

We prove the above statement for general translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, assuming they are smooth. The proof of the theorem is somewhat convoluted, hence I would like to briefly comment on it. First, we apply Białynicki-Birula decomposition to reduce the question to translation quiver varieties over the so-called repetition quiver (see Theorem 6.13). Then we apply a wall-crossing formula (see Theorem 5.19) to reduce the question to the motivic class of the stack of all representations (not necessarily semistable) of the mesh algebra. Then we show that this motivic class can be related to the motivic class of the stack of representations of the Jacobian algebra (given by a quiver and a potential) associated with a translation quiver §5.4. This motivic class is in turn related to the motivic class of the stack of pairs (M, ϕ) , where M is a quiver representation and $\phi: M \rightarrow M^\tau$ is a homomorphism (see Proposition 5.12). Finally, we compute this motivic class for a class of translation quivers, including repetition quivers (see Theorem 5.14).

In view of the last theorem it is natural to ask if translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ always have a cellular decomposition. The localization techniques of this paper allow one to significantly simplify the quiver under consideration. For example, one can show that this quiver can be reduced to a union of trees. It is interesting to note that in a similar situation of quiver varieties for quivers without relations cellular decompositions always exist [38, 18].

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Victor Ginzburg and Hiraku Nakajima for helpful comments on a draft version of the paper. I am grateful to the anonymous referee for many useful suggestions.

2. TRANSLATION QUIVERS

2.1. Conventions. A quiver Q is a tuple (Q_0, Q_1, s, t) , where Q_0 is the set of vertices, Q_1 is the set of arrows, and $s, t: Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ are source and target maps, respectively. We define the opposite quiver Q^{op} to be the quiver with $Q_0^{\text{op}} = Q_0$, $Q_1^{\text{op}} = Q_1$ and the roles of s and t interchanged. For any arrow $a \in Q_1$ with $s(a) = i$ and $t(a) = j$, we write $a: i \rightarrow j$ and say that a goes from i to j . For any $i, j \in Q_0$, let $Q(i, j)$ denote the set of arrows from i to j . We define a path u in Q to be a sequence of arrows

$$i_0 \xrightarrow{a_1} i_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow i_{n-1} \xrightarrow{a_n} i_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

in which case we write $u = a_n \dots a_1$. We call it a cycle if $i_0 = i_n$. We denote the trivial path at $i \in Q_0$ by e_i . We define the path algebra kQ over a field k to be the associative algebra having the basis consisting of paths in Q and having the product induced by concatenation of paths.

We define a morphism of quivers $f: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ to be a pair of maps

$$(f_0: \tilde{Q}_0 \rightarrow Q_0, f_1: \tilde{Q}_1 \rightarrow Q_1)$$

such that, for any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in \tilde{Q} , we have an arrow $f_1 a: f_0 i \rightarrow f_0 j$ in Q . We will usually denote both f_0 and f_1 by f . We will say that f is an isomorphism if it is a bijection on vertices and arrows. An isomorphism $f: Q \rightarrow Q$ will be called an automorphism of the quiver Q . We denote the group of all automorphisms of Q by $\text{Aut}(Q)$.

Given a quiver Q and a set Λ , we consider a quiver $Q \times \Lambda$ that consists of copies of Q for every $n \in \Lambda$. We denote its vertices and arrows, respectively, by

$$(i, n) = i_n = i[n], \quad (a, n) = a_n = a[n]$$

depending on the context, for $i \in Q_0$, $a \in Q_1$, $n \in \Lambda$. For any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q and $n \in \Lambda$, the arrow a_n goes from i_n to j_n .

2.2. Translation quivers. We define a (stable) *translation quiver* to be a triple (Γ, τ, σ) , where Γ is a quiver and

$$\tau: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0, \quad \sigma: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$$

are bijections such that for any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$, we have $\sigma a: \tau j \rightarrow i$. The map τ is called a *translation* and the map σ is called a *semitranslation*. There is a quiver automorphism (τ, σ^2) of Γ which we will sometimes denote as τ by abuse of notation. A quiver morphism $f: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ between two translation quivers is called a translation quiver morphism if it commutes with τ and σ . We define the opposite translation quiver to be $(\Gamma^{\text{op}}, \tau^{-1}, \sigma^{-1})$.

We define a *partial translation quiver* to be a triple as above, where τ and σ are only partially defined, meaning that we have a subset $\Gamma'_0 \subset \Gamma_0$ and injective maps

$$\tau: \Gamma'_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0, \quad \sigma: \Gamma''_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1, \quad \Gamma''_1 = \{a: i \rightarrow j \mid j \in \Gamma'_0\},$$

such that σ induces a bijection $\Gamma(i, j) \rightarrow \Gamma(\tau j, i)$ for all $i \in \Gamma_0$ and $j \in \Gamma'_0$.

2.3. Relation to Auslander-Reiten theory. Translation quivers appeared originally in Auslander-Reiten theory [40] (see also [41, 22, 2]). Our translation quivers correspond to stable translation quivers and our partial translation quivers correspond to translation quivers in Auslander-Reiten theory. More precisely, one considers a quiver Γ , a subset $\Gamma'_0 \subset \Gamma_0$ and an injective map $\tau: \Gamma'_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0$ such that, for any $i \in \Gamma_0$ and $j \in \Gamma'_0$, the number of arrows $i \rightarrow j$ is equal to the number of arrows $\tau j \rightarrow i$. This means that we can construct an injective map $\sigma: \Gamma''_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ satisfying the above property. In [40] one also requires Γ to have no loops and no multiple arrows. This implies that σ is uniquely determined by the map τ .

Example 2.1 (McKay quiver). The following example of a translation quiver structure on a McKay quiver appears in [1] (see also [21, 5.6.4]). Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite subgroup and $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ be the corresponding G -representation. Define the McKay quiver Γ of the representation V to have vertices corresponding to isomorphism classes of irreducible G -representations. For any $L, M \in \Gamma_0$, define the number of arrows from L to M to be the dimension of $\mathrm{Hom}_G(L, V \otimes M)$. Define the translation map

$$\tau: \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \Gamma_0, \quad L \mapsto \Lambda^2 V \otimes L,$$

where $\Lambda^2 V$ is the wedge product of V and is a 1-dimensional G -representation. Note that the projection $V \otimes V \rightarrow \Lambda^2 V$ induces an isomorphism $V \simeq V^\vee \otimes \Lambda^2 V$. Therefore, for any $L, M \in \Gamma_0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau M, V \otimes L) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(V^\vee \otimes \Lambda^2 V \otimes M, L) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_G(V \otimes M, L).$$

This implies that $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_G(\tau M, V \otimes L) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_G(L, V \otimes M)$, hence we have a translation quiver. It is proved in [1] that the McKay quiver Γ with the above translation is isomorphic to the AR quiver (see below) of the category of reflexive modules over $\mathbb{C}\llbracket x, y \rrbracket^G$ if $G \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ has no pseudo-reflections.

Remark 2.2 (AR quivers). Given a finite-dimensional algebra A over an algebraically closed field k , let $\mathrm{mod} A$ be the category of finite-dimensional left A -modules. One constructs its AR quiver Γ_A with vertices that are isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathrm{mod} A$. The number of arrows from $[X]$ to $[Y]$ is defined to be the dimension of the space of irreducible morphisms $\mathrm{Irr}(X, Y) = \mathrm{rad}(X, Y) / \mathrm{rad}^2(X, Y)$. One defines τ to be the AR translation [2], defined for all non-projective indecomposable modules and having as an image the set of all non-injective indecomposable modules. One has $\dim \mathrm{Irr}(X, Y) = \dim \mathrm{Irr}(\tau Y, X)$, implying that Γ_A is a partial translation quiver. If A is of finite representation type, then there are no multiple arrows between vertices of Γ_A [40] (see also [2]). In order to obtain a (stable) translation quiver one needs to consider instead the AR quiver $\hat{\Gamma}_A$ of the derived category $D^b(A) = D^b(\mathrm{mod} A)$ and assume that A has a finite global dimension [22]. The translation functor $\tau: D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A)$ is defined to be $\tau = \nu[-1]$, where $\nu = DA \otimes_A^L -$ is the left derived functor of the Nakayama functor

$X \mapsto D \operatorname{Hom}_A(X, A)$ and $DV = \operatorname{Hom}_k(V, k)$. Note that ν is the Serre functor of the category $D^b(A)$, meaning that $\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) \simeq D \operatorname{Hom}(Y, \nu X)$ for all $X, Y \in D^b(A)$.

Remark 2.3 (Stabilization of partial translation quivers). If A is a hereditary algebra, then indecomposable objects in $D^b(A)$ are shifts of indecomposable objects in $\operatorname{mod} A$. In this case there is a construction that allows one to reconstruct the AR quiver $\hat{\Gamma}_A$ of $D^b(A)$ from the AR quiver Γ_A of $\operatorname{mod} A$ and the correspondence between projective and injective modules (given by the Nakayama functor). Let Γ be a partial translation quiver with τ defined on $\Gamma'_0 \subset \Gamma_0$. Let us call the vertices in $\Gamma_0^{\mathbf{p}} = \Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma'_0$ projective and the vertices in $\Gamma_0^{\mathbf{i}} = \Gamma_0 \setminus \tau(\Gamma'_0)$ injective. Let $\Gamma^{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\Gamma^{\mathbf{i}}$ be the corresponding full subquivers of Γ and assume that we have a quiver isomorphism $\nu: \Gamma^{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\mathbf{i}}$. Assume also that there are no arrows $x \rightarrow p$ for $p \in \Gamma_0^{\mathbf{p}}, x \notin \Gamma_0^{\mathbf{p}}$ and no arrows $i \rightarrow x$ for $i \in \Gamma_0^{\mathbf{i}}, x \notin \Gamma_0^{\mathbf{i}}$. We construct a translation quiver $\hat{\Gamma}$ by adding arrows to the quiver $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ consisting of copies of Γ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us denote by $x[n]$ the vertex (x, n) and define

$$\tau(x[n]) = \begin{cases} (\tau x)[n] & x \in \Gamma'_0 \\ (\nu x)[n-1] & x \in \Gamma_0^{\mathbf{p}}. \end{cases}$$

For any two projective vertices p, q we add arrows

$$\hat{\Gamma}(\tau p[n], q[n]) = \Gamma(q, p).$$

Then we have bijections


$$\sigma: \hat{\Gamma}(q[n], p[n]) = \Gamma(q, p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\Gamma}(\tau p[n], q[n]),$$

$$\sigma: \hat{\Gamma}(\tau p[n], q[n]) = \Gamma(q, p) \xrightarrow{\nu} \Gamma(\nu q, \nu p) = \hat{\Gamma}(\tau q[n], \tau p[n])$$

and obtain a semitranslation σ on $\hat{\Gamma}$. This makes $\hat{\Gamma}$ a translation quiver.

In particular, let A be the path algebra of a quiver, let $\Gamma = \Gamma_A$ be its AR quiver and $\nu: \Gamma^{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\mathbf{i}}$ be the isomorphism induced by the Nakayama functor. Then $\hat{\Gamma}$ is isomorphic to the AR quiver of $D^b(A)$ (cf. [22]).

Example 2.4. Let Q be a quiver of the form $1 \rightarrow 2$ and let $A = kQ$ be its path algebra. There are three indecomposable modules S_1, S_2, P having dimension vectors $(1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)$, respectively. The modules P, S_2 are projective and the modules P, S_1 are injective. The Auslander-Reiten quiver Γ_A has the form $S_2 \xrightarrow{b} P \xrightarrow{a} S_1$ with $\tau S_1 = S_2$ and $\sigma(a) = b$. The Nakayama functor satisfies $\nu P = S_1, \nu S_2 = P, \nu b = a$. The quiver $\hat{\Gamma}_A$ has arrows from $\Gamma_A \times \mathbb{Z}$ as well as arrows in $\hat{\Gamma}_A(\tau P[n], S_2[n]) \simeq \Gamma_A(S_2, P) = \{b\}$, meaning that there are arrows $S_1[n-1] \rightarrow S_2[n]$.



2.4. Translation quivers with a cut. We define a *cut* of a translation quiver Γ to be a subset $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$ such that $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1^+ \sqcup \sigma \Gamma_1^+$. A translation quiver Γ has a cut if and only if every σ -orbit in Γ_1 is either infinite or has an even number of elements. The quiver Γ^+ with the set of vertices $\Gamma_0^+ = \Gamma_0$ and the set of arrows Γ_1^+ will be also called a cut of Γ . Note that $\sigma^2(\Gamma_1^+) = \Gamma_1^+$ and the quiver automorphism $\tau: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ (with $\tau = \sigma^2$ on arrows) restricts to an automorphism $\tau: \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \Gamma^+$. Conversely, we can associate a translation quiver with a cut to any quiver with an automorphism.

Definition 2.5. Given a pair (Q, τ) , where Q is a quiver and $\tau: Q \rightarrow Q$ is a quiver automorphism, we define the *twisted double quiver* Q^τ having the set of vertices $Q_0^\tau = Q_0$ and the set of arrows $Q_1^\tau = Q_1 \sqcup Q_1^*$, where Q_1^* consists of new arrows $a^*: \tau j \rightarrow i$ for arrows $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q . We equip Q^τ with the structure of a translation quiver such that $\tau: Q_0^\tau \rightarrow Q_0^\tau$ is defined to be $\tau: Q_0 \rightarrow Q_0$ and $\sigma: Q_1^\tau \rightarrow Q_1^\tau$ is defined to be

$$\sigma(a) = a^*: \tau j \rightarrow i, \quad \sigma(a^*) = \tau(a): \tau i \rightarrow \tau j,$$

for all $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q . This translation quiver has a cut $Q_1 \subset Q_1^\tau$.

The above constructions give a 1 – 1 correspondence between translation quivers with a cut and quivers with an automorphism. In most situations our translation quivers will be equipped with a cut.

Remark 2.6 (Partial cuts). Let (Γ, τ, σ) be a partial translation quiver with τ defined on $\Gamma'_0 \subset \Gamma_0$ and assume that $\tau\Gamma'_0 = \Gamma'_0$. Then τ and σ induce a translation quiver structure on the full subquiver $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ with the set of vertices Γ'_0 . Given a cut $\Gamma_1'^+$ of Γ' , let $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$ be the set consisting of arrows in $\Gamma_1'^+$ and arrows $a: i \rightarrow j$ such that $i \notin \Gamma'_0$ and $j \in \Gamma'_0$. Then the set of all arrows incident with vertices in Γ'_0 is equal to $\Gamma_1^+ \sqcup \sigma\Gamma_1^+$. We call Γ_1^+ a (partial) cut of Γ .

Example 2.7 (Double quiver). Let Q be a quiver and $\tau = \text{id}$ be the identity automorphism of Q . Then $\bar{Q} = Q^\tau$ is called the *double quiver* of Q . It has the same vertices as Q and arrows $a: i \rightarrow j$, $a^*: j \rightarrow i$ for all arrows $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q . The semitranslation σ of \bar{Q} is given by $\sigma(a) = a^*$ and $\sigma(a^*) = a$ for all arrows a in Q . Conversely, if Γ is a translation quiver such that $\tau = \text{id}$ and the number of loops at every vertex is even, then $\Gamma \simeq \bar{Q}$ for some quiver Q .

Example 2.8 (Repetition quiver). Given a quiver Q , we construct the *repetition quiver* $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}Q$ with the set of vertices $Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$ and with arrows

$$a_n: (i, n) \rightarrow (j, n), \quad a_n^*: (j, n-1) \rightarrow (i, n)$$

for all arrows $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We consider Q as a full subquiver of $\mathbb{Z}Q$ by identifying $i \in Q_0$ with $(i, 0)$. Define

$$\tau(i, n) = (i, n-1), \quad \sigma(a_n) = a_n^*, \quad \sigma(a_n^*) = a_{n-1}.$$

There is a cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$ consisting of arrows a_n , for $a \in Q_1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\tau(a_n) = \sigma^2(a_n) = a_{n-1}$. Alternatively, we can first consider the quiver $\Gamma^+ = Q \times \mathbb{Z}$ with an automorphism

$$\tau: \Gamma^+ \rightarrow \Gamma^+, \quad \tau(i, n) = (i, n-1), \quad \tau(a_n) = a_{n-1},$$

and then define $\mathbb{Z}Q = (\Gamma^+)^{\tau}$. If Q is a Dynkin quiver, then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $D^b(kQ)$ is isomorphic to the repetition quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q$ [22].

There is a simple characterization of translation quivers isomorphic to repetition quivers.

Proposition 2.9. Let Γ be a translation quiver with a cut Γ^+ . Assume that there exists a subquiver $Q \subset \Gamma^+$ such that $\Gamma^+ = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tau^n Q$. Then we have an isomorphism of translation quivers $\mathbb{Z}Q \simeq \Gamma$.

Proof. By our assumption, there is an isomorphism of quivers $\phi: Q \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma^+$, where

$$i_n = \tau^{-n}i_0 \mapsto \tau^{-n}i, \quad a_n = \tau^{-n}a_0 \mapsto \tau^{-n}a, \quad i \in Q_0, a \in Q_1, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By construction it preserves the translation. Therefore it induces an isomorphism of translation quivers $(Q \times \mathbb{Z})^\tau \rightarrow (\Gamma^+)^\tau$. But we have seen that $(Q \times \mathbb{Z})^\tau \simeq \mathbb{Z}Q$ and $(\Gamma^+)^\tau \simeq \Gamma$. \square

2.5. Localization quivers. Let Q be a quiver, Λ be an abelian group and $\mathbf{d}: Q_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$, $a \mapsto \mathbf{d}_a$, be a map. We define a new quiver $\tilde{Q} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(Q)$, called the *localization quiver*, with the set of vertices $Q_0 \times \Lambda$ and with arrows

$$a_n: (i, n - \mathbf{d}_a) \rightarrow (j, n) \quad \forall (a: i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1, n \in \Lambda.$$

Let $\tau: Q \rightarrow Q$ be an automorphism and assume that $\mathbf{d}: Q_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$ is τ -invariant. For any $\omega \in \Lambda$, we define an automorphism $\tau_\omega: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$

$$\tau_\omega(i, n) = (\tau i, n - \omega), \quad \tau_\omega(a_n) = (\tau a)_{n-\omega}.$$

Let (Γ, τ, σ) be a translation quiver and $\mathbf{d}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a map such that $\omega = \mathbf{d}_a + \mathbf{d}_{\sigma a} \in \Lambda$ is independent of $a \in \Gamma_1$. We will call such \mathbf{d} a *weight map* having the *total weight* ω . Recall that we have a quiver automorphism (τ, σ^2) of Γ which we denote as τ by abuse of notation (in particular, τ acts as σ^2 on arrows). Note that $\mathbf{d}_a = \mathbf{d}_{\tau a}$ for all $a \in \Gamma_1$, hence \mathbf{d} is automatically τ -invariant. We equip the localization quiver $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Gamma)$ with the translation quiver structure

$$\tau(i, n) = (\tau i, n - \omega), \quad \sigma(a_n) = (\sigma a)_{n-\mathbf{d}_a}: (\tau j, n - \omega) \rightarrow (i, n - \mathbf{d}_a).$$

If Γ has a cut Γ^+ , then the weight map is uniquely determined by the τ -invariant map $\mathbf{d}^+: \Gamma_1^+ \rightarrow \Lambda$ and the total weight $\omega \in \Lambda$. We will sometimes denote $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Gamma)$ as $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}^+}^\omega(\Gamma)$. We define the cut $\tilde{\Gamma}^+$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ that consists of arrows a_n for $a \in \Gamma_1^+$ and $n \in \Lambda$. Note that $\tilde{\Gamma}^+ = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}^+}(\Gamma^+)$ and its translation automorphism is given by τ_ω defined earlier. Therefore we have an isomorphism of translation quivers

$$\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}^+}^\omega(\Gamma) \simeq \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}^+}(\Gamma^+)^{\tau_\omega}.$$

Remark 2.10. In particular, for any quiver Q , consider the double quiver \bar{Q} with its translation structure described in Example 2.7. Consider the weight map

$$\mathbf{d}: \bar{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad a \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & a \in Q_1, \\ 1 & a \in Q_1^*. \end{cases}$$

Then the localization $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\bar{Q})$ coincides with the repetition quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q$ from Example 2.8 and

$$\mathbb{Z}Q = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\bar{Q}) = \mathfrak{L}_0^1(\bar{Q}) \simeq \mathfrak{L}_0(Q)^\tau, \quad \tau = \text{id}.$$

Example 2.11. The following example appears in the study of graded (and cyclic) quiver varieties (see e.g. [34, 35]). Given a quiver Q , consider a new quiver Γ with the set of vertices $Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}$ (or $Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ in the cyclic case) and with arrows

$$a_n: (i, n+1) \rightarrow (j, n), \quad a_n^*: (j, n+1) \rightarrow (i, n)$$

for $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q_1 and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$\tau(i, n) = (i, n+2), \quad \sigma(a_n) = a_{n+1}^*, \quad \sigma(a_n^*) = a_{n+1}.$$

We define the cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$ consisting of arrows a_n , for $a \in Q_1$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This translation quiver can be realized as a localization quiver of \bar{Q} with respect to the weight map

$$\mathbf{d}: \bar{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad a \mapsto -1.$$

In the case of graded quiver varieties one studies representations of a framed quiver defined as follows. Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}}$ be a collection of non-negative integers. We define the *framed quiver* $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}$ by adding to the quiver Γ one new vertex $*$ as well as $\mathbf{w}_{i,n}$ arrows $* \rightarrow (i, n-1)$ and $\mathbf{w}_{i,n}$ arrows $(i, n+1) \rightarrow *$, for all $i \in Q_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can construct a bijection σ between the set of arrows $* \rightarrow (i, n-1)$ and the set of arrows $\tau(i, n-1) = (i, n+1) \rightarrow *$. Note that in general the numbers of arrows $(i, n) \rightarrow *$ and $* \rightarrow (i, n)$ are different. Therefore we obtain only a partial translation quiver $(\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}, \tau, \sigma)$ with the domain of τ equal $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_0^{\mathbf{w}}$. Note that $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}$ admits a partial cut consisting of arrows a_n and arrows $* \rightarrow (i, n)$. In the next section we will discuss how one can extend $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}$ to a (stable) translation quiver.

2.6. Stabilization. Let Γ be a partial translation quiver such that $\tau\Gamma'_0 = \Gamma'_0$. Our goal is to construct a (stable) translation quiver $\hat{\Gamma}$ such that Γ is its full subquiver with a compatible translation. Our construction will be different from the construction in Remark 2.3 as we will propagate only vertices outside of Γ'_0 . Let $S_0 = \Gamma_0 \setminus \Gamma'_0$ and let $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, $S \subset \Gamma$ be the full subquivers with the sets of vertices Γ'_0 , S_0 respectively. We will construct a translation quiver $\hat{\Gamma}$ by adding arrows to the quiver $\Gamma' \sqcup \mathbb{Z}S$, where $\mathbb{Z}S$ is the repetition quiver of S . Note that Γ' and $\mathbb{Z}S$ are both translation quivers. Denote a vertex $(s, n) \in \mathbb{Z}S_0$ by $s[n]$. We consider S as a full subquiver of $\mathbb{Z}S$ by identifying $s \in S_0$ with $s[0] \in \mathbb{Z}S_0$. By the definition of $\mathbb{Z}S$ we have $\tau s[n] = s[n-1]$ and

$$\hat{\Gamma}(s[n], t[n]) = \hat{\Gamma}(t[n-1], s[n]) = \Gamma(s, t), \quad s, t \in S_0, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Define new arrows in $\hat{\Gamma}$ by

$$\hat{\Gamma}(s[n], i) = \hat{\Gamma}(i, s[n+1]) = \Gamma(s, \tau^n i), \quad s \in S_0, i \in \Gamma'_0, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that

$$\hat{\Gamma}(s[0], i) = \Gamma(s, i), \quad \hat{\Gamma}(i, s[0]) = \Gamma(s, \tau^{-1}i) \simeq \Gamma(i, s),$$

hence we can consider Γ as a full subquiver of $\hat{\Gamma}$. We extend σ to $\hat{\Gamma}$ using the identifications

$$\hat{\Gamma}(s[n], i) = \Gamma(s, \tau^{n-1}\tau i) = \hat{\Gamma}(\tau i, s[n]),$$


$$\hat{\Gamma}(i, s[n]) = \hat{\Gamma}(s[n-1], i) = \hat{\Gamma}(\tau s[n], i).$$

This makes $\hat{\Gamma}$ a translation quiver.

2.7. Framed quivers and their stabilization. Let Γ be a translation quiver and let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$. We construct the framed quiver $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}$ by adding to Γ one new vertex $*$ as well as \mathbf{w}_i arrows $* \rightarrow i$ and \mathbf{w}_i arrows $\tau i \rightarrow *$ for all $i \in \Gamma_0$. As before, we obtain a partial translation quiver $(\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}, \tau, \sigma)$ with the domain of τ equal to $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_0^{\mathbf{w}}$. Applying the above stabilization procedure, we obtain a new translation quiver $\widehat{\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}}$ with the set of vertices $\Gamma_0 \sqcup \mathbb{Z}$. We will denote the vertex $*[n]$ by $[n]$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The arrows of $\widehat{\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}}$ are arrows from Γ as well as $\mathbf{w}_{\tau^n i}$ arrows $[n] \rightarrow i$ and $\mathbf{w}_{\tau^n i}$ arrows $\tau i \rightarrow [n]$, for all $i \in \Gamma_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The translation extends from Γ to $\widehat{\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}}$ by $\tau[n] = [n-1]$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We call $\widehat{\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}}$ the *stable framed quiver*.

Remark 2.12. If Γ admits a cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$, then $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}$ admits a partial cut consisting of arrows in Γ_1^+ and arrows $* \rightarrow i$ for $i \in \Gamma_0$. Similarly, $\widehat{\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}}$ admits a cut consisting of arrows in Γ_1^+ and arrows $[n] \rightarrow i$ for $i \in \Gamma_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 2.13. Let Γ be a translation quiver with vertices x, y and no arrows, and with $\tau(x) = y, \tau(y) = x$. Let $\mathbf{w} = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$. Then the framed quiver $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}$ has the form $x \xrightarrow{b} * \xrightarrow{a} y$. It is a partial translation quiver with $\sigma(a) = b$. Its stabilization $\widehat{\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}}$ is the quiver



The only σ -orbit path $\cdots \rightarrow \tau j \xrightarrow{\sigma a} i \xrightarrow{a} j \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1} a} \tau^{-1} i \rightarrow \cdots$ has the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow [-1] \rightarrow x \rightarrow [0] \rightarrow y \rightarrow [1] \rightarrow x \rightarrow [2] \rightarrow [y] \rightarrow \cdots$$

2.8. Mesh algebra. Let Γ be a translation quiver with a cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$. We define

$$\varepsilon: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varepsilon(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & a \in \Gamma_1^+, \\ -1 & a \in \sigma\Gamma_1^+. \end{cases}$$

Let $k\Gamma$ be the path algebra of Γ over a field k . We define the *mesh algebra* (or twisted pre-projective algebra)

$$(1) \quad \Pi(\Gamma) = \Pi(\Gamma, \tau) = k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}),$$

where the *mesh relation* \mathfrak{r} is given by

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{r} = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_1} \varepsilon(a) a \cdot \sigma(a) \in k\Gamma.$$

Note that the translation τ induces an algebra automorphism $\tau: k\Gamma \rightarrow k\Gamma$ and we have $\tau(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathfrak{r}$. Therefore τ induces an automorphism $\tau: \Pi(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Pi(\Gamma)$. If $\Gamma = \bar{Q}$ is the double quiver of a quiver Q , then $\Pi(\Gamma)$ is the pre-projective algebra Π_Q of Q (see e.g. [13]).

Let us show that the mesh algebra is independent of the cut. Assume that we have another cut $Q_1 \subset \Gamma_1$ and let $\varepsilon': \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $\varepsilon'(a) = 1$ if $a \in Q_1$ and $\varepsilon'(a) = -1$ otherwise. Define

$$\eta: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad a \mapsto \begin{cases} -1 & a \in \Gamma_1^+ \setminus Q_1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and define the algebra automorphism

$$\phi: k\Gamma \rightarrow k\Gamma, \quad \Gamma_1 \ni a \mapsto \eta(a)a.$$

If a is contained in $\Gamma_1^+ \cap Q_1$ or $\sigma(\Gamma_1^+ \cap Q_1)$, then $\eta(a)\eta(\sigma a) = 1 = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon'(a)$. Otherwise $\eta(a)\eta(\sigma a) = -1 = \varepsilon(a)\varepsilon'(a)$. This implies that

$$\phi \left(\sum_{a \in \Gamma_1} \varepsilon(a) a \sigma(a) \right) = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_1} \varepsilon'(a) a \sigma(a)$$

and ϕ induces an isomorphism of the corresponding mesh algebras.

Remark 2.14. Let Γ be a translation quiver which is a bipartite graph, meaning that there is a decomposition $\Gamma_0 = I \sqcup J$ such that all arrows connect vertices in I with vertices in J . Consider the cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$ consisting of all arrows from I to J . Then

$$e_j \mathfrak{r} e_{\tau j} = \begin{cases} \sum_{t(a)=j} a\sigma(a), & j \in J, \\ -\sum_{t(a)=j} a\sigma(a), & j \in I. \end{cases}$$

This implies that $(\mathfrak{r}) = (\mathfrak{r}')$ and $\Pi(\Gamma) = k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}) = k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}')$ for the relation

$$\mathfrak{r}' = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_1} a\sigma(a).$$

Remark 2.15. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}Q$ be the repetition quiver of a quiver Q from Example 2.8. Then it is common to use the mesh relation [40]

$$\mathfrak{r}' = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_1} a\sigma(a).$$

The corresponding quotient algebras are isomorphic. Indeed, consider the isomorphism of algebras $\phi: k\Gamma \rightarrow k\Gamma$ given by

$$\phi(a_n) = (-1)^n a_n, \quad \phi(a_n^*) = (-1)^n a_n^*.$$

We have $\sigma(a_n) = a_n^*$ and $\sigma(a_n^*) = a_{n-1}$, hence

$$\phi(a_n \sigma(a_n)) = a_n \sigma(a_n), \quad \phi(a_n^* \sigma(a_n^*)) = -a_n^* \sigma(a_n^*).$$

This implies that $\phi(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathfrak{r}'$, hence ϕ induces an isomorphism $k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}) \simeq k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}')$.

Remark 2.16. More generally, assume that Γ is a translation quiver such that every σ -orbit is either infinite or has the number of elements divisible by 4. Let us fix a cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$. Then there exists a map $\eta: \Gamma_1^+ \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\eta(a) + \eta(\tau a) = 0$, for all $a \in \Gamma_1^+$. We extend it to $\eta: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ by $\eta(\sigma a) = \eta(a)$, for all $a \in \Gamma_1^+$. The algebra automorphism

$$\phi: k\Gamma \rightarrow k\Gamma, \quad \Gamma_1 \ni a \mapsto \eta(a)a$$

satisfies

$$\phi(a \cdot \sigma a - \sigma a \cdot \sigma^2 a) = a \cdot \sigma(a) + \sigma a \cdot \sigma^2 a, \quad a \in \Gamma_1^+.$$

This implies that $\phi(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathfrak{r}'$ and ϕ induces an isomorphism $k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}) \simeq k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}')$.

Let Γ be a partial translation quiver with a partial cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$ from Remark 2.6. Then the set $\Gamma_1'' = \{a: i \rightarrow j \mid j \in \Gamma_0'\}$ is contained in $\Gamma_1^+ \sqcup \sigma\Gamma_1^+$ and we can define $\varepsilon: \Gamma_1'' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ in the same way as before. We define the mesh relation and the mesh algebra

$$\mathfrak{r} = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_1''} \varepsilon(a) a \sigma(a), \quad \Pi(\Gamma) = \Pi(\Gamma, \tau) = k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r}).$$

2.9. Coverings. A quiver morphism $\pi: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ is called a *covering* if it is surjective on vertices and, for every vertex $i \in \tilde{Q}_0$, the map π induces a bijection between the set of all arrows outgoing from i and the set of all arrows outgoing from $\pi(i)$, and the same is true for ingoing arrows. This implies that for any $i \in \tilde{Q}_0$ and a path u in Q that starts at $\pi(i)$, there exists a unique path \tilde{u} that starts at i such that $\pi(\tilde{u}) = u$.

Let G be a group acting on a quiver \tilde{Q} , meaning that we have a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\tilde{Q})$. For any $g \in G$, we will usually denote $\rho(g)$ as g by abuse of notation. We will say that the action of G on \tilde{Q} is *admissible* if whenever $\tilde{Q}(i, j) \neq \emptyset$, the stabilizers G_i

and G_j are equal and the action of G_i on $\tilde{Q}(i, j)$ is trivial. Note that the usual definition of an admissible action is more restrictive [29].

Given an action of a group G on a quiver \tilde{Q} , we define a new quiver $Q = \tilde{Q}/G$ with $Q_0 = \tilde{Q}_0/G$ and $Q_1 = \tilde{Q}_1/G$. Let $\pi: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q = \tilde{Q}/G$ be the corresponding projection. If the action is admissible, then

$$Q([i], [j]) = \bigsqcup_{g \in G/G_i} \tilde{Q}(i, gj)$$

and the projection $\pi: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ is a covering. Note that if \tilde{Q} is locally finite (every vertex is incident with finitely many arrows), then so is Q .

Given a covering $\pi: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$, we construct a functor

$$\pi_*: \text{Rep}(\tilde{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q), \quad \tilde{M} \mapsto M, \quad M_i = \bigoplus_{\pi(k)=i} \tilde{M}_k, \quad M_a = \sum_{\pi(\tilde{a})=a} \tilde{M}_{\tilde{a}},$$

for $i \in Q_0$ and $a \in Q_1$.

Similarly, we say that a translation quiver morphism $\pi: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a covering if it is a covering of quivers. If $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$ is a cut of Γ , then $\tilde{\Gamma}_1^+ = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_1^+)$ is a cut of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. In this case the functor $\pi_*: \text{Rep}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\Gamma)$ induces the functor

$$\pi_*: \text{mod } \Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \text{mod } \Pi(\Gamma).$$

Let G be a group acting on a translation quiver $\tilde{\Gamma}$ by translation quiver automorphisms, meaning that for every $g \in G$, the corresponding automorphism $g: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}$ preserves τ and σ . If the action of G is admissible, then $\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}/G$ inherits a translation quiver structure and $\pi: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a covering of translation quivers.

In particular, let Γ be a translation quiver, $\mathbf{d}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a weight map and $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding localization quiver. Then the group Λ acts on the translation quiver $\tilde{\Gamma}$

$$m \circ (i, n) = (i, n + m), \quad m \circ a_n = a_{n+m}, \quad i \in \Gamma_0, a \in \Gamma_1, m, n \in \Lambda.$$

This action is admissible and $\tilde{\Gamma}/\Lambda$ is isomorphic to Γ . This implies that the projection map $\pi: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a covering. If Γ has a cut $\Gamma_1^+ \subset \Gamma_1$, then the cut $\tilde{\Gamma}_1^+ = \pi^{-1}(\Gamma_1^+)$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is exactly the cut defined in Example 2.5.

Example 2.17 (cf. [42]). Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be a locally finite translation quiver, meaning that every vertex is incident with finitely many arrows. Let $\nu: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}$ be a translation quiver automorphism such that $\nu^n(i) \neq i$ for all $i \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0$ and $n \geq 1$. Then the action of \mathbb{Z} on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ given by

$$n \circ i = \nu^n(i), \quad n \circ a = \nu^n(a), \quad i \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0, a \in \tilde{\Gamma}_1, n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is free on vertices, hence is admissible. The quotient quiver $\Gamma = \tilde{\Gamma}/\nu = \tilde{\Gamma}/\mathbb{Z}$ has the set of vertices consisting of ν -orbits in $\tilde{\Gamma}_0$ and the sets of arrows

$$\Gamma([i], [j]) = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\Gamma}(i, \nu^n j).$$

As before, Γ is a translation quiver, with the translation given by $\tau[i] = [\tau i]$.

3. HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

3.1. Quiver representations. Let Q be a quiver. We don't require Q_0 to be finite, but we require that the number of arrows between any two vertices is finite. Given a quiver Q , we define its *representation* M to be a collection of vector spaces $(M_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ together with a collection of linear maps $M_a: M_i \rightarrow M_j$ for all arrows $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q . We will consider only finite-dimensional representations, meaning that $\sum_i \dim M_i < \infty$. Given a path $u = a_n \dots a_1$ in Q , we define $u|M = M_u = M_{a_n} \dots M_{a_1}$ considered as an endomorphism of $M = \bigoplus_i M_i$. We extend this definition to the elements of the path algebra kQ by linearity. Let $A = kQ/I$ be the quotient algebra by some ideal I . We can identify A -modules with Q -representations M that vanish on I , meaning that $M_u = 0$ for all $u \in I$. We will call them also *A-representations*.

Given an abelian monoid Λ and a set X , we define

$$\Lambda^{(X)} = \{f \in \Lambda^X \mid \#\text{supp } f < \infty\}, \quad \text{supp } f = \{x \in X \mid f_x \neq 0\}.$$

Given a Q -representation M , we define its *dimension vector* $\mathbf{dim} M = (\dim M_i)_{i \in Q_0} \in \mathbb{N}^{(Q_0)}$. Define the Euler-Ringel form of Q

$$\chi(m, n) = \sum_i m_i n_i - \sum_{a: i \rightarrow j} m_i n_j, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{(Q_0)}.$$

Then, for any two Q -representations M, N , we have

$$\chi(M, N) = \dim \text{Hom}(M, N) - \dim \text{Ext}^1(M, N) = \chi(\mathbf{dim} M, \mathbf{dim} N).$$

Given a quiver automorphism $\tau: Q \rightarrow Q$ and a Q -representation M , we define a new Q -representation M^τ with

$$(3) \quad M_i^\tau = M_{\tau i} \quad \forall i \in Q_0, \quad M_a^\tau = M_{\tau a}: M_{\tau i} \rightarrow M_{\tau j} \quad \forall (a: i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1.$$

Similarly, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$, define $m^\tau = (m_{\tau i})_{i \in Q_0}$. If $I \subset kQ$ is a τ -invariant ideal, then $A = kQ/I$ inherits the action by τ . For any A -representation M , we obtain an A -representation M^τ .

3.2. Lift properties. Let (Γ, τ, σ) be a translation quiver with a cut Γ_1^+ (see §2.4) and let $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding mesh algebra (see §2.8).

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [13]). *Let M be a Γ^+ -representation. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow D \text{Ext}^1(M, M^\tau) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1^+} \text{Hom}(M_{\tau j}, M_i) \xrightarrow{\phi} \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(M_{\tau i}, M_i) \rightarrow D \text{Hom}(M, M^\tau) \rightarrow 0$$

where ϕ sends $(M_{\sigma a})_{a \in \Gamma_1^+}$ to $\sum_{a \in \Gamma_1} \varepsilon(a) M_a M_{\sigma a}$. This implies that we can identify the space $D \text{Ext}^1(M, M^\tau)$ with the set of lifts of the Γ^+ -representation M to a Π -representation.

Proof. Given two representations M, N of Γ^+ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(M_i, N_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a: i \rightarrow j} \text{Hom}(M_i, N_j) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, N) \rightarrow 0$$

In particular, for the representations M and M^τ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, M^\tau) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \operatorname{Hom}(M_i, M_{\tau i}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a: i \rightarrow j} \operatorname{Hom}(M_i, M_{\tau j}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(M, M^\tau) \rightarrow 0$$

Dualizing, we obtain the result. \square

3.3. Quadratic algebras. The mesh algebra $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ is an example of a quadratic algebra. In this section we will discuss such algebras and some of their properties in more detail.

Let $S = \prod_{i \in I} k$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra over a field k . Given S -bimodules V and W , we consider $V \otimes W := V \otimes_S W$ as an S -bimodule. Let V be a finite-dimensional S -bimodule and $R \subset V \otimes V$ be a sub-bimodule. We define the free tensor algebra

$$T_S V = S \oplus V \oplus (V \otimes V) \oplus \cdots = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$$

and define the *quadratic algebra* $A = T_S V / (R)$, where $(R) \subset T_S V$ is the ideal generated by R . The algebra A is graded, with $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A_n$ and A_n equal to the image of $V^{\otimes n}$ in A . Note that $A_0 = S$ and $A_1 = V$.

We define the *Koszul complex* (see e.g. [3, §2.6])

$$\cdots \rightarrow K^2 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

consisting of projective graded (left) A -modules as follows. Let $K_n^n \subset V^{\otimes n}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} K_0^0 &= S, & K_1^1 &= V, & K_2^2 &= R, \\ K_{n+1}^{n+1} &= (V \otimes K_n^n) \cap (K_n^n \otimes V) \subset V^{\otimes(n+1)}, & n &\geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we define $K^n = A \otimes_S K_n^n$ (where K_n^n is the degree n component of K^n) and define the differential

$$d: K^n \rightarrow K^{n-1}, \quad a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \mapsto av_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n.$$

The algebra A is Koszul if and only if the Koszul complex is a resolution of $S = A/\bar{A}$ considered as an A -module, where $\bar{A} = \bigoplus_{n > 0} A_n$ is the augmentation ideal [3, §2.6]. For an arbitrary quadratic algebra A , the first terms of the Koszul complex give a resolution of S . More precisely, we have an exact sequence (see e.g. [8, Lemma 3.8])

$$(5) \quad A \otimes_S R \rightarrow A \otimes_S V \rightarrow A \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0,$$

meaning that the sequence is exact in the three middle terms.

Let us define the *Koszul bimodule complex*

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{K}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{K}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{K}^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where $\tilde{K}^n = A \otimes_S K_n^n \otimes_S A$ and the differential $d: \tilde{K}^n \rightarrow \tilde{K}^{n-1}$ is given by

$$a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes b \mapsto av_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes b + (-1)^n a \otimes v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n b.$$

Note that $K^\bullet = \tilde{K}^\bullet \otimes_A S$. The quadratic algebra A is Koszul if and only if the Koszul bimodule complex is a resolution of A as an A -bimodule [7, A.2]. For an arbitrary quadratic algebra A , the first terms of the Koszul bimodule complex give a resolution of A as an A -bimodule.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A = T_S V / (R)$ be a quadratic algebra. Then we have an exact sequence*

$$(6) \quad A \otimes_S R \otimes_S A \rightarrow A \otimes_S V \otimes_S A \rightarrow A \otimes_S A \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. According to [7, A.3], a complex \tilde{P}^\bullet of free \mathbb{N} -graded right A -modules is exact if $\tilde{P}^\bullet \otimes_A S$ is exact. Now we apply the fact that (5) is exact. \square

3.4. Special exact sequence. Let us consider now a translation quiver (Γ, τ, σ) with a cut Γ_1^+ and let $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding mesh algebra. Let us define the algebra $S = \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} k e_i$ and the S -bimodule $V = \bigoplus_{a \in \Gamma_1} k a$. Then the tensor algebra $T_S V$ is isomorphic to the path algebra $k\Gamma$. The mesh algebra $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ is equal to $k\Gamma/(\mathfrak{r})$, where \mathfrak{r} is given by (2)

$$(7) \quad \mathfrak{r} = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_1} \varepsilon(a) a \cdot \sigma(a), \quad \varepsilon(a) = \begin{cases} 1 & a \in \Gamma_1^+, \\ -1 & a \in \sigma\Gamma_1^+. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ the path $a \cdot \sigma(a)$ goes from τj to j . For any vertex $j \in \Gamma_0$, we can consider $\mathfrak{r}_j = e_j \mathfrak{r} e_{\tau j}$ as an element of $V \otimes V$. Then $k\mathfrak{r}_j \subset V \otimes V$ is an S -sub-bimodule and we define

$$(8) \quad R = \bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma_0} k\mathfrak{r}_j \subset V \otimes V, \quad \mathfrak{r}_j = \sum_{t(a)=j} \varepsilon(a) a \otimes \sigma(a).$$

We conclude that the mesh algebra is equal to the quadratic algebra

$$(9) \quad \Pi = \Pi(\Gamma) = T_S V / (R).$$

We obtain from Lemma 3.2 an exact sequence of Π -bimodules

$$(10) \quad \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \Pi e_i \otimes e_{\tau i} \Pi \rightarrow \bigoplus_{a: i \rightarrow j} \Pi e_j \otimes e_i \Pi \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \Pi e_i \otimes e_i \Pi \rightarrow \Pi \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.3 (cf. [12]). *Given two Π -representations M, N , there is a complex*

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \text{Hom}(M_i, N_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1} \text{Hom}(M_i, N_j) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \text{Hom}(M_{\tau i}, N_i) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

whose cohomology groups are $\text{Hom}_\Pi(M, N)$, $\text{Ext}_\Pi^1(M, N)$ and $D \text{Hom}_\Pi(N, M^\tau)$.

Proof. Applying $-\otimes_\Pi M$ to the exact sequence (10), we obtain a projective resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \Pi e_i \otimes M_{\tau i} \xrightarrow{f} \bigoplus_{a: i \rightarrow j} \Pi e_j \otimes M_i \xrightarrow{g} \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \Pi e_i \otimes M_i \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where, using $p_i \in \Pi e_i$ and $m_i \in M_i$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sum p_i \otimes m_{\tau i}\right) &= \sum_{a: i \rightarrow j} \varepsilon(a) \left(p_j a \otimes m_{\tau j} - p_i \otimes \tau a \cdot m_{\tau i}\right)_{\sigma a} \\ g(p_j \otimes m_i)_a &= (p_j a \otimes m_i)_i - (p_j \otimes a m_i)_j, \quad a: i \rightarrow j. \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\text{Hom}(-, N)$ and identifying $\text{Hom}(\Pi e_j \otimes M_i, N)$ with $\text{Hom}(M_i, N_j)$, we obtain the complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \text{Hom}(M_i, N_i) &\xrightarrow{\bar{g}} \bigoplus_{a: i \rightarrow j} \text{Hom}(M_i, N_j) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \text{Hom}(M_{\tau i}, N_i) \rightarrow \dots \\ \bar{g}(\psi) &= \sum_{a: i \rightarrow j} (a\psi_i - \psi_j a)_a, \quad \psi = (\psi_i: M_i \rightarrow N_i)_i, \\ \bar{f}(\phi) &= \sum_{a: i \rightarrow j} \varepsilon(a)(a\phi_{\sigma a} + \phi_a \cdot \sigma a)_j, \quad \phi = (\phi_a: M_i \rightarrow N_j)_{a: i \rightarrow j}. \end{aligned}$$

The first two cohomology groups are $\text{Hom}_{\Pi}(M, N)$ and $\text{Ext}_{\Pi}^1(M, N)$. Dualizing, we see that the cokernel of \bar{f} is $D \text{Hom}_{\Pi}(N, M^{\tau})$. \square

For any two Π -modules M, N , we define $h^i(M, N) = \dim \text{Ext}_{\Pi}^i(M, N)$.

Proposition 3.4. *For any Π -modules M, N we have*

$$h^0(M, N) - h^1(M, N) + h^0(N, M^{\tau}) = \chi(M, N) + \chi(N, M^{\tau}),$$

where χ is the Euler-Ringel form of the quiver Γ^+ .

Proof. If $m = \mathbf{dim} M$ and $n = \mathbf{dim} N$, then the Euler characteristic of the above complex is

$$\sum m_i n_i - \sum_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1^+} (m_i n_j + m_{\tau j} n_i) + \sum_i m_{\tau i} n_i = \chi(M, N) + \chi(N, M^{\tau}).$$

\square

4. TRANSLATION QUIVER VARIETIES

4.1. Quiver varieties. The material of this section is well-known [28]. We include it to fix notation. Let Q be a quiver (for simplicity we assume it to be finite) and let k be an algebraically closed field. Let $I \subset kQ$ be an ideal of the path algebra contained in the ideal $J \subset kQ$ generated by all arrows. Let $A = kQ/I$ be the quotient algebra and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$. We are going to introduce the moduli space of semistable A -modules having dimension vector \mathbf{v} .

Let V be a Q_0 -graded vector space having the dimension vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$. We define the representation space

$$\mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}) = \bigoplus_{a: i \rightarrow j} \text{Hom}(V_i, V_j)$$

equipped with the action of the group $\text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}} = \prod_i \text{GL}(V_i)$ given by $(g \cdot M)_a = g_j M_a g_i^{-1}$ for any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q . For the algebra $A = kQ/I$, let

$$\mathcal{R}(A, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$$

be the closed subvariety consisting of representations that vanish on I . It is also equipped with an action of $\text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$.

Consider some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$ which we will call a stability parameter. For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\}$, define the slope

$$\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{\sum_i \theta_i \mathbf{v}_i}{\sum_i \mathbf{v}_i} = \frac{\theta \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\rho \cdot \mathbf{v}},$$

where $\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ is given by $\rho_i = 1$ for all $i \in Q_0$. For any nonzero A -module M , define $\mu_\theta(M) = \mu_\theta(\mathbf{dim} M)$. It is called θ -semistable if

$$\mu_\theta(N) \leq \mu_\theta(M)$$

for every submodule $0 \neq N \subsetneq M$. It is called θ -stable if the above inequalities are strict. There exists an open subvariety $\mathcal{R}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(A, \mathbf{v})$ consisting of θ -semistable submodules. It is proved in [28] that there exists a quasi-projective categorical quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) // \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$$

which parametrizes S -equivalence classes of θ -semistable A -modules having dimension vector \mathbf{v} . Here two θ -semistable A -modules are called S -equivalent if they have the same composition factors in the category of θ -semistable A -modules (of the same slope).

Remark 4.1. To be more precise, the construction in [28] is formulated for $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ such that $\theta \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. We can reduce semistability with respect to an arbitrary $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$ to this case as follows. First, we consider $\theta' = \theta - \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v})\rho$ so that $\theta' \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. Semistability conditions with respect to θ and θ' are equivalent. Next, we approximate θ' by some $\theta'' \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_0}$ such that $\mathrm{sgn}(\theta' \cdot \mathbf{u}) = \mathrm{sgn}(\theta'' \cdot \mathbf{u})$ for all $0 \leq \mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{v}$. Then semistability conditions with respect to θ' and θ'' on representations having dimension \mathbf{v} are equivalent. Finally, we can find an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $k\theta'' \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$.

There also exists an open subvariety $\mathcal{R}_\theta^s(A, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v})$ consisting of stable A -modules and a geometric quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta^s(A, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}_\theta^s(A, \mathbf{v}) / \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$$

which parametrizes isomorphism classes of θ -stable A -modules having dimension vector \mathbf{v} . The moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\theta^s(A, \mathbf{v})$ is open in $\mathcal{M}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v})$. We will say that θ is \mathbf{v} -generic if $\mu_\theta(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v})$ for all $0 < \mathbf{u} < \mathbf{v}$ (meaning that $0 \leq \mathbf{u}_i \leq \mathbf{v}_i$ for all $i \in Q_0$ and $0 \neq \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v}$). In this case $\mathcal{M}_\theta^s(A, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{M}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v})$.

Remark 4.2. In the case of the trivial stability parameter $\theta = 0$ all modules are semistable and a module is stable if and only if it is simple. This implies that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_0(A, \mathbf{v})$ parametrizes isomorphism classes of semisimple A -modules having dimension vector \mathbf{v} . It can be described as

$$\mathcal{M}_0(A, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}(A, \mathbf{v}) // \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}} = \mathrm{Spec} k[\mathcal{R}(A, \mathbf{v})]^{\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}}.$$

For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$, there exists a canonical projective morphism $\pi: \mathcal{M}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(A, \mathbf{v})$ giving rise to a commutative diagram [28]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{R}(A, \mathbf{v}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{M}_0(A, \mathbf{v}) \end{array}$$

We say that a module $M \in \mathrm{mod} A$ is nilpotent if $J^n M = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$, where J is the ideal generated by all arrows. Let $\mathcal{L}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{M}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v})$ be the subvariety of nilpotent modules.

Lemma 4.3. *We have $\mathcal{L}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v}) = \pi^{-1}(0)$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v})$ is projective.*

Proof. A module $M \in \mathcal{M}_\theta(A, \mathbf{v})$ satisfies $\pi(M) = 0$ if and only if M is S -equivalent to the direct sum $\bigoplus_i S(i)^{\mathbf{v}_i}$ of 1-dimensional modules $S(i)$. This means that M has a filtration $0 = M_0 \subset \dots \subset M_n = M$ such that $J(M_k/M_{k-1}) = 0$, for all k . Then $J^n M = 0$. The converse is similar. \square

4.2. Nakajima quiver varieties. For an introduction to Nakajima quiver varieties see e.g. [21]. Let Q be a finite quiver, $\Gamma = \bar{Q}$ be its double quiver and $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be the mesh algebra. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$. Then one defines Nakajima quiver variety to be $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. There is an alternative approach that uses moment maps. Consider the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$ on $\mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$. It induces a map

$$\rho: \mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{v}} = \prod_i \mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{v}_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})^* \times \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}).$$

Dualizing, we obtain a map

$$\mu: \mathcal{R}(\bar{Q}, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}) \times \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_{\mathbf{v}}^*$$

called the moment map. One can show that

$$\mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mu^{-1}(0).$$

This implies that $\mathcal{R}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mu^{-1}(0)_\theta = \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \mathcal{R}_\theta(Q, \mathbf{v})$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mu^{-1}(0)_\theta // \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

Let χ be the Euler-Ringel form of Q . The following result is due to Nakajima (cf. [32, Theorem 2.8]), although the original proof is different.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that θ is \mathbf{v} -generic. Then $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ is smooth and has dimension $2 - 2\chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$.*

Proof. Given Π -modules M, N , let $h^i(M, N) = \dim \mathrm{Ext}_\Pi^i(M, N)$. The tangent space of the quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ at the point $M \in \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ can be identified with $\mathrm{Ext}_\Pi^1(M, M)$ and we need to show that $h^1(M, M)$ is independent of M . By Corollary 3.4 we have

$$2h^0(M, M) - h^1(M, M) = 2\chi(M, M).$$

We have $h^0(M, M) = 1$ as M is stable. Therefore $h^1(M, M) = 2 - 2\chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$. \square

Given $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$ we constructed in §2.7 the framed quiver $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}} = \bar{Q}^{\mathbf{w}}$ by adding to $\Gamma = \bar{Q}$ one new vertex $*$ as well as \mathbf{w}_i arrows $* \rightarrow i$ and \mathbf{w}_i arrows $i \rightarrow *$, for all $i \in Q_0$. It is the double quiver of the quiver $Q^{\mathbf{w}}$ obtained by adding to Q one new vertex $*$ as well as \mathbf{w}_i arrows $* \rightarrow i$, for $i \in Q_0$. We extend $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0^{\mathbf{w}}}$ by setting $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_* = 1$. Define $\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0^{\mathbf{w}}}$ with $\hat{\theta}_i = 0$ for $i \in Q_0$ and $\hat{\theta}_* = 1$. A representation $M \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}, \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is $\hat{\theta}$ -semistable if and only if for any $N \subset M$ with $N_* \neq 0$, we have $N = M$. One defines the *Nakajima quiver variety*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}), \hat{\mathbf{v}}).$$

The stability parameter $\hat{\theta}$ is $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ -generic, hence by the previous theorem $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is smooth and has dimension $2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}))$.

Remark 4.5. Generally, we extend an arbitrary $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$ with $\theta \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ to $\hat{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0^\mathbf{w}}$ by setting $\hat{\theta}_* = \varepsilon$, for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. This stability parameter is $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ -generic and we define

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\Gamma^\mathbf{w}), \hat{\mathbf{v}}).$$

This quiver variety is smooth and has dimension $2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}))$.

4.3. Translation quiver varieties. Let (Γ, τ, σ) be a translation quiver with a cut Γ_1^+ and let $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be the mesh algebra.

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ and let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma_0}$ be \mathbf{v} -generic. We define the *translation quiver variety* to be $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$.

Theorem 4.7. Let θ be \mathbf{v} -generic, $\theta^\tau = \theta$ and $\mathbf{v}^\tau \neq \mathbf{v}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ is smooth and has dimension $1 - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau)$, where χ is the Euler-Ringel form of the quiver Γ^+ .

Proof. Given Π -modules M, N , let $h^i(M, N) = \dim \text{Ext}_\Pi^i(M, N)$. The tangent space of the quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ at the point $M \in \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ can be identified with $\text{Ext}_\Pi^1(M, M)$ and we need to show that $h^1(M, M)$ is independent of M . By Proposition 3.4, we have

$$h^0(M, M) - h^1(M, M) + h^0(M, M^\tau) = \chi(M, M) + \chi(M, M^\tau).$$

The representation M^τ is stable with respect to $\theta^\tau = \theta$. It is not isomorphic to M as $\dim M^\tau = \mathbf{v}^\tau \neq \mathbf{v}$. Therefore $h^0(M, M^\tau) = 0$ and we obtain $h^1(M, M) = 1 - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau)$. \square

Let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$ and let $\Gamma^\mathbf{w}$ be the corresponding framed quiver (see §2.7) which is a partial translation quiver equipped with a partial cut. We extend $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ to a dimension vector $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ over $\Gamma_0^\mathbf{w}$ by setting $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_* = 1$. Let us consider a stability parameter $\hat{\theta}$ over $\Gamma^\mathbf{w}$ with $\hat{\theta}_i = 0$ for $i \in \Gamma_0$ and $\hat{\theta}_* = 1$. Note that $\hat{\theta}$ is $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ -generic.

Definition 4.8. Given $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, we define the (*framed*) *translation quiver variety* to be

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\Gamma^\mathbf{w}), \hat{\mathbf{v}}),$$

where $\Pi(\Gamma^\mathbf{w})$ is the mesh algebra of the partial translation quiver $\Gamma^\mathbf{w}$.

Note that the map $M \mapsto M^\tau$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \simeq \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}^\tau, \mathbf{w}^\tau)$. In the next theorem we will see that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ can be interpreted as a moduli space of representations of the mesh algebra $\Pi(\widehat{\Gamma^\mathbf{w}})$, where $\widehat{\Gamma^\mathbf{w}}$ is the stabilization of $\Gamma^\mathbf{w}$ (see §2.7). This will allow us to apply the previous theorem which was proved for stable translation quivers.

Theorem 4.9. The translation quiver variety $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ is smooth and has dimension

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau) - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau),$$

where χ is the Euler-Ringel form of the cut $\Gamma^+ \subset \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\widehat{\Gamma^\mathbf{w}}$ be the stabilization of $\Gamma^\mathbf{w}$ (see §2.7). Let us extend $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ to a dimension vector over $\widehat{\Gamma^\mathbf{w}}$ by zero. Let us extend $\hat{\theta}$ to a stability parameter over $\widehat{\Gamma^\mathbf{w}}$ so that $\hat{\theta}_{[n]} = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\widehat{\Gamma^\mathbf{w}}), \hat{\mathbf{v}}).$$

The stability parameter $\hat{\theta}$ is $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ -generic and $(\hat{\theta})^\tau = \hat{\theta}$. On the other hand $(\hat{\mathbf{v}})^\tau \neq \hat{\mathbf{v}}$ as $(\hat{\mathbf{v}})_{[0]}^\tau = \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{[-1]} = 0 \neq \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{[0]} = 1$. Therefore we can apply Theorem 4.7. Let Q be the cut of the translation quiver $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{w}}$ (its arrows are arrows in Γ^+ and arrows $[n] \rightarrow i$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in \Gamma_0$). We will again use χ to denote the corresponding Euler-Ringel form. Let e_n be the dimension vector on $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{w}}$ that equals 1 at the vertex $[n]$ and zero at all other vertices. Considering \mathbf{v} as a dimension vector on $\widehat{\Gamma}^{\mathbf{w}}$ we can write $\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{v} + e_0$ and $\hat{\mathbf{v}}^\tau = \mathbf{v}^\tau + e_1$. We have

$$\chi(\mathbf{v} + e_0, \mathbf{v} + e_0) = \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} + 1.$$

On the other hand

$$\chi(\mathbf{v} + e_0, \mathbf{v}^\tau + e_1) = \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^\tau) - \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v}^\tau$$

Therefore the dimension of the quiver variety is

$$1 - \chi(\hat{\mathbf{v}}, \hat{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\mathbf{v}}^\tau) = \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau) - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau).$$

□

5. MOTIVIC CLASSES

In this section we will consider only algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} .

5.1. Motivic theory. Let Var be the category of algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} , with the monoidal category structure given by the Cartesian product. Its identity object is $\mathbf{pt} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. Let Ab be the category of abelian groups, with the monoidal category structure given by the tensor product. The following structure is an example of a theory with transfer on the category Var with values in the category Ab (see e.g. [17]). We will call it a motivic theory (cf. [15]).

For any $S \in \text{Var}$, let Var/S be the category of algebraic varieties over S and let $K(\text{Var}/S)$ be the Grothendieck group of algebraic varieties over S which is the free abelian group generated by isomorphism classes of objects in Var/S modulo relations

$$[X \rightarrow S] = [Y \rightarrow S] + [(X \setminus Y) \rightarrow S],$$

for any variety X over S and a closed subvariety $Y \subset X$. We define $K(\text{Var}) = K(\text{Var}/\mathbf{pt})$. By applying resolutions of singularities, we can see that $K(\text{Var})$ is generated by elements $[X] = [X \rightarrow \mathbf{pt}]$ for smooth, projective and connected varieties X . We will write generators of $K(\text{Var}/S)$ in the form $[X \rightarrow S] = [X]_S$. The abelian groups $M(S) = K(\text{Var}/S)$ have the following properties. For any morphism $u: S \rightarrow T$, we have push-forward and pull-back morphisms

$$\begin{aligned} u_! : M(S) &\rightarrow M(T), & [X \rightarrow S] &\mapsto [X \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{u} T], \\ u^* : M(T) &\rightarrow M(S), & [X \rightarrow T] &\mapsto [X \times_T S \rightarrow S]. \end{aligned}$$

We have a multiplicative structure

$$m : M(S) \otimes M(T) \rightarrow M(S \times T), \quad [X \rightarrow S] \times [Y \rightarrow T] \mapsto [X \times Y \rightarrow S \times T]$$

natural with respect to push-forward and pull-back. It makes $K(\text{Var}) = M(\mathbf{pt})$ a commutative ring with the identity $\mathbb{1} = [\mathbf{pt}]$. It also makes $K(\text{Var}/S) = M(S)$ a module over $K(\text{Var})$.

Let us also define $K'(\text{Var}/S)$ to be the localization of $K(\text{Var}/S)$ with respect to $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1] \in K(\text{Var})$ and define $K''(\text{Var}/S)$ to be the localization of $K(\text{Var}/S)$ with respect to

\mathbb{L} and $\mathbb{L}^n - 1$ for $n \geq 1$ (where we consider $K(\text{Var}/S)$ as a module over $K(\text{Var})$). In particular, let $K'(\text{Var}) = K'(\text{Var}/\mathbf{pt})$ and $K''(\text{Var}) = K''(\text{Var}/\mathbf{pt})$. We will call their elements motivic classes. We will say that a motivic class is *Tate* if it is a polynomial in $\mathbb{L}^{\pm 1}$ and we will say that it is *quasi-Tate* if it is a polynomial in $\mathbb{L}^{\pm 1}$ divided by some powers of $\mathbb{L}^n - 1$ for $n \geq 1$.

We define a ring homomorphism involution $K'(\text{Var}) \rightarrow K'(\text{Var})$ by the rule

$$(11) \quad [X] \mapsto [X]^\vee = \mathbb{L}^{-\dim X} \cdot [X],$$

for every smooth, projective and connected variety X (note that such variety is automatically irreducible). Note that $[\mathbb{P}^1] = 1 + \mathbb{L}$ and $[\mathbb{P}^1]^\vee = 1 + \mathbb{L}^{-1}$, hence $\mathbb{L}^\vee = \mathbb{L}^{-1}$.

Remark 5.1. The above definition of the involution is motivated by taking duals in rigid monoidal categories of motives. To see that the above involution is well-defined it is enough to show that it respects the blow-up relation [5]. More precisely, let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subvariety with both X and Y smooth, projective and connected. Let $\hat{X} = \text{Bl}_Y X$ and E be the exceptional divisor. Then we have the blow-up relation $[\hat{X}] - [E] = [X] - [Y]$ and we need to show that

$$(12) \quad [\hat{X}]^\vee - [E]^\vee = [X]^\vee - [Y]^\vee.$$

Let $n = \dim X$ and $k = \dim Y$. Then E is a projective bundle of dimension $n - k - 1$ over Y (see e.g. [19, B.6.3]), hence $(1 - \mathbb{L})[E] = (1 - \mathbb{L}^{n-k})[Y]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}^n \cdot ([\hat{X}]^\vee - [E]^\vee) &= [\hat{X}] - \mathbb{L}[E] = [X] - [Y] + (1 - \mathbb{L})[E] \\ &= [X] - \mathbb{L}^{n-k}[Y] = \mathbb{L}^n([X]^\vee - [Y]^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (12) is satisfied in $K'(\text{Var})$.

Let us define the virtual Poincaré polynomial

$$P: K'(\text{Var}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}], \quad [X] \mapsto \sum_{p,q,n} (-1)^n h^{p,q}(H_c^n(X, \mathbb{C})) t^{\frac{1}{2}(p+q)},$$

where $h^{p,q}(H_c^n(X, \mathbb{C}))$ is the dimension of the (p, q) -type Hodge component of the mixed Hodge structure on $H_c^n(X, \mathbb{C})$. In particular, $P(\mathbb{L}; t) = t$ and if X is smooth, projective and connected, then

$$P(X; t) = P([X]; t) = \sum_n (-1)^n \dim H^n(X, \mathbb{C}) t^{n/2}.$$

Note that in this case, by Poincaré duality, we have

$$P([X]^\vee; t) = P([X]; t^{-1}),$$

hence the same is true for any algebraic variety X .

Remark 5.2. We can extend the virtual Poincaré polynomial to the algebra homomorphism $P: K''(\text{Var}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})$, where $(\mathbb{L}^n - 1)^{-1} \mapsto (t^n - 1)^{-1}$ for $n \geq 1$. Let X be an algebraic variety such that $[X] = f(\mathbb{L})$ for some rational function $f \in \mathbb{Q}(t)$. Then $P(X; t) = f(t)$. But $P(X; t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\frac{1}{2}}]$, hence $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. This implies that in order to show that the class of an algebraic variety is Tate, it is enough to show that it is quasi-Tate.

Similarly, we define the E -polynomial

$$E: K'(\text{Var}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[u^{\pm 1}, v^{\pm 1}], \quad \sum_{p,q,n} (-1)^n h^{p,q}(H_c^n(X, \mathbb{C})) u^p v^q.$$

In particular, $E(\mathbb{A}^1; u, v) = uv$ and if X is a smooth, projective and connected variety, then

$$E(X; u, v) = E([X]; u, v) = \sum_{p,q} h^{p,q}(H_c^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{C})) (-u)^p (-v)^q.$$

Moreover, if $\dim X = d$, then $h^{p,q} = h^{d-p, d-q}$, hence

$$E([X]^\vee; u, v) = E([X]; u^{-1}, v^{-1})$$

and the same is true for any algebraic variety X .

More generally, let St be the 2-category of algebraic stacks of finite type over \mathbb{C} , having affine stabilizers [10]. For any algebraic stack S , locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} , having affine stabilizers, one can define the Grothendieck group $K(\text{St}/S)$ similarly to the above definition [10]. There is an isomorphism $K(\text{St}) = K(\text{St}/\mathbf{pt}) \simeq K''(\text{Var})$ (see e.g. [10, 26, 44]).

Let X be an algebraic variety and $\phi: E \rightarrow F$ be a morphism of vector bundles over X . Define $Z(\phi) \subset E$ to be the preimage $\phi^{-1}(0_F)$ of the zero section in F .

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\phi: E \rightarrow F$ be a morphism of vector bundles and $\phi^\vee: F^\vee \rightarrow E^\vee$ be the dual morphism. Then*

$$\mathbb{L}^{\text{rk } F}[Z(\phi)] = \mathbb{L}^{\text{rk } E}[Z(\phi^\vee)].$$

Proof. Stratifying X , we can assume that ϕ has a constant rank $r \geq 0$. Then $[Z(\phi)] = [X] \cdot \mathbb{L}^{\text{rk } E - r}$ and $[Z(\phi^\vee)] = [X] \cdot \mathbb{L}^{\text{rk } F - r}$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Given finite dimensional vector spaces U, V and a morphism of algebraic varieties $\phi: X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V)$, consider the induced morphisms of algebraic varieties*

$$\phi_1: X \times U \rightarrow V, \quad \phi_2: X \times V^\vee \rightarrow U^\vee$$

and let $Z(\phi_i) = \phi_i^{-1}(0)$. Then

$$\mathbb{L}^{\dim V}[Z(\phi_1)] = \mathbb{L}^{\dim U}[Z(\phi_2)].$$

5.2. Exponential motivic theory. Consider \mathbb{A}^1 equipped with an abelian group structure $\mu: \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, $(x, y) \mapsto x + y$. Define a new motivic theory M^e given by

$$M^e(S) = \text{Coker}(M(S) \xrightarrow{p^*} M(S \times \mathbb{A}^1)),$$

where $p: S \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow S$ is the projection. We will write generators of $M^e(S)$ in the form

$$[X \xrightarrow{(h,f)} S \times \mathbb{A}^1] = [X, f]_S.$$

The push-forward and pull-back morphisms extend automatically to M^e . We define the multiplicative structure

$$m: M^e(S) \otimes M^e(T) \rightarrow M^e(S \times T),$$

$$[X \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1] \times [Y \rightarrow T \times \mathbb{A}^1] \mapsto [X \times Y \rightarrow S \times T \times \mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{1 \times 1 \times \mu} S \times T \times \mathbb{A}^1].$$

The identity element $1^e \in M^e(\mathbf{pt})$ is given by $[\mathbf{pt} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{A}^1]$. We will call M^e an exponential motivic theory. One can identify $M^e(S)$ with the Grothendieck group $K(\text{ExpVar}/S)$ of varieties with exponentials over S [11, 46]. There are injective morphisms

$$\iota: M(S) \rightarrow M^e(S), \quad [X]_S \mapsto [X, 0]_S$$

which are functorial and preserve multiplicative structures. The map ι is a section of the map

$$\pi = (i_0^* - i_1^*): M^e(S) \rightarrow M(S),$$

where $i_t: S \rightarrow S \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $x \mapsto (x, t)$ is defined for every $t \in \mathbb{A}^1$. We will usually identify $M(S)$ with a subgroup of $M^e(S)$ using the above map ι .

Proposition 5.5 (cf. [11, 46]). *Let X be an algebraic variety, V be a finite-dimensional vector space, $\bar{s}: X \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be a morphism linear on the second factor and $s: X \rightarrow V^\vee$ be the induced morphism. Then*

$$[X \times V, \bar{s}]_X = \mathbb{L}^{\dim V} [Z(s)]_X, \quad Z(s) = s^{-1}(0).$$

Corollary 5.6. *Let $s \in \Gamma(X, E^\vee)$ be a section of a vector bundle, $Z(s) \subset X$ be the zero locus and $\bar{s}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be the induced map. Then we have an equality of exponential motivic classes*

$$[E, \bar{s}]_X = \mathbb{L}^{\text{rk } E} [Z(s)]_X.$$

5.3. Jacobian algebras. Given a quiver Q , we define a *potential* to be an element $W \in \mathbb{C}Q/[\mathbb{C}Q, \mathbb{C}Q]$. It can be identified with a linear combination of cycles $W = \sum_u c_u u$, where a cycle u is a path $u = a_n \dots a_1$ such that $s(a_1) = t(a_n)$. Here we consider cycles up to a cyclic shift, meaning that a cycle $a_n \dots a_1$ is equivalent to the cycle $a_i \dots a_1 a_n \dots a_{i+1}$ for all $1 \leq i < n$. Given a cycle $u = a_n \dots a_1$ and an arrow $a \in Q_1$, we define the (cyclic) derivative

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial a} = \sum_{i: a_i=a} a_{i-1} \dots a_1 a_n \dots a_{i+1}$$

and extend it to $\frac{\partial W}{\partial a}$ by linearity. We define the *Jacobian algebra* to be

$$(13) \quad J_W = \mathbb{C}Q/(\partial W) = \mathbb{C}Q/(\partial W/\partial a : a \in Q_1).$$

Given a dimension vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$, consider the space of representations $\mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$. For any representation $M \in \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$ and for any cycle $u = a_n \dots a_1$, define

$$\text{tr } u|M = \text{tr}(M_{a_n} \dots M_{a_1}).$$

Let us define the map (also called a potential)

$$\text{tr } W: \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad M \mapsto \text{tr } W|M = \sum_u c_u \cdot \text{tr } u|M$$

Proposition 5.7. (See e.g. [43]) *The critical locus of the map $\text{tr } W: \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ coincides with the space of representations $\mathcal{R}(J_W, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$.*

We define a *cut of the potential* $W = \sum_u c_u u$ to be a subset $I_1 \subset Q_1$ such that every cycle u with $c_u \neq 0$ has exactly one arrow from I_1 (appearing just once). Let $\bar{I}_1 = Q_1 \setminus I_1$ and let $I, \bar{I} \subset Q$ be the corresponding subquivers with the sets of vertices $I_0 = \bar{I}_0 = Q_0$. We define the *partial Jacobian algebra*

$$(14) \quad J_{W,I} = \mathbb{C}\bar{I}/(\partial W/\partial a : a \in I).$$

The map

$$\mathrm{tr} W : \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}(\bar{I}, \mathbf{v}) \times \mathcal{R}(I, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is linear on the second factor and induces a morphism of algebraic varieties

$$s : \mathcal{R}(\bar{I}, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(I, \mathbf{v})^\vee.$$

According to Proposition 5.5, we have

$$[\mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}), \mathrm{tr} W] = \mathbb{L}^{d_I(\mathbf{v})} \cdot [Z(s)], \quad d_I(\mathbf{v}) = \dim \mathcal{R}(I, \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in I_1} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j.$$

Proposition 5.8. *The zero locus $Z(s) \subset \mathcal{R}(\bar{I}, \mathbf{v})$ coincides with the space of representations $\mathcal{R}(J_{W,I}, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\bar{I}, \mathbf{v})$.*

Proof. For any cycle u in W , we have $u = \sum_{a \in I} a \frac{\partial u}{\partial a}$ (up to a cyclic shift) because of the assumption on I . This implies that $\mathrm{tr} W|_M = \sum_{a \in I} \mathrm{tr} a \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}|_M$ for any representation $M \in \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$. Given $\bar{M} \in \mathcal{R}(J_{W,I}, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\bar{I}, \mathbf{v})$, we have $\frac{\partial W}{\partial a}|_{\bar{M}} = 0$ for all $a \in I$. Therefore, for any $M \in \mathcal{R}(I, \mathbf{v})$, the representation $N = (\bar{M}, M) \in \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{tr} W|_N = \sum_{a \in I} \mathrm{tr} \left(M_a \frac{\partial W}{\partial a}|_{\bar{M}} \right) = 0.$$

This implies $\bar{M} \in Z(s)$. Conversely, if $\bar{M} \in Z(s)$, then for any $M \in \mathcal{R}(I, \mathbf{v})$, representation $N = (\bar{M}, M) \in \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$ satisfies $\mathrm{tr} W|_N = 0$. Taking the derivatives with respect to all entries of M_a , we obtain $\frac{\partial W}{\partial a}|_{\bar{M}} = 0$, for all $a \in I$. This implies $\bar{M} \in \mathcal{R}(J_{W,I}, \mathbf{v})$. \square

Corollary 5.9. *For any cut I of the potential W , we have*

$$[\mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v}), \mathrm{tr} W] = \mathbb{L}^{d_I(\mathbf{v})} \cdot [\mathcal{R}(J_{W,I}, \mathbf{v})].$$

This corollary implies that there is a relationship between the motivic classes $[\mathcal{R}(J_{W,I}, \mathbf{v})]$, for different cuts I . We will need this relation only for disjoint cuts and in this case we can give a proof that does not rely on exponential motives.

Proposition 5.10. *Let I_1, I'_1 be two disjoint cuts of W . Then*

$$\mathbb{L}^{d_I(\mathbf{v})} \cdot [\mathcal{R}(J_{W,I}, \mathbf{v})] = \mathbb{L}^{d_{I'}(\mathbf{v})} \cdot [\mathcal{R}(J_{W,I'}, \mathbf{v})].$$

Proof. Define $I''_1 = Q_1 \setminus (I_1 \cup I'_1)$. Let $X = \mathcal{R}(I'', \mathbf{v})$, $U = \mathcal{R}(I', \mathbf{v})$, $V = \mathcal{R}(I, \mathbf{v})$. We have a map

$$\mathrm{tr} W : \mathcal{R} = X \times U \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

which is linear on U and V . It induces maps

$$\phi_1 : X \times U \rightarrow V^\vee, \quad \phi_2 : X \times V \rightarrow U^\vee$$

and we conclude by Corollary 5.4 that

$$\mathbb{L}^{\dim V} [Z(\phi_1)] = \mathbb{L}^{\dim U} [Z(\phi_2)].$$

By Proposition 5.8 we have $\mathcal{R}(J_{W,I}, \mathbf{v}) = Z(\phi_1)$, $\mathcal{R}(J_{W,I'}, \mathbf{v}) = Z(\phi_2)$, hence the statement. \square

5.4. Jacobian algebra of a translation quiver. The following construction in the case of a double quiver \bar{Q} can be found in [20, 31]. Let (Q, τ) be a pair consisting of a quiver Q with an automorphism $\tau: Q \rightarrow Q$. Let $\Gamma = Q^\tau$ be the corresponding twisted double quiver (see §2.4), which is a translation quiver with the cut $\Gamma_1^+ = Q_1 \subset \Gamma_1$ (recall that for $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q_1 , we define $\sigma a = a^*: \tau j \rightarrow i$ and $\sigma(a^*) = \tau a: \tau i \rightarrow \tau j$). We define a new quiver $\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{Q}^\tau$ by adding to $\Gamma = Q^\tau$ the arrows

$$\ell_i: i \rightarrow \tau i, \quad i \in \Gamma_0.$$

For example, for any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Γ , we have the following arrows in $\tilde{\Gamma}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i & \xrightarrow{a} & j \\ \ell_i \downarrow & \swarrow \sigma a & \downarrow \ell_j \\ \tau i & \xrightarrow{\tau a} & \tau j \end{array}$$

We equip $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with the potential (the map $\varepsilon: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ was defined in §2.8)

$$(15) \quad W = \sum_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1} \varepsilon(a) \ell_j \cdot a \cdot \sigma a.$$

Note that W has the following three cuts

$$(16) \quad \Gamma_1^+, \quad \Gamma_1^- = \sigma \Gamma_1^+, \quad I_1 = \{\ell_i \mid i \in \Gamma_0\}$$

such that $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_1 \sqcup I_1 = \Gamma_1^+ \sqcup \Gamma_1^- \sqcup I_1$. We denote by Γ^+ , Γ^- and I the corresponding subquivers of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ (which we will also call the cuts).

Proposition 5.11. *Consider the cuts Γ^- and I of the potential W defined above. Then*

- (1) $J_{W,I} \simeq \Pi(\Gamma)$, the mesh algebra.
- (2) The category of modules over J_{W,Γ^-} is equivalent to the category of pairs (M, ϕ) , where M is a representation of Γ^+ and $\phi: M \rightarrow M^\tau$ is a homomorphism of representations.

Proof. (1) For any $j \in \Gamma_0$, we have $\frac{\partial W}{\partial \ell_j} = \sum_{t(a)=j} \varepsilon(a) a \sigma(a)$. This is the mesh relation $e_j \mathbf{r} e_{\tau j}$ which follows from the relation \mathbf{r} . Conversely, we have $\mathbf{r} = \sum_j e_j \mathbf{r} e_{\tau j}$.

(2) For any arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Γ^+ , the arrow σa appears in the summands

$$\varepsilon(a) \ell_j \cdot a \cdot \sigma a, \quad \varepsilon(\sigma a) \ell_i \cdot \sigma a \cdot \tau a$$

of W . Therefore

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial(\sigma a)} = \varepsilon(a) (\ell_j \cdot a - \tau a \cdot \ell_i).$$

Given a module M' over J_{W,Γ^-} , we can restrict it to a representation M of Γ^+ (recall that $\tilde{\Gamma}_1 = \Gamma_1^+ \sqcup \Gamma_1^- \sqcup I_1$ and J_{W,Γ^-} is the quotient of the path algebra for the quiver with the set of arrows $\Gamma_1^+ \sqcup I_1$). There are linear maps $\phi_i = M'_{\ell_i}: M_i \rightarrow M_{\tau i} = M'_i$ for all $i \in \Gamma_0$. Because of the above relations, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{M_a} & M_j \\ \phi_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_j \\ M_{\tau i} & \xrightarrow{M_{\tau a}} & M_{\tau j} \end{array}$$

for all $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Γ^+ . Therefore we obtain a homomorphism $\phi: M \rightarrow M^\tau$ of Γ^+ -representations. The converse construction is straightforward. \square

Given a quiver Q with an automorphism τ , we define $\mathcal{R}^\tau(Q, \mathbf{v})$ to be the space of pairs (M, ϕ) , where $M \in \mathcal{R}(Q, \mathbf{v})$ and $\phi \in \text{Hom}(M, M^\tau)$, for any dimension vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(Q_0)}$. By the previous proposition, we can identify $\mathcal{R}^\tau(\Gamma^+, \mathbf{v})$ with $\mathcal{R}(J_{W, \Gamma^-}, \mathbf{v})$.

Proposition 5.12. *We have*

$$\mathbb{L}^{\chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^\tau)} \cdot [\mathcal{R}(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})] = [\mathcal{R}^\tau(\Gamma^+, \mathbf{v})],$$

where χ is the Euler-Ringel form of the quiver Γ^+ .

Proof. By the previous proposition we have

$$\mathcal{R}(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v}) \simeq \mathcal{R}(J_{W, I}, \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathcal{R}^\tau(\Gamma^+, \mathbf{v}) \simeq \mathcal{R}(J_{W, \Gamma^-}, \mathbf{v}).$$

On the other hand by Proposition 5.10 we have

$$\mathbb{L}^{d_I(\mathbf{v})} \cdot [\mathcal{R}(J_{W, I}, \mathbf{v})] = \mathbb{L}^{d_{\Gamma^-}(\mathbf{v})} \cdot [\mathcal{R}(J_{W, \Gamma^-}, \mathbf{v})].$$

Note that

$$d_I(\mathbf{v}) - d_{\Gamma^-}(\mathbf{v}) = \sum_{i \in \Gamma_0} \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_{\tau i} - \sum_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1^+} \mathbf{v}_{\tau j} \mathbf{v}_i = \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^\tau).$$

□

5.5. Calculation of the motivic classes. Our goal is to determine the motivic classes of $\mathcal{R}(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})$ and $\mathcal{R}^\tau(\Gamma^+, \mathbf{v})$ using the above relation, for certain translation quivers.

Conjecture 1. *The motivic class of $\mathcal{R}^\tau(Q, \mathbf{v})$ is Tate.*

Remark 5.13. If $\tau = \text{id}$, then $\Gamma = Q^\tau$ is the double quiver and $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ is the preprojective algebra. By Proposition 5.12 we have $\mathbb{L}^{\chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})}[\mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v})] = [\mathcal{R}^\tau(Q, \mathbf{v})]$. These motivic classes were computed in [31], where they were expressed as rational functions in \mathbb{L} . As $\mathcal{R}^\tau(Q, \mathbf{v})$ are algebraic varieties, we conclude that the conjecture is true in this case (see Remark 5.2).

Let Q be a quiver, $\Gamma = \bar{Q}$ be its double quiver and $\mathbf{d}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a weight map having the total weight $0 \neq \omega \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\bar{Q}) = \tilde{Q}^{\tau_\omega}$, where $\tilde{Q} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(Q)$ and

$$\tau_\omega: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}, \quad (i, n) \mapsto (i, n - \omega), \quad a_n \mapsto a_{n-\omega}.$$

Theorem 5.14. *The motivic class of $\mathcal{R}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ is Tate, for any dimension vector $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\tilde{Q}_0)}$.*

Proof. We will assume that $\omega > 0$. An object in $\text{Rep}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q})$ is a pair (M, ϕ) , where $M \in \text{Rep}(\tilde{Q})$ and $\phi: M \rightarrow M^{\tau_\omega}$ is a morphism. This means that we have a collection of vector spaces $(M_{i,n})_{i \in Q_0, n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and compatible linear maps

$$M_{a,n}: M_{i,n-\mathbf{d}_a} \rightarrow M_{j,n}, \quad \phi_{i,n}: M_{i,n} \rightarrow M_{i,n-\omega}$$

for $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q , $i \in Q_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let A_∞ be the infinite quiver

$$\cdots \rightarrow m+1 \rightarrow m \rightarrow m-1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

For any representation N of A_∞ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will write $N[n]$ for the shifted representation given by $N[n]_m = N_{m-n}$. The maps $\phi_{i,n}$ induce representations $M^{i,k}$ of A_∞

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_{i,(m+1)\omega+k} \rightarrow M_{i,m\omega+k} \rightarrow M_{i,(m-1)\omega+k} \rightarrow \cdots$$

for all $i \in Q_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $M^{i,k}[1]_m = M_{i,(m-1)\omega+k} = M_m^{i,k-\omega}$, hence $M^{i,k}[1] = M^{i,k-\omega}$ and we require only representations $M^{i,k}$ for $0 \leq k < \omega$. The maps $M_{a,n}$ induce homomorphisms of A_∞ -representations $M^{a,k}: M^{i,k-\mathbf{d}_a} \rightarrow M^{j,k}$ for all $a: i \rightarrow j$ and $0 \leq k < \omega$.

This implies that an object $M \in \text{Rep}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q})$ can be identified with a collection of A_∞ -representations $M^{i,k}$, where $i \in Q_0$ and $0 \leq k < \omega$, and a collection of homomorphisms of A_∞ -representations

$$M^{a,k}: M^{i,k-\mathbf{d}_a} \rightarrow M^{j,k}, \quad a: i \rightarrow j, \quad 0 \leq k < \omega,$$

where we define $M^{i,m\omega+k} = M^{i,k}[-m]$, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq k < \omega$.

Let $S = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid p \leq q\}$. Indecomposable representations of A_∞ are representations $I_{p,q}$, for $(p, q) \in S$, having dimension vector \mathbf{v} given by $\mathbf{v}_n = 1$ for $p \leq n \leq q$ and $\mathbf{v}_n = 0$ otherwise. This implies that every representation of A_∞ is isomorphic to a representation $I(\mathbf{m}) = \bigoplus_{s \in S} I_s^{\oplus \mathbf{m}_s}$, where $\mathbf{m}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a map with finite support. In our situation, we parametrize representations $M^{i,k}$ by a map $\mathbf{m}: Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_\omega \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with finite support, so that

$$M^{i,k} = I(\mathbf{m}_{i,k}) = \bigoplus_{s \in S} I_s^{\oplus \mathbf{m}_{i,k,s}}.$$

The corresponding dimension vector $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{dim} M \in \mathbb{N}^{(\tilde{Q}_0)}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i,m\omega+k} = \|\mathbf{m}\|_{i,m\omega+k} = \sum_{p \leq m \leq q} \mathbf{m}_{i,k,p,q}, \quad i \in Q_0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 0 \leq k < \omega.$$

Consider the motivic class

$$\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{\prod_{a:i \rightarrow j, 0 \leq k < \omega} [\text{Hom}(M^{i,k-\mathbf{d}_a}, M^{j,k})]}{\prod_{i \in Q_0, 0 \leq k < \omega} [\text{Aut}(M^{i,k})]}$$

which is a polynomial in $\mathbb{L}^{\pm 1}$ divided by powers of $(\mathbb{L}^n - 1)$, $n \geq 1$ (see Proposition 5.17). We obtain

$$\frac{[\mathcal{R}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}})]}{[\text{GL}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}] = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{m}: Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_\omega \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ \|\mathbf{m}\| = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{m}).$$

This implies that the motivic class of $\mathcal{R}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{L}^{\pm 1}$ divided by powers of $(\mathbb{L}^n - 1)$, $n \geq 1$. But as $\mathcal{R}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ is an algebraic variety, we conclude that its motivic class is a polynomial in \mathbb{L} with integer coefficients (see Remark 5.2). \square

Note that the above proof gives an explicit formula for $[\mathcal{R}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}})]$.

Theorem 5.15. *Let $\mathbf{d}: \bar{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a weight map having the total weight $\omega \neq 0$, $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\bar{Q})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$. Then the motivic class of $\mathcal{R}(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ is Tate.*

Proof. We have $\tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{Q}^{\tau_\omega}$, where $\tilde{Q} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(Q)$. By Proposition 5.12 we have

$$\mathbb{L}^{\chi(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{\tau_\omega})} \cdot [\mathcal{R}(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})] = [\mathcal{R}^{\tau_\omega}(\tilde{Q}, \tilde{\mathbf{v}})],$$

where χ is the Euler-Ringel form of \tilde{Q} . Now we apply the previous theorem. \square

Remark 5.16. The previous result implies that $\mathcal{R}(\Pi(\mathbb{Z}Q), \mathbf{v})$ has a Tate motivic class, where $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}Q$ is the repetition quiver and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$. Indeed, we have seen that $\Gamma = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\bar{Q})$, where $\mathbf{d}: \bar{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $\mathbf{d}_a = 0$ and $\mathbf{d}_{\sigma a} = 1$, for $a \in Q_1$.

Proposition 5.17 (See [31]). *Let M be a finite dimensional module over an algebra and let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i^{\oplus n_i}$ be its decomposition into indecomposable summands, with $M_i \not\cong M_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then*

$$\frac{[\mathrm{Aut} M]}{[\mathrm{End}(M)]} = \prod_{i \in I} (\mathbb{L}^{-1})_{n_i},$$

where $(q)_n = (q; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k)$ is the q -Pochhammer symbol.

5.6. Wall-crossing formula. Let Γ be a translation quiver with a cut Γ^+ and $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be the mesh algebra. Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ be a stability parameter and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ be a dimension vector. Recall that $\mathcal{R}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ is an open subspace of θ -semistable representations. Consider the corresponding algebraic stacks

$$\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) / \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}, \quad \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) / \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

Note that usually, given an algebraic group G acting on an algebraic variety X , one denotes the corresponding quotient stack by $[X/G]$. We depart from this convention and write X/G instead as we use square brackets for the motivic classes. Our goal is to prove a relation between the motivic classes of the above stacks.

Theorem 5.18. *Assume that $\theta^\tau = \theta$. Then*

$$[\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v})] = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbf{v}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v} \\ \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(1)}) > \dots > \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})}} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i < j} \nu(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}, \mathbf{v}^{(j)})} \prod_i [\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})],$$

where $\nu(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = -\chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) - \chi(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}^\tau)$ and χ is the Euler-Ringel form Γ^+ .

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v}} \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v})$ be the stack of all representations of Π . Let $H = K(\mathrm{St}/\mathfrak{M})$ be the motivic Hall algebra of the category $\mathrm{mod} \Pi(\Gamma)$ (see e.g. [9]) and let \hat{H} be its completion with respect to the filtration arising from the grading by $\mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$. Then we have the following relation in \hat{H} (see e.g. [25, 37])

$$[\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}] = \sum_{\mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(1)}) > \dots > \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})} [\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(1)}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}] * \dots * [\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(n)}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}].$$

Next we define a non-commutative algebra

$$A = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}} \mathcal{V} \cdot t^{\mathbf{v}}, \quad \mathcal{V} = K(\mathrm{St}) \simeq K''(\mathrm{Var}),$$

with the multiplication

$$t^{\mathbf{u}} * t^{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbb{L}^{\nu(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})} t^{\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}},$$

where ν is the bilinear form defined above. Let \hat{A} be the completion of A . We define the integration map

$$I: \hat{H} \rightarrow \hat{A}, \quad [\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v})] \mapsto [\mathcal{X}] \cdot t^{\mathbf{v}}.$$

This map is not necessary an algebra homomorphism, but it satisfies

$$I([\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}] * [\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}]) = I([\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}]) * I([\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}])$$

under the assumption that

$$h^0(N, M) - h^1(N, M) = -\nu(\dim M, \dim N)$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{X}$ and $N \in \mathcal{Y}$ (see e.g. [25, 37]), where we identify M, N with their images in \mathfrak{M} .

In our situation, we consider $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\geq c}$ (the stack of objects with all Harder-Narasimhan factors having slope $\geq c$) and $N \in \mathfrak{M}_{< c}$ (the stack of objects with all Harder-Narasimhan factors having slope $< c$). As $\theta^\tau = \theta$, the module N^τ has the same slope as N and it is also contained in $\mathfrak{M}_{< c}$. Therefore $\text{Hom}(M, N^\tau) = 0$ and we obtain from Proposition 3.4 that

$$h^0(N, M) - h^1(N, M) = \chi(N, M) + \chi(M, N^\tau) = -\nu(\mathbf{dim} M, \mathbf{dim} N).$$

Applying the integration map we obtain

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v}} [\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v})] t^{\mathbf{v}} = \sum_{\mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(1)}) > \dots > \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(n)})} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i < j} \nu(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}, \mathbf{v}^{(j)})} \cdot \prod_i [\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})] \cdot t^{\sum_i \mathbf{v}^{(i)}}.$$

This formula is equivalent to the statement of the theorem. \square

The above recursion formula can be solved (see e.g. [37]) and we can express motivic classes of $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v})$ in terms of motivic classes of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v})$.

Theorem 5.19. *Assume that $\theta^\tau = \theta$. Then*

$$[\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\mathbf{v})] = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v}^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbf{v}^{(n)} = \mathbf{v} \\ \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}^{(1)} + \dots + \mathbf{v}^{(k)}) > \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}) \ \forall k < n}} \mathbb{L}^{\sum_{i < j} \nu(\mathbf{v}^{(i)}, \mathbf{v}^{(j)})} \prod_i [\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{v}^{(i)})],$$

Corollary 5.20. *Let Q be a quiver, $\mathbf{d}: \bar{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a weight map having the total weight $\omega \neq 0$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\bar{Q})$ be the corresponding localization quiver. For any stability parameter $\tilde{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\Gamma}_0}$ with $\tilde{\theta}^\tau = \tilde{\theta}$ and for any dimension vector $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$, the motivic class $[\mathfrak{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})]$ is quasi-Tate.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.15 the stack $\mathfrak{M}(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathcal{R}(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) / \text{GL}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$ has a quasi-Tate motivic class. Now we apply the above theorem. \square

Remark 5.21. Because of Conjecture 1 we expect that if $\theta^\tau = \theta$, then $\mathfrak{M}(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})$ and $\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})$ have quasi-Tate motivic classes for an arbitrary translation quiver Γ with a cut. We will see later that $[\mathfrak{M}_\theta(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})]$ is quasi-Tate at least if θ is \mathbf{v} -generic, $\theta^\tau = \theta$ and $\mathbf{v}^\tau \neq \mathbf{v}$.

6. TORUS ACTION

In this section we will consider only algebraic varieties over \mathbb{C} .

6.1. Białyński-Birula decomposition. Let X be an algebraic variety equipped with an action of $T = \mathbb{G}_m$. Define the \pm -attractors

$$X^+ = \left\{ x \in X \mid \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} tx \right\}, \quad X^- = \left\{ x \in X \mid \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} tx \right\}.$$

Assume that X is smooth and can be covered by T -invariant quasi-affine open subvarieties. For any point $x \in X^T$, the tangent space $T_x X$ is equipped with a T -action and there is a weight space decomposition (for positive, zero and negative weights)

$$T_x X = T_x^+ X \oplus T_x^0 X \oplus T_x^- X.$$

The fixed locus X^T is smooth and $T_x X^T = T_x^0 X$. In what follows, we define an affine bundle over an algebraic variety Y to be a fiber bundle $F \rightarrow Y$, locally trivial in the Zariski topology, with affine spaces as fibers (note that $F \rightarrow Y$ is not necessarily a vector bundle). We define $\text{rk } F$ to be the dimension of the fibers (it is constant if Y is connected) or, equivalently, the relative dimension of the morphism $F \rightarrow Y$.

Theorem 6.1 (See [4, 24]). *For any connected component $X_i \subset X^T$, the spaces*

$$X_i^+ = \left\{ x \in X \mid \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} tx \in X_i \right\}, \quad X_i^- = \left\{ x \in X \mid \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} tx \in X_i \right\}$$

are locally closed and are affine bundles over X_i . For all $x \in X_i$, we have

$$T_x X_i^+ = T_x^+ X \oplus T_x^0 X, \quad T_x X_i^- = T_x^- X \oplus T_x^0 X$$

and $\text{rk } X_i^+ = \dim T_x^+ X$, $\text{rk } X_i^- = \dim T_x^- X$. There exists an ordering X_1, \dots, X_n of the connected components of X^T such that

$$X_{\leq k}^+ = \bigsqcup_{i \leq k} X_i^+, \quad X_{\geq k}^- = \bigsqcup_{i \geq k} X_i^-$$

are closed in X , for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. We have decompositions $X^+ = \bigsqcup_i X_i^+$, $X^- = \bigsqcup_i X_i^-$.

Proposition 6.2. *Assume that X is smooth and X^T is projective. Then*

$$[X^-]^\vee = \mathbb{L}^{-\dim X} \cdot [X^+].$$

In particular, X^+ has a Tate motivic class if and only if X^- does.

Proof. For every connected component $X_i \subset X^T$, let $d_i = \dim X_i$, $d_i^+ = \text{rk } X_i^+$, $d_i^- = \text{rk } X_i^-$, so that $d_i + d_i^+ + d_i^- = \dim X$. Then

$$[X_i^-]^\vee = \mathbb{L}^{-d_i^-} [X_i]^\vee = \mathbb{L}^{-d_i - d_i^-} [X_i] = \mathbb{L}^{-\dim X} [X_i^+].$$

Taking the sum over all connected components, we obtain the required result. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Assume that X is smooth and X^T is projective. Then the virtual Poincaré polynomials of attractors satisfy*

$$P(X^-; t^{-1}) = t^{-\dim X} P(X^+; t).$$

We will say that an algebraic variety X is *pure* if the mixed Hodge structure on $H_c^i(X, \mathbb{C})$ is pure of weight i for all i .

Proposition 6.4 (cf. [14]). *Assume that X is smooth and X^T is projective. Then X^+ and X^- are pure.*

Proof. Connected components $X_k \subset X^T$ are smooth and projective, hence pure. Therefore X_k^+ and X_k^- , which are affine bundles over X_k , are also pure. Let us order the connected components X_1, \dots, X_n as in Theorem 6.1. We will show that $X_{\leq k}^+ = \bigsqcup_{j \leq k} X_j^+$ are pure by induction. Then $X^+ = X_{\leq n}^+$ is pure. The proof for X^- is similar. The subvariety $X_{< k}^+ \subset X_{\leq k}^+$ is closed and has the open complement X_k^+ . We obtain a long exact sequence

$$H_c^{i-1}(X_{< k}^+, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_c^i(X_k^+, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_c^i(X_{\leq k}^+, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_c^i(X_{< k}^+, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_c^{i+1}(X_k^+, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow$$

By purity of X_k^+ and $X_{< k}^+$, the connection maps ∂ are zero, hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^i(X_k^+, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_c^i(X_{\leq k}^+, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_c^i(X_{< k}^+, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow 0$$

and $H_c^i(X_{\leq k}^+, \mathbb{C})$ is pure of weight i . \square

6.2. Fixed point varieties. Let Γ be a translation quiver with a cut and $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding mesh algebra. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ be a dimension vector and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma_0}$ be a stability parameter. Our goal is to study torus actions on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ and to interpret the fixed locus as a disjoint union of translation quiver varieties (for a new translation quiver).

Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^r$ be a free abelian group of finite rank and $T = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^r$ be the corresponding torus with the character group $X^*(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \Lambda$. For any $t \in T$ and $n \in \Lambda$, we define $t^n = t(n) \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let $\mathbf{d}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a weight map having the total weight $\omega \in \Lambda$ §2.5. We define the action of T on \mathcal{M} by the rule

$$t \cdot M = (t^{\mathbf{d}_a} M_a)_{a \in \Gamma_1}, \quad t \in T, M \in \mathcal{M}.$$

To be more precise, the above definition gives an action of T on $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \mathbf{v})$. If $M \in \mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \mathbf{v})$ and $M' = t \cdot M$, then $M'_a M'_{\sigma a} = t^\omega M_a M_{\sigma a}$, hence the representation M' satisfies the mesh relation and $M' \in \mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. This implies that we have induced actions of T on the subsets

$$\mathcal{R}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \mathbf{v}).$$

These actions descend to the actions of T on (see §4.1)

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) / \text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}, \quad \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{R}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) // \text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}.$$

We will show that T -fixed points of \mathcal{M} can be interpreted as representations of the localization quiver $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{d}}(\Gamma)$ defined in §2.5. Recall that $\tilde{\Gamma}_0 = \Gamma_0 \times \Lambda$ and arrows of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are of the form

$$a_n: (i, n - \mathbf{d}_a) \rightarrow (j, n), \quad (a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1, n \in \Lambda.$$

Note that there is an action of Λ on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ which induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\Gamma}/\Lambda \simeq \Gamma$. It also induces an action of Λ on $\mathbb{N}^{(\tilde{\Gamma}_0)}$. We have a morphism of translation quivers (cf. §2.9)

$$\pi: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma, \quad (i, n) \mapsto i, \quad a_n \mapsto a,$$

and an exact functor

$$(17) \quad \pi_*: \text{Rep } \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \text{Rep } \Gamma, \quad \tilde{M} \mapsto M,$$

$$(18) \quad M_i = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} \tilde{M}_{i,n}, \quad M_a = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \tilde{M}_{a,n}, \quad i \in \Gamma_0, a \in \Gamma_1,$$

which induces an exact functor $\pi_*: \text{mod } \Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}) \rightarrow \text{mod } \Pi(\Gamma)$. Let us also consider the maps

$$\pi_*: \mathbb{N}^{(\tilde{\Gamma}_0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{v}} \mapsto \mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{k \in \pi^{-1}(i)} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k,$$

$$\pi^*: \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\Gamma}_0}, \quad \theta \mapsto \tilde{\theta}, \quad \tilde{\theta}_k = \theta_{\pi(k)}.$$

Note that if $\mathbf{v} = \pi_*(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \pi^*(\theta)$, then

$$\theta \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i \in \Gamma_0} \theta_i \cdot \sum_{k \in \pi^{-1}(i)} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k = \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0} \theta_{\pi(k)} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_k = \tilde{\theta} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$$

and $\mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}) = \mu_{\tilde{\theta}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}})$.

An analogue of the following statement in the case of Nakajima quiver varieties can be found in [33, 34] and in the case of quiver varieties without relations in [39, 45].

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ be a dimension vector, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma_0}$ and $\tilde{\theta} = \pi^*(\theta)$. Then there is a morphism*

$$\bar{t}: \bigsqcup_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \pi_*^{-1}(\mathbf{v})/\Lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\theta}^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})^T.$$

of algebraic schemes such that the image of every $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ is a union of connected components of $\mathcal{M}_{\theta}^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})^T$ and \bar{t} induces a bijection between the sets of \mathbb{C} -valued points. If all of the above moduli spaces are smooth and equidimensional, then \bar{t} is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\theta}^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ and let $M \in \mathcal{M}^T$ be a fixed point (more precisely, its representative in $\mathcal{R}_{\theta}^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$). Note that M is θ -stable, hence $\text{End}(M) = \mathbb{C}$. For every $t \in T$, the representation $t \cdot M$ is isomorphic to M , hence there exists a unique element $\bar{\rho}(t) \in G_{\mathbf{v}} = \text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}/\mathbb{G}_m$ such that

$$(19) \quad t \cdot M = \bar{\rho}(t) \cdot M.$$

We obtain a group homomorphism $\bar{\rho}: T \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{v}}$. Let $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\rho}) \subset \mathcal{M}^T$ be the set of points satisfying (19) for some representative in $\mathcal{R}_{\theta}^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. The set $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\rho})$ depends only on the $G_{\mathbf{v}}$ -conjugacy class of $\bar{\rho}$. The $G_{\mathbf{v}}$ -conjugacy class of $\bar{\rho}$ is locally constant on \mathcal{M}^T by Lemma 6.6. Therefore $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\rho})$ is a union of connected components of \mathcal{M}^T . In particular, $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\rho})$ is closed in \mathcal{M} .

The group homomorphism $\bar{\rho}: T \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{v}}$ can be lifted to a group homomorphism $\rho: T \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$ (see e.g. [45, Lemma 3.3]). Given another lift $\rho': T \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$, we obtain $\rho'\rho^{-1}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ which corresponds to an element of Λ . Using the lift $\rho: T \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$, we can consider every vector space M_i as a representation of T , hence as a Λ -graded vector space by taking the corresponding weight space decomposition

$$M_i = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} M_{i,n}, \quad i \in \Gamma_0,$$

so that $\rho(t)_i x = t^n x$ for $x \in M_{i,n}$ and $t \in T$. These Λ -gradings are uniquely determined by the $\text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$ -conjugacy class of ρ . The dimension vector

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}: \tilde{\Gamma}_0 = \Gamma_0 \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad (i, n) \mapsto \dim M_{i,n},$$

satisfies $\pi_*(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathbf{v}$. It is determined only up to a translation by Λ because of the non-uniqueness of the lift $\rho: T \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\mathbf{v}}$. We obtain a 1 – 1 correspondence between the set of $G_{\mathbf{v}}$ -conjugacy classes of $\bar{\rho}: T \rightarrow G_{\mathbf{v}}$ and the set of dimension vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \pi_*^{-1}(\mathbf{v})$ up to the action of Λ .

For every arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Γ , the linear map $M_a: M_i \rightarrow M_j$ satisfies

$$t^{\mathbf{d}_a} M_a = (t \cdot M)_a = (\rho(t) \cdot M)_a = \rho(t)_j M_a \rho(t)_i^{-1}.$$

This implies that its component $M_{a,m,n}: M_{i,m} \rightarrow M_{j,n}$ satisfies $t^{\mathbf{d}_a} M_{a,m,n} = t^{n-m} M_{a,m,n}$ and we can have nonzero components only for $m = n - \mathbf{d}_a$. We define

$$M_{a,n} = M_{a,n-\mathbf{d}_a,n}: M_{i,n-\mathbf{d}_a} \rightarrow M_{j,n}, \quad (a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1, n \in \Lambda.$$

In this way we obtain a representation \tilde{M} of the localization quiver $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $\pi_*(\tilde{M}) = M$. The translation quiver $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has a cut (see §2.5) and the representation \tilde{M} satisfies the corresponding mesh relation. Moreover, it is stable with respect to the stability

parameter $\tilde{\theta} = \pi^*(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{\Gamma}_0}$ as every subrepresentation of \tilde{M} induces a subrepresentation of M (see (17)). We conclude that $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$.

Let us show that if \tilde{M} is $\tilde{\theta}$ -stable, then $M = \pi_*(\tilde{M})$ is θ -stable (cf. [39]). By construction, we have an isomorphism $\rho(t): M \rightarrow tM$ for all $t \in T$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_i & \xrightarrow{M_a} & M_j \\ \rho(t)_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho(t)_j \\ M_i & \xrightarrow{(tM)_a} & M_j \end{array}$$

If M is not θ -stable, let $N \subsetneq M$ be some stable submodule with $\mu_{\theta}(N) \geq \mu_{\theta}(M)$. We have a stable submodule $tN \subset tM$ (obtained by changing the maps N_a , but leaving the spaces $N_i \subset M_i$ unchanged) and an isomorphic submodule $N_t = \rho(t)^{-1}(tN) \subset M$ (obtained by changing the spaces N_i , and restricting the maps M_a to them). The submodules N_t , for $t \in T$, form a direct sum in M , hence there are finitely many of them. But they form a continuous T -family in the product of Grassmannians of M_i . Therefore we have $N_t = N$ for all $t \in T$. This implies that $\rho(t)$ restricts to an isomorphism $\rho(t): N \rightarrow tN$ and repeating the above construction we obtain that $N = \pi_*(\tilde{N})$ for some submodule $\tilde{N} \subset \tilde{M}$. We have $\mu_{\tilde{\theta}}(\tilde{N}) = \mu_{\theta}(N) \geq \mu_{\theta}(M) = \mu_{\tilde{\theta}}(\tilde{M})$, hence \tilde{M} is not stable, a contradiction.

The functor π_* defined in (17) induces a closed embedding $\iota_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}: \mathcal{R}(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})$. By the above argument, it restricts to a closed embedding $\iota_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}: \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\theta}^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})$ which descends to a morphism between moduli spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} & \mathcal{R}_{\theta}^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}} & \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{\theta}^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v}) \end{array}$$

By the above discussion, we obtain a morphism $\bar{\iota}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\bar{\rho}) \subset \mathcal{M}^T$ which induces a bijection between the sets of \mathbb{C} -valued points. If \mathcal{M} is smooth, then $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\rho})$ is also smooth. If, moreover, $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ is smooth and equidimensional, then $\bar{\iota}_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}: \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\bar{\rho})$ is an isomorphism. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Let T be an algebraic torus, G be a reductive group and let $T \times G$ act on an affine variety X so that G acts freely on X and T acts trivially on the geometric quotient X/G . For any $x \in X$, let $\rho_x: T \rightarrow G$ be the unique group homomorphism such that $tx = \rho_x(t)x$ for all $t \in T$. Then the G -conjugacy class of ρ_x is locally constant on X .*

Proof. Let G be a reductive group acting on an affine variety X so that all orbits are closed. By [16, Proposition 5.5], for any $x \in X$ there exists a G -invariant open subset $U \subset X$ such that for any $y \in U$, the group G_y is the conjugate of a subgroup of G_x . Let us apply this statement to the group $\bar{G} = T \times G$ acting on X . Then, for any $y \in U$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $g\bar{G}_y g^{-1} \subset \bar{G}_x$. We have $\bar{G}_x = \{(t^{-1}, \rho_x(t)) \mid t \in T\} \simeq T$ and similarly $\bar{G}_y \simeq T$. This implies that $g\bar{G}_y g^{-1} = \bar{G}_x$, hence $g\rho_y(t)g^{-1} = \rho_x(t)$ for all $t \in T$. \square

Remark 6.7. A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between algebraic schemes over \mathbb{C} is called a *geometric bijection* if it induces a bijection between the sets of \mathbb{C} -valued points. It follows from [10, Lemma 2.8] that, for any geometric bijection $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we have an equality

$[X] = [Y]$ in the Grothendieck group of algebraic varieties. In particular, by the previous theorem, we have $[\mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})^T] = \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \pi_*^{-1}(\mathbf{v})/\Lambda} [\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})]$.

6.3. Character of the tangent space. Let us use the same notation as in the previous section. We assume that θ is \mathbf{v} -generic, $\theta^\tau = \theta$ and $\mathbf{v}^\tau \neq \mathbf{v}$, so that the moduli space $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ is smooth by Theorem 4.7. Let $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ and $M = \pi_*(\tilde{M}) \in \mathcal{M}^T$. Then the tangent space $T_M \mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Ext}_\Pi^1(M, M)$ is equipped with the T -action. We will determine its class in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\text{Rep } T)$.

The category of T -representations can be identified with the category of finite-dimensional Λ -graded vector spaces, where $\Lambda = X^*(T)$ is the group of characters. Given a T -representation V , we consider its weight space decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} V_n$ as a Λ -grading. The Grothendieck group $K_0(\text{Rep } T)$ is equipped with the commutative ring structure given by $[V] \cdot [W] = [V \otimes W]$ and the involution given by $[V]^* = [DV]$, $DV = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$. The character map

$$(20) \quad \chi: K_0(\text{Rep } T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda] = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} \mathbb{Z}e^n, \quad [V] \mapsto \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \dim V_n \cdot e^n,$$

is an isomorphism of rings and the involution on $K_0(\text{Rep } T)$ corresponds to the involution on $\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]$ given by $(e^n)^* = e^{-n}$.

Let Vect^Λ be the category of Λ -graded vector spaces (with morphisms of degree 0). Given $V \in \text{Vect}^\Lambda$ and $n \in \Lambda$, we define the shifted object

$$(21) \quad V[n] \in \text{Vect}^\Lambda, \quad V[n]_m = V_{m-n} \quad \forall m \in \Lambda.$$

Given $V, W \in \text{Vect}^\Lambda$, we define the internal Hom-object

$$(22) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}(V, W) = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}_n(V, W) \in \text{Vect}^\Lambda,$$

$$(23) \quad \text{Hom}_n(V, W) = \prod_{m \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}(V_m, W_{m+n}) = \text{Hom}(V, W[-n]).$$

In particular, we define the dual $DV = \underline{\text{Hom}}(V, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}(V_{-n}, \mathbb{C}) \in \text{Vect}^\Lambda$. If V and W are finite-dimensional, then

$$(24) \quad \chi(V[n]) = \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \dim V_{m-n} \cdot e^m = \chi(V) \cdot e^n,$$

$$(25) \quad \chi(\underline{\text{Hom}}(V, W)) = \chi(DV) \cdot \chi(W) = \chi(V)^* \cdot \chi(W).$$

Let $\tilde{M} \in \text{mod } \Pi(\tilde{\Gamma})$ and $M = \pi_*(\tilde{M})$. For every vertex $i \in \Gamma_0$, we can consider $M_i = \bigoplus_{n \in \Lambda} \tilde{M}_{i,n}$ as a Λ -graded vector space. For every arrow $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Γ and $n \in \Lambda$, we have the map $\tilde{M}_{a,n}: \tilde{M}_{i,n-\mathbf{d}_a} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{j,n}$, hence the map $M_a: M_i \rightarrow M_j[-\mathbf{d}_a]$ has degree zero. Recall from (3) that we define the module M^τ with $M_i^\tau = M_{\tau i}$ and $M_a^\tau = M_{\tau a}$ for $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Γ . Note that we have degree zero maps $M_a^\tau: M_i^\tau \rightarrow M_j^\tau[-\mathbf{d}_a]$ as $\mathbf{d}_{\tau a} = \mathbf{d}_a$.

Let us consider another module $\tilde{N} \in \text{mod } \Pi(\tilde{\Gamma})$ and let $N = \pi_*(\tilde{N})$. Then the complex from Proposition 3.3 can be considered as a complex in Vect^Λ (with differentials having

Λ -degree zero)

$$(26) \quad \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \underline{\text{Hom}}(M_i, N_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1} \underline{\text{Hom}}(M_i, N_j)[-d_a] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in \Gamma_0} \underline{\text{Hom}}(M_{\tau i}, N_i)[- \omega] \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots$$

where $\omega = d_a + d_{\sigma a}$ is the total weight of $d: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Lambda$, independent of $a \in \Gamma_1$ (see §2.5).

Let $Q \subset \Gamma$ be a cut and let us define

$$(27) \quad \chi_Q^d(M, N) = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \chi(M_i)^* \cdot \chi(N_i) - \sum_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in Q_1} \chi(M_i)^* \cdot \chi(N_j) \cdot e^{-d_a} \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda].$$

The following result can be compared to Proposition 3.4.

Lemma 6.8. *The character of the complex (26) is equal to*

$$\chi_Q^d(M, N) + \chi_Q^d(N, M^\tau)^* \cdot e^{-\omega}.$$

Proof. Let $a: i \rightarrow j$ be an arrow in Q_1 and $\sigma a: \tau j \rightarrow i$ be the corresponding arrow in σQ_1 . Then the character of the summand $\underline{\text{Hom}}(M_{\tau j}, N_i)[-d_{\sigma a}]$ is equal to

$$\chi(M_{\tau j})^* \chi(N_i) e^{-d_{\sigma a}} = (\chi(N_i)^* \chi(M_j^\tau) e^{-d_a})^* \cdot e^{-\omega}.$$

Similarly, the character of the summand $\underline{\text{Hom}}(M_{\tau i}, N_i)[- \omega]$ is equal to

$$\chi(M_{\tau i})^* \chi(N_i) e^{-\omega} = (\chi(N_i)^* \chi(M_i^\tau))^* \cdot e^{-\omega}.$$

These observations imply the required formula. \square

Theorem 6.9. *Let $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ and $M = \pi_*(\tilde{M}) \in \mathcal{M}^T$, where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})$. Then the character of the tangent space $T_M \mathcal{M}$ (considered as a T -representation) satisfies*

$$1 - \chi(T_M \mathcal{M}) = \chi_Q^d(M, M) + \chi_Q^d(M, M^\tau)^* \cdot e^{-\omega}.$$

Proof. We have $T_M \mathcal{M} \simeq \text{Ext}_\Pi^1(M, M)$. By Proposition 3.3, the cohomologies of the complex (26) (for $N = M$) are equal to $\text{Hom}_\Pi(M, M)$, $\text{Ext}_\Pi^1(M, M)$ and $D \text{Hom}_\Pi(M, M^\tau)$ (considered as Λ -graded vector spaces). By our assumptions, we have $\text{Hom}_\Pi(M, M) \simeq \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Hom}_\Pi(M, M^\tau) = 0$, hence the character of the complex is equal to $1 - \chi(T_M \mathcal{M})$ and we apply the previous lemma. \square

Remark 6.10. Given $\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0 \times \Lambda)}$ and $i \in \Gamma_0$, we define

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i = (\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i,n})_{n \in \Lambda}, \quad \chi(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i) = \sum_{n \in \Lambda} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{i,n} e^n \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda].$$

Then the above result implies that for $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ and $M = \pi_*(\tilde{M}) \in \mathcal{M}^T$, we have

$$1 - \chi(T_M \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{i \in \Gamma_0} \chi(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i) (\chi(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i)^* + \chi(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\tau i})^* e^{-\omega}) + \sum_{(a: i \rightarrow j) \in \Gamma_1} \chi(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i)^* \chi(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_j) e^{-d_a}.$$

Therefore the character of $T_M \mathcal{M}$ depends just on the dimension vector $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$.

6.4. Decomposition of translation quiver varieties. In view of §6.1 we will study attractors of torus actions on translation quiver varieties. The fixed locus was already determined in §6.2. Let Γ be a translation quiver with a cut and $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding mesh algebra. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ be a dimension vector and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma_0}$ be a stability parameter. The subspace $\mathcal{L}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \subset \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ of nilpotent representations is equal to $\pi^{-1}(0)$, where

$$\pi: \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$$

is the projective morphism discussed in Remark 4.2. Consider a weight map $\mathbf{d}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbf{d}_a > 0$ for all $a \in \Gamma_1$ and the corresponding action of $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ on the translation quiver variety $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$.

Proposition 6.11. *We have*

- (1) *The space $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^T$ is projective.*
- (2) *For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, there exists $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} tM$.*
- (3) *The attractor $\{M \in \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \mid \exists \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} tM\}$ is equal to $\mathcal{L}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$.*

Proof. (1) We define the action of $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ in the same way as on $\mathcal{M}(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. By assumption, for any $M \in \mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} tM = 0$. Let $p: \mathcal{R}(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ be the projection, which is T -equivariant. If $p(M)$ is T -fixed, then $p(M) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p(tM) = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^T = \{0\}$. The space $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^T$ is mapped to $\mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^T = \{0\}$ by π , hence $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^T \subset \pi^{-1}(0)$ is projective.

(2) For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \pi(tM) = 0$, hence the map $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, $t \rightarrow \pi(tM)$ extends to $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. As π is projective, we conclude by the valuative criterion that $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, $t \mapsto tM$, extends to $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, hence the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} tM$ exists.

(3) If $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} tM$ exists, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\pi(M)$ exists in $\mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. We have seen that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t\pi(M)$ is zero. Therefore we obtain a morphism $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ to an affine variety $\mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. This map has to be constantly zero, hence $\pi(M) = 0$ and $M \in \pi^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{L}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. Conversely, if $M \in \pi^{-1}(0)$, then $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, $t \rightarrow \pi(tM) = 0$, extends to $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_0(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. As π is projective, the map $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$, $t \rightarrow tM$, extends to $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. \square

This result implies that we have attractors

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^+ = \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}), \quad \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^- = \mathcal{L}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}).$$

Corollary 6.12. *Assume that θ is \mathbf{v} -generic, $\theta^\tau = \theta$ and $\mathbf{v}^\tau \neq \mathbf{v}$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ are pure and their motivic classes satisfy*

$$[\mathcal{L}]^\vee = \mathbb{L}^{-\dim \mathcal{M}}[\mathcal{M}]$$

where $\dim \mathcal{M} = 1 - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\tau)$ and χ is the Euler-Ringel form of the cut Γ^+ . Similarly,

$$P(\mathcal{L}; t^{-1}) = t^{-\dim \mathcal{M}} P(\mathcal{M}; t).$$

Proof. We proved in Theorem 4.7 that $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ is smooth and has the stated dimension. As $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})^T$ is projective by the previous result, we can apply Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.4. \square

Theorem 6.13. *Assume that θ is \mathbf{v} -generic, $\theta^\tau = \theta$ and $\mathbf{v}^\tau \neq \mathbf{v}$. Then $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ and $\mathcal{L}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ have Tate motivic classes.*

Proof. As before, we consider the action of $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. By the previous results we obtain the Białynicki-Birula decompositions of $\mathcal{M}^+ = \mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ and $\mathcal{M}^- = \mathcal{L}_\theta(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$. All strata of these decompositions are affine bundles over the connected components of \mathcal{M}^T . By Theorem 6.5 we can decompose \mathcal{M}^T as a disjoint union of the translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ for the localization quiver $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_\mathbf{d}(\Gamma)$. The dimensions of the above affine bundles over $\mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ depend just on $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. Indeed, for any $\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ and $M = \bar{\iota}(\tilde{M}) \in \mathcal{M}^T$, the dimension of the $+$ -attractor (see §6.1) over M is equal to the dimension of the positive component of the tangent space $T_M \mathcal{M}$. We proved in Theorem 6.9 that the T -character of $T_M \mathcal{M}$ depends just on $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. In particular, the dimension of the positive component of $T_M \mathcal{M}$ depends just on $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$. The same argument applies to the $-$ -attractor. We conclude that to prove the theorem we need to show that the translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$ have Tate motivic classes. We will prove that they have Tate motivic classes for a special choice of the weight map \mathbf{d} .

Assume that $\Gamma = Q^\tau$, for a quiver with an automorphism (Q, τ) . Let us choose $r > |\mathbf{v}| = \sum_i \mathbf{v}_i$ and choose $\mathbf{d}: Q_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathbf{d}_a = r$ for all a . Let $\mathbf{d}: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ also denote the corresponding weight map having the total weight $\omega = r + 1$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_\mathbf{d}(\Gamma) \simeq (\tilde{Q})^{\tau_\omega}$ (see §2.5), where $\tilde{Q} = \mathfrak{L}_\mathbf{d}(Q)$ and

$$\tau_\omega: \tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}, \quad (i, n) \mapsto (\tau i, n - \omega), \quad a_n \mapsto (\tau a)_{n - \omega}.$$

Recall that \tilde{Q} has arrows $a_n: (i, n - r) \rightarrow (j, n)$ for all $a: i \rightarrow j$ in Q and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_r$, consider

$$Q_0^{(k)} = \left\{ (i, n) \in \tilde{Q}_0 \mid n \equiv k \pmod{r} \right\}$$

and let $Q^{(k)} \subset \tilde{Q}$ be the corresponding full subquiver. There are no arrows between different quivers $Q^{(k)}$ in \tilde{Q} . The translation τ_ω induces isomorphisms $\tau_\omega: Q^{(k)} \rightarrow Q^{(k-1)}$. In the quiver $(\tilde{Q})^{\tau_\omega}$ we have additional arrows

$$a_n^*: (\tau j, n - \omega) \rightarrow (i, n - r)$$

for $a: i \rightarrow j$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that a_n^* is an arrow from $Q^{(k-1)}$ to $Q^{(k)}$ for $n \equiv k \pmod{r}$.

As θ is \mathbf{v} -generic, a torus fixed point in $\mathcal{M}_\theta(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\Gamma), \mathbf{v})$ corresponds to a point $M \in \mathcal{M}_\theta^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ is a dimension vector on $\tilde{\Gamma} = \mathfrak{L}_\mathbf{d}(\Gamma)$ with $\pi_*(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathbf{v}$, $\tilde{\theta} = \pi^*(\theta)$ and $\pi: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the projection. For every $0 < \tilde{\mathbf{u}} < \tilde{\mathbf{v}}$, we have

$$\mu_{\tilde{\theta}}(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) = \mu_\theta(\mathbf{u}) \neq \mu_\theta(\mathbf{v}) = \mu_{\tilde{\theta}}(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}), \quad \mathbf{u} = \pi_*(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) < \mathbf{v}.$$

This implies that $\tilde{\theta}$ is $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ -generic, hence $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) = \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{\theta}}^s(\Pi(\tilde{\Gamma}), \tilde{\mathbf{v}})$.

As $r > |\mathbf{v}| = \dim M$, the representation M is not supported on some $Q^{(k)}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that M is not supported on the quiver $R = Q^{(0)}$. We can substitute translations (which are quiver isomorphisms)

$$Q^{(r-1)} \xrightarrow{\tau_\omega} \dots \xrightarrow{\tau_\omega} Q^{(0)} = R$$

by identities (the last translation $Q^{(0)} \rightarrow Q^{(r-1)}$ will be possibly not an identity, but it is irrelevant for us as M is not supported on $Q^{(0)}$). Therefore we can consider M as a (semi-)stable representation of the repetition quiver $\hat{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Z}R$, where we lift the stability parameter from $R = Q^{(0)}$ to $\mathbb{Z}R$. Recall that $\mathbb{Z}R \simeq (R \times \mathbb{Z})^\tau$, where

$$\tau(i, k) = (i, k - 1), \quad \tau(a, k) = (a, k - 1), \quad i \in R_0, a \in R_1, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We will write $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ for the stability parameter and the dimension vector on $\hat{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Z}R$. An object in $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\hat{\Gamma}), \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is stable, hence its automorphism group is \mathbb{G}_m . This implies that we have a \mathbb{G}_m -gerbe $\mathfrak{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\hat{\Gamma}), \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\hat{\Gamma}), \hat{\mathbf{v}})$, hence

$$[\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\hat{\Gamma}), \hat{\mathbf{v}})] = (\mathbb{L} - 1) \cdot [\mathfrak{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\hat{\Gamma}), \hat{\mathbf{v}})].$$

Note that $\hat{\Gamma} = \mathbb{Z}R = \mathfrak{L}_0^1(\bar{R})$ (see Remark 2.10), hence by Corollary 5.20 we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\hat{\Gamma}), \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ has a quasi-Tate motivic class. But $\mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\hat{\Gamma}), \hat{\mathbf{v}})$ is an algebraic variety, hence it has a Tate motivic class (see Remark 5.2). \square

Finally, let us consider (framed) translation quiver varieties. Given $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, we construct the framed quiver $\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}$ (which is a partial translation quiver) and the stability parameter $\hat{\theta}$ on it (see §4.3). Given a dimension vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$, we extend it to $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}})}$ by setting $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_* = 1$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}), \hat{\mathbf{v}}), \quad \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{\theta}}(\Pi(\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}), \hat{\mathbf{v}}).$$

Corollary 6.14. *Translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ are pure and have Tate motivic classes.*

Proof. We have seen in Theorem 4.9 that $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ can be interpreted as a translation quiver variety for the stable translation quiver $\widehat{\Gamma^{\mathbf{w}}}$. Therefore the result follows from the previous theorem. \square

6.5. Alternative approach. There is an alternative way, based on the algorithm from [34], to show that translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ have Tate motivic classes. Let Γ be a translation quiver with a cut, $\Pi = \Pi(\Gamma)$ be its mesh algebra and $* \in \Gamma_0$ be a distinguished vertex. Let \mathbf{v} be a dimension vector with $\mathbf{v}_* = 1$ and let θ be a stability parameter with $\theta_* = 1$ and $\theta_i = 0$ for all $i \neq *$. Note that θ is \mathbf{v} -generic and a representation M having dimension vector \mathbf{v} is θ -stable if and only if it is generated by M_* . We will denote the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\theta}(\Pi, \mathbf{v})$ by $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v})$.

Proposition 6.15. *Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{(\Gamma_0)}$ be a dimension vector such that $\mathbf{v}_* = 1$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\tau*} = 0$. Then $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v})$ is smooth.*

Proof. We apply the same argument as in Theorem 4.7. For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v})$, we have

$$h^0(M, M) - h^1(M, M) + h^0(M, M^{\tau}) = \chi(M, M) + \chi(M, M^{\tau}),$$

where χ is the Euler-Ringel form of the cut. We note that $h^0(M, M) = 1$ as M is stable. On the other hand $h^0(M, M^{\tau}) = 0$ as M is generated by M_* and $M_*^{\tau} = M_{\tau*} = 0$. This implies $h^1(M, M) = 1 - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^{\tau})$. \square

From now on we will assume that $\mathbf{v}_* = 1$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\tau^k*} = 0$ for $k \neq 0$. Performing localization, we can assume that the translation quiver Γ is acyclic. Then there are no arrows $i \rightarrow \tau^k i$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, otherwise there exists an arrow $\tau^{k+1} i \rightarrow i$, hence an arrow $i \rightarrow \tau^{-k-1} i$ and we can assume that $k > 0$. Then there exists a path $\tau i \rightarrow \tau^{k+1} i \rightarrow i$, hence also a path $\tau^k i \rightarrow i$ and a cycle $\tau^k i \rightarrow i \rightarrow \tau^k i$ which contradicts to the assumption that Γ is acyclic.

For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v})$ and a vertex $i \in \Gamma_0$, consider the complex

$$M_{\tau i} \xrightarrow{f_{\tau i}} \bigoplus_{a:j \rightarrow i} M_j \xrightarrow{g_i} M_i,$$

$$f_{\tau i} = \bigoplus_{a:j \rightarrow i} M_{\sigma a}, \quad g_i = \sum_{a:j \rightarrow i} \varepsilon(a) M_a.$$

Note that $g_i f_{\tau i} = 0$ because of the mesh relation and g_i is surjective (for $i \neq *$) because of the stability condition. Given a τ -orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \Gamma_0$ with $*$ $\notin \mathcal{O}$ and a vector $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{N}^0$, we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{*,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{v}) = \{M \in \mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v}) \mid \dim \operatorname{Ker} f_i = \mathbf{r}_i \ \forall i \in \mathcal{O}\}.$$

For any $M \in \mathcal{M}_{*,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{v})$, there is a subrepresentation $N \subset M$ defined by $N_i = \operatorname{Ker} f_i$ for $i \in \mathcal{O}$ and $N_i = 0$ otherwise. The quotient $M' = M/N$ is stable and is contained in $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{r})$, where

$$\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{dim} M' = \mathbf{v} - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{O}} \mathbf{r}_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

It is actually contained in $\mathcal{M}_{*,0_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{r})$, where $0_{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathbb{N}^0$ is the zero vector, as we have injective maps

$$M'_{\tau i} \xrightarrow{f'_{\tau i}} \bigoplus_{a:j \rightarrow i} M_j.$$

Possible stable representations M that extend M' correspond to surjective maps

$$\bar{g}_i: \operatorname{Coker} f'_{\tau i} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(f_i), \quad i \in \mathcal{O}.$$

We have

$$d_i(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}) := \dim \operatorname{Coker} f'_{\tau i} = \sum_{a:j \rightarrow i} \mathbf{v}_j - \mathbf{v}_{\tau i} + \mathbf{r}_{\tau i},$$

hence

$$(28) \quad [\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v})] = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \leq \mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{O}}} [\mathcal{M}_{*,\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{v})] = \sum_{\mathbf{r} \leq \mathbf{v}|_{\mathcal{O}}} [\mathcal{M}_{*,0_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{r})] \cdot \prod_{i \in \mathcal{O}} [\operatorname{Gr}(d_i(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}), \mathbf{r}_i)],$$

where $\operatorname{Gr}(n, r)$ is the Grassmannian parametrizing r -dimensional quotients of an n -dimensional space. Using the above formula we can recursively express $[\mathcal{M}_{*,0_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathbf{v})]$ as a linear combination (with coefficients that are Tate motivic classes) of $[\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{u})]$ with $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{v}$.

For $\mathbf{v} = e_*$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v})$ is just a point. Let us assume that $\mathbf{v} > e_*$ and that $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{u})$ have Tate motivic classes for all $\mathbf{u} < \mathbf{v}$. Let $i \in \Gamma_0$ be such that $\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{v}_j = 0$ for all arrows $a: i \rightarrow j$ (such vertex exists as Γ is acyclic by our assumption). We can assume that $i \neq *$ as otherwise $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v}) = \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \Gamma_0$ be the τ -orbit of the vertex i . By the previous discussion, the motivic classes of $\mathcal{M}_{*,0_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathbf{u})$ are Tate, for all $\mathbf{u} < \mathbf{v}$. We have $\mathcal{M}_{*,0_{\mathcal{O}}}(\mathbf{v}) = \emptyset$ as $f_i = 0$ by our assumption. We conclude from (28) that $\mathcal{M}_*(\mathbf{v})$ has a Tate motivic class.

6.6. Motivic classes of Nakajima quiver varieties. Let us consider a finite quiver Q , the double quiver $\Gamma = \bar{Q}$ and vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$. In this case translation quiver varieties $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ are exactly the Nakajima quiver varieties. The virtual Poincaré polynomials of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ were computed in [23, 30] by counting points of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ over finite fields. It was proved in [46] that the same formula is satisfied by the motivic classes of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$. The virtual Poincaré polynomials of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ were computed in [6] by applying Białynicki-Birula decomposition to a torus action on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ (cf. Corollary

6.3). Because of Theorem 6.14 we can write motivic classes of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})$ automatically, if we know their Poincaré polynomials (or if we can count their points over finite fields). Let us write down these formulas for completeness (cf. [30, 6]). We define

$$r(\mathbf{w}, q^{-1}, z) = \prod_{\tau} q^{-\mathbf{w} \cdot \tau_1} \prod_{k \geq 1} q^{\chi(\tau_k, \tau_k)} \frac{z^{\tau_k}}{(q)_{\tau_k - \tau_{k+1}}}$$

where

- (1) $\tau = (\tau^i)_{i \in Q_0}$ is a collection of partitions,
- (2) $\tau_k = (\tau_k^i)_{i \in Q_0} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$ for $k \geq 1$,
- (3) $z^{\mathbf{v}} = \prod_{i \in Q_0} z_i^{v_i}$ for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$,
- (4) $(q)_{\mathbf{v}} = \prod_{i \in Q_0} (q)_{v_i}$, $(q)_n = (q; q)_n = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k)$ for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (5) χ is the Euler-Ringel form of the quiver Q .

Theorem 6.16. *We have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} \mathbb{L}^{-d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})} [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})] z^{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r(\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{L}, z)}{r(0, \mathbb{L}, z)},$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} \mathbb{L}^{-d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})} [\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})] z^{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r(\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{L}^{-1}, z)}{r(0, \mathbb{L}^{-1}, z)},$$

where $d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \chi(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})$.

Proof. For the first formula see [23, 30, 46]. For the second formula note that by Corollary 6.12 we have

$$[\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})]^{\vee} = \mathbb{L}^{-2d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})} [\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})].$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} \mathbb{L}^{d(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})} [\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})]^{\vee} z^{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{r(\mathbf{w}, \mathbb{L}, z)}{r(0, \mathbb{L}, z)}.$$

Taking the duals we obtain the required result (cf. [6]). □

REFERENCES

- [1] Maurice Auslander, *Rational singularities and almost split sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **293** (1986), no. 2, 511–531.
- [2] Maurice Auslander, Idun Reiten, and Sverre O. Smalø, *Representation theory of Artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [3] Alexander Beilinson, Victor Ginzburg, and Wolfgang Soergel, *Koszul duality patterns in representation theory*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), no. 2, 473–527.
- [4] A. Białynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **98** (1973), 480–497.
- [5] Franziska Bittner, *The universal Euler characteristic for varieties of characteristic zero*, Compos. Math. **140** (2004), no. 4, 1011–1032.
- [6] Tristan Bozec, Olivier Schiffmann, and Éric Vasserot, *On the number of points of nilpotent quiver varieties over finite fields*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **53** (2020), no. 6, 1501–1544, [arXiv:1701.01797](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01797).
- [7] Alexander Braverman and Dennis Gaitsgory, *Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem for quadratic algebras of Koszul type*, J. Algebra **181** (1996), no. 2, 315–328, [arXiv:hep-th/9411113](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9411113).
- [8] Sheila Brenner, Michael C. R. Butler, and Alastair D. King, *Periodic algebras which are almost Koszul*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **5** (2002), no. 4, 331–367.

- [9] Tom Bridgeland, *Hall algebras and curve-counting invariants*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (2011), no. 4, 969–998, [arXiv:1002.4374](#).
- [10] ———, *An introduction to motivic Hall algebras*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 1, 102–138, [arXiv:1002.4372](#).
- [11] Antoine Chambert-Loir and François Loeser, *Motivic height zeta functions*, Amer. J. Math. **138** (2016), no. 1, 1–59, [arXiv:1302.2077](#).
- [12] William Crawley-Boevey, *On the exceptional fibres of Kleinian singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **122** (2000), no. 5, 1027–1037.
- [13] William Crawley-Boevey and Martin P. Holland, *Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), no. 3, 605–635.
- [14] William Crawley-Boevey and Michel Van den Bergh, *Absolutely indecomposable representations and Kac-Moody Lie algebras*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), no. 3, 537–559, [arXiv:math/0106009](#), with an appendix by Hiraku Nakajima.
- [15] Ben Davison and Sven Meinhardt, *Donaldson-Thomas theory for categories of homological dimension one with potential*, 2015, [arXiv:1512.08898](#).
- [16] Jean-Marc Drézet, *Luna’s slice theorem and applications*, Algebraic group actions and quotients, Hindawi Publ. Corp., Cairo, 2004, pp. 39–89.
- [17] Tobias Dyckerhoff and Mikhail Kapranov, *Higher Segal spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2244, Springer, 2019, [arXiv:1212.3563](#).
- [18] Johannes Engel and Markus Reineke, *Smooth models of quiver moduli*, Math. Z. **262** (2009), no. 4, 817–848, [arXiv:0706.4306](#).
- [19] William Fulton, *Intersection theory*, second ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Folge 3, vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [20] Victor Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras*, 2006, [arXiv:math/0612139](#).
- [21] Victor Ginzburg, *Lectures on Nakajima’s quiver varieties*, Geometric methods in representation theory. I, Sémin. Congr., vol. 24, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2012, [arXiv:0905.0686](#), pp. 145–219.
- [22] Dieter Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 119, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [23] Tamás Hausel, *Kac’s conjecture from Nakajima quiver varieties*, Invent. Math. **181** (2010), no. 1, 21–37, [arXiv:0811.1569](#).
- [24] Wim H. Hesselink, *Concentration under actions of algebraic groups*, Paul Dubreil and Marie-Paule Malliavin Algebra Seminar, 33rd Year (Paris, 1980), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 867, Springer, Berlin, 1981, pp. 55–89.
- [25] Dominic Joyce, *Configurations in abelian categories. II. Ringel-Hall algebras*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 2, 635–706, [arXiv:math/0503029](#).
- [26] ———, *Motivic invariants of Artin stacks and ‘stack functions’*, Quart. J. Math. **58** (2007), no. 3, 345–392, [arXiv:math/0509722](#).
- [27] Yoshiyuki Kimura and Fan Qin, *Graded quiver varieties, quantum cluster algebras and dual canonical basis*, Adv. Math. **262** (2014), 261–312, [arXiv:1205.2066](#).
- [28] A. D. King, *Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras*, Quart. J. Math. **45** (1994), no. 180, 515–530.
- [29] R. Martínez-Villa and J. A. de la Peña, *The universal cover of a quiver with relations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **30** (1983), no. 3, 277–292.
- [30] Sergey Mozgovoy, *Fermionic forms and quiver varieties*, 2006, [arXiv:math/0610084](#).
- [31] ———, *Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and McKay correspondence*, 2011, [arXiv:1107.6044](#).
- [32] Hiraku Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. **76** (1994), no. 2, 365–416.
- [33] ———, *Quiver varieties and finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 1, 145–238 (electronic), [arXiv:math.QA/9912158](#).
- [34] ———, *Quiver varieties and t -analogs of q -characters of quantum affine algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **160** (2004), no. 3, 1057–1097, [arXiv:math.QA/0105173](#).

- [35] ———, *Quiver varieties and cluster algebras*, Kyoto J. Math. **51** (2011), no. 1, 71–126, [arXiv:0905.0002](#).
- [36] Fan Qin, *Quantum cluster variables via Serre polynomials*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **668** (2012), 149–190, [arXiv:1004.4171](#), With an appendix by Bernhard Keller.
- [37] Markus Reineke, *The Harder-Narasimhan system in quantum groups and cohomology of quiver moduli*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), no. 2, 349–368, [arXiv:math/0204059](#).
- [38] ———, *Cohomology of noncommutative Hilbert schemes*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **8** (2005), no. 4, 541–561, [arXiv:math.AG/0306185](#).
- [39] ———, *Localization in quiver moduli*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **631** (2009), 59–83, [arXiv:math/0509361](#).
- [40] C. Riedtmann, *Algebren, Darstellungsköcher, Überlagerungen und zurück*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55** (1980), no. 2, 199–224.
- [41] Claus Michael Ringel, *Tame algebras and integral quadratic forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1099, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [42] Sarah Scherotzke, *Generalized quiver varieties and triangulated categories*, Math. Z. **292** (2019), no. 3–4, 1453–1478, [arXiv:1405.4729](#).
- [43] Ed Segal, *The A_∞ deformation theory of a point and the derived categories of local Calabi-Yaus*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 8, 3232–3268, [arXiv:math/0702539](#).
- [44] B. Toën, *Grothendieck rings of Artin n -stacks*, 2005, [arXiv:math/0509098](#).
- [45] Thorsten Weist, *Localization in quiver moduli spaces*, Represent. Theory **17** (2013), 382–425, [arXiv:0903.5442](#).
- [46] Dimitri Wyss, *Motivic classes of Nakajima quiver varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2017), no. 22, 6961–6976, [arXiv:1603.03200](#).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, IRELAND

HAMILTON MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, IRELAND

Email address: mozgovoy@maths.tcd.ie