Non-Markovian response of complex quantum systems

S.V.Radionov *

Institute for Nuclear Research, 03680 Kiev, Ukraine

(Dated: February 19, 2024)

Abstract

We study the perturbative response of a complex quantum system on time changes of an external parameter X. The driven dynamics is treated in adiabatic basis of the system's Hamiltonian $\hat{H}[X]$. Within a random matrix approach we obtained non–Markovian Fokker–Planck equation for the occupancy of given adiabatic state. We observed normal diffusion regime of the driven quantum dynamics at quite small values of the memory time defined by the time scales of the X-correlations and energy–distribution of the coupling matrix elements $(\partial \hat{H}/\partial X)_{nm}$. Here the normal energy diffusion was found to drop out with the width of the matrix elements' energy–distribution and the diffusion may be significantly suppressed with the decrease of the correlations between the matrix elements. In the opposite limit of relatively large memory times we obtained ballistic regime of the dynamics.

 $^{^{\}ast}$ Electronic address: sergey.radionov18@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

In the paper we study the response of complex quantum systems on an external parametric driving. Such a study can be important to clarify the physics of dissipation appearing in dynamics of macroscopic coordinates coupled to fast intrinsic degrees of freedom of complex many body systems. Usually dynamics of the complex systems is characterized by the absence of constants of motion (symmetricies) except trivial like the total energy and angular momentum. The spectra of atomic nuclei, quantum dots, mesoscopic systems and other systems show universal statistical properties which can be well modelled by random matrix ensembles.

The first, who applied the random matrix approach to the description of complex systems, were Gorkov and Eliashberg [1]. They considered the absorption of photons by small metallic particles and found that the susceptibility of the system may show different dependence on temperature for different random matrix ensembles of levels using to model the system's spectrum. The problem of susceptibility of quantum systems to perturbations has been developed further by many authors, see, for example [2–4]. The other branch of interest in applying the random matrix approach is the study of quantum dissipation problem. Thus, Wilkinson in a series of papers [5–7] discusses the rate of change of energy of the driven system in context of Landau–Zener transitions between levels. The same problem of the dissipation properties of of many body systems is investigated in Refs. [8–11]. The main aim of the present investigation is to study different regimes of driven dynamics of complex quantum systems within the random matrix approach. We wish to see how the intrinsic properties of the system may show up in its response on the external perturbation.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Sect. II we start from the time–dependent Schrödinger equation and introduce adiabatic basis of the system's Hamiltonian. In the weak–coupling limit we get a closed set of equations for the occupancies of adiabatic states. Then, we apply the random matrix model and reduce the driven quantum dynamics to non–Markovian Fokker–Planck equation. Different regimes of the dynamics as a function of the parameters of the model are discussed in Sect. III. Finally, conclusions and discussion of the main results of the paper are given in the Summary.

II. DRIVEN QUANTUM DYNAMICS

We start from the time–dependent Shrödinger equation for the time evolution of complex quantum system H[X] driven by a single external parameter X(t)

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\Psi. \tag{1}$$

We also introduce an adiabatic basis of the system

$$\hat{H}\mu_n = E_n\mu_n,\tag{2}$$

where adiabatic eigenfunctions $\mu_n[X]$ and eigenenergies $E_n[X]$ of the system's Hamiltonian are determined for each fixed value of the parameter X. Let us use the following expansion for the total wave function

$$\Psi(t) = \sum_{n} a_n(t)e^{i\phi_n(t)}\mu_n(X[t]), \tag{3}$$

where quantum-mechanical phases ϕ_n are given by

$$\phi_n = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^t E_n(X[t']) dt'. \tag{4}$$

Substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1), we obtain an equation for the amplitudes $a_n(t)$

$$\frac{da_n}{dt} = -\dot{X} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{nm}}{E_n - E_m} e^{i(\phi_n - \phi_m)} a_m, \tag{5}$$

with matrix elements

$$\mathcal{M}_{nm} = \langle \mu_n | \partial \hat{H} / \partial X | \mu_m \rangle. \tag{6}$$

From Eq. (5), a set of coupled equations defining how the occupancies of adiabatic states $|a_n|^2$ evolve with time is obtained,

$$\frac{d(|a_n|^2)}{dt} = -\dot{X} \left(\sum_{k \neq n} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{nk}}{E_n - E_k} e^{i(\phi_n - \phi_k)} a_k a_n^* - \sum_{l \neq n} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{nk}^*}{E_n - E_l} e^{-i(\phi_n - \phi_l)} a_l^* a_n \right), \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{d(a_p a_n^*)}{dt} = \dot{X} \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{pn}}{E_n - E_p} e^{i(\phi_p - \phi_n)} [|a_p|^2 - |a_n|^2] - \sum_{r \neq n, p} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{pr}}{E_p - E_r} e^{i(\phi_p - \phi_r)} a_r a_n^* - \sum_{s \neq n, p} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{ns}^*}{E_n - E_s} e^{-i(\phi_n - \phi_s)} a_s^* a_n \right), \quad p \neq n$$
(8)

where star denotes the complex conjugation. The initial conditions for the system of equations (7)–(8) are chosen such that initially only one given eigenstate n is occupied,

$$(a_p a_n^*)(t=0) = \delta_{pn}. \tag{9}$$

Formally, one can obtain a closed equation for the occupancies $|a_n|^2$ themselves just by integrating over time both sides of Eq. (8),

$$(a_{p}a_{n}^{*})(t) = \int_{0}^{t} dt' \dot{X} \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{pn}}{E_{n} - E_{p}} e^{i(\phi_{p} - \phi_{n})} [|a_{p}|^{2} - |a_{n}|^{2}] - \sum_{r \neq n, p} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{pr}}{E_{p} - E_{r}} e^{i(\phi_{p} - \phi_{r})} a_{r} a_{n}^{*} - \sum_{s \neq n, p} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{ns}^{*}}{E_{n} - E_{s}} e^{-i(\phi_{n} - \phi_{s})} a_{s}^{*} a_{n} \right), \quad (10)$$

and subsequent substitution of the interference terms like $(a_p a_n^*)$ (10) into Eq. (7). In this way, the right-hand side (rhs) of Eq. (7) get a form of the perturbative expansion in terms of a parameter

$$\alpha = 2\dot{X}(t) \sum_{k \neq n} \int_0^t dt' \dot{X}(t') Re \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{nk}(t) \mathcal{M}_{kn}(t')}{(E_n - E_k)(t)(E_n - E_k)(t')} e^{i(\phi_n - \phi_k)(t)(\phi_k - \phi_n)(t')} \right)$$
(11)

We consider the perturbation parameter α to be sufficiently small such that we are able to restrict ourselves by keeping only the lowest order terms in α in the right–hand side of Eq. (7). Thus, we have

$$\frac{d(|a_n|^2)}{dt} = 2\dot{X}(t) \sum_{k \neq n} \int_0^t dt' \dot{X}(t') [|a_k|^2 - |a_n|^2](t')$$

$$Re\left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{nk}(X[t])\mathcal{M}_{kn}(X[t'])}{(E_n - E_k)(t)(E_n - E_k)(t')} e^{i(\phi_n - \phi_k)(t)(\phi_k - \phi_n)(t')}\right). \tag{12}$$

Equation (12) is an integro-differential equation determining the time variations of the occupancy of the given quantum state n due to the interlevel transitions from all other states k.

At this place, we apply the formalism of random matrix theory (RMT) and average the rhs of Eq. (12) over suitably chosen statistics of randomly distributed energy spacings $E_n - E_k$ and off-diagonal matrix elements \mathcal{M}_{nk} . It is assumed that such an ensemble averaging can be performed independently over the spacings and matrix elements. First, energy spacings part of the ensemble averaging is defined as [1]

$$\sum_{k \neq n} \to \int dE_k \Omega(E_k) R(\Omega | E_n - E_k|), \tag{13}$$

where Ω is the average level-density and R is two-level correlation function giving a probability density to find level with energy E_k in the interval $[E_k - dE_k, E_k + dE_k]$ at the average distance $|E_n - E_k|$ from the given level with energy E_n . Moreover, we believe that the energy spacings rapidly fluctuate with time so that they are decorrelate over time intervals of the physical interest,

$$\frac{(E_n - E_k)(t)(E_n - E_k)(t')}{(E_n - E_k)(t')} = \begin{cases}
\overline{(E_n - E_k)^2(t)}, & t' = t \\
0, & t' \neq t
\end{cases}$$

Performing the ensemble averaging of Eq. (12), one get

$$\frac{d\overline{|a|^2}(E,t)}{dt} = 2\dot{X}(t) \int_0^t dt' \dot{X}(t') \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} de\Omega(E-e)R(\Omega|e|) \frac{Re(\overline{\mathcal{M}_{nk}(q)\mathcal{M}_{nk}^*(X')})}{e^2} \\
\cos(e/\hbar[t-t'])\{\overline{|a|^2}(E-e,t') - \overline{|a|^2}(E,t')\}, \tag{14}$$

where $e \equiv \overline{E_n - E_k}$ is a spacing between two energy levels and $E \equiv \overline{E_n}$ measures excitation of the system.

Our second step in the ensemble averaging procedure is an averaging over the off-diagonal matrix elements \mathcal{M}_{nk} . \mathcal{M}_{nk} are treated as complex random numbers with real and imaginary parts independently Gaussian distributed, and with [7]

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}_{nk}(X)\mathcal{M}_{n'k'}^*(X')} = \overline{|\mathcal{M}_{nk}|^2}(\overline{E_n}, \overline{E_k}, X)C(q - q')\delta_{nn'}\delta_{kk'}, \tag{15}$$

where C(0) = 1 and the function C(X - X') is characterized by a correlation length ξ_q over which the matrix elements correlate with each other significantly for the different values of the external parameter X. To specify an energy distribution of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements $\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{nk}|^2}(\overline{E_n}, \overline{E_k})$, We take it in a quite general form [10, 11]

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{nk}|^2}(\overline{E_n}, \overline{E_k}, X) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{\Omega(\overline{E_n})\Omega(\overline{E_k})\Gamma}} f(|\overline{E_n} - \overline{E_k}|/\Gamma), \tag{16}$$

where σ^2 is the strength and Γ is the width of the energy distribution of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements $\overline{|\mathcal{M}_{nk}|^2}$. Here it is implied that the shape of the distribution, f, is a decaying function of the energy distance between states $|\overline{E_n - E_k}|$.

The parameter Γ is a width of the energy distribution f and measures how strong different eigenstates are coupled by the transition operator $\partial \hat{H}/\partial X$. On the other hand, Γ determines an effective number of states, $N \sim \Omega(E_n)\Gamma$, over which the initially occupied state n spreads out.

Substituting Eqs. (??) and (16) into Eq. (7), we obtain

$$\frac{d\overline{|a|^2}(E,t)}{dt} = \frac{2\dot{X}(t)}{\sqrt{\Omega(E)\Gamma}} \int_0^t dt' \dot{X}(t') \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} de \sqrt{\Omega(E-e)} R(\Omega|e|) f(|e|/\Gamma) C(X-X')
\frac{\cos(e/\hbar[t-t'])}{e^2} \{ \overline{|a|^2}(E-e,t') - \overline{|a|^2}(E,t') \}, \quad (17)$$

III. DIFFERENT REGIMES OF THE DRIVN QUANTUM DYNAMICS

Assuming that the occupancy of the given state with energy E changes mainly due to the direct interlevel transitions from the close–lying states located at the distances $|e| \ll E$, we enable to truncate the following expansion,

$$\sqrt{\Omega(E-e)}\{\overline{|a|^2}(E-e,t') - \overline{|a|^2}(E,t')\} = -\sqrt{\Omega(E)}\frac{\partial\overline{|a|^2}(E,t')}{\partial E}e$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\Omega(E)}}\frac{d\Omega(E)}{dE}\frac{\partial\overline{|a|^2}(E,t')}{\partial E}e^2 + \frac{\sqrt{\Omega(E)}}{2}\frac{\partial^2\overline{|a|^2}(E,t')}{\partial E^2}e^2 + (...)e^3 + O(e^4) \tag{18}$$

to e^3 -order terms.

The expansion (18) leads us to a non–Markovian Fokker–Planck equation for the ensemble averaged occupancy $\overline{\rho}(E,t)$ of the given quantum state with the energy E,

$$\Omega(E)\frac{\partial \overline{|a|^2}(E,t)}{\partial t} \approx \sigma^2 \dot{X}(t) \int_0^t dt' \dot{X}(t') C(X[t] - X[t']) K(t-t') \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left[\Omega(E) \frac{\partial \overline{|a|^2}(E,t')}{\partial E} \right], \quad (19)$$

where

$$K(t - t') = \frac{1}{\Gamma} Re \left(\int_{\infty}^{+\infty} f(|e|/\Gamma) R(\Omega|e|) exp(\frac{ie[t - t']}{\hbar}) de \right).$$
 (20)

Eq. (19) can be understood in a probabilistic sense as an dynamical equation for a probability distribution function $P(E,t) \equiv \overline{|a|^2}(E,t)\Omega(E)$ showing the relative number of quantum states with energies which lie in the interval [E,E+dE]. From this point of view, we can speak about quantum mechanical diffusion of energy caused by the direct interlevel transitions between energy states. Two different time scales, appearing in Eq. (19), determine a non–Markovian character of the energy diffusion. The first one, $\tau_{\xi} \sim \xi/\dot{X}$, originates from the correlations between the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements (15) existing at different values of the external time–dependent parameter X[t]. The second one, $\tau_{\Gamma} \sim \hbar/\Gamma$, is defined by the energy–dependence of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements (16).

To study how these time scales define the quantum diffusive dynamics (19), we use a number of simplifying assumptions. First of all, we shall consider quantum systems with constant average level-density, $\Omega(E) = \Omega_0$, driven with a constant velocity, $X[t] = V_0 \cdot t$. Secondly, we take the correlation function C(X - X') (15) and the memory kernel K(t - t') (20) in a simple exponential form,

$$C(X - X') = exp\left(-\frac{|X - X'|}{\xi}\right) \tag{21}$$

and

$$K(t - t') = K_0 \cdot exp\left(-\frac{|t - t'|}{\hbar/\Gamma}\right),\tag{22}$$

where K_0 is some constant independent of the width Γ . Thus, we obtain a non–Markovian diffusion equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial P(E,t)}{\partial t} = \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \int_0^t exp\left(-\frac{|t-t'|}{\tau}\right) \frac{\partial^2 P(E,t')}{\partial E^2} dt',\tag{23}$$

with the normalization condition

$$\int P(E,t)dE = 1, \tag{24}$$

and the initial condition

$$P(E, t = 0) = \delta(E - E_0),$$
 (25)

where E_0 is the initial excitation energy of the system. Here the different time scales, $\tau_{\xi} = \xi/V_0$, caused by the X-correlations of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements (15), and $\tau_{\Gamma} = \hbar/\Gamma$, due to the energy-dependence of the squared matrix elements (16), appear in Eq. (23) in the following combination

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\xi/V_0} + \frac{1}{\hbar/\Gamma}.\tag{26}$$

In fact, a parameter τ measure the strength of the memory effects in the energy diffusion (23) and from that perspective, it is relevant to call it a memory time of the quantum diffusion dynamics. Depending on that parameter, the different regimes of the quantum diffusion dynamics (23) can be distinguished. To show this, we differentiate over time both sides of Eq. (23) and reduce it to the second order in time differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} + \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial E^2}.$$
 (27)

A. Diffusion regime (weak memory effects)

 $\tau \to 0$. This is a limit of extremely small values of the memory time τ , when it is the shortest time scale of the system and the memory effects in the system's dynamics are of minor role. By neglecting the first term in the left–hand side of Eq. (27) compared to the second one, we end up with a normal diffusion regime of the quantum dynamics (23),

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \tau \frac{\partial P}{\partial E^2}.$$
 (28)

Therefore, we can claim that in the case of the weak memory effects in the quantum driven dynamics (1) we have the normal time diffusion of the occupancies of adiabatic states when a variance of its energy distribution, $v_E^2 = \int E^2 P(E,t) dE - (\int E P(E,t) dE)^2$, behaves linearly with time,

$$v_E^2 = \frac{\hbar \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \xi}{\hbar V_0 + \xi \Gamma} \cdot t, \tag{29}$$

see Eq. (26). It is interesting that the relationship between the time scales $\tau_{\xi} = \xi/V_0$ and $\tau_{\Gamma} = \hbar/\Gamma$ leads to a principly different behaviour of the energy diffusion v_E^2 as a function of the driven velocity V_0 . Let us consider two limiting cases:

(i) $\tau_{\Gamma} \ll \tau_{\xi}$ ($\hbar/\Gamma \ll \xi/V_0$). This situation is realized at either semiclassical limit ($\hbar \to 0$) or fairly large widths Γ of the energy–distribution (16) of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements. In this case the energy variance (29) behaves with the driven velocity V_0 quadratically,

$$v_E^2 \sim \frac{\hbar \sigma^2 K_0}{\Gamma} \cdot V_0^2. \tag{30}$$

It should be stressed that the energy diffusion drops out with the growth of the width Γ . This feature can be understood as follows. The width Γ defines an effective number of states $N \sim \Gamma\Omega_0$ coupled by the transition operator $\partial \hat{H}/\partial X$ at the given excitation E. The initially occupied many body state with energy E will spread out over N neighboring states, resulting in a gradual equilibration of the driven quantum system (1). The larger Γ , the closer the quantum system to the equilibrium and therefore, the weaker the energy diffusion. Also note that in the limit of large widths Γ , the energy diffusion is independent on the correlation length ξ (15) of the distribution of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements.

(ii) $\tau_{\xi} \ll \tau_{\Gamma}$ ($\xi/V_0 \ll \hbar/\Gamma$). This condition is reached at quite large driven velocities V_0 or at relatively small values of the correlation length ξ . In that case we obtain a significant suppression of the energy diffusion when the energy variance v_E^2 becomes linearly

proportional to the driven velocity,

$$v_E^2 \sim \xi \sigma^2 K_0 \cdot V_0. \tag{31}$$

We see that the energy diffusion linearly grows with the increase of the X-correlations (15) between ensemble averaged squared matrix elements.

B. Ballistic regime (strong memory effects)

 $\tau \to \infty$. This is opposite limiting situation of the very strong memory effects when the memory time τ is assumed to be larger than the time of physical interest. Now the second term in the left-hand side of Eq. (27) is quite small and we come to a telegraph-like equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} = \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial E^2},\tag{32}$$

whose solution is given by the sum of two delta peaks,

$$P(E,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta(E - E_0 - \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \cdot t) + \delta(E - E_0 + \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \cdot t) \right]. \tag{33}$$

Here we have a ballistic regime of the quantum dynamics (23) when the energy variance quadratically depends on time

$$v_F^2 = \sigma^2 K_0 V_0^2 \cdot t^2. \tag{34}$$

Microscopically speaking the ballistic regime (32) corresponds to the situation when the initial distribution of the adiabatic state with energy E_0 splites in two equal delta–pulses propagating in the energy space in opposite directions with the constant velocity $\sigma \sqrt{K_0}V_0$.

In the intermediate situation of finite–sized memory effects the parameter τ in Eq. (27) plays a role of a crossover time, i. e., when τ separates a short–time regime, $t < \tau$ of the ballistic propagation of the probability between different states (32) from a long–time regime, $t > \tau$, of the normal diffusive behaviour of the occupancies of the adiabatic states (28).

IV. SUMMARY

In the paper we have addressed the general problem of the response of complex quantum systems on a parametric external driving represented by a single time-dependent classical variable X[t]. Driven dynamics of the quantum system has been started to discuss in the

adiabatic basis of the eigen-energies and eigen-functions of the system's Hamiltonian $\hat{H}[X]$ (2) found at each fixed value of the external parameter X. Have considering the perturbative response (11) of the system, we have obtained a closed set of equations (12) determining the time evolution of the occupancies of adiabatic states. The obtained equations has a form of the rate equation for occupancy of given quantum state n which varies with time due to direct interlevel transitions from all other states m.

Then, we have applied the random matrix theory to study the driven dynamics (12). Thus, we have performed ensemble averaging over spacings between energy levels and off diagonal matrix elements $(\partial H/\partial X)_{nm}$. The latter has been modelled by independent Gaussian distributed random variables (15) where we take into account both possible timecorrelations of the coupling matrix elements $(\partial \hat{H}[X]/\partial X)_{nm}$ and its energy-dependence (16). The correlation function C(X - X'), defining how strong the ensemble averaged matrix elements correlate at different values X and X' of the external parameter, has been characterized by a correlation length ξ . The energy distribution of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements has been described by a width Γ that determines an effective number of states over which the initially occupied state will spread out due to the external parametric driving. Thus, five parameters enter into our model of the driven quantum dynamics (17): the velocity of driving \dot{X} , the strength of coupling of the quantum system to the externel parameter σ^2 (16), the average density of states of the system $\Omega(E)$ at given energy E and the parameters of the matrix elements' distribution ξ and Γ . In the sequel, we have studied the response of a quantum system with constant level-density $\Omega(E) = \Omega_0$ on an external driving with constant velocity $\dot{X}[t] = V_0$.

In that case we are able to describe the quantum dynamics in terms of non–Markovian Fokker–Planck equation (23) for the probability distribution function P(E,t) giving a relative number of quantum states with energies in the interval [E, E + dE] at time t. The non–Markovian character of the quantum dynamics (23) is defined by time τ which is a geometric average (26) of two time scales, $\tau_{\xi} = \xi/V_0$, caused by X-correlations between the ensemble averaged matrix elements, and $\tau_{\Gamma} = \hbar/\Gamma$, appearing due to the energy-dependence of the matrix elements. We have analyzed the energy diffusion (23) in two limiting cases of extremely small and large memory times τ . In the first case, we obtain a normal diffusion regime of the quantum dynamics (28) with the energy variance $v_E^2 \sim \hbar \sigma^2 V_0^2/(V_0/\xi + \Gamma/\hbar) \cdot t$. This regime corresponds to the Markovian limit of the driven quantum dynamics when

the memory kernel of non–Markovian Fokker–Planck equation (23) becomes sharply peaked function of time and can be effectively modelled by a delta–function. We see that in this regime the energy diffusion drops out with the width Γ of the energy–distribution of the ensemble averaged squared matrix elements (16). In fact, that means that as far as we increase the width Γ the initially occupied many body state will distribute to large number of neighboring states which in turn results in a faster equilibration of the system. Threfore, the larger Γ the weaker the energy diffusion. It is also important that the energy diffusion can be strongly suppressed depending on the correlation length ξ . If ξ is quite big then the energy variance behaves quadratically with the driving velocity, $v_E^2 \sim V_0^2$, while at relatively small values of the correlation length ξ the energy variance is significantly reduced and becomes linear proportional to the velocity $v_E^2 \sim \xi \cdot V_0$. This feature is quite natural because any correlations in the sytem give rise to more regular dynamics and as a consequence of that, by decreasing the size of correlations (decreasing the correlation length ξ) we make dynamics more chaotic (more diffusive).

In the other Markovian limit, reached at fairly large values of the memory time, $\tau \to \infty$, we get ballistic regime of the quantum dynamics (32) when the variance of energy behaives quadratically with time (34). Here the memory kernel in Eq. (23) can be well approximated by one and the non–Markovian Fokker–Planck equation (23) can be reduced to the telegraph–like equation which is of second order in time. Now we have principly different picture of the driven quantum dynamics: the initial distribution of the occupied many body state splites into two equal pulses which then begin to move from each other in energy space with constant velocity $\sim \sigma V_0$. For moderate values of the memory time τ , we expect that at short times $t < \tau$ the ballistic regime of the quantum dynamics (32) is observed while at large times $t > \tau$ we have the normal diffusion (28) of the occupancies of adiabatic states.

Of course, the first natural continuation of the present study is to consider the nonperturbative response of a complx quantum system, i. e. when the parameter (11) of our
perturbation expansion (10) is not small and we have to include all terms in that expansion.

Secondly, it is of big interest to study the macroscopic manifestation of found different
regimes of the quantum dynamics, i. e. when the parameter X[t] is not a tunable parameter
but it is rather some effective coordinate like collective deformation parameters of nuclear
or atomic physics.

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of S.V.R. on the project Nuclear collective dynamics for high temperatures and neutron-proton asymmetries was supported (partially) by the Program Fundamental research in high energy physics and nuclear physics (international collaboration) at the Department of Nuclear Physics and Energy of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine.

^[1] L. Gorkov and G. Eliashberg, Sov. Phys. JETP 48, 1407 (1965).

^[2] P.V. Elyutin and J. Shan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5043 (1996).

^[3] D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4951 (1999).

^[4] D. Cohen and T. Kottos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4839 (2000).

^[5] M. Wilkinson, J. Phys. A **21**, 4021 (1988); **22** 2795 (1989).

^[6] M. Wilkinson and E. J. Austin, Phys. Rev. A 46, 64 (1992).

^[7] M. Wilkinson and E. J. Austin, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28, 2277 (1995).

^[8] V. M. Kolomietz, Phys. Rev. C **52**, 697 (1995).

^[9] A. Bulgac, G. D. Dang, and D. Kusnezov, Phys. Rev. E 54, 3468 (1996).

^[10] S. Radionov and S. Åberg, Phys. Rev. C 71, 064304 (2005).

^[11] V. M. Kolomitz, S. Åberg, and S. V. Radionov, submitted to Phys. Rev. C