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ABSTRACT. We show that the algebraic boundaries of the regions of real binary forms with fixed typical rank are always unions of dual varieties to suitable coincident root loci.

INTRODUCTION

Let \( f \in R_d = \mathbb{K}[x,y]_d \) be a binary form of degree \( d \), where \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \). By definition, see e.g. [Lan12], the \( \mathbb{K} \)-rank of \( f \) is the minimum integer \( r \) such that \( f \) admits a decomposition \( f = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \ell_i^d \) where \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{K} \) and \( \ell_i \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]_1 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \).

If \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C} \), the generic rank, i.e., the complex rank of a general complex binary form of degree \( d \), is \( \lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil \). In the case \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \), the notion of generic rank is replaced by the notion of typical ranks. A rank is called typical for real binary forms of degree \( d \) if it occurs in an open subset of \( R_d \) with respect to the Euclidean topology. By [Ble15] it is known that a rank \( r \) is typical if and only if \( \frac{d+1}{2} \leq r \leq d \). Denoting by \( R_{d,r} \) the interior of the semi-algebraic set \( \{ f \in R_d : \text{rk}_R(f) = r \} \) in the real vector space \( R_d \), then a rank \( r \) is typical exactly when \( R_{d,r} \) is not empty.

Let us now assume \( \frac{d+1}{2} \leq r \leq d \). Following [LS16] and [BS18], we define the topological boundary \( \partial(R_{d,r}) \) as the set-theoretic difference of the closure of \( R_{d,r} \) and the interior of the closure of \( R_{d,r} \). Thus, if \( f \in \partial(R_{d,r}) \) then every neighborhood of \( f \) contains a generic form of real rank equal to \( r \) and also a generic form of real rank different from \( r \). We have that \( \partial(R_{d,r}) \) is a semi-algebraic subset of \( R_d \) of pure codimension one. We define the algebraic boundary \( \partial_{\text{alg}}(R_{d,r}) \), also called real rank boundary, as the Zariski closure of the topological boundary \( \partial(R_{d,r}) \) in the complex projective space \( \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x,y]_d) \).

The algebraic boundary for maximum rank \( r = d \) coincides with the discriminant hypersurface. Indeed by [CO12] and [CR11], we know that the open set \( R_{d,d} \) corresponds to the locus of real-rooted forms, that is forms with all distinct and real roots. In the opposite case, the algebraic boundary for minimum rank \( \overline{r} = \lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil \) has been described in [LS16]. It is irreducible when \( d \) is odd, and it has two irreducible components when \( d \) is even. From these general results, it follows a complete description of all the algebraic boundaries with low degree \( d \leq 6 \).

In [BS18], we completely described the algebraic boundaries for the next two cases, \( d = 7 \) and \( d = 8 \). More precisely, we show in [BS18] that all the boundaries between
two typical ranks are unions of dual varieties to suitable coincident root loci. Coincident root loci are well-studied varieties which parametrize binary forms with multiple roots, see Section 1.1 for the precise definitions.

In this paper we study the algebraic boundaries for forms of arbitrary degree, and our main result is the following:

**Theorem 0.1** (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2). For any degree $d$ and any typical rank $\frac{d+1}{2} \leq r \leq d$, the algebraic boundary $\partial_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{R}_{d,r})$ is a union of dual varieties to coincident root loci.

We remark finally that the study of algebraic boundaries for forms with more than two variables is a challenging and quite open problem, see [MMSV17, Ven19].

The paper is organized as follows: in the preliminary Section 1 we recall some basic notions and results about coincident root loci, higher associated subvarieties and apolar maps. Section 2 is devoted to the detailed analysis of the pullbacks, via apolar maps, of higher associated varieties to coincident root loci. The main result of this section is Theorem 2.1, whose two corollaries (Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4) are key tools in the proof of Theorem 0.1. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 0.1: more precisely, we consider the case of odd degree in Theorem 3.1, and the case of even degree in Theorem 3.2.

1. Preliminary

1.1. Coincident root loci. Let $r$ be a positive integer. A partition of $r$ is an equivalence class, under reordering, of lists of positive integers $\lambda = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = r$. We denote by $|\lambda|$ the length $n$ of the partition. Alternatively, the partition $\lambda$ can be represented by the list of integers $m_1, \ldots, m_k$ defined as $m_j = |\{i : \lambda_i = j\}|$, and clearly $\sum_{j=1}^k jm_j = r$.

Given a partition $\lambda$ as above, the coincident root locus $\Delta_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^r = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x,y]_r)$ associated with $\lambda$ is the set of binary forms $f$ of degree $r$ which admit a factorization $f = \prod_{i=1}^n \ell_i^{\lambda_i}$ for some linear forms $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]_1$. These varieties have been extensively studied, see e.g. [Wey89, Kat03, Chi03, Chi04, Kur12].

We have a unirational parameterization of degree $m_1!m_2! \cdots m_k!$:

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{n \text{ times}} \Delta_\lambda \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r \quad (\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n) \mapsto \prod_{i=0}^n \ell_i^{\lambda_i}.$$

In particular, the dimension of $\Delta_\lambda$ is $n$. The degree of $\Delta_\lambda$ was determined by Hilbert [Hil87]. He showed that

$$\deg(\Delta_\lambda) = \frac{n!}{m_1!m_2! \cdots m_k!} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n.$$

If $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are two partitions of $r$, we have $\Delta_\mu \subseteq \Delta_\lambda$ if and only if $\lambda$ is a refinement of $\mu$ (equiv., $\mu$ is a coarsening of $\lambda$). In [Chi03] and subsequently in [Kur12] it has been shown that the singular locus $\text{Sing}(\Delta_\lambda)$ is given by the union of $\Delta_\mu$ for some suitable coarsenings $\mu$ of $\lambda$ (see [Chi03, Definition 5.2] and [Kur12, Proposition 2.1] for the precise description). In particular, one has that $\Delta_\lambda$ is smooth if and only if $\lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$. Otherwise the singular locus is of codimension 1.
The dual variety \((\Delta_\lambda)^\vee\) of \(\Delta_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x,y],r)\), and it is shown in [LS16] (see also [Kat03]) that \((\Delta_\lambda)^\vee \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}[x,y],r)\) is a subvariety of codimension \(m_1\), which is given by the join of the \((n-m_1)\) coincident root loci \(\Delta_{(d-\lambda_i+2,1,\ldots,2)}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n\) with \(\lambda_i \geq 2\). In particular, \((\Delta_\lambda)^\vee\) is a hypersurface if and only if \(\lambda_i \geq 2\) for all \(i\). In this case its degree has been computed in [Oed12] and it is

\[
(1.1) \quad \deg(\Delta_\lambda^\vee) = \frac{(n+1)!}{m_2! \cdots m_k!} (\lambda_1 - 1)(\lambda_2 - 1) \cdots (\lambda_n - 1).
\]

1.2. **Higher associated subvarieties.** Let \(G(l,r) = G(l,\mathbb{P}^r)\) denote the Grassmannian of \(l\)-dimensional projective subspaces of \(\mathbb{P}^r\). Let \(X \subset \mathbb{P}_C^r\) be an irreducible projective variety of dimension \(n\). Recall that the \(j\)-th higher associated variety \(CH_j(X)\) to \(X\) is the closure of the set of the \((r-n-1+j)\)-dimensional subspaces \(H \subset \mathbb{P}^r\) such that \(H \cap X \neq \emptyset\) and \(\dim(H \cap T_x X) \geq j\) for some smooth point \(x \in H \cap X\), see [GKZ08].

For our purpose it is useful to consider a natural generalization of higher associated subvarieties, which we now introduce. For any integers \(j,l\) with \(0 \leq j \leq l \leq r\) and \(j \leq n\), we define

\[
\mathbb{I}_j^l = \mathbb{I}_j^l(X) = \{(x,B,P) \in (X \setminus \text{Sing}(X) \times G(j,\mathbb{P}^r)) \times G(l,\mathbb{P}^r)) : x \in B, B \subset T_x X, B \subset P\}.
\]

**Proposition 1.1.** The scheme \(\mathbb{I}_j^l\) is smooth and irreducible of dimension

\[
\dim \mathbb{I}_j^l = -j^2 + (n-r+1)j + rl - l^2 + n,
\]

that is

\[
\dim G(l,r) - \dim \mathbb{I}_j^l = 1 + (j+1)(r-n-1+j-l).
\]

**Proof.** We have the following diagram of natural projections:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\xymatrix{ & \mathbb{I}_j^l \ar[r]^\pi_2 \ar[dl]_{q_1} & G(l,\mathbb{P}^r) \ar[d]^{q_2} \\
X \setminus \text{Sing}(X) \ar[r]_{\pi_1} & \mathbb{I}_j^l \ar[r] & G(j,\mathbb{P}^r) }
\end{aligned}
\]

For each \(x \in X \setminus \text{Sing}(X)\), we have \(q_1^{-1}(x) \simeq \{B \in G(j,T_x X) : x \in B\} \simeq G(j-1,n-1)\).

Thus \(\mathbb{I}_j^l\) is smooth and irreducible of dimension

\[
\dim \mathbb{I}_j^l = n + \dim G(j-1,n-1).
\]

If \((x,B) \in \mathbb{I}_j^l\), then \(\pi_1^{-1}(x,B) \simeq \{P \in G(l,\mathbb{P}^r) : B \subset P\} \simeq G(l-j-1,r-j-1)\). Thus \(\mathbb{I}_j^l\) is smooth and irreducible of dimension

\[
\dim \mathbb{I}_j^l = \dim \mathbb{I}_j^l + \dim G(l-j-1,r-j-1),
\]

and the claim of the proposition follows. \(\square\)
Definition 1.2. Let $\overline{I}_j$ be the closure of $I_j$ in $X \times G(j, \mathbb{P}) \times G(l, \mathbb{P})$, and denote by $\pi_2 : \overline{I}_j \to G(l, \mathbb{P})$ the last projection. The scheme $\pi_2(\overline{I}_j) = \pi_2(I_j) \subset G(l, \mathbb{P})$ will be denoted by $\Xi_j = \Xi_j^l(X)$.

Remark 1.3. It follows from the definition and Proposition 1.1 that we have
\begin{equation}
\text{codim}_{G(l,\mathbb{P})}(\Xi_j^l(X)) \geq 1 + (j + 1)(r - n + j - l).
\end{equation}
When $j = 0$ and $l < r - n$ we have that $\pi_2$ is birational. In particular, the above inequality is an equality, that is
\begin{equation}
\text{codim}_{G(l,\mathbb{P})}(\Xi_0^l(X)) = r - n - l.
\end{equation}

Example 1.4. If $l = r - n - 1 + j$, then $\Xi_j^l(X) = CH_j(X)$ is the $j$-th associated variety to $X$. In particular, $\Xi_0^{l-n+1}(X)$ is the Chow hypersurface; if $\deg(X) \geq 2$, then $\Xi_1^{l-n}(X)$ is the Hurwitz hypersurface (see [Stu17]); and $\Xi_n^{r-1}(X) \subset G(r - 1, \mathbb{P})$ is the dual variety of $X$.

Let us recall that $CH_j(X)$ has codimension one in $G(r - n - 1 + j, \mathbb{P})$ if and only if $0 \leq j \leq \dim(X) - \operatorname{def}(X)$, where $\operatorname{def}(X)$ denotes the dual defect of $X$ (see [GKZ08] and [Koh16]).

Example 1.5. Let $\mathcal{P} = (\emptyset \subset P_0 \subset \cdots \subset P_{r-1} \subset P_r = \mathbb{P}^r)$ be a nested sequence of projective subspaces, with $\dim P_i = i$, and let $a = (a_0, \ldots, a_l)$ be a sequence of integers with $r - l \geq a_0 \geq \cdots \geq a_l \geq 0$. Then the so-called Schubert variety $\Sigma_a(\mathcal{P}) \subset G(l, \mathbb{P})$ coincides with the following intersection:
\begin{equation}
\Sigma_a(\mathcal{P}) = \bigcap_{i=0}^{l} \Xi_i^l(P_{r-l+i-a_i}).
\end{equation}

1.3. Apolar maps and apolarity. Let $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a field. Let $R = \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ be a polynomial ring and let $D = \mathbb{K}[\partial_x, \partial_y]$ be the dual ring of differential operators. The ring $D$ acts on $R$ with the usual rules of differentiations, and we have the pairing
\begin{equation}
R_d \otimes D_r \to R_{d-r}.
\end{equation}

If $f = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (i_d^d) a_i x^{d-i} y^i \in R_d$, the apolar ideal $f^\perp \subset D$ is given by all the operators which annihilates $f$, that is
\begin{equation}
f^\perp = \{ g(\partial_x, \partial_y) \in D : g(f) = 0 \}.
\end{equation}
For instance, if $l = ax + by \in R_1$, then $l^\perp$ is generated by the operator $-b \partial_x + a \partial_y \in D_1$.

The component $(f^\perp)_r = f^\perp \cap D_r$ is the kernel of the linear map $D_r \to R_{d-r}$, which in the standard basis is represented by the catalecticant (or Hankel) matrix (up to multiplying the rows by scalars), see e.g. [ER93]:

\begin{equation}
A^{d,r} = \begin{pmatrix}
a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_r \\
a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_{r+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{d-r} & a_{d-r+1} & \cdots & a_d
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}
For a general form \( f \in R_d \), with \( d \geq r \geq d - r \), the matrix \( A^{d,r} \) has maximal rank, and hence \( \dim \ker(A^{d,r}) = 2r - d \). Thus we have a rational map, called the *apolar map*,

\[
\Psi_{d,r} : \mathbb{P}^d \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}(d - r, r) \simeq \mathbb{G}(2r - d - 1, r)
\]

which associates to a general binary form \( f \) of degree \( d \) the projective \((2r - d - 1)\)-dimensional subspace \( \mathbb{P}((f^\perp)_r) \subset \mathbb{P}(D_r) \). In coordinates the map \( \Psi_{d,r} \) is defined by the maximal minors of the intermediate catalecticant matrix \( D(2.1) \)

Assume that \( f \in R_d \) is generated in generic degrees form a subvariety of \( R_d \), which has codimension 1 if \( d \) is even and codimension 2 if \( d \) is odd. Indeed this subvariety is defined by the maximal minors of the intermediate catalecticant matrix \( A^{d,\lceil 2d+1 \rceil} \) of size \( \lceil 2d+1 \rceil \times \lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor \).

Let \( f \in R_d = \mathbb{K}[x,y]_d \) be a binary form of degree \( d \). A classical result is the following:

**Lemma 1.7 (Aporality Lemma).** Assume \( f \in R_d \) and let \( \ell_i \in R_1 \) be distinct linear forms for \( 1 \leq i \leq r \). There are coefficients \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{K} \) such that \( f = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i(\ell_i)^d \) if and only if the operator \( \ell_1^\perp \circ \cdots \circ \ell_r^\perp \) is in the apolar ideal \( f^\perp \).

It follows that a form \( f \) has rank less than or equal to \( r \) if and only if \( (f^\perp)_r = f^\perp \cap D_r \) contains a form with all roots distinct and in \( \mathbb{K} \). Recall that when \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \), such a form is called *real-rooted*.

2. Pullbacks of Higher Associated Varieties to Coincident Root Loci

In this section we study the geometry of the pullbacks, via apolar maps, of higher associated varieties to coincident root loci, \( \Psi_{d,r}^{-1}(\text{CH}_j(\Delta_\lambda)) \). This analysis is a key tool in the description of the algebraic boundaries that we will carry out in Section 3, and we think it is interesting in itself.

Let \( r \) be a positive integer, and let \( \lambda = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n] \) be a partition of \( r \) of length \( |\lambda| = n \). Consider for any integer \( 0 \leq j \leq \min\{n, r - n - 1\} \) the following set of partitions

\[
(2.1) \quad \mathcal{D}_j(\lambda) = \{ \lambda' = [\lambda_1 - \iota_1, \lambda_2 - \iota_2, \ldots, \lambda_n - \iota_n] : \iota_i \in \{0, 1\}, \ i_1 + \cdots + i_n = j \},
\]

and let us fix \( \lambda' \in \mathcal{D}_j(\lambda) \). Let \( \Delta_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^r \) (resp. \( \Delta_{\lambda'} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-j} \)) be the coincident root locus corresponding to the partition \( \lambda \) (resp. \( \lambda' \)). Let \( d = r + n - j \) and consider the apolar maps:

\[
\Psi_{d,r} : \mathbb{P}^d \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}(r - n - 1 + j, r),
\]

\[
\Psi_{d,r-j} : \mathbb{P}^d \dashrightarrow \mathbb{G}(r - j - n - 1, r - j).
\]
We consider the $j$-th higher associated variety of $\Delta_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^r$,
\[ \text{CH}_j(\Delta_\lambda) \subset \mathbb{G}(r - n - 1 + j, r), \]
which is a hypersurface if and only if $j \leq n - m_1(\lambda)$, where $m_1(\lambda)$ is the number of $1$ in the partition. Set $l = |\lambda'| \leq n$ and consider also the irreducible variety associated to $\Delta_{\lambda'} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-j}$,
\[ \Xi_0^{r-j-n-1}(\Delta_{\lambda'}) \subset \mathbb{G}(r - j - n - 1, r - j), \]
which has codimension $n - l + 1$ in $\mathbb{G}(r - j - n - 1, r - j)$ (see Remark 1.3).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let notation be as above. Then the following formula holds set-theoretically:
\[ \psi_{d,r}^{-1}(\text{CH}_j(\Delta_\lambda)) = \bigcup_{\lambda' \in D_j(\lambda)} \psi_{d,r-j}^{-1}(\Xi_0^{r-j-n-1}(\Delta_{\lambda'})). \]

**Proof.** We first show the inclusion $\subseteq$. Let $f \in \psi_{d,r}^{-1}(\text{CH}_j(\Delta_\lambda))$. Then $\psi_{d,r}(f) = (f^1)_r$ contains a point $h_0 = \ell_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \ell_n^{\lambda_n} \in \Delta_\lambda$ such that $L = T_{h_0}\Delta_\lambda \cap (f^1)_r$ is a projective linear space of dimension $J \geq j$. Thus there exist $J + 1$ linearly independent forms $q_0, \ldots, q_J$ of degree $n$ such that
\[ q_0\ell_1^{\lambda_1-1} \cdots \ell_n^{\lambda_n-1} \in L, \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ q_J\ell_1^{\lambda_1-1} \cdots \ell_n^{\lambda_n-1} \in L. \]
Consider now the form
\[ p = \ell_1^{\lambda_1-1} \cdots \ell_n^{\lambda_n-1}(f), \]
which has degree $d - r + n = 2n - j$. Of course $q_0, \ldots, q_J$ annihilates $p$, yielding that the dimension of $(p^1)_n = \mathbb{P}(\ker A^{2n-j,n}_p)$ is at least $J$, where $A^{2n-j,n}_p$ is the catalecticant matrix of $p$ of size $(n - j + 1) \times (n + 1)$. This means that the rank of $A^{2n-j,n}_p$ is at most $n - J$.

Let us consider the catalecticant matrix $A^{2n-j,n-J}_p$ of $p$ of size $(n + (J - j) + 1) \times (n - J + 1)$. From a well-known property of the catalecticant matrices (see e.g. [Har92, Proposition 9.7]), we deduce that the rank of $A^{2n-j,n-J}_p$ is at most $n - J$ as well, and hence that there exists a form $q$ of degree $n - J$ in $(p^1)_{n-J}$. Let $\tilde{q} = q\ell_1^{\lambda_1-1} \cdots \ell_n^{\lambda_n-1}$, which of course belongs to $(f^1)_{r-J}$. From dimensional reasons it follows that
\[ L = \{ \tilde{q}h : h \text{ binary form of degree } J \}, \]
and since $h_0 = \ell_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots \ell_n^{\lambda_n} \in L$ we conclude that $q$ divides $\ell_1 \cdots \ell_n$. Thus we have shown that there exists $\tilde{\lambda} \in D_J(\lambda)$ such that $\tilde{q} \in \Delta_{\tilde{\lambda}}$. By multiplying $\tilde{q}$ by $J - j$ suitable forms among $\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n\}$ we can find an element in $(f^1)_{r-J} \cap \Delta_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ with $\tilde{\lambda}' \in D_J(\lambda)$.

We now show the inclusion $\supseteq$. Let $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_l) \in D_J(\lambda)$, $l \leq n$, and $f \in \psi_{d,r-j}^{-1}(\Xi_0^{r-j-n-1}(\Delta_{\lambda'}))$. Then $\psi_{d,r-j}(f) = (f^1)_{r-j}$ intersects $\Delta_{\lambda'}$ in a point $q$, say $q = \ell_1^{\lambda'_1} \cdots \ell_l^{\lambda'_l}$. Let us consider the $j$-dimensional linear subspace
\[ L = \{ qh : h \text{ binary form of degree } j \} \subseteq (f^1)_r \subset \mathbb{P}^r. \]
Clearly the intersection $L \cap \Delta_\lambda$ is not empty and let $\tilde{q} = t_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots t_n^{\lambda_n}$ denote one of its elements. (Notice that if all the $t_i$ are distinct, then the cardinality of $L \cap \Delta_\lambda$ is the number $m(\lambda', \lambda)$, defined in (2.8) below.) The tangent space $T_{\tilde{q}}(\Delta_\lambda)$ to $\Delta_\lambda$ at the point $\tilde{q}$ consists of the forms which are divisible by $t_1^{\lambda_1-1} \cdots t_n^{\lambda_n-1}$. Hence $T_{\tilde{q}}(\Delta_\lambda)$ contains $L$, and it follows that $\psi_{d,r}(f) = (f^*)_r \in CH_j(\Delta_\lambda)$. This concludes the proof.

**Remark 2.2.** As a consequence of [LS16, Corollary 2.3], we have

\[
(2.3) \quad \Psi_{d,r-j}^{-1}(\Xi_0^{r-j-n-1}(\Delta_{\lambda'})) = (\Delta(\lambda'_1+1, \ldots, \lambda'_{n+1}))^\vee,
\]

where the last 1 occur $n - l$ times, and $l = |\lambda'| \leq n$. In particular, if $l = n$ then we have

\[
(2.4) \quad \Psi_{d,r-j}^{-1}(CH_0(\Delta_{\lambda'})) = (\Delta(\lambda'_1+1, \ldots, \lambda'_{n+1}))^\vee.
\]

**Corollary 2.3.** Let notation be as above. We have

\[
(2.5) \quad \text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(\Psi_{d,r-j}^{-1}(CH_j(\Delta_{\lambda}))) = 1 \text{ if and only if } \text{codim}_{\mathbb{G}(r-n-1, j, r)}(CH_j(\Delta_{\lambda})) = 1.
\]

**Proof.** It follows from [Kat03] (see also [LS16]) that the codimension of $(\Delta(\lambda'_1+1, \ldots, \lambda'_{n+1}))^\vee$ in $\mathbb{P}^d$ is $n - l + 1$. Thus, by (2.3), we have

\[
\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(\Psi_{d,r-j}^{-1}(\Xi_0^{r-j-n-1}(\Delta_{\lambda'}))) = \text{codim}_{\mathbb{G}(r-j-n-1, r)}(\Xi_0^{r-j-n-1}(\Delta_{\lambda'})) = n - l + 1.
\]

Therefore, from Theorem 2.1 we deduce that $\Psi_{d,r}^{-1}(CH_j(\Delta_{\lambda}))$ has codimension 1 in $\mathbb{P}^d$ if and only if $D_j(\lambda)$ contains a partition $\lambda'$ of length $l = n$, that is if and only if $j \leq n - m_1(\lambda)$. But this is exactly the condition for $CH_j(\Delta_{\lambda})$ to be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{G}(r-n-1+j, r)$.

For any integer $0 \leq j \leq n - m_1(\lambda)$, consider now the following subset of the set $D_j(\lambda)$ defined in (2.1):

\[
(2.6) \quad F_j(\lambda) = \{ \lambda' = [\lambda_1 - \iota_1, \lambda_2 - \iota_2, \ldots, \lambda_n - \iota_n] : \iota_i \in \{0, 1\}, \ i_1 + \cdots + i_n = j, |\lambda'| = n \}.
\]

We then have the following:

**Corollary 2.4.** Let notation be as above. If $j \leq n - m_1(\lambda)$, then the following formula holds set-theoretically:

\[
(2.7) \quad \Psi_{d,r}^{-1}(CH_j(\Delta_{\lambda})) = \bigcup_{\lambda' \in F_j(\lambda)} \Psi_{d,r-j}^{-1}(CH_0(\Delta_{\lambda'})) = \bigcup_{\lambda' \in F_j(\lambda)} (\Delta(\lambda'_1+1, \ldots, \lambda'_{n+1}))^\vee.
\]

**Proof.** Since $j \leq n - m_1(\lambda)$, then the left-hand side of (2.2) is a hypersurface. Hence we can exclude from the right-hand side all the components of codimension higher than 1. By Remark 2.2, this corresponds to ask that $|\lambda'| = n$, and in this case we also have $\Xi_0^{r-j-n-1}(\Delta_{\lambda'}) = CH_0(\Delta_{\lambda'})$.

2.1. **On the multiplicities of the components.** Here we try to give a more precise geometric description of the pullbacks, via apolar maps, of higher associated varieties to coincident root loci. In particular we study the multiplicity of the components which appear in Equation (2.2) of Theorem 2.1.
For any $\lambda' = [\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_n] \in D_j(\lambda)$ (see (2.1)), we define the multiplicity of $\lambda'$ with respect to $\lambda$ as follows:

$$m(\lambda', \lambda) = \# \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n) : t_i \in \{0, 1\}, \ t_1 + \cdots + t_n = j, \ \ [\lambda'_1 + t_1, \ldots, \lambda'_n + t_n] = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n]\}. \quad (2.8)$$

In particular, if $\lambda' = [\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_n] \in T_j(\lambda)$ (see (2.5)), then the multiplicity is $m(\lambda', \lambda) = \# \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n) : t_i \in \{0, 1\}, \ t_1 + \cdots + t_n = j, \ [\lambda'_1 + t_1, \ldots, \lambda'_n + t_n] = [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n]\}.$

Notice that the definition of $m(\lambda, \lambda')$ is motivated by the last part of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Based on a number of experimental verifications and some considerations on the singular loci of the higher associated varieties, we formulate here the following:

**Conjecture 2.5.** If $j \leq n - m_1(\lambda)$, then the following formula holds scheme-theoretically:

$$\Psi_{d,r}^{-1}(\text{CH}_j(\Delta)) = \bigcup_{\lambda' \in T_j(\lambda)} m(\lambda', \lambda) \Psi_{d,r}^{-1}(\text{CH}_0(\Delta_{\lambda'})) = \bigcup_{\lambda' \in T_j(\lambda)} m(\lambda', \lambda) (\Delta_{(\lambda'_1 + 1, \ldots, \lambda'_n + 1)})^\vee. \quad (2.9)$$

We now illustrate the formula above with some few examples.

**Example 2.6.** Take the partition $\lambda = (3, 3, 2)$ and $j = 1$, hence $n = 3$, $r = 8$, and $d = 10$. We have $T_j(\lambda) = \{(3, 3, 2), (3, 3, 1)\}$, with $m((3, 3, 2), (3, 3, 2)) = 2$ and $m((3, 3, 1), (3, 3, 2)) = 1$. The apolar map $\Psi_{10,8} : \mathbb{P}^{10} \dasharrow \text{G}(5, 8) \subset \mathbb{P}^{83}$ is a cubic map and the degree of the hypersurface $\text{CH}_1(\Delta_{(3,3,2)}) = 132$. Thus the degree of $\Psi_{10,8}^{-1}(\text{CH}_1(\Delta_{(3,3,2)}))$ is 396. From Corollary 2.4 it follows that $\Psi_{10,8}^{-1}(\text{CH}_1(\Delta_{(3,3,2)}))$ has the following two components:

$$\Psi_{10,7}^{-1}(\text{CH}_0(\Delta_{(3,3,2)})) = (\Delta_{(4,3,3)})^\vee, \quad \Psi_{10,7}^{-1}(\text{CH}_0(\Delta_{(3,3,1)})) = (\Delta_{(4,4,2)})^\vee,$$

which have degrees 144 and 108, respectively. The difference 396 − (144 + 108) is 144, because the first component occurs with multiplicity 2.

**Example 2.7.** As another example, we take $\lambda = (4, 3, 2, 2)$ and $j = 1$, hence $n = 4$, $r = 11$, and $d = 14$. Then we have $T_j(\lambda) = \{(4, 3, 2, 1), (4, 2, 2, 2), (3, 3, 2, 2)\}$, with $m((4, 3, 2, 1), \lambda) = 1$, $m((4, 2, 2, 2), \lambda) = 3$, and $m((3, 3, 2, 2), \lambda) = 2$. The apolar map $\Psi_{14,11} : \mathbb{P}^{14} \dasharrow \text{G}(7, 11) \subset \mathbb{P}^{104}$ is defined by forms of degree 4, and the degree of the hypersurface $\text{CH}_1(\Delta_{(4,3,2,2)}) = 1740$. From Corollary 2.4 it follows that $\Psi_{14,11}^{-1}(\text{CH}_1(\Delta_{(4,3,2,2)}))$ has the following three components:

$$(\Delta_{(5,4,3,2)})^\vee, \quad (\Delta_{(5,3,3,3)})^\vee, \quad (\Delta_{(4,4,3,3)})^\vee$$

which have degrees, respectively, 2880, 640, and 1080. According to Conjecture 2.5, the first component occurs with multiplicity 1, the second one with multiplicity 3, and the last one with multiplicity 2. This is consistent with the degrees, indeed we have $1 \cdot 2880 + 3 \cdot 640 + 2 \cdot 1080 = 4 \cdot 1740$.

**Example 2.8** (Hook partitions). Let $a \geq 3$ and $\lambda = (a, 1^{n-1})$. Then for any $j \leq a - 2$, we have $r = n + a - 1$ and $d = 2n + a - j - 1$. For $j = 1$, Corollary 2.4 says that

$$\Psi_{d,r}^{-1}(\text{CH}_1(\Delta_{(a,1^{n-1})})) = (\Delta_{(a,2^{n-1})})^\vee. \quad (2.9)$$
As a limit case, we take $\lambda = (2,1^{n-1})$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \{(1^n)\}$ and $m(1^n, \lambda) = n$. Thus we get the formula

$$\Psi_{2n,n+1}^{-1}(\text{CH}(2,1^{n-1})) = ((\Delta(2^n))^\vee)^n.$$

In particular, since $\deg(\Delta(2^n))^\vee = n + 1$ and $\Psi_{2n,n+1}$ is defined by forms of degree $n$, we have $\deg \text{CH}(2,1^{n-1}) = n + 1$; see also [LS16, p. 514].

3. ALGEBRAIC BOUNDARIES AMONG TYPICAL RANKS FOR BINARY FORMS

Now, thanks to the results of the previous section, we are able to describe all the algebraic boundaries for binary forms of arbitrary degree $d$.

We will consider the case of odd degree in Theorem 3.1, and the case of even degree in Theorem 3.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** If $d = 2k - 1$ is odd, then the algebraic boundaries for degree $d$ binary real forms satisfy the following:

1. If $i = 0$ then
$$\partial_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}) = (\Delta(3,2^{k-2}))^\vee.$$

2. If $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ then
$$\partial_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\rho} (\Delta_{\rho})^\vee,$$

where $\rho$ runs among the partitions of $d$ with all parts greater than or equal to 2 and of length between $k - 1 - i$ and $k - 1$; in particular,
$$\partial_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+1}) \subseteq (\Delta(3,2^{k-2}))^\vee \cup (\Delta(1,3,2^{k-4}))^\vee \cup (\Delta(5,2^{k-3})^\vee \cup (\Delta(3,3,3,2^{k-5}))^\vee.$$

3. If $i = k - 1$, then
$$\partial_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+1}) = (\Delta(d))^\vee.$$

**Proof.** Part (3) has been shown in [CR11], and part (1) is one of the results contained in [LS16]. Now we apply the idea, given in [LS16] and developed in [BS18], to study the boundary $\partial_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i})$ between $\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i+1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}_{d,d}$, where $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$.

Let $\{f_\varepsilon\}$ be a continuous family of forms going from $\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}$ to $\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i+1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}_{d,d}$ and crossing some irreducible component of the boundary $\partial_{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i})$ at a general point $f_0 = f$. Thus, we assume that $f_{-\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}$ and $f_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{R}_{d,k+i+1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}_{d,d}$ for any small $\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $G(2i,k+i)$ be the Grassmannian of $2i$-planes in $P(D_{k+i})$, and consider the apolar map
$$\Psi_{d,k+i} : P^d \dashrightarrow Z_{d,k+i} \subset G(2i,k+i) \subset P((2i+1)^{-1}),$$

which, since $i \geq 1$, is a birational map onto its image $Z_{d,k+i} \subset P((2i+1)^{-1})$. The exceptional locus of $\Psi_{d,k+i}$ is contained in the locus of non generated in generic degree forms, which has codimension 2 in $P^d$. Therefore we can assume that $\Psi_{d,k+i}$ is a local isomorphism at $f_{\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon$, and hence it sends bijectively the family $\{f_{\varepsilon}\}$ into a continuous family $\{\Pi_{\varepsilon}\}$ of apolar $2i$-planes.

From the Apolarity Lemma 1.7, we obtain that the $2i$-plane $\Pi_{\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon < 0$, contains a real-rooted form $h_{\varepsilon}$, while $\Pi_{\varepsilon}$, with $\varepsilon > 0$, does not contain any real-rooted form. The
set of real-rooted forms is a full-dimensional connected semi-algebraic subset of \( \mathbb{P}^{k+1} \), and the Zariski closure of its topological boundary is the discriminant hypersurface \( \Delta = \Delta_{(2,1k+i-2)} \). Remark also that the singular locus of a coincident root locus \( \Delta_\lambda \) is given by a union of \( \Delta_\mu \), for suitable coarsenings \( \mu \) of \( \lambda \). Thus the limit \( h_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^-} h_\varepsilon \) must belong to \( \Delta \), and moreover there must exist \( a \in \{0, \ldots, 2i\} \) such that \( h_0 \) is a (smooth) point of a coincident root locus \( \Delta' \subset \mathbb{P}^{k+i} \) corresponding to a partition \( \lambda \) of \( k + i \) of length \( k + i - a - 1 \) and the tangent space \( T_{h_0}(\Delta') \) intersects \( \Pi_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}^{2i} \) in a subspace \( H \) of dimension \( 2i - a \) passing through \( h_0 \). This implies that \( \Pi_0 \in CH_{2i-a}(\Delta') \), so that \( f \in \Psi_{d,k+i}^{-1}(CH_{2i-a}(\Delta')) \).

Thus we have that each irreducible component of the boundary that separates \( \mathcal{R}_{d,k+i} \) from \( \mathcal{R}_{d,k+i+1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{R}_{d,d} \) is contained in the following union

\[
\bigcup_{a=0}^{2i} \bigcup_{\lambda} \Psi_{d,k+i}^{-1}(CH_{2i-a}(\Delta_\lambda)),
\]

where \( \lambda \) runs among all the partitions of \( k + i \) of length \( k + i - a - 1 \), and where moreover \( \Psi_{d,k+i}^{-1}(CH_{2i-a}(\Delta_\lambda)) \) has codimension 1. By Corollary 2.3, this last condition is equivalent to the fact that \( CH_{2i-a}(\Delta_\lambda) \) is a hypersurface in \( G(2i,k+i) \), that is such that \( 2i - a \leq k + i - a - 1 - m_1(\lambda) \). Thus, by applying Corollary 2.4, we deduce that

\[
\bigcup_{a=0}^{2i} \bigcup_{\lambda} \Psi_{d,k+i}^{-1}(CH_{2i-a}(\Delta_\lambda)) \subseteq \bigcup_{a=0}^{2i} \bigcup_{\mu} \Psi_{d,k-i+a}^{-1}(CH_{0}(\Delta_\mu)) = \bigcup_{a=0}^{2i} \bigcup_{\nu} (\Delta_\nu)^\lor = \bigcup_{\rho} (\Delta_\rho)^\lor,
\]

where \( \mu \) runs among the partitions of \( k - i + a \) of length \( k + i - a - 1 \), \( \nu \) runs among the partitions of \( d \) of length \( k + i - a - 1 \) with parts \( \geq 2 \), and \( \rho \) runs among the partitions of \( d \) of length between \( k - 1 - i \) and \( k - 1 + i \) with parts \( \geq 2 \). Of course we must have \( a \geq i \) and the length of a partition \( \rho \) is at most \( k - 1 \).

Let

\[
S_i^d = \bigcup_{\lambda} (\Delta_\lambda)^\lor,
\]

where \( \lambda \) runs among the partitions of \( d \) of length \( k - 1 - i \) and with all parts \( \geq 2 \). We have shown that

\[
\partial(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^i \partial(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i-j}) \subseteq S_i^d \cup S_{i-1}^d \cup \cdots \cup S_0^d,
\]

and now the proof follows by an easy induction on \( i \).

**Theorem 3.2.** If \( d = 2k \) is even, then the algebraic boundaries for degree \( d \) binary real forms satisfy the following:

1. If \( i = 1 \) then
   \[
   \partial_{alg}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}) = (\Delta_{(2^3,2k-3)})^\lor \cup (\Delta_{(4,2k-2)})^\lor.
   \]

2. If \( 2 \leq i \leq k - 1 \) then
   \[
   \partial_{alg}(\mathcal{R}_{d,k+i}) \subseteq \bigcup_\rho (\Delta_\rho)^\lor,
   \]

\[\square\]
where \( \rho \) runs among the partitions of \( d \) with all parts greater than or equal to 2 and of length between \( k - i \) and \( k \); in particular,

\[
\partial_{\text{alg}}(R_{n,k+2}) \subseteq (\Delta_{(2k)})^\vee \cup (\Delta_{(32,2k-3)})^\vee \cup (\Delta_{(4,2k-2)})^\vee \cup (\Delta_{(6,2k-3)})^\vee \cup (\Delta_{(5,3,2k-4)})^\vee \\
\quad \cup (\Delta_{(4^2,2k-4)})^\vee \cup (\Delta_{(4,3^2,2k-5)})^\vee \cup (\Delta_{(3^4,2k-6)})^\vee.
\]

(3) If \( i = k \), then

\[
\partial_{\text{alg}}(R_{d,k+i}) = (\Delta_{(d)})^\vee.
\]

Proof. Thanks to [LS16] and [CR11] we have only to show part (2). The proof for this part is quite similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and we now sketch it. Consider a continuous family of degree \( d \) forms \( \{f_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon \) such that \( f_{-\varepsilon} \in R_{d,k+i} \) and \( f_\varepsilon \in R_{d,k+i+1} \cup \cdots \cup R_{d,d} \) for any small \( \varepsilon \) with \( \varepsilon > 0 \), and where we can also require that \( f_\varepsilon \notin (\Delta_{2k})^\vee \). The birational map

\[
\Psi_{d,k+i} : \mathbb{P}^d \dashrightarrow Z_{d,k+i} \subset G(2i-1, k+i) \subset \mathbb{P}^{(k+i+1)-1}
\]

has exceptional locus contained in the hypersurface \((\Delta_{2k})^\vee\), so it sends bijectively the family \( \{f_\varepsilon\}_i \) into a continuous family of apolar \((2i-1)\)-planes \( \{\Pi_i\} \). From the Apolarity Lemma 1.7, we deduce that \( f = f_0 \) must belong to the following union

\[
\bigcup_{a=0}^{2i-1} \bigcup_{\lambda} \Psi_{d,k+i}^{-1}(CH_{2i-a-1}(\Delta_{\lambda})),
\]

where \( \lambda \) runs among all the partitions of \( k+i \) of length \( k+i-a-1 \) and such that \( \Psi_{d,k+i}^{-1}(CH_{2i-a-1}(\Delta_{\lambda})) \) has codimension 1. Now we can conclude by applying Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. \( \square \)

Remark 3.3. If \( d = 2k \leq 8 \), then the hypersurface \((\Delta_{(2k)})^\vee\) does not belong to any boundary; see [LS16, BS18]. We expect that the same holds in general.

Furthermore, notice that parts (2) of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are not sharp. Indeed for low degrees, \( d \leq 8 \), we know by [BS18] that the formula

\[
(3.3) \quad \partial_{\text{alg}}(R_{d,k+i}) \subseteq \bigcup_{\rho}(\Delta_{\rho})^\vee
\]

holds with equality when we take only partitions with length \( \rho \in \{k-i-1, k-i\} \) if \( d \) is odd, and with length \( \rho \in \{ k-i, k-i+1 \} \) if \( d \) is even. We expect that the same happens in general. This also would imply that an algebraic boundary exists only between a region \( R_{d,r} \) and \( R_{d,r+1} \) (or \( R_{d,r-1} \)).
Table 1. Number of the partitions of $d = 2k - 1$ with all parts greater than or equal to 2 and of length $k - i - 1$ with $0 \leq i \leq k - 2$ (Theorem 3.1).

Table 2. Number of the partitions of $d = 2k$ with all parts greater than or equal to 2 and of length $k - i$ with $0 \leq i \leq k - 1$ (Theorem 3.2).
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