

# Einstein and Eddington and the consequences of general relativity: Black holes and gravitational waves

José P. S. Lemos, Carlos A. R. Herdeiro, and Vítor Cardoso  
*Centro de Astrofísica e Gravitação - CENTRA, Departamento de Física,  
Instituto Superior Técnico - IST, Universidade de Lisboa - UL,  
Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001, Lisboa, Portugal.\**

For the celebrations of the 100 years of the observations undertaken by Eddington at the island of Principe and collaborators at Sobral during a total solar eclipse in May 29, 1919, which have confirmed Einstein's theory of general relativity through the deflection of the incoming light from distant stars due to the spacetime curvature caused by the Sun, we highlight the main aspects of the theory, its tests and applications, focusing on some of its outstanding consequences. These are black holes, the object par excellence of general relativity, and gravitational waves, the gravitational probe for the distant Universe. We also point out some open issues.

---

\* emails: joselemos@tecnico.ulisboa.pt, carlosherdeiro@tecnico.ulisboa.pt, vitor.cardoso@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

## I. GENERAL RELATIVITY

### A. General relativity: a new notion of space, time and matter

Einstein contributed to many areas of physics: statistical physics, electrodynamics, solid state physics, quantum mechanics, special relativity, general relativity, unification theories, foundations of quantum mechanics and philosophical principles of physics. His greatest achievement is, undoubtedly, the general theory of relativity. Max Born, one of the founders of quantum mechanics, wrote in 1955 “The foundation of general relativity appeared to me then, and it still does, the greatest feat of human thinking about Nature, the most amazing combination of philosophical penetration, physical intuition and mathematical skill.” Dirac, famous for having theoretically discovered the positron, stated in 1968 that general relativity is “probably the greatest scientific discovery that was ever made”. General relativity emerges from the necessity to bring together Newtonian gravity and the theory of special relativity. Let us first indicate some notions of both these theories.

Newtonian gravitation is based on the three laws of Newtonian mechanics, which rule the motion of particles, together with the universal gravitation law. On the one hand, the second of the three laws of Newtonian mechanics specifies the acceleration of a particle with a certain inertial mass, under the action of a force. The law of universal gravitation, on the other hand, states that two particles, or two bodies, attract one another with a force which is proportional to their gravitational masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them. Newtonian gravitation had enormous success in celestial mechanics, describing planetary and all other type of orbits around the Sun, as well as in explaining Earth’s tides, and it is now used to predict with precision the orbits of satellites, amongst many other applications.

Special relativity was formulated in 1905, born out of the need to make the laws of mechanics compatible with those of Maxwell’s electromagnetism, the theory that describes the electromagnetic field. Maxwell’s theory established the existence of electromagnetic waves propagating at the speed of light, and that light is an electromagnetic phenomenon. Key properties of Maxwell’s theory were inconsistent with the laws of Newtonian mechanics. For moving particles with velocities close to the speed of light, and for light itself, other laws of mechanics, as well as a different notion of space and time, were needed. Einstein then

postulated that the laws of physics should be the same for any inertial observer, regardless of the observer's velocity, and in addition that the speed of light should be the same for all observers. It follows that the speed of light is the maximal possible velocity for all physical objects, and that space and time are no longer absolute concepts but rather relative to the observer. Shortly afterwards, in 1907, the German mathematician Hermann Minkowski showed that special relativity could be understood within a unified concept of spacetime, providing a logical and mathematical framework for the theory. He proposed that spacetime is a single entity and defined the notion of spacetime interval, generalizing the Pythagorean distance formula. Moreover, he constructed spacetime diagrams, of great use to visualize particle trajectories. Special relativity has applications in a wide range of physics fields. The world of particle physics is relativist. The twins paradox is real: identical twin muons in particle accelerators die, i.e., decay, earlier or later according to being at rest or moving, respectively. While one muon goes around the accelerator untroubled, when arriving at the starting point its twin has long decayed into an electron.

On the one hand, special relativity shows the maximal velocity for physical propagation is the speed of light; on the other hand, Newtonian gravitation propagates instantaneously. It follows that Newtonian gravitation needed to be framed as a relativistic theory. Consequently, building upon these two theories, Einstein formulated a new model that he named general theory of relativity, or simply general relativity. It is a principle based theory: the principle of equivalence, the principle of general relativity, the principle of covariance, the principle of minimal coupling and the correspondence principle. The most important of these, that guided the final formulation of the final theory, was the equivalence principle.

An experimental fact, seemingly trivial, drew Einstein's attention: inertial mass and gravitational mass had experimentally equal values. This equality implies that all objects, regardless of their size and composition, when placed in a gravitational field, all fall with the same acceleration, as had already been observed by Galileo and Newton. Einstein named this fact the principle of equivalence. As a profound consequence, this principle implies that locally, inertia and gravitation are one and the same thing. Indeed, the equivalence between inertial and gravitational mass implies inertia and gravity are locally indistinguishable.

In a local region where there is gravitation, one may, by the equivalence principle, choose an appropriate frame of reference so as to gauge away locally gravity, making spacetime lo-

cally flat. Gravitation, thus, can be eliminated locally, but not globally. A non-uniform gravitational field cannot be eliminated everywhere. In a gravitation-free region, acceleration-free particles follow straight lines, which are the geodesics of the flat spacetime of special relativity. In a gravitation-free region, accelerating particles follow curved lines, but still in the flat spacetime of special relativity. In a region with gravitation, particles follow curved lines, the geodesics of a curved spacetime. Einstein consequently proposed that gravitation is a manifestation of curved spacetime.

General relativity is, in this way, a geometrical theory of spacetime. The theory uses Riemannian geometry, which is based on three concepts. The concept of a metric which measures spacetime intervals, the concept of a linear connection which defines the parallel transport of vectors and generic tensors and which is a function of the metric, and the concept of curvature which in turn is a function of the connection and thus of the metric. Making a parallelism with Newtonian gravitation, the metric is the gravitational potential, the connection is the gravitational force and the curvature is the tidal force. General relativity geometrizes gravitation. In the Einstein field equation, spacetime tells matter how to move and matter tells spacetime how to curve.

## **B. Tests and major consequences of general relativity**

A single experimental result, namely, the equality between inertial and gravitational mass, led Einstein to the principle of equivalence, which, in turn, led him to the creation of general relativity. The theory was confirmed by experimental tests, it has technological applications, and led to outstanding developments in our knowledge about the Universe. Let us consider these issues.

The redshift of light when climbing a gravitational field was predicted by Einstein in 1907 and confirmed definitely in 1960 by Pound and Rebka. It is a test of the equivalence principle. Another test the theory promptly passed at the same time it was formulated was the precession of Mercury's perihelion in its orbit around the Sun. General relativity yields the 43 arc seconds that were missing in Newtonian gravitation. General relativity also predicts that the spacetime curvature deflects light rays when they pass close to the Sun. This prediction was confirmed by the celebrated expeditions of Eddington to Principe

island and collaborators to Sobral, Brazil, in May 29, 1919, whose centennial was celebrated this year, see <https://science.esuny.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/en>. The observations yielded results compatible with the 1.75 arcseconds predicted by the theory for a light ray at the Sun's rim. Another test still is the delay in the radar echo of a signal sent towards a planet, proposed and confirmed experimentally by Shapiro in 1964, which again has confirmed the theory.

General relativity also has technological applications. It is remarkable that for the GPS system to function properly general relativity must be taken into account. It is the first technological application of the theory. To compute the position of an object with a one meter precision, the GPS satellites' clocks must measure time with a precision of one part in  $10^{13}$ . For such precision, both special and general relativity effects must be considered, due to the relative motion between the Earth and the satellites and the weaker gravitational field for clocks on the satellites relatively to clocks on the Earth. These effects are of one part in  $10^{10}$ , and if not properly considered, the GPS would be useless. This practical application was certainly not envisaged when the theory was formulated. Another application, is the measurement of the snow depth variations in Mars, with the extraordinary precision of 10 cm, using laser ranging from satellites orbiting the planet, which requires similar corrections.

The major and innovative consequences of general relativity are black holes, gravitational waves, cosmology and unification theories. Black holes are the objects par excellence of general relativity, made up of pure gravitation. Their main feature is the existence of an event horizon, beyond which nothing can be observed by an external observer. They were predicted in 1939 Oppenheimer and Snyder, two American physicists. Gravitational waves are generated by accelerated interacting masses. They were finally detected, for the first time on September 14th 2015. We will discuss black holes and gravitational waves in more detail below. Cosmology as a physical science, rather than a metaphysical one, was created with the appearance of general relativity. The first cosmological model was conceived by Einstein in 1917. In this model the universe is static and space is a 3-sphere. This geometry showed, for the first time, that the Universe could be finite, but unlimited, i.e., with no boundary. In the following decade, the Russian physicist Alexander Friedmann and the Belgium physicist George Lemaître both proposed independently models of an expanding universe, which, after the observational confirmation by Edwin Hubble in 1929 with the Mount Wilson telescope, are at the basis of the more sophisticated cosmological models

that still prevail today. Unification theories started to be considered properly after general relativity. General relativity is a field theory which describes the gravitational field as geometry of the spacetime curvature. Another fundamental field in physics is the electromagnetic field, described by Maxwell's equations. The unification of these two fundamental fields in a single theory would provide a unification of physics. Einstein and Eddington were amongst the physicists that attempted that unification in the 1920s and following decades. Today, it is known that there are more fundamental fields, such as those associated to the nuclear forces, and possibly others, and the dream of a unified theory accounting for all fundamental fields remains, either through an alternative gravitational theory, encompassing both general relativity and additional fields, or through the challenging quantisation of the gravitational field, in a framework that may account for the remaining interactions.

## II. BLACK HOLES

### A. Trapped regions

The confirmation, through Eddington's observations, that light is slightly bent by the Sun's spacetime curvature or, in a Newtonian language, by the gravitational interaction, raises the question of how strong this effect may become in the vicinity of a star or another astrophysical object. To increase the magnitude of the gravitational lens effect, the Sun should be replaced by a more compact object and the light ray should be as tangent as possible to the object.

The most compact objects predicted by general relativity are black holes. Strictly speaking, black holes are not material objects; they are trapped spacetime regions. A material particle, or a light ray, that falls into the trapped region cannot escape from there. The trapped region is in an eternal collapsing state, where the future is always further inside, until infinite curvature, i.e., a singularity, is attained and spacetime, as we know it, is destroyed.

The boundary of the trapped region of a black hole that is in an equilibrium state is called event horizon. From any point outside the event horizon it is possible to emit a light ray such that it escapes the gravitational pull of the black hole reaching points arbitrary far away from it.

## B. Photonsphere

However, if we throw a beam of light rays towards the black hole, it turns out that the capture region is more extended than the trapped region. That is, there exists a neighbourhood of the event horizon such that, in case the incoming light ray enters it, it will be inexorably captured by the black hole, ending up by falling into the trapped region.

For the simplest black holes, which are not spinning, the outer boundary of the capture region for light rays is called the photonsphere. These black holes are called Schwarzschild black holes, after the German astronomer, physicist and mathematician Karl Schwarzschild, who found and published the corresponding mathematical solution of the Einstein field equations in 1916.

The photonsphere is an immaterial surface exterior to the event horizon. Light rays, i.e., photons, that start precisely tangent to this sphere will always remain on it; the corresponding trajectory is actually a ring, called light ring. This means that the gravitational lens effect is so strong that the photon trajectory is bent over itself, spanning a closed curve. These light rings are unstable trajectories though, and any perturbation, no matter how small, will lead the photon to either fall into the event horizon or escape towards far away from the black hole, depending on the direction of the perturbation.

The photonsphere is the most significant signature of the remarkably strong gravitational lens effect created by the black hole. It has multiple phenomenological consequences. For instance, if one considers placing a background light source, with the black hole between the source and the observer, one would see a dark region in the sky. Such dark region is called the black hole shadow. As an analogy, one may consider the shadow of the Moon during a solar eclipse. Yet, while the latter is the silhouette of the Moon's surface, the black hole shadow is the silhouette of the photonsphere, rather than of the event horizon. Thus, a far away light source can probe the spacetime geometry around the black hole only up to the photonsphere.

The shadow of a Schwarzschild black hole is always a circular disk. But, astrophysical black holes are typically spinning and are described by a more elaborate mathematical solution of the Einstein field equations, found by the New Zealand mathematical-physicist Roy Kerr in 1963. These black holes are known as Kerr black holes.

Kerr black holes are characterized by their total mass and angular momentum, the lat-

ter parameter describing their spin. When the angular momentum vanishes, they become Schwarzschild black holes. But a non-vanishing rotation originates significant fundamental and phenomenological differences. For instance, the black hole should now be envisaged as a squashed sphere, or oblate spheroid, rather than a round sphere, keeping nonetheless a well defined equator and a north-south symmetry. This behaviour is in agreement with the intuitive effect of rotation on an elastic body, such as the Earth.

The boundary of the light capturing region of the Kerr black hole is also different from its non-spinning limit, and it is not, typically, called a photonsphere. To understand the corresponding concept, start by considering light rays on the black hole equatorial plane at the threshold of the light capturing region. These orbits are circular, hence light rings, but they depend on the relative motion with respect to the black hole spin. In particular, the light ring is closer to the black hole if the photon co-rotates the black hole and the light ring is further from the black hole if the photon counter-rotates the black hole.

Outside the equatorial plane there are also photons orbiting a black hole, but their orbits are not confined to a plane. The black hole rotation drags spacetime, making the non-equatorial initial orbital plane precess. Consequently, the light ray spans a spherical section, within a minimal and maximal latitude, symmetric to one another. There is a continuum of such spherical orbits, interpolating between the two light rings, see Fig. 1. Starting from the exterior light ring, counter-rotating the black hole, there are spherical orbits that span an increasingly larger latitude range until a certain orbit spans the whole sphere. This orbit has vanishing angular momentum. Starting from there, another sequence of orbits, now in co-rotation with the black hole, span a decreasing latitude range, until they degenerate into the smaller, co-rotating, light ring.

The Kerr spherical photon orbits, including the light rings, define the threshold for capture of incoming light rays, and, as such, the shadow of a Kerr black hole. This shadow ceases to be, in general a perfectly round disk and now depends on how much the black hole is rotating and on the observation angle, relative to the equatorial plane. For instance, observed from the equatorial plane, a black hole with upwards spin vector, exhibits a shadow that varies between a circular disk, when the rotation vanishes, and a D-shape, when the rotation is maximal. The latter shadow was first computed by the American physicists James Bardeen in 1973.






FIG. 1: The two light rings and some spherical photon orbits around a Kerr black hole. The larger light ring and the green spherical orbit are in counter-rotation with the black hole. The smaller light ring and the red spherical orbit are in co-rotation with the black hole. The blue orbit has zero angular momentum.

Reciprocally, the observation of the shadow of an astrophysical black hole can, in principle, allow the determination of how fast it is spinning. Or even test if the observed black hole is of Kerr type or something unexpected, which could unveil new physics. This type of observation is not a mirage. It was carried out by the Event Horizon Telescope, an international collaboration involving eight radio telescopes around the world. In April 2019, the collaboration announced it had observed the supermassive black hole at the centre of the M87 galaxy, a black hole with an estimated 6 billion solar masses, and an event horizon size of roughly the Solar system size, showing for the first time the shadow of a black hole lit by the astrophysical environment surrounding it. These 2019 results confirmed the theoretical predictions of general relativity. Consequently, 100 years after the observation of a small light deflections by Eddington, we are observing the strong deflection and lensing of light due to a black hole.

### C. Where are the black holes?

Black holes were found by Oppenheimer and Snyder in a remarkable theoretical work in 1939. The subsequent decades witnessed impressive theoretical developments, led by the

American physicist John Wheeler and the British physicists Roger Penrose and Stephen Hawking. On the observational side, progress has been equally remarkable. It is estimated that of the order of ten million black holes with a few solar masses exist in our Galaxy. Moreover, observations suggest that all, or almost all, galaxies harbour a central supermassive black hole. A new population of black holes with the mass of a few dozens of solar masses was recently unveiled through gravitational wave observations. Speculations have been put forward that mini black holes or even black holes with masses of fundamental particles may exist, but these have no observational support, at the present.

### III. GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

#### A. Spacetime distortions

What happens when a star or a black hole is accelerated, or when two stars or two black holes collide? If we use the principle, from special relativity, that a maximal propagation speed exists for information, one must conclude that gravity also propagates with a finite speed. As such, when a star is accelerated, gravity falls behind and takes some time to catch up. The equations of general relativity show that the mathematical laws ruling this adjustment are similar to the Maxwell equations describing electromagnetic waves and the waves in the gravitational case also travel precisely at the speed of light. These waves are called gravitational waves. Gravitational waves are propagating tidal effects or, in the geometrical language, perturbations in the curved spacetime traveling at the speed of light. They were predicted by Einstein in 1916. In the same work Einstein also made the first attempt at studying the emission of gravitational waves, but only in 1918 he correctly reported that a varying quadrupole is necessary for such emission. In 1922 Eddington gave the correct formula with a  $1/2$  factor that was missing in Einstein's calculations. The mathematical manipulation of gravitational waves is difficult, and the physical interpretation of the results requires knowledge and insight. In the early days of the subject, some computations suggested the existence of gravitational waves with speed greater than light. Eddington showed these were merely oscillations in the coordinate-system and the "only speed of propagation relevant to them is the speed of thought", a phrase that became famous, demonstrating promptly that the physical gravitational waves indeed traveled at the speed of light. The

theory of gravitational waves was subsequently developed by many, notably the British physicist Herman Bondi, in the 1960s, and the American physicist Kip Thorne, in the 1970s and subsequent decades.

Let us suppose that gravitational waves are generated by a binary black hole system, where the two black holes orbit one another somewhere in the Universe. Those waves propagate through the cosmos at the speed of light and eventually are detected on the Earth. The top panel of Fig. 2 shows, in arbitrary units, the amplitude and frequency of the gravitational wave detected as a function of time. The wave's amplitude is directly related with the spacetime distortion measured relatively to a reference which is Minkowski spacetime. The amplitude of the wave can also be interpreted as the magnitude of the tidal force at a given point as a function of time. The amplitude depends on the distance the wave has traveled, it is inversely proportional to such distance. The frequency of the wave is twice the orbital frequency of the binary system that generated it.




FIG. 2: Top panel: Representation of the waveform as a function of time of a gravitational wave event due to the collision of two black holes in a binary system. The waveform provides both the amplitude and the frequency of the wave. Bottom panel: Illustration of the physical process that originates the gravitational wave, namely, the inspiral, merger, and ringdown phases of a binary black hole system.

As Fig. 2 shows, the frequency increases with time. The reason is simple. Gravitational waves carry energy and consequently the binary system is continuously losing energy. En-

energy conservation requires then that the black holes are approaching one another, so that the energy carried by the gravitational waves is sourced by the potential energy of the system. As the black holes approach, their orbital frequency increases as time goes by and so does the frequency carried by the waves. It can be observed that at a given time the amplitude reaches a maximum, which corresponds to the collision between the black holes, and thereafter the amplitude falls rapidly: the collision produces a bigger black hole that rapidly relaxes to equilibrium, stopping all gravitational wave emission. An illustration of the physical process producing the gravitational waveform is provided in the bottom panel of Fig. 2.

### B. The detection of gravitational waves

The increase in orbital frequency in a binary system due to gravitational wave emission is visible in some astrophysical binaries. The first such observation is due to two American physicists, Russell Hulse and Joseph Taylor, who discovered in 1974 a binary system of two neutron stars named PSR B1913+16. This binary system has a period of around 7 hours and an orbital radius of the order of the Sun's diameter. It is a relativistic system. Hulse and Taylor followed the orbit during several years, and showed that the orbital period was decreasing at a rate of 76 microseconds per year, with the orbital radius decreasing about 3 meters per year, in perfect agreement with general relativity. This was the first time that gravitational waves were detected, albeit indirectly, through the effect of radiation damping of an orbital system. Hulse and Taylor were awarded the 1993 Nobel physics prize for this discovery.

How can one improve what Hulse and Taylor have done and have a direct measurement of a gravitational waves through its interaction with matter? Since such a wave is a traveling tidal force, the detection of gravitational waves follows the principles of tidal forces, i.e., one should look for relative motion between two particles caused by the passing wave, with the amplitude and frequency of the wave giving an indication of the relative force undergone by the two particles, see Fig. 2. For instance, the gravitational wave emitted by a black hole binary has an amplitude that decreases with the distance travelled, and when passing in the solar system, the separation between the Earth and the Sun, that can be considered as

particles for this effect, will undergo changes described in Fig. 2. Note, however, that when it arrives in the solar system the amplitude of the wave is very small and the separation between the Earth and the Sun suffers a change no larger than one thousandth of the width of a human hair.

Despite the seemingly impossible task, Joseph Weber started the direct search for gravitational waves in the 1960s. This project would last half a century and involve thousands of scientists until the first results would be obtained. The original detector design, an aluminium bar whose length would vary if hit by a gravitational wave was unsuccessful, but it was an important precursor of today's most advanced detectors such as LIGO (Laser Interferometry Gravitational-Wave Observatory). The LIGO detectors are built in two sites in the American states of Louisiana and Washington. The working principle of LIGO is simple. Two mirrors are hanging at the end of two perpendicular arms with a common origin, in which a laser beam circulates. When a weak, but with appropriate amplitude and frequency, gravitational wave reaches the Earth, the length of the arms varies infinitesimally. This variation of length is measured by the interference properties of the laser beam which is being reflected at the two mirrors. In this apparatus, the mirrors are the particles whose relative motion is triggered by the wave. Measuring the way in which the length of the arms varies in time, one may infer the properties of the sources that generated those waves, and with several detectors one can also know from where the waves came. Actually, decades of theoretical and technological efforts were required, in one of the most complex and longest pursuits for an experimental confirmation of a theoretical prediction. The Earth shakes constantly, the laser exerts pressure on the mirrors, all of this with many other factors is cause of noise that hinders a clean detection of gravitational waves.

### C. GW150914 and the future

The search was a success story. On September 14th 2015, the scientist on duty looked at the screen and saw that the mirrors were moving precisely as in Fig. 2! This gravitational wave event, named with the date on which it was detected, GW150914, was the first observation of the collision between two black holes, each with about 30 solar masses, the collision taking place at a cosmological distance of 1200 million light years.

The Nobel Physics prize of 2017 was awarded to the direct detection of gravitational waves in the names of Ray Weiss, the experimentalist that established how one can overcome the noise problem in such a detector, Kip Thorne, the theoretician behind the detection, and Barry Barish, the coordinator of the LIGO collaboration with hundreds of physicists.

Afterwards, LIGO and the European detector Virgo, situated in Pisa, saw many more events of black hole collisions, besides another spectacular collision of two neutron stars also observed in all frequencies of the electromagnetic spectrum. It is expected that LIGO will start observing one or more collisions per week when it achieves design sensitivity.

Due to the Earth's continuous shaking, i.e., seismic noise, it is difficult to carry out Earth based observations of gravitational waves in the frequency range below 10 Hz. This range precisely matches that range where gravitational waves are generated from events involving supermassive black holes, like the ones at galactic centres, are expected. To tackle this problem, the European Space Agency will construct the space-based detector LISA (Laser Interferometer Space Antena). It is also expected that the primordial universe generated gravitational waves due to small perturbations. Inflationary theories of the early universe make precise predictions about these perturbations and their gravitational wave spectrum. It is possible that LISA may see such waves, which would lead to an extraordinary advance in our knowledge of the early universe.

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

Since the creation of general relativity by Einstein in 1915 and its confirmation via the deflection of light observations in the 1919 eclipse by Eddington and collaborators, we have witnessed a constant development and remarkable discoveries. Black holes were predicted in 1939 and confirmed by observations spanning the whole range of the electromagnetic spectrum in subsequent decades. It is known today that all, or almost all, galaxies have a central black hole. In 2015, gravitational waves were confirmed by direct observation. The first detected waves came from two black holes in a binary system at a cosmological distance that collided generating an enormous quantity of energy in the form of gravitational waves, an infinitesimal part of which passed by the Earth.

But there is more, much more, to do and understand. For instance, when, where and

how black holes formed? How did they grow? How do they influence galaxy development? The black holes we are observing are the ones predicted by Einstein's general relativity? It is expected that more detailed observations of black holes will bring some answers. What is dark matter and how does it interact with the standard model matter? The only interaction known for dark matter is gravitational. Is it possible to see the effects of dark matter through gravitational waves?

General relativity cannot be the final gravitational theory. The interior of black holes is singular and at the singularity spacetime itself is destroyed. How can we observe the interior of a black hole? What is a spacetime singularity made of? We do not have answers, yet, but science has always surprised us with what we thought was beyond our reach.

**Credits for the figures:** Figure 1 was taken from P. V. P. Cunha, C. A. R. Herdeiro, and E. Radu, *Physical Review D* 96, 024039 (2017). Figure 2 is a representation of the event GW150914 detected by LIGO, see Abbott et al (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), *Physical Review Letters* 116, 061102 (2016).

**Portuguese version of this article:** This article has been first published in Portuguese, see J. P. S. Lemos, C. A. R. Herdeiro, V. Cardoso, “Einstein e Eddington e as consequências da relatividade geral: Buracos negros e ondas gravitacionais”, *Gazeta de Física* **42(2)**, 36 (2019). It is part of a special number of *Gazeta de Física* dedicated to Einstein, Eddington, and the Eclipse, marking the celebrations of the 100 years of light deflection, see A. J. S. Fitas, P. Crawford, and J. P. S. Lemos (editors), *Einstein, Eddington, Eclipse* (Número especial dedicado à exposição E3 - Einstein Eddington e o Eclipse, *Gazeta de Física*, Lisbon, 2019).

**Note:** C. A. R. Herdeiro is now at Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications - CIDMA, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Aveiro, Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal, email: herdeiro@ua.pt.

**Acknowledgements:** We acknowledge Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) Portugal for financial support through Grant No. UID/FIS/00099/2019.