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Abstract

In this paper, we study two problems. The first is the construction of Functional quantizations for the law of Brownian motion as a measure over the (non-reflexive) Banach space of Hölder continuous paths. By solving optimal Karhunen Loève expansions and exploiting “density like” properties of Gaussian measures on pathspace, we obtain a sequence of finite support measures that converge with superlative rate to the law of a Brownian motion.

Secondly, we use these measures to compute deterministic, finite support measures that approximate the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation driven by the Brownian motion crucially avoiding the use of empirical distributions. These are then used to solve an approximate skeleton process that characterises the Support of the McKean Vlasov Equation.

Thus we represent the support of McKean Vlasov Equations without needing to know the law of the solution exogenously. We give explicit rates of convergence for the deterministic finite supported measures in rough-path Hölder metrics and determine the size of the particle system required to accurately estimate the law of McKean Vlasov equations with respect to the Hölder norm.
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1 Introduction

McKean Vlasov equations are Stochastic Differential Equations (SDE) where their coefficients depend on the law of the solution. This makes their analysis more involved than classical SDEs. They are sometimes referred to as mean-field SDEs and were first studied in [McK66]. These equations describe a limiting behaviour of individual particles having diffusive dynamics and which interact with each other in a “mean-field” sense. Thus the motion of a single particle is determined in terms of the motion of all other particles. The solutions of these mean field systems of equations is a powerful tool in understanding statistical mechanics such as Boltzmann Equations. Applications are numerous and vary from opinion dynamics [HK02], the dynamics of granular materials [BCCP98, BGG13, CGM08], molecular and fluid dynamics [Pop01], interacting agents in economics or social networks [CDL13], mathematical biology [KS71, BCM07], Galactic dynamics [BT11], droplet growth [CS19], Plasma Physics [Bit13], interacting neurons [DIRT15] and deep learning neural networks [HKRT19]. See [CD17a, CD17b] and references therein for a detailed exploration of the applications of McKean Vlasov Equations.

McKean Vlasov Equations have also been studied in the context of rough-path, the first study being [CL15]. There, the authors treat the measure dependency as a bounded variation Banach valued operator in the drift term. Thus the measure dependency can be calculated using Banach valued Young integrals and there is no need to exploit the Rough Path structures beyond what is already necessary to incorporate the noise. Their approach is limited only by the assumption of no measure dependencies in the diffusion term. Later, in [BCD18] the authors develop the new framework of probabilistic rough paths. This insightful development encodes the law of the noise into the rough path, allowing the noise to interact with the measure dependencies and opening up the collection of possible diffusion terms to include adequately regular measure dependencies.
Other works that study McKean Vlasov Equations via rough paths include \cite{DFMS18, CDFM18} and \cite{CN19}.

The support of a measure is the smallest closed set of full measure. Thus the Support theorem for the law of an SDE characterises the set of admissible paths that the SDE can take. The first work studying the Support of an SDE was \cite{SV72} where the law of a Stochastic Differential Equation is characterised in terms of the supremum norm. The authors goal was to establish a Strong Maximum principle for a class of Elliptic Partial Differential Equations. This was later extended to a wide class of processes in \cite{BP90}. Later, a support theorem with respect to the Hölder norm was established in \cite{BAGL94}, and for a much wider class of norms in \cite{GNS95}. These works laid the groundwork for the later publication \cite{LQZ02} which studies the Support of the solution law of a Rough Differential Equation driven by a Gaussian white noise. In \cite{FLS06} it is shown that the continuity of the Itô-Lyons map means that the proof of a support theorem can be reduced to establishing a characterisation of the support of the driving noise in an adequately rich topology.

Support theorem results have been key in some other applications, for example, a Support Theorem for SDEs with jump noise was crucial in showing Exponential Ergodicity in \cite{Kul09}. One of the conditions the authors require is Topological Irreducibility, that for any two points, there is a path of the jump process that passes between them in finite time. This can be verified by finding an expression for the support of the law. Support theorems are also central in the establishment of Stochastic Invariance principle. A stochastic process is said to be invariant of a closed set \( D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^e \) if the solution starts and remains on the set \( D \) \( \mathbb{P} \)-almost surely \( \forall t \in [0, T] \). This problem was first studied in \cite{ADP90}. More recently, Stochastic Invariance has been studied in \cite{Zab00}, \cite{BQRT10} and \cite{FIT14}. In general, Support theorems continue to draw attention from a wide range of academics, see \cite{CF18}, \cite{CK19} and \cite{HS19}. Lastly, a motivation to study support theorem results for McKean Vlasov Equations is the recent link between this class of equations, deep learning (or rich learning) and ergodicity, see \cite{HKR19}.

The following useful method for proving a Classical Support Theorem can be found in \cite{MSS94}.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})\) be a probability space containing a Brownian motion and let \( E \) be a separable Banach space. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the Cameron Martin space of Brownian motion. Let \( X : \Omega \to E \) be a random variable and let \( \Phi : \mathcal{H} \to E \) be a measurable map.

1. Suppose there exists a sequence of random variables \( H_n : \Omega \to \mathcal{H} \) such that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \| X(\cdot) - \Phi(H_n(\cdot)) \|_E > \varepsilon \right] = 0. \tag{1.1}
\]

Then \( \text{supp}(\mathcal{L}^X) \subset \Phi(\mathcal{H})^E \).

2. Suppose there exists a sequence of measure transforms \( T^h_n \) such that \( \mathbb{P} \circ T^h_n \) is absolutely continuous with respect to \( \mathbb{P} \) and for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \)

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[ \| X(T^h_n(\cdot)) - \Phi(h) \|_E < \varepsilon \right] > 0. \tag{1.2}
\]

Then \( \Phi(\mathcal{H})^E \subset \text{supp}(\mathcal{L}^X) \).

If both (1.1) and (1.2) are satisfied, then \( \Phi(\mathcal{H})^E = \text{supp}(\mathcal{L}^X) \) and \( \Phi \) is called the Skeleton Process of the random variable \( X \), see \cite{CPN97}.

Equation (1.1) is sometimes referred to as the Wong Zakai implication due to its similarity with the Wong Zakai theorem. Equation (1.2) is sometimes referred to as the Cameron Martin implication because the proof involves exploiting the absolute continuity of Cameron Martin transforms on Wiener space.
Our contribution

Proving a Support Theorem for McKean Vlasov Equations is more challenging than verifying Equations (1.1) and (1.2). The knowledgeable reader will realize that for McKean Vlasov Equations, the Skeleton process is itself dependent on the law of the solution so the law must be known exogenously in order to solve any Skeleton process path. This is in contrast to the Skeleton process used in [dRST19] where the measure dependency is replaced by a Dirac following the skeleton process driven by a constant 0 noise.

Before tackling the support theorem for McKean Vlasov equation itself we address, separately and of independent interest, the quantization problem for the law of a Brownian motion as a measure over the Banach space of Hölder continuous paths. The quantization problem for Gaussian measures for Hilbert spaces was first studied in [LP02], but for Banach spaces, the problem is more challenging with the optimal rate of convergence solved in [GLP03] and separately [DFMS03].

We construct a quantization for the law of the Brownian motion that has a rate of convergence that is asymptotically equivalent to the optimal rate of convergence. Our quantization is not optimal, indeed such a quantization does not exist due to the non-weak compactness of the Hölder unit ball. We choose to sacrifice optimality in order to retain certain key properties that allow us to estimate the law of the quantization accurately. To do this, we construct a Karhunen Loève expansion that optimally approximates the Brownian motion with respect to the Hölder norm. Although this representation for Brownian motion is well documented [HIPP14], it is not so well known that the wavelet representation comes from the spectral decomposition of the covariance kernel and so it embodies the optimal approximation by a finite dimensional Gaussian. These quantizations are then enhanced to rough paths. We prove that the rate of convergence for the Enhanced Quantization to the Enhanced Brownian motion is asymptotically the same.

Quantization for Rough paths has been first studied in [PS11]. The choice of Karhunen Loève expansion and method of construction used in this work, namely the Trigonometric functions, best suits approximations of Brownian motion in the supremum norm. Although this is enough to ensure convergence in the Hölder norm, it is far from efficient and (to the best of our knowledge) no literature exists for rates of convergence. Our approach is demonstrated to be arbitrarily close to optimal and we provide upper and lower bounds on the rate of convergence.

The key advantage of this deterministic construction over the use of Empirical measures is that we avoid all difficulties with characterising the support (a deterministic set) from random samples. For instance, the almost-sure rate of convergence for an Empirical distribution may, for a particular sample, be too poor to be of any use.

By solving the system of interacting Rough Differential Equations driven by a Hölder quantization of the Brownian motion and exploiting the continuity properties of Rough Differential Equations, we obtain a deterministic finite support measure that approximates the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation without having to solve the law explicitly. We initiate our study by developing our results entirely within the framework established in [CL15].

Finally, to prove the Support of McKean Vlasov Equation we develop a novel method by considering the sequences of pairs $\left( H_n, \mathcal{L}_n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left( T^h_n, \mathcal{L}_n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\left( \mathcal{L}_n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of measures that converge to the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation. However, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, paths driven by $\mathcal{L}_n$ are not necessarily contained in the support even though they are a good approximation of a path that is contained in the support. Thus our statement for the Support takes the form (see Theorem 5.9 below)

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{m \geq n} \left\{ \Phi(h, \mathcal{L}_m) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}_\alpha^\text{Hölder}.$$
In this paper, we prove two Support Theorems, see Theorem 5.9 and 5.15 below. The first is for McKean Vlasov Equations where the initial condition is deterministic while the second is an extension of this result for McKean Vlasov Equations with random initial condition. The proof of the extension is simple and follows from [CFN97] so we focus predominantly on the first case.

Lastly, we highlight some similarities between our statement (and proof) of the Support theorem and the brilliant approach by Hairer and Schönbauer in [HS19, Theorem 1.3] studying the Support of the solution to Singular SPDEs using Regularity Structures. Both results are stated in this non-standard way (crucially due to the approach), namely, as the restriction to the limit points of a collection of smooth paths. Our work was developed independently and was first presented at the 10th Oxford-Berlin Young Researchers Meeting on Applied Stochastic Analysis in December 2018.

We point out that we make full use of Rough paths techniques in our manuscript but restrict ourselves to McKean Vlasov equations driven by Brownian motion (as opposed to general Gaussian noises as in [CL15]). The reason for this is the challenges associated with constructing a Truncation and Quantization for a general Gaussian driving noise. In particular, for general Gaussian processes one loses the neat truncation properties given by the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert space being spanned by orthonormal Schauder functions. It is noteworthy to point out that there is no difference between the construction of the quantization of a Brownian motion and that of a Brownian bridge. This is because Schauder wavelets are also orthonormal in the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert space of a Brownian Bridge.

All in all, there are several works that address quantization of more general Gaussian processes, for instance [LP06], [DS06] and [LP08]. These works study Functional Quantization with respect to the $L^p$ norm and supremum norm over time rather than the Hölder norm and so do not properly encode all of the necessary regularity information to solve Rough Differential Equations efficiently. We will shortly address this problem.

This work is organized as follows. We recall several crucial definition and results in Section 2. In Section 3 we discuss the construction of a finite support measure that approximates the law of an Enhanced Brownian motion as a measure over the space of Geometric Rough paths and in Section 4 we visit the construction of rough MV-SDEs. The support theorem for the class of McKean-Vlasov equations addressed in this work is presented in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation and spaces

We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots \}$ the set of natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of integers and real numbers respectively; $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$. By $a \lesssim b$ we denote the relation $a \leq C b$ where $C > 0$ is a generic constant independent of the relevant parameters and may take different values at each occurrence. By $\lfloor x \rfloor$ we denote the largest integer less than or equal to $x$. Let $A$ be a $e \times d$ matrix, we denote the Transpose of $A$ by $A^T$. When $A$ is a matrix, we denote $|A|$ by $\text{Tr}(A \cdot A^T)^{1/2}$.

$\mathbb{1}_A$ denotes the usual indicator function over some set $A$.

We use standard big $O$ and little $o$ notation to mean

$$f_n = O(f) \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f_n}{f} = C < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad f_n = o(f) \iff \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f_n}{f} = 0.$$
where $C$ is a constant independent of the limiting variable. Similarly, we denote $f_n \precsim f$ to mean

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{f_n}{f} \leq C$$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space carrying a $d$-dimensional Brownian Motion on the interval $[0, T]$ where throughout $T > 0$. The Filtration on this space satisfies the usual assumptions. We denote by $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{E}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t]$ the usual expectation and conditional expectation operator (wrt to $\mathbb{P}$) respectively. For a random variable $X$ we denote its probability distribution (or Law) by $L_X$; the law of a process $(Y(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ at time $t$ is denoted by $L^Y_t$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $(E, \tau_E)$ be a complete, separable topological space and let $E$ be the Borel $\sigma$-algebra induced by the topology $\tau_E$. Let $\mu$ be a probability measure on $(E, E)$. We define the support of $\mu$, denoted $\text{supp}(\mu)$, to be the set of points $x \in E$ such that every open neighbourhood of $x$ has positive measure

$$\text{supp}(\mu) = \{ x \in E; A_x \subset \tau_E, x \in A_x \implies \mu(A_x) > 0 \}.$$ 

The support can equivalently be defined to be the smallest closed set of measure 1.

**Remark 2.2.** Proving a support theorem for the law of a particular random variable can often be a tricky task because it involves combining topological tools with measurability tools, two fields which do not necessarily pair together very well.

### 2.2 Gaussian Theory

**Definition 2.3 (RKHS for Brownian Motion).** The covariance function of Brownian Motion is

$$\mathbb{E}[W_s W_t] = \mathcal{R}(s,t) = s \wedge t = \int_0^T \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r) dr.$$ 

Therefore, any finite linear sum of these kernels will take the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathcal{R}(s_i, t) = \int_0^t \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{[0,s_i]}(r) \right) dr.$$ 

Next, the inner product of two such elements will be

$$\langle \int_0^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{[0,s_i]}(r) \right) dr, \int_0^T \left( \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \mathbb{1}_{[0,t_j]}(r) \right) dr \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^T \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{1}_{[0,s_i]}(r) \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \mathbb{1}_{[0,t_j]}(r) \right) dr$$

Finally, we observe that the derivative of any of these elements of the RKHS will be a step function and the RKHS inner product induces the topology equivalent to the $L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ closure of these derivatives. Therefore, we conclude the RKHS for Brownian motion is the same as the Sobolev space $\mathcal{H} = W^{1,2}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$, the space of functions whose weak derivatives are square integrable.

**Remark 2.4.** We denote the unit ball in the RKHS norm as $\mathcal{K}$. It is well known that the set $\mathcal{K}$ is compact in the Banach space topology.

For this paper, we will be considering the law of a Gaussian process as a measure on pathspace, that is a measure over the space of continuous paths starting at 0. We are interested in the space of $\alpha$-Hölder continuous paths for $\alpha < 1/2$ and the topology induced by this norm.
First, note that for $\alpha < \beta < 1$, the spaces $C^\beta([0,T]) \subset C^\alpha([0,T])$. This is important because although the space $C^\alpha([0,T])$ is not separable, the subset $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T]) := C^\beta([0,T])^\text{-Hölder}$ is separable. Also, for any choice of $\alpha < \alpha' < \frac{1}{2}$, we can find $\alpha < \alpha' < \frac{1}{2}$ for which the Gaussian process will be $\alpha'$-Hölder continuous. Therefore, we will always have that the Gaussian process takes values in $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T])$ and we do not concern ourselves with separability further.

Let $E$ be a separable Banach space. Then it is well known that the Borel $\sigma$-algebra and the cylindrical $\sigma$-algebra are the same (see for example [Bog98]).

**Definition 2.5.** A centred Gaussian measure $\mathcal{L}$ on a real separable Banach space $E$ equipped with its Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{E}$ is a Borel probability measure on $(E, \mathcal{E})$ such that the law of each continuous linear functional on $E$ is Gaussian with mean 0.

**Definition 2.6** (Haar Functions). Define $H_{00}(t) = 1$ and

$$H_{pm}(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2^p}{T}}, & \text{if } t \in \left[\frac{(m-1)T}{2^p}, \frac{(2m-1)T}{2^p}\right), \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2^p}{T}}, & \text{if } t \in \left[\frac{(2m-1)T}{2^p}, \frac{mT}{2^p}\right), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $m \in \{1, \ldots, 2^p\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$. These are called the Haar functions, a orthonormal collection of functions in $L^2([0,T]; \mathbb{R})$.

The Schauder function are similarly defined $G_{pm}(t) = \int_0^T H_{pm}(s)\,ds$.

The Haar functions form an Orthonormal basis on the space $L^2([0,T]; \mathbb{R})$ with the canonical inner product. Therefore, we define the Fourier coefficients $\psi_{pm} = \int_0^T H_{pm}(s)\psi(s)\,ds$.

We define

$$\Lambda := \left\{(p,m) : p \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \{1, \ldots, 2^p\}\right\} \cup \{(0,0)\}$$

We do not include the pair $(p,m) = (-1,0)$ as throughout we will be dealing with Stochastic processes which are $0$ at $t = 0$.

Next for some continuous path $\psi$ taking values in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we define the Schauder Fourier coefficients to be

$$\psi_{pm} := \langle H_{pm}, d\psi \rangle := \sqrt{\frac{2^p}{T}} \left[2\psi(t_{1,pm}) - \psi(t_{0,pm}) - \psi(t_{2,pm})\right] \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \text{for } (p,m) \in \Lambda;$$

(2.1)

additionally $\psi_{00} := \langle H_{00}, d\psi \rangle = \psi(1) - \psi(0)$. Let us denote $\Lambda_N = \{(p,m) \in \Lambda : p \leq N\}$ as a truncation of $\Lambda$.

The following Theorem, often referred to as the Ciesielski Isomorphism, provides the link between wavelet theory and Rough Paths.

**Theorem 2.7** ([HIPP14]). For $\alpha > 0$, let $\| \cdot \|_\alpha$ be the $\alpha$-Hölder norm. Let $\psi$ be a continuous function taking values in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We have that $\| \cdot \|_\alpha$ is equivalent to

$$\|\psi\|_\alpha' = \sup_{(p,m) \in \Lambda} 2^{(\alpha-1/2)p} |\psi_{pm}|.$$  

(2.2)

If, in addition, we have that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} 2^{p(\alpha-1/2)} \sup_{1 \leq m \leq 2^p} |\psi_{pm}| = 0$$

we say that $\psi \in C^{\alpha,0}([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, a separable subset of $C^\alpha([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d)$. 
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Example 2.8 (Cielsielski Representation of Brownian motion). Due to the orthogonality of Schauder functions in the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert space of Brownian motion, we can represent Brownian motion as

$$W_t = \sum_{(p,m) \in \Lambda} W_{pm} G_{pm}(t)$$

(2.3)

where $W_{pm}$ is a sequence of independent, standard normally distributed random variables. Thus

$$\|W\|_\alpha = \sup_{(p,m) \in \Lambda} 2^{\alpha(\alpha - 1)/2} |W_{pm}|.$$

2.3 Measures and Approximation

For $E$ a complete, separable Banach space with Borel $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{E}$, let $\mathcal{P}_r(E)$ be the set of all Borel measures over $(E, \mathcal{E})$ which have finite $r^{th}$ moments.

Definition 2.9. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_r(E)$. We define the Wasserstein $r$-distance $\mathbb{W}^{(r)} : \mathcal{P}_r(E) \times \mathcal{P}_r(E) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ to be

$$\mathbb{W}^{(r)}(\mu, \nu) = \left( \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(E \times E)} \int_{E \times E} \|x - y\|_E^r \gamma(dx, dy) \right)^{1/r}$$

(2.4)

where $\gamma$ is a joint distribution over $E \times E$ which has marginals $\mu$ and $\nu$.

The problem of finding a measure $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_2(E \times E)$ that minimises (2.4) is sometimes referred to as the Kantorovich problem and $\gamma$ is called the transport plan of $\mu$ and $\nu$.

The main choice of $r = 2$ is common throughout literature. However, we will also be interested in the case $r = 1$.

Theorem 2.10 ([Vil09]). For any measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_r(E)$, there exists $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathcal{P}_2(E \times E)$ such that $\bar{\gamma}$ has marginals $\mu$ and $\nu$, and

$$\mathbb{W}^{(r)}(\mu, \nu) = \left( \int_{E \times E} d(x, y)^r \bar{\gamma}(dx, dy) \right)^{1/r}.$$

The Wasserstein distance induces the topology of weak convergence of measure as well as convergence in moments of order up to and including $p$.

The Wasserstein distance is a metric, but the metric does not induce a norm. The Wasserstein distance is homogeneous but not translation invariant.

Theorem 2.11 ([Bol08]). Let $E$ be a separable metric space. Then the space $\mathcal{P}_2(E)$ is complete and separable with respect to the Wasserstein metric.

2.3.1 Quantization of Measures

For a neat introduction to Quantization, see [GL00].

Definition 2.12. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a measure on a separable Banach space $E$ endowed with the Borel $\sigma$-algebra such that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_2(E)$ and subspaces of $E$ have 0 $\mathcal{L}$-measure.

Let $I$ be a countable index, let $\mathcal{S} := \{s_i, i \in I\}$ be a partition of $E$ and let $\mathcal{C} := \{c_i \in E, i \in I\}$ be a codebook.

Define $\mathcal{Q}$ be the set of all quantizations $q : E \to E$ such that

$$q(x) = c_i \text{ for } x \in s_i, \quad q(E) = \mathcal{C}.$$
for any possible $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{E}$. Then

$$L \circ q^{-1}(\cdot) = \sum_{i \in I} L(s_i)\delta_{i}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{P}_2(E).$$

**Definition 2.13.** Let $\mathcal{P} \subset [0, 1]^N$ be the set of probability vectors e.g. for every $p = (p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, we have $p_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i = 1$.

**Definition 2.14 (Optimal Quantizers).** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in [1, \infty)$. The minimal $n^{th}$ quantization error of order $r$ of a measure $L$ on a separable Banach space $E$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,r}(L) = \inf \left\{ \left( \int_E \min_{i \in \mathcal{C}} \| x - c_i \|_E^r dL(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} : \mathcal{C} \subset E, 1 \leq |\mathcal{C}| \leq n \right\}.$$ 

A codebook $\mathcal{C} = \{ c_i, i \in I \}$ with $1 \leq |\mathcal{C}| \leq n$ is called an $n$-optimal set of centers of $L$ (of order $r$) if

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,r}(L) = \left( \int_{E} \min_{i=1,\ldots,n} \| x - c_i \|_E^r dL(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

One can easily see that given a finite collection of elements $(c_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n}$, the optimal way to choose the partition of $E$ is to use the nearest neighbour rule which corresponds to the Voronoi partition

$$s \left( \left( c_i \right)_{j=1,\ldots,n} \right) := \left\{ x \in E : \| x - c_i \| = \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \| x - c_j \| \right\}, \quad (2.5)$$

provided the boundary of the Voronoi sets has measure 0.

Sets of the form (2.5) are called Voronoi sets. Further, given a finite collection of weights $(\eta_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the Additively Weighted Voronoi sets to be

$$s \left( \left( c_i, \eta_j \right)_{j=1,\ldots,n} \right) := \left\{ x \in E : \| x - c_i \| - \eta_i = \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \| x - c_j \| - \eta_j \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly given a finite partition $(s_i)_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ of $E$, the optimal way to choose the code book is the centres of mass of the sets $s_i$ with respect to the measure $L$.

For brevity of notation, we write $\mathcal{E}_n := \mathcal{E}_{n,2}$.

### 2.3.2 Stationary Quantization

The idea of a stationary set is that the Voronoi sets generated by this collection of points have mass centred at the generating elements of the stationary set.

**Definition 2.15.** Let $E$ be a separable Banach space, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $L$ be a measure on $(E, \mathcal{E})$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset E$ satisfy $|\mathcal{C}| = n$.

Suppose that the Voronoi partition of $E$ generated by the elements of $\mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{G}$ which contains the collection of sets $s_i := \{ y \in E : \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \| y - c_j \| = \| y - c_i \| \}$ satisfies that

$$\int_{s_i} y dL(y) = \mathcal{C}_i.$$ 

Then we call the codebook $\mathcal{C}$ an $n$-stationary set of the law $L$. 
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Theorem 2.16 ([Lal10, Theorem 2.1]). Let $E$ be a reflexive, separable Banach space and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a measure on $(E, \mathcal{E})$. For $c_i \in E$, define $\mathfrak{A} : E^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathfrak{A}(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = \int_{E} \min_{i=1,\ldots,n} \|y - c_i\|_E^2 d\mathcal{L}(y)$$

e.g. $\mathfrak{A}(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ is the mean square error between the measure $\mathcal{L}$ and the quantization with code book $\{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ and partition equal to the Voronoi sets of the codebook.

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ admits at least one minimum, and so an $n$-stationary set exists.

Remark 2.17. This proof relies on the Assumption that the Banach space $E$ is reflexive. In particular, by Kakutani [FHH'01] we know that for a non-reflexive space the unit ball will be weak-$*$ compact but not weak compact. By contrast, the functional $\mathfrak{A}$ can be shown to be weak lower semicontinuous but the proof does not extend to weak-$*$ lower semicontinuity. We conjecture that a stationary quantization does not exist in general.

In particular, we are interested in Gaussian measures over the Banach space $C^{\alpha,0}$, which is not reflexive and so Theorem 2.16 does not apply.

Lemma 2.18. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a centred Gaussian measure taking values on the Banach space $E$ and suppose that an $n$-stationary set exists. Let $C$ be an $n$-stationary set. Then $C \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. This proof is based on a similar argument first presented in [LP02] which focuses solely on Hilbert spaces. Using that the $n$-stationary set exists, we have that for any $c \in C$

$$c = \int_s x d\mathcal{L}(x) = \int_E x \cdot \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(s)} d\mathcal{L}(x)$$

Next, we use that $\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}(s)}$ is a square integrable function with respect to $\mathcal{L}$ on $E$ and use the Definition of RKHS to conclude that the right hand side of this equation must be an element of $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore $c \in \mathcal{H}$. \qed

Remark 2.19. In particular, if $q_n(W)$ denotes the quantized random variable $W$, then Stationary quantization has the property that

$$q_n(W) = \mathbb{E}[W | \mathcal{F}_n]$$

where $\mathcal{F}_n$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the partition of $q_n$. This is a particularly useful property when it comes to establishing uniform integrability of quantizations due to the following simple argument:

Let $\phi$ be a convex function on a Banach space $E$. Then

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}
[\phi(q_n(W))] = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}
[\phi(\mathbb{E}[W | \mathcal{F}_n])] \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}
[\mathbb{E}[\phi(W) | \mathcal{F}_n]] = \mathbb{E}[\phi(W)]. \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.20. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a non-degenerate Gaussian measure over $E$ with RKHS $\mathcal{H}$. Let $U$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ and let $P_U$ be the orthogonal projection operator from $\mathcal{H}$ to $U$ extended to $E = \mathbb{H}^E$. Then

$$\mathfrak{E}_n(\mathcal{L}) \lesssim \mathfrak{E}_n(\mathcal{L} \circ (P_U)^{-1}) + \sqrt{\int_E \|x - P_U[x]\|^2_E d\mathcal{L}(x)}$$

In particular, when the measure $\mathcal{L}$ is in some sense “concentrated” on a finite dimensional linear subspace of the Banach space $E$, then the quantization problem can be simplified to a finite dimensional problem.
Proof. From Definition 2.14, we have
\[
\mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{L}) = \min_{h_1, \ldots, h_n \in E} \left( \int_{E} \min_{i=1, \ldots, n} \|x - h_i\|_\alpha^2 \, d\mathcal{L}(x) \right)^{1/2} \leq \min_{h_1, \ldots, h_n \in P_U[E]} \left( \int_{E} \min_{i=1, \ldots, n} \|x - h_i\|_\alpha^2 \, d\mathcal{L}(x) \right)^{1/2},
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{2} \min_{h_1, \ldots, h_n \in P_U[E]} \left( \int E \int_{P_U \times (I - P_U)}[E] \int \min_{i=1, \ldots, n} \|P_U[x] - h_i\|_\alpha^2 \, d\mathcal{L}(P_U[x]) \, d\mathcal{L}((I - P_U)[x]) \right)^{1/2}
\]
\[
+ \int E \| (I - P_U)[x] \|_\alpha^2 \mathcal{L}(x)^{1/2}.
\]
since by assumption that \(P_U\) is a projection on \(\mathcal{H}\) (rather than \(E\)), the two laws \(\mathcal{L} \circ (P_U)^{-1}\) and \(\mathcal{L} \circ (I - P_U)^{-1}\) are independent with respect to the joint law \(\mathcal{L}\). Exploiting this, we get
\[
\mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{L}) \leq \sqrt{2} \left( \int_{(I - P_U)[E]} \mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{L} \circ (P_U)^{-1})^2 \, d\mathcal{L}((I - P_U)[x]) + \int E \|x\|_\alpha^2 \, d\mathcal{L}((I - P_U)[x]) \right)^{1/2}
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{2} \left( \mathcal{E}_n(\mathcal{L} \circ (P_U)^{-1}) + \int E \|x - P_U[x]\|_\alpha^2 \, d\mathcal{L}(x) \right)^{1/2}.
\]

2.3.3 Rate of Convergence for Quantization

In the finite dimensional setting, the minimal quantization error is well understood.

Proposition 2.21 ([GL00]). Let \(r \geq 1\) and \(\delta > 0\). Let \(\mathcal{L}\) be a measure over the finite dimensional space \(\mathbb{R}^d\) such that \(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^{r+\delta} \, d\mathcal{L}(x) < \infty\). Let \(U\) be the uniform distribution over the set \([0, 1]^d\) and let \(\lambda^d\) be the Lebesgue measure over \(\mathbb{R}^d\). Let \(\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_s\) be the Lebesgue decomposition of \(\mathcal{L}\) with respect to \(\lambda^d\). Let
\[
Q_r(d) = \inf_{n \geq 1} n^{1/d} \mathcal{E}_{n,r}(U).
\]
Then \(Q_r(d) > 0\) and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{1/d} \mathcal{E}_{n,r}(\mathcal{L}) = Q_r(d) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{L}_c \|d\mu\|_{d/(d+r)} \right)^{1/r}.
\]
In particular, one can calculate \(\left\| \frac{d\mu_c}{d\lambda^d} \right\|_{d/(d+r)}\) explicitly for any dimension \(d\). However, this does not yield a meaningful limit as \(d \to \infty\).

In both [DFMS03] and [GLP03], the authors investigate the relation between the minimal quantization error and the Small Ball function. We briefly introduce the concept of Small Ball Probabilities and their application to Optimal Quantization.

Theorem 2.22 ([BR92]). Let \(\mathcal{L}^W\) be the law of Brownian motion over the Banach space \(C^{\alpha,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)\). Denote
\[
\Phi(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-t}^{t} \exp \left( -\frac{u^2}{2} \right) \, du.
\]
Then
\[
\mathcal{L}^W \left[ \mathbb{E}_\alpha(0, \varepsilon) \right] \sim \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^{1/4} \varepsilon^{-1/2} \cdot \exp \left( \frac{1-2\alpha}{2} \kappa(\alpha) \varepsilon^{1-2\alpha} \right).
\]
as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence the Small Ball probabilities for a Brownian motion with respect to the Hölder norm are

$$B_W(\varepsilon) \sim \kappa_\alpha \varepsilon^{1/\alpha}.$$

(2.8)

where the constant $\kappa_\alpha$ satisfies

$$\kappa_\alpha = (\alpha - 1/2) \int_0^\infty \log \left( \Phi(t) \right) t^{1+2\alpha} dt.$$

Finally, this means that

$$B_W^{(-1)}(\varepsilon) \sim \frac{\varepsilon^{1-1/\alpha}}{\kappa_\alpha}.$$

(2.9)

Applying Small Ball Probabilities to Optimal Quantization gives:

**Theorem 2.23 ([DFMS03], [GLP03]).** Let $L^W$ be the law of a Gaussian measure over Banach space $E$. Then we have the asymptotic relationship between the Optimal Quantization and the Small Ball Probabilities of the Gaussian measure

$$\left( \mathfrak{B}_W \right)^{-1}(\log(n)) \preceq \mathcal{E}_{n,r}(L^W) \preceq \left( \mathfrak{B}_W \right)^{-1}(\log(n)).$$

(2.10)

Applying Equation (2.9) to Theorem 2.23.

**Corollary 2.24.** Let $L^W$ be the law of Brownian motion over $C^{\alpha,0}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for any choice of $r \geq 1$

$$\left( \log(n) \right)^{\alpha-1/2} \preceq \mathcal{E}_{n,r}(L^W) \preceq \left( \log(n) \right)^{\alpha-1/2}.$$

(2.11)

as $n \to \infty$.

In particular, Equation (2.11) provides us with a lower bound that the error of the quantization for Brownian motion cannot outstrip. However, as already explained in Remark 2.17, there may not exist a stationary quantization that attains $\mathcal{E}_{n,r}(L^W)$.

**Remark 2.25.** A remarkable part of [DFMS03] is that the authors additionally prove that the mean of the error between an empirical measure and the true Gaussian measure in the Wasserstein distance converges at the same rate as the optimal quantization error.

### 2.4 Rough Paths

Throughout this paper, we will use the notation for increments of a path $X_{s,t} = X_t - X_s$ for $s \leq t$. Rough paths were first introduced in [Lyo98]. For a detailed overview of Rough path theory, see [FV10b], [FH14] and [LQ02].

#### 2.4.1 Algebraic Material

Let $V$ be a vector space and denote by $T(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty V^\otimes n$ the tensor product algebra where we use the convention that $V^\otimes 0 = \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that there is an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ which has the property that there exists a sequence of linearly independent spanning vectors $v_a \in V$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Throughout this work, $V$ will be finite dimensional so $\mathcal{A}$ is just a finite collection of distinct letters. Thus $T(V)$ can be thought of as the vector space of the span of all possible finite words constructed from the letters $\mathcal{A}$. 
We make this vector space an Algebra by pairing it with the shuffle product $\shuffle$ of words. For words $A$ and $B$, $A \shuffle B$ is the sum of all ways of interlacing the letters of $A$ and $B$ using the riffle shuffle permutation. The unit of $\shuffle$ is the empty word that corresponds to $1 \in T(V)$. Thus for letters $a_1, ..., a_m, b_1, ..., b_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and word $A = (a_1, ..., a_m)$ and $B = (b_1, ..., b_n)$ we have

$$e_A \shuffle e_B = \sum_{C \in \text{Shuf}(A,B)} e_C$$

where $C \in \text{Shuf}(A,B)$ iff $C$ is a permutation of the index sequence $(A, B) = (a_1, ..., a_m, b_1, ..., b_n)$ that preserves the original ordering of the index sequences $(a_1, ..., a_m)$ and $(b_1, ..., b_n)$. For example

$$e_1,2 \shuffle e_3,4 = e_{1,2,3,4} + e_{1,3,2,4} + e_{3,1,2,4} + e_{1,3,4,2} + e_{3,1,4,2} + e_{3,4,1,2}.$$

Next, denote by $T(V^*)$ the tensor algebra of the topological dual of $V$. Recalling that $V$ will be finite dimensional, we can identify $T(V)$ with $T(V^*)$ although for the moment the distinction will be important. We make $T(V^*)$ an Algebra by pairing it with the canonical tensor product. In the language of letters and words, this is the concatenation product. To distinguish the Algebra product from the tensor structure we denote the product by $\boxtimes$. Therefore

$$e_A \boxtimes e_B = (e_{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{a_m}) \boxtimes (e_{b_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{b_n})$$

$$= (e_{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{a_m} \otimes e_{b_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{b_n}) = e_{A \otimes B}.$$

The concatenation product on the dual tensor algebra induces a coproduct on the Algebra $T(V)$, making it a Bialgebra. The dual of the concatenation product is the deconcatenation coproduct which we denote by $\Delta : T(V) \rightarrow T(V) \otimes T(V)$ and define to be

$$\Delta e_{a_1, \ldots, a_m} = 1 \otimes e_{a_1, \ldots, a_m} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} e_{a_1, \ldots, a_i} \otimes e_{a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_m} + e_{a_1, \ldots, a_m} \otimes 1.$$

Similarly, the shuffle product induces a coproduct on the Algebra $T(V^*)$, making it a Bialgebra. The dual of the shuffle product is the Deshuffle coproduct which we denote by $d : T(V^*) \rightarrow T(V^*) \otimes T(V^*)$ and define to be

$$d[e_{a_1, \ldots, a_m}] = \sum_{I,J} e_I \otimes e_J$$

where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ and $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_{n-k})$ run over all partitions of the word $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_m)$ into two disjoint subsets that are potentially empty.

Both of these Bialgebras are graded, so there exists a canonical Antipode. Thus we call $T(V)$ and $T(V^*)$ Hopf algebras.

We define the Characters (also known as Group-like elements) of $T(V)$ to be the elements $g \in T(V^*)$ such that $d[g] = g \otimes g$. The set of Characters $G(V)$ forms a group with group operator $\boxtimes$ and inverse defined as the dual of the Antipode operator. By definition, the Characters must satisfy the identity that for any $u, v \in T(V)$ and $g \in G(V)$

$$\langle g, u \shuffle v \rangle = \langle g, u \rangle \langle g, v \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.13)

We define the Primitives (also known as Derivations) of $T(V)$ to be the elements $h \in T(V^*)$ such that $d[h] = 1 \otimes h + h \otimes 1$ where 1 is the co-unit of $d$. We denote the commutator of $\boxtimes$, $[\cdot, \cdot]_\boxtimes : T(V^*) \times T(V^*) \rightarrow T(V^*)$ as

$$[h_1, h_2]_\boxtimes = h_1 \boxtimes h_2 - h_2 \boxtimes h_1$$
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and note that the set of Primitives of \( T(V) \), denoted \( P(V) \) form a Lie algebra with respect to the Lie Bracket \([\cdot,\cdot]_{G}\). By definition, the Primitives must satisfy the identity that for any \( u, v \in T(V) \) and \( h \in P(V) \)

\[
\langle h, u \oplus v \rangle = \langle 1, u \rangle \langle h, v \rangle + \langle 1, v \rangle \langle h, u \rangle.
\]  

(2.14)

The Lie Algebra \( P(V) \) and the Lie Group \( G(V) \) are diffeomorphic via the Exponential map \( \exp_{G} : P(V) \to G(V) \) and its inverse the Logarithmic map \( \log_{G} : G(V) \to P(V) \) defined for \( g \in G(V) \) and \( h \in P(V) \) by

\[
\exp_{G}(h) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{\otimes i}}{i!}, \quad \log_{G}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(g - 1)^{\otimes i}}{i}.
\]

Finally, we define \( T^{M}(V) \) to be the quotient space obtained from \( T(V) \) by quotienting against the ideal \( \text{Ann}^{\infty}_{n=M+1} V^{\otimes n} \) and similarly construct \( G^{M}(V) \) and \( P^{M}(V) \). When \( V = \mathbb{R}^{d} \), we have \( G^{M}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \) is the step-M free nilpotent Group with \( d \) generators.

\( G^{M}(V) \) is a Carnot group since it is a connected, nilpotent Lie group with Lie Algebra \( P^{M}(V) \) that can be expressed as

\[
P^{M}(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{M} V_{i}
\]

where \( V_{i+1} = [V, V_{i}]_{G} \) and \( V_{1} = V \). Therefore we can define a Lie Algebra Isomorphism that uses the graded structure of \( P^{M}(V) \) that encodes the natural idea of scalar multiplication out of \( V \). For \( t \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \), we define the Dilation on \( P^{M}(V) \) to be the unique linear map \( \delta_{t} : P^{M}(V) \to P^{M}(V) \) such that for \( h_{i} \in V_{i} \) we have

\[
\delta_{t}(h_{1} + \ldots + h_{M}) = th_{1} + \ldots + t^{M}h_{M}.
\]

One can see that for any \( h, \tilde{h} \in P^{M}(V) \) that \( \delta_{t}([h, \tilde{h}]_{G}) = [\delta_{t}(h), \delta_{t}(\tilde{h})]_{G} \). Similarly, the Dilation can be extended to the Lie Group in the canonical fashion so that \( \delta_{t} : G^{M}(V) \to G^{M}(V) \) and for \( g = \exp_{G}(h_{1} + \ldots + h_{M}) \) one has

\[
\delta_{t}(g) = \exp_{G}\left( th_{1} + \ldots + t^{M}h_{M}\right).
\]

A homogeneous norm on a Carnot group is a function \( \| \cdot \|_{G} : G \to \mathbb{R}^{+} \) such that

1. \( \|g\|_{G} = 0 \) if and only if \( g \) is the unit of \( \mathbb{R} \),
2. \( \|\delta_{t}g\|_{G} = |t| \cdot \|g\|_{G} \),

As the Lie Algebra \( P^{M}(V) \) is finite dimensional, all homogeneous norms on \( G^{M} \) are equivalent. By considering the collection of homogeneous norms, one can induce a left invariant metric over \( G^{M}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \). This is traditionally called the Carnot-Carathéodory metric which we denote by \( d_{cc} \). Further, the Carnot-Carathéodory norm satisfies the additional properties

3. Subadditive with respect to \( \boxtimes \), \( \|g_{1} \boxtimes g_{2}\|_{cc} \leq \|g_{1}\|_{cc} + \|g_{2}\|_{cc} \)
4. Symmetric with respect to inverse, \( \|g\|_{cc} = \|g^{-1}\|_{cc} \)

In practice, the Carnot-Carathéodory norm is computationally challenging to explicitly solve for a particular group element. However when one wishes to prove convergence results, it suffices to prove these for the canonical Homogeneous norm.

Let \( A^{M} \) be all the words generated by the Alphabet \( A \) such that \( |A| \leq M \). A specific example of an equivalent Homogeneous norm that we work with in this paper is

\[
\|g\|_{G^{M}} = \sum_{A \in A^{M}} |\langle \log_{G}(g), e_{A} \rangle|^{1/|A|}.
\]  

(2.15)
2.4.2 Rough Paths

Definition 2.26. Let $V$ be a vector space. For a path $x \in C^{1-\text{var}}([0, T]; V)$, the iterated integrals of $x$ are canonically defined using Young integration. The collection of iterated integrals of the path $x$ is called the Signature of $x$ and is defined as

$$S(x)_{s,t} := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s \leq u_1 \leq \ldots \leq u_n \leq t} dx_{u_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes dx_{u_n} \in T(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V^\otimes n.$$ 

In the same way, the truncated Signature defined by its increments is equivalent to

$$S_M(x)_{s,t} := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{M} \int_{s \leq u_1 \leq \ldots \leq u_n \leq t} dx_{u_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes dx_{u_n} \in T^M(V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{M} V^\otimes n.$$ 

It is well known that $S(x)$ takes values in $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and similarly $S_M(x)$ takes values in $G^M(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 2.27. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $p$ be the largest integer such that $p\alpha < 1$. A path $X : [0, T] \to G^p(V)$ is called a Geometric $\alpha$-Rough path if

$$\langle X_{s,t}, e_A \rangle \langle X_{s,t}, e_B \rangle = \langle X_{s,t}, e_{A \sqcup B} \rangle, \quad \langle X_{s,t}, e_A \rangle = \langle X_{s,t} \boxtimes X_{t,u}, d[e_A] \rangle$$

$$\sup_A \sup_{s,t} |t - s|^{|A|} < \infty$$

(2.16)

$G^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ being a Carnot group allows us to define homogeneous norms on the collection of Geometric Rough paths.

Definition 2.28. We define the homogeneous $p$-variation metric $d_{p-\text{var}}$ on the $G^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued paths to be

$$d_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}(X, Y) := ||X^{-1} \boxtimes Y||_{p-\text{var};[0,T]} := \left( \sup_{D = \{t_i\}, t_i \in D} \left( \sum_{i=1} \left\| X_{t_i, t_{i+1}}^{-1} \boxtimes Y_{t_i, t_{i+1}} \right\|^{p}_{cc} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

(2.17)

Let $p = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. We shall work with the $\alpha$-Hölder Rough Path metric

$$d_{\alpha}(X, Y) = \|X^{-1} \boxtimes Y\|_{\alpha} = \sup_{s,t \in [0,T]} \left\| X_{s,t}^{-1} \boxtimes Y_{s,t} \right\|_{cc}. \quad (2.18)$$

By quotienting with respect to $X_0$, one can make this a norm. We use the convention that $\|X\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]} = \|1^{-1} \boxtimes X\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}$ and $\|X\|_{\alpha} = \|1^{-1} \boxtimes X\|_{\alpha}$.

Let $A$ be a word with letters from the Alphabet $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $|A| \leq |p|$. Condition (2.18) is equivalent to

$$\sup_{s,t \in [0,T]} \frac{\langle X_{s,t}, e_A \rangle}{|t - s|^{|A|}} < \infty.$$ 

Remark 2.29. It is well known that $\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the closure of the set

$$\left\{ S[p]_1(x) : x \in C^{1-\text{var}}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d) \right\}$$

with respect to the Rough path metric (2.18).
When studying Rough paths, one can either work with $p$-variation or $\alpha$-Hölder norms. For the most part, authors choose one and stick with it for the entirety of their work. While $p$-variation is slightly more general, $\alpha$-Hölder allows for a wavelet representation which make it more favourable for this work.

It is important to understand that for this paper, we work with both norms. The Hölder norm, being more restrictive, is assumed to be the bound on regularity. However, we are required to work with the $p$-variation in order to establish an integrability condition.

It would be an interesting question to study an analogy of Accumulated $\omega$-modulus metric to be slightly more general, which vanishes on the diagonal.

Example 2.31. Suppose that $X$ is a weakly Geometric $p$-Rough path, so that Equation (2.17) is finite. Then $\omega_{X,p}(s,t) := \|X\|_{p-var;[s,t]}^p$ is a control.

The Carnot-Carathéodory metric as already described takes its structure from the Group $G^M(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and so is homogeneous with respect to the group dilation $\delta_\lambda$. However, there is another metric that takes its structure from the vector space $T^M(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For two elements $g_1, g_2 \in T^M(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ we have the collection of pseudometrics

$$\rho_i(g_1, g_2) = \sum_{A \in A_M} \frac{1}{|A|} \left| \langle g_1, e_A \rangle - \langle g_2, e_A \rangle \right|.$$

We also have the inhomogeneous Tensor metric

$$\rho(g_1, g_2) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,M} \rho_i(g_1, g_2).$$

Definition 2.32. We define the inhomogeneous $p$-variation metric $\rho_{p-var;[0,T]}$ on the $G^{[p]}(\mathbb{R}^d)$-valued paths to be

$$\rho_{p-var;[0,T]}(X, Y) := \|X_0 - Y_0\|_{T^{[p]}(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

$$+ \max_{i=1,\ldots,|p|} \sup_{D=(t_j)} \left( \sum_{j: t_j \in D} \rho_i(X_{t_j,t_{j+1}}, Y_{t_j,t_{j+1}})^{p/i} \right)^{1/p} \tag{2.19}.$$

For a fixed control $\omega$, we define the inhomogeneous $\omega$-modulus metric to be

$$\rho_{\omega;[0,T]}(X, Y) := \|X_0 - Y_0\|_{T^{[p]}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \max_{i=1,\ldots,|p|} \sup_{s,t \in [0,T]} \frac{\rho_i(X_{s,t}, Y_{s,t})}{\omega(s,t)^{1/p}} \tag{2.20}.$$

When we additionally have that $\omega(s,t) \leq |t-s|$, we also have the inhomogeneous $\alpha$-Hölder metric to be

$$\rho_{\alpha;[0,T]}(X, Y) := \|X_0 - Y_0\|_{T^{[p]}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \max_{i=1,\ldots,|p|} \sup_{s,t \in [0,T]} \frac{\rho_i(X_{s,t}, Y_{s,t})}{|t-s|^\alpha} \tag{2.21}.$$
Remark 2.33. It is well known that the inhomogeneous Rough Path metrics satisfy the simple relation
\[ \rho_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}(X, Y) \leq \left( 1 + \max_{i=1,\ldots,p} \omega(0, T)^{i/p} \right) \rho_{p-\omega;[0,T]}(X, Y) \quad (2.22) \]
by simple manipulation of the standard relation between p-variation and $\frac{1}{p}$-Hölder regularity.

Definition 2.34. Let E and F be normed spaces. A map $f : E \to F$ is called $\gamma$-Lipschitz (in the sense of Stein) if f is $[\gamma]$ continuously differentiable (in the sense of Fréchet) and such that there exists a constant $M < \infty$ such that the supremum norm of the $k^{th}$ derivative for $k = 1, \ldots, \lfloor \gamma \rfloor$ and the $\{\gamma\}$-Hölder norm of its $\lfloor \gamma \rfloor^{th}$ derivative are bounded by $M$. The smallest $M \geq 0$ satisfying this condition is the $\gamma$-Lipschitz norm of $f$, denoted $\|f\|_{\text{Lip}^\gamma}$. The space of all such functions is denoted $\text{Lip}^\gamma(E, F)$.

We also emphasise the distinction between $\text{Lip}_f^\gamma(E, F)$, the space of functions $f : E \to F$ that are Lipschitz.

2.4.3 Controlled Rough Path

A rough path encodes all the information that we need to integrate with respect to that path. A Controlled Rough path provides a path that is known to be integrable with respect to a Rough Path and exists in a Banach space. Controlled Rough paths were first introduced in [Gub04].

Let $V$ and $U$ be vector spaces and denote by $L(V, U)$ the space of Linear operators from $V$ to $U$. We define $T(V^*, U) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L((V^*)^\otimes n, U)$ and use the convention that $L((V^*)^\otimes 0, U) = U$. As earlier, we are only interested in the case where $V = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $U = \mathbb{R}^e$. Also, observe that within the finite dimensional framework we can think of $T(V^*, U)$ as just being $T(V)^{\otimes e}$ by duality relationships.

Given an element $X \in T(V^*)$ and $Y \in T(V^*, U)$, we naturally obtain $YX \in U$. Also, in practice we work in the Truncated tensor algebra $T^M(V^*, U) := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{M} L((V^*)^\otimes n, U)$ obtained by quotienting with respect to the ideal $\bigoplus_{n=M+1}^{\infty} L((V^*)^\otimes n, U)$.

Definition 2.35. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, let $p$ be the smallest integer such that $p\alpha < 1$ and let $X \in C^\alpha(V)$. Let $A_\alpha$ be the alphabet of $V$.

A $X$-Controlled Rough path $\gamma : [0, T] \to T^{p-1}(V, U)$ and a remainder term $R : \Delta_T \to T^{M-1}(V, U)$ is any path such that for any word $A$ of the alphabet for $V^*$
\[ \langle \gamma_t, e_A \rangle - \langle \gamma_s, X_{s,t} \otimes e_A \rangle = \langle R_{s,t}, e_A \rangle \quad (2.23) \]
where
\[ \sup_{t,s\in[0,T]} \frac{|\langle R_{s,t}, e_A \rangle|}{|t-s|^{(p-|A|)\alpha}} < \infty. \]

The space of $X$-Controlled Rough paths, denoted $\mathcal{D}^p_X([0, T]; U)$ is the vector space of all $X$-Controlled paths with the norm
\[ \|\gamma\|_{X, M\alpha} = \sum_{A \in A_\alpha \backslash \{\varepsilon\}} \left\| \langle \gamma_t, e_A \rangle \right\|_{|A|\alpha-\text{Hö}l;[0,T]} + \left\| (R_t, e_{\varepsilon}) \right\|_{p\alpha-\text{Hö}l;[0,T]} \]

Given an $X$-Controlled Rough path $\gamma$, we define the integral
\[ \int_0^T Y_t dX_t = \lim_{|D| \to 0} \sum_{t_i \in D} \left\langle \gamma_{t_i}, X_{t_{i-1}, t_i} \right\rangle_{T^{M-1}(V^*, U), T^{M-1}(V^*)} \]
taking values in $U$. 
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**Definition 2.36.** Over the space \( T(V^*) \), we define the non-associative Bilinear product \( \shuffle \) defined by

\[
e_A \shuffle e_B = \sum_{C \in \text{Shuf} \left(A, (b_1, ..., b_{n-1})\right)} e_{(C,b_n)}.
\]

As \( \shuffle \) satisfies the identity \( e_A \shuffle (e_B \shuffle e_C) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e_A \shuffle (e_B + e_C) \right) \shuffle e_C \), we call it a \( (T(V^*), \shuffle) \) a Zinbiel Algebra (sometimes also called a dual Leibniz Algebra).

In the same way that the shuffle product encodes the Leibniz rule that determines how integrals interact with each other via multiplication, the Zinbiel product encodes the way in which one can integrate an integral with respect to another. Thus for any Geometric Rough path we have

\[
\int_s^t \langle X_{s,r}, e_A \rangle d \langle X_{s,r}, e_B \rangle = \langle X_{s,t}, e_{A \shuffle B} \rangle. \tag{2.24}
\]

**Theorem 2.37.** Let \( \Upsilon \) and \( \Xi \) be \( X \)-Controlled Rough paths. Then by exploiting Equation (2.24) we obtain

\[
\int_0^T Y_t \otimes dZ_t = \lim_{|D| \to 0} \sum_{i:t_i \in D} \langle \Upsilon_{t_i} \otimes (Z_{t_i} - Z_{t_i}), W_{t_i,t_i+1} \shuffle W_{t_i,t_i+1} \rangle^{T-1-V(V^*),T-1-V(V^*)}. \tag{2.25}
\]

In a similar fashion, we obtain

\[
\int_s^t Y_{s,r} \otimes dZ_r = \lim_{|D| \to 0} \sum_{i:t_i \in D} \langle (Y_{t_i} - Y_{t_i}), Z_{t_i} - Z_{t_i}, W_{t_i,t_i+1} \shuffle W_{t_i,t_i+1} \rangle^{T-1-V(V^*),T-1-V(V^*)}. \tag{2.26}
\]

**2.4.4 The lift of Brownian Motion**

Gaussian processes have a natural lift for their signature. It is shown in [FH14] that one can solve the iterated integral of a Gaussian process by approximating the process pathwise and showing that the approximation converges in mean square and almost surely. In particular, the iterated integral of a Gaussian process is an element on the second Wiener-Itô chaos expansion.

The key to this result is the regularity of the covariance function of the Gaussian process. Provided the covariance function is adequately continuous, the existence of the lift to the signature is assured.

In [PV10a], the authors prove that when the covariance operator of the Gaussian satisfies a \( p \)-variation condition, the path of the Gaussian can be lifted to a Rough path with \( p \)-variation and \( \alpha \)-Hölder continuity in the Rough path sense.

**Assumption 2.38.** Let \( L^W \) be the law of a \( d \)-dimensional, continuous centred Gaussian process with independent components and covariance covariance operator \( R \) such that \( \exists \theta \in [1, 2) \) and \( M < \infty \) with

\[
\| R(W_{s,t}) \|_{p(s,t)^2} \leq M|t - s|^{1/\theta}.
\]
3 Approximation of Brownian motion

The goal of this section is to construct a finite support measure that approximates the law of an Enhanced Brownian motion as a measure over the space of Geometric Rough paths.

3.1 Truncation of Brownian Motion

Using the Cielsielski representation for Brownian motion from Equation (2.3), we can obtain a finite dimensional Gaussian measure on $C^{\alpha,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ which approximates Brownian motion

$$W^N_t = \sum_{(p,m)\in\Lambda_N} W_{pm} G_{pm}(t).$$

Let us briefly describe some of the properties this random variable:

- $W^N$ is a Gaussian measure on $C^{\alpha,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with Reproducing Kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_N = \text{span}_{(p,m)\in\Lambda_N}\{G_{pm}\}$.
- As a finite dimensional Gaussian, the support of $W^N$ is just $\mathcal{H}_N$. This is equal to the space of piecewise linear paths over the dyadic intervals of size $T2^{-N}$.
- The support is Reflexive, so by Theorem 2.16 a Stationary Quantization exists.

3.1.1 Rate of Convergence

We measure the rate of convergence for a Truncated Brownian motion. This first auxiliary Lemma is a reformulation of a well known result, see [Pis89, Lemma 4.14]

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $X_i$ be a sequence of $n$ independent, $d$ dimensional normally distributed random variables with mean 0 and covariance matrix $\sigma^2 I_d$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} |X_i|^2 \right] \leq 2d\sigma^2 \left[ 1 + \log(n) \right].$$

**Proof.** We use that the function $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \exp(1 \lor \sqrt{|x|})$ is convex. This can be seen by differentiating. Then by Jensen's Inequality

$$\exp \left( 1 \lor t \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} |X_i|^2 \right]} \right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} \exp(1 \lor t|X_i|) \right] \leq n \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp(1 \lor t|X_i|) \right] \leq n \exp \left( 1 + \frac{dt^2\sigma^2}{2} \right).$$

Therefore

$$t \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} |X_i|^2 \right]} \leq t \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} |X_i|^2 \right]} \lor 1 \leq \log(n) + 1 + \frac{dt^2\sigma^2}{2}.$$ 

so

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} |X_i|^2 \right]} \leq \frac{\log(n) + 1}{t} + \frac{dt^2\sigma^2}{2}.$$

Optimising over $t$ and squaring, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ \sup_{i=1,\ldots,n} |X_i|^2 \right] \leq 2d\sigma^2 \left[ 1 + \log(n) \right].$$

$\square$
We apply this Lemma to attain an upper bound on the Truncation error in $\| \cdot \|_\alpha$-norm.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $W$ be a Brownian motion as expressed in (2.3) and let $W^N$ be Truncated Brownian motion (3.1). Then we have

$$
E \left[ \| W - W^N \|_\alpha^2 \right] \leq C \cdot d \cdot N \cdot 2^{(2\alpha-1)N}.
$$

where the constant $C$ is dependent only on $\alpha$.

**Proof.** Using the Ciesielski Isomorphism and Lemma 3.1,

$$
E \left[ \| W - W^N \|_\alpha^2 \right] = E \left[ \sup_{(p,m) \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_N} |W_{pm}|^2 2^{(2\alpha-1)p} \right]
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{p=N+1}^{\infty} E \left[ \sup_{m=1, \ldots, 2p} |W_{pm}|^2 \right] 2^{(2\alpha-1)p} \leq 2d \sum_{p=N+1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \log(2p) \right] 2^{(2\alpha-1)p}
$$

$$
\leq C \cdot d \cdot N \cdot 2^{(2\alpha-1)N}.
$$

Next, we solve a lower bound on the Truncation error

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $W$ be the Brownian motion as expressed in (2.3) and let $W^N$ be a truncated Brownian motion as in Equation (3.1). Then

$$
E \left[ \| W - W^N \|_\alpha^2 \right] \geq C \cdot d \cdot N^{1-2\alpha} 2^{(2\alpha-1)N}
$$

where the constant $C$ is dependent only on $\alpha$.

**Proof.** Using the Ciesielski Isomorphism

$$
E \left[ \| W - W^N \|_\alpha^2 \right] = E \left[ \sup_{(p,m) \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_N} |W_{pm}|^2 2^{(2\alpha-1)p} \right]
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty 2\varepsilon \left( 1 - P \left[ \sup_{(p,m) \in \Lambda \setminus \Lambda_N} |W_{pm}|^2 2^{(\alpha-1/2)p} < \varepsilon \right] \right) d\varepsilon
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty 2\varepsilon \left( 1 - \prod_{p=N+1}^{\infty} \text{erf} \left( \frac{2^{(1/2-\alpha)p} \varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}} \right) 2^p \right) d\varepsilon
$$

$$
\geq \int_0^\infty 2\varepsilon \left( 1 - \prod_{p=N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2^{(1/2-\alpha)p} \varepsilon}{d} \right) \right) 2^p \varepsilon^{d/2} d\varepsilon
$$

$$
\geq \int_0^{2^{N(\alpha-1/2)/d}} 2\varepsilon \left( 1 - \prod_{p=N+1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2^{(1/2-\alpha)p} \varepsilon}{d} \right) \right) 2^p \varepsilon^{d/2} d\varepsilon
$$

$$
\geq \int_0^{2^{N(\alpha-1/2)/d}} 2\varepsilon \left( 1 - \exp \left( \ln(2^{1/2-\alpha}) \cdot \left[ \log_2 \left( \frac{2}{d} \right)^{1/2-\alpha} \right] + 1 \right) \cdot 2^p \varepsilon^{d/2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{1/2-\alpha} - 2^{N+2} \right)
$$

$$
\geq C \cdot d \cdot N^{1-2\alpha} \cdot 2^{(2\alpha-1)N}
$$

$\square$
Remark 3.4. We also note that this rate of convergence agrees with the results from [LL99] by applying the Small Ball Probability results of [BR92] and using that $W^N$ is a $2^{N+1}$-dimensional Gaussian random variable.

Lastly, the Banach space $C^x(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is not $K$-convex (see [Pis89], Definition 2.3]) and consequently the upper and lower bounds of the rate of convergence are not the same.

3.1.2 Enhanced Truncated Brownian Motion

Finally, we observe that the rate of convergence for Enhanced Truncated Brownian motion to the Enhanced Brownian motion is the same when the process is lifted to a Rough path and studied with respect to the inhomogeneous metric. We also prove a rate of convergence for the homogeneous metric, although it is less useful.

It is also worth remarking this result was previously proved in [FR11] for piecewise linear approximations of Brownian motion which take the form of Truncated Schauder wavelet expansions. This was later extended in [FR14] to include the lift of the Brownian motion to any level of Rough path.

Proposition 3.5. Let $L^W_N$ be the law of the Truncated Brownian motion over the Banach space $C^x(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then $L^W_N$ satisfies Assumption 2.38 so can be lifted to an Enhanced Gaussian Rough path $W_N = S_M(W)$ taking values on the Group $G^M(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Further, for Enhanced Brownian motion $W$ taking values in $C^{a,0}([0, T]; G^M(\mathbb{R}^d))$, there exists a constant $C = C(M, d)$ such that

$$E\left[\rho_i(W_{s,t}, W_{s,t})^2\right] \leq CN^2(2\alpha-1)N|t-s|^i$$

where $i \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ and $\rho_i$ is the tensor pseudometric over $T^M(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $M \geq 2$.

Proof. First of all, we focus on the case $M = 2$. Higher terms can be accounted for by studying Proposition 3.5. The case $i = 1$ is immediate. We address $i = 2$ briefly. For $j, k \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $j \neq k$

$$E\left[\int_s^{t} (W_{s,r}, e_j) \circ d(W_{r}, e_k) - \int_s^{t} (W^N_{s,r}, e_j) \circ d(W^N_{r}, e_k)\right]^2 \leq \int_s^{t} \int_s^{t} R_{(W-W^N, e_j)}(s, u, v) dR_{(W^N, e_k)}(u, v) \leq |t-s|^2 \cdot E\left[\|W-W^N\|^2\right] \cdot E\left[\|W\|^2\right]$$

Compiling these terms by summing over $j$ and $k$ completes the $i = 2$ case.

Theorem 3.6. Let $W^N$ be the Enhanced Truncated Brownian motion and let $W$ be the Enhanced Brownian motion over $G^M(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then

$$E\left[\rho_{\alpha-Hö}(W, W^N)^2\right] \lesssim N^2(2\alpha-1)^N$$

as $N \to \infty$. Also

$$E\left[d_{\alpha-Hö}(W, W^N)^2\right] \lesssim \max \left\{N^2(2\alpha-1)^N, (N^2(2\alpha-1)^N)^{1/M}\right\}$$

Proof. This Theorem is simply an application of [FV10b] (Theorem 15.24) with Proposition 3.5 to get Equation (3.4).

For (3.5), we use the well known fact that the identity operator is $\frac{1}{M}$-Hölder from the space of Rough paths paired with the Inhomogeneous metric to the space of Rough paths paired with the Homogeneous metric.
Remark 3.7. It is a simple consequence of the Hypercontractivity of Gaussian measures that the rate of convergence for second moments is equivalent to the rate of convergence of all moments.

3.2 Quantization of Brownian Motion

As mentioned in Theorem 2.16, the proof of existence of a Stationary Quantization relies on the the Banach space $E$ being reflexive. However as explained in Remark 2.17, the space $C^{0,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is not reflexive so there does not necessarily exist an $n$-stationary set for $L^W$.

We perform a truncation to obtain a finite dimensional Gaussian that represents an optimal finite dimensional approximation of the Brownian motion. Here we study how the choice truncation affects the asymptotic rate of convergence of the quantization error.

Define

$$W^N(t) = P_N[W](t) = \sum_{(p, m) \in A_N} W_{pm} G_{pm}(t)$$

to be the random variable taking values in $C^{0,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with finite dimensional Gaussian distribution. In particular, the support of this finite dimensional Gaussian is a finite dimensional subspace of $C^{0,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ equal to the set of piecewise linear paths over the fixed dyadic partition of the interval $[0, T]$. The functions $G_{pm}$ are the Schauder functions introduced in Definition 2.6.

Definition 3.8. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed for the moment and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $L^W$ be the law of Brownian motion over $C^{0,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $L^{W^N}$ be the law of the Truncated Brownian motion.

1. $L^{W^N}$ is a non-degenerate measure over the (finite dimensional) vector space $(\mathcal{H}_N, \| \cdot \|_\alpha)$. Therefore by Theorem 2.16 we know that there exists a codebook $\mathcal{C}_n = \{c_1, \ldots, c_n\}$ and a partition $\mathcal{S}_n = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ of $\mathcal{H}_N$ such that the quantization $q_n$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{W}^{(2)} \left( \left. L^{W^N} \right|_{\mathcal{H}_N}, \left. L^{W^N} \circ q^{-1} \right|_{\mathcal{H}_N} \right) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \| W^N - q_n(W^N) \|_\alpha^2 \right]^{1/2} = \mathcal{C}_n \left( \left. L^{W^N} \right|_{\mathcal{H}_N} \right)$$

We also remark that since the components of the Brownian motion $(W, e_i)$ are all independent (by Assumption), the code book will be just the $\langle \mathcal{C}, e_i \rangle \otimes d$ where $\langle \mathcal{C}, e_i \rangle$ is the projection of the codebook $\mathcal{C}$ onto the $i^{th}$ component. Thus the codebook $\mathcal{C}$ will have a $d$-hypercube like structure. With purpose that will be made clear later, we choose the metric on the Euclidean space to be such that $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{S}, e_i) \times d$ e.g. the partition is comprised of $d$-hypercuboid sets component-wise.

2. The measure $L^{W^N}$ is degenerate over the whole space $C^{0,0}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ so constructing an optimal quantization becomes more analytically problematic. Thus we extend the optimal quantization over $\mathcal{H}_N$ to the whole space. Let $P_N : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}_N$ be the orthonormal projection and let us continuously extend $P_N$ to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^\alpha$. We define the new partition of $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^\alpha$ to be

$$\mathcal{G} := \{(P_N)^{-1}[s_1], \ldots, (P_N)^{-1}[s_n]\}$$

Finally, we extend the quantization $q_n$ from $\mathcal{H}_N$ to $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^\alpha$.

We should emphasise that the quantization constructed in Definition 3.8 is not an optimal quantization of the measures $L^W$ or $L^{W^N}$ over the whole space. The reason for this approach is that this quantization exists and is solvable. Further, by careful choice of $N$ the error of this quantization can be made arbitrarily close to the optimal error even if there is no quantization that attains that error.

Remark 3.9. To the distracted reader, it may seem obvious that the projection of a bisector is the bisector within the projection, but we emphasise that this is in general not true.
3.2.1 Asymptotic rate of convergence for Quantization

Next, we apply Corollary 2.24 with Proposition 3.2 in order to demonstrate the rate of convergence of the quantization we construct.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let $\mathcal{L}^W$ be the law of Brownian motion on $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $\mathcal{L}^{W_N}$ be the law of the Truncated Brownian motion. Let $\mathcal{B}_W$ and $\mathcal{B}_{W_N}$ be the Small Ball Probabilities of the measures $\mathcal{L}^W$ and $\mathcal{L}^{W_N}$ with respect to the Hölder norm. By choosing $N$ to satisfy

$$N \approx W\left(\frac{\ln(2^{2\alpha-1})\ln(n^{1/d})2\alpha-1}{\ln(2^{2\alpha-1})}\right),$$

(3.7)

where $W$ is the Lambert-W function, the inverse function of $y = xe^y$. See [Wei02] for more details.

Then the quantization constructed in Definition 3.8 satisfies

$$d \cdot \left(\log(n^{1/d})\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \lesssim \sqrt{\int_{C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)} \|x - q_n(x)\|^2_\alpha d\mathcal{L}^W(x)}$$

$$= \left(\int_{C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R})} \|\langle x - q_n(x), e_i \rangle\|^2_\alpha d\mathcal{L}^{W,e_i}(x)\right)^{d/2} \lesssim d \cdot \left(\log(n^{1/d})\right)^{\alpha-1/2}$$

(3.8)

as $n \to \infty$.

**Proof.** The equality in Equation (3.8) comes from the construction of the partition in Definition 3.8. The components of the quantization are constructed to independent of each other like the components of the Brownian motion.

It should be clear that the partition as defined in Equation (3.6) is not the collection of Voronoi sets generated by the codebook $\mathcal{C}_n$. Thus

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|W - q_n(W), e_i\|^2_\alpha\right]^{1/2} \geq \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \min_{j=1,\ldots,n} \|\langle x - e_i, e_j \rangle\|^2_\alpha d\mathcal{L}^{W,e_i}(x)}.$$ 

We can further improve this lower bound by minimizing over the all possible codebooks $\mathcal{C}$ which yields the lower bound

$$\left(\log(n)\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \lesssim \mathbb{E}_n(\mathcal{L}^{W,e_i}) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\|W - q_n(W), e_i\|^2\right]^{1/2}.$$ 

Thus

$$d\left(\log(n^{1/d})\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \lesssim \mathbb{E}_n(\mathcal{L}^W) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\|W - q_n(W)\|^2_\alpha\right]^{1/2}.$$ 

For the upper bound, we apply Lemma 2.20 to get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|W - q_n(W), e_i\|^2_\alpha\right]^{1/2} \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\|W - q_n(W), e_i\|^2\right]^{1/2} + \mathbb{E}\left[\|W - q_n(W), e_i\|^2\right]^{1/2} 

\lesssim \mathcal{B}^{-1}_{W,N,e_i}\left(\log(n)\right) + \sqrt{N \cdot 2^{(\alpha-1/2)N}}$$

By Theorem 2.23, we have asymptotic upper and lower bounds on the Quantization error for both measures $\mathcal{L}^W$ and $\mathcal{L}^{W_N}$ in terms of their small ball probabilities.
Due to the nice way in which the truncation and the Hölder norm overlap, we have that
\[
P\left[\|W^N\|_\alpha \leq \varepsilon\right] \geq P\left[\|W\|_\alpha < \varepsilon\right]
\]
or equivalently
\[
-\log\left(P\left[\|W^N\|_\alpha \leq \varepsilon\right]\right) = \mathcal{B}_{W^N}(\varepsilon) \leq \mathcal{B}_W(\varepsilon) = -\log\left(P\left[\|W\|_\alpha < \varepsilon\right]\right).
\]
This is true for any choice of truncation level $N$. Taking the inverse of these bijective, increasing functions gives
\[
\mathcal{B}_W^{-1}(n) \leq \mathcal{B}_{W^N}(n).
\]
Thus
\[
\mathbb{E}\left[\|W - q_n(W)\|_\alpha^2\right]^{1/2} \lesssim d\left(\log(n^{1/d})\right)^{\alpha - 1/2} + d\sqrt{N} \cdot 2^{(\alpha-1/2)N}
\]
for any choice of $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, we note that the asymptotic relation from Equation (3.7) is equivalent to
\[
\sqrt{N} \cdot 2^{(\alpha-1/2)N} \approx \left(\log(n^{1/d})\right)^{\alpha - 1/2}
\]
which yields the conclusion.

**Remark 3.11.** The first question is: why is this interesting? Obviously we know by results such as [DFMS18] that by sampling a Brownian motion in pathspace, the empirical law will be a good approximation for the law of Brownian motion.

The difference with this method is that sampling produces a convergence in measure type result. This is a deterministic and not probabilistic result.

**Corollary 3.12.** Let $\mathcal{L}^W$ be the law of Brownian motion on $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $q_n$ be the sequence of Quantizations constructed in Definition 3.8. Then $\forall r > 1$
\[
d \cdot \left(\log(n^{1/d})\right)^{\alpha - 1/2} \lesssim \left(\int_{C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)} \|x - q_n(x)\|_\alpha d\mathcal{L}^W(x)\right)^{1/r} \lesssim d \cdot \left(\log(n^{1/d})\right)^{\alpha - 1/2}
\]
as $n \to \infty$.

**Proof.** This is due to the Hypercontractivity property of Gaussian measures.

### 3.2.2 Quantization for a Gaussian Rough Paths

For this section, we explore lifting our quantized Brownian motion to a Rough Path. Quantization for Rough Paths was first studied in [PS11]. In this paper, the authors treat the law of Brownian motion as a measure over the Hilbert space $L^2([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular, as a measure over a Hilbert space the authors are able to obtain a stationary quantization, see [LP02]. The Karhunen Loève expansion is obtained using an expansion of Trigonometric functions and the authors use well understood pathspace results to establish pointwise convergence of the paths followed by convergence in $p$-variation. The paths of the quantization codebooks are bounded variation, so they can be lifted to a Signature of a rough path and these converge in the rough path metric to the Brownian Rough path. To the best of our knowledge, this is the only work studying quantization in a rough path framework so this chapter is new and of independent interest.
In this paper, we perform quantization for a Brownian rough path that we quantize with respect to the pathspace Hölder norm. Due to the nature of the $L^2$ norm with which the quantization is constructed in [PS11], the approximation with respect to the Hölder norm is far from optimal. By contrast, our approximation is arbitrarily close to optimal, and in this Subsection we prove that this remains true when we study with respect to the Rough Path Hölder norm.

**Definition 3.13.** Let $L^W$ be the law of a Brownian motion over $C^{0,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $E^W$ be the law of the Enhanced Brownian motion over $G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $q_n$ be the sequence of quantizations as defined in Definition 3.8 for the Truncated Brownian motion with $N$ chosen to satisfy Equation 3.7 and codebooks $C_n$ and partitions $\mathcal{C}_n$.

1. As proved in Lemma 2.18, the sets $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{H}$ so have a canonical Young integral signature $c = S_2(c)$ for each $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

2. Let $U = \text{span}(\mathcal{C})$ and let $P_U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow U$ be the orthonormal projection. Let $s_i$ be the Voronoi sets generated by the elements $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$ over the space $P_U[\mathcal{H}]$ paired with the Hölder norm and consider the partition of $\mathcal{H}$

$$\left\{(P_U)^{-1}[s_1], \ldots, (P_U)^{-1}[s_n]\right\}$$

Each element of these sets will be bounded variation, so has a canonical Young integral signature. Further, the set $S_2(\mathcal{H})$ is dense in $G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with respect to the Rough path Hölder norm. Therefore, the sets

$$s_i := \left\{h = S_2(h) : h \in (P_U)^{-1}[s_i]\right\}$$

form a partition over the space $G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

3. By combining the Enhanced Codebook $C_n := \{c = S_2(c) : c \in \mathcal{C}_n\}$ with the Partition $S_n$, we obtain the Enhanced Quantization $q_n : G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$q_n(X) = c_i \quad \text{for} \quad X \in s_i, \quad q_n(G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)) = C_n \quad (3.10)$$

The next result is an extension of Proposition 3.10 to the Rough Path setting.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let $L^W$ be the law of Brownian motion on $C^{0,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $E^W$ be the law of the Enhanced Brownian motion over $G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $q_n$ be the sequence of Quantizations constructed in Definition 3.13. Then

$$\left(\log(n)\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \leq \sqrt{\int_{G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rho_{\alpha-Hölder}[0,T](X, q_n(X))^2 d\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \left(\log(n)\right)^{\alpha-1/2} \quad (3.11)$$

**Proof.** The lower bound of Equation 3.11 is actually immediate from Equation 3.8. The $\rho_{\alpha-Hölder}$ metric can be lower bounded by the projection onto the first level of the Signature so that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\rho_{\alpha-Hölder}[0,T](W, q_n(W))^2\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[||W - q_n(W)||_a^2\right].$$

Similarly, the upper bound for the first level of the Signature is immediate so we are only interested in the second level.

Also, by Theorem 3.6, we know the rate of convergence for

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\rho_{\alpha-Hölder}[0,T](W, W^N)^2\right]^{1/2}$$
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where \( N \) is the dimension of the linear span of the codebook \( C_n \). The choice of Equation (3.7) means this term converges at the same rate as (3.11).

Thus by the component-wise independence of the Gaussians and the quantization, this reduces to estimating

\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_s^t \langle W^N_{s,r}, e_i \rangle d\langle W^N_{r}, e_j \rangle - \int_s^t \langle \mathbb{E}[W^N_{s,r}|F_n], e_i \rangle d\mathbb{E}[W^N_{r}|F_n], e_j \rangle \right|^2 \right] \\
\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_s^t \langle W^N_{s,r} - \mathbb{E}[W^N_{s,r}|F_n], e_i \rangle d\langle W^N_{r}, e_j \rangle \right|^2 + \left| \int_s^t \langle \mathbb{E}[W^N_{s,r}|F_n], e_i \rangle d\langle W^N_{r} - \mathbb{E}[W^N_{r}|F_n], e_j \rangle \right| \right]^2 \\
\leq 2 \int_s^t \int_s^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{s,r}, e_i \rangle \cdot \langle W^N_{s,u}, e_i \rangle \right] \cdot d\mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{r} - \mathbb{E}[W^N_{r}|F_n], e_i \rangle \cdot \langle W^N_{u} - \mathbb{E}[W^N_{u}|F_n], e_j \rangle \right] \\
+ 2 \int_s^t \int_s^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{s,r}, e_i \rangle \right]^2 d\mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{r} - \mathbb{E}[W^N_{r}|F_n], e_i \rangle \right]^2 \\
\leq 2 \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{s,r} - \mathbb{E}[W^N_{s,r}|F_n], e_i \rangle \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} d\mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{r}, e_j \rangle \right]^{1/2} \\
+ 2 \left( \int_s^t \mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{s,r}, e_i \rangle \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} d\mathbb{E} \left[ \langle W^N_{r} - \mathbb{E}[W^N_{r}|F_n], e_i \rangle \right]^{1/2} \\
\lesssim (t-s)^{2\alpha} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W^N - \mathbb{E}[W^N|F_n]\|_\alpha^2 \right] \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W^N\|_\alpha^2 \right] \lesssim (t-s)^{2\alpha} \left( \log(n) \right)^{2\alpha-1}
\]

by Proposition 3.10.

Finally, an application of Garsia–Rodemich–Rumsey Theorem (see for example [FV10a]) gives the conclusion.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 3.15.** Let \( \mathcal{L}^W \) be the law of Enhanced Brownian motion over \( G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Let \( q_n \) be the sequence of quantizations constructed in Definition 3.13. Then

\[
\left( \log(n) \right)^{\alpha-1/2} \lesssim \left( \int_{G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rho_{\alpha-Höl} \left( X, q_n(X) \right)^r d\mathcal{L}^W(X) \right)^{1/r} \lesssim \left( \log(n) \right)^{\alpha-1/2} \tag{3.12}
\]

**Proof.** See proof of Corollary 3.12. \[\square\]

### 4 Mean Field Rough Differential Equations

In the first section, we address the approach of [CL15] to solve McKean Vlasov Rough Differential Equations driven by a Brownian Rough Path. The authors prove Existence, Uniqueness and a Propagation of Chaos result for McKean Vlasov Rough Differential Equations of the form

\[
dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dW_t + b(X_t)d\gamma_t^\mu, \quad \mu = \mathcal{L}^X, \quad X_0 = \xi
\tag{4.1}
\]

where the path \( \gamma_t^\mu = \int_0^t \mu_s ds \) represents the measure dependency in the drift term. [CL15] includes a lengthy explanation as to why the authors were unable to include a measure dependency in the diffusion terms.
4.1 Controls and the Accumulated p-Variation

In this first section, we establish a key condition for the integrability of our quantization.

**Definition 4.1.** Let $\beta > 0$ and suppose that $\omega : \Delta_T \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a control (recall Definition 2.30). We define the Accumulated $\beta$-local $\omega$-variation by

$$M_\beta(\omega) := \sup_{D=(t_i)} \sum_{i:t_i \in D} \omega(t_i, t_{i+1}) \leq \beta \sum_{i} \omega(t_i, t_{i+1}).$$

The Accumulated $\beta$-local controls was first introduced in [CLL13]. We are interested in the specific case where the control is induced by a weakly geometric rough path.

**Definition 4.2.** Let $\beta > 0$. Let $W \in G\Omega_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We define the Accumulated $\beta$-local $p$-variation of a weakly geometric Rough path to a non-negative function defined by

$$M_{\beta,p}(W) := M_\beta(\omega_{W,p}).$$

We define the nondecreasing sequence $(\tau_i(\beta, p, X))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\tau_0(\beta) = 0$$
$$\tau_{i+1}(\beta) = \inf \{ t > \tau_i(\beta) : \|W\|_{p-\text{var};[\tau_i(\beta), t]} \geq \beta \} \wedge T. \quad (4.2)$$

This is sometimes referred to as the Greedy sequence. Finally, we define the function $N_{\beta,p} : W G\Omega_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by

$$N_{\beta,p}(W) := \sup \{ n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : \tau_n(\beta) < T \}.$$  

While Stopping Time arguments become problematic for McKean Vlasov Equations due to the presence of the measure dependency, we emphasise that the Greedy Sequence (4.2) is dependent only on the driving noise and not the solution.

It is immediate from the definition that $M_{\beta,p}(W) \leq \|W\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}$. However, when $W$ is a Gaussian Rough path and $p > 2$, we have $\|W\|_{p-\text{var},[0,T]} \leq \|W\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}$ and $W_{0,T} \sim N(0, T)$ so

$$\mathbb{E}\left[ \exp \left( \|W\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]} \right) \right] = \infty.$$  

**Remark 4.3.** The Accumulated $p$-variation is a way of restricting the size of the $p$-variation in the event that the $p$-variation becomes large. When the $p$-variation of a Gaussian is large, by far the most probable event is that there is a single large increment of the process. While the $p$-variation will increase proportionally to this steep increment, the Accumulated $\beta$-local $p$-variation is restricted to partitions where the increments cannot be larger than $\beta$ so the one increment does not make a proportional contribution.

The following Proposition is key to the construction of McKean Vlasov Rough Differential Equations driven by Gaussian processes.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $W$ be a continuous, centred Gaussian Rough path that satisfies Assumption 2.38. Then $\forall \beta > 0$, the random variable $M_{\beta,p}(W)$ has well defined Moment Generating Function

$$\theta \mapsto \mathbb{E}\left[ \exp(\theta M_{\beta,p}(W)) \right] < \infty.$$  

**Proof.** See [CLL13, Theorem 6.3] for tail estimates for the law of the Accumulated $p$-variation. \[\square\]
The existence of a moment generating function for the Accumulated $p$-variation of the driving noise for the McKean Vlasov Rough Differential Equation is a key Assumption of [CL15], see below. In order to prove propagation of chaos of a sequence of measures, the authors prove that the sequence of empirical measures each has a moment generating function and that the empirical laws converge weakly to the law of the driving noise.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $\mathcal{L}^W$ be the law of a Brownian motion over $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $h_1,\ldots,h_n$ be a collection of orthonormal elements of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $W^n$ be a finite Karhunen Loève expansion of $W$ generated by the set $\{h_1,\ldots,h_n\}$ so that

$$W^n = \mathbb{E}[W|\mathcal{F}^n]$$

where $\mathcal{F}^n$ is the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the functionals $f_j = (i^*)(-1)[h_j]$ for each $j = 1,\ldots,n$.

Then the Brownian Rough path $\tilde{W} = S_2(W)$ satisfies the Martingale formula

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \log \mathbb{G}_t(W_{s,t}) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \log \mathbb{G}_t(W^n_{s,t})$$

(4.3)

where $W^n_{s,t} = S_2(W^n)_{s,t}$.

The Martingale formula yields a very brief proof that the Quantized Gaussians are adequately integrable.

This first Lemma is a reimagining of the well known result mentioned earlier in Equation (2.7).

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $\mathcal{L}^W$ be the law of Brownian motion over $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sub-$\sigma$ algebra of the Borel sigma algebra over $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T];\mathbb{R}^d)$ that is component-wise conditionally independent. Define $\tilde{W} = \mathbb{E}[W|\mathcal{F}]$. Let $W$ be the Gaussian Rough path of $\mathcal{L}^W$ and $\tilde{W}$ be the lift of the random variable $\tilde{W}$ to a Rough path.

Then

$$\|\tilde{W}\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}^p \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}^p \right]$$

where $C_1 = C(d,p)$.

**Proof.** Firstly, we work with the Homogeneous norm (2.15) for $G^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ rather than the Carnot Caratheodory norm in order to evaluate the increments explicitly.

By the component-wise conditional independence (for the 2nd equality) we have

$$\|\tilde{W}\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}^p$$

$$\sup_{D=(t_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{D}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left| \mathbb{E}[W_{t_i,t_{i+1}}|\mathcal{F}]_{e_j} \right| + \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left| \mathbb{E}[W_{t_i,u}|\mathcal{F}]_{e_j} d\mathbb{E}[W_{t_i,u}|\mathcal{F}]_{e_k} \right|^{1/2} \right)^p$$

$$\sup_{D=(t_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{D}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{D}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left| \mathbb{E}[W_{t_i,t_{i+1}}|\mathcal{F}]_{e_j} \right| + \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left| \mathbb{E}[W_{t_i,u},e_j] d\mathbb{E}[W_{t_i,u},e_k] |\mathcal{F} | \right|^{1/2} \right)^p$$

$$\leq d^{(2p-2)/p} \sup_{D=(t_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{D}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{D}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^d |W_{t_i,t_{i+1}}|_{e_j} \right) + \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} |W_{t_i,u},e_j| d(W_{t_i,u},e_k) |\mathcal{F} | \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq d^{(2p-2)/p} \mathbb{E} \left[ \|W\|_{p-\text{var};[0,T]}^p \right].$$
where we use a finite dimensional norm equivalence for the 1st inequality. There is a further multiplicative constant that comes from translating this result back to the Carnot Caratheodory norm which is dependent only on $d$. 

This result is not immediate via the same convexity argument demonstrated in Section 2. This is because the Expectation of a Group element may not be a Group element itself.

To distinguish between the Gaussian Rough path $\mathbf{W}$, the truncation of the Gaussian Rough path $\mathbf{W}^N$ and the quantization of the truncated Gaussian lifted to a Rough path $q(\mathbf{W}^N)$ as defined in Definition 3.13 we use the following notation:

**Proposition 4.7.** Let $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{L}^W$ be the law of a Brownian motion on $C^{\alpha,0}([0,T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $\mathbf{W}^N$ be the Truncated Brownian motion. From Definition 4.2 let $\tau_i(\beta)$ be the Greedy sequence of the Brownian Rough path $\mathbf{W}$, let $\tau_i(\beta)$ be the Greedy sequence of the Enhanced Truncated Brownian motion $\mathbf{W}^N = S_2(\mathbf{W}^N)$ and let $\tilde{\tau}_i(\tilde{\beta})$ be the Greedy sequence of the Enhanced Quantization $q_n(\mathbf{W})$ as introduced in Definition 4.2.

Let $\mathbf{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(\mathbf{W})$, $\mathbf{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(\mathbf{W}^N)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(q_n(\mathbf{W}))$ be the number of elements of each of the respective greedy sequences over the interval $[0,T]$. Then

$$\tilde{\mathbf{N}}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(q_n(\mathbf{W})) \leq \mathbf{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(\mathbf{W}^N) \leq \mathbf{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(\mathbf{W}).$$

**Proof.** This proof relies on the choice of quantization. $q(\mathbf{W}^N)$ is chosen to be an optimal quantization of the finite dimensional Gaussian random variable $\mathbf{W}^N$ as a measure over the set $\mathcal{H}^N$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the partition of the quantization $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the cylindrical sigma algebra generated by the functionals $(i^*)^{-1}[\mathcal{H}_N]$. Then we have $q(\mathbf{W}^N) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{W}^N \mid \mathcal{F}]$ and $\mathbf{W}^N = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{W} \mid \mathcal{F}]$.

By Lemma 4.6, we therefore have that for any subinterval $[s,t]$

$$\|q_n(\mathbf{W})\|_{p-var;[s,t]}^p \leq C_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[s,t]}^p \mid \mathcal{F} \right], \quad \|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[s,t]}^p \leq C_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{W}\|_{p-var;[s,t]}^p \mid \mathcal{F} \right].$$

In particular, for the intervals $[0, \tau_1(\beta)]$ and $[0, \tau_1(\beta)]$ we have

$$\|q_n(\mathbf{W})\|_{p-var;[0, \tau_1(\beta)]}^p \leq C_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[0, \tau_1(\beta)]}^p \mid \mathcal{F} \right] = C_1 \mathcal{F},$$

$$\|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[0, \tau_1(\beta)]}^p \leq C_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{W}\|_{p-var;[0, \tau_1(\beta)]}^p \mid \mathcal{F} \right] = C_1 \mathcal{F}.$$

However, by definition we also have $\|q_n(\mathbf{W})\|_{p-var;[0, \tilde{\tau}_1(\tilde{\beta})]} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[0, \tilde{\tau}_1(\tilde{\beta})]} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, so we conclude that $0 < \tilde{\tau}_1(\tilde{\beta}) \leq \tau_1(\beta) \leq \tilde{\tau}_1(\tilde{\beta})$.

Next, arguing via induction we suppose that $\tilde{\tau}_k(\tilde{\beta}) \leq \tau_k(\beta) \leq \tilde{\tau}_k(\tilde{\beta})$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[\tau_k(\beta), \tau_{k+1}(\beta) \wedge \tau_k(\beta)]} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[\tau_k(\beta), \tau_{k+1}(\beta) \wedge \tau_k(\beta)]} \mid \mathcal{F} \right] = C_1 \beta,$$

$$\|q_n(\mathbf{W})\|_{p-var;[\tilde{\tau}_k(\tilde{\beta}), \tau_{k+1}(\beta) \wedge \tilde{\tau}_k(\tilde{\beta})]} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E}\left[\|\mathbf{W}^N\|_{p-var;[\tilde{\tau}_k(\tilde{\beta}), \tau_{k+1}(\beta) \wedge \tilde{\tau}_k(\tilde{\beta})]} \mid \mathcal{F} \right] = C_1 \beta.$$
However, $\|W^N\|^p_{p-\text{var};[\tau_k,\tau_{k+1}]} = \tilde{\beta}$ and $\|q_n(W)\|^p_{p-\text{var};[\tilde{\tau}_k,\tilde{\tau}_{k+1}]} = \tilde{\beta}$ so we conclude $\tilde{\tau}_{k+1}(\tilde{\beta}) \leq \tau_{k+1}(\beta) \leq \tilde{\tau}_{k+1}(\beta)$.

Finally, suppose that $N_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(W) = k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T < \tau_{k+1}(\beta) \geq \tau_{k+1}(\tilde{\beta}) \geq \tilde{\tau}_{k+1}(\tilde{\beta})$. Thus $k$ is an upper bound for $\mathcal{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(W^N)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(q_n(W))$.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let $\mathcal{L}^W$ be the law of an Enhanced Brownian motion and let $\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_n^{-1}$ be the law of the Quantized Brownian motion.

Then the Moment Generating function of the Accumulated $p$-variation of $q_n(W)$ is well defined and bounded by the Moment Generating function of the Accumulated $p$-variation of $W$.

**Proof.** From [CLL13, Proposition 4.11], we have

$$\beta \mathcal{N}_{\beta,[0,T]}(\omega) \leq M_{\beta,[0,T]}(\omega) \leq \beta \left(2\mathcal{N}_{\beta,[0,T]}(\omega) + 1 \right)$$

for any control $\omega$ so the existence of a Moment Generating Function for $\mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to the existence of a Moment Generating Function for $M$.

Therefore, by Proposition [4.4] we have that $\forall \theta, \beta > 0$ that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( \theta \mathcal{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(W) \right) \right] < \infty.$$ 

Applying Proposition [4.7], we get that

$$\exp \left( \theta \mathbb{E} \mathcal{N}_{(C_1)^2\beta,p,[0,T]}(q_n(W)) \right) \leq \exp \left( \theta \mathcal{N}_{\beta,p,[0,T]}(W) \right).$$

\[\Box\]

### 4.2 Existence, Uniqueness and the Occupation Measure Path

In this Section, we overview some of the key details of [CL15] to establish the link between particle systems and McKean Vlasov Equations and the Existence and Uniqueness of the solution law of McKean Vlasov Equations.

**Assumption 4.9.** Let $\varsigma > \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $\gamma > 1$. Let

$$\sigma \in \text{Lip}^\varsigma \left( \mathbb{R}^e, L(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^e) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad b \in \text{Lip}^\gamma \left( \mathbb{R}^e, L(\text{Lip}_c^1(C^1(\mathbb{R}^e))^*, \mathbb{R}^e) \right).$$

**Definition 4.10.** Suppose $b$ and $\sigma$ satisfy Assumption 4.9. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_1(G \Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e))$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^e$ and $W = G \Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then the operator $\Theta_{b,\sigma} : \mathcal{P}_2 \left( G \Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e) \right) \times \mathbb{R}^e \times G \Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \to G \Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e)$ maps $(\mu, \xi, W)$ to the Rough Path that is the solution of the Rough Differential Equation

$$dX_t = b(X_t)d\gamma_t^\mu + \sigma(X_t)dW_t, \quad X_0 = \xi$$

$$\Theta(\mu, \xi, W) = X$$

For a fixed choice of $\mu$, we have that the Occupation measure path $\gamma_t^\mu$ is of bounded variations. Therefore, the existence and uniqueness of a solution to equation (4.4) comes immediately from [FV10b, Chapter 12]
4.2.1 Particle Approximations and Finite Support Laws

Firstly, we address the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the system of interacting particles that the McKean Vlasov equation models. Let $C$ be a codebook for a quantization of the law of the Brownian motion $L^W$ containing $n$ elements $b^j$. Each $b^j$ is a RKHS path that takes values in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Associated to each path is a component of the probability vector $p = (p_j)$ such that $p_j = L^W(s_j)$ where $s_j \in \mathcal{S}$ is the element of the partition associated to $b^j$.

By the nature of $\mathcal{H}$, we know that each path $b^j$ is a 1-variation path. Hence one can construct a canonical lift from $b^j$ to a Rough Path $h^j$ using Young Integration and

$$h^j_t = S_{[p]}(b^j)_{0,t}.$$

We know that $n$ is a finite integer, so we can denote the single path $h := \otimes_{j=1}^n h^j$ which is takes values in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n$. This path is still 1-variation with respect to the canonical norm on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n$. Therefore, we can similarly construct

$$h_t = S_{[p]}(\otimes_{j=1}^n b^j)_{0,t}.$$

For clarity, we emphasise that this is a rough path taking values in $T^{[p]}((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n)$ and it is not the same as $\otimes_{j=1}^n h^j = \otimes_{j=1}^n S_2(b^j)$ which takes values in $T^{[p]}((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n)$.

When working on the tensor algebra $T^M(V)$, we refer to the Alphabet $A$ which in the case $V = \mathbb{R}^d$ is the letters $\{1, ..., d\}$. However, when working on the tensor algebra $T^M((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n)$, we have the Alphabet $A$ contains all the pairs $\{(i, j): i \in \{1, ..., d\}, j \in \{1, ..., n\}\}$. We will also refer to $\mathcal{A}^j$ the Subalphabet containing all pairs $\{(i, j): i \in \{1, ..., d\}\}$. Key to the following result is that the Subalphabets $\mathcal{A}^j$ form a partition of the Alphabet $\mathcal{A}$.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let $V$ be a vector space with finite Alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ can be partitioned into a finite number of Subalphabets denoted by $\mathcal{A}^j$. Define

$$I^M(V) := \Big\{ h \in T^M(V) : \langle h, e_I \rangle = 0, \forall I \text{ a word with letters in } A \text{ s.t. } \exists j \text{ where } I \text{ is a word of } \mathcal{A}^j \Big\}.$$

$I^M(V)$ is a closed ideal of the Lie Algebra $P^M(V)$.

**Proof.** We verify that for $h_1 \in I^M(V)$ and $h_2 \in P^M(V)$ that $[h_1, h_2] \otimes I \in I^M(V)$.

Let $I$ be a word that has the property that $\exists j$ such that $I$ is also a word of $\mathcal{A}^j$. We denote

$$\Delta e_I = \sum_{I_1, I_2 = I} e_{I_1} \otimes e_{I_2}$$

using “Sweedler” notation and $I_1 I_2$ as being word concatenation. If $I$ is a word with letters in $\mathcal{A}^j$, then any subword of $I$ is also a word with letters in $\mathcal{A}^j$.

Therefore, for $h_1 \in I^M(V)$ and $h_2 \in P^M(V)$

$$\langle h_1 \otimes h_2, e_I \rangle = \langle h_1 \otimes h_2, \Delta e_I \rangle = \sum_{I_1, I_2 = I} \langle h_1, e_{I_1} \rangle \cdot \langle h_2, e_{I_2} \rangle = \sum_{I_1, I_2 = I} 0 \cdot \langle h_2, e_{I_2} \rangle = 0.$$

Similarly $\langle h_2 \otimes h_1, e_I \rangle = 0$, so naturally

$$\langle [h_1, h_2] \otimes I, e_I \rangle = 0.$$
Given an ideal of a Lie Algebra, one has a normal subgroup of the associated Lie Group. Thus define
\[ K^M(V) := \exp_{\mathbb{R}} \left( I^M(V) \right) \tag{4.5} \]
and consider the quotient group \( G^M(V)/K^M(V) \). There is a canonical isomorphism that maps this quotient group to \( \otimes_j G^M(V^j) \) where \( V^j \) is the vector space with Alphabet \( A^j \).

Next, we introduce the Lyons-Victoir Extension theorem:

**Theorem 4.12 ([LV07]).** Let \( V \) be a vector space with finite Alphabet \( A \) and suppose that \( A \) can be partitioned into a finite number of Subalphabets denoted by \( A^j \).

Suppose that \( X^j_t \) are \( \alpha \)-Hölder continuous paths taking values in \( G^M(V^j) \). Then \( \otimes_j X^j_t \) can be thought of as an \( \alpha \)-Hölder continuous path taking values in \( G^M(V)/K^M(V) \) and there exists an extension \( \tilde{X}_t \) taking values in \( G^M(V) \) that is \( \alpha \)-Hölder continuous with respect to the Carnot norm on \( G^M(V) \).

In order to study the system of interacting particle equations for (4.1), we consider the following partitioned into a finite number of Subalphabets denoted by \( A^j \).

Next, we introduce the Lyons-Victoir Extension theorem:

**Definition 4.13.** Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption [4.9]. Let \( p = (p_k)_{k=1,\ldots,n} \in \mathcal{P} \). Let \( B : (\mathbb{R}^e)^n \to (\mathbb{R}^e)^n \) and \( \Sigma : (\mathbb{R}^e)^n \to L((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes, (\mathbb{R}^e)^\otimes) \) be defined by

\[
B(X) := \bigotimes_{m=1}^n \left( b\left( (X, e_{(m)}) \right) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n p_k \delta(X, e_{(k)}) \right),
\]

\[
\Sigma(X) := \text{Diag}_{\otimes m=1,\ldots,n} \left( \sigma\left( (X, e_{(m)}) \right) \right).
\]

Let \( \tilde{W}_k \in G\Omega_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \) for each \( k \in \{1,\ldots,n\} \). Let \( \tilde{W} = \bigotimes_{k=1}^n \tilde{W}^k \) be the Rough path taking values in the quotient group \( G^M((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes)/I^M((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes) \). Let \( X_t \) be the controlled rough that solves the Rough Differential Equation

\[
dX_t = B(X_t)dt + \Sigma(X_t)d\tilde{W}_t, \quad X_0 = \xi^\otimes_n \tag{4.6}
\]
taking values in \( (\mathbb{R}^e)^\otimes_n \). By the properties of \( b \) and \( \sigma \) from Definition [4.10], we have that \( B \in \text{Lip}^\gamma((\mathbb{R}^e)^\otimes_n) \) and \( \Sigma \in \text{Lip}^\gamma((\mathbb{R}^e)^\otimes_n, L((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes_n, (\mathbb{R}^e)^\otimes_n)) \). Therefore, the solution to Equation (4.6) is standard.

**Definition 4.14.** Let \( V \) and \( U \) be vector spaces. For differentiable Vector fields \( F : W \to L(V^\otimes_i, U) \) and \( G : U \to L(V^\otimes_j, U) \), we define the operation \(*\) such that \( F * G : U \to L(V^\otimes_{i+j}, U) \) by

\[
F * G(u) \left[ v_1, \ldots, v_j, v_j+1, \ldots, v_j+i \right] = \left( \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{F(u + \varepsilon G(u)[v_1, \ldots, v_j]) - F(u)}{\varepsilon} \right) [v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_{j+i}] \tag{4.7}
\]

Then it is a natural observation to make that the Controlled Rough Path \( X \) that represents the solution to Equation (4.6) is equal to

\[
X_s = \left( X_s, \Sigma(X_s), \Sigma * \Sigma(X_s), \ldots, \Sigma^{*\otimes(M-1)}(X_s) \right) \tag{4.8}
\]
Lemma 4.15. Let $V$, $U$ be vector spaces with alphabets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ can be partitioned into a collection of $n$ subalphabets $\mathcal{A}^j$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}^j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

For $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F : U \to L(V^\otimes k, U)$ and $G : U \to L(V^\otimes l, U)$, suppose that there exist $f^j : U^j \to L\left((V^j)^\otimes k, U^j\right)$ and $g^j : U^j \to L\left((V^j)^\otimes l, U^j\right)$ such that we have the representation

$$F(u) = \text{Diag}_{j=1,\ldots,n}\left(f^j(P_{U^j}[u])\right),$$

$$G(u) = \text{Diag}_{j=1,\ldots,n}\left(g^j(P_{U^j}[u])\right).$$

Suppose that $F$ is differentiable. Then $F \ast G$ has the representation

$$F \ast G(u) = \text{Diag}_{j=1,\ldots,n}\left(Df(P_{U^j}[u]) \otimes g(P_{U^j}[u])\right).$$

Proof. This result can be seen by first restricting oneself to words from a particular subalphabet, then observing that for any words that contain letters from multiple alphabets the derivative becomes 0. \qed

We know that by Theorem 2.37, the controlled rough path $\tilde{X}$ can be lifted to a Rough path. Our next result, the main result of this section and similar to one found in [CL15], ensures the choice of lift does not affect the final solution to our equations.

Theorem 4.16. For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let $W^j \in G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and define $W = \otimes_{j=1}^n W^j$. Let $\tilde{W}$ be the extension of $W$ to $G\Omega_\alpha\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^d\right)^\otimes n\right)$. Let $B$ and $\Sigma$ be as defined in Definition 4.13 and let $\tilde{X}$ be the unique controlled Rough path that solves the Rough Differential Equation (4.6).

Let $X \in G\Omega_\alpha\left(\left(\mathbb{R}^e\right)^\otimes n\right)$ be the lift of $\tilde{X}$ as constructed in Equation (2.26). Then $X$ is dependent on $W$ but not $\tilde{W}$.

Proof. Let $V = (\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n$ and $U = (\mathbb{R}^e)^\otimes n$ with alphabets $\mathcal{A}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and subalphabets

$$\mathcal{A}^j = \left\{ (i_1, j), \ldots, (i_k, j) : 1 \leq k \leq M, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\} \right\},$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}^j = \left\{ (i_1, j), \ldots, (i_k, j) : 1 \leq k \leq M, i \in \{1, \ldots, e\} \right\}.$$

Thus all the vector spaces $V^j$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $U^j$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^e$ but each $V^j$ and $U^j$ is distinct and identifiable.

As with the normal subgroup constructed in Equation (4.5), we know the normal subgroup that generates the cosets for the quotient group is

$$K^M\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n\right) = \exp_\otimes \left(I^M\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n\right)\right),$$

$$I^M\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n\right) = \left\{ h \in T^M\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n\right) : \langle h, e_j \rangle = 0, \forall I \text{ s.t. } \exists j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \text{ with } I \in \mathcal{A}^j \right\}.$$

Let

$$\pi_{G^M\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n\right)/K^M\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n\right)} \left[ \tilde{W}_{s,t} \right] = \tilde{W}_{s,t} \otimes K^M\left((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n\right) = W_{s,t}.$$
By Theorem [2.37] we know this is equal to
\[ X_{s,t} := \lim_{|D| \to 0} \sum_{i,t \in D} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{M} \left( X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i+1}} \right)^{\otimes k}, W_{t_i,t_{i+1}}^{1:k} + B(X_{t_{i+1}})(t_{i+1} - t_i) \right) \]
and \( X \) is defined as in Equation (4.8). It is important to realise that the drift term, the only term that contains the "measure like" contributions, is only included in the first level of the signature. Measure dependencies are generally smoother than path dependencies and their higher regularity means they are \( o(|D|^{1+}) \).

Next, we have
\[
(X_{t_i} - X_{t_{i+1}})^{\otimes k} = \left( \Sigma(X_{t_i}) + \Sigma \Sigma(X_{t_i}) + \ldots + \Sigma^{*(M-1)}(X_{t_i}) \right)^{\otimes k}
= \sum_{l_1 + \ldots + l_k \leq M} \mathcal{M}^{\otimes l_k}(X_{t_i}).
\]

By using Definition 4.13 and Lemma 4.15 we have that there exists \( f_j : U^j \to L\left((V^j)^{\otimes l_m}, U^j\right) \) such that
\[
\Sigma^{*l_m}(X_s) = \text{Diag}_{j=1,\ldots,n} \left( f_j((X_s,e_{(\cdot,j)}) \right)
\]
Similarly, there exists functions \( g_j : U^j \to L\left((V^j)^{\otimes (l_1 + \ldots + l_k)}, U^j\right) \) such that
\[
\bigotimes_{m=1}^{k} \Sigma^{*l_m}(X_s) = \text{Diag}_{j=1,\ldots,n} \left( g_j((X_s,e_{(\cdot,j)}) \right)
\]
which is an operator restricted to the subgroup \( \otimes^n_{j=1} G^M(V^j) \).

### 4.2.2 Existence and Uniqueness

For this section, we focus on the approach of [CL15]. Firstly, we introduce some of the notation and operators used in this paper to construct different elements for solving our McKean Vlasov equation. The methods and results of [BCD18] which are further explored in [BCD19b] and [BCD19a] are not addressed here.

**Definition 4.17.** Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9. Let \( \mu, L \in \mathcal{P}_1(G\Omega_p(R^d)) \) be probability measures. Then define the map \( \Psi_L : \mathcal{P}_1(G\Omega_p(R^d)) \to \mathcal{P}_1(G\Omega_p(R^d)) \) defined by
\[
\Psi_L(\mu) = L \circ \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu, \cdot)^{-1}.
\]

The fixed point of the operator \( \Psi_L \) will be the law of the solution to the McKean Vlasov Equation (4.1) where the law of the driving noise \( W \) is given by \( L \).

**Assumption 4.18.** Let \( \zeta > p > 1 \) and \( \gamma > 1 \). Suppose that
1. The measure \( L^W \in \mathcal{P}_1(G\Omega_p(R^d)) \) satisfies that \( \forall \theta \geq 0 \)
\[
\int_{G\Omega_p(R^d)} \exp \left( \theta M_1, [0,T] \right| \omega X \right) dL^W(X) < \infty,
\]
(4.10)
2. The functions \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9

**Theorem 4.19 ([CL15]).** Suppose that Assumption 4.18 are satisfied. Then the operator \( \Psi_{L^W} \) is a contraction operator. Therefore, there exists a unique solution to Equation (4.1).
4.3 Propagation of Chaos and Quantization

The final result of [CL15] is the Continuity result for the law of the driving noise for the Rough Differential Equation. This is framed within the narrative of ‘Propagation of Chaos’. We exploit this result to show that the sequence of quantizations we construct converging to the true law of the Brownian motion implies that the law of the associated Particle systems converges to the true law of the McKean Vlasov Equation.

**Definition 4.20.** Let $K : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be a monotone increasing real valued function. Define the collection of measures

$$
P_K\left(G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)\right) := \left\{ \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_1(G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)) : \forall \theta \in (0, \infty) \int_{G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \exp\left(\theta M_{1,[0,T]}(\omega_X)\right) d\mathcal{L}(X) \leq K(\theta) \right\}
$$

paired with the topology of weak convergence generated by the Rough Path Hölder norm.

A natural way to think about this collection of measures is the law of all rough paths such that the Moment Generating Function of the Accumulated $\alpha$-variation is dominated by the function $K$.

**Proposition 4.21.** Suppose that Assumption 4.18 is satisfied. Suppose additionally that there exists a monotone increasing function $K : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ that dominates Equation (4.10). Define the operator $\Xi : P_K\left(G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)\right) \to P_2\left(G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^e)\right)$ by

$$
\Xi[\mathcal{L}] = \mathcal{L}^X
$$

(4.11)

where $\mathcal{L}^X$ is the unique measure that is a fixed point of Equation (4.9) so that $\Psi_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{L}^X) = \mathcal{L}^X$.

Then the operator is well defined and for $\mathcal{L}^W_1, \mathcal{L}^W_2 \in P_K\left(G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d)\right)$ we have

$$
\mathbb{W}^{(2)}_{\rho_\alpha-Hölder[0,T]}(\Xi[\mathcal{L}^W_1], \Xi[\mathcal{L}^W_2]) \leq C^{\mathbb{W}^{(2)}_{\rho_\alpha-Hölder[0,T]}}(\mathcal{L}^W_1, \mathcal{L}^W_2)
$$

(4.12)

where the constant $C = C(\alpha, K, T, d, e)$.

Previously, this result was used to show that the empirical measure obtained by sampling paths of a Brownian motion could be used to obtain a particle system that would converge as the number of particles increased to the solution of a McKean Vlasov Equation. In the remarkable work [DFMS18], the authors study the rate of convergence of these empirical measures to the true law in probability.

**Proof.** Same as proof [CL15] Lemma 4.11.

4.4 Continuity with respect to the Occupation Measure path

In [CL15], Theorem 4.9, the goal is to establish the existence of a contraction operator whose fixed point will be the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation. In fact, computing the specific contraction operator is not simple. Here, we provide a more tangible operator that is (Lipschitz) continuous but not a contraction.

**Proposition 4.22.** Let $b$ and $\sigma$ satisfy Assumption 4.9 and let $\Theta_{b,\sigma}$ be the operator defined in Definition 4.10.
Then $\Theta_{b,\sigma}$ is Locally Lipschitz continuous in measure, that is $\forall \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_1(G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e))$ such that
\[
\int_{G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e)} \rho_{\alpha-Hö}(X,1)d\mu(X), \int_{G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e)} \rho_{\alpha-Hö}(X,1)d\nu(X) < C
\]
and $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^e$ and $\forall W \in G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\rho_{\alpha-Hö}([0,T])W, 1 < C$, $\exists L_C > 0$ such that
\[
\rho_{\alpha-Hö}\left(\Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu, \xi, W), \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\nu, \xi, W)\right) \leq L_C \mathbb{W}^{(2)}_{\rho_{\alpha-Hö}|[0,T]}(\mu, \nu) \quad (4.13)
\]
Proof. Denote the control $\omega(s, t) = \|W\|_{p-\text{var}:[s,t]} + \|\gamma^\mu\|_{1-\text{var}:[s,t]} + \|\gamma^\nu\|_{1-\text{var}:[s,t]}$. Then [CL15, Lemma 4.3] gives
\[
\rho_{p-\omega|[0,T]}\left(\Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu, \xi, W), \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\nu, \xi, W)\right) \leq C \rho_{1,\omega|[0,T]}(\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu) \exp\left(M_{\beta|[0,T]}(\omega)\right).
\]
Indeed, we also have
\[
\|\gamma^\mu_s - \gamma^\nu_s\|_{\text{Lip}^1(G\Omega(\mathbb{R}^e))} \leq |t-s| \mathbb{W}_{\rho_{\alpha-Hö}|[0,t]}^{(2)}(\mu, \nu).
\]
By assumption, the Wasserstein distance must be finite across the interval $[0, T]$, so we know the control can be dominated by $\omega(s, t) \lesssim |t-s|$. Thus $\rho_{p-\omega}$ will be equivalent to $\rho_{\alpha-Hö}$ and we get
\[
\rho_{\alpha-Hö|[0,T]}\left(\Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu, \xi, W), \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\nu, \xi, W)\right) \leq C \mathbb{W}_{\rho_{\alpha-Hö}|[0,t]}^{(2)}(\mu, \nu) \cdot \exp\left(M_{\beta|[0,T]}(\omega)\right)
\]
Next, we note that while the constant $C$ is uniform over the choice of $\mu$ and $\nu$, the control $\omega$ is dependent on them and so the Accumulated $\beta$-local $p$-variation is also dependent on their second moments.

With only Proposition 4.22, one can establish the distance between two paths driven by different occupation measure paths. However, we can (and should) go further:

Theorem 4.23. Let $b$ and $\sigma$ satisfy Assumption 4.9 and let $\Theta_{b,\sigma}$ be the operator defined in Definition 4.10.

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^e$ fixed, the operator $\Theta_{b,\sigma}$ is jointly continuous over $\mathcal{P}_2(G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e)) \times G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In particular
\[
\lim_{(\mu_k, W_k) \to (\mu, W)} \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu_k, \xi, W_k) = \lim_{\mu_k \to \mu} \lim_{W_k \to W} \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu_k, \xi, W_k) = \lim_{W_k \to W} \lim_{\mu_k \to \mu} \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu_k, \xi, W_k) = \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu, \xi, W)
\]
Proof. For $W_1, W_2 \in G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(G\Omega_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^e))$, define the control
\[
\omega(s, t) = \|W_1\|_{p-\text{var}:[s,t]} + \|W_2\|_{p-\text{var}:[s,t]} + \|\gamma^\mu\|_{1-\text{var}:[s,t]} + \|\gamma^\nu\|_{1-\text{var}:[s,t]}
\]
we have
\[
\rho_{\alpha-Hö|[0,T]}\left(\Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu, \xi, W_1), \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\nu, \xi, W_2)\right) \leq C \left(\rho_{\alpha-Hö|[0,T]}(W_1, W_2) + \rho_{1-Hö|[0,T]}(\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu)\right) e^{M_{\beta|[0,T]}(\omega)}.
\]
36
Proposition 4.22 shows continuity in measure pointwise for each Geometric rough path $W$. Therefore, to prove Joint Continuity via Moore-Osgood we verify the Uniform continuity condition.

Let $\mu_k, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(G_\alpha \Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^e))$ and $\mathbb{H}^{(2)}_{H_{\alpha}([0,T]};(\mu_k,\mu)} \to 0$. Then we also have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \| \gamma^{\mu_k} - \gamma^{\mu} \|_{1-\text{var};[0,T]} = 0.$$ 

Hence there must exist an $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{k > C} \| \gamma^{\mu_k} \|_{1-\text{var};[0,T]} \leq \| \gamma^{\mu} \|_{1-\text{var};[0,T]} + 1.$$ 

Thus

$$\sup_{k > C} \rho_{\alpha - H_{\alpha};[0,T]} \left( \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu_k,\xi, W_1), \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mu_k,\xi, W_2) \right) \leq C \rho_{\alpha - H_{\alpha};[0,T]}(W_1, W_2) \cdot \exp \left( M_{\beta,p}(W_1) + M_{\beta,p}(W_2) \right) \exp \left( \| \gamma^{\mu} \|_{1-\text{var};[0,T]} + 1 \right)$$

which implies Uniform Continuity.

5 Support Theorem

In this Section, we introduce a collection of sets that to the best of the authors knowledge have not previously been used. These sets are all subsets of $C^{\alpha,\beta}(0,T;\mathbb{R}^d)$ and are defined solely with respect to $H, \| \cdot \|_{\alpha}$ and the coefficients of the Rough Differential Equation (4.1).

In order to provide a clear exposition of the construction of the support, we briefly summarise the upcoming subsections:

We have obtained a sequence of quantizations $q_n$ for the the law of the Enhanced Brownian motion with codebooks $C_n$.

1. (a) For each quantization, we solve the system of interacting ODEs defined by replacing the path of Brownian motion by the associated codebook path and replacing the law of the Brownian motion by the quantization

(b) By associating to each of these ODEs the probability weight associated to the codebook element driving the equation, we obtain a finite support measure. We call this the Quantization of the McKean Vlasov Equation. This sequence of finite support measures converges to the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation.

2. (a) For a fixed $n$, we replace the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation inside the canonical skeleton process by the Quantization of the McKean Vlasov Equation.

(b) We show that for $n$ chosen large enough, an $\varepsilon$ ball around this collection of paths will be a closed set of measure 1.

(c) By taking an intersection of these sets, we show the set of limit points has measure 1

3. Finally, we extend our work to the case where the McKean Vlasov Equation has an initial law.
5.1 The Skeleton Process for a McKean Vlasov Equations

Firstly, observe that the law of a McKean Vlasov equation is deterministic, it is not dependent on the choice of driving noise. The Occupation Measure path is bounded variation and does not interact with the noise. Thus when the Occupation Measure path is known, McKean Vlasov Equations can be thought of as Classical Rough Differential Equations with a drift term. Classical Support Theorems apply in this framework and we are able to describe the Skeleton process.

Definition 5.1. Let \( L^W \) be the law of an Enhanced Brownian motion. Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9. Let \( L^X \) be the unique fixed point of the operator \( \Psi_{L^W} \). Then we define the True Skeleton Operator \( \Phi' : \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{R}^\varepsilon \to G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^\varepsilon) \) to be the operator that maps the element of the RKHS to the solution of the ODE

\[
d\Phi'(h, \xi)_t = b(\Phi'(h, \xi)_t) dt + \sigma(\Phi'(h, \xi)_t) d\gamma_t, \quad \Phi'(h, \xi)_0 = \xi.
\] (5.1)

It is important to emphasise that the True Skeleton Operator is dependent on the measure \( L^X \) and as such it cannot be solved without knowing the law exogenously. The work of this Section is to navigate around this issue.

5.1.1 Interacting Particle system derived from Quantization

Definition 5.2. Let \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^\varepsilon \). Let \( L \in P_c(\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)) \) be a finite support measure over the space of Geometric rough paths with the form \( L = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \delta_{W_j} \) where \( (p_j)_{j=1,...,n} \) is a Probability vector. For codebook \( \mathcal{C} := \{W_j : j = 1, ..., n\} \), let \( W := \otimes_{j=1}^n W_j \) and let \( \tilde{W} \) be the extension of \( W \) to \( G^\varepsilon_\alpha((\mathbb{R}^d)^\otimes n) \). Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9. Let \( B \) and \( \Sigma \) be as in Definition 4.13.

Then we define the \( L \) Interacting Particle System to be the solution to the Rough Differential Equation

\[
d\Phi(L)_t = B(\Phi(L)_t) dt + \Sigma(\Phi(L)_t) dW_t, \quad \Phi(L)_0 = \otimes_{j=1}^n \xi \in (\mathbb{R}^\varepsilon)^\otimes n
\] (5.2)

taking values in \( G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^\varepsilon)^\otimes n \).

An important detail about this object is that this is a finite system of Rough Differential Equations. This system of interacting Equations can be solved without having to consider any measures.

Theorem 5.3. The solution to the Rough Differential Equation (5.2) is independent of the choice of \( \tilde{W} \) and only on \( W \).

Proof. See Theorem 4.16.

5.1.2 Quantization of the McKean Vlasov

We use the interacting particle system to obtain a law that approximates the law of the McKean Vlasov.

Definition 5.4. Let \( L \in P_c(\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)) \) be a finite support measure over the space of Geometric rough paths with the form \( L = \sum_{m=1}^n p_m \delta_{W_m} \) where \( (p_m)_{m=1,...,n} \) is a Probability vector. Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9.

Let \( \Phi(L) \) be the Interacting Particle System, the solution to Equation (5.2). Then we define the Law of the \( L \) Interacting Particle System to be the finite measure over \( \mathcal{G}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^\varepsilon) \)

\[
\mathcal{L}^{\Phi(L)} := \sum_{m=1}^n p_m \delta_{\Phi(L), \xi_{(\cdot, m)}}.
\]
Substituting a Quantization of the Brownian motion into an Interacting Particle System and taking the law of this Interacting Particle System, we obtain a Quantization for the McKean Vlasov Equation.

**Proposition 5.5.** Let \( L^W \) be the law of Enhanced Brownian motion. Let \( L^W \circ q_n^{-1} \) be the sequence of Quantizations of the Enhanced Brownian motion from Definition 3.13.

Let \( L^{\Phi}(L^W \circ q_n^{-1}) \) be the sequence of Quantizations for the McKean Vlasov obtained from the sequence of finite support measures \( L^W \circ q_n^{-1} \). Then \( \Xi\left[L^W \circ q_n^{-1}\right] = L^{\Phi}(L^W \circ q_n^{-1}) \) so that

\[
\mathbb{W}^{(2)}_{\rho_\alpha-Hölder,[0,T]}(L^{\Phi}(L^W \circ q_n^{-1}), L^X) \lesssim \mathbb{W}^{(2)}_{\rho_\alpha-Hölder,[0,T]}(L^W \circ q_n^{-1}, L^W)
\]

**Proof.** By careful application of the definition of \( \Xi \) and the fact that \( q_n \) is a finite support measure, it is clear that \( \Xi\left[L^W \circ q_n^{-1}\right] = L^{\Phi}(L^W \circ q_n^{-1}) \).

Apply Proposition 4.12 to Theorem 3.14. In particular, the rate of convergence is at least as good as the rate of convergence for the Quantization.

### 5.2 The Support of the McKean Vlasov Equation

The support of a (classical) Stochastic Differential Equation is a problem that was first studied in [SV72]. Here the authors consider the solution of the SDE as a measure over the space of continuous functions with supremum norm. After that, [BAGL94] extended the same ideas but for the Hölder norm.

At face value, a Support Theorem for McKean Vlasov Equations is not remarkable. The following result immediately holds following methods laid out in [FV10b, Chapter 19].

**Theorem 5.6.** Let \( L^W \) be the law of an Enhanced Brownian motion. Let \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^e \). Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9. Let \( L^X \) be the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation (4.1). Then the support of \( L^X \) can be characterised with respect to the Hölder norm by

\[
\text{supp}(L^X) = \{ \Phi'(h, \xi) : h \in \mathcal{H} \}_{\rho_\alpha-Hölder,[0,T]}
\]

where \( \Phi' \) is the True Skeleton operator from Definition 5.1.

In fact, this is not a useful or meaningful result to prove. The issue here is that the True Skeleton Operator needs to know a priori the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation. This measure can be proved to exist, but constructing it is another matter. Also, the point of the Support Theorem is to characterise a topological property of the solution law; to define this property in terms of the law itself is cyclic and unproductive. The point of this paper is to overcome this issue with quantization.

#### 5.2.1 Quantized Skeleton of McKean Vlasov

We use the Quantized McKean Vlasov of construct a Skeleton process that approximates the True Skeleton Process.

**Definition 5.7.** Let \( L^W \) be the law of an Enhanced Brownian Motion. Let \( q_n \) be the sequence of Quantizations of \( L^W \) constructed in Definition 3.13. Let \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^e \) and let \( h \in \mathcal{H} \). Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9.
Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
\[
\rho_{\alpha - \text{H"{o}l};[0,T]} \left( \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^{W \circ q^{-1}}), \xi, h \right) \leq \varepsilon.
\] (5.4)

Then define the set
\[
A_\varepsilon(h) := \left\{ Y \in G_{\Omega_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^e) : \rho_{\alpha - \text{H"{o}l};[0,T]} \left( Y, \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^{\Phi(L^W \circ q^{-1}), \xi, h}) \right) < \varepsilon \right\}.
\] (5.5)

We emphasise that the choice of $n$ will not be uniform over all choices of $h \in H$. Also note that $\Phi'(h,\xi) = \Theta_{b,\sigma}(L^X, \xi, h)$. The first goal is to show that each of these sets contains an element of the $\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}^W)$, irregardless of $\varepsilon$:

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $h \in H$. Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, the open sets defined in Definition 5.7 have positive measure with respect to $\mathcal{L}^X$.

**Proof.** The condition for $A_\varepsilon(h)$ in Equation (5.4) is the key to this. It ensures that for any choice of $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\Phi'(h,\xi) \in A_\varepsilon(h)$. By Theorem 5.6, we have that any open set $B \subseteq G_{\Omega_\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^e)$ containing a path $\Phi'(h,\xi)$ satisfies
\[
\mathcal{L}^X[B] > 0
\]
for any choice of $h \in H$.

In particular, this means the limit points of these sets is contained in the Support of the solution law.

### 5.2.2 Statement for the Support

We now formulate our statement of the Support Theorem of McKean Vlasov Equations:

**Theorem 5.9.** Let $\mathcal{L}^W$ be the law of an Enhanced Brownian motion. Let $q_n$ be the sequence of Quantizations obtained in Definition 3.13. Let $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi(L^W \circ q^{-1})}$ be the law of the Interacting Particle System driven by the quantization constructed in Definition 5.4. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^e$. Suppose that $b$ and $\sigma$ satisfy Assumption 4.9. Then the law of the solution to the McKean Vlasov Equation (4.1) satisfies
\[
\text{supp}(\mathcal{L}^X) = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left\{ \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^{\Phi(L^W \circ q^{-1})}, \xi, h) : h \in H, h = S_2(h) \right\}^{\rho_{\alpha - \text{H"ol};[0,T]}}.
\] (5.6)

We emphasise that this expression of the Support is only dependent on:

- The Reproducing Kernel Hilbert space of Brownian motion $H$ and the initial condition $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^e$
- The coefficients $b$ and $\sigma$
- The sequence of Systems of Interacting Particles $\Phi(L^W \circ q^{-1})$ which is in turn dependent on
  - The coefficients $b$ and $\sigma$
  - The sequence of Quantizations $q_n$ which are only dependent on $H$ and $\| \cdot \|_{\alpha}$.

We have not solved the law of the McKean Vlasov or the Occupation measure path at any point of this approach.
Proof. For the simplicity of the proof, we rely on Theorem 5.6 for an expression of \( \text{supp}(\mathcal{L}^X) \). By Proposition 5.5, we have that the law of the Interacting particle system converges to the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation as \( n \to \infty \). Fix \( h \in \mathcal{H} \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). Then the sequence

\[
\Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^\Phi(\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_{n^{-1}}), \xi, h) \in \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left\{ \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^\Phi(\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_{n^{-1}}), \xi, h) : h \in \mathcal{H}, h = S_m(h) \right\}^{\rho_{\alpha-Höl:[0,T]}}
\]

for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Since this is also a closed, we have the limit of these paths is also contained so

\[
\Phi'(h, \xi) = \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^X, \xi, h) \in \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left\{ \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^\Phi(\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_{n^{-1}}), \xi, h) : h \in \mathcal{H}, h = S_2(h) \right\}^{\rho_{\alpha-Höl:[0,T]}}.
\]

Finally, the True skeleton is contained for any choice of \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), so it must be contained in the intersection over all \( m \). This was true for any choice of \( h \in \mathcal{H} \), so it is also true for all \( h \in \mathcal{H} \). Thus

\[
\left\{ \Phi'(h, \xi) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\} \subset \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left\{ \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^\Phi(\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_{n^{-1}}), \xi, h) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}^{\rho_{\alpha-Höl:[0,T]}}.
\]

Finally, as the right hand side is closed, we can take a closure on the left hand side to achieve the first implication.

Now we show the reverse implication. Suppose \( Y \in G\Omega_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^r) \) such that

\[
Y \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left\{ \Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^\Phi(\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_{n^{-1}}), \xi, h) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}^{\rho_{\alpha-Höl:[0,T]}}.
\]

Then there must exist a subsequence \( n_k \) and a sequence of \( h_k \in \mathcal{H} \) such that

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \rho_{\alpha-Höl:[0,T]}(\Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^\Phi(\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_{n_k^{-1}}), \xi, h_k), Y) = 0.
\]

Further, we know the sequence \( \lim_{k \to \infty} n_k = \infty \), since \( Y \) is in the intersect over all \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). Thus the limit of \( \mathcal{L}^\Phi(\mathcal{L}^W \circ q_{n_k^{-1}}) \) must just be \( \mathcal{L}^X \) as \( k \to \infty \).

By Theorem 4.23, we have Joint Continuity of \( \Theta_{b,\sigma} \). Therefore, taking the limit in the measure variable first, we get

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \rho_{\alpha-Höl:[0,T]}(\Theta_{b,\sigma}(\mathcal{L}^X, \xi, h_k), Y) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \rho_{\alpha-Höl:[0,T]}(\Phi'(h_k, \xi), Y) = 0.
\]

But this just means that \( Y \) must be contained in the set on the right hand side of Equation (5.3). \( \square \)

5.3 Random Initial Conditions

An apparent limitation of this work is that we restrict ourselves to McKean Vlasov Equations with constant initial conditions. However, there is an easy extension to the case where the initial condition is random.

We introduce a Theorem first proved in [CFN97] that allows for the consideration of random initial conditions.

**Theorem 5.10** ([CFN97]). Let \( F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \to E \) be a random variable taking values in a Banach space \( E \) such that \( x \mapsto F(\omega, x) \) is continuous for each \( \omega \). Suppose that \( G : H \times \mathbb{R}^d \to E \) is a uniform skeleton of \( F \). Suppose that \( \zeta \) is an \( m \)-dimensional random variable with skeleton \( \phi \). Then \( \tilde{G}(h) := G(h, \phi(h)) \) is a skeleton of \( \tilde{F}(\omega) := F(\omega, \zeta(\omega)) \).
We now turn to the McKean Vlasov Equation

\[ dX_t = \sigma(X_t)dW_t + b(X_t)d\gamma_t, \quad X_0 \sim \mathcal{P}_r(\mathbb{R}^e) \]  

(5.7)

where \( r > 1 \).

Following in the footsteps of Definition 3.13, we construct a quantization for the law \( \xi \times \mathcal{L}^W \) over \( \mathbb{R}^e \times G_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

**Definition 5.11.** Let \( r > 1 \). Let \( \mathcal{L}^W \) be the law of a Brownian motion over \( C^\alpha(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d) \). Let \( \xi \in \mathcal{P}_r(\mathbb{R}^e) \). Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \).

1. By Theorem 2.16, there exists a codebook \( \mathcal{C}^{(1)}_m \subset \mathbb{R}^e \) that is an \( m \)-stationary set with Voronoi partition \( \mathcal{C}^{(1)}_m \). Let \( \mathcal{C}^{(2)}_n \) be the \( n \) element codebook constructed in Definition 3.13 with partition \( S_n^{(2)} \).

2. Let \( \mathcal{C}_{m,n} := \mathcal{C}^{(1)}_m \times \mathcal{C}^{(2)}_n \) be a sequence of codebooks over \( \mathbb{R}^e \times G_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and let \( S_{m,n} := \mathcal{C}^{(1)}_m \times S_n^{(2)} \) be a partition of \( \mathbb{R}^e \times G_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Let \( q_{m,n} \) be the Quantization with codebook \( \mathcal{C}_{m,n} \) and partition \( S_{m,n} \). Then \( |C_{m,n}| = m \cdot n \).

3. By combining Proposition 2.27 and Corollary 3.7, the rate of convergence for is

\[
\frac{1}{m^{\alpha/2}} + \left( \log(n) \right)^{\alpha - 1/2} \lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^e \times G_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\gamma_{\mathcal{C}^{(1)}_m \times \mathcal{C}^{(2)}_n}^r (x, \gamma) \right)^{1/r} \mathcal{W} \left( \frac{n^{1/(2-\alpha)e}}{(1/2 - \alpha)e} \right)^{\alpha - 1/2} \tag{5.8}
\]

4. By choosing \( m \approx [\log(n)]^{(1/(2-\alpha)e)} \) and rescaling, we obtain the sequence of Quantizations

\[
\log \left( \frac{n}{[1/(2-\alpha)e](1/(2-\alpha)e)} \right) - \log \left( \mathcal{W} \left( \frac{n^{1/(2-\alpha)e}}{(1/2 - \alpha)e} \right)^{\alpha - 1/2} \right) \lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^e \times G_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^d)} d\gamma_{\mathcal{C}^{(1)}_m \times \mathcal{C}^{(2)}_n}^r (x, \gamma) \right)^{1/r} \tag{5.9}
\]

Next, following Definition 5.4, we define a new interacting particle system:

**Definition 5.12.** Let \( \mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{P}_r(\mathbb{R}^e \times G_{\Omega}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \) be a finite support measure of the form \( \mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \delta_{(x_j, W_j)} \) where \( (p_j)_{j=1}^n \) is a Probability vector. For codebook \( \mathcal{C} := \{(x_j, W_j) : j = 1, \ldots, n\} \), let \( W := \bigotimes_{j=1}^n W_j \) and \( X := \bigotimes_{j=1}^n x_j \in (\mathbb{R}^e)^\otimes n \). Let \( b \) and \( \sigma \) satisfy Assumption 4.9. Let \( B \) and \( \Sigma \) be as in Definition 3.13.

Then we define the \( \mathcal{L} \) Interacting Particle System with random initial condition to be the solution to the Rough Differential Equation

\[
d\Phi(\mathcal{L})_t = B(\Phi(\mathcal{L})_t)dt + \Sigma(\Phi(\mathcal{L})_t)dW_t, \quad \Phi(\mathcal{L})_0 = X. \tag{5.10}
\]
We also define the law of the $L$ Interacting Particle system in $\mathcal{P}_e \left(G \Omega_\alpha (\mathbb{R}^e)\right)$ to be
\[
L^{\Phi(L)} := \sum_{m=1}^n v_m \delta_\left(\Phi(L), e_{(\cdot,m)}\right)
\]

In this definition we do not limit ourselves to the case where many of the $x_j$ values are repeated. However, we would expect this due to the implicit joint density between the measures $L^W$ and $\xi$ being the product measure.

We use the quantization of the measure $\xi \times L^W$ constructed in Definition [5.11] to solve the law of an Interacting Particle system that approximates the true law of the McKean Vlasov Equation

**Proposition 5.13.** Let $L^W$ be the law of Enhanced Brownian motion. Let $[\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n$ be the sequence of Quantizations of the Enhanced Brownian motion from Definition [5.11].

Let $L^{\Phi([\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n)}$ be the sequence of Quantizations for the McKean Vlasov obtained from the sequence of finite support measures $[\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n$. Then $\mathbb{E} \left([\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n\right) = L^{\Phi([\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n)}$ so that
\[
\mathbb{W}^{(1)}_{\rho_{\alpha \times H^\alpha}} \left(L^{\Phi([\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n)}, [\xi \times L^X]\right) \leq \mathbb{W}^{(1)}_{\rho_{\alpha \times H^\alpha}} \left([\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n, [\xi \times L^W]\right)
\]

**5.3.1 Statement for the Support**

Using classical tools, we combine the results of Theorem [5.6] with [CFN97] for this next Theorem:

**Theorem 5.14.** Let $r > 1$. Let $\xi \in P_r(\mathbb{R}^e)$. Let $L^W$ be the law on an Enhanced Brownian motion. Let $b$ and $\sigma$ be defined in Definition [4.17]. Suppose that Assumption [4.18] is satisfied. Let $L^X$ be the law of the McKean Vlasov Equation (5.7). Then the support of $L^X$ can be characterised with respect to the Hölder norm by
\[
\text{supp}(L^X) = \left\{ \Phi'(h, x) : h \in \mathcal{H}, x \in \text{supp}(\xi) \right\}^{\rho_{\alpha \times H^\alpha}([0, T])}
\]

where $\Phi'$ is the True Skeleton operator from Definition [5.1].

As already laid out, this theorem is not useful and our next final Theorem is the culmination of this work:

**Theorem 5.15.** Let $r > 1$. Let $\xi \in P_r(\mathbb{R}^e)$. Let $L^W$ be the law of an Enhanced Brownian motion. Let $q_n$ be the sequence of Quantizations obtained in Definition [5.11]. Let $L^{\Phi([\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n)}$ be the law of the Interacting Particle System driven by the quantization constructed in Definition [5.12]. Suppose that $b$ and $\sigma$ satisfy Assumption [4.18]. Then the law of the solution to the McKean Vlasov Equation (4.1) satisfies
\[
\text{supp}(L^X) = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n \geq m} \left\{ \Theta_{b,\sigma}(L^{\Phi([\xi \times L^W] \circ q^{-1}_n)}, x, h) : h \in \mathcal{H}, x \in \text{supp}(\xi) \right\}^{\rho_{\alpha \times H^\alpha}([0, T])}.
\]

6 Examples

**Example 6.1** (Delayed Non-degenerate Affine linear SDE). Consider the 3-dimensional McKean Vlasov Equation
\[
\begin{align*}
X_1(t) = & 1 \\ X_2(t) = & 1 \\
X_3(t) = & (\mathbb{E} \left[|X(t)| \right] - 1) \wedge 0 + \begin{pmatrix}
X_1(t), & 0, & 0 \\
0, & X_2(t), & 0 \\
0, & 0, & X_3(t)
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
dW_1(t) \\
dW_2(t) \\
dW_3(t)
\end{pmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
X_1(0) \\
X_2(0) \\
X_3(0)
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
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By following [CF10] with addition of a drift term which is accounted for using the methods of [Nua06, Theorem 2.3.2], we can see that on a small time interval Hörmander’s condition is only satisfied when we restrict to \((X_1(t), X_2(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^2\). It is not satisfied over \(\mathbb{R}^3\) locally around \(t = 0\). However, once \(E[|X(t)|] > 1\) Hörmander’s condition is satisfied and a density exists. Therefore, the value \(t' > 0\) such that \(E[|X(t')|] = 1\) is key to the support of this McKean Vlasov Equation.

Given our sequence of quantizations, we obtain a sequence of laws for the associated particle systems. For these, we compute the first \(t'_n\) that satisfies

\[
E\left[ \Theta\left( L^W \circ q_n^{-1}, W \right)_{t'_n} \right] = 1
\]

On the interval \([0, t' \wedge t'_n]\) we have that

\[
\left\{ \Theta\left( L^W \circ q_n^{-1}, \xi, h \right) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}^{\rho_n - \text{Hölder}[0, t' \wedge t'_n]} = \left\{ \Theta\left( L^X, \xi, h \right) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}^{\rho_n - \text{Hölder}[0, t' \wedge t'_n]}.
\]

Similarly, we also have that on the interval \([t' \vee t'_n, T \vee t' \vee t'_n]\)

\[
\left\{ \Theta\left( L^W \circ q_n^{-1}, \xi, h \right) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}^{\rho_n - \text{Hölder}[t' \vee t'_n, T \vee t' \vee t'_n]} = \left\{ \Theta\left( L^X, \xi, h \right) : h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}^{\rho_n - \text{Hölder}[t' \vee t'_n, T \vee t' \vee t'_n]}.
\]

The sets are not equal outside these intervals. However, as \(n \to \infty\) we have that the deterministic \(t'_n \to t'\). Thus taking the limit points of these sets as in [5,6] provides the support for the entire interval.
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