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Abstract
Getting solution near singular point of any non-linear BVP is always tough because solution blows up near singularity. In this article our goal is to construct a general method based on orthogonal polynomial and then use different orthogonal polynomials as particular wavelets. To show importance and accuracy of our method we have solved non-linear singular BVPs with help of constructed methods and compare with exact solution. Our result shows that these method converge very fast. Convergence of constructed method is also proved in this paper. We can notice algorithm based on these methods is very fast and easy to handle.

In this work we discuss multiresolution analysis for wavelets generated by orthogonal polynomials, e.g., Legendre, Chebyshev, Lagurre, Gegenbauer. Then we use these wavelets for solving nonlinear SBVPs. Wavelets are able to deal with singularity easily and efficiently.

1 Introduction
Solution of singular differential equations shows unusual behaviour near the singular point, sometimes it is bounded, sometimes unbounded, some times it may oscillates or sometimes it be peculiar in some other manner. This and it’s application in different areas of science and engineering always make sbvps very interesting for researchers. Wavelet methods arose as one of trending methods to solve differential equations. Due to it’s properties like smoothness, well-localization, admissibility and orthonormality methods based on wavelets develop fast and more algorithms compare to other methods. In \cite{12}, method based on Haar Wavelets are used for solving generalized Lane-Emden equations. In \cite{25} method based on Haar wavelets are used for solving non-linear singular initial value problems.

Construction of wavelets based on orthogonal polynomials are more recent. Since it is easy to generate orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with help of orthogonal polynomials, so wavelets based on orthogonal polynomial work well. Wavelet based on Legendre polynomial, Chebyshev polynomial, Hermite polynomial, Laguerre polynomial, Gegenbauer polynomial are constructed and researchers used these wavelets for solving differential equation problems. In \cite{17} Legendre wavelet are used for solving ordinary differential equation. In \cite{24} singular BVPs are solved with help of Chebyshev wavelet. In \cite{30} Hermite wavelet are used for solving singular differential equations. In \cite{34} Laguerre wavelets are used for solving linear and non-linear singular BVPs. In \cite{28} method based on Gegenbauer wavelets are used for solving fractional differential equation. In \cite{29} Haar wavelets coupled with quasilinearization is used to solve class of Lane Emden equation at higher resolution. In \cite{27,26} Haar wavelets are efficiently used to solve sbvp arising in various real life problems.

As we have seen in \cite{16}, nonlinear differential equations can be linearized with help of quasilinearization. Researchers have modified methods based on wavelets with help of quasilinearization and the resultant methods are also used for solving nonlinear differential equations. In \cite{15} method based on Haar wavelet quasilinearization are used for solving nonlinear BVPs. In \cite{24} Legendre wavelet quasilinearization approach is used for solving q-difference equations. In \cite{13} Chebyshev wavelet quasilinearization method are used for solving nonlinear Sine-Gordon equations.
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In this article we have constructed wavelet methods based on orthogonal polynomials and wavelets as Chebyshev wavelet Newton approach (ChWNA), Gegenbauer wavelet Newton approach (GeWNA), Legendre wavelet Newton approach (LeWNA), Laguerre wavelet Newton approach (LaWNA), Hermite wavelet Newton approach (HeWNA), Chebyshev wavelet quasilinearization approach (ChWQA), Gegenbauer wavelet quasilinearization approach (GeWQA), Legendre wavelet quasilinearization approach (LeWQA), Laguerre wavelet quasilinearization approach (LaWQA) and Hermite wavelet quasilinearization approach (HeWQA). We applied these methods on Non-Linear singular BVPs. To show accuracy of these methods real life examples are also considered in this paper.

We will consider following class of nonlinear singular boundary value problem (SBVPs) in this article

\[ y''(t) + \frac{k}{t} y'(t) + f(t, y(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t \leq 1, \]  

subject to the following boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Case (i)} & \quad y(0) = \alpha, \quad y(1) = \beta, \\
\text{Case (ii)} & \quad y'(0) = \alpha, \quad ay(1) + by'(1) = \beta.
\end{align*}
\]

Existence uniqueness of SBVPs

\[ -(p'y)' = qf(x, y), \quad 0 < x < 1 \]

subject to boundary conditions \(y(0) = 0\) or \(y'(0) = 0\), and \(ay(1) + by'(1) = \beta\) is discussed in [19, 20, 21]. The conditions that are imposed on \(p(x), q(x), f(x, y)\) in [19, 20, 21] are as follows:

a) \( p(0) = 0, \ p > 0 \) in \([0, 1]\),

b) \( x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \) is analytic,

c) \( q > 0 \) in \([0, 1]\),

d) \( x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \) is analytic,

e) \( f(x, y) \) is continuous in \(x\) and one sided Lipschitz in \(y\).

Some very efficient numerical schemes based on finite difference can be found in [6, 18] and the references there in.

For various classes of \(p\) and \(q\) these problems occur in various real life problems, e.g., Stellar structure [5], Thermal explosion [4], Thermal distribution in the human head [10], Rotationally symmetric shallow membrane cap [5, 2].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we discuss properties of orthogonal wavelets. Basis of \(L^2(\mathbb{R})\) is discussed in section 3. In section 4 and 5 we discuss about Legendre, Hermite, Chebyshev, Laguerre, Gegenbauer wavelets and MRA. Approximation of function with Orthogonal polynomial wavelets is discussed in section 6. Section 7 is devoted to method of solution. We follow two approaches, wavelet quasilinearization approach and wavelet Newton approach. Section 8 deals with convergence. Finally section 9 deals with Numerical illustrations and section 10 deals with Conclusion.

### 2 Properties of Orthogonal Polynomial Wavelet ([8])

Let \(\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\) be mother wavelet. Then we can always generate a family of continuous wavelet by dilation and translation of mother wavelet.

\[
\psi^{n,b}(t) = |a|^{-1/2} \psi \left( \frac{t-b}{a} \right), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \neq 0.
\]

Restricting \(a, b\) to discrete values as \(a = a_0^{-k}, b = nb_0a_0^{-k}, \ a_0 > 1, \ b_0 > 0\) where \(n\) and \(k\) are positive integers. So we have family of discrete wavelet

\[
\psi_{k,n}(t) = |a_0|^{k/2} \psi(a_0^k t - nb_0),
\]

which form a wavelet basis for \(L^2(\mathbb{R})\). In particular if we take \(a_0 = 1\) and \(b_0 = 1\), then \(\psi_{k,n}(t)\) form an orthonormal basis.

Orthogonal Polynomial wavelet \(\psi_{nm}(t) = \psi(k, \hat{n}, m, t)\) has four arguments \(\hat{n} = 2n - 1, \ n = 1, 2, 3, ... 2^{k-1}\). Here \(k\) can assume any positive integer, \(m\) is the order for orthogonal polynomials, and \(t\) is the normalized time.

We can define these wavelet in the interval \([0, 1]\) by

\[
\psi_{n,m}(t) = v(k, n, m)2^{k/2}O_m(2^k t - \hat{n})\chi(\frac{n+1}{2^k} - \frac{\hat{n}}{2^k}),
\]

where \(k = 1, 2, \ldots\) is level of resolution, \(n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^{k-1}\), \(\hat{n} = 2n - 1\) is translation parameter, \(O_m\) is orthogonal polynomial with order \(m = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1\). The coefficient \(v(k, n, m)\) is for orthonormality.
3 Basis of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \)

To show that \( \psi_{nm}(t) \) is an orthonormal basis for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), we need to prove two conditions (\[8\]):

(i) \( \psi_{nm}(t) \) are orthonormal.

(ii) Any function \( f \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \) can be approximated by finite linear combination of \( \psi_{nm}(t) \).

Inner product for \( \psi_{nm}(t) \) is defined by

\[
< f, g > = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)g(t)w(t)dx
\]

where \( w(t) \) is weight function. Due to orthogonality property of \( \psi_{nm}(t) \) and due to orthonormal component \( v(k,n,m) \), \( \psi_{nm}(t) \) forms an orthonormal set.

Since orthogonal polynomial forms an orthogonal basis for \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), means (ii) property is also true.

4 Orthogonal Polynomial Wavelet

Here we will define wavelets based on different orthogonal polynomials.

4.1 Chebyshev Wavelet

(\[8\]) Chebyshev Polynomial are defined on the interval \([-1, 1]\) and can be defined with help of the recurrence formula:

\[
T_0(t) = 1 \\
T_1(t) = t \\
T_{m+1}(t) = 2tT_m(t) - 2mT_{m-1}(t), \ m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

Due to properties like completeness orthogonality (with respect to weight function \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \)) Chebyshev polynomials can act well as wavelets.

Chebyshev wavelet are defined on the interval \([0, 1]\):

\[
\psi_{n,m}(t) = 2^{k/2}T_m(2^{k}t - \hat{n})X_{\hat{n}, \frac{n+1}{2}}
\]

where

\[
T_m(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & m = 0 \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}T_m(t), & m > 0 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) is level of resolution, \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^k-1 \), \( \hat{n} = 2n - 1 \) is translation parameter, \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1 \) is order of Chebyshev polynomial.

4.2 Hermite Wavelet

(\[21\]) Hermite Polynomial are defined on the interval \((-\infty, \infty)\) and can be defined with help of the recurrence formula:

\[
H_0(t) = 1 \\
H_1(t) = 2t \\
H_{m+1}(t) = 2tH_m(t) - 2mH_{m-1}(t), \ m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]

Due to properties like completeness orthogonality (with respect to weight function \( e^{-t^2} \)) Hermite polynomials can act well as wavelet.

Hermite wavelet are defined on the interval \([0, 1]\):

\[
\psi_{n,m}(t) = 2^{k/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!2^m\sqrt{\pi}}} H_m(2^{k}t - \hat{n})X_{\hat{n}, \frac{n+1}{2}}
\]

where \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) is level of resolution, \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^k-1 \), \( \hat{n} = 2n - 1 \) is translation parameter, \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1 \) is order of Hermite polynomial.

4.3 Laguerre Wavelet

(\[11\]) Laguerre Polynomial are defined on the interval \((-\infty, \infty)\) and can be defined with help of the recurrence formula:

\[
L_0(t) = 1 \\
L_1(t) = 1 - t \\
(m + 1)L_{m+1}(t) = (2m + 1 - t)L_m(t) - mL_{m-1}(t), \ m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]
Due to properties like completeness orthogonality (with respect to weight function $e^{-t}$) Laguerre polynomials can act well as wavelet.

Hermite wavelet are defined on the interval $[0, 1]$:

$$\psi_{n,m}(t) = 2^{k/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!2^n\sqrt{\pi}}} H_m(2^k t - \tilde{n}) \chi_{[\frac{n-1}{2^k}, \frac{n+1}{2^k}]}$$

(9)

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is level of resolution, $n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^k - 1$, $\tilde{n} = 2n - 1$ is translation parameter, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1$ is order of Laguerre polynomial.

4.4 Legendre Wavelet

Legendre Polynomial are defined on the interval $[-1, 1]$ and can be defined with help of the recurrence formula:

- $P_0(t) = 1$
- $P_1(t) = t$
- $P_{m+1}(t) = \left(\frac{2m+1}{m+1}\right)tP_m(t) - \left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right)P_{m-1}(t)$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

Due to properties like completeness orthogonality Legendre polynomials can act well as wavelet.

Legendre wavelet are defined on the interval $[0, 1]$:

$$\psi_{n,m}(t) = 2^{k/2} \sqrt{\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)} P_m(2^k t - \tilde{n}) \chi_{[\frac{n-1}{2^k}, \frac{n+1}{2^k}]}$$

(10)

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is level of resolution, $n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^k - 1$, $\tilde{n} = 2n - 1$ is translation parameter, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1$ is order of Legendre polynomial.

4.5 Gegenbauer Wavelet

Gegenbauer Polynomial are defined on the interval $[-1, 1]$ and can be defined with help of the recurrence formula:

- $C_0^n(t) = 1$
- $C_1^n(t) = 2\alpha t$
- $C_{m+1}^n(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha}[2(n + \alpha - 1)C_{m-1}^n(t) - (n + 2\alpha - 2)C_{m}^n(t)]$, $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

Due to properties like completeness orthogonality (with respect to weight function $(1 - t^2)^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}$) Gegenbauer polynomials can act well as wavelet.

For $\alpha > -\frac{1}{2}$ Gegenbauer wavelet are defined on the interval $[0, 1]$:

$$\psi_{n,m}(t) = 2^{k/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} C_m(2^k t - \tilde{n}) \chi_{[\frac{n-1}{2^k}, \frac{n+1}{2^k}]}$$

(11)

where $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ is level of resolution, $n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^k - 1$, $\tilde{n} = 2n - 1$ is translation parameter, $m = 1, 2, \ldots, M - 1$ is order of Gegenbauer polynomial.

5 Orthogonal Polynomial Wavelet as MRA

We give formal statement of MRA as defined in [22].

Definition 5.1. An MRA with scaling function $\varphi$ is a collection of closed subspaces $V_j, j = \ldots, 2, 1, 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

1. $V_j \subset V_{j+1}$
2. $\bigcup V_j = L^2(\mathbb{R})$
3. $\bigcap V_j = 0$
4. The function $f(x)$ belongs to $V_j$ if and only if the function $f(2x) \in V_{j+1}$.
5. The function $\varphi$ belongs to $V_0$, the set $\{\varphi(x - k), k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is orthonormal basis for $V_0$.

The sequence of wavelet subspaces $W_j$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, are such that $V_j \perp W_j$, for all $j$ and $V_{j+1} = V_j \oplus W_j$. Closure of $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} W_j$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to $L^2$ norm.

In paper [33], it is proved that orthogonal Polynomial is an important tool to construct wavelets and all the above conditions for construction of MRA are verified.
6 Approximation of Function with Orthogonal Polynomial Wavelets

A function \( f(t) \) defined on \( L^2[0,1] \) can be approximated with any of above orthogonal polynomial wavelet in the following manner

\[
f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{nm} \psi_{nm}(t),
\]

truncating (12) and define,

\[
f(t) \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{2^k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{nm} \psi_{nm}(t) = c^T \psi(t),
\]

where \( \psi(t) \) is \( 2^{k-1}M \times 1 \) matrix given as:

\[
\psi(t) = [\psi_{1,0}(t), \ldots, \psi_{1,M-1}(t), \psi_{2,0}(t), \ldots, \psi_{2,M-1}(t), \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1},0}(t), \ldots, \psi_{2^{k-1},M-1}(t)]^T
\]

\( c \) is \( 2^{k-1}M \times 1 \) matrix. Entries of \( c \) can be computed as:

\[
c_{ij} = \int_0^1 f(t) \psi_{ij}(t) dt,
\]

with \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^k-1 \) and \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, M-1 \). Here \( M \) is order of Orthogonal polynomial. Here we will define \( M = 2^l \), where \( J \) we call, level of resolution for wavelet.

6.1 Integration of Orthogonal Polynomial Wavelet

As suggested in [1], \( \nu \)-th order integration of \( \psi(t) \) can also be approximated as

\[
\int_0^t \int_0^t \ldots \int_0^t \psi(\tau)d\tau \simeq [J^\nu \psi_{1,0}(t), J^\nu \psi_{1,M-1}(t), J^\nu \psi_{2,0}(t), \ldots, J^\nu \psi_{2,M-1}(t), \ldots, J^\nu \psi_{2^{k-1},0}(t), \ldots, J^\nu \psi_{2^{k-1},M-1}(t)]^T
\]

where

\[
J^\nu \psi_{n,m}(t) = (k,n,m)2^{k/2}J^\nu O_m(2^k t - \tilde{n}) \chi_{\left[ \frac{i+1}{2}, \frac{i+2}{2} \right]} - \tilde{n},
\]

where \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) is level of resolution, \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^{k-1} \), \( \tilde{n} = 2n - 1 \) is translation parameter, \( m = 1, 2, \ldots, M-1 \) is order of orthogonal polynomial.

Note: Integral operator \( J^\nu (\nu > 0) \) of a function \( f(t) \) is defined as

\[
J^\nu f(t) = \frac{1}{\nu!} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\nu-1} f(s) ds.
\]

6.2 Wavelet Collocation Method

For application of above orthogonal polynomial wavelets in ordinary differential equation, discritization form of \( [0,1] \) is required. We will use collocation method for discritizing \( [0,1] \) interval. Here mesh points are given by

\[
\bar{t}_i = l \Delta t, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, M-1.
\]

For the collocation points we define

\[
t_i = 0.5(\bar{t}_{i-1} + \bar{t}_i), \quad l = 1, \ldots, M-1.
\]

For computation purpose we take \( k = 1 \). (13) takes the form

\[
f(t) \simeq \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} \psi_{1m}(t)
\]

replace \( t \) by \( t_l \) in above equation, we will solve resultant system.

7 Method of Solution

In this section, solution methods based on Hermite wavelet and Haar wavelet are presented.
7.1 Wavelet Quasilinearization Approach

In this method we are using quasilinearization to linearize SBVP then method of collocation for discretization and finally using above defined wavelets for computation of numerical solutions. We consider differential equation (1) with boundary conditions (2a) and (2b). Quasilinearizing this equation, we get the form

\[ Ly_{r+1} = y''_{r+1}(t) + \frac{k}{f} y'_{r+1}(t) = -f(t, y_{r}(t)) + \frac{1}{\Delta t} (y'_{r+1} - y'_{r})(-f_{r'}(t, y_{r}(t)), \]  

(19a)

subject to linearized boundary conditions,

\[ y_{r+1}(0) = y_{r}(0), \quad y_{r+1}(1) = y_{r}(1), \]  

(19b)

or

\[ y_{r+1}'(0) = y_{r}'(0), \quad ay_{r+1}(1) + by_{r+1}'(1) = ay_{r}(1) + by_{r}'(1). \]  

(19c)

Here \( s = 0, 1, f_{r'} = \partial f/\partial y' \) and \( y_{r}'(t) = y_{r}(t). \)

Thus we arrive at linearized form of given differential equation. Now we use orthogonal polynomial wavelet method similar to described in [7]. Let us assume

\[ y''_{r+1}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} \psi_{1m}(t). \]  

(19d)

Then integrating twice we get following two equations:

\[ y_{r+1}'(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J \psi_{1m}(t) + y_{r+1}'(0), \]  

(19e)

\[ y_{r+1}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2 \psi_{1m}(t) + ty_{r+1}'(0) + y_{r+1}(0). \]  

(19f)

Here \( J^{\nu}(\nu > 0) \) is integral operator.

7.1.1 Treatment of the Boundary Value Problem

Based on boundary conditions we will consider different cases and follow procedure similar to described in [14].

**Case (i):** In equation (2a) we have \( y(0) = \alpha, y(1) = \beta \). So by linearization we have \( y_{r+1}(0) = \alpha, y_{r+1}(1) = \beta \). Now put \( t = 1 \) in equation (19) we get

\[ y_{r+1}(1) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2 \psi_{1m}(1) + y_{r+1}'(0) + y_{r+1}(0), \]  

(20)

so

\[ y_{r+1}'(0) = y_{r+1}(1) - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2 \psi_{1m}(1) - y_{r+1}(0). \]  

(21)

By putting these values in equation (19e) and (19f) we get

\[ y_{r+1}'(t) = y_{r+1}(1) - y_{r+1}(0) + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} (J \psi_{1m}(t) - J^2 \psi_{1m}(1)), \]  

and

\[ y_{r+1}(t) = (1-t)y_{r+1}(0) + ty_{r+1}(1) + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} (J^2 \psi_{1m}(t) - J^2 \psi_{1m}(1)). \]

Now we put values of \( y_{r+1}(0) \) and \( y_{r+1}(1) \) we get

\[ y_{r+1}'(t) = (\beta - \alpha) + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} (J \psi_{1m}(t) - J^2 \psi_{1m}(1)), \]  

(22)

\[ y_{r+1}(t) = (1-t)\alpha + t\beta + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2 \psi_{1m}(1) - y_{r+1}(0). \]  

(23)
Hence from equation (19f) we have finally we put values of $\alpha, ay$ we discritize the final equation with collocation method and then solve the resultant system assuming some initial computation, finally Newton-Raphson method to solve the resultant nonlinear system of equation.

In this approach we are using method of collocation for discretization and then using Hermite wavelet for further treatment of the boundary value problem.

7.2 Wavelet Newton Approach

In this approach we are using method of collocation for discretization and then using Hermite wavelet for further computation, finally Newton-Raphson method to solve the resultant nonlinear system of equation.

We consider differential equation (1) with boundary conditions (2a) and (2b). Now we assume $\alpha, ay$ and solving for $y_{r_0}(0)$ we have

$$y_{r_0}(0) = \frac{1}{a} \left( \beta - ay_{r_0}'(0) - a \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J\psi_{1m}(1) - b \left( \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J\psi_{1m}(1) + y_{r_0}'(0) \right) \right).$$

Hence from equation (19a) we have

$$y_{r_0}(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2\psi_{1m}(t) + ty_{r_0}'(0) + \frac{1}{a} \left( \beta - ay_{r_0}'(0) - a \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2\psi_{1m}(1) - b \left( \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J\psi_{1m}(1) + y_{r_0}'(0) \right) \right).$$

Now we put values of $y_{r_0}(0)$ and $y_{r_0}(1)$ in equation (19e) and (26) we get

$$y_{r_0}'(t) = \alpha + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J\psi_{1m}(t),$$

$$y_{r_0}(t) = \frac{\beta}{a} + \left( t - 1 - \frac{b}{a} \right) \alpha + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} \left( J^2\psi_{1m}(t) - J^2\psi_{1m}(1) - \frac{b}{a} J\psi_{1m}(1) \right).$$

Finally we put values of $y_{r_0}'', y_{r_0}'$, and $y_{r_0}$ for all these cases in the linearized differential equation (19a). Now we discretize the final equation with collocation method and then solve the resultant system assuming some initial guess $y_0(t)$. We will get required value of $y(t)$ at different collocation points.

7.2.1 Treatment of the Boundary Value Problem

Based on boundary conditions we divide it in different cases.

Case (i): In equation (2a) we have $y(0) = \alpha, y(1) = \beta$. Now put $t = 1$ in equation (31) we get

$$y(1) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2\psi_{1m}(1) + y'(0) + y(0),$$

so

$$y'(0) = y(1) - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{1m} J^2\psi_{1m}(1) - y(0).$$
Now using these values of \( y'(0) \) and \( y(1) \) in (30) and (31) and solving we get
\[
y'(t) = (\beta - \alpha) + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m (J \psi_1 m(t) - J^2 \psi_1 m(1)),
\]
(33)
\[
y(t) = (1 - t) \alpha + t \beta + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m (J^2 \psi_1 m(t) - J^2 \psi_1 m(1)).
\]
(34)

Case (ii): In equation (29) we have \( y'(0) = \alpha, ay(1) + by'(1) = \beta. \) Now put \( t = 1 \) in equation (30) and (31) we get
\[
y'(1) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m J \psi_1 m(1) + y'(0),
\]
(35)
\[
y(1) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m J^2 \psi_1 m(1) + y'(0) + y(0).
\]
(36)

By putting these values in \( ay(1) + by'(1) = \beta \) and solving we will get value of \( y(0) \), now put \( y(0) \) and \( y'(0) \) in (31) we have
\[
y(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m J^2 \psi_1 m(t) + ty'(0) + \frac{1}{a} \left( \beta - \alpha y(0) - \alpha \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m J^2 \psi_1 m(1) - b \left( \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m J \psi_1 m(1) + y'(0) \right) \right),
\]
(37)
now putting \( y'(0) = \alpha \) in (30) and (37), we have
\[
y'(t) = \alpha + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m J \psi_1 m(t),
\]
(38)
\[
y(t) = \frac{\beta}{a} + \left( t - 1 - \frac{b}{a} \right) \alpha + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_1 m \left( J^2 \psi_1 m(t) - J^2 \psi_1 m(1) - \frac{b}{a} J \psi_1 m(1) \right).
\]
(39)

Now we put values of \( y(t), y'(t) \) and \( y''(t) \) in (1). We will discretize final equation with collocation method and solve the resultant nonlinear system with Newton-Raphson method for \( c_1 m, m = 0, 1, \ldots, M - 1 \). Then substituting value of \( c_1 m, m = 0, 1, \ldots, M - 1 \), we will get value of \( y(t) \) at different collocation point.

8 Convergence of Wavelet Newton approach based on orthogonal polynomial

We will consider following Lemma for proving Let us consider 2-nd order ordinary differential equation in general form
\[
G(t, u, u', u'') = 0.
\]

Now we have in HWNA method
\[
f(t) = u''(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{nm} \psi_{nm}(t),
\]
(40)

Integrating this relation two times we have
\[
u(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{nm} J^2 \psi_{nm}(t) + B_\tau(t),
\]
(41)

where \( B_\tau(t) \) stands for boundary term.

Theorem 8.1. Let us assume that, \( f(t) = \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \in L^2[\mathbb{R}] \) is a continuous function defined on \([0,1]\). Let us consider \( f(t) \) is bounded, i.e.,
\[
\forall t \in [0,1] \quad \exists \ \eta : \left| \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} \right| \leq \eta.
\]
(42)

Then method based on Wavelet Newton Approach (HeWNA) converges.
Proof. In (41), truncating expansion we have,

\[ u_{k,M}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{2^k-1} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{nm} J^2 \psi_{nm}(t) + B_T(t) \]  

(43)

So error \( E_{k,M} \) can be expressed as

\[ \| E_{k,M} \|_2 = \| u(t) - u_{k,M}(t) \|_2 = \left\| \sum_{n=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} c_{nm} J^2 \psi_{nm}(t) \right\|_2. \]  

(44)

Expanding \( L^2 \) norm, we have

\[ \| E_{k,M} \|_2^2 = \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} c_{nm} J^2 \psi_{nm}(t) \right)^2 dt, \]

\[ \| E_{k,M} \|_2^2 = \sum_{n=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \sum_{s=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{r=M}^{\infty} \int_0^1 c_{ns} c_{sr} J^2 \psi_{ns}(t) J^2 \psi_{sr}(t) dt, \]

\[ \| E_{k,M} \|_2^2 \leq \sum_{n=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \sum_{s=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{r=M}^{\infty} \int_0^1 |c_{nm}| |c_{sr}| J^2 \psi_{ns}(t) J^2 \psi_{sr}(t) dt. \]  

(45)

Now

\[ |J^2 \psi_{nm}(t)| \leq \int_0^t \int_0^t |\dot{\psi}_{nm}(t)| dt dt, \]

\[ \leq \int_0^t \int_0^t |\psi_{nm}(t)| dt dt, \]

since \( t \in [0,1] \).

Now by (6), we have

\[ |J^2 \psi_{nm}(t)| \leq 2^{k/2} \nu(k,n,m) \int_0^1 \int_{\frac{2^{k+1}}{2^k}}^{\frac{2^{k+1}}{2^k}} |O_m(2^k t - \hat{n})| dt dt. \]

By changing variable \( 2^k t - \hat{n} = y \), we get

\[ |J^2 \psi_{nm}(t)| \leq 2^{-k/2} \nu(k,n,m) \int_0^t \int_0^1 |O_m(y)| dy dt, \]

and since \( |O_m(y)| \leq K_m \) so \( \int_0^1 |O_m(y)| \leq 2K_m \), hence

\[ |J^2 \psi_{nm}(t)| \leq 2^{-k/2} \nu(k,n,m) \int_0^t 2K_m dt. \]

Since \( t \in [0,1] \) so we get the bound

\[ |J^2 \psi_{nm}(t)| \leq 2^{-k/2+1} \nu(k,n,m) K_m. \]  

(46)

Now for \( |c_{nm}| \), we have

\[ c_{nm} = \int_0^1 f(t) \psi_{nm}(t) w_m(t) dt, \]  

(47)

\[ |c_{nm}| \leq \int_0^1 |f(t)||\psi_{nm}(t)||w_m(t)| dt. \]

Now using (42), we have

\[ |c_{nm}| \leq \eta \int_0^1 |\psi_{nm}(t)||w_m(t)| dt. \]
By (46), we have
\[
|c_{nm}| \leq 2^{k/2} \eta v(k, n, m) \int_{\frac{n+1}{2^k}}^{\frac{n+2}{2^k}} |O_m(2^k t - \hat{n})||w_m(2^k t - \hat{n})|dt.
\]
Now by change of variable $2^k t - \hat{n} = y$, we get
\[
|c_{nm}| \leq 2^{-k/2} \eta v(k, n, m) \int_{-1}^{1} |O_m(y)||w_m(y)|dy.
\]
Put $\int_{-1}^{1} |O_{m+1}(y)|dy = 2K_m$, so
\[
|c_{nm}| \leq 2^{-k/2+1} v(k, n, m) \eta K_m.
\]
(48)

Now using equation (46) and (48) in (45)
\[
||E_{k,M}||^2 \leq 2^{-2k+4} \eta^2 K_m^2 K_s^2 \sum_{n=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \sum_{r=M}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} v(k, n, m)v(k, s, r)dt.
\]
Here $|O_r| \leq K_r$. Let us take $K = \max\{K_m, K_r\}$,
\[
||E_{k,M}||^2 \leq 2^{-2k+4} \eta^2 K^4 \sum_{n=2^k}^{\infty} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \sum_{r=M}^{\infty} v(k, n, m)v(k, r, s).
\]
For Hermite polynomials $v(k, n, m) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n}2^k \sqrt{r})^2}$, so (50) becomes
\[
||E_{k,M}||^2 \leq 2^{-2k+4} \eta^2 K^4 \sum_{n=2^k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{n}2^k \sqrt{r})^2} \sum_{m=M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{s}2^k \sqrt{r})^2} \sum_{r=M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)^2} \sum_{r=M}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r+1)^2}.
\]
(51)

Here all four series converges and $||E_{k,M}|| \to 0$ as $k, M \to \infty$. We may conclude the same for other orthogonal polynomials. Hence the proof is complete. \qed

9 Numerical illustrations

In this section we apply ChWNA, GeWNA, LeWNA, LaWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA to solve four other examples from real life and compare solutions with among these methods and with exact solutions whenever available.

To examine the accuracy of methods we define maximum absolute error $L_{\infty}$ as
\[
L_{\infty} = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} |y(t) - y_w(t)|
\]
(52)
here $y(t)$ is exact solution and $y_w(t)$ is wavelet solution, and the $L_2$-norm error as
\[
L_2 = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} |y(x_j) - y_w(x_j)|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]
(53)
here $y(x_j)$ is exact solution and $y_w(x_j)$ is wavelet solution at the point $x_j$.

9.1 Example 1
Consider the non linear SBVP:
\[
y''(t) + \frac{2}{t} y'(t) + y^5(t) = 0, \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}.
\]
(54)
Chandrasekhar [5], p88) derived above two point nonlinear SBVP. This equation arise in study of stellar structure. Its exact solution is $y(t) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4t} + x}$. Comparison Graphs taking initial vector $[\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}, \ldots, \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}}]$ and $J = 1, J = 2$ are plotted in Figure 9.1. Tables for solution and error are tabulated in table 1 and table 2.
Table 1: Comparison of ChWN, GeWNA, LeWNA, LaWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA methods solution with analytical solution for example 9.1 taking $J = 2$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Points</th>
<th>ChWNA</th>
<th>GeWNA</th>
<th>LeWNA</th>
<th>LaWNA</th>
<th>HeWNA</th>
<th>ChWQA</th>
<th>GeWQA</th>
<th>LeWQA</th>
<th>LaWQA</th>
<th>HeWQA</th>
<th>Exact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
<td>0.999999992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
<td>0.99944958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
<td>0.811419605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
<td>0.695654689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
<td>0.53101273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
<td>0.2945791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
<td>0.09338312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of errors of ChWNA, GeWNA, LeWNA, LaWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA methods methods for example 9.1 taking $J = 2$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error</th>
<th>ChWNA</th>
<th>GeWNA</th>
<th>LeWNA</th>
<th>LaWNA</th>
<th>HeWNA</th>
<th>ChWQA</th>
<th>GeWQA</th>
<th>LeWQA</th>
<th>LaWQA</th>
<th>HeWQA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_\infty$</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.96669x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.97638x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.1: Comparison plots and error plots of solution methods for $J = 1, 2$ for example 9.1

In this test case since exact solution of the SBVP governed by (54) exists, We have compared our solutions
with exact solution in Table 1 and Figure 9.3. Numerics again prove that method gives results with best accuracy for \( J = 1 \) and \( J = 2 \).

We also observed for small changes in initial vector, for example taking \([0.8, 0.8, \ldots, 0.8]\) or \([0.7, 0.7, \ldots, 0.7]\), doesn’t significantly change the solution. In Table 2 we have displayed \( L_{\infty} \) and \( L_2 \) errors as defined in equations 52, 53.

### 9.2 Example 2

Consider the non linear SBVP:

\[ y''(t) + \frac{1}{t} y'(t) + e^{y(t)} = 0, \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 0. \]  

(55)

Above nonlinear SBVP is derived by Chamber [4]. This equation arises in the thermal explosion in cylindrical vessel. The exact solution of this equation is \( y(x) = 2 \ln \left( \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{x}}{x} \right) \).

Comparison Graphs taking initial vector \([0, 0, \ldots, 0]\) and \( J = 1 \), \( J = 2 \) are plotted in Figure 9.2. Tables for solution and error are tabulated in Table 3 and Table 4.

#### Table 3: Comparison of ChWNA, GeWNA, LeWNA, LaWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA methods solution with analytical solution for Example 9.2 taking \( J = 2 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Points</th>
<th>ChWNA</th>
<th>GeWNA</th>
<th>LeWNA</th>
<th>LaWNA</th>
<th>HeWNA</th>
<th>ChWQA</th>
<th>GeWQA</th>
<th>LeWQA</th>
<th>LaWQA</th>
<th>HeWQA</th>
<th>Exact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
<td>0.316904368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
<td>0.315354403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
<td>0.304666887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
<td>0.293461679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
<td>0.252690555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
<td>0.210965461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
<td>0.160745555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/16</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
<td>0.102100258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
<td>0.035785793</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4: Comparison of errors of ChWNA, GeWNA, LeWNA, LaWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA methods for Example 9.2 taking \( J = 2 \):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Points</th>
<th>L_{\infty}</th>
<th>L_2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>1.07541 \times 10^{-10}</td>
<td>4.9036 \times 10^{-10}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9.2: Comparison plot and error plots of solution methods for $J = 1, 2$ for example 9.2

This is test case derived by Chambre [4] long back again exact solution is available. Table 3 and figure 9.2 show that numerics are in good agreement with exact solutions or $J = 1$ and $J = 2$. We also observed for small changes in initial vector, for example taking $[0.1, 0.1, \ldots, 0.1]$ or $[0.2, 0.2, \ldots, 0.2]$ doesn’t significantly change the solution.

In table 4 as we did in example 9.1 we displayed $L_\infty$ and $L_2$ errors.

9.3 Example 3

Consider the non linear SBVP:

$$y''(t) + \frac{3}{t}y'(t) + \left(\frac{1}{8y^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) = 0, \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 1. \quad (56)$$

Above nonlinear SBVP is studied in [9, 2] for rotationally symmetric solutions of shallow membrane caps. Exact solution of this problem is not known.

Comparison Graphs taking initial vector $[1, 1, \ldots, 1]$ and $J = 1, J = 2$ are plotted in Figure 9.3. Tables for solution is tabulated in table 5.

Table 5: Comparison of ChWNA, GeWNA, LeWNA, LaWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA methods solution for example 9.3 $J = 2$ taking $J = 2$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Points</th>
<th>ChWNA</th>
<th>GeWNA</th>
<th>LeWNA</th>
<th>LaWNA</th>
<th>HeWNA</th>
<th>ChWQA</th>
<th>GeWQA</th>
<th>LeWQA</th>
<th>LaWQA</th>
<th>HeWQA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
<td>0.954135307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
<td>0.954312412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
<td>0.955729848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
<td>0.958567892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
<td>0.962832846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
<td>0.968534055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
<td>0.975683775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/16</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
<td>0.984297012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
<td>0.99439132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this real-life example again exact solution is not known so comparison is not done with exact solution. Table 5 and figure 9.3 show that computed results are comparable for $J = 1, 2$. We also observed for small changes in initial vector, for example taking $[0.9, 0.9, \ldots, 0.9]$ or $[0.8, 0.8, \ldots, 0.8]$ doesn't significantly change the solution.

### 9.4 Example 4

Consider the non-linear SBVP:

$$y''(t) + 2ty'(t) + e^{-y(t)} = 0, \quad y'(0) = 0, \quad 2y(1) + y'(1) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (57)

This SBVP is derived by Duggan and Goodman [10] as heat conduction model in human head. Exact solution of this problem is not known to the best of our knowledge.

Comparison Graphs taking initial vector $[0, 0, \ldots, 0]$ and $J = 1, J = 2$ are plotted in Figure 9.4. Tables for solution is tabulated in table 6.

Table 6: Comparison of ChWN, GeWNA, LeWNA, LaWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA methods solution for example 9.4 taking $J = 2$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grid Points</th>
<th>ChWN</th>
<th>GeWNA</th>
<th>LeWNA</th>
<th>LaWNA</th>
<th>HeWNA</th>
<th>ChWQA</th>
<th>GeWQA</th>
<th>LeWQA</th>
<th>LaWQA</th>
<th>HeWQA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.269948774</td>
<td>0.269948774</td>
<td>0.269948774</td>
<td>0.269948774</td>
<td>0.269948774</td>
<td>0.272366649</td>
<td>0.272366649</td>
<td>0.272366649</td>
<td>0.272366649</td>
<td>0.272366649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>0.269451863</td>
<td>0.269451863</td>
<td>0.269451863</td>
<td>0.269451863</td>
<td>0.269451863</td>
<td>0.271870774</td>
<td>0.271870774</td>
<td>0.271870774</td>
<td>0.271870774</td>
<td>0.271870774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>0.257481347</td>
<td>0.257481347</td>
<td>0.257481347</td>
<td>0.257481347</td>
<td>0.257481347</td>
<td>0.259913445</td>
<td>0.259913445</td>
<td>0.259913445</td>
<td>0.259913445</td>
<td>0.259913445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/16</td>
<td>0.245424295</td>
<td>0.245424295</td>
<td>0.245424295</td>
<td>0.245424295</td>
<td>0.245424295</td>
<td>0.247847841</td>
<td>0.247847841</td>
<td>0.247847841</td>
<td>0.247847841</td>
<td>0.247847841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>0.229211536</td>
<td>0.229211536</td>
<td>0.229211536</td>
<td>0.229211536</td>
<td>0.229211536</td>
<td>0.23158684</td>
<td>0.23158684</td>
<td>0.23158684</td>
<td>0.23158684</td>
<td>0.23158684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/16</td>
<td>0.2087218</td>
<td>0.2087218</td>
<td>0.2087218</td>
<td>0.2087218</td>
<td>0.2087218</td>
<td>0.210994746</td>
<td>0.210994746</td>
<td>0.210994746</td>
<td>0.210994746</td>
<td>0.210994746</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16</td>
<td>0.183798121</td>
<td>0.183798121</td>
<td>0.183798121</td>
<td>0.183798121</td>
<td>0.183798121</td>
<td>0.18587051</td>
<td>0.18587051</td>
<td>0.18587051</td>
<td>0.18587051</td>
<td>0.18587051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/16</td>
<td>0.15423862</td>
<td>0.15423862</td>
<td>0.15423862</td>
<td>0.15423862</td>
<td>0.15423862</td>
<td>0.156045584</td>
<td>0.156045584</td>
<td>0.156045584</td>
<td>0.156045584</td>
<td>0.156045584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/16</td>
<td>0.127656718</td>
<td>0.127656718</td>
<td>0.127656718</td>
<td>0.127656718</td>
<td>0.127656718</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.137656718</td>
<td>0.137656718</td>
<td>0.137656718</td>
<td>0.137656718</td>
<td>0.137656718</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
<td>0.139271303</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9.3: Comparison plot and error plots of solution methods for $J = 1, 2$ for example 9.3
In absence of exact solution the comparison has not been made with exact solution. But comparison of all four methods for in the given problem due to Duggan and Goodman [10], in table 6 and figure 9.4 shows accuracy of the present method.

We also observed for small changes in initial vector, for example taking $[0.1, 0.1, \ldots, 0.1]$ or $[0.2, 0.2, \ldots, 0.2]$ doesn’t significantly change the solution in any case.

## 10 Conclusions

In this research article, we have proposed ten different wavelet methods ChWNA, GeWNA, LeWNA, HeWNA, ChWQA, GeWQA, LeWQA, LaWQA and HeWQA for solving nonlinear SBVPs arising in different branches of science and engineering [9, 2, 10, 4, 5]. Problem due to singularity is handled with help of wavelet methods. In methods based on wavelet Newton approach we have used Newton-Raphson method to solve nonlinear system. In methods based on wavelet quasilinearization approach difficulty arose due to non linearity of differential equations is overcome with the help of quasilinearization. Main Advantage of proposed methods are that solutions with high accuracy are obtained using a few iterations. Computational work illustrate the validity and accuracy of the procedure. Our observations developed can easily be used for even further resolutions. We observe the change in initial guess does not result in large deviations in solutions, i.e., small variations in initial guesses result into small changes in solutions so our method is robust and stable and must be preferred over other existing methods. Singularity is also dealt in much easier way. So wavelet methods are more reliable and easy to implement for SBVPs.

## 11 Acknowledgement

This work is dedicated to Prof. Ülo Lepik [14] for his work in Haar wavelets. His work on Haar has been the main source to start learning Wavelets.

## References


