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Abstract
A reinterpretation of the proof of existence of Hamilton cycles in the middle-levels graphs is given via their dihedral quotients whose vertices are ordered rooted trees.

1 Introduction

Let $[2k+1] = \{0, \ldots, 2k\}$, where $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The middle-levels graph $M_k$ [4] is the subgraph induced by the $k$-th and $(k+1)$-th levels of the Hasse diagram of the Boolean lattice $2^{[2k+1]}$. The dihedral group $D_{4k+2}$ acts on $M_k$ via translations mod $2k+1$ (Section 3) and complemented reversals (Section 4). We show that the sequence $S$ [7] A239903 of restricted-growth strings (or RGS’s) [1] page 325, revisited below, unifies the presentation of all $M_k$’s. Recalling the Catalan number $C_k = \frac{(2k)!}{k!(k+1)!}$ [7] A000108, we prove that the first $C_k$ terms of $S$ stand for the orbits of $V(M_k)$ under $D_{4k+2}$-action. This is used to provide a reinterpretation of Mütze’s theorem [5] on the existence of Hamilton cycles in $M_k$ and its Gregor-Mütze-Nummenpalo proof [3] via $k$-germs (see Subsection 1.2) of RGS’s. For history and motivation involved in the conjecture solved by Mütze’s theorem, we refer to [5, 3].

1.1 Restricted-Growth Strings and Ordered Rooted Trees

Let $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sequence of (pairwise different) RGS’s $S = (\beta(0), \ldots, \beta(17), \ldots) =$

$$(0, 1, 10, 11, 12, 100, 101, 110, 111, 112, 120, 121, 122, 123, 1000, 1001, 1010, 1011, \ldots)$$

(1)

has the lengths of its contiguous pairs $(\beta(i-1), \beta(i))$ of RGS’s constant unless $i = C_k$ for $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$, in which case $\beta(i-1) = \beta(C_k-1) = 12 \cdots k$ and $\beta(i) = \beta(C_k) = 10^k = 10 \cdots 0$.

In Section 5, the $D_{4k+2}$-action on $M_k$ allows to project $M_k$ onto a quotient graph $R_k$ whose vertices are shown in Section 8 to stand for the first $C_k$ terms of $S$ via the lexical-matching colors $0, 1, \ldots, k$ [4] on the $k+1$ edges incident to each vertex. In preparation for this, the RGS’s $\beta$ are converted in Section 2 into $(2k+1)$-strings $F(\beta)$ representing the ordered rooted trees on $k$ edges (Remark 3) via a “castling” procedure that yields enumeration of all such trees. These ordered rooted trees (encoded as $F(\beta)$) are shown to represent the vertices of $R_k$ via an “un-castling” procedure (Section 7). Adjacency in $R_k$ is presented in Section 8.

In $R_k$, the first two lexical colors 0 and 1 yield a 2-factor $W_{01}$. In Section 9 an alternative way to $R_k$ is presented. Employing it in Section 10, $W_{01}$ is analyzed (Figure 5) from the
RGS-dihedral action viewpoint. From this, \( W_{01} \) is seen in Section 11 (as in [3]) morphing into Hamilton cycles of \( M_k \) via symmetric differences with \( 6 \)-cycles as in Figure 6.

In particular, an integer sequence \( S_0 \) is shown to exist so that for each integer \( k > 0 \) the neighbors via color \( k \) of the RGS's in \( R_k \) ordered as in \( S \) correspond to an idempotent permutation on the first \( C_k \) terms of \( S_0 \). This and related properties hold for colors \( 1, \ldots, k+1 \) (Theorems 9 and 16 and Remark 17) reflecting and extending properties of plane trees (i.e., classes of ordered rooted trees under root rotation) in Section 10, in particular Lemma 13. Moreover, Section 12 considers symmetry properties by reversing the designation of the roots in the ordered rooted trees so that each lexical color \( i \) \( (0 \leq i \leq k) \) adjacency can be seen from the color \( (k - i) \) viewpoint. In addition, an all-RGS’s binary tree is presented in Section 13 representing all the vertices of the \( R_k \)’s.

### 1.2 Germs

To view the continuation of the sequence \( S \) in (1) for our purposes, each RGS \( \beta = \beta(m) \), denoted simply by \( \beta \), will be transformed for adequate \( k > 1 \) into a \((k - 1)\)-string \( \alpha = a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \ldots a_2a_1 \) that we call a \( k \text{-germ} \) by prefixing to \( \beta \) enough zeros if necessary. Formally, a \( k \text{-germ} \) \( \alpha \) with \( 1 < k \in \mathbb{Z} \) is defined to be a \((k - 1)\)-string \( \alpha = a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \ldots a_2a_1 \) such that:

1. the leftmost position (called position \( k - 1 \)) of \( \alpha \) contains entry \( a_{k-1} \in \{0, 1\} \);
2. given \( 1 < i < k \), the entry \( a_{i-1} \) (at position \( i - 1 \)) satisfies \( 0 \leq a_{i-1} \leq a_i + 1 \).

Every \( k \)-germ \( a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \ldots a_2a_1 \) yields the \((k + 1)\)-germ \( a_ka_{k-1}a_{k-2} \ldots a_2a_1 = 0a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \ldots a_2a_1 \). A non-null RGS, again denoted \( \alpha \), is obtained by stripping a \( k \)-germ \( \alpha = a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \ldots a_1 \neq 0 \cdot 0 \ldots 0 \) off the null entries to the left of its leftmost position containing 1. We also say that the null RGS \( \alpha = 0 \) corresponds to every null \( k \)-germ \( \alpha \), for \( 0 < k \in \mathbb{Z} \). (We use the same notations \( \alpha = \alpha(m) \) and \( \beta = \beta(m) \) to denote both a \( k \)-germ and its associated RGS).

The \( k \)-germs are ordered as follows. Given two \( k \)-germs, say \( \alpha = a_{k-1} \ldots a_2a_1 \) and \( \beta = b_{k-1} \ldots b_2b_1 \), where \( \alpha \neq \beta \), we say that \( \alpha \) precedes \( \beta \), written \( \alpha < \beta \), whenever either:

(i) \( a_{k-1} < b_{k-1} \) or
(ii) \( a_j = b_j \), for \( k - 1 \leq j \leq i + 1 \), and \( a_i < b_i \), for some \( k - 1 > i \geq 1 \).

The resulting order on \( k \)-germs \( \alpha(m) \) \((m \leq C_k)\), corresponding biunivocally (via the assignment \( m \to \alpha(m) \)) with the natural order on \( m \), yields a listing that we call the natural \((k \text{-germ}) \) enumeration. Note that there are exactly \( C_k \) \( k \)-germs \( \alpha = \alpha(m) < 10^k \), for every \( k > 0 \).

### 1.3 Natural (Germ) Enumeration

To determine the RGS \( \beta(m) \) of an integer \( m \geq 0 \), or associated \( k \)-germ \( \alpha(m) \), we use Catalan’s triangle \( \Delta \), namely a triangular arrangement of positive integers starting with the following successive rows \( \Delta_j \), for \( j = 0, \ldots, 8 \):

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 14 & 42 & 132 & 132 \\
1 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 14 & 28 & 42 & 132 \\
1 & 4 & 9 & 14 & 28 & 42 & 132 & 132 \\
1 & 5 & 14 & 28 & 42 & 132 & 297 & 429 \\
1 & 6 & 20 & 48 & 90 & 132 & 132 & 275 \\
1 & 7 & 27 & 75 & 165 & 297 & 429 & 572 \\
1 & 8 & 35 & 110 & 275 & 572 & 1001 & 1430 \\
\end{array}
\]
where reading is linear, as in [7] A009766. The numbers $\tau^j_i$ in $\Delta_j$ ($0 \leq j \in \mathbb{Z}$), given by
$$\tau^j_i = (j + i)!(j - i + 1)/(i!(j + 1)!),$$
are characterized by the following properties:

1. $\tau^0_0 = 1$, for every $j \geq 0$;
2. $\tau^j_i = j$ and $\tau^j_j = \tau^j_{j+1}$, for every $j \geq 1$;
3. $\tau^j_i = \tau^i_{j-1} + \tau^i_{j-1}$, for every $j \geq 2$ and $i = 1, \ldots, j - 2$;
4. $\sum_{i=0}^j \tau^j_i = \tau^{j+1}_j = Z_j$, for every $j \geq 1$.

The determination of $k$-germ $\beta(m)$ proceeds as follows. Let $x_0 = m$ and let $y_0 = \tau^{k+1}_k$ be the largest member of the second diagonal of $\Delta$ with $y_0 \leq x_0$. Let $x_1 = x_0 - y_0$. If $x_1 > 0$, then let $Y_1 = \{\tau^{k+1}_{k-1}\}_{j=k}$ be the largest set of successive terms in the $(k - 1)$-column of $\Delta$ with $y_1 = \sum Y_1 \leq x_1$. Either $Y_1 = \emptyset$, in which case we take $b_1 = -1$, or not, in which case we take $b_1 = |Y_1| - 1$. Let $x_2 = x_1 - y_1$. If $x_2 > 0$, then let $Y_2 = \{\tau^{k+1}_{k-2}\}_{j=k-2}$ be the largest set of successive terms in the $(k - 2)$-column of $\Delta$ with $y_2 = \sum Y_2 \leq x_2$. Either $Y_2 = \emptyset$, in which case we take $b_2 = -1$, or not, in which case we take $b_2 = |Y_2| - 1$. Iteratively, we arrive at a null $x_k$. Then $a(x_0) = a_{k-1}a_{k-2} \cdots a_1$, where $a_{k-1} = 1, a_{k-2} = 1 + b_1, \ldots$, and $a_1 = 1 + b_k$.

We note that $\beta(m)$ is recovered from $\alpha(m) = \alpha(x_0)$ by removing the zeros to the left of the leftmost 1 in $\alpha(x_0)$. Given an RGS $\beta$ or associated $k$-germ $\alpha$, the considerations above can easily be played backwards to recover the corresponding integer $x_0$.

For example, if $x_0 = 38$, then $y_0 = \tau^3_3 = 14, x_1 = x_0 - y_0 = 38 - 14 = 24, y_1 = \tau^2_2 + \tau^4_2 = 5 + 9 = 14, x_2 = x_1 - y_2 = 24 - 14 = 10, y_2 = \tau^1_1 + \tau^3_1 = 2 + 3 + 4 = 9, x_3 = x_2 - y_3 = 10 - 9 = 1, y_3 = \tau^1_1 = 1$ and $x_4 = x_3 - y_3 = 1 - 1 = 0$, so that $b_1 = 1, b_2 = 2$, and $b_3 = 0$, taking to $a_4 = 1, a_3 = 1 + b_1 = 2, a_2 = 1 + b_2 = 3$ and $a_1 = 1 + b_3 = 1$, determining the 5-germ $\alpha(38) = a_4a_3a_2a_1 = 1231$. If $x_0 = 20$, then $y_0 = \tau^4_3 = 14, x_1 = x_0 - y_0 = 19 - 14 = 6, y_1 = \tau^3_1 = 5, x_2 = x_1 - y_1 = 1, y_2 = 0$ is an empty sum (since its possible summand $\tau^2_1 > 1 = x_2$), $x_3 = x_2 - y_2 = 1, y_3 = \tau^1_1 = 1$ and $x_4 = x_3 - y_3 = 1 - 1 = 0$, determining the 5-germ $\alpha(20) = a_4a_3a_2a_1 = 1101$. Moreover, if $x_0 = 19$, then $y_0 = \tau^3_3 = 14, x_1 = x_0 - y_0 = 19 - 14 = 5, y_1 = \tau^3_2 = 5, x_2 = x_1 - y_1 = 5 - 5 = 0$, determining the 5-germ $\beta(19) = a_4a_3a_2a_1 = 1100$.

2 Castling of Ordered Rooted Trees

**Theorem 1.** To each $k$-germ $\alpha = a_{k-1} \cdots a_1 \neq 0^{k-1}$ with rightmost entry $a_i > 0$ ($k > i \geq 1$) corresponds a $k$-germ $\beta(\alpha) = b_{k-1} \cdots b_1 \prec \alpha$ with $b_i = a_i - 1$ and $a_j = b_j, (j \neq i)$. Moreover, all $k$-germs form a tree $T_k$ rooted at $0^{k-1}$, each $k$-germ $\alpha \neq 0^{k-1}$ as a child of its $\beta(\alpha)$.

**Proof.** The statement, illustrated by means of the first three columns of Table I, is straightforward. Table I also serves as illustration to the proof of Theorem 2. □

By representing $T_k$ with each node $\beta$ having its children $\alpha$ enclosed between parentheses following $\beta$ and separating siblings with commas, we can write:

$$T_k = 000(001, 010(011(012)), 100(101, 110(111(121)), 120(121(122(123))))).$$

**Theorem 2.** To each $k$-germ $\alpha = a_{k-1} \cdots a_1$ corresponds a $(2k+1)$-string $F(\alpha) = f_0 f_1 \cdots f_{2k}$ whose entries are the numbers 0, 1, \ldots, $k$ (once each) and $k$ asterisks (*) such that:

(A) $F(0^{k-1}) = 012 \cdots (k-2)(k-1)k* \cdots *; $
(B) if $\alpha \neq 0^{k-1}$, then $F(\alpha)$ is obtained from $F(\beta) = F(\beta(\alpha)) = h_0h_1 \cdots h_{2k}$ by means of the following “Castling Procedure” steps:

1. let $W^i = h_0h_1 \cdots h_{i-1} = f_0f_1 \cdots f_{i-1}$ and $Z^i = h_{2k-i+1} \cdots h_{2k-1}h_{2k} = f_{2k-i+1} \cdots f_{2k-1}f_{2k}$ be respectively the initial and terminal substrings of length $i$ in $F(\beta)$;

2. let $\Omega > 0$ be the leftmost entry of the substring $U = F(\beta) \setminus (W^i \cup Z^i)$ and consider the concatenation $U = X|Y$, with $Y$ starting at entry $\Omega + 1$; note that $F(\beta) = W^i|X|Y|Z^i$;

3. set $F(\alpha) = W^i|Y|X|Z^i$.

In particular:

(a) the leftmost entry of each $F(\alpha)$ is 0; $k*$ is a substring of $F(\alpha)$, but $k*$ is not;

(b) a number to the immediate right of any $b \in [0, k)$ in $F(\alpha)$ is larger than $b$;

(c) $W^i$ is an (ascending) number $i$-substring and $Z^i$ is formed by $i$ of the $k$ asterisks.

**Proof.** Let $\alpha = a_{k-1} \cdots a_1 \neq 0^{k-1}$ be a $k$-germ. In the sequence of applications of items 1-3 along the path from root $0^{k-1}$ to $\alpha$ in $T_k$, unit augmentation of $a_i$ for larger values of $i$, (0 < $i$ < $k$), must occur earlier, and then in strictly descending order of the entries $i$ of the intermediate $k$-germs. As a result, the length of the inner substring $X|Y$ is kept non-decreasing after each application. This is illustrated in Table I below, where the order of presentation of $X$ and $Y$ is reversed in successively decreasing steps. In the process, items (a)-(c) are seen to be fulfilled.

**TABLE I**

| $m$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $F(\beta)$ | $i$ | $W^i|X|Y|Z^i$ | $W^i|Y|X|Z^i$ | $F(\alpha)$ | $\alpha$ |
|-----|--------|--------|-------------|-----|----------------|----------------|-------------|--------|
| 0   | 0      |        |             |     |               |               |             | 0      |
| 1   | 1      | 0      | 012**       | 1   | 0 | 2* | 1* | 02*1*       | 1      |
| 0   | 0      |        |             |     |               |               |             | 0123***  |
| 1   | 01     | 00     | 023***      | 2   | 01 | 2* | 3* | 1* | 023*2***   | 10     |
| 3   | 11     | 10     | 013*2**     | 1   | 01 | 3* | 2* | 1* | 02*13*     | 11     |
| 4   | 12     | 11     | 02*13**     | 1   | 02 | 1* | 3* | 1* | 03*2*1*    | 12     |
| 0   | 00     |        |             |     |               |               |             | 01234***  |
| 1   | 001    | 000    | 01234*****  | 2   | 01 | 2| 3| 4* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 3   | 010    | 000    | 01234*****  | 2   | 01 | 2| 3| 4* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 5   | 010    | 010    | 013*2**     | 1   | 01 | 3* | 2* | 1* | 02*13*     | 11     |
| 4   | 012    | 011    | 02*13**     | 1   | 02 | 1| 3* | 2* | 1* | 03*2*1*    | 12     |
| 6   | 101    | 100    | 0124*3***   | 1   | 01 | 2| 4| 3* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 7   | 110    | 100    | 0124*3***   | 2   | 01 | 2| 4| 3* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 8   | 111    | 110    | 013*24***   | 1   | 01 | 3* | 2| 4* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 9   | 112    | 111    | 02*13**     | 1   | 02 | 1| 3* | 2* | 1* | 03*2*1*    | 12     |
| 10  | 120    | 110    | 013*24***   | 2   | 01 | 3| 2| 4* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 11  | 121    | 120    | 014*3*2**   | 1   | 01 | 4| 3| 2* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 12  | 122    | 121    | 02*14*3**   | 1   | 02 | 3| 4| 3* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
| 13  | 123    | 122    | 03*2*14***  | 1   | 03 | 2| 1| 4* | 1* | 02*3*1*    | 12     |
The three successive subtables in Table I have \( C_k \) rows each, where \( C_2 = 2, C_3 = 5 \) and \( C_4 = 14 \); in the subtables, the \( k \)-germs \( \alpha \) are shown both on the second and last columns via natural enumeration in the first column; the images \( F(\alpha) \) of those \( \alpha \) are on the penultimate column; the remaining columns in the table are filled, from the second row on, as follows: 

(i) \( \beta = \beta(\alpha) \), arising in Theorem 1; (ii) \( F(\beta) \), taken from the penultimate column in the previous row; (iii) the length \( i \) of \( W^i \) and \( Z^i \) \((k - 1 \geq i \geq 1)\); (iv) the decomposition \( W^i|Y|X|Z^i \) of \( F(\beta) \); (v) the decomposition \( W^i|Y|X|Y|Z^i \) of \( F(\alpha) \), re-concatenated in the following, penultimate, column as \( F(\alpha) \), with \( \alpha = F^{-1}(F(\alpha)) \) in the last column. \( \square \)

To each \( F(\alpha) \) corresponds a binary \( n \)-string \( \theta(\alpha) \) of weight \( k \) obtained by replacing each number by 0 and each asterisk * by 1. By attaching the entries of \( F(\alpha) \) as subscripts to the corresponding entries of \( \theta(\alpha) \), a subscripted binary \( n \)-string \( \hat{\theta}(\alpha) \) is obtained. Let \( \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\theta}(\alpha)) \) be given by the complemented reversal of \( \theta(\alpha) \), that is:

\[
\text{if } \theta(\alpha) = a_0a_1 \cdots a_{2k}, \text{ then } \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\theta(\alpha)) = \bar{a}_{2k} \cdots \bar{a}_1\bar{a}_0,
\]

where \( \bar{0} = 1 \) and \( \bar{1} = 0 \). A subscripted version \( \hat{\mathcal{N}} \) of \( \mathcal{N} \) is obtained for \( \hat{\theta}(\alpha) \), as shown subsequently in Table II, for \( k = 2, 3 \), with the subscripts of \( \hat{\mathcal{N}} \) reversed with respect to \( \mathcal{N} \). Each image under \( \mathcal{N} \) is an \( n \)-string of weight \( k + 1 \) and has the 1’s indexed with number subscripts and the 0’s indexed with asterisk subscripts. The number subscripts reappear in Sections 6-8 as lexical colors [4] for the graphs \( M_k \), revisited below.

**TABLE II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( m )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \theta(\alpha) )</th>
<th>( \hat{\theta}(\alpha) )</th>
<th>( \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\theta(\alpha)) = \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\hat{\theta}(\alpha)) )</th>
<th>( \mathcal{N}(\theta(\alpha)) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>00011</td>
<td>0_10_211_1</td>
<td>0,a_10_011</td>
<td>00111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>00101</td>
<td>0_02101_1</td>
<td>1_010_200</td>
<td>01011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0000111</td>
<td>0_0203111_1</td>
<td>0,a_20100111</td>
<td>0001111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0011010</td>
<td>0_2013110_0</td>
<td>0_100_21001011</td>
<td>0100111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0010111</td>
<td>0_02102011_1</td>
<td>0,a_20010111</td>
<td>0010111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0010011</td>
<td>0_02100311_1</td>
<td>0_010_2100111</td>
<td>0011011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0011010</td>
<td>0_03102101_1</td>
<td>0_100_2100111</td>
<td>0101011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark 3. We say that an ordered rooted tree [3] (or ORT) \( T \) is a tree with specified root vertex \( v_0 \), an embedding of \( T \) into the (coordinate) plane with \( v_0 \) on top, all edges descending from corresponding parent vertices to their children, and left-right ordering for these children. We use this ordering to perform a depth first search (DFS) on the ORT \( T \) with its vertices from \( v_0 \) downward denoted as \( v_i \) in a right-to-left breadth-first search (←BFS) fashion. Such DFS yields an \( n \)-string \( F(\alpha) \) representing the ORT \( T \) by writing successively:

(i) the subindex \( i \) of each \( v_i \) in the DFS downward appearance and

(ii) an asterisk for the edge \( e_i \) ending at each child \( v_i \) in the DFS upward appearance.

(In Section 12, an opposite viewpoint on ORT’s with a new root \( a_k \) is seen.) Now, we write:

\[
T = T_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad F(T_{\alpha}) = F(\alpha).
\]

Then, Theorem 1 can be taken as a tree-surgery transformation from \( T_\beta \) onto \( T_{\alpha} \) for each \( k \)-germ \( \alpha \neq 0^{k-1} \) via the vertices \( v_i \) and edges \( e_i \) (whose parent vertices are generally reattached differently). This remark is used in Sections 10-12 in reinterpreting Mütze’s theorem.
3 Translations mod $2k + 1$

Let $n = 2k + 1$. The $n$-cube graph $H_n$ is the Hasse diagram of the Boolean lattice $2^{[n]}$ on the set $[n] = \{0, \ldots, n - 1\}$. It is convenient to express each vertex $v$ of $H_n$ in either of three different equivalent ways, as:

(a) ordered set $A = \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1}\} = a_0a_1 \cdots a_{j-1} \subseteq [n]$ that $v$ represents, $(0 < j \leq n)$;

(b) characteristic binary $n$-vector $B_A = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1})$ of ordered set $A$ in item (a) above, where $b_i = 1$ if and only if $i \in A$, $(i \in [n])$;

(c) polynomial $\epsilon_A(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ associated to $B_A$ in item (b) above.

Ordered set $A$ and vector $B_A$ in items (a) and (b) respectively are written for short as $a_0a_1 \cdots a_{j-1}$ and $b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}$. A is said to be the support of $B_A$.

For each $j \in [n]$, let $L_j = \{A \subseteq [n] \text{ with } |A| = j\}$ be the $j$-level of $H_n$. Then, the middle-levels graph $M_k$ is the subgraph of $H_n$ induced by $L_k \cup L_{k+1}$, for $1 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By viewing the elements of $V(M_k) = L_k \cup L_{k+1}$ as polynomials, as in (c) above, a regular (i.e., free and transitive) translation mod $n$ action $\Upsilon'$ of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ on $V(M_k)$ is seen to exist, given by:

$$\Upsilon' : \mathbb{Z}_n \times V(M_k) \to V(M_k), \text{ with } \Upsilon'(i, v) = v(x)x^i \pmod{1 + x^n},$$

where $v \in V(M_k)$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Now, $\Upsilon'$ yields a quotient graph $M_k/\pi$ of $M_k$, where $\pi$ stands for the equivalence relation on $V(M_k)$ given by:

$$\epsilon_A(x) \pi \epsilon_A'(x) \Leftrightarrow \exists i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \epsilon_A'(x) \equiv x^i \epsilon_A(x) \pmod{1 + x^n},$$

with $A, A' \in V(M_k)$. This is to be used in the proof of Theorem 5. Clearly, $M_k/\pi$ is the graph whose vertices are the equivalence classes of $V(M_k)$ under $\pi$. Also, $\pi$ induces a partition of $E(M_k)$ into equivalence classes, to be taken as the edges of $M_k/\pi$.

4 Complemented Reversals

Let $(b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1})$ denote the class of $b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1} \in L_i$ in $L_i/\pi$. Let $\rho_i : L_i \to L_i/\pi$ be the canonical projection given by assigning $b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}$ to $(b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1}$), for $i \in \{k, k+1\}$. The definition of $\mathfrak{R}$ in display (2) is easily extended to a bijection, again denoted $\mathfrak{R}$, from $L_k$ onto $L_{k+1}$. Let $\mathfrak{R}_\pi : L_k/\pi \to L_{k+1}/\pi$ be given by $\mathfrak{R}_\pi((b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1})) = (\tilde{b}_0 \cdots \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_0)$. Observe $\mathfrak{R}_\pi$ is a bijection. Notice the commutative identities $\rho_{k+1} \mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R} \rho_k$ and $\rho_k \mathfrak{R}^{-1} = \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \rho_{k+1}$.

The following geometric representations will be handy. List vertically the vertex parts $L_k$ and $L_{k+1}$ of $M_k$ (resp., $L_k/\pi$ and $L_{k+1}/\pi$ of $M_k/\pi$) so as to display a splitting of $V(M_k) = L_k \cup L_{k+1}$ (resp., $V(M_k)/\pi = L_k/\pi \cup L_{k+1}/\pi$) into pairs, each pair contained in a horizontal line, the two composing vertices of such pair equidistant from a vertical line $\phi$ (resp., $\phi/\pi$, depicted through $M_2/\pi$ on the left of Figure 1, Section 5 below). In addition, we impose that each resulting horizontal vertex pair in $M_k$ (resp., $M_k/\pi$) be of the form $(B_A, \mathfrak{R}(B_A))$ (resp., $(B_A), (\mathfrak{R}(B_A) = \mathfrak{R}_\pi((B_A)))$, disposed from left to right at both sides of $\phi$. A non-horizontal edge of $M_k/\pi$ will be said to be a skew edge.

Theorem 4. To each skew edge $e = (B_A)(B_{A'})$ of $M_k/\pi$ corresponds another skew edge $\mathfrak{R}_\pi((B_A))\mathfrak{R}_\pi^{-1}((B_{A'}))$ obtained from $e$ by reflection on the line $\phi/\pi$. Moreover:
(i) the skew edges of $M_k/π$ appear in pairs, with the endpoints in each pair forming two horizontal pairs of vertices equidistant from $φ/π$;

(ii) the horizontal edges of $M_k/π$ have multiplicity $≤ 2$.

**Proof.** The skew edges $B_A B_A$ and $N^{-1}(B_A)\overline{N}(B_A)$ of $M_k$ are reflection of each other about $φ$. Their endpoints form two horizontal pairs $(B_A, N(B_A))$ and $(N^{-1}(B_A), B_A)$ of vertices. Now, $ρ_k$ and $ρ_{k+1}$ extend together to a covering graph map $ρ : M_k → M_k/π$, since the edges accompany the projections correspondingly, exemplified for $k = 2$ as follows:

\[ N((B_A)) = N((0011)) = N((0011, 1001, 1100, 1101, 0011)) = (00111, 01110, 11100, 11001, 10011) = (00111), \]
\[ N^{-1}((B_A')) = N^{-1}((01011)) = N^{-1}((01011, 10110, 10110, 10101)) = (01010, 10011, 01001, 01010) = (01010). \]

Here, the order of the elements in the image of class $(00111)$ (resp., $(01011)$) mod $π$ under $N$ (resp., $N^{-1}$) are shown reversed, from right to left (cyclically between braces, continuing on the right once one reaches the leftmost brace). Such reversal holds for every $k > 2$:

\[ N((b_0 \ldots b_{2k})) = N((b_0 \ldots b_{2k}, b_{2k-1} \ldots b_1, b_0)) = (b_{2k-1} \ldots b_0, b_{2k-1} \ldots b_0), \]
\[ N^{-1}((b_0 \ldots b_{2k})) = N^{-1}((b_0 \ldots b_{2k}, b_{2k-1} \ldots b_0, b_0)) = (b_0 \ldots b_{2k-1}, b_{2k-1} \ldots b_0). \]

where $(b_0 \ldots b_{2k}) ∈ L_k/π$ and $(b_0 \ldots b_{2k}') ∈ L_{k+1}/π$. This establishes item (i) of the statement.

Every horizontal edge $vN_2(v)$ of $M_k/π$ has $v ∈ L_k/π$ represented by $b_k \ldots b_1 0 b_1 \ldots b_k$ in $L_k$, (so $v = (b_k \ldots b_1 0 b_1 \ldots b_k)$). There are $2^k$ such vertices in $L_k$ and at most $2^k$ corresponding vertices in $L_k/π$. For example, $(0^k 11^k)$ and $(0^k 10^k)$ are endpoints in $L_k/π$ of two horizontal edges of $M_k/π$, each. To prove that this implies item (ii), we have to see that there cannot be more than two representatives $b_k \ldots b_1 0 b_1 \ldots b_k$ and $c_k \ldots c_1 c_0 c_1 \ldots c_k$ of a vertex $v ∈ L_k/π$, with $b_0 = 0 = c_0$. Such a $v$ is expressible as $v = (d_0 \ldots d_i d_{i+1} \ldots d_{j-1} c_0 \ldots d_{2k})$, with $b_0 = d_i, c_0 = d_j$ and $0 < j-i ≤ k$. Let the substring $σ = d_{i+1} \ldots d_{j-1}$ be said $(j-i)$-feasible. Let us see that every $(j-i)$-feasible substring $σ$ forces in $L_k/π$ only vertices $ω$ leading to two different (parallel) horizontal edges in $M_k/π$ incident to $v$. In fact, periodic continuation mod $n$ of $d_0 \ldots d_{2k}$ both to the right of $d_j = c_0$ with minimal cyclic substring $d_{i-1} \ldots d_{i+1} d_{i+2} \ldots d_{j-1} 0 = \overline{P}_φ$ and to the left of $d_i = b_0$ with minimal cyclic substring $0 d_{i+1} \ldots d_{j-1} d_{j-1} \ldots d_{i+1} = P_φ$ yields a two-way infinite string that winds up onto a class $(d_0 \ldots d_{2k})$, containing such an $ω$. For example, some pairs of feasible substrings $σ$ and resulting vertices $ω$ are:

\[ (σ, ω) = ([σ, ω_1]), (0, (00011)), (1, (10100)), (02, (0000111)), (01, (0010111)), (11, (11100)), (03, (000001111)), (010, (0101001)), (012, (0121010)), (011, (0110010)) \]

with ‘o’ replacing $b_0 = 0$ and $c_0 = 0$, and where $k = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ has successive values $k = 1, 2, 1, 3, 3, 2, 4, 5, 2, 2, 3$. If $σ$ is a feasible substring and $σ$ is its complemented reversal via $N$, then the possible symmetric substrings $P_φ σ P_φ$ about $o σ o = 0 σ o$ in a vertex $v$ of $L_k/π$ are in order of ascending length:

\[ \sigma \sigma 0 \sigma, \sigma 0 \sigma \sigma 0 \sigma, \sigma 0 \sigma 0 \sigma 0 \sigma, \sigma 0 σ 0 σ 0 σ, \sigma 0 σ 0 σ 0 σ, \sigma 0 σ 0 σ 0 σ, \sigma 0 σ 0 σ 0 σ, \sigma 0 σ 0 σ 0 σ, \sigma 0 σ 0 σ 0 σ, \sigma 0 σ 0 σ 0 σ, ... \]

where we use again ‘o’ instead of ‘σ’ for the entries immediately preceding and following the shown central copy of $σ$. The lateral periods of $P_φ$ and $P_φ$ determine each one horizontal
edge at \( v \) in \( M_k/\pi \) up to returning to \( b_0 \) or \( c_0 \), so no entry \( e_0 = 0 \) of \( (d_0 \cdots d_{2k}) \) other than \( b_0 \) or \( c_0 \) happens such that \( (d_0 \cdots d_{2k}) \) has a third representative \( \tilde{e}_k \cdots \tilde{e}_1 0 e_1 \cdots e_k \) (besides \( b_k \cdots b_1 0 b_1 \cdots b_k \) and \( c_k \cdots c_1 0 c_1 \cdots c_k \)). Thus, those two horizontal edges are produced solely from the feasible substrings \( d_{i+1} \cdots d_{j-1} \) characterized above.

To illustrate Theorem 4, let \( 1 < h < n \) in \( \mathbb{Z} \) be such that gcd\((h, n) = 1\) and let \( \lambda_h : L_k/\pi \to L_k/\pi \) be given by \( \lambda_h((a_0 a_1 \cdots a_n)) \mapsto (a_0 a_h a_{2h} \cdots a_{n-2h} a_{n-h}) \). For each such \( h \leq k \), there is at least one \( h \)-feasible substring \( \sigma \) and a resulting associated vertex \( v \in L_k/\pi \) as in the proof of Theorem 4. For example, starting at \( v = (0^k+1^k) \in L_k/\pi \) and applying \( \lambda_h \) repeatedly produces a number of such vertices \( v \in L_k/\pi \). If we assume \( h = 2h' \) with \( h' \in \mathbb{Z} \), then an \( h \)-feasible substring \( \sigma \) has the form \( \sigma = \tilde{a}_1 \cdots \tilde{a}_{h'} a_{h'} \cdots a_1 \), so there are at least \( 2^{h'} = 2^k \) such \( h \)-feasible substrings.

5 The Dihedral Quotients

An involution of a graph \( G \) is a graph map \( \mathcal{N} : G \to G \) such that \( \mathcal{N}^2 \) is the identity. If \( G \) has an involution, an \( \mathcal{N} \)-folding of \( G \) is a graph \( H \), possibly with loops, whose vertices \( v' \) and edges or loops \( e' \) are respectively of the form \( v' = \{v, \mathcal{N}(v)\} \) and \( e' = \{e, \mathcal{N}(e)\} \), where \( v \in V(G) \) and \( e \in E(G) \); \( e \) has endvertices \( v \) and \( \mathcal{N}(v) \) if and only if \( \{e, \mathcal{N}(e)\} \) is a loop of \( G \).

Note that both maps \( \mathcal{N} : M_k \to M_k \) and \( \mathcal{N}_\pi : M_k/\pi \to M_k/\pi \) in Section 4 are involutions. Let us denote each horizontal pair \( \{(B_A), \mathcal{N}_\pi((B_A))\} \) of \( M_k/\pi \) by \( \langle B_A \rangle \), where \( |A| = k \). An \( \mathcal{N} \)-folding \( R_k \) of \( M_k/\pi \) is obtained whose vertices are the pairs \( \langle B_A \rangle \) and having:

1. an edge \( \langle B_A \rangle \langle B_A' \rangle \) per skew-edge pair \( \{(B_A), \mathcal{N}_\pi((B_A'))\}, \langle (B_A'), \mathcal{N}_\pi((B_A))\} \);
2. a loop at \( \langle B_A \rangle \) per horizontal edge \( \langle B_A \rangle \mathcal{N}_\pi((B_A)) \); because of Theorem 4, there may be up to two loops at each vertex of \( R_k \).

Theorem 5. \( R_k \) is a quotient graph of \( M_k \) under an action \( \Upsilon : D_{2n} \times M_k \to M_k \).

Figure 1: Reflection symmetry of \( M_2/\pi \) about a line \( \phi/\pi \) and resulting graph map \( \gamma_2 \)

Proof. \( D_{2n} \) is the semidirect product \( \mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \) via the group homomorphism \( \varphi : \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_n) \), where \( \varphi(0) \) is the identity and \( \varphi(1) \) is the automorphism \( i \to (n - i), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n \). If \( * : D_{2n} \times D_{2n} \to D_{2n} \) indicates group multiplication and \( i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n \), then \((i_1, 0) * (i_2, j) = (i_1 + i_2, j) \) and \((i_1, 1) * (i_2, j) = (i_1 - i_2, j), \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Set \( \Upsilon((i, j), v) = \Upsilon'(i, \mathcal{N}(v)), \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \), where \( \Upsilon' \) is as in display (3). Then, \( \Upsilon \) is a well-defined \( D_{2n} \)-action on \( M_k \). By writing
yields a graph projection from \( \mathcal{V}(2) \) there are, traced from the lower-left vertex \((0,0)\) to the upper-right vertex \((k,k)\), leading to the compatibility condition \((i,j) \ast (i', j')\) :: \(v = (i, j) \ast ((i', j') \ast v))\).

Theorem 5 yields a graph projection \( \gamma_k : \mathbb{M}_k / \pi \to R_k \) for the action \( \mathcal{V} \), given for \( k = 2 \) in Figure 1. In fact, \( \gamma_2 \) is associated with reflection of \( \mathbb{M}_2 / \pi \) about the dashed vertical symmetry axis \( \phi / \pi \) so that \( R_2 \) (containing two vertices and one edge between them, with each vertex incident to two loops) is given as its image. Both the representations of \( \mathbb{M}_2 / \pi \) and \( R_2 \) in the figure have their edges indicated with colors 0, 1, 2, as arising in Section 6.

6 Lexical Procedure

Let \( P_{k+1} \) be the subgraph of the unit-distance graph of \( \mathbb{R} \) (the real line) induced by the set \([k + 1] = \{0, \ldots, k\}\). We draw the grid \( \Gamma = P_{k+1} \cap P_{k+1} \) in the plane \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with a diagonal \( \Delta \) traced from the lower-left vertex \((0,0)\) to the upper-right vertex \((k,k)\). For each \( v \in L_k / \pi \), there are \( k + 1 \) \( n \)-tuples of the form \( b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1} = 0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1} \) that represent \( v \) with \( b_0 = 0 \). For each such \( n \)-tuple, we construct a \( 2k \)-path \( D \) in \( \Gamma \) from \((0,0)\) to \((k,k)\) in \( 2k \) steps indexed from \( i = 0 \) to \( i = 2k - 1 \). This leads to a lexical edge-coloring implicit in [4]; see the next statement and Figure 2, containing examples of such a \( 2k \)-path \( D \) in thick trace.

![Figure 2: Representing lexical color assignment for \( k = 2 \)](image-url)

\textbf{Theorem 6.} [4] Each \( v \in L_k / \pi \) has its \( k + 1 \) incident edges assigned colors 0, 1, \ldots, \( k \) by means of the following “Lexical Procedure”, where \( 0 \leq i \in \mathbb{Z} \), \( w \in V(\Gamma) \) and \( D \) is a path in \( \Gamma \). Initially, let \( i = 0 \), \( w = (0,0) \) and \( D \) contain solely the vertex \( w \). Repeat \( 2k \) times the following sequence of steps (1)-(3), and then perform once the final steps (4)-(5):

1. If \( b_i = 0 \), then set \( w' := w + (1,0) \); otherwise, set \( w' := w + (0,1) \).
2. Reset \( V(D) := v(D) \cup \{w'\} \), \( E(D) := E(D) \cup \{ww'\} \), \( i := i + 1 \) and \( w := w' \).
3. If \( w \neq (k,k) \), or equivalently, if \( i < 2k \), then go back to step (1).
4. Set \( \tilde{v} \in L_{k+1} / \pi \) to be the vertex of \( \mathbb{M}_k / \pi \) adjacent to \( v \) and obtained from its representative \( n \)-tuple \( b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1} = 0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1} \) by replacing the entry \( b_0 \) by \( b_0 = 1 \) in \( \tilde{v} \), keeping the entries \( b_i \) of \( v \) unchanged in \( \tilde{v} \) for \( i > 0 \).
5. Set the color of the edge \( v\tilde{v} \) to be the number \( c \) of horizontal (alternatively, vertical) arcs of \( D \) above \( \Delta \).
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Proof. If addition and subtraction in \([n]\) are taken modulo \(n\) and we write \(\{y, x\} = \{y, y + 1, y + 2, \ldots, x - 1\}\), for \(x, y \in [n]\), and \(S^c = [n] \setminus S\), for \(S = \{i \in [n] : b_i = 1\} \subseteq [n]\), then the cardinalities of the sets \(\{y \in S^c \setminus \{[y, x] \cap S\} < |([y, x] \cap S^c)\}\) yield all the edge colors, where \(x \in S^c\) varies.

The Lexical Procedure of Theorem 6, referred from now on as the LP, yields a 1-factorization not only for \(M_k/\pi\) but also for \(R_k\) and \(M_k\). This is clarified by the end of Section 7.

7 Un-castling

A notation \(\delta(v)\) is assigned to each pair \(\{v, \mathcal{N}_k(v)\} \in R_k\), where \(v \in L_k/\pi\), so that there is a unique \(k\)-germ \(\alpha = \alpha(v)\) with \(\langle F(\alpha) \rangle = \delta(v)\) (with notation \(\langle \cdot \rangle\), as in \(\langle B_A \rangle\) in Section 5). We exemplify this for \(k = 2\) in Figure 2 of Section 6, with the LP (indicated by arrows \(\Rightarrow\)) departing from \(v = (00011)\) (top) and \(v = (00101)\) (bottom), passing to rightward sketches of \(\Gamma\) (separated by symbols \(+\)), one sketch (in which to trace the edges of per representative \(b\), underscored) and pointing via an arrow \(\Rightarrow\) to the corresponding color \(c \in [k + 1]\), which is the number of horizontal arcs of \(D\) below \(\Delta\) (as in step (5) of the LP).

In each of the two cases in Figure 2 (top, bottom), an arrow \(\Rightarrow\) to the right of the sketch triple points to a modification \(\hat{v}\) of \(b_0b_1 \cdots b_{n-1} = b_0 \cdots b_{n-1}\) of \(v\) shown under the sketch (with \(b_0 = 0\) underscored) and pointing via an arrow \(\Rightarrow\) to the corresponding color \(c \in [k + 1]\), which is the number of horizontal arcs of \(D\) below \(\Delta\). This un-castling leads to a finite sequence \(\delta(v^0), \delta(v^1), \ldots, \delta(v^s)\) of \(n\)-strings in \(L_k/\pi\) with parameters \(j_0 \geq j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_s\), and \(k\)-germs \(\alpha(v^0), \alpha(v^1), \ldots, \alpha(v^s)\). It also leads from \(\alpha(v^0)\) to \(\alpha(v) = \alpha(v^s)\) via unit incrementation of \(a_{j_i}\), for \(i = 0, \ldots, s\), with each incrementation.

Proof. This is a procedure inverse to that of castling (Section 2), and items 1-4 follow. □

| \(j_0\) | \(\delta(v^1)\) | \(0|3+2|1+4+1\) | \(<0|3+2|14+1>\) | \(0|3+2|14+1\) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| \(j_1\) | \(\delta(v^2)\) | \(0|2+14|3+3\) | \(<0|2+14|3>\) | \(0|2+14|3\) |
| \(j_2\) | \(\delta(v^3)\) | \(0|14+3|2+2\) | \(<0|14+3|2>\) | \(0|14+3|2\) |
| \(j_3\) | \(\delta(v^4)\) | \(0|13+2|3+3\) | \(<0|13+2|3>\) | \(0|13+2|3\) |
| \(j_4\) | \(\delta(v^5)\) | \(0|24|3+3\) | \(<0|24|3>\) | \(0|24|3\) |
| \(j_5\) | \(\delta(v^6)\) | \(0|12|3+4+3\) | \(<0|12|3+4+3>\) | \(0|12|4+3+3\) |

This un-castling leads to a finite sequence \(\delta(v^0), \delta(v^1), \ldots, \delta(v^s)\) of \(n\)-strings in \(L_k/\pi\) with parameters \(j_0 \geq j_1 \geq \cdots \geq j_s\), and \(k\)-germs \(\alpha(v^0), \alpha(v^1), \ldots, \alpha(v^s)\). It also leads from \(\alpha(v^0)\) to \(\alpha(v) = \alpha(v^s)\) via unit incrementation of \(a_{j_i}\), for \(i = 0, \ldots, s\), with each incrementation.
yielding a corresponding \( \alpha(v') \). Recall \( F \) is a bijection from the set \( V(T_k) \) of \( k \)-germs onto the set \( L_k/\pi \), both sets being of cardinality \( \aleph \). Thus, to deal with \( V(R_k) \) it is enough to deal with \( V(T_k) \), a fact useful in interpreting Theorem 8 below. For example \( \delta(v) = \delta(v^0) = \langle 04*3*2*1* \rangle = \langle 0|4*3*2*1* \rangle = \langle W^0|X|Y|Z^0 \rangle \) with \( i = 0 \) and \( \alpha(v^0) = 000 \), continued in Table III with \( \delta(v^1) = \langle W^0|Y|X|Z^0 \rangle \), finally arriving to \( \alpha(v) = \alpha(v^*) = \alpha(v^6) = 123 \).

A pair of skew edges \((B_A)\mathbb{N}_\pi((B_{A'}))\) and \((B_{A'})\mathbb{N}((B_A))\) in \( M_k/\pi \), to be called a skew reflection edge pair (SREP), provides a color notation for any \( v \in L_{k+1}/\pi \) such that in each particular edge class mod \( \pi \):

(I) all edges receive a common color in \([k+1]\) regardless of the endpoint on which the LP (or its modification immediately below) for \( v \in L_{k+1}/\pi \) is applied;

(II) the two edges in each SREP in \( M_k/\pi \) are assigned a common color in \([k+1]\).

The modification in step (I) consists in replacing in Figure 2 each \( v \) by \( \mathbb{N}_\pi(v) \) so that on the left we have now instead \((00111)\) (top) and \((01011)\) (bottom) with respective sketch subtitles

\[
\begin{align*}
00111 \rightarrow 0, & \quad 10011 \rightarrow 1, \quad 11001 \rightarrow 2, \\
01011 \rightarrow 0, & \quad 10101 \rightarrow 2, \quad 01101 \rightarrow 1, \\
\end{align*}
\]

resulting in similar sketches when the steps (1)-(5) of the LP are taken with right-to-left reading and processing of the entries on the left side of the subtitles (before the arrows “\( \rightarrow \)”), where now the values of each \( b_1 \) must be taken complemented.

Since an SREP in \( M_k \) determines a unique edge \( \epsilon \) of \( R_k \) (and vice versa), the color received by the SREP can be attributed to \( \epsilon \), too. Clearly, each vertex of either \( M_k \) or \( M_k/\pi \) or \( R_k \) defines a bijection from its incident edges onto the color set \([k+1]\). The edges obtained via \( \mathbb{N} \) or \( \mathbb{N}_\pi \) from these edges have the same corresponding colors.

**Theorem 8.** A 1-factorization of \( M_k/\pi \) by the colors \( 0, 1, \ldots, k \) is obtained via the LP that can be lifted to a covering 1-factorization of \( M_k \) and subsequently collapsed onto a folding s-factorization of \( R_k \). This insures the notation \( \delta(v) \) for each \( v \in V(R_k) \) so that there is a unique \( k \)-germ \( \alpha = \alpha(v) \) with \( \langle F(\alpha) \rangle = \delta(v) \).

**Proof.** As pointed out in item (II) above, each SREP in \( M_k/\pi \) has its edges with a common color of \([k+1]\). Thus, the \([k+1]\)-coloring of \( M_k/\pi \) induces a well-defined \([k+1]\)-coloring of \( R_k \). This yields the claimed collapsing to a folding 1-factorization of \( R_k \). The lifting to a covering 1-factorization in \( M_k \) is immediate. The arguments above determine that the collapsing 1-factorization in \( R_k \) induces the claimed \( k \)-germs \( \alpha(v) \). \( \square \)

## 8 Germ Structure of the Lexical 1-Factorizations

From now on, each \( v \in V(R_k) \) (omitting now its delimiting parentheses) is presented via \( \delta(v) \) and also via the \( k \)-germ \( \alpha \) for which \( \delta(v) = \langle F(\alpha) \rangle \). Further, we view \( R_k \) as the graph whose vertices are the \( k \)-germs \( \alpha \), with adjacency inherited from that of their \( \delta \)-notation via \( F^{-1} \) (i.e, un-castingling). Moreover, \( V(R_k) \) is presented as in the natural (germ) enumeration.

Examples of such presentation are shown in Table IV for \( k = 2 \) and \( 3 \), where \( m, \alpha = \alpha(m) \) and \( F(\alpha) \) are shown in the first three columns, for \( 0 \leq m < C_k \). The neighbors of \( F(\alpha) \) are presented in the central columns of the table as \( F^k(\alpha), F^{k-1}(\alpha), \ldots, F^0(\alpha) \) respectively for the edge colors \( k, k-1, \ldots, 0 \), with notation given via the effect of function \( \mathbb{N} \). The last
four columns yield the $k$-germs $\alpha^k, \alpha^{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha^0$ associated via $F^{-1}$ respectively to the listed neighbors $F^k(\alpha), F^{k-1}(\alpha), \ldots, F^0(\alpha)$ of $F(\alpha)$ in $R_k$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(m)</th>
<th>(\alpha)</th>
<th>(F(\alpha))</th>
<th>(F^3(\alpha))</th>
<th>(F^2(\alpha))</th>
<th>(F^1(\alpha))</th>
<th>(F^0(\alpha))</th>
<th>(\alpha^3)</th>
<th>(\alpha^2)</th>
<th>(\alpha^1)</th>
<th>(\alpha^0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>012**</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>012**</td>
<td>02<em>1</em></td>
<td>12**0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>02<em>1</em></td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1<em>02</em></td>
<td>012**</td>
<td>2*10</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0123***</td>
<td>0123***</td>
<td>013*2**</td>
<td>023**1*</td>
<td>123***0</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>023**1*</td>
<td>1*023**</td>
<td>1<em>03</em>2*</td>
<td>0123***</td>
<td>2*13**0</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>013*2**</td>
<td>02*20**</td>
<td>0123***</td>
<td>03<em>2</em>1*</td>
<td>13*2**0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>02*13**</td>
<td>013*2**</td>
<td>13<em>2</em>02*</td>
<td>02*13**</td>
<td>10<em>2</em>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>03<em>2</em>1*</td>
<td>2<em>103</em></td>
<td>1*023**</td>
<td>013*2**</td>
<td>3<em>2</em>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For $k = 4, 5$, Tables V, VI, respectively, have a similar natural enumeration adjacency disposition. We can generalize these tables directly to Colored Adjacency Tables denoted $CAT(k)$, for $k > 1$. This way, item (A) in Theorem 9 below is obtained as indicated in the aggregated row upending each of the Tables V, VI that cites the only non-asterisk entry, for each of $i = k, k - 2, \ldots, 0,$ as a number $j = (k - 1), \ldots, 1$ that leads to entry equality in both columns $\alpha = a_k \cdots a_j \cdots a_1$ and $\alpha^i = a_{k-1}^i \cdots a_j^i \cdots a_1^i$, that is $a_j = a_j^i$. Other important properties are contained in Theorem 9, including item (B), that the columns $\alpha^0$ in all $CAT(k)$, $(k > 1)$, yield an (infinite) integer sequence. Additional complementary properties are contained in Theorem 16 and Remark 17.

**Theorem 9.** Let: $k > 1$, $j(\alpha^k) = k - 1$ and $j(\alpha^{i-1}) = i$, $(i = k - 1, \ldots, 1)$. Then: (A) each column $\alpha^{i-1}$ in $CAT(k)$, for $i \in \{k\} \cup \{k + 1\}$, preserves the respective $j(\alpha^{i-1})$-th entry of $\alpha$; (B) the entries in column $\alpha^k$ (e.g., the leftmost column $\alpha^1$ in Tables IV-VI, for $0 \leq i \leq k + 1$) of all $CAT(k)$’s conform an RGS sequence; this determines a corresponding integer sequence $S_0$, idempotent on its first $C_k$ terms for each $k$; (C) the integer sequence $S_1$ given by concatenating the $m$-indexed intervals $[0, 2], [2, 5], \ldots, [C_{k-1}, C_k)$, etc. in column $\alpha^{k-1}$ of the corresponding tables $CAT(2)$, $CAT(3), \ldots$ $CAT(k)$, etc. allows to encode all columns $\alpha^{k-1}$’s; (D) for each $k > 1$, there $i$ okay set $eBay$ saleless an idempotent permutation given in the $m$-indexed interval $[0, C_k]$ of the column $\alpha^{k-1}$ of $CAT(k)$; such permutation equals another one given in the interval $[0, C_k]$ in the column $\alpha^{k-2}$ of $CAT(k + 1)$.

**Proof.** Item (A) holds by Remarks 10-11, below. Let $\alpha$ be a $k$-germ. Then $\alpha$ shares with $\alpha^k$ all entries to the left of the leftmost entry 1, showing (B) via Remark 10. For (C), if $k = 3$: $m = 2, 3, 4$ yield for $\alpha^{k-1}$ the idempotent $(2, 0)(4, 1)$; etc. Item (D) is observable, too. □
implies

In both cases (either $p < k$ or $\alpha < \alpha'$), there is a $\alpha$-germ $(\beta_1') = k_1$.

Remark 10. Consider case $p = k$. If $a_{k-1} = 1$, we take $0|\alpha$ in place of $\alpha = a_{k-1} \cdots a_1$, with $k-1$ in place of $k$, removing afterwards the added leftmost 0, etc, from the resulting $\beta$. So, let $\alpha_1 = a_{k-1} \cdots a_{k-i}$ be the proper ascent of $\alpha$. Let $B_1 = i_1 - 1$, where $i_1 = ||\alpha_1||$ is the length of $\alpha_1$. It can be seen that $\beta$ has proper ascent $\beta_1 = b_{k-1} \cdots b_{k-i}$ with $\alpha_1 + \psi(\beta_1) = B_1 \cdots B_1$.

For the neighbors $\alpha', \alpha'^{-1}, \ldots, \alpha_0$ of a $k$-germ $\alpha = a_{k-1} \cdots a_1$, we need two substrings of $\alpha$:

(a) the straight ascent $\alpha_1 = a_{k-1} \cdots a_{k-i}$ of $\alpha$ is its maximal ascending substring;

(b) the landing ascent $\alpha_1' = a_{k-1} \cdots a_{k-i}$ of $\alpha$ is its maximal non-descending substring having at most two equal nonzero terms.

Note that $0 < i_1 < k$, in both (a) and (b). The following remarks yield steps to view directly the $k$-germs $\alpha^p = b_{k-1} \cdot b_1$ adjacent to $\alpha$ via colors $p = k, k - 1, \ldots, 0$, independently of $F^{-1}$ and $F$. Given a substring $\alpha' = a_{k-j} \cdots a_{k-i}$ of $\alpha$, where $0 < j \leq k$, let: (i) $\psi(\alpha') = a_{k-j} \cdots a_{k-i}$ be the reverse string of $\alpha'$; (ii) the proper ascent of $\alpha'$ be the straight ascent of $\alpha'$, if $a_{k-j} = 0$, and the landing ascent of $\alpha'$, if $a_{k-j} > 0$.

Remark 11. Now consider case $k > p > 0$. If $p < k - 1$, Theorem 9 implies $b_{p+1} = a_{p+1}$; in this case, let $\alpha' = \alpha \setminus \{a_{k-1} \cdots a_q\}$ with $q = p + 1$. If $p = k - 1$, let $q = k$ and let $\alpha'$. In both cases (either $p < k - 1$ or $p = k - 1$) let $\alpha_1' = a_{q-1} \cdots a_{k-i}$ be the proper ascent of $\alpha'$. It can be seen that $\beta' = \beta \setminus \{b_{p+1} \cdots b_q\}$ has proper ascent $\beta_1' = b_{k-1} \cdots b_{k-i}$ where $\alpha_1' + \psi(\beta_1') = B_1' \cdots B_1'$ with $B_1' = i_1 + a_q$. If $\alpha' \neq \alpha_1'$ then let $\alpha_2'$ be the proper ascent of $\alpha' \setminus \alpha_1'$. Then there is a $||\alpha_2'||$-germ $\beta_2'$ where $\alpha_2' + \psi(\beta_2') = B_2' \cdots B_2'$ with $B_2' = ||\alpha_2'||$.
Inductively when feasible for \( j > 2 \), let \( \alpha_j \) be the proper ascent of \( \alpha' \setminus (\alpha'_1|\alpha'_2|\cdots|\alpha'_{j-1}) \). Then there exists a \( ||\alpha'_j|| \)-germ \( \beta'_j \) where \( \alpha'_j + \psi(\beta'_j) = B'_j \cdots B'_1 \) with \( B'_j = ||\alpha'_{j-1}|| + ||\alpha'_j|| - 2 \). This way, \( \beta' = \beta'_1|\beta'_2|\cdots|\beta'_j|\cdots \).

We process the left-hand side from position \( q \). If \( p > 1 \), we set \( a_{a_q+2} \cdots a_q + \psi(b_{b_q+2} \cdots b_q) \) to be equal to a constant string \( B \cdots B \) with \( a_{a_q+2} \cdots a_q \) being a proper ascent and \( a_{a_q+2} = b_{b_q+2} \). Expressing all numbers \( a_i, b_i \) above as \( a_i^0, b_i^0 \), respectively, in order to keep an inductive approach, let \( a_{a_q+2} = a_{a_q+2} = a_{a_q} \), etc. In this case, let \( b_{b_q+2} = b_{b_q+2}, b_{b_q+2} = b_{b_q+2} = b_{b_q}, \text{etc.} \). Now, \( a_{a_q+2} \cdots a_q + \psi(b_{b_q+2} \cdots b_q) \) is equal to a constant string with \( a_{a_q+2} \cdots a_q \) being a proper ascent and \( a_{a_q+2} = b_{b_q+2} \). The continuation of this procedure produces a subsequent string \( a_{a_q} \cdots \), etc., until what remains to reach the leftmost entry of \( \alpha \) is smaller than the needed space for the procedure itself to continue, in which case, a remaining initial proper ascent is shared by both \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). This allows to form the left-hand side of \( \alpha^p = \beta \) by concatenation.

### 9 Non-Germ Way to the Dihedral Quotients

An alternative way to get \( \delta(v) \) (but not via its corresponding \( \alpha = \alpha(v) \), which was the way we arrived to it) is to take the representation corresponding to the lexical color 0 in \( \Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \), rotating it around \((0,0)\) so that \( \Delta \) is under the \( x \)-axis, then reflecting it on the \( x \)-axis and enlarging the grid with a scale factor of \( \sqrt{2} \) (as in Figure 3 for the cases \( k = 2, 3 \)). Then the lexical colors, labeling the diagonal edges of the form \((x,y)(x+1,y+1)\), are positioned in decreasing order from \( k \) to 0, from the top of the figure to its bottom and at each horizontal level from left to right. On the other hand, the diagonal edges of the form \((x,y)(x-1,y-1)\) carry an asterisk each. Each instance in Figure 3 is indicated by the corresponding \( k \)-germ \( \alpha \) followed by its \( F(\alpha) \) and then by its (underlined) order of presentation via the Castling Procedure. By recurring to all such piecewise linear (pl) representations for a fixed \( k \), we have a way to obtain all elements of \( R_k \) alternative to that given via Section 2, but without recurring to \( \alpha \). This motivates the following definitions.

![Figure 3: Alternative way to \( R_2 \) and \( R_3 \)](image)
To each $\mathbb{Z}_2$-edge-valued oriented $n$-path $P = v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n$ we associate its piecewise-linear (pl) representation, i.e., the plane path obtained as the union of the successive segments $v_i v_{i+1} = (x_i, y_i), (x_i + d, y_i + d)$, where $d = 1$ (resp. $d = -1$) if the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-value of edge $v_i v_{i+1}$ is 0 (resp. 1), starting at the origin $v_0 = (0,0)$.

**Theorem 12.** In each $\mathbb{Z}_2$-edge-valued oriented $n$-cycle graph $C$ of weight $k$ there is exactly one vertex $v$ whose splitting into two vertices $v'$ and $v''$ and removal of the resulting arc $v'v'$ transforms $C$ into a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-edge-valued oriented $n$-path $P_C$ of weight $k$ and endvertices $v', v''$ whose associated pl representation touches the horizontal edge only at the origin, so that the lexical colors are assigned correctly to the 0-valued vertices, as in the Castling Procedure.

**Proof.** Clearly, $C$ can be interpreted as a vertex of $R_k$. By the discussion above and the method suggested via Figure 3, the vertex $v$ must be selected as the starting vertex of the edge of $C$ that is assigned the lexical color $k$. This leads to the statement.

The color $k$ adjacency can now be visualized by adding a rightmost edge extending each associated pl representation, as shown in the upper left case in Figure 4 for the vertex $F(\alpha) = F(001) = 0234\,*\,*\,*\,*\,*\,*\,$ of $R_4$, where red color is used to indicate the $*$-edges, and using the same criterion used about Figure 3 to set “red” colors to $*$-edges from 0 to $k$ always from top to bottom but from right to left (instead that from left to right, above). This yields a red-black path in the upper half of the cartesian plane with exactly two points touching the $x$-axis, namely the initial and terminal ones. Notice that this adjacency yields a notation for all the $k$ colored edges or loops of $R_k$. 

Figure 4: Examples of lexical-color adjacencies in $R_4$
This procedural method yields a notation for the other edges or loops of all \( R_k \); here, the corresponding \( \text{pl} \) representations may not only touch the \( x \)-axis more than twice but traverse to the lower half of the plane; the only extra provisions are that the first (black) edge is \( (0,0)(1,1) \), the last (red) edge is \( (n-1,1)(n,0) \) and that both these edges a fixed color in \( [0,k) \). Figure 4 illustrates all these adjacencies and the resulting notation for the edges departing from the selected vertex of \( R_k \).

10 Union of 1-Factors 0 and 1

Given a \( k \)-germ \( \alpha \), let \( (\alpha) \) represent the dihedral class \( \delta(v) = \langle F(\alpha) \rangle \) with \( v \in L_k/\pi \). Let \( W_{01} \) be the 2-factor given by the union of the 1-factors of colors 0 and 1 in \( M_k \), namely those formed by lifting the edges \( \alpha\alpha_0 \) and \( \alpha\alpha_1 \) of \( R_k \) (instead of colors \( k \) and \( k-1 \), as in [3], but symmetrically equivalent). By an argument similar to that of Proposition 2 \([C]\), the cycles of \( W_{01} \) can be obtained as in Figure 5 for \( k = 2, 3, 4 \) (denoted as cycle \( C_0 \) that starts with path \( X(0) \) for \( k = 2 \); cycles \( C_0, C_1 \) that start with paths \( X(0), X(1) \) for \( k = 3 \); and cycles \( C_0, C_1, C_2 \) that start with paths \( X(0), X(1), X(2) \) for \( k = 4 \)), where vertices of \( L_k \) (resp. \( L_{k+1} \)) have:

(a) 0- (resp. 1-) entries replaced by their respective lexical colors 0, \ldots, \( k \) (resp. asterisks);
(b) 1- (resp. 0-) entries replaced by asterisks (resp. their respective lexical colors 0, \ldots, \( k \));
(c) delimiting chevron symbols “>” or “<” (resp. “<” or “>”) instead of parentheses or brackets, meaning rightward or *forward* (resp. leftward or *reversed*) reading. Each such vertex \( v \) is shown to belong (via the set membership symbol expressed as \( \varepsilon \) in Figure 5) to \( (\alpha_v) \), where \( \alpha_v \) is the \( k \)-germ associated to \( v \); such \( \alpha_v \) is also expressed as the (underlined) decimal order of \( \alpha_v \). In each case, Figure 5 shows a vertically presented path of length \( 4k-1 \) in the corresponding cycle \( C_i \) starting at the vertex \( w = b_0b_1\cdots b_{2k} = 01\cdots* \) of smallest decimal order and proceeding by traversing the edges colored 1 and 0, alternatively. The terminal vertex of such subpath is \( b_{2k}b_0b_1\cdots b_{2k-1} = *01\cdots* \), obtained by translation mod \( n \) of \( w \). Note that the initial entries of the succeeding vertices in \( C_i \) are presented first in the 0-column of \( X(i) \), then in the 2k-column of \( X(i) \), \((2k-1)\)-column of \( X(i) \), etc., if necessary, as commented at the end of this section in relation to the symmetry properties of the plane trees of the next paragraph. Each cycle \( C_i \) in Figure 5 is encoded on its top right by a vertically presented sequence of expressions \( (0\cdots1)(1\cdots0) \) that allow to obtain the actual sequence of initial entries of the succeeding vertices by interspersing asterisks between each two terms inside parentheses (\( ) \) and then removing those (\( ) \).

In addition, an ORT \( T = T_v \) (as in Remark 3 at the end of Section 2) for each \( v \) in question in each \( C \) as in Proposition 2(v) \([C]\) is shown to the lower right of each exemplified \( C = C_i \). Each of these \( T_v \) for a specific \( C_i \) corresponds to the \( k \)-germ \( \alpha_v \) (so we write \( F(\alpha_v) = F(T_w) \)) and is headed in the figure by its (underlined) decimal order.

The trees corresponding to the \( k \)-germs in each case are obtained by applying a root rotation operation (RRO) consists in replacing the tree root by its leftmost child and redrawing the rooted planar tree accordingly. A *plane tree* is an equivalence relation of rooted trees under RROs. Applying the RRO has the same effect as traversing first an edge \( \alpha\alpha_0 \) in \( C_i \) and then the edge \( \beta\beta_1 \), also in \( C_i \), where \( \beta = \alpha_0 \). For example, successive application of the RRO on the second cycle (\( C_1 \)) for \( k = 4 \) in Figure 5 produces the cycle \( (9, 2, 4, 11, 5, 6, 12, 7) \) in the corresponding equivalence class. This cycle...
Based on [3], let \( D_i \) for \( i \geq 0 \) denote the set of all substrings of length \( 2^i \) in any \( F(\alpha) \) with exactly \( i \) color-entries and such that in every prefix, the number of asterisk-entries is at least as large as the number of color-entries. We define \( D := \sum D_i \). We say that the elements of \( D \) are Dyck words. Note that each \( F(\alpha) \) is of the form \( 0v1u* \), where \( u \) and \( v \) are Dyck words and 0, 1 are colors in \([k+1]\). Continuing our example above, the "forward words" \( F(\alpha) \) in our considered cycle can be written with parentheses enclosing such Dick words \( v \) and \( u \), namely \( 0()1(34*2*)* \), for \( 2^4 \); \( 0(3*24*3*)* \), for \( 2^3 \); \( 0(3*24*3*)* \), for \( 2^2 \); \( 0(3*24*3*)* \), for \( 2^1 \); \( 0(3*24*3*)* \), for \( 2^0 \). Similar treatment holds for the "backward words". This exemplifies and yields Corollary 2 [3]. Notice that as in Figure 5, each pair \((\cdots 1)(\cdots 0)\) represents two paths in the corresponding cycle, of lengths \( 2(|\cdots 1|) \) and \( 2(|\cdots 0|) \), adding up to \( 4k + 2 \). If the 0's delimiting these two paths are, say, \( 0v1u* \) and \( 0v'1u* \) (this one read in reverse), then \(|u| + 2 = |(\cdots 1)| \) and \(|u'| + 2 = |(\cdots 0)| \). Recall that the first path here moves downward and the second path moves upward. Reading them starts at an entry 0 understood cyclically mod \( n \) and ends at an entry 1. However, notice that in reality the collections of paths obtained from the 1-factors under consideration are constant on the leftmost entry. So by combining those cycles with 6-cycles obtained below via symmetric difference, [3] yields their Hamilton cycles.

The 1-factor of color 0 makes the endvertices of each of its edges to have their rep-
resentative plane trees obtained from each other by left-right reflection, denoted here by \( \Phi = F\alpha F^{-1} \), on a vertical line (like the line \( \phi \) in Section 4). For example, Figure 5 shows that for \( k = 2 \): both \( \vec{0} \), \( \vec{1} \) in \( X(0) \) are fixed via \( \Phi \); for \( k = 3 \): \( \vec{0} \) is fixed via \( \Phi \) and \( \vec{1}, \vec{3} \) correspond to each other via \( \Phi \), in \( X(1) \); for \( k = 4 \): \( \vec{0}, \vec{8} \) are fixed via \( \Phi \) and \( \vec{1}, \vec{3} \) correspond to each other via \( \Phi \), in \( X(0) \); \( \vec{5}, \vec{9} \) are fixed via \( \Phi \) and the pairs \( \vec{5}, \vec{9} \), \( \vec{2}, \vec{7} \), \( \vec{4}, \vec{12} \) and \( \vec{6}, \vec{11} \) are pairs of correspondent plane trees via \( \Phi \), in \( X(1) \); and \( \vec{10}, \vec{13} \) are fixed via \( \Phi \), in \( X(2) \). This left-right reflection symmetry arises from Theorem 4. It accounts for each pair of contiguous lines in any \( X(i) \) corresponding to a 0-colored edge. For \( k = 5 \), this symmetry by \( \Phi \) occurs in all cycles \( C_i \) (\( i \in [6] \)). But we also have \( F(22) = 024 \ast 135 \ast \ast \ast \) for \( 22 = (1111) \) in \( C_0 \) and \( F(39) = 032 \ast 15 \ast 4 \ast \ast \) for \( 39 = (1232) \) in \( C_3 \), both having their 1-colored edges leading to their reversed reading between \( L_5 \) and \( L_6 \) again by Theorem 4. Moreover, \( F((11 \cdots 1)) \) has a similar property only if \( k \) is odd; if \( k \) is even, a 0-colored edge takes place instead of the 1-colored edge for \( k \) odd. These cases reflect the following lemma, which can alternatively be implied from Theorem 9 (B)-(C) via the correspondence \( i \leftrightarrow k - i \), \( (i \in [k + 1]) \).

**Lemma 13.** (A) Every 0-colored edge represents an adjacency via \( \Phi = F\alpha F^{-1} \). (B) Every 1-colored edge represents an adjacency via the composition \( \Psi \) of \( \Phi \) (first) and an RRO (second).

By Theorem 4(ii), the number \( \xi \) of contiguous pairs of vertices of \( M_k \) with a common \( k \)-germ happens in pairs in each \( C_i \). The first cases for which this \( \xi \) is null happens for \( k = 6 \), namely for \( \langle 012356 \ast \ast \ast \ast \ast \ast \rangle \) and \( \langle 01246 \ast \ast \ast \ast \ast \ast \rangle \), with respective reflections \( \langle 01235 \ast \ast \ast \ast \ast \rangle \) and \( \langle 0124 \ast \ast \ast \ast \ast \ast \rangle \) in different cycles \( C_i \).

Observe that each two pairs of a forward and a reversed \( n \)-tuples have corresponding colored rooted planar trees related by mirror symmetry, so they are enantiomorphic. Thus, they yield two different pairs of enantiomorphic cycles \( C_i \). For example, \( k = 4 \) offers \( \langle 1, 3 \rangle \) as the sole enantiomorphic pair in \( X(0) \), and \( \langle 2, 7 \rangle, \langle 4, 12 \rangle, \langle 6, 11 \rangle \) as all the enantiomorphic pairs in \( X(1) \).

Each enantiomorphic cycle \( C_i \) or each cycle \( C_i \) with \( \xi = 2 \) has \( |C_i| = 2k(4k + 2) \), the maximal \( \xi \) provided by the corresponding \( X(i) \). If \( \xi = 2\zeta \) with \( \zeta > 1 \), then \( |C_i| = \frac{2k(4k + 1)}{\zeta} \).

On account of these facts, we arrive to the following statement.

**Theorem 14.** There is a bijection between the cycles of \( W_{01} \) and the plane trees whose vertices are labeled in \( [k + 1] \). In the non-enantiomorphic cases, each such plane tree is represented by finitely many colored rooted planar trees corresponding each to the \( n \)-string \( F(\alpha) \) of a \( k \)-germ \( \alpha \). On the other hand, each enantiomorphic pair of cycles disconnects.
the forward and reversed reading of each of its vertices, so that such $n$-strings $F(\alpha)$ are represented in both cycles, once forward in $L_k$ and once reversed in $L_{k+1}$.

11 Reinterpretation of Mütze’s Theorem

Based in Proposition 3 [3], we notice that in each cycle $C_i$ of $W_{01}$ there are two vertices $u, v$ at distance 5 in $C_i$ with $u \in L_{k+1}$ above $v \in L_k$ in $X(i)$ so that: (i) $u$ and $v$ are adjacent via a color $h \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$; (ii) $u$ is of the (cyclic) reading $\langle \cdots * h0 * \cdots \rangle$ and $v$ is of the (cyclic) reading $\langle \cdots 0h * \cdots \rangle$. (iii) the column on which these two occurrences of $h$ happen at distance 5 looks between $u$ and $v$ (included) as the transpose of $(h, *, 0, 0, *, h)$. In fact, note that each vertex $u$ in a $C_i$ of the (cyclic) reading $\langle \cdots * h0 * \cdots \rangle$ with $h \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ is at a forward distance 5 from a vertex $v$ of $C_i$ of the (cyclic) reading $\langle \cdots 0h * \cdots \rangle$, (and viceversa) and that the column containing both occurrences of the color $h$ looks as in (ii) above. Then the vertices $u'$ and $v'$ in $C_i$ that precede respectively $u$ and $v$ in $X_i$ are the endvertices of a 3-path $u'u''v'v'$ in $M_k$ with the edge $u''v' \in C_j$ for some $j \neq i$. The 6-cycle $U = (uu'u''v''v')$ has a symmetric difference with $C_i \cup C_j$ resulting in a cycle covering all the vertices of $C_i$ and of $C_j$. Repeated applications of this type of symmetric difference yields the claimed Hamilton cycles in [3]. Figure 6 illustrates such 6-cycles $U$ for $k = 3, 4$, where the vertices $u', u'', v', v'', v, u, u'$ (again) are presented vertically in each of the four cases presented. The mentioned symmetric difference replaces the edges $u''v''$, $v'v$, $uu'$ in $C_i \cup C_j$ by the the other three edges of $U$, namely $u'u''$, $v''v'$, $vu$. In the figure, vertically contiguous positions holding a common number $g$ that indicates adjacency with an edge of that color number $g$ are presented in red if $g \in \{0, 1\}$ (as for $u''v''$, where $g = 1$, in column say $r_1$, exactly at the position where $u$ and $v$ differ but have common color $h$) and in orange otherwise. The column, say $r_2$ (resp $r_3$) in each instance of Figure 5 containing color 1 in $u'$ (resp. 0 in $v'$) and a color $c \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ in $v'$ (resp. color $d \in \{2, \ldots, k\}$ in $u'$) starts with $1, *, c, c$, (resp. $d, d, *, 0$). Then, the only three columns having changes in $U$ are $r_1, r_2, r_3$. All the other columns have their first four entries alternating two asterisks and two colors.

**Theorem 15.** [5, 3] Let $0 < k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, Hamilton cycles in $M_k$ are obtained by symmetric difference of $W_{01}$ with 6-cycles of the form $(u, u', u'', v', v'')$.

12 Ordered Rooted Trees with Root $k$

How about changing 0 as a root of ORT’s to $k$ as a root? We start with some examples. Figure 7 shows on its left-hand side the 14 ORT’s for $k = 4$ presented as in Table I. Each such tree $T$ is headed by its $k$-germ, in which the entry $i$ producing $T$ via castling is in red. Such $T$ has its vertices denoted on their left and edges denoted on their right, as established in Section 10. Castling as in Section 2 here is indicated in any particular child tree $T$ by distinguishing in red the largest subtree common with that of the parent tree of $T$ (in the castling process) whose castling reattachment produces $T$. This subtree corresponds with substring $X$ in Theorem 2. In each case of such a parent tree, the vertex in which the corresponding tree surgery leads to such a child tree $T$ is additionally labeled (on its right) with its $k$-germ, in which expression the entry to be modified in the case is set in red color.
On the other hand, the 14 trees in the right-hand side of Figure 7 have their labels set by making the root to be \( k \) (instead of 0), then going down to 0 (instead of \( k \)) while gradually increasing (instead of decreasing) a unit sibling by sibling from left to right at each level. In this case, the header \( k \)-germs correspond to the new root viewpoint, which determines a bijection \( \Theta \) established by correspondence of the old and the new header \( k \)-germs. This yields an involution formed by the pairs \((001,100)\), \((011,110)\), \((120,012)\) and \((112,121)\), with fixed \(000,010,101,111,122\) and \(123\).

The function \( \Theta \) seen from the \( k \)-germ viewpoint, namely as the composition function \( F^{-1}\Theta F \), behaves as follows. Let \( A = a_{k-1}a_{k-2}\cdots a_2a_1 \) be a \( k \)-germ and let \( a_i \) be the leftmost occurrence of its largest integer value. For example, consider the 17-germ \( A' = 0123223423410121 \). A substring \( B \) of \( A \) is said to be an atom if it is either (formed by) a sole 0 or a maximal strictly increasing substring of \( A \) not starting with 0. By enclosing successive atoms between parentheses, \( A' \) can be written as \( A' = (0)123(2)(234)4(23)(1)(0)(12)(1) \), obtained from the base string \( C' = 1 \cdots a_i = 1234 \) by inserting (at the start, in the middle or at the end of \( C' \)) all the necessary (parenthesized) atoms. This atom-parenthesizing procedure works for every \( k \)-germ \( A \) and determines a corresponding base string \( C \), like the \( C' \) in our example. Then, \( F^{-1}\Theta F(A) \) is obtained by reversing the position of the parenthesized atoms, preceding each of them their corresponding appearance in \( C' \). In the case of \( A' \), it is \( F^{-1}\Theta F(A') = (1)(12)(0)(1)12(234)34(23)(2)(0) \).

**Theorem 16.** Given a \( k \)-germ \( A = a_{k-1}a_{k-2}\cdots a_2a_1 \), let \( a_i \) be the leftmost occurrence of its largest integer value. Then, \( A \) is obtained from string \( C = a_1 \cdots a_i \) by inserting in \( C \) all atoms of \( A \setminus C \) in their corresponding left-to-right order. Moreover, \( F^{-1}\Theta F(A) \) is obtained by reversing the insertion of those atoms in \( C \), in right-to-left fashion. Furthermore, for each of the involutions \( \alpha^i \) (\( 0 < i < k \)), it holds that \( \alpha^i\Theta = \Theta \alpha^{k-i} \). This implies that (A) every \( k \)-colored edge represents an adjacency via \( \Phi' = F\alpha_k F^{-1} \) and (B) every \( (k-1) \)-colored edge represents an adjacency via \( \Psi' = F\Psi F^{-1} \).

**Proof.** It only rests to prove the last assertion in the statement. This arises by combining our discussion above with Lemma 13.

**Remark 17.** The reflection symmetry of \( \Phi' \) in Theorem 16 yields the sequence \( S_0 \) cited in Theorem 9(B). A similar observation yields the sequence \( S_1 \) cited in Theorem 9(C) from \( \Psi' \).
Even though the graphs $R_k$ were considered for $k > 1$, the graph $R_1$ is still defined, having just one vertex 001 with $\delta(001) = 01^*$ (as in Section 7) and two loops. Thus, the only vertex of $R_1$ is denoted 01$^*$ and the correspondence $F$ as in Section 2 can be extended by declaring $F(\emptyset) = 01^*$. This is the root of a binary tree $T$ that has $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty V(R_k)$ as its node set and is defined as follows: (A) the root of $T$ is 01$^*$; (B) the left child of a node $\delta(v) = 0|X$ in $T$ with $|X| = 2k$ exists and equals 0|X|1$^*$; (C) unless $\delta(v) = 012\cdots(k-1)k**\cdots*$, it is $\delta(v) = 0|X|Y|*$, where $X$ and $Y$ are strings starting at some $j > 1$ and $j-1$, respectively, in which case there is a right child of $\delta(v)$, namely 0|Y|X|*, by means of the Un-castling Procedure of Section 7.

With nodes expressed in terms of $k$-germs, $T$ has each node $a_{k-1}a_{k-2}\cdots a_2a_1$ as a parent of a left child $b_kb_{k-1}\cdots b_1 = a_{k-1}a_{k-2}\cdots a_2a_1(a_1 - 1)$, and it has a right child $\rho$ only if $a_1 > 0$, in which case $\rho = c_{k-1}c_{k-2}\cdots c_2c_1 = a_{k-1}a_{k-2}\cdots a_2(a_1 - 1)$. Figure 8 shows the first five levels of $T$ with nodes expressed in terms of $k$-germs via $F$, in black color. The figure also assigns to each node a (dark-gray colored) ordered pair of positive integers $(i,j)$, where $j \leq C_i$. The root, given by $F(\emptyset) = 01^*$, is assigned $(i,j) = (1,1)$. The left child of a node assigned $(i,j)$ is assigned a pair $(k,j') = (i+1,j')$, where $j'$ is the order of appearance of the $k$-germ $\alpha$ corresponding to $(k,j')$ in its presentation via castling as in Table I; $\alpha$ becomes the RGS corresponding to $j'$ in the sequence $S$ (A239903), once the extra zeros to the left of its leftmost nonzero entry are removed; note $j' = j'(j)$ arises from the series associated to A076050, deducible from items 1-4 in Subsection 1.3. The right child of a node assigned $(i,j)$ is defined only if $j > 1$ and in that case is assigned the pair $(i, j-1)$.
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