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Abstract. The corank of a group $G$ is the maximum $k$ such that $G$ surjects onto a free group of rank $k$. We study the corank of the groups $\text{PSU}_2$ and $\text{PU}_2$ over cyclotomic rings $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n, 1/2]$ with $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$, $n = 2^s$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$. We do this by studying their actions on Bruhat-Tits trees constructed using definite quaternion algebras. The quotients of the trees by this action are finite graphs whose first Betti number is the corank of the group. Our main result is that for the families $n = 2^s$ and $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ the corank grows doubly exponentially in $s$ as $s \to \infty$; it is 0 precisely when $n = 8, 12, 16, 24$. We deduce from this main result a theorem on the Clifford-cyclotomic group in quantum computing.
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1. Introduction

Let $n \geq 8$ with $4|n$ and set $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Let $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ with integers $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ and maximal totally real subfield $F_n = K_n^+$ having integers $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]^+ = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n + \overline{\zeta}_n]$. The group of roots of unity in $K_n$ is generated by $\zeta_n$. Set $R_n = \mathcal{O}_n[1/2]$ and $B_n = R_n^+ = \mathcal{O}_n[1/2]$. Let $H$ be the Hamilton quaternions over $\mathbb{Q}$, which is the rational quaternion algebra ramified precisely at 2 and $\infty$, and put $H_n = H \otimes \mathbb{Q} F_n$. Since $F_n$ is totally real and the ramification index of every prime above 2 is even, the ramified primes of $H_n$ are precisely the infinite primes of $F_n$. We fix a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis $i, j, k$ of $H$ satisfying $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, $ij = -ji = k$, $ki = -ik = j$, $jk = -kj = i$. The standard maximal $R_n$-order of $H_n$ is

$$\widetilde{M}_n := R_n\langle 1, i, j, (1+i+j+k)/2 \rangle.$$ 

For any subgroup $H \subseteq H_n^\times$, we put $H_1 := \{h \in H \mid N_{H_n/F_n}(h) = 1\}$.

Define the Hadamard matrix $H$ and the matrix $T_n$ by

$$H := \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + i & 1 + i \\ 1 + i & -1 - i \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad T_n := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_n \end{bmatrix};$$

we have $H, T_n \in U_2(R_n)$. If $4|n$, the Clifford-cyclotomic group $[\text{FGKM15}, \text{Section 2.2}]$ (resp., special Clifford-cyclotomic group) is

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \langle H, T_n \rangle \quad (\text{resp., } S\mathcal{G}_n = \mathcal{G}_n \cap SU_2(R_n)).$$

Put

$$U_2^c(R_n) = \{\gamma \in U_2(R_n) \mid \det \gamma \in \langle \zeta_n \rangle\};$$

we then have $\mathcal{G}_n \subseteq U_2^c(R_n) \subseteq U_2(R_n)$. In general, $U_2^c(R_n) \nsubseteq U_2(R_n)$.

Various subgroups and quotient groups of $U_2(R_n)$ and $SU_2(R_n)$ occur throughout this paper. We use the usual notation $H \leq G$ to mean that $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ and further write $H \ll G$ in case $H \leq G$ and $[G : H] = \infty$. For a group $H \leq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, we write $PH$ for $H$ modulo scalars. The corank $\text{corank} \ G$ of a group $G$ is the largest integer $k$ such that $G$ surjects onto a free group of rank $k$, or $\infty$ if $G$ surjects onto free groups of arbitrarily large rank.

We are interested in the structure of the groups $U_2(R_n)$ and $SU_2(R_n)$, together with their associated groups $PU_2(R_n)$, $PU_2^c(R_n)$, and $PSU_2(R_n)$. Our paper $[\text{LJK19}]$ computed the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi(SU_2(R_n))$ using a theorem of Harder and $[\text{Ser71}]$, showing that $\chi(SU_2(R_n)) \to -\infty$ as $n \to \infty$. It then used $\chi(SU_2(R_n))$, following Serre $[\text{Ser09}]$, to prove the conjecture of Sarnak $[\text{Sar15}]$ p. 15IV] below:

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose $4|n$, $n \geq 8$.

(a) We have $\mathcal{G}_n = U_2^c(R_n)$ if and only if $n = 8, 12, 16, 24$. If $\mathcal{G}_n \neq U_2^c(R_n)$, then $\mathcal{G}_n \ll U_2^c(R_n)$.

(b) We have $S\mathcal{G}_n = SU_2(R_n)$ if and only if $n = 8, 12, 16, 24$. If $S\mathcal{G}_n \neq SU_2(R_n)$, then $S\mathcal{G}_n \ll SU_2(R_n)$.

In this paper we turn to the corank, which is a more difficult invariant than the Euler-Poincaré characteristic. Our main theorem is the following:

**Main Theorem 1.2.** Suppose $n = 2^s$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$. 


(a) The corank of $PU_2(R_n)$ or $PSU_2(R_n)$ grows doubly exponentially in $s$ as $s \to \infty$:

$$\text{corank } PSU_2(R_n) = 2^{\Theta(2^{s} \cdot s)} = 2^{\Theta(n \log n)}$$

$$\text{corank } PU_2(R_n) = 2^{\Theta(2^{s} \cdot s)} = 2^{\Theta(n \log n)}.$$ 

(b) The corank of $PU_2(R_n)$ or $PSU_2(R_n)$ is equal to 0 if and only if $n = 8, 12, 16, 24$. In particular, $PU_2(R_n)$ and $PSU_2(R_n)$ are not generated by torsion elements if $n > 24$.

Setting $G_n$ equal to the free product of $n$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ gives a sequence of groups with $\chi(G_n) \to -\infty$ as $n \to \infty$ but $\text{corank}(G_n) = 0$ for all $n$. So knowing $\chi(SU_2(R_n)) \to -\infty$ from [IJK+19] does not imply that the corank in Theorem 1.2 goes to $\infty$ with $n$. The Euler-Poincaré characteristic tells us that the number of generators of $PSU_2(R_n)$ goes to $\infty$ with $n$. But the corank result of Theorem 1.2 shows that the number of generators of infinite order goes to $\infty$, and further gives the growth rate. Also Theorem 1.2(b) shows that the failure of Clifford-cyclotomic to generate for $n = 2^s$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \neq 8, 12, 16, 24$, is not just due to the choice of the gates $H$ and $T_n$—there is no choice of a finite set of gates of finite order which would generate.

We study the groups $PSU_2(R_n)$ and $PU_2(R_n)$ by embedding them as explicit subgroups $\Gamma_n$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_n$, respectively, of $PH_n$. The embedding of $PSU_2(R_n)$ into $PH_n$ is straightforward and general (see [3]) with $\Gamma_n = P\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,1}^\times$. The embedding of $PU_2(R_n)$ is much more delicate and depends on conditions on $n$. It is always possible if $n = 2^s$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$, and $\overline{\Gamma}_n = P\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n^\times$ (Theorem 3.25) in this case. So assume we have $n = 2^s$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$. Then there one prime $p := p_n$ of $F_n$ above 2 and $H_n$ is unramified at $p$. The groups $\Gamma_n = P\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n,1}^\times$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_n = P\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n^\times$ act on the Bruhat-Tits tree $\Delta = \Delta_p$ of $SL_2(F_p)$ with finite stabilizers and finite quotient graphs $gr_n$ and $\overline{gr}_n$, respectively. The graph $\overline{gr}_n$ may have half-edges as in Section 2 and hence is a Kurihara graph. For a Kurihara graph $gr$, set $g(gr) = \text{rank } H_1(gr, \mathbb{Z})$. It follows from the Bass-Serre theory of groups acting on trees that $\text{corank } PSU_2(R_n) = g(gr_n)$ and $\text{corank } PU_2(R_n) = g(\overline{gr}_n)$. We then study the graphs $gr_n$ and $\overline{gr}_n$, aided (remarkably) by the fact that they arise as the dual graphs of the bad reduction of Shimura curves over totally real fields and have been analyzed in this context by Kurihara and Ihara. The hardest part of our study of $gr_n$ and $\overline{gr}_n$ is bounding the number of half-edges of $\overline{gr}_n$, the number of ramified vertices in the cover $gr_n \to \overline{gr}_n$, and the number of cells in these graphs having nontrivial stabilizer. All of these bounds entail bounding class numbers of certain orders in number fields from above—this occupies the bulk of Sections 5 and 6 and heavily uses the background material in Section 3.

In the last section we execute a program suggested by Sarnak [Sar15] to show that his conjecture, now Theorem 1.1, can be reduced to the case $n = 2^s$ and $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$. This case then follows from our Main Theorem 1.2. This gives a proof of Theorem 1.1 without using Euler-Poincaré characteristics.

It is a pleasure to thank Peter Sarnak, both for his interest and for helpful discussions.
2. Graphs and Groups Acting on Trees

The standard reference for graphs constructed as quotients of trees by group actions is Serre [Ser03], which we use freely. However, group actions arising from totally definite quaternion algebras can invert an oriented edge, necessitating the modified definitions of Kurihara [Kur79] Definition 3-1]. Following [Ser03], a graph \( gr \) has vertices \( \text{Ver}(gr) \) and oriented edges \( e \) with initial vertex \( o(e) \) and terminal vertex \( t(e) \) along with their opposite edges \( \overline{e} \) with \( e \neq \overline{e} \). We say Kurihara graph when we allow half-edges, edges \( e \) with \( e = \overline{e} \), as in [Kur79]. (A graph is a Kurihara graph with no half-edges.) We then call edges \( e \) with \( \overline{e} \neq e \) regular edges. Write \( \text{Ed}_r(gr) \) and \( \text{Ed}_h(gr) \) for the set of regular and half-edges of \( gr \), respectively, and \( \text{Ed}(gr) := \text{Ed}_r(gr) \amalg \text{Ed}_h(gr) \) for the set of all edges. In this section we extend results of [Ser03] to Kurihara graphs.

**Remark 2.1.** Half-edges \( e \) originate and terminate at the same vertex \( o(e) = t(e) \). They are contractible and do not contribute to the homology of the graph. They are not self-loops, which are edges \( e \) with \( o(e) = t(e) \) but \( \overline{e} \neq e \).

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( gr \) be a finite connected Kurihara graph with \( v = v(gr) = \# \text{Ver}(gr) \) vertices. Set

\[
e_r = e_r(gr) = \frac{\# \text{Ed}_r(gr)}{2}, \quad e_h = e_h(gr) = \frac{\# \text{Ed}_h(gr)}{2}, \quad e = e(gr) = e_r(gr) + e_h(gr).
\]

The fundamental group \( \pi_1(gr) \) is free and its rank is equal to that of \( H_1(gr, \mathbb{Z}) \). The genus \( g(gr) \) of \( gr \) is the first Betti number \( \text{rank} H_1(gr, \mathbb{Z}) \).

By Euler’s formula \( g(gr) = 1 + e_r - v \).

An inversion of a graph \( gr \) is a pair \((g, e) \in \text{Aut}(gr) \times \text{Ed}(gr)\) such that \( ge = \overline{e} \). If \( \Gamma \leq \text{Aut}(gr) \) acts without inversions, then the natural quotient \( \Gamma \backslash gr \) with \( \text{Ver}(\Gamma \backslash gr) = \Gamma \backslash \text{Ver}(gr) \) and \( \text{Ed}(\Gamma \backslash gr) = \Gamma \backslash \text{Ed}(gr) \) is again a graph. However, if \( \Gamma \) acts on \( gr \) with inversions, the natural quotient \( \Gamma \backslash gr \) is only a Kurihara graph.

For a group \( G \), let \( G_f \) be the subgroup of \( G \) generated by elements of finite order and recall that \( \text{corank} G \) was defined in the introduction. Note that \( G_f \) is a characteristic, and therefore normal, subgroup of \( G \). Every set of generators of \( G \) must include at least \( \text{corank} G \) elements of infinite order. If \( \text{corank} G > 0 \), then \( G_f \ll G \).

The results below follow from Bass–Serre theory [Ser03].

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that \( \Gamma \) is a group acting with finite stabilizers and without inversions on a tree \( \Delta \). Then there is a short exact sequence

\[0 \to \Gamma_f \to \Gamma \to \pi_1 (\Gamma \backslash \Delta) \to 0.\]

**Proof.** By [Ser03] Corollary 1 to Theorem 13], there is a surjection \( \Gamma \to \pi_1(\Gamma \backslash \Delta) \) with kernel \( H \) generated by the vertex stabilizer groups \( \{ \Gamma_v : v \in \text{Ver}(\Delta) \} \). By assumption \( H \subset \Gamma_f \). Clearly, the image of every torsion element of \( \Gamma \) is trivial in the free group \( \pi_1(\Gamma \backslash \Delta) \).

**Corollary 2.4.** Suppose that \( \Gamma \) is a group acting with finite stabilizers and without inversions on a tree \( \Delta \). Then \( \text{corank} \Gamma = g(\Gamma \backslash \Delta) \).

Let \( \Gamma \) be a group acting faithfully on a tree \( \Delta \), possibly with inversions. Define \( \Delta' \) to be the barycentric subdivision of \( \Delta \). Then \( \Gamma \) acts faithfully on \( \Delta' \) without inversions. Moreover
the Kurihara graph $\Gamma \setminus \Delta$ is homotopic to the graph $\Gamma \setminus \Delta'$. Hence
\[
g(\Gamma \setminus \Delta') = e(\Gamma \setminus \Delta') - v(\Gamma \setminus \Delta') + 1 = e_r(\Gamma \setminus \Delta) - v(\Gamma \setminus \Delta) + 1 = g(\Gamma \setminus \Delta).
\]

**Corollary 2.5.** Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a group acting on a tree $\Delta$ with finite stabilizers, but possibly with inversions. Then
\[
corank \Gamma = g(\Gamma \setminus \Delta) = 1 + e_r(\Gamma \setminus \Delta) - v(\Gamma \setminus \Delta).
\]

2.1. Set and graph mass.

**Definition 2.6.** Let $\Gamma$ act on a set $S$ and let the set of orbits be $\text{Orb} = \Gamma \setminus S$. For $v \in S$, let $\Gamma_v$ be the stabilizer of $v$ in $\Gamma$. For each orbit $s \in \text{Orb}$, let $\bar{s} \in S$. The mass $m(s)$ of $s \in \text{Orb}$ is $1/\#\bar{s}$ (clearly this does not depend on the choice of $\bar{s}$). The mass $m(\text{Orb}) = m(\Gamma, S)$ of $\text{Orb}$ is $\sum_{s \in \text{Orb}} m(s)$.

For a subgroup $H$ of a group $G$, write $H \trianglelefteq G$ if $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$. Mass is multiplicative in coverings in the following sense:

**Theorem 2.7.** Let $\Gamma_0$ act on a set $S$ and let $\Gamma \leq \Gamma_0$ be a subgroup of finite index $d$. Let $\text{Orb} = \Gamma \setminus S$ and let $\text{Orb}_0 = \Gamma_0 \setminus S$. Then $m(\text{Orb}) = dm(\text{Orb}_0)$.

**Proof.** Replacing $\Gamma$ by its normal core, $\text{core}_{\Gamma_0}(\Gamma) := \cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \gamma \Gamma \gamma^{-1}$, in $\Gamma_0$ [Rob99, p. 16] and applying the result to $\text{core}_{\Gamma_0}(\Gamma) \leq \Gamma_0$ and $\text{core}_{\Gamma_0}(\Gamma) \leq \Gamma$, we can assume $\Gamma$ is normal in $\Gamma_0$. Note that $[\Gamma_0 : \Gamma] = d$ implies that $d||\Gamma_0 : \text{core}_{\Gamma_0}(\Gamma)||/d!$ by [Rob99 1.6.9].

Now, let $\pi : \text{Orb} \to \text{Orb}_0$ be the induced map. Suppose $s \in \text{Orb}_0$: then $s$ is a union of orbits $w_1, \ldots, w_r$ of $\Gamma$. If $\Gamma_{\bar{s}}$ is infinite, then the same is true of the $\Gamma$-stabilizers of all elements of $s$, so the contribution to the mass on both sides is 0 and $s$ may be ignored.

Now $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ acts transitively on $\pi^{-1}(s) = \{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}$. Summing up the stabilizers gives
\[
d = \#(\Gamma_0/\Gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \#(\Gamma_0/\Gamma)_{w_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \#(\Gamma_0_{\bar{w}_i}) / \#(\Gamma_{\bar{w}_i}).
\]

Since $\#\Gamma_0_{\bar{w}_i} = \#\Gamma_{\bar{s}_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$,
\[
d = \#\Gamma_{\bar{s}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\#\Gamma_{w_i}} = \frac{1}{m(s)} \sum_{w \in \pi^{-1}(s)} m(w),
\]
so that $\sum_{w \in \pi^{-1}(s)} m(w) = dm(s)$.

Summing over orbits gives the desired result.

We now apply these results to quotient graphs.

**Definition 2.8.** The star of a vertex $v \in \text{Ver}(\text{gr})$ in a Kurihara graph $\text{gr}$ is
\[
\text{Star}(v) := \{e \in \text{Ed}(\text{gr}) \mid o(e) = v\}.
\]

**Definition 2.9.** Let $\Gamma$ act on a tree $\Delta$ with $\text{gr} := \Gamma \setminus \Delta$. Then $\text{Ver}(\text{gr})$ is identified with $\Gamma \setminus \text{Ver}(\Delta)$; for $v \in \text{Ver}(\text{gr}) = \Gamma \setminus \text{Ver}(\Delta)$, put $m(v) = m(\Gamma, \Delta, v)$. The vertex mass $\text{VM}(\text{gr})$ of $\text{gr}$ is
\[
\text{VM}(\text{gr}) = \text{VM}(\Gamma, \Delta) := \sum_{v \in \text{Ver}(\text{gr})} m(\Gamma, \Delta, v) = \sum_{v \in \text{Ver}(\text{gr})} m(v).
\]
Likewise $\text{Ed}(gr)$ is identified with $\Gamma \setminus \text{Ed}(\Delta)$; for $e \in \text{Ed}(gr) = \Gamma \setminus \text{Ed}(\Delta)$, put $m(e) = m(\Gamma, \Delta, e)$. The edge mass $\text{EM}(gr)$ of $gr$ is

$$\text{EM}(gr) = \text{EM}(\Gamma, \Delta) : = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}(gr)} m(\Gamma, \Delta, e)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}(gr)} m(e) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in \text{Ver}(gr)} \sum_{e \in \text{Star}(v)} m(e).$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let $gr = \Gamma \setminus \Delta$ be a Kurihara graph. Define

$$\text{Ver}_1(gr) := \{v \in \text{Ver}(gr) \mid m(v) = 1\},$$

$$\text{Ver}_{<1}(gr) := \{v \in \text{Ver}(gr) \mid m(v) < 1\}.$$ Set $v_1(gr) = \# \text{Ver}_1(gr)$ and $v_{<1}(gr) = \# \text{Ver}_{<1}(gr)$ so that

$$v(gr) = v_1(gr) + v_{<1}(gr).$$

**Lemma 2.11.** For a Kurihara graph $gr = \Gamma \setminus \Delta$ we have

$$e_r(gr) \geq \text{EM}(gr) - e_h(gr).$$

**Proof.** Since $2e_r(gr) = \# \text{Ed}_r(gr)$ while $e_h(gr) = \# \text{Ed}_h(gr)/2$,

$$\text{EM}(gr) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}_r(gr)} m(e) + \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}_h(gr)} m(e) \right) \leq e_r(gr) + e_h(gr).$$

**Theorem 2.12.** Let $\Gamma$ act on a $k$-regular tree $\Delta$ with finite vertex and edge stabilizer groups; set $gr := \Gamma \setminus \Delta$. If $v \in \text{Ver}(gr)$, then

$$k = \sum_{e \in \text{Star}(v)} \frac{m(e)}{m(v)} = \frac{1}{m(v)} \sum_{e \in \text{Star}(v)} m(e).$$

**Proof.** The stabilizer group $\Gamma_v$ acts on $\text{Star}(v)$ with orbits in bijection with $\text{Star}(v)$. Explicitly, if $e \in \text{Star}(v)$ then all the edges in $\Gamma_v \cdot v$ map to $e$ in $gr$. This orbit has size

$$\# \Gamma_v \cdot v = \# \Gamma_v / \Gamma_e = \# \Gamma_v / \Gamma_e = m(\Gamma) / m(v).$$

Summing over all the orbits gives the desired result.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let $\Gamma$ act on a $k$-regular tree $\Delta$ and let $gr = \Gamma \setminus \Delta$. Then $k \text{VM}(gr) = 2 \text{EM}(gr)$.

**Proof.** Fix $v \in \text{Ver}(gr)$. If $\Gamma_v$ is infinite, then its contribution to $\text{VM}(gr)$ is 0; since the degree of $v$ is finite, the same holds for the edges of $\text{Star}(v)$. If $\Gamma_v$ is finite, then we may apply Theorem 2.12

$$k \text{VM}(gr) = \sum_{v \in \text{Ver}(gr)} km(v) = \sum_{v \in \text{Ver}(gr)} \sum_{e \in \text{Star}(v)} m(e) = 2 \text{EM}(gr).$$

Summing over $v$ gives the desired result.

Graph mass is multiplicative in coverings:
Theorem 2.14. Let $\Gamma_0$ act on a tree $\Delta$ with finite stabilizer groups. Suppose $\Gamma \leq \Gamma_0$ with $[\Gamma_0 : \Gamma] = d$. Set $gr_0 := \Gamma_0 \backslash \Delta$ and $gr := \Gamma \backslash \Delta$. Then

$$VM(gr) = d VM(gr_0) \quad \text{and} \quad EM(gr) = d EM(gr_0).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.7. \hfill \Box

To understand what happens with inversions we will need the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 2.15. Let $\Gamma$ act on a tree $\Delta$ with quotient (Kurihara) graph $gr := \Gamma \backslash \Delta$. For each $e \in Ed(gr)$ fix an edge $\tilde{e} \in \Delta$ lifting it and define $\tilde{\Gamma}_e$ to be the subgroup of $\Gamma$ preserving the set $\{\tilde{e}, \bar{e}\}$. Clearly, $\Gamma_e = \tilde{\Gamma}_e \leq \tilde{\Gamma}_e$.

Lemma 2.16. Let $\Gamma$ act on a tree $\Delta$ with quotient graph $gr := \Gamma \backslash \Delta$. If $e \in Ed_{r}(\Gamma \backslash \Delta)$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}_e = \Gamma_e$. If $e \in Ed_{h}(gr)$ then $\tilde{\Gamma}_e / \Gamma_e \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{e} \in Ed(\Delta)$ covers $e \in Ed(\Gamma \backslash \Delta)$. If $e \not= e$ then $\tilde{e}$ is not inverted by $\Gamma$ so $\tilde{\Gamma}_e = \Gamma_e$.

If $e = e$ then any element in $\Gamma$ inverting $\tilde{e}$ gives rise to an element of $\tilde{\Gamma}_e$ not in $\Gamma_e$. Consider any two such: $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$. Then $\gamma_i(\tilde{e}) = \tilde{e}$ and $\gamma_i(\bar{e}) = \bar{e}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_e = \Gamma_e$. Hence $\tilde{\Gamma}_e / \Gamma_e \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}$. \hfill \Box

Definition 2.17. Let $\Gamma$ act on a tree $\Delta$. Let $\Delta_{\Gamma}$ be the tree obtained from $\Delta$ by barycentric subdivision of precisely those edges which are inverted by $\Gamma$.

Lemma 2.18. Let $\Gamma$ act on a tree $\Delta$. Let $v \in Ver(\Gamma \backslash \Delta_{\Gamma})$ not appearing in the Kurihara graph $gr := \Gamma \backslash \Delta$. Choose a vertex $\tilde{v} \in \Delta_{\Gamma}$ covering $v$ and let $\tilde{e}$ be the edge in $\Delta$ whose subdivision produced the vertex $\tilde{v}$. If $e$ is the half-edge in $gr$ lying under $\tilde{e}$, then $\Gamma_v \simeq \tilde{\Gamma}_e$ and $m(v) = m(e)/2$.

Proof. That $\Gamma_v \simeq \Gamma_{\tilde{v}} = \tilde{\Gamma}_e \simeq \tilde{\Gamma}_e$ is obvious. The statement on masses follows from Lemma 2.16. \hfill \Box

Definition 2.19. Suppose the group $\Gamma$ acts without inversions on the tree $\Delta$ with finite quotient graph. Suppose further that the vertex and edge stabilizers are finite. Then the equivariant Euler characteristic of $[Bro94$, Sect. IX.7] is

$$\chi_{\Gamma}(\Delta) = VM(gr) - EM(gr).$$

Finally we can relate vertex and edge mass to the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi$ of $[Ser71]$:

Theorem 2.20. Suppose $\Gamma$ is a group containing a torsion-free subgroup of finite index (so “virtually torsion-free”) acting on a tree $\Delta$ (possibly with inversions) with finite vertex and edge stabilizer groups and finite quotient Kurihara graph $gr := \Gamma \backslash \Delta$. Then the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi(\Gamma)$ is defined and

$$\chi(\Gamma) := VM(gr) - EM(gr).$$

Proof. Suppose first that the action of $\Gamma$ on $\Delta$ is without inversions. Then by $[Bro94$, Proposition 7.3(e')] we have

$$\chi(\Gamma) = \chi_r(\Delta) := VM(gr) - EM(gr).$$
Now suppose $\Gamma$ acts with inversions on $\Delta$. We have $gr = \Gamma \backslash \Delta$; set $gr_\Gamma := \Gamma \backslash \Delta_\Gamma$ with $\Delta_\Gamma$ as in Definition 2.17. Since $\Gamma$ acts without inversions on $\Delta_\Gamma$, by the discussion above, we have $\chi(\Gamma) = \text{VM}(gr_\Gamma) - \text{EM}(gr_\Gamma)$. For $e \in \text{Ed}_h(gr)$ set $v_e$ to be the vertex in $gr_\Gamma$ arising from the barycentric subdivision of an edge above $e$ in $\Delta$. Thus, $\text{Ver}(gr_\Gamma)$ is the disjoint union of $\text{Ver}(\Gamma)$ and $\{v_e : e \in \text{Ed}_b(gr)\}$. Also for $e \in \text{Ed}_b(gr)$ let $e'$ be its opposite edge in $gr_\Gamma$ so that $\text{Ed}(gr_\Gamma)$ is the disjoint union of $\text{Ed}_r(gr), \text{Ed}_h(gr)$, and $\{e' : e \in \text{Ed}_b(gr)\}$. We may take $\Gamma_e' = \Gamma_e$. Thus,

$$\text{VM}(gr_\Gamma) = \text{VM}(gr) + \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}_h(gr)} m(v_e) = \text{VM}(gr) + 1/2 \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}_h(gr)} m(e),$$

by Lemma 2.18 while

$$\text{EM}(gr_\Gamma) = \text{EM}(gr) + 1/2 \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}_h(gr)} m(e') = \text{EM}(gr) + 1/2 \sum_{e \in \text{Ed}_h(gr)} m(e).$$

Thus,

$$\text{VM}(gr) - \text{EM}(gr) = \text{VM}(gr_\Gamma) - \text{EM}(gr_\Gamma) = \chi(\Gamma).$$

3. Unitary groups over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n, 1/2]$ for $n = 2^s$ and $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$

This section contains the foundational material from number theory needed for our Main Theorem 1.2.

3.1. Classifying orders in relative quadratic extensions of Dedekind domains. In this subsection we give a simple and useful classification for orders in relative quadratic extensions of Dedekind domains; we use this repeatedly in Section 4. This subsection is self-contained, with notation independent of the rest of the paper, and may be of independent interest.

Let $O_M$ be a Dedekind domain with field of fractions $M$. Let $O_L/O_M$ be a quadratic extension of Dedekind domains with $O_L$ having field of fractions $L$. We will classify $O_M$-orders $O \subseteq O_L$, i.e., orders $L \supset O \supset O_M$.

**Definition 3.1.** (a) The conductor $\text{cond}(O) := \mathfrak{f}$ of $O$ is

$$\mathfrak{f} := \text{Ann}_O(O_L/O) = \text{Ann}_{O_L}(O_L/O) = \{x \in O_L \mid xO_L \subseteq O\}.$$  

Then $\mathfrak{f}$ is an $O$-ideal and an $O_L$-ideal. It is the largest $O_L$-ideal contained in $O$.

(b) We define the $O_M$-conductor $\text{cond}_{O_M}(O) := \mathfrak{f}$ of $O$ to be the $O_M$-ideal

$$\mathfrak{f} := \text{Ann}_{O_M}(O_L/O) = \mathfrak{f} \cap O_M.$$  

We have $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f} O_L$.

**Definition 3.2.** Let $I$ be a nonzero ideal of $O_M$. Define the order $O_I \subseteq O_L$ to be $O_M + IO_L$.

**Remark 3.3.** It is clear that $O_I$ is closed under addition and has finite index in $O_L$, so proving that it is closed under multiplication shows that it is an order. To do so, let $m + \sum_{j=1}^n i_j \ell_j$ and $m' + \sum_{k=1}^{n'} i'_k \ell'_k$ belong to $O_I$. Their product is

$$mm' + \sum_j (m' i_j) \ell_j + \sum_{k} (m_i k') \ell'_k + \sum_{j,k} (i_j i'_k) \ell_j \ell'_k,$$

and the first term is in $O_M$ while all the remaining terms belong to $IO_L$. 

9
Proposition 3.4. Every $L$-order containing $\mathcal{O}_M$ is one of the $\mathcal{O}_I$. Further, $\text{cond}_{\mathcal{O}_M}(\mathcal{O}_I) = I$, and $\mathcal{O}_J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_I$ if and only if $J \subseteq I$. In particular $\mathcal{O}_I = \mathcal{O}_J$ if and only if $I = J$.

Proof. Every $L$-order containing $\mathcal{O}_M$ is an $\mathcal{O}_M$-submodule of $\mathcal{O}_L$, and submodules of $\mathcal{O}_L$ containing $\mathcal{O}_M$ are naturally in bijection with submodules of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_M$. The $\mathcal{O}_M$-module $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_M$ is a torsion-free $\mathcal{O}_M$-module (if $x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_M$ and $xy \in \mathcal{O}_M$ then $y \in M \cap \mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_M$), so it is projective, and clearly the rank is 1. Thus by standard theory of Dedekind domains its submodules are exactly those of the form $I\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_M$, which correspond to the submodules $\mathcal{O}_I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$. Further, the correspondence between submodules preserves inclusion, which shows that $\mathcal{O}_J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_I$ if and only if $J \subseteq I$.

It certainly holds that $I\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \mathcal{O}_I$, but no larger $\mathcal{O}_L$-ideal is contained in $\mathcal{O}_I$, for if $J$ is an ideal contained in $\mathcal{O}_I$ then $\mathcal{O}_J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_I$. Finally, as an $\mathcal{O}_M$-module $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_I$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_M)/I(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_M)$. To see that this is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_M/I\mathcal{O}_M$, tensor the exact sequence

$$0 \to I(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_M) \to \mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_M \to \mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_I \to 0$$

with $(\mathcal{O}_L\mathcal{O}_M)^{-1}$ to obtain $0 \to I \to \mathcal{O}_M \to \mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_I \to 0$. It follows that the annihilator of $\mathcal{O}_L/\mathcal{O}_I$ is exactly $I$, i.e., that $\text{cond}_{\mathcal{O}_M}(\mathcal{O}_I) = I$. \hfill $\square$

Remark 3.5. As this proof suggests, the conductor cannot characterize $\mathcal{O}_M$-orders of $\mathcal{O}_L$ when $[L : M] > 2$. For example, the $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[m]{m})$-orders spanned by $1, m\sqrt[m]{m}, m\sqrt[m]{m^2}$ and $1, m\sqrt[m]{m}, \sqrt[m]{m^2}$ have the same conductor.

We restate our classification as a theorem.

Theorem 3.6. There is an order-preserving bijection between $L$-orders containing $\mathcal{O}_M$ and nonzero ideals of $\mathcal{O}_M$, given by $\mathcal{O} \mapsto \text{cond}_{\mathcal{O}_M}(\mathcal{O})$, whose inverse is $I \mapsto \mathcal{O}_I$.

We will now interpret these results slightly differently. Let $\text{Gal}(L/M) = \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.7. (a) The trace map $\text{Tr} : \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_M$ is defined by $\text{Tr}(x) = \text{Tr}_{L/M}(x) = x + x^\sigma$.

Define the antitrace $\text{ATr} : \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_L$ by $\text{ATr}(x) = x - x^\sigma$. Set $\mathcal{A} := \text{ATr}(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

(b) Let $\mathcal{O}_{L,0} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_L \mid \text{Tr}(x) = 0\}$. Note that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{L,0}$.

Proposition 3.8. For every nonzero ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_M$ we have

$$\mathcal{O}_I = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_L \mid \text{ATr}(x) \in I\mathcal{A}\}.$$

Also $\mathcal{A}$ is a projective $\mathcal{O}_M$-module of rank 1.

Proof. If $x = m + \sum_j i_j\ell_j \in \mathcal{O}_I$, then

$$\text{ATr}(x) = m - m^\sigma + \sum_j (i_j\ell_j - i_j\ell_j^\sigma) = \sum_j i_j(\ell_j - \ell_j^\sigma) \in I\mathcal{A}.$$

Conversely, if $\text{ATr}(x) = \sum_j i_j\text{ATr}(\ell_j)$ with all $i_j \in I$ then $x = k + \sum_j i_j\ell_j$, where $k \in \ker \text{ATr} = \mathcal{O}_M$.

For the statement about $\mathcal{A}$, consider $\text{ATr}$ as a map of $\mathcal{O}_M$-modules $\mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_L$. Its kernel is $\mathcal{O}_M$, a saturated submodule of rank 1, so its image is torsion-free and of rank 1 less than that of $\mathcal{O}_L$. We know that $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a projective $\mathcal{O}_M$-module since it is a finitely generated torsion-free module over the Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}_M$. But a submodule of a projective module over a Dedekind domain is projective, say by Kaplansky’s theorem on hereditary rings [Lam96 Theorem 2.24] and hence $\mathcal{A}$ is a projective $\mathcal{O}_M$-module. \hfill $\square$

Corollary 3.9. If $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'$ are $\mathcal{O}_M$-orders of $\mathcal{O}_L$ with $\text{ATr}(\mathcal{O}) = \text{ATr}(\mathcal{O}')$ then $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}'$. 


3.2. **Cyclotomic rings.** Suppose $4|n$, $n \geq 8$, and put $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i / n}$. Let $p := p_n \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be a prime above 2 in $F := F_n$ with associated valuation $\text{val}_p$. Let $\mathfrak{P} := \mathfrak{P}_n \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be a above $p$. In case $n = 2^s$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ we have that $p$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ are unique; $e(\mathfrak{P}/p) = 2$ if $n = 2^s$ and $f(\mathfrak{P}/p) = 2$ if $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$. For an order $\mathcal{O}$ in a number field $F$, we denote the class group of $\mathcal{O}$ by $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$ and its class number by $h(\mathcal{O}) = \# \text{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$. If $F$ is totally real, the narrow class group is denoted $\hat{\text{Cl}}(\mathcal{O})$ with its narrow class number $\hat{h}(\mathcal{O})$.

We begin with an elementary lemma:

**Lemma 3.10.** Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer with $\zeta_m$ a primitive $m^{\text{th}}$ root of 1 and $N := \text{Norm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)/\mathbb{Q}}$. Then

$$N(1 + \zeta_m) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } m = 2p^t \text{ for a prime } p \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$N(1 - \zeta_m) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } m = p^t \text{ for a prime } p \\ 1 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** For an integer $d$, let $\Phi_d(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be the $d^{\text{th}}$ cyclotomic polynomial, so that $x^m - 1 = \prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(x)$. The proof is a simple induction using

(a) $1 = \prod_{1 \neq d|m} \Phi_d(-1)$ if $m$ is odd,

(b) $m/2 = \prod_{1,2 \neq d|m} \Phi_d(-1)$ if $m$ is even, and

(c) $m = \prod_{1 \neq d|m} \Phi_d(1)$. \(\square\)

Computing norms will show that the ideal $p$ in our case is principal.

**Definition 3.11.** If $n = 2^s \geq 8$, set $p_n = 2 + \zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1} = N_{K_n/F_n}(\zeta_n + 1)$. If $n = 3 \cdot 2^s \geq 12$, set $p'_n = 1 + \zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}$.

**Proposition 3.12.** (a) Assume $n = 2^s$. Then $N_{F_n/\mathbb{Q}}(p_n) = 2$. The element $p_n$ is a totally positive generator of $p_n$.

(b) Assume $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$. Then $N_{F_n/\mathbb{Q}}(p'_n) = N_{F_n/\mathbb{Q}}(p'_n - 2) = -2$ and $p_n = (p'_n)$. All units of $F_n$ have norm 1 and there is no element of $\mathcal{O}_n$ of norm 2.

**Proof.** (a) for $n = 2^s$ is standard, following from

$$N_{K_n/\mathbb{Q}}(\zeta_n + 1) = 2,$$

cf. Lemma 3.10. We prove (b) for $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ by induction on $s$. It holds in the case $s = 3$ (or indeed $s = 2$) by direct computation. Inductively suppose that it holds for $s = k$. Now $F_k$ is the fixed field of the automorphism of $F_{k+1}$ that takes $\zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k + 1} + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k - 1}$ to its negative. Hence

$$N_{F_{k+1}/F_k}(p'_{k+1}) = (1 + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k + 1} + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k - 1})(1 - \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k + 1} - \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k - 1})$$

$$= 1 - (\zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k + 1} + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k - 1})^2 = -1 - \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k} - \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^k} = -p'_k,$$

and $F_k$ has even degree so $N_{F_k/\mathbb{Q}}(-p'_k) = N_{F_k/\mathbb{Q}}(p'_k) = -2$ by inductive hypothesis. So $N_{F_{k+1}/\mathbb{Q}}(p'_{k+1}) = -2$ by transitivity of norm. Similarly,

$$N_{F_{k+1}/F_k}(p'_{k+1} - 2) = p'_k$$

and again the result follows.
All units of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})}$ have norm 1, so the same is true for units of $\mathcal{O}_n$. If $q_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$ were an element of norm 2, then $q_n/p_n'$ would be a unit of $\mathcal{O}_n$ of norm $-1$ (since there is a unique prime ideal above 2 in $\mathcal{O}_n$), so no such element exists.

Put $R_{n,1}^x = \{ x \in R_n^x \mid N_{K_n/F_n}(x) = 1 \}$.

**Lemma 3.13.** Suppose $n = 2^s$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$.

(a) $R_{n,1}^x = \mu_n$.

(b) Put $\zeta = \zeta_n$. Then

$$R_n^x \ni N_{K_n/F_n}(1 + \zeta) = (1 + \zeta)(1 + \zeta^2) \notin (R_n^x)^2.$$

**Proof.** For (a) there is one prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $K_n$ above 2, so if $\alpha \in R_n$ with $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 1$, then $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$, and so $\alpha \in \mu_n$ since $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = 1$.

For (b), first let $n = 2^s$. Then $(1 + \zeta_n)(1 + \zeta_n) = p_n \notin (R_n^x)^2$ since $N_{F_n/\mathbb{Q}}(p_n) = 2$ by Proposition 3.12(c).

Now let $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ and $\zeta = \zeta_n$. We have $N_{K_n/\mathbb{Q}}(1 + \zeta) = 1$ by Lemma 3.11, so $N_{K_n/F_n}(1 + \zeta) \in \mathcal{O}_n^x \subseteq R_n^x$. Suppose $N_{K_n/F_n}(1 + \zeta) = (1 + \zeta)(1 + \zeta^2) = w^2$ for $w \in R_n^x$. Then $N_{K_n/F_n}(1 + \zeta)/w = 1$ and $(1 + \zeta)/w \in R_n^x$. By (m) we have $(1 + \zeta)/w \in \mu_n$, say $(1 + \zeta)/w = \zeta^k$ for an integer $k$, so $1 + \zeta = w\zeta^k$. Look at arguments:

$$\pi/n = \arg(1 + \zeta) = \arg(w\zeta^k) = 2\pi k/n,$$

so $k = 1/2$, a contradiction. Hence for $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, $N_{K_n/F_n}(1 + \zeta) \notin (R_n^x)^2$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{n+}^x, R_{n+}^x$ denote the totally positive elements of $\mathcal{O}_n^x, R_n^x$, respectively.

**Theorem 3.14.** (a) Assume $n = 2^s$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{n+}^x = (\mathcal{O}_n^x)^2$ and $\tilde{h}(\mathcal{O}_n), h(\mathcal{O}_n)$ are odd. Further, $R_{n+}^x/(R_n^x)^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

(b) Assume $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$. Then $h(\mathcal{O}_n) = \tilde{h}(R_n)$ is odd. We have $\tilde{h}(\mathcal{O}_n) = 2h(\mathcal{O}_n)$ and hence $\tilde{h}(\mathcal{O}_n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Again $R_{n+}^x/[R_n^x]^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

We require a preliminary result:

**Lemma 3.15.** Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be a totally real Galois extension of degree $2^d$. Suppose that there is $\alpha \in F$ such that $N(\alpha) < 0$ and the ideal $(\alpha)$ is fixed by $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Then for every sequence $S = (\pm 1, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm 1)$ of $2^d$ signs whose product is 1, there is a unit of $\mathcal{O}_F$ whose signs in the real embeddings of $F$ are given by $S$.

**Proof.** Let $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$, let $M$ be the product of $\langle \pm 1 \rangle$ indexed by the real places of $F$, and let $G$ act on $M$ by permuting the places. This induces an action of the group ring $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ on $M$. Since $G$ acts transitively on the real places, an element with exactly one $-1$ generates $M$. But $\#\mathbb{F}_2[G] = \#M$, so $M$ is a free module of rank 1.

Because $G$ is a 2-group, the nilradical of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$ is the augmentation ideal $\langle g - 1 \mid g \in G \rangle$ by [Jen41, Theorem 1.2]. Since all maximal ideals contain the nilradical and the augmentation ideal is clearly maximal, it is the unique maximal ideal. Thus any element of $M$ whose product is $-1$ is the image of a generator by a unit of $\mathbb{F}_2[G]$, and hence also generates $M$.

To conclude, consider $s_\alpha \in M$, the image of $\alpha$ by the sign map. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_2[G]$ be such that $\gamma(s_\alpha) = S$ and lift $\gamma$ to a $\Gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$ whose image under the augmentation map is 0. Then $\alpha^{\Gamma}$ has the signs $S$; furthermore, since the ideal $(\alpha)$ is fixed by Galois, $\alpha^{\Gamma}$ generates the ideal $(\alpha)^S$, so it is the desired unit.
Proof of Theorem 3.14. To prove (a), let \( n = 2^k \). Then the class number \( h(\mathcal{O}_n) \) is odd [Was97, Theorem 10.4(b)] and all totally positive units in \( \mathcal{O}_n \) are squares by Weber’s Theorem [Web99]. Hence the narrow class number \( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{O}_n) \) is odd and \( \tilde{R}_n^\times / (\tilde{R}_n^\times)^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

We now prove (b). Let \( n = 3 \cdot 2^k \). The class number \( h(\mathcal{O}_n) \) is odd, as we see by applying [Was97, Theorem 10.4] to \( F_n / \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}) \). Since all units of \( \mathcal{O}_n \) have norm 1 by Proposition 3.12(b), the narrow class number \( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{O}_n) \) is even. Apply Lemma 3.15 with \( F = F_n \) and \( \alpha = p_2' \) to conclude that \( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{O}_n) = 2h(\mathcal{O}_n) \). Finally, the unique prime above 2 in \( F_n \) is principal but not generated by a totally positive element, so once 2 is inverted there are units of all signatures and so \( \tilde{h}(\mathcal{R}_n) = h(\mathcal{O}_n) \). Let \( d = [F_n : \mathbb{Q}] \). Then \( \tilde{R}_n^\times / (\tilde{R}_n^\times)^2 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{d+1} \) with generators the classes of the fundamental units, \(-1\), and \( p_2' \). Since \( \tilde{R}_n \) has units of all signatures, there is an exact sequence with \( \tilde{R} = \tilde{R}_n \):

\[
1 \longrightarrow \frac{\tilde{R}_n^\times}{(\tilde{R}_n^\times)^2} \longrightarrow \frac{\tilde{R}_n^\times}{(\tilde{R}_n^\times)^2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^d \longrightarrow 1.
\]

It follows that \( \frac{\tilde{R}_n^\times}{(\tilde{R}_n^\times)^2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). \( \square \)

3.3. The Hamilton quaternions. Suppose \( 4 \mid n, n \geq 8 \).

Definition 3.16. The standard maximal \( \mathcal{R}_n \)-order of \( \mathbf{H}_n \) is

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n := \mathcal{R}_n \langle 1, i, j, (1 + i + j + k)/2 \rangle.
\]

There is an ideal of \( \mathcal{O}_n \) whose square is the ideal (2). In case this ideal is principal with (2) = \( \alpha^2 \), we define a maximal \( \mathcal{O}_n \)-order \( \mathcal{M}_n \) with

\[
\{ 1, i, j, (1 + i + j + k)/2 \} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n.
\]

Set

\[
\mathcal{M}_n = \mathcal{O}_n \left\langle 1, \frac{1 + i}{\alpha}, \frac{1 + j}{\alpha}, \frac{1 + i + j + k}{2} \right\rangle,
\]

where we take \( \alpha = \sqrt{2} \) if 8\( \mid n \) and \( \alpha = 1 + \sqrt{3} \) if \( n = 12 \). In particular this explicitly defines \( \mathcal{M}_n \) if \( n = 2^k \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^k, n \geq 8 \).

We now introduce the Bruhat-Tits tree \( \Delta = \Delta_p \) for \( \text{SL}_2(F_p) \) where \( F := F_n \). We suppose that \( n = 2^k \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^k \) with \( n \geq 8 \). Recall that \( p := p_n \subseteq \mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_n \) is the unique prime ideal above 2 in \( F \); \( p \) is totally ramified. The quaternion algebra \( \mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_n \) is unramified at \( p \) and hence at all finitely primes of \( F_n \). The vertices \( \text{Ver}(\Delta) \) are given by (cf. [Kur79, Section 4]):

\[
\text{Ver}(\Delta) = \text{PGL}_2(F_p) / \text{PGL}_2(\mathcal{O}_p)
\]

\[
= \mathbf{H}_p^\times / F_p^\times \mathcal{M}_p^\times
\]

\[
= \{ \text{maximal orders } \mathcal{M}' \subset \mathbf{H}; \mathcal{M}'_q = \mathcal{M}_q \text{ for all primes } q \neq p \}.
\]

In the last identification, an element \( x \in \mathbf{H}_p^\times / F_p^\times \mathcal{M}_p^\times \) corresponds to a maximal order \( \mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathbf{H} \) such that \( \mathcal{M}'_p = x \mathcal{M}_p x^{-1} \) and \( \mathcal{M}'_q = \mathcal{M}_q \) for all primes \( q \neq p \). In particular, \( x \in \mathcal{M}_n / \mathcal{R}_n^\times \mathcal{M}_n^\times \) corresponds to the maximal order \( \mathcal{M}^x := x \mathcal{M} x^{-1} \).

Let \( v', v'' \in \text{Ver}(\Delta) \) with corresponding maximal orders \( \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'' \) as above. Then \( v' \) and \( v'' \) are connected by an edge in \( \Delta \) if and only if \( \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}'' \) is an Eichler order of level \( p \), i.e.,
\( M' \cap M'' \) is \( H^\times \)-conjugate to the order \( \left[ \frac{O_p}{p} \frac{O_p}{p} \right] \) by the identification \( H_p = \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(F_p) \). The group \( \text{PGL}_2(F_p) \) acts on \( \Delta \).

Following Kurihara [Kur79] (who in turn follows Ihara [Iha66]), define discrete subgroups \( \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \) of \( \text{PGL}_2(F_p) \) arising from the definite quaternion algebra \( H \). Let \( H_n^\times = \{ \gamma \in H_n^\times \mid \text{Norm}_{H_n/F_n}(\gamma) = 1 \} \) and \( M_n^\times = M_n^\times \cap H_n^\times \) with a similar notation locally at a prime \( v \).

Put \( \Gamma_0 = \widetilde{\Gamma}_n = \widetilde{M}_n^\times / \mathcal{R}_n^\times =: \text{P}\widetilde{M}_n^\times \)
\( \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_1(\widetilde{M}_n^\times) = \widetilde{M}^\times_{n,1} / \pm 1 =: \text{P}\widetilde{M}^\times_{n,1} \).

The groups \( \Gamma_0 \) and \( \Gamma_1 \) are discrete cocompact subgroups of \( \text{PGL}_2(F_p) \) and hence act on the Bruhat-Tits tree \( \Delta := \Delta_p \) of \( \text{SL}_2(F_p) \). A basic result [Ser03, Corollary, p. 75] on this action is:

**Lemma 3.17.** Suppose \( \bar{v} \in \text{Ver}(\Delta) \) and \( \gamma \in \text{GL}_2(F_p) \). Then
\[
\text{dist}(\bar{v}, \gamma \bar{v}) \equiv \text{val}_p(\det \gamma) \pmod{2}.
\]

**Remark 3.18.** This lemma implies that the group \( \Gamma_1 \) acts on \( \Delta \) without inversions. On the other hand, \( \Gamma_0 \) generally does invert edges of \( \Delta \).

**Definition 3.19.** Set \( gr_n := \Gamma_1 \setminus \Delta \) and \( gr_n = \Gamma_0 \setminus \Delta \). Then \( gr_n \) is a finite graph and \( \overline{gr}_n \) is a finite Kurihara graph. Since \( \Gamma_1 \lhd \Gamma_0 \), the covering
\[
\pi := \pi_n : gr_n \to \overline{gr}_n
\]
is Galois with covering group \( \Gamma_0 / \Gamma_1 \).

**Theorem 3.20.** Suppose \( n = 2^s \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \). Put \( R = R_n \).

(a) There are isomorphisms
\[
\Gamma_0 / \Gamma_1 \simeq \frac{R^\times}{(R^\times)^2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2\mathbb{Z}.
\]

(b) The covering \( gr_n \to \overline{gr}_n \) is étale if \( n = 2^s \).

We require a preliminary result.

**Lemma 3.21.** Let \( I \) be a fractional \( R_n \)-ideal of \( \widetilde{M}_n \) with \( x \in \text{N}_{H_n/F_n}(I) \) totally positive. Then there exists \( \alpha \in I \) with \( \text{N}_{H_n/F_n}(\alpha) = x \).

This is a generalization of [Vig80, Corollary III.5.9]; we give a proof here.

**Proof.** By [Vig80, Theorem III.4.1] there exists an \( \alpha \in H = H_n \) with norm \( x \). For each prime \( v \neq p \) let
\[
U_v = \{ \beta_v \in H^\times_{v,1} \mid \beta_v \alpha^{-1} \in I \otimes \mathcal{O}_v \}.
\]

Now \( U_v \) is clearly open in \( H^\times_{v,1} \) and it is nonempty since the norm map is locally surjective on ideals. So by Strong Approximation there exists \( \beta \in \bigcap_{v \neq p} U_v \cap H \). Then \( \alpha \beta \in I \) and \( \text{N}_{H/F}(\alpha \beta) = x \).
Proof of Theorem 3.20. The norm map \( N = N_{R_n/F_n} \) induces an injective map
\[
\overline{N} : \Gamma_0/\Gamma_1 = P\widetilde{M}^\times/\overline{P\widetilde{M}}^\times,1 \rightarrow \frac{R^\times}{(R^\times)^2}.
\]
It is surjective by Lemma 3.21 and \( R^\times/(R^\times)^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) by Theorem 3.14 proving (a).

Now note that if \( n = 2^s \) we have shown that there exists \( \gamma \in \mathcal{M}^\times \) with \( N_{R/F}(\gamma) = p_n \) as in Definition 3.11. Then \( \Gamma_0/\Gamma_1 = \langle [\gamma] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \), and Lemma 3.17 gives that \( \gamma v \neq v \) for every \( v \in \text{Ver}(gr_n) \). This proves (b), since \( \Gamma_0/\Gamma_1 \) is generated by \( [\gamma] \).

\[ \square \]

3.4. Unitary groups. We now consider unitary groups over the cyclotomic rings \( R_n \).

Proposition 3.22. Suppose that \( n = 2^s \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s, n \geq 8 \). Then \( \text{PU}_2(R_n)/\text{PSU}_2(R_n) \cong \mu_n/\mu_n^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \).

Proof. If \( A \in \text{U}_2(R_n) \), then \( \alpha := \det A \in R^\times_{n,1} \) and hence \( \alpha \in \mu_n \) by Lemma 3.13(b). The proposition then follows from the exact sequence
\[
1 \rightarrow \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \rightarrow \text{PU}_2(R_n) \xrightarrow{\det} \mu_n/\mu_n^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1
\]
in which the determinant map is surjective because \( \left( \begin{array}{cc} \mu_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \subseteq \text{PU}_2(R_n) \).

\[ \square \]

The following observation is easy to check:

Proposition 3.23. The map \( \text{SU}_2(K_n) \rightarrow H^\times_{n,1} \) defined by
\[
\begin{bmatrix} r + s\sqrt{-1} & t + u\sqrt{-1} \\ -t + u\sqrt{-1} & r - s\sqrt{-1} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto r - ui - tj - sk
\]
is an isomorphism.

The map in Proposition 3.23 restricts to an isomorphism
\[
\Psi_n : \text{SU}_2(R_n) \cong \widetilde{M}^\times_{n,1},
\]
with an induced isomorphism
\[
\overline{\Psi}_n : \text{PSU}_2(R_n) = \text{SU}_2(R_n)/\langle \pm 1 \rangle \cong P\widetilde{M}^\times_{n,1} := \widetilde{M}^\times_{n,1} := \widetilde{M}^\times_{n,1}/\langle \pm 1 \rangle.
\]

We now ask whether there is an isomorphism for \( \text{PU}_2 \) analogous to the isomorphism (3) for \( \text{PSU}_2 \).

Definition 3.24. For \( A \in \text{U}_2(K_n) \), denote by \( [A] \) its class in \( \text{PU}_2(K_n) \). Similarly for \( a \in H^\times_n \), denote by \( [a] \) its class in \( \text{PH}^\times_n \).

Suppose \( A \in \text{U}_2(K_n) \) and \( \alpha = \det(A) \); then \( \alpha \overline{\alpha} = 1 \). By Hilbert’s Theorem 90 we have \( \alpha = \overline{\beta}/\beta \) with \( \beta \in K^\times_n \). Consider \( A' = \beta A \). We have \( \det A' = \beta^2 \alpha = \beta \overline{\beta} \in F_n \). Hence \( A' \) is of the form
\[
A' = \begin{bmatrix} r + s\sqrt{-1} & t + u\sqrt{-1} \\ -t + u\sqrt{-1} & r - s\sqrt{-1} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

We then define for \( [A] \in \text{PU}_2(R_n) \)
\[
\varphi_n([A]) = [r - ui - tj - sk] \in \text{PH}^\times_n.
\]

Note that on \( \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \) our map \( \varphi_n \) agrees with \( \overline{\Psi}_n \) and gives an injection \( \text{PU}_2(R_n) \hookrightarrow \text{PH}^\times_n \).
Theorem 3.25. Suppose \( n = 2^s \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s, n \geq 8 \). Then the map \( \varphi_n \) defined in (4) gives an isomorphism
\[
\varphi_n : \text{PU}_2(R_n) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{P}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n^\times = \Gamma_0(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n) = \Gamma_0 \subseteq \text{PH}_n^\times.
\]

Proof. 1. \( \varphi_n(\text{PU}_2(R_n)) \subseteq \Gamma_0 \).
Let \( A \in \text{U}_2(R_n) \). Then \( \alpha = \det A \in R_{n,1}^\times = \mu_n \) by Proposition 3.14[a], say \( \alpha = \zeta^k \) for \( \zeta = \zeta_n \).
But then we can take \( \beta = (1 + \zeta)^k \) to give \( \alpha = \beta/\beta \). If \( n = 2^s \), then \( N_{K_n/Q}(1 + \zeta) = 2 \). If \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \), then \( N_{K_n/Q}(1 + \zeta) = 1 \) by Lemma 3.10. In either case we see that \( \beta = (1 + \zeta)^k \in R_n^\times \).
So \( A' = \beta A \in \text{U}_2(R_n) \) and so from (4) we have \( \varphi_n([A]) \in \text{P}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n^\times = \Gamma_0 \).
2. \( \varphi_n(\text{PU}_2(R_n)) = \Gamma_0 \).

From the diagram (in which 1’s on the top and bottom are omitted)
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{PSU}_2(R_n) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \Gamma_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{PU}_2(R_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} & \Gamma_0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{PU}_2(R_n)/\text{PSU}_2(R_n) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Gamma_0/\Gamma_1 \cong R_n^+/R_n^x_1)^2.
\end{array}
\]
we see that \( \varphi_n \) is an isomorphism if and only if
\[
\frac{R_{n,1}^x}{(R_{n,1}^x)^2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{R_n^x}{(R_n^x)^2}
\]
is an isomorphism. By Theorem 3.14 the right-hand side of (5) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \). We have \( R_{n,1}^x/(R_{n,1}^x)^2 \cong \mu_n/\mu_n^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) by Proposition 3.13. The map in (5) is induced by \( [\zeta] \mapsto [(1 + \zeta)(1 + \zeta)] \) where \( \zeta := \zeta_n \). But this is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.13(b). \( \square \)

Remark 3.26. By Theorem 3.25 for \( n = 2^s \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s, n \geq 8 \),
\[
\text{PU}_2(R_n) \cong \text{P}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n^\times = \Gamma_0(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n) = \Gamma_0 \subseteq \text{PH}_n^\times
\]
acts on the Bruhat-Tits tree \( \Delta \), and likewise for
\[
\text{PSU}_2(R_n) \cong \text{P}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n^\times_{11} = \Gamma_1(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n) = \Gamma_1 \subseteq \text{PH}_n^\times.
\]
Hence in the notation of Definition 3.19
\[
\text{PSU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta = \Gamma_1 \setminus \Delta = \text{gr}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{PU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta = \Gamma_0 \setminus \Delta = \overline{\text{gr}}_n
\]
3.5. Vertices of \( \overline{\text{gr}}_n \) and maximal orders in \( H_n \).

Definition 3.27. Fix \( n \). By an order \( \mathcal{N} \) we will mean an \( (\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_n) \)-order in \( H = H_n \).
Denote by \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N}) \) the set of left ideal classes of \( \mathcal{N} \). For a left \( \mathcal{N} \)-ideal \( I \), denote by \( [I] \) its class in \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N}) \) and by \( \text{ROrd}(I) \) its right order. For an \( \mathcal{Q} \)-ideal \( a \), denote by \( [a] \) its class in \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q}) \).

The class group \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q}) \) acts on \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N}) \) by \([a] \cdot [I] := [aI] = [aI] \) for an \( \mathcal{Q} \)-ideal \( a \) and a left \( \mathcal{N} \)-ideal \( I \). Define the relative class set \( \text{Cl}_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{N}) \) to be the set of orbits of \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q}) \) on \( \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N}) \): \( \text{Cl}_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{Cl}(\mathcal{N}) / \text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q}) \) with relative class number \( h_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{N}) = \# \text{Cl}_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{N}) \). The relative class number \( h_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{M}') \) is the same for all maximal orders \( \mathcal{M}' \subseteq H_n \), so we write simply \( h_{\text{rel}}(H_n) \).
Let $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{H}_n)$ be the set of types, i.e., isomorphism classes of maximal orders, of $\mathbf{H}_n$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a maximal order, let $\text{Type}(\mathcal{M})$ be its type. The explicit maximal order $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_n \subseteq \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_n$ was given in (1).

**Theorem 3.28.** Let $n = 2^s$ or $3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$, and set $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_n$. The class group $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q})$ acts freely on the set $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{M})$. The map $I \mapsto \text{Type}(\text{ROrd}(I))$ is an $h(\mathcal{Q})$-to-1 map from $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{M})$ onto $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{H})$ which is constant on the $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{Q})$-orbits. So $\text{Cl}_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{M})$ may be identified with $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\#\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{H}) = h_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{H})$.

Let $\nu = \mathcal{M}' \in \text{Ver}(\Delta)$ be a maximal order of $\mathbf{H}$ as in (2). Let $\nu = [\mathcal{M}'] \in \text{Ver}(\Gamma_0 \setminus \Delta)$ be the vertex of $\overline{\nu} : = \overline{\nu}_n = \Gamma_0 \setminus \Delta$ below $\nu$. The map $\nu \mapsto \text{Type}(\mathcal{M}')$ is a bijection between $\text{Ver}(\overline{\nu})$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{H})$. Hence $\text{Ver}(\overline{\nu})$ can be identified with $\text{Cl}_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\#\text{Ver}(\overline{\nu}) = h_{\text{rel}}(\mathbf{H})$.

**Proof.** We will prove Theorem 3.28 through a series of propositions. First note that by the Skolem-Noether Theorem [Vig80, Théorème I.2.1], maximal isomorphic orders of $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_n$ are conjugate. For an element $\gamma \in \mathbf{H}^x$ and a maximal order $\mathcal{M}'$ in $\mathbf{H}$, put $(\mathcal{M}')\gamma = \gamma \mathcal{M}' \gamma^{-1}$.

If $h \in \mathbf{H}^x$ and $q$ is a prime of $F$, $h_q$ denotes $h$ viewed as an element of $\mathbf{H}_q$. Similarly, if $x \in F^x$, $x_q$ denotes $x$ viewed as an element of $F_q^x$. Our first proposition shows that every isomorphism class of maximal orders in $\mathbf{H}$ is represented by vertices in the tree $\Delta$ as in (2):

**Proposition 3.29.** Let $n = 2^s$ or $3 \cdot 2^s$, $n \geq 8$. Suppose $\mathcal{M}' \subseteq \mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}_n$ is a maximal order. Then there exists a maximal order $\mathcal{M}'' \subseteq \mathbf{H}$ such that $\mathcal{M}'' \simeq \mathcal{M}'$ and $\mathcal{M}_n'' = \mathcal{M}_n$ for all $q \neq p$.

**Proof.** For $q \neq p$, $\mathcal{M}_{q} \simeq \mathcal{M}_q$ since any two maximal orders are locally isomorphic. Hence $\mathcal{M}_{q} = \gamma_q \mathcal{M}_q \gamma_q^{-1}$ for $\gamma_q \in \mathbf{H}_q^x$. We can take $\gamma_q = 1$ for all but finitely many $q$ since two orders differ at only finitely many places. Consider the ideal $I = \prod_{q \neq p} q^{\text{val}_q(N_{\mathbf{H}_q/F_q}(\gamma_q))} \subseteq \mathcal{R} = R_n$.

Since the narrow class number of $\mathcal{R}$ is odd by Theorem 3.14, the class of $I$ is a square in the narrow class group $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{R})$. Hence there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}_n^x$ such that $\alpha I = J^2$ for some ideal $J$ of $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\beta \in \mathbf{H}^x$ with $N_{\mathbf{H}/F}(\beta) = \alpha$ (which exists by Lemma 3.21). Consider the maximal order $\mathcal{M}(\beta) := \beta \mathcal{M}' \beta^{-1}$. We have

$$\mathcal{M}(\beta)_q = \beta \gamma_q \mathcal{M}_q \gamma_q^{-1} \beta^{-1} = (\beta \gamma_q) \mathcal{M}_q (\beta \gamma_q)^{-1}.$$ Notice that $\text{val}_q N_{\mathbf{H}/F}(\beta \gamma_q)$ is even, say equal to $2t$. Suppose $F_q$ has uniformizer $\pi_q$. Then $\pi_q^{-1} \mathcal{M}_q \pi_q = \mathcal{M}_q$ since $\pi_q$ is in the center $F_q$ of $\mathbf{H}_q$. So

$$\mathcal{M}(\beta)_q = \beta \gamma_q \pi_q^{-t} \mathcal{M}_q \pi_q \gamma_q^{-1} \beta^{-1}.$$ Notice that $N_{\mathbf{H}_q/F_q}(\beta \gamma_q \pi_q^{-t}) \in \mathcal{O}_q^\times$ as its $q$-valuation is 0. Hence there exists $\eta_q \in \mathcal{M}_q^\times$ with $N_{\mathbf{H}_q/F_q}(\eta_q) = N_{\mathbf{H}_q/F_q}(\beta \gamma_q \pi_q^{-t})$.

But then $\tau_q := \beta \gamma_q \pi_q^{-t} \eta_q^{-1}$ has norm 1, and $\mathcal{M}_{q}^{\tau_q} = \mathcal{M}(\beta)_q$. So by Strong Approximation there exists $\tau \in \mathbf{H}_1^x$ such that $\tau = \tau_q u_q$ with $u_q \in \mathcal{M}_q^\times$ for all $q \neq p$. Now $\mathcal{M}(\beta)_q = \tau \mathcal{M}_q \tau^{-1}$. Let $\mathcal{M}'' := \tau^{-1} \mathcal{M}(\beta) \tau$. We see that $\mathcal{M}'' := \tau^{-1} \mathcal{M}(\beta) \tau$ satisfies the statement of the proposition. □

A maximal order $\mathcal{M}'$ belongs to $\text{Ver}(\Delta)$ when $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}_q$ for all primes $q \neq p$ of $\mathcal{Q}$. The group $\Gamma_0 = P \mathcal{M}_n^\times$ acts on $\Delta$ by

$$\gamma \cdot \mathcal{M}' = (\mathcal{M}')^\gamma = \gamma \mathcal{M}' \gamma^{-1}$$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$, $\mathcal{M}' \in \text{Ver}(\Delta)$. 17
Let $[\mathcal{M}'] \in \text{Ver}(\Gamma_0 \setminus \Delta)$ be the image of $M' \in \text{Ver}(\Delta)$ by the natural quotient map.

**Proposition 3.30.** Let $n = 2^* \text{ or } n = 3 \cdot 2^*$, $n \geq 8$. Suppose $\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}'' \in \text{Ver}(\Delta)$ are maximal orders. Then $\mathcal{M}' \cong \mathcal{M}''$ if and only if

$$[\mathcal{M}'] = [\mathcal{M}''] \in \text{Ver}(\Gamma_0 \setminus \Delta = \mathcal{P}_n).$$

Hence $\text{Ver}(\mathcal{P}_n)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of maximal orders in $H = H_n$.

**Proof.** If $[\mathcal{M}'] = [\mathcal{M}'']$, then there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}[1/2]^\times = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^\times$ so that $(\mathcal{M}')^\gamma = \gamma \mathcal{M}'\gamma^{-1} = \mathcal{M}''$, so $\mathcal{M}' \cong \mathcal{M}''$.

Now suppose $\mathcal{M}' \cong \mathcal{M}''$. Then $\mathcal{M}'' = (\mathcal{M}')^\gamma = \gamma \mathcal{M}'\gamma^{-1}$ for some $\gamma \in H^\times$. So for each $q \neq p$, $\gamma_q = s_q u_q$ with $s_q \in F_q^\times$, $u_q \in \mathcal{M}_q^\times$. In particular, $\text{val}(\mathcal{N}_{H/F}(\gamma))$ is even and hence the principal ideal $(\mathcal{N}_{H/F}(\gamma))$ of $\mathcal{R}_n$ is the square of an ideal $J$: $(\mathcal{N}_{H/F}(\gamma))^2 = J^2$. The ideal $J$ is principal since $J^2$ is principal and $h(\mathcal{R}_n)$ is odd by Theorem 3.14.

$$\mathcal{N}_{H/F}(\gamma) = u j^2 \quad \text{with} \quad u \in \mathcal{R}_q^\times, \ j \in F^\times. \quad (7)$$

Let $\gamma' := j^{-1} \gamma$; then $\gamma'_q = j^{-1} s_q u_q$.

We claim that $j^{-1} s_q \in \mathcal{M}_q^\times$; We have

$$\mathcal{N}_{H/F}(\gamma) = s_q^2 \mathcal{N}_{H/F}(u_q) = u j^2$$

using (7). Taking $\text{val}_q$ we get $2 \text{val}_q(s_q) = 2 \text{val}_q(j)$. Hence $\text{val}_q(j^{-1} s_q)$ is even and $j^{-1} s_q \in \mathcal{M}_q^\times$.

So $\gamma'_q \in \mathcal{M}_q^\times$ for all $q \neq p$. Hence $\gamma' \in \mathcal{M}[1/2]^\times$ with $\mathcal{M}'' = (\mathcal{M}')^\gamma'$ and $[\mathcal{M}'] = [\mathcal{M}''] \in \text{Ver}(\Gamma_0 \setminus \Delta)$.

**Lemma 3.31.** Let $N$ be a maximal $\mathcal{O}_n$-order of $H_n$ with $4|n$ and $n \geq 8$. Let $I$ be a two-sided $N$-ideal in $H_n$. Then $I = \mathcal{N} a$ for some ideal $a$ of $\mathcal{O}_n$.

**Proof.** First note that if $J$ is an $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_n$-ideal then $J = \cap_q (J \otimes \mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q}_q)$, see [Vol18, Lemma 9.4.3]. Now the only 2-sided ideals in matrix rings are generated by scalars [Lam01, Theorem 3.1] and $H_n$ is unramified at all finite primes $q$ [JK+ Proposition 3.1]. Hence $I \otimes \mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q}_q = \mathcal{N}_q S_q$ with $S_q$ a scalar ideal for all primes $q$. But then

$$I = \cap_q \mathcal{N}_q S_q = \mathcal{N} (\cap_q S_q) = \mathcal{N} a,$$

where the $\mathcal{O}$-ideal $a$ is given by $a = \cap_q S_q$.

**Proposition 3.32.** Let $n = 2^* \text{ or } n = 3 \cdot 2^*$, $n \geq 8$. The action of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_n)$ on $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_n)$ is free. Let $I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_h$ be representatives for $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{M})$ with right orders $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_h$, respectively, with $h = h(H = H_n)$. Then $\mathcal{M}_i \cong \mathcal{M}_j$ if and only if $[I_i] = [I_j a]$ for some ideal $a$ of $\mathcal{O}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq h$. Hence the set $T(H)$ of isomorphism classes of maximal orders in $H$ is in natural bijection with $\text{Cl}_{\text{rel}}(\mathcal{M})$.

**Proof.** If $[I_i] = [I_j a]$ for an $\mathcal{O}$-ideal $a$, then obviously $\mathcal{M}_j$ is the right order of $I_j a$ and the maximal orders $\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{M}_j$ are isomorphic. To see that $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O})$ acts freely on $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{M})$, suppose $I_i a = I_i \alpha$ for $\alpha \in H^\times$. Then taking norms of ideals we have $N(I_i) N(\alpha) = N(I_i) N(a) = a^2 N(I_i)$. Hence $(\mathcal{N}(\alpha)) = a^2$ and $a^2$ is principal. But the class number $h(\mathcal{O})$ is odd by Theorem 3.14 and so $a$ is principal.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{M}_i \cong \mathcal{M}_j$, so that $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{M}_j^2$ for some $\alpha$. Then $I_i^{-1} I_j \alpha^{-1} \subseteq H_n$ is a two-sided $\mathcal{M}_i$-ideal. Now by Lemma 3.31 we have $I_i^{-1} I_j \alpha^{-1} = \mathcal{M} a$ and so $[I_i] = [I_j a^{-1}]$, concluding the proof of Proposition 3.32.
Putting Propositions 3.29, 3.30, 3.32 together then proves Theorem 3.28.

4. Optimal embeddings and mass

In this section we fix \( n \geq 8 \) of the form \( 2^s \) or \( 3 \cdot 2^s \). We then frequently omit subscript \( n \)'s; in particular, \( \mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{Q}_n \) and \( H := H_n \).

Definition 4.1. The mass of an \( \mathcal{Q} \)-order \( \mathcal{N} \subseteq H := H_n \), denoted \( m(\mathcal{N}) \), is defined to be

\[
m(\mathcal{N}) := \frac{1}{\#(\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{Q})}.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{N} \subseteq H_n \) be a maximal order. Let \( I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_h \) be representatives for the left ideal classes of \( \mathcal{N} \) with right orders \( \mathcal{N}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}_h \), respectively. The Eichler mass of \( H \), denoted \( m(H) \), is \( m(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{h} m(\mathcal{N}_i) \). The Eichler mass \( m(H) \) is independent of the maximal order \( \mathcal{N} \subseteq H \) used to define it.

Eichler’s mass formula \cite{Vig80, Corollaire V.2.3} for the totally definite quaternion algebra \( H_n \) gives:

Theorem 4.2. \( m(H_n) = 2^{1-[F_n:Q]}|\zeta_{F_n}(-1)|h(\mathcal{Q}_n) \).

Definition 4.3. For \( n = 2^s \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \), set \( M_n := 2^{1-[F_n:Q]}|\zeta_{F_n}(-1)| \). Note that

\[
M_n = \begin{cases} 
2^{1-2s-2}|\zeta_{F_n}(-1)| & \text{if } n = 2^s \\
2^{1-2s-1}|\zeta_{F_n}(-1)| & \text{if } n = 3 \cdot 2^s
\end{cases}
\]

Then \( m(H_n) = M_n h(\mathcal{Q}_n) \).

Theorem 4.4. Let \( n = 2^s \) or \( 3 \cdot 2^s \), \( n \geq 8 \), and \( gr_n = \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta_n \), \( \overline{gr}_n = \text{PU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta_n \) as in (6).

(a) We have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VM}(gr_n) &= 2M_n, & \text{EM}(gr_n) &= 3M_n, \\
\text{VM}(\overline{gr}_n) &= M_n, & \text{EM}(\overline{gr}_n) &= (3/2)M_n.
\end{align*}
\]

(b) The Euler-Poincaré characteristics \( \chi(\text{PSU}_2(R_n)) \) and \( \chi(\text{PU}_2(R_n)) \) are given by

\[
\chi(\text{PSU}_2(R_n)) = -M_n \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\text{PU}_2(R_n)) = -(1/2)M_n.
\]

Proof. From Theorem 3.28

\[
\text{VM}(\overline{gr}_n) = \frac{m(H_n)}{h(\mathcal{Q}_n)} = M_n.
\]

As \( \Delta_n \) is regular of valence \( 3 = \text{Norm}(p_n) + 1 \), the rest follows from Theorem 2.13 and Theorem 2.14 applied to the double cover \( \pi : gr \to \overline{gr} \) of Definition 3.19.

Theorem 4.4(b) follows from Theorem 2.20.

Proposition 4.5. Let \( n = 2^s \) or \( 3 \cdot 2^s \), \( n \geq 8 \), \( s \geq 2 \), with \( gr_n = \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta_n \) and \( \overline{gr}_n = \text{PU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta_n \) as in (6).
(a) Then
\[ v_1(gr_n) \leq 2M_n, \quad e(gr_n) \geq 3M_n, \]
\[ v_1(\overline{gr}_n) \leq M_n, \quad e_r(\overline{gr}_n) \geq \frac{3}{2}M_n - e_h(\overline{gr}_n), \]
with \( v_1, v_{<1} \) as in Definition 2.10 and \( e_r \) as in Definition 2.2.

(b) We have
\[ v(gr_n) = v_1(gr_n) + v_{<1}(gr_n) \leq 2M_n + v_{<1}(gr_n). \]

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.17 \( \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \) acts on \( \Delta_n \) without inversions, thus \( e_h(gr) = 0 \) and \( e(gr) = e_r(gr) \). Apply Proposition 4.4, Lemma 2.11, and the fact that the mass of an edge or vertex is at most 1.

Although most of this paper is devoted to asymptotic lower bounds for \( g(gr_n) \) and \( g(\overline{gr}_n) \), it is much easier to give asymptotic upper bounds.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let \( n = 2^s \) or \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s, \ n \geq 8, \ s \geq 2 \). Then \( g(gr_n) = 2^{O(n \log n)} \) and \( g(\overline{gr}_n) = 2^{O(n \log n)} \).

**Proof.** First observe that the stabilizer group for a vertex or noninverted edge is a discrete subgroup of \( \text{PU}_2(\mathbb{C}) \). By the well-known classification of finite subgroups of \( \text{PU}_2(\mathbb{C}) \), it must be either cyclic \( \mathbb{Z}/m \), dihedral \( D_n \), or one of \( A_4, S_4, \) or \( A_5 \). Now to have either \( \mathbb{Z}/m \) or \( D_n \) in \( \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \) requires that \( R_n \) contain the \( m \)-th roots of unity, i.e., that \( m \) divides \( n \) (similarly for them to be in \( \text{PU}_2(R_n) \) requires that \( m \) divide \( 2n \)); hence, for \( n \geq 30 \) its order is at most \( 2n \) for \( \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \) and \( 4n \) for \( \text{PU}_2(R_n) \). Hence by Proposition 4.4 we have
\[ g(\overline{gr}_n) \leq g(gr_n) \leq e_r(gr_n) \leq 4n6M_n = 24n2^{1-|F_n:Q|}|\zeta_{F_n}(-1)|. \]

Now by the functional equation \([\text{Nar04}] \) Theorem 7.3 we have
\[ |\zeta_{F_n}(-1)| = \zeta_{F_n}(2) \text{Disc}(O_n^+)^{3/2}(2\pi)^{-|F_n:Q|}. \]
Notice that \( \text{Disc}(Q_n) = 2^{O(n \log n)} \) whereas all the other factors involved are bounded by \( 2^{O(n)} \), the only nontrivial case being \( \zeta_{F_n}(2) < 2^{2^n} \). Therefore, we get \( g(gr_n) = 2^{O(n \log n)} \) and \( g(\overline{gr}_n) = 2^{O(n \log n)} \) as desired. \( \square \)

### 4.1. Optimal embeddings
We remind the reader that the notation \( v_1, v_{<1} \) is in Definition 2.10 and that \( e_r, e_h \) appear in Definition 2.2. We are seeking lower bounds on \( g(gr) = e(gr) - v(gr) + 1 \) and \( g(\overline{gr}) = e_r(\overline{gr}) - v(\overline{gr}) + 1 \). These will follow from upper bounds on \( v(gr) \) and \( v(\overline{gr}) \) and lower bounds on \( e(gr) \) and \( e_r(\overline{gr}) \). From Proposition 4.5 we get an upper bound on \( v_1(gr) \) and a lower bound on \( e(gr) \). Then we obtain upper bounds on \( v_{<1}(gr) \) and thus on \( v(gr) = v_1(gr) + v_{<1}(gr) \). We derive an upper bound on \( v(\overline{gr}) \) by bounding from above the number of vertices in \( gr \) which are ramified in the double cover \( gr \to \overline{gr} \). We finally obtain an upper bound on the number of edges in \( gr \) which are inverted by \( \text{PU}_2(R_n) \) which bounds \( e_h(\overline{gr}) \) from above, thereby bounding \( e_r(\overline{gr}) \) from below by Proposition 4.5.

All of these bounds will be achieved by bounding maximal orders containing specific types of elements: roots of unity, ramifying elements (Definition 4.10), and inverting elements (Definition 4.3). If an \( O_n \)-order \( N \subseteq H_n \) contains an element \( \gamma \) generating a quadratic extension of \( F_n \), then the quadratic \( O_n \)-order \( \mathcal{O} := O_n[\gamma] \) embeds in \( N \).
**Definition 4.7.** Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an $\mathcal{O}_{n}$-order in $\mathbf{H}_{n}$; $L$ be a quadratic extension of $F_{n}$, and $\mathcal{O}$ be a (not necessarily) maximal $\mathcal{O}_{n}$-order in $L$. An optimal embedding of $\mathcal{O}$ into $\mathcal{N}$ is an embedding $L \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{H}_{n}$ with $i(L) \cap \mathcal{N} = i(\mathcal{O})$.

We say that two optimal embeddings $i_{1}, i_{2}$ are equivalent if $i_{1}(x) = u^{-1}i_{2}(x)u$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}$, where $u$ is a unit in $\mathcal{N}$. Define $m(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})$ to be the number of optimal embeddings of $\mathcal{O}$ into $\mathcal{N}$ up to equivalence. Now fix a complete set $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{h}$ of representatives of the left ideal classes of $\mathcal{N}$ and let $\mathcal{N}_{i}$ be their right orders. Set $m_{\mathcal{N}}(\mathcal{O}) := \sum_{i=1}^{h} m(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N}_{i})$.

We specialize [Vig80, Corollaire III.5.12] to our setting.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let $n = 2^{s}$ or $3 \cdot 2^{s}$ and let $L/F_{n}$ be a CM-extension of $F_{n}$. Fix an $\mathcal{O}_{n}$-order $\mathcal{O}$ in $L$. Then $m_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O}) = h(\mathcal{O})$.

**Remark 4.9.** The only ramified primes of $\mathbf{H}$ are the archimedean ones, and these all ramify in $L/F$. It thus follows from [Vig80, Corollaire III.3.4] that $L$ can be embedded into $\mathbf{H}$.

**Definition 4.10.** Let $n = 2^{s}$ or $3 \cdot 2^{s}$ and set $F = F_{n}$, $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{n}$. A noncentral element $\gamma$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n} = \mathcal{M}[1/2]^{\times}$ is said to be a ramifying element if $\text{Norm}_{F(\gamma)/F}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}$ is a nonsquare. We will only be concerned with ramifying elements up to multiplication by $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{2}$.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let $n = 2^{s}$ or $3 \cdot 2^{s}$ and set $\Delta := \Delta_{n}$, $gr := \text{PSU}_{2}(R_{n}) \setminus \Delta$, and $\pi := \text{PU}_{2}(R_{n}) \setminus \Delta$. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Ver}(\Delta)$ be a maximal order and $\nu$ the vertex it covers in $gr$. Then $\nu$ is ramified in the cover $gr \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi$ if and only if $\mathcal{M}$ contains a ramifying element.

**Proof.** Fix $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Ver}(\Delta)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n}$ be a ramifying element. Thus, $\text{Norm}_{F_{n}(\gamma)/F_{n}}(\gamma) \in \mathcal{O}_{n}^{\times}$ is a nonsquare totally positive unit so that $\gamma$ is a unit in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n}$ such that $\varphi_{n}^{-1}(\gamma)$ generates $\text{PU}_{2}(R_{n})$ over its index-2 subgroup $\text{PSU}_{2}(R_{n})$ (Theorem 3.22). Hence $\pi^{-1}(\pi(\nu)) = \{\nu, \nu^{\gamma}\} = \{\nu\}$ because $\mathcal{M}^{\times} = \mathcal{M}$.

Now suppose $\nu$ ramifies. Then there exists $[A] \in \text{PSU}_{2}(R_{n}) \setminus \text{PSU}_{2}(R_{n})$ with $\mathcal{M}[A] = \mathcal{M}$. Let $\gamma \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n}$ be a lift of $\varphi_{n}(A) \in \text{P} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{n}$, note that $\text{Norm}(\gamma)$ isn’t a square. Thus by (2), $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{n}^{\times} \cap F_{p}^{\times} \mathcal{M}_{p}^{\times} = \mathcal{B}_{p}^{\times} \mathcal{M}_{p}^{\times}$. Write $\gamma = \nu \gamma_{1}$ with $\nu \in \mathcal{B}_{p}^{\times}$ and $\gamma_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{p}^{\times}$. Note that $\text{Norm}(\gamma_{1})$ isn’t a square since $\text{Norm}(\gamma)$ isn’t; thus, $\gamma_{1}$ is a ramifying element in $\mathcal{M}$.

**Lemma 4.12.** If $n = 2^{s}$, then $\mathcal{M}_{n}[1/2]^{\times}$ contains no ramifying elements.

**Proof.** The cover $\text{PSU}_{2}(R_{n}) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \text{PU}_{2}(R_{n}) \setminus \Delta$ is étale by Theorem 3.20(b). □

The group $\text{PU}_{2}(R_{n})$ does not respect the partition of $\Delta$ into vertices at an even distance away from each other. Thus, $\text{PU}_{2}(R_{n})$ can act with inversions.

**Definition 4.13.** Let $n = 2^{s}$, $s \geq 2$, with $F = F_{n}$, $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{n}$, $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{M}_{n}$, $p := p_{n}$, and $p = p_{n}$ the totally positive generator of $p$ in Definition 3.11. An element $\gamma$ in $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{M}[1/2]^{\times}$ is said to be an inverting element if

(a) $\text{Norm}(\gamma) = p$, and
(b) $\gamma^{2} = up$, with $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\times}$.

Recall that for $\gamma \in \mathbf{H}$, $\mathcal{M} \subset \text{Ver}(\Delta)$, we denote by $\mathcal{M}^{\gamma}$ the order which differs from $\mathcal{M}$ only at $p$ where we conjugate by $\gamma$.

**Theorem 4.14.** (a) If $n = 3 \cdot 2^{s}$ then $\text{PU}_{2}(R_{n})$ acts on $\text{PSU}_{2}(R_{n}) \setminus \Delta_{n}$ without inversions.
(b) If \( n = 2^s \), let \( M \in \text{Ver}(\Delta_n) \) be a maximal order. Then \( M \) contains an inverting element if and only if the vertex it covers in \( gr_n := \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta_n \) is connected to an edge that is inverted by \( \text{PU}_2(R_n) \).

(c) If an inverting element \( \gamma \in M \) exists, then \( M^{\gamma^2} = M \) and \( E := M \cap M^{\gamma^2} \) is an Eichler order of level \( p := p_n \) that contains \( \gamma \). Thus, \( \gamma \) inverts an edge between the images of \( M \) and \( M^{\gamma^2} \) in \( gr_n \).

Proof. Let \( n = 2^s \) or \( 3 \cdot 2^s \) with \( s \geq 2 \) and set \( p := p_n \), where \( p \) is generated by \( p = p_n \). Suppose \( \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \) inverts the edge \( e \in gr := \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \setminus \Delta_n \). Set \( v = o(e) \) and fix a lift \( M \in \Delta := \Delta_n \) of \( gr \). We can find an edge \( \tilde{e} \in \Delta \) covering \( e \) with origin \( M \), and \( \gamma_0 \in \text{PU}_2(R_n) \) inverting \( \tilde{e} \). Thus \( t(\tilde{e}) = M^{\gamma_0} \), \( M^{\gamma_0^2} = M \), and \( E := M \cap M^{\gamma_0^2} \) is an Eichler order of level \( p \). Since \( \gamma_0 \) moves \( M \) to an adjacent vertex, it must be that \( v_p(\text{Norm}(\gamma_0)) = n \), with \( n \) odd, say \( n = 2i \). Set \( \gamma = \gamma_0/p^i \), so \( v_p(\text{Norm}(\gamma)) = 1 \). As \( p \) is central, we have \( M^{\gamma^2} = M^{\gamma_0^2} \) and \( M^{\gamma^2} = M \). As \( \gamma^2 \) fixes \( M \) we have \( \gamma^2 \in F_p^x M_p^x \). Thus we may write \( \gamma^2 = pu \) with \( u \in M_p^x \). Since \( 2m = v_p(\text{Norm}(\gamma^2)) = 2v_p(\text{Norm}(\gamma)) = 2 \) we have \( \gamma^2 = pu \). Finally, \( u = \gamma^2/p \in M[1/2]^x \cap M_p^x = M^x \). But this says that \( \text{Norm}(\gamma^2) \) generates the ideal \( p^2 \) in \( Q := Q_n \), which forces the totally positive element \( \text{Norm}(\gamma) \) to generate \( p \).

(a): If \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \), \( p \) has no totally positive generator so \( \text{PU}_2(R_n) \) acts without inversions on \( gr \).

(b): If \( n = 2^s \), then \( \text{Norm}(\gamma) = p \), the totally positive generator of \( p \). Hence \( \gamma \in M \) is an inverting element.

(c): Now that an inverting element \( \gamma \in M \) exists. Then a local argument at \( p \) shows that \( E := M \cap M^\gamma \) is an Eichler order of level \( \text{Norm}(\gamma) = p \). But \( \gamma^2 = up \) with \( p \in Q \) central and \( u \in M^x \), \( M^{\gamma^2} = M \), so \( \gamma \) inverts an edge in \( gr \) covered by an edge from \( M \) to \( M^\gamma \). Moreover, \( \gamma \in M^\gamma \) because \( \gamma \in \gamma M_p \gamma^{-1} \), so \( \gamma \in E \).

4.2. The \( n = 2^s \) family. Throughout this section we set \( n = 2^s \) and denote by subscript \( s \) what we have defined with subscript \( n \) with the exception that \( \zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n} \) always. For example, we put \( K_s := Q(\zeta_n) \). The unique prime above 2 in \( K_s \) is \( \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_s \).

4.2.1. Quadratic \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_s] \)-orders containing roots of unity. The only quadratic extensions of \( F := F_s \) generated by roots of 1 are \( F(i) = K_s \) and \( F(\sqrt{-3}) \). If \( M^x/\mathcal{O}^x \) is nontrivial, then \( M \) contains an \( \mathcal{O} \)-order in one of these fields.

Definition 4.15. Set \( \zeta := \zeta_n \). For \( 0 \leq k < 2^{s-2} \), let
\[
\mathcal{O}_k := \mathcal{O}_{s,k} := \mathcal{O}[i, (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^k \zeta] \subset K_s.
\]

Proposition 4.16. Fix \( s \) and set \( K = K_s \). The \( \mathcal{O}_k \) are \( \mathcal{O} \)-orders in \( K \) with \( \mathcal{O}_0 \) maximal and \( \mathcal{O}_{2^{s-2}-1} = \mathcal{O}[i] \). The index of \( \mathcal{O}_k \) in \( \mathcal{O}_0 \) is \( 2^k \), and the conductor of \( \mathcal{O}_k \) over \( \mathcal{O}_0 \) is \( \mathfrak{p}^{2^k} \). The \( \mathcal{O}_k \) are the only \( \mathcal{O} \)-orders in \( K \) containing an irrational root of unity. In particular, \( \mathcal{Q}[\zeta_{2^w}] = \mathcal{O}_{2^{w-2}-1} \) for \( w = 2, \ldots, s \).

Proof. That \( \mathcal{O}_0 := \mathcal{O}[i, \zeta] = \mathcal{Q}[\zeta_s] \) is maximal is [Was97, Prop. 2.16]. It is also clear that \( \text{ATr} \mathcal{O}_K = (\mathfrak{p}^2) \), since \( (\zeta - \zeta^{-1}) = (\mathfrak{p}^2) \) and \( \zeta - \zeta^{-1} \) is a multiple of \( \zeta - \zeta^{-1} \) for all \( i \). In addition \( \text{ATr}((\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^k \zeta) = (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^k (\zeta - \zeta^{-1}) \) is a generator of \( (\mathfrak{p}^{2(k+1)}) \) and the anti-trace of \( i^2 ((\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^k \zeta^k) \) is equal to \( (\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^{k+1} \text{ATr}(i^2 \zeta^k) \).

Now, the anti-trace of \( \pm i^k \zeta^k \) is \( \pm (\zeta^k - \zeta^{-k}) \), while that of \( \pm i^k \zeta^k \) is \( \pm (\zeta^{2^{s-2}+k} - \zeta^{-2^{s-2}+k}) \), both of which belong to \( \mathfrak{p}^2 \). It follows that every element of \( \mathcal{O}_k \) has antitrace in \( \mathfrak{p}^{2(k+1)} \), since this
order is spanned by the $i^j((\zeta + \zeta^{-1})^\ell)\zeta^j$ for $j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying Proposition 3.3 we conclude that the conductor of $\mathcal{O}_k$ is $\mathbb{Q}^{2k}$ as claimed.

**Proposition 4.17.** The order $\mathcal{O}[\zeta_3]$ of $F_3(\sqrt{-3})$ is maximal and is therefore the only $\mathcal{O}$-order of $F_3(\sqrt{-3})$ containing an irrational root of 1.

**Proof.** If $L_1, L_2$ are number fields with disjoint sets of ramified primes, then $\mathcal{O}_{L_1L_2} = \mathcal{O}_{L_1}\mathcal{O}_{L_2}$ [Neu99, Proposition I.2.11]. Applying this to $F_3, \mathcal{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ gives the first statement.

Let $L$ be a CM number field with totally real subfield $L^+$. Put $h^+(L) = h(L^+)$ and $h^-(L) = h(L)/h(L^+)$. This extends to orders: for an order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq L$, let $\mathcal{O}^+ = \mathcal{O} \cap L^+$ and put $h(\mathcal{O}) = \#\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}), h^+(\mathcal{O}) = \#\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}^+)$, and $h^-(\mathcal{O}) = h(\mathcal{O})/h^+(\mathcal{O})$.

**Proposition 4.18.** Let $n = 2^s$. Then

$$v_{<1}(gr) \leq 2h^-\left(F_3(\sqrt{-3})\right) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{2^{s-2}-1} h^-(\mathcal{O}_k).$$

**Proof.** Fix $s \geq 2$ and set $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_s, \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{O}_s^+, \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_s, h^+ = h(\mathcal{Q}),$ and $h^- = h^-(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_s$ be a quadratic $\mathcal{Q}$-order containing a nontrivial root of unity. By Propositions 4.16 and 4.17 $\mathcal{O}$ is $\mathcal{Q}[\zeta_3]$ or one of the $\mathcal{O}_k$, $k = 0, \ldots, 2^{s-2} - 1$ (Definition 4.15). Fix an $\mathcal{M}_s$-class $\mathcal{C}$ of orders and let $\mathcal{M}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_h/\mathcal{H}^+$ be a complete set of elements of $\mathcal{C}$ representing the $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}^+)$-orbits in $\mathcal{C}$.

Combining theorem 3.28 with the fact that $gr$ covers $\text{PU}_2(R_n)\setminus \Delta_n$ with degree 2, we see that an optimal embedding of $\mathcal{O}$ into one of the $\mathcal{M}_i$ gives rise to embeddings of the roots of unity in $\mathcal{O}$ into the $\text{SU}_2(R_n)$-stabilizer group of at most 2 vertices of $gr$. Every nontrivial vertex stabilizer arises from an optimal embedding of at least one of our orders $\mathcal{O}$. A given maximal order may receive optimal embeddings of more than one of the orders, or indeed, more than one inequivalent embedding of the same order.

For a commutative ring $R$ let $\mu(R)$ be the group of roots of unity in $R$ and let $w_k$ be such that $#\mu(\mathcal{O}_k) = 2^{w_k}$.

**Proposition 4.19.** The unit index $[\mathcal{O}_0^\times : \mathcal{O}_k^\times]$ is $2^{s-w_k} = [\mu(\mathcal{O}_0) : \mu(\mathcal{O}_k)]$.

**Proof.** The cyclotomic units of $K := K_n$ are generated by $\zeta$ and by the $(\zeta^i - 1)/(\zeta - 1)$. Every unit of the latter type is clearly a real unit multiplied by a power of $\zeta$, thus is in $\mathcal{O}_k$ after multiplying by a root of unity.

By [Was97, Theorem 8.2], the index of the cyclotomic units, $U$, of $K$ in the full unit group is $h^+$, the class number of $F$, which is odd by [Was97, Theorem 10.4]. On the other hand, we know that $[\mathcal{O}_0^\times : \mathcal{O}_k^\times]$ is a power of 2, because $(\mathcal{O}_0/2)^\times$ is a 2-group and everything that is 1 mod 2 is contained in every $\mathcal{O}_k$. So $[\mathcal{O}_0^\times : \mathcal{O}_k^\times]$ is a power of 2 dividing $[\mathcal{O}_0^\times : \mathcal{O}_k^\times \cap U] = h^-2^{s-w_k}$. Clearly the index is at least $2^{s-w_k}$, so it is that exactly.

Set $h^+ = h^+(K_n) = h(F_n)$ and $h^- = h^-(K_n)$.

**Proposition 4.20.** The class number of $\mathcal{O}_k$ is equal to $h^+h^-\cdot 2^{k-s+w_k}$.

**Proof.** By [Neu99, Theorem I.12.12], the class number of $\mathcal{O}_k$ is

$$h^+h^- / [\mathcal{O}_0^\times : \mathcal{O}_k^\times] h(\mathcal{O}_0/f)^\times / [\mathcal{O}_k/f]^\times,$$
where \( f \) is the conductor. Both quotients by \( f \) are local rings with residue field of order 2, so half of their elements are units; since the conductor of \( \mathcal{O}_k \) has index \( 2^{2k} \) in \( \mathcal{O}_0 \), its index in \( \mathcal{O}_k \) is \( 2^k \), and so the second factor is \( 2^{2k-1}/2^{k-1} = 2^k \). The result follows by using Proposition 4.19 to evaluate \( [\mathcal{O}_0^\times : \mathcal{O}_k^\times] \).

\[ \text{Proposition 4.21. Let } n = 2^s. \text{ Then} \]
\[ v_{<1}(\text{gr}) \leq 2h^- \left( F_s \left( \sqrt{-3} \right) \right) + 2^{2s-2+1}h^- (K_s). \]

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.20 we have
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{s-1}-1} h(\mathcal{O}_k) = h^- \sum_{k=0}^{2^{s-1}-1} 2^{k-s+w_k} \leq h^- \sum_{k=0}^{2^{s-1}-1} 2^{k-s+s} < h^-2^{2s-2}, \]
where \( \mathcal{O}_k \) has exactly \( 2^{w_k} \) roots of unity.

Combining this bound with Proposition 4.18 completes the proof.

\[ \square \]

4.2.2. Quadratic \( \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_2] \)-orders containing inverting elements. By Theorem 4.14 we can bound inversions in \( gr := SU_2(R_n)\Delta_n \), and hence half-edges in \( \overline{gr} := PU_2(R_n)\Delta_n \), by counting optimal embeddings of quadratic \( \mathcal{O} \)-orders containing inverting elements into an \( \mathcal{M}_n \)-class of maximal quaternionic orders.

**Theorem 4.22.** Let \( L \) be a totally complex quadratic extension of \( F = F_s \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_L \) be its maximal order, and suppose that \( p\mathcal{O}_L \) is the square of a principal ideal. Then \( L \) is either \( K = K_s \) or \( F(\sqrt{-p_s}) \). In either case a generator of the prime ideal above \( p := p_s \) generates the maximal order over \( \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_s \).

**Proof.** Let \( q \) be a generator of the prime ideal, \( q \), above \( p \). Consider the exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_L^\times \to \mathcal{O}_L[1/q]^\times \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0. \]

Now applying \( L/F \)-Galois cohomology gives
\[ 0 \to \mathbb{Z}/v_q(\mathcal{O}[1/p]^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to H^1_{L/F}(\mathcal{O}_L^\times) \to H^1_{L/F}(\mathcal{O}_L[1/q]^\times) \to H^1_{L/F}(\mathbb{Z}) = 0. \]

It follows from [Was97, Theorem 4.12] that \( \# H^1_{L/F}(\mathcal{O}_L^\times) \) is either 1 or 2. Hence we must have \( H^1_{L/F}(\mathcal{O}_L^\times) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) and \( H^1_{L/F}(\mathcal{O}_L[1/q]^\times) = 0. \)

We next apply Galois cohomology to the exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_L[1/q]^\times \to L^\times \to \text{Prin}(\mathcal{O}_L[1/q]) \to 0 \]
to obtain
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}^+[1/p]^\times \to F^\times \to \text{Prin}(\mathcal{O}_L[1/q])^{\text{Gal}(L/F)} \to H^1_{L/F}(\mathcal{O}_L[1/q]^\times) = 0. \]

Therefore, \( \text{Prin}(\mathcal{O}_L[1/q])^{\text{Gal}(L/F)} = \text{Prin}(\mathcal{O}^+[1/p]) \) and in particular the discriminant of \( L/F \) must be a unit in \( \mathcal{O}[1/p] \). This unit must also be negative definite since \( L \) is totally complex. By Theorem 3.14 and its proof the only such units up to squares are \(-1 \) and \(-p \). Hence \( L \) is either \( K \) or \( F(\sqrt{-p}) \).

In the latter case, \( q \) must be equal to \( \sqrt{-p} \) up to units of \( \mathcal{O} \) (again by Theorem 3.14), hence it generates the maximal order \( \mathcal{O}[-\sqrt{-p}] \). In the former case it is easy to see that
\[ q = \zeta^k(1 + \zeta) \text{ up to units of } \mathcal{O}. \] Hence multiplying by \( \zeta^{-k}(1 + \zeta^{2k+1})(1 + \zeta)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}^\times \) gives us \( 1 + \zeta^{2k+1} \) which generates the maximal order.

**Lemma 4.23.** Let \( n = 2^s \) and set \( F = F_s, K = K_s, p = p_s \). If \( \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_s[1/2]^\times \) is an inverting element, then \( F(\gamma) \) is either \( K \) or \( F(\sqrt{-p}) \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.22 it suffices to show that \( p \) ramifies as the square of a principal ideal in \( L = F(\gamma) \). Set \( \mathcal{O}_L \) to be the ring of integers in \( L \). By definition \( \text{Norm}(\gamma) = p \) so \( \gamma^2 \) generates \( \mathcal{O}_L \cdot p = \mathcal{O}_L p \).

**Proposition 4.24.** Let \( n = 2^s \). Set \( F = F_s, \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_s, K = K_s, p = p_s \), and \( \Delta = \Delta_s \). Fix a maximal order \( \mathcal{M} \in \text{Ver}(\Delta) \) and let \( \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{\bar{v}} \) be its image in \( gr \) and \( \overline{gr} \) respectively. Every embedding of the ring of integers in \( F(\sqrt{-p}) \) or \( K \) into \( \mathcal{M} \) is associated to exactly one inverted pair of an edge and its opposite in \( gr := \text{PSU}_2(R_n) \) incident upon \( \mathbf{v} \). This pair thus covers a unique half-edge in \( \overline{gr} := \text{PU}_2(R_n)\backslash \Delta \) incident upon \( \mathbf{\bar{v}} \). All half-edges in \( \overline{gr} \) incident upon \( \mathbf{\bar{v}} \) arise from such embeddings. Moreover, an equivalent embedding gives rise to the same half-edge in \( \overline{gr} \).

**Proof.** Set \( \zeta = \zeta_2 \). From Theorem 4.14 and Proposition 4.23 it suffices to consider optimal embeddings of the orders \( \mathcal{O}_\gamma := \mathcal{Q}[\gamma] \) into \( \mathcal{M} \) for \( \gamma \) an inverting element in \( L = F(\sqrt{-p}) \) or \( L = K \). Fix such an embedding. Set \( \mathcal{O}_L \) to be the ring of integers in \( L \) so \( \mathcal{O}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{O}_L \). We have \( \text{Norm}(\gamma) = p \) and \( \gamma^2 = \eta_2 \) with \( \eta = \gamma^2/p \in \mathcal{M}^\times \cap L = \mathcal{O}^\times_p \).

Now \( \gamma \) inverts the unique pair of an edge and its opposite between \( \mathcal{M} \) and \( \mathcal{M}^\gamma \) in \( \Delta \) which maps to an inverted pair of an edge and its opposite between \( \mathbf{v} \) and \( \mathbf{v}^\gamma \) in \( gr \). This pair covers a half-edge \( e \) (which is its own opposite) in \( \overline{gr} \) with \( o(e) = \mathbf{\bar{v}} = t(e) \).

Any other element in \( L \) of norm \( p \) must differ from \( \gamma \) by a unit \( \mu \) whose norm down to \( F \) is \( 1 \). Such a unit is a root of unity in \( L \).

If \( L = F(\sqrt{-p}) \), then \( \sqrt{-p} \) is clearly an inverting element so \( \gamma = \pm \sqrt{-p} \) and thus \( \mathcal{O}_\gamma = \mathcal{O} \). Since \( \mathcal{M}^\gamma = \mathcal{M}^{-\gamma} \) both choices for \( \gamma \) invert the same pair of edges in \( gr \) which covers the same half-edge \( e \) in \( \overline{gr} \).

Now suppose \( L = K \). It is obvious that \( \eta = \zeta^i(1 + \zeta) \) has norm \( p \) for \( i = 0 \ldots 2^s \). Since \( \eta^2 = \zeta^{2i}(1 + \zeta)^2 = \zeta^{2i}(1 + 2\zeta + \zeta^2) = \zeta^{2i+1}(2 + \zeta + \zeta^{-1}) = \zeta^{2i+1} p \), we have that \( \eta_i \) is an inverting element. There cannot be any others in \( L \). We also have \( \mathcal{O}_\eta = \mathcal{O}_L \) for all \( i \). In particular \( \zeta \) lies in \( \mathcal{M}^\times \). Thus \( \mathcal{M}^\gamma = \mathcal{M}^\times \) so all choices for \( \gamma \) invert the same pair of edges in \( gr \) which covers the same half-edge \( e \) in \( \overline{gr} \).

Inequivalent embeddings \( \mathcal{O}_L \leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \) differ by conjugation by a unit in \( \mathcal{M} \). So take \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}^\times \) and consider \( \mathcal{M}^{\mu^{-1}\gamma\mu} = (\mathcal{M}^\gamma)^\mu \). But \( \mu \in \mathcal{M}^\times \subset \mathcal{M}[1/2]^\times \). By Theorem 3.25 the action of \( \text{PU}_2(R_N) \) on \( \Delta \) is via its identification with \( \mathcal{M}[1/2]^\times \) modulo scalars. Thus the pair of edges inverted by \( \gamma \) and the pair inverted by \( \mu^{-1}\gamma\mu \) all cover the same half-edge \( e \) in \( \overline{gr} \). \( \square \)

Note that inequivalent embeddings may give rise to the same half-edge, so counting inequivalent embeddings gives only an upper bound on half-edges, not an exact count.

**Theorem 4.25.** Let \( n = 2^s \) with \( s \geq 2 \). The number of half-edges \( e_h(\overline{gr}) \) of \( \overline{gr} := \text{PU}_2(R_n)\backslash \Delta_n \) satisfies
\[
e_h(\overline{gr}) \leq h^{-}(K_s) + h^{-}(F_s(\sqrt{-p})).
\]

**Proof.** Combine Theorem 3.28, Theorem 4.8, and Proposition 4.24 \( \square \)
4.3. The \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \) family. Throughout this section \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \) and \( \zeta = \zeta_n \). We put \( K = K_n \), \( F = F_n = K^\times \), \( O = O_n \), and \( Q = Q_n \). Let \( \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(n) \) be the unique prime above 2 in \( K \) and \( p' = p(n) \) be the unique prime above 2 in \( F \). Set \( p'_n = 1 + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s} + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s}^{-1} \).

4.3.1. Quadratic \( \mathbb{Z}[[\zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s}]]^{\pm} \)-orders containing roots of unity. The only quadratic extensions of \( F := F_n \) that contain roots of 1 other than \( \pm 1 \) are \( K = K_n \) and \( F(i) \). These two fields are isomorphic for \( s \geq 2 \).

**Definition 4.26.** Let \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \) with \( s \geq 2 \). For \( 0 \leq k \leq 2^{s-1} \), let

\[
\mathcal{O}'_k := \mathcal{O}_{n,k}' := \mathcal{O}[i, (\zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1)^k \zeta] \subset K.
\]

**Proposition 4.27.** Fix \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \) with \( s \geq 2 \) and set \( K = K_n \). The \( \mathcal{O}'_k \) are \( \mathcal{O} \)-orders in \( K \) with \( \mathcal{O}'_0 = \mathcal{O}[\zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s}] \) maximal, \( \zeta_3 \notin \mathcal{O}'_1 \), and \( \mathcal{O}'_{2^{s-1}} = \mathcal{O}[i] \). The index of \( \mathcal{O}'_k \) in \( \mathcal{O}'_0 \) is \( 2^k \) and the conductor of \( \mathcal{O}'_k \) in \( \mathcal{O}'_0 \) is \( \mathcal{P}^k \). Moreover, \( \mathcal{O}[[\zeta_{2^w}]] = \mathcal{O}'_{2^{w-1}+1} \) for \( w = 2, \ldots, s \).

**Proof.** The proof is essentially identical to that of Proposition 4.16. As before, \( \zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1 \) generates \( p' \), but now \( \mathcal{P}_n = p'\mathcal{O} \) (previously we had \( \mathcal{P}_n = p^2\mathcal{O} \)). So the antitrace of \( (\zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1)^k i^c \zeta^n \) is a multiple of \( (\zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1)^k \) and hence belongs to \( \mathcal{P}^k \). Conversely we have \( \text{ATr}((\zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1)^k \zeta) = (\zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1)^k(\zeta - \zeta^{-1}) \), in which the second factor is a unit. This proves that the given orders are distinct.

To complete the proof, we need to show that the conductor of \( \mathcal{O}'_{2^{s-1}} = \mathcal{O}[i] \) is \( \mathcal{P}^{2^{s-1}} \). If this ideal is contained in \( \mathcal{O}[i] \), then the conductor cannot be smaller, because the number of distinct orders containing \( \mathcal{O}[i] \) is equal to the number of ideals containing the conductor, and we have already found \( 2^{s-1} + 1 \) such orders.

Thus we must prove that all elements of \( \mathcal{P}^{2^{s-1}} = (2) \) belong to \( \mathcal{O}'_{2^{s-1}} \). It suffices to do this for elements of the form \( 2\zeta^j \); an easy induction allows us to assume that \( j \) is odd, while the case \( 3|j \) reduces to the result of Proposition 4.16. Since \( \mathcal{O}'_{2^{s-1}} \) is Galois-invariant, it is enough to consider \( j = 1 \), for which we note that \( (2\zeta - (\zeta + 1/\zeta))/i \) is a real algebraic integer and hence belongs to \( \mathcal{O} \).

**Definition 4.28.** Let \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \) with \( s \geq 3 \) and set \( T := \mathcal{O}[[\zeta_3]] \) to be the \( \mathcal{O} \)-order of \( K \) generated by \( \zeta_3 \). Let \( p'_3 \) be the unique prime of \( K^\times \) above 3 and let \( \mathcal{P}_3', \mathcal{P}_3'' \) be the two primes of \( K \) above \( p'_3 \).

**Proposition 4.29.** The conductor of \( T \) is \( \mathcal{P}_3' \mathcal{P}_3'' = p'_3 \).

**Proof.** First we note that \( T \) is not the maximal order. Indeed, consider the reduction map \( \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}_3' \oplus \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}_3'' \). By a standard result it is surjective; however, the image of \( T \) is generated by elements of the form \( (a, \beta(a)) \), where \( \beta \) is the isomorphism that identifies the images of \( \zeta \) in the two quotients, and so it is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}_3' \).

Now we show that \( \mathcal{P}_3' \mathcal{P}_3'' \subset T \). Note that \( \mathcal{P}_3' \mathcal{P}_3'' = (\zeta_3 + 1) = (\zeta^{2^{s-1}} + 1) \), so it suffices to show that elements of the form \( (\zeta^{2^{s-1}} + 1) \zeta^i \) belong to \( T \). As before, by induction we may assume that \( i \) is odd, and by Galois invariance we reduce to the cases \( i = 1, 3 \). In each case, observe that \( \left[(\zeta^{2^{s-1}} + 1) \zeta^i - (\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i})\zeta_3^{-1}\right] \) is a real algebraic integer and hence an element of \( \mathcal{O}_3 \); the claim follows.

Thus the conductor of \( T \) is an ideal containing \( p'_3 \) but not equal to \( \mathcal{O} \). Since \( p'_3 \) is prime, the result follows.
Corollary 4.30. There are two orders of $K$ containing $T$, namely $T$ and the maximal order of $K$.

Proof. Since the conductor of $T$ is prime, this follows from Theorem 3.6.

Proposition 4.31. Let $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ with $s \geq 3$. Then

$$v_{<1}(gr^s) \leq 2h^-(T) + 2\sum_{k=0}^{2s-1} h^-(O_k^s).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 4.18, this time using Proposition 4.27 and Corollary 4.30.

For a commutative ring $R$, let $\mu(R)$ be the group of torsion elements of $R^*$ and let $\mu_p(R)$ be the subgroup of $\mu(R)$ consisting of elements of $p$-power order. Define $w'_k$ to be the 2-adic valuation of $\mu_2(O_k^s)$.

Proposition 4.32. The unit index $[O_0^s : O_1^s]$ is equal to 3 = $[\mu(O_0^s) : \mu(O_1^s)]$. For $k > 1$, the unit index $[O_0^s : O_k^s]$ is equal to $3 \cdot 2^{s-w'_k+1} = 2[\mu(O_0^s) : \mu(O_k^s)]$.

Proof. We first observe that $[O_0^s : O_1^s]$ is of the form $2^j 3^j$ with $j \leq 1$, because the order of $(O_0^s/2)$ is of that form (since (2) is a power of $\mathfrak{P}'$, whose residue field has order 4). Every element of $O_k^s$ is congruent to 0 or 1 mod $\mathfrak{P}'$, because $O_k^s$ is generated by $\zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1, (\zeta + \zeta^{-1} + 1)^k \zeta$, which belong to $\mathfrak{P}'$, and $i$, which is 1 mod $\mathfrak{P}'$. On the other hand, the cyclotomic unit $\zeta - 1$ is not 0 or 1 mod $\mathfrak{P}'$. Thus the factor 3 always appears. It remains to determine the power of 2.

Consider the subgroup of $O^s$ generated by $\mathcal{O}^x$ and $\zeta$. By [Was97, Theorem 4.12, Corollary 4.13] we know that it is of index 2. However, it is a subgroup of the group generated by $O_k^s$ and $\zeta$, because $\mathcal{O} \subset O_k^s$. So it suffices to determine whether $\langle \mathcal{O}^x, \zeta \rangle = \langle O_k^s, \zeta \rangle$.

We will show that this holds for $k > 1$ but not for $k = 1$. Consider the unit $\zeta + 1$, which is not in $\langle \mathcal{O}^x, \zeta \rangle$. However, it is in $O_1^s$, because $O_1^s$ has index 2 in the maximal order and does not contain $\zeta^i$ if $i$ is not a multiple of 3. On the other hand, the index of $O_2^s$ is 4, with the quotient generated by $\zeta$, $\zeta^2$, each of order 2. Since $\zeta^3 \in O_2^s$, the class of $\zeta^3 + 1 \mod O_2^s$ is equal to that of $\zeta^3$, and so the classes of $\zeta^i$ and $\zeta^{i+1}$ are always distinct. This establishes that $\zeta^3$ multiplied by any power of $\zeta$ does not belong to $O_2^s$; a fortiori no such product belongs to $O_k^s$ for $k \geq 2$.

Proposition 4.33. The unit index of $T = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta + \zeta^{-1}, \zeta_3]$ is $2^s$.

Proof. Let $T^s$ be the group of units in $T$ and $\mu_{3,2^n}$ the group generated by $\zeta = \zeta_{3,2^n}$. Since $T$ contains exactly 6 roots of unity, it suffices to show that $\langle T^x, \zeta_{3,2^n} \rangle$ has index 2 in $O^x$.

To see that the groups are not equal, we show that $(1 - \zeta)\zeta^i$ does not belong to $T$ for any integer $i$. As seen above (and as can easily be verified directly), every element $t \in T$ satisfies $\text{ATr}(t) \in \mathfrak{P}_5'$, $\mathfrak{P}_3''$. On the other hand, we have

$$\text{ATr}((1 - \zeta)\zeta^i) = \zeta^i - \zeta^{-i} - \zeta^{i+1} + \zeta^{-i-1} = (1 - \zeta)(\zeta^i + \zeta^{-i-1}) = (1 - \zeta)(\zeta^{-i-1})(1 + \zeta^{2i+1}).$$
The first two factors are units, while the third has norm 4 if \(3|(2i + 1)\) and 1 otherwise (this follows from Lemma 3.10 together with the fact that \(N_{L/K}(x) = x^{[L:K]}\) for \(x \in K\)). Hence \(\text{ATr}((1 - \zeta)\zeta^i) \notin \mathcal{P}_3\mathcal{P}_3'\) and \((1 - \zeta)\zeta^i \notin T\) as claimed.

Proposition 4.34. Set \(h' = h_n\) for \(n = 3 \cdot 2^s\) with \(s \geq 3\). The class number of \(\mathcal{O}'_1\) is \(h'\). For \(k > 1\) the class number of \(\mathcal{O}'_k\) is \(h' \cdot 2^{k-s+w_k-2}\).

Proof. We evaluate the factors in [Neu99, Theorem I.12.12]. We computed the unit index in Proposition 4.32 to be 3 for \(k = 1\) and \(6 \cdot 2^{s-w_k}\) for \(k > 1\). Since \(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}'_k\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbf{F}_4[t]/(t^k)\), its group of units has order \(3 \cdot 4^{k-1}\). Finally, \(\mathcal{O}'_k/\mathcal{O}'_k\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbf{F}_2[t]/(t^k)\), so the group of units there is \(2^{k-1}\). So the class number is

\[
\frac{h(\mathcal{O}) \cdot 3 \cdot 4^{k-1} \cdot 2^{w_k}}{6 \cdot 2^s \cdot 2^{k-1}} = h' \cdot 2^{k-2-s+w_k}
\]

as claimed. \(\square\)

Let \(T_s := \mathcal{O}_s[\zeta_s]\) and \(\mathfrak{f} = f_s\) be the conductor of \(T_s\) in \(\mathcal{O}_s\).

Proposition 4.35. The class number of \(T_s\) is \(h(K_s) \cdot (3^{2^{s-2}} - 1)/2^s\).

Proof. This follows from [Neu99, Theorem I.12.12] as before. The unit index is \(2^s\), as we saw in Proposition 4.33 while the conductor is \(\mathfrak{p}_3\) (Corollary 4.30). So we have \(#(\mathcal{O}_s/\mathfrak{f})^x = (3^{2^{s-2}} - 1)^2\). Since every element of \(T_s\) has antitrace in \(\mathfrak{p}_3\) by Proposition 3.8, the image of \((T_s/\mathfrak{f})^*\) in \((\mathcal{O}_s/\mathfrak{f})^x\) is the diagonal subgroup and hence has order \(3^{2^{s-2}} - 1\). \(\square\)

Proposition 4.36. Let \(n = 3 \cdot 2^s\) with \(s \geq 3\). Then

\[
v_{<1}(gr'_n) \leq \left(2^{2^s-1-s+1} + 2^{2^{s-1}}\right) h^- (\mathcal{Q}(\zeta_n))
\]

Proof. Set \(h^- = h^- (\mathcal{Q}(\zeta_n))\). Using Propositions 4.27 and 4.31 we get

\[
h^- (T) + h(\mathcal{O}'_0) = h^- \cdot \left(\frac{3^{2^{s-2}} - 1}{2^s} + 1\right).
\]

Now

\[
\frac{3^{2^{s-2}} - 1}{2^s} + 1 \leq 2^{2^{s-1}}/2^s = 2^{2^{s-1} - s}.
\]

By Proposition 4.34 we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{2^{s-1}} 2h^- (\mathcal{O}'_k) = h^- \sum_{k=1}^{2^{s-1}} 2^{k-s+w_k-1} \leq h^- \sum_{k=1}^{2^{s-1}} 2^{k-s+w_k-1} < h^- 2^{2^{s-1}}.
\]

Combining these bounds with Proposition 4.31 completes the proof. \(\square\)
4.3.2. Quadratic $\mathbb{Z} [\zeta_{3,2}]$-orders containing ramifying elements. When $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, by Theorem 4.11, the action of $\text{PU}_2(\mathbb{R}_n)$ on $\Delta_n$ without inversions, so in particular the graph $\overline{\gamma}_n$ does not contain half-edges. However, the covering $gr_n \to \overline{\gamma}_n$ does ramify at some vertices, so

$$v(\overline{\gamma}_n) = v(gr_n)/2 + v_r(gr_n/\overline{\gamma}_n)/2$$

where $v_r(gr_n/\overline{\gamma}_n)$ is the number of ramified vertices. This is in contrast to the case of $n = 2^s$.

By Theorem 4.11 a vertex in $gr_n$ is ramified if and only if a maximal order $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Ver}(\Delta_n)$ covering it contains a ramifying element $\gamma$. The norm of a ramifying element is a totally positive nonsquare unit. By Theorem 4.14, there is only one such up to squares, namely:

$$u_+ := \gamma + \zeta^{-1} + 2 = p'_n + 1. \quad (8)$$

We will now characterize possible extensions $F(\gamma)$.

**Theorem 4.37.** Let $K$ be a totally complex quadratic extension of $F = F_s$ such that there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ of norm $u_+$. Then $K$ is isomorphic to $K_s$ or to $F(\sqrt{-u_+})$, and these fields are not isomorphic to each other.

(a) If $K \cong F(\sqrt{-u_+})$, then $\gamma = \sqrt{-u_+}$ and $\mathcal{O}[\gamma]$ is maximal.

(b) If $K \cong K_s$, then $\gamma = \zeta^t + \zeta^t$ for some $t$, and $\mathcal{O}[\gamma]$ is either the maximal order $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_0'$ or the unique order $\mathcal{O}_1'$ with conductor $\mathfrak{Q}$.

**Proof.** Let $\eta = \gamma^2/u_+$. Then $\eta$ is a unit of relative norm 1 in the CM extension $K/F$, so $\eta$ is a root of unity. The two cases in the theorem are different, because $K_s = F(\sqrt{-1})$ and $u_+$ is not a square in $F$. We now consider them separately:

**Case 1.** $\eta = \pm 1$: This means that $\gamma^2 = \pm u$. Since $K$ is a totally complex quadratic extension and $u_+$ is totally positive, it must be that $\gamma^2 = -u_+$: in other words, $K = F(\sqrt{-u_+})$.

It remains to show that $\mathcal{O}^+ [\gamma]$ is maximal. Consider $a + b \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$: we must show that $a, b$ are integral. First, $2a = T_{K/F}(a + b \gamma) \in \mathcal{O}^+$, so $a$ is integral away from $p$; likewise $2(a + b \gamma) - 2a$ is integral, so $b \gamma$ is integral away from $p$. Thus $b$ is as well, because $\gamma$ is a unit.

Now suppose that $c, d \in \mathcal{O}^+$, but not both are in $p$, and consider $N_{K/F}(c + d \gamma) = c^2 + u_+ d^2$. If one of $c, d$ is in $p$, then this expression is not in $p$. If neither $c$ nor $d$ belongs to $p$, then $c^2, d^2 \equiv 1 \mod p^2$, because $p$ has residue field of order 2. But $u_+ \not\equiv 1 \mod p^2$, so $c^2 + u_+ d^2 \not\equiv p^2$.

If $a + b \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$, then write $a = cp_n^k, b = dp_n^k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and not both $c$ and $d$ are in $p$. From the above, the $p$-adic value of $N_{K/F}(a + b \gamma)$ is either $2k$ or $2k + 1$, so $k \geq 0$ as desired.

**Case 2.** $\eta \neq \pm 1$: Since $\eta \in K$, we must have $K = K_s$ (recall that $K_s \equiv F_s(\zeta_r)$ for all $r > 2$ dividing $s$). Let $\eta = \zeta^k$. Then $u_+ \zeta^k = \gamma^2$; here $k$ must be odd, since $u_+$ is not a square. Let $k = 2t + 1$ and $\gamma = \zeta^{t+1} + \zeta^t$, so that $N_{K/F} \gamma = N(\zeta^t)N(\zeta + 1) = u_+$ as desired. Now again consider two cases:

**Case 2(i).** $3 \nmid k$: In this case $k$ is a unit mod $n$: let $k'$ be its inverse. Thus $\zeta = \eta^{k'} \in \mathcal{O}[\gamma]$, so $\mathcal{O}[\gamma]$ is maximal.

**Case 2(ii).** $3 \mid k$: Then $t \equiv 1 \mod 3$ and there exists a $k'$ such that $kk' \equiv 3 \mod n$. Hence $\zeta^3 = \eta^{k'} \in \mathcal{O}[\gamma]$. It follows that $\zeta^2 + \zeta = \gamma(\zeta^3 + 1) \not\in \mathcal{O}[\gamma]$, and so we may take $t = 1$. The antitrace of $\zeta$ is a unit, while the antitrace of $\zeta^2 + \zeta$ etc.
generates $\mathfrak{PO}_K$. It follows that the antitrace of any power of $\zeta^2 + \zeta$ is in $\mathfrak{PO}_K$, so $\mathfrak{P}$ is the conductor of $\mathcal{O}[\gamma]$. 

Set $h^-(\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{-u_+}]) = h(\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{-u_+}]) / h^+_n$.

**Corollary 4.38.** Let $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ with $s \geq 2$. Then

$$v_r gr_n / \mathcal{P}_n \leq 2h^- (\mathcal{O}[\sqrt{-u_+}]).$$

**Proof.** Combine Theorems 3.28 and 4.37 with Proposition 4.34. $\square$

5. **The main theorem for $n = 2^s$**

**Notation 5.1.** Throughout this section we let $n = 2^s$ for $s \geq 3$. We use subscript $s$ to denote what elsewhere in the paper was subscript $n$ with the one exception that $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$ always. For example, in this section $K_s := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s})$ and $F_s = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s})^+$. The class number of $F_s$ is $h^+_s$ and the class number of $K_s$ is $h^-_s = h^+_s h^-_s$. Recall that $p_s = 2 + \zeta_{2s} + \zeta_{2s}^{-1} = N_{K_s/F_s}(\zeta_{2s} + 1)$ is a totally positive element of norm 2 generating the unique ideal $p_s$ of $\mathcal{O}^+_{2^s}$ above 2. Normally subscripts will not change in a single calculation, so they will frequently be omitted.

5.1. **Class number bounds.** In both this subsection and 6.1 we bound certain class numbers from above. This is the key to bounding the genera of the graphs $gr_s$, $\mathcal{P}_s$, $gr'_s$, $\mathcal{P}'_s$ from below. Specifically, let $L$ be a CM-field which is abelian over $\mathbb{Q}$ with associated Dirichlet characters $\{\chi\}$. Let $E$ be the unit group of $L$, $E^+$ the unit group of its totally real subfield $L^+$, $W$ the group of roots of unity in $L$, and $w = w(L) = \#W$. Set $Q = Q(L) = [E : WE^+]$; it is always 1 or 2. We have to bound $h^-(L)$ for certain such fields $L$. The technique is always the same:

(a) Express $h^-$ in terms of a product of generalized Bernoulli numbers $B_{1,\chi}$ [Was97 Theorem 4.17]:

$$h^-(L) = Qw \prod_{\chi \text{ odd}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} B_{1,\chi} \right)$$

with $B_{1,\chi} = \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} i\chi(i)/f_\chi$.

(b) Apply the arithmetic mean/geometric mean inequality to (9) to bound from above, cf. [Nar04, Corollary 2 to Proposition 8.12].

A result such as [Was97 Theorem 4.20] is not sufficient for our purposes because it is not effective.

These class numbers grow very rapidly. Schrutka von Rechtenstamm [SvR64] computed $h^-(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n))$ for $\phi(n) \leq 256$; the table of values is reproduced in [Was97 p. 412]. Computed values for the $n = 2^s$ family are given in Table 1 below and for the $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ family in Table 2.
Table 1. The minus part of the class number for the cyclotomic $n = 2^s$ family

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$s$</th>
<th>$h^-(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s}))$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$359057 = 17 \cdot 2121$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$10,449592 \cdot 865393 \cdot 414737 = 17 \cdot 21121 \cdot 29 \cdot 102880 \cdot 226241$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>$6,265203 \cdot 984490 \cdot 932538 \cdot 745721 \cdot 482528 \cdot 922841 \cdot 978219 \cdot 389975 \cdot 605329 = 17 \cdot 21121 \cdot 76 \cdot 532353 \cdot 29 \cdot 102880 \cdot 226241 \cdot 7830,753969 \cdot 553468 \cdot 937988 \cdot 617089$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Theorem 5.2. Let $n = 2^s$ with $s \geq 3$.

(a) $h^-(K_s) \leq 2^{s+(s-4)2^{s-3}}/3^{2^{s-3}} = 2^{s+(s-4-\log_23)2^{s-3}}$.

(b) $h^-(F_s(\sqrt{-3})) \leq 3^{1-2^{s-2}}21^{s-2} = 2^{1+\log_23+(s-\log_23)2^{s-3}}$.

(c) $h^-(F_s(\sqrt{-p_s})) \leq 2^{1-2^{s-1}+s^{2s-3}}$.

Proof. (a): For $K_s$ we have $Q = 1$ by [Was97, Corollary 4.13] and $w = 2^s$. The product (9) runs over odd characters $\chi$ of conductor $2^s$, and $B_{1,\chi} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} i\chi(i)/2^s$. Gathering all the powers of 2, we have

$$h^- = 2^{s-(s+1)-2^{s-2}} \left( \prod_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} i\chi(i) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$  

(10)

Now apply the arithmetic mean/geometric mean inequality to (10):

$$h^- \leq 2^{s-(s+1)-2^{s-2}} \left( \frac{\sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} i\chi(i) \right|^2}{2^{s-2}} \right)^{2^{s-3}}$$

$$= 2^{s-(s+1)-2^{s-2}} \left( \frac{2^{3s-2}/3 - 2^{s+1}/6}{2^{s-3}} \right)^{2^{s-3}}$$

$$< 2^{s-(s+1)-2^{s-2}} \left( \frac{2^{3s-2}/3}{2^{s-3}} \right)^{2^{s-3}} = 2^{s+(s-4-\log_23)2^{s-3}}.$$  

The only nontrivial step here is the one that evaluates the sum of the squares of absolute values of the Bernoulli numbers. We have

$$\sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} i\chi(i) \right|^2 = \sum_{\chi} \sum_{i,j} ij\chi(i)\bar{\chi}(j) = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\chi} ij\chi(i)\bar{\chi}(j).$$  

(11)

Now, for $i = j$ we have $\chi(i)\bar{\chi}(j) = 1$ for all $\chi$, and for $i = 2^s - j$ we have $\chi(i)\bar{\chi}(j) = -1$ since our characters are odd. For other values of $i, j$, choose an even character $\epsilon$ such that $\epsilon(i/j) \neq 1$; then

$$\sum_{\chi} \chi(i)\bar{\chi}(j) = \sum_{\chi} \chi\epsilon(i)\bar{\chi}\epsilon(j) = \epsilon(i/j) \sum_{\chi} \chi(i)\bar{\chi}(j),$$

so the sum is 0. Since there are $2^{s-2}$ characters, the sum (11) reduces to

$$2^{s-2} \sum_{i=1}^{2^s} i^2 - i(2^s - i) = 2^{s-2} \left( \frac{2^{3s-2}/3 - 2^s/3} {2^{s-3}} \right)$$  

(12)
by induction, proving Theorem 5.2(a). 

(B): Let \( L_s = F_s(\sqrt{-3}) \). Then \( Q = 1 \) and \( w = 6 \). By direct calculation the result holds for \( s < 6 \), so we assume that \( s \geq 6 \). From (9) we have

\[
 h^-(L_s) = 6 \prod_{\chi \text{ odd}} \left( \frac{-1}{2} B_{1,\chi} \right) = 6 \cdot 2^{-2s-2} \prod_{\chi} |B_{1,\chi}|
\]

\[
= 6 \cdot 2^{-2s-2} \left( \prod_{\chi} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} i\chi(i)/f_\chi}{(3 \cdot 2^s)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= 6 \cdot 2^{-2s-2} \left( \prod_{\chi} \left| \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} i\chi(i)/(3 \cdot 2^s)}{2^{s-2}} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= 3^{1-2s-2} 2^{1-2s+2} \left( \prod_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} i\chi(i) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq 3^{1-2s-2} 2^{1-2s+2} \left( \frac{\sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} i\chi(i) \right|^2}{2^{s-2}} \right)^{2s-3}
\]

\[
= 3^{1-2s-2} 2^{1-2s+2} \left( \frac{2^{s-2} (c_1 \cdot 2^{3s} + c_2 \cdot 2^{2s} - 3 \cdot 2^{2s})}{2^{s-2}} \right)^{2s-3}
\]

\[
\leq 3^{1-2s-2} 2^{1-2s+2} \left( 2^{3s} + 57 \cdot 2^{2s} \right)^{2s-3}
\]

\[
\leq 3^{1-2s-2} 2^{1-2s+2} \sum_{(i,j) \equiv (2^s + (-1)^s) i \mod 3 \cdot 2^s} \chi(i) \chi(j) = 3^{1-2s-2} 2^{6s-3},
\]

where \( c_1, c_2 \) are 1, 60 if \( s \) is even and 2, 4 if \( s \) is odd and where the step that overestimates \( 2 \cdot 2^{3s} + 57 \cdot 2^{2s} \) by \( 4 \cdot 2^{3s} = 2^{3s+2} \) is only valid for \( s \geq 6 \).

We evaluate the sum of the squares of absolute values of the Bernoulli numbers as in (11). Note that the conductors of the characters are all of the form \( 3 \cdot 2^k \) for some \( k \). Thus all the characters vanish on \( i \) and \( j \)'s not relatively prime to 6 except for \( \chi_3 \).

Now, as before for \( i = j \) we have \( \chi(i) \chi(j) = 1 \) for all \( \chi \), and for \( i = 3 \cdot 2^s - j \) we have \( \chi(i) \chi(j) = -1 \) since our characters are odd. However, there are other nonzero values. Namely, for \( i \equiv j \mod 2^s \) with \( i \neq j \) we have \( \chi(i) \chi(j) = -1 \), and for \( i \equiv -j \mod 2^s \) with \( i \neq 3 \cdot 2^s - j \) we have \( \chi(i) \chi(j) = 1 \). For other values of \( i, j \) relatively prime to 6 we still have \( \sum_{\chi} \chi(i) \chi(j) = 0 \). Since there are \( 2^{s-2} \) characters, the sum (11) expands to

\[
\begin{align*}
2^{s-2} & \left( \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} i(3 \cdot 2^s - i) + (-1)^{s+1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} i j \\
& \quad + (-1)^s \sum_{i,j\equiv(2^s+(-1)^s)i \mod 3 \cdot 2^s} i j \right).
\end{align*}
\]
Let $G_s$ be the first sum in the parentheses. One readily checks that $G_s = 3 \cdot 2^{3s} + 2^s$ and that the second term is $G_s/2 - \frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^s$. The third and fourth sums are evaluated by breaking up the range for $i$ according to the congruence class of $i \mod 3$ and $\lfloor i/2^s \rfloor$. For example, in the third sum, with $s$ even and $i \equiv 1 \mod 3$, we have $j = 2^s + i, 2^s + i, -2(2^s) + i$ for $\lfloor i/2^s \rfloor = 0, 1, 2$ respectively. This reduces the evaluation of these sums to sums of squares and arithmetic progressions. When $s$ is even, we obtain $G_s + 2^{2s}(2 - 2^s) = 2 \cdot 2^{3s} + 2 \cdot 2^{2s} + 2^s$ and $-\frac{3}{2} \cdot 2^{3s} + 62 \cdot 2^{2s} - 2^s$; when $s$ is odd, the results are $\frac{5}{2} \cdot 2^{3s} + 2 \cdot 2^{2s} - 2^s$ and $2 \cdot 2^{3s} - 2 \cdot 2^{2s} + 2^s$. Thus for $s$ even the sum of the four terms in parentheses is $2^{3s} + 60 \cdot 2^{2s}$, while for $s$ odd it is $2 \cdot 2^{3s} + 4 \cdot 2^{2s}$. To this we must add a contribution of

$$
\sum_{i,j=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} ij \chi_3(ij) + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{3 \cdot 2^s} ij \chi_3(ij) = -3 \cdot 2^{2s}
$$

arising from the character $\chi_3$ whose conductor is odd and which therefore does not vanish at even arguments (all the other characters have conductor a multiple of 6).

(3): Put $M_s = F_s(\sqrt{-p_s})$. Note that $M_s \subseteq K_{s+1}$ since $(\zeta_{2s+1} + \overline{\zeta}_{2s+1})^2 = p_s$. The characters $\chi$ associated to $M_s$ are the characters $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/2^{s+1}\mathbb{Z})^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying $\chi(2^s - 1) = 1$. For $M_s$ we have $w = 2$ and $Q = 1$. Hence from (9)

$$
h^-(M_s) = 2 \prod_{\chi \text{ odd}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} B_{1,\chi} \right) = 2 \cdot 2^{-2^{s-2}} \prod_{\chi} |B_{1,\chi}|$$

$$
= 2 \cdot 2^{-2^{s-2}} \left( \prod_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} i\chi(i)/f_\chi \right)^{2^{1/2}}$$

$$
= 2^{1-2^{s-2}} \left( \prod_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{s+1}} i\chi(i)/2^{s+1} \right)^{2^{1/2}}$$

$$
= 2^{1-2^{s-2}} 2^{-(s+1)2^{s-2}} \left( \prod_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{s+1}} i\chi(i) \right)^{2^{1/2}}$$

$$
\leq 2^{1-(2+s)2^{s-2}} \left( \sum_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{s+1}} i\chi(i) \right)^{2^{2s-3}}$$

$$
= 2^{1-2^{s-1}-s2^{s-2}-s2^{s-3}+2^{s-2}} (2^{4s-2})^{2^{s-3}}$$

$$
= 2^{1-2^{s-2}-3s2^{s-3}2s2^{s-2}} = 2^{1-2^{s-1}+s2^{s-3}}.$$

Use (11). For $i = j$ we have $\chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j) = 1$ for all $\chi$, and for $i = 2^{s+1} - j$ we have $\chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j) = -1$ since our characters are odd. The other nonzero values are for $i \equiv j + 2^s \mod 2^{s+1}$ we have $\chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j) = -1$, and for $i \equiv 2^s - j \mod 2^{s+1}$ we have $\chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j) = 1$. For other values of $i,j$ odd we still have $\sum_{\chi} \chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j) = 0$. Since there are $2^{s-2}$ characters, (11)
in this case expands to

\[2^{s-2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{2s+1} (i^2 - i(2s+1 - i)) + \sum_{i=1}^{2s} i(2s - i) + \sum_{i=1}^{2s+1} i(3 \cdot 2s - i) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2s} i(2s + i) \right)\]

\[= 2^{s-2} \left( ((2^{3s+1} - 2^{s+1})/3 + (2^{3s-2} + 2^{s-1})/3 + (13 \cdot 2^{3s-2} + 2^{s-1})/3 - 2(5 \cdot 2^{3s-2} - 2^{s-1})/3)\right)\]

\[= 2^{s-2}2^{3s} = 2^{4s-2}.\]

\[\square\]

5.2. The main theorem for \(SU_2(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{2^s}, 1/2])\). Our first task is to bound the quantity \(M_s = 2^{1-2s-2} |\zeta_{F_s}(-1)|\) of Definition 4.3. For \(\zeta_{F_s}(-1)\), we use the functional equation [Nar04, Theorem 7.3] to get

\[|\zeta_{F_s}(-1)| = \zeta_{F_s}(2) \text{Disc}(O^+_s)^{3/2}(2\pi^2)^{-2s-2},\]

where \(\text{Disc}(O^+_s)\) is the discriminant of the order \(O^+_s\). Now, \(\text{Disc}(O^+_s) = 2^{(s-1)2^{s-2} - 1}\), and it suffices to take \(\zeta(2) > 1\). Putting all of this together we arrive at a lower bound for \(M_s\) for \(s \geq 3\):

\[M_s > 2^{(3s-5)2^{s-3}-1/2}(2\pi^2)^{-2s-2} .\]  (13)

We have

\[v(gr_s) \leq 2M_s + v_{<1}(gr_s) \quad \text{by Prop. 4.5(b)}\]

\[\leq 2M_s + 2h^- (F_s(\sqrt{-3})) + 2^{2s-2+1}h^-(K_s) \quad \text{by Prop. 4.21}\]

\[= 2M_s + 21+\log_2 3 + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3} + 2^{s+1} + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3} \quad \text{by Thm. 5.2}\]

Hence

\[g(gr_s) = e(gr_s) - v(gr_s) + 1\]

\[\geq 3M_s - (2M_s + 21+\log_2 3 + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3} + 2^{s+1} + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3}) + 1\]

\[= M_s - 21+\log_2 3 + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3} - 2^{s+1} + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3} + 1\]

\[> 2^{(3s-5)2^{s-3}-1/2}(2\pi^2)^{-2s-2}\]

\[- 21+\log_2 3 + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3} - 2^{s+1} + (s-2-\log_2 3)2^{s-3} + 1\quad \text{by (13)}\]

\[> (2^{(3s-7-4 \log_2 \pi)2^{s-3}-1/2} - 2^{s-2-\log_2 3})2^{s-3}(2^{s+1} + 6) + 1\]

\[> (2^{(3s-13.7)2^{s-3}-1} - 2^{s-3})2^{s+2} + 1\]

\[= (2^{(2s-10.7)2^{s-3} - 2^{s+3}})2^{s-3} / 2 + 1.\]
For large $s$ the term $2^{s+3}$ is negligible compared to $2^{(2s-10.7)2^{s-3}}$ and so $g(gr_s)$ grows as $2^{\Omega(s2^s)}$. We know that $g(gr_s) = 2^{O(s2^s)}$ from Proposition 4.6. Hence $g(gr_s) = 2^{\Theta(s2^s)}$, as claimed. In addition, if $2^{s-3} \geq \frac{s+3}{2s-10.7}$, then $g(gr_s) > 1$. Now if $s \geq 6$ then $2^{s-3} \geq 8 > 7 \geq \frac{s+3}{2s-10.7}$ so this inequality holds. For $s = 5$ the bound that follows from this argument is not strong enough to show that $g(gr_s) > 1$, but a direct computation using [LJK, §7.3] shows that $g(gr_s) = 40$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 for SU$_2(R_s)$.

5.3. The main theorem for PU$_2(Z[\zeta_{2s}, 1/2])$. Combining the class number bounds in Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 4.25 gives a bound on the number $e_h(\overline{gr}_s)$ of half-edges in $\overline{gr}_s$.

Proposition 5.3. We have $e_h(\overline{gr}_s) \leq 2^{s+7} - 4 - 2^{(s-4)\log_2 3}2^{s-3} + 2^{1-2^{s-1}+s2^{s-3}}$.

However, since the covering $gr_s \to \overline{gr}_s$ is étale, we have

\[ g(\overline{gr}_s) = (g(gr_s) - e_h(\overline{gr}_s) + 1)/2. \]

Hence

\[
\begin{align*}
g(\overline{gr}_s) &\geq (g(gr_s)) + 1 - 2^{s+7} - 4 - 2^{(s-4)\log_2 3}2^{s-3} - 2^{1-2^{s-1}+s2^{s-3}}/2 \\
&> (2^{(2s-10.7)2^{s-3}} - 2^{s+3}) 2^{(s-3)2^{s-3}}/4 - (2^{s+2} + 2)2^{(s-4)2^{s-3}}/2 + 1 \\
&> (2^{(2s-10.7)2^{s-3}} - 2^{s+3}) 2^{(s-3)2^{s-3}}/4 - 2^{s+2}2^{(s-4)2^{s-3}}/4 + 1 \\
&> (2^{(2s-10.7)2^{s-3}} - 2^{s+3}) 2^{(s-3)2^{s-3}}/4 - 2^{s+2}2^{(s-4)2^{s-3}}/4 + 1 \\
&> (2^{(2s-10.7)2^{s-3}} - 2^{s+4}) 2^{(s-3)2^{s-3}}/4 + 1.
\end{align*}
\]

We see that $g(\overline{gr}_s)$ grows as $2^{\Omega(s2^s)}$ as $s \to \infty$. Combining this with Proposition 4.6 then gives $g(\overline{gr}_s) = 2^{\Theta(s2^s)}$. Further, if $2^{(2s-10.7)2^{s-3}} \geq 2^{s+4}$ then $g(\overline{gr}_s) > 1$. This is equivalent to $2^{s-3} \geq \frac{s+3}{2s-10.7}$; if $s \geq 6$ then $2^{s-3} \geq 8 > 7.7 \geq \frac{s+3}{2s-10.7}$ and we are done.

For $s = 5$ the bound coming from this argument is not sufficiently strong, but a direct computation using [LJK, §7.3] shows that $g(\overline{gr}_5) = 16$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2 for U$_2(R_s)$.

6. The main theorem for $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$

Notation 6.1. Throughout this Section 6 we let $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$ for $s \geq 3$. We use subscript $s$ to denote what elsewhere in the paper was subscript $n$ with the one exception that $\zeta_n := e^{2\pi i/n}$ always. For example, in this section $K_s := Q(\zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s})$ and $F_s := Q(\zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s})^\circ$. The unit $u_+ := 2 + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s} + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s}^{-1}$ was defined in (3).

The class number of $F_s$ is $h_s^+$ and the class number of $K_s$ is $h_s = h_s^+ h_s^-$. Recall that $p'_s = 1 + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s} + \zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s}^{-1}$ is an element of norm $-2$ generating the unique ideal $\mathfrak{p}_s$ of $O_s^+ = \mathcal{O}_s$ above 2, and that $u_+ \in \mathfrak{p}_s$ is a totally positive nonsquare unit. Normally subscripts will not change in a single calculation, so they will frequently be omitted.
6.1. **Class number bounds.** We require two class number bounds to prove the Main Theorem 6.2 in the case \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s, s \geq 3 \). These class numbers are known to grow quickly, as we illustrate with known values of \( h^{-}(K_s) \):

**Table 2.** The minus part of the class number for the cyclotomic \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \) family

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( s )</th>
<th>( h^{-}(Q(\zeta_{3 \cdot 2^s})) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9 = 3^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>61353 = 3^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>107873 055185 500777 = 3^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 401 \cdot 1697 \cdot 21121 \cdot 49057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1067 969144 915565 716868 049522 568978 331378 093561 48452 1733697 \cdot 29 102880 226241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( n = 3 \cdot 2^s \) with \( s \geq 3 \).

(a) \( h^{-}(K_s) \leq 3^{1-2^{s+1}} 2^{s+1+2^{s-2} - 2} = 2^{s+1+ \log 3 + (s-1-2 \log 3)2^{s-2}}. \)

(b) \( h^{-}(F_s(\sqrt{-u+})) \leq 2^{(s-5/2)2^{s-2}+2}. \)

**Proof.** The method of proof is the same as that used to prove Theorem 5.2. For \( K_s \) we have \( Q = 2 \) and \( u = 3 \cdot 2^s \). From (9) we have

\[
h^{-}(K_s) = 3 \cdot 2^{s+1} \prod_{\chi \text{ odd}} \left( \frac{-1}{2} B_{1,\chi} \right) = 3 \cdot 2^{s+1} 2^{-2^{s-1}} \prod_{\chi} |B_{1,\chi}|
\]

\[
= 3 \cdot 2^{s+1-2^{s-1}} \left( \prod_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{f_{\chi}} i\chi(i)/f_{\chi} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= 3 \cdot 2^{s+1-2^{s-1}} \left( \prod_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{s}} i\chi(i)/(3 \cdot 2^s) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= 3^{1-2^{s-1}} 2^{s+1-(s+1)2^{s-1}} \left( \prod_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{s}} i\chi(i) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
\leq 3^{1-2^{s-2}} 2^{s+1-(s+1)2^{s-1}} \left( \sum_{\chi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{3 \cdot 2^{s}} i\chi(i) \right|^2 \right)^{2^{s-2}/2^{s-1}}
\]

\[
\leq 3^{1-2^{s-2}} 2^{s+1-(s+1)2^{s-1}} \left( 3 \cdot 2^{s-2} \cdot (2^{3s-2} - 2^s) + 2^{2s-1}(2^{2s} + 2^{s+1} - 6) \right)^{2^{s-2}/2^{s-1}}
\]

\[
= 3^{1-2^{s-2}} 2^{s+1-(s+1)2^{s-1}} (11 \cdot 2^{3s-3} + 2^{2s+1} - 15 \cdot 2^{s-1})^{2^{s-2}}
\]

\[
\leq 3^{1-2^{s-2}} 2^{s+1-(s+1)2^{s-1}} (2^{3s+1})^{2^{s-2}} = 3^{1-2^{s-2}} 2^{s+1+(s-1)2^{s-2}}.
\]
However, this time we split the characters into two sets based on whether 3 divides the conductor of the character:

$$\sum_{\chi} |\sum_i i\chi(i)|^2 = \sum_{\chi} \sum_{i,j} ij\chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j) = \sum_{3f_i = 1}^{3\cdot 2^k} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3\cdot 2^k} ij\chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j) + \sum_{3f_i = 1}^{3\cdot 2^k} \sum_{i,j=1}^{3\cdot 2^k} ij\chi(i)\overline{\chi}(j).$$

These two character sums were already evaluated above: the first in (12) and the second in the course of proving part 2 of Theorem 5.2. In particular, they are equal to

$$2^{s-2} \cdot \left(\frac{2^{3s-2} - 2^s}{3}\right), \quad 2^{2s-1} \left(2^{2s} + (-2)^{s+1} - 6\right).$$

[8] Let $L_s = F_s(\sqrt{-u_+})$ and note that $L_s \subseteq K_{s+1}$, fixed by $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K_{s+1}/\mathbb{Q})$ with $\sigma : \zeta_{3\cdot 2^{s+1}} \mapsto \zeta_{3\cdot 2^{s+1}}^{3\cdot 2^s-1}$. We claim that $w(L_s) = 2$; if either $\zeta_3$ or $\zeta_4$ belonged to $L_s$ they would be fixed by $\sigma$, but they are not since $3 \cdot 2^s - 1$ is not congruent to 1 mod 3 or 4. To see that $Q = Q(L_s) = 2$, note that $i(\zeta_{3\cdot 2^s} + \zeta_{3\cdot 2^s}^{-1})$ is a nonreal unit of $L_s$, and, since $W(L_s) = (\pm 1)$, it cannot be the product of a real unit and a root of unity.

The odd characters corresponding to $L_s$ are the characters of conductor 8, 24, and for $4 \leq k \leq s + 1$ we have $2^{k-4}$ characters each of conductor $2^k$, $3 \cdot 2^k$. The product of the conductors of the odd characters belonging to $L_s$ is $3^{2s-2} \cdot 2^{2s-1}$. We note that with $f = f_\chi$, $\sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} |i\chi(i)|^2$ is equal to $f^3/9 + f/3$ if $f = 3 \cdot 2^k$ and to $(f^3 - f)/6$ if $f = 2^k$.

We use this to estimate

$$\sum_{\chi} \left|\sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} i\chi(i)\right|^2 \leq \sum_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} |i\chi(i)|^2.$$

The characters whose conductors are not multiples of 3 give

$$(2^3 - 8) + 2^0(16^3 - 16) + \cdots + 2^{s-3}(2^{3(s+1)} - 2^{s+1}) / 6,$$

while those with $3|f$ give

$$3 \cdot 8^3 + 8 + 2^0(3 \cdot 16^3 - 16) + \cdots + 2^{s-3}(3 \cdot 2^{3(s+1)} + 2^{s+1}).$$

The total is bounded above by

$$4 \cdot 2^{s-3} \cdot 2^{3(s+1)} = 2^{4s+2}.$$

We now put everything together. We need an upper bound for

$$h^{-}(L_s) = Qw \prod_{\chi} \left(-\frac{B_{1,\chi}}{2}\right) = \frac{\prod_{\chi} B_{1,\chi}}{2^{2s-2}}.$$

Recalling $B_{1,\chi} = \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} i\chi(i)/f_\chi$ and using our determination of the product of the conductors above, we rewrite this as

$$\frac{\prod_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} i\chi(i)}{(2^{2(2s-1)})(3^{2s-2} \cdot 2^{2s-1+2})}.$$  (14)
To estimate the numerator of (14), note that
\[
\prod_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} i \chi(i) \leq \left( \prod_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} |i \chi(i)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \frac{\sum_{\chi} \sum_{i=1}^{f_\chi} |i \chi(i)|^2}{2^{s-1}} \right)^{2^{s-2}}
\leq \left( \frac{4^{s+2}}{2^{s-1}} \right)^{2^{s-2}} = 2^{3(s+1)2^{s-2}}.
\]

On the other hand, the denominator of (14) is
\[
3^{2^{s-2}} \cdot 2^{(s+2)2^{s-1} - 2} > 2^{(2s+11)/2}2^{s-2} - 2,
\]
where we have taken \(3 > 2^{3/2}\). We conclude that \(h^-(L_s) \leq 2^{(s-5)/2}2^{s-2} + 2\).

\[\square\]

6.2. The main theorem for SU\(_2(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{3,2^s}, 1/2])\). As in the \(2^s\) case, our next task is to bound the quantity \(M_s = 2^{1-2^{s-1}}|\zeta_{F_s}(-1)|\) of Definition 4.3. We have

\[
|\zeta_{F_s}(-1)| = \zeta_{F_s}(2) \text{Disc}(F_s)^{3/2}(2\pi^2)^{-2^{s-1}}
\]

with \(\text{Disc}(F_s) = 2^{(s-1)2^{s-1}3^{2^{s-2}}} \) the discriminant of \(F_s\). Again we use the trivial estimate \(\zeta(2) > 1\) in estimates. From this we again conclude that

\[
M_s > 2^{1-2^{s-1}}(2^{(s-1)2^{s-1}3^{2^{s-2}}})^{3/2}(2\pi^2)^{-2^{s-1}}
\]
\[
> 2^{1-2^{s-1}} 3^{3(s-1)2^{s-2}} 4^{2^{s-2}} (2^{4s+1})^{-2^{s-1}}
\]
\[
= 2^{1-2^{s-1}} 3^{3(s-1)2^{s-2}} 2^{2^{s-1} - 4.312^{s-1}} > 2^{3(s-12)2^{s-2} + 1}.
\]

The genus \(g(gs)\) of \(gr_s\) is equal to \(e(gs) - v(gs) + 1\) and thus

\[
g(gs) \geq 3M_s - 2M_s - v_{<1}(gr'_n) + 1 \geq M_s - \left(2^{2^{s-1} - s+1} + 2^{2^{s-1}}\right) h^-(K_n) + 1 \text{ by Prop. 4.36}
\]
\[
\geq 2^{(3s-12)2^{s-2} + 1} - \left(2^{2^{s-1} - s + 1} + 2^{2^{s-1}}\right) h^-(K_n) + 1
\]
\[
\geq 2^{(3s-12)2^{s-2} + 1}
\]
\[
- \left(2^{2^{s-1} - s + 1} + 2^{2^{s-1}}\right) 3^{1-2^{s-2}2^{s+1} + (s-1)2^{s-2}} + 1 \text{ by Thm. 6.2(3)}
\]
\[
\geq 2^{(3s-12)2^{s-2} + 1} - 2^{2^{s-1} + 1}2^{1-2^{s-2}2^{s+1} + (s-1)2^{s-2}} + 1
\]
\[
= 2^{(3s-12)2^{s-2} + 1} - 2^{2^{s-3} + s + 3} + 1
\]
\[
= \left(2^{(2s-12)2^{s-2} + 1} - 2^{s+3}\right) 2^{s2^{s-2}} + 1.
\]

For large \(s\) the term \(2^{s+3}\) is negligible compared to \(2^{(2s-12)2^{s-2}}\) and so \(g(gs)\) grows as \(2^{\Omega(s2^s)}\). Invoking Proposition 4.6 then gives \(g(gs) = 2^{\Theta(s2^s)}\). In addition, if \(2^{s-2} \geq \frac{s+3}{2s-12}\), then \(g(gs) > 1\). Now if \(s \geq 7\) then
\[
2^{s-2} \geq 32 > 5 \geq \frac{s + 3}{2s - 12},
\]
so this inequality holds. For \( s \in \{5,6\} \) we can use the third line of \([15]\) along with explicit values for \( h^-(K_s = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2^s})) \) given in Table 2 to see that \( g(gr_s) > 3 \times 10^7 \) and \( g(gr_6) > 1 \times 10^{29} \) respectively, and a direct computation as in \([\text{IJK}^-]\)§7.6 shows that \( g(gr_4) = 20 \).

6.3. **The main theorem for \( \text{PU}_2(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{32^s}, 1/2]) \).** Combining the class number bounds in Theorem 6.2 and Corollary 1.38 gives a bound on the number \( v_r(gr_s / \overline{gr}_s) \) of ramified vertices of \( gr_s \) over \( \overline{gr}_s \) gives

\[
v_r(gr_s / \overline{gr}_s) \leq 2h^- + h^- \left( O^+[\sqrt{-u_+}] \right) \leq 2 \cdot 3^{1-2s-2}2s+1+(s-1)2^{s-2} + 2(s-5/2)2^{s-3} + 2
\]

Since there are no inversions we have

\[
g(\overline{gr}_s) = (g(gr_s) - v_r(gr_s / \overline{gr}_s) + 1) / 2.
\]

Hence

\[
g(\overline{gr}_s) \geq (g(gr_s) + 1) / 2 - 3^{1-2s-2}2s+1+(s-1)2^{s-2} - 2(s-5/2)2^{s-3} + 1
\]

\[
> \left( \frac{2(2s-12)2^{s-2}+1 - 2s+3}{2} \right) 2s^{2s-2} / 2
\]

\[
- 3^{1-2s-2}2s+1+(s-1)2^{s-2} - 2(s-5/2)2^{s-3} + 1
\]

\[
> \left( \frac{2(2s-12)2^{s-2}+1 - 2s+3}{2} \right) 2s^{2s-2} - 3^{1-2s-2}2s+2+(s-1)2^{s-2} + 1
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{2(2s-12)2^{s-2}+1 - 2s+3 - 3^{1-2s-2}2s+3-2^{s-2}}{2} \right) 2s^{2s-2} - 1 + 1
\]

\[
> \left( \frac{2(2s-12)2^{s-2}+1 - 2s+4}{2} \right) 2s^{2s-2} + 1.
\]

We see that \( g(\overline{gr}_s) \) grows as \( 2^{O(s^2)} \) as \( s \to \infty \). By Proposition 4.6 we have \( g(\overline{gr}_s) = 2^{\Theta(s^2)} \). Further, if \( 2(2s-12)2^{s-2} + 1 \geq 2s+4 \), then \( g(\overline{gr}_s) > 1 \). This is the case if \( 2s-2 \geq \frac{s+4}{2s-12} \); if \( s \geq 7 \) then \( 2s-2 \geq 32 > \frac{11}{2} \geq \frac{s+4}{2s-12} \) and we are done. If \( s \in \{5,6\} \) we can see that the \( g(\overline{gr}_s) > 1 \) by using the explicit values for \( h^-(K_s = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{32^s})) \) in Table 2. And for \( s = 4 \) a direct computation as in \([\text{IJK}^-]\)§7.6 shows that \( g(\overline{gr}_4) = 8 \).

7. **Deducing Theorem 1.1 from Main Theorem 1.2**

In this section we supply proofs for two reductions suggested by Sarnak \([\text{Sar}15]\). Both of our proofs use the amalgamated product structure results of Radin and Sadun \([\text{RS}99]\), which we formulate in \([\text{IJK}^-]\) Theorem 4.1 as follows:

**Theorem 7.1** (Radin and Sadun). \( \text{PG}_n \simeq S_4 * p_4 D_n \).

After proving the reductions, we easily deduce Theorem 1.1 from Main Theorem 1.2.

7.1. **The first reduction.** The first reduction is stated in \([\text{Sar}15]\) Page 15[1]:

**Reduction 7.2.** If \( \text{PG}_n = \text{PU}_2^G(R_n) \), then \( \langle 2, -1 \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times \).

The condition \( \langle 2, -1 \rangle = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times \) is equivalent to the statement that there is one prime \( p \) above 2 in \( F_n \).

We will need a version of the Normal Subgroup Theorem of Kazhdan and Margulis; see \([\text{Mar}91]\) IV, Theorem 4.9):
Theorem 7.3 (Kazhdan and Margulis). Suppose $\Gamma$ is an irreducible lattice in a connected simple Lie group $G$ with finite center and no compact factors with $\text{rank}(G) \geq 2$. Then $\Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ is finite.

Proof of Reduction 7.2. We begin by showing:

Theorem 7.4. The projective Clifford-cyclotomic group $\text{PG}_n$ is not an irreducible lattice in a Lie group $G$ as in Theorem 7.3 with $\text{rank}(G) \geq 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 7.3 it suffices to exhibit a subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \text{PG}_n$ of finite index with $\#\Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma] = \infty$. Consider the natural action of $\text{PG}_n$ on a tree induced by its amalgamated product decomposition. By basic properties of actions on trees each element of finite order is conjugate to an element in one of the factors. Thus in particular there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of elements of finite order.

Now pick a prime $q \subseteq R_n$ sufficiently large that none of those classes is trivial modulo $q$. Let $\Gamma$ be the kernel of the map $\text{PG}_n \to \text{PU}_2(R_n/q)$. Then $\Gamma$ has no elements of finite order, which since $\Gamma$ acts on a tree means it is free and thus $\#\Gamma = \infty$.

Now suppose the prime 2 factors in $F := F_n$ as $(2) = p_1 \cdots p_r$ and fix $n$, dropping the subscript from our usual notation. Let $U/O^+$ be the unitary group over $O^+$ preserving the standard Hermitian form

$$h(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \quad \text{for} \quad \vec{x} = (x_1, x_2), \quad \vec{y} = (y_1, y_2).$$

Then $U(O^+) = U_2(O)$ and $U(R^+) = U_2(R)$. For $q$ a prime in $F$ we have the local group $U_q := U \times_F F_q$. If $q$ is split in $K$, then $U_q$ is isomorphic to $\text{GL}_2/F_q$. If the prime $q$ of $F$ lies below a unique prime $\bar{q}$ of $K$, then $U_q$ is a genuine unitary group over $F_q$ with corresponding field extension $K_{\bar{q}}$. We correspondingly have the projective groups $\text{PU}_p$, over $O_p^+$ with $\text{PU}_p(R_p^+) = \text{PU}_2(R_p)$. Put $\text{PU}_p := \text{PU}_2(K_p)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the diagonal embedding

$$\text{PU}_2(R) \hookrightarrow \text{PU}_{p_1} \times \cdots \times \text{PU}_{p_r},$$

realizes $\text{PU}_2(R) := \text{PU}_2(R_n)$ as a cocompact lattice in a group of rank $r$, cf. [Sar15] page 15III]. The local groups $\text{PU}_p$ are all cocompact if $4|n$ and $n \geq 8$ since in this case $H_n$ is unramified at all primes above 2 in $F_n$. Hence by Theorem 7.4 we see that $\text{PG}_n \neq \text{PU}_2(R_n)$ if $r > 1$, proving Reduction 7.2.

7.2. The second reduction. The second reduction is stated in [Sar15 pp. 15III, 15IV]:

Reduction 7.5. Suppose $(2, -1) = (\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^\times$, so that there is one prime $p$ of $F_n = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)^+$ above 2. If $\text{PG}_n = \text{PU}_2(R_n)$, then the degree $f(p/2) = 1$.

We will show the following result:

Theorem 7.6. Suppose $G := \text{PG}_n \simeq S_4 *_{D_4} D_n$ acts on a tree $X$ without terminal vertices, possibly with inversions, and with finite stabilizers, so that the quotient graph of groups $\overline{X} := X/G$ is finite. Then there exists a vertex fixed by $S_4$ with valence 3.

Proof. By replacing $X$ with its barycentric subdivision we can reduce to the case where $G$ acts without inversions with finite quotient. Since $G$ has rank 0 the quotient is itself a tree.

Fix for all time an isomorphism $G \cong S_4 *_{D_4} D_n$. Now let $v \in X$ be a vertex stabilized by $S_4$ and let $w \in X$ be a vertex stabilized by $D_n$. Such vertices exist since every finite group
acting on a tree has a fixed point. Although we only assumed that $\text{Stab}(v) \supseteq S_4$, in fact $\text{Stab}(v) = S_4$ since $S_4$ is a maximal finite subgroup of $G$. Notice that $D_4$ fixes both $v$ and $w$; hence it fixes the path $\tau = v - w$ between them. Consider the first edge $e$ of $\tau$ that isn’t stabilized by $S_4$; we can clearly assume without loss of generality that $e$ is the first edge of $\tau$.

Notice that we must have $S_4 \supsetneq \text{Stab}(e) \supseteq D_4$; hence $\text{Stab}(e) = D_4$. There are precisely $3 = \#S_4/D_4$ edges with initial vertex $v$ that are in the $S_4$-orbit of $e$. If these are the only edges with initial vertex $v$, we are done. So suppose there is another edge $e'$ with initial vertex $v$. Notice that $e'$ isn’t even in the $G$-orbit of $e$ since any element of $G$ mapping $e$ to $e'$ would have to fix $v$.

Let $\tau'$ be the image of $e'$ in $X = X/G$ with initial vertex $o(\tau') = v$. Since $X$ is a tree, we can take the subgraph of $X$ consisting of everything on the $\overline{v}$-side of $\tau'$. Call the subgraph $X'$. Notice that the image of the entire path $\tau$ is contained in $X'$. Let $X'$ be the preimage of $\overline{X'}$.

Since $X$ has no terminal vertices and $\overline{X}$ is finite, a path going away from $v$ via $e'$ must eventually hit another preimage of $v$. Therefore, $X'$ is disconnected. But all generators of $G$ stabilize a vertex of $\tau$; hence $G$ stabilizes the connected component of $\tau$ in $X'$. But $G$ acts transitively on the preimages of $v$ by definition.

**Proof of Reduction** [7.5] Suppose there is one prime $p$ of $F := F_n$ above $2$. Then $H := H_n$ is unramified at $p$. The group $\text{PSU}_2(R_n)$ is identified with $\mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ by (3) and hence is a discrete cocompact subgroup of $\text{PGL}_2(F_p)$; see, for example, [Kur79] Section 4. This identification on $\text{PSU}_2(R_n)$ extends to an identification of $\text{PU}_2(R_n)$ with a subgroup of $\text{PGL}_2(F_p)$ by (1). Since $\text{PSU}_2(R_n)$ is of finite index in $\text{PU}_2(R_n)$, it follows that $\text{PU}_2(R_n)$ and $\Gamma := \text{PU}_2(S_n)$ are discrete and cocompact in $\text{PGL}_2(F_p)$. Let $\Delta_p$ be the tree for $\text{SL}_2(K_p)$ – it is a regular tree with valence $2f + 1$ with $f := f(p/2)$ and $\Delta_p/\Gamma$ is finite. Hence Theorem [7.6] implies that if $\text{PG}_n = \text{PU}_2(S_n)$, then we must have $f = 1$.

**Proof of Theorem** [1.2] We suppose $n = 2^s d$ with $s \geq 2$, $d$ odd, and $n \geq 8$. Assume $\text{PG}_n = \text{PU}_2(S_n)$. By Reductions [7.2] and [7.3], we have that the prime 2 is totally ramified in $K_n$, which means that $d = 1$ or $d = 3$. Hence we are reduced to the cases $n = 2^s$ and $n = 3 \cdot 2^s$, which are covered by our Main Theorem [1.2].
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