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Universal quantum computation is possible based on quantum walk. It was recently shown that a one-dimensional LC electric circuit can simulate the Schrödinger equation and quantum walk. In this paper, we propose to simulate quantum computation based on quantum gates in electric circuits. Especially, we realize a set of universal quantum gates consisting of the CNOT, Hadamard and \( \pi/4 \) phase-shift gates with the use of parallel telegrapher circuits and mixing bridges. Our results will open a way to universal quantum computation based on electric circuits.

Quantum computation is a most promising next generation technique, which overcomes the limit of the Moore law. Universal quantum computation is indispensable to make any quantum algorithms possible. According to the Kitaev-Sovay theorem, the universal gates consist of two Clifford and one non-Clifford gate. The standard set of universal quantum gates is given by the controlled-NOT (CNOT), Hadamard and \( \pi/4 \) phase-shift gate, where the \( \pi/4 \) phase-shift gate is a non-Clifford gate. Any unitary transformation is executable with the use of these operators. One of the method to realize universal quantum computation is based on quantum walks, where widgets act as quantum gates.

Electric circuits attract much attention in the context of topological physics. Majorana-like topological edge states can be simulated in electric circuits, and scalable topological quantum computation will be possible based on their braiding. It is also shown that quantum walks are simulated by the telegrapher circuit.

In this paper, we proposed to construct a set of the CNOT, Hadamard and \( \pi/4 \) phase-shift gates with the use of LC electric circuits. Any telegrapher circuit is mapped to a graph \( G \) by identifying nodes and links as vertices and edges, respectively. In LC circuits, a node is connected to the ground by capacitor \( C \), and a link is made of an inductor. We introduce a subdivided graph \( G' \) by transforming a link to a vertex. The graph \( G' \) is bipartite since it consists of two types of vertices in such a way that a link connects two vertices belonging to two different sublattices. The simplest example is the one-dimensional telegrapher wire illustrated in Fig.1(a), which corresponds to graphs \( G \) and \( G' \) in Fig.1(b) and (c), respectively. Quantum walks on graph \( G' \) have already been explored. The next-simplest telegrapher circuit consists of four semi-infinite wires connected to a certain finite circuit, which is called a widget. We present an example in Fig.1(a), which corresponds to graphs \( G \) and \( G' \) in Fig.1(b) and (c), respectively. This widget is shown to play the role of a mixing gate between two wires. We present another example in Fig.1(b) which acts as the \( \pi/4 \) phase-shift gate. The Hadamard gate is constructed with the aid of the mixing and phase-shift gates as in Fig.1(c). The CNOT gate is constructed as in Fig.1(b). It is easy to see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between an electric circuit and a subdivided graph. Namely, once a subdivided graph is given, the corresponding electric circuit is readily designed.

An electric circuit is characterized by the Kirchhoff laws for the voltage \( V_x \) at the node \( x \) and the current \( I_x \) entering to the node \( x \),

\[
L_x \frac{d}{dt} I_x (t) = V_x (t) - V_{x'} (t) ,
\]

\[
C_x \frac{d}{dt} V_x (t) = I_x (t) + \sum_{x'} (-1)^s I_{x'} (t) ,
\]

where \( x' \) is one of the neighboring nodes of \( x \) such that the current is \( I_x \) between these two nodes. The sign \( s \) is determined whether the current is in-going or out-going. The first equation is the Kirchhoff voltage law with respect to the voltage difference between two nodes \( V_x \) and \( V_{x'} \), which originates in the inductive electromotive force by the inductor \( L_x \). The second equation is the Kirchhoff current law with respect to the current conservation at one node \( V_x \). We denote a vertex representing the voltage \( V_x \) (the current \( I_x \) ) in red (blue) in figures.

We consider a widget connected by semi-infinite wires. For example, there are two input wires and two output wires in Fig.2. We assume that the inductance is \( L \) and the capacitance is \( C \) in the semi-infinite wires. Then, we map the telegrapher equation to the Schrödinger equation on the graph \( G \),

\[
i \frac{d}{dt} \psi (x, t) = H \psi (x, t) ,
\]

as we have presented a concrete example in the case of one-dimensional quantum walks elsewhere. Here, the wave function is a two-component vector, \( \psi (x, t) = (I_x (t), V_x (t))^t \), with

\[
V_x (t) = V_x (t) , \quad I_x (t) = \sqrt{L/C} I_x (t) .
\]
The Hamiltonian $H$ is given by the Kirchhoff laws when an electric circuit is given.

We make separation of variables, $V_x(t) = \text{Re}[V(x) e^{i\omega t}]$ and $I_x(t) = \text{Re}[I(x) e^{i\omega t}]$, where $V(x)$ and $I(x)$ are complex voltage and current. Correspondingly, we define the complex function $\psi(x)$ by $\psi(x,t) = \text{Re}[\psi(x) e^{i\omega t}]$.

The aim of this paper is to propose a set of widgets which act as a set of universal quantum gates. The first step is to solve the Hamiltonian problem of an infinite one-dimensional wire, where the Hamiltonian is given by\(^\text{[2]}\)

$$H = \frac{2}{\sqrt{LC}} \sum x \left( i \left\langle \psi(x) \right| \psi(x+1) \right) - i \left| \psi(x+1) \right\rangle \left\langle \psi(x) \right| .$$

This Hamiltonian is diagonalized after Fourier transformation, $H = \sum k E(k) \left| \psi_k \right\rangle \langle \psi_k \right|$, with $E(k) = (2/\sqrt{LC}) \sin k$.

Then, following the technique proposed previously\(^\text{[3]}\), we solve the Schrödinger equation for a system where semi-infinite wires are connected to a widget. The key point is to regard the system as a scattering problem on a graph, where the wave function is given by solving the eigen-equation\(^\text{[4]}\)

$$H \psi_k(x) = (2/\sqrt{LC}) \sin k \psi_k(x),$$

by requiring the same eigen-energy as that of the semi-infinite wire.

Comments are in order with respect to the differences between the previous studies\(^\text{[4,5]}\) and the present one. First, the graph is bipartite because it describes a network of voltage and current. Second, the hopping parameters are pure imaginary as in \(^\text{[6]}\). Finally, the amplitude of the hopping is not uniform because we use two types of inductors $L$ and $L'$ as in \(^\text{[7]}\), which makes the graph weighted.

**Mixing gate:** First we investigate a one-qubit circuit, which is a gate from a set of two inputs $\left| 0 \right\rangle_{\text{in}}$ and $\left| 1 \right\rangle_{\text{in}}$ to a set of two outputs $\left| 0 \right\rangle_{\text{out}}$ and $\left| 1 \right\rangle_{\text{out}}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left| 0 \right\rangle_{\text{out}} \\ \left| 1 \right\rangle_{\text{out}} \end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix} \left| 0 \right\rangle_{\text{in}} \\ \left| 1 \right\rangle_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

We start with a widget illustrated in Fig.\(^\text{2}\) where two telegrapher wires are connected by two inductors $L'$. This gate corresponds to the one named "basis-changing gate" in literature\(^\text{[8]}\).

![Fig. 2](image-url)  
**FIG. 2:** (a) Illustration of an electric circuit realizing an mixing gate. It consists of two parallel wires connected to a widget marked by a green rectangle. (b) Graph $G$ for the mixing gate. (c) The dynamics of the electric circuit is equivalent to a quantum walk on the subdivided graph $G'$.

Linear electric circuits satisfy the superposition principle, which is essential for quantum computations. Hence, it is enough to calculate the transmission and reflection coefficients when we input a plane wave only to the wire $\left| 0 \right\rangle_{\text{in}}$.

There are three other lines, where two of them are the outputs and the rest is the other input. This is a scattering problem, and the wave functions are written in the form of

$$\left| \langle x,0 | \psi \rangle = e^{-ikx} + R(k) e^{i(k+\pi)x} \right| ,$$

$$\left| \langle x,j | \psi \rangle = T_j(k) e^{ikx} \right| ,$$

where $T_1$ and $T_2$ are for the two outputs, while $T_3$ is for the other input, where $j = 1, 2, 3$. The momentum of the reflected wave is $k + \pi$ in order to preserve the energy $E(k)$.

In order to make a quantum gate, we need to require $R(k_0) = 0$ and $T_3(k_0) = 0$ since the current should not backflow to the two inputs.

By solving the eigen-equation\(^\text{[9]}\), we obtain

$$T_1(k) = \frac{e^{-2ik} + 2e^{2ik} (2\ell^2 - 1)}{e^{-4ik} + e^{-2ik} 4\ell + (4\ell^2 - 1)},$$

$$T_2(k) = \frac{2e^{2ik} \ell + 1}{e^{-4ik} + 4e^{-2ik} \ell + (4\ell^2 - 1)},$$

$$T_3(k) = \frac{2(\ell + \cos 2k)}{e^{-4ik} + 4e^{-2ik} \ell + (4\ell^2 - 1)},$$

$$R(k) = \frac{-2\ell(\ell + \cos 2k)}{e^{-4ik} + 4e^{-2ik} \ell + (4\ell^2 - 1)},$$

where $\ell = L'/L$. The reflection becomes zero, $R(k_0) = 0$, at $k_0 = \pm \frac{1}{2} \arccos (-\ell) + \eta \pi$ with $\eta = 0, 1$. At this momentum $k_0$, we find $T_3(k_0) = 0, T_1(k_0) = \pm i\sqrt{1-\ell^2}/\sqrt{2}$ and $T_2(k_0) = -\ell/\sqrt{2}$. Hence we obtain

$$U_{\text{mix}}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm i\sqrt{1-\ell^2} & -\ell \\ -\ell & \pm i\sqrt{1-\ell^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

for a possible widget acting as a quantum gate.

In order to construct the Hadamard gate, we need to set $|T_1(k)| = |T_2(k)|$, which is achieved by setting $\ell = 1/\sqrt{2}$. The quantum gate reads

$$U_{\text{mix}}^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm i & -1 \\ -1 & \pm i \end{pmatrix} ,$$

![Fig. 3](image-url)  
**FIG. 3:** Momentum $k$ dependence of (a) the absolute value and (b) the phase of the transmission coefficients $T_1(k), T_2(k), T_3(k)$ and the reflection coefficient $R(k)$. $T_1$ is colored in magenta, $T_2$ is colored in cyan, $T_3$ is colored in green and $R$ is colored in blue. The horizontal axis is the momentum $0 \leq k \leq 2\pi$. We find $|T_1(k)| = |T_2(k)|$ and $|T_3(k)| = |R(k)|$. 
Two successive operations of the phase-shift gate yield

\[ U_{\pi/2} = U_{\pi/4}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

which is simply called the phase gate.

**Hadamard gate:** The Hadamard gate is the unitary operation defined by

\[ U_H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

It is constructed by the combinations of the mixing gate and the \(3\pi/2\) phase-shift gate as

\[ -iU_{3\pi/2}U_{\text{mix}}U_{3\pi/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = U_H, \]

where the \(3\pi/2\) phase-shift gate is constructed by inserting two inductors sequentially as follows from (19).

**Pauli gates:** We consider the NOT gate. It is defined by the interchange of two inputs \(|0\rangle\) and \(|1\rangle\), or

\[ |1\rangle_{\text{out}} = U_X |0\rangle_{\text{in}} \text{ and } |0\rangle_{\text{out}} = U_X |1\rangle_{\text{in}}. \]

Such a gate is obviously given by the Pauli X gate, \(U_X = \sigma_x\).

In terms of electric circuits, two telegrapher wires are simply interconnected as shown in Fig.6(a).

The Pauli Z gate reads \(U_Z = \sigma_z\) by choosing \(n = 4\) in (19). Namely, it is constructed by inserting four successive
inductors only for the lower wire as shown in Fig. 6(b). The Pauli Y gate reads $U_Y = iU_X U_Z = \sigma_y$, which is constructed by the successive operations of the Pauli Z and X gates.

**CNOT gate:** We proceed to study a two-qubit gate,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
    |00\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
    |01\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
    |10\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
    |11\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
\end{pmatrix} = U \begin{pmatrix}
    |00\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
    |01\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
    |10\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
    |11\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

(24)

The CNOT gate is defined by

$$
U_{\text{CNOT}} = \begin{pmatrix}
    I_2 & O_2 \\
    O_2 & U_X
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
    1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
    0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
$$

(25)

where $I_2$ is the two-dimensional identity matrix and $O_2$ is the two-dimensional null matrix.

Its construction is parallel to the original widget. We use four telegrapher wires expressing four inputs $|00\rangle_{\text{in}}$, $|01\rangle_{\text{in}}$, $|10\rangle_{\text{in}}$ and $|11\rangle_{\text{in}}$. We interchange two of them $|10\rangle_{\text{in}}$ and $|11\rangle_{\text{in}}$ within the widget, while the other two $|00\rangle_{\text{in}}$ and $|01\rangle_{\text{in}}$ are directly connected to the outputs $|00\rangle_{\text{out}}$ and $|01\rangle_{\text{out}}$. We show the graphs for the CNOT gate in Fig. 7.

We can similarly construct other multi-qubit gates such as the CZ, SWAP, Toffoli and Fredkin gates. See Supplemental Material.

We have proposed a set of universal quantum gates based on electric circuits. If we use inductors of the order of 1nH and capacitors of the order of 1pF, the resonant frequency exceeds 1GHz. Then, we expect high speed quantum computations. Our results will open a way to universal quantum computers made of relatively simple electric circuits.
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Supplemental Material

I. MULTI-QUBIT QUANTUM GATES

In the main text, we have constructed a set of the CNOT, Hadamard and $\pi/4$ phase-shift gates with the use of $LC$ circuits. Here we present graphs $G$ for some other typical gates, from which the corresponding $LC$ circuits are readily written down.

SWAP gate: The SWAP gate is defined by

$$U_{\text{SWAP}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (S1)

It is constructed by the exchange of the wires between $|01\rangle_{\text{in}}$ and $|10\rangle_{\text{in}}$, which are shown in Fig. S1.

CZ gate: The controlled Pauli-Z (CZ) gate is defined by

$$U_{\text{CZ}} = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & O_2 \\ O_2 & U_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hfill (S2)

We realize it by inserting four inductors only for the wire representing $|11\rangle_{\text{out}}$.

![Fig. S1: Graph representation of the SWAP gate.](image1)

![Fig. S2: Graph representation on the CZ gate. It consists of the operation of the $\pi$ phase-shift gate only for $|11\rangle$.](image2)
FIG. S3: Graph representations of the (a) Toffoli and (b) Fredkin gates.

**Toffoli gate:** The Toffoli gate is a controlled NOT gate defined by

\[
U_{\text{Toffoli}} = \begin{pmatrix}
I_4 & O_4 \\
O_4 & U_{\text{CNOT}}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(S3)

where \( I_4 \) is the four-dimensional identity matrix and \( O_4 \) is the four-dimensional null matrix with the three quantum bits basis \( \{|000\rangle, |001\rangle, |010\rangle, |011\rangle, |100\rangle, |101\rangle, |110\rangle, |111\rangle\}^4 \).

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
|000\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
|001\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
|010\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
|011\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
|100\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
|101\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
|110\rangle_{\text{out}} \\
|111\rangle_{\text{out}}
\end{pmatrix} = U_{\text{Toffoli}}
\begin{pmatrix}
|000\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
|001\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
|010\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
|011\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
|100\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
|101\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
|110\rangle_{\text{in}} \\
|111\rangle_{\text{in}}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(S4)

We use eight wires for these three-qubit circuit. The two wires \(|110\rangle_{\text{in}}\) and \(|111\rangle_{\text{in}}\) are interchanged within the widget, while the others are directly connected to the outputs as shown in Fig. S3(a).

**Fredkin gate:** The Fredkin gate is a controlled SWAP gate defined by

\[
U_{\text{Fredkin}} = \begin{pmatrix}
I_4 & O_4 \\
O_4 & U_{\text{SWAP}}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(S5)
Similarly to the Toffoli gate, the two wires $|101\rangle_{in}$ and $|110\rangle_{in}$ are interchanged within the widget, while the others are directly connected to the outputs as shown in Fig. S3(b).