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Abstract

Let $X$ be a smooth variety over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\ell$ be a rational prime number invertible in $\mathbb{F}_q$. For an $\ell$-adic sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X$, we construct a cycle supported on the singular support of $\mathcal{F}$ whose coefficients are $\ell$-adic numbers modulo roots of unity. It is a refinement of the characteristic cycle $CC(\mathcal{F})$, in the sense that it satisfies a Milnor-type formula for local epsilon factors. After establishing fundamental results on the cycles, we prove a product formula of global epsilon factors modulo roots of unity. We also give a generalization of the results to varieties over general perfect fields.

1 Introduction

Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. For an $\ell$-adic sheaf on a variety over $k$, it is a central subject of ramification theory to calculate its global invariants by certain invariants which can be defined locally on the variety.

One of important invariants of an $\ell$-adic sheaf is the $L$-function. Let $X$ be a projective smooth variety over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be an $\ell$-adic sheaf on $X$. The $L$-function $L(X, \mathcal{F}; t)$ is defined as the infinite product

$$L(X, \mathcal{F}; t) = \prod_x \frac{1}{\det(1 - \text{Frob}_x t^{\deg(x/\mathbb{F}_q)}, \mathcal{F}_x)},$$

where $x$ runs through closed points of $X$. It admits a functional equation

$$L(X, \mathcal{F}; t) = \varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) t^{-\chi(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{F})} L(X, D_X \mathcal{F}; t^{-1}).$$

Here $D_X$ is the Verdier-dual functor. The invariant $\chi(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{F})$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $\mathcal{F}$. In [29], Saito gives a formula, called the index formula, which expresses the Euler-Poincaré characteristic as the intersection number $(CC(\mathcal{F}), T^*_X X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q})_{T^*_X X}$. Here $CC(\mathcal{F})$ is the characteristic cycle, which is constructed also in [29]. The index formula can be regarded as a higher dimensional generalization of the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula.

The main subject of this paper is a more refined invariant $\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F})$; the global epsilon factor. This invariant is defined as, up to sign, the alternating product of the determinants of the actions of the Frobenius automorphisms on the cohomology groups of $\mathcal{F}$, i.e. $\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_i \det(-\text{Frob}_q, H^i(X_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q}, \mathcal{F}))(-1)^{i+1}$. 
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Let $X$ be a curve. In this case, local counterparts of global epsilon factors are local epsilon factors, which are defined in [5]. For $\ell$-adic sheaves of rank 1, they are essentially Gauss sums. In the higher rank case, they can be calculated using the case of rank 1 and Brauer induction technique. Laumon [22] gives a formula which expresses global epsilon factors as the products of local epsilon factors, so called the product formula.

When the base field is a general perfect field $k$, global epsilon factors should be defined as (the inverse of) the alternating products of the determinant representations of the cohomology groups. They are characters of the absolute Galois group of $k$. The aim of this paper is to construct a micro-local theory of epsilon factors, as a refinement of that of characteristic cycles, which gives a product formula of global epsilon factors modulo roots of unity similarly as the index formula of the Euler-Poincaré characteristics.

We sketch the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Theorem 5.15) Let $X$ be a smooth variety over a finite field $k$. Let $F$ be a constructible complex of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-sheaves on $X$. Then, there exists a unique cycle $E(F)$, the epsilon cycle, supported on $SS(F)$ with $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$-coefficients which satisfies a Milnor-type formula for local epsilon factors. Namely, for an (at most) isolated $SS(F)$-characteristic point (Definition 3.10.1) $x \in X$ of a morphism $f : X \to Y$ to a smooth curve, the equality

$$(E(F), df)^{\deg(x/k)}_x = \varepsilon_0(Y(x), R\Phi_f(F)_x, dt)^{-1}$$

of elements of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ holds. Here $t$ is a local parameter of $Y$ around $f(x)$.

Strictly speaking, we need to start with $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaves instead of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-sheaves because of the lack of a good theory of local acyclicity for $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-sheaves over general schemes.

We briefly review the construction of epsilon cycles. Similarly as that of characteristic cycles, the key ingredient is the ”semi-continuity” of local epsilon factors (Theorem 5.9). Here we interpret the semi-continuity as the existence of a 1-dimensional representation whose values at geometric Frobeniuses equal to local epsilon factors. For the precise statement, see Theorem 5.9. According to the finiteness theorem of Katz-Lang [19], the representation gives a flat function (Definition 3.9) after taking modulo roots of unity, which ensures the existence of the cycles $E(F)$ with the desired property (Proposition 3.12).

After establishing basic properties of epsilon cycles, we prove a formula for the pull-back by properly transversal morphism by a similar method developed by Beilinson [29, Section 7].

**Theorem 1.2.** (Theorem 5.30) Let $k$ be a finite field. Let $h : W \to X$ be a morphism of smooth $k$-schemes. Let $F$ be a constructible complex of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-sheaves on $X$. Assume that $h$ is properly $SS(F)$-transversal (Definition 3.1.2). Then, we have

$$E(h^*F) = h^!(E(F)(\dim X - \dim W/2))$$

Here we use a notation $E(F)(r)$ of Tate twists of epsilon cycles (Definition 5.17), which is defined as follows. Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over a finite field $k$ with $q$ elements. For an $\ell$-adic sheaf $F$ on $X$ and a rational number $r$, define the $r$-twisted epsilon cycle $E(F)(r)$ to be $q^{-r \cdot CC(F)} \cdot E(F)$, where the product is understood as follows. Let $CC(F) = \sum_a m_a[C_a]$ and $E(F) = \sum_a \xi_a[C_a]$. Then, we define $q^{-r \cdot CC(F)} \cdot E(F) = \sum_a q^{-rm_a} \xi_a[C_a]$. As $\mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$ is 2.
a quotient of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times$, we write the group law multiplicatively and also write $a^b$ for $a \otimes b$. When $r = n$ is an integer, it coincides with the epsilon cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}(n))$ of the Tate twist $\mathcal{F}(n)$ (Lemma 5.19.1) and reflects the (unramified) twist formula of local epsilon factors (Proposition 4.2.2). See Lemma 5.19.1 for a more general result.

Finally we state and prove a product formula of global epsilon factors.

**Theorem 1.3.** (Theorem 6.7) Let $X$ be a projective smooth variety over a finite field $k$. Then, for $\mathcal{F} \in D_{c}^b(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, we have

$$\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F})^{-1} = (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}), T^*_X X)_{T^*_X X}$$

as elements of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.

As a consequence, we get a formula which expresses the $p$-adic valuations of global epsilon factors as the products of those of local epsilon factors.

In [32], N. Umezaki, E. Yang, and Y. Zhao prove the twist formula of global epsilon factors [32, Theorem 5.23.]. A weaker version modulo roots of unity can be also deduced from the theorem above and Lemma 5.19.1.

Actually we construct a theory of epsilon cycles for general perfect base field cases, under some mild assumption (cf. Definition 5.10). The assumption is always satisfied if the base field is the perfection of a finitely generated field over its prime field. When the characteristic of the base field $k$ is positive, the construction goes quite similarly as the case of finite fields using the results of Q. Guignard [12], a theory of local epsilon factors over general perfect fields of positive characteristic. In Section 4, we summarize his results which we will need. When the characteristic is 0, we define local epsilon factors of the representations $V$ with unramified determinant using Jacobi sum characters constructed in [27]. For general $V$, we take a direct sum of copies of $V$ so that the determinant becomes unramified, hence we can only construct local epsilon factors modulo roots of unity.

We also give an axiomatic description of epsilon cycles (Theorem 6.10). See Sections 5, 6 for the details.

We give notation which we use throughout this paper.

- We denote by $G_k$ the absolute Galois group of a field $k$.
- We denote by $\chi_{cyc} : G_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ the $\ell$-adic cyclotomic character.
- For a finite separable extension $k'/k$ of fields, we denote by $\text{tr}_{k'/k} : G_k^{ab} \rightarrow G_{k'}^{ab}$ the transfer morphism induced by the inclusion $G_{k'} \hookrightarrow G_k$. The determinant character of the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{G_{k'}}^{G_k} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ of the trivial representation is denoted by $\delta_{k'/k}$.  
- For a scheme $X$ and its point $x$, $k(x)$ is the residue field of $X$ at $x$.
- For a finite extension $x'/x$ of the spectra of fields, we denote by $\text{deg}(x'/x)$ the degree of the extension. When $x = \text{Spec}(k)$ and $x' = \text{Spec}(k')$, we also denote it by $\text{deg}(k'/k)$.
- Let $x$ be a geometric point on a scheme $X$. We denote the strict henselization of $X$ at $x$ by $X_{(x)}$. On the other hand, we denote the henselization at a point $x \in X$ by $X_{(x)}$. More generally, for a finite separable extension $y$ of $x \in X$, we denote the henselization of $X$ at $y$ by $X_{(y)}$. 
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• For a scheme \( X \) of finite type over \( S \), we say that \( X \) is of relative dimension \( n \) if all fibers of \( X \to S \) are equidimensional and of dimension \( n \).

• We fix an algebraic closure \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell \). Let \( \mu \) be the group of roots of unity in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \). Let \( \mu_p \) denote the group of \( p \)-th roots of unity in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \). For a finite extension \( E/\mathbb{Q}_\ell \), the ring of integers of \( E \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{O}_E \).

• For the \( \ell \)-adic formalism of a noetherian topos \( T \), we refer to [7], which we review in the appendix. The derived category of constructible complexes of \( E \)-sheaves (resp. \( \mathcal{O}_E \)-sheaves) on \( T \) is denoted by \( D^b_c(T,E) \) (resp. \( D^b_c(T,\mathcal{O}_E) \)). We put 0 for objects of \( D^b_c(T,\mathcal{O}_E) \) (i.e. \( \mathcal{F}_0 \in D^b_c(T,\mathcal{O}_E) \)) and denote \( \mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E \).
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2 Preliminaries on Vanishing topoi ([17])

Let \( f: X \to S \) and \( g: Y \to S \) be morphisms of schemes. By abuse of notation, we also denote the associated étale topos by \( X, Y, S \). Otherwise stated, geometric points are assumed to be algebraic geometric points.

For the definition of the oriented product \( X \times_S Y \), we refer to [17]. This is the universal object of triples \((T \xrightarrow{\alpha} X, T \xrightarrow{\beta} Y, g \circ \beta \circ f \circ \alpha)\), where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are morphisms of topos,
and \( \sigma \) is a natural transformation. In particular, if \( Y = S \) and \( g \) is the identity, there exists a morphism of topoi \( \Psi_f : X \to X \times_S S \) such that the two triangles in the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\Psi_f} & X \\
\downarrow{f} & & \downarrow{p_2} \\
X \times_S S & \xrightarrow{p_1} & S
\end{array}
\]

are 2-commutative.

Here are examples of oriented products.

**Example 2.1.**

1. Let \( X \to S \) be a morphism of schemes and \( s \) be a geometric point of \( S \). Then, the oriented product \( s \times_S X \) is canonically isomorphic to the \( \acute{e} \)tale topos of \( S(s) \times_S X \). In particular, we have \( s \times_S S \cong S(s) \).

2. Let \( S \) be a Dedekind scheme. Let \( s \in S \) be a closed point of codimension 1, i.e. the local ring \( \mathcal{O}_{S,s} \) is a DVR. Denote by \( \eta \) the generic point of the henselization \( S(s) \). For an \( s \)-scheme \( X \), the vanishing topos \( X \times_S (S \setminus s) \) is canonically isomorphic to the \( \acute{e} \)tale topos of \( \eta \).

A point on the topos \( X \times_S Y \) can be considered as a triple denoted by \( x \leftarrow y \) consisting of a geometric point \( x \) of \( X \), a geometric point \( y \) of \( Y \) and a specialization \( s = f(x) \leftarrow t = g(y) \), i.e. an \( S \)-morphism \( t \to S(s) \).

Let \( S \) be a finite local ring whose residue characteristic is invertible on \( S \). Assume that \( X \) and \( S \) are quasi-compact and quasi-separated. For a sheaf of \( \Lambda \)-modules on \( X \times_S S \), we say that it is constructible if there exist finite partitions \( X = \bigsqcup \alpha X_\alpha \), \( S = \bigsqcup \beta S_\beta \) by locally closed constructible subschemes such that its restrictions to \( X_\alpha \times_S S_\beta \) are locally constant constructible sheaves. Let \( D^+(-, \Lambda) \) be the full subcategory of \( D^+(\,-, \Lambda) \) consisting of bounded complexes whose cohomology sheaves are constructible.

Let \( f : X \to S \) be a morphism of schemes. Then the morphism of topoi \( \Psi_f : X \to X \times_S S \) defines a functor \( R\Psi_f : D^+(X, \Lambda) \to D^+(X \times_S S, \Lambda) \), which we call the nearby cycles functor. For an object \( K \) of \( D^+(X, \Lambda) \), and a point \( x \leftarrow t \) of \( X \times_S S \), the stalk \( R\Psi_f K_{(x \leftarrow t)} \) is canonically identified with \( R\Gamma(X(x) \times_S S(t), K) \).

The relation \( \text{id} = p_1 \circ \Psi_f \) defines a natural transformation of functors \( p_1^* \to R\Psi_f \) by adjunction and by the isomorphism \( \text{id} \to p_1 \circ \Psi_f \). The cone of this map defines the vanishing cycles functor \( R\Phi_f : D^+(X, \Lambda) \to D^+(X \times_S S, \Lambda) \).
We consider a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{ X \ar[rr]^{f} \ar[dr]_{p} & & Y \ar[dl]^{g} \\
S & & }
\end{array}
\]

of schemes. This defines a morphism \( \leftarrow g : X \times_Y Y \to X \times_S S \). The canonical isomorphism \( g \circ \Psi_f \to \Psi_p \) induces an isomorphism \( R\leftarrow g_* \circ R\Psi_f \to R\Psi_p \). Let \( K \) be an object of \( D^+(X \times_Y Y) \). We can compute the stalk \( R\leftarrow g_* K_{(x \leftarrow t)} \) of \( R\leftarrow g_* K \) at a point \( x \leftarrow t \) of \( X \times_S S \) as follows. Let \( s \) and \( y \) be the images of \( x \) in \( S \) and \( Y \) respectively. The topoi \( x \leftarrow S \) and \( x \leftarrow Y \) are canonically isomorphic to \( S(s) \) and \( Y(y) \). Under these identifications, \( R\leftarrow g_* K \) can be identified by \( Rg(y)_*(K|_{Y(y)}) \), where \( g(y) \) is the map \( Y(y) \to S(s) \) induced from \( g \). Hence we have

\[
(2.2) \quad R\leftarrow g_* K_{(x \leftarrow t)} \cong R\Gamma(Y(y) \times_S(t), K).
\]

A cartesian diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{ X \ar[rr]^{f} \ar[dr]_{p} & & X_T \ar[dl]^{f_T} \\
S & & T }
\end{array}
\]

of schemes defines a 2-commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{ X_T \ar[r]^{p_1} & X_T \times_T T \ar[r]^{\Psi_f} & X_T \\
X \ar[u]^{i} & X \times_S S \ar[u]^{i} \ar[l]^{p_1} & X \ar[u]^{i} }
\end{array}
\]

and the base change morphisms define a morphism

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{ & i^* \ar[d] \ar[r] & i^* R\Psi_f \ar[d] \ar[r] & i^* R\Phi_f \ar[d] \ar[r] & \\
p_1^* i^* \ar[r] & R\Psi_{f_T} i^* \ar[r] & R\Phi_{f_T} i^* \ar[r] & . }
\end{array}
\]

For an object \( K \) of \( D^+(X, \Lambda) \), we say that the formation of \( R\Psi_f K \) commutes with the base change \( T \to S \) if the middle (hence all) vertical arrow is an isomorphism. In particular, if the formation of \( R\Psi_f(K) \) commutes with any finite base change \( T \to S \), \( R\Psi_f(K)_{(x \leftarrow t)} \cong R\Gamma(X(x) \times_S(t), K) \) is canonically isomorphic to \( R\Gamma(X(x) \times_S(t), K) \), taking \( T \) as the closure of the image of \( t \to S \). Note that, by [29, Proposition 2.7.2], \( f : X \to S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( K \in D^+(X, \Lambda) \) if and only if the map \( p_1^* i^* K \to R\Psi_{f_T} i^* K \) is an isomorphism for any \( i : T \to S \). Therefore the formation of \( R\Psi_f K \) commutes with any base change \( T \to S \).
2.1 Calculation of vanishing cycles complexes

Proposition 2.2. ([29 Proposition 2.8.]) Let \( f : X \to S \) be a morphism of finite type of noetherian schemes and \( Z \subset X \) be a closed subscheme which is quasi-finite over \( S \). Let \( K \) be an object of \( D^b(X, \Lambda) \) such that the restriction of \( f : X \to S \) to the complement \( X \setminus Z \to S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to the restriction of \( K \).

1. \( R\Psi_f K \) and \( R\Phi_f K \) are constructible. If \( K \) is of finite tor-dimension, so are they. Their formations commute with arbitrary base change. \( R\Phi_f K \) is supported on \( Z \times_S S \).

2. Let \( x \) be a geometric point of \( X \) and \( s = f(x) \) be the geometric point of \( S \) defined by the image of \( x \) by \( f \). Let \( t \) and \( u \) be geometric points of \( S(s) \) and \( t \leftarrow u \) be a specialization. Then, there exists a distinguished triangle

\[
R\Psi_f K_{(x \leftarrow t)} \to R\Psi_f K_{(x \leftarrow u)} \to \bigoplus_{z \in Z(x) \times_S S(t)} R\Phi_f K_{(z \leftarrow u)},
\]

where the first map is the cospecialization and the second one is the direct sum of the compositions of the maps \( R\Psi_f K_{(x \leftarrow u)} \to R\Phi_f K_{(x \leftarrow u)} \) and the cospecializations \( R\Phi_f K_{(x \leftarrow u)} \to R\Phi_f K_{(z \leftarrow u)} \).

Proof. 1. The commutativity with base change is proved in [24 Proposition 6.1.], taking a compactification and using the proper base change theorem. The last assertion follows from the remark made before this proposition. The constructibility follows from [24 Théorème 8.1.] and the commutativity with base change. The finiteness of tor-dimension follows from the finiteness of cohomological dimension of \( R\Psi_f K \) [24 Proposition 3.1.].

2. We may assume that \( S = S(s) \), that \( Z \) is local and finite over \( S \), and that \( X \) is separated over \( S \). Let us denote the morphisms obtained by the base change \( X \to S \) by the same letter, by abuse of notation. By 1, we may further replace \( S \) by the normalization in \( u \). Consider the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \xrightarrow{j} & S(t) \\
\downarrow{i_s} & & \downarrow{i_t} \\
S \times_S S(t) & \xrightarrow{k} & u @{u}
\end{array}
\]

and define a complex \( \Delta \) on \( X \times_S S(t) \) fitting in the distinguished triangle \( j^* K \to Rk_* (jk)^* K \to \Delta \to \). Note that, since \( u \) is the generic point of \( S \), the geometric point \( t \) can be identified with its image in \( S \) and that \( S(t) \) can be identified with the localization of \( S \) at \( t \). Hence the complex \( \Delta \) is supported on \( Z \times_S S(t) \). Indeed, let \( t' \) be a geometric point of \( S(t) \). The restriction of \( \Delta \) to \( X \times_S S(t') \) equals to the complex defined in the same way as \( \Delta \) replacing \( t \) by \( t' \). Thus the restriction \( \Delta|_{X_s} \) is supported on \( Z_t \). Since the formation of nearby cycles functor commutes with any base change by 1, \( (Rj_* j^* K)_x \) and \( (R(jk)_* (jk)^* K)_x \) are isomorphic to \( R\Psi_f K_{(x \leftarrow t)} \) and \( R\Psi_f K_{(x \leftarrow u)} \) respectively. We have isomorphisms

\[
(Rj_* \Delta)_x \to R\Gamma(Z, Rj_* \Delta) \to R\Gamma(Z \times_S S(t), \Delta).
\]

Since the last term is isomorphic to \( \bigoplus_{z \in Z \times S(t)} R\Phi_f K_{(z \leftarrow u)} \), the assertion follows. \( \square \)
To deduce corollaries, we need a following lemma. Let \( f : X \to S \) be a morphism of schemes. For a (usual) point \( x \in X \), we denote by \( X_x \) the spectrum of the localization \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \). For a complex \( K \in D^+(X,\Lambda) \), we define \( \text{ULA}(K,f) \subset X \) to be the subset consisting of points \( x \in X \) such that the morphism \( X_x \to S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( K|_{X_x} \). Note that, for a morphism \( g : S' \to S \) of schemes, the inverse image of \( \text{ULA}(K,f) \) by \( g_X : X_{S'} := X \times_S S' \to X \) is contained in \( \text{ULA}(g_X^*K,f_{S'}) \), where \( f_{S'} \) is the base change \( X_{S'} \to S' \).

**Lemma 2.3.** Let the notation be as above.

1. Let \( x \to X \) be a geometric point and let \( j : X_{(x)} \to X \) be the morphism from the strict henselization. Then, we have \( j^{-1}(\text{ULA}(K,f)) = \text{ULA}(K|_{X_{(x)}},jf) \).

2. Assume that \( f : X \to S \) is of finite type and that \( S \) is (hence also \( X \)) noetherian. When \( K \) is constructible, \( \text{ULA}(K,f) \) is an open subset of \( X \).

**Proof.** 1. It follows from the definition of \( \text{ULA}(K,f) \).

2. First we show the assertion assuming that the formation of \( R\Phi_f(K) \) commutes with arbitrary base change \( S' \to S \). Under this assumption, \( R\Phi_f(K) \) is constructible by \cite[Théorème 8.1]{24}.

Let \( x \in X \) be a point in \( \text{ULA}(K,f) \). We need to find an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) contained in \( \text{ULA}(K,f) \). Since \( R\Phi_f(K) \) is a constructible complex on \( X \times_S S \) and the restriction of \( R\Phi_f(K) \) to \( X_x \times_S S \) is acyclic, there is an open neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) such that \( R\Phi_f(K) \) is acyclic on \( U \times_S S \). Hence \( f|_U : U \to S \) is universally locally acyclic by the commutativity of the formation of \( R\Phi_f(K) \). See \cite[Proposition 2.7.2]{29} for the detail.

Next we consider the general case. By \cite[Théorème 2.1, 8.1]{24}, there exists a proper surjective morphism \( g : T \to S \) such that the vanishing cycles complex \( R\Phi_{f_T}(K|_{X_T}) \) of \( K|_{X_T} \) with respect to the base change \( f_T : X_T := X \times_S T \to T \) is constructible and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change \( T' \to T \). Let \( g_X : X_T \to X \) be the projection. We already know that the complement \( Z := X_T \setminus \text{ULA}(g_X^*K,f_T) \) is closed. Let \( Z' := g_X(Z) \) be the image, which is closed. We show the equality \( \text{ULA}(K,f) = X \setminus Z' =: U' \). The intersection \( \text{ULA}(K,f) \cap Z' \) is empty since \( g_X^{-1}(\text{ULA}(K,f)) \subset \text{ULA}(g_X^*K,f_T) \). Hence it suffices to show that \( U' \to S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( K \). Since the base change \( U'_s \) is contained in \( \text{ULA}(g_X^*K,f_T) \), the assertion follows from the oriented cohomological descent \cite[Lemma 6.1]{23}. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.4.** Let \( f : X \to S \) be a morphism of finite type of noetherian schemes. Let \( Z \subset X \) be a closed subscheme quasi-finite over \( S \). Let \( x \to X \) be a geometric point and let \( s := f(x) \) be the geometric point of \( S \) induced from \( x \).

Let \( K \in D^b_c(X_{(x)},\Lambda) \) be a constructible complex. If \( f_{(x)} : X_{(x)} \to S_{(s)} \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( K \) outside \( Z \times_X X_{(x)} \), \( R\Psi_{f(x)}(K) \) is constructible and its formation commutes with arbitrary base change \( S' \to S_{(s)} \).

**Proof.** Replacing \( X \to S \) by \( X \times_SS_{(s)} \to S_{(s)} \), we may assume that \( S = S_{(s)} \). Since \( K \) is constructible, after replacing \( X \) by an étale neighborhood of \( x \), we may assume that there exists a constructible complex \( K' \) on \( X \) which restricts to \( K \). Define \( U := \text{ULA}(K',f) \). This is an open subset of \( X \) by Lemma 2.3.2. By the assumption and Lemma 2.3.1, we
have \((Z \times_X X_{(x)}) \cup (U \times_X X_{(x)}) = X_{(x)}\). Hence, further replacing \(X\), we may assume that \(Z \cup U = X\). Then, the assertion follows from Proposition 2.2.1.

□

We give a partial generalization of Corollary 2.4 to the following setting.
Consider a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \xrightarrow{g} & T \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & S
\end{array}
\]

of schemes, to which we refer as a pair \(f = (f, g)\). This induces a morphism of topoi \(\overleftarrow{f} : Y \times_X X \to T \times_S S\). For a geometric point \(y\) of \(Y\), let \(t\) denote the image \(g(y)\). This is a geometric point of \(T\). They are also regarded as geometric points of \(X\) and \(S\) via the vertical arrows of (2.3). Thus, they give a morphism of topoi \(f_{(y)} : X_{(y)} \to S_{(t)}\), which is also obtained from \(\overleftarrow{f}\) via the identifications \(X_{(y)} \equiv y \times_X X\) and \(S_{(t)} \equiv t \times_S S\).

**Definition 2.5.** Let \(K \in D^+(Y \times_X X, \Lambda)\) be a bounded below complex.

1. Let \(Z \subset X\) be a closed subset with complement \(U\). We say that the pair \(f = (f, g)\) is (resp. universally) locally acyclic relatively to \(K\) outside \(Z\) if, for every geometric point \(y\) of \(Y\) with the image \(t = g(y)\), the morphism \(f_{(y)}|_{X_{(y)} \times_X U} : X_{(y)} \times_X U \to S_{(t)}\) is (resp. universally) locally acyclic relatively to \(K_{|X_{(y)} \times_X U}\).

2. We say that the formation of \(Rf_* K\) commutes with a base change \(i : S_1 \to S\) if the base change map \(i : Rf_* K \to Rf_{(1)} K_{1}\) is an isomorphism, where subscripts \((-)_{1}\) indicate base changes by \(S_1 \to S\) and \(i : T_1 \times_{S_1} S_1 \to T \times S\).

**Corollary 2.6.** Consider a commutative diagram (2.3) of schemes. Let \(Z \subset X\) be a closed subset which is quasi-finite over \(S\). Assume that \(S\) is noetherian and that \(f\) is of finite type. Further assume that \(g\) is finite.

Let \(K \in D^b_c(Y \times_X X, \Lambda)\) be a constructible complex. Assume that \(f = (f, g)\) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \(K\) outside \(Z\). Then, the formation of \(R\overleftarrow{f}_* K\) commutes with base change \(S_1 \to S\).

**Proof.** Let \((t \leftarrow u)\) be a point of \(T \times_S S\). Since \(g\) is finite, the stalk \(R\overleftarrow{f}_* K_{(t\leftarrow u)}\) is canonically isomorphic to

\[
\bigoplus_{y \in Y \times_U t} R\Gamma(X_{(y)} \times_{S_{(t)}} S_{(u)}, K) \cong \bigoplus_{y \in Y \times_U t} R\Psi_{f_{(y)}} K_{(y \leftarrow u)}.
\]

See (2.3). The assertion follows from Corollary 2.4.

□

**Proposition 2.7.** Let \(X, Z, S\) be as in Proposition 2.2. Further assume that \(Z \to S\) is finite. Let \(Z'\) be the image \(f(Z)\). Let \(g : Z \to Z'\) be the restriction of \(f\) and \(\overleftarrow{g} : Z \times_S (S \setminus Z') \to Z' \times_S (S \setminus Z')\) be the induced morphism of topoi. Let \(K\) be an object of \(D^b_c(X, \Lambda)\) such that the restriction of \(K\) to \(f^{-1}(Z')\) is acyclic. Assume that \(f : X \to S\) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \(K\) outside \(Z\). Then, the following hold.
1. The formation of $\tilde{g}_* (R\Psi_f (K) |_{Z \times_S (S \setminus Z')})$ commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \to S$.

2. The complex $\tilde{g}_* (R\Psi_f (K) |_{Z \times_S (S \setminus Z')})$ is locally constant constructible. If $K$ is of finite tor-dimension, so is $\tilde{g}_* (R\Psi_f (K) |_{Z \times_S (S \setminus Z')})$.

**Proof.** 1. The formation of $R\Psi_f (K)$ commutes by Proposition 2.2.1. Let $K$ be a bounded below complex on $Z \times_S \mathcal{S}$. Since $g$ is finite, for a geometric point $z'$ of $Z'$, the restriction of $R\tilde{g}_* K$ to $z' \times_S \mathcal{S}$ is canonically isomorphic to $Rg_{(z')*} K$, where $g_{(z')} : \coprod z \in Z \times_S \mathcal{S}$ $S(z) \to S(\zeta')$. Hence the formation of $\tilde{g}_*$ commutes with base change.

2. We verify the constructibility. By Proposition 2.2.1, $R\Psi_f (K) |_{Z \times_S (S \setminus Z')}$ is constructible. Let $h : Y \to Y'$ be a finite morphism of $S$-schemes of finite type. We show that the push-forward $h : Y \times_S \mathcal{S} \to Y' \times_S \mathcal{S}$ preserves constructibility. Taking a finite stratification of $Y'$, we may assume that $h$ is finite étale. Further replacing $Y'$ by a finite étale cover, we may assume that $Y$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of finite copies of $Y'$. Then the assertion is clear.

The finiteness of tor-dimension follows from Proposition 2.2.1, and the fact that the cohomological dimension of $\tilde{g}_*$ is 0.

Let $\mathcal{G} := \tilde{g}_* (R\Psi_f (K))$. By (2.2) and the fact that $g$ is finite, for a point $(z' \leftrightarrow t)$ of $Z' \times_S \mathcal{S}$, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{G} |_{Z \times_S (S \setminus Z')} \cong \bigoplus_{x \in Z \times_S \mathcal{S}} R\Psi_f (K) |_{x \leftrightarrow t}.$$  

We show that the restriction $\mathcal{G} |_{Z' \times_S (S \setminus Z')} \cong \bigoplus_{x \in Z \times_S \mathcal{S}} R\Phi_f (K) |_{x \leftrightarrow t}$ is locally constant. To prove it, it is enough to show that, for points $(z' \leftrightarrow t_1)$, $(z' \leftrightarrow t_2)$ of $Z' \times_S (S \setminus Z')$ and a specialization $(z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_1) \leftrightarrow (z'_2 \leftrightarrow t_2)$, the morphism of stalks $\mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_1} \to \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2}$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.3.3 below since the topos $Z' \times_S (S \setminus Z')$ is noetherian ([24, Lemme 9.3.]). We prove this by showing that $\mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_1} \to \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2}$ and $\mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2} \to \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2}$ are both isomorphisms.

By Proposition 2.2.2, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_1} \to \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2} \to \bigoplus_{x \in Z \times_S \mathcal{S}} R\Phi_f (K) |_{x \leftrightarrow t}.$$  

Since $t_1$ is a geometric point of $S \setminus Z'$, $Z(\zeta) \times_S \mathcal{S}_{(\zeta')}$ $t_1$ is empty. This shows the former isomorphism $\mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_1} \cong \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2}$.

To prove the latter isomorphism, also by Proposition 2.2.2, we have a distinguished triangle

$$(2.4) \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2} \to \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2} \to \bigoplus_{x \in Z \times_S \mathcal{S}} R\Phi_f (K) |_{x \leftrightarrow t_2}.$$  

Since the restriction $K |_{f^{-1} (Z')}$ is acyclic, the third term is naturally identified with

$$\bigoplus_{x \in Z \times_S \mathcal{S}} R\Phi_f (K) |_{x \leftrightarrow t_2} \cong \bigoplus_{x \in Z \times_S \mathcal{S}} R\Phi_f (K) |_{x \leftrightarrow t_2} \cong \mathcal{G} |_{z'_1 \leftrightarrow t_2}.$$  

Note that the restriction of $\mathcal{G}$ to $Z' \times_{Z_0} Z' \subset Z' \times_S S$ is acyclic since $K_{f^{-1}(Z)}$ is acyclic and the formations of $\tilde{g}_a$ and $R\Psi_f(K)$ commute with the base change $Z' \to S$. In particular, the first term of (2.4) is acyclic. The assertion follows.

To give a proof of Lemma 2.8, we need to recall some facts on noetherian topoi. Let $T$ be a noetherian topos. Recall that a point of $T$ is a morphism $t: (\text{Set}) \to T$ of topoi from the category of sets. For an object $X$ of $T$ and a point $t$ of $T$, denote $X_t := t^*X$. For points $s$ and $t$, we call a natural transformation $s^* \to t^*$ a specialization $t \to s$. Let $|T|$ be the set of isomorphism classes of points of $T$. We denote the final object of $T$ by the same letter $T$. For a subobject $U$ of $T$, denote by $|U|$ the set of points $t \in |T|$ such that $U_t$ is not empty. There is a topology on $|T|$ whose open subsets are precisely $|U|$ for subobjects $U$ of $T$. This makes $|T|$ a noetherian topological space. The assignment $U \mapsto |U|$ gives a bijection between the set of subobjects of $T$ and the set of open subsets of $|T|$, since $T$ has enough points ([11, Proposition 9.0]).

Let $t$ be a point of $T$. The stalk $X_t$ of an object $X$ of $T$ at $t$ can be computed as follows ([11, 6.8]). Let $\text{Nbd}(t)$ be the category whose objects are pairs $(U,x)$ where $U$ are quasi-compact objects of $T$ and $x$ are elements of $U_t$. The morphisms $(U_1,x_1) \to (U_2,x_2)$ are morphisms $U_1 \to U_2$ which send $x_1$ to $x_2$. There is a functorial isomorphism

$$\lim_{(U,x) \in \text{Nbd}(t)} \text{Hom}_T(U,X) \cong X_t.$$  

**Lemma 2.8.** Let $T$ be a noetherian topos. Assume that, for a quasi-compact object $U$ of $T$ and a point $t$ of $T$, the stalk $U_t$ is finite.

1. For points $s$ and $t$ of $T$, the following are equivalent.
   
   (a) There is a specialization $t \to s$.
   
   (b) $s \in \{t\} \subset |T|$.

2. Let $X$ be an object of $T$ whose stalks at all points are finite sets. The following are equivalent.

   (a) $X$ is locally constant constructible.

   (b) For all specializations $t \to s$ of points of $T$, the canonical maps $X_s \to X_t$ are bijective.

**Proof.** Although these are well-known, we include proofs since we cannot find a reference.

1. The implication (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is obvious from the definition of the topology of $|T|$.

   We prove (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). For all objects $(U,x)$ of $\text{Nbd}(s)$, $U_t$ are non-empty finite sets. Hence $\lim_{(U,x) \in \text{Nbd}(s)} U_t$ is non-empty since $\text{Nbd}(s)^{\text{op}}$ is filtered. An element of $\lim_{(U,x) \in \text{Nbd}(s)} U_t$ gives a functor $\text{Nbd}(s) \to \text{Nbd}(t)$, which defines a specialization $t \to s$.

2. First we prove (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Take a covering $(T_i \to T)_i$ of $T$ so that $X \times_T T_i$ is a disjoint union of $T_i$. Let $t \to s$ be a specialization of points. Take $i$ so that $T_{i,s}$ is non-empty. A lift $\bar{s}$ of $s$ to a point of $T_i$ gives a lift of $t$ to a point $\bar{t}$ of $T_i$ and a specialization $\bar{t} \to \bar{s}$. Since the stalk $(X \times_T T_i)_s$ (resp. $(X \times_T T_i)_t$) is canonically isomorphic to $X_s$ (resp. $X_t$), the assertion follows.

   Next we show (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Fix a point $t$ of $T$. Take a quasi-compact object $U$ of $T$ and $x \in U_t$ so that the natural map $\text{Hom}_T(U,X) \to X_t$ is surjective. Replacing $(T,t)$ by
(U, x), we may assume that there is a morphism \( \coprod T \to X \), from the disjoint union of finitely many copies of \( T \), which gives a bijection \( \coprod T_i \to X_t \). Further replacing \( T \) by the connected component which contains \( t \), we may assume that \( |T| \) is connected. Then it follows that the morphism \( \coprod T \to X \) is an isomorphism from 1 and the fact that \( T \) has enough points ([11, Proposition 9.0]). \( \square \)

### 2.2 Deforming tamely ramified sheaves

**Definition 2.9.** Let \( S \) be a noetherian scheme. Let \( C \) be a separated smooth \( S \)-curve. Let \( Z \subset C \) be a closed subscheme finite \( \acute{e} \)tale over \( S \). For a point \( z \) of \( Z \), denote by \( \eta_z \) the generic point of the henselization of \( C_{k(s), (z)} \) where \( s \in S \) is the image of \( z \). For a locally constant constructible object \( X \) of \( Z \times_C (C \setminus Z) \), we say that \( X \) is a tame object if, for every point \( z \in Z \), the restriction of \( X \) to \( \eta_z \cong z \times_C C_{k(s), (z)} \subset Z \times_C (C \setminus Z) \) is tamely ramified.

By [9 Proposition 5.5], a locally constant constructible object \( X \) is a tame object if and only if, for every generic point \( z \in Z \), the restriction to \( \eta_z \) is tamely ramified.

Let \( f \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \) be a global section which generates the ideal sheaf of \( Z \). We construct, from a tame object of \( Z \times_C (C \setminus Z) \) and such a section \( f \), a locally constant constructible object \( \langle X, f \rangle \) of the \( \acute{e} \)tale topos of \( Z \) when \( S \) is normal. We start with more general setting [6 1.7.8]. Let \( Y \) be a regular scheme (resp. a smooth scheme over a scheme \( S \)). Let \( D \subset Y \) be a regular divisor (resp. a smooth divisor relative to \( S \)). Denote the complement by \( U \). Denote by \( i : D \to Y, j : U \to Y \) the immersions. Assume that the ideal sheaf of \( D \) is globally generated by \( z \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \). Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a locally constant constructible sheaf of sets on \( U \) which is tamely ramified along \( D \). For an integer \( n \geq 1 \) which is invertible in \( Y \), let \( Y_n := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_Y[t]/(t^n + z)) \). This is a finite totally tamely ramified covering of \( Y \) with a lifting \( D \to Y_n \) of \( D \to Y \). Denote by \( U_n := U \times_Y Y_n \) the complement of \( D \) in \( Y_n \). Zariski locally on \( Y \), we can find such an \( n \) that \( \mathcal{F}|_{U_n} \) extends to a locally constant sheaf on \( Y_n \), which we denote by \( \mathcal{F}_n \).

**Definition-Lemma 2.10.** Let the notation be as above.

1. The restriction of \( \mathcal{F}_n \) to \( D \subset Y_n \) is independent of the choice of \( n \). Hence the restrictions glue to a locally constant constructible sheaf on \( D \), which we denote by \( \langle \mathcal{F}, z \rangle \).

2. Suppose that \( Y \) and \( D \) are smooth over a scheme \( S \). Then, the formation of \( \langle \mathcal{F}, z \rangle \) commutes with base change \( S' \to S \).

**Proof.** 1. Let \( n, m \geq 1 \) be integers. The assertion follows from the fact that there is an \( \mathcal{O}_Y \)-morphism \( \mathcal{O}_Y[t]/(t^n + z) \to \mathcal{O}_Y[u]/(u^m + z) \) sending \( t \mapsto u^m \).

2. It follows since the fibered product \( Y_n \times_S S' \) is canonically isomorphic to \( (Y \times_S S')_n \). \( \square \)

For a topos \( T \) and a profinite abelian group \( M \), we define \( H^1(T, M) := \varprojlim H^1(T, M_i) \) where \( M_i \) runs through finite quotients of \( M \). We consider similar constructions as above for characters \( \chi \in H^1(U, M) \), with \( M \) a profinite abelian group, and smooth \( \mathbb{Z}_l \)-sheaves \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) on \( U \) which are tamely ramified along \( D \), and use similar notation as \( \langle \chi, z \rangle \) and \( \langle \mathcal{F}_0, z \rangle \).
We go back to the situation of vanishing topoi. Let $S$ be a noetherian normal scheme. Let $f \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ be a global section which generates the ideal sheaf of $Z$ which is finite étale over $S$. Let $X$ be a tame object on $Z \times_C (C \setminus Z)$. For a point $z \in Z$, the restriction of $X$ to $C(z) \setminus Z(z) \cong z \times_C (C \setminus Z) \subset Z \times_C (C \setminus Z)$ is a locally constant constructible sheaf tamely ramified along $Z(z)$.

**Definition-Lemma 2.11.** Assume that $S$ is noetherian normal.

1. Locally constant constructible sheaves $\langle X|_{C(z) \setminus Z(z)}, f \rangle$ on $Z(z)$ glue to a locally constant constructible sheaf on $Z$. We denote this sheaf by $\langle X, f \rangle$.

2. The formation of $\langle X, f \rangle$ commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \to S$.

**Proof.** 1. Let $t \in Z$ be a generic point and $z \in Z$ be a point which is a specialization of $t$. The restriction of $\langle X|_{C(t) \setminus t}, f \rangle$ to $Z(z) \times_Z t$ and that of $\langle X|_{C(z) \setminus Z(z)}, f \rangle$ to $Z(z) \times_Z t$ coincide by Lemma 2.10.2. The assertion follows.

2. It follows from Lemma 2.10.2.

\[\square\]

### 3 Relative Singular Supports and Characteristic Cycles ([1], [13], [29])

In this section, we recall the theory of singular supports and characteristic cycles. Let $S$ be a noetherian scheme. For the theory of relative singular supports over $S$, we refer to [13]. When $S$ is the spectrum of a field, we use the notation given in [1], [29].

#### 3.1 Relative singular support

For a smooth scheme $X$ over $S$, we denote by $T^*(X/S)$ the cotangent bundle of $X$ relative to $S$. We denote by $T^*_X(X/S)$ the 0-section. For a morphism $x \to X$ from the spectrum of a field, we denote by $T^*_x(X/S)$ the base change $T^*(X/S) \times_X x$. We say that a closed subset $C$ of $T^*(X/S)$ is conical if $C$ is stable under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme over $S$ and $C$ be a closed conical subset of $T^*(X/S)$.

1. We say that an $S$-morphism $h: W \to X$ from a smooth $S$-scheme $W$ is $C$-transversal if, for every geometric point $w$ of $W$, non-zero elements of $C_{h(w)}$ map to non-zero elements of $T^*_w(W/S)$ via $dh_w$.

2. Assume that $X$ and $C$ is of relative dimension $n$. Let $W$ be a smooth scheme over $S$ of relative dimension $m$. We say that an $S$-morphism $h: W \to X$ is properly $C$-transversal if $h$ is $C$-transversal and $W \times_X C$ is of relative dimension $m$.

3. We say that an $S$-morphism $f: X \to Y$ to a smooth $S$-scheme $Y$ is $C$-transversal if, for every geometric point $x$ of $X$, no non-zero elements of $T^*_{f(x)}(Y/S)$ map into $C_x$ via $df_x$. 
Lemma 3.2. (cf. [11, 1.2]) Let \( h: W \to X \) be a morphism of smooth \( S \)-schemes, and \( C \) be a closed conical subset of \( T^*(X/S) \). If \( h \) is \( C \)-transversal, the map \( dh: C \times_X W \to T^*(W/S) \) is finite.

**Definition 3.3.** Let \( X \) and \( C \) be as in Definition 3.1. Let \( W \) and \( Y \) be smooth \( S \)-schemes.

1. Let \( h: W \to X \) be a morphism of \( S \)-schemes. If \( h \) is \( C \)-transversal, we define \( h \circ_C \) to be the image of \( dh: C \times_X W \to T^*(W/S) \). This is a closed conical subset of \( T^*(W/S) \).

2. Let \( f: X \to Y \) be a morphism of \( S \)-schemes. Assume that \( f \) is proper on the base of \( C \), i.e. \( C \cap T_X^*(X/S) \). We define \( f_\circ C \) to be the image by the projection \( T^*(Y/S) \times_Y X \to T^*(Y/S) \) of the inverse image of \( C \) by \( df: T^*(Y/S) \times_Y X \to T^*(X/S) \).

3. Let \((h, f)\) be a pair of \( S \)-morphisms

\[
X \xleftarrow{h} W \xrightarrow{f} Y.
\]

We say that \((h, f)\) is \( C \)-transversal if \( h \) is \( C \)-transversal and \( f \) is \( h \circ C \)-transversal.

Let \( \Lambda \) be a finite local ring with residue characteristic invertible in \( S \). For an \( S \)-scheme \( X \), we denote by \( D_{ctf}(X, \Lambda) \) the full subcategory of \( D(X, \Lambda) \) consisting of constructible complexes of finite tor-dimension.

**Definition 3.4.** Let \( X \) and \( C \) be as in Definition 3.1. Let \( K \in D_{ctf}(X, \Lambda) \). We say that \( K \) is micro-supported on \( C \) if, for any pair \((h, f)\) as in Definition 3.3 which is \( C \)-transversal, \( f \) is locally acyclic relatively to \( h^*K \).

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \( X \) and \( C \) be as in Definition 3.1. Let \( K \in D_{ctf}(X, \Lambda) \). Assume that \( K \) is micro-supported on \( C \).

1. ([13, Lemma 4.7(ii)], [1, Lemma 2.1.(ii)]) Let \((h, f)\) be as in Definition 3.3 which is \( C \)-transversal. Then, \( f \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( h^*K \).

2. Let \( h: X' \to X \) be a morphism of smooth \( S \)-schemes. If \( h \) is \( C \)-transversal, then \( h^*K \) is micro-supported on \( h \circ C \).

**Proof.** 2. Let \( X' \xleftarrow{h'} W \xrightarrow{f} Y \) be an \( h \circ C \)-transversal pair. Then, the pair \((h \circ h', f)\) is \( C \)-transversal. Thus, \( f \) is locally acyclic relatively to \( h^*h^*K \).

**Theorem 3.6.** ([13, Theorem 5.2,5.3]) Let \( X \) be a smooth \( S \)-scheme of finite type. Let \( K \) be a complex in \( D_{ctf}(X, \Lambda) \). After replacing \( S \) by an open dense subset, the following hold.

1. There is the smallest closed conical subset \( C \) of \( T^*(X/S) \) on which \( K \) is micro-supported. We call this \( C \) the relative singular support and denote it by \( SS(K, X/S) \).

2. For a morphism \( s \to S \) from the spectrum of a field, we have

\[
SS(K|_{X_s}) = SS(K, X/S) \times_S s.
\]
Remark 3.7. If the relative singular support $SS(K, X/S)$ exists, the structure morphism $X \to S$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $K$, since $X \xrightarrow{id} X \to S$ is $SS(K, X/S)$-transversal. If further $X$ is projective over $S$, the existence of $SS(K, X/S)$ is equivalent to the universal local acyclicity of $X \to S$ relative to $K$ [13, Theorem 5.2].

We give some examples of singular supports.

Example 3.8. Suppose that $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ is the spectrum of a field $k$.

1. Let $X$ be a smooth curve over $k$. Let $K \in D_{\text{ctf}}(X, \Lambda)$. Then we have

$$SS(K) \subset T^*_X X \cup \bigcup_x T^*_x X,$$

where $x$ runs through the closed points at which $K$ is not locally constant. The equality holds if and only if the generic fiber of $K$ is not acyclic.

2. Let $X_1$ and $X_2$ be smooth schemes over $k$. Let $K_i \in D_{\text{ctf}}(X_i, \Lambda)$ for each $i = 1, 2$. Then,

$$SS(K_1 \boxtimes L K_2) = SS(K_1) \times SS(K_2) \subset T^*_X X_1 \times T^*_X X_2 \cong T^*(X_1 \times X_2).$$

This is proved using the Thom-Sebastiani theorem ([25, Theorem 2.2.3.]).

3. Suppose that $k$ is of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \to \Lambda^*$ be a non-trivial character. Let $L_\psi(x)$ be the Artin-Schreier sheaf on $A^1_k$ (cf. before Proposition 4.3). Let $L_\psi$ be the 0-extension to $A^1_k \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k$ of the pull-back by the multiplication $A^1_k \times_k A^1_k \to A^1_k$. Let $F^2 : \mathbb{P}^1_k \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ be the base change of the square of the absolute Frobenius $\mathbb{F}^1_p \to \mathbb{F}^1_p$. Then, the singular support of $(\text{id} \times F^2)^* L_\psi$ is

$$(dx)_{A^1_k \times_k \mathbb{F}^1_k} \cup T^*_{A^1_k \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k} (A^1_k \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k),$$

where $x$ is the standard coordinate of the first factor. If $k$ is perfect, this follows from the fact that the characteristic form of $(\text{id} \times F^2)^* L_\psi$ is $d(\frac{dy}{y^p}) = \frac{dx}{y^p}$, where $y$ is the standard coordinate of the second factor $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ around the infinity. See [30, Example 2.18.2] and [29, Proposition 4.13] for the details. In general, this follows from the case when $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ and Theorem 3.6.2.

3.2 Flat function and characteristic cycle

Next we recall the notion of characteristic cycles. Let $k$ be a perfect field. Before giving the definition of characteristic cycles, we recall general results on isolated $C$-characteristic points (cf. [29]). For a scheme $X$, we denote by $|X|$ the set of closed points of $X$. We fix an abelian group $A$.

Definition 3.9. (cf. [29, Definition 5.5]) Let $Z$ be a scheme locally of finite type over $k$. Let $\varphi : |Z| \to A$ be a function.

1. For a morphism of finite type $f : Z' \to Z$, define a function $f^* \varphi : |Z'| \to A$ by $f^* \varphi(z') := \deg(z'/f(z')) \varphi(f(z'))$. If no confusions occur, we also write $\varphi|_{Z'} = f^* \varphi$. 
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2. The function $\phi$ is said to be constant if there exists a function $\psi: |\text{Spec}(k)| \to A$ such that the equality $\psi|_Z = \phi$ holds.

3. Let $g: Z \to S$ be a quasi-finite morphism of schemes locally of finite type over $k$. We say that $\phi$ is flat over $S$ if the following condition holds:

For every closed point $z \in Z$, there exists a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \rightarrow & V \times_S Z \\
\downarrow \tilde{g} & & \downarrow g \\
V & \rightarrow & S \\
\end{array}
$$

of $k$-schemes satisfying:

(a) $V \to S$ is étale and there exists a closed point $v \in V$ whose image in $S$ coincides with $g(z)$. The map $v \to g(z)$ is an isomorphism.

(b) $U$ is an open neighborhood of $(v, z)$ in $V \times_S Z$.

(c) $U$ is finite over $V$. The fiber of $\tilde{g}$ over $v$ only consists of $(v, z)$.

(d) The function $\tilde{g}_*\phi|_U: |V| \to A$ defined by

$$
\tilde{g}_*\phi|_U(x) = \sum_{y \in \tilde{g}^{-1}(x)} \phi|_U(y)
$$

is constant in the sense of 2.

Let $X$ be a smooth $k$-scheme and $C$ be a closed conical subset of $T^*X$. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a $k$-morphism to a smooth curve $Y$ over $k$. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point.

**Definition 3.10.** Let the notation be as above.

1. We say that $x$ is an at most isolated $C$-characteristic point of $f$ if there exists an open neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that the restriction $f|_{U \setminus x}$ is $C$-transversal.

2. Suppose that $X$ is purely of dimension $n$ and every irreducible component $C_a$ of $C$ is of dimension $n$. Let $\alpha = \sum_a \beta_a \otimes [C_a]$ be a cycle with $A$-coefficient and supported on $C$. Assume that $x \in X$ is an at most isolated $C$-characteristic point of $f$. We define the intersection number $(\alpha, df)_{T^*X,x} \in A$, or simply $(\alpha, df)_x$, as

$$
(\alpha, df)_{T^*X,x} = \sum_a (C_a, df)_{T^*X,x} \cdot \beta_a,
$$

where $(C_a, df)_{T^*X,x}$ is the intersection number, supported on the fiber of $x$, of $C_a$ and the section $f^*\omega$ of $T^*X$ defined by the pull-back of a basis $\omega$ of $T^*Y$ on a neighborhood of $f(x) \in Y$.

**Definition 3.11.** Let $X$ and $C$ be as above.
1. \( \phi \) is said to be an \( A \)-valued function on isolated \( C \)-characteristic points if, for every diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow j & & \downarrow \downarrow \\
X & & 
\end{array}
\]

of \( k \)-schemes and a point \( u \in |U| \) such that \( Y \) is a smooth curve over \( k \), \( U \) is étale over \( X \), and \( u \in U \) is an at most isolated \( C \)-characteristic point of \( f \), an element \( \phi(f, u) \in A \) is given. Further this assignment should satisfy the following conditions:

- \( \phi(f, u) = 0 \) when \( u \) is not an isolated \( C \)-characteristic point.
- For every commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & & 
\end{array}
\]

of \( k \)-schemes such that the vertical arrows are étale and \( Y, Y' \) are smooth curves over \( k \), and a closed point \( u' \in U' \) which is an at most isolated \( C \)-characteristic point of \( f' \), we have \( \phi(f', u') = \deg(u'/u) \cdot \phi(f, u) \) where \( u \) is the image of \( u' \) by \( U' \rightarrow U \).

2. Let \( \phi \) be an \( A \)-valued function on isolated \( C \)-characteristic points. \( \phi \) is said to be flat if, for every commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z' & \xleftarrow{U} & U \\
\downarrow \text{pr}_1 & \downarrow & \downarrow f \\
X & \xrightarrow{S} & Y \\
\end{array}
\]

of \( k \)-schemes such that

- \( S \) is a smooth scheme over \( k \).
- \( Y \rightarrow S \) is a relative smooth curve.
- The map \( U \rightarrow X \times_k S \) is étale.
- \( Z \) is a closed subscheme of \( U \) quasi-finite over \( S \).
- The pair \( (\text{pr}_1, f) \) is \( C \)-transversal outside \( Z \).

the function \( \phi_f : |Z| \rightarrow A \) defined by \( \phi_f(z) = \phi(s, z) \), where \( s \) is the image of \( z \) by \( Z \rightarrow S \) and \( f_s : U_s \rightarrow Y_s \) is the base change of \( f \) by \( s \rightarrow S \), is flat over \( S \) in the sense of Definition 3.9.3.
Proposition 3.12. ([29, Proposition 5.8]) Assume that $A$ is uniquely divisible (i.e. $A \to A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism). Let $X$ be a smooth scheme purely of dimension $n$ over $k$. Let $C$ be a closed conical subset of $T^*X$. Assume that every irreducible component $C_a$ of $C$ is of dimension $n$. Let $\varphi$ be an $A$-valued function on isolated $C$-characteristic points. The following conditions are equivalent.

1. $\varphi$ is flat.

2. There exists a cycle $\alpha = \sum_a \beta_a \otimes [C_a] \in A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}^n(T^*X)$ with $A$-coefficient and supported on $C$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi(f, u) = \deg(u/k)(j^*\alpha, df)_{T^*U, u}$$

holds for every diagram (3.2) and every at most isolated $C$-characteristic point $u \in U$ of $f$.

Further, if these conditions hold, the cycle $\alpha$ in 2 is unique.

Proof. Since the proof is completely similar to [29, Proposition 5.8] and we only use the implication $1 \Rightarrow 2$ below, we sketch the proof of $1 \Rightarrow 2$.

First we consider the case when $k$ is algebraically closed. By the similar argument in [29, Proposition 5.8], we find a unique cycle $\alpha_X \in A \otimes \mathbb{Z}(T^*X)$ satisfying $\varphi(f, u) = (\alpha_X, df)_{T^*X, u}$ for every diagram (3.2) such that $U \to X$ is an open immersion. Let $j : W \to X$ be an étale morphism. Restricting $\varphi$ to $W$, we have an $A$-valued function on isolated $j^*C$-characteristic points. Since this is also flat, we find a cycle $\alpha_W \in A \otimes \mathbb{Z}(T^*W)$ satisfying $\varphi(f, u) = (\alpha_W, df)_{T^*W, u}$ for every diagram (3.2) replaced $X$ by $W$. We need to show the equality $\alpha_W = j^*\alpha_X$, which is a consequence of [29, Proposition 5.8.2].

Next we consider the general case. Take an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of $k$. We put the letter $\bar{k}$ to mean the base change by $k \to \bar{k}$. Using $\varphi$, we define an $A$-valued function $\varphi_{\bar{k}}$ on isolated $C_{\bar{k}}$-characteristic points as follows. Let

$$(3.6) \quad U \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{X_{\bar{k}}}$$

be a diagram as in (3.2), and $u \in U$ be an at most isolated $C_{\bar{k}}$-characteristic point of $f$. We assume that $U$ and $Y$ are quasi-compact. Take a finite subextension $k'/k$ in $\bar{k}$ such that there exists a diagram

$$U' \xrightarrow{f'} Y' \xrightarrow{X_{k'}}$$

whose base change by $k' \to \bar{k}$ is isomorphic to (3.6). Let $u' \in U'$ be the image of $u$. Define
\[ \varphi_k(f, u) := \frac{1}{\deg(u/k)} \varphi(f', u'), \] where the right hand side is defined from the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_k' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X.
\end{array}
\]

This is independent of the choice of \((k', f')\) and defines an \(A\)-valued function on isolated \(C_k\)-characteristic points. Since \(\varphi_k\) is flat, we find a cycle \(\alpha_k\) satisfying (3.5). From the construction of \(\varphi_k\), \(\alpha_k\) is stable under the action of the Galois group \(\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)\). By étale descent, we get a cycle \(\alpha\) which satisfies the condition.

**Definition 3.13.** Let the notation be as above. We denote by \(R\Phi_f(K)_{\alpha}\) the pull-back of \(R\Phi_f(j^*K)\) by \(\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \eta_{Y_{\varphi(f,u)}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \times_Y Y\). This is an object of \(D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta_{\alpha}, \Lambda)\).

For an object \(M \in D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta_{\alpha}, \Lambda)\), define the total dimension \(\dim\text{tot} M\) of \(M\) to be

\[ \dim\text{tot} M := \text{rk} M + \text{Sw} M. \]

Finally we give the definition of characteristic cycles.

**Theorem 3.14.** ([29, Theorem 5.9, 5.18]) Let \(X\) be a smooth scheme over \(k\) and \(K \in D_{\text{ctf}}(X, \Lambda)\) be a constructible complex on \(X\). Let \(C\) be a closed conical subset of \(T^*X\) on which \(K\) is micro-supported. Assume that each irreducible component of \(C\) is of dimension \(n\). Then, there exists a cycle \(CC(K)\) in \(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Z_n(T^*X)\), supported on \(C\), admitting the following property:

For every diagram as (3.2) and an at most isolated \(C\)-characteristic point \(u \in U\) of \(f\), we have

\[ -\dim\text{tot} R\Phi_f(K)_{\alpha} = (CC(K), df)_{\alpha}. \]

Moreover, \(CC(K)\) is unique and independent of the choice \(C\) on which \(K\) is micro-supported. \(CC(K)\) is \(\mathbb{Z}\)-coefficient.

**Proof.** The first assertion is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.12 if one knows the \(\mathbb{Q}\)-valued function on isolated \(C\)-characteristic points defined by \(\varphi(f, u) = -\deg(u/k) \cdot \dim\text{tot} R\Phi_f(K)_{\alpha}\) is flat. This is proved in [29, Proposition 2.16], and we give another proof when \(k\) is of positive characteristic (Theorem 5.6). The integrality is proved in [29, Theorem 5.18].

In the sequel, we need a version of flat functions.
Lemma 3.18. Let $\phi: Z \to S$ be a quasi-finite morphism of schemes. A function $\varphi: Z \to \mathbb{Z}$ is said to be flat if, for every geometric point $(x \leftarrow t)$ of $Z \times_S S$, we have

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{z \in Z(x) \times_S S_t} \varphi(z),$$

where $s$ is the image $h(z)$. For a geometric point $z$ of $Z$, we write $\varphi(z)$ for the value of $\varphi$ at the image of $z \to Z$.

Remark 3.16. Suppose that $S$ is locally of finite type over a perfect field $k$. For a function $\varphi: Z \to \mathbb{Z}$, define $\overline{\varphi}: |Z| \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $z \mapsto \deg(z/k)\varphi(z)$. If $\varphi$ is flat over $S$ in the sense of Definition 3.15, so is $\overline{\varphi}$ in the sense of Definition 3.13.

Example 3.17. Let $Z$ and $S$ be as in Definition 3.13.

1. Let $i: S' \to S$ be a morphism of schemes and $i_Z: Z' = Z \times_S S' \to Z$ be the projection. If the function $\varphi: Z \to \mathbb{Z}$ is flat over $S$, the composition $\varphi \circ i_Z$ is flat over $S'$.

2. Assume that $\mathcal{O}_Z$ is of finite tor-dimension as an $h^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$-module. For a point $z \in Z$, write $\overline{z}$ for a geometric point above $z$ and $\overline{s}$ for the geometric point of $S$ defined by $\overline{z} \to Z \to S$. Then the function $Z \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $z \mapsto \sum_i (-1)^i \dim_{k(\overline{z})} \text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_Z,\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_{Z,\overline{z}}, k(\overline{s}))$ is flat over $S$.

Lemma 3.18. Let $h: Z \to S$ be a separated quasi-finite morphism of noetherian schemes. Let $\varphi: Z \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a flat function over $S$.

1. There exists a unique morphism $\text{Tr}_\varphi: h_1^*Z \to Z$ of étale sheaves on $S$ such that, for every geometric point $\overline{s}$ of $S$, the stalk $\text{Tr}_{\varphi,\overline{s}}: \bigoplus_{z \in Z_{\overline{s}}} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ sends $(n_z)_z$ to $\sum_z n_z \varphi(z)$.

2. Let $i: S' \to S$ be a morphism of noetherian schemes and let $Z \xrightarrow{i_Z} Z' = Z \times_S S' \xrightarrow{h'} S'$ be the projections. Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
i^*i^*h_1^*Z & \xrightarrow{i^*\text{Tr}_\varphi} & i^*Z \\
\cong \downarrow & \cong \downarrow \\
h'_1i^*Z & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{\varphi \circ i_Z}} & Z,
\end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are canonical isomorphisms, is commutative.

Proof. They are done in [3, Proposition 6.2.5].

Lemma 3.19. Let $h: Z \to S$ be a finite morphism of noetherian schemes. Assume that $S$ is a scheme over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $E$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ containing the group of $p$-th roots of unity $\mu_p$. For a $Z$-morphism $f: Z \to \mathbb{A}^1_Z$ and a flat function $\varphi: Z \to \mathbb{Z}$ over $S$, there exists an element $L_\varphi(\varphi \cdot f) \in H^1(\mathbb{A}^1_Z, \mathcal{O}_E)$ with the following properties.

1. For a morphism $i: S' \to S$ of noetherian schemes, $L_\varphi(\varphi \circ i \cdot f')$ coincides with the image of $L_\varphi(\varphi \cdot f)$ by $H^1(\mathbb{A}^1_S, \mathcal{O}_E^\times) \to H^1(\mathbb{A}^1_{S'}, \mathcal{O}_E^\times)$. Here $i_Z: Z' := Z \times_S S' \to Z$ is the projection and $f': Z' \to \mathbb{A}^1_{Z'}$ is the base change of $f$.
2. When $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ is the spectrum of a perfect field $k$, $\mathcal{L}\psi(\varphi \cdot f)$ is equal to $\mathcal{L}\psi((\sum_{z \in Z} \varphi(z)\text{Tr}_{k(z)/k}(f(z))) \cdot x)$, where $x$ is the standard coordinate of $A^1_k$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \circ p_Z : A^1_Z \to Z \to Z$ be the composition of $\varphi$ and the projection $p_Z : A^1_Z \to Z$. This is a flat function over $A^1_S$. Let $\text{Tr}_{\varphi \circ p_Z} : (h \times \text{id})_*Z \to Z$ be the trace map constructed in Lemma 3.18 from $\varphi \circ p_Z$ and the base change $h \times \text{id} : A^1_Z \to A^1_S$ of $h$. Tensoring $\mathcal{O}_E^*$ and taking $H^1$, we get a group homomorphism

\[(3.7) \quad H^1(A^1_Z, \mathcal{O}_E^*) \to H^1(A^1_S, \mathcal{O}_E^*).\]

Let $\mathcal{L}\psi(f \cdot x) \in H^1(A^1_Z, \mathcal{O}_E^*)$ be the pull back of the rank 1 smooth $\mathcal{O}_E$-sheaf $\mathcal{L}\psi(x)$ on $A^1_Z$ by the map $A^1_Z \to A^1_S$ defined by $x \mapsto f \cdot x$. Define $\mathcal{L}\psi(\varphi \cdot f)$ to be the image of $\mathcal{L}\psi(f \cdot x)$ by (3.7). By Lemma 3.18,2, this satisfies the properties.

We consider the theory of the universal hyperplane sections ([29 Section 3.2]) and the notion of good pencils ([31]). Let $X$ be a quasi-projective smooth scheme over a field $k$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$-module. Let $E$ be a $k$-vector space of finite dimension and $E \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a $k$-linear mapping inducing a surjection $E \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{L}$. Suppose that this induces an immersion $h : X \to \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(E^\vee)$. Here we use a contra-Grothendieck notation for a projective space, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(E^\vee)$ parametrizes sub line bundles of $E^\vee$. Let $\mathbb{P}^\vee := \mathbb{P}(E)$ be the dual projective space. The universal hyperplane $Q \subset \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee$ parametrizes pairs $(x, H)$ consisting of points $x \in \mathbb{P}$ and hyperplanes $H \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$ which contain $x$. Since the kernel of the tautological surjection $E \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{P}(-1) \to \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{P}$ is canonically isomorphic to the cotangent bundle $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{P}}$, $Q$ is identified with the projective space bundle $\mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{P})$. The composition $T^*_Q(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee) \to Q \times_{\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee} T^*(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee) \to Q \times_{\mathbb{P}} T^*\mathbb{P}$ is the universal sub line bundle on $Q$.

Consider the following diagram

\[(3.8) \quad X \times_{\mathbb{P}} Q \quad \xrightarrow{p} \quad Q \quad \xrightarrow{p^\vee} \quad \mathbb{P}^\vee.\]

We have $X \times_{\mathbb{P}} Q = \mathbb{P}(X \times_{\mathbb{P}} T^*\mathbb{P})$.

Let $L \subset \mathbb{P}^\vee$ be a line in $\mathbb{P}^\vee$. We have a commutative diagram

\[(3.9) \quad X \quad \xrightarrow{\pi} \quad X_L \quad \xrightarrow{f} \quad L \quad \xleftarrow{p} \quad X \times_{\mathbb{P}} Q \quad \xrightarrow{p^\vee} \quad \mathbb{P}^\vee\]

where the right square is cartesian. Denote by $A_L$ the axis of $L$ in $\mathbb{P}$. This is a subspace of $\mathbb{P}$ of codimension 2. The $\mathbb{P}$-scheme $\mathbb{P}_L = Q \times_{\mathbb{P}^\vee} L$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}$ along $A_L$. Hence, if $X$ and $A_L$ meet transversally, $X_L$ is the blow-up of $X$ along the smooth subvariety $X \cap A_L$.

**Definition 3.20.** Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}$ be a closed smooth subvariety purely of dimension $n$ over $k$. Let $C$ be a closed conical subset of $T^*X$ whose irreducible components are of dimension $n$. We call the pair $(\pi, f)$ as in (3.9) a good pencil with respect to $C$ if the following conditions hold.

1. $X$ and $A_L$ meet transversally.
2. The morphism \( \pi \) is properly \( C \)-transversal.

3. The morphism \( f \) has at most isolated \( \pi^oC \)-characteristic points.

4. For every closed point \( y \in L \), there exists at most one \( \pi^oC \)-characteristic point on the fiber \( f^{-1}(y) \).

5. No isolated characteristic points of \( f \) are contained in the exceptional locus of \( \pi \).

6. For every irreducible component \( C_\alpha \) of \( C \), there is an isolated \( \pi^oC \)-characteristic point \( x \in X_L \) such that \( df \) only meets \( C_\alpha \) at \( x \).

7. For every isolated \( \pi^oC \)-characteristic point \( x \in X_L \) of \( f \), the morphism \( x \to f(x) \) of the spectra of fields is purely inseparable.

The existence of good pencils is proved in [32, Lemma 4.9.] using [31, Lemma 2.3.].

**Lemma 3.21.** ([32, Lemma 4.9.], [31, Lemma 2.3.]) Let \( X \) and \( C \) be as in Definition 3.20. Let \( \text{Gr}(1, \mathbb{P}^\vee) \) be the Grassmanian variety parametrizing lines in \( \mathbb{P}^\vee \). Then, after composing \( X \to \mathbb{P} \) and the Veronese embedding \( \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}' \) of \( \deg \geq 3 \) if necessary, there exists a dense open subset \( U \subset \text{Gr}(1, \mathbb{P}^\vee) \) such that, for every \( k \)-rational point \( L \in U(k) \), the pair \((\pi, f)\) in (3.9) is a good pencil.

At the end of this section, we give definitions of the local acyclicity, singular supports, and characteristic cycles for \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-sheaves. For the \( \ell \)-adic formalism, see Section 7.

**Lemma 3.22.** Let \( f: X \to Y \) be a morphism of finite type of schemes. Let \( \Lambda \) be a finite local ring with the residue field \( \Lambda_0 \) whose characteristic is invertible in \( Y \). Let \( K \in D_{ctf}(X, \Lambda) \) be a constructible complex of finite tor-dimension. Then, \( f \) is (resp. universally) locally acyclic relatively to \( K \) if and only if so is \( f \otimes L_\Lambda \Lambda_0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( x \) be a geometric point of \( X \) and \( y \) be a geometric point of \( Y \) which is a generalization of \( f(x) \). Since the functor \( \Gamma(X_\{x\} \times_{Y(f(x))} y, \_ \) is of finite cohomological dimension, we have \( R\Gamma(X_\{x\} \times_{Y(f(x))} y, K) \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0 \cong R\Gamma(X_\{x\} \times_{Y(f(x))} y, K \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0) \). Since \( \Lambda \) is an extension of finite free \( \Lambda_0 \)-modules, the assertion follows.

**Lemma 3.23.** Let \( X \) be a smooth scheme of finite type over a noetherian scheme \( S \). Let \( \Lambda \) and \( \Lambda_0 \) be as above. Let \( K \in D_{ctf}(X, \Lambda) \). The following hold.

1. The relative singular support \( SS(K, X/S) \) exists if and only if \( SS(K \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0, X/S) \) exists. In this case, we have \( SS(K, X/S) = SS(K \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0, X/S) \).

2. Suppose that \( S \) is the spectrum of a perfect field. We have \( CC(K) = CC(K \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0) \).

**Proof.** 1. It follows from Lemma 3.22

2. Let \( X \leftarrow U \to Y \) be as (3.2). The assertion follows from \( R\Phi_f(K) \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0 \cong R\Phi_f(K \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0) \) and the equality \( \dimtot R\Phi_f(K) = \dimtot(R\Phi_f(K) \otimes^L_\Lambda \Lambda_0) \).
Definition 3.24. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of finite type of noetherian schemes. Assume that the prime number $\ell$ is invertible in $Y$. Let $\Lambda$ be either $\mathcal{O}_E$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$. For an element $\mathcal{F}_0 \in D^b_c(X, \Lambda)$, we say that $f$ is (resp. universally) locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}_0$ if, for some (hence all) $n \geq 0$, $f$ is (resp. universally) locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L \Lambda/\ell^{n+1}$.

Definition 3.25. Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type over a noetherian scheme $S$. Let $\Lambda$ be $\mathcal{O}_E$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \in D^b_c(X, \Lambda)$.

1. If $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}_E$, we define $SS(\mathcal{F}_0, X/S) := SS(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}, X/S)$ and, when $S$ is the spectrum of a perfect field, $CC(\mathcal{F}_0) := CC(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1})$ for some (hence all) $n \geq 0$.

2. If $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell$, take a finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ so that there is $\mathcal{F}_{0,E} \in D^b_c(X, \mathcal{O}_E)$ with $\mathcal{F}_{0,E} \otimes^L \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell \cong \mathcal{F}_0$. We define $SS(\mathcal{F}_0, X/S) := SS(\mathcal{F}_{0,E} \otimes^L \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}, X/S)$ and, when $S$ is the spectrum of a perfect field, $CC(\mathcal{F}_0) := CC(\mathcal{F}_{0,E} \otimes^L \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1})$ for some (hence all) $n \geq 0$. These are independent of the choice of $E$.

4 Local Epsilon Factors (cf. [5], [12], [22])

In this preliminary section, we review theories of local epsilon factors for henselian traits of equal-characteristic. We fix a number $p$ which is 0 or a prime number $\neq \ell$. We also fix a finite subextension $E$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. When $p > 0$, we assume that $E$ contains primitive $p$-th roots of unity and fix a non-trivial character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_p \to E^\times$.

4.1 Generalities on local epsilon factors

Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p$. Let $T$ be a henselian trait which is isomorphic to the henselization of $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ at a closed point. Let $s$ and $\eta$ be the closed point and the generic point of $T$ respectively. When $k$ is a finite field, in [3], a constant $\varepsilon_\psi(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega) \in E^\times$, or simply $\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$, is defined for a constructible complex $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(T, E)$ and a non-zero rational 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1_{k(\eta)}$.

Theorem 4.1. ([5], [22]) Let $T$ be as above. Assume that $k$ is finite. Fix a non-trivial character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_p \to E^\times$. For each complex $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(T, E)$ and a non-zero rational 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1_{k(\eta)}$, we can attach, in a canonical way, an element

$$\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega) \in E^\times$$

which satisfies the following properties:

1. The element $\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ only depends on the isomorphism class of $(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$.

2. For an distinguished triangle

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_2 \to \mathcal{F}_3 \to$$

in $D^b_c(T, E)$, we have $\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}_1, \omega) \cdot \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}_3, \omega) = \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}_2, \omega)$. 
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3. If $\mathcal{F}$ is supported on the closed point $s$, we have
\[ \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega) = \det(-\text{Frob}_s, \mathcal{F}_s)^{-1}. \]

4. Let $\eta_1/\eta$ be a finite separable extension and $f : T_1 \to T$ be the normalization in $\eta_1$. For a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}_1 \in D^b_c(T_1, E)$ with generic rank 0, i.e. $\operatorname{rk}\mathcal{F}_{1, \eta_1} = 0$, we have
\[ \varepsilon(T_1, \mathcal{F}_1, f^*\omega) = \varepsilon(T, f_*\mathcal{F}_1, \omega). \]

5. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth $E$-sheaf on $\eta$ of rank 1, which induces a character $\chi : k(\eta)^	imes \to E^	imes$ via the Artin map $k(\eta)^	imes \to G_{\eta}^{ab}$. Let $j : \eta \to T$ be the immersion. Then, we have
\[ \varepsilon(T, j_!\mathcal{G}, \omega) = \varepsilon(\chi, \Psi(\omega)). \]

Here $\Psi_\omega(a) = \psi \circ \text{Tr}_{k(\eta)/F_p}(\text{Res}(a \cdot \omega))$ and $\varepsilon(\chi, \Psi(\omega))$ is the Tate constant ([22, 3.1.3.2]).

Here we fix a normalization of the local class field theory so that the Artin map sends a uniformizer to a geometric Frobenius. We identify a smooth $\mathcal{E}$-sheaf on $\eta$ to $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$, respectively. We define $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ on $\eta$ to $\mathcal{V}$.

The local epsilon factors admit the following properties.

**Proposition 4.2.**
1. ([22, 3.1.5.5]) For a non-zero element $a \in \Gamma(\eta, \mathcal{O}_\eta)$, we have
\[ \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, a \cdot \omega) = \det(\mathcal{F}_\eta)(a) \|a\|^{-\operatorname{rk}\mathcal{O}_\eta} \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega). \]

2. ([22, 3.1.5.6]) Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth $E$-sheaf on $T$. Then, we have
\[ \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}, \omega) = \det(\mathcal{G})(\text{Frob}_s)^{a(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)} \cdot \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)^{\operatorname{rk}\mathcal{G}}. \]

Here $a(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ is defined to be $\operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}_\eta) + \text{Sw}(\mathcal{F}_\eta) - \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}_s) + \operatorname{rk}(\mathcal{F}_\eta) \cdot \operatorname{ord}(\omega)$.

**Definition 4.3.** We write $\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F})$ for the class of $\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ in $\mathcal{O}_T / \mu$ where $\operatorname{ord}(\omega) = 0$, i.e. a basis of $\mathcal{O}_T$-module $\Omega^1_T$, which is independent of the choice of $\omega$ by Proposition 4.2.1. We also denote by $\varepsilon_0(T, \mathcal{V})$ the class of $\varepsilon_0(T, \mathcal{V}, \omega)$ for $\omega$ with $\operatorname{ord}(\omega) = 0$.

To compute local epsilon factors, Laumon uses the local Fourier transform in [22]. We slightly generalize his construction to general base schemes. Let $S$ be a noetherian scheme over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Fix a finite local ring $\Lambda$ of characteristic $\ell$. For a non-trivial character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \to \Lambda^\times$, let $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x)$ be the Artin-Schreier sheaf on $A^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. This is defined as follows. Let $A^1_{\mathbb{F}_p} \to A^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}}$ be the Artin-Schreier covering defined by $x \mapsto x^p - x$. This is a connected Galois covering whose Galois group is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p$. Composing $\psi$, we get a locally constant constructible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x)$ of $\Lambda$-modules of rank 1. Let $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x_1 \cdot x_2)$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x)$ by the multiplication $A^1_{\mathbb{F}_p} \times \mathbb{F}_p A^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}} \to A^1_{\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}}$, where $x_1$ and $x_2$ are the standard coordinates of the first and second factors respectively. We define $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\psi$ to be the 0-extension of $\mathcal{L}_\psi(x_1 \cdot x_2)$ to $A^1_{\mathbb{F}_p} \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. We also use the same notation $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\psi$ for the pull-back by $A^1_{\mathbb{S}} \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{S}} \to A^1_{\mathbb{F}_p} \times \mathbb{F}_p \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Denote by $0_S$ (resp. $\infty_S$) the section $S \to A^1_{\mathbb{S}}$ (resp. $S \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{S}}$) at the origin (resp. at the infinity). Let
\[
\begin{align*}
0_S \times A^1_{\mathbb{S}} \xleftarrow{\text{id}} A^1_{\mathbb{S}} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{S}}}} (0_S \times S \times \infty_S) \times A^1_{\mathbb{S}} \times S \mathbb{P}^1_S \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \infty_S \times \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{S}}
\end{align*}
\]
be the morphisms of topoi induced from the projections $A^1_S \leftarrow A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S$. Let $q: (0_S \times_S \infty_S) \times_{\overrightarrow{A}^1_S} (A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \rightarrow A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S$ be the second projection.

**Definition 4.4.** Let $K \in D_{\text{ctf}}(0_S \times_{\overrightarrow{A}^1_S} \mathbb{G}_{m,S}, \Lambda)$ be a complex of finite tor-dimension whose cohomology sheaves are locally constant. Define the local Fourier transform $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ by

$$F^{(0,\infty)}(K) := R\mathcal{P}_{2\ast}(p^*_1 K_! \otimes^L_q \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1] \mid_{\infty_S \times_{\overrightarrow{A}^1_S} A^1_S} \in D^b(\infty_S \times_{\overrightarrow{A}^1_S} A^1_S, \Lambda).$$

Here $K_!$ is the 0-extension of $K$ by $0_S \times_{\overrightarrow{A}^1_S} \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \hookrightarrow 0_S \times_{\overrightarrow{A}^1_S} A^1_S$.

**Proposition 4.5.** (cf. [22 Proposition (2.4.2.2).]) Let the notation be as above. Let $\Lambda_0$ be the residue field of $\Lambda$.

1. The formation of $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \to S$.

2. When $K$ has tor-amplitude in $[0,0]$, so is $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$.

3. The local Fourier transform $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ is constructible and of finite tor-dimension. It is locally constant if and only if, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function on $S$ defined by $s \mapsto \text{dim}_{\text{tot}}(K \otimes^L_A \Lambda_0)\mid_{\eta_\bar{s}}$, where $\bar{s}$ is the spectrum of an algebraic closure of $k(s)$ and $\eta_\bar{s} \cong 0 \times_{A^1_S(\bar{s})} \mathbb{G}_{m,k(\bar{s})}$ is the generic point of the henselization $A^1_{k(\bar{s}), (0)}$ at the origin, is locally constant.

**Proof.** 1. Let $s$ be a geometric point of $S$. Since the projection $A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ (Example 3.8.3), so is the morphism $(A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S)_{(0,s,\infty_s)} \to \mathbb{P}^1_{S,(s,\infty_s)}$ relatively to the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_\psi$. Since $K$ is locally constant, the pair $(A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \to \mathbb{P}^1_S, 0_S \times_S \infty_S \to \infty_S)$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $K_! \otimes^L_q \mathcal{L}_\psi$ outside $0_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \subset A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S$ in the sense of Definition 2.5.1. The assertion follows from Corollary 2.6 taking $X, S, Y, T,$ and $Z$ in the corollary as $A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S, \mathbb{P}^1_S, 0_S \times_S \infty_S, \infty_S,$ and $0_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S$, respectively.

2. Replacing $K$ by $K \otimes^L_A \Lambda_0$, we may assume that $\Lambda$ is a field. By 1, we may also assume that $S$ is the spectrum of a field. In this case, the assertion follows from the fact that the vanishing cycles functor preserves perversity up to shift [16 Corollaire 4.6].

3. The complex $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ is of finite tor-dimension since the cohomological dimension of $\gamma_2^\ast$ is finite [24 Proposition 3.1]. For the constructibility and the local constantness, replacing $K$ by $K \otimes^L_A \Lambda_0$, we may assume that $\Lambda$ is a field, and that $K$ is a locally constant sheaf. By 1 and the constructibility of $K$, we may assume that $S$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Let $S' \to S$ be a proper surjective morphism of $\mathbb{F}_p$-schemes. Note that $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ is (resp. locally constant) constructible if and only if so is $F^{(0,\infty)}(K|_{S'})$. Indeed, the equivalence of the constructibility follows from [24 Lemme 10.5.] and 1. By Lemma 2.8 $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ is locally constant if and only if, for all specializations of points of $\infty_S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S$ of types $(x_1 \leftarrow t) \leftarrow (x_2 \leftarrow t)$ or $(x \leftarrow t_1) \leftarrow (x \leftarrow t_2)$, the canonical maps of the stalks of $F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ are isomorphisms. Hence the assertion follows from 1. Thus we may assume that $S$ is regular by de Jong’s alteration theorem.

Let $\eta \in S$ be a generic point. By the Gabber-Katz extension [18], there exists a locally constant constructible sheaf $K'$ on $\mathbb{G}_{m,k(\eta)}$ which restricts to $K$ on $0 \times_{A^1_{k(\eta)}} \mathbb{G}_{m,k(\eta)}$. By Zariski-Nagata’s purity theorem, $K'$ extends to a locally constant constructible sheaf on
\( \mathbb{G}_{m,S} \), which is denoted also by \( K' \). The projection \( p_2: A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \to \mathbb{P}^1_S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( p_1^* K'_t \otimes^L \mathcal{L}_\psi \) outside \( 0_S \times S \mathbb{P}^1_S \), since so is \( \mathcal{L}_\psi \) (Example 3.8.3) and \( K' \) is locally constant. If the function \( s \mapsto \dim \text{tot} K'_{|s} \) is locally constant, \( A^1_S \to S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( K'_t \) by [21 Théorème 2.1.1]. Hence \( A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \to \mathbb{P}^1_S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( p_1^* K'_t \otimes^L \mathcal{L}_\psi \) outside \( 0_S \times S \infty_S \). The assertion except the “only if” part follows from Propositions 2.2.1, 2.7.

The “only if” part can be verified as follows. Assume that \( F^{(0,\infty)}(K) \) is locally constant. Replacing \( K \) by \( K \otimes^L \Lambda \), we may assume that \( \Lambda \) is a field. By 2, the function \( \dim \text{tot} \mathcal{H}'(K)|_{\eta_t} \) coincides with \( \text{rk} \mathcal{H}' F^{(0,\infty)}(K)|_{\eta_t} \) (Here we use Theorem 4.6.2, which is proved independently of this proposition), hence the assertion.

Let \( k \) be a perfect field of characteristic \( p > 0 \), and \( T \) and \( T' \) be henselian traits of equal-characteristic with residue field \( k \). Fix uniformizers \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) of \( T \) and \( T' \) respectively. Assume that the \( k \)-morphism \( T \to A^1_k \) (resp. \( T' \to \mathbb{P}^1_k \)) defined by \( x \mapsto \pi \) (resp. \( x' \to 1/\pi' \)), where \( x \) (resp. \( x' \)) is the standard coordinate of \( A^1_k \) (resp. \( A^1_k \subset \mathbb{P}^1_k \)), induces an isomorphism between \( T \) (resp. \( T' \)) and the henselization of \( A^1_k \) (resp. \( \mathbb{P}^1_k \)) at \( 0 \) (resp. \( \infty \)). We identify \( T \) and \( T' \) with the henselizations. Let \( s, \eta \) and \( s', \eta' \) be the closed points and the generic points of \( T \) and \( T' \) respectively. We also denote the pull-back of \( \mathcal{L}_\psi \) by the map \( T \times_k T' \to A^1_k \times_k A^1_k \) by the same letter \( \mathcal{L}_\psi \).

Let \( G_\eta \) and \( G_{\eta'} \) be the absolute Galois groups of \( \eta \) and \( \eta' \). We identify the category \( D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta, \Lambda) \) (resp. \( D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta', \Lambda) \)) with the derived category of complexes of continuous \( G_\eta \)-representations (resp. continuous \( G_\eta' \)-representations) on finite \( \Lambda \)-modules whose tor-dimensions are finite.

There is a canonical upper numbering filtration \( (G_\eta^v)_v \) of \( G_{\eta'} \) indexed by \( v \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \). \( G_\eta^0 \) is the inertia subgroup and \( G_{\eta'}^{0+} := \bigcup_{v > 0} G_{\eta'}^v \) is the wild inertia subgroup. Let \( D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta', \Lambda)|_{0,1} \) be the full subcategory of \( D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta', \Lambda) \) consisting of complexes on which \( G_{\eta'}^{0+} \) acts trivially.

**Theorem 4.6.** (cf. [22 Théorème (2.4.3)]) Let the notation be as above.

1. \( F^{(0,\infty)} \) induces an equivalence of categories

\[
F^{(0,\infty)}: D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta, \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta', \Lambda)|_{0,1},
\]

2. For \( K \in D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta, \Lambda) \), we have

- \( \text{rk} F^{(0,\infty)}(K) = \text{rk} K + \text{Sw} K \).
- \( \text{Sw} F^{(0,\infty)}(K) = \text{Sw} K \).

**Proof.** Originally similar results for \( \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{\text{f}}} \)-sheaves are proven in [22 Théorème (2.4.3)]. Since the arguments in loc. cit. work, we only sketch the proof.

1. Similarly as \( F^{(0,\infty)} \), we define a functor \( F^{(\infty,0)}: D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta, \Lambda) \to D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta, \Lambda) \) by \( F^{(\infty,0)}(K) := \mathcal{H}_{1,*}^r (p_2^* K_t \otimes \mathcal{L}_\psi)[1]|_{\eta} \), where we denote the projections by

\[
\begin{align*}
s \times T & \xleftarrow{p_1} (s \times_k s') \xleftarrow{(s \times_k T') \times (T \times_k T')} (T \times_k T') \xrightarrow{p_2} (s' \times T') \to T'.
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly as [22 Théorème (2.4.3)], we have natural isomorphisms \( F^{(\infty,0)} \circ F^{(0,\infty)}(K) \cong a^* K (-1) \) for \( K \in D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta, \Lambda) \), and \( F^{(0,\infty)} \circ F^{(\infty,0)}(K) \cong a^* K (-1) \) for \( K \in D_{\text{ctf}}(\eta', \Lambda)|_{0,1} \), where \( a \) denotes the \( k \)-isomorphisms \( T \to T \) and \( T' \to T' \) which send \( \pi \) and \( \pi' \) to \( -\pi \).
and $-\pi'$ respectively. To show the assertion, then, it suffices to prove that the image of $F^{(0,\infty)}$ is contained in $D_{ctf}(\eta',\Lambda)_{[0,1]}$. To this end, we may assume that $\Lambda$ is a field and that $K \in D_{ctf}(\eta,\Lambda)$ is a sheaf. The local Fourier transform $V := F^{(0,\infty)}(K)$ is a sheaf by Proposition 4.5.2. Similarly as [22, Théorème (2.4.3)], we have an isomorphism $F^{(\infty,0)}(V^{G_{\eta'}}) \cong F^{(\infty,0)}(V)$. Hence the assertion follows from the isomorphisms

$$a^*V^{G_{\eta'}}(-1) \cong F^{(0,\infty)} \circ F^{(\infty,0)}(V) = F^{(0,\infty)} \circ F^{(\infty,0)}(K) \cong F^{(0,\infty)}(a^*K(-1)) \cong a^*V(-1).$$

2. The equalities follow from the existence of the Gabber-Katz extension and the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 4.7.** For a constructible complex $K \in D_{ctf}(\eta,\Lambda)$, the determinant $\det(F^{(0,\infty)}(K))$ is tamely ramified.

**Proof.** Since $\det(F^{(0,\infty)}(K))$ is of rank 1 and $G_{\eta'}$ acts trivially on it, the assertion follows from Hasse-Arf Theorem.

Using Proposition 4.5 and the functoriality in $\Lambda$, we define the local Fourier transform $F^{(0,\infty)}$ for $\ell$-adic sheaves as follows.

**Definition 4.8.** We define a functor, the local Fourier transform, from the derived category of bounded complexes of smooth $E$-sheaves on $\eta$ to that of smooth $E$-sheaves on $\eta'$ by

$$F^{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{F}) := (\lim_{\leftarrow n} F^{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E.$$

Here $\mathcal{F}_0$ is a constructible complex of smooth $\mathcal{O}_E$-sheaves on $\eta$ such that $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E \cong \mathcal{F}$.

We can define $F^{(0,\infty)}(\mathcal{F})$ for $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(\eta,\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ similarly since such an $\mathcal{F}$ can be defined over some finite extension $E/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

The following is the formula of local epsilon factors obtained in [22]. Let $k$ be a finite field.

**Theorem 4.9.** ([22, Théorème (3.5.1.1)]) Let $k$ be a finite field. For every finite dimensional $E$-representation $V$ of the absolute Galois group of $\eta$, the following holds.

$$\varepsilon_0(T, V, d\pi) = (-1)^{rkV + SwV} \det(F^{(0,\infty)}(V))(\pi').$$

In [12], Guignard generalizes the theory of local epsilon factors to general perfect fields of positive characteristic.

To sketch his result, we need to recall local geometric class field theory [12, Section 5]. Let $G$ be a commutative group scheme over a scheme $S$. Let $m: G \times_S G \to G$ be the multiplication. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite local ring with residue characteristic $\ell$. A multiplicative $\Lambda$-local system is a pair $(\mathcal{L}, \theta)$ consisting of a rank 1 locally constant $\Lambda$-sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $G$ and an isomorphism $\theta: p_1^{-1}\mathcal{L} \otimes p_2^{-1}\mathcal{L} \to m^{-1}\mathcal{L}$, where $p_i$ are the $i$-th projection, of $\Lambda$-sheaves on $G \times_S G$ satisfying the symmetry and associativity constraints. See [12 5.1] for the detail. We denote by Loc$^\otimes(G,\Lambda)$ the category of multiplicative $\Lambda$-local systems on $G$.

Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 0$. Let $T$ be a trait which is isomorphic to the henselization $\mathbb{A}^1_{k,(0)}$. Let $m$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_T$. For an integer $n \geq 1$, let $\text{Pic}(T, n)_k$ be the functor from the category of $k$-schemes to that of groups which sends a $k$-scheme $S$ to the group of pairs $(d, u)$, where $d$ is a locally constant function $d: S \to \mathbb{Z}$ and
$u: \mathcal{O}_S \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_T / \mathfrak{m}^n \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_S \otimes_k \mathfrak{m}^{-d} / \mathfrak{m}^{-d+n}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_S \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_T / \mathfrak{m}^n$-modules. This is represented by a commutative smooth group $k$-scheme $\coprod_d \text{Pic}^d(T, n)_k$, whose connected components are parametrized by $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\eta$ be the generic point of $T$. The group of $k$-valued points is canonically identified with the group $k(\eta)^\times/(1 + \mathfrak{m}^n)$. For a uniformizer $\pi \in k(\eta)$, the pair $(1, 1 - \pi \otimes \pi^{-1})$ defines an $\eta$-rational point $\phi_{\pi}: \eta \to \text{Pic}(T, n)_k$.

**Theorem 4.10.** ([12, Theorem 5.26]) Let the notation be as above. The functor $\phi_{\pi}^{-1}$ induces an equivalence of categories between $\text{Loc}^\otimes(\text{Pic}(T, n)_k, \Lambda)$ and the category of locally constant $\Lambda$-sheaves of rank 1 on $\eta$ whose Swan conductors are strictly less than $n$. Moreover, $\phi_{\pi}^{-1}$ does not depend on the choice of $\pi$ up to an isomorphism.

We denote by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \chi_{\mathcal{F}}(z)$ a quasi-inverse functor of $\phi_{\pi}^{-1}$. This is well-defined up to an isomorphism.

Let $z \in k(\eta)$ be a non-zero element with $\text{ord}(z) = d$. Then, the class of $z^{-1}$ in $\mathfrak{m}^{-d} / \mathfrak{m}^{-d+n}$ yields a $k$-rational point $z^{-1}: \text{Spec}(k) \to \text{Pic}^d(T, n)_k$. For a multiplicative $\Lambda$-local system $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Loc}^\otimes(\text{Pic}(T, n)_k, \Lambda)$, we denote by $\mathcal{L}(z)$ the inverse image of $\mathcal{L}$ by $z^{-1}$.

Let $z \in k(\eta)$ be a non-zero element. The construction $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \chi_{\mathcal{F}}(z)$ does not depend on the choice of an integer $n$ so that $\text{Sw}\mathcal{F} < n$. This follows from the fact that there exists a canonical map $\text{Pic}(T, n')_k \to \text{Pic}(T, n)_k$ for $n' \geq n$.

Let $E$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. A multiplicative $\mathcal{O}_E$-local system on $\text{Pic}(T, n)_k$ is a projective system $((\mathcal{L}_m, \theta_m))_{m \geq 0}$ where $(\mathcal{L}_m, \theta_m)$ is a multiplicative $\mathcal{O}_E / \ell^{m+1}$-local system on $\text{Pic}(T, n)_k$ and the transition maps induce isomorphisms $(\mathcal{L}_{m+1} / \ell^{m+1}, \theta_{m+1} \otimes 1) \to (\mathcal{L}_m, \theta_m)$ for all $m \geq 0$. We denote by $\text{Loc}^\otimes(\text{Pic}(T, n)_k, \mathcal{O}_E)$ the category of multiplicative $\mathcal{O}_E$-local systems on $\text{Pic}(T, n)_k$. We define the category $\text{Loc}^\otimes(\text{Pic}(T, n)_k, \mathcal{O}_E)$ of multiplicative $\mathcal{O}_E$-local systems on $\text{Pic}(T, n)_k$ to be the 2-colimit $\lim_{\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{L}_E} \text{Loc}^\otimes(\text{Pic}(T, n)_k, \mathcal{O}_E)$, where $E$ runs through finite subextensions of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell / \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. We define the category $\text{Loc}^\otimes(\text{Pic}(T, n)_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ of multiplicative $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-local systems on $\text{Pic}(T, n)_k$ as $\text{Loc}^\otimes(\text{Pic}(T, n)_k, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. The same constructions as $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ or $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \chi_{\mathcal{F}}(z)$ can be applied to $\mathcal{O}_E / \ell^{m+1}$-local systems.

**Definition 4.11.** Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $T$ be the henselization of $A^1_k$ at the origin. Let $\pi$ be a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}_T$.

1. For a constructible complex $\mathcal{F} \in D^b_c(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and a non-zero rational 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1_{k(\eta)}$, define the local epsilon factor by

$$
\varepsilon_\pi(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega) = \chi_{\det(\mathcal{F}_0)}(\frac{\omega}{d\pi})\chi_{\text{cyc}}^{\text{ord}(\omega)rk\mathcal{F}_0}\chi_{\text{det}(\mathcal{F}_0)}^{-1}(\chi_{\text{det}(F(0, \infty)(\mathcal{F}_0))}(\pi')).
$$

2. For a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf $V$ on $\eta$, define

$$
\varepsilon_0(T, V, d\pi) := \chi_{\text{det}(F(0, \infty)(V))}(\pi').
$$

They are characters $G_k \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$.

**Remark 4.12.**

1. Our definition of $\varepsilon_\pi(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ is different from the one in [12, Definition 9.2], but they coincide [12, Theorem 11.8] and $\varepsilon_\pi(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ does not depend on the choice of $\pi$ [12, Corollary 9.14]. Hence we denote it by $\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$.

2. Note that, when $k$ is finite, $\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)(\text{Frob}_k)$ differ from the original epsilon factors up to sign (Theorem 4.9).
Lemma 4.13. Let the notation be as above. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(T, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a complex.

1. We have
$$
\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega) \cdot \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega')^{-1} = \chi_{\det(H^0)}(\omega') \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\omega - \text{ord}(\omega)) \chi_{\text{rk} \mathcal{F}}.
$$

2. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $T$. We have
$$
\varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}, \omega) = \det(\mathcal{G})^{\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{F})} \cdot \varepsilon(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)^{\chi_{\text{rk} \mathcal{G}}}.
$$

The definition of $a(T, \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ is given in Proposition 4.2.

Proof. 1. It follows from the definition and the multiplicativity
$$
\chi_{\det(H^0)}(zz') = \chi_{\det(H^0)}(z) \chi_{\det(H^0)}(z').
$$

2. This follows from the isomorphism $F^{(0, \infty)}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{G} \otimes F^{(0, \infty)}(\mathcal{F})$.

See Remark 4.12.

Using the local epsilon factors, Q. Guignard proves the product formula, which is a generalization of the one by Laumon.

Theorem 4.14. ([12, Theorem 11.1], [22, Théorème 3.2.1.1]) Let $X$ be a connected projective smooth curve over a perfect field $k$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a constructible complex on $X$. Fix a non-zero rational 1-form $\omega$ on $X$. Then, we have
$$
\det(R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}))^{-1} = \frac{-\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{X}) \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{I}) \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{F})}{\prod_x \delta_{x/k}} \cdot \varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F}, \omega) \circ \text{tr}X/k
$$
as a representation of the absolute Galois group $G_k$ of $k$. Here $\chi(X) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ is the Euler-Poincaré characteristic, $\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{X})$ is the generic rank of $\mathcal{F}$, $a(X, \mathcal{F}) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{X}) + \text{Sw}_xF - \chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{I})$ is the Artin conductor, and $x$ runs through closed points of $X$.

We compare the construction $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ and the one $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \langle \mathcal{F}, z \rangle$ in Definition 2.10.

Lemma 4.15. Let $T$ be a henselian trait of equal-characteristic $p > 0$ with perfect residue field $k$. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite local ring with residual characteristic $\neq p$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally constant $\Lambda$-sheaf free of rank 1 on $\eta$. Let $z \in k(\eta)^\times$ be a uniformizer. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is tamely ramified. Then, the $\Lambda$-sheaf $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(z)$ on the closed point $s$ coincides with $\langle \mathcal{F}, z \rangle$ in Definition 2.10.

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is unramified. Let the extension of $\mathcal{F}$ to $T$ be denoted by the same letter. Let $\mathcal{L}_d$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}^\text{ord}_d$ by the structure morphism $\text{Pic}(T, \mathcal{N}_d) \to \text{Spec}(k)$. Then, the family $(\mathcal{L}_d)$ forms a multiplicative $\Lambda$-local system on $\text{Pic}(T, \mathcal{N}_d)$. Then, the sheaf $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(z)$ equals to $(z^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}_1 \cong \mathcal{F}_s$, which coincides with $\langle \mathcal{F}, z \rangle$.

Let $M$ be the prime-to-$p$ part of $\Lambda^\times$. For an integer $m \geq 1$ which kills $M$ and is prime to $p$, let $T_m := \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_T[t]/(t^m + z))$ be the spectrum of a henselian discrete valuation ring and $f: T_m \to T$ be the structure morphism. Since the norm $N_{T_m/T}(-t)$ of $-t$ equals to $z$, the functoriality of the local geometric class field theory [12, 5.37] yields the equality $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(z) = \chi_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{F}, -t)$. On the other hand, we have $\langle \mathcal{F}, z \rangle = (f^* \mathcal{F}, -t)$. Hence the assertion is reduced to the case when $\mathcal{F}$ is unramified, which is already treated.
Next we define local epsilon factors of tamely ramified representations in the case of characteristic $\neq \ell$.

Let $S$ be an affine (not necessarily noetherian) normal scheme in which $\ell$ is invertible. Consider a pair $(T, \chi)$ such that $T = (T_i)_i$ is a finite family of finite étale coverings of $S$ and $\chi = (\chi_i)_i$ is a family of characters $\chi_i : \mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}(1) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ of étale sheaves on $T_i$ where $d_i$ are integers $\geq 1$ invertible in $S$ such that $\mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}(1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}$ as étale sheaves on $T_i$. Denote by $N_{T_i/S}(\chi_i)$ the character $\mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}(1) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ of étale sheaves on $S$ defined by the composition $\mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}(1) \to f_i \mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}(1) \xrightarrow{f_i} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ where $f_i : T_i \to S$ is the structure morphism. For an integer $N \geq 1$ which is a multiple of $d_i$, we regard $N_{T_i/S}(\chi_i)$ as a character of $\mathbb{Z}/NZ(1)$ via the surjection $\mathbb{Z}/NZ(1) \to \mathbb{Z}/d_i \mathbb{Z}(1)$, $a \mapsto a^N$.

Assume that $\prod_i N_{T_i/S}(\chi_i)$ is trivial where the product is taken as characters of $\mathbb{Z}/NZ(1)$ for some common multiple $N$ of $d_i$. In this case, $(T, \chi)$ is called a Jacobi datum in [27 Section 1]. When $S$ is the spectrum of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $q$ elements, Saito attaches a Jacobi sum $j_\chi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ to a Jacobi datum $(T, \chi) = ((T_i)_i, (\chi_i)_i)$ in [27 Section 2] as follows:

$$j_\chi := \prod_i \prod_j \tau_{k_{ij}}(\bar{\chi}_{ij}, \psi_0 \circ \text{Tr}_{k_{ij}/\mathbb{F}_q}).$$

Here $T_i = \prod_{j} \text{Spec}(k_{ij})$ for finite fields $k_{ij}$ with $q_{ij}$ elements, $\bar{\chi}_{ij} : k_{ij}^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is defined by $a \mapsto \chi_i(a^{q_{ij}-1/d_i})$, and $\psi_0 : k \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is a nontrivial character. The Gauss sums are defined by $\tau_k(\chi, \psi) = -\sum_{a \in k} \chi^{-1}(a) \psi(a)$. Since $\prod_i N_{T_i/S}(\chi_i)$ is trivial, the Jacobi sum $j_\chi$ is independent of the choice of $\psi_0$.

Let $(T, \chi)$ be a Jacobi datum on an affine normal scheme $S$. In [27 Proposition 2.1], Saito constructed a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf $J_\chi$ on $S$ from the Jacobi datum, which is called a Jacobi sum character. This is characterized by the following properties.

- For every morphism $f : S' \to S$ of an affine normal schemes, $f^*J_\chi \cong J_\chi^f.$
- If $S$ is the spectrum of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, the action of the geometric Frobenius on $J_\chi$ is the multiplication by $j_\chi$ (4.1).

Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \neq \ell$. Take and fix an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of $k$ and let $I := \lim_{\leftarrow n \neq p} \mu_n(\bar{k})$, where $n$ runs through integers $\geq 1$ prime to $p$ and $\mu_n(\bar{k})$ is the group of $n$-th roots of unity in $\bar{k}$. The group $I$ admits an action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Let $V$ be a finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-vector space and let $\rho : I \to \text{GL}(V)$ be a continuous representation. For an element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, we denote by $\sigma^*V$ the representation of $I$ defined by $\rho \circ \sigma$. When $\rho$ factors through the quotient $I \to \mu(k')$ for a finite Galois subextension $k'$ of $\bar{k}/k$, the twist $\sigma^*V$ only depends on the image of $\sigma$ in $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$. In this case, for $\tau \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)$, we denote by $\tau^*V$ the twist $\sigma^*V$ for any lift $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ of $\tau$.

Assume that, for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$, we have $\sigma^*V \cong V$ and that $V$ is potentially unipotent, i.e. there exists an open subgroup $I' \subset I$ such that the action of $I'$ on $V$ is unipotent. Then, the semi-simplification $V^{ss}$ decomposes into a direct sum

$$V^{ss} \cong \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(k_i/k)} \tau^*\chi_i$$

(4.2)
where $\chi_i; \mu_d(k_i) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ is an injective character and $k_i$ is the subextension in $\bar{k}$ generated by $k$ and $\mu_d(k_i)$. Such a decomposition is unique up to permutation. Note that the determinant $\det(V)$ equals to $\prod_i N_{k_i/k}(\chi_i)$. 

**Definition 4.16.** Let the notation be as above. Assume that $\sigma^*V$ is isomorphic to $V$ for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ and that $V$ is potentially unipotent.

1. When the determinant $\det(V)$ is the trivial character of $I$, we denote by $J(V)$ the Jacobi sum character of the Jacobi datum $((\text{Spec}(k_i)), (\chi_i)_i)$. This is a character $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$.

2. In general, we define $J(V)$ to be $(J(V^{\otimes n}))^{1/n}$ where $n$ is an integer $\geq 1$ such that $V^{\otimes n}$ has the trivial determinant and $(-)^{1/n}$ is taken as a character to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times/\mu$. This is a character $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times/\mu$ and independent of the choice of $n$.

Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \neq \ell$. Let $T$ be the henselization of $\mathbb{A}^1_k$ at the origin. Let $\eta$ be the generic point of $T$ and fix a separable closure $k(\eta)$ of $k(\eta)$. We take $\bar{k}$ as the algebraic closure of $k$ in $\bar{k}(\eta)$. Let $I$ be the tame inertia group of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}(\eta)/k(\eta))$. This is canonically isomorphic to $\lim_{\leftarrow \eta_n \neq p} \mu_n(k)$. Let $V$ be a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $\eta$ which is tamely ramified. Then, as a representation of $I$, $V^\eta$ is isomorphic to $\sigma^*V^\eta$ for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

**Definition 4.17.** Consider the situation as above. For a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf $V$ on $\eta$ which is tamely ramified and potentially unipotent, define $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(T, V): G_k \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times/\mu$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(T, V) := \chi_{\det(V)}(\pi)J(V^\eta) = \langle \det V, \pi \rangle J(V^\eta).$$

This is independent of the choice of $\pi$ since $\det(V)^n$ is unramified for some $n \geq 1$.

**Lemma 4.18.** Let $V$ and $W$ be smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaves on $\eta$. Assume that $V$ is unramified and that $W$ is tamely ramified and potentially unipotent. We have

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(T, V \otimes W) = \det(V)^{\dim W} \cdot \tilde{\varepsilon}_0(T, W)^{\dim V}.$$

**Proof.** Since $V$ is unramified, we have $J((V \otimes W)^\eta) = J(W^\eta)^{\dim V}$. On the other hand, we have $\chi_{\det(V \otimes W)}(\pi) = \chi_{\det V}(\pi)^{\dim W} \cdot \chi_{\det W}(\pi)^{\dim V}$ by the multiplicativity of the construction $F \mapsto \chi_F$. The assertion follows as $\chi_{\det V}(\pi) = \det V$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.19.** Assume that $k$ is of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $V$ be a tamely ramified smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$-sheaf on $\eta$ which is potentially unipotent. Let $\pi$ be a uniformizer of $T$. Then, the character $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(T, V)$ in Definition 4.17 coincides with the character $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0(T, V, d\pi)$ in Definition 4.11 followed by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times/\mu$.

**Proof.** We may assume that $V$ is irreducible. Let $\chi$ be a character of $I$ which appears in $V$. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer such that $\chi$ factors as $I \rightarrow \mu_n(k) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ and let $\eta_n$ be the unramified extension of $\eta$ with residue field $k(\mu_n(k))$. The $\chi$-isotypic part $V_\chi$ of $V$ is stable under the action of $G_{\eta_n}$ and $V \cong \text{Ind}_{G_{\eta_n}}^{G_k} V_\chi$. Hence we reduce it to the case when $\eta_n = \eta$. In this case, we also denote by $\chi$ the character of $G_{\eta}$ induced from the identification $\text{Gal}(k(\eta)[\pi^\eta]/k(\eta)) \cong \mu_n(k)$. Then, we have $V \cong \chi \otimes V_0$ where $V_0$ is a representation of $G_k$.

By [22 Proposition (2.5.3.1)], we have $F^{(0, \infty)}(V) \cong V \otimes G(\chi, \psi)$ where $G(\chi, \psi)$ is defined in loc. cit. Hence we have $\det F^{(0, \infty)}(V) \cong \det(V) \otimes G(\chi, \psi)^{\dim V}$. On the other hand, for an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $(\det V)^m$ is unramified, we have $J(V^{\otimes m}) \cong G(\chi, \psi)^{\otimes m \cdot \dim V_0}$. The assertion follows. \hfill \Box
4.2 Reduction to the case of positive characteristic

To compute the local epsilon factors of vanishing cycles complex, we give a method to reduce it to the case of positive characteristic.

**Remark 4.20.** The following technique is needed since we treat \( \ell \)-adic sheaves. If one could develop a theory of epsilon cycles for local epsilon factors without taking modulo roots of unity and one could treat \( \Lambda \)-sheaves for a finite local ring \( \Lambda \), the technique seemed unnecessary.

We start with general lemmas.

Let \( R \) be a discrete valuation ring of residue characteristic \( \neq \ell \). Denote by \( K \) and \( F \) its function field and residue field respectively. Fix a uniformizer \( \pi \in R \) and, for an integer \( m \geq 0 \), denote by \( R_m \) the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]/\ell^m \mathbb{Z}[[\pi]] \) and by \( K_m \) the quotient field of \( R_m \). Write \( R_\infty \) and \( K_\infty \) for the unions \( \bigcup_{m \geq 0} R_m \) and \( \bigcup_{m \geq 0} K_m \) respectively. The rings \( R_m \) are valuation rings with residue field \( F \).

Let \( X \) be a scheme over \( R \). Let \( m \) be an integer \( m \geq 0 \) or \( \infty \). Consider the diagram

\[
X_m \xrightarrow{j_m} X_m \leftarrow X_F
\]

where the left arrow is the base change by \( R \to R_m \) of

\[
X := X \times_R K \xrightarrow{j} X
\]

and \( i_m \) is the lift of the special fiber \( X_F := X \times_R F \xrightarrow{i} X \).

**Lemma 4.21.** Let the notation be as above. Let \( \Lambda \) be a finite local ring of residue characteristic \( \ell \).

1. For a bounded below complex \( C \in D^+(X, \Lambda) \) such that the structure morphism \( X \to \text{Spec}(R) \) is locally acyclic relatively to \( C \), the canonical map \( i_*^* C \to i_\infty^* Rj_\infty^* C|_{X_\infty} \) induced from (4.3) is an isomorphism.

2. Assume that \( X \) is of finite type over \( R \). Then, the functor \( Rj_\infty^* \) has finite cohomological dimension. For a constructible complex \( C \in D^b_c(X, \Lambda) \), the complex \( i_*^* Rj_\infty^* C|_{X_\infty} \) on \( X_F \) is constructible.

In the situation of 2, for \( C \in D^b_c(X, \Lambda) \), we write \( \langle C, -\pi \rangle := i_\infty^* Rj_\infty^* C|_{X_\infty} \). When \( X \) and the special fiber \( X_F \) are regular, and \( C \) is a locally constant sheaf tamely ramified along \( X_F \), this notion coincides with the one given in Definition 2.10.

**Proof.** We may assume that \( R \) is strictly henselian, in particular \( F \) is separably closed. Let \( \overline{K} \) (resp. \( \overline{R} \)) be a separable closure of \( K \) (resp. the normalization of \( R \) in \( \overline{K} \)). The residue field \( \overline{F} \) of \( \overline{R} \) is an algebraic closure of \( F \). We also consider

\[
\overline{X} \xrightarrow{j} \overline{X} \xleftarrow{i} \overline{X_F},
\]

where \( \overline{X} := X \times_R \overline{K}, \overline{X} := X \times_R \overline{R}, \) and \( \overline{X_F} := X \times_R \overline{F} \). We take and fix an injection \( K_\infty \to \overline{K} \) of extensions of \( K \). Then, they fit into the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\overline{X} & \xrightarrow{j} & \overline{X} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_\infty & \xrightarrow{i} & X_\infty \xrightarrow{i} X_F
\end{array}
\]
Let \( x \) be a distinguished triangle on \( X \). We define the category \( D^+_{\text{ct}}(X, \Lambda) \) of constructible complexes to be the 2-colimit of the categories \( D^+_{\text{ct}}(X, \Lambda) \) and \( D^+_{\text{ct}}(Y, \Lambda) \) indexed by finite subextensions \( E \) of \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l / \mathbb{Q}_l \).

**Corollary 4.22.** Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.21. Assume that \( X \) is of finite type over \( R \). We use the notion and notation in Section 7. Let \( F_0 \in D(X_{\text{et, op}}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \) be a normalized constructible complex on \( X \). Then, the complex \( i^*_\infty Rj_{\infty*}F_0 \in D(X_{\text{et, op}}, \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l) \) is a normalized \( \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l \)-complex and \( i^*_\infty Rj_{\infty*}F_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l} \mathbb{Z}_l / \ell\mathbb{Z}_l \) is constructible, i.e. \( i^*_\infty Rj_{\infty*}F_0 \) defines a constructible complex of \( \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l \)-sheaves on \( X \).

We denote this complex \( i^*_\infty Rj_{\infty*}F_0 \) by \( \langle F_0, - \pi \rangle \).

**Proof.** Let \( F_{0,n} := F_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l} \mathbb{Z}_l / \ell^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_l \). By Lemma 4.22, we have \( Rj_{\infty*}F_{0,n+1} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l / \ell^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Z}_l / \ell^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_l \cong Rj_{\infty*}(F_{0,n+1} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l} \mathbb{Z}_l / \ell^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}_l) \cong Rj_{\infty*}F_{0,n} \). Hence \( i^*_\infty Rj_{\infty*}F_0 \) is a normalized \( \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l \)-complex. Also by Lemma 4.22, the complex \( i^*_\infty Rj_{\infty*}F_0 \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l} \mathbb{Z}_l / \ell\mathbb{Z}_l \) is constructible.

**Lemma 4.23.** Let the notation be as in Lemma 4.21. Let \( r \geq 0 \) be an integer. Let \( L, N \in D^+(X_{\text{et}}, \Lambda) \) be bounded below complexes. Assume that \( i_*^tN \) is bounded and constructible and that the structure morphism \( X_r \to \text{Spec}(R_r) \) is locally acyclic relatively to \( L \).

\[
(4.5) \quad L|_{X_r} \to M' \to N|_{X_r} \to
\]

be a distinguished triangle on \( X_r \). Then, for some integer \( n \geq r \), there exists a distinguished triangle \( L|_{X_n} \to M \to N|_{X_n} \to X_n \) whose pull-back to \( X_n \) is isomorphic to that of \( \langle 4.5 \rangle \).
Proof. Let \( \phi: N|_{X_r} \to L|_{X_r}[1] \) be the morphism corresponding to (4.3). Let \( C_n := i_n^* i_n^! L|_{X_n}[2] \) be the complex on \( X_n \). It fits into the distinguished triangle \( L|_{X_n}[1] \to Rj_{n*} L|_{X_n}[1] \to C_n \to \). We need to show that, for some \( n \geq r \), the composition \( N|_{X_n} \to Rj_{n*} N|_{X_n} \) is zero. Since \( C_n \) is supported on \( X_r \), it is enough to show that the restriction \( i_r^* N \cong i_n^* N|_{X_n} \to i_r^* C_n \) is zero. Since \( X_r \to \text{Spec}(R_r) \) is locally acyclic relatively to \( L \), the colimit \( \lim_{n \geq r} i_r^* C_n \) is acyclic by Lemma 4.21.1. Since \( i_r^* N \) is constructible, the composition is zero for large \( n \).

Let \( S \) be a regular connected scheme of finite type over \( \mathbb{Z}[1/\ell] \). Let \( k \) be the perfection of the function field of \( S \). Let \( s \in S \) be a closed point. Let \( S' \) be the blow-up of \( S \) at \( s \) and let \( z \) be the generic point of the exceptional divisor. Let \( R \) be the henselization of \( \mathcal{O}_{S',z} \). Fix a uniformizer \( \pi \in R \). We use the notation as above. That is, for an integer \( m \geq 0 \), we define \( R_m \) to be \( R[\pi^{1/m}] \). Let \( K_m \) be the fraction field of \( R_m \). We write \( R_\infty := \lim_{\to m} R_m \) and \( K_\infty := \lim_{\to m} K_m \). The rings \( R_m \) are valuation rings whose residue fields are isomorphic to \( k(z) \).

Lemma 4.24. The conjugates of the images in \( G_k \) of \( G_{K_\infty} \), for all the closed points \( s \in S \) and uniformizers \( \pi \in R \), topologically generate \( G_k \).

Proof. Let \( H \) be a finite quotient of \( G_k \). After shrinking \( S \), the quotient map \( G_k \to H \) factors through \( \pi_1(S) \) and \( H \) is generated by the geometric Frobeniuses at closed points \( s \in S \). Since the composition \( G_{K_\infty} \to G_k \to \pi_1(S) \) factors as \( G_{K_\infty} \to G_{k(z)} \to \pi_1(s) \to \pi_1(S) \) and the map \( G_{K_\infty} \to \pi_1(s) \) is surjective, the assertion follows from the Chebotarev density.

Consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow g & & \downarrow t \\
\text{Spec}(k) & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{A}_k^1
\end{array}
\]

of \( k \)-schemes of finite type and a constructible complex \( \mathcal{F}_0 \in D^b_c(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \) with the following properties.

1. \( Y \) is a smooth separated curve. \( U \) is smooth over \( k \). \( Z \) is a closed subscheme of \( U \) finite étale over \( k \).

2. The morphism \( t: Y \to \mathbb{A}_k^1 \) is an étale morphism.

3. \( f|_{U \setminus Z} \) is \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \)-transversal, in the sense of Definition 3.25

Assume that the data given above except \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) are defined over \( S \). In other words, we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \tilde{Y} \\
\downarrow \tilde{g} & & \downarrow \tilde{t} \\
S & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{A}_S^1
\end{array}
\]
of $S$-schemes of finite type whose base change by $\text{Spec}(k) \to S$ is isomorphic to $(4.6)$. We also assume that there exists $\tilde{F}_{0,0} \in D_{\text{ctf}}(U, \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ whose base change to $U$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell$. We assume that they satisfy the following properties.

1. $\mathcal{Y}$ is a smooth separated $S$-curve. $\mathcal{U}$ is smooth over $S$. $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{U}$ finite étale over $S$.

2. The morphism $\tilde{t}: \mathcal{Y} \to A^1_S$ is an étale morphism.

3. The relative singular support $SS(\tilde{F}_{0,0}, \mathcal{U}/S)$ exists and satisfies the condition 2 in Theorem 3.6. In particular, $\tilde{g}$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\tilde{F}_{0,0}$ (cf. Remark 3.7).

4. $\tilde{f}|_{\mathcal{U}\setminus Z}$ is $SS(\tilde{F}_{0,0}, \mathcal{U}/S)$-transversal.

5. The restriction of the vanishing cycles complex $R\Phi_{f,j}(\tilde{F}_{0,0})$ to $Z \times_{A^1_S} (A^1_S \setminus \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{f}(Z)) \subset Z \times_{A^1_S} A^1_S \cong \mathbb{Z} \times A^1_S$ is locally constant. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function on $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $z \mapsto \dim_{\text{tot}} R\Phi_{f,j}(\tilde{F}_{0,0} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell)|_{\eta_z}$ is locally constant (cf. Proposition 4.5.3). Here $\bar{z}$ is the spectrum of an algebraic closure of $k(z)$ and $\eta_z$ is the generic point of the strict henselization of $A^1_{k(z)}$ at $\bar{z} \to A^1_{k(z)}$.

We denote by

$$Z_s \subset \mathcal{U}_s \xrightarrow{\tilde{s}} \mathcal{Y}_s$$

the base change of $Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{Y}$ by $s \to S$. Let $m$ be an integer $\geq 0$ or $\infty$. We define $U_m \to \mathcal{U}_m \leftarrow \mathcal{U}_m$ to be the base change of $\mathcal{U}$ by $\text{Spec}(K_m) \to \text{Spec}(R_m) \leftarrow s$ over $S$.

**Proposition 4.25.** Let the notation be as above. Then, for every closed point $s \in S$ and every uniformizer $\pi \in R$, we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_k & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \\
\downarrow & & \\
G_{K_S} & \xrightarrow{G_k} & G_{k(s)}
\end{array}
\]

if $k$ is of positive characteristic. Here the top horizontal arrow is $\prod_{z \in Z} \varepsilon_0(Y(z), R\Phi_{f,j}(\mathcal{F})|_z, dt) \circ \text{tr}_{Z/k}$ and the right vertical arrow is $\prod_{z \in Z_s} \varepsilon_0(Y_s, z), R\Phi_{f,j}(\mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell\mathbb{Z}_\ell})|_{\eta_z} \circ \text{tr}_{Z/k}$. Here the definition of $(\mathcal{F}, -\pi)$ is given after the statement of Corollary 4.22.

When $k$ is of characteristic 0, we have a commutative diagram (4.8) after replacing $\varepsilon_0$ and $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ by $\bar{\varepsilon}_0$ (Definition 4.17) and $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times/\mu$.

**Proof.** Replacing $S$ and $\mathcal{U}$ by $Z$ and an open neighborhood of the graph $Z \hookrightarrow Z \times_S \mathcal{U}$, we may assume that $Z \to S$ is isomorphic. Composing $\tilde{t}: \mathcal{Y} \to A^1_S$, we may replace $\mathcal{Y}$ by $A^1_S$.

We take $S \cong Z \to \tilde{f}|_{\tilde{Z}} \to A^1_S$ as the origin. By induction on $m \geq 0$ and applying Lemma 4.23 to $L = \tilde{F}_{0,m-1}$ and $N = \tilde{F}_{0,0}$, we find a constructible complex $\tilde{F}_{0,m}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\ell^{m+1}\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaves on $\mathcal{U}_m$ for some integer $n \geq 0$ which fits into a distinguished triangle

$$\tilde{F}_{0,m-1} \to \tilde{F}_{0,m} \to \tilde{F}_{0,0} \to$$
whose restriction to $U_n$ is isomorphic to the pull back of

$$\mathcal{F}_{0,m-1} \to \mathcal{F}_{0,m} \to \mathcal{F}_{0,0}.$$  

We claim that the canonical morphism $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m} \otimes L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell / \mathbb{L}_\ell \to \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,0}$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, the restriction $\tilde{\phi}|_{U_n}$ is isomorphic to the canonical one $\phi: \mathcal{F}_{0,m} \otimes L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell / \mathbb{L}_\ell \to \mathcal{F}_{0,0}$, which is an isomorphism. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.21, the restriction $i^*_n \tilde{\phi}$ to the special fiber is identified with $i^*_n R\mathcal{J}_\infty^*, \mathcal{F}_{0,m} \otimes L_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell / \mathbb{L}_\ell \to i^*_n R\mathcal{J}_\infty^*, \mathcal{F}_{0,0}$, which further can be identified with $i^*_n R\mathcal{J}_\infty^*, \mathcal{F}_{0,m}$ since the cohomological dimension of $R\mathcal{J}_\infty^*$ is finite. Since $\mathcal{U}_n \to \text{Spec}(R_n)$ is locally acyclic relatively to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m}|_{U_n}$ is isomorphic to $\langle \mathcal{F}_{0,m}, -\pi \rangle := i^*_n R\mathcal{J}_\infty^*, \mathcal{F}_{0,m}$ by Lemma 4.21.

Let $\tilde{f}_n: \mathcal{U} \times S R_n \to \mathbb{A}^1_{R_n}$ be the base change of $\tilde{f}$. The restrictions of $R\Phi f_n \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m}$ to $0_{k} \times_{k_{\infty}} \mathbb{G}_{m}s$ and $0_{K_n} \times_{K_{k_{\infty}}} \mathbb{G}_{m,K_n}$ are isomorphic to $R\Phi f_n (\mathcal{F}_{0,m}, -\pi)$ and $R\Phi f_0 \mathcal{F}_{0,m}$ respectively by Proposition 2.21. By the assumption 5, the restriction of $R\Phi f_n \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m}$ to $0_{R_n} \times_{R_{k_{\infty}}} \mathbb{G}_{m,R_n}$ is locally constant and its total dimension is locally constant (the condition in Proposition 4.5.3). In particular, if the generic fiber $R\Phi f_0 \mathcal{F}_{0,m}$ is tamely ramified, so is $R\Phi f_n (\mathcal{F}_{0,m}, -\pi)$.

We show the assertion for the case when $k$ is of positive characteristic. By Proposition 4.5.1 and 3, $F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi f_0 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m})$ is locally constant and its restrictions to $0_{K_{\infty}} \times_{k_{\infty}} \mathbb{A}^1_{s}$ and $0_{K_n} \times_{k_{\infty}} \mathbb{A}^1_{K_{n}}$ are isomorphic to $F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi f_n (\mathcal{F}_{0,m}, -\pi))$ and $F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi f_0 \mathcal{F}_{0,m})$ respectively. By Corollary 4.7, the determinant $\det F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi f_0 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m})$ is tamely ramified. Applying Lemma 2.11, we get a character $\langle \det F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi f_0 \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m}), 1/x \rangle$ on $R_n$, where $x$ is the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{A}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$. The assertion follows from Lemma 2.11.2 and Lemma 4.15 in this case.

The assertion for the case of characteristic 0 is proved as follows. By Lemma 2.11.2, it is enough to show the commutativity of the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_k & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_\ell / \mu \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
G_{K_{\infty}} & \longrightarrow & G_{k(s)},
\end{array}
$$

where the top horizontal arrow is $J(R\Phi f_0 \mathcal{F}_{0})$ and the right vertical arrow is $J(R\Phi f_n (\mathcal{F}_{0,m}, -\pi))$.

Fix a separable closure $\overline{K}_{\infty}$ of $K_{\infty}$. Define $I := \lim_N \mu_N(\overline{K}_{\infty})$ and $I^p := \lim_{p|N} \mu_N(\overline{K}_{\infty})$ where $p$ is the residue characteristic of $R$. Let $\eta_{k(s)}$ (resp. $\eta_\infty$) be the function field of the henselization $\mathbb{A}^1_{k(s),(0)}$ (resp. $\mathbb{A}^1_{\infty,(0)}$). Fix geometric points $\eta_{k(s)}$ and $\eta_\infty$ over $k(s)$ and $\eta_\infty$, and also fix a specialization $\eta_\infty \rightarrow \eta_s$ as geometric points of the henselization $\mathbb{A}^1_{R_n,(0)}$. The group $I^p$ (resp. $I$) can be naturally regarded as the tame inertia group $I^t_{k(s)}$ (resp. $I^t_{\infty}$). Let $\pi_1^t$ be the fundamental group classifying finite étale coverings of $\mathbb{A}^1_{R_n,(0)} \setminus 0_{R_n,(0)}$ tamely ramified along $0_{R_n,(0)}$. We also have a natural embedding $I^p \hookrightarrow \pi_1^t$ and a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
I & \longrightarrow & I^p \\
\uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \cong \\
I^t_{k(s)} & \longrightarrow & I^t_{k(s)}.
\end{array}
$$
where the top horizontal arrow is the projection $I \to I^p$ and the bottom horizontal arrows are the canonical ones.

Since the generic characteristic of $R_n$ is zero, the restriction of $R\Phi_{f_n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m}$ to $\mathbb{A}^1_{R_n(0_s)} \setminus 0_{R_n(0_s)} \cong 0_s \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_{R_n}} \mathbb{G}_{m,R_n} \subset 0_{R_n} \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_{R_n}} \mathbb{G}_{m,R_n}$ is locally constant with tamely ramified cohomology sheaves. Since it is locally constant, the specialization $(R\Phi_{f_n} (\mathcal{F}_{0,m}, -\pi))_{\mathbb{A}_s} \cong (R\Phi_{f_n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m})_{\mathbb{A}_s} \to (R\Phi_{f_n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m})_{\mathbb{A}_s}$ is an isomorphism, which we regard as an isomorphism of complexes of $I$-representations. These isomorphisms commute with the transition maps $R\Phi_{f_n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m+1} \to R\Phi_{f_n} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{0,m}$ and $R\Phi_{f_n} (\mathcal{F}_{0,m+1}, -\pi) \to R\Phi_{f_n} (\mathcal{F}_{0,m}, -\pi)$.

Hence $C_{\infty} := R\Phi_{f_n} \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $C_s := R\Phi_{f_n} (\mathcal{F}_0, -\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ are isomorphic as complexes of $I$-representations on finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector spaces. Let $I \to \mu_N(K_{\infty})$ be a finite quotient through which $I$ acts on the semi-simplifications of cohomologies of $C_{\infty} \cong C_s$. We may assume that $p \nmid N$. For each $i$, the semi-simplification of $H^i(C_s)_{\oplus N}$ gives a Jacobi datum on $K_{\infty}$ as in Definition 4.27 which extends to a Jacobi datum on $R_{\infty}$ since $N$ is invertible in $R_{\infty}$. Since this Jacobi datum on $R_{\infty}$ is restricted to the one on $k(s)$ constructed from $H^i(C_s)_{\oplus N}$, the assertion follows.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.26.** Using a similar method as above repeatedly, one can reduce several problems on $\ell$-adic sheaves on schemes of finite type over (the perfections of) finitely generated fields to cases over finite fields. For example, Theorem 1 in [27] can be proven unconditionally, i.e. without the assumption that the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ in loc. cit. is defined over a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$, if the function field of the base scheme $S$ is a purely inseparable extension of a finitely generated field, although it should be proved by developing a theory of Jacobi sum characters for representations with torsion coefficient.

### 4.3 Local epsilon factors of convolutions

At the end of this section, we compute the local epsilon factors of the convolutions of vanishing cycles. To do so, we need to recall the Thom-Sebastiani theorem for étale sheaves proved in [15].

Let $A^1_{h,A} \vert A^2_{h,A}$ be the henselizations of $A^1_{k,A} \vert A^2_{k,A}$ at $0, (0,0)$ respectively. Let $f_1: X_1 \to A^1_{h,A}$ and $f_2: X_2 \to A^2_{h,A}$ be two morphisms of schemes of finite type. Denote by $X := (X_1 \times X_2) \times_{A^1_{h,A} \times A^2_{h,A}} A^2_{h,A}$ and by $f: X \to A^2_{h,A}$ the projection. Let $a: A^1_{h,A} \to A^1_{h,A}$ be the map induced from the summation $A^2_{h,A} \to A^1_{h,A}$. We regard $X$ as an $A^1_{h,A}$-scheme by the composition $X \xrightarrow{f} A^2_{h,A} \xrightarrow{a} A^1_{h,A}$.

**Definition-Lemma 4.27.** ([13, Definition 4.1, 13 Proposition 4.3]) For each $i = 1, 2$, let $K_i$ be an object of $D_{ctf}(X_i \times_{A^1_{h,A}} A^1_{h,A}, \Lambda)$. We define the local convolution $K_1 \ast^L K_2 \in D(X \times_{A^1_{h,A}} A^1_{h,A}, \Lambda)$ of $K_1$ and $K_2$ by the following:

$$K_1 \ast^L K_2 := R\Gamma_+(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1,1} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2,2} K_2)[1],$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1,1}: X \times_{A^1_{h,A}} A^2_{h,A} \to X_i \times_{A^1_{h,A}} A^1_{h,A}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2,2}: X \times_{A^2_{h,A}} A^1_{h,A} \to X \times_{A^1_{h,A}} A^1_{h,A}$ are induced from the $i$-th projections and $a$. The complex $K_1 \ast^L K_2$ belongs to $D_{ctf}(X \times_{A^1_{h,A}} A^1_{h,A}, \Lambda)$.

We remark that this definition is slightly different from that in [15], since the complex is shifted by 1.
We define a variant of the convolution functor on the derived category $D^b(\eta, E)$. Let $K_1, K_2$ be objects of $D_{\text{cf}}(\eta, \Lambda)$. Denote by $K_{i!}$ the 0-extension of $K_i$ to $A^1_k$. Since $a: A^1_k \to A^1_k$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\text{pr}^*_1 K_{i!} \otimes^L \text{pr}^*_2 K_{2!}$ outside $(0, 0)$ (cf. Examples 3.8.1, 2.), we can regard the vanishing cycles complex $R\Phi_{a_0}(\text{pr}^*_1 K_{i!} \otimes^L \text{pr}^*_2 K_{2!})$ as a complex on $(0, 0) \times A^1_k \eta \cong \eta$. By the same reasoning as in Definition 4.8, we can define a convolution functor $\ast: D^b(\eta, E) \otimes D^b(\eta, E) \to D^b(\eta, E)$, sending $(F_1, F_2)$ to $R\Phi_{a_1}(\text{pr}^*_1 F_{i!} \otimes^L \text{pr}^*_2 F_{2!})[1]$. This is isomorphic to the restriction of $\text{pr}^*_1 F_{i!} \otimes^L \text{pr}^*_2 F_{2!}$ to $(0, 0) \times A^1_k \eta \cong \eta$, where $\text{pr}: A^1_k \times A^1_k \to A^1_k$ is the second projection.

**Theorem 4.28.** (\cite[Theorem 4.5,]{FF}) With the notation above, let $K_1, K_2$ be objects of $D_{\text{cf}}(X_1, \Lambda), D_{\text{cf}}(X_2, \Lambda)$ respectively. Let $K := (K_1 \boxtimes L K_2)|_X$. Then, there is a functorial isomorphism

$$(R\Phi_{f_1}(K_1))^L (R\Phi_{f_2}(K_2))|_{X_0 \times A^1_k A^1_k} \cong R\Phi_{af}(K)[1]|_{X_0 \times A^1_k A^1_k}$$

in $D_{\text{cf}}(X_0 \times A^1_k A^1_k, \Lambda)$, where $X_0$ is the closed fiber of $X \to A^1_k$.

We slightly change the notation. Let $f_1: X_1 \to A^1_k$ and $f_2: X_2 \to A^1_k$ be $k$-morphisms of finite type. Let $X := X_1 \times_k X_2$ and denote by $af: X \to A^1_k$ the composition of the product $f := f_1 \times f_2: X_1 \times_k X_2 \to A^2_k$ and the summation $a: A^2_k \to A^1_k$. For each $i = 1, 2$, let $F_{0,i} \in D^b(X_i, \Z)$ and $x_i \in X_i$ be an at most isolated $SS(F_{0,i})$-characteristic $k$-rational point of $f_i$ such that $f_i(x_i) = 0$.

**Lemma 4.29.** Let the notation be as above. Let $x := (x_1, x_2) \in X$ be the $k$-rational point above $x_1$ and $x_2$. Let $F_0 := F_{0,1} \boxtimes L F_{0,2}$. Denote by $t$ the standard coordinate of $A^1_k$.

1. Assume that $p > 0$. We have the equality

$$e_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{af}(F)_x, dt)^{-1} = e_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_1}(F_1)_{x_1}, dt) \cdot e_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_2}(F_2)_{x_2}, dt) \cdot e_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_1}(F_1)_{x_1}, dt) \cdot e_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_2}(F_2)_{x_2}, dt).$$

2. Assume that $k$ is finitely generated over $\Q$. We have

$$\pi_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{af}(F)_x)^{-1} = \pi_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_1}(F_1)_{x_1}) \cdot \pi_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_2}(F_2)_{x_2}) \cdot \pi_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_1}(F_1)_{x_1}) \cdot \pi_0(A^1_k, R\Phi_{f_2}(F_2)_{x_2}).$$

**Proof.** 1. By Theorem 4.28, we have an isomorphism

$$(R\Phi_{f_1}(F_1)_{x_1}) \ast (R\Phi_{f_2}(F_2)_{x_2}) \cong R\Phi_{af}(F)_x[1].$$

By \cite[Proposition (2.7.2.2),]{FF}, we have

$$F^{(0, \infty)}(R\Phi_{f_1}(F_1)_{x_1}) \otimes F^{(0, \infty)}(R\Phi_{f_2}(F_2)_{x_2}) \cong F^{(0, \infty)}(R\Phi_{af}(F)_x)[1].$$

Using this isomorphism and Theorem 4.60,2, the assertion follows.

2. Apply Proposition 4.25 to the commutative diagrams

$$\text{Spec}(k) \xrightarrow{x_i} X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} A^1_k \xrightarrow{id} A^1_k \xrightarrow{x_i} \text{Spec}(k)$$
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and $\mathcal{F}_{0,i}$, and the similar diagram for $X$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$. Then, the assertion follows from 1 and Lemma 4.24.

\hfill $\square$

5 Epsilon Cycles of $\ell$-adic Sheaves

In this section, we construct epsilon cycles which compute local epsilon factors modulo roots of unity.

First we give notation. For a field $E$, we denote by $\mu_E$ the group of roots of unity in $E$.

**Definition 5.1.** Let $G$ be a compact Hausdorff abelian group.

1. For a finite extension $E$ of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, define $\Theta_{G,E}$ to be the group $\text{Hom}_\text{conti}(G, \mathcal{O}_E^\times/\mu_E)$ of continuous homomorphisms.

2. Define the group $\Theta_G$ by $\Theta_G := \varprojlim E \Theta_{G,E}$, where $E$ runs through finite subextensions in $\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

3. When $G$ is the abelianization of the absolute Galois group of a field $k$, $\Theta_{G,E}$ and $\Theta_G$ are also denoted by $\Theta_{k,E}$ and $\Theta_k$.

We usually identify $\Theta_G$ with a subgroup of the group $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times/\mu)$ of group homomorphisms. A group homomorphism $G \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times/\mu$ is said to be continuous if it belongs to $\Theta_G$. By Lemma 5.2, a compact subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times/\mu$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_E^\times/\mu_p$ for some finite subextension $E$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ containing $\mu_p$, where $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_E^\times$) is equipped with the topology induced from the valuation of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ (resp. the quotient topology of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$). Therefore continuous homomorphisms $G \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ (resp. $G \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times/\mu_p$) give continuous homomorphisms $G \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times/\mu$.

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $K \subset \text{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be a compact subgroup. Then, there exists a finite subextension $E$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ such that $K \subset \text{GL}_n(E)$.

**Proof.** We give a proof for completeness. Fix a bijection from the set of integers $\geq 0$ to the set of finite subextensions of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$, which is denoted by $m \mapsto E_m$. For an integer $m \geq 0$, put $K_m := K \cap \text{GL}_n(E_m)$. They are closed subgroups of $K$ and cover the whole of $K$, i.e. $\cup_m K_m = K$. Since $K$ is compact Hausdorff, Baire category theorem can be applied. Hence, there exists $m \geq 0$ such that $K_m$ contains a non-empty open subset of $K$, which implies that $K_m$ is an open subgroup. Since the index $[K : K_m]$ is finite, the assertion follows. $\hfill \square$

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $G$ be a compact Hausdorff abelian group.

1. The group $\Theta_G$ is uniquely divisible.

2. Let $\text{Hom}_\text{conti}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times)$ be the group of continuous group homomorphisms to $\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$. Then, the kernel and the cokernel of the natural map $\text{Hom}_\text{conti}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times) \to \Theta_G$ are torsion.
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Proof. 1. Since the group $\mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_E$ is torsion-free, so is $\Theta_{G,E}$. Hence $\Theta_G$ is torsion-free. Let $\chi \in \Theta_{G,E}$ be a continuous homomorphism. For an integer $n \geq 1$, we need to find a finite extension $E'$ of $E$ and a continuous homomorphism $\xi : G \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_{E'}$ so that $\xi^n = \chi$. Let $E'$ be a finite extension of $E$ which contains the $n$-th roots of all elements in $\mathcal{O}_E^\times$. Such an $E'$ exists since $\mathcal{O}_E^\times/(\mathcal{O}_E^\times)^n$ is finite. Then, the composition of $\chi$ and the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_E \to \mathcal{O}_{E'}^\times /\mu_{E'}$ factors through the injection $\mathcal{O}_{E'}^\times /\mu_{E'} \to \mathcal{O}_{E'}^\times /\mu_{E'}$ defined by $a \mapsto a^n$. Since this injection is a homeomorphism onto the image, we find a desired homomorphism $\xi$.

2. The kernel is torsion since compact subgroups of $\mu \subset \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$ are finite subgroups by Lemma 5.2.

Let $E$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $\chi : G \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_E$ be a continuous homomorphism. We find a continuous homomorphism $\xi : G \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ and an integer $n \geq 1$ such that the composition of $\xi$ and the quotient $\mathcal{O}_E^\times \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_E$ equals to $\chi^n$. Take an open subgroup $U \subset \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ such that $U \cap \mu_E$ is trivial. Then, the composition $U \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_E$ is an isomorphism onto an open subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_E$, which we also denote by $U$. Let $H$ be the inverse image of $U \subset \mathcal{O}_E^\times /\mu_E$ by $\chi$. This is an open subgroup of $G$. Let $n := [G : H]$ be the index. Define $\xi$ by the composition $G \twoheadrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\chi} U \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times$. Then, $\xi$ and $n$ satisfy the condition. 

\[\square\]

5.1 Construction of epsilon cycles

To construct epsilon cycles, first we prepare a machinery. Fix a non-trivial character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times$. Let $S$ be a noetherian scheme over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$. Let

\[ (5.1) \]

be a commutative diagram of $S$-schemes of finite type. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \in D_c^b(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ be a constructible complex of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaves on $X$. We denote $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Consider the following conditions on these data. The definition of local acyclicity for $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaves is given in Definition 3.24

1. $Y$ is a smooth relative curve over $S$. The morphism $t : Y \to \mathbb{A}_S^1$ is étale.

2. $Z$ is a closed subscheme of $X$ finite over $S$.

3. $f$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{F}_0$ on $X \setminus Z$.

4. $S$ is a scheme over $\mathbb{F}_p$. The projection $p_2 : X \times_S \mathbb{P}_S^1 \to \mathbb{P}_S^1$ is universally locally acyclic relatively to $p_1^* \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L ((t \circ f) \times \text{id})^* \mathcal{L}_\psi$ on $(X \times_S \mathbb{P}_S^1) \setminus (Z \times_S \infty_S)$ where $p_1 : X \times_S \mathbb{P}_S^1 \to X$ is the projection and $\infty_S \subset \mathbb{P}_S^1$ is the closed subscheme defined by the section $S \to \mathbb{P}_S^1$ at the infinity.

Lemma 5.4. Let the notation be as above. Assume that the conditions 1 and 2 hold. Assume that $S$ is smooth over a field $k$ and that the composition $X \xrightarrow{\rho} S \to \text{Spec}(k)$ is smooth. Further assume that $k$ is of characteristic $p > 0$ and that the following conditions hold.
3'. \( f \) is \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \)-transversal on \( X \setminus Z \).

5. \( g \) is \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \)-transversal.

Then, the condition 4 holds.

Proof. Since \( t \) is étale, we may replace \( Y \) and \( t \) by \( \mathbb{A}^1_S \) and the identity map. Denote \( \mathcal{I} := p_1^* \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L (f \times \text{id})^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \). Since \( \mathcal{L}_\psi \) is smooth on \( \mathbb{A}^1_S \times_S \mathbb{A}^1_S \) and \( g \) is \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \)-transversal, \( p: X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( \mathcal{I} \) on \( X \times_S \mathbb{A}^1_S \). Let \( U := X \setminus Z \) be the complement. Let \( F^2: \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S \) be the base change of the square of the absolute Frobenius \( \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_p} \). It suffices to show that the projection \( U \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S \) is \( SS((\text{id} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{I}) \)-transversal. Indeed, since \( F^2: \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S \) is finite surjective radiciel, the projection \( U \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S \) is universally locally acyclic relatively to \( \mathcal{I} \) if and only if so it is relatively to \( (\text{id} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{I} \). By Examples 3.8.2 and 3, the singular support of \( \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L (\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \) on \( U \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k \) is

\[
SS(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L (\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) = SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \times SS((\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)
= SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \times ((\text{id} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi),
\]

where \( x \) is the standard coordinate of \( \mathbb{A}^1_k \). By Lemma 3.5.2, it suffices to show that the pair of morphisms

\[
U \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k \xleftarrow{\text{id} \times \text{id}} U \times_k \mathbb{P}^1_k \cong U \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S
\]

is \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L (\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \)-transversal. Let \( v \) be a geometric point of \( U \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \). Let \( u, s, t \) be the images of \( v \) by the projections \( U \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow U, S, \mathbb{P}^1_k \) respectively. The image of \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L (\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi)_v \) by \( d(\text{id} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi \) is contained in \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0)_u + df_u((dx)) \) through the identification \( T_s'(U \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \cong T'_sU \times_k T'_t \mathbb{P}^1_k \). Hence the \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes^L (\text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{L}_\psi) \)-transversality of (5.2) follows from the \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \)-transversality of \( f \) on \( U \). This implies the \( SS((\text{id} \times F^2)^* \mathcal{I}) \)-transversality of \( U \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_S \), hence the assertion.

\[\Box\]

Before stating Theorem 5.6 we prepare some constructions and notation.

Suppose that the conditions from 1 to 4 hold. We replace \( Y \) and \( t \) by \( \mathbb{A}^1_S \) and the identity. Consider the following diagram of topoi

\[
\begin{tikzcd}
X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \arrow[r, \psi_f \times \text{id}] \arrow[d, p_1] & (X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S} (\mathbb{A}^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \arrow[r, q] & \mathbb{A}^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \\
X \arrow[u, \psi_f] \arrow[r, \text{id}] & X \times_S \mathbb{A}^1_S \arrow[r, \text{id}] & \mathbb{A}^1_S
\end{tikzcd}
\]

Take a finite subextension \( E \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell \) containing \( \mu_p \) such that \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) is defined over \( \mathcal{O}_E \). For an integer \( n \geq 0 \), denote \( \mathcal{F}_{0,n} := \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_{\psi,n} := \mathcal{L}_\psi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1} \). Consider the following distinguished triangle

\[
\text{pr}_X^* \mathcal{F}_{0,n} \longrightarrow R \psi_f(\mathcal{F}_{0,n}) \longrightarrow R \Phi_f(\mathcal{F}_{0,n}) \longrightarrow
\]
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of complexes on $X \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \mathbb{A}_S$. By Proposition 2.2.1, the complexes $R\Psi_f(F_{0,n})$ and $R\Phi_f(F_{0,n})$ are objects of $D_{ctf}(X \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \mathbb{A}_S, \mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1})$ and their formations commute with arbitrary base change $S' \to S$. Hence we have a distinguished triangle

$$p^*pr_X^*F_{0,n} \longrightarrow R\Psi_f(id(p_1^*F_{0,n})) \longrightarrow p^*R\Phi_f(F_{0,n})$$

on $(X \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_S \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S} (\mathbb{A}^1_S \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S)$.

Let the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}$ by $\mathbb{A}^1_S \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S \to \mathbb{A}^1_{S'} \times_{\mathcal{S}'} \mathbb{P}^1_{S'}$ be denoted by the same letter $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}$. Tensoring $q^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}$, we get the following distinguished triangle

$$(5.3) \quad p^*pr_X^*F_{0,n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}} q^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n} \to R\Psi_f(id(p_1^*F_{0,n})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}} q^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n} \to p^*R\Phi_f(F_{0,n}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}} q^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n} \to .$$

Let $\tilde{h}: (X \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_S \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S} (\mathbb{A}^1_S \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S) \to (X \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} \mathbb{P}^1_S$ be the morphism defined from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S & \xrightarrow{f_{\text{id}}} & \mathbb{A}^1_S \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S \\
\downarrow p_2 & & \downarrow p_2 \\
\mathbb{P}^1_S & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbb{P}^1_S.
\end{array}$$

Taking the push-forward of the triangle (5.3) through $\tilde{h}$, we get a distinguished triangle

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{h}_*(p^*pr_X^*F_{0,n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}} q^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}) \to \tilde{h}_*(R\Psi_f(id(p_1^*F_{0,n})) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}} q^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}) \to \tilde{h}_*(p^*R\Phi_f(F_{0,n}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E/\ell^{n+1}} q^*\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}) \to$$

on $(X \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathcal{P}^1_S} \mathbb{P}^1_S$. Here we denote by $\tilde{h}_*$ the derived push-forward by abuse of notation. Let

$$K_n \to H_n \to G_n \to$$

be the distinguished triangle which is the restriction of (5.4) to the subtopos $(Z \times_{\mathcal{S}} \infty_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S \subset (X \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} \mathbb{P}^1_S$. Let $\tilde{g}: (Z \times_{\mathcal{S}} \infty_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S \to \infty_S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S$ be the morphism of topoi defined from $\tilde{g}|_Z: Z \to S$.

We show that the complex $\tilde{g}_*G_n$ is locally constant constructible and of finite tor-dimension and that its formation commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \to S$. To this end, we prove the following two claims.

**Lemma 5.5.** 1. The complex $K_n$ is acyclic.

2. The complex $\tilde{g}_*H_n$ is locally constant constructible and of finite tor-dimension. Its formation commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \to S$.

*Proof.* 1. Take a point $(z \leftarrow t)$ of the topos $(Z \times_{\mathcal{S}} \infty_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S$. Namely, $z$ is a geometric point of $Z$, $t$ is a geometric point of $A^1_S$, and a specialization $\infty_{g(z)} \leftarrow t$ is given. Here $\infty_{g(z)}$ is the geometric point of $\mathbb{P}^1_S$ defined by the compositions

$$z \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{g} S \xrightarrow{\infty} \mathbb{P}^1_S.$$
By (2.2), the stalk $K_{n,(z-t)}$ is isomorphic to the following complex:

$$R\Gamma((A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S)_{(f(z),\infty(z))} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_{S,(\infty(z))}} \mathbb{P}^1_S, f_{0,n,z} \otimes^L \mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}),$$

where $f_{0,n,z}$ is a constant complex. Since the projection $A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ is strongly universally locally acyclic relatively to $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}$ by Example 3.8.3 and the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}$ to $A^1_S \times_S \infty_S$ is zero, we have the assertion.

2. We consider the following cartesian diagrams

$$\begin{aligned}
X \times_S A^1_S &\xrightarrow{R\Psi'} (X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S} (A^1_S \times_S A^1_S) \xrightarrow{h_*} (X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S \\
X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S &\xrightarrow{R\Psi f \times id} (X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S} (A^1_S \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \xrightarrow{h_*} (X \times_S \mathbb{P}^1_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} \mathbb{P}^1_S.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}$ is locally constant on $A^1_S \times_S A^1_S$, we compute

$$\mathcal{H}_{n} \cong h_*((R\Psi'(p^*_1 f_{0,n}) \otimes^L q^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}))_{(Z \times_S \infty_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S} \cong h_*((R\Psi'(p^*_1 f_{0,n} \otimes^L (f \times id)^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi,n}))_{(Z \times_S \infty_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S} \cong R\Psi \mathcal{P}_2(p^*_1 f_{0,n} \otimes^L (f \times id)^* \mathcal{L}_{\psi,n})_{(Z \times_S \infty_S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S}.$$}

Hence the assertion follows from the conditions 2, 4, and Proposition 2.7. \hfill \Box

Let $C_0 := \varprojlim_n g_* \mathcal{G}_n$. This is a constructible complex of smooth $\mathcal{O}_E$-sheaves on $\infty_S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S$, in the sense of Definition 7.6.2.

**Theorem 5.6.** Suppose that the conditions from 1 to 4 hold. Then, the complex $C_0$ on $\infty_S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} A^1_S$ constructed above admits the following properties.

1. The formation of $C_0$ commutes with arbitrary base change $S' \to S$.

2. Assume that $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ is the spectrum of a perfect field $k$. Let $\eta_k$ be the generic point of the henselization $\mathbb{P}^1_{k,(\infty)}$ of $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ at the infinity. Then, by the identification $\eta_k \cong \infty \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_k} A^1_k$, $\mathcal{C} := C_0 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ind}^{G_{nk}}_{G_{nz}}(F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi_f(\mathcal{F})_z) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\psi(f(z) \cdot x'))[-1],$$

where $\eta_z$ is the unramified extension of $\eta_k$ whose residue field is isomorphic to that of $z$, $f(z) \in k(z)$ is the image of the standard coordinate by $z \to A^1_k$, and $x'$ is the standard coordinate of $A^1_{k(z)} = \mathbb{P}^1_{k(z)} \setminus \infty_z$. The definition of $R\Phi_f(\mathcal{F})_z$ is given in Definition 3.13.

**Proof.** 1. This follows from Lemma 3.8.5.

2. Define $g(\infty) : \bigsqcup_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \eta_z \to \eta_k$ to be the disjoint union of the canonical maps. We have

$$(g_* \mathcal{G}_n)_{\eta_k} \cong g(\infty)^* (\mathcal{G}_n|_{\bigsqcup_{z} \eta_z})_{\eta_k} \cong \bigoplus_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Ind}^{G_{nk}}_{G_{nz}}(\mathcal{G}_n_{\eta_z}).$$
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We have
\[ G_{n,π} \cong RΓ((\mathbb{A}^1_{k(π)} × k(π) \mathbb{P}^1_{k(π)})(f(z),∞_z) × \mathbb{P}^1_{k(π),(∞_z)} \mathbb{P}^1_{0,n}, p^* RΦ_f(\mathcal{F}_{0,n}) ⊗ L q^* \mathcal{L}_ψ,n). \]

Thus we get
\[ \mathcal{C} \cong \bigoplus_{z∈\mathbb{Z}} \text{Ind}^{G_{n,π}}_{G_{n,∞}}(F(0,∞)(RΦ_f(\mathcal{F})_z) ⊗ \mathcal{L}_ψ(f(z) · x')) [−1], \]

hence the assertion. \(\square\)

The inverse of the determinant det \(\mathcal{C}_0\) is a smooth sheaf of rank 1 on \(\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S} × \mathbb{P}^1_\mathbb{S} \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S}\), which is the tensor product of the determinants of local Fourier transforms and Artin-Schreier sheaves. We show that the part of Artin-Schreier sheaves itself forms a smooth sheaf \(\mathcal{L}\) of rank 1.

**Lemma 5.7.** Let the notation be as above. Assume that the conditions from 1 to 4 are satisfied. Let \(h: Z → S\) be the structure morphism. Then, the map \(\varphi_{F_0}: Z → \mathbb{Z}\) defined by \(z ↦ \text{dimtot } RΦ_{f_s}(\mathcal{F}_0)_z\), where \(s\) is the image \(h(z)\) of \(z\), is flat in the sense of Definition 3.15.

**Proof.** This is a consequence of the existence of a smooth complex \(\mathcal{C}_0\) as in Theorem 5.6 whose rank at \(s ∈ S\) equals to \(∑_{z∈\mathbb{Z}} \varphi_{F_0}(z)\). It is also proved in [29, Proposition 2.16]. \(\square\)

Let \(\varphi_{F_0}: Z → \mathbb{Z}\) be the flat function defined in Lemma 5.7. Applying Lemma 3.19 to \(\varphi_{F_0}\) and the section \(f|Z: Z → \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S}\) defined from the composition \(Z → X → \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S}\), we obtain a smooth \(\mathcal{O}_E\)-sheaf \(\mathcal{L}_ψ(\varphi_{F_0}, f|Z)\) of rank 1 on \(\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S}\).

**Definition 5.8.** We define the smooth \(\mathcal{O}_E\)-sheaf \(\mathcal{L}_ψ,\varphi_{F_0}, f\) of rank 1 on \(\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S}\) to be \(\mathcal{L}_ψ(\varphi_{F_0}, f|Z)\).

**Theorem 5.9.** Let the notation be as in Theorem 5.6. Assume that the conditions from 1 to 4 hold.

1. Let \(\text{pr}: \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S} → \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S}\) be the second projection. Then, the product \((\text{det}(C_0))^{-1} \otimes \text{pr}^* \mathcal{L}_{ψ,\varphi_{F_0}, f}^{-1} \in H^1(\mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S} × \mathbb{A}^1_\mathbb{S}, \mathcal{O}_E^*)\) is a tame object in the sense of Definition 2.9.

2. Further assume that \(S\) is connected and normal. Then, the continuous group homomorphism
   \[ ρ_t: π_1(S)^ab → \mathcal{O}_E^× \]
   corresponding to \((\text{det}(C_0))^{-1} \otimes \text{pr}^* \mathcal{L}_{ψ,\varphi_{F_0}, f}^{-1}, 1/x') \in H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_E^*)\) defined in Lemma 2.11 has the following properties.

   (a) The formation of \(ρ_t\) commutes with arbitrary base change \(S' → S\).

   (b) When \(S = \text{Spec}(k)\) is the spectrum of a perfect field \(k\), \(ρ_t\) coincides with
       \[ \prod_{z∈\mathbb{Z}} δ_{k(π)/k}(RΦ_f(\mathcal{F})_z) · ε_0(Y(z), RΦ_f(\mathcal{F})_z, dt) \circ \text{tr}_{k(π)/k}. \]

Here the definition of \(δ_{k(π)/k}\) is given in the last of Section 7. When \(S\) is of finite type over \(\mathbb{F}_p\), \(ρ_t\) is uniquely determined by the properties above.
3. Further assume that $S = \text{Spec}(k)$ is the spectrum of a perfect field $k$. Let $t' \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ be another section which satisfies the conditions 1 and 4. We have

$$\rho_{t'} \rho_t^{-1} = \prod_{z \in Z} \chi_{\det(R\Phi_f(\mathcal{F})_z)}(\frac{dt'}{dt}) \circ \text{tr}_{k(z)/k}.$$ 

Proof. Let $\text{Spec}(k) \to S$ be a morphism from the spectrum of a perfect field $k$. By Theorem [5.6] 1 and 2, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \det(C_0)|_{\mathcal{C}_k^*} \cong \bigotimes_{z \in Z_k} \det(\text{Ind}_{G^*_{\mathcal{G}_k}}(F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi_{f_k}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_k})_z) \otimes \mathcal{L}_\psi(f_k(z) \cdot x')))^{-1}$$

$$\cong \mathcal{L}_\psi(-\alpha \cdot x') \otimes \bigotimes_{z \in Z_k} \det(\text{Ind}_{G^*_{\mathcal{G}_k}}(F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi_{f_k}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_k})_z)))^{-1},$$

where $\alpha$ is an element of $k$ defined by $\sum_{z \in Z_k} \dim_{\text{tot}} R\Phi_{f_k}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_k})_z \cdot \text{tr}_{k(z)/k}(f_k(z))$. The subscripts $(-)_k$ mean the base changes by $\text{Spec}(k) \to S$. We have the equality ([5, Proposition 1.2], for example)

$$(5.7) \quad \det(\text{Ind}_{G^*_{\mathcal{G}_k}}(F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi_{f_k}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_k})_z))) = \delta_{k(z)/k}^{\dim_{\text{tot}}(R\Phi_{f_k}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_k})_z)} \cdot \det(F^{(0,\infty)}(R\Phi_{f_k}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}_k})_z)) \circ \text{tr}_{k(z)/k}.$$ 

1. This follows from (5.6), (5.7), and Corollary [4.7].
2. The assertion (a) follows from Theorem [5.6] 1. The assertion (b) follows from (5.6) and (5.7). The last assertion follows from the Chebotarev density.
3. This follows from Lemma [4.13] 1 and (b).

Definition 5.10. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p \neq \ell$. For a scheme $X$ of finite type over $k$, define the full subcategory $\tilde{D}(X)$ of $D^b_c(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ consisting of elements $\mathcal{F}_0 \in D^b_c(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ such that there exist a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec}(k) & \longrightarrow & S
\end{array}$$

of schemes and a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}_0' \in D^b_c(X', \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ such that the vertical arrows are of finite type, $S$ is the spectrum of a finitely generated field over its prime field, and the pull-back $f^* \mathcal{F}_0'$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_0$.

The subcategory $\tilde{D}(X)$ is triangulated and stable under Grothendieck's 6 operations, i.e. $Rf_*, Rf^!, f^*, Rf^!, \otimes^L$, and $R\text{Hom}$.

From Proposition [3.12] and Theorem [5.9], we deduce the existence of epsilon cycles in the case of positive characteristic (Lemma [5.13]).

Lemma 5.11. Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type over $k$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be an object of $\tilde{D}(X)$. Let

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
j & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
X
\end{array}$$
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be a diagram as \(3.2\). Let \(u \in U\) be an at most isolated \(SS(j^*F_0)\)-characteristic point of \(f\). For two local parameters \(t\) and \(t'\) of \(Y\) around \(f(u)\), the ratio \(\varepsilon_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(F)_u, dt) \cdot \varepsilon_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(F)_u, dt')^{-1} = \chi_{\det R\Phi_f(F)_u}(\frac{dt}{dt'})\) of the characters of \(G'^{ab}_{k(u)}\) is of finite order.

**Proof.** We may assume that \(u \to \text{Spec}(k)\) is an isomorphism. Consider the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \to & Y \\
\downarrow_{\cong} & & \downarrow_f \\
\text{Spec}(k). & & \\
\end{array}
\]

We can find the perfection of a finitely generated subfield \(k_1\) of \(k\) and a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
u_1 & \to & U_1 \\
\downarrow_{\cong} & & \downarrow_{f_1} \\
\text{Spec}(k_1) & & Y_1 \\
\end{array}
\]

derived from \(f\) and \(g\) of smooth \(k_1\)-schemes, \(\hat{F}_0 \in D^b_c(U_1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)\), and \(t_1, t'_1 \in \Gamma(Y_1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_1})\) such that these data are pulled-back to the data above by the morphism \(\text{Spec}(k) \to \text{Spec}(k_1)\). We can assume that these data satisfy the conditions from 1 to 4 (see Lemma 5.4). Hence we may assume that \(k\) is the perfection of a finitely generated field over \(\mathbb{F}_p\).

We show that \(\chi_{\det R\Phi_f(F)_u}(\frac{dt}{dt'})\) is of finite order. Let \(n\) be the Swan conductor of \(\det R\Phi_f(F)_u\). If the difference \(dt - dt'\) vanishes at \(f(u)\), the character is killed by the \(n\)-th power of \(p\). Take \(a \in k^\times\) so that \(d(at) - dt'\) vanishes at \(f(u)\). Consider the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{G}_{m,k} & \times_k \mathbb{G}_{m,k} & \to Y \times_k \mathbb{G}_{m,k} \\
\downarrow_{\text{id}} & & \downarrow_{g \times \text{id}} \\
\mathbb{G}_{m,k} & & \\
\end{array}
\]

which is the product of (5.9) and \(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}\). Let \(x\) be the standard coordinate of \(\mathbb{G}_{m,k}\) and let \(t'' := xt\). By Theorem 5.9, we get a continuous character \(\rho_{t''} : \pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k})^{ab} \to \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l^\times / \mu\). By [10] Theorem 1, this character factors through \(\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_{m,k})^{ab} \to G'^{ab}_{k}\). Specializing \(x \mapsto 1, a\), we obtain the assertion.

\[\square\]

**Definition 5.12.** Let the notation be as in Lemma 5.11. We denote the composition of \(\varepsilon_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(F)_u, dt)\) and the quotient map \(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l^\times \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times / \mu\) by \(\overline{\varepsilon}_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(F)_u)\).

The character \(\overline{\varepsilon}_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(F)_u)\) is independent of the choice of local parameters \(t\) by Lemma 5.11. It belongs to \(\Theta_{k(u)}\).

**Lemma 5.13.** Let \(k\) be a perfect field of characteristic \(p > 0\). Let \(X\) be a smooth scheme of finite type over \(k\). Let \(F_0\) be an object of \(\tilde{D}(X)\). Let the singular support of \(F_0\) be denoted by \(C\). For a diagram as \(3.2\) and an at most isolated \(C\)-characteristic point \(u \in U\) of \(f\), put \(\varphi(f, u) := \overline{\varepsilon}_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(F)_u)^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{k(u)/k}\). This assignment defines a \(\Theta_k\)-valued function on isolated \(C\)-characteristic points and is flat, in the sense of Definition 3.11.2.
Proof. First we verify that \( \varphi(f, u) \) is a \( \Theta_k \)-valued function on isolated \( C \)-characteristic points.

When \( u \) is not an isolated \( C \)-characteristic point, \( \varphi(f, u) \) vanishes since \( R\Phi_f(F)_u \) vanishes.

Consider the diagram (3.3) and an isolated \( C \)-characteristic point \( u' \in U \) of \( f' \). Since the restriction of \( \bar{\varepsilon}_0(Y_{(u)}, R\Phi_f(F)_u) \) to \( G^a_{k(u)} \) equals to \( \bar{\varepsilon}_0(Y_{(u')}, R\Phi_f(F)_{u'}) \), the assertion follows from the fact that the composition \( G^a_{k(u)} \to G^a_{k(u')} \) is the multiplication by \( \deg(u'/u) \).

Next we show the flatness in the sense of Definition 3.3. Consider the diagram (3.4). We need to show that the function \( \varphi_f : |Z| \to \Theta_k \) defined by \( \varphi_f(z) = \varphi(f_s, z) \), where \( s \in S \) is the image of \( z \), is flat over \( S \). After replacing \( S \) by its étale covering, we may assume that \( Z \) is finite over \( S \). Further replacing \( S \) and \( Y \) by open coverings, we may assume that there exists \( t \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \) which defines an étale morphism \( Y \to A^1_S \). We also assume that \( S \) is connected. We can find a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \\
pr_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{pr_1} & S_1
\end{array}
\]

of schemes of finite type over a finitely generated subfield \( k_1 \) of \( k \) whose pull-back by the morphism \( \text{Spec}(k) \to \text{Spec}(k_1) \) is isomorphic to (3.3). We may assume that all the conditions imposed on the original data are also satisfied. The subscripts \((-)_1\) mean the corresponding objects over \( k_1 \). By Theorem 5.9.2, we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_1(S)^{ab} & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & \pi_1(S_1)^{ab} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times
\end{array}
\]

of topological groups. Let \( k'_1 \) (resp. \( k' \)) be the normalization of \( k_1 \) (resp. \( k \)) in \( S_1 \) (resp. \( S \)). By [19] Theorem 1, \( \rho_{t_1} \) followed by the quotient map \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times / \mu \) factors through \( G^{ab}_{k'_1} \).

Hence \( \rho_t \) followed by \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times / \mu \) factors through \( G^{ab}_{k'} \), which we denote by \( \xi : G^{ab}_{k'} \to \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times / \mu \).

Then, for a closed point \( s \in S \), we have \( \prod_{z \in Z_s} \varphi_f(z) = \prod_{z \in Z_s} \bar{\varepsilon}_0(Y, s(z), R\Phi_{f_s}(F_s)z)^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{k(z)/k} = \xi |_{G^{ab}_{k(s)}} \circ \text{tr}_{k(s)/k} = (\xi \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{\deg(k(s)/k')} \), hence the assertion.

To prove the existence of epsilon cycles in the case of characteristic 0, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.14. Let \( S \) be a noetherian regular scheme. Let \( X \) be a smooth scheme of relative dimension \( n \) over \( S \). Let \( Z \subset X \) be an integral closed subscheme which is flat of relative dimension \( n - c \) over \( S \). Let \( W \) be a smooth scheme of relative dimension \( m \) over \( S \). Let \( h : W \to X \) be an \( S \)-morphism. Assume that each irreducible component \( C_a \) of \( Z \times_X W = \cup_a C_a \), equipped with the reduced subscheme structure, is flat of relative dimension \( m - c \) over \( S \). Then, after shrinking \( S \) to a dense open subscheme, there exists a cycle \( \sum a t_a[C_a] \) with \( \mathbb{Z} \)-coefficient and supported on \( Z \times_X W \) such that, for every morphism \( s \to S \) from the spectrum of a field, we have \( h^*_s[Z_s] = \sum a t_a[C_{a,s}] \) as cycles supported on \( (Z \times_X W)_s \), where \((-)_s \) means the base change \((-) \times_S s \).
Proof. Factoring $h$ as $W \hookrightarrow U \hookrightarrow W \times_S X \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_X} X$, where $U$ is an open subscheme of $W \times_S X$ such that $W$ is closed in $U$, we may assume that $h$ is smooth or a closed immersion.

If $h$ is smooth, $C_a$ is a connected component of $Z \times_X W$ and we can take 1 as $t_a$.

Assume that $h$ is a closed immersion. Define $K$ to be the complex $\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_W$ of coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. This is supported on $Z \times_X W$. Note that $K$ is bounded since $h: W \rightarrow X$ is a local complete intersection. Let $U \subset W$ be an open neighborhood around the generic points of $Z \times_X W$ so that $U \cap C_a$ are disjoint and $K|_{U \cap C_a}$ are extensions of finite free $\mathcal{O}_{U \cap C_a}$-modules. Let $\eta_a$ be the generic points of $C_a$. Let $t_a$ be the lengths of $K_{\eta_a}$ as complexes of $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta_a}$-modules, i.e. the alternating sums of the lengths of $H^i(K_{\eta_a})$. Let $s \rightarrow S$ be a morphism from the spectrum of a field. Then we have

$$h^1_s[Z_s]|_{U_s} = [K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_s} k(s)]|_{U_s} = \sum_a t_a[U_s \cap C_{a,s}].$$

Thus the cycle $\sum_a t_a[C_a]$ admits the property after shrinking $S$ so that the morphisms $C_a \cap C_b \rightarrow S$ are of relative dimension $< m - c$ for distinct indices $a, b$.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 5.15.** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type over a perfect field $k$ of characteristic $p \neq \ell$ and let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be an object of $\mathcal{D}(X)$, defined in Definition 5.10. Then, there exists a unique cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)_k = \sum_a \xi_a \otimes [C_a]$ with coefficients in $\Theta_k$ (Definition 5.1) and supported on $SS(\mathcal{F}_0) = \bigcup_a C_a$, satisfying the following property. For a diagram as (3.2) and an at most isolated $SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$-characteristic point $u \in U$ of $f$, we have

$$\bar{\varepsilon}_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(\mathcal{F}))^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{k(u)/k} = (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)_k, df)_a^{\deg(u/k)}.$$

**Proof.** When $p > 0$, it follows from Lemma 5.13 and Proposition 3.12.

Let $p = 0$. For each irreducible component $C_a$ of $SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$, choose a diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
U_a & \xrightarrow{f_a} & Y_a \\
\downarrow{j_a} & & \downarrow{X} \\
X, & & 
\end{array}
$$

where $j_a$ is étale and $Y_a$ is a smooth $k$-curve, and an isolated $SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$-characteristic point $u_a \in U_a$ of $f_a$ at which $df_a$ only meets $C_a$. Consider a continuous homomorphism $\xi_a \in \Theta_k$ satisfying the equality $\xi_a^{\deg(u_a/k)(C_a, df_a)_{u_a}} = \bar{\varepsilon}_0(Y_{a,(u_a)}, R\Phi_{f_a}(\mathcal{F}_0)_{u_a})^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{k(u_a)/k}$. We show that the cycle $\sum_a \xi_a \otimes [C_a]$ satisfies the condition. Let

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
U & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow{j} & & \downarrow{X} \\
X & & 
\end{array}
$$

be a diagram with $j$ étale and $Y$ a smooth $k$-curve, and $u \in U$ be an at most isolated $SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$-characteristic point of $f$. We need to show the equality

$$(5.10) \quad \bar{\varepsilon}_0(Y(u), R\Phi_f(\mathcal{F}))^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{u/k} = \prod_a \bar{\varepsilon}_0(Y_{a,(u_a)}, R\Phi_{f_a}(\mathcal{F}))^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{u_a/k}.$$
Taking finite extension of $k$, we may assume that $u_a$ and $u$ are $k$-rational. Let $k_1 \subset k$ be a finitely generated subfield over which all the data above are defined. Then, we may assume that $k$ is finitely generated.

Shrinking $Y_a$ and $Y$, we take étale $k$-morphisms $Y_a \to \mathbb{A}_k^1$ and $Y \to \mathbb{A}_k^1$. Applying Proposition 4.25 to the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
U_a & \xrightarrow{f_a} & Y_a \\
\cong & & \\
\Spec(k) & \xrightarrow{u_a} & \mathbb{A}_k^1
\end{array}
$$

and the counterpart for $U \to Y$, we get commutative diagrams of topological groups as in the proposition. By Lemma 4.24 and Lemma 5.14, the equality (5.10) follows from the case of positive characteristic. 

\[\square\]

**Definition 5.16.** We call the cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)_k$ the epsilon cycle of $\mathcal{F}_0$. If no confusions occur, we omit the subscript $k$ and denote it by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)$.

**Definition 5.17.** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type over $k$. For a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \check{D}(X)$ and a rational number $r$, we define the $r$-twisted epsilon cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(r)$ to be the product

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(r) := \chi^{r_{CC}(\mathcal{F}_0)} \cdot \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0).$$

Here $\chi^{r_{CC}(\mathcal{F}_0)}$ means $\sum_a \chi^{r_{CC}(\mathcal{F}_0)}[C_a]$ for $CC(\mathcal{F}_0) = \sum_a m_a[C_a]$.

## 5.2 Properties of epsilon cycles

**Definition 5.18.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism of smooth $k$-schemes. Let $A$ be an abelian group. Let $C \subset T^*X$ be a closed conical subset. Assume that every irreducible component of $X$ and $C$ is of dimension $n$, and that of $Y$ is of dimension $m$. Further assume that $f$ is proper on the base of $C$ and that every irreducible component of $f_\ast C$ is of dimension $m$. For a cycle $\alpha \in A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_n(T^*X)$ supported on $C$, define a cycle $f_\ast \alpha \in A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_n(T^*Y)$ to be the push-forward by the projection $T^*Y \times_Y X \to T^*X$ of the pull-back of $\alpha$ by $df : T^*Y \times_Y X \to T^*X$ in the sense of intersection theory.

**Lemma 5.19.** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type over $k$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \check{D}(X)$ be a constructible complex of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaves on $X$.

1. Let $\mathcal{G}_0 \in \check{D}(X)$ be a smooth $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaf on $X$. Assume that $X$ is connected. Then we have an equality

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_0 \otimes^L \mathcal{F}_0) = (\det(\mathcal{G}_0) \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{\frac{1}{\deg(k'/k)}} \cdot \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)^{kG}. $$

Here $k'$ is the normalization of $k$ in the function field of $X$. We regard $\det(\mathcal{G}_0)$ as an element of $\Theta_{k'}$ as follows. Since there is a connected normal scheme $S$ whose function field is finitely generated such that $X$ and $\mathcal{G}_0$ are defined over $S$, the determinant valued in $\mathbb{Z}_\ell/\mu$ factors through $G^\text{ab}_{k'}$ by [19, Theorem 1].

In particular, we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0(n)) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(n).$
2. Let $k_1$ be a subfield of $k$ such that $\deg(k/k_1)$ is finite. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}(F_0) \circ \text{tr}_{k/k_1} = \mathcal{E}(F_0)^{\deg(k/k_1)}.$$

3. Let $k'/k$ be an extension of perfect fields. Let $\mathcal{E}(F_0) = \sum_a \xi_a \otimes [C_a]$ be the epsilon cycle. Assume that, for each irreducible component $C_a$ of $SS(F_0)$, $C_a \setminus \cup_{b \neq a} C_b$ has a smooth $k$-rational point. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(F_0)_{|X_{a'}} = \sum_a \xi_a|_{G_{k'}} \otimes [C_a \times_k k'].$$

The same equality holds if the extension $k'/k$ is algebraically closed or $k$ is finite.

4. Let $i: X \to X'$ be a closed immersion to a smooth $k$-scheme $X'$ of finite type. Then, we have

$$i_\ast \mathcal{E}(F_0) = \mathcal{E}(i_\ast F_0).$$

**Proof.** 1. Take a diagram as \[3.2\] and an at most isolated $SS(F_0)$-characteristic point $u \in U$ of $f$. Let $F := F_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $G := G_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$. Then, we have

$$(\mathcal{E}(G_0 \otimes^L F_0), df)^{\deg(u/k)}_u = \varepsilon_0(Y_u), R\Phi_f(G \otimes F)_u^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_u/k = \varepsilon_0(Y_u), G_u \otimes R\Phi_f(F)_u^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_u/k = (\det G \circ \text{tr}_{u/k})^{-\dim \text{tot} R\Phi_f(F)_u} \varepsilon_0(Y_u), R\Phi_f(F)_u^{-\text{rk} G} \circ \text{tr}_u/k = (\det G \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{\deg(u/k') \cdot CC(F_0), df}_u (\mathcal{E}(F_0), df)^{\deg(u/k) \cdot \text{rk} G} = (\det G \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{\deg(u/k') \cdot CC(F_0), df} (\mathcal{E}(F_0), df)^{\deg(u/k)}.$$

2. Consider morphisms of $k_1$-schemes $X \xrightarrow{j} U \xrightarrow{j} Y$ where $j$ is étale and $Y$ is a smooth $k_1$-curve. Replacing $Y$ by $Y \times_k k_1$ if necessary, to calculate local epsilon factors, we may assume that the diagram is defined over $k$. Then it follows from the uniqueness of epsilon cycles.

3. For each irreducible component $C_a$, take a diagram \[3.2\], and an isolated $SS(F_0)$-characteristic $k$-rational point $u_a \in U$ of $f$ over which $df$ only meets $C_a$ at a smooth point of $C_a \setminus \cup_{b \neq a} C_b$. We have $(\mathcal{E}(F_0), df)_{u_a} = \varepsilon_0(Y_{u_a}), R\Phi_f(F)_{u_a}^{-1}$ and the counterpart over $k'$. Hence the coefficient of $C_a$ and that of $C_a \times_k k'$ coincide.

We show that when $k'/k$ is algebraically closed or $k$ is finite, the question can be reduced to the case treated above. First assume that $k'/k$ is algebraically closed. By 2, we may replace $k$ by a finite extension $k''$ and $k'$ by $k''' := k'' \otimes_k k'$, since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G_{k'}^{tr_{k''/k}} & \xrightarrow{G_{k'}^{tr_{k''/k}}} & G_{k'}^{tr_{k''/k}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
G_k^{tr_{k''/k}} & \xrightarrow{G_k^{tr_{k''/k}}} & G_k^{tr_{k''/k}}
\end{array}$$

is commutative. Hence this case is reduced to the case above.

Next assume that $k$ is finite. When $k'$ is also finite, the assertion follows since in this case the composition $G_{k'}^{ab} \to G_k^{ab} \xrightarrow{tr_{k'/k}} G_k^{ab}$ is the multiplication by $\deg(k'/k)$. In general,
let \( k'' \) be a finite extension of \( k \) so that each irreducible component of \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \times_k k'' \) has a smooth \( k'' \)-rational point outside other irreducible components. Let \( k'' := k' \cdot k'' \) be a composition field. Since the cases \( k''/k \) and \( k''/k'' \) are treated already, we have \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)|_{k''} = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,k''}) \). The assertion follows from 2.

4. Consider a commutative diagram of \( k \)-schemes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xleftarrow{j} & U \\
\downarrow{i} & & \downarrow{f} \\
X' & \xleftarrow{i'} & U' \\
\end{array}
\]

where the left horizontal arrows are étale, the square is cartesian, and \( Y \) is a smooth curve. Since \( SS(i_*\mathcal{F}_0) = i_0SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \), it suffices to show, for an isolated \( SS(i_*\mathcal{F}_0) \)-characteristic point \( u' \in U' \) of \( f' \), the equality

\[
\overline{\varepsilon}_0(Y_{u'}), R\Phi_{f'}(i_*\mathcal{F})_{u'} = \overline{\varepsilon}_0(Y_{u'}), R\Phi_{f}(\mathcal{F})_{u'},
\]

which follows from the isomorphism \( R\Phi_{f'}(i_*\mathcal{F})_{u'} \to i'_*R\Phi_{f}(\mathcal{F})_{u'} \).

\[\square\]

**Proposition 5.20.** Let \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) be smooth schemes of finite type over \( k \). Take \( \mathcal{F}_{0,i} \in \hat{D}(X_i) \) for each \( i = 1, 2 \). Then, we have an equality

\[
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{0,2}) = (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}) \boxtimes CC(\mathcal{F}_{0,2})) \cdot (CC(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}) \boxtimes \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,2})),
\]

where \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}) \boxtimes CC(\mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \) is defined as follows. Write \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}) = \sum_a \xi_a \otimes [C_a] \) and \( CC(\mathcal{F}_{0,2}) = \sum_b n_b [D_b] \). Then, \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}) \boxtimes CC(\mathcal{F}_{0,2}) =: \sum_{a,b} \xi_a n_b \otimes [C_a \times D_b] \). The definition of \( CC(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}) \boxtimes \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \) is similar. The product is the group law of \( \Theta_k \otimes Z_\bullet(T^*(X_1 \times_k X_2)) \).

**Proof.** Let \( C_1, C_2 \) be irreducible components of \( SS(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}), SS(\mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \) respectively. By Lemma 5.19, after replacing \( k \) by its finite extension, we may assume that, for each \( i = 1, 2 \), there exist a diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
U_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & \mathbb{A}^1_k \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_i & & \\
\end{array}
\]

and a \( k \)-rational isolated \( SS(\mathcal{F}_{0,i}) \)-characteristic point \( u_i \in U_i \) at which the section \( df_i \) meets only \( C_i \). We also assume that \( C_i \) is smooth at the intersection point and that \( f_i \) maps \( u_i \) to 0.

By Example 3.8.2, the cycle \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \) is supported on \( SS(\mathcal{F}_{0,1}) \times SS(\mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \). Hence it suffices to compute the coefficient of \( [C_1 \times C_2] \) in \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \). Let \( k_1 \subset k \) be the perfection of a finitely generated subfield over which all the data above are defined. By Lemma 5.19.3, we reduce the assertion to the case when \( k \) is the perfection of a finitely generated field.

Let \( f: U_1 \times U_2 \to \mathbb{A}^2_k \) be the product of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) and let \( a: \mathbb{A}^2_k \to \mathbb{A}^1_k \) be the summation map. Let \( \xi_i \) be the coefficient of \( C_i \) in \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,i}) \) and \( \xi \) be that of \( C_1 \times C_2 \) in \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \). Denote \( u := (u_1, u_2) \in U_1 \times U_2 \). Since \( u \) is an isolated \( SS(\mathcal{F}_{0,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{0,2}) \)-characteristic point of \( af \), we have

\[
(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_{0,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_{0,2}), df(af))_{T^*(U_1 \times U_2), u} = \overline{\varepsilon}_0(\mathbb{A}^1_{k(0)}), R\Phi_{af}(\mathcal{F}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_2)_u)^{-1}.
\]
Since \(d(af)\) only meets \(C_1 \times C_2\) at \(u\), the left hand side equals to \(\xi^{(C_1, df_1)}_{T^*u_1, u_1} \cdot \xi^{(C_2, df_2)}_{T^*u_2, u_2}\). On the other hand, by Lemma \ref{lem:429} the right hand side equals to

\[
\overline{\varepsilon}(A_k^1, \mathcal{F}_1)_{u_1}) \cdot \overline{\varepsilon}(A_k^1, \mathcal{F}_2)_{u_2}\]

which equals to

\[
\xi^{(C_1, df_1)}_{u_1} \cdot (\mathcal{C}(F_{0, 2}, df_2)_{u_2}) \cdot \xi^{(C_2, df_2)}_{u_2} \cdot (\mathcal{C}(F_{0, 1}, df_1)_{u_1}),
\]

hence the assertion.

\[\square\]

**Definition 5.21.** Let \(X \) and \(W \) be smooth schemes over a field \(k\) and let \(C \) be a closed conical subset of \(T^*X\). Assume that every irreducible component of \(X\) and \(C\) is of dimension \(n\) and that every irreducible component of \(W\) is of dimension \(m\). Let \(A\) be an abelian group. Let \(h : W \rightarrow X\) be a properly \(C\)-transversal \(k\)-morphism and let

\[T^*W \leftarrow W \times_X T^*X \rightarrow T^*X\]

be the canonical morphisms. Then, for an \(A\)-linear combination \(\alpha = \sum a \beta_a \otimes [C_a]\) of irreducible components of \(C = \bigcup_a C_a\), we define \(h^!\alpha\) to be \((-1)^{n-m}\)-times the push-forward by the first arrow \(W \times_X T^*X \rightarrow T^*W\) of the pull-back of \(\alpha\) by the second arrow \(W \times_X T^*X \rightarrow T^*X\) in the sense of intersection theory. This is a cycle supported on \(h^!C\).

**Corollary 5.22.** Let \(h : W \rightarrow X\) be a smooth morphism of smooth schemes of finite type over \(k\). Assume that each irreducible component of \(X\) and \(W\) is of dimension \(n\) and \(m\) respectively. Let \(\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(X)\). Then, we have

\[\mathcal{E}(h^*\mathcal{F}_0) = h^!(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{n-m}{2})) = h^!(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{n-m}{2}))).\]

**Proof.** Since the assertion is étale local on \(W\), we may assume that \(W = X \times A^m_k\) and \(h\) is the projection. By induction on \(m\), we reduce the question to the case when \(W = X \times A^1_k\) and \(h\) is the projection. By Proposition \ref{prop:520} it is enough to show, for the trivial \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\)-sheaf \(G_0 := \mathbb{Z}_\ell\) on \(A^1_k\), the equality \(\mathcal{E}(G_0) = \chi^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes [T^*_A A^1]\).

First assume that \(p > 2\). By Lemma \ref{lem:519} we may assume that \(k\) is finite. Let \(f : A^1_{\mathbb{F}_q} \rightarrow A^1_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}\) be the Kummer covering defined by \(t \mapsto t^2\). In this case, the epsilon factor \(\varepsilon_0(A^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}(0), \mathcal{R} \Phi f(G)_0, dt)\) equals to the quadratic Gauss sum, which is \(q^{\frac{1}{2}}\) up to roots of unity. On the other hand, the intersection number \((T^*_A A^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}, df)_0\) is 1.

When \(p = 0\) or \(2\), we argue as follows. Let \(S := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2\ell}])\) and consider the following commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S & \xrightarrow{0} & A^1_{\mathbb{S}} \\
\downarrow{\tilde{f}} & & \downarrow{\text{id}} \\
S^\prime & \xrightarrow{id} & A^1_{\mathbb{S}}
\end{array}
\]

where \(\tilde{f}\) is defined by \(t \mapsto t^3\). This diagram and the trivial \(\mathbb{Z}_\ell\)-sheaf on \(A^1_{\mathbb{S}}\) satisfy the conditions from 1 to 5 given after the diagram \ref{diag:47}. Then, the assertion follows from Lemma \ref{lem:424} Proposition \ref{prop:425} and the case when \(p > 3\).

\[\square\]
Corollary 5.23. Let \( X \) be a connected smooth scheme of finite type over \( k \). Put \( n := \dim X \). For a smooth \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-sheaf \( F_0 \in \hat{D}(X) \) on \( X \), we have

\[
\mathcal{E}(F_0) = (\det(F) \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{(-1)^n \deg(k'/k)} \cdot \chi_{\text{cyc}} \otimes [T^*_X X].
\]

Here \( k' \) is the normalization of \( k \) in \( X \).

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.19.1 and Corollary 5.22.

Example 5.24. Let \( X \) be a smooth connected curve over \( k \). Let \( F_0 \in \hat{D}(X) \) be a constructible complex of \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-sheaves on \( X \). Let \( U \subset X \) be an open dense subset where \( F_0 \) is smooth. Then, we have

\[
\mathcal{E}(F_0) = (\det(F|_U) \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{(-1)^n \deg(k'/k)} \cdot \chi_{\text{cyc}} \otimes [T^*_X X] + \sum_{x \in X \setminus U} (\mathcal{E}(X(x), F)^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{x/k})^{(-1)^n \deg(x/k)} \otimes [T^*_x X].
\]

Here \( \mathcal{E}(X(x), F) = \xi_0(X(x), F_{\eta_x} \cdot \det(F_x)^{-1} \cdot \det(F_x)^{-1}. \)

Lemma 5.25. (cf. [22, Théorème (3.2.1.1)], [12, Theorem 11.1]) Let \( X \) be a projective smooth curve over \( k \) and \( F_0 \) be a constructible complex in \( \hat{D}(X) \). Then, the product formula

\[
\det \Gamma(X, F) = (\mathcal{E}(F_0), T^*_X X)_{T^*_X X}
\]

as an element of \( \Theta_k \) holds.

Proof. We may assume that \( k \) is the perfection of a finitely generated field. When \( k \) is of positive characteristic, it follows from Theorem 4.14 and Example 5.24. Let \( Z \) be a closed subscheme of \( X \) such that \( F_0 \) is smooth outside \( Z \). The case when \( k \) is of characteristic 0 is reduced to the case of positive characteristic by applying Proposition 4.25 to the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z' & \to & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\
\Spec(k) & \to & X
\end{array}
\]

and Lemma 4.24.

Proposition 5.26. We identify the group \( \Theta_{\mathbb{Z}_q} \) with \( \mathbb{Z}_q^x / \mu \) via \( \xi \mapsto \xi(\text{Frob}_q) \). Let \( X \) be a smooth scheme of finite type over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( F \) be a field of characteristic 0. Let \( F_0 \) and \( F'_0 \) be elements of \( D^b_c(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \) and \( D^b_c(X, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell'}) \), where \( \ell \) and \( \ell' \) are prime numbers which do not divide \( q \). Fix embeddings \( F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'} \) of fields. Assume that, for all closed points \( x \) of \( X \), the coefficients of the characteristic polynomials \( \det(T - \text{Frob}_x, F^x) \) and \( \det(T - \text{Frob}_x, F'^x) \) are contained in \( F \) and give the same elements of \( F \). Then, the coefficients of the epsilon cycles \( \mathcal{E}(F_0) \) and \( \mathcal{E}(F'_0) \) are contained in \( F^x \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell'} / \mu \) and give the same elements of \( F^x \otimes \mathbb{Q} \).
Proof. Since the assertion is étale local, we may assume that $X$ is affine. Taking an immersion $X \to \mathbb{P}$ and replacing $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_0'$ by their 0-extensions, we may assume that $X$ is projective purely of dimension $n$. Let $C = \bigcup_a C_a$ be a closed conical subset of $T^*X$ such that $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0'$ are micro-supported on $C$ and irreducible components are of dimension $n$. By Lemma 3.21 after replacing $\mathbb{F}_q$ by a finite extension, we have a good pencil

$$X \xrightarrow{\pi} X_L \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^1.$$ 

By this pencil, Theorem 5.13 and [3, Theorem 2.], we may assume that $X$ is a projective smooth curve. Let $U$ be an open dense subset of $X$ where $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0'$ are smooth. Let $x \in X$ be a closed point and $\omega$ be a basis of $\Omega^1_{X(a)}$. We need to show that $\varepsilon(X(x), \mathcal{F}, \omega)$ and $\varepsilon(X(x), \mathcal{F}', \omega)$ are contained in $F^\times$ and coincide. This follows from [22, Théorème (3.1.5.4)(iii)] and [5, Théorème 9.8.].

Next we prove a compatibility of the construction of epsilon cycles and the pull-back by properly transversal morphism. We mimic the method given in [20, due to Beilinson.

We use the theory of the universal hyperplane sections and follow the notation in (3.8).

Lemma 5.27. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective space and $\mathbb{P}^\vee$ be its dual. Let $C^\vee \subset T^*\mathbb{P}^\vee$ be a closed conical subset whose irreducible components are of dimension $n$. Define a closed conical subset $C \subset T^*\mathbb{P}$ by $C = p_* p^\vee C^\vee$. Then every irreducible component of $C$ is of dimension $n$.

Proof. It suffices to treat the case when $C^\vee$ is irreducible. Since the projectivization $\mathbb{P}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{P})$ coincides with $\mathbb{P}(C^\vee)$, it is enough to show that irreducible components of $C$ contained in the 0-section is of dimension $n$. When the base of $C^\vee$ is not finite, $C$ contains $T^*_0 \mathbb{P}$. When the base of $C^\vee$ consists of one point $H \in \mathbb{P}^\vee$, $C$ equals to $T^*_H \mathbb{P}$.

Proposition 5.28. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$ be a projective space, and $\mathbb{P}^\vee$ be its dual. Let $\mathcal{G}_0 \in \check{D}(\mathbb{P}^\vee)$ and write $\mathcal{F}_0 := R_{p_*} p^\vee \mathcal{G}_0$ for the naive Radon transform of $\mathcal{G}_0$. Let $C^\vee \subset T^*\mathbb{P}^\vee$ be a closed conical subset whose irreducible components are of dimension $n$. Let $C := p_* p^\vee C^\vee \subset T^*\mathbb{P}$. Assume that $\mathcal{G}_0$ is micro-supported on $C^\vee$.

Let $X$ be a smooth subscheme of $\mathbb{P}$, and assume that the immersion $h: X \to \mathbb{P}$ is properly $C$-transversal.

1. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}(R_{p_*} p^\vee \mathcal{G}_0)) = \mathbb{P}(p_* \mathcal{E}(p^\vee \mathcal{G}_0)) = \mathbb{P}(p_* p^\vee h^!(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_0)(\frac{1 - \dim X}{2}))).$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}(R_{p_*} p^\vee \mathcal{G}_0)) = \mathbb{P}(p_* \mathcal{E}(p^\vee \mathcal{G}_0)) = \mathbb{P}(p_* p^\vee h^!(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_0)(\frac{1 - n}{2}))).$$

2. We have

$$\mathcal{E}(h^* \mathcal{F}_0) = h^!(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{n - \dim X}{2})).$$
Proof. Note that, by [29 Corollary 3.13.2], we have \( p_0 p^\circ C^\vee = h^\circ C \). By the assumption that \( h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \) is properly \( C \)-transversal, every irreducible component of \( p_0 p^\circ C^\vee \) has the same dimension as \( X \). Thus the cycles \( p_1 \mathcal{E}(p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0) \) and \( p_0 p^\vee (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_0)(1 - \dim X/2)) \) are well-defined.

1. First we prove the second equality of (5.11). By [29 Corollary 3.13.2], \( p^\vee : X \times_\mathbb{P} Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\vee \) is \( C^\vee \)-transversal and hence \( p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0 \) is micro-supported on \( p^\circ C^\vee \). Since \( p^\vee : X \times_\mathbb{P} Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\vee \) is smooth outside \( \Delta_X := \mathbb{P}(T_X^* \mathbb{P}) \subset X \times_\mathbb{P} Q \), we have \( \mathcal{E}(p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0) = p^\vee (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_0)(1 - \dim X/2)) \) outside \( \Delta_X \) by Corollary 5.22. By the assumption that \( h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \) is \( C \)-transversal, the pair \( (p, p^\vee) \) is \( C^\vee \)-transversal around \( \Delta_X \subset X \times_\mathbb{P} Q \) by [29 Corollary 3.13.1]. Hence, we have the second equality in (5.11).

We prove the first equality in (5.11). Both \( \mathcal{E}(R_\mathcal{F}_p p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0) \) and \( p_1 \mathcal{E}(p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0) \) are supported on \( h^\circ C = p_0 p^\circ C^\vee \), which is purely of dimension \( \dim X \). Hence it suffices to show the equality

\[
(5.12) \quad (\mathcal{E}(R_\mathcal{F}_p p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0), df)_{u}^{\deg(u/k)} = (p_1 \mathcal{E}(p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0), df)_{u}^{\deg(u/k)}
\]

for every diagram

\[ X \xleftarrow{j} U \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^1_k, \]

where \( j \) is étale and \( f \) is smooth, and every at most isolated \( h^\circ C \)-characteristic point \( u \in U \) of \( f \). By Theorem 5.15, the left hand side of (5.12) equals to \( \varpi_0(\mathbb{A}^1_k(u), R\Phi_f(h^*\mathcal{F})_u)^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{u/k} \).

By [29 Corollary 3.15], there exist finitely many \( p^\circ C^\vee \)-characteristic points of \( f_\mathcal{F} : U \times_\mathbb{P} Q \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1_k \). Hence the right hand side of (5.12) equals to \( \prod_v (\mathcal{E}(p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0), df_{v/u})_{\deg(u/k)} \) where \( v \) runs through \( p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0 \)-characteristic points of \( f_\mathcal{F} \) over \( u \). Further by Theorem 5.15, this equals to \( \prod_v \varpi_0(\mathbb{A}^1_k(v), R\Phi_f^v(p^\circ \mathcal{G}_v)_v^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{v/k}) \). Thus the equality (5.12) follows from the isomorphism

\[ R\Phi_f(R_\mathcal{F}_p p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0)_u \cong \bigoplus_v \text{Ind}_{G_v}^{G_u} R\Phi_f^v(p^\circ \mathcal{G}_v)_v. \]

2. By the proper base change theorem, we have an isomorphism \( h^*\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow R_\mathcal{F}_p p^\circ \mathcal{G}_0 \). Hence by 1, we have

\[
\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}(h^*\mathcal{F}_0)) = \mathbb{P}(p_0 p^\vee (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_0)(1 - \dim X/2)))
\]

\[
= \mathbb{P}(h^1 p^\vee (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{G}_0)(1 - \dim X/2))) = \mathbb{P}(h^1 (\mathcal{F}_0)(n - \dim X/2))).
\]

By the assumption that the immersion \( h \) is properly \( C \)-transversal, \( X \) intersects the smooth locus of \( \mathcal{F}_0 \). Hence the coefficients of the 0-section in both \( \mathcal{E}(h^*\mathcal{F}_0) \) and \( h^1 (\mathcal{F}_0)(n - \dim X/2)) \) coincide. Thus the assertion follows.

Before stating Corollary 5.29, we give definitions of the Radon transform and the Legendre transform.

Let \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) be an element of \( \hat{D}(\mathbb{P}) \). We define the Radon transform \( R\mathcal{F}_0 \) of \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) by \( R\mathcal{F}_0 := R_\mathcal{F}_p p^\circ \mathcal{F}_0[n - 1] \in \hat{D}(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \).

Let \( C \) be a closed conical subset of \( T^* \mathbb{P} \) whose irreducible components \( C_a \) are of dimension \( n = \dim \mathbb{P} \). Let \( A := \sum a \beta_a \otimes [C_a] \) be a cycle supported on \( C \). We define the Legendre transform \( LA \) by \( LA := (p^1/p^1 A)^{(-1)^n-1} \). Since the definition of \( p^1 A \) involves the sign \((-1)^{n-1}\), that of \( LA \) does not involve the sign.
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Corollary 5.29. Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be an element of $\tilde{D}(\mathbb{P})$. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}(R\mathcal{F}_0)) = \mathbb{P}(L(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{1-n}{2}))).$$

We will show the equality $\mathcal{E}(R\mathcal{F}_0) = L(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{1-n}{2}))$ in Corollary 6.6.

Proof. We have

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}(R\mathcal{F}_0)) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_i(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{P}_i^*\mathcal{F}_0))^{n-1}) = \mathbb{P}(L(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{1-n}{2}))).$$

\[\square\]

Theorem 5.30. Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type over $k$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \tilde{D}(X)$. Let $h: W \to X$ be a properly $SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$-transversal $k$-morphism from a smooth $k$-scheme $W$ of finite type. Assume that every irreducible component of $X$ and $W$ is of dimension $n$ and $m$ respectively. Then,

$$\mathcal{E}(h^*\mathcal{F}_0) = h^l(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{n-m}{2})).$$

Proof. Decomposing $W \to W \times X \to X$, and by Corollary 5.22, we assume that $h$ is an immersion.

First consider the case when $X$ is a projective space $\mathbb{P}$. The case when $\mathcal{F}_0 = R\mathcal{P}_i^*\mathcal{G}_0$ is the naive Radon transform has been treated in Proposition 5.28. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \tilde{D}(\mathbb{P})$. Since $\mathcal{F}_0$ is isomorphic to a Radon transform $R\mathcal{P}_i^*\mathcal{G}_0$ up to a smooth sheaf and the assertion for smooth sheaves is proved in Corollary 5.23, it follows in the case $h$ is an immersion to $\mathbb{P}$.

We show the general case. Since the assertion is local, we may assume that $X$ is affine and take an immersion $i: X \to \mathbb{P}$. Further, we may assume that there is a smooth subscheme $V \subset \mathbb{P}$ such that $X \cap V = W$ and that the intersection is transversal. Then, the immersion $\tilde{h}: V \to \mathbb{P}$ is properly $i_o SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$-transversal around $W \subset V$. Hence, it follows from the case when $h$ is an immersion to $\mathbb{P}$.

\[\square\]

5.3 Epsilon cycles for tamely ramified sheaves

Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \neq \ell$. In this subsection, we calculate the epsilon cycles of tamely ramified $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaves.

Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type over $k$ and let $D \subset X$ be a simple normal crossings divisor. Denote by $U$ the complement of $D$ in $X$. Let $(D_a)_{a \in A}$ be the irreducible components of $D$. For a subset $B \subset A$, we denote by $D_B$ the intersection $\cap_{a \in B} D_a$.

For simplicity, we assume that $X$ is connected and of dimension $n$. Then, $D_B$ is a smooth closed subscheme of $X$ and is purely of dimension $n - |B|$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \neq 0$ be a non-zero smooth $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaf of free $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-modules on $U$ which belongs to $\tilde{D}(U)$ and tamely ramified along $D$. Let $j: U \to X$ be the inclusion. We have

\begin{align}
(5.13) & \quad SS(j_!\mathcal{F}_0) = \cup_B T_{D_B}^* X \\
(5.14) & \quad CC(j_!\mathcal{F}_0) = \sum_B (-1)^n \text{rk}\mathcal{F}_0[T_{D_B}^* X].
\end{align}
where $B$ runs through subsets of $A$ (see [29, 4.2, 7.3]).

For each $a \in A$, let $\xi_a$ be the generic point of $D_a$ and denote by $k_a$ the normalization of $k$ in the residue field at $\xi_a$. Since $F_0$ is tamely ramified, its restriction to the henselization $X_{(\xi_a)}$ gives a representation $V_{0,a}$ of the tame inertia group $I_a$ of the trait $X_{(\xi_a)}$. Let $V_a := V_{0,a} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Note that $I_a$ is isomorphic to $\lim \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n \neq p} \mu_n(k)$, where $n$ runs through integers $\geq 1$ prime to $p$ and $\mu_n(k)$ is the group of $n$-th roots of unity in an algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of $k_a$, and that we have $\sigma^*V_a \cong V_a$ for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k_a)$. Thus we get a character $J(V_a): \text{Gal}(\overline{k}/k_a) \to \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_\ell^\times /\mu$ as constructed in Definition 4.16.2. We define

$$J_a := (J(V_a) \circ \text{tr}_{k_a/k})_{\deg(k_a/k)}^{-1},$$

This is an element of $\Theta_k$.

**Proposition 5.31.** Let the notation be as above. Assume that $X$ is connected and that $F_0$ is tamely ramified along $D$ and contained in $\tilde{D}(U)$. For a subset $B$ of $A$, define

$$\chi_B := (\det(F_0) \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})_{\deg(k'/k)}^{(-1)n} \cdot \chi_{\text{cyc}}^\prime \cdot \prod_{a \in B} J_a^{(-1)^n},$$

where $k'$ is the normalization of $k$ in the function field of $X$. Then, we have

$$E(j, F_0) = \sum_B \chi_B \otimes [T_{DB}^* X].$$

**Proof.** Let $B \subset A$ be a subset and let $m := |B|$ be the cardinality. Let $x \in D_B$ be a closed point which is not contained in $D_a$ for any $a \in A \setminus B$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $E_i \subset A_k(x)$ be the 0 locus of the $i$-th standard coordinate. After replacing $X$ by an étale neighborhood of $x$, we find an étale morphism $f: X \to A_k^n(x)$ such that $x$ maps to the origin and the pull-backs of the divisors $(E_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ coincide with $(D_a)_{a \in B}$ with some numbering on $B$. Let $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X(x) \setminus D)$ be the fundamental group which classifies finite étale coverings of $X(x) \setminus D$ tamely ramified along $D$. Let $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(A^n_k(x) \setminus (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq m} E_i))$ be the one which classifies finite étale coverings of $A^n_k(x) \setminus (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq m} E_i)$ tamely ramified along $\cup_{1 \leq i \leq m} E_i$ and $\mathbb{P}^n_k(x) \setminus A^n_k(x)$. Then, the morphism $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(X(x) \setminus D) \to \pi_1^{\text{tame}}(A^n_k(x) \setminus (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq m} E_i))$ induced from $f$ is an isomorphism. Thus we may assume that $X = A^n_k$, for some finite extension $k'$ of $k$ and $D = \cup_{1 \leq i \leq m} E_i$, and that the sheaf $F_0$ is also tamely ramified along $\mathbb{P}^n_k \setminus A^n_k$. Fix a geometric point $\overline{\eta}$ over the generic point of $A^n_k$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $I_i$ be the tame inertia group of the henselization of $A^n_{k'}(x)$ at the generic point of $E_i$. Note that $I_i$ is canonically isomorphic to a normal subgroup of $\pi_1^{\text{tame}}(A^n_{k'} \setminus (\cup_{1 \leq i \leq m} E_i))$. Let $V_i$ be the representation $F_0 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ of $I_i$. After replacing $F_0$ by its subquotients, we may assume that $I_i$ acts on $V_i$ via a character $\chi_i: I_i \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. By the assumption $F_0 \in \tilde{D}(U)$, $\chi_i$ decomposes as $I_i \to \mu_{d_i}(\overline{k}) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ for some integer $d_i \geq 1$ prime to $p$. Further extending $k'$ to a finite extension, we may assume that $\mu_{d_i}(\overline{k})$ are contained in $k'$ for all $i$. Thus there exist smooth $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaves $G_{0,i}$ of rank 1 of finite order on $A^n_{k'} \setminus 0$ and a smooth $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-sheaf $H_0$ on $A^n_{k'}$ such that $F_0$ is isomorphic to $H_0 \otimes \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq m} \text{pr}_i^*G_{0,i}$, where $\text{pr}_i: A^n_k \to A^n_{k'}$ is the $i$-th projection. By Lemma 5.19 and Proposition 5.20, the coefficient of $[T_{\cap_i 1 \leq i \leq m} E_i]_{A^n_{k'}}$ in $E(j, F_0)$ equals to

$$(\det(H_0) \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})_{\deg(k'/k)}^{(-1)^n} \cdot \chi_{\text{cyc}}^\prime \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} (\mathbb{Q}(A^n_{k'}(0), G_0) \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})_{\deg(k'/k)}^{(-1)^n} \cdot rk_{F_0},$$
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where $G_i = G_{0,i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_l} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Since we have $(\bar{\varepsilon}_0(A_{k'}^{1}(0), G_i))^\text{rk} = J(V_i)$, the assertion follows.

\section{Radon Transform and Product Formula}

\subsection{Epsilon class and product formula}

In this subsection, we generalize the result in \cite{29} Section 7.2 to epsilon cycles. Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \geq 0$ which is different from $\ell$.

We introduce the notion of epsilon classes, an analogue of characteristic classes \cite{29} Section 6. Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type purely of dimension $n$ over $k$. We identify $\text{CH}_*(X) = \oplus_{i=0}^n \text{CH}_i(X)$ with $\text{CH}_n(\mathbb{P}(T^*X \oplus A_X^1))$ by the canonical isomorphism

\begin{equation}
\text{CH}_*(X) \to \text{CH}_n(\mathbb{P}(T^*X \oplus A_X^1))
\end{equation}

sending $(a_i)_i$ to $\sum_i c_1(\mathcal{O}(1))^i \otimes p^*a_i$ where $p: \mathbb{P}(T^*X \oplus A_X^1) \to X$ is the projection. Tensoring $\Theta_k$ to (6.1), we also identify $\Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_*(X)$ with $\Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_*(X)$.

\begin{definition}
Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type purely of dimension $n$ over $k$. For an element $\mathcal{F}_0$ of $\hat{D}(X)$, define the epsilon class $\varepsilon_X(\mathcal{F}_0)$ of $\mathcal{F}_0$ by setting $\varepsilon_X(\mathcal{F}_0) = \overline{E(\mathcal{F}_0)} = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0) \oplus A_X^1) \in \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_n(\mathbb{P}(T^*X \oplus A_X^1)) = \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_*(X)$.

Let $\hat{K}(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of the triangulated category $\hat{D}(X)$. The epsilon classes define a group homomorphism

$$
\varepsilon_X: \hat{K}(X) \to \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_*(X).
$$

\end{definition}

\begin{lemma}
Let $X$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be as in Definition 6.1.

1. The dimension 0-part $\varepsilon_{X,0}(\mathcal{F}_0) \in \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_0(X)$ is the intersection product $(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0), T^*_X X)_{T^*_X X}$ with the 0-section.

2. Assume that $X$ is connected. Let $\text{rk}^0$ and $\det(\mathcal{F})^0$ be the rank and the determinant character of the restriction of $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ to a dense open subset where $\mathcal{F}$ is smooth. Then, the dimension $n$-part $\varepsilon_{X,n}(\mathcal{F}_0) \in \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_n(X) = \Theta_k$ equals to

$$
(\det(\mathcal{F})^0 \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{\deg[k'/k]} \cdot \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{-1} \cdot (\text{rk}^0(\mathcal{F}))^{n+1}.
$$

where $k'$ is the normalization of $k$ in $X$.

\end{lemma}

\begin{proof}
1. This follows from \cite{29} Lemma 6.3.2.

2. After shrinking $X$, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_0$ is smooth. Then, the assertion follows from Corollary 5.23 and \cite{29} Lemma 6.3.1.
\end{proof}

\begin{lemma}
Let $X$ be a smooth scheme of finite type purely of dimension $n$ over $k$ and $\mathcal{F}_0$ be an element of $\hat{D}(X)$. Let $h: W \to X$ be a properly $\text{SS}(\mathcal{F}_0)$-transversal closed immersion of codimension $c$. Then, we have

$$
\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\mathcal{E}(h^*\mathcal{F}_0)) \cdot \varepsilon_W(h^*\mathcal{F}_0) = c(T_W X)^{-1} \cap h^! h_X(\mathcal{F}_0)^{-1}.
$$

\end{lemma}
Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 5.31 and Lemma 6.5. Note that $h^1: \text{CH}_*(X) \to \text{CH}_*(W)$ is the usual pull-back, which does not involve the sign.

We start the proof of the product formula. We follow the method by Beilinson Section 7.

For the Radon transform, we use the notation in Section 5. Let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^\vee$ be its dual. We identify $Q = \mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{P})$ and let $p: Q \to \mathbb{P}$ and $p^\vee: Q \to \mathbb{P}^\vee$ be the projections.

The Radon transforms $R = R_p[p^*n - 1]$ and $R^\vee = R_p[p^\vee n - 1](n - 1)$ define morphisms

\begin{equation}
R: \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}) \to \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}^\vee), \quad R^\vee: \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \to \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}).
\end{equation}

Let $\tilde{\Theta}_k := \text{Hom}_{\text{conti}}(\mathcal{G}_k^\vee, \mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ be the group of continuous homomorphisms. Define also morphisms $(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1}): \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}) \to \mathbb{Z} \times \tilde{\Theta}_k$ and $(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1}): \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \to \mathbb{Z} \times \tilde{\Theta}_k$ by $(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})F_0 = (\chi(\mathbb{P}_k, F_0), \det(R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k, F_0)))$ and by $(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})G_0 = (\chi(\mathbb{P}_k^\vee, G_0), \det(R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k, G_0)))$.

Lemma 6.4. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$.

1. The diagram

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{K}(\mathbb{P})^{(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \times \tilde{\Theta}_k \\
R \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\tilde{K}(\mathbb{P})^{(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \times \tilde{\Theta}_k
\end{array}
\end{equation}

is commutative, where the right vertical arrow sends $(a, b)$ to $((-1)^{n-1}na, \chi(\mathbb{P}_k, F_0))^{(n-1)n}b(-1)^{n-1}na$.

2. The composition $\tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}) \xrightarrow{R \Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k)} \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P})^{(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})} \mathbb{Z} \times \tilde{\Theta}_k$ maps $\mathcal{F}_0$ to $(n^2 \chi(\mathcal{F}_0), \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_0)^{n^2})$.

Proof. 1. For constructible complexes $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P})$ on $\mathbb{P}$, we have

$$R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^\vee, R\mathcal{F}_0) = R\Gamma(Q_k, p^*\mathcal{F}_0)[n - 1] = R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k, \mathcal{F}_0 \otimes L^0 p^* \mathcal{F}_0)[n - 1]$$

by the projection formula. Hence the assertion follows from $R^i p^* p^\vee \mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^\vee)$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2(n - 1)$ even and $i = 0$ for otherwise.

2. Similarly to 1, for constructible complexes $\mathcal{G}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^\vee)$, we have $(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})R\mathcal{G}_0 = ((-1)^{n-1}n\chi(\mathcal{G}_0), \chi(\mathbb{P}_k, F_0))^{(n-1)n}(-1)^{n-1}n \chi(\mathcal{G}_0)(n - 1))^{(n-1)2}$. Combining this, 1, and the equality $\varepsilon(\mathbb{P}_k^\vee, \mathcal{G}_0(n - 1)) = \chi(\mathbb{P}_k^\vee, \mathcal{G}_0) \varepsilon(\mathbb{P}_k, \mathcal{G}_0)$, we get the assertion.

We define the Legendre transform

\begin{equation}
L: \text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}) \to \text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}^\vee)
\end{equation}

by $L(a) = p^\vee (c(T^*(Q/\mathbb{P})) \cap p^*a)$ for the projections $p: Q \to \mathbb{P}$ and $p^\vee: Q \to \mathbb{P}^\vee$, where $c(T^*(Q/\mathbb{P})) \in \text{CH}_*(Q)$ is the total Chern class of the relative cotangent bundle of $Q/\mathbb{P}$. We also denote $\text{id} \otimes L: \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}) \to \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P})$ by the same letter $L$.

Proposition 6.5. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$. 59
1. The diagram

\begin{equation}
(6.5) \quad \xymatrix{ K(\mathbb{P}) & (\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}) \\
\tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \ar[u]_{R} & (\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \ar[d]_{\tilde{L}} \\
& L \ar[l]_{(cc_F, \varepsilon_F)} \\
& (\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \ar[u]_{(cc_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}, \varepsilon_{\mathbb{P}^\vee})}
}
\end{equation}

is commutative, where \( \tilde{L} \) is defined by \( \tilde{L}(a, b) = (L(a), L(\chi_{\text{cyc}} \cdot a)) \). The diagram replaced \( R \) by \( R^\vee \) and \( \tilde{L} \) by \( \tilde{L}^\vee: (a, b) \mapsto (L(a), L(\chi_{\text{cyc}} \cdot a)) \) is commutative.

2. The diagram

\begin{equation}
(6.6) \quad \xymatrix{ K(\mathbb{P}) & (\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}) \\
\tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}) \ar[u]_{(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})} & \mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k \ar[l]_{\text{deg}} \\
& (\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}) \ar[u]_{(cc_\mathbb{P}, \varepsilon_\mathbb{P})}
}
\end{equation}

is commutative.

Proof. We prove the assertions by induction on \( n \). If \( n = 1 \), the projections \( p: Q \to \mathbb{P} \) and \( p^\vee: Q \to \mathbb{P}^\vee \) are isomorphisms and the assertion 1 is obvious. Since \( \deg cc_F \mathcal{F}_0 = (CC\mathcal{F}_0, T^\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{P} \mathcal{F}_0)_{\mathbb{P}^\vee} \) and \( \deg \varepsilon_F \mathcal{F}_0 = (E(\mathcal{F}_0), T^\mathbb{P}_\mathbb{P} \mathcal{F}_0)_{\mathbb{P}^\vee} \), the assertion 2 for \( n = 1 \) is nothing but the Grothendieck-Ogg-Shafarevich formula and the product formula (Lemma 5.29).

We show that the assertion 2 for \( n - 1 \geq 1 \) implies the assertion 1 for \( n \). The second part of the assertion 1 follows from the first one. Hence we prove the first. We show the commutativity by using the direct sum decomposition

\begin{equation}
(6.7) \quad \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) = \text{CH}_n(\mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{P}^\vee \oplus \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{P}^\vee})) \longrightarrow \text{CH}_{n-1}(\mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{P}^\vee)) \oplus \text{CH}_n(\mathbb{P}^\vee) = \text{CH}_{n-1}(Q) \oplus \mathbb{Z}.
\end{equation}

The compositions with the first projection \((\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \to (\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_{n-1}(Q)\) are equal by Corollary 5.29 and [29 Corollary 7.5]. We show that the compositions with the second projection \(pr_2: (\mathbb{Z}_k \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus \Theta_k\) induced by the projection \(\mathbb{P}(T^*\mathbb{P}^\vee \oplus \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{P}^\vee}) \to \mathbb{P}^\vee\) are same.

Let \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) be a constructible complex of \( \mathbb{Z}_k \)-sheaves on \( \mathbb{P} \) and \( C = SS\mathcal{F}_0 \) be the singular support of \( \mathcal{F}_0 \). After replacing \( k \) by its finite extension, we can take a hyperplane \( H \subset \mathbb{P} \) such that the immersion \( h: H \to \mathbb{P} \) is properly \( C \)-transversal and let \( i: \text{Spec}(k) \to \mathbb{P}^\vee \) be the immersion of the \( k \)-rational point of \( \mathbb{P}^\vee \) corresponding to \( H \). Note that \( pr_2: \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \to \mathbb{Z} \) coincides with \( i^!: \text{CH}_\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \to \text{CH}_\bullet(\text{Spec}(k)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \).

By the hypothesis of the induction, we have \( \deg cc_H h^* \mathcal{F}_0 = \chi h^* \mathcal{F} \) and \( \deg \varepsilon_H h^* \mathcal{F}_0 = \varepsilon(H, h^* \mathcal{F}_0)^{-1} \). By [29 Proposition 7.8], we have \( cc_H h^* \mathcal{F} = -c(O_H(-1))^{-1} \cap h^1cc_F \mathcal{F} \) and by Lemma 6.3, \( \chi_{\text{cyc}}(h^* \mathcal{F}_0) \varepsilon_H(h^* \mathcal{F}_0)^{-1} = c(O_H(-1))^{-1} \cap h^1e_F(\mathcal{F}_0) \). Pulling back the short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to T^*_Q(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee) \to T^*\mathbb{P}^\vee \times_{\mathbb{P}^\vee} Q \to T^*(Q/\mathbb{P}) \to 0 \]

by \( H \hookrightarrow Q \), we have

\[ c(T^*(Q/\mathbb{P}) \times_Q H) = c(T^*_Q(\mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}^\vee) \times_Q H)^{-1} = c(O_H(-1))^{-1}. \]
Thus we get Corollary 6.6.

Hence we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}) & \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} & \text{CH}_*(H) \\
\downarrow \quad \text{deg}(c(O(-1))^{-1}) & & \\
\text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}^\vee) & \overset{i}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z}.
\end{array}
\]

Thus we get

\[
\text{pr}_2(\text{cc}_F, \varepsilon_F)\mathcal{F}_0 = \text{pr}_2(L(\text{cc}_F(\mathcal{F}_0)), L(h_{\text{cycl}}^{1+n} ccc(\mathcal{F}_0) \varepsilon_F(\mathcal{F}_0)))
= (\text{deg}(c(O(-1))^{-1} \cap h^* \text{cc}_F(\mathcal{F}_0)), \text{deg}(c(O(-1))^{-1} \cap (h_{\text{cycl}}^{1+n} h^* \varepsilon_F(\mathcal{F}_0))
= (-\text{deg} \ ccc\_H(h^* \mathcal{F}_0), \text{deg}(\chi_{\text{cycl}}^{n+1} ccc\_H(h^* \mathcal{F}_0) \cdot h_{\text{cycl}}^{1+n} \cdot \varepsilon_H(h^* \mathcal{F}_0)^{-1}))
= (-\chi(h^* \mathcal{F}_0), \chi_{\text{cycl}}^{n+1} h_{\text{cycl}}^{1+n} \cdot \varepsilon(H, h^* \mathcal{F}_0)).
\]

On the other hand, we have

\[
\text{pr}_2(\text{cc}_F, \varepsilon_F)\mathcal{F}_0 = ((-1)^n \text{rk}^o R\mathcal{F}_0, \text{det}(R\mathcal{F}_0)^\Theta (-1)^n \cdot \chi_{\text{cycl}}^{-1} \cdot \text{rk}^o R\mathcal{F}_0).
\]

Hence the assertion 1 follows.

We show that the assertion 1 for \( n \geq 2 \) implies the assertion 2 for \( n \). By the commutative diagrams (6.5), the endomorphism \( R^\vee R \) of \( \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}) \) preserves the kernel \( \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P})^o \) of \( (\text{cc}_F, \varepsilon_F) : \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \Theta_k) \otimes \text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}). \) Take an element \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) of \( \tilde{K}(\mathbb{P})^o \). There is an element \( \mathcal{G}_0 \in \tilde{K}(\text{Spec}(k)) \) such that \( a^\ast \mathcal{G}_0 = R^\vee R\mathcal{F}_0 - \mathcal{F}_0 \), where \( a: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k) \) is the structure morphism. Since \( \text{cc}_F(a^\ast \mathcal{G}_0) = 0 \) and \( \varepsilon_F(a^\ast \mathcal{G}_0) = 1 \), we know that \( \text{rk}\mathcal{G}_0 = 0 \) and \( \text{det}(G_0) = 1 \). Hence we get

\[
(\chi, \varepsilon^{-1}) R^\vee R\mathcal{F}_0 = (\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})\mathcal{F}_0,
\]

which is equivalent to \( (n^2 \chi(\mathcal{F}_0), \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_0)^{-n^2}) = (\chi(\mathcal{F}_0), \varepsilon(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_0)^{-1}) \) by Lemma 6.42. This means that \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) is contained in the kernel of \( (\chi, \varepsilon^{-1}) \). Let \( \Theta_k \) be the subgroup of \( \Theta_k \) consisting of homomorphisms which factors through a morphism \( G_k^{ab} \rightarrow G_k^{ab} \) where \( k_1 \) is a finitely generated subfield of \( k \). Since the coefficients of the images of \( \varepsilon_F \) are contained in \( \Theta_k \), we may replace \( \Theta_k \) by \( \Theta_k' \). Then, by Lemma 5.32, the cokernel of \( (\text{cc}_F, \varepsilon_F) \) is torsion. Thus there is a group homomorphism \( \text{deg}' : (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \Theta_k') \otimes \text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \oplus \Theta_k \) which makes the diagram (6.6) replaced \( \text{deg} \) by \( \text{deg}' \) commutative. We need to show the equality \( \text{deg} = \text{deg}' \). Let \( \mathbb{P}^a \) be a linear subspace of \( \mathbb{P} \) \((0 \leq a \leq n)\) and \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) be a geometrically constant sheaf of rank 1 belonging to \( \tilde{D}(\mathbb{P}^a) \). We have

\[
\text{deg}(\text{cc}_F, \varepsilon_F)\mathcal{L}_0 = (\chi, \varepsilon^{-1})\mathcal{L}_0 = \text{deg}'(\text{cc}_F, \varepsilon_F)\mathcal{L}_0,
\]

which shows the assertion since \( (\text{cc}_F, \varepsilon_F)\mathcal{L}_0 \) generates \( (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \Theta_k') \otimes \text{CH}_*(\mathbb{P}) \) (cf. [29] Lemma 7.10.1)).

**Corollary 6.6.** Let \( \mathcal{F}_0 \in \tilde{D}(\mathbb{P}) \) be a constructible complex of \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-sheaves on \( \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n \). Then, for the Radon transform \( R\mathcal{F}_0 \), we have

\[
\mathcal{E}(R\mathcal{F}_0) = L(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{1-n}{2})).
\]
Proof. Except for the coefficient of the 0-section, it is proved in Corollary 5.29. Since the coefficient of the 0-section is given by $\text{pr}_2 : \Theta_k \otimes \text{CH}^\bullet(\mathbb{P}^\vee) \to \Theta_k$, it follows from Proposition 6.5.1.

Here is the product formula of the global epsilon factors.

**Theorem 6.7.** Let $X$ be a projective smooth variety over $k$. Then, for $F_0 \in \tilde{D}(X)$, we have

\begin{equation}
\det(R\Gamma(X_k, F_0)) = (\mathcal{E}(F_0), T^*X)_{T^*X}
\end{equation}

as elements of $\Theta_k$.

**Proof.** Since $X$ is assumed projective, it follows from Lemma 5.19.4 and Proposition 6.5.2.

**Corollary 6.8.** Let $X$ be a projective smooth variety over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $(K, | \cdot |)$ be a valuation field and $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to K$ be a field homomorphism.

Let $F_0$ be an element of $D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and $\mathcal{E}(F_0) = \sum \alpha [C_\alpha] \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times / \mu \otimes \mathbb{Z}_n(T^*X)$ be the epsilon cycle. Here we identify $\Theta_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times / \mu$ via $\xi \mapsto \xi(\text{Frob}_q)$. We have a product formula

\begin{equation}
|\iota(\varepsilon(X, F_0))| = \prod |\iota(\alpha)|^{\deg(C_\alpha.T^*X)_{T^*X}}
\end{equation}

of the absolute value of $\iota(\varepsilon(X, F_0))$.

**Example 6.9.** Let $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $q$ elements. Let $X$ be a projective smooth scheme over $k$. Let $F_0 \in D^b_c(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ be a constructible complex on $X$.

1. Assume that $F = F_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is $\iota$-pure of $\iota$-weight 0 [20, II.12.7] for an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to \mathbb{C}$ of fields. Then, we know that the absolute values $|\iota(\alpha)|$ of the eigenvalues $\alpha$ of the geometric Frobenius on $H^i(X_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathcal{F})$ equal to $q^{i/2}$. Hence the product formula (6.11) gives an expression of the weighted Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\frac{1}{2} \sum (-1)^i \cdot \dim H^i(X_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \mathcal{F})$ as the intersection number $(\log q |\iota(\mathcal{E}(F_0)), T^*X)_{T^*X}$.

2. Let $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ be an isomorphism of fields. Then, the product formula (6.11) gives an expression of the $p$-adic valuation of the global epsilon factor $\varepsilon(X, \mathcal{F})$ using that of local epsilon factors. The $p$-adic valuation of the local epsilon factors of tamely ramified representations can be computed by Stickelberger’s theorem ([23, Proposition 6.13]), which is suggested to the author by N. Katz.

### 6.2 An axiomatic description of epsilon cycles

We give an axiomatic description of epsilon cycles. A similar description of characteristic cycles is considered in [23, Proposition 8].

\[62\]
Theorem 6.10. Let $k$ be a perfect field of characteristic $p \neq \ell$. There exists a unique way to attach, for pairs $(X, \mathcal{F}_0)$ where $X$ is a smooth scheme of finite type over $k$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, with a cycle $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0) = \sum \alpha \otimes [C_\alpha]$ with $\Theta_k$-coefficient and supported on the singular support $SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$ which should satisfy the following axioms.

1. (Normalization) Let $X = \text{Spec}(k')$ be the spectrum of a finite extension $k'$ of $k$. Then, we have
   $$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0) = (\det(\mathcal{F}_0) \circ \text{tr}_{k'/k})^{-1} \otimes [T^*_X X].$$

2. (Tate Twist) We have
   $$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0(\frac{1}{2})) = \chi_{\text{cyc}}^{CC(\mathcal{F}_0)} : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0).$$
   For a half integer $r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we denote $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)(r) := \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0(r)).$

3. (Multiplicativity) For a distinguished triangle
   $$\mathcal{F}_0' \to \mathcal{F}_0 \to \mathcal{F}_0'' \to,$$
   we have $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0) = \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0') \cdot \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0'').$

4. (Closed Immersion) For a closed immersion $i : X \to P$ of smooth $k$-schemes of finite type and $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, we have $\mathcal{E}(i_* \mathcal{F}_0) = i_* \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0).$

5. (Pull-Back) For a properly $SS(\mathcal{F}_0)$-transversal morphism $h : W \to X$ from a smooth $k$-scheme $W$ of finite type, we have
   $$\mathcal{E}(h^* \mathcal{F}_0) = h^! \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)\left(\frac{\dim X - \dim W}{2}\right).$$
   Here $\dim X$ and $\dim W$ are the locally constant function on $X$ and $W$.

6. (Radon Transform) For a constructible complex $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P})$ on a projective space $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n$, we have
   $$\mathcal{E}(R \mathcal{F}_0) = L(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)).$$

7. (Same Monodromy) Let $X$ (resp. $X'$) be a smooth curve over $k$ and $x$ (resp. $x'$) be a closed point of $X$ (resp. $X'$). Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_0'$) be an element of $\mathcal{D}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(X')$). Assume that there exists an isomorphism $f : X_{(x)} \cong X'_{(x')}$. Assume that the complexes $\mathcal{F}_0|_{X_{(x)}}$ and $f^* \mathcal{F}_0'|_{X'_{(x')}}$ are isomorphic. Then, the coefficient of $[T^*_X X]$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ coincides with that of $[T^*_X X']$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0')$.

To prove the theorem, we prepare some lemmas.

Lemma 6.11. Let $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ be an assignment as in Theorem 6.10 satisfying the axioms there. Let $X$ be a smooth curve of finite type over $k$ and $x \in X$ be a closed point. Denote by $U$ the complement of $x$ in $X$. Let $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \mathcal{D}(U)$ be a smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\ell}$-sheaf on $U$. Assume that $F = F_0 \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$ has a unipotent monodromy at $x$. Then, the coefficient of $[T^*_X X]$ in $\mathcal{E}(j_! \mathcal{F}_0)$ equals to $(\det(\mathcal{F})^{-1} \circ \text{tr}_{k(x)/k})^{-1} \otimes [T^*_X X]$ where $j : U \to X$ is the immersion. Note that we can extend $\det(F)$ to $X$ smoothly since this is unramified at $x$.
Proof. Let \( k' \) be the residue field at \( x \). We regard \( \mathbb{A}^1_{k'} \) as a smooth \( k \)-scheme. Fix an isomorphism \( f: X(x) \cong \mathbb{A}^1_{k',(0)} \) of \( k \)-schemes. We claim that there exists a smooth \( \mathbb{Z}_r \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) on \( \mathbb{G}_{m,k'} \) such that \( \mathcal{F}_0|_{\eta_2} \) and \( f^*\mathcal{G}_0|_{\eta_0} \) are isomorphic and \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) is tamely ramified at \( \infty \), where \( \eta_2 \) and \( \eta_0 \) are the generic points of \( X(x) \) and \( \mathbb{A}^1_{k',(0)} \). When \( p > 0 \), this is proved in \[13 \text{ THEOREM 1.5.6.} \]. When \( p = 0 \), this follows since the fundamental group of \( \eta_0 \) is isomorphic to that of \( \mathbb{G}_{m,k'} \). Since the monodromy of \( \mathcal{G} \) at \( 0 \) is unipotent, the semi-simplification of \( \mathcal{G} \) is unramified at \( 0 \) and \( \infty \). Hence the assertion follows from the axioms (1), (3), (4), and (7).

Lemma 6.12. Let \( \mathcal{E}(\cdot) \) be an assignment as in Theorem 6.11 satisfying the axioms there. Let \( X \) be a projective smooth scheme over \( k \). Let \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) be an element of \( \mathcal{D}(X) \). Then, we have an equality

\[
\det(R\Gamma(X_k, \mathcal{F})) = (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0), T_X X)_{T \cdot X}
\]

of elements of \( \Theta_k \).

Proof. Since \( X \) is projective, we may assume that \( X = \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n(n \geq 2) \) by the axiom (1).

Consider the universal hyperplane section \( \mathbb{P} \leftarrow P Q \mathbb{P}' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\vee \). Let \( R^\vee = R\mathcal{P}_* \mathcal{P}^\vee [n-1](n-1) \) be the inverse Radon transform. By \[20 \text{ IV. Lemma 1.4}, \] we have a distinguished triangle

\[
\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow R^\vee R\mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k, \mathcal{F}_0)[2i](i) \rightarrow,
\]

where \( R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k, \mathcal{F}_0) \) is regarded as a complex of geometrically constant sheaves. By the axioms (1), (3), and (5), we have

\[
(6.12) \quad \mathcal{E}(R^\vee R\mathcal{F}_0)\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)^{-1} = \det(R\Gamma(\mathbb{P}_k, \mathcal{F}))(\cdot)^{(n-1)} \otimes [T_\mathbb{P}_* \mathbb{P}].
\]

On the other hand, by the axioms (6) and (2), the left hand side of (6.12) equals to

\[
L^\vee(\mathcal{E}(R\mathcal{F}_0(n-1 + \frac{1-n}{2}))\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)^{-1} = L^\vee L\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0)^{-1} = (\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0), T_{\mathbb{P}_k} \mathbb{P})^{(n-1)} \otimes [T_{\mathbb{P}_k} \mathbb{P}].
\]

Since \( n \geq 2 \), we have the assertion.

(Proof of Theorem 6.10)

First we show the uniqueness. Let \( \mathcal{E}(\cdot) \) be an assignment which satisfies the conditions in the statement of the theorem. Let \( X \) and \( \mathcal{F}_0 \) be as in the theorem. We need to determine the coefficients of \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{F}_0) \) uniquely from the axioms. By the axiom (5), we may assume that \( X \) is affine, and by the axiom (4) and (5), we may assume that \( X \) is projective and fix an immersion \( i: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}^n \). Composing \( i \) and the Veronese embedding \( \mathbb{P} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}' \) of \( \text{deg} \geq 3 \) if necessary, for a finite extension \( k' \) of \( k \), we find a line \( L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}'_{k'} \) such that the pair \((f, \pi)\) in the diagram \[3.9 \] after replacing \( X, X \times_\mathbb{P} Q, \mathbb{P}^\vee \) by the base changes by \( k \rightarrow k' \) is a good pencil (Definition 3.20). In the sequel, we regard \( k' \)-schemes also as \( k \)-schemes. Let \( C_a \) be an irreducible component of \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0|_{X_{k'}}) \). By the definition of a good pencil, there exists a closed point \( x \in X_{k',L} \) such that \( x \) is the unique isolated \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0|_{X_{k'}}) \)-characteristic point on the fiber \( f^{-1}(f(x)) \) at which \( df \) only meets \( C_a \), and \( x \) is not contained in the exceptional locus of the blow-up \( \pi \). By the axiom (6), we have
\[ \mathcal{E}(R(i_*\mathcal{F}_0)) = L(\mathcal{E}(i_*\mathcal{F}_0)(\frac{1-n}{2})). \]

Let \( \mathbb{P}_{k', L} \) be the blow-up of \( \mathbb{P}_{k'} \) along the axis \( A_L \) defined by \( L \). Since \( \pi: X_{k', L} \to X \) is \( SS(\mathcal{F}_0) \)-transversal, \( \mathbb{P}_{k', L} \to \mathbb{P} \) is \( SS(i_*\mathcal{F}_0) \)-transversal. Let \( i': L \to \mathbb{P}^v \) be the composition \( L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{k', L}^v \to \mathbb{P}^v \). Since \( SS(R(i_*\mathcal{F}_0)) \subset LSS(i_*\mathcal{F}_0) \cup T_{k', \mathbb{P}^v}, \)

applying \[29\] Lemma 3.9.3 to the cartesian diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{P}_{k', L} & \xrightarrow{i'} & L \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\
Q & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}^v,
\end{array}
\]

\( i' \) is properly \( SS(R(i_*\mathcal{F}_0)) \)-transversal. Hence we have

\[
\mathcal{E}(i'^*R(i_*\mathcal{F}_0)) = i'^!(\mathcal{E}(R(i_*\mathcal{F}_0))(\frac{n-1}{2})) = i'^!\mathcal{E}(i_*\mathcal{F}_0).
\]

Thus we may assume that \( X \) is a projective smooth curve. By the axioms (1) and (4), we may assume \( \mathcal{F}_0 = j_!\mathcal{G}_0 \) where \( j: U \to X \) is an open immersion from an open dense subset \( U \) and \( \mathcal{G}_0 \) is a smooth \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-sheaf on \( U \). The coefficient of \([T^*_X X]\) can be determined by the axioms (1) and (5). Let \( x \in X \) be a closed point not contained in \( U \). By weak approximation, we can find a finite morphism \( f: X' \to X \) from a projective smooth curve \( X' \) such that \( f \) is étale around \( f^{-1}(x) \) and \( f^*\mathcal{G} \) has unipotent monodromy at points of \( X' \setminus f^{-1}(U \cup \{x\}) \). Then, we can determine the coefficient of \([T^*_x X]\) by the axiom (4), Lemma 6.11 and Lemma 6.12.

We show that epsilon cycles constructed in Theorem 5.13 satisfy the axioms. The axioms (3) and (7) follow from the construction. The others are proved in Lemma 5.19, Corollary 5.23, Theorem 5.30 and Corollary 6.6.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 6.13.** According to the proof, we can replace the axiom (7) by Lemma 6.11.

### 7 Appendix: Complements on \( \ell \)-adic formalism (7)

In this appendix, we review the \( \ell \)-adic formalism on a noetherian topos developed in (7). To simplify the argument, we restrict the construction to bounded complexes.

Let \( T \) be a topos. We fix a complete discrete valuation ring \((R, \mathfrak{m})\) and a uniformizer \( \varpi \) of \( R \). We define a category \( T^{\text{op-}} \) as follows. The objects are projective systems \((M_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) indexed by \( \mathbb{N} \) where \( M_n \) are objects of \( T \) and \( \varphi_n: M_{n+1} \to M_n \) are morphisms of \( T \), called transition maps. The morphisms are families of morphisms \( M_n \to M'_n \) compatible with the transition maps. The category \( T^{\text{op-}} \) is a topos. Let

\[
(7.1) \quad \pi: T^{\text{op-}} \to T
\]

be the morphism of topoi defined by \( \pi_*(M_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \varprojlim_n M_n. \) The left adjoint is identified with the functor \( \pi^{-1}M = (M, \text{id})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \). Denote \( R_n := R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}. \) Let \( R_\bullet := (R_0, \text{proj.})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) be the ring object of \( T^{\text{op-}} \), where the transition maps are the natural projection \( R_{n+1} \to R_n \). We have a morphism of ringed topoi

\[
(7.2) \quad \pi: (T^{\text{op-}}, R_\bullet) \to (T, R).
\]

We denote by \( \pi^* \) the left adjoint of (7.2).
Definition 7.1. 1. We say that a commutative group object \((M_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is essentially zero if, for every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), there exists \(m \geq n\) such that the transition map \(M_m \to M_n\) is zero.

2. We say that a complex \(K \in D(T^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})\) of sheaves of abelian groups is essentially zero if each cohomology of \(K\) is essentially zero.

3. We say that a morphism in \(D(T^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})\) is an essential isomorphism if the mapping cone is essentially zero.

4. We say that a complex \(K \in D(T^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})\) is essentially constant if there exist complexes \(L \in D(T^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})\) and \(M \in D(T, \mathbb{Z})\), and morphisms

\[ K \leftarrow L \to \pi^{-1} M \]

of complexes which are essential isomorphisms.

For each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), We denote by \(i_n : T \to T^{\text{opp}}\) the morphism of topoi defined by \(i_n^\ast M = (\cdots M \xrightarrow{id} \cdots \xrightarrow{n^{-1}} \ast \to \cdots)\) and \(i_n^1(M_n, \varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = M_n\), where \(\ast\) is the final object of \(T\). This induces a morphism of ringed topoi \(i_n : (T, R_n) \to (T^{\text{opp}}, R_\ast)\). Note that the morphism \(i_n^1 R_\ast \to R_n\) is an isomorphism.

Lemma 7.2. 1. Let \(M \in D^b(T, \mathbb{Z})\) be a bounded complex. Then, the canonical morphism \(M \to R\pi_* \pi^{-1} M\) is an isomorphism.

2. (\cite{7}, Lemma 1.1.) Let \(K \in D^b(T^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})\) be an essentially zero complex. Then, we have \(R\pi_* K = 0\).

3. (\cite{7}, Lemma 1.3.iv) Let \(K \in D^b(T^{\text{opp}}, \mathbb{Z})\) be a bounded complex. If \(K\) is essentially constant, then \(R\pi_* K\) is bounded and the canonical morphism \(\pi^{-1} R\pi_* K \to K\) is an essential isomorphism.

4. Let \(M\) be a sheaf of \(R_0\)-modules on \(T\). Then, the morphisms \(\pi^{-1} M \to L\pi^\ast M\) and \(L\pi^\ast M \to \pi^{-1} M\) are essential isomorphisms. Here the first one is \(\pi^{-1} M \cong \pi^{-1} R \otimes_{\pi^{-1} R} \pi^{-1} M \to R_\ast \otimes_{\pi^{-1} R} \pi^{-1} M = L\pi^\ast M\) and the second one is \(L\pi^\ast M \to H^0(L\pi^\ast M) \cong \pi^{-1} M\).

5. Let \(K, L \in D^-(T^{\text{opp}}, R_\ast)\) be bounded above complexes. If either \(K\) or \(L\) is essentially zero, \(L \otimes_{R_\ast} K\) is also essentially zero.

6. Let \(C \in D^-(T^{\text{opp}}, R_\ast)\) be a bounded above complex. If \(R_0 \otimes_{R_\ast} C\) is essentially zero, so is \(C\). If \(R_0 \otimes_{R_\ast} C\) is acyclic, so is \(C\).

Proof. For a sheaf \(N = (N_n)\) of abelian groups on \(T^{\text{opp}}\) and an object \(U \in T\), we have a short exact sequence

\[ (7.3) \quad 0 \to R^1 \varprojlim_n H^{i-1}(U, N_n) \to H^i(\pi^{-1}(U), N) \to \varprojlim_n H^i(U, N_n) \to 0. \]

1. We may assume that \(M\) is a sheaf. Applying (7.3) to \(N = \pi^{-1} M\), we know that \(H^i(\pi^{-1}(U), \pi^{-1} M)\) is isomorphic to \(H^i(U, M)\), hence the assertion.
2. We may assume that $K = (K_n)$ is a sheaf. If $K$ is essentially zero, so is $(\mathcal{H}^i(U, K_n))_n$ for $U \in T$. The assertion follows from the exact sequence (7.3).

3. Take morphisms $K \leftarrow L \rightarrow \pi^{-1}M$ as in Definition 7.14. Since $K$ is bounded, we may assume that $L$ and $M$ are also bounded. The first assertion follows from 1 and 2.

To prove the second assertion, consider the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\pi^{-1}R\pi_*K & \cong & \pi^{-1}R\pi_*L & \cong & \pi^{-1}R\pi_*\pi^{-1}M & \cong \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
K & \leftarrow & L & \rightarrow & \pi^{-1}M.
\end{array}
$$

By 1 and 2, the top horizontal arrows are isomorphisms. Then, the assertion follows from a diagram chasing.

4. Let $L_1 = (R)_n = \pi^{-1}R$ and $L_2 = (m^{n+1})_n$ be sheaves of $R$-modules on $T^{\text{op}}$ of which the transition maps are the inclusions. We have a short exact sequence $0 \to L_2 \to L_1 \to \mathcal{R}_n \to 0$, which defines an $R$-flat resolution of $\mathcal{R}_n$. Hence the mapping cone of $\pi^{-1}M \to Ln^+M$ is isomorphic to $L_2 \otimes_R \pi^{-1}M[1]$. Since the transition maps of $L_2 \otimes_R \pi^{-1}M$ is zero, the first morphism is an essential isomorphism. The assertion for the second one follows since the composition $\pi^{-1}M \to Ln^+M \to \pi^{-1}M$ coincides with the identity.

5. This follows from the spectral sequence

$$
E_{2}^{pq} = \oplus_{i+j=q} \text{Tor}_p^{R_0}(\mathcal{H}^i(L), \mathcal{H}^j(K)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(L \otimes_{R_0} K).
$$

6. Define $R'_n := R_n / m^{n+1} R_n$ for $n \geq 0$. The kernel of the natural surjection $R'_{n+1} \to R'_n$ is a sheaf of $R_0$-modules essentially isomorphic to $R_0$. If $R_0 \otimes_{R_0} C$ is (resp. essentially zero) acyclic, so is $R'_n \otimes_{R_n} C$ for each $n$. Thus, if $R_0 \otimes_{R_0} C$ acyclic, so is $\mathcal{H}^i(i_n^* C) \cong \mathcal{H}^i(R'_n \otimes_{R_n} C)$. If $R_0 \otimes_{R_n} C$ is essentially zero, for each $n \geq 0$, there exists $m \geq n$ such that the transition map $\mathcal{H}^i(i_n^*(R'_n \otimes_{R_n} C)) \to \mathcal{H}^i(i_n^*(R'_n \otimes_{R_n} C)) = \mathcal{H}^i(i_n^* C)$ is zero. Hence $\mathcal{H}^i(i_{n+1}^* C) \to \mathcal{H}^i(i_{n+1}^*(R'_n \otimes_{R_n} C)) \to \mathcal{H}^i(i_n^* C)$ is zero.

We define the notion of (normalized) $R$-complexes.

**Definition 7.3.** 1. We say that a complex $K \in D^b(T^{\text{op}}, R_\bullet)$ is an $R$-complex if $K \otimes_{R_\bullet} L \pi^* R_0$ is essentially constant.

2. We say that a complex $K \in D^b(T^{\text{op}}, R_\bullet)$ is a normalized $R$-complex if, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the canonical map $i_{n+1}^* K \otimes_{R_{n+1}} R_n \to i_n^* K$ is an isomorphism.

**Lemma 7.4.** Let $K \in D^b(T^{\text{op}}, R_\bullet)$ be a complex.

1. If $K$ is an $R$-complex, $L \pi^* R \pi_* K$ is bounded.

2. The following are equivalent.

   (a) The complex $K$ is a normalized $R$-complex.

   (b) The canonical morphism $L \pi^* R \pi_* K \to K$ is an isomorphism.

   (c) There is a complex $M \in D(T, R)$ such that $L \pi^* M \cong K$.

If this is the case, there exists a bounded complex $M \in D^b(T, R)$ such that $L \pi^* M \cong K$. 
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Proof. 1. It is enough to show that $R_0 \otimes^L_R R\pi_* K$ is bounded. We have $R_0 \otimes^L_R R\pi_* K \cong R\pi_*(L\pi^* R_0 \otimes^L_R K)$. Since $L\pi^* R_0 \otimes^L_R K$ is bounded and essentially constant, the assertion follows from Lemma 7.2.3.

2. We show $(a) \Rightarrow (b)$. Let $K$ be a normalized $R$-complex. By Lemma 7.2.6, it suffices to show that $R_0 \otimes^L_R L\pi^* R\pi_* K \rightarrow R_0 \otimes^L_R K$ is an isomorphism. The former is isomorphic to

$$R_0 \otimes^L_R \pi^{-1} R\pi_* K \cong \pi^{-1} R\pi_* (L\pi^* R_0 \otimes^L_R K) \cong \pi^{-1} R\pi_*(\pi^{-1} R_0 \otimes^L_R K).$$

Here the last isomorphism follows from Lemma 7.2.2, 4, 5, and the fact that $R_0 \otimes^L_R K$ is bounded. Since $\pi^{-1} R_0 \otimes^L_R K$ is constant, i.e. each cohomology is of the form $\pi^{-1} N$ for a sheaf on $T$, it follows from Lemma 7.2.1.

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$ is obvious. For $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$, we may assume that $M$ is bounded since $L\pi^*$ is of finite cohomological dimension. Then the assertion is clear.

The last assertion is verified in the course of the proof of $(c) \Rightarrow (a)$.

3. Let $M \in D^b(T, R)$ be a bounded complex. By 2, it suffices to show that $L\pi^* M$ is an $R$-complex. This follows from the isomorphism $L\pi^*(M \otimes^L_R R_0) \cong L\pi^* M \otimes^L_R L\pi^* R_0$ and Lemma 7.2.4.

Denote by $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, and $D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot)$ the full subcategories of $D^b(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot)$ consisting of $R$-complexes, essentially zero complexes, and normalized $R$-complexes respectively. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a thick triangulated subcategory of $D^b(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot)$ and stable under the standard truncation functors, the quotient $D^b(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot)/\mathcal{B}$ is a triangulated category admitting a $t$-structure, which is also called the standard $t$-structure.

Let $D^b(T - R)$ be the bounded category. By 2, it suffices to show that $L\pi^* M$ is a triangulated subcategory of $D^b(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot)/\mathcal{B}$.

By Lemma 7.3.3, we can define the functor $D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot) \rightarrow D^b(T - R)$ to be the composition $D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B} = D^b(T - R)$. By Lemma 7.1.2, we can define a functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot)$ by $K \mapsto \tilde{K} := L\pi^* R\pi_* K$. By Lemma 7.2.2, this induces a functor $\tilde{\Phi}: D^b(T - R) \rightarrow D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot)$. Similarly, the functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow D^b(T, R_n)$ sending $K$ to $R_n \otimes^L_R R\pi_* K$ induces a functor $D^b(T - R) \rightarrow D^b(T, R_n)$, which we denote by $\tilde{\Phi}$.

Lemma 7.5. The functor $D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{op}}, R_\cdot) \rightarrow D^b(T - R)$ is an equivalence of categories with a quasi-inverse $\tilde{\Phi}$.

Proof. We show that the compositions of the two functors are isomorphic to the identity functors. For a normalized $R$-complex $K$, we know that $L\pi^* R\pi_* K \rightarrow K$ is an isomorphism by Lemma 7.2.2. Let $K$ be an $R$-complex. Let $C$ be the mapping cone of $L\pi^* R\pi_* K \rightarrow K$. By Lemma 7.2.6, it suffices to show that $R_0 \otimes^L_R L\pi^* R\pi_* K \rightarrow R_0 \otimes^L_R K$ is an essential isomorphism. By Lemma 7.2.4, 5, we show that $L\pi^* R_0 \otimes^L_R L\pi^* R\pi_* K \rightarrow L\pi^* R_0 \otimes^L_R K$ is an essential isomorphism. The former complex is isomorphic to $L\pi^* R\pi_*(L\pi^* R_0 \otimes^L_R K)$ and we have
a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{align*}
L\pi^*R\pi_*(L\pi^*R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L K) & \longrightarrow L\pi^*R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L K \\
\pi^{-1}R\pi_*(L\pi^*R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L K) & \longrightarrow
\end{align*}
\]
of complexes in \(D(T^{\text{nop}}, \mathbb{Z})\), where the vertical arrow is induced from \(\pi^{-1}R \to R_*\) and the slant one is the adjunction. Since \(L\pi^*R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L K\) is essentially constant, the slant one is an essential isomorphism and \(R\pi_*(L\pi^*R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L K)\) is bounded by Lemma 7.2.3. Since the cohomologies of \(R\pi_*(L\pi^*R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L K) \cong R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L R\pi_*K\) are sheaves of \(R_0\)-modules, the vertical one is an essential isomorphism by Lemma 7.2.4. The assertion follows.

Next we impose a finiteness condition on (normalized) \(R\)-complexes. From now on, we assume that \(T\) is noetherian. Denote by \(D^b_c(T, R_0)\) the full subcategory of \(D^b(T, R_0)\) consisting of bounded complexes whose cohomologies are constructible sheaves.

**Definition 7.6.**
1. We denote by \(D_{c, \text{norm}}(T^{\text{nop}}, R_*)\) the full subcategory of \(D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{nop}}, R_*)\) consisting of \(K \in D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{nop}}, R_*)\) such that \(i_*^0 K \in D^b_c(T, R_0)\).

2. We denote by \(D^b(T, R)\) the full subcategory of \(D^b(T-R)\) consisting of \(K \in D^b(T-R)\) such that \(R_0 \otimes_{R}^L K \in D^b_c(T, R_0)\). We call an element of \(D^b_c(T, R)\) a constructible complex of \(R\)-sheaves.

**Lemma 7.7.**
1. The functor in Lemma 7.5 induces an equivalence \(D_{c, \text{norm}}(T^{\text{nop}}, R_*) \cong D^b_c(T, R)\).

2. The full subcategory \(D^b_c(T, R)\) of \(D^b(T^{\text{nop}}, R_*)/\mathcal{B}\) is triangulated and stable under the truncation functors. The core of \(D^b_c(T, R)\) is equivalent to the category of \(m\)-adic sheaves on \(T\).

**Proof.**
1. It follows from Lemma 7.3 and an isomorphism \(i_*^0 K \cong R_0 \otimes_{R}^L R\pi_*K\) for \(K \in D_{\text{norm}}(T^{\text{nop}}, R_*)\).

2. Let \(\mathcal{C}\) be the full subcategory of \(\mathcal{A}\) consisting of \(R\)-complexes \(K\) such that \(R_0 \otimes_{R}^L R\pi_*K\) is constructible. Note that the quotient \(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A}\) is isomorphic to \(D^b_c(T, R)\). We show the following two claims.

1. For an \(R\)-complex \(K\), \(K\) belongs to \(\mathcal{C}\) if and only if all cohomologies of \(K\) are essentially isomorphic to \(\varpi\)-adic sheaves.

2. \(\varpi\)-adic sheaves belong to \(\mathcal{C}\).

Let \(K\) be an \(R\)-complex. By Lemma 7.6 \(K\) is essentially isomorphic to \(L\pi^*R\pi_*K\). If \(K\) belongs to \(\mathcal{C}\), the cohomologies of \(L\pi^*R\pi_*K\) are essentially isomorphic to \(\varpi\)-adic sheaves. Since \(\mathcal{C}\) is stable under extensions and essential isomorphisms, it is enough to show the claim 2. Let \(F = (F_0)\) be a \(\varpi\)-adic sheaf. Since \(\varpi\)-adic sheaves are essentially isomorphic to extensions of \(\varpi\)-adic sheaves which are \(\varpi\)-torsion free or killed by \(\varpi\), we treat the two cases separately. Assume that \(F\) is torsion-free. The complex \(L\pi^*R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L F\) is essentially isomorphic to \(R_0 \otimes_{R_*}^L F \cong (F_0)\). Hence the assertion follows in this case. Assume that \(F\) is
Let $E$ and $\mathcal{O}_E$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and its ring of integers. Define a category $D^b_c(T,E)$ by $D^b_c(T,\mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} E$, i.e. the objects are the same as $D^b_c(T,\mathcal{O}_E)$ and the morphisms of multiplication by $\ell$ are inverted. We define a category $D^b_c(T,\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ (resp. $D^b_c(T,\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$) by the 2-colimit $\lim_{\longrightarrow} D^b_c(T,\mathcal{O}_E)$ (resp. $\lim_{\longrightarrow} D^b_c(T,\mathcal{O}_E)$) where $E$ runs through the finite subextensions of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.
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