ON THE MEROMORPHIC CONTINUATION OF EISENSTEIN SERIES

JOSEPH BERNSTEIN AND EREZ LAPI

Abstract. We present a proof of the meromorphic continuation of Eisenstein series constructed from an arbitrary automorphic form. The proof, unlike previous ones, does not use spectral theory, but only rudimentary Fredholm theory (in the number field case).
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1. Preliminaries and statement of main result

We will use some standard notation and results. We refer to the standard text [14] for more details. (However, we will only use the first two “easy” chapters of [ibid.].)

1.1. General notation. Let $G$ be a reductive group over a global field $F$ with ring of adeles $\mathbb{A} = A_F$. For convenience (although it is not absolutely necessary) we fix a minimal parabolic subgroup $P_0$ of $G$ defined over $F$ with a Levi decomposition $P_0 = M_0 \ltimes U_0$ over $F$. Denote by $P$ the finite set of standard parabolic subgroups of $G$ (i.e., those containing $P_0$) that are defined over $F$. Any $P \in P$ admits a unique Levi decomposition $P = M \ltimes U$ over $F$ such that $M \supset M_0$. (If $P$ is not clear from the context, we write $M = M_P$ and $U = U_P$.) Set

$$a^*_P = X^*(P) \otimes \mathbb{R} = X^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{R} = X^*(Z_M) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

where $Z_M$ is the center of $M$ and $X^*(\cdot)$ denotes the lattice of characters defined over $F$. Denote the dual vector space by $a_P$. 
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For any \( P, Q \in \mathcal{P} \) denote by \( \Omega(P, Q) \) the (possibly empty) finite set of cosets \( wM_P(F) \), \( w \in G(F) \) such that \( wM_Pw^{-1} = M_Q \). In particular, \( \Omega = \Omega(P_0, P_0) = N_{G(F)}(M_0)/M_0(F) \) is the Weyl group of \( G \). Any \( w \in \Omega(P, Q) \) induces a linear isomorphism \( a_P \to a_Q \), which uniquely determines \( w \).

Fix once and for all a maximal compact subgroup \( K \) of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) that is in a “good position” with respect to \( M_0 \) [14 I.1.4]. In particular, for any \( P \in \mathcal{P} \), \( G(\mathbb{A}) = M(\mathbb{A})U(\mathbb{A})K \) and \( M(\mathbb{A}) \cap K \) is a maximal compact subgroup of \( M(\mathbb{A}) \). Let \( H_P : G(\mathbb{A}) \to a_P \) be the left-\( U(\mathbb{A}) \) right-\( K \) invariant function on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) such that

\[
e^{\langle \chi, H_P(m) \rangle} = |\chi(m)|, \quad \forall m \in M(\mathbb{A}), \chi \in X^*(M)
\]

where we view \( \chi \) as a homomorphism \( M(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{A}^* \).

1.2. Eisenstein series and intertwining operators. Write \( X_G = G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \), and more generally, \( X_P = U(\mathbb{A})P(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}) \) for any \( P \in \mathcal{P} \). Let \( \mathfrak{f}_{umd}(X_G) \) be the space of functions of uniform moderate growth on \( X_G \) [14 I.2.3]. (The condition “uniform” is only relevant in the number field case.) It is a countable union of Fréchet spaces (see \( \S 5 \) below). Denote by \( \mathcal{A}_P \) the space of automorphic forms on \( X_P \) [14 I.2.17]. For any \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P \) and \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_P \), \( \mathbb{C} \) set \( \varphi_\lambda(g) = \varphi(g)e^{\langle \lambda, H_P(g) \rangle} \). We have \( \varphi_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_P \). Consider the Eisenstein series defined by

\[
E(g, \varphi, \lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(F) \backslash G(F)} \varphi_\lambda(\gamma g).
\]

(We do not include \( P \) in the notation – hopefully it will be always clear from the context.) The series converges absolutely and locally uniformly for \( \text{Re}(\lambda) \) sufficiently regular in the positive Weyl chamber of \( \mathfrak{a}^*_P \) [14 II.1.5]. For any \( w \in \Omega(P, Q) \), the intertwining operator \( M(w, \lambda) : \mathcal{A}_P \to \mathcal{A}_Q \) is defined by the formula\(^1\)

\[
[M(w, \lambda)\varphi]_{-w\lambda}(g) = \int_{wU_P(\mathbb{A})w^{-1}U_Q(\mathbb{A}) \backslash U_Q(\mathbb{A})} \varphi_\lambda(w^{-1}ug) \, du.
\]

The integral converges locally uniformly provided that \( \text{Re}(\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \gg 0 \) for every simple root \( \alpha \in \Delta_0 \backslash \Delta_0^P \) such that \( w\alpha < 0 \) [14 II.1.6].

1.3. The main result.

**Theorem.** Let \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P \).

1. The Eisenstein series \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \), which is absolutely convergent and holomorphic for \( \text{Re}(\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \gg 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P \), extends to a meromorphic function \( \lambda \mapsto E(\varphi, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{f}_{umd}(X_G) \) on \( \mathfrak{a}^*_{P,C} \). Whenever regular, \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_G \).

2. For any \( w \in \Omega(P, Q) \), the map \( \lambda \mapsto M(w, \lambda)\varphi \), taking values in a finite-dimensional subspace of \( \mathcal{A}_Q \), admits a meromorphic continuation to \( \mathfrak{a}^*_{P,C} \).

3. For any \( w \in \Omega(P, Q) \) we have the functional equation

\[
E(M(w, \lambda)\varphi, w\lambda) = E(\varphi, \lambda) \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{P,C}.
\]

\(^1\)For any unipotent group \( V \) defined over \( F \), the Haar measure on \( V(\mathbb{A}) \) is normalized so that \( \text{vol}(V(F)/V(\mathbb{A})) = 1 \).
(4) For any \( w \in \Omega(P,Q) \) and \( w' \in \Omega(Q,Q') \) we have

\[
M(w'w, \lambda) = M(w',w\lambda) \circ M(w, \lambda) \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*.
\]

(5) The singularities of \( M(w, \lambda)\varphi \) are along root affine hyperplanes. The same is true for the singularities of \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \).

(6) If \( F \) is a function field, then \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \) and \( M(w, \lambda)\varphi \) are rational functions of \( \lambda \in X_P = \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*/L_P \) where \( L_P \) is a certain lattice in \( \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^* \) (see \( \S 7.1 \)) and \( X_P \) is viewed as a complex algebraic variety isomorphic to \( (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim a_P} \).

When \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \), the theorem was proved by Langlands, at least in the number field case, extending Selberg’s methods ([12]; see also [14], Ch. 4 and [17]). In his celebrated work, Langlands described the discrete part of \( L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^1) \) in terms of residues of Eisenstein series for \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \) and used it to extend the theorem to the case where \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P \) is square-integrable on \( M(F)\backslash M(\mathbb{A})^1 \). (These Eisenstein series furnish the continuous spectrum of \( L^2(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})^1) \).) In fact, the setup of Selberg and Langlands is not confined to arithmetic lattices. We refer to [14] for a complete account of Langlands’s theory, including covering groups, and additional references. For a general \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P \), the theorem follows from the fact that every automorphic form is a derivative of cuspidal Eisenstein series – see [6] and [14, Appendix II].

The goal here is to prove the theorem above uniformly for all \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P \) without appealing to either Langlands’s description of the discrete spectrum or Franke’s theorem. In fact, one of our goals is to explain that the meromorphic continuation is an “easy” part of the theory of Eisenstein series, in the sense that it doesn’t require spectral theory. We only use rudimentary Fredholm theory (in the number field case). Ultimately, the proof should greatly simplify Langlands’s proof of the spectral decomposition of \( L^2(X_G) \).

A key ingredient is a general principle of meromorphic continuation (Theorem 2.3 below). The principle will reduce the theorem to two rather separate statements about automorphic forms, which are of independent interest. The first (Theorem 4.3) is the fact that any automorphic form is determined by its “leading cuspidal components” – namely the terms corresponding to the cuspidal exponents whose real part is “close” to the positive Weyl chamber. The second (Theorem 5.2) is a locally uniform finiteness result for automorphic forms (in the number field case), which is a technical strengthening, proved along the same lines, of the standard one, on the finite dimensionality of the space of automorphic forms with a given \( \mathfrak{K} \) and \( \mathfrak{z} \)-type ([10, Theorem 1]). The deduction of the theorem will be explained in §6 in the number field case and in §7 in the function field case. For the (easier) case of \( SL_2 \) the method of proof was explained in [4].

**Remark.** Let \( \xi \) be a unitary character of \( Z_M(F)\backslash Z_M(\mathbb{A}) \) and let \( \mathcal{A}_{P,\xi} \) be the subspace of \( \mathcal{A}_P \) consisting of those \( \varphi \) such that (denoting by \( \delta_P \) the modulus function of \( P(\mathbb{A}) \))

\[
\varphi(zg) = \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(z)\xi(z)\varphi(g) \quad \forall z \in Z_M(\mathbb{A}), g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{A}_{P,\xi}}^2 := \int_{Z_M(\mathbb{A})\backslash X_P} |\varphi(g)|^2 \, dg < \infty.
\]
Then, for any \( w \in \Omega(P, Q) \), \( \varphi \in A^2_{P, \xi} \) and \( \varphi' \in A^2_{Q, \mu, \xi} \) we have

\[
(M(w, \lambda)\varphi, \varphi')_{A^2_{Q, \mu, \xi}} = (\varphi, M(w^{-1}, -w\overline{\lambda})\varphi')_{A^2_{P, \xi}}
\]

([14, II.1.8]). It follows from the theorem above that \( M(w, \lambda) : A^2_{P, \xi} \to A^2_{Q, \mu, \xi} \) is holomorphic and unitary for \( \lambda \in i\mathbb{a}^*_P \) – cf. [14, IV.3.12]. It is also true that \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \) is holomorphic near \( i\mathbb{a}^*_P \), and this can be proved independently of Langlands’s description of the discrete spectrum in terms of residues of Eisenstein series – cf. [13].
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2. A principle of meromorphic continuation

Throughout this section, \( \mathcal{M} \) is a complex analytic manifold.

2.1. Meromorphic functions in locally convex topological vector spaces. Let \( \mathfrak{E} \) be a complex, Hausdorff, locally convex topological vector space (LCTVS). As usual, we denote by \( \mathfrak{E}' \) (the dual of \( \mathfrak{E} \)) the space of continuous linear forms on \( \mathfrak{E} \).

We say that a function \( f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{E} \) is analytic (or holomorphic) if for every \( \mu \in \mathfrak{E}' \), the scalar-valued function \( \langle \mu, f(s) \rangle : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C} \) is analytic.

Let \( U \) be an open dense subset of \( \mathcal{M} \). We say that a holomorphic function \( f : U \to \mathfrak{E} \) is meromorphic on \( \mathcal{M} \) if for every \( s_0 \in \mathcal{M} \) there exist a connected neighborhood \( W \) and holomorphic functions \( g : W \to \mathbb{C} \) and \( h : W \to \mathfrak{E} \) such that \( g(s)f(s) = h(s) \) for all \( s \in U \cap W \).

The above notion of analyticity is discussed in [8, §2]. In particular, every analytic function is continuous (cf. footnote 4(a) in the proof of [8, Théorème 1]). Moreover, suppose that the closed, absolutely convex hull of any compact set in \( \mathfrak{E} \) is compact. (This holds for any quasi-complete space, in particular for any Fréchet space.) Then,

- If \( \mathcal{M} \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{C}^n \), then \( f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{E} \) is holomorphic \( \iff \) \( f \) admits partial derivatives with respect to each variable \( \iff \) \( f \) admits a convergent power series expansion in \( \mathfrak{E} \) around every point of \( \mathcal{M} \) (8, Théorème 1)).
- A function \( f : \mathcal{M} \to \mathfrak{E} \) is analytic if and only if it is continuous and \( \langle \mu, f(s) \rangle : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C} \) is analytic for all \( \mu \) in a separating subset of \( \mathfrak{E}' \) ([8, §2, Remarque 1]).

(Recall that a subset of \( \mathfrak{E}' \) is called separating if its annihilator in \( \mathfrak{E} \) is trivial.) This gives a practical criterion to check whether a function is analytic.

Example. Suppose that \( F \) is a number field and let \( C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A})) \) be the algebra (under convolution) of compactly supported, smooth functions on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \). As a LCTVS, it is the strict inductive limit (cf. [5, §II.4.6]), over the compact subsets \( C \) of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) and the open
subgroups $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$, of the Fréchet spaces of bi-$K$-invariant functions in $G(\mathbb{A})$ that are supported on $\mathcal{C}$ and are $C^\infty$ as a function of $G(F_\infty)$. Let $\mathcal{U}(g_\infty)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the complexification $g_\infty$ of the Lie algebra of $G(F_\infty)$.

Suppose for simplicity that $\mathcal{M}$ is connected. Then, a function $h : \mathcal{M} \to C^\infty(G(\mathbb{A}))$ (i.e., a family $h_s$, $s \in \mathcal{M}$ of smooth, compactly supported functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$ is holomorphic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied (cf. [8 §3]).

1. There exists a compact subset $C$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\text{supp } h_s \subset C$ for all $s \in \mathcal{M}$.
2. There exists an open subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $h_s$ is bi-$K$-invariant for all $s \in \mathcal{M}$.
3. For any $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$, the function $s \mapsto h_s(g)$ is analytic.
4. For any $X \in \mathcal{U}(g_\infty)$, viewed as a differential operator on $G(F_\infty)$, the function $X h_s$ is continuous on $\mathcal{M} \times G(\mathbb{A})$.

In this case, we refer to $h_s$ as an analytic family of smooth, compactly supported functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$.

We refer the reader to [3] and the references therein for more discussion about analytic functions and their subtleties, including some interesting counterexamples.

2.2. Analytic families of operators. Let $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ be two Hausdorff LCTVSs. For brevity, by an operator from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathfrak{F}$ we will always mean a continuous linear map. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ the space of operators from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathfrak{F}$. Consider the pointwise convergence topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$, i.e., the coarsest topology for which the evaluation maps $e_{v_1} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F}) \to \mathfrak{F}$, $v_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ given by $A \mapsto A(v_1)$, are continuous. Equivalently, it is the Hausdorff, locally convex topology defined by the seminorms $p(A(v_1))$ where $v_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $p$ is a continuous seminorm on $\mathfrak{F}$. We write $\mathcal{L}_s(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ for $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ with this topology. As noted in [8 §2, Remarque 2], a function $A : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{L}_s(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ (i.e., a family of operators $A_s : \mathcal{E} \to \mathfrak{F}$, $s \in \mathcal{M}$) is holomorphic if and only if for every $v_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ the function $s \mapsto A_s(v_1) \in \mathfrak{F}$ is holomorphic. In this case, we will simply say that $A_s$, $s \in \mathcal{M}$ is a holomorphic family of operators.

We may also consider the finer topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ of uniform convergence on bounded sets, which is given by the seminorms $\sup_{v_1 \in B} p(A(v_1))$ where $p$ is a continuous seminorm on $\mathfrak{F}$ and $B$ is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{E}$. We write $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ for $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ with this topology. For instance, if $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathfrak{F}$ are Banach spaces, then $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ is the Banach space with the usual operator norm. Of course, if $\mathcal{E}$ is finite-dimensional, then $\mathcal{L}_s(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ and $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$ coincide, but otherwise the topologies are different. In principle, we could have defined a strong analytic family of operators as an analytic function from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{L}_b(\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{F})$. However, it follows from the uniform boundedness principle (see [8 §2, Remarque 2] and [15 §III.4.3, Corollary 1]) that any analytic family of operators from $\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathfrak{F}$ is automatically analytic in the strong sense if $\mathcal{E}$ is barrelled (in particular, if $\mathcal{E}$ is a Fréchet space, or more generally, an arbitrary inductive limit of Fréchet spaces) or if $\mathcal{E}$ is semi-complete (i.e., every Cauchy sequence converges). Fortunately, all LCTVS considered in the body of the paper will be barrelled, so we will not need to make the distinction between analytic and strong analytic families of operators.
If $E, F, G$ are Hausdorff LCTVSs and $A_s : E \to F$ and $B_s : F \to G$, $s \in M$ are analytic families of operators, then $B_s \circ A_s$ is an analytic family of operators from $E$ to $G$. This follows from Hartogs's Theorem. A similar statement holds for strongly analytic families (although as was just pointed out, we will not need it).

2.3. Analytic systems of linear equations.

Definition. Let $E$ be a Hausdorff LCTVS.

(1) Let $(E_i, \mu_i, c_i)$, $i \in I$ be a (possibly infinite) family of triples consisting of

- A Hausdorff LCTVS $E_i$.
- An analytic family $(\mu_i)_s$, $s \in M$ of operators from $E$ to $E_i$.
- An analytic function $c_i : M \to E_i$.

We say that the system $\Xi(s)$ of linear equations (on $v \in E$)

$$ (\mu_i)_s(v) = c_i(s), \quad i \in I $$

depends analytically on $s$ (or simply, is an analytic family). We denote by $\text{Sol}(\Xi(s))$ the set of solutions of the system $\Xi(s)$ in $E$.

(2) Let $A_s$, $s \in M$ be a family of subsets of $E$. We say that $A_s$ is of finite type if there exist a finite-dimensional vector space $L$ and an analytic family $\lambda_s$, $s \in M$ of operators $L \to E$ such that $A_s \subset \text{Im} \lambda_s$ for all $s \in M$. We say that $A_s$ is locally of finite type if for every $s_0 \in M$ there exists an open neighborhood $W$ in $M$ such that $A_s$, $s \in W$ is of finite type.

(3) Finally, we say that the family of equations $\Xi = (\Xi(s))_{s \in M}$ is (locally) of finite type if the same is true for $\text{Sol}(\Xi(s))$.

Theorem (Principle of meromorphic continuation). Let $\Xi = (\Xi(s))_{s \in M}$ be an analytic family of systems of linear equations that is of locally finite type. Let

$$ M_{\text{unq}} = \{ s \in M : \text{Sol}(\Xi(s)) = \{ v(s) \} \} $$

be the set of $s \in M$ for which the system $\Xi(s)$ has a unique solution $v(s)$. Suppose that $M$ is connected and that the interior $M^o_{\text{unq}}$ of $M_{\text{unq}}$ is nonempty. Then, $M_{\text{unq}}$ contains an open dense subset $U$ of $M$ such that $v$ is holomorphic on $U$ and meromorphic on $M$.

Remark. It is not claimed that $v$ is holomorphic on $M^o_{\text{unq}}$.

The proof, which is a simple application of Cramer’s rule, will be given in the appendix.

2.4. We conclude this section by describing the basic tool for proving that a system is locally finite, namely Fredholm theory.

Lemma. Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}$ be Banach spaces with $\mathcal{B}$ having the approximation property\footnote{Recall that this means that the space of finite-rank operators from a Banach space to $\mathcal{B}$ is dense in the space of compact operators.} and let $\mu_s$, $s \in M$ be an analytic family of operators from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{E}$. Suppose that for some $s_0 \in M$, $\mu_{s_0}$ is left-invertible modulo compact operators, i.e., that there exists an operator $D : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{B}$
such that $K := D\mu_s_0 - \text{Id}_B : B \to B$ is compact. Then, the homogenous system $\Xi(s)$ (in $B$) given by
\[
\mu_s v = 0
\]
is locally finite near $s_0$.

Proof. Let $X_s = D(\mu_s - \mu_s_0)$. Then,
\[
\text{Ker } \mu_s \subset \text{Ker}(D\mu_s) = \text{Ker}(X_s + K + \text{Id}_B).
\]
Let $F : B \to B$ be a finite-rank operator such that $\|K - F\| < \frac{1}{2}$ and set $Y_s = X_s + K - F$. Then, for $s$ near $s_0$ we have $\|Y_s\| < 1$ and therefore, $\text{Id}_B + Y_s$ is invertible and $(\text{Id}_B + Y_s)^{-1}$ is analytic. Since
\[
X_s + K + \text{Id}_B = Y_s + F + \text{Id}_B = (\text{Id}_B + F(\text{Id}_B + Y_s)^{-1})(\text{Id}_B + Y_s),
\]
we have
\[
\text{Ker}(X_s + K + \text{Id}_B) = (\text{Id}_B + Y_s)^{-1} \text{Ker}(\text{Id}_B + F(\text{Id}_B + Y_s)^{-1}) \subset (\text{Id}_B + Y_s)^{-1}(\text{Im } F).
\]
The lemma readily follows. \hfill \Box

We can extend it as follows.

Corollary (Fredholm’s criterion). Let $B, C, s \in M$ and $\Xi(s)$ be as above. Suppose that $B$ admits a direct sum decomposition $B = B_1 \oplus B_2$ and let $p_i : B \to B_i$, $i = 1, 2$ be the corresponding projections. Assume that $\mu_s_0|_{B_1}$ is left-invertible modulo compact operators and that the family $p_2(\text{Sol}(\Xi(s)))$ of subsets of $B_2$ is locally finite. Then, $\Xi(s)$ is locally finite near $s_0$.

Proof. By passing to a neighborhood of $s_0$ and using the second condition, we may assume without loss of generality that there exist a finite-dimensional space $L$ and an analytic family $\nu_s, s \in M$ of operators from $L$ to $B_2$ such that $\text{Sol}(\Xi(s)) \subset B_1 \oplus \text{Im}(\nu_s)$ for all $s \in M$. Consider the system $\Xi_1(s)$ on $B_1 \oplus L$ given by
\[
\mu_s \circ (\text{Id}_{B_1} \oplus \nu_s)v = 0.
\]
By assumption, $\mu_s_0 \circ (\text{Id}_{B_1} \oplus \nu_s_0)$ is left-invertible modulo compact operators. Therefore, by the lemma above, $\Xi_1(s)$ is locally finite near $s_0$. Since $\text{Sol}(\Xi(s)) = (\text{Id}_{B_1} \oplus \nu_s)(\text{Sol } \Xi_1(s))$, it follows that $\Xi(s)$ is locally finite near $s_0$. \hfill \Box

3. THE SYSTEM OF EQUATIONS

Let us give a brief outline of how we will apply the Principle of Meromorphic Continuation (Theorem [2.3]) to Eisenstein series. Fix $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$. In [6.3] we devise a certain holomorphic system of linear equations $\Xi(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_P^* \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{und}}( \mathcal{X}_G)$. Roughly, the system consists of the following three sets of equations.

($\Xi_1$) The $K$-types of $\psi$ are contained in those of $\varphi$.

($\Xi_2$) The cuspidal support of $\psi$ is prescribed by the cuspidal support of $\varphi$. 
The cuspidal components of $\psi$ differ from those of $\varphi_\lambda$ by terms with a prescribed set $A_\lambda$ of cuspidal exponents. Moreover, if $\text{Re} \lambda$ is dominant and sufficiently regular, then the real parts of the elements of $A_\lambda$ are “far” from the positive Weyl chamber. For $\text{Re} \lambda$ dominant and sufficiently regular, the Eisenstein series $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ satisfies these equations. This is clear for $\Xi_1$, while the rest follow from the computation of the constant term of $E(\varphi, \lambda)$.

On the other hand, by standard results, any solution $\psi$ of $\Xi(\lambda)$ (for any $\lambda$) is an automorphic form. Moreover, and this is the key part, for $\text{Re} \lambda$ dominant and sufficiently regular, there is at most one automorphic form that satisfies $\Xi_3(\lambda)$ (Proposition 4.8). The remaining technical issue is the local finiteness of $\Xi(\lambda)$. By a result of Harish-Chandra, any solution of $\Xi(\lambda)$ is an eigenfunction (with a nonzero eigenvalue) of an integral operator (namely, convolution by a smooth, bi-$K$-finite, compactly supported function on $G(\mathbb{A})$, depending holomorphically on $\lambda$). We show that this fact, together with the information on the cuspidal exponents (which is a consequence of $\Xi_3(\lambda)$), suffices for local finiteness. This follows from Theorem 5.2 which is the technical heart of the paper.

To summarize, the system $\Xi(\lambda)$ is locally finite and it admits $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ as its unique solution provided that $\text{Re} \left< \lambda, \alpha^\vee \right> \gg 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_P$. The principle of meromorphic continuation will immediately imply the first part of Theorem 1.3. The other parts are then an easy consequence.

In the function field case the situation is easier. We use an algebraic version of the principle of meromorphic continuation which does not require local finiteness. Also, $\Xi_2$ is surplus to requirements.

4. Uniqueness

In this section we show that any automorphic form is determined by its cuspidal components pertaining to the cuspidal exponents whose real parts are “not too far” from the positive Weyl chamber. (See Theorem 4.3 for the precise statement.) This will give a simple characterization (which can serve as an alternative definition) of the Eisenstein series $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ with $\text{Re} \lambda$ dominant and sufficiently regular (Proposition 4.8).

Until §7 we will assume that $F$ is a number field.

4.1. Roots and coroots. ([14 I.1.6])

Let $S_0$ be the maximal $F$-split torus in the center of $M_0$. More generally, for any $P = M \ltimes U \in \mathcal{P}$ let $S_M \subset S_0$ be the maximal split torus of $Z_M$, so that $S_0 = S_{M_0}$. Thus, $M = C_G(S_M)$,

$$a_P^* = X^*(S_M) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

and

$$a_P = X_*(S_M) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

where $X_*(\cdot)$ is the lattice of co-characters defined over $F$. For simplicity write

$$a_0 = a_{P_0}, \quad a_0^* = a_{P_0}^*$$
and $H_0 = H_{P_0}$. We also write $(a^P_0)^* = X^*(S^M_0) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ and the dual space $a^*_0 = X_*(S^M_0) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ where $S^M_0 = S_0 \cap M^{\text{der}}$, a maximal split torus in the derived group $M^{\text{der}}$ of $M$. We have direct sum decompositions

\begin{equation}
(a^0) = a^0_0 \oplus a_0, \quad (a_0^*) = (a^0_0)^* \oplus a^*_0.
\end{equation}

For any $\lambda \in a^*_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_0$ we write $\lambda = \lambda^P_0 + \lambda_P$ according to this decomposition.

Let $\Delta_0 \subset X^*(S_0) \subset a^*_0$ be the set of simple roots of $S_0$ on $U_0$ and let $\Delta^\vee_0 \subset a_0$ be the set of simple coroots. Thus, $\Delta_0$ is a basis for the vector space $(a^*_0)^*$ and $\Delta^\vee_0$ is a basis for $a^*_0$. For any $\alpha \in \Delta_0$ denote by $\alpha^\vee \in \Delta^\vee_0$ the corresponding simple coroot. For any $P$ let $\Delta^P_0 \subset \Delta_0$ be the set of simple roots of $S_0$ on $U_0 \cap M = U_0 \cap M^{\text{der}}$. (Thus, $\Delta^P_0$ is a basis for $(a^P_0)^*$.). Denote by $\Delta_P \subset a^*_P$ the image of $\Delta^P_0 \setminus \Delta^P_0$ under the projection $a^*_0 \to a^*_P$. (This defines a bijection between $\Delta_0 \setminus \Delta^P_0$ and $\Delta_P$.) Similarly, $\Delta^\vee_P$ is the image of $\Delta^\vee_0 \setminus (\Delta^P_0)^\vee$ under the projection $a_0 \to a_P$. We continue to denote by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^\vee$ the ensuing bijection $\Delta_P \to \Delta^\vee_P$.

More generally, we denote by $\Phi_P \subset X^*(S_M) \subset a^*_P$ the set (containing $\Delta_P$) of reduced roots of $S_M$ on the Lie algebra of $U$. For any $\alpha \in \Phi_P$ denote by $\alpha^\vee \in a_P$ the corresponding coroot (\cite[I.1.11]{ref}).

4.2. Cuspidal exponents. Let

\[ G(\mathbb{A})^1 = \text{Ker } H_G = \cap_{\chi \in X^*(G)} \text{Ker } |\chi| \]

The map $H_G : G(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathfrak{a}_G$ admits a splitting. (This is where we use that $F$ is a number field.) Namely, let $A_G = S_G(\mathbb{R})^0$ be the connected component of the identity (in the real topology) of $S_G(\mathbb{R})$ viewed as a subgroup of $S_G(\mathbb{A})$ by embedding $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{A}_F$ via $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_F$. Then,

\begin{equation}
G(\mathbb{A}) = A_G \times G(\mathbb{A})^1.
\end{equation}

In other words, the restriction $H_G|_{A_G} : A_G \to \mathfrak{a}_G$ is an isomorphism of topological groups.

Similarly, for any $P = M \times U \in \mathcal{P}$ let $A_P = S_M(\mathbb{R})^0 \subset S_M(\mathbb{A})$. Then, the restriction $H_P|_{A_P} : A_P \to \mathfrak{a}_P$ is an isomorphism of topological groups.

The determinant of the adjoint representation of $P$ on its Lie algebra is an element of $X^*(P)$, which we write as $2\rho_P$ where $\rho_P \in a^*_P$.

The space $A_P$ admits a left action by $A_P$. It is advantageous however to consider the twisted action given by

\[ a \cdot \phi(g) = \delta_P(a)^{-\frac{i}{2}} e^{\langle \chi, H_P(a) \rangle} \phi(g) \]

We decompose $A_P$ according to this action (\cite[I.3.2]{ref}). Namely, we write

\begin{equation}
A_P = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in a^*_P \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_P} A_{P, \lambda}
\end{equation}

where $A_{P, \lambda}$ is the $\lambda$-generalized eigenspace of $A_P$ with respect to the twisted $A_P$-action. Thus, for every $\varphi \in A_{P, \lambda}$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that for every $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ the function $a \in A_P \mapsto (a \cdot \varphi)(g) e^{\langle \chi, H_P(a) \rangle}$ is a polynomial in $H_P(a) \in \mathfrak{a}_P$ of degree $\leq n$.

The constant term

\[ C_P \phi(g) = \int_{U(F) \setminus U(\mathbb{A})} \phi(ug) \, du \]
defines a linear map
\[ \mathcal{A}_G \to \mathcal{A}_P. \]
If \( Q \in \mathcal{P} \) with \( Q \subset P \), then \( C_Q(C_P\phi) = C_Q(\phi) \). We denote by \( \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \) the cuspidal part of \( \mathcal{A}_P \), i.e., the space of \( \phi \in \mathcal{A}_P \) such that \( C_Q\phi = 0 \) for all \( Q \subset P \). We have a decomposition
\[ \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*} \mathcal{A}_{P,\lambda}^{\text{cusp}} \]
where \( \mathcal{A}_{P,\lambda}^{\text{cusp}} = \mathcal{A}_{P,\lambda} \cap \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \). We also have a linear projection (see \cite[I.3.5]{14})
\[ \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \to \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \]
(the \textit{cuspidal projection} of \( \phi \)) characterized by the equalities
\[ (\phi^{\text{cusp}}, \psi)_{X_P} = (\phi, \psi)_{X_P} \]
for any function \( \psi \) on \( X_P \) (necessarily rapidly decreasing) of the form \( (f \circ H_P) \cdot \psi' \) where \( f \in C_c^\infty(a_P) \) and \( \psi' \in \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \). Here,
\[ (f_1, f_2)_{X_P} = \int_{X_P} f_1(g)\overline{f_2(g)} \, dg \]
whenever the integral is absolutely convergent.

For any \( \phi \in \mathcal{A}_P \) and \( Q \subset P \) let \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{cusp}}_Q \phi := (C_Q\phi)^{\text{cusp}} \in \mathcal{A}_Q^{\text{cusp}} \) be the \textit{cuspidal component} of \( \phi \) along \( Q \). Thus, we get a linear map
\[ \mathcal{T}_P^{\text{cusp}} : \mathcal{A}_P \to \bigoplus_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{A}_Q^{\text{cusp}}, \quad \phi \mapsto (C_Q^{\text{cusp}} \phi)_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} \]
where by convention \( C_Q^{\text{cusp}} \phi = 0 \) unless \( Q \subset P \).

We denote by \( \mathcal{E}_Q^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \subset \mathfrak{a}_Q^* \) the (finite) set of \textit{cuspidal exponents of \( \phi \) along \( Q \)}. Thus, \( \lambda \in \mathcal{E}_Q^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \) if and only if \( C_Q^{\text{cusp}} \phi \) has a non-zero \( \lambda \)-coordinate with respect to the decomposition (4.4). We write
\[ \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) = \{(Q, \lambda) : Q \in \mathcal{P}, Q \subset P, \lambda \in \mathcal{E}_Q^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\}. \]

The following basic fact is due to Langlands – see \cite[I.3.4]{14}.

**Proposition.** Let \( \phi \in \mathcal{A}_G \) be non-zero. Then, \( \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \neq \emptyset \). In other words, the map \( \mathcal{T}_G^{\text{cusp}} \) is injective.

In fact, the set \( \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \) determines the growth of \( \phi \) (\cite[I.4.1]{14}).

### 4.3. Leading cuspidal components.

Let
\[ \mathfrak{a}_{0,+}^* = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_0^* : \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \]
be the closed positive Weyl chamber. We say that \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^* \) is \textit{leading} (with respect to \( P \)) if \( \Re \lambda + \rho_P \in \mathfrak{a}_{0,+}^* \).

We denote by \( \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp},\text{ld}} \) the direct sum
\[ \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp},\text{ld}} = \bigoplus \mathcal{A}_{P,\lambda}^{\text{cusp}} \]
over the \( \lambda \)'s in \( \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^* \) that are leading with respect to \( P \) and by
\[ p_P^{\text{ld}} : \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}} \to \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp},\text{ld}} \]
are satisfied.

the projection according to \((4.4)\).

For any \(\phi \in \mathcal{A}_G\) we define the leading cuspidal exponents of \(\phi\) along \(P\) to be the cuspidal exponents of \(\phi\) along \(P\) that are leading with respect to \(P\). We denote this set by \(\mathcal{E}_{P}^{cusp,ld}(\phi)\) and write

\[
\mathcal{E}_{P}^{cusp,ld}(\phi) = \{(P, \lambda) : P \in \mathcal{P}, \lambda \in \mathcal{E}_{P}^{cusp,ld}(\phi)\}.
\]

We define the leading cuspidal component of \(\phi \in \mathcal{A}_G\) along \(P\) to be \(p_{P}^{ld}(C_{P}^{cusp}\phi)\). Thus, we get a linear map

\[
\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{A}_G \to \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{A}_{P}^{cusp,ld}
\]

which is the composition of \(\mathcal{T}^{cusp}_{G}\) with \(\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} p_{P}^{ld}\).

The following result is an extension of Proposition \(4.2\) which will be proved in \(4.5\) below.

**Theorem.** Suppose that \(\phi \in \mathcal{A}_G\) is non-zero. Then, \(\mathcal{E}_{P}^{\text{cusp,ld}}(\phi) \neq \emptyset\). In other words, the map \(\mathcal{L}\) is injective.

4.4. We first prove the following special case of Theorem \(4.3\).

**Lemma.** Let \(\phi \in \mathcal{A}_G\). Assume that for every \((P, \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}_{\phi}^{\text{cusp}}\) the following two properties are satisfied.

- \(P\) is a maximal parabolic subgroup of \(G\), i.e. \(\Delta_{P}\) is a singleton.
- Writing \(\Delta_{P} = \{\alpha\}\) we have \(\text{Re} \langle \lambda + \rho_{P}, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle < 0\).

Then, \(\phi = 0\).

**Proof.** By [14, I.4.1], \(\phi\) is bounded on \(G(\mathbb{A})^{1}\). This implies that for any \(P \in \mathcal{P}\), the constant term \(C_{P}\phi\) is also bounded on \(G(\mathbb{A})^{1}\). Note that by Proposition \(4.2\) and our assumption, \(C_{P}\phi \equiv 0\) for any non-maximal proper \(P \in \mathcal{P}\). If \(P\) is maximal, then \(C_{P}\phi\) is cuspidal and for any \(g \in G(\mathbb{A})\) the function \(a \in A_{P} \cap G(\mathbb{A})^{1} \mapsto C_{P}\phi(ag)\) is a polynomial exponential function in \(H_{P}(a) \in A_{P}^{G}\) with exponents \(\lambda^{G} + \rho_{P}, \lambda \in \mathcal{E}_{P}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\). Since by assumption \(\text{Re} \lambda^{G} + \rho_{P} \neq 0\) for every \(\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_{P}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\), such a function, if bounded, must be identically 0. Hence \(C_{P}\phi \equiv 0\). It follows that \(\phi\) is cuspidal. Since by assumption \(\mathcal{E}_{G}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) = \emptyset\) we conclude that \(\phi = 0\).

Let \(\phi \in \mathcal{A}_G\) be non-zero. We say that a parabolic subgroup \(P \in \mathcal{P}\) is minimal with respect to \(\phi\) if \(\mathcal{E}_{P}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \neq \emptyset\) but \(\mathcal{E}_{Q}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) = \emptyset\) for any \(Q \in \mathcal{P}\) of smaller semisimple rank than \(P\). Clearly, such \(P\) exists by Proposition \(4.2\).

For any \(P \in \mathcal{P}\) and \(\alpha \in \Delta_{P}\) let \(P_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{P}\) be such that \(\Delta_{P_{\alpha}}^{P} = \Delta_{P}^{P} \cup \{\beta\}\) where \(\beta \in \Delta_{0} \setminus \Delta_{P}\) is the unique simple root which projects to \(\alpha\). Thus, \(P\) is a maximal parabolic subgroup of \(P_{\alpha}\).

**Corollary.** Let \(\phi \in \mathcal{A}_G\), \((P, \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}_{\phi}^{\text{cusp}}\) and \(\alpha \in \Delta_{P}\). Let \(P_{\alpha}\) be as above. Assume that \(P\) is minimal with respect to \(\phi\) and \(\text{Re} \langle \lambda + \rho_{P}, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle < 0\). Then, there exists \((P_{1}, \mu) \in \mathcal{E}_{\phi}^{\text{cusp}}\) with the following properties.

- \(P_{1}\) is a maximal parabolic subgroup of \(P_{\alpha}\).
- \(P_{1}\) is minimal with respect to \(\phi\).
- \(\text{Re} \langle \mu + \rho_{P_{1}}, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle \geq 0\) where \(\Delta_{P_{1}}^{P_{1}} = \{\alpha_{1}\}\).
Proof. We first remark that if \((P_1, \mu) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\) and \(P_1 \subseteq P_\alpha\) for some \(\alpha \in \Delta_P\), then \(P_1\) is minimal with respect to \(\phi\).

By considering \(C_{P_\alpha} \phi\), we reduce the corollary to the case that \(P_\alpha = G\), i.e., \(P\) is maximal. Upon subtracting the cuspidal projection of \(\phi\), we may also assume without loss of generality that \(\mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}_G(\phi) = 0\). Finally, by decomposing \(\phi\) according to the action of \(A_G\) we can assume that \(\mu_G = \lambda_G\) for all \((Q, \mu) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\). In this case, the corollary follows from the lemma above and the minimality of \(P\).

\[
\bullet \quad \mu_{P_\alpha} = \lambda_{P_\alpha}.
\]

4.5. Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let \(0 \neq \phi \in A_G\). Fix \(\varpi^\vee \in a_0\) such that \(\langle \alpha, \varpi^\vee \rangle > 0\) for all \(\alpha \in \Delta_0\). Let \((P, \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\) be such that \(P\) is minimal with respect to \(\phi\) and \(\Re \langle \lambda + \rho_P, \varpi^\vee \rangle\) is maximal. We claim that \(\Re \lambda + \rho_P \in a^\vee_0\). Assume on the contrary that this is not the case. Then, there exists \(\alpha \in \Delta_P\) such that \(\Re \langle \lambda + \rho_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0\). Let \((P_1, \mu) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\) be as in the Corollary 4.4 and write \(\Delta_{P_\alpha} = \{\alpha_1\}\). Then,

\[
(\lambda + \rho_P)_{P_\alpha} = \lambda_{P_\alpha} + \rho_{P_\alpha} = (\mu + \rho_{P_1})_{P_\alpha}
\]

and

\[
\Re \langle \lambda_{P_\alpha} + \rho_{P_\alpha}, \varpi^\vee \rangle < 0 \leq \Re \langle \mu_{P_{\alpha}} + \rho_{P_1}, \varpi^\vee \rangle
\]

since both sides are positive multiples of \(\Re \langle \lambda + \rho_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle\) and \(\Re \langle \mu + \rho_{P_1}, \alpha_1^\vee \rangle\) respectively. Thus, \(\Re \langle \lambda + \rho_P, \varpi^\vee \rangle < \Re \langle \mu + \rho_{P_1}, \varpi^\vee \rangle\), gaining the assumption on \(\lambda\). \(\square\)

Remark. Using the coarse spectral decomposition for automorphic forms \([14, \text{III}]\) we can get additional information on the set \(\mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\) of an automorphic \(\phi \in A_G\) as follows. (We will not use this result in the sequel.)

Lemma. Suppose that \(\phi \in A_G\), \((P, \lambda) \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)\) and \(\alpha \in \Delta_P\) are such that \(\Re \langle \lambda + \rho_P, \alpha^\vee \rangle < 0\). Let \(s_\alpha\) be the elementary symmetry corresponding to \(\alpha\) \((14, \text{I.1.7})\). Thus, \(P_\alpha\) is generated by \(P\) and \(s_\alpha\), and \(s_\alpha \in \Omega(P, P')\) where \(P'\) is a maximal parabolic subgroup of \(P_\alpha\). Then, \(s_\alpha \lambda \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}_{P'}(\phi)\).

To prove the lemma, we first recall the coarse spectral decomposition for automorphic forms.

Consider the equivalence relation on pairs \((P, \lambda), P \in P, \lambda \in a^\vee_P\) given by \((P, \lambda) \sim (P', \lambda')\) if there exists \(w \in \Omega(P, P')\) such that \(w \lambda = \lambda'\). For any \(\sim\)-equivalence class \(\theta\) let

\[
\mathcal{A}_\theta = \{\phi \in A_G : \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \subset \theta\}.
\]

We have a direct sum decomposition

\[
\mathcal{A}_G = \bigoplus_{\theta} \mathcal{A}_\theta
\]

where \(\theta\) ranges over the equivalence classes of pairs \((P, \lambda), \lambda \in a^\vee_P\) \((14, \text{III.2.6})\). Thus, if \(\phi \in A_G\) and \(\phi = \sum_{\theta} \phi_{\theta}\) is the corresponding decomposition, then \(\phi_{\theta} \neq 0\) if and only if \(\lambda \in \mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}_{P_\theta}(\phi)\) for some \((P, \lambda) \in \theta\).

By considering \(C_{P_\alpha} \phi\), we reduce to the case that \(P\) and \(P'\) are maximal. By the decomposition \((4.6)\) we can also assume that \(\mathcal{E}^{\text{cusp}}(\phi) \subset \{(P, \lambda), (P', s_\alpha \lambda)\}\). In this case, the lemma follows from Lemma 4.4. \(\square\)
4.6. **Polynomial exponential functions.** The restriction of any automorphic form in $A_P$ to $A_P$ is a simple function, namely a polynomial exponential. It will be convenient to set some notation pertaining to this type of functions.

Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional real vector space with dual space $V^*$. As usual let $V_c^* = V^* \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. For any $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in (V_c^*)^n$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_V(\lambda)$$

the finite-dimensional space consisting of the polynomial exponential functions on $V$ with exponents in $\lambda$, such that the degree of the polynomial pertaining to an exponent $\mu$ is smaller than $\# \{i : \lambda_i = \mu \}$. Equivalently, for any $\lambda \in V_c^*$ and $v \in V$ let $D_v^\lambda$ be the difference operator

$$D_v^\lambda f(u) = f(u + v) - e^{(\lambda,v)} f(u), \; u \in V$$
on functions on $V$. Then,

$$\mathcal{P}_V(\lambda)$$

is the space of functions $f$ on $V$ such that $D_{v_1}^\lambda \ldots D_{v_n}^\lambda f \equiv 0 \; \forall v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$.

Of course, $\mathcal{P}_V(\lambda)$ depends only on $\lambda$ up to permutation of coordinates.

Note that $\dim \mathcal{P}_V(\lambda) \geq n$ with equality if and only if $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ are distinct or $\dim V = 1$. In particular, if $\dim V > 1$, then the family $\mathcal{P}_V(\lambda)$ is not flat in the parameter $\lambda$.

If $f_1 \in \mathcal{P}_V(\lambda)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_V(\mu)$, then $f_1 + f_2 \in \mathcal{P}_V(\lambda \lor \mu)$ where $\lambda \lor \mu$ denotes the concatenation of $\lambda$ and $\mu$.

Given $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\lambda \in (a_p^*)^n$ we may define similarly the subspace

$$\mathcal{P}_{A_P}(\lambda) = \{ f \circ H_P |_{A_P} : f \in \mathcal{P}_{a_P}(\lambda) \}$$

of polynomial exponential functions on $A_P$. Recall that the restriction of $H_P$ to $A_P$ is an isomorphism between $A_P$ and $a_P$.

Slightly more generally, for any $\lambda \in (a_0^*)^n$ we write $\mathcal{P}_{A_P}(\lambda) = \mathcal{P}_{A_P}(\lambda_P)$ where $\lambda_P \in (a_p^*)^n$ is obtained from $\lambda$ by projecting each coordinate to $a_p^* C$. Finally, we write

$$\mathcal{A}_P(\lambda) = \{ \phi \in \mathcal{A}_P : a \mapsto (a \cdot \phi)(g) \in \mathcal{P}_{A_P}(\lambda) \; \forall g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}}(\lambda) = \mathcal{A}_P(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{cusp}}.$$

Any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_P(\mu)$ for some integer $m \geq 0$ and $\mu \in (a_p^*)^m$. Moreover, if $m$ is the minimal such integer, then $\mu$ is unique up to permutation. We will write $\mu(\phi) = \mu$ and $m(\phi) = m$. Note that the set of coordinates of $\mu(\mathcal{E}_P^{\text{cusp}}(\phi))$ is $\mathcal{E}_P^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)$.

For future use we also note that by the argument of [14; p. 50] we have

$$C_Q \phi \in \mathcal{A}_Q(\bigvee_{Q' \subset Q} \mu(\mathcal{E}_Q^{\text{cusp}}(\phi)))$$

for any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $Q \subset P$. 


4.7. Weyl group double cosets. Denote by $\Omega_P$ the Weyl group of $M_P$, viewed as a subgroup of $\Omega$. For any $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ let

$$Q \Omega_P = \{ w \in \Omega : w\alpha > 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_0^P \text{ and } w^{-1}\alpha > 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_0^Q \}.$$

This is a set of representatives for $\Omega_Q \backslash \Omega / \Omega_P$, as well as for $Q(F) \backslash G(F) / P(F)$. For any $w \in Q \Omega_P$, the group $M_P \cap w^{-1}M_Qw$ is the Levi subgroup of a standard parabolic subgroup $P_w$ of $P$; likewise, $M_Q \cap wM_Qw^{-1}$ is the Levi subgroup of a standard parabolic subgroup $Q_w$ of $Q$. Let

$$\Omega(P; Q) = \{ w \in \Omega : wM_Pw^{-1} \supset M_Q \text{ and } w\alpha > 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_0^P \} = \{ w \in Q \Omega_P : Q_w = Q \}.$$

Thus, if $w \in \Omega(P; Q)$, then $\Omega_Q w \Omega_P = w \Omega_P$. We may identify $\Omega(P, Q)$ with the set

$$\{ w \in \Omega(P; Q) : wM_Pw^{-1} = M_Q \} = \Omega(P; Q) \cap \Omega(Q; P)^{-1}.$$

Clearly, if $w \in Q \Omega_P$, then $w \in \Omega(P_w, Q_w)$. In particular, if $w \in \Omega(P; Q)$, then $w \in \Omega(P_w, Q)$.

If $w \in \Omega(P, Q)$, then $w$ induces a bijection $\Delta_0^P \to \Delta_0^Q$.

Recall that the interior of $\mathfrak{a}_{0,+}^*$ is a fundamental domain for the action of the Weyl group on $\mathfrak{a}_{0,+}$. It follows that if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{0,+}^*$ is sufficiently regular, then $w\lambda$ is far from $\mathfrak{a}_{0,+}$ for any $w \neq 1$, and hence there exists $\alpha \in \Delta_0$ such that $\langle w\lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle$ is very negative. The following is a variant of this basic fact.

**Lemma.** For any $c > 0$ there exists $c' > 0$ with the following property. Let $e \neq w \in \Omega(P; Q)$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,G}$. Suppose that $\text{Re} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > c'$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_P$. Then, there exists $\alpha \in \Delta_Q$ such that $\text{Re} \langle w\lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle < -c$.

**Proof.** Since $w \in \Omega(P_w, Q)$ and $w \notin \Omega_P$, there exists $\alpha \in \Delta_{P_w} \setminus \Delta_{P_w}^F$ such that $\beta := w\alpha < 0$. Then, $\text{Re} \langle w\lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle > c'$. Therefore $\text{Re} \langle w\lambda, \gamma^\vee \rangle < -c$ for some $\gamma \in \Delta_Q$, provided that $c'$ is sufficiently large with respect to $c$. \qed

4.8. A uniqueness property of Eisenstein series. For the rest of the section we fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,G}$ such that $\text{Re} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \gg 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_P$. (The implied constant depends on $\varphi$.) Recall the Eisenstein series $\psi = E(\varphi, \lambda)$ defined in (1.1). Clearly,

\begin{equation}
(4.9) \quad \text{if } P \neq G, \text{ then } \mathcal{E}_G^{\text{cusp}}(\psi) = \emptyset.
\end{equation}

Using the notion of the leading cuspidal component (1.3), we can characterize the Eisenstein series (in the range above) as follows.

**Proposition.** The leading cuspidal components of $\psi$ coincide with the cuspidal components of $\varphi_\lambda$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) = T_P^{\text{cusp}}(\varphi_\lambda).$$

Moreover, by Theorem 4.3, this relation uniquely characterizes $\psi$.

We will prove the proposition below.
4.9. **Geometric Lemma.** We recall that the constant terms of the Eisenstein series $\psi = E(\varphi, \lambda)$ are given in terms of intertwining operators. More precisely, in analogy with the situation in the local case [2], we have

**Lemma.** For any $Q \in P$ we have

\[(4.10)\]

\[C_Q \psi = \sum_{w \in \Omega(P) \setminus Q} E^Q(M(w, \lambda)(C_{P_w} \varphi), w\lambda)\]

where the superscript indicates that we replace the sum over $P(F) \setminus G(F)$ in (1.1) by the sum over $Q_w(F) \setminus Q(F)$. (Note that $M(w, \lambda)(C_{P_w} \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}_{Q_w}$.) Each summand on the right-hand side is a composition of three operations: taking a constant term (from $\mathcal{A}_{P_w}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{Q_w}$), intertwining operator (from $\mathcal{A}_{P_w}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{Q_w}$) and Eisenstein series (from $\mathcal{A}_{Q_w}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{Q_w}$). The last two operations are taken in their range of convergence.

In particular, by (4.9),

\[(4.11)\]

\[C_{cusp}^Q \psi = \sum_{w \in \Omega(P; Q)} [M(w, \lambda)(C_{P_w} \varphi)]_{cusp}^w = \sum_{w \in \Omega(P; Q)} [M(w, \lambda)(C_{cusp}^P \varphi)]_{w\lambda}^w.\]

This is a straightforward generalization of the computation of [14, II.1.7].

4.10. **Proof of Proposition 4.8** An immediate consequence of Lemma 4.9 is the following.

**Corollary.** For any $Q \in P$ we have

\[C_Q \psi \in \mathcal{A}_Q(\vee_{w \in \Omega(P; Q)} w(\mu(C_{P_w} \varphi) + \lambda))\]

(see (4.7)) and

\[(4.12)\]

\[\mathcal{E}_{cusp}^Q(\psi) \subset \bigcup_{w \in \Omega(P; Q)} w(\mathcal{E}_{cusp}^P(\varphi) + \lambda)\]

where $\vee$ denotes concatenation in an arbitrary order and for any $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n) \in (a_{0,c})^n$ we write $\underline{\mu} + \lambda = (\mu_1 + \lambda, \ldots, \mu_n + \lambda)$. Moreover, for any $w' \in \Omega(P, P')$ we have

\[(4.13)\]

\[C_{cusp}^Q(\psi - \varepsilon_{Q, P'} [M(w', \lambda) \varphi]_{w'\lambda}) \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}^Q(\vee_{w \in \Omega(P; Q) \setminus \{w'\}} w(\mu(C_{P_w} \varphi) + \lambda))\]

where $\varepsilon_{Q, P'} = 1$ if $Q \subset P'$ and $\varepsilon_{Q, P'} = 0$ otherwise. In particular,

\[(4.14)\]

\[C_{cusp}^Q(\psi - \varepsilon_{Q, P'} \varphi) \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_{cusp}^Q(\vee_{w \in \Omega(P; Q) \setminus \{\varepsilon_{Q, P'} \}} w(\mu(C_{cusp}^P \varphi) + \lambda)).\]

Proposition 4.8 now follows from (4.14) and Lemma 4.7. Moreover, under our standing condition on $\lambda$,

\[(4.15)\]

\[\psi = E(\varphi, \lambda)\]

is the unique automorphic form satisfying (4.14).

It is also true and easy to show that the union on the right-hand side of (4.12) is disjoint, although we will not use this fact.

5. **Local finiteness**

In this section we prove a local finiteness result (Theorem 5.2).
5.1. Functions of moderate growth. In general, if a space of functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$ is invariant under right translation, we denote the right regular representation by $\delta$. Often, this action induces an action of $C^\infty_c(G(\mathbb{A}))$, or of $\mathcal{U}(g_\infty)$, which we still denote by $\delta$.

Equip $G(\mathbb{A})$ with a height function $\|\cdot\|$ as in [14 I.2.2]. For any $r > 0$ consider the Banach space $\mathcal{F}^r(G(\mathbb{A}))$ of functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$\|f\|_r = \sup_{g \in G(\mathbb{A})} |f(g)| \|g\|^{-r} < \infty$$

with the right regular representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. Let $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ be its smooth part, i.e., the space of $C^\infty$ functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\|\delta(X)f\|_r < \infty$ for all $X \in \mathcal{U}(g_\infty)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{umd}}(G(\mathbb{A})) = \bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(G(\mathbb{A}))$ be the space of functions of uniform moderate growth on $G(\mathbb{A})$ with the inductive limit topology in the category of LCTVSs. (This space does not depend on the choice of the height function on $G(\mathbb{A})$.)

For each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\mathcal{F}^r(\mathcal{X}_P)$ (resp., $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{X}_P)$, $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{umd}}(\mathcal{X}_P)$) be the closed subspace of $\mathcal{F}^r(G(\mathbb{A}))$ (resp., $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(G(\mathbb{A}))$, $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{umd}}(G(\mathbb{A}))$) consisting of left $U(\mathbb{A})P(F)$-invariant functions. The constant term $f \mapsto C_P f$ defines operators $\mathcal{F}^r(\mathcal{X}_G) \to \mathcal{F}^r(\mathcal{X}_P)$, $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{X}_G) \to \mathcal{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{X}_P)$ and $\mathcal{F}^r_{\text{umd}}(\mathcal{X}_G) \to \mathcal{F}^r_{\text{umd}}(\mathcal{X}_P)$.

5.2. Statement. Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is a complex manifold. For every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ let $n_P \geq 0$ be an integer and $\Delta_P : \mathcal{M} \to (\mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^\ast)^{n_P}$ a holomorphic function (i.e., an $n_P$-tuple of holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{M}$ with values in $\mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^\ast$). Also, let $I$ be a (possibly infinite) set and for every $i \in I$ let $h_i(s)$, $s \in \mathcal{M}$ be a holomorphic family of smooth, compactly supported functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$ (see example 2.1) and $c_i$ a scalar-valued analytic function on $\mathcal{M}$. In addition, assume that for every $s \in \mathcal{M}$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $c_i(s) \neq 0$.

Consider the system $\Xi_{\text{main}}(s)$ of equations on $f \in \mathcal{F}^r_{\text{umd}}(\mathcal{X}_G)$ given by

$$\delta(h_i(s)) f = c_i(s) f, \quad i \in I,$$

$$a \mapsto (a \cdot C_P f)(g) \in \mathfrak{P}_{\Delta_P} (\Delta_P(s)) \text{ for all } P \in \mathcal{P}, g \in G(\mathbb{A}).$$

By definition of the spaces $\mathfrak{P}_{\Delta_P}(\mu)$ (see 4.6), $\Xi_{\text{main}}(s)$ is clearly a holomorphic system of linear equations. In the rest of the section we will prove the following result.

Theorem. The system $\Xi_{\text{main}}(s)$ is locally finite.

Remark. As we will recall in §6.2 below, by a basic result of Harish-Chandra, such a system is satisfied by Eisenstein series.

Let us briefly explain some aspects of the argument. First, it is advantageous to perform the analysis not directly on the spaces $\mathcal{X}_P$ themselves but instead on Siegel sets $S_P^{T_0}$ for a suitable choice of $T_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_0$ (see 5.4). Second, instead of the sup norm we will consider certain weighted Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{F}^{\infty, R}(S_P^{T_0})$ (§5.5), since Hilbert spaces are easier to work with. The constant terms with respect to $Q \subset P$ define a commuting family of orthogonal projections $C^{T_0}_{P,Q}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{\infty, R}(S_P^{T_0})$ (Lemma 5.10). In particular, we may consider the pseudocuspidal part of $\mathcal{F}^{\infty, R}(S_P^{T_0})$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\infty, R}_c(S_P^{T_0}) = \bigcap_{Q \subset P} \text{Ker } C^{T_0}_{P,Q}.$$
Now, the convolution operator $\delta(h)$ does not make sense on $\mathcal{F}_V^\infty(R(S_T^0_P))$ but we can emulate it by an integral operator

$$
\delta_{T_0^0, T_0^0}(h) : \mathcal{F}_V^\infty(R(S_T^0_P)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_V^\infty(R(S_T^0_P))
$$

for a suitable choice of $T_0^0$, depending on the support of $h$ (§5.9). Another technical ingredient is an extrapolation operator for polynomial exponential functions that depends analytically on the exponents (Corollary 5.3). Using all this, we will concoct an auxiliary system $\Xi_{aux}^{T_0^0, R}(s)$ of equations on $\oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{F}_V^\infty(R(S_T^0_P))$ such that locally in $s$, the solutions of $\Xi_{main}(s)$ and their constant terms are encoded in the solutions of $\Xi_{aux}^{T_0^0, R}(s)$ for suitable parameters $R, \varpi$. This will reduce the theorem to the local finiteness of $\Xi_{aux}^{T_0^0, R}(s)$. The key for the latter is that on $\mathcal{F}_V^\infty(R(S_T^0_P))$, the composition of $\delta_{T_0^0, T_0^0}(h)$ with a certain restriction operator is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator, and in particular compact (Lemma 5.13). A simple induction using Fredholm’s criterion (Corollary 2.4) then gives the local finiteness of $\Xi_{aux}^{T_0^0, R}(s)$.

5.3. A lemma on polynomial exponential functions. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional real vector space. Recall the family of finite-dimensional spaces $\mathcal{P}_V(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in (V_C^\ast)^n$ of functions on $V$ defined in §4.6. As already remarked, this is not a flat family in $\lambda$ (unless $n = 1$ or $\dim V = 1$). However, we will show that in a suitable sense, this family can be “exhausted” locally analytically.

To make this more precise, let us introduce some general terminology. Let $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ be two Banach spaces and $p : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ an operator. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a complex analytic manifold and for every $s \in \mathcal{M}$ let $\mathcal{B}_s \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a subspace. We say that a holomorphic family $u_s$, $s \in \mathcal{M}$ of operators from $\mathcal{B}'$ to $\mathcal{B}$ is a holomorphic section for $\mathcal{B}_s$ with respect to $p$ if $u_s \circ p|_{\mathcal{B}_s} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{B}_s}$ for all $s$. Of course, a necessary condition for its existence is that $p$ is one-to-one on each $\mathcal{B}_s$.

Let us go back to the situation of §4.6. Fix a norm $\| \cdot \|$ and a Haar measure $dv$ on $V$. Denote also by $\| \cdot \|$ the norm on $V_C^\ast$. For any $R > 0$ let $\mathcal{F}_V^R(V) := L^2(V, e^{-R\|v\|})$ and let $\mathcal{F}_V^R(V)$ be the Banach space of continuous functions on $V$ such that $\sup_{v \in V} |f(v)| e^{-R\|v\|} < \infty$. $V$ acts by translation on both $\mathcal{F}_V^R(V)$ and $\mathcal{F}_V^R(V)$. We have a continuous embedding $\mathcal{F}_V^R(V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_V^{R'}(V)$ for any $R' > 2R$.

For any integer $n > 0$ we endow $(V_C^\ast)^n$ with the norm $\| (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \| = \max_i \|\lambda_i\|$. The following is a variant of [14, I.4.2].

**Lemma.** For any $R' > 0$, an integer $n > 0$ and a neighborhood $U$ of $0$ in $V$, there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that for all $R > R_0$ there exists a finite subset $Y \subset U$, such that the family

$$
\mathcal{P}_V(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in (V_C^\ast)^n, \quad \| \lambda \| < R'
$$

of finite-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{F}_V^R(V)$ admits a holomorphic section with respect to the restriction map $\mathcal{F}_V^R(V) \rightarrow C(Y)$.

**Proof.** We prove the lemma by induction on $d = \dim V$. 
For $V = \mathbb{R}$ we can take $R > R'$, $Y = \{\frac{1}{k} : k = 1, \ldots, n\}$ and the explicit holomorphic section given by the right-hand side of [13, (7)] (which goes back to [16, §6.3]) where $\Gamma$ is the unit circle.

For the induction step, assume $d > 1$ and decompose $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$ non-trivially. For any functions $f_i$ on $V_i$, $i = 1, 2$ let $f_1 \otimes f_2$ be the function on $V$ given by $(f_1 \otimes f_2)(v_1 + v_2) = f_1(v_1)f_2(v_2)$. Then, for a suitable constant $c$, we get a continuous map

$$\otimes : \mathfrak{F}^R(V_1) \otimes \mathfrak{F}^R(V_2) \to \mathfrak{F}^cR(V)$$

with respect to the projective cross norm, for all $R > 0$.

It is elementary to check that if $\underline{\lambda} = \underline{\lambda}^1 + \underline{\lambda}^2$ with $\underline{\lambda}^i \in (V_i^*)^n$, then the image of $\mathfrak{P}_{V_1}(\underline{\lambda}^1) \otimes \mathfrak{P}_{V_2}(\underline{\lambda}^2)$ under $\otimes$ contains $\mathfrak{P}_V(\underline{\lambda})$.

By induction hypothesis, for any $R$ sufficiently large there exist finite subsets $Y_i \subset V_i$, $i = 1, 2$ and holomorphic sections

$$\mathfrak{s}_i(\underline{\lambda}^i) : C(Y_i) \to \mathfrak{F}^R(V_i), \ \underline{\lambda}^i \in (V_i^*)^n, \|\underline{\lambda}^i\| < R'$$

for $\mathfrak{P}_{V_i}(\underline{\lambda}^i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $Y = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$. Decomposing $\underline{\lambda}$ as $\underline{\lambda}^1 + \underline{\lambda}^2$, the composition

$$C(Y) = C(Y_1) \otimes C(Y_2) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}_1(\underline{\lambda}^1) \otimes \mathfrak{s}_2(\underline{\lambda}^2)} \mathfrak{F}^R(V_1) \otimes \mathfrak{F}^R(V_2) \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}^{cR}(V)$$

is a holomorphic section for $\mathfrak{P}_V(\underline{\lambda})$ (say, by Hartogs’s theorem). \hfill $\square$

**Remark.** In fact, the image of the holomorphic section constructed in the proof at $\underline{\lambda}$ is a polynomial exponential, although it is not necessarily in $\mathfrak{P}_V(\underline{\lambda})$ if $d > 1$.

**Corollary.** Let $B_V$ be the ball of radius 1 in $V$. For any $R' > 0$ and an integer $n > 0$ there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that for any $R > R_0$ there exists a holomorphic section for

$$\mathfrak{P}_V(\underline{\lambda}), \ \underline{\lambda} \in (V^*_n)^n, \|\underline{\lambda}\| < R'$$

with respect to the restriction map $\mathfrak{F}_Y^R(V) \to L^2(B_V, dv)$.

Indeed, if $\mathfrak{s}_Y(\underline{\lambda})$ is a holomorphic section as in the lemma above with respect to $Y \subset U = \frac{1}{2}B_V$, then we may take

$$[\mathfrak{s}(\underline{\lambda})]f(v) = \text{vol}(U)^{-1} \int_U [\mathfrak{s}_Y(\underline{\lambda})(f(x + \cdot))](v - x) \ dx.$$

**Remark.** By averaging we may impose that the holomorphic section is symmetric in the coordinates of $\underline{\lambda}$.

### 5.4. Reduction theory.

For any $P = M \ltimes U \subset \mathcal{P}$ let

$$Z_P = P_0(F)U(\mathfrak{A}) \backslash G(\mathfrak{A}).$$

We have a projection $p_P : Z_P \to X_P$. The map $H_0 : G(\mathfrak{A}) \to a_0$ descends to a map $H_0 : Z_P \to a_0$. Let

$$a_0^P = \{X \in a_0 : \langle \alpha, X \rangle > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0^P\}$$

be the relative positive (open) Weyl chamber. For any $T_0 \in a_0$ let

$$S_{T_0}^P \subset Z_P$$
be the inverse image of $T_0 + a_{0,+}^P$ under $H_0$ (an open subset of $Z_P$). Thus, $S_T^{P_0}$ is essentially a Siegel set. Let $p_T^{P_0}$ be the restriction of $p_P$ to $S_T^{P_0}$. By reduction theory, the fibers of $p_T^{P_0}$ are finite and their sizes are uniformly bounded (in terms of $T_0$). Moreover, $p_T^{P_0}$ is surjective provided that $\langle \alpha, T_0 \rangle$ is sufficiently negative for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0$. We will fix such $T_0$ once and for all.

Thus, for any measurable function $f$ on $X_P$, denoting by $\tilde{f} = f \circ p_P$ its pullback to $Z_P$, we have

$$\int_{S_T^{P_0}} |\tilde{f}(g)| \ dg = \int_{Z_P} |\tilde{f}(g)| 1_{S_T^{P_0}}(g) \ dg = \int_{X_P} |f(g)| \sum_{\gamma \in P_0(F) \setminus P(F)} 1_{S_T^{P_0}}(\gamma g) \ dg,$$

and hence,

$$(5.1) \int_{X_P} |f(g)| \ dg \leq \int_{S_T^{P_0}} |\tilde{f}(g)| \ dg \leq c_1 \int_{X_P} |f(g)| \ dg$$

with $c_1$ depending only on $T_0$.

For any $Q \subset P$ we have a proper projection

$$\beta_{P,Q} : Z_P \to Z_Q.$$ 

For any integrable function $f$ on $Z_Q$ we have

$$\int_{Z_P} f \circ \beta_{P,Q}(g) \ dg = \int_{Z_Q} f(g) \ dg.$$ 

Moreover, the image of $S_T^{P_0}$ under $\beta_{P,Q}$ is contained in $S_T^{Q_0}$.

5.5. **Weighted $L^2$ spaces.** Fix a norm $\| \cdot \|$ on $a_0$ once and for all. For any $\varpi \in a_{0,+}^*$ and $R > 0$ consider the weight function

$$w_{Z_P}(g) = w_{Z_P}^{\varpi,R}(g) = e^{\langle \varpi^P, H_0(g) \rangle + R \| H_P(g) \|}$$

on $Z_P$ (which factors through $H_0 : Z_P \to a_0$) where $\varpi^P$ is the component of $\varpi$ in $(a_0^P)^*$ under the decomposition (1.1). By a standard result, there exists a constant $c \geq 0$, depending only on $T_0$ and $\varpi$, such that for every $g \in S_T^{P_0}$ and $\gamma \in P(F)$ we have

$$\langle \varpi^P, H_0(\gamma g) \rangle \leq \langle \varpi^P, H_0(g) \rangle + c$$

(1.1, 3.5.4) and hence

$$(5.2) w_{Z_P}(\gamma g) \leq e^c w_{Z_P}(g).$$

Consider the Hilbert spaces

$$H^{\varpi,R}(S_T^{P_0}) = L^2(S_T^{P_0}, w_{Z_P}(g)^{-1} \ dg).$$

We say that $\varpi$ is $R$-dominant, and write $\varpi \in a_{0,+}^R$ if

$$R \| X_Q \| \leq \langle \varpi, X_Q \rangle$$

for every $Q \subset P$ and any $X \in a_0^P$ such that $\langle \alpha, X \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0^P$ where $X_Q$ is the image under the projection $a_0 \to a_Q$. Note that if $\varpi \in a_{0,+}^R$, then $\langle \varpi, X_Q \rangle \geq 0$ for all $X$
as above. If moreover $\langle \varpi, \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_0$ and $X_Q \neq 0$, then $\langle \varpi, X_Q \rangle > 0$. Thus, $a^{*}_{0, R}$ is nonempty and invariant under translation by $a^{*}_{0, +}$.

Note that if $\varpi \in a^{*}_{0, R}$, then there exists a constant $c$ such that for every $Q \subset P$

$$w_{Z_Q}(\beta_{P,Q}(g)) \leq c w_{Z_P}(g) \quad \forall g \in S^T_{P^0}.$$ 

Thus, the pullback by $\beta_{P,Q}$ defines a continuous map

$$r_{Q,P}^{T_0} : \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(S^T_{Q^0}) \to \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(S^T_{P^0}).$$

5.6. A closed embedding. The weight function $w_{Z_P}$ on $Z_P$ is obviously not $P(F)$-invariant, i.e., it does not descend to $X_P$. We may however define a weight function $w_{X_P} = w^{\varpi,R}_{X_P}$ on $X_P$ by setting

$$w_{X_P}(x) = \max_{g \in P^0(x)} w_{Z_P}(g).$$

By (5.2) there exists a constant $c_2 \geq 1$ such that for any $g \in S^T_{P^0}$

$$w_{Z_P}(g) \leq w_{X_P}(\pi_P(g)) \leq c_2 w_{Z_P}(g).$$

Consider the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P) = L^2(X_P, w_{X_P}(g)^{-1} \, dg)$$

which admits right translation by $G(\mathbb{A})$.

Let

$$\iota_{P, T_0}^{\text{aut}} : \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P) \to \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(S^T_{P^0})$$

be the pullback by $\pi_P^{T_0}$. By (5.3) and (5.4) there exist (explicit) constants $c_3, c_4 > 0$ such that

$$c_3 \| f \|_{\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)} \leq \| \iota_{P, T_0}^{\text{aut}}(f) \|_{\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(S^T_{P^0})} \leq c_4 \| f \|_{\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)}, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P).$$

Thus, $\iota_{P, T_0}^{\text{aut}}$ is a closed embedding.

This gives rise to a surjective operator

$$\pi_{P, T_0}^{\text{aut}} : \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(S^T_{P^0}) \to \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)$$

such that $\iota_{P, T_0}^{\text{aut}}, \pi_{P, T_0}^{\text{aut}}$ is the orthogonal projection onto the image of $\iota_{P, T_0}^{\text{aut}}$.

**Remark.** It follows from [14, I.2.2] that for every $r > 0$ there exist $R > 0$ and $\varpi \in a^{*}_{0, +}$ (without loss of generality $\varpi \in a^{*}_{0, R}$), such that

$$\mathcal{F}^r(X_P) \subset \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)$$

with a continuous embedding. In the other direction, the proof of [14, I.2.5] shows that for any $\varpi \in a^{*}_{0, +}$ and $R > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that for any $h \in C_c(G(\mathbb{A}))$, $\delta(h)$ defines an operator from $\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)$ to $\mathcal{F}^r(X_P)$. Let $\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)$ be the smooth part of $\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)$. It is a Fréchet space. Fix a compact open subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$. By a standard argument, the union over $R > 0$ and $\varpi \in a^{*}_{0, +}$ (or, alternatively, over $\varpi \in a^{*}_{0, R}$) of $\mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_P)^K$, with the locally convex inductive limit topology, coincides with $\mathcal{F}_{\text{umd}}(X_P)^K$. (The superscript denotes the $K$-fixed part of the function space.) Thus, we may formulate Theorem 7.2 equivalently for the system $\Xi_{\text{main}}$ on $\bigcup_{R > 0, \varpi \in a^{*}_{0, R}} \mathcal{F}^{\varpi,R}(X_G)$ (instead of $\mathcal{F}_{\text{umd}}(X_G)$).
5.7. **Factorization.** Recall that $H_P : A_P \to \mathfrak{a}_P$ is an isomorphism of groups. We may identify

\[(5.6) \quad S^{T_0}_P = A_P \times \widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P\]

(using multiplication) where
\[\widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P = \{ g \in S^{T_0}_P : H_P(g) = 0 \} .\]

From the integration formula
\[\int_{Z_P} f(g) \, dg = \int K \int_{P_0(F) \cap M(F) \setminus M(A)} \int_{A_P} f(amk)\delta_P(a)^{-1} \, da \, dm \, dk \]
we see that for any $R > 0$ and $\varpi \in \mathfrak{a}_{1+,0}^*$, the measure $\varpi_{Z_P}(g)^{-1} \, dg$ on $S^{T_0}_P$ becomes a product measure with respect to the factorization \((5.6)\). Hence, the bilinear map
\[(f_1, f_2) \mapsto (g = ax \mapsto \delta_P(a)^{1/2}f_1(a)f_2(x), \, a \in A_P, H_P(x) = 0)\]
gives rise to an isometry of Hilbert spaces
\[(5.7) \quad \mathcal{H}^R(A_P) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\varpi}(\widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P) \cong \mathcal{H}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0}_P)\]
(tensor product of Hilbert spaces) where
\[\mathcal{H}^{\varpi}(\widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P) = L^2(\widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P, e^{-\langle \varpi, H_0(m) \rangle}) \, dm \, dk \]
and
\[\mathcal{H}^R(A_P) = L^2(A_P, e^{-R\|H_P(a)\|}) \, da .\]

5.8. **Polynomial exponentials and holomorphic sections in $\mathcal{H}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0}_P)$.** For any $\Delta = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in (\mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*)^n$ let
\[\mathcal{H}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0}_P) = \cap_{a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A_P} \ker D_{\lambda_1}^\Delta \cdots D_{\lambda_n}^\Delta \]
where for any $a \in A_P$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*$, $D_{\mu}^a$ is the operator on $\mathcal{H}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0}_P)$ given by
\[(5.8) \quad D_{\mu}^a f = a \cdot f - e^{\langle \mu, H_P(a) \rangle} f .\]
(These operators commute.) It is clear that under \((5.7)\) we have
\[\mathcal{H}^{\lambda,\Delta,R}(S^{T_0}_P) = \mathcal{H}_{A_P}(\Delta) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\varpi}(\widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P)\]
provided that $R$ is large with respect to $\lambda$ (so that $\mathcal{H}_{A_P}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H}^R(A_P)$).

Let $\mathcal{B}_P = \{ a \in A_P : \|H_P(a)\| < 1 \}$ be the unit ball in $A_P$. Let $S^{T_0,B}_P$ be the open subset $B_P \times \widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P$ of $S^{T_0}_P$ under the factorization \((5.6)\). Thus,
\[S^{T_0,B}_P = \{ g \in S^{T_0}_P : \|H_P(g)\| < 1 \} .\]
Let $\mathcal{H}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0,B}_P)$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{H}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0}_P)$ consisting of functions essentially supported in $S^{T_0,B}_P$. Thus, under \((5.7)\)
\[\mathcal{H}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0,B}_P) = \mathcal{H}^R(B_P) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{\varpi}(\widetilde{S}^{T_0}_P) .\]
where \( \mathcal{S}^R(B_P) \) denotes the subspace of \( \mathcal{S}^R(A_P) \) consisting of functions essentially supported in \( B_P \). (Of course as a LCTVS, \( \mathcal{S}^R(B_P) \) is independent of \( R \).)

Let \( n_P \geq 0 \) be an integer. It follows from Corollary 5.3 that for any compact subset \( \Lambda \) of \( (a^*_P)^{n_P} \) there exists \( R_0 > 0 \) such that for any \( R > R_0 \) and \( \varpi \in a^*_0 \) the subspaces

\[
\mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}_\Lambda(S^R_P), \quad \Lambda \in \Lambda,
\]

admit a holomorphic section

\[
\mathcal{S}^{T_0,R}_P(\Lambda) : \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^R_{P,B}) \to \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^R_{P})
\]

with respect to the restriction map

\[
i^{T_0,B}_P : \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^R_P) \to \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^R_{P,B}).
\]

5.9. **Convolution.** Unlike \( \mathcal{X}_P \), the space \( \mathcal{S}^{T_0}_P \) is not homogeneous, so there is no right regular representation on functions on \( \mathcal{S}^{T_0}_P \). Hence, the convolution \( \delta(h), h \in C_c(G(\mathbb{A})) \) does not make sense on \( \mathcal{S}^{T_0}_P \). However, we can remedy the situation by using another parameter \( T'_0 \) (depending on \( T_0 \) and the support of \( h \)). More precisely, we have the following.

**Lemma.** Let \( C \) be a compact subset of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \). Suppose that \( T'_0 \in a_0 \) is such that \( \langle \alpha, T_0 - T'_0 \rangle \)

is large with respect to \( C \) for all \( \alpha \in \Delta_0 \). For any \( h \in C_c(G(\mathbb{A})) \) supported in \( C \) define

\[
\delta^{T_0,T'_0}_P(h) : \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^R_{P'}) \to \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^R_{P})
\]

by

\[
\delta^{T_0,T'_0}_P(h)f(g) = \int_{G(\mathbb{A})} h(x)f(gx) \, dx, \quad g \in \mathcal{S}^{T_0}_P.
\]

Then, \( \delta^{T_0,T'_0}_P(h) \) is an operator whose restriction to \( \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(\mathcal{X}_P) \) is \( \delta(h) \), i.e.,

\[
\delta^{T_0,T'_0}_P(h)\iota^{\text{aut},T'_0}_P = \iota^{\text{aut},T_0}_P \delta(h) \text{ on } \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(\mathcal{X}_P).
\]

This simply follows from the fact that \( \mathcal{S}^{T_0}_P C \subset \mathcal{S}^{T'_0}_P \) for suitable \( T'_0 \) and that the ratio \( \|w_{Z_P}(gx)\|/\|w_{Z_P}(g)\| \) is bounded independently of \( x \in C \) and \( g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \), since

\[
\sup_{g \in G(\mathbb{A}), x \in C} \|w_{Z_P}(gx)\| w_{Z_P}(g)^{-1} = \sup_{k \in K, x \in C} \|w_{Z_P}(kx)\| < \infty.
\]

5.10. **Constant terms.** For any \( Q \subset P = M \times U \) we may define constant term projections

\[
C_{P,Q} : C(Z_P) \to C(Z_Q) \subset C(Z_P)
\]

by

\[
C_{P,Q} f(g) = \int_{U_{Q}(F) \cup U_{Q}(\mathbb{A})} f(ug) \, du = \int_{(U_{Q} \cap M)(F) \setminus (U_{Q} \cap M)(\mathbb{A})} f(ug) \, du, \quad g \in Z_P.
\]

For any \( Q_1, Q_2 \subset P \) we have \( C_{P,Q_1 \cap Q_2} = C_{P,Q_1} \circ C_{P,Q_2} \). (This follows from the fact that \( U_{Q_1} U_{Q_2} = U_{Q_1 \cap Q_2} \)). In particular, the operators \( C_{P,Q}, Q \subset P \) pairwise commute.

These projections give rise to operators

\[
C^{T_0}_{P,Q} : \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0}_P) \to \mathcal{S}^{\varpi,R}(S^{T_0}_P)
\]
and
\[ \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{P,Q}^{T_0} : \mathcal{F}_{\omega}^{\omega}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) \to \mathcal{F}_{\omega}^{\omega}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) . \]

**Lemma.** (Cf. [10] §1.3) Let \( P \in \mathcal{P} . \)

1. For any \( Q \subset P , C_{P,Q}^{T_0} \) is an orthogonal projection on the space \( \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) \) of left \( U_Q(\mathbb{A}) \)-invariant functions on \( S_{P}^{T_0} . \) Similarly for \( \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{P,Q}^{T_0} . \)
2. The restriction of \( C_{P,Q}^{T_0} \) to \( \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\mathcal{X}_P) \) agrees with \( C_Q , \) i.e. \( C_{P,Q}^{T_0,\text{aut}} = \iota_Q^{\text{aut,}T_0} C_{Q} \) on \( \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\mathcal{X}_P) . \)
3. Under the factorization (5.1) we have \( C_{P,Q}^{T_0} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{R}(\mathcal{X}_P)} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{P,Q}^{T_0} \) for all \( Q \subset P . \)
4. Let
\[ \mathcal{H}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) = \bigcap_{Q \subset P} \ker C_{P,Q}^{T_0} \]
(and similarly for \( \mathcal{H}_{Q}^{\omega}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) \)). Then, the orthogonal projection \( p_{P}^{T_0,c} : \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) \to \mathcal{H}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) \) is given by \( \sum_{Q \subset P} (-1)^{\dim \mathcal{H}_{Q}^{R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0})} C_{P,Q}^{T_0} \).

**Proof.** First note that
\[
\| C_{P,Q}^{T_0} f \|_{\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0})}^2 = \int_{S_{P}^{T_0}} \left| \int_{U_Q(F) \setminus U_Q(\mathbb{A})} f(u g) \, du \right|^2 w_{Z_P}(g)^{-1} \, dg \\
\leq \int_{S_{P}^{T_0}} \int_{U_Q(F) \setminus U_Q(\mathbb{A})} |f(u g)|^2 \, du \, w_{Z_P}(g)^{-1} \, dg = \| f \|_{\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\omega,R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0})}^2.
\]

In particular \( C_{P,Q}^{T_0} \) is continuous. It is also clear that \( C_{P,Q}^{T_0} \) is self-adjoint. The first part follows. The second and third parts are immediate. The last part is an immediate consequence. \( \square \)

### 5.11. An auxiliary system.

Our goal is to prove Theorem 5.2.

Suppose that we are given a connected complex analytic manifold \( \mathcal{M} \), a holomorphic family \( h_s , s \in \mathcal{M} \) of smooth, compactly supported functions on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) and for each \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) an integer \( n_P \geq 0 \) and a bounded holomorphic function \( \Lambda_P : \mathcal{M} \to (\mathbf{a}_P^{\ast})^{n_P} \). Let \( C \) be a compact subset of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) such that \( \text{supp} \, h_s \subset C \) for all \( s \in \mathcal{M} \) and let \( T_0'' \) be as in Lemma 5.9.

Let \( R \gg 0 \) and \( \omega \in \mathbf{a}_{0,R}^{\ast} . \) Define
\[ \mathcal{F}_{\omega}^{T_0',\omega,R}(\hat{S}_{P}^{T_0}) . \]

Consider the holomorphic system \( \Xi_{\text{aux}}^{T_0',\omega,R}(s) , s \in \mathcal{M} \) of equations on \( f = (f_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\omega}^{T_0',\omega,R} \) given by
\[
\delta_{P}^{T_0',T_0}(h_s) f_P = f_P^{T_0} , \quad P \in \mathcal{P} , \\
\mathcal{G}_{P}^{T_0,R}(\Lambda_P(s)) r_{P}^{T_0,B} f_P = f_P^{T_0} , \quad P \in \mathcal{P} , \\
\iota_{P}^{\text{aut,}T_0} \iota_{P}^{T_0,\text{aut}} f_P = f_P , \quad P \in \mathcal{P} , \\
C_{P,Q}^{T_0} f_P = r_{Q,P}^{T_0} f_Q , \quad Q \subset P ,
\]
where \( f_P^{T_0} \) is the image of \( f_P \) under the restriction map \( \mathfrak{H}^{\varpi,R}(S_P^{T_0}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\varpi,R}(S_p^{T_0}) \). Recall that

- \( \delta_P^{T_0,T_0}(h) \) is the integral operator defined in \( \S 5.9 \)
- \( r_P^{T_0,R} \) and \( \mathcal{G}_P^{T_0,R} \) are the restriction map and section, respectively, defined in \( \S 5.8 \)
- \( t_P^{\text{aux},T_0} \) and \( p_P^{\text{aux}} \) are the closed embedding and projection, respectively, defined in \( \S 5.6 \)
- \( C_{P,Q}^{T_0} \) is the orthogonal projection of \( \S 5.10 \)
- \( r_{Q,P}^{T_0} \) is the pullback by \( \beta_{P,Q}^{T_0} \) \( \S 5.3 \).

**Proposition.** The system \( \Xi_{\text{aux}}^{T_0,\varpi,R}(s), s \in \mathcal{M} \) is locally finite for all \( R \) sufficiently large and \( \varpi \in a_{0,\geq R} \).

We will prove the proposition in \( \S 5.13 \) below.

### 5.12. Proof of Theorem 5.2

Assuming Proposition 5.11 let us explain how it implies Theorem 5.2. First, we need a standard fact concerning estimating a function by its constant terms.

**Lemma.** For every \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) let \( n_P \geq 0 \) be an integer and \( \Lambda_P \) a compact subset of \((a_{P,C})^{n_P}\).

Then, there exists \( r > 0 \) with the following property. Suppose that \( f \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{X}_G) \) is right-invariant under an open subgroup of \( G(\mathbb{A}_f) \) and for each \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) there exists \( \Lambda_P \in \Lambda_P \) such that

\[
C_P f(g) \in \mathcal{P}_{A_P}(\Lambda_P)
\]

for all \( g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \). Then, \( f \in \mathcal{F}^r(\mathcal{X}_G) \) (and in fact \( f \in \mathcal{F}_{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{X}_G) \)).

**Proof.** We prove the statement by induction on the rank of \( G \). Suppose that the statement holds for all proper Levi subgroups of \( G \). Then, \( \|C_P f\|_r < \infty \) for all \( P \not\subseteq G \) where \( r \) depends only on \( \Lambda_P, P \nsubseteq G \). Also, it follows from [14, I.4.2 and I.2.2 (viii)] that there exist \( r_0 > 0 \) and a finite set \( Y \subseteq A_G \), depending on \( \Lambda_G \), and \( c_0 > 0 \) depending only on \( G \), such that for all \( r > r_0 \) there exists a constant \( c \) such that

\[
\|f\|_{\text{cor}} \leq c \sup_{g \in G(\mathbb{A})^1, a \in Y} |f(ag)| \|g\|^{-r}.
\]

On the other hand, the function \( \sum_{P \subseteq G} (-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} C_P f} \), as well as its right translate by any \( a \in Y \), is rapidly decreasing on \( S_{T_0}^{G} \cap G(\mathbb{A})^1 \) (cf. [14, I.2.12]). The lemma follows. \( \square \)

We now deduce Theorem 5.2 from Proposition 5.11. Let \( \Xi_{\text{main}}(s) \) be the system on \( \mathcal{F}_{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{X}_G) \) defined in \( \S 5.2 \). Since the statement is local, we may assume without loss of generality that \( \mathcal{M} \) is connected, \( \Lambda_P \) is bounded on \( \mathcal{M} \) for all \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) and that there exists \( i \in I \) such that \( c_i \) is nowhere vanishing on \( \mathcal{M} \). By the lemma above, there exists \( r_1 > 0 \) such that \( \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{main}}(s)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{r_1}(\mathcal{X}_G) \) for all \( s \in \mathcal{M} \). Let \( \Xi_{\text{aux}}^{T_0,\varpi,R}(s) \) be the system considered in \( \S 5.11 \) with \( h_s = c_i(s)^{-1}h_i(s) \) for suitable \( R > 0 \) and \( \varpi \in a_{0,\geq R} \) (depending on \( r_1 \)). Let

\[
\pi_P : \mathfrak{H}^{\varpi,R}(S_p^{T_0}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}^{\varpi,R}(S_p^{T_0}), \quad P \in \mathcal{P}
\]
be the projection maps. Define a continuous embedding
\[ \iota : \mathfrak{g}^{* \dagger}(X_G) \to \mathfrak{g}^{T_0,\varpi,R}_{L} \]
by \( \pi_P(tf) = \iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'}(C_Pf) \), \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) (5.5). It is clear that \( \iota(\text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{main}}(s))) \subset \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{aux}}^{T_0,\varpi,R}(s)) \) for all \( s \in \mathcal{M} \). Indeed, if \( \phi \in \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{main}}(s)) \), then
\[ (\pi_P(t\phi))_{T_0}^{\text{aut},T_0}(C_P\phi), \]
\[ \delta_{T_0'}^{T_0}(h_i(s))\iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'}(C_P\phi) = \iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'} \delta(h_i(s))(C_P\phi) = c_i(s)\iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'}(C_P\phi), \]
\[ \mathfrak{S}_{P}^{T_0,\varpi,R}(\mu_{P}(s)) r_{P}^{T_0,B} \iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'}(C_P\phi) = \iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'}(C_P\phi), \]
\[ \iota_P^{\text{au},T_0'}(C_P\phi) = \iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'}(C_P\phi) \]
for all \( P \) and \( C_{P,Q}^{T_0,\varpi,R}(C_P\phi) = r_{Q,P}^{T_0,B} \iota_P^{\text{aut},T_0'}(C_Q\phi), \) \( Q \subset P \).

On the other hand, the proof of [13 I.2.5] shows that there exists \( r_2 \) such that \( \delta(h_i(s)) \) defines an analytic family of operators \( \mathfrak{g}_{P}^{\varpi,R}(X_G) \to \mathfrak{g}_{\text{aux}}^{T_2}(X_G) \).

By Proposition 5.11 we may assume without loss of generality that there exists finite-dimensional vector space \( L \) and an analytic family of operators \( \mu_s : L \to \mathfrak{g}_{\text{aux}}^{T_0,\varpi,R}, \) \( s \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{aux}}^{T_0,\varpi,R}(s)) \subset \mu_s(L) \) for all \( s \in \mathcal{M} \). It follows that \( \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{main}}(s)) \) is contained in the image of the operator \( \delta(h_i(s))\iota_P^{T_0,\varpi,\mu}(s) : L \to \mathfrak{g}_{\text{aux}}^{T_2}(X_G) \) which depends analytically on \( s \). Thus, \( \Xi_{\text{main}}(s) \) is locally finite, as required.

5.13. Proof of Proposition 5.11. The key assertion is the following familiar fact due to Gelfand and Piatetski-Shapiro (cf. [10 Theorem 2]). Recall the orthogonal projection \( p^{T_0,c}_P \) defined in Lemma 5.10.

Lemma. Let \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( h \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G(\mathbb{A})) \) supported in \( C \). Let \( T_0' \) be as in Lemma 3.9. Then,
\[ r_{P}^{T_0,B} \delta_{P}^{T_0,T_0'}(h) p_{P}^{T_0,\varpi} : \mathfrak{g}_{P}^{\varpi,R}(S_{P}^{T_0'}) \to \mathfrak{g}_{P}^{\varpi,R}(S_{P}^{T_0,B}) \]
is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator, and in particular compact.

Proof. Since the function \( \varpi_{P}^{1/2} \) belongs to \( \mathfrak{g}_{P}^{\varpi,R}(S_{P}^{T_0,B}) \), it is enough to show that there exists \( c > 0 \) such that for all \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_{P}^{\varpi,R}(S_{P}^{T_0'}) \)
\[ \left| \delta_{P}^{T_0,T_0'}(h) \varphi(g) \right| \leq c||\varphi|| \varpi_{P}^{1/2}(g) \varphi, \quad g \in S_{P}^{T_0,B} \]
where the norm on the right-hand side is with respect to \( \mathfrak{g}_{P}^{\varpi,R}(S_{P}^{T_0}) \). In fact, we can replace \( \varpi_{P}^{1/2}(g) \) on the right-hand side by \( e^{-(\mu,H_0(g))} \) for any \( \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{0,+}^0 \) (with \( c \) depending on \( \mu \)). This follows from combining two inequalities. The first (cf. [13 I.2.4–5]) is that there exists \( \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{0,+}^0 \) such that for any \( X \in \mathcal{U}(g_{\infty}) \) we have a constant \( c_X \) such that for all \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}_{P}^{\varpi,R}(S_{P}^{T_0}) \)
\[ \left| \delta(X) \delta_{P}^{T_0,T_0'}(h) \varphi(g) \right| \leq c_X ||\varphi|| e^{(\mu,H_0(g))}, \quad g \in S_{P}^{T_0,B}. \]
The second (cf. [14, I.2.10–11]) is that for any \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in a_n^* \) there exist \( X_1, \ldots, X_m \in U(g_\infty) \) such that for any \( \varphi \in \mathcal{F}_{c, R}(S_P^T) \)

\[
\sup_{g \in S_P^{T_0, R}} |\varphi(g)| e^{\langle \mu_1, H_0(g) \rangle} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sup_{g \in S_P^{T_0, R}} |\delta(X_i)\varphi(g)| e^{-\langle \mu_2, H_0(g) \rangle}.
\]

The lemma follows since \( \delta_P^{T_0, T_0}(h)(\mathcal{F}_{c, R}(S_P^{T_0})) \subset \mathcal{F}_{c, R}(S_P^T) \).

We can now prove Proposition 5.11. We show by induction on \( \dim P \) that for any \( \pi \in \mathcal{F}_{c, R}(S_P^T) \) the space of cuspidal automorphic forms on \( \mathcal{F}_{c, R}(S_P^T) \) is locally finite by induction hypothesis. Thus, Corollary 2.4 yields the induction step.

Finally, we combine the uniqueness result of [14] and the local finiteness result of [15] to conclude the proof of the main theorem using the principle of meromorphic continuation.

6. Conclusion of Proof – The Number Field Case

6.1. Characterization of automorphic forms. Let \( P \in \mathcal{P} \). The bilinear map \( (f, \varphi) \mapsto (f \circ H_P) \cdot \varphi \) defines a linear map

\[
C^\infty_c(a_P) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P \rightarrow C^\infty(X_P).
\]

Denote by \( \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P \) its image. Note that any function in \( \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P \) is rapidly decreasing on \( X_P \).

Thus, we have a sesquilinear form

\[
\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X_P} : \mathcal{F}_{\text{und}}(X_P) \times \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
\]

given by (4.5). We denote by \( (\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P)^\perp \subset \mathcal{F}_{\text{und}}(X_P) \) its left radical.

Let \( \Pi_P \) be the set of equivalence classes of admissible unitarizable irreducible representations of \( M(\mathbb{A}) \) whose central character is trivial on \( Z_M(F) A_P \). For any \( w \in \Omega(P, Q) \) and \( \pi \in \Pi_P \) let \( w \pi \in \Pi_P \) be the representation obtained from \( \pi \) by conjugation by \( w \).

Let \( \pi \in \Pi_P \). Denote by \( \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P, \pi \) the subspace of \( \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P \) consisting of the forms \( \phi \) such that for all \( k \in K \) the function \( m \in M(\mathbb{A}) \mapsto \delta_P^{T_0}(m) \phi(mk) \) belongs to the \( \pi \)-isotypic part of the space of cuspidal automorphic forms on \( M(F) \backslash M(\mathbb{A}) \). Let \( \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P, \pi \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}^P \) be the linear subspace spanned by \( f \circ H_P \cdot \varphi \) where \( f \) is a polynomial exponential function on \( a_P \) and
\( \varphi \in A_{P,\pi} \). Let \( \tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi} \) be the image of \( C_c^\infty(a_P) \otimes A_{P,\pi}^{cusp} \) (or equivalently, \( C_c^\infty(a_P) \otimes A^{cusp}_{P,\pi} \)) under (6.1) and let \((\tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi})^\perp \) be the perpendicular of \( \tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi} \) in \( \mathfrak{f}_{\text{cusp}}(X_P) \). Thus,

\[
\tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi} = \oplus_{\pi \in \Pi_P} A_{P,\pi}^{cusp}, \quad \tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi} = \oplus_{\pi \in \Pi_P} \tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi})^\perp = \cap_{\pi \in \Pi_P} (\tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi})^\perp.
\]

For any \( \phi \in \mathfrak{f}_{\text{cusp}}(X_G) \) and \( P \in \mathcal{P} \), we define the cuspidal support of \( \phi \) along \( P \), denoted by \( \Pi_P(\phi) \), to be the (possibly empty or infinite) set of \( \pi \in \Pi_P \) such that \( C_P \phi \notin (\tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi})^\perp \).

For any \( \lambda \in a_{0,C}^* \) and \( z \in A_P \) consider the difference operator

\[
D_{z,\lambda}^{P} \varphi = z \cdot \varphi - \epsilon^{(\lambda, H_P(z))} \varphi
\]
on functions on \( X_P \). (It depends only on the projection of \( \lambda \) to \( a_{0,C}^* \).) Note that \( D_{z,\lambda}^{P} \), \( z \in A_P, \lambda \in a_{0,C}^* \) pairwise commute. More generally, for \( \underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in (a_{0,C}^*)^n \) and \( \underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in A_P^n \) we write

\[
D_{\underline{z},\underline{\lambda}}^{P} = \prod_{i=1}^n D_{z_i,\lambda_i}^{P}.
\]

(If \( z_i = z \) for all \( i \), then we simply write \( D_{z,\lambda}^{P} \).

The following is a reformulation of [14, I.3.5].

**Lemma.** Let \( \phi \) be a \( K \)-finite function of uniform moderate growth on \( X_G \). Then, \( \phi \in A_G \) if and only if for every \( P \in \mathcal{P} \), \( \Pi_P(\phi) \) is finite and there exist an integer \( n \geq 0 \) and \( \underline{\lambda} \in (a_{0,C}^*)^n \) such that \( D_{\underline{z},\underline{\lambda}}^{P}(C_P\phi) \in (\tilde{A}_{cusp}^{P,\pi})^\perp \) for all \( \underline{z} \in A_P^n \).

6.2. **A result of Harish-Chandra.** We need a uniform version of a basic result of Harish-Chandra [3, §8].

**Lemma.** (See [14, I.4.5]) For every \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) let \( n_P \geq 0 \) be an integer and \( V_P \) a finite-dimensional subspace of \( A^{cusp}_P \). Let \( \Lambda = \oplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} (a_{0,C}^*)^{n_P} \). Then, there exists an integer \( d \geq 0 \) and for any \( \underline{\lambda} \in \Lambda \) there exist analytic functions \( a_0, \ldots, a_d : \Lambda \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( a_0(\underline{\lambda}) \neq 0 \), and a bi-\( K \)-finite function \( h \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A})), \) with the following property. Suppose that \( \phi \in A_G \) and \( \underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1^P, \ldots, \lambda_{n_P}^P) \in P \) \in \Lambda \) are such that for every \( P \in \mathcal{P} \), \( C_P^{cusp} \phi \) is of the form \( C_p^{cusp} \phi = \sum_{i=1}^{n_P} (\varphi_i)_{\lambda_i^P}^{P} \) for some \( \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{n_P} \in V_P \). Then,

\[
\sum_{i=1}^d a_i(\underline{\lambda}) \delta(h)^i \phi = a_0(\underline{\lambda}) \phi.
\]

**Remark.** Let \( C \) be a compact, bi-\( K \)-invariant neighborhood of 1 in \( G(\mathbb{A}) \). Then, in the lemma above we may choose \( h \) to be supported in \( C \) (and \( d \) is independent of \( C \)).

6.3. **Proof of first part of Theorem 1.3.** Fix \( P \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( \varphi \in A_P \).

We now describe a holomorphic, locally finite system \( \Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda) \), \( \lambda \in a_{0,C}^* \) of equations on \( \psi \in \mathfrak{f}_{\text{cusp}}(X_G) \) that admits \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \) as the unique solution for \( \text{Re}(\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \gg 0 \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P \).

For any \( Q \in \mathcal{P} \) and \( \phi \in A_Q \) let \( m(\phi) \geq 0 \) and \( \mu(\phi) \in (a_{0,C}^*)^{m(\phi)} \) be as in §4.6.

Let \( e \) be an idempotent in the algebra of \( K \)-finite functions on \( K \) (under convolution) such that \( \delta(e) \varphi = \varphi \). The first equation is

\[
(6.2a) \quad \delta(e) \psi = \psi.
\]
Next, we require that for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}$, $\Pi_Q(\psi)$ is contained in the finite set
$$\tilde{\Pi}_Q(\varphi) = \bigcup_{w \in \Omega(P;Q)} w \Pi_{P,w}(\varphi),$$
i.e.,

(6.2b) $$C_Q \psi \in (\tilde{A}_Q^{cusp})^\perp \forall \pi \in \Pi_Q \setminus \tilde{\Pi}_Q(\varphi).$$

Finally, for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ we add the non-homogenous equations

(6.2c) $$\prod_{w \in \Omega(P;Q)} D^Q_{\omega,w}(\mu(c_{P,w}^{cusp} \varphi) + \lambda)(C_Q(\psi - \varepsilon_{Q,P} \varphi)) \in (\tilde{A}_Q^{cusp})^\perp$$

for any collection $\omega, w \in A^m_Q(C_{P,w}^{cusp} \varphi)$, $w \in \Omega(P;Q) \setminus \{e\}$. Recall that $\varepsilon_{Q,P} = 1$ if $Q \subset P$ and $\varepsilon_{Q,P} = 0$ otherwise.

**Proposition.** The system $\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ is holomorphic and locally of finite type. In the region $\text{Re} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \gg 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P$, it admits $\psi = E(\varphi, \lambda)$ as its unique solution.

**Proof.** The system $\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ is clearly holomorphic.

In the region $\text{Re} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \gg 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P$, the Eisenstein series $\psi = E(\varphi, \lambda)$ clearly satisfies (6.2a), while the equations (6.2b) and (6.2c) follow from (4.11) and (4.12) respectively.

Suppose that $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda))$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*$. The equation (6.2a) implies that $\psi$ is $K$-finite. The equations (6.2c) imply that for every $Q \in \mathcal{P}$

(6.3) $$\prod_{w \in \Omega(P;Q)} D^Q_{\omega,w}(\mu(c_{P,w}^{cusp} \varphi) + \lambda)(C_Q \psi) \in (\tilde{A}_Q^{cusp})^\perp$$

for any collection $\omega, w \in A^m_Q(C_{P,w}^{cusp} \varphi)$, $w \in \Omega(P;Q)$. Thus, by Lemma 6.1 and the equations (6.2b), $\psi$ is an automorphic form. Hence, the equation (6.2c) is now equivalent to (4.14). It follows from (4.15) that $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ is the unique solution of $\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ provided that $\text{Re} \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \gg 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta_P$.

It remains to show that the system $\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ is locally of finite type. We will show that the solutions of $\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda)$ satisfy a system of the type considered in (3.2). First, by (6.3) and (4.8), for any $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda))$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*$ and $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$\prod_{Q' \subset Q} \prod_{w \in \Omega(P;Q')} D^Q_{\omega,w}(\mu(c_{P,w}^{cusp} \varphi) + \lambda)(C_Q \psi) \equiv 0$$

for any collection $\omega, Q' \in A^m_Q(C_{P,w}^{cusp} \varphi)$, $Q' \subset Q$, $w \in \Omega(P;Q')$. Next, we claim that there exists a family of pairs $(h_i, c_i)$, $i \in I$ consisting of a holomorphic family $h_i(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*$ of smooth, compactly supported functions on $G(\mathbb{A})$ (which are in fact bi-$K$-finite), and a holomorphic function $c_i : \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

1. $\delta(h_i(\lambda)) \psi = c_i(\lambda) \psi$ for any $\psi \in \text{Sol}(\Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda))$ and $i \in I$.
2. For any $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}_{P,C}^*$ there exists $i \in I$ such that $c_i(\lambda_0) \neq 0$. 
Indeed, for every \( Q \in \mathcal{P} \) let
\[
n_Q = \sum_{w \in \Omega(P,Q)} m(C_{P,w}^{\text{cusp}} \varphi), \quad Q \in \mathcal{P},
\]
and let \( V_Q \subset A_Q^{\text{cusp}} \) be the finite-dimensional vector space spanned by the functions of the form \( g \mapsto f(H_Q(g))\varphi'(g) \) where \( f \) is a polynomial on \( a_Q \) of degree \( < n_Q \) and \( \varphi' \in \oplus_{\pi \in \Pi_Q(\varphi)} A_Q^{\text{cusp}, \pi} \) with \( \delta(e)\varphi' = \varphi' \). Then, the claim above follows from Lemma 6.2 by taking
\[
\lambda = (\sum_{w \in \Omega(P,Q)} w(\mu(C_{P,w}^{\text{cusp}} \varphi) + \lambda))_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} \in \oplus_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} (a_Q^*)^{n_Q}.
\]

Hence, by Theorem 5.2, the system \( \Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda) \) is locally of finite type, as required. \( \square \)

We can therefore invoke the principle of meromorphic continuation (Theorem 2.3) to the system \( \Xi_{\text{fin}}(\lambda) \) to conclude the meromorphic continuation of \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \), i.e., the first part of Theorem 1.3.

6.4. Proof of second part of Theorem 1.3. Let \( P \in \mathcal{P} \). Denote by \( \mathfrak{D}_P \) the set of data
\[
\mathfrak{d} = (n_Q, \Delta_Q, \Sigma_Q)_{Q \subset P}
\]
consisting of an integer \( n_Q \geq 0 \), a tuple \( \Delta_Q \in (a_Q^*)^{n_Q} \) and a finite subset \( \Sigma_Q \) of \( \Pi_Q \) for each \( Q \subset P \).

For each such \( \mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_P \) let \( A_P^{\mathfrak{d}} \) be the subspace of \( A_P \) consisting of the forms \( \phi \) such that \( C_Q^{\text{cusp}} \phi \in \oplus_{\pi \in \Sigma_Q} A_Q^{\text{cusp}, \pi} \cap A_Q(\Delta_Q) \) for every \( Q \subset P \). Note that by (4.8) we have \( C_Q \phi \in A_Q(\bigvee_{Q' \subset Q} \Delta_{Q'}) \) for all \( \phi \in A_P^{\mathfrak{d}} \) and \( Q \subset P \).

If \( w \in \Omega(P,Q) \), then \( w \) induces an obvious bijection, denoted \( \mathfrak{d} \mapsto w \mathfrak{d} \), between \( \mathfrak{D}_P \) and \( \mathfrak{D}_Q \). (After all, \( \mathfrak{D}_P \) descends to \( M \).)

Fix \( \mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_P \) and an idempotent \( e \) in the algebra of \( K \)-finite functions on \( K \). Let \( A_P^{\mathfrak{d}, e} \) be the finite-dimensional space of forms \( \phi \in A_P^{\mathfrak{d}} \) such that \( \delta(e)\phi = \phi \).

**Proposition.** For any \( w \in \Omega(P,Q) \) the intertwining operator \( M(w, \lambda) \) extends to a meromorphic function in \( \lambda \in a_F^\circ \) with values in the finite-dimensional space \( \text{Hom}_C(A_P^{\mathfrak{d}, e}, A_Q^{w, e}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \varphi \in A_P^{\mathfrak{d}} \) and consider the constant term \( C_Q E(\varphi, \lambda) \) given by (4.11). For any \( w' \in Q \Omega_P \) we have
\[
C_{P,w'} \varphi \in A_{P,w'}(\bigvee_{P' \subset P,w} \Delta_{P'})
\]
and hence
\[
E^Q(M(w', \lambda)(C_{P,w'} \varphi), w' \lambda) \in A_Q(\bigvee_{P' \subset P,w} w'(\Delta_{P'} + \lambda)).
\]

Fix a regular element \( a \) of \( A_Q \) (i.e., such that \( \langle \beta, H_Q(a) \rangle \neq 0 \) for all \( \beta \in \Phi_Q \)) and consider the difference operator
\[
D(\lambda) = \prod_{w' \in Q \Omega_P \setminus \{w\}, P' \subset P,w'} D_{a_Q}^{w'(\Delta_{P'} + \lambda) - w \lambda}.
\]

Then, for any \( w' \in Q \Omega_P \setminus \{w\} \)
\[
D(\lambda)(E^Q(M(w', \lambda)(C_{P,w'} \varphi), w' \lambda - w \lambda)) \equiv 0
\]
and hence by (4.10) we have
\[ D(\lambda)((C_Q E(\varphi, \lambda))_{w,\lambda}) = D(\lambda)(M(w, \lambda)\varphi). \]

On the other hand, it is easy to see that since \( a \) is regular, \( w'^{-1}a \notin w^{-1}a + a_0^P \) for every \( w' \in Q\Omega_P \setminus \{w\} \). Hence, the restriction \( \tilde{D}(\lambda) \) of \( D(\lambda) \) to \( A^0_Q \) is invertible for generic \( \lambda \in a_{P,C}^* \) (namely, outside finitely many root affine hyperplanes). Thus,
\[ M(w, \lambda)\varphi = \tilde{D}(\lambda)^{-1}(D(\lambda)((C_Q E(\varphi, \lambda))_{w,\lambda})) \]
and this provides meromorphic continuation of \( M(w, \lambda) \). \( \square \)

**Remark.** For \( Q = P \) and \( w = e \), the argument above shows that
\[(6.4) \quad \text{the operator } \varphi \in A_P^{0,e} \mapsto E(\varphi, \lambda) \text{ is injective for generic } \lambda \in a_{P,C}^*. \]

6.5. **Proof of remaining parts of Theorem 1.3.** Let \( w' \in W(P,P') \) and suppose that \( \text{Re} \langle w'\lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \gg 0 \) for all \( \alpha \in \Delta_{P'} \). Then, on the one hand, by Proposition 4.8 we have
\[ \mathcal{L}(E(M(w', \lambda)\varphi, w'\lambda)) = \mathcal{T}^{\text{cusp}}_{P'}((M(w', \lambda)\varphi)_{w'\lambda}). \]

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.7 (applied to \( w'\lambda \) and \( ww'^{-1} \in \Omega(P'; Q) \)) and (4.13) we have
\[ \mathcal{L}(E(\varphi, \lambda)) = \mathcal{T}^{\text{cusp}}_{P'}((M(w', \lambda)\varphi)_{w'\lambda}). \]

Therefore, the functional equation \( E(M(w', \lambda)\varphi, w'\lambda) = E(\varphi, \lambda) \) follows from Theorem 1.3.

Finally, if \( w \in \Omega(P, P') \) and \( w' \in \Omega(P', P'') \), then
\[ E(M(w'w, \lambda)\varphi, w'w\lambda) = E(\varphi, \lambda) = E(M(w, \lambda)\varphi, w\lambda) = E(M(w', w\lambda)M(w, \lambda)\varphi, w'w\lambda). \]

Thus, \( M(w'w, \lambda) = M(w', w\lambda)M(w, \lambda) \) by (6.4).

Note that if \( w \) is an elementary symmetry \( s_\alpha \) for some \( \alpha \in \Delta_P \), then as a function of \( \lambda \in a_{P,C}^* \), \( M(w, \lambda) \) depends only on \( \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \). In general, by decomposing \( w \) into elementary symmetries [14] I.1.8 and using the multiplicativity of intertwining operators, it follows that the singularities of \( M(w, \lambda) \) are of the form \( \langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle = c \) for some \( \beta \in \Phi_P \) such that \( w\beta < 0 \) and \( c \in \mathbb{C} \).

On the other hand, the singularities of \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \) are precisely those of its cuspidal components [14] I.4.10]. It follows from (4.11) that the singularities of \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \) are also along root affine hyperplanes. \footnote{Note however, that this argument by itself does not imply that \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \) is holomorphic on \( i a_P^* \), in case \( \varphi \in A_P^0 \), since the cuspidal components of \( E(\varphi, \lambda) \) involve intertwining operators applied to the cuspidal components of \( \varphi \) rather than \( \varphi \) itself.}

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3 in the number field case. In fact, the proof shows the following (ostensibly) slightly stronger statement.

**Corollary.** For any bounded open subset \( U \) of \( a_{P,C}^* \), there exists \( r > 0 \) such that \( \lambda \mapsto E(\varphi, \lambda) \) is a meromorphic function on \( U \), with singularities along finitely many root affine
hyperplanes, into the Fréchet space $\mathfrak{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(X_G)$. Thus, for any $\lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{a}^*_P,\mathcal{C}$ there exist $r > 0$ and an integer $k \geq 0$ such that around $\lambda_0$

\[
\left( \prod_{\beta \in \Phi_P} (\lambda - \lambda_0, \beta^\vee)^k \right) E(\varphi, \lambda)
\]

admits a convergent power series expansion in $\mathfrak{F}^r_{\text{sm}}(X_G)$.

7. The function field case

Finally, we prove Theorem 1.3 in the case where $F$ is a function field.

7.1. We first need to slightly modify some of the notation used in the previous sections.

Recall that $S_0$ is the maximal $F$-split torus in the center of $M_0$. Identify $S_0$ with $\mathbb{G}_m^d$, where $d = \dim S_0$. As in [14, I.2.1], fix once and for all a place $v_0$ of $F$ and a uniformizer $\varpi$ of $F_{v_0}$ and let $A_0$ be the image of $(\varpi^Z)^d$ in $S_0(F_{v_0})$. Then, $A_0$ is a $\Omega$-invariant lattice of rank $d$.

Now let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. We set $A_P = A_0 \cap S_M(\mathbb{A})$. Note that the decomposition (4.2) does not necessarily hold. However, the group $H_P(G(\mathbb{A})) = H_P(M(\mathbb{A}))$ is a lattice in $\mathfrak{a}_P$ [14, I.1.4]. Let $L_P$ be $2\pi i$ times the dual lattice of $H_P(M(\mathbb{A}))$ in $\mathfrak{a}_P$. Thus, $L_P$ is a lattice in $i\mathfrak{a}_P$. The subgroup $H_P(A_P) \subset H_P(M(\mathbb{A}))$ is of finite index, hence also a lattice in $\mathfrak{a}_P$. Let $\tilde{L}_P$ be $2\pi i$ times the dual lattice of $H_P(A_P)$ in $\mathfrak{a}_P$. Thus, $L_P$ is a finite index subgroup of $\tilde{L}_P$. Note that $\tilde{L}_P$, unlike $L_P$, depends on the choice of $v_0$ but this will not be very important.

The quotient $X_P = \mathfrak{a}_P^*/L_P$ is isomorphic to the group of quasi-characters of the lattice $M(\mathbb{A})/M(\mathbb{A})^1 \simeq H_P(M(\mathbb{A}))$. It is a complex algebraic variety isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{\dim \mathfrak{a}_P}$. Similarly for $\tilde{X}_P = \mathfrak{a}_P^*/\tilde{L}_P$, which is isomorphic to the group of quasi-characters of $A_P$.

We have an algebraic covering map $X_P \to \tilde{X}_P$, corresponding to restriction of quasi-characters. Clearly, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*$, the function $\varphi_\lambda$ depends only on the image of $\lambda$ in $X_P$. Note that the map $\text{Re} : \tilde{X}_P \to \mathfrak{a}_P$ is well defined.

Next, we turn to the results of [14]. The decompositions (4.3) and (4.4) are now over $\lambda \in \tilde{X}_P$ instead of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_P^*$. Likewise, the set of cuspidal exponents with respect to $P$ is a subset of $\tilde{X}_P$ rather than $\mathfrak{a}_P^*$. In [4,6] the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A},P}(\lambda), \lambda \in (\mathfrak{a}_P^*)^n$, and consequently $\mathcal{A}_P(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{P,\text{cusp}}(\lambda)$, depend only on the image of each coordinate of $\lambda$ in $\tilde{X}_P$. Hence, $m = m(\phi)$ and $\mu(\phi) \in \tilde{X}_P$ are well-defined (up to permutation). With these conventions, the results of [14] continue to hold.

As far as the space $\mathcal{A}_{P,\text{cusp}}$ is defined exactly the same, but now it consists of compactly supported functions on $\tilde{X}_P$, because cusp forms are compactly supported modulo the center in the function field case [14, I.2.9]. The annihilator $(\mathcal{A}_{P,\text{cusp}})^\perp$ is taken in the space of all functions on $\tilde{X}_P$ that are right invariant under some open subgroup of $G(\mathbb{A})$ (smooth functions) – there is no need to restrict to functions of moderate growth. The operators $D_{z,\lambda}^{P,\mathbb{A}}, z \in A_P$ depend only on the image of $\lambda$ in $\tilde{X}_P$. Similarly for $D_{\mathbb{A},\mathbb{A}}^{P,\mathbb{A}}$.

Lemma 6.1 simplifies in the function field case to the following statement which is proved as in [14] I.3.5–6].
**Proposition.** A smooth function $\phi$ on $\mathcal{X}_G$ is automorphic if and only if for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist an integer $n \geq 0$ and $\underline{\lambda} \in (\tilde{X}_P)^n$ such that $D_{\underline{z}}^P \mathcal{A}(C_P \phi) \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp})^\perp$ for all $\underline{z} \in \tilde{A}^n_P$.

7.2. For any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ let $C^\text{sm}(\mathcal{X}_P)$ be the space of smooth functions on $\mathcal{X}_P$ and let $C^\text{sm}_c(\mathcal{X}_P)$ be the subspace of compactly supported functions. We can identify $C^\text{sm}(\mathcal{X}_P)$ with the smooth part of the dual of $C^\text{sm}_c(\mathcal{X}_P)$ by the pairing

$$(\psi, \phi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_P} \psi(g) \phi(g) \, dg, \; \psi \in C^\text{sm}(\mathcal{X}_P), \phi \in C^\text{sm}(\mathcal{X}_P).$$

For any $\phi \in C^\text{sm}_c(\mathcal{X}_P)$ and $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ the sum

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}(F) \backslash G(F)} \phi(\gamma g)$$

has only finitely many non-zero terms and it gives rise to a $G(\mathbb{A})$-equivariant linear map

$$\theta_P : C^\text{sm}_c(\mathcal{X}_G) \to C^\text{sm}_c(\mathcal{X}_G)$$

whose dual is the constant term map

$$C_P : C^\text{sm}(\mathcal{X}_G) \to C^\text{sm}(\mathcal{X}_P).$$

Moreover, by the argument of [13, II.1.12]

$$C^\text{sm}_c(\mathcal{X}_G) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \theta_P(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp}_P).$$

Equivalently, a function $\phi \in C^\text{sm}(\mathcal{X}_G)$ is identically 0 if and only if $C_P \phi \in (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp}_P)^\perp$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ (cf. [13, I.3.4]).

For any compact open subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ denote by $C_c(\mathcal{X}_G)^K$ the space of compactly supported right $K$-invariant functions on $\mathcal{X}_G$, i.e., the $K$-fixed part of $C^\text{sm}_c(\mathcal{X}_G)$, and by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp,K}_P$ be the $K$-fixed part of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp}_P$. Then,

$$(7.1) \quad C_c(\mathcal{X}_G)^K = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \theta_P(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp,K}_P).$$

We will need another simple fact.

**Lemma.** For any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, an integer $n \geq 0$ and a compact open subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ there exists a finite subset $B \subset G(\mathbb{A})$ such that for any $\underline{\lambda} \in \tilde{X}_P^n$, the restriction map $\phi \mapsto \phi|_B$ is injective on the $K$-invariant part of $\mathcal{A}^\text{cusp}_P(\underline{\lambda})$. Dually, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $U$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp,K}_P$ such that for any $\underline{\lambda} \in \tilde{X}_P^n$ we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp,K}_P = U + \sum_{\underline{z} \in \tilde{A}^n_P} D_{\underline{z}}^P A(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\text{cusp,K}_P).$$

Indeed, the lemma immediately reduces to the analogous statement about functions on the lattice $A_P$, which is elementary.

By (7.1), we conclude
Corollary. For any compact open subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and an integer $n \geq 0$ there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $U$ of $C_c(X_G)^K$ such that

$$
C_c(X_G)^K = U + \sum_{P \in P, \mathfrak{a} \in A^*_P} \theta_P(D^P_\mathfrak{a}(\tilde{A}^\text{cusp,K})).
$$

7.3. We state an algebraic version of the principle of meromorphic continuation, which has already been used many times in the literature (see [7, p. 127] or [1, §1]).

We first introduce some terminology. Let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, $V^*$ its dual space, $D$ an affine variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}[D]$ its ring of regular functions. Let $V[D] = V \otimes \mathbb{C}[D]$. For any $\lambda \in D$, the evaluation at $\lambda$ homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[D] \to \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to a linear map $V[D] \to V$ which we denote by $\mu \mapsto \mu(\lambda)$.

A regular family $\Xi$ of linear systems of equations on $V^*$ is a family of elements $\mu_i \in V[D]$, $\nu_i \in \mathbb{C}[D]$, $i \in I$. For each $\lambda \in D$ it gives rise to a linear system of equations $\Xi(\lambda)$ on $v^* \in V^*$ given by

$$
\langle v^*, \mu_i(\lambda) \rangle = \nu_i(\lambda), \quad i \in I.
$$

Theorem. In the above setup, suppose that $V$ has countable dimension and $D$ is irreducible. Let $\mathbb{C}(D)$ be the field of fractions of $\mathbb{C}[D]$. Suppose that we are given a regular family of linear systems $\Xi$ of equations on $V^*$ as above. Assume that there exists a non-empty, open (in the Hausdorff topology) subset $D'$ of $D$ such that for all $\lambda \in D'$ the system $\Xi(\lambda)$ has a unique solution. Then, there exists a unique element

$$
A \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[D]}(V[D], \mathbb{C}(D)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C}(D))
$$

such that $A(\mu_i) = \nu_i$ for all $i \in I$. Moreover, for all $\lambda \in D$ outside the union of countably many hypersurfaces, $Av \in \mathbb{C}(D)$ is regular in $\lambda$ for all $v \in V$ and $(v \mapsto Av(\lambda)) \in V^*$ is the unique solution of $\Xi(\lambda)$.

7.4. Let $\varphi \in A_P$. Fix a compact open subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\varphi$ is right $K$-invariant. Using Theorem 7.3 we prove that the Eisenstein series $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ is a rational function on $\lambda \in X_P$.

Consider the space $V = C_c(X_G)^K$ and its dual space $V^*$ of all right $K$-invariant functions on $X_G$. The system $\Xi(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in X_P$ consisting of the linear equations (6.22) is a regular family of linear systems on $V^*$, since $A^\text{cusp}_G$ consists of compactly supported functions.

Any solution of $\Xi(\lambda)$ satisfies (6.3) and hence, by Proposition 7.1 it is an automorphic form. As in the number field case, by (4.15) $E(\varphi, \lambda)$ is the unique solution of $\Xi(\lambda)$ in the regime $\text{Re} \left< \lambda, \alpha^\vee \right> \gg 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_P$. We deduce from Theorem 7.3 that for any $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$ the function $E(g, \varphi, \lambda)$ is a rational function on $X_P$. (Note that we do not need to use the equations (6.25) or (5), the local finiteness part.)

We claim that in fact there exists a polynomial $p$ on $X_P$ such that $p(\lambda)E(g, \varphi, \lambda)$ is a polynomial on $X_P$ for all $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$.

Indeed, by (6.3), we have

$$
(E(\varphi, \lambda), \theta_Q \phi)_{X_G} = 0
$$
for any $Q \in \mathcal{P}$,
\[
\phi \in \prod_{w \in \Omega(P;Q)} D_{\mathcal{L}_w}^{Q,w}(\mu(C^\text{cusp}_w \varphi)+\lambda)(\tilde{A}_Q^\text{cusp})
\]
and any collection $z_w \in \tilde{A}_Q^m(C^\text{cusp}_w \varphi)$, $w \in \Omega(P;Q)$. Hence, by Corollary 7.2, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $U$ of $V$ such that if $p$ is a polynomial on $X_F$ such that $p(\lambda)(E(\varphi, \lambda), \phi)_{\lambda_F}$ is a polynomial on $X_F$ for all $\phi \in U$, then $p(\lambda)(E(\varphi, \lambda), \phi)_{\lambda_F}$ is a polynomial on $X_F$ for all $\phi \in V$.

7.5. Finally, the rationality of the intertwining operators, the functional equations and the other statements of Theorem 1.3 are deduced exactly as in the number field case. More precisely, fix an open subgroup $K$ of $G(\mathbb{A})$. For any $P \in \mathcal{P}$ denote by $\mathfrak{D}_P$ the set of data
\[
\mathfrak{d} = (n_Q, \Delta_Q)_{Q \subset P}
\]
consisting of an integer $n_Q \geq 0$ and a tuple $\Delta_Q \in (\check{X}_Q)^{n_Q}$ for each $Q \subset P$.

For each such $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_P$ let $A^0_P^K$ be the subspace of $A_P$ consisting of the right $K$-invariant forms $\phi$ such that $C^\text{cusp}_Q \phi \in A^\text{cusp}_Q(\Delta_Q)$ for every $Q \subset P$.

**Proposition.** For any $w \in \Omega(P, Q)$ and $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{D}_P$ the intertwining operator $M(w, \lambda)$ is a rational function in $\lambda \in X_F$ with values in the finite-dimensional vector space $\text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(A^0_P^K, A^\varphi_Q^{0,K})$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Appendix A. Proof of Principle of Meromorphic Continuation (Theorem 2.3)**

We may write $\Xi(s)$ equivalently as
\[
\langle \nu, [\mu_i(s)]v \rangle = \langle \nu, c_i(s) \rangle, \quad i \in I, \quad \nu \in \mathfrak{e}'_i.
\]
Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathfrak{e}_i = \mathbb{C}$ for all $i \in I$. Hence, $\mu_i(s), s \in \mathcal{M}$ is an analytic family of continuous linear functionals on $\mathfrak{e}'$ (with respect to the weak topology on $\mathfrak{e}'$) and $c_i$ is a scalar-valued analytic function on $\mathcal{M}$.

We will show that for every $s_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}_{\text{unq}}}^\circ$ there exists an open, connected neighborhood $W$ of $s_0$ in $\mathcal{M}$, a non-zero holomorphic function $f$ on $W$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{unq}} \supset W_f := \{s \in W : f(s) \neq 0\}$, and a holomorphic function $u : W \to \mathfrak{e}$ such that $u(s) = f(s)v(s)$ for all $s \in W_f$ (and in particular, $v$ is holomorphic on $W_f$). It would then follow, in particular, that $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\text{unq}}}^\circ$ is open, and hence (since $\mathcal{M}$ is connected) $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{\text{unq}}} = \mathcal{M}$. We then take $U$ to be the union of $W_f$ as we vary over all $s_0 \in \mathcal{M}$.

By assumption, there exist an open neighborhood $W$ of $s_0$, a finite-dimensional vector space $L$ and an analytic family of operators $\lambda_s : L \to \mathfrak{e}$, $s \in W$ such that $\text{Sol}(\Xi(s)) \subset \text{Im} \lambda_s$ for all $s \in W$. We may assume of course that $W$ is connected. (We recall that in this case, $W_f$ is connected for any non-zero holomorphic function $f$ on $W$.) Let $s_1 \in W$ be such that $k = \dim \text{Im} \lambda_{s_1}$ is maximal, and let $L_0$ be a $k$-dimensional subspace of $L$ such that $\text{Im} \lambda_{s_1} = \lambda_{s_1}(L_0)$. Choose a basis $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k$ of $L_0$ and let $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_k \in \mathfrak{e}'$ be such that $\det(\langle \nu_i, \lambda_{s_1}(\xi_j) \rangle)_{i,j=1,\ldots,k} \neq 0$. (These exist by the Hahn–Banach Theorem.)
Let $D_1$ be the analytic function on $W$ given by $D_1(s) = \det(\langle \mu_i, \lambda_s(\xi_j) \rangle)_{i,j=1,\ldots,k}$ and let $W_1 = \{s \in W : D_1(s) \neq 0\}$. Then, $s_1 \in W_1$, and if $s \in W_1$, then $\lambda_s|_{L_0}$ is injective and hence, by maximality of $k$, $\lambda_s(L_0) = \lambda_s(L) \supset \text{Sol}(\Xi(s))$.

Let $\hat{\Xi}(s)$, $s \in W$ be the analytic system on $L_0$ obtained from $\Xi(s)$ by precomposing with $\lambda_s$. Since $\lambda_s(L_0) \supset \text{Sol}(\Xi(s))$ for all $s \in W_1$, we have

$$\text{(A.1)} \quad \text{Sol}(\Xi(s)) = \lambda_s(\text{Sol}(\hat{\Xi}(s))), \quad s \in W_1.$$ 

In particular, for $s \in W_1 \cap M_{unq}$, $\text{Sol}(\hat{\Xi}(s)) = \{\hat{v}(s)\}$ is unique and $v(s) = \lambda_s(\hat{v}(s))$. Note that $W_1 \cap M_{unq} \neq \emptyset$ since $W_1$ is dense in $W$ and $W \cap M_{unq} \neq \emptyset$. Fix $s_2 \in W_1 \cap M_{unq}$. We can extract from $\hat{\Xi}$ a subsystem $\tilde{\Xi}$ consisting of $k$ equations (with indices $n_1, \ldots, n_k \in I$, say) such that $\tilde{\Xi}(s_2)$ admits $\hat{v}(s_2)$ as its unique solution. Let $D_2(s) = \det(\langle \mu_{n_i}(s), \lambda_s(\xi_j) \rangle)_{i,j=1,\ldots,k}$ be the determinant of the coefficients of the system $\tilde{\Xi}(s)$, $s \in W$. Let $W_2 = \{s \in W : D_2(s) \neq 0\}$. Then, $s_2 \in W_2$, and if $s \in W_2$, then $\text{Sol}(\hat{\Xi}(s)) = \{\hat{v}(s)\}$ is unique. A fortiori, $\text{Sol}(\tilde{\Xi}(s)) \supset \{\hat{v}(s)\}$ for all $s \in W_2$. Thus, $\hat{v}(s) = \hat{v}(s)$ for all $s \in W_1 \cap W_2 \cap M_{unq}$. Moreover, by Cramer’s rule $D_2(s)\hat{v}(s)$ is holomorphic on $W$, and in particular $\hat{v}(s)$ is holomorphic on $W_2$. Since $W_1 \cap W_2 \cap M_{unq}$ is open and nonempty, $\hat{v}(s) \in \text{Sol}(\hat{\Xi}(s))$ for all $s \in W_2$. Thus, $\text{Sol}(\hat{\Xi}(s)) = \{\hat{v}(s)\}$ for all $s \in W_2$. It follows from (A.1) that $M_{unq} \supset W_1 \cap W_2$ and $v(s) = \lambda_s(\hat{v}(s))$ for any $s \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Thus, $\lambda_s(D_2(s)\hat{v}(s))$ is holomorphic on $W$ and coincides with $D_2(s)v(s)$ on $W_1 \cap W_2$. Our claim follows by taking $f = D_1D_2$. \(\square\)

We thank Hervé Jacquet for his comments on the appendix.
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