Quantum droplets in a dipolar Bose gas at a dimensional crossover
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We study the beyond-mean-field corrections to the energy of a dipolar Bose gas confined to two dimensions by a box potential with dipoles oriented in plane. At a critical strength of the dipolar interaction the system becomes unstable on the mean field level. We find that the ground state of the gas is strongly influenced by the corrections, leading to formation of a self-bound droplet, in analogy to the free space case. Properties of the droplet state can be found by minimizing the extended Gross-Pitaevskii energy functional. In the limit of strong confinement we show analytically that the correction can be interpreted as an effective three-body repulsion which stabilizes the gas at finite density.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultracold dipolar gases have been attracting great attention in recent years. In these systems, long-range anisotropic dipole-dipole interaction gives rise to a plethora of novel effects which makes them useful for various applications in quantum simulation [1]. Different aspects of such systems can be studied using a variety of experimental platforms including magnetic atoms [2, 3], polar molecules [4] and Rydberg atoms [5], allowing to access different regimes of interaction strength, geometry, particle number and quantum statistics. On the mean field level, a dilute gas of dipolar bosons can become unstable towards a collapse caused by partially attractive nature of the interaction [3]. This can be seen also in the excitation spectrum as the Bogoliubov mode frequencies become imaginary. Depending on the external confinement, the instability can be tuned to occur at different values of the dipolar interaction strength and change its character.

The development of experimental techniques allowing to produce Bose condensed clouds of highly magnetic lanthanide atoms such as erbium and dysprosium [6, 7] has led to rapid development of the field. Most notably, the experiments highlighted the role of beyond-mean-field effects for the dynamics of the gas [8–12]. It turns out that close to the instability, the mean field contribution to the energy of the gas vanishes and the beyond-mean-field corrections, which typically have higher power-law dependence on the density and are negligible, become important. The positive correction to the chemical potential can be interpreted as a source of effective repulsion in the gas. This results in formation of a long-lived finite size droplet with liquid properties. This kind of quantum droplet was originally suggested to occur in Bose-Bose mixtures [13, 14], and was later observed in such systems as well [15, 16].

The discovery of quantum droplets resulted in renewed theoretical interest in calculations of the beyond-mean-field corrections, pioneered already many years ago [17–20]. For dilute Bose gas with short-range interactions the first results have been provided by Lee, Huang and Yang (LHY) [17]. For the case of dipolar interactions in free space, the correction turns out to have the same dependence on the density of the gas, but its magnitude is enhanced [21]. The presence of external confining potential enriches the problem as the system dimensionality can become effectively reduced, which modifies the functional form of the beyond mean field terms [22, 23]. For anisotropic interactions, one can also tune the relative orientation between the dipoles and trap geometry. This can lead to a qualitative change in the excitation spectrum which can develop a roton mode [24–28]. Switching from low momentum phonon instability to the finite momentum roton one completely changes the physics of the gas. As demonstrated recently, by carefully tuning the parameters it is possible to change the ground state of the system from a single droplet to an array of droplets which are phase-coherent [29–32], featuring broken translational symmetry along with superfluid order and thereby having the properties of a supersolid [33–35].

Theoretical studies of the droplet physics were so far largely restricted to solving an extended Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation with an additional term accounting for the LHY correction [9, 36, 37] taken from free-space three-dimensional calculation [21]. The validity of this approach relies on the local density approximation (LDA), whereas external confinement is known to modify the beyond-mean-field corrections [38–41]. Predictions of the extended GP equation have so far been rather successful in interpreting the experimental results, and have been to some extent supported by Monte Carlo calculations [42–44]. The aim of this paper is to rigorously study the LHY term in a confined dipolar system, and to provide the form of the LHY correction for an effectively two-dimensional system, as well as to check the validity of LDA. The calculation can be performed mostly analytically provided that the confining potential is assumed to be of a box type with periodic boundary conditions.
This work is structured as follows. In Section II, we introduce the system and discuss the effective dipolar interaction. Section III presents the calculation of the LHY correction for the considered system with detailed description given in Appendix C. In Section IV, we show that the calculated correction can give rise to formation of droplets. Conclusions are drawn in Section V.

II. HAMILTONIAN AND THE EFFECTIVE INTERACTION

The system under study is an ultradilute gas of polarized bosonic dipoles confined in a highly anisotropic trap. For simplicity, we consider the external trapping potential as a rectangular box with periodic boundary conditions, which allows us to treat the system as homogeneous in all directions including the tightly confined one. We assume that the system forms a Bose-Einstein condensate, and study its properties using the standard Bogoliubov method. The quasiparticle energies are given by \( \varepsilon(k) = \sqrt{E_k(E_k + 2ugv(k))} \) where \( g\nu(k) \) is the Fourier transform of the interaction potential and \( n \) is the density of the system. We choose the coordinate system so that in \( x \) and \( y \) directions the box is very large (eventually infinite) and has length \( L \) in the remaining \( z \) direction. Therefore, the \( z \) component of the wavevector \( k \) is quantized, i.e., \( k_z = \frac{2\pi}{L} n_z \) where \( n_z \) is an integer.

To proceed, we first focus on the interaction potential \( v(k) \). The bare potential consists of two parts. The first is the anisotropic long range dipole-dipole interaction, and the second is the strong, short-range potential which we assume to be isotropic and dominating over the dipolar part at small distances. However, as long as the low energy and large distances are considered, the pseudopotential of the following form can be used [45, 46]:

\[
fv(r) = g \left[ \delta(r) + \frac{3\epsilon_{dd}}{4\pi r^3} \left( 1 - 3(e_r \cdot e)^2 \right) \right].
\]

Here \( \epsilon_{dd} \) parametrizes the relative strength of the dipolar part of the interaction with respect to the short-range one and the interaction strength \( g \) is connected to the scattering length of the total potential \( a \) and atomic mass \( m \) by \( g = \frac{\pi a^2}{m} \). In addition \( e_r = r/|r| \) and \( e \) denotes the polarization direction. Since the particles are confined in one direction, the Fourier transform is given by

\[
v(k) = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dz \int_{d} dr e^{-ikr} v(r),
\]

where \( r_\perp = x e_x + y e_y \), and thus we obtain a different result from the purely three dimensional case. Denoting the vector \( q = kL/2\pi \), of which the \( z \) component is an integer due to periodic boundary conditions in that direction, we obtain the following analytical form of the potential:

\[
v(q) = 1 + \epsilon_{dd} \left\{ 3\frac{q_z^2 \sin^2 \theta + q_x q_z \sin 2\theta - q_z^2 \cos^2 \theta}{q^2} \left[ 1 - (e^{-iq_z^2} - 3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \right] \right\},
\]

where \( q_\perp = \sqrt{q_x^2 + q_y^2} \), and we assume, without the loss of generality, the dipoles to be oriented within the \( x-z \) plane. Here, \( \theta \) is the angle between the polarization direction and the \( z \)-axis, see Fig. 1. Since the dipole-dipole potential scales as \( 1/r^3 \) with the distance, some caution needs to be taken at the two limiting cases: \( r = 0 \) and \( r \to \infty \). We discuss this issue in Appendix A.

We now move on to the analysis of the Bogoliubov modes with the potential \( v(q) \) from Eq. (3). The phonon instability occurs if the energies of the modes \( \varepsilon(q) \) in the \( q \to 0 \) limit become imaginary, which happens for \( v(q) < 0 \). The critical point can therefore be determined from the condition \( v(q) = 0 \). Analyzing Eq. (3) one can see that for \( \epsilon_{dd} = 1 \) this happens for \( \theta = \pi/2 \) and \( q_z = q_y = 0 \) with \( q_x \to 0 \). Other tilting angles would require stronger dipolar interaction to induce the instability. In other words, the role of the attractive part of dipolar interactions is the largest when the dipoles are oriented in the \( x-y \) plane. Therefore, in the following, we consider the case \( \theta = \pi/2 \). In such a case, the Fourier transform of the potential reads

\[
v(q) = 1 + \epsilon_{dd} \left\{ \frac{3q_z^2}{2q^2} \left[ e^{-iq_z} - 1 \right] \right\}. \quad (4)
\]

III. THE BEYOND-MEAN-FIELD TERM

We proceed to the calculation of the analog of the Lee-Huang-Yang term for the trapped gas interacting with the potential given by Eq. (4) with \( \epsilon_{dd} = 1 \). We express Lee-Huang-Yang energy density of the system as \( \frac{2\pi}{\hbar^2} \epsilon_{LHY}(\xi) \) where \( \epsilon_0 = \frac{2\pi\epsilon_{dd}^2}{\hbar^2} \) is the excitation energy of a particle in the box of width \( L \) and \( \xi = g\nu/\epsilon_0 \) is the dimensionless parameter measuring the strength of the interaction. We find

\[
-\frac{2\epsilon_{dd}^2}{\xi^2} = \sum_{q_z} q_{\perp} e_x + q_y e_y, \quad v(q) \quad \text{is given by Eq. (4)}, \quad \epsilon_q = \sqrt{q^2[q^2 + 2\xi v(q)]} \quad \text{and} \quad v_{3d}(q) =
\]

\[
\frac{v^2(q)}{2q^2}. \quad (5)
\]

where
$\int d\mathbf{r} \exp(-i\frac{2\pi}{L}\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}) v(\mathbf{r}) = 3\frac{\xi^2}{r^2}$ is the three-dimensional Fourier transform of the potential $v(\mathbf{r})$ for $\epsilon_{dd} = 1$. The second term in Eq. (5) results from the standard high momenta renormalization procedure which we describe in Appendix B. For $\xi \gg 1$, the atoms occupy many excitation levels in the confined direction and the system should behave as three dimensional. Indeed, we find that for $\xi \gg 1$, the beyond-mean-field energy $\epsilon_{d}^{3d}$ recovers the 3D result:

$$\epsilon_{d}^{3d} = \xi^{5/2} \frac{8\pi\sqrt{6}}{5}. \tag{6}$$

The $\xi \ll 1$ regime describes the quasi-2D limit in which the collisions are 3D in character but the interaction energy is too low to populate the particles in excited states in the confined direction. As we explain in details in Appendix C, in this limit the two lowest orders of the expansion in $\xi$ take the following form:

$$\epsilon_{d}^{2d}(\xi) \simeq c_{2}\xi^{2} + c_{3}\xi^{3}, \tag{7}$$

where $c_{2} \simeq -0.1974$, $c_{3} \simeq 108$. Comparing to the numerical result, we find that this approximation works well as long as $\xi \lesssim 0.002$.

The expansion in Eq. (7) has vastly different structure than the beyond-mean-field term in quasi-2D Bose-Bose mixtures [39] or in a single quasi-2D BEC [40] with contact interactions. The origin of this discrepancy can be traced back to the fact that the Fourier transform of the potential $v(q)$ for $q_{z} = 0$ is linear in $q_{\perp}$. This is the reason why the logarithmic terms that are usually present in two dimensions do not appear here.

The first term of the expansion in Eq. (7) is proportional to the square of the density and provides a correction to the mean field energy of the BEC. This correction originates from the effect of the confinement on the two-body scattering amplitude and could also be derived from the two-body problem employing the Born expansion, as observed also in Refs. [39, 40]. The second term proportional to $n^{3}$ can be interpreted as an emergent three-body interaction stemming from quantum fluctuations (which is distinct from confinement-induced three-body forces studied, e.g., in Ref. [47]). In our case, this term turns out to be repulsive ($c_{3} > 0$), providing a possible stabilization mechanism for the gas close to the instability, and indicating that dipolar droplets may exist in a quasi-2D system.

Fig. 2 shows the numerically calculated results for the corrections described by Eq. (5) as a function of $\xi$. The beyond-mean-field term approaches the 3D limit with increasing $\xi$. As can be seen from the figure, the value of $\epsilon_{d}^{2d}$ is already close to the limiting case of $\epsilon_{d}^{3d}$ for $\xi \gtrsim 0.1$. This means that a rather strongly confined gas can still be reasonably well described using the free space results with the trap incorporated in the LDA fashion. This sheds light on the success of simple theoretical models based on local density approximation in capturing the physics of ultracold magnetic atoms even close to phase transition points [9, 10, 32, 36]. For small number of atoms, highly elongated droplets have been observed which could seem to have reached the lower dimensional regime. However, the droplet properties under realistic experimental conditions can still be well explained using the results derived for the three dimensional homogeneous system.

Finally, we note that for the chosen orientation of the dipoles causing the phonon instability to occur we were able to provide a universal result in the sense that the expansion coefficients in Eq. (7) do not depend on the box width. This is related to the fact that for dipoles oriented in plane the condensate depletion converges, while for perpendicular orientation, where the roton instability occurs, the condensate depletion calculated within Bogoliubov theory diverges and the system becomes nonuniversal [26, 41, 48].
IV. THE DROPLET STATE

So far we have shown that the quasi-2D dipolar gas can in principle support droplet solutions, as the LHY correction provides the mechanism for stabilization. Here we find the droplet density in the limit of the large atom numbers and numerically investigate the density profile of the droplets in the finite system case.

To begin with, we assume a homogeneous density of the system along the z-direction. As a result the energy functional, in which we incorporate the LHY correction, reads

\[ E[\psi(r)] = \int dr_2 \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} |\nabla \psi|^2 \]

\[ + \int dr_2 d' r_2' \frac{1}{2} g v_{2d}(r_2 - r_2') |\psi(r_2)|^2 |\psi(r_2')|^2 \]

\[ + \int dr_2 \frac{\epsilon_0}{L^2} (v_{2d}(r_2)) \left[ \frac{2}{\pi^2} a L |\psi(r_2)|^2 \right], \]

where by \( r_2 = x_2 + y_2 \) we denote the two-dimensional position vector. The effective two-dimensional potential \( g v_{2d}(r_2 - r_2') \) takes the form

\[ v_{2d}(r_2 - r_2') = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dz dz' v(r - r') \]

\[ = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dz dz' \left[ \delta(r - r') + \frac{3\epsilon_{dd}}{4\pi} \frac{(r - r')^2 - 3(x - x')^2}{|r - r'|^5} \right]. \]

We note that the coefficient multiplying the square of the wave function in the LHY part is introduced because we have calculated the correction as a function of \( \xi \) instead of the density alone (here \( a \) is the scattering length). In the last term of the energy functional \( E[\psi] \) we have set \( \epsilon_{dd} = 1 \), since the LHY energy weakly depends on \( \epsilon_{dd} \).

To proceed, we consider now the interaction energy \( v_{2d} \). In the Fourier space it takes the form

\[ \int dr_2 d' r_2' v_{2d}(r_2 - r_2') |\psi(r_2)|^2 |\psi(r_2')|^2 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int dk_1 v_{2d}(k_1) |n_{2d}(k_1)|^2, \]

where on the right-hand side \( n_{2d} \) and \( v_{2d} \) are the Fourier transforms of the two-dimensional density, i.e., \( n_{2d}(k_1) = \int dr_2 e^{-i k_1 r_2} |\psi(r_2)|^2 \) and interaction potential

\[ v_{2d}(k_1) = \int dr_2 e^{-i k_1 r_2} v_{2d}(r_2). \]

The latter can be calculated analytically and reads

\[ v_{2d}(k_1) = \frac{1}{L} \left[ 1 + \epsilon_{dd} \left( \frac{3k_1^2}{k_1^2 + L} (e^{-k_1 L} + k_1 L - 1) \right) \right]. \]

Now, the form of the potential from Eq. (8) suggest a natural splitting of it into two parts. The first one is \( v_{2d,loc}(k_1) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{dd}} \), whose inverse Fourier transform yields a contact (local) potential \( v_{2d,loc}(r_2) = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{dd}} \delta(r_2) \). The second part is the nonlocal potential

\[ v_{2d,non}(k_1) = \frac{\epsilon_{dd}}{L} \left( \frac{3k_1^2}{k_1^2 + L} (e^{-k_1 L} + k_1 L - 1) \right). \]

We notice that this potential goes to zero for \( k_1 \to 0 \), and so we expect it to be less important as the size of the droplets grows. Therefore, we can neglect the nonlocal part in the first approximation.

Below we focus on the quasi-2D limit in which the expansion from Eq. (7) is permissible. Firstly, we calculate the equilibrium density \( |\psi|^2 = n^{eq} \) of a large droplet, neglecting the contribution to the energy from the boundaries. In such a case, the energy of the droplet is

\[ E = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \epsilon_{dd} \right) |n^{eq}|^2 \]

\[ + \frac{\epsilon_0}{L^2} \left( c_2 \left( \frac{2}{\pi} a L n^{eq} \right)^2 + c_3 \left( \frac{2}{\pi} a L n^{eq} \right)^3 \right) V_1, \]

where \( V_1 \) is the volume of the droplet in the two-dimensional plane. Substituting here the normalization condition, i.e., \( N = n^{eq} V_1 \), and requiring \( \langle \partial E/\partial V_1 \rangle_N = 0 \), we find the equilibrium density of the droplet

\[ n^{eq} = \frac{1}{a^2 16\epsilon_3}. \]

Here \( \epsilon = \epsilon_{dd} - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\epsilon_0}{c_2} \) is the stability parameter, and the droplet is formed when \( \epsilon > 0 \). We notice that the critical point is shifted from the usual condition \( \epsilon_{dd} - 1 > 0 \) by the correction to the mean field coupling strength due to confinement.

With the equilibrium density at hand, we rewrite the energy functional in the convenient dimensionless units, i.e., the unit of length \( d = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi a}} \sqrt{L} \), the unit of energy \( E_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{4\pi} |n^{eq}|^2 d^2 \), and we set \( \psi/\sqrt{n^{eq}} \to \psi \). Such a transformation results in

\[ E = \int dr_2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} |\psi(r_2)|^2 - 1 \right) \psi(r_2) \right] + \frac{1}{4} |\psi(r_2)|^6 \right] \]

\[ + \delta E_{dd}, \]

where the contribution to the total energy from the nonlocal dipole-dipole term is

\[ \delta E_{dd} = \frac{L}{8\pi^2 \epsilon} \int dk_1 v_{2d,non} \left( \frac{k_1}{d} \right) |n_{2d}(k_1)|^2. \]

We note that here \( n_{2d}(k_1) \) is the Fourier transform of the density (defined as before but now in the new units), and \( v_{2d,non} \) is given by Eq. (9). The number of atoms in the droplet is

\[ N_{at} = n^{eq} d^2 N = \frac{1}{a} \frac{1}{8\pi \epsilon} N, \]

where \( N = \int dr_2 |\psi(r_2)|^2 \).

We have minimized the functional from Eq. (10) numerically and found the density profiles of the droplet.
FIG. 3. The cuts through the two-dimensional density of the droplet along the $x$ and $y$ directions taken through the center of the cloud $|\psi(x,0)|^2$ and $|\psi(0,y)|^2$. The black solid line is calculated for $N = 15$; here the two cuts are indistinguishable and no anisotropy can be seen. The red dotted (red dotted-dashed) and blue dotted (blue dotted-dashed) lines are for $N = 300$ and 500, respectively, and the cuts along $x$ ($y$) direction; the norm $N$ is also indicated by arrows. In each case, the double-dotted-dashed line between the two cuts shows the result of the calculation without the anisotropic contribution $\delta E_{dd}$ which gives a symmetric profile. The inset (the units on axes are the same as in the main panel) shows the zoom in of the cloud center. The symmetric solution (without $\delta E_{dd}$) always overestimates the central density. With increasing the norm $N$, the full solution approaches the homogeneous limit. In the main panel, the horizontal, dotted gray line indicates the homogeneous limit $|\psi| = n^\text{eq}$.

for different total number of atoms. In the numerical calculations we take $a = 10$ nm, the length $L = 1 \mu m$, and we set $\xi^0 = 0.001$. These numbers lead to $\epsilon = \xi^0 a^2 \pi c \approx 0.0027$ and $n^\text{eq} d^2 = L^2 1.1 \pi / 8 \pi \approx 1400$. In order to find the density that minimizes the function, we calculate the functional derivative of $E - \mu N$ with respect to $\psi(x,y)$; here, we impose an additional constraint on the total norm so a Lagrange multiplier $\mu$ appears. This procedure leads to a Gross-Pitaevskii-type equation for $\psi$. In the first step of our numerical approach, we drop from the functional the term $\delta E_{dd}$, and then we solve it by the imaginary-time method. This leads to a symmetric $\psi$, which is then treated as the initial point for the full equation including now the term $\delta E_{dd}$.

Fig. 3 displays the numerically calculated density profiles of the droplets for three values of the two-dimensional norm $N = 15, 300$ and 500. This corresponds to the number of atoms: $N_{\text{at}} = 2.1 \times 10^4, 4.2 \times 10^5$ and $7.0 \times 10^5$, respectively. We note that for these parameters the three-dimensional density of atoms is on the order of $10^{11} \text{cm}^{-3}$, and so the three-body losses not included in the theory should still be moderate.

As can be seen from the figure, due to the effect of $\delta E_{dd}$, the droplet shape that we obtain is anisotropic. However, the anisotropy is not large and for $N = 15$ the droplet is almost symmetric. Additionally, for $N = 300$ the density in the middle of the droplet is close to the equilibrium density $n^\text{eq}$ (see also the inset of Fig. 3). This shows that $\delta E_{dd}$ does not fundamentally impact the properties of the droplet and can be treated rather as a perturbation. We also note that by increasing the number of atoms, the droplet increases its volume by keeping almost constant density and attaching the atoms mainly to its surface, which indicates that the system has liquid properties.

Finally, we also remark that a useful and accurate approximation is to restrict the interaction potential to the lowest term in the series expansion, i.e., $v_{dd}(k_x, k_y) \approx 3c_{dd} k^2 / 2 k_\perp$. Such an approach, in our case, leads to solutions that are indistinguishable from the curves in Fig. 3 calculated for the full potential.

Finally, we note that the droplet formation is also possible for $\theta = \pi/2 + \delta \theta$ with small $\delta \theta$. In such a case, the constant $c_3$ in Eq. (7) is only slightly different. In addition, the mean-field critical point is shifted from $\epsilon_{dd} = 1$ to larger values. However, apart from these corrections, the droplet is still formed with the equilibrium density given by Eq. (10), but with the coefficient $\epsilon$ describing the departure from the critical point modified accordingly.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the beyond-mean-field behavior of dipolar Bose gas subjected to quasi-two-dimensional confinement. Under several simplifying assumptions, we were able to calculate the Lee-Huang-Yang correction to the mean field energy analytically. We have shown that close to the phonon instability the correction can become decisive for the properties of the system, preventing it from collapse by introducing effective three-body repulsion. The ground state of the system in this case is a finite size self-bound droplet similar to the three-dimensional case. Crucially, we find that for moderate confinement length (in our case the box size) the magnitude of the correction is close to its free-space limit, validating the use of local density approximation.
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Appendix A: Evaluation of the Fourier transform of the dipole-dipole interaction potential

In the case of the 3D dipole-dipole interaction the Fourier transform of $v_{\text{dip}}(r) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{1 - 3(e_r \cdot e)}{r^3}$ (where $e_r = r/|r|$ and $e$ denotes the direction of dipole polarization) is evaluated by taking the integral in a finite space region between two spheres of radius $r_0$ and $R_0$. After performing the integral, the limit $r_0 \to 0$ and $R_0 \to \infty$ is taken arriving at $v_{\text{dip}, 3d}(k) = 3(k \cdot e)^2/k^2 - 1$. Now we need to perform the integral

$$v_{\text{dip}}(k) = \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dz \int dr \ e^{-ikr} v_{\text{dip}}(r)$$

(A1)
where $k_z = \frac{2\pi}{L} n_z$ is quantized. As we are restricted to the quasi-2d space, we do not need to use the cutoff at large distances and we only take a cutoff at small distances $r_0$. This can be done by taking

$$v_{\text{dip}}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k'} e^{i\mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{r}} v_{\text{dip,3d}}(\mathbf{k'}) h(k').$$

(A2)

where $h(k')$ is a function being equal to unity for small $k'$ and then going to zero for $k' > k_0$ where $k_0 \sim 1/r_0$. Finally we take the $k_0 \to \infty$ limit. Inserting Eq. (A2) into Eq. (A1) leads to

$$v_{\text{dip}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} d\mathbf{z} \int \mathbf{k'} \cdot e^{-i\mathbf{k'} \cdot \mathbf{r}} v_{\text{dip,3d}}(k_x, k_y, k_z') h\left(\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z'^2}\right).$$

Performing the above analytical integrals gives

$$v_{\text{dip}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} d\mathbf{z} \int d\mathbf{k'} e^{-i(k_x - k_x')z} v_{\text{dip,3d}}(k_x, k_y, k_z') h\left(\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z'^2}\right).$$

In the considered geometry $v_{\text{dip,3d}}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_{\text{dd}}(3(k_x \sin \theta + k_z \cos \theta)^2/k^2 - 1)$. Inserting $v_{\text{dip}}$ into the above we obtain

$$v_{\text{dip}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} d\mathbf{z} \int d\mathbf{k'} e^{-i(k_x - k_x')z} \left(3(k_x \sin \theta + k_z' \cos \theta)^2 - 1\right) h\left(\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z'^2}\right).$$

In the above we deal with three different kinds of integrals. The first one

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} d\mathbf{z} \int d\mathbf{k'} e^{-i(k_x - k_x')z}(3\cos^2 \theta - 1) h\left(\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z'^2}\right)$$

simply equals to $3\cos^2 \theta - 1$ after taking the limit $k_0 \to \infty$. To see it clearly one can take $h(k') = \exp(-k'^2/k_0^2)$, perform the integrals analytically and at the end take the limit. The second integral is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} d\mathbf{z} \int d\mathbf{k'} e^{-i(k_x - k_x')z} 3 k_x^2 \sin^2 \theta - (k_x^2 + k_y^2) \cos^2 \theta h\left(\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z'^2}\right).$$

Here the integrand goes as $1/k_x'^2$ for large $k_x'$. Thus we may readily set $h = 1$ obtaining an analytical result that reads

$$3 k_x^2 \sin^2 \theta - k_x^2 \cos^2 \theta \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{L}{2\pi}\right) (-1)^k\right)$$

where $k_x = k_x^2 + k_y^2$ and $k_z = \frac{2\pi}{L} n_z$. The third kind of integral reads

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} d\mathbf{z} \int d\mathbf{k'} e^{-i(k_x - k_x')z} 3 k_x k_z' \sin \theta h\left(\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z'^2}\right).$$

Setting $h = 1$ we obtain an analytic result that reads

$$3 k_x k_z' \sin \theta \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{L}{2\pi}\right) (-1)^k\right)$$

Adding the above together we arrive at

$$v_{\text{dip}}(\mathbf{k}) = 3\cos^2 \theta - 1 + 3 k_x^2 \sin^2 \theta + k_x k_z \sin \theta - k_x^2 \cos^2 \theta \left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{L}{2\pi}\right) (-1)^k\right).$$
Appendix B: Derivation of the formula for $\epsilon_{\text{LHY}}^{2d}$

Here we start from analyzing the system of atoms interacting via potential $\tilde{v}(r)$. We choose as an effective potential a function of the form

$$\tilde{v}(r) = v_c(r) + g f(|r|) \frac{3\epsilon_{dd}}{4\pi r^3} (1 - 3\cos^2 \theta) = v_c(r) + v_d(r). \quad (B1)$$

In the above equation $v_c(r)$ is the non-negative central and local potential of width $\sigma$. In Eq. (B1) we additionally introduced a function $f(r)$ which is equal to unity for $r_0 < r < R_0$ and goes to zero otherwise, where $r_0$ and $R_0$ are the small and large distance regularization used in Appendix A.

Applying Bogoliubov method to the homogeneous system in the same geometry as in the main part of the paper we obtain the ground state energy density equal to

$$e_0 = \frac{1}{2} n^2 \tilde{v}(k = 0) + \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^2 L} \sum_{k_z} \int d\mathbf{k}_\perp (\varepsilon(k) - E_k - n\tilde{v}(k)) \quad (B2)$$

where $\varepsilon(k) = \sqrt{E_k(E_k + 2n\tilde{v}(k))]$ and $\tilde{v}(k) = \int_{L/2}^{L/2} d\mathbf{x} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$. Additionally we define $\tilde{v}_c(k)$ and $\tilde{v}_d(k)$ in the same way as $\tilde{v}(k)$. In the above the ground state energy is given as a function of $\tilde{v}(k)$. Now we have to relate $\tilde{v}(k)$ to the universal quantities like the scattering length. In order to do it we use Born expansion of the potential up to the second order

$$g = \tilde{v}_{3d}(k = 0) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \frac{\tilde{v}_{3d}^2(k)}{2E_k} + \ldots \quad (B3)$$

where $\tilde{v}_{3d}(k) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$. As before we additionally defined $\tilde{v}_{c,3d}(k)$ and $\tilde{v}_{d,3d}(k)$. We fist notice that $\tilde{v}_{d,3d}(k = 0) = 0$ which results in $\tilde{v}_{3d}(k = 0) = \tilde{v}_{c,3d}(k = 0)$. Now we assume that the potential $v_c(r)$ is negligible for $r > L/2$. This implies that $\tilde{v}_c(k) = \tilde{v}_{c,3d}(k)$. We thus obtain

$$\tilde{v}(k = 0) = \tilde{v}_{3d}(k = 0) + \tilde{v}_d(k = 0). \quad (B4)$$

Combining Eqs. (B2), (B3) and (B4) leads to

$$e_0 = \frac{1}{2} n^2 (g + \tilde{v}_d(k = 0)) + \frac{n^2}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \frac{\tilde{v}_{3d}^2(k)}{2E_k} + \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^2 L} \sum_{k_z} \int d\mathbf{k}_\perp (\varepsilon(k) - E_k - n\tilde{v}(k)) . \quad (B5)$$

In the above we identify the LHY energy density which reads

$$\epsilon_{\text{LHY}} = \frac{n^2}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{k} \frac{\tilde{v}_{3d}^2(k)}{2E_k} + \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^2 L} \sum_{k_z} \int d\mathbf{k}_\perp \frac{n^2\tilde{v}^2(k)}{\varepsilon(k) + E_k + n\tilde{v}(k)}$$

where we additionally used the relation $-\frac{n^2\tilde{v}^2(k)}{\varepsilon(k) + E_k + n\tilde{v}(k)} = \varepsilon(k) - E_k - n\tilde{v}(k)$.

We now assume that the width of the $v_c(r)$ potential $\sigma$ is much larger than the scattering length $a_c$ of the $v_c$ potential (which is positive as $v_c(r) \geq 0$), and additionally much larger than $a$, i.e., $a \gg a_c, \sigma \gg a$. These assumptions imply that for $|k| \ll \frac{1}{\sigma}$ we have $\tilde{v}(k) \approx gv(k)$ which in fact justifies the use of pseudopotential $v(r)$ given by Eq. (4). We now take the limit $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $R_0 \rightarrow \infty$ which gives $v_{d,3d}(k) = gv_{3d}(k)$ where $v_{3d}(k) = 1 + \epsilon_{dd} (\frac{k^2}{2a^2} - 1)$. After doing that we equate the above to $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \epsilon_{\text{LHY}}(\xi)}$, substitute into the above the definition of $\xi$ and $q$ and take $\epsilon_{dd} = 1$. As a result we arrive at Eq. (5) from the main text.

Appendix C: Evaluation of the beyond-mean-field correction

In this section we evaluate analytically the quasi-2D limit of the LHY correction. We rewrite Eq. (5) as:

$$\epsilon_{\text{LHY}}^{2d} = -\frac{\xi}{2} \sum_{q_z} \int d\mathbf{q}_\perp \frac{v^2(q)}{\epsilon_q + q^2 + \xi v(q)} - \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{v_{3d}^2(q)}{2q^2} = -\frac{\xi^2}{2} (g(\xi) - 2c_2)$$
where

$$g(\xi) = \sum_q \int d\mathbf{q}_\perp \left( \frac{v^2(\mathbf{q})}{\epsilon_q + q^2 + \xi v(\mathbf{q})} - \frac{v^2(\mathbf{q})}{2q^2} \right)$$

$$-2c_2 = \sum_q \int d\mathbf{q}_\perp \frac{v^2(\mathbf{q})}{2q^2} - \int d\mathbf{q} \frac{v^2(\mathbf{q})}{2q^2}.$$ 

Expanding function $g(\xi)$ around $\xi = 0$, we obtain

$$g(\xi) \approx -\xi \sum_q \int d\mathbf{q}_\perp \frac{v^3(\mathbf{q})}{2q^3} = -2c_3\xi$$

In the above we notice that the two constants $c_2$ and $c_3$ are given by convergent sums and can be evaluated numerically obtaining $c_2 \approx -0.1974$ and $c_3 \approx 108.$