

# On the Average Complexity of the $k$ -Level

Man-Kwun Chiu<sup>1</sup>      Stefan Felsner<sup>2</sup>      Manfred Scheucher<sup>2</sup>  
 Patrick Schnider<sup>3</sup>      Raphael Steiner<sup>2</sup>      Pavel Valtr<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Informatik,  
 Freie Universität Berlin, Germany,  
 {chiu, k}@zedat.fu-berlin.de

<sup>2</sup> Institut für Mathematik,  
 Technische Universität Berlin, Germany,  
 {felsner, scheucher, steiner}@math.tu-berlin.de

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Theoretical Computer Science,  
 ETH Zürich, Switzerland  
 {patrick.schnider}@inf.ethz.ch

<sup>4</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics,  
 Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Czech Republic  
 {valtr}@kam.mff.cuni.cz

## Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $n$  lines in the Euclidean plane. The  $k$ -level of  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of all intersection points  $v$  of lines in  $\mathcal{A}$  which have exactly  $k$  lines of  $\mathcal{A}$  passing below  $v$ . The complexity of the  $k$ -level in a line arrangement has been widely studied. In 1998 Dey proved an upper bound of  $O(n \cdot (k+1)^{1/3})$ . We investigate the complexity of  $k$ -levels in random line and hyperplane arrangements. When the arrangement is obtained from any fixed projective line arrangement of  $n$  lines by choosing a random cell to contain the south-pole, we prove an upper bound of  $O((k+1)^2)$  on the expected complexity of the  $k$ -level. As a byproduct we show that the complexity of any  $(\leq j)$ -zone in a  $d$ -dimensional simple arrangement of  $n$  hyperplanes is of order  $\Theta((j+1)n^{d-1})$ . The classical zone theorem is the case  $j=0$ .

We also consider arrangements of great  $(d-1)$ -spheres on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$  which are orthogonal to a set of random points on  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . In this model we prove that the expected complexity of the  $k$ -level is of order  $\Theta((k+1)^{d-1})$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $n$  lines in the Euclidean plane. The *vertices* of  $\mathcal{A}$  are the intersection points of lines of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Throughout this article we consider arrangements to be *simple*, i.e., no 3 lines intersect in a common vertex, we also assume that no two lines are parallel, and no line is vertical. The  $k$ -level of  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of all vertices  $v$  which have exactly  $k$  lines of  $\mathcal{A}$  below  $v$ . We denote the  $k$ -level by  $V_k(\mathcal{A})$  and its size by  $f_k(\mathcal{A})$ . Moreover, by  $f_k(n)$  we denote the maximum of  $f_k(\mathcal{A})$  over all arrangements  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $n$  lines, and by  $f(n) = f_{\lfloor (n-2)/2 \rfloor}(n)$  the maximum size of the *middle level*.

A  $k$ -set of a finite point set  $P$  in the Euclidean plane is a subset  $K$  of  $k$  elements of  $P$  that can be separated from  $P \setminus K$  by a line. Paraboloid duality is a bijection  $P \leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}_P$  between

point sets and line arrangements (for details on this duality see [O’R94, Chapter 6.5] or [Ede87, Chapter 1.4]). The number of  $k$ -sets of  $P$  equals  $|V_{k-1}(\mathcal{A}_P) \cup V_{n-1-k}(\mathcal{A}_P)|$ .

In discrete and computational geometry bounds on the number of  $k$ -sets of a planar point set, or equivalently on the size of  $k$ -levels of a planar line arrangement have important applications. The complexity of  $k$ -levels was first studied by Lovász [Lov71] and Erdős et al. [ELSS73], they bound the size of the  $k$ -level by  $O(n \cdot (k+1)^{1/2})$ . Dey [Dey98] used the crossing lemma to improve the bound to  $O(n \cdot (k+1)^{1/3})$ . In particular, the maximum size  $f(n)$  of the middle level is  $O(n^{4/3})$ . Concerning the lower bound on the complexity, Erdős et al. [ELSS73] gave a construction showing that  $f(2n) \geq 2f(n) + cn = \Omega(n \log n)$  and conjectured that  $f(n) \geq \Omega(n^{1+\varepsilon})$ . An alternative  $\Omega(n \log n)$ -construction was given by Edelsbrunner and Welzl [EW85]. The current best lower bound  $f_k(n) \geq n \cdot e^{\Omega(\sqrt{\log k})}$  was obtained by Nivasch [Niv08] improving on a bound by Tóth [Tót01]. For more background on the problem we refer to Chapter 11 of Matoušek’s book [Mat02].

## 1.1 Higher Dimensions

The problem of determining the complexity of the  $k$ -level admits a natural extension to higher dimensions: Consider a *simple* arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $n$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e., no  $d+1$  hyperplanes intersect in a common point, we also assume that the intersection of any  $d$  given hyperplanes is a single point, and no hyperplane is parallel to the  $x_d$ -axis. The  $k$ -level  $V_k(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of all vertices (i.e. intersection points of  $d$  hyperplanes) which have exactly  $k$  hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{A}$  below them (with respect to the  $d$ -th coordinate). We denote the  $k$ -level by  $V_k(\mathcal{A})$  and its size by  $f_k(\mathcal{A})$ . Moreover, by  $f_k^{(d)}(n)$  we denote the maximum of  $f_k(\mathcal{A})$  among all arrangements  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $n$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

As in the planar case, there remains a gap between lower and upper bounds;

$$\Omega(n^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} k^{\lceil d/2 \rceil - 1}) \leq f_k^{(d)}(n) \leq O(n^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} k^{\lceil d/2 \rceil - c_d}),$$

here  $c_d > 0$  is a small positive constant only depending on  $d$ . Details and references can be found in Chapter 11 of Matoušek’s book [Mat02]. In dimensions 3 and 4 improved bounds have been established. For example, for  $d = 3$ , it is known that  $f_k^{(3)}(n) \leq O(n(k+1)^{3/2})$  (see [SST01]).

For the middle level in dimension  $d \geq 2$  an improved lower bound  $f^{(d)}(n) \geq n^{d-1} \cdot e^{\Omega(\sqrt{\log n})}$  is known (see [Tót01] and [Niv08]).

## 2 Our Results

In the first part of this paper we consider arrangements of lines in the projective plane and investigate the average complexity of the  $k$ -level, when the arrangement is “randomly” projected to an Euclidean arrangement. This question was raised by Barba, Pilz, and Schnider while sharing a pizza [BPS19].

In the considered model, a cell  $c$  of the arrangement is chosen uniformly at random and we consider a projected Euclidean arrangement where  $c$  is mapped to the top-bottom-cell/southpole. In Section 3 we prove the following bound on the average complexity of the  $k$ -level in this model. Remarkably the bound is independent of the number  $n$  of lines in the arrangement.

**Theorem 1.** *Given a projective arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $n$  lines, the expected size of the  $k$ -level in the induced Euclidean arrangement is at most  $8e \cdot (k+1)^2$  when the southpole is chosen uniformly at random among the cells of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*


Given a hyperplane arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and a hyperplane  $H_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ , the *zone* of  $H_0$ , denoted by  $Z_{\leq 0}(H_0, \mathcal{A})$ , is the set of all faces (from 0-dimensional, i.e., vertices, to  $d$ -dimensional, i.e., cells) of  $\mathcal{A}$  which can be seen from  $H_0$ , i.e., can be connected to  $H_0$  along a simple path which intersects hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{A}$  only at the endpoints. The classical *zone theorem* for hyperplane arrangements (cf. [ESS91] and [Mat02, Chapter 6.4]) bounds the size of any zone in an arrangement of  $n$  hyperplanes by  $O(n^{d-1})$ .

Our proof of Theorem 1 uses the planar case of the following generalization of the zone theorem to higher orders. The  $(\leq j)$ -zone of a hyperplane  $H_0$  in an arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted by  $Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})$ , consists of all faces of  $\mathcal{A}$  which can be connected to  $H_0$  with a simple path whose interior intersects at most  $j$  hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 2** (Generalized Zone Theorem). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a simple arrangement of  $n$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $H_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the complexity of the  $(\leq j)$ -zone of  $H_0$  is of order  $\Theta((j+1)n^{d-1})$ .*

We prove this theorem in Section 4. For the planar case  $d = 2$ , we show that the number of vertices in the  $(\leq j)$ -zone of  $H_0$  that also lie above or on  $H_0$  is at most  $2e(j+2)n$ . This bound is used in the proof of Theorem 1.

In Section 5 we consider “arrangements of randomly chosen lines”. Here we propose the following model of randomness. Think of a projective line arrangement as a great-circle arrangement on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The correspondence between great-circles on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and planes through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  extends to a correspondence between arrangements of the respective objects, Figure 1 gives an illustration.



**Figure 1:** The correspondence between great-circles on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and lines in a plane  $\Pi$ . Using the center of the sphere as the center of projection, the points  $A, B, C, D$  on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  are projected to the points  $A', B', C', D'$  in the plane  $\Pi$ .


On  $\mathbb{S}^2$  we have the duality between points (each antipodal pair of points defines the normal vector of the plane containing a great-circle) and great-circles. Since we can choose points uniformly at random from  $\mathbb{S}^2$ , we get random arrangements of great-circles. This duality clearly generalizes to higher dimensions, and we can therefore talk about random arrangements on  $\mathbb{S}^d$  for a fixed dimension  $d \geq 2$ . We call the intersection of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  with a central hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  a *great- $(d-1)$ -sphere* of  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . Using the duality between antipodal pairs of points on  $\mathbb{S}^d$  and great- $(d-1)$ -spheres we prove the following bound on the expected size of the  $k$ -level in this random model:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $d \geq 2$  be fixed. In an arrangement of  $n$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres chosen uniformly at random on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$  (embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ ), the expected size of the  $k$ -level is of order  $\Theta((k+1)^{d-1})$  for all  $k \leq n/2$ .*

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $d \geq 2$  be fixed. In an arrangement of  $n$  hyperplanes, which arises as the projection of an arrangement of  $n$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres chosen uniformly at random from the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$  (embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ ), the expected size of the  $k$ -level is of order  $\Theta((k+1)^{d-1})$ .*

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

As the preparation for the proof of Theorem 1, we introduce some terminology and prove a few preliminary results. Let each of  $F$  and  $F'$  be a vertex, edge, line, or cell of an arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of lines. We define their distance  $d_{\mathcal{A}}(F, F')$  as the minimum number of lines of  $\mathcal{A}$  intersected by the interior of a curve connecting a point of  $F$  with a point of  $F'$ . Using this terminology the  $(\leq j)$ -zone  $Z_{\leq j}(\ell, \mathcal{A})$  of a line  $\ell$  in an arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as the set of vertices, edges and cells from  $\mathcal{A}$  which have distance at most  $j$  from  $\ell$ . See Figure 2 for an illustration. The classical zone theorem asserts that  $Z_{\leq 0}(\ell, \mathcal{A})$  has linear complexity (see e.g. [Mat02, Chapter 6.4], [O'R94, Chapter 6.2], or [Ede87, Chapter 5.3]). By Theorem 2, the complexity of  $Z_{\leq j}(\ell, \mathcal{A})$  is in  $O((j+1)n)$ .



**Figure 2:** The higher order zones of a line  $\ell$ .

Fix a directed line  $\ell \in \mathcal{A}$  and assume without loss of generality that it is horizontal and directed from left to right. Our aim is to bound the size of the set  $\mathcal{C}_k(\ell)$  of pairs  $(C, v)$  where  $C$  is a cell of the zone below and touching  $\ell$  and  $v$  is a vertex above  $\ell$  whose distance to  $C$  is  $k$ . Clearly,  $v$  has to belong to the  $(\leq k-1)$ -zone of  $\ell$ .

Consider a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of half-intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We have *left-intervals* of the form  $(-\infty, a]$  and *right-intervals*  $[b, \infty)$ . A collection of  $k$  half-intervals from  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *k-clique* if there is a point  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  that lies in all these  $k$  half-intervals but not in any other half-interval of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Any family of half-intervals contains at most  $k+1$  different  $k$ -cliques.*

*Proof.* For  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $l(p)$  be the number of left-intervals and  $r(p)$  the number of right-intervals containing  $p$ . A point  $p$  certifies a  $k$ -clique iff  $l(p) + r(p) = k$ . From the monotonicity of the functions  $l$  and  $r$  it follows that if  $(l(p_1), r(p_1)) = (l(p_2), r(p_2))$  for two points  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , then they are contained in the same intervals. Thus the number of  $k$ -cliques is at most the number of pairs  $(l, r)$  such that  $l + r = k$  and  $l, r \geq 0$ , which is  $k+1$ .  $\square$

For a fixed vertex  $v$  in the  $(\leq k - 1)$ -zone above  $\ell$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_\ell(v)$  be the set of cells  $C$  such that  $(C, v) \in \mathcal{C}_k(\ell)$ .

**Claim.**  $|\mathcal{B}_\ell(v)| \leq k$ .

*Proof.* Consider a line  $g$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $a$  be its intersection with  $\ell$ . If  $v$  is to the left of  $g$ , draw the half-interval  $[a, \infty)$  on  $\ell$ . If  $v$  is to the right of  $g$ , draw the half-interval  $(-\infty, a]$  on  $\ell$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of these half-intervals. We claim that there is a bijection between  $\mathcal{B}_\ell(v)$  and the  $(k - 1)$ -cliques in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, if the intersection of the half-intervals of a clique  $K$ , viewed as a subset of  $\ell$ , is  $I_K$ , then  $I_K$  is the subset of  $\ell$  which is reachable from  $v$  by crossing the lines corresponding to the half-intervals of  $K$ . If  $C$  is a cell below  $\ell$  at distance  $k$  from  $v$  then  $\ell$  and a subset of  $(k - 1)$  additional lines have to be crossed to reach  $v$  from  $C$ , i.e., there is a  $(k - 1)$ -clique in  $\mathcal{H}$  whose intersection is  $C \cap \ell$ . The number of  $(k - 1)$ -cliques in  $\mathcal{H}$  is at most  $k$  by Lemma 5.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{C}_k$  be the union of the  $\mathcal{C}_k(\ell)$  over all the  $2n$  choices of a directed line  $\ell$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $n$  lines and let  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $|\mathcal{C}_k| \leq 4e \cdot k(k + 1) \cdot n^2$ .*

*Proof.* For a fixed directed line  $\ell$  the set  $\mathcal{C}_k(\ell)$  is the union of  $\mathcal{B}_\ell(v)$  over all vertices  $v$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  in the  $(\leq k - 1)$ -zone above  $\ell$ . From the proof of the Generalized Zone Theorem (see the end of Section 4), we get that the number of such vertices is at most  $2e(k + 1)n$ . From the above claim we have  $|\mathcal{B}_\ell(v)| \leq k$  so that  $|\mathcal{C}_k(\ell)| \leq 2ek(k + 1)n$ . Since there are  $2n$  directed lines we get  $|\mathcal{C}_k| \leq 4ek(k + 1)n^2$ .  $\square$

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof.* The  $k$ -level with the southpole chosen in cell  $C$  consists of the vertices at distance  $k$  from  $C$ . Thus, the expected complexity of the  $k$ -level when choosing  $C$  uniformly at random equals  $|\mathcal{C}_k|$  divided by the number of cells. Since the number of cells in a projective arrangement of  $n$  lines is  $\binom{n}{2} + 1$  and  $|\mathcal{C}_k| \leq 4ek(k + 1)n^2$  by Theorem 6, we can conclude the statement from

$$\frac{4e \cdot k(k + 1) \cdot n^2}{\binom{n}{2} + 1} \leq 8e \cdot k(k + 1) \cdot \frac{n}{n - 1} \leq 8e \cdot (k + 1)^2 \cdot \underbrace{\frac{k}{k + 1} \cdot \frac{n}{n - 1}}_{\leq 1}.$$

$\square$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an arrangement of  $n$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $H_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  be a fixed hyperplane. For any  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$  denote by  $V_{\leq j}$  the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{A}$  contained in the  $(\leq j)$ -zone  $Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})$  of  $H_0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.,  $v \in V_{\leq j}$  if there is a simple path  $P_v$  from  $v$  to  $H_0$  whose interior has at most  $j$  intersections with hyperplanes from  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that  $V_{\leq 0}$  is the set of vertices in the traditionally studied zone of  $H_0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lower Bound:** We claim that  $|V_{\leq j}| \geq \frac{1}{d!}(j+1)(n-1)^{d-1}$  for  $n \geq j+d+1$  (here we use the usual notation for falling factorials  $x^{\underline{k}} = x(x-1)\dots(x-k+1)$ ). To prove this bound, we use induction on the dimension  $d$ . For the base case, let  $d=1$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an arrangement of points on the line, it is clear that if  $n \geq j+2$ , we have  $|V_{\leq j}| \geq j+1$ , as claimed.


Now let  $d \geq 2$  and assume that the bound holds for simple arrangements of  $n \geq j+d$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a simple arrangement of  $n \geq j+d+1$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For each hyperplane  $H \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H_0\}$ , we denote the arrangement induced by the other hyperplanes of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $H$  by  $\mathcal{A}/H$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{A}/H = \{H' \cap H \mid H' \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\}\}$ . The arrangement  $\mathcal{A}/H$  is a simple arrangement of  $n-1$  hyperplanes in  $(d-1)$ -dimensional space, whose vertices are the vertices of  $\mathcal{A}$  contained in  $H$ . Now consider the  $(\leq j)$ -zone  $Z_{\leq j}(H_0 \cap H, \mathcal{A}/H)$  of the  $(d-2)$ -dimensional plane  $H_0 \cap H$  within  $\mathcal{A}/H$ . Every vertex in  $Z_{\leq j}(H_0 \cap H, \mathcal{A}/H)$  is a vertex of  $Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})$  and each vertex  $v \in Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})$  is a vertex of the induced arrangement  $\mathcal{A}/H$  for each of the  $d$  hyperplanes  $H$  incident to  $v$ . Using the induction hypothesis, we obtain  $|V_{\leq j}| \geq \frac{n-1}{d} \cdot \frac{1}{(d-1)!} (j+1)(n-2)^{d-2} = \frac{1}{d!} (j+1)(n-1)^{d-1}$ . This proves the claim and shows that the  $(\leq j)$ -zone of  $H_0$  is of size  $\Omega((j+1)n^{d-1})$ .

**Upper Bound:** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a simple arrangement of  $n$  hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $R$  be a random sample of hyperplanes from  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $H_0 \in R$  and each hyperplane  $H \neq H_0$  independently belongs to  $R$  with probability  $p := \frac{1}{j+2}$ . The probability that a vertex  $v \in V_{\leq j}$  is present in the induced subarrangement  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  and appears at distance 0 from  $H_0$  is at least  $(\frac{1}{j+2})^d \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{j+2})^r$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq j$  denotes the distance of  $v$  from  $H_0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ : The  $d$  hyperplanes determining the vertex  $v$  are present with probability  $(\frac{1}{j+2})^d$ , and the  $r$  hyperplanes intersecting a fixed witnessing path  $P_v$  from  $v$  to  $H_0$  are not present with probability  $(1 - \frac{1}{j+2})^r$ . Note that

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{j+2}\right)^r \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{j+2}\right)^{j+1} = \left(\frac{j+1}{j+2}\right)^{j+1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{j+1}\right)^{-(j+1)} \geq 1/e,$$

where  $e = 2.718\dots$  denotes Euler's number. Figure 3 gives an illustration for the planar case.



**Figure 3:** A path  $P_v$  witnessing that  $v$  belongs to the  $(\leq j)$ -zone of  $l$  for all  $j \geq 2$ .

Let  $X$  be the number of vertices in the 0-zone of  $H_0$  in  $\mathcal{A}(R)$ . For the expectation of this random variable we have  $\mathbb{E}(X) \geq \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{1}{j+2}\right)^d \cdot |V_{\leq j}|$ .

On the other hand, by the classical zone theorem we have  $X \leq c \cdot |R|^{d-1}$  for some constant  $c = c(d)$  only depending on  $d$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{E}(X) \leq c \cdot \mathbb{E}(Y^{d-1})$ , where  $Y$  is the number of hyperplanes in  $R$ . Note that  $Y \sim B(n, p)$  is a binomially distributed random variable.

The above inequalities imply  $|V_{\leq j}| \leq c \cdot e \cdot (j+2)^d \cdot \mathbb{E}(Y^{d-1})$ . From known bounds for the moments of the binomial distribution, we obtain the estimate  $\mathbb{E}(Y^{d-1}) = \Theta((np)^{d-1})$  (see for

instance [BT10], Corollary 2.1). Hence

$$|V_{\leq j}| \leq c \cdot e \cdot (j+2)^d \cdot O((n/(j+2))^{d-1}) = O((j+1)n^{d-1}).$$

Every vertex  $v$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  belongs to at most  $3^d$  faces of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Every face  $F$  belonging to  $Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})$  contains a vertex  $v$  which also belongs to  $Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})$ . Therefore,  $|Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})| \leq 3^d |V_{\leq j}|$  whence  $|Z_{\leq j}(H_0, \mathcal{A})| = O((j+1)n^{d-1})$ .

For the planar case  $d = 2$ , we can provide reasonable bounds for the number of vertices in the  $(\leq j)$ -zone: An inductive argument, as used to show the classical zone theorem (see e.g. [GHW13, page 136]), shows  $|V_{\leq 0}^+| \leq 2n - 3$ . Using the constant 2 in the role of  $c(2)$ , we obtain  $|V_{\leq j}^+| \leq 2e(j+2)n$ .

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 3

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a simple arrangement of  $n$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : \|x\| = 1\}$  with center  $\mathbf{o}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ . For a vertex  $v$  of the arrangement, let  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(v)$  denote the number of great- $(d-1)$ -spheres that are crossed by the geodesic arc from  $v$  to the south-pole  $\mathbf{s} = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$  of the sphere. The set of vertices  $v$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(v) = k$  is denoted  $V_k(\mathcal{C})$ .

When  $\mathcal{C}$  is projected to a  $d$ -dimensional plane  $H$  with the origin  $\mathbf{o} = (0, \dots, 0)$  as center of projection, we obtain an arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  of hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Moreover, if the south pole  $\mathbf{s}$  is projected to a point “at infinity” of  $H$ , say to  $(0, \dots, 0, -\infty)$ , then, for every point  $p$  in  $\mathbb{S}^d$ , the  $\mathbb{S}^1$  containing the geodesic arc from  $p$  to  $\mathbf{s}$  is projected to the “vertical” line through  $p$ , i.e., the line  $p + (0, \dots, 0, \lambda)$ . The geodesic is projected to one of the two rays starting from  $p$  on this line. In particular, all vertices  $v$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}}(v) = k$  are projected to vertices of  $\mathcal{A}$  either at level  $k$  or  $n - k - d$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an arrangement of randomly chosen great- $(d-1)$ -spheres and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subset of size  $d$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that with probability 1, the random great-sphere-arrangement is in general position, and simple, i.e., no more than  $d$  great-spheres intersect in a common point. Let  $p'$  be one of the two intersection points of the great- $(d-1)$ -spheres in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Now consider the arrangement  $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{B}$  and note that  $(\mathcal{C}', p')$  can be viewed as a random arrangement of great- $(d-1)$ -spheres together with a random point on  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . Hence, to estimate the expected size of  $V_k(\mathcal{C})$ , we can estimate the probability that  $\phi_{\mathcal{C}'}(p') = k$ . This is the purpose of the following Lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an arrangement of  $n$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres chosen uniformly at random on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$  (embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  and centered at the origin). Let  $p$  be an additional point chosen uniformly at random from  $\mathbb{S}^d$ , and let  $A$  be the geodesic arc from  $p$  to the south pole on  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . For all  $k \leq n/2$ , the probability  $q_k$  that exactly  $k$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres from  $\mathcal{C}$  intersect  $A$  is of order  $\Theta((k+1)^{d-1}/n^d)$ . More precisely, it satisfies*


$$\frac{2^{d-1} \rho \pi (k+1)^{\overline{d-1}} (n-k+1)^{\overline{d-1}}}{(n+1)^{2\overline{d-1}}} \leq q_k \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\rho \pi}{n+1}, \frac{\rho \pi^d (k+1)^{\overline{d-1}}}{(n+1)^{\overline{d}}} \right\},$$

where  $a^{\overline{b}} = a(a+1) \cdots (a+b-1)$  denotes the rising factorial and  $\rho = \rho_d = \frac{\text{area}_{d-1}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{\text{area}_d(\mathbb{S}^d)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$  only depends on the dimension  $d$ .

For the planar case  $d = 2$ , the two upper bounds from Lemma 7 coincide if  $k \approx n/\pi$ , and we have  $\pi \cdot \frac{k}{n^2} \lesssim q_k \lesssim \frac{\pi^2}{2} \cdot \frac{k}{n^2}$  for  $k \ll n/2$ , and  $\frac{\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \lesssim q_k \lesssim \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n}$  for  $k \approx n/2$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $\phi$  the length of the geodesic arc  $A$  on  $\mathbb{S}^d$  from  $p$  to  $\mathbf{s}$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  is the angle between the two rays emanating from  $\mathbf{o}$  towards  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $p$ . Note that – independent from the dimension  $d$  – the three points  $\mathbf{o}$ ,  $\mathbf{s}$ , and  $p$  lie in a 2-dimensional plane which also contains the geodesic arc  $A$ .

Point  $p$  lies on a  $(d-1)$ -sphere  $C$  of radius  $\sin(\phi)$  in the  $d$ -dimensional hyperplane defined by the equation  $x_d = -\cos(\phi)$ . Figure 4 gives an illustration for the case  $d = 2$ , where  $C$  is a circle.



**Figure 4:** Illustrating the definitions of  $A$ ,  $C$ , and  $\Pi$  depending on  $p$ .

The probability that the arc  $A$  defined by the random point  $p$  is intersected by exactly  $k$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres from the random arrangement  $\mathcal{C}$  is

$$q_k = \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} \underbrace{\frac{\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) \sin^{d-1}(\phi)}{\text{Vol}_d(\mathbb{S}^d)}}_{\text{density at angle } \phi} \cdot \underbrace{\binom{n}{k} (\phi/\pi)^k (1 - \phi/\pi)^{n-k}}_{\text{chosen great-}(d-1)\text{-spheres intersect } A} d\phi.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$q_k = \rho \cdot \binom{n}{k} \cdot \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} \sin^{d-1}(\phi) \cdot (\phi/\pi)^k (1 - \phi/\pi)^{n-k} d\phi,$$

where  $\rho = \rho(d) = \frac{\text{Vol}_{d-1}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})}{\text{Vol}_d(\mathbb{S}^d)} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$  is a constant only depending on  $d$ . The latter equation follows from  $\text{Vol}_d(\mathbb{S}^d) = 2\pi^{\frac{d+1}{2}} / \Gamma(\frac{d+1}{2})$ , where  $\Gamma(x)$  is the Euler gamma function (see e.g. [Wikb]).

In the following we give upper and lower bounds for  $q_k$ . The Euler beta function  $B$  turns out to be the tool to evaluate the integrals:

$$B(a+1, b+1) = \int_{t=0}^1 t^a (1-t)^b dt = \frac{a! \cdot b!}{(a+b+1)!}.$$

For this identity and more information see for example [Wika].

To show the first upper bound on  $q_k$ , we bound the integral above as follows: Since  $\sin(\phi) \leq 1$  holds for every  $\phi \in [0, \pi]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_k &\leq \rho \binom{n}{k} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} (\phi/\pi)^k (1 - \phi/\pi)^{n-k} d\phi = \rho \pi \binom{n}{k} \int_{t=0}^1 t^k (1-t)^{n-k} dt \\ &= \rho \pi \binom{n}{k} B(k+1, n-k+1) = \rho \pi \cdot \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \cdot \frac{k!(n-k)!}{(n+1)!} = \rho \pi \cdot \frac{1}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Towards the second upper bound on  $q_k$ , we use the fact that  $\sin(\phi) \leq \phi$  holds for every  $\phi \in [0, \pi]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q_k &\leq \rho\pi^{d-1} \binom{n}{k} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} (\phi/\pi)^{k+d-1} (1-\phi/\pi)^{n-k} d\phi = \rho\pi^d \binom{n}{k} \int_{t=0}^1 t^{k+d-1} (1-t)^{n-k} dt \\ &= \rho\pi^d \cdot \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \cdot \frac{(k+d-1)!(n-k)!}{(n+d)!} = \rho\pi^d \cdot \frac{(k+1)^{\overline{d-1}}}{(n+1)^{\overline{d}}}. \end{aligned}$$

To show the lower bound on  $q_k$ , we split the integral in two parts: Since  $\sin(\phi) \geq 2 \cdot \frac{\phi}{\pi}$  holds for every  $\phi \in [0, \pi/2]$  and  $\sin(\phi) \geq 2 \cdot (1 - \frac{\phi}{\pi})$  holds for every  $\phi \in [\pi/2, \pi]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_k &\geq 2^{d-1} \rho \binom{n}{k} \left[ \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi/2} (\phi/\pi)^{k+d-1} (1-\phi/\pi)^{n-k} d\phi + \int_{\phi=\pi/2}^{\pi} (\phi/\pi)^k (1-\phi/\pi)^{n-k+d-1} d\phi \right] \\ &\geq 2^{d-1} \rho \binom{n}{k} \int_{\phi=0}^{\pi} (\phi/\pi)^{k+d-1} (1-\phi/\pi)^{n-k+d-1} d\phi \\ &= 2^{d-1} \rho\pi \binom{n}{k} \int_{t=0}^1 t^{k+d-1} (1-t)^{n-k+d-1} dt \\ &= 2^{d-1} \rho\pi \cdot \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \cdot \frac{(k+d-1)!(n-k+d-1)!}{(n+2d-1)!} \\ &= \frac{2^{d-1} \rho\pi (k+1)^{\overline{d-1}} (n-k+1)^{\overline{d-1}}}{(n+1)^{2d-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Consider an arrangement  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $n+d$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres  $C_1, \dots, C_{n+d}$  chosen uniformly and independently at random from  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . Let  $p$  be a vertex of  $\mathcal{C}$  chosen uniformly at random (i.e., one of the two points of intersection of  $d$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres  $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_d}$  chosen u.a.r. from  $\mathcal{C}$ ). Note that  $p$  is a u.a.r. chosen point from  $\mathbb{S}^d$ .

We now apply Lemma 7 with  $p$  and  $\mathcal{C}_p := \mathcal{C} - \{C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_d}\}$ . Point  $p$  is separated from  $\mathbf{o}$  by  $k$  great- $(d-1)$ -spheres from  $\mathcal{C}_p$  with probability  $q_k = \Theta(k^{d-1}/n^d)$ . Since  $p$  is chosen uniformly at random among the  $2\binom{n+d}{d}$  vertices of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we obtain the desired bound of  $\Theta(k^{d-1})$ .  $\square$

Corollary 4 follows directly.

## 6 Discussion

Due to the  $O(nk^{1/3})$  upper bound for the complexity of the  $k$ -level, Theorem 1 is only interesting for small  $k$ , i.e.,  $k \ll n^{3/5}$ . It would be interesting to have an improved upper bound for the expected size of the  $k$ -level when the south-cell is randomly chosen also in the range of values between  $\Omega(n^{3/5})$  and  $n/2$ .

We have no non-trivial lower bound and would like to know the answer to the following question:

**Question 1.** *Is there a family of line arrangements where the expected size of the middle level is superlinear when the southpole is chosen uniformly at random? What about other  $k$ -levels?*

Recursive constructions from [ELSS73] and [EW85] show that the size of the  $n/2 - s$  level can be in  $\Omega(n \log n)$  for any fixed  $s$ . Nevertheless computer experiments suggest that if we choose a random southpole for these examples the expected size of the middle level drops to be linear.

In Section 5 we were concerned with a natural model of randomness, where great- $(d - 1)$ -spheres are chosen independently and uniformly at random from the sphere. In the context of research on Erdős–Szekeres-type problems, several articles made use of point sets which are sampled uniformly at random from a convex shape (see e.g. [BF87, Val95, BGAS13, BSV]). It would be interesting to obtain bounds on the number of  $k$ -sets also for random point sets in these models.

Also it is worth mentioning that the probabilistic method used in Section 4 was already used e.g. by Clarkson and Shor [CS89]).

## Acknowledgments

M.-K. Chiu was supported by ERC StG 757609. S. Felsner and M. Scheucher were supported by DFG Grant FE 340/12-1. R. Steiner was supported by DFG-GRK 2434. P. Valtr was supported by the grant no. 18-19158S of the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) and by the PRIMUS/17/SCI/3 project of Charles University. This work was initiated at a workshop of the collaborative DACH project *Arrangements and Drawings* in Schloss St. Martin, Graz. We thank the organizers for the inspiring atmosphere. We also thank Birgit Vogtenhuber for helpful and interesting discussions.

## References

- [BF87] I. Bárány and Z. Füredi. Empty simplices in Euclidean space. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 30(4):436–445, 1987.
- [BGAS13] J. Balogh, H. González-Aguilar, and G. Salazar. Large convex holes in random point sets. *Computational Geometry*, 46(6):725–733, 2013.
- [BPS19] L. Barba, A. Pilz, and P. Schnider. Sharing a pizza: bisecting masses with two cuts, 2019. arXiv:1904.02502.
- [BSV] M. Balko, M. Scheucher, and P. Valtr. Holes and islands in random point sets. In Preparation.
- [BT10] D. Berend and T. Tassa. Improved bounds on bell numbers and on moments of sums of random variables. *Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, 30(2):185–205, 2010.
- [CS89] K. L. Clarkson and P. W. Shor. Applications of random sampling in computational geometry, ii. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 4(5):387–421, 1989.
- [Dey98] T. K. Dey. Improved bounds for planar  $k$ -sets and related problems. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 19(3):373–382, 1998.
- [Ede87] H. Edelsbrunner. *Algorithms in Combinatorial Geometry*. Springer, 1987.
- [ELSS73] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, G. J. Simmons, and E. G. Straus. Chapter 13 - dissection graphs of planar point sets. In *A Survey of Combinatorial Theory*, pages 139–149. North-Holland, 1973.

- [ESS91] H. Edelsbrunner, R. Seidel, and M. Sharir. On the Zone Theorem for Hyperplane Arrangements. In *New Results and New Trends in Computer Science*, pages 108–123. Springer, 1991.
- [EW85] H. Edelsbrunner and E. Welzl. On the number of line separations of a finite set in the plane. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 38:15–29, 1985.
- [GHW13] B. Gärtner, M. Hoffmann, and E. Welzl. Computational geometry – lecture notes, 2013. <https://www.ti.inf.ethz.ch/ew/Lehre/CG13/lecture/cg-2013.pdf>.
- [Lov71] L. Lovász. On the number of halving lines. *Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis de Rolando Eötvös Nominatae Sectio Mathematica*, 14:107–108, 1971.
- [Mat02] J. Matoušek. *Lectures on Discrete Geometry*. Springer, 2002.
- [Niv08] G. Nivasch. An improved, simple construction of many halving edges. *Contemporary Mathematics*, 453:299–305, 2008.
- [O’R94] J. O’Rourke. *Computational Geometry in C*. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [SST01] M. Sharir, S. Smorodinsky, and G. Tardos. An improved bound for  $k$ -sets in three dimensions. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 26(2):195–204, Jan 2001.
- [Tót01] G. Tóth. Point Sets with Many  $k$ -Sets. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 26(2):187–194, 2001.
- [Val95] P. Valtr. On the minimum number of empty polygons in planar point sets. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, pages 155–163, 1995.
- [Wika] Wikipedia. Beta function. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beta\\_function](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beta_function).
- [Wikb] Wikipedia. N-sphere. <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/N-sphere>.