Irrational eigenvalues of almost expansive 1D cellular automata.

Rezki Chemlal.
Laboratoire de Mathématiques Appliquées, Faculté des sciences exactes. Université Abderahmane Mira Bejaia.06000 Bejaia Algeria.

November 7, 2019

Abstract

We show that an almost expansive cellular automaton according to Gilman’s classification cannot have irrational eigenvalues. This completes the proof that any surjective cellular automaton cannot have irrational eigenvalues for the uniform measure.

Résumé: On montre qu’un automate cellulaire presque expansif au sens de la classification de Gilman ne possède pas de valeurs propres irrationnelles. Ceci complète la preuve qu’un automate cellulaire surjectif ne peut pas avoir de valeur propre irrationnelle pour la mesure uniforme.

1 Introduction, definitions

Let $A$ be a finite set; a word is a sequence of elements of $A$. The length of a finite word $u = u_0 \ldots u_{n-1} \in A^n$ is $|u| = n$. We denote by $A^\mathbb{Z}$ the set of bi-infinite sequences over $A$. A point $x \in A^\mathbb{Z}$ is called a configuration. For two integers $i, j$ with $i < j$ we denote by $x(i, j)$ the word $x_i \ldots x_j$.

For any word $u$ we define the cylinder $[u]_l = \{ x \in A^\mathbb{Z} : x(l, l+|u|) = u \}$ where the word $u$ is at the position $l$. The cylinder $[u]_0$ is simply noted $[u]$. The cylinders are clopen (closed open) sets.

Endowed with the distance $d(x, y) = 2^{-n}$ with $n = \min \{i \geq 0 : x_i \neq y_i$ or $x_{-i} \neq y_{-i}\}$, the set $A^\mathbb{Z}$ is a topological compact separated space.

The shift map $\sigma : A^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A^\mathbb{Z}$ is defined as $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$, for any $x \in A^\mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The shift map is a continuous and bijective function on $A^\mathbb{Z}$. The dynamical system $(A^\mathbb{Z}, \sigma)$ is commonly called full shift.

A cellular automaton is a continuous map $F : A^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A^\mathbb{Z}$ commuting with the shift. By the Curtis-Hedlund Lyndon theorem [2] for every cellular automaton $F$ there exist an integer $r$ and a block map $f$ from $A^{2r+1}$ to $A$ such that $F(x)_i = f(x_{i-r}, \ldots, x_i, \ldots x_{i+r})$. The integer $r$ is called the radius of the cellular automaton.

Endowed with the sigma-algebra on $A^\mathbb{Z}$ generated by all cylinder sets and $\nu$ the uniform measure which gives the same probability to every letter of the
alphabet, \((A^Z, \mathcal{B}, F, \nu)\) is a measurable space. The uniform measure is invariant if and only if the cellular automaton is surjective \cite{2}. In the following \((A^Z, \mathcal{B}, F, \nu)\) will denote a surjective cellular automaton equipped with the uniform measure.

1.1 Equicontinuous and almost equicontinuous points of cellular automata

1.1.1 Kůrka’s classification

Kůrka \cite{5} introduced a topological classification based on the equicontinuity, sensitiveness and expansiveness properties. The existence of an equicontinuous point is equivalent to the existence of a blocking word i.e. a configuration that stop the propagation of the perturbations on the one dimensional lattice.

**Definition 1** Let \(F\) be a cellular automaton.

1. A point \(x\) is an equicontinuous point if:
\[
\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0, \forall y : d(x, y) < \delta, \forall n \geq 0, d(F^n(y), F^n(x)) < \epsilon.
\]

2. We say that \(F\) is equicontinuous if every point \(x \in A^Z\) is an equicontinuous point.

3. We say that \(F\) is sensitive if for all \(x \in A^Z\) we have:
\[
\exists \epsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \exists y : d(x, y) < \delta, \exists n \geq 0, d(F^n(y), F^n(x)) \geq \epsilon.
\]

**Definition 2** Let \(F\) be a cellular automaton. A word \(w\) with \(|w| \geq s\) is an \(s\)-blocking word for \(F\) if there exists \(p \in [0, |w| - s]\) such that for any \(x, y \in [w]\) we have \(F^n(x)(p, p + s) = F^n(y)(p, p + s)\) for all \(n \geq 0\).

**Proposition 3** Let \(F\) be a cellular automaton with radius \(r > 0\). The following conditions are equivalent.

1. \(F\) is not sensitive.
2. \(F\) has an \(r\)-blocking word.
3. \(F\) has some equicontinuous point.

1.1.2 Gilman’s classification

Based on the Wolfram’s work \cite{10}, Gilman \cite{3} introduced a classification using Bernoulli measures which are not necessarily invariant. Cellular automata can then be divided into three classes: CA with equicontinuous points, CA with almost equicontinuous points but without equicontinuous points and almost expansive CA.

In \cite{7} Tisseur extends the Gilman’s classification to any shift ergodic measure and gives an example of a cellular automaton with an invariant measure which have almost equicontinuous points but without equicontinuous points.
**Definition 4** Let \( F \) be a cellular automaton and \([i_1, i_2]\) a finite interval of \( \mathbb{Z} \). For \( x \in A^Z \), we define \( B_{[i_1, i_2]} (x) \) by:

\[
B_{[i_1, i_2]} (x) = \{ y \in A^Z, \forall j : F^j (x) (i_1, i_2) = F^j (y) (i_1, i_2) \}.
\]

For any interval \([i_1, i_2]\) the relation \( \mathcal{R} \) defined by \( x \mathcal{R} y \) if and only if \( \forall j : F^j (x) (i_1, i_2) = F^j (y) (i_1, i_2) \) is an equivalence relation and the sets \( B_{[i_1, i_2]} (x) \) are the equivalence classes.

**Definition 5** Let \((F, \mu)\) a cellular automaton equipped with a shift ergodic measure \( \mu \), a point \( x \) is \( \mu \)-equicontinuous if for any \( m > 0 \) we have:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu ([x (-n, n)] \cap B_{[-m,m]} (x))}{\mu ([x (-n, n)])} = 1.
\]

We say that \( F \) is \( \mu \)-almost expansive if there exist \( m > 0 \) such that for all \( x \in A^Z : \mu (B_{[-m,m]} (x)) = 0 \).

**Definition 6** Let \((F, \mu)\) denote a cellular automaton equipped with a shift ergodic measure \( \mu \). Define classes of cellular automata as follows:

1- \((F, \mu) \in A \) if \( F \) is equicontinuous at some \( x \in A^Z \).
2- \((F, \mu) \in B \) if \( F \) is \( \mu \)-almost equicontinuous at some \( x \in A^Z \) but \( F \notin A \).
3- \((F, \mu) \in C \) if \( F \) is \( \mu \)-almost expansive.

For a given \( x \) and interval \( I \) let \( p_t \) be the probability that a random change on \( I \) affects a site a distance greater than \( t \) from \( I \). As \( p_t \geq p_{t+1} \), \( \lim_{t \to \infty} p_t \) exists and is the probability of infinite propagation.

**Proposition 7** If \( F \in C \), then there is a set \( Y \subset A^Z \) of measure 1 and a direction such that for any interval infinite in the distinguished direction \( p_t = 1 \) for all \( t \). In particular the probability that a random change will propagate forever is 1.

**1.2 Spectral properties of cellular automata**

A cellular automaton \((A^Z, \mathcal{B}, F, \mu)\) is ergodic if every invariant subset of \( A^Z \) is either of measure 0 or of measure 1. Equivalently, if for any measurable \( U, V \subset A^Z \), there exists some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \mu (U \cap F^{-n} (V)) > 0 \). It is said weakly mixing if \( F \times F \) is ergodic.

A cellular automaton \((A^Z, \mathcal{B}, F, \mu)\) is mixing if , for any measurable \( U, V \subset A^Z \) we have:

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu (U \cap F^{-n} (V)) = \mu (U) \cdot \mu (V)
\]

A cellular automaton \((B^Z, G)\) is a topological factor of \((A^Z, F)\), if there exists a surjective continuous map \( \pi \) from \( A^Z \) to \( B^Z \) such that \( \pi \circ f = g \circ \pi \). We can define in a similar way measurable factor if \( \pi \) is a measurable map.
We denote by $L^2_\mu$ the set of measurable functions $g : A^\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ for which
$$\|f\|_2 = \left( \int_{A^\mathbb{Z}} |g|^2 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
is finite.

Let $(A^\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{B}, F, \mu)$ be a cellular automaton where $\mu$ is an invariant measure. We say that the function $g \in L^2_\mu$ is a measurable eigenfunction associated to the measurable eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if $g \circ F = \lambda \cdot g ae$.

By definition any eigenvalue must be an element of the unit circle. The set $S_F$ of all eigenvalues of $F$ which form a multiplicative sub group of the complex roots of the unity is called its spectrum.

As any eigenvalue can be written in the form $\exp(2i\pi \alpha)$; we will say that an eigenvalue is rational if $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ and irrational otherwise.

We say that $F$ has a discrete spectrum if $L^2_\mu$ is spanned by the set of eigenfunctions of $F$.

A cellular automaton is ergodic iff any eigenfunction is of constant module and weakly mixing iff it admits 1 as unique eigenvalue and that all eigenfunctions are constant. For more details about these classical results you can see for example [9].

There is a relation between the spectrum of the shift and that of the cellular automaton.

**Proposition 8** Pivato [6] Let $(A^\mathbb{Z}, F)$ be a cellular automaton and $\mu$ a $\sigma$-ergodic measure we have :
1- $S_{\sigma} \subseteq S_F$.
2- If $(A^\mathbb{Z}, \sigma, \mu)$ has discrete spectrum, then so does $(A^\mathbb{Z}, F, \mu)$.
3- If $\mu$ is $F$-ergodic and $(A^\mathbb{Z}, \sigma, \mu)$ is weakly mixing then so is $(A^\mathbb{Z}, F, \mu)$.

Törnä [8] constructed an example of a uniquely ergodic cellular automaton, yet the measure is not of full support.

In [1] the author showed that any surjective cellular automaton with topological equicontinuity points or measurable equicontinuity points according to Gilman’s classification cannot have irrational eigenvalues. The proof assume the measure to be the uniforme measure but still works for any invariant measure with full support.

**2 New result**

In this section we show that an almost expansive CA cannot admit irrational eigenvalues.

**Proposition 9** Let $(A^\mathbb{Z}, F)$ be a surjective cellular automaton and $\mu$ the uniform measure. If $F$ is almost expansive then it cannot have any irrational eigenvalue.

**Proof.** Let us suppose there is a subset $H$ of $A^\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\nu(H) = 1$ and $g$ is the eigenfunction associated to $e^{2i\pi \alpha}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ on $H$. 
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We have then:
\[ \forall x \in H : g(F(x)) = e^{2i\pi \alpha} g(x) \]

By induction we have for each \( x \in H \):
\[ g(F^n(x)) = e^{2i\pi n \alpha} g(x) \]

Notice that the set \( g^{-1}\{0\} \) is \( F \)-invariant. As the eigenvalue \( \exp(2i\pi \alpha) \) is of module 1, the module of \( g \) does not change under the action of \( F \).

In the following we will suppose that \( \mu(g^{-1}\{0\}) = 0 \). When \( \mu(g^{-1}\{0\}) \neq 0 \) it is sufficient to replace \( \mu \) by the conditional measure on \( A^2 \setminus g^{-1}\{0\} \), which is then \( F \)-invariant.

By proposition [7] there is a set \( Y \subset A^2 \) of measure 1 and a direction such that for any interval infinite any change will propagate forever.

By the Lusin theorem for every \( \eta > 0 \) there exists a closed set with \( E_\eta \subset A^2 \) and \( \nu(A^2 \setminus E_\eta) \leq \eta \) and such that the restriction of \( F \) to the set \( E_\eta \) is continuous.

Choose a point \( y \in E_\eta \cap Y \cap H \).

As \( \alpha \) is irrational for every \( \delta \) small enough there exist an integer \( m \) such that \( B_\delta(g(F^m(y))) \cap B_\delta(g(y)) = \emptyset \). Where \( B_\delta \) denote the ball of radius \( \delta \) in \( \mathbb{C} \).

By continuity on \( E_\eta \) there exists two words \( w_1, w_2 \) satisfying:
\[
\begin{cases}
\forall x \in [w_1] \cap E_\eta \cap Y \cap H : g(x) \in B_\delta(g(y)). \\
\forall x \in [w_2] \cap E_\eta \cap Y \cap H : g(x) \in B_\delta(g(F^m(y))).
\end{cases}
\]

Consider now a point \( z \in E_\eta \cap Y \cap H \) such that
\[ B_\delta(g(F^m(y))) \cap B_\delta(g(z)) = \emptyset \text{ and } B_\delta(g(y)) \cap B_\delta(g(z)) = \emptyset \]

From the definition of the set \( Y \) there exists an element \( \overline{z} \in [w_1] \cap E_\eta \cap Y \cap H \) such that \( F^m(\overline{z}) \in B_\delta(g(z)) \) and this is a contradiction. \( \blacksquare \)

3 Conclusion

This finish to show that a surjective one dimensional cellular automaton cannot have irrational eigenvalues on a invariant measure with full support. This is not surprising as an ergodic cellular automaton is weakly mixing. We still do not know an example of a weakly mixing cellular automaton that is not mixing.
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