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Abstract

Let \( X \) be any compact 3-manifold, \( \mathcal{M} \) the space of positive scalar metrics on \( X \), and \( \text{Diff}(X) \) the group of diffeomorphisms on \( X \). Marques proves the fundamental result that \( \mathcal{M} \setminus \text{Diff}(X) \) is path connected. Carlotto and Li have recently found a substantial generalization of Marques’ result in the context of 3-manifolds with boundary that admit positive scalar curvature metrics with mean convex boundary. We show how their result implies that the space of asymptotically flat metrics with non-negative scalar curvature and mean convex boundary on \( \mathbb{R}^3 - B^3 \) is path connected. We also include a result for the maximal vacuum constraint equations of general relativity which in that context represent certain black hole initial data sets. Marques’ argument faces various obstacles in the context of \( \mathbb{R}^3 - B^3 \) and makes use of deep theorems in differential topology. By contrast, our argument is elementary and entirely bypasses such theorems.

1 Introduction

Combining Perelman’s Ricci flow with surgery [Per02], the conformal method, and Gromov-Lawson’s connected sums [GLJ80], Marques [Mar12] recently proved the following fundamental result.

Theorem 1.1. [Mar12] Let \( X \) be a compact 3-manifold without boundary that admits a metric of positive scalar curvature, and let \( \mathcal{M}_{R>0} \) be the space of positive scalar curvature metrics on \( X \) endowed with the smooth topology. Then \( \mathcal{M} \setminus \text{Diff}(X) \) is path connected.

Based on this and using a deep theorem of Cerf [Cer68] on the (orientation preserving) diffeomorphism group of \( S^3 \), Marques showed that the space of asymptotically flat metrics on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with zero scalar curvature is path connected, and furthermore that the space of asymptotically flat solutions to the general relativistic vacuum maximal constraint equations on \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) is path connected\(^1\). This was a significant improvement of a result of Smith and Weinstein [SW04], who proved a similar statement under somewhat more restrictive hypotheses.

More recently, Carlotto and Li [CL19] studied compact 3-manifolds with boundary that admit metrics with positive scalar curvature \( R > 0 \) and strictly mean convex boundary \( H > 0 \). Their first important result characterizes the topology of all such manifolds up to diffeomorphisms, and their second is the following.

\(^1\)The relevant topology in the non-compact setting is more delicate and defined below.
Theorem 1.2. [CL19] Let $X$ be a compact 3-manifold with boundary that admits a metric of positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary, and let $\mathcal{M}_{R>0,H>0}$ be the space of positive scalar curvature metrics with strictly mean convex boundary on $X$ endowed with the smooth topology. Then $\mathcal{M} \setminus \text{Diff}(X)$ is path connected.

Carlotto and Li face a number of obstacles not present in [Mar12] which they overcome by deforming the original manifolds by PDE arguments, doubling these via Gromov-Lawson’s connected sum [GLLJS0], smoothening the result using Miao’s technique [Mia02], and finally relying on a novel sequence of equivariant Ricci flows. They also show the path-connectedness of the larger spaces $R > 0, H \geq 0$ and $R \geq 0, H \geq 0$ though the latter behaves differently when $X$ is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^1 \times I$.

In analogy with Marques’ second result concerning $\mathbb{R}^3$, we show that Theorem 1.2 implies the following, where $B^3$ denotes the open topological 3-ball, and the mean curvature convention is as in [CL19].

Theorem 1.3. Let $\mathcal{M}_{R=0,H\geq 0}$ be the space of metrics with zero scalar curvature and mean convex boundary on $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$ endowed with a suitable weighted Sobolev space topology. Then $\mathcal{M}_{R=0,H\geq 0}$ is path connected.

Figure 1. The Deformation Lemma gives a continuous path from a rough metric to a smooth and harmonically flat metric. The Compactification Lemma gives a Yamabe positive metric with mean convex boundary on $S^3 - B^3$. By Escobar’s resolution of the Yamabe problem, we obtain a continuous path to a metric with positive scalar curvature and mean convex boundary. By Theorem 1.2 these may be joined by a continuous path. Finally, using the conformal Green’s function and the Interpolation Lemma, this path may be lifted to obtain a path of metrics on $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$.

We note that Carlotto and Li remarked in [CL19] that Theorem 1.2 implies a statement like Theorem 1.3, by an argument along the lines of Section 9 of [Mar12], and moreover that the work
required for Theorem 1.3 is straightforward compared to Theorem 1.2, which is a far more difficult result. We nevertheless decided that it was worthwhile giving an explicit proof of Theorem 1.3, since we shall precisely pinpoint the obstacles that Marques’ argument faces in the context of $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$, and moreover because our proof is more elementary than that in [Mar12]. Indeed, there are various PDE and topological obstacles which the argument in [Mar12] faces in the context of manifolds with boundary.

On the PDE side, Marques uses a result of Smith Weinstein [SW04] which they had proved on the way to their own path connectedness result. In the case of $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$, we can appeal to the work of Maxwell [Max05] in lieu of [SW04], which brings the added benefit of permitting to cover a rougher class of initial data compared to [Mar12].

On the topological side, the best scenario seems to be that Marques’ argument can be adapted to $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$ modulo an obstruction in the form of $\text{Diff}(\partial A^3)$, the group of diffeomorphisms of the closed 3-annulus $A^3 = D^3_r - B^3_{r'}$, fixing the boundary. As it turns out, deep theorems on $\text{Diff}(S^3)$ by Cerf and or Hatcher straightforwardly imply that $\text{Diff}(\partial A^3)$ has two connected components, thus limiting Marques’ argument to the conclusion that $M_1$ has at most two components. Remarkably, our proof of Theorem 1.3 is elementary and makes no use of differential topology.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.3, we have the following.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let $\mathcal{M}_{R \geq 0, H \geq 0}$ be the space of metrics on $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$ with non-negative scalar curvature and mean convex boundary. Suppose that these metrics belong to a certain weighted Sobolev space and endow it with that topology. Then $\mathcal{M}_{R \geq 0, H \geq 0}$ is path connected.

As in [Mar12], we also find the associated result concerning the vacuum constraint equations with specific boundary conditions. On any manifold $X$, the general relativistic constraint equations read

$$16\pi \mu = R_g + (\text{Tr}_g k)^2 - |k|^2_g, \quad (1)$$

$$8\pi J = \text{div}_g (k - (\text{Tr}_g k) g) \quad (2)$$

where $g$ is a metric and $k$ a symmetric two-form on $X$. Our case will be the set of asymptotically flat pairs $(g, k)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$ solving these equations with $\mu = J = 0$ (vacuum), $\text{Tr}_g k = 0$ (maximal) along with the following boundary conditions:

$$H \geq \text{Tr}_g (\sigma) - \sigma(\nu, \nu) \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

where $\nu$ is the normal to $\partial M$ pointing away from the asymptotically flat end. In general relativistic terms, this is to say that the outer null expansion of $\partial M$ satisfies $\theta^+ = -\text{Tr}_g (\sigma) + \sigma(\nu, \nu) - H \leq 0$ with $H \geq 0$ so that $\partial M$ is either outer trapped or marginally outer trapped.

In this setting we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let $\mathcal{M}_{BH}$ be the space of triples $(g, \sigma, H)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$ satisfying (1), (2), (3) with $\mu = J = 0$, $\text{Tr}_g \sigma = 0$. Suppose that $(g, \sigma, H)$ belong to a certain weighted Sobolev spaces and endow it with that topology. Then $\mathcal{M}_{BH}$ is path connected.

---

---

*So Theorem 1.3 also gives an elementary proof of Marques result.*
Other than of geometric interest, Theorem 1.5 may be of relevance to general relativity since $M_{BH}$ represents a certain class of black hole initial data sets. In particular, the path connectedness of $M_{BH}$ can be thought of as a necessary condition for the well known so-called Final State Conjecture, which states that generic black hole initial data sets will asymptote to ones that are close in some geometric sense to those that isometrically embed into the Kerr spacetime solution. One class of initial data sets for which this problem can be studied is $M_{BH}$. Assuming the subset $K$ of $M_{BH}$ that isometrically embed into the Kerr spacetime lie in at most one component of $M_{BH}$, and using the fact that evolution by the field equations traces a continuous path in $M_{BH}$, if $M_{BH}$ were disconnected, then certain initial data sets describing black holes would appear to be unable to approach $K$ in a continuous way. This would spell trouble for the Final State Conjecture. Theorem 1.5 shows that no such tension arises.
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2 Marques’ Argument with a Boundary

After proving Theorem 1.1 Marques turns to the case of asymptotically flat metrics on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and proves the path connectedness of three spaces, $M_{R=0}$, $M_{R\geq 0}$, and $M_V$ where $M_V$ denotes that of asymptotically flat solutions $(g,k)$ to the vacuum, maximal constraint equations. The hardest part of the argument concerns $M_{R=0}$ and proceeds in four main stages. The class of metrics Marques considers belong to weighted Holder spaces on $\mathbb{R}^3$, and it is under that topology that path connectedness is proved.

1. A deformation lemma produces a continuous path from any metric in $M_{R=0}$ to a smooth, harmonically flat metric $g \in M_{R=0}$. This relies on results of Smith and Weinstein [SW04].

2. With $g$ in hand, Marques shows the existence of a diffeomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^3 - \{p\}$ such that $\phi_*(g) = G^4 \bar{g}$ where $\bar{g}$ is a metric on $S^3$ with positive Yamabe type and $G$ is the Green’s function for the conformal Laplacian $L_{\bar{g}}$, i.e., a function on $S^3$ solving the distributional equation $L_{\bar{g}}(G) = -4\pi \delta_p$.

3. Using (2) and a theorem of Palais [Pal59] on extensions of local diffeomorphisms, Marques constructs a continuous family of diffeomorphisms $\phi_\mu : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^3 - \{p\}$ with properties matching those in (2).

4. The path connectedness of $M_{R=0}$ results from combining (2), (3) with Theorem 1.1. The key step is to show that composing the constructed diffeomorphisms results in a diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ which can be realized by a continuous path of diffeomorphisms $F_\mu : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$. To show this last step, Marques uses a deep theorem of Cerf [Cer68] showing that $\text{Diff}_+(D^3)$ is path-connected. What remains to be shown is that a diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ that is identity outside a compact set can be realized by a continuous path of diffeomorphisms $F_\mu : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, each identity outside a compact set, such that $F_{\mu=0} = \text{id}$ and $F_{\mu=1} = F$. Since each $F_\mu$ gives an orientation preserving diffeomorphism of $D^3$, the path connectedness of $\text{Diff}_+(D^3)$
guarantees the existence of the desired path $F_\mu$. 

It is worth considering what becomes of Marques’ argument in the case of $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B^3$, where to begin with we consider the space of metrics with $R = 0$ and $H > 0$ where $H$ is computed in the direction pointing away from the asymptotically flat end.

It is reasonable to expect that (1) and (2) can be generalized to this setting. For (1) one has to show an analog of the technical result of Smith and Weinstein [SW04]. One can look for a direct proof using elliptic theory for oblique derivative problems on bounded domains. As it happens, results of Maxwell [Max05] are also sufficient for the argument. For (2) one has to show the existence of a diffeomorphism playing the role $\phi$ and a metric on $S^3 \setminus B^3$ with positive Yamabe quotient along with suitable mean curvature on $\partial B^3$. This involves studying the Yamabe problem with boundary, for which one can appeal to its resolution in the suitable case by Escobar [Esc92]. One can then make use of Carlotto and Li’s Theorem 1.2 to guarantee a path through positive scalar metrics with minimal boundary and the fact that $\text{Diff}_+(X)$ is path connected when $X$ is $S^3 \setminus B^3 (\simeq D^3)$.

As in (4), one seeks to show that composing the diffeomorphisms constructed produces a diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R}^3 - B^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3 - B^3$ that is identity outside a compact set and identity within a neighborhood of $\partial B^3$. To find a continuous path of diffeomorphisms playing the role of $F_\mu$, we thus consider $\text{Diff}_0(A^3)$, the group of diffeomorphisms of the three-annulus $A^3 \equiv D^3_r - B^3_{r < r'}$ keeping the boundary fixed.

Here however we run into the issue that $\text{Diff}_0(A^3)$ has two connected components. This can be seen as an immediate consequence of Hatcher’s theorem (though corollary 2.2 can also be shown without Theorem 2.1).\[3]

**Theorem 2.1.** [Hat83] There is a weak homotopic equivalence $\text{Diff}(S^3) \simeq O(4)$.

**Corollary 2.2.** $\text{Diff}_0(A^3)$ has two connected components.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.1 and the fact\[4] that there is a weak homotopic equivalence $\text{Diff}(S^3) \simeq O(4) \times \text{Diff}_0(D^3)$, and that $\text{Diff}_0(D^3) \to \text{Diff}(D^3) \to \text{Diff}(S^3)$ is a fibration, it follows that $\text{Diff}_0(D^3)$ is weakly contractible. Now consider the action on the standard embedding $D^3_r \to D^3$ by $\text{Diff}_0(D^3)$. Letting $\text{Emb}_+(D^3_r, D^3)$ denote the space of orientation preserving embeddings of $D^3_r$ into $D^3_{r > r'}$, this gives a fibration $\text{Diff}_0(D^3_r) \to \text{Emb}_+(D^3_r, D^3)$ with fiber of the standard embedding given by $\text{Diff}_0(A^3)$. Putting these observations together, the long exact sequence of homotopy groups implies that $\pi_0(\text{Diff}_0(A^3)) = \pi_1(\text{Emb}_+(D^3_r, D^3))$. Since the derivative at the origin gives a projection \[5\] $\text{Emb}_+(D^3_r, D^3) \to SO(3)$, and since $\pi_1(SO(3)) = \mathbb{Z} \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $\text{Diff}_0(A^3)$ has two connected components. \qed

So in the best case, Marques’ argument permits proving that $\mathcal{M}_{R=0, H \geq 0}$ has most two connected components. By contrast, the proof below bypasses deep theorems in differential topology and proves path connectedness.

---

\[3\]The authors thank Alexander Kupers for pointing this out to us.
\[4\]See for instance [Hat83] or more simply part II of [Kup19].
\[5\]See for instance the proof of Lemma 9.2.3 of [Kup19].
3 Proof

We work in the setting of a smooth non-compact 3-manifold with smooth boundary, hereby denoted by \( M = \mathbb{R}^3 - B^3 \). We will assume that \( M \) is asymptotically flat with a single end and that its data belongs in a suitable weighted Sobolev space.

There are three main steps to the proof of Theorem 1.3, one of which relies on Theorem 1.2. The first is a deformation lemma that gives a continuous path to a smooth and harmonically flat metric. The second constructs a diffeomorphism between \( M \) and \( S^3 - B^3 - \{p\} \) such that \( S^3 - B^3 \) admits a metric of positive Yamabe type. The third permits realizing a continuous family of diffeomorphisms on \( M \) with the desired properties on the basis of the path of metrics on \( S^3 - B^3 \) that Theorem 1.2 guarantees. These are split into respective subsections, and we start below by recalling some well known definitions. Finally, Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 follow relatively straightforwardly from Theorem 1.3.

3.1 Asymptotic Flatness

The following definitions and conventions follow that of Bartnik [Bar86]. For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), let \( w(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2} \). Then for any \( \delta \in \mathbb{R} \) and any open set \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \), the weighted Sobolev space \( W^{k,p}_\delta(\Omega) \) is the subset of \( W^{k,p}(\Omega) \) for which the following norm is finite, where in particular \( 1 < p < \infty \).

\[
||u||_{W^{k,p}_\delta(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} ||w^{-\frac{\delta}{p} + |\beta|} \partial^\beta u||_{L^p(\Omega)}
\]

Weighted spaces of continuous functions are defined by the norm

\[
||u||_{C^\delta(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \sup_{x \in \Omega} w(x)^{-\delta + |\alpha|} |\partial^\alpha u(x)|
\]

Let \( M \) be a smooth, connected, \( n \)-dimensional manifold with boundary, and let \( g \) be a metric on \( M \) for which \( (M, g) \) is complete, and let \( \rho < 0 \). Let \( E \) be a region diffeomorphic to \( R^3 - D^3 \). Then we say that \( (M, g) \) is of class \( W^{k,p}_\rho \) if the following conditions are satisfied

(i) The metric \( g \in W^{k,p}_{\rho}(M) \) where \( 1/p - k/n < 0 \) so that \( g \) is continuous.

(ii) There exists a finite collection \( \{N_i\}_{i=1}^m \) of open subsets of \( M \) and diffeomorphisms \( \Phi_i : E \to N_i \) such that \( M - \cup_i N_i \) is compact,

(iii) For each \( i \), \( \Phi^*_i(g) - \delta \in W^{k,p}_\rho(E) \).

Let \( (M, g) \) be asymptotically flat and let \( \{\Phi_i\}_{i=1}^m \) be its collection of charts on its ends. Let \( K = M - \cup_i \Phi_i(E) \), so \( K \) is a compact manifold with boundary. The weighted Sobolev space \( W^{k,p}_\delta(M) \) is the subset of \( W^{k,p}_{\rho}(M) \) such that the following norm is finite.

\[
||u||_{W^{k,p}_\delta(M)} = ||u||_{W^{k,p}(K)} + \sum_i ||\Phi_i^* u||_{W^{k,p}_\delta(E)}
\]

The weighted spaces \( L^p_\delta(M) \) and \( C^k_\delta(M) \) are defined analogously, and \( C^\infty_\delta(M) = \cap_{k=0}^\infty C^k_\delta(M) \). These weighted spaces are also serve to define an asymptotically flat initial data set for the constraint equations. The only additional requirement is that \( \sigma \), the second fundamental form associated with the initial data set, behaves like a first derivative of \( g \in W^{k,p}_\rho \) and thus belongs to \( W^{k-1,p}_{\rho-1}(M) \).
3.2 Deformation Lemma

Let us first define \( \mathcal{M}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \) more precisely that what was alluded to above.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( k \) be an integer \( \geq 2 \), let \(-1 < \rho < 0\), and \( p > 3/k \). Then \( \mathcal{M}^{k,p,\rho}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \) denote the set of metrics on \( M \) such that \((M,g)\) is of class \( W^{k,p}_\rho \) with \( R_g = 0 \), \( H_g \geq 0 \), and \( H_g \in W^{k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial M) \).

Starting from any metric \( g \in \mathcal{M}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \), we show that there is a continuous path \( \mu \in [0,1] \rightarrow g_\mu \) within \( \mathcal{M}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \) from \( g = g_0 \) to a metric \( g_1 \) that is both smooth and harmonically flat metric \( g_1 \), where a metric on \( M \) is harmonically flat if there is a compact set containing \( \partial M \) outside of which the metric takes the form \( u^4 \delta \) with \( u \) harmonic \( \Delta_g u = 0 \).

**Lemma 3.2** (Deformation Lemma). Let \( g \in \mathcal{M}^{k,p,\rho}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \). There is a path \( \mu \in [0,1] \rightarrow g_\mu \in \mathcal{M}^{k,p,\rho}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \) with \( g = g_0 \) such that \( g_1 \) is smooth, harmonically flat, and has minimal boundary, and moreover this path is continuous in the \( W^{k,p}_\rho \) topology.

This lemma follows from the following result of Maxwell [Max05]. Let \( F_{\alpha,\beta} \) denote the following operator \((\Delta - \alpha)|_M, (\partial \mu + \beta)|_\partial M)\), and let \( n \) be the dimension of \( M \) which is 3 in our case.

**Proposition 3.3.** [Max03] Let \((M,g)\) be asymptotically flat of class \( W^{k,p}_\rho \), \( k \geq 2 \), \( k > n/p \), and suppose \( \alpha \in W^{k-2,p}_\rho \) and \( \beta \in W^{k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}_\rho \). Then if \( 2 - n < \delta < 0 \) the operator \( F_{\alpha,\beta} : W^{k,p}_\delta \rightarrow W^{k-2,p}_\delta (M) \times W^{k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}_\delta (\partial M) \) is Fredholm with index 0. Moreover if \( \alpha, \beta \geq 0 \) then \( F \) is an isomorphism.

The proof of Lemma 3.4 combines ideas in [Mar12] and [Max05]. Let \( \eta \) be a smooth cut-off function \( 0 \leq \eta \leq 1 \) such that \( \eta(t) = 1 \) for \( t \leq 1 \) and \( \eta(t) = 0 \) for \( t \leq 2 \). Set \( \eta_R(t) = \eta(t/R) \). Given \( g \in \mathcal{M}^{k,p,\rho}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \) and \( R > 0 \), we define \( g_R = (1 - \eta_R)\delta + \eta_Rg \). As is well known, we can approximate \( g_R \) by a smooth metric \( g'_R \) such that \( \|g_R - g'_R\|_{W^{k,p}(B_R(0))} \) is small and \( g'_R = g_R = \delta \) if \( |x| \geq 3R \).

It is clear that for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there exists a \( R_0 \) such that if \( R \geq R_0 \) and \( \mu \in [0,1] \) such that

\[
\|g_{R,\mu} - g\|_{W^{k,p}_\rho} \leq \epsilon
\]

where \( g_{R,\mu} = (1 - \mu)g + \mu g'_R \). It follows from the Maximum Principle that \( F_{0,H_g\geq 0} : W^{k,p}_\delta \rightarrow W^{k-2,p}_\delta (M) \times W^{k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}_\delta (\partial M) \) is injective, and thus an isomorphism by Proposition 3.3. It is also possible to see, for \( R \) is sufficiently large, that the operator \( F_{1,R_{g_{R,\mu},H_g}} \) is close to \( F_{0,H_g} \) in the operator norm for all \( \mu \in [0,1] \). This is due to the decay of \( R_{g_{R,\mu}} \) and the fact that the part of \( F \) acting on \( \partial M \) is independent of \( \alpha \). Thus \( F_{1,R_{g_{R,\mu},H_g}} \) is an isomorphism for \( R \) sufficiently large\(^6\).

With this isomorphism, we may consider the unique solution \( v_{R,\mu} \) to \( F_{1,R_{g_{R,\mu},H_g}} (v_{R,\mu}) = (\frac{1}{H_{g_{R,\mu}}}, 0) \).

**Lemma 3.2** now follows by setting \( g_{\mu} = u^4_{R,\mu}g_{R,\mu} = (1 + v_{R,\mu})^3g_{R,\mu} \).

\(^6\)That part of \( F_{1,R_{g_{R,\mu},H_g}} \) not acting on \( \partial M \) is just the conformal Laplacian of \( g_{R,\mu} \). Note then that a result of this kind is used in [SY79] and [Bar86] where the existence of a unique positive solution \( u \) to the Dirichlet problem for the equation \( \Delta u - f u = 0 \) is guaranteed provided the negative part of \( f \) is sufficiently small - \( \|f\|_{L^2} < \epsilon_0 \) for some constant \( \epsilon_0 \). The geometric meaning being that the negative part of the scalar curvature which could otherwise ruin injectivity and surjectivity is sufficiently small. Note that in the current case the mean curvature of the boundary associated with \( g_{R,\mu} \) is kept constant.
3.3 Compactification Lemma

In Theorem 9.3 of [Mar12] Marques shows that an asymptotically flat manifold with positive scalar curvature gives rise to a Yamabe positive metric on $S^3$. The corresponding result in the boundary case is as follows.

**Lemma 3.4** (Compactification Lemma). Let $g$ be a smooth, asymptotically flat, harmonically flat metric on $M$ with $R \equiv 0$ and $H \geq 0$. Denote by $\overline{M}$ a manifold diffeomorphic $S^3 - B^3$. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $\phi : M \to \overline{M} - \{p\}$ and a smooth function $v : M \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

- outside a large ball in $M$ we have $\phi = \exp_{\overline{g},p} \circ \text{Inv}$ where $\text{Inv}(x) := \frac{x}{|x|^2}$ is the inversion map,

- on $\overline{M} - \{p\}$ the metric $\overline{g} := \phi_* (v^4g)$ extends smoothly to $\{p\}$,

- the mean curvature of $\partial \overline{M}$ satisfies $H = H$,

- $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ has positive Yamabe type.

**Proof.** Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^3 \to S^3 - \{p\}$ be the inverse stereographic projection which we restrict to $M$. We define $v : M \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{cases}
  v(x) := \frac{1}{u(x)} & \text{for } x \text{ near } \infty, \\
  v(x) := 1 & \text{for } x \text{ near } \Sigma.
\end{cases}
$$

By construction $\overline{g}$ can be extended smoothly from $\overline{M} - \{p\}$ to $\overline{M}$ and we have $\overline{H} = H$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\phi$ can be constructed such that $\phi = \exp \circ \text{Inv}$ outside a large ball. Thus it remains to show that $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ has positive Yamabe type. For this, we begin with showing that $G := \phi_* \left( \frac{1}{v^4} \right)$ is a conformal Green’s function on $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$, that is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\overline{g}} G = -4\pi \delta_p
$$

(4)

Since the formula for scalar curvature under conformal transformation yields

$$
0 = R = -G^5 \mathcal{L}_{\overline{g}} G,
$$

we obtain $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{g}} G = 0$ outside $\{p\}$. Near $\{p\}$ we have by construction $G(y) = \frac{1}{|y|} + O(1)$ where $|y|$ denotes the distance from $p$ to $y$ with respect to $\overline{g}$. In order to show let $f$ be a smooth test function on $\overline{M}$. Then there exists for every $\epsilon > 0$ a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x) - f(p)| \leq \epsilon$ for $x \in B_\delta(\infty)$. Thus, we have

$$
\int_{B_\delta(p)} f \Delta G = (f(p) + O(\epsilon)) \int_{S_\delta(p)} \nabla G = (f(p) + O(\epsilon))(-4\pi + O(\delta^2)) = -4\pi f(p) + O(\epsilon)
$$

which shows Escobar showed in [Esc92] that for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists a solution to the Yamabe equation with boundary, i.e. there is a $\zeta : \overline{M} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}
  8R\zeta - \Delta \zeta = c\zeta^5 & \text{for } x \in \overline{M}, \\
  \nabla \nu \zeta = -\frac{H}{4}\zeta & \text{for } x \in \Sigma.
\end{cases}
$$
The Yamabe type is then characterized by the sign of $c$. Thus, to show that $M$ has positive Yamabe type it suffices to show that $c > 0$. We compute

$$
c \int_M G \zeta^5 = \int_M -G \Delta \zeta + 8G R \zeta
$$

$$=- \int_{\Sigma} G \nabla \nu \zeta + \int_M (\nabla \zeta, \nabla G) + 8G R \zeta
$$

$$= \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{4} H G \zeta - \int_M \zeta \nabla G + \int_{\Sigma} \zeta \nabla \nu G
$$

$$= \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{4} H G \zeta + 4 \pi \zeta(p) > 0.
$$

This finishes the proof.

We apply this construction to $g_i$, $i = 0, 1$, to obtain metrics $\overline{g}_i$ on $\overline{M}$. Note that hereby we may choose the same diffeomorphism $\phi$ for both $g_0$ and $g_1$.

3.4 Interpolation Lemma

Theorem 1.2 guarantees that $\overline{g}_0$ and $\overline{g}_1$ can be connected by a continuous path of Yamabe positive metrics $\{\overline{g}_\mu\}$. What needs showing is that the path $\{\overline{g}_\mu\}$ gives rise to a continuous path from $g_0$ to $g_1$ in $M_1$. This is achieved by ‘inverting’ the Compactification Lemma using the standard trick of blowing up the metrics $g_\mu$ with their conformal Green’s functions $G_\mu$. This gives a new metric on $\overline{M} - \{p\}$ which can be pulled back onto $M$ via a continuous family of diffeomorphisms $\phi_\mu : M \rightarrow \overline{M} - \{p\}$ and so the main challenge is to construct a suitable family $\{\phi_\mu\}$. As it turns out, the geometry of this problem makes it solvable by elementary means, and in particular without having to invoke deep theorems of differential topology.

Lemma 3.5 (Interpolation Lemma). Let $\overline{g}_0$ and $\overline{g}_1$ be as in Lemma 3.4 and let $\overline{g}_\mu$ be a continuous path between these metrics guaranteed by Theorem 1.2. Moreover, let $G_\mu : \overline{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous family of functions which satisfy in a small neighborhood of $p$

$$G_\mu(y) = \frac{1}{|y|_{\overline{g}_\mu}} + A(\mu) + O(|y|_{\overline{g}_\mu})$$

$$|\nabla G_\mu(y)| = O(|y|^{-2}), \quad |\nabla^2 G_\mu(y)| = O(|y|^{-3}).$$

where $|y|_{\overline{g}_\mu}$ denotes the distance of $y$ to $p$ with respect to $\overline{g}_\mu$. Then there exists a continuous path of diffeomorphisms $\phi_\mu$ such that $\mu \in [0, 1] \rightarrow g_\mu \equiv \phi_\mu^*(G_\mu \overline{g}_\mu)$ is a continuous path of asymptotically flat metric on $M$.

Proof. Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \overline{M} - \{p\}$ be the diffeomorphism of Lemma 3.4. We choose $\epsilon$ so small such that

- $\mathbb{R}^3 - B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(0)$ is in the harmonically flat regime of $g_0$ and $g_1$ so that $\overline{g}_0 = \overline{g}_1 = \psi_* \delta$ in a small neighborhood around $\{p\}$,
- $\phi = \psi \circ \text{Inv}$ outside $B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(0)$ where $\psi = \exp_{\overline{g}_1,p} = \exp_{\overline{g}_0,p} = \exp_{\delta,p}$ in a small neighborhood around $\{p\}$,
- $(\mathbb{R}^3 - B_{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}(0)) \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$. 
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Our continuous family of diffeomorphisms \( \phi_\mu \) can now be defined as follows

\[
\phi_\mu = \begin{cases} 
\phi & \text{inside } B_\frac{1}{\epsilon}(0) \\
\psi_\mu \circ \text{Inv} & \text{outside } B_\frac{1}{\epsilon}(0)
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \psi_\mu : B_\epsilon(0) \to S^3 \) is a continuous path of maps, each diffeomorphic onto their image, such that \( \psi_0 = \psi_1 = \psi \). The task is now to show that \( g_\mu = \phi_\mu^*(G^4_\mu \overline{\gamma}_\mu) \) is an asymptotically flat metric on \( M \). To show this we must construct \( \psi_\mu \) suitably. To do so we set \( \psi_\mu \equiv \psi \circ f_\mu \) where \( f_\mu : B_\epsilon \to B_\epsilon \) is a diffeomorphism onto its image with \( f_0 = f_1 = \text{Id} \). It now remains to specify \( f_\mu \).

Let \( C_r := \psi(S_r) \), \( 0 < r < \epsilon \), where \( S_r \) is a round sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) around the origin. Moreover, let \( C^\mu_r \) be the geodesic spheres around \( p \) with respect to \( \overline{\gamma}_\mu \). On \( T_p S^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \) we have both the standard metric \( \psi_\mu \delta \) and the metric \( \overline{\gamma}_\mu(p) \). We choose an orthonormal basis \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}_\mu \) for \( \delta \) and rotate it such a way that \( \overline{\gamma}_\mu(p) = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)_\mu \). Observe that hereby the choice of orthonormal basis is continuous with respect to \( \mu \). Although \( \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}_\mu \) and \( (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)_\mu \) depend on \( \mu \), we will now suppress this to declutter the notation.

Observe now that in \( T_p S^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \), a sphere of radius \( r \) with respect to \( \delta \) corresponds to an ellipsoid whose principal semi-axes have length \( r\lambda_1, r\lambda_2, r\lambda_3 \) with respect to \( \overline{\gamma}_\mu(p) \). Vice versa, a sphere of radius \( r \) with respect to \( \overline{\gamma}_\mu(p) \) corresponds to an ellipsoid \( E_r \) whose principal semi-axes have length \( \frac{r}{\lambda_1}, \frac{r}{\lambda_2}, \frac{r}{\lambda_3} \) with respect to \( \delta \). That is

\[
E_r \equiv \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : \lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 x_3^2 = r^2\}
\]

We saw in the proof Lemma 3.4 that the definition of \( \phi \) and the asymptotic flatness of \( g_0 \) led to take \( \psi \) to be the exponential map. We now want to go in the other way and guarantee the asymptotic flatness of \( g_\mu \). To mimic the role of \( \psi \), we want \( S_r \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) in the flat metric to be mapped to \( C_r^\mu \) on \( S^3, \overline{\gamma}_\mu \) under \( \psi_\mu \). Given that \( C^\mu_r \) depends only on the distance \( |y|_{\overline{\gamma}_\mu} \) from \( p \), the blow up of \( \overline{\gamma}_\mu \) by \( G_\mu^4 \) turns geodesic spheres around \( p \) into large spheres, which we want to pull back onto large co-ordinate spheres in the asymptotic region. To achieve this we require that spheres \( S_r \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) in the flat metric get mapped to \( C^\mu_r \) under \( \psi_\mu \). By considering the inverse exponential map \( \exp_{\overline{\gamma}_\mu, p}^{-1} \), we see that \( C^\mu_r \) corresponds to \( S_r \subset T_p S^3 \) with the metric \( \overline{\gamma}_\mu(p) \), which by the above discussion corresponds to an ellipsoid \( E_r \) in the flat metric. Dropping the subscript on \( f_\mu \), this motivates the following definition of \( f : B_\epsilon(0) \to B_\epsilon(0) \)

\[
f(x,y,z) = \left( \frac{x_1}{\lambda_1(r)}, \frac{x_2}{\lambda_2(r)}, \frac{x_3}{\lambda_3(r)} \right)
\]

and where \( \lambda_j, j = 1, 2, 3 \), are smooth and satisfy

\[
\lambda_j(r) = \lambda_j
\]

for \( r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} \leq \xi \) where \( 0 < \xi < \epsilon \) is chosen below. This means that \( S_r \) is mapped to \( E_r \) for these values of \( r \). Moreover, we impose that

\[
\lambda_j(r) = 1
\]
for $\frac{\xi}{2} \leq x \leq \epsilon$ and that $\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}r}$ is strictly monotone increasing. The latter conditions says that the images of spheres $S_r$ under $f_\mu$ which interpolate between spheres and ellipsoids do not touch. We are able to achieve all these conditions by choosing $\frac{\xi}{2 \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3\}} < \frac{\xi}{2}$, i.e. so that the ellipsoids up to radius $\xi$ lie within the ball $B_2(0)$. This leaves sufficient space to interpolate between the ellipsoids and the spheres. Note that since $[0, 1]$ is compact, we can choose $\xi$ uniform in $\mu$. Also, observe that by our above discussion $f_\mu : B_\epsilon(0) \to B_\epsilon(0)$ is a diffeomorphism and thus $\psi_\mu$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image. Moreover, the whole definition of $f_\mu$ depends continuously on the parameter $\mu$.

It now remains to show that the resulting metric $g_\mu$ is asymptotically flat. Note that this does not follow immediately since $\psi_\mu(x) \neq \exp_{\gamma_\mu}(x)$. However, as it turns out error terms are of sufficiently lower order so the error terms can be controlled. Consider for the moment another metric in a neighborhood of $p$, $\hat{g} = \psi_\epsilon(g_\mu(p))$, where we have interpreted $\psi$ as map $\psi : B_\rho(0) \subset T_pS^3 \to S^3$ for some $\rho$ sufficiently small.

We wish to compare $\overline{g}_\mu$ and $\hat{g}$. For this purpose we take our previous orthogonal basis with respect to $\overline{g}_\mu(p)$, and orthonormal with respect to $\delta$ of $T_pS^3$ and scale it to be orthonormal with respect to $\overline{g}_\mu(p)$; that is, we set $\overline{e}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}e_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and exponentiate it onto $S^3$ to obtain normal coordinates on $S^3$. The normal coordinate formula yields

$$(\overline{g}_\mu)_{ij}(y) = \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(|y|^2)$$

in a small neighborhood around $\{p\}$. Also, we have the higher order estimates

$$\partial_k(\overline{g}_\mu)_{ij} = \mathcal{O}(|y|), \quad \partial_k \partial_l(\overline{g}_\mu)_{ij} = \mathcal{O}(1).$$

Furthermore, observe that $\psi_\mu = \exp_{\gamma_\mu}$ in a small neighborhood of the origin. Next, we observe that the normal coordinates pull back under $f_\mu$ to an orthonormal basis in $T_p\mathbb{R}^3$ for $|x|$ very small. After scaling and inverting this given then an orthonormal basis also for $T_p\mathbb{R}^3$ with $|x|$ very large. In the following we will abuse notation and will denote all of the above coordinates by $\{e_i\}$ to simplify the exposition. Let now $X = X^ie_i$, $Y = Y^i e_i$ be two tangential vectors at $x \in M$ with $|x| \gg 1$ expressed in the above orthonormal basis. We compute

$$(g_\mu(x))(X, Y) = ((\psi \circ f_\mu \circ \text{Inv})^*G^4 \overline{g}_\mu(x))_{ij}X^iY^j$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x|^4} \left((\psi \circ f_\mu)^*G^4 \overline{g}_\mu(x) \left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)\right)_{ij}X^iY^j$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x|^4} \left((\psi)^*G^4 \overline{g}_\mu \left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)\right)_{ij}X^iY^j$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x|^4} \left(G \left(\psi \circ f_\mu \left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)\right)\right)^4(\overline{g}_\mu)_{ij}X^iY^j$$

Observe that

$$\left|\psi \circ f_\mu \left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)\right|_{g_\mu} = \left|\psi \circ f_\mu \left(\frac{x}{|x|^2}\right)\right|_{g_\mu} + \mathcal{O}(|x|^2) = \frac{1}{|x|} + \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2})$$

by our above discussion. Hence the distance of $\psi \circ f_\mu(\frac{x}{|x|^2})$ to $p$ is small and we can apply our asymptotics for $G$. More precisely, we obtain

$$(g_\mu(x))(X, Y) = \delta_{ij}X^iY^j + \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-1})$$
as desired. The higher order estimates are shown in an analogous way which finishes the proof.

3.5 Proof of Main Theorems

We can now state the proof of Theorem 1.3. Take any two metrics \( g_1 \) and \( g_2 \) in \( \mathcal{M}_{R=0,H\geq 0} \) on \( M \). The Deformation Lemma gives us a smooth harmonically flat metrics \( \tilde{g}_{1,2} \) on \( M \). The Compactification Lemma gives us a diffeomorphism from \( (M, \tilde{g}_{1,2}) \) onto \( S^3-B^3-\{p\} \) which extends to produce the manifold \( (S^3-B^3, \tilde{g}_{1,2}) \) where \( \tilde{g}_{1,2} \) is of positive Yamabe type. Escobar’s work on the Yamabe problem with boundary \( \partial B^3 \) guarantees that we can find a continuous path in the \( C^\infty \) topology within the conformal class of \( \tilde{g}_{1,2} \) to a metric with positive scalar curvature metric and minimal boundary. Theorem 1.2 of Carlotto and Li gives us a path between these metrics. This places us in the setting of the Interpolation Lemma, which finishes the proof.

Corollary 1.4 follows from Theorem 1.3 by considering the following PDE.

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta \phi - \frac{1}{8} R \phi = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3/B^3 \\
\partial_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{4} H \phi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B^3
\end{cases}
\]

This operator is injective by the Maximum Principle and our choice of signs for \( R \) and \( H \). Surjectivity follows by Proposition 3.3. Thus we can conformally deform both the scalar curvature and mean curvature to zero, which places us in the setting of Theorem 1.3 and completes the proof.

For Theorem 1.5, we start with the relevant space of interest, \( \mathcal{M}_{3}^{k,p,\rho} \), defined to be the set of all triples \( (g, \sigma, H) \) satisfying the vacuum, maximal constraint equations

\[
R = |\sigma|_g^2 \\
\nabla_i \sigma^i = 0
\]

such that \( g \) is asymptotically flat and of class \( \in W_{\rho}^{k,p}, \sigma \in W_{\rho}^{k-1,p}(M) \), and the surface \( \Sigma \equiv \partial M \) satisfies \( H \geq -\text{tr}_g \sigma + \sigma(\nu, \nu) \geq 0 \) with \( H \in W_{\rho}^{k-1/2,p,p}(\partial M) \). Our task is to show that \( \mathcal{M}_{3}^{k,p,\rho} \) is path connected in \( W_{\rho}^{k,1,p}(M) \times W_{\rho,2}^{-1,1,p,\rho}(M) \times W_{\rho,2}^{-1,1,1,2,\rho}(\partial M) \). To do so we consider the larger set \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 \) given by replacing \( R = |\sigma|_g^2 \) with \( R \geq |\sigma|_g^2 \). Consider now the deformation

\[
(g, \sigma) \rightarrow (g, (1 - \mu)\sigma), \quad \mu \in [0, 1]
\]

Since \( -\text{tr}_g \sigma + \sigma(\nu, \nu) \geq 0 \) and \( R \geq |\sigma|_g^2 \) this deformation take place in \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 \), from which it follows by Corollary 1.4 that \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_3 \) is path connected. Observe now that we can write

\[
H = \xi(-\text{tr}_g \sigma + \sigma(\nu, \nu))
\]

for some \( \xi \geq 1 \). Consider the conformal deformations \( \hat{g} = u^4 g \) and \( \hat{\sigma} = u^{-2}\sigma \). At the boundary we want to maintain \( \hat{H} = \xi(-\text{tr}_{\hat{g}} \hat{\sigma} + \hat{\sigma}(\hat{\nu}, \hat{\nu})) = \xi(-\text{tr}_g \sigma + \sigma(\nu, \nu))u^2 \). Recalling the formula for the change in mean curvature

\[
\hat{H} = u^{-2} H + 4u^{-3} \nabla_\nu u
\]

we obtain

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta u - \frac{1}{8} R u + \frac{1}{8} |\sigma|^2 u^{-7} = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3/B^3, \\
\nabla_\nu u + \frac{1}{4} H u + \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}_g \sigma - \sigma(\nu, \nu))u^5 = 0 & \text{on } \partial B^3.
\end{cases}
\]
To solve this equation we use the method of sub and super solutions from Proposition 3.5 of [Max05]. Note that $u = 1$ is a supersolution since $H = \xi(-\text{tr}_g \sigma + \sigma(\nu,\nu))$. Next, we solve the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \psi + \frac{1}{8} R \psi = -\frac{1}{8} R \\ \nabla_\nu u + \frac{1}{4} H u = -\frac{1}{4} H \end{cases}$$

Denoting $v = 1 + \psi$ we have

$$-\Delta v + \frac{1}{8} R v - \frac{1}{8} |\sigma|^2 v^{-7} \leq 0$$

Moreover, we have

$$\nabla_\nu \psi + \frac{1}{4} H (1 + \psi) + \frac{\xi}{4} (\text{tr}_g \sigma - \sigma(\nu,\nu))(1 + \psi)^5 = \frac{\xi}{4} (\text{tr}_g \sigma - \sigma(\nu,\nu))(1 + \psi)^5 \leq 0$$

which finishes the proof.
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