

THE COHOMOLOGICAL HALL ALGEBRAS OF A PREPROJECTIVE ALGEBRA WITH SYMMETRIZER

YAPING YANG AND GUFANG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. For every quiver with symmetrizer, there is an extended quiver with superpotential, whose Jacobian algebra is the generalized preprojective algebra of Geiß, Leclerc, and Schröer [GLS17]. In this paper, we study the cohomological Hall algebra of Kontsevich and Soibelman associated to this quiver with potential. In particular, using dimensional reduction, we provide a shuffle formula of this cohomological Hall algebra. In the case when the quiver with symmetrizer comes from a symmetrizable Cartan matrix, we prove that this shuffle algebra satisfies the relations of the Yangian associated to this Cartan matrix.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $Q = (I, H)$ be a quiver, with I the set of vertices, and H the set of arrows. The *symmetrizer* of the quiver Q is a collection of positive integers

$$L := \{l_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \mid i, j \in I, \text{ and there is an arrow from } i \text{ to } j\}.$$

Associated to the quiver with symmetrizer (Q, L) , Geiß, Leclerc, and Schröer defined a generalized preprojective algebra [GLS17].

Let \widehat{Q} be the extended quiver of Q . The set of vertices of \widehat{Q} is I , and the set of arrows is $H \sqcup H^{\text{op}} \sqcup B$ with H^{op} in bijection with H , and for each $h \in H$, the corresponding arrow in H^{op} , denoted by h^* , is h with orientation reversed. The set B is $\{B_i \mid i \in I\}$, with B_i an edge loop at the vertex $i \in I$. Consider the following potential of \widehat{Q}

$$W^L := \sum_{h \in H} (B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} h h^* - B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}} h^* h).$$

The generalized preprojective algebra associated to (Q, L) is the Jacobian algebra of $(\widehat{Q}, \text{tr}(W^L))$ [GLS17]. The representation variety of the Jacobian algebra of $(\widehat{Q}, \text{tr}(W^L))$ is the critical locus of $\text{tr } W^L$. This variety has been studied in detail in *loc. cit.*

The Jacobian algebra is naturally endowed with the structure of a DG-algebra [G06]. In particular, the representation variety is naturally endowed with a complex of constructible sheaves, which is the vanishing cycle of the potential function $\text{tr}(W^L)$. The cohomology of the representation variety valued in this vanishing cycle complex has the structure of an algebra, constructed by Kontsevich and Soibelman [KS11], called the cohomological Hall algebra (COHA). In the present paper, we study this cohomological Hall algebra.

In the case when the quiver with symmetrizer comes from a symmetrizable Cartan matrix, we prove that the COHA gives the positive part of the Yangian associated to the corresponding symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra. The proof uses similar calculations as in [YZ14, § 7] and [YZ16], where the latter significantly relies on [D17, Appendix A].

Date: November 11, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16G20; Secondary 17B37, 14F43.

Key words and phrases. Quiver with potential, Hall algebra, Yangian, symmetrizable Cartan matrix.

When the Cartan matrix is symmetric, and the symmetrizer $\{l_{ij} = l\}$ has the same order $l \geq 1$, the COHA is expected to be related to an l th zastava space from the work of Mirković [Mir14, § 3.4]. When $l = 1$, a spherical subalgebra of the COHA without torus equivariant parameters is isomorphic to a local line bundle on the I -colored configuration space of points on \mathbb{C} , i.e., the moduli of finite subschemes of $\mathbb{C} \times I$ [MYZ18]. This line bundle is obtained from restricting the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ on the loop Grassmannian of the adjoint group via the Abel-Jacobi map [MYZ18]. Turning on the equivariant parameters gives a quantization of this line bundle. Motivated by this, we expect the COHA for general symmetrizer l to give rise to a quantization of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(l)$, and therefore lead to a quantization of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the zastava space. We pursue this in a future publication.

This quiver with potential and its relation to loop Grassmannian is originated from Nekrasov and Shatashvili [NS09, § 3.1.4]. There are already some mathematical work regarding the Jacobian algebra for a quiver with a symmetrizer [C15, CD12]. We further expect that the cohomology of the moduli space of stable framed representations of this quiver with potential, valued in the vanishing cycle, gives a global Weyl module of the Yangian. This is the analogue of Nakajima quiver variety for non-simply laced types. In the simply laced with equal symmetrizer case, notably in the work of Bykov and Zinn-Justin [BZ19], the equivariant cohomology of moduli space of stable framed representations of this Jacobian algebra has been related to, in the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -case, the $(l + 1)$ -th spin representation.

Acknowledgements. This paper is motivated by a project in collaboration with Ivan Mirković on the quantization of the homogeneous coordinate ring of the zastava space [MYZ18], and is largely inspired by a talk of Paul Zinn-Justin in the Representation Theory Seminar at the University of Melbourne. The idea of doing dimensional reduction in the non-loop directions is suggested to us by Hiraku Nakajima.

The two authors are partially supported by the Australian Research Council via the awards DE190101231 and DE190101222 respectively.

2. THE COHOMOLOGICAL HALL ALGEBRA

In this section, we first review the cohomological Hall algebra (COHA) associated to a quiver with potential that defined by Kontsevich and Soibelman [KS11, Section 7]. We then introduce the extended quiver with potential that is of particular interest in this paper.

2.1. The COHA. Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be a quiver, where Γ_0 is the set of vertices, and Γ_1 the set of arrows. For each $h \in \Gamma_1$, let $\text{in}(h)$ be the incoming vertex and $\text{out}(h)$ be the outgoing vertex. We denote the path algebra of Γ by $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. Let W be a potential of Γ , that is, $W = \sum_u c_u u$ with $c_u \in \mathbb{C}$, and u 's are cycles in Γ .

Given a cycle $u = a_1 \dots a_n$ and an arrow $a \in \Gamma_1$. The cyclic derivative is defined to be

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial a} = \sum_{i: a_i = a} a_{i+1} \dots a_n a_1 \dots a_{i-1} \in \mathbb{C}\Gamma$$

as an element of $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$. We extend the cyclic derivative to the potential by linearity.

For any dimension vector $v = (v^i)_{i \in \Gamma_0} \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, the representation space of Γ with dimension vector v is denoted by $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}$. That is, let $V = \{V^i\}_{i \in \Gamma_0}$ be a $|\Gamma_0|$ -tuple of vector spaces so that $\dim(V^i) = v^i$. Then,

$$\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v} := \bigoplus_{h \in \Gamma_1} \text{Hom}(V^{\text{out}(h)}, V^{\text{in}(h)}).$$

The group $G_v := \prod_{i \in \Gamma_0} \text{GL}_{v^i}$ acts on the representation space $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}$ via conjugation.

For a quiver with potential (Γ, W) and dimension vector $v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$, denote by $\text{tr}(W)_v$ the function on $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}$ given by the trace of the potential. Let $\text{Crit}(\text{tr } W_v)$ be the critical locus of $\text{tr } W_v$. Let $\varphi_{\text{tr } W_v}$ be the vanishing cycle complex on $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}$ associated to the function $\text{tr}(W)_v$, which is support on $\text{Crit}(\text{tr } W_v)$. For a G -variety X , denote by $H_{c, G}^*(X)$ be the equivariant cohomology with compact support. Let $H_{c, G_v}^*(X)^\vee$ be its Verdier dual. Similar for cohomology valued in a complex of sheaves. We have an isomorphism $H_{c, G_v}^*(\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}, \varphi_{\text{tr } W_v}) \cong H_{c, G_v}^*(\text{Crit}(\text{tr } W_v), \varphi_{\text{tr } W_v})$.

Let

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, W) := \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma, W)_v = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} H_{c, G_v}^*(\text{Crit}(\text{tr } W_v), \varphi_{\text{tr } W_v})^\vee.$$

There is an algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, W)$ via Hall multiplications [KS11, Section 7.6]. The algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma, W)$ is called the COHA associated to the quiver with potential (Q, W) . For a review of the COHA in the presence of a *cut*, see also [YZ16, § 1.1, 1.2] and [D17].

2.2. The extended quiver with symmetrizer. For a quiver $Q = (I, H)$, with I the set of vertices, and H the set of arrows, we consider the extended quiver \widehat{Q} as defined in the introduction.

For any $i, j \in I$, denote the set of arrows from i to j by $\{i \rightarrow j\}$. A collection of integers

$$L := \{l_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \mid i, j \in I, \text{ and } \{i \rightarrow j\} \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called a symmetrizer of the quiver Q .

For a quiver Q with a symmetrizer L , we define a potential of \widehat{Q} to be

$$(1) \quad W^L := \sum_{h \in H} (B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} h h^* - B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}} h^* h).$$

The cyclic derivatives of W^L are

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial W^L}{\partial h} = h^* B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} - B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}} h^*$$

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial W^L}{\partial h^*} = B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} h - h B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}}$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial W^L}{\partial B_i} = \sum_{\{h \mid \text{in}(h)=i, \text{out}(h)=j\}} \sum_{e=0}^{l_{i,j}-1} B_i^{l_{ij}-1-e} h h^* B_i^e - \sum_{\{h \mid \text{out}(h)=i, \text{in}(h)=j\}} \sum_{e=0}^{l_{i,j}-1} B_i^{l_{ij}-1-e} h^* h B_i^e$$

We consider a *weight function* of Q :

$$\mathbf{m} : I \sqcup H \sqcup H^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, i \mapsto \mathbf{m}_i, h \mapsto \mathbf{m}_h, h^* \mapsto \mathbf{m}_{h^*}, \text{ for } i \in I, h \in H \text{ and } h^* \in H^{\text{op}}.$$

For each $v \in \mathbb{N}^I$, on the space $\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}$, in addition to the action of GL_v , there is a 3-dimensional torus $(\mathbb{G}_m)^3$ action. Let (z_1, z_2, z_3) be the coordinates of $(\mathbb{G}_m)^3$,

$$(z_1, z_2, z_3)(h, h^*, B_i) = (z_1^{\mathbf{m}_h} h, z_2^{\mathbf{m}_{h^*}} h^*, z_3^{\mathbf{m}_i} B_i).$$

so that z_1 and z_2 scale h and h^* respectively with weights \mathbf{m}_h and \mathbf{m}_{h^*} for each $h \in H$, and z_3 scales B_i for each $i \in I$ with weight \mathbf{m}_i .

The action of $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in (\mathbb{G}_m)^3$ preserves the potential function W^L , if and only if

$$(5) \quad z_1^{\mathbf{m}_h} z_2^{\mathbf{m}_{h^*}} z_3^{\mathbf{m}_{\text{in}(h)}} B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} = z_1^{\mathbf{m}_h} z_2^{\mathbf{m}_{h^*}} z_3^{\mathbf{m}_{\text{out}(h)}} B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}} = 1.$$

In particular,

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{m}_i l_{ij} = \mathbf{m}_j l_{ji}, \text{ for any } i, j \in I$$

In the present paper, we make the assumption that there exists such a function \mathbf{m} , such that the condition (6) holds.

Let \mathcal{D} be a torus endowed with a map $a : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^3$ such that any element (z_1, z_2, z_3) in the image of a satisfies the condition (5). Thus, the torus \mathcal{D} acts on $\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}$ which preserves the potential function W^L .

We now give an example of one choice of \mathcal{D} and $\{\mathbf{m}_h, \mathbf{m}_{h^*}, \mathbf{m}_i \mid i \in I, h \in H\}$ that satisfy the above assumptions.

Example 2.1. Let (Q, L) be a quiver with symmetrizer. Assume here Q has no oriented cycles. Let $\{\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in I\}$ be a set of integers, such that the condition (6) holds. For a pair of vertices $i, j \in I$, denote the number $\mathbf{m}_i l_{ij} = \mathbf{m}_j l_{ji}$ by d . Let n be the number of arrows from i to j . We fix a numbering h_1, \dots, h_n of these arrows, and let

$$\mathbf{m}_{h_p} := (n + 2 - 2p)d, \quad \mathbf{m}_{h_p^*} := (-n + 2p)d, \quad \text{for } p = 1, \dots, n.$$

Consider the embedding $\mathcal{D} := \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^3, z \mapsto (z, z, z^{-2})$. The assumption (5) follows from the equality $\mathbf{m}_h + \mathbf{m}_{h^*} - 2\mathbf{m}_{\text{in}(h)} l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)} = 2d - 2d = 0$. This torus action will be used in the construction of Yangians associated to symmetrizable Cartan matrices in § 5.

With \mathcal{D} satisfying condition (5), the Hall multiplication of Kontsevich and Soibelman is equivariant with respect to this \mathcal{D} -action. Therefore, we have the following equivariant COHA

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{Q}, W^L) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H_{c, G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^*(\text{Crit}(\text{tr } W_v), \varphi_{\text{tr } W_v})^\vee.$$

3. THE SHUFFLE ALGEBRA

In this section, we define the shuffle algebra associated to the COHA $\mathcal{H}(\widehat{Q}, W^L)$. In the shuffle algebra considered in this section, there are quantization parameters t_1, t_2, t_3 . Geometrically these parameters come from the torus $\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{G}_m)^3$ action on representation space of the quiver \widehat{Q} .

3.1. The definition. To begin with, we fix some notations. Let (Q, L) be a quiver with symmetrizer. We fix a weight function $\mathbf{m} : I \sqcup H \sqcup H^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Let $v = (v^i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{N}^I$ be a dimension vector of Q and $\mathfrak{S}_v := \prod_{i \in I} \mathfrak{S}_{v^i}$ be the product of symmetric groups. There is a natural action of \mathfrak{S}_v on the variables $\{\lambda_s^i \mid i \in I, s = 1, \dots, v^i\}$ by permutation.

For any pair (p, q) of positive integers, let $\text{Sh}(p, q)$ be the subset of \mathfrak{S}_{p+q} consisting of (p, q) -shuffles (permutations of $\{1, \dots, p+q\}$ that preserve the relative order of $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{p+1, \dots, p+q\}$). Given dimension vectors $v_1 = (v_1^i)_{i \in I}, v_2 = (v_2^i)_{i \in I}$ with $v = v_1 + v_2$, let $\text{Sh}(v_1, v_2) \subset \mathfrak{S}_v$ denote the product $\prod_{i \in I} \text{Sh}(v_1^i, v_2^i)$.

We now define the shuffle algebra \mathcal{SH} associated to the data (Q, L, \mathbf{m}) . The shuffle algebra \mathcal{SH} is an \mathbb{N}^I -graded $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ -algebra. As a $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ -module, we have $\mathcal{SH} = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} \mathcal{SH}_v$. The degree v piece is

$$\mathcal{SH}_v := \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3][\lambda_s^i]_{i \in I, s=1, \dots, v^i}^{\mathfrak{S}_v}.$$

We will also consider specializations of the equivariant parameters, i.e., algebraic homomorphisms $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3] \rightarrow A$, for some algebra A . We assume the weight function \mathbf{m} is compatible with the specialization in the sense that that

$$(8) \quad t_1 \mathbf{m}_h + t_2 \mathbf{m}_{h^*} + t_3 \mathbf{m}_{\text{in}(h)} l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)} = t_1 \mathbf{m}_h + t_2 \mathbf{m}_{h^*} + t_3 \mathbf{m}_{\text{out}(h)} l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)} = 0, \text{ for any } h \in H.$$

Identifying $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ with $H_{\mathbb{G}_m^3}(\text{pt})$, then in the presence of $a : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^3$, the specialization $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3] \rightarrow A$ can be taken to be $H_{\mathbb{G}_m^3}(\text{pt}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{pt}) =: A$ induced by a . Condition (8) on the specialization is then equivalent to the condition (6) on a .

For any v_1 and $v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^I$, we consider $\mathcal{SH}_{v_1} \otimes \mathcal{SH}_{v_2}$ as a $\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ -submodule of

$$\mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3][\lambda_j^i]_{i \in I, j=1, \dots, (v_1+v_2)^i}$$

by sending λ_s^i to λ_s^i , and $\lambda_t^{i_1}$ to $\lambda_{t+v_1}^i$. Here $\{\lambda_s^i \mid i \in I, s = 1, \dots, v_1^i\}$ and $\{\lambda_s^i \mid i \in I, s = 1, \dots, v_2^i\}$ are the variables of \mathcal{SH}_{v_1} and \mathcal{SH}_{v_2} respectively. Define¹

$$(9) \quad \text{fac}_1 := \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{s=1}^{v_1^i} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^i} \frac{\lambda_t^{i_1} - \lambda_s^i + \mathbf{m}_i t_3}{\lambda_t^{i_1} - \lambda_s^i}.$$

and

$$(10) \quad \text{fac}_2 := \prod_{h \in H} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\text{out}(h)}} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\text{in}(h)}} (\lambda_t^{\text{in}(h)} - \lambda_s^{\text{out}(h)} + \mathbf{m}_h t_1) \prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\text{in}(h)}} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\text{out}(h)}} (\lambda_t^{\text{out}(h)} - \lambda_s^{\text{in}(h)} + \mathbf{m}_h t_2) \right).$$

The multiplication of $f_1(\lambda') \in \mathcal{SH}_{v_1}$ and $f_2(\lambda'') \in \mathcal{SH}_{v_2}$ is defined to be

$$(11) \quad f_1(\lambda') * f_2(\lambda'') := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(v_1, v_2)} \sigma(f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot \text{fac}_1 \cdot \text{fac}_2) \in \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3][\lambda_j^i]_{i \in I, j=1, \dots, (v_1+v_2)^i}^{\mathfrak{S}_{v_1+v_2}}$$

We show in § 3.2 that \mathcal{SH} endowed with the above multiplication is an associative algebra. Note that although fac_1 has a denominator, the symmetrization over shuffle elements creates zeros on the numerator which cancels the poles introduced by the denominators. Therefore, the shuffle product is well-defined without introducing any localization.

3.2. COHA and dimension reduction. In this section, we take into account the torus \mathcal{D} -action on the representation space of \widehat{Q} and study the COHA $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{Q}, W^L)$. We first give a description of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{Q}, W^L)$ in terms of the Borel-Moore homology with \mathbb{Q} -coefficient. This is achieved by the dimensional reduction in Appendix A.

In the setting of Appendix A, we take Γ to be \widehat{Q} , the potential to be W^L . We take the cut to be H , the set of arrows of the original quiver Q . Let $\widehat{Q} \setminus H$ be the quiver that obtained from \widehat{Q} by removing H . Hence the set of vertices of $\widehat{Q} \setminus H$ is I , and its set of arrows is $H^{\text{op}} \sqcup B = \{h^*, B_i \mid h^* \in H^{\text{op}}, i \in I\}$.

Consider the quotient of the path algebra $\mathbb{C}(\widehat{Q} \setminus H)$ by the relation

$$h^* B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} = B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}} h^*, \text{ for any } h \in H.$$

The representation variety of this quotient algebra is then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q} \setminus H, v} &:= \{x \in \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q} \setminus H, v} \mid \partial W^L / \partial h(x) = 0, \text{ for any } h \in H\} \\ &= \{(h^*, B_i) \in \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q} \setminus H, v} \mid h^* B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} = B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}} h^*, \text{ for any } h \in H\}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Theorem A.2, $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{Q}, W^L)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q} \setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v}, \mathbb{Q})$, where the multiplication of the latter is described in appendix.

Remark 3.1. We expect a version of $\mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q} \setminus H, v}$ with framing can be defined, together with suitable stability conditions, so that the cohomology groups of the stable framed representations carry representations of the double of the COHA.

¹The fac_1 in the present paper and fac_1 in [YZ14, §3.1] differ by a sign $(-1)^{\sum_{i \in I} v_1^i v_2^i}$. The shuffle formula in the present paper is deduced from a 3-dimensional COHA, while the one in [YZ14] is obtained from a 2-dimensional COHA. This sign naturally occurs when comparing the dimensional reduction of a 3d COHA to a 2d COHA [YZ16, §5.1].

3.3. Relation with the shuffle algebra. We compute $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{Q}, W^L)$ in terms of the shuffle algebra in §3.1. The main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 3.2. *There is an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{Q}, W^L) \rightarrow \mathcal{SH}$.*

The proof uses Appendix A. Here we follow the notations therein.

Let $i : \mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}$ be the natural embedding. Pushforward along i gives $i_* : H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}, \mathbb{Q})$, which is an isomorphism after localization. More precisely, taking $T_v \subseteq G_v$ to be the maximal torus. Let $T = T_v \times \mathcal{D}$. Restricting to T gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v}, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_T^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v}, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_T^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

The bottom row is a map of modules over $H_T^{\text{BM}}(\text{pt}) \cong \mathbb{C}[\text{Lie } T]$. Let $\mathbb{C}(\text{Lie } T)$ be the quotient field of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Lie } T]$. By the equivariant localization, the map i_* induces an isomorphism when base changed from $\mathbb{C}[\text{Lie } T]$ to $\mathbb{C}(\text{Lie } T)$.

Remark 3.3. In the proof below, we identify the shuffle product on \mathcal{SH} with a geometrically defined binary operation on $\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}, \mathbb{Q})$. The map in Theorem 3.2 is given by i_* . In particular, up to localization in the sense above, this map becomes an isomorphism of algebras.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. With notations as in Appendix A, the first row of Diagram (25) becomes

$$\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v_2} \xleftarrow{p_1} (\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v_2}) \oplus \mathbf{M}_{Q, v_1, v_2} \xrightarrow{i_1} (\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v_2}) \oplus \mathbf{M}_{Q, v}$$

The first row of (26) becomes

$$(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v_1}) \times (\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v_2}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbf{Y} \xleftarrow{\omega} \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v_1, v_2} \xrightarrow{i_2} \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} &= \{(y_{h^*}, x_{h^*}, B) \mid y_{h^*} \in \mathbf{M}_{Q^{\text{op}}, v_1, v_2}, x_{h^*} \in (\mathbf{M}_{Q^{\text{op}}, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q^{\text{op}}, v_2}), B \in (\mathfrak{gl}_{v_1} \times \mathfrak{gl}_{v_2}) \\ &\quad \text{pr}(y_{h^*}) = B_{\text{in } h}^{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)} x_h^* - x_h^* B_{\text{out}(h)}^{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}, \text{ for any } h \in H\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{pr} : \mathbf{M}_{Q^{\text{op}}, v_1, v_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{Q^{\text{op}}, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q^{\text{op}}, v_2}$ is the natural projection. Theorem A.2 gives an isomorphism of algebras

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\widehat{Q}, W^L) \cong \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

The Hall multiplication m^{crit} of the former is identified as

$$(12) \quad (\overline{i_2} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{Q, v}})_* \circ \frac{1}{e(\iota)} (\omega \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{Q, v}})_{\overline{\omega} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}}}^{\#} \circ \overline{i_{1*}} \circ \overline{p_1}^*.$$

of the latter. In particular, the latter is associative.

On $\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}, \mathbb{Q})$ we have the binary operation defined by

$$(13) \quad (i_2 \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{Q, v}})_* \circ (\omega \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{Q, v}})^* \circ \frac{1}{e(\iota)} (\iota \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{Q, v}})_* \circ i_{1*} \circ p_1^*.$$

Recall $i : \mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}$ is the natural embedding. Pushforward along i for each v gives

$$i_* : \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H_{G_v}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{Q, v}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^I} H_{G_v}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

which intertwines the above binary operations (12) and (13).

Now we identify the target with \mathcal{SH} , and (13) with (11). This follows from the same calculation of the Thom classes of ι , i_1 , and i_2 as in [YZ14, § 1.4]. More precisely, the pushforward ι_* is the same as multiplication by $e(\iota)$. The normal bundle to i_1 and i_2 are identified with

$$\mathcal{H}om_Q(\mathcal{R}(v_1), \mathcal{R}(v_2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}om_{\widehat{Q}\setminus H}(\mathcal{R}(v_1), \mathcal{R}(v_2))$$

respectively, where $\mathcal{R}(r)$ is the tautological bundle of $\text{Grass}(r, \infty)$. Let $e(i)$ be the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of i and let $\{\lambda_s^i\}_{s=1, \dots, v^i}$ be the Chern roots of the tautological bundle $\mathcal{R}(v^i)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(i_1)e(i_2) &= \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{s=1}^{v_1^i} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^i} (\lambda_t''^i - \lambda_s'^i + \mathbf{m}_i t_3) \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{h \in H} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\text{out}(h)}} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\text{in}(h)}} (\lambda_t''^{\text{in}(h)} - \lambda_s'^{\text{out}(h)} + \mathbf{m}_h t_1) \prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\text{in}(h)}} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\text{out}(h)}} (\lambda_t''^{\text{out}(h)} - \lambda_s'^{\text{in}(h)} + \mathbf{m}_{h^*} t_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

The denominator of fac_1 and the averaging over all the shuffle $\text{Sh}(v_1, v_2)$ come from pushing-forward from a Grassmannian bundle.

In the above we see one more time that (13) being well-defined without introducing denominators. The operation (12) is associative, and hence remains so after localization in the sense of Remark 3.3. On the other hand, the natural map from $H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{M}_{\widehat{Q}, v}, \mathbb{Q})$ to its localization is injective. In particular, (13) is associative after localization, and hence is so before localization. This shows that \mathcal{SH} is an algebra. The argument above then implies that i_* is an algebra homomorphism. \square

4. GENERALIZED CARTAN MATRIX

In this section, we consider a symmetrizable Cartan matrix. We associated to a Cartan matrix with symmetrizer a quiver with symmetrizer.

4.1. The potential associated to the Cartan matrix. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix. Thus, $a_{ii} = 2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$; $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$; $a_{ij} \neq 0$ if and only if $a_{ji} \neq 0$, and there exists a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ with positive integer entries such that DA is symmetric. In other words, we have $d_i a_{ij} = d_j a_{ji}$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. We call the pair (A, D) a *Cartan matrix with symmetrizer*.

Start with a Cartan matrix with symmetrizer (A, D) . We construct a quiver with symmetrizer as follows. The set of vertices is $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For any $i < j \in I$, the number of arrows from i to j is

$$|\text{gcd}(a_{ij}, a_{ji})|.$$

We choose the symmetrizer of the quiver to be

$$l_{ij} := \left| \frac{a_{ij}}{\text{gcd}(a_{ij}, a_{ji})} \right|.$$

Thus, there exist weights $\mathbf{m}_i = d_i$ such that $\mathbf{m}_i l_{ij} = \mathbf{m}_j l_{ji}$, since DA is symmetric.

The following remark is kindly pointed out to us by Hiraku Nakajima.

Remark 4.1. When the Cartan matrix A in the present paper is taken to be the transpose of the Cartan matrix in [GLS17], the quiver with potential $W^L = \sum_{h \in H} (B_{\text{in}(h)}^{l_{\text{in}(h), \text{out}(h)}} h h^* - B_{\text{out}(h)}^{l_{\text{out}(h), \text{in}(h)}} h^* h)$ in (1) agrees with the quiver with potential in [GLS17, §1.7.3], with l_{ij} in the present paper equal to f_{ij} of *loc. cit.* for any $i, j \in I$. The vanishing of the cyclic derivatives (2), (3), (4) gives the relations (P2) and (P3) of [GLS17, Section 1.4]. In particular, the Yangian constructed in § 5 is the Yangian of the Langlands dual to the Lie algebra constructed in *loc. cit.*.

Starting from the same quiver with potential, the Lie algebra associated to it in [NW19, HL16] is Langlands dual to that of [GLS17], thus it is the same Lie algebra as in the present paper.

4.2. A sign twist. We define a sign-twisted shuffle algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}$. As a $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ -module, $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}$ is the same as \mathcal{SH} . The weight function \mathbf{m} and the specialization $t_1 = t_2 = \hbar/2$, and $t_3 = -\hbar$ are given as in Example 2.1, in particular, we have $\mathbf{m}_i = d_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Define

$$(14) \quad \widetilde{\text{fac}}_1 := \text{fac}_1 = \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{s=1}^{v_1^i} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^i} \frac{\lambda_s^i - \lambda_t^i - \mathbf{m}_i t_3}{\lambda_s^i - \lambda_t^i}$$

$$\widetilde{\text{fac}}_2 := (-1)^{\sum_{h \in H} v_1^{\text{in}(h)} v_2^{\text{out}(h)}} \text{fac}_2$$

$$(15) \quad = \prod_{h \in H} \left(\prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\text{out}(h)}} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\text{in}(h)}} (\lambda_t^{\text{in}(h)} - \lambda_s^{\text{out}(h)} + \mathbf{m}_h t_1) \prod_{s=1}^{v_1^{\text{in}(h)}} \prod_{t=1}^{v_2^{\text{out}(h)}} (\lambda_s^{\text{in}(h)} - \lambda_t^{\text{out}(h)} - \mathbf{m}_h t_2) \right)$$

For simplicity, we write the multiplication of $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}$ as \star . The multiplication of $f_1(\lambda') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{v_1} = \mathcal{SH}_{v_1}$ and $f_2(\lambda'') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{v_2} = \mathcal{SH}_{v_2}$ is defined to be

$$(16) \quad f_1(\lambda') \star f_2(\lambda'') = (-1)^{\sum_{h \in H} v_1^{\text{in}(h)} v_2^{\text{out}(h)}} f_1(\lambda') \star f_2(\lambda'') = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}(v_1, v_2)} \sigma(f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot \widetilde{\text{fac}}_1 \cdot \widetilde{\text{fac}}_2) \in \mathcal{SH}_{v_1+v_2}.$$

5. SHUFFLE PRESENTATION OF A YANGIAN FOR SYMMETRIZABLE CARTAN MATRIX

5.1. The Yangian. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{A,D}$ be the symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to the Cartan matrix A with symmetrizer D . In this section we assume the collection of integers $(d_i)_{i \in I}$ to be relatively prime. Let (Q, L) be a quiver with symmetrizer corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}_{A,D}$. Without raising confusions, we will also write $\mathfrak{g}_{A,D}$ as \mathfrak{g}_Q .

Recall that the Yangian of \mathfrak{g}_Q , denoted by $Y_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$, is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[\hbar]$, generated by the variables

$$x_{k,r}^{\pm}, h_{k,r}, (k \in I, r \in \mathbb{N}),$$

subject to certain relations. Let $Y_{\hbar}^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$ be the algebra generated by the elements $x_{k,r}^+$, for $k \in I, r \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the generating series $x_k^+(u) \in Y_{\hbar}^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)[[u^{-1}]]$ by $x_k^+(u) = \hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} x_{k,r}^+ u^{-r-1}$. The following is a complete set of relations defining $Y_{\hbar}^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q)$:

$$(Y1) \quad (u - v - d_k a_{kl} \hbar/2) x_k^+(u) x_l^+(v) = (u - v + d_k a_{kl} \hbar/2) x_l^+(v) x_k^+(u) \\ + \hbar \left([x_{k,0}^+, x_l^+(v)] - [x_k^+(u), x_{l,0}^+] \right), \text{ for any } k, l \in I.$$

$$(Y2) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{1-a_{kl}}} [x_k^+(u_{\sigma(1)}), [x_k^+(u_{\sigma(2)}), [\dots, [x_k^+(u_{\sigma(1-a_{kl})}), x_l^+(v)] \dots]]] = 0, \text{ for } k \neq l \in I.$$

5.2. **Main result.** We prove the following.

Theorem 5.1. *The assignment*

$$Y_{\hbar}^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q) \ni x_{k,r}^+ \mapsto (\lambda^{(k)})^r \in \mathcal{SH}_{e_k} = \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda^{(k)}]$$

extends to a well-defined algebra homomorphism $Y_{\hbar}^+(\mathfrak{g}_Q) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}|_{t_1=t_2=\hbar/2, t_3=-\hbar}$.

In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we need to verify the relations (Y1) and (Y2) in the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}$.

The proof is similar as that of [YZ14, Theorem 7.1], taking into account the shuffle formulas in the present setting. Nevertheless, for the convenience of the readers, we include the proof here, which occupies the rest of this section.

5.2.1. *The quadratic relation (Y1).* We now check the relation (Y1) in the shuffle algebra. We have

$$x_k^+(u) \mapsto \hbar \sum_{r \geq 0} (\lambda^{(k)})^r u^{-r-1} = \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}},$$

where the equality means the expansion of the rational function $\frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}}$ at $u = \infty$.

To check the quadratic relation (Y1), it suffices to show

$$(17) \quad \left(u - v - \frac{\hbar d_k a_{kl}}{2}\right) \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \star \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} - \left(u - v + \frac{\hbar d_k a_{kl}}{2}\right) \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} \star \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \\ = \hbar \left(1^{(k)} \star \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} - \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} \star 1^{(k)} - \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \star 1^{(l)} + 1^{(l)} \star \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \right).$$

We first consider the case when $k \neq l$. We spell out the formula of the multiplication $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_k} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_l} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_k + e_l}$ as a map $\mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda^{(k)}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda^{(l)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda^{(k)}, \lambda^{(l)}]$. Plugging-in $v_1 = e_k$, and $v_2 = e_l$ to (14), we have $\widehat{\text{fac}}_1 = 1$. If there is no arrow between k and l , then both sides of (17) are zero. Without loss of generality, we assume there are n arrows from k to l . As in Example 2.1, we have

$$n = |\text{gcd}(a_{kl}, a_{lk})|, \quad d = \mathbf{m}_k l_{kl} = \left| \frac{d_k a_{kl}}{\text{gcd}(a_{kl}, a_{lk})} \right|, \text{ thus } a := nd = -d_k a_{kl} = -d_l a_{lk},$$

$$\text{and the weights } \mathbf{m} \text{ are : } \mathbf{m}_{h_p} := a + 2d - 2pd \quad \mathbf{m}_{h_p^*} := -a + 2pd, \text{ for } p = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let S be the set of integers $\{a, a - 2d, a - 4d, \dots, -a + 4, -a + 2d\}$. Then, $S = \{\mathbf{m}_{h_p} \mid 1 \leq p \leq n\} = \{\mathbf{m}_{h_p^*} \mid 1 \leq p \leq n\}$. Set $S' := \{a - 2d, a - 4d, \dots, -a + 2d\}$, we then have

$$S' \sqcup \{a\} = S, \text{ and } S' = -S'.$$

Plugging the weight function \mathbf{m} into (15), we have $\widetilde{\text{fac}}_2 = \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2})$. Therefore, by the shuffle formula (16), the Hall multiplication is given by

$$(\lambda^{(k)})^p \star (\lambda^{(l)})^q = (\lambda^{(k)})^p (\lambda^{(l)})^q \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}).$$

Similarly, the multiplication $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_l} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_k} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_k + e_l}$ is given by

$$(\lambda^{(l)})^p \star (\lambda^{(k)})^q = (\lambda^{(l)})^p (\lambda^{(k)})^q \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}).$$

Plugging into equation (17), (Y1) becomes the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& (u - v - \frac{\hbar d_k a_{kl}}{2}) \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \\
& - (u - v + \frac{\hbar d_k a_{kl}}{2}) \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \\
(18) \quad & = \hbar \left(\frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}) - \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}) + \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Canceling the common factor

$$\hbar^2 \prod_{m \in S'} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}) = \hbar^2 \prod_{m \in S'} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}),$$

the equality (18) becomes

$$(u - v + \frac{\hbar a}{2}) \frac{\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} + a \frac{\hbar}{2}}{(u - \lambda^{(k)})(v - \lambda^{(l)})} - (u - v - \frac{\hbar a}{2}) \frac{\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)} - a \frac{\hbar}{2}}{(v - \lambda^{(l)})(u - \lambda^{(k)})} = a \hbar \left(\frac{1}{v - \lambda^{(l)}} - \frac{1}{u - \lambda^{(k)}} \right).$$

Both sides of the above identity are equal to $a \hbar \frac{u - v + \lambda^{(l)} - \lambda^{(k)}}{(u - \lambda^{(k)})(v - \lambda^{(l)})}$. This shows the relation (Y1) for the case when $k \neq l$.

We now check the relation (Y1) when $k = l$. A similar calculation using the shuffle formula (16) shows that equation (17) becomes the following identity in $\widehat{\mathcal{SH}}_{2e_k} = \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (u - v - d_k \hbar) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2} \sigma \left(\frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda_1} \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) - (u - v + d_k \hbar) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2} \sigma \left(\frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda_1} \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda_2} \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right) \\
& = \hbar \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_2} \sigma \left(\left(\frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda_2} - \frac{\hbar}{v - \lambda_1} - \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda_1} + \frac{\hbar}{u - \lambda_2} \right) \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to show that both sides of the above identity can be simplified to

$$\frac{2d_k \hbar^3}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left(\frac{1}{v - \lambda_2} - \frac{1}{v - \lambda_1} - \frac{1}{u - \lambda_1} + \frac{1}{u - \lambda_2} \right).$$

This completes the proof of relation (Y1) for $k = l$.

5.2.2. *The Serre relation (Y2)*. By an argument similar to [Nak01, § 10.4], to show (Y2), without loss of generality it suffices to check

$$(Y2') \quad \sum_{p=0}^{1-a_{kl}} (-1)^p \binom{1-a_{kl}}{p} x_{k,0}^{*p} \star x_{l,0} \star x_{k,0}^{*(1-a_{kl}-p)} = 0,$$

where $x^{*n} = x \star x \star \cdots \star x$, the shuffle product of n -copies of x . We use the shuffle formula (16) to check the Serre relation (Y2').

For any i, j , let $\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda_i - \lambda_j$. By the shuffle formula (16), we have the recurrence relation

$$(x_{k,0})^{\star n+1} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{(n,1)}} \sigma \left((x_{k,0})^{\star n} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{i,n+1}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{i,n+1}^{(k)}} \right).$$

Therefore, inductively, we get a formula of $(x_{k,0})^{\star n}$:

$$(19) \quad (x_{k,0})^{\star n} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma \left(\frac{\lambda_{12}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{12}^{(k)}} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{13}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{13}^{(k)}} \cdots \frac{\lambda_{n-1,n}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{n-1,n}^{(k)}} \right).$$

Note that $k \neq l$. By the shuffle formula (16), the multiplication $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{ne_k} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_l} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{ne_k+e_l}$ is given by

$$(20) \quad (x_{k,0})^{\star n} \star x_{l,0} = (x_{k,0})^{\star n} \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_i^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}),$$

where $S = \{a, a - 2d, a - 4d, \dots, -a + 4d, -a + 2d\}$. Here again we write $a = -d_k a_{kl}$.

For the multiplication $\widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{pe_k+e_l} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{qe_k} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{SH}}_{e_l+(p+q)e_k}$, considered as a map

$$\mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda_1^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_p^{(k)}, \lambda_1^{(l)}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda_{p+1}^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{p+q}^{(k)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\hbar][\lambda_1^{(k)}, \dots, \lambda_{p+q}^{(k)}, \lambda_1^{(l)}],$$

we have

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} ((x_{k,0})^{\star p} \star x_{l,0}) \star (x_{k,0})^{\star q} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{(p,q)}} \sigma \left((x_{k,0})^{\star p} \star x_{l,0} \cdot (x_{k,0})^{\star q} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{s=1}^p \prod_{t=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\lambda_s^{(k)} - \lambda_t^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_s^{(k)} - \lambda_t^{(k)}} \cdot \prod_{t=p+1}^{p+q} \prod_{m \in S} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_t^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Plugging the formulas of (19) (20) into (21) with $q = 1 - a_{kl} - p$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k,0}^{\star p} \star x_{l,0} \star x_{k,0}^{\star(1-a_{kl}-p)} &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{Sh}_{(p,1-a_{kl}-p)}} \pi \left(\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \sigma \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{1-a_{kl}-p}} \sigma \cdot \prod_{\{p+1 \leq i < j \leq 1-a_{kl}\}} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)}} \right) \left(\prod_{s=1}^p \prod_{t=p+1}^{1-a_{kl}} \frac{\lambda_{s,t}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{s,t}^{(k)}} \right) \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{m \in S} \left(\prod_{i=1}^p (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_i^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \prod_{t=p+1}^{1-a_{kl}} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_t^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Re-arranging the above summation, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p=0}^{1-a_{kl}} (-1)^p \binom{1-a_{kl}}{p} x_{k,0}^{\star p} \star x_{l,0} \star x_{k,0}^{\star(1-a_{kl}-p)} &= \sum_{p=0}^{1-a_{kl}} (-1)^p \binom{1-a_{kl}}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{1-a_{kl}}} \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 1-a_{kl}} \frac{\lambda_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)}^{(k)}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \prod_{m \in S} \left(\prod_{i=1}^p (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_{\sigma(i)}^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \prod_{t=p+1}^{1-a_{kl}} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_{\sigma(t)}^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the factor

$$\prod_{m \in S'} \left(\prod_{i=1}^p (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_{\sigma(i)}^{(k)} + m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \prod_{t=p+1}^{1-a_{kl}} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_{\sigma(t)}^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}) \right) = \prod_{m \in S'} \prod_{i=1}^{1-a_{kl}} (\lambda^{(l)} - \lambda_i^{(k)} - m \frac{\hbar}{2}),$$

is independent of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{1-a_{kl}}$, hence a common factor. Here again $S' = \{a-2d, a-4d, \dots, -a+2d\}$. Let $\lambda_i'^{(k)} = \lambda_i^{(k)} - \lambda^{(l)}$. After canceling the above common factor, to show the Serre relation (Y2'), it suffices to show

$$(22) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{1-a_{kl}} (-1)^p \binom{1-a_{kl}}{p} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{1-a_{kl}}} \sigma \left(\prod_{s=1}^p (\lambda_s'^{(k)} - \frac{a\hbar}{2}) \prod_{t=p+1}^{1-a_{kl}} (\lambda_t'^{(k)} + \frac{a\hbar}{2}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 1-a_{kl}} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)}} \right) = 0.$$

The identity (22) is [YZ14, Corollary A2]. This proves (Y2).

Remark 5.2. Note that for non-simply laced Cartan matrix A , when $a_{kl} \neq a_{lk}$, the Serre relation (Y2) is asymmetric switching k and l . This is reflected in the fact that in (22), the last factor $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 1-a_{kl}} \frac{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)} + d_k \hbar}{\lambda_{i,j}^{(k)}}$ is different switching k and l . In addition, although the number a is the same, the decompositions $a = -a_{kl}d_k$ and $a = -a_{lk}d_l$ used in the proof above are different switching k and l .

APPENDIX A. REVIEW OF DIMENSIONAL REDUCTION

In this section, we review a dimensional reduction procedure that describes the cohomological Hall algebra in the presence of a cut. Such a dimensional reduction on the level of cohomology groups is obtained by Davison [D17, Appendix A], which is the main ingredient here.

This procedure is used in a similar setting in the proof of [YZ16, Theorem 1.5]. In the present paper, we need a more general version of the statement. For the convenience of the readers, we briefly review this argument in present generality. The argument in *loc. cit.* mostly go through in the setting, with the only minimal changes in the proof of [YZ16, Lemma 2.1], which is reproved as Lemma A.1 in the present generality.

Let $\Gamma = (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)$ be a quiver, and W be the potential. In general, a cut C of (Γ, W) is a subset $C \subset \Gamma_1$ such that W is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to the grading defined on arrows by

$$\deg a = \begin{cases} 1 & : a \in C, \\ 0 & : a \notin C. \end{cases}$$

Consider the quotient of the path algebra $\mathbb{C}(\Gamma \setminus C)$ by the relations $\{\partial W / \partial a \mid a \in C\}$. The representation variety of this quotient algebra is denoted by

$$\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} := \{x \in \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \mid \partial W / \partial a(x) = 0, \forall a \in C\}.$$

Note that $\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$ is singular in general.

Consider the trivial vector bundle $\pi : Y = X \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow X$ carrying a scaling \mathbb{G}_m action on the fiber \mathbb{A}^n . Let $f : Y = X \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ be a \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant function with respect to the natural scaling \mathbb{G}_m action on the target. For simplicity we assume $f^{-1}(0) \supset \text{Crit}(f)$. Define $Z \subset X$ to be the reduced scheme consisting of points $z \in X$, such that $\pi^{-1}(z) \subset f^{-1}(0)$. To summarize the

notations, we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z \times \mathbb{A}^n & \xrightarrow{i \times \text{id}} & X \times \mathbb{A}^n \\ \downarrow \pi_Z & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow p_Y \\ \xrightarrow{p} \\ \text{pt.} \end{array}$$

We take $X = \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$, $Y = \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}$, $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbf{M}_{C, v}$, and $f = \text{tr } W_v$. Then, we have the subvariety $Z = \{x \in \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \mid \text{tr}(W_v)(x, l) = 0, \forall l \in \mathbf{M}_{C, v}\}$.

Lemma A.1. *The subvariety Z of $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$ is naturally identified with $\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$.*

Proof. By definition, the potential W is homogeneous of degree 1. This implies

$$(23) \quad \text{tr } W = \text{tr} \sum_{a \in C} (\partial W / \partial a) a.$$

Clearly $\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \subseteq Z$.

Conversely, for any $x \in Z$, we have $\text{tr}(W_v)(x, l) = 0$, for all $l = (l_a)_{a \in C} \in \mathbf{M}_{C, v}$. Then, for any $a \in C$, by the equality (23) we have $\text{tr}((\partial W / \partial a)(x) \cdot l_a) = 0$, for any matrix l_a . Note that $\text{tr}(A \cdot l_a)$ defines a non-degenerate pairing $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_{\text{in}}(a)}, \mathbb{C}^{v_{\text{out}}(a)}) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^{v_{\text{out}}(a)}, \mathbb{C}^{v_{\text{in}}(a)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This shows the vanishing $(\partial W / \partial a)(x) = 0$. Therefore, $x \in \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$. \square

Let \mathcal{D} be a torus \mathbb{G}_m^r for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, so that to each arrow in Γ_1 a \mathcal{D} -weight is associated. Then, $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}$ has an action of \mathcal{D} for any $v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$. We assume that the association of \mathcal{D} -weights to arrows in Γ_1 is so that $\text{tr } W_v$ is \mathcal{D} -invariant for any v . Then, $\partial W / \partial a$ is homogeneous for any $a \in C$. In particular, $\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$ is a \mathcal{D} -equivariant subvariety of $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$.

Taking into the equivariance the same way as in [D17, § 2.4], there is a canonical isomorphism of vector spaces [D17, Theorem A.1]

$$H_{c, G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^*(\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}, \varphi_{\text{tr } W_v})^\vee \cong H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}, \mathbb{Q}), \text{ for } v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}.$$

There is a Hall multiplication m^{crit} on the Kontsevich-Soibelman COHA

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\Gamma, W) := \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} H_{c, G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^*(\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v}, \varphi_{\text{tr } W_v})^\vee.$$

We now describe this multiplication on the graded vector space

$$\bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

under the above isomorphism.

Let $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}$ be the dimension vectors such that $v = v_1 + v_2$, let $V_1 \subset V$ be a $|\Gamma_0|$ -tuple of subspaces of V with dimension vector v_1 . Define $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v_1, v_2} := \{x \in \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v} \mid x(V_1) \subset V_1\}$. We write $G := G_v \times \mathcal{D}$ for short. Let $P \subset G_v \times \mathcal{D}$ be the parabolic subgroup preserving the subspace V_1 and $L := G_{v_1} \times G_{v_2} \times \mathcal{D}$ be the Levi subgroup of P . We have the following correspondence of L -varieties.

$$(24) \quad \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v_2} \xleftarrow{p_\Gamma} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v_1, v_2} \xrightarrow{\eta_\Gamma} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v_1 + v_2},$$

where p_Γ is the natural projection and η_Γ is the embedding.

In the presence of a cut, we view C as a new quiver, and let $\mathbf{M}_{C, v}$ be the representation variety of C with dimension vector v . Similarly, we have correspondences $\mathbf{M}_{C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_2} \leftarrow \mathbf{M}_{C, v_1, v_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{C, v}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} \leftarrow \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$.

We have a commutative diagram

(25)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma, v_2} & \xleftarrow{p_1} & (\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}) \oplus \mathbf{M}_{C, v_1, v_2} & \xrightarrow{i_1} & (\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}) \oplus \mathbf{M}_{C, v} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}) \oplus (\mathbf{M}_{C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_2}) & \xleftarrow{\overline{p_1}} & (\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}) \oplus \mathbf{M}_{C, v_1, v_2} & \xrightarrow{\overline{i_1}} & (\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}) \oplus \mathbf{M}_{C, v}
\end{array}$$

where $p_1 = \text{id} \times p_C$, and $i_1 = \text{id} \times \eta_C$. The vertical maps are natural inclusions, and $\overline{p_1}, \overline{i_1}$ are the restrictions of p_1, i_1 .

Identify $\mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v}$ with $\mathbf{M}_{C, v}^*$ via

$$\mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v} \cong \mathbf{M}_{C, v}^*, x \mapsto (y \mapsto \text{tr}(xy)).$$

For $x \in \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}$, the cyclic derivative $\partial W / \partial a(x)$ is an element in $\mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v}$, for any $a \in C$. Thus, for any $l \in \mathbf{M}_{C, v}$, we have the pairing $(\partial W / \partial a(x), l) = \text{tr}(\partial W / \partial a(x) \cdot l)$.

Recall that $p_{C^{\text{op}}} : \mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v_1, v_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v_2}$ is the natural projection. Introduce the following subvariety $\mathbf{Y} \subset \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v_1, v_2}$.

$$\mathbf{Y} := \{(x, l) \mid x \in \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}, l \in \mathbf{M}_{C^{\text{op}}, v_1, v_2}, \text{ such that } (\partial W / \partial a)_{a \in C}(x) = p_{C^{\text{op}}}(l)\}.$$

There are two maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\iota : \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} &\hookrightarrow \mathbf{Y}, \text{ given by } x \mapsto (x, (\partial W / \partial a)_{a \in C}(x)) \\
\omega : \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} &\rightarrow \mathbf{Y}, \text{ given by } x \mapsto (p_{\Gamma \setminus C}(x), (\partial W / \partial a)_{a \in C}(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

They fit into the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow{\omega} & \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} & \xrightarrow{i_2} & \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \\
\uparrow & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} & \xleftarrow{\overline{\omega}} & \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} & \xrightarrow{\overline{i_2}} & \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v}
\end{array}$$

where the map $\overline{\omega}$ is the restriction of ω .

Note that by introducing the variety \mathbf{Y} , the left square of the diagram (26) is Cartesian. In other words, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}) \times_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} \cong \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2}.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}) \times_{\mathbf{Y}} \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} \\
&= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2}, x \in \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} \mid p_{\Gamma \setminus C}(x) = (x_1, x_2), (\partial W / \partial a)_{a \in C}(x) = 0\} \\
&= \{x \in \mathbf{M}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} \mid (\partial W / \partial a)_{a \in C}(x) = 0\} = \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem A.2. *There is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\Gamma, W) \cong \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^{\Gamma_0}} H_{G_v \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}, \mathbb{Q})$, under which the multiplication m^{crit} on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}}(\Gamma, W)$ is equal to*

$$(\overline{i_2} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}})_* \circ \frac{1}{e(l)} (\omega \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}})_{\overline{\omega} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}}}^{\sharp} \circ \overline{i_1}_* \circ \overline{p_1}^*.$$

The maps in the composition are the following.

- (1) The Künneth morphism $H_{G_{v_1} \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_1}) \otimes H_{G_{v_2} \times \mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_2}) \rightarrow H_L^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_2})$. Here the tensor is over $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{\text{BM}}(\text{pt})$.

- (2) $\overline{i_1}_* \circ \overline{p_1}^* : H_L^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_1} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v_2}) \rightarrow H_L^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v})$.
- (3) Denote by $(\omega \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}})_{\overline{\omega} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}}}^{\sharp}$ the refined Gysin pullback of $\omega \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}}$ along $\overline{\omega} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}}$.

Let $e(\iota)$ be the equivariant Euler class of the normal bundle of ι . We have the following map

$$\frac{1}{e(\iota)} \omega_{\overline{\omega}}^{\sharp} : H_L^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1} \times \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}) \rightarrow H_L^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}) \left[\frac{1}{e(\iota)} \right].$$

- (4) The pushforward $(\overline{i_2} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}})_* : H_L^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v_1, v_2} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}) \rightarrow H_L^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v})$.
- (5) Pushforward along $G \times_P (\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}) \rightarrow \mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}, (g, m) \mapsto gmg^{-1}$, we get $H_P^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v}) \cong H_G^{\text{BM}}(G \times_P (\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v})) \rightarrow H_G^{\text{BM}}(\mathbf{J}_{\Gamma \setminus C, v} \times \mathbf{M}_{C, v})$.

This composition $(\overline{i_2} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}})_* \circ \frac{1}{e(\iota)} (\omega \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}})_{\overline{\omega} \times \text{id}_{\mathbf{M}_{C, v}}}^{\sharp} \circ \overline{i_1}_* \circ \overline{p_1}^*$ a priori is only defined after inverting $e(\iota)$. However, it follows from Theorem A.2 that it is well-defined before localization.

The proof now follows verbatim from [YZ16, § 2].

REFERENCES

- [BZ19] D. Bykov, P. Zinn-Justin, *Higher spin sl_2 R-matrix from equivariant (co)homology*. [arXiv:1904.11107](#) **1**
- [C15] S. Cecotti, *The quiver approach to the BPS spectrum of a 4d $N = 2$ gauge theory*. String-Math 2012, 317, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 90, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015. **1**
- [CD12] S. Cecotti, M. Del Zotto, *4d $N = 2$ gauge theories and quivers: the non-simply laced case*. J. High Energy Phys. 2012, no. 10, 190, front matter + 34 pp. **1**
- [D17] B. Davison, *The critical COHA of a quiver with potential*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, Volume 68, Issue 2, 1 June 2017, Pages 635–703. [arXiv:1311.7172](#) **1, 2.1, A, A**
- [GLS17] C. Geiß, B. Leclerc, J. Schröer, *Quivers with relations for symmetrizable Cartan matrices I : Foundations*, Inventiones mathematicae, (2017), Vol **209**, Issue 1, pp 61–158. [arXiv:1410.1403](#) (document), **1, 4.1**
- [G06] V. Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras*, preprint, (2006). [arXiv:math/0612139](#) **1**
- [HL16] D. Hernandez, B. Leclerc, *A cluster algebra approach to q -characters of Kirillov-Reshetikhin modules*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 18 (2016), no. 5, 1113–1159. **4.1**
- [KS11] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, Commun. Number Theory Phys. **5** (2011), no. 2, 231–352. [MR2851153](#) **1, 2, 2.1**
- [Mirk14] I. Mirković, *The loop Grassmannians in the framework of local spaces over a curve*, Recent advances in representation theory, quantum groups, algebraic geometry, and related topics, 215–226, Contemp. Math., **623**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014. [MR3288629](#) **1**
- [MYZ18] I. Mirković, Y. Yang, and G. Zhao, *Loop Grassmannians of quivers and affine quantum groups*. 25 pages, (2018). [arXiv:1810.10095](#) **1, 1**
- [Nak01] H. Nakajima, *Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 1, 145–238. [MR1808477](#) [arXiv:9912158](#) **5.2.2**
- [NW19] H. Nakajima, A. Weekes, *Coulomb branches of quiver gauge theories with symmetrizers*, [arXiv:1907.06552](#). **4.1**
- [NS09] N. Nekrasov and S. Shatashvili, *Supersymmetric vacua and Bethe ansatz*, Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl. 192/193 (2009), 91–112. **1**
- [YZ14] Y. Yang, G. Zhao, *Cohomological Hall algebra of a preprojective algebra*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 116, 1029–1074, 2018. [arXiv:1407.7994](#) **1, 1, 3.3, 5.2, 5.2.2**
- [YZ16] Y. Yang, G. Zhao, *On two cohomological Hall algebras*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A., to appear. [arXiv:1604.01477](#). **1, 2.1, 1, A, A**

THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, 813 SWANSTON STREET, PARKVILLE
VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `yaping.yang1@unimelb.edu.au`

THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, 813 SWANSTON STREET, PARKVILLE
VIC 3010, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: `gufangz@unimelb.edu.au`