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Abstract. A Nearly Kähler manifold is an almost Hermitian manifold with the weakened Kähler condition, that is, instead of being zero, the covariant derivative of the almost complex structure is skew-symmetric. We give the explicit parametrization of geodesic lines on nearly Kähler $S^3 \times S^3$.

1. Introduction

A nearly Kähler manifold is an almost Hermitian manifold $(M, g, J)$ with the property that the tensor field $\nabla J$ is skew-symmetric: $(\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_Y J)X = 0$, for all $X, Y \in TM$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $g$. The first example of such manifolds was introduced on $S^6$ by Fukami and Ishihara in [8] and, later, these manifolds have been intensively studied by A. Gray in [9], who generalized the classical holonomy concept by introducing a classification principle for non-integrable special Riemannian geometries and studied the defining differential equations of each class. The structure theorem of Nagy [11, 12] asserts that a strict and complete nearly Kähler manifold (of arbitrary dimension) writes as a Riemannian product of homogeneous nearly Kähler spaces, twistor spaces over quaternionic Kähler manifolds and 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds. Moreover, Butruille has shown in [4] that the only nearly Kähler homogeneous manifolds of dimension 6 are the compact spaces $S^6$, $S^3 \times S^3$, $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and the flag manifold $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$ (where the last three are not endowed with the standard metric). Furthermore, Foscolo et Haskins found in [6] the first two complete non-homogeneous nearly Kähler structures on $S^6$ and on $S^3 \times S^3$. This way they addressed an important problem in the field, namely the absence of any complete non-homogeneous examples.

More recently interest in nearly Kähler manifolds increased because these manifolds are examples of geometries with torsion and therefore they have applications in mathematical physics [1]. Moreover, 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds are Einstein and are related to the existence of a Killing spinor [7], which inspires their further investigation. In this paper we continue the research of the nearly Kähler manifold $S^3 \times S^3$ (see the previous work in [3], [5], [10], for instance) by studying its geodesics. In Section 2 we recall the basic properties of the nearly Kähler $S^3 \times S^3$ and in Section 3 our main results are stated and proved. Theorem 3.1. presents the parametrization of geodesic lines on $S^3 \times S^3$ and Proposition 3.1. presents their features.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the homogeneous nearly Kähler structure of $S^3 \times S^3$. For more details we refer the reader to [2]. By the natural identification $T_{(p,q)}(S^3 \times S^3) \cong T_pS^3 \oplus T_qS^3$, we write a tangent vector at $(p, q)$ in $S^3 \times S^3$ as $Z(p, q) = (U(p, q), V(p, q))$ or simply $Z = (U, V)$. Regarding the 3-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^4$ as the set of all unit quaternions in $\mathbb{H}$ and using the notations $i, j, k$ to denote
the imaginary units of $\mathbb{H}$, the vector fields defined by
\begin{align}
\tilde{E}_1(p, q) &= (p, 0), \quad \tilde{F}_1(p, q) = (0, q),
\tilde{E}_2(p, q) &= (p, 0), \quad \tilde{F}_2(p, q) = (0, q),
\tilde{E}_3(p, q) &= -(p, 0), \quad \tilde{F}_3(p, q) = -(0, q),
\end{align}
are mutually orthogonal with respect to the usual Euclidean product metric on $S^3 \times S^3$. The Lie brackets are $[\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{E}_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}\tilde{E}_k$, $[\tilde{F}_i, \tilde{F}_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}\tilde{F}_k$ and $[\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{F}_j] = 0$, where
\[\varepsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (ijk) \text{ is an even permutation of (123)}, \\ -1, & \text{if } (ijk) \text{ is an odd permutation of (123)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\]

The almost complex structure $J$ on the nearly Kähler $S^3 \times S^3$ is defined by
\begin{equation}
J(U, V)_{(p, q)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}((2pq^{-1}V - U, -2qp^{-1}U + V)_{(p, q)},
\end{equation}
for $(U, V) \in T_{(p, q)}(S^3 \times S^3)$ and the Hermitian metric associated with the usual Euclidean product metric on $S^3 \times S^3$ on $S^3 \times S^3$ is given by
\begin{equation}
g(Z, Z') = \frac{1}{2}((Z, Z') + (JZ, JZ'))
= \frac{4}{3}((U, U') + (V, V')) - \frac{2}{3}((p^{-1}U, q^{-1}V') + (p^{-1}U', q^{-1}V)),
\end{equation}
where $Z = (U, V)$, $Z' = (U', V')$, in the first line $\langle , \rangle$ stands for the usual Euclidean product metric on $S^3 \times S^3$, while in the second line $\langle , \rangle$ stands for the usual Euclidean metric on $S^3$. By definition, the almost complex structure $J$ is compatible with the metric $g$.

Using the Koszul formula (see Lemma 2.1. in \cite{2} for more details), one finds that the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $S^3 \times S^3$ with respect to the metric $g$ is given by
\[\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i}\tilde{E}_j = -\varepsilon_{ijk}\tilde{E}_k, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i}\tilde{E}_j = \varepsilon_{ijk}(\tilde{E}_k - \tilde{F}_k), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i}\tilde{F}_j = -\varepsilon_{ijk}\tilde{F}_k.\]

Consequently, computing
\begin{align}
(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i} J)\tilde{E}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(\tilde{E}_k + 2\tilde{F}_k), \\
(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i} J)\tilde{F}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(\tilde{E}_k - \tilde{F}_k), \\
(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i} J)\tilde{E}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(-\tilde{E}_k - \tilde{F}_k), \\
(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i} J)\tilde{F}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}\varepsilon_{ijk}(2\tilde{E}_k + \tilde{F}_k),
\end{align}
we conclude that the $(1, 2)$-tensor field $G = \tilde{\nabla}J$ is skew-symmetric and therefore $S^3 \times S^3$, equipped with $g$ and $J$, becomes a nearly Kähler manifold. Moreover $G$ satisfies
\begin{equation}
G(X, JY) = -JG(X, Y), \quad g(G(X, Y), Z) + g(G(X, Z), Y) = 0,
\end{equation}
for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$ tangent to $S^3 \times S^3$.

The almost product structure $P$ introduced in \cite{2} is defined as
\begin{equation}
PZ = (pq^{-1}V, qp^{-1}U), \quad Z = (U, V) \in T_{(p, q)}(S^3 \times S^3)
\end{equation}
and it has the following properties:
\[P^2 = Id \quad (P \text{ is involutive}), \]
\[PJ = -JP \quad (P \text{ and } J \text{ anti-commute}), \]
\[g(PZ, PZ') = g(Z, Z') \quad (P \text{ is compatible with } g), \]
\[g(PZ, Z') = g(Z, PZ') \quad (P \text{ is symmetric}). \]

Moreover, the almost product structure $P$ can be expressed in terms of the usual product structure $QZ = Q(U, V) = (-U, V)$ and vice versa:
\[QZ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2PJZ - JZ), \quad PZ = \frac{1}{2}(Z - \sqrt{3}QJZ).\]

Next, we recall the relation between the Levi-Civita connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ of $g$ and $\nabla^E$ of the Euclidean product metric $\langle , \rangle$. 

Lemma 2.1. \cite{[5]} The relation between the nearly Kähler connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the Euclidean connection $\nabla^E$ is

\begin{equation}
\nabla^E_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \frac{1}{2}(JG(X, PY) + JG(Y, PX)).
\end{equation}

(2.7)

We also recall here a useful formula from \cite{[5]}, decomposing $D_XY$ along the tangent and the normal directions:

\begin{equation}
D_X Y = \nabla^E_X Y + \frac{1}{2}(D_X (p, q)(p, q) + \frac{1}{2}(D_X (-p, q)(-p, q),
\end{equation}

(2.8)

where $D$ is the Euclidean connection on $\mathbb{R}^8$ and $X, Y$ are tangent vector fields on $S^3 \times S^3$.

3. Geodesic lines on $S^3 \times S^3$

In order to study and classify certain types of submanifolds of $S^3 \times S^3$, it is interesting and useful to know how its geodesic lines look like. Since for unitary quaternions $a, b$ and $c$, the map $f : S^3 \times S^3 \to S^3 \times S^3$ given by $(p, q) \mapsto (apc^{-1}, bqc^{-1})$ preserves the usual metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the almost complex structure $J$, it is an isometry of $(S^3 \times S^3, g)$ (cf. \cite{[5]} and remark after Lemma 2.2 in \cite{[13]}). Therefore, it is enough to obtain the parametrization of geodesic lines through the point $(1, 1)$, as the geodesic lines through the point $(a, b)$ are given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (ax(t), by(t))$. We prove the following.

Theorem 3.1. The geodesic lines on $S^3 \times S^3$ through the point $(1, 1)$ have the following parametrization:

1. $\gamma(t) = (\cos(at) + \sin(at)i, \cos(at) - \sin(at)i, a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$;
2. $\gamma(t) = (\cos(at) + \sin(at)i, \cos(\bar{a}t) + \sin(\bar{a}t)i)$, where $c_1 \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a = \frac{1 + d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$, $\bar{a} = \frac{1 - d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$;
3. $\gamma(t) = \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(Bt) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(Bt) \right) i \right) + \left( \left( \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(At) - \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(Bt) \right) j - \left( \frac{-\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(At) + \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(Bt) \right) k \right) + \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(\bar{A}t) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(\bar{B}t) \right) + \left( \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(\bar{A}t) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(\bar{B}t) \right) i \right) + \left( \left( \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(\bar{A}t) - \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(\bar{B}t) \right) j - \left( \frac{-\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(\bar{A}t) + \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(\bar{B}t) \right) k \right),$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a = \frac{1 + d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$, $b = \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\|$, $c = \frac{2}{3} \|c_1\|$, $\bar{a} = \frac{1 - d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$, $\bar{b} = -\frac{1}{2} \|c_2\|$, $\bar{c} = c$,

$A = \frac{c + \sqrt{(2a - c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2}$, $B = \frac{c - \sqrt{(2a - c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2}$,

$\bar{A} = \frac{\bar{c} + \sqrt{(2\bar{a} - \bar{c})^2 + 4\bar{b}^2}}{2}$, $\bar{B} = \frac{\bar{c} - \sqrt{(2\bar{a} - \bar{c})^2 + 4\bar{b}^2}}{2}$,

$\varphi = \frac{c - 2a + \sqrt{(c - 2a)^2 + 4b^2}}{2b}$, $\bar{\varphi} = \frac{\bar{c} - 2\bar{a} + \sqrt{(\bar{c} - 2\bar{a})^2 + 4\bar{b}^2}}{2\bar{b}}$. 

Remark 1. With the substitution \( \varphi = \tan \theta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \) (analogously for \( \tilde{\theta} \)) \( \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} = \cos^2 \theta, \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} = \sin \theta \cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta, \) the parametrization in the case (3) becomes
\[
\gamma(t) = \left( (\cos^2 \theta \cos(At) + \sin^2 \theta \cos(Bt)) + (\cos^2 \theta \sin(At) + \sin^2 \theta \sin(Bt))i + \sin \theta \cos \theta(\sin(At) - \sin(Bt))j - \sin \theta \cos \theta(-\cos(At) + \cos(Bt))k, \right.
\]
\[
\left. (\cos^2 \theta \cos(At) + \sin^2 \theta \cos(Bt)) + (\cos^2 \theta \sin(At) + \sin^2 \theta \sin(Bt))i + \sin \theta \cos \theta(\sin(At) - \sin(Bt))j - \sin \theta \cos \theta(-\cos(At) + \cos(Bt))k \right).
\]

Proof. Our proof starts with the observation that if \( \gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t)) \) is a geodesic line on \( \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, \) then there exist functions \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Im} \mathbb{H} \) such that
\[
(3.1) \quad \gamma'(t) = (x'(t), y'(t)) = (x(t)\alpha(t), y(t)\beta(t)).
\]
Namely, it is well-known that for \( v \in T_p\mathbb{S}^3 \) there exists \( \tilde{v} \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H} \) such that \( v = \tilde{v}v. \)

For more convenience, we will sometimes omit the argument \( t \) for the functions \( x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) and their derivatives. From (3.1) it follows
\[
(3.2) \quad \gamma''(t) = (x\alpha \cdot \alpha + x\alpha', y\beta \cdot \beta + y\beta').
\]
Recall that for imaginary quaternions \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) one has \( \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 = -<\alpha_1, \alpha_2> + \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2. \) Thus, \( \alpha \cdot \alpha = -||\alpha||^2 \) and we may write
\[
(3.3) \quad \gamma''(t) = (x(\alpha' - ||\alpha||^2), y(\beta' - ||\beta||^2)) = (x\alpha', y\beta') - ||\alpha||^2(x, 0) - ||\beta||^2(0, y).
\]
Identifying the tangent and normal parts in the previous relation, using (3.2), it follows
\[
(3.4) \quad \nabla_{\gamma'}^E \gamma' = (x\alpha', y\beta')
\]
and Lemma 2.1 yields
\[
(3.5) \quad \nabla_{\gamma'}^E \gamma' = \tilde{\nabla}_{\gamma'} \gamma' + JG(\gamma', P\gamma').
\]
An easy computation establishes the additional formula which allows us to evaluate \( G \) for any tangent vector fields;
\[
(3.6) \quad G(X, Y) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(p(b \times \gamma + \alpha \delta + \alpha \times \gamma - 2\beta \delta), q(-\alpha \times \delta - \beta \times \gamma + 2\alpha \times \gamma - \beta \times \delta),
\]
for \( X = (p\alpha, q\beta), Y = (p\gamma, q\delta) \in T_{(p, q, \gamma, \delta)}\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H}. \) As \( \gamma' = (x\alpha, y\beta), P\gamma' = (x\beta, y\alpha), \) relation (3.3) implies \( G(\gamma', P\gamma') = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(x(\alpha \times \beta), y(\alpha \times \beta)), \) which then gives
\[
(3.7) \quad JG(\gamma', P\gamma') = \frac{2}{3}(x(\alpha \times \beta), -y(\alpha \times \beta)).
\]
Finally, as \( \gamma \) is a geodesic, using (3.4) and (3.6), we compute
\[
(3.8) \quad \alpha'(t) = \frac{2}{3}\alpha(t) \times \beta(t), \quad \beta'(t) = -\frac{2}{3}\alpha(t) \times \beta(t).
\]
From (3.7) it follows \( (\alpha(t) + \beta(t))' = 0 \) and therefore there exists \( c_1 \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H} \) such that
\[
(3.9) \quad \alpha(t) + \beta(t) = c_1.
\]
Using (3.7) and (3.8) it follows
\[
(3.10) \quad (\alpha(t) - \beta(t))' = -\frac{2}{3}c_1 \times (\alpha(t) - \beta(t)).
\]
If \( c_1 = 0, \) using (3.8) and (3.9), then \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants. Without loss of generality, we can assume that they are imaginary quaternions collinear with \( i: \)
\[
(3.10) \quad \alpha = -\beta = ai, \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.
\]
If $c_1 \neq 0$, since $\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle = \langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), \alpha(t) + \beta(t) \rangle$ and $c_1$ is a constant vector, we have $\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle = -\frac{2}{3}c_1 \times (\alpha(t) - \beta(t)), c_1 \rangle = 0$, namely, $\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle = d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote by $\varepsilon$ the part of $\alpha - \beta$ which is orthogonal to $c_1$:

\begin{equation}
\varepsilon(t) = \alpha(t) - \beta(t) - \frac{\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle}{\|c_1\|^2} c_1
\end{equation}

and compute

\begin{equation}
\varepsilon'(t) = -\frac{2}{3}c_1 \times \varepsilon(t),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\varepsilon''(t) = -\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|^2 c_1 \varepsilon(t).
\end{equation}

Solving (3.13) gives

\begin{equation}
\varepsilon(t) = \cos \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) c_2 + \sin \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) c_3,
\end{equation}

for $c_2, c_3 \in Im\mathbb{H}$, $c_2, c_3 \perp c_1$. Having in mind (3.12), we conclude that

$$\|c_1\|c_2 = c_1 \times c_3, \quad \|c_1\|c_3 = -c_1 \times c_2.$$ 

Therefore

\begin{equation}
c_3 = -\frac{c_1}{\|c_1\|} \times c_2
\end{equation}

and, consequently, $c_3 \perp c_1, c_2$.

For $c_2 = 0$ it follows that $c_3 = 0, \varepsilon = 0, \alpha - \beta = d_1 c_1 \left( d_1 = \frac{d_1}{\|c_1\|^2} \right), \alpha + \beta = c_1$ and therefore

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + d_1}{2} c_1, \quad \beta = \frac{1 - d_1}{2} c_1.$$ 

We can assume that $c_1$ is collinear with $i$ and get

\begin{equation}
\alpha = ai, \quad \beta = ai,
\end{equation}

where $a = \frac{1 + d_1}{2}\|c_1\|, \quad a = \frac{1 - d_1}{2}\|c_1\|.$

For $c_2 \neq 0$, the vectors $\frac{c_1}{\|c_1\|}, \frac{c_2}{\|c_2\|}, -\frac{c_3}{\|c_3\|}$ form an orthonormal basis of $Im\mathbb{H}$. Since it is always possible to find a unit quaternion $h \in \mathbb{S}^3$ such that

$$h^{-1} \frac{c_1}{\|c_1\|} h = i, \quad h^{-1} \frac{c_2}{\|c_2\|} h = j, \quad h^{-1} \frac{c_3}{\|c_3\|} h = -k,$$

we may assume to be working with the basis $\{i, j, -k\}$. Using (3.11) and (3.14) we obtain

\begin{equation}
\alpha(t) + \beta(t) = c_1 = \|c_1\| h i h^{-1},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\alpha(t) - \beta(t) = d_1 \|c_1\| h i h^{-1} + \|c_2\| \cos \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) h j h^{-1} - \|c_2\| \sin \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) h k h^{-1}.
\end{equation}

Since $\|c_3\| = \|c_2\|$ from (3.15) and $d_1 = \frac{d_1}{\|c_1\|^2} \in \mathbb{R}$, it gives

\begin{equation}
\alpha(t) = \frac{1 + d_1}{2} \|c_1\| h i h^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \cos \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) h j h^{-1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
- \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \sin \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) h k h^{-1},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\beta(t) = \frac{1 - d_1}{2} \|c_1\| h i h^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \cos \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) h j h^{-1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
+ \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \sin \left( \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t \right) h k h^{-1},
\end{equation}

where $h \in \mathbb{S}^3, c_1, c_2 \in Im\mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}, d_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$
Remark 2. Notice that we had the freedom to make a rotation of the basis, in the following sense. The map \((x, y) \to (hx^{-1}, hy^{-1})\) is an isometry (rotation) of \(S^3 \times S^3\) and if \((1,1) \in \gamma = (x, y)\) then also \((1,1) \in \hat{\gamma} = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = (hx^{-1}, hy^{-1})\). Moreover, \(\hat{\gamma}\) stays tangent to \(S^3 \times S^3\) since, for \(\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R} \to Im \mathbb{H}\) and using \((3.1)\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}' &= (hx'h^{-1}, hy'h^{-1}) = (hxah^{-1}, hy\beta h^{-1}) \\
\hat{y}' &= (hx'h^{-1}hah^{-1}, hyh^{-1}h\beta h^{-1}) = (\hat{x}\hat{a}, \hat{y}\hat{b})
\end{align*}
\]

for \(\hat{a} = hah^{-1}, \hat{b} = h\beta h^{-1}, \hat{a}, \hat{b} : \mathbb{R} \to Im \mathbb{H}\). Therefore, it is enough to solve

\[
(x'(t), y'(t)) = (x(t)\alpha(t), y(t)\beta(t))
\]

for

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha(t) &= \frac{1+d_i}{2}c_1i + \frac{1}{2}c_2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}c_1||t||\right)j - \frac{1}{2}c_2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}c_1||t||\right)k, \\
\beta(t) &= \frac{1+d_i}{2}c_1i - \frac{1}{2}c_2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}c_1||t||\right)j + \frac{1}{2}c_2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2}c_1||t||\right)k.
\end{align*}
\]

In all the cases considered, we have to solve the system of differential equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha(t) &= ai + b\cos(\alpha t)j - b\sin(\alpha t)k, \\
\beta(t) &= \tilde{a}i + \tilde{b}\cos(\tilde{\alpha} t)j - \tilde{b}\sin(\tilde{\alpha} t)k,
\end{align*}
\]

which reduces to solving an equation of the form

\[
f'(t) = f(t)(ai + bj \cos(\alpha t) - bk \sin(\alpha t)),
\]

with the following constants:

1. if \(c_1 = 0\), then \(\hat{a} = -a \neq 0, \hat{b} = b = c = \hat{c} = 0\);
2. if \(c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = 0\), then \(a = \frac{1+d_i}{2}c_1, \hat{a} = \frac{1-d_i}{2}c_1, b = \hat{b} = c = \hat{c} = 0\);
3. if \(c_1 \neq 0, c_2 \neq 0\), then \(a = \frac{1+d_i}{2}c_1, b = \frac{1}{2}c_2, c = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}c_1, \hat{a} = \frac{1-d_i}{2}c_1, \hat{b} = \frac{1}{2}c_2, \hat{c} = c\).

Cases (1) and (2) lead to the same differential equation of the form \(f'(t) = f(t) \cdot ai\), which has an obvious solution which satisfies \(f(0) = 1\):

\[
f(t) = \cos(\alpha t) + i \sin(\alpha t).
\]

The geodesics in these two cases have the parametrization

\[
\gamma(t) = (\cos(\alpha t) + i \sin(\alpha t))i, \cos(\alpha t) + i \sin(\alpha t))j,
\]

where in case (1) we put \(\hat{a} = -a \neq 0\) (so \(a + \hat{a} = 0\)), and in case (2) \(a + \hat{a} = ||c_1|| \neq 0\). In the third case, we write explicitly

\[
f(t) = f_1(t) + if_2(t) +jf_3(t) + kf_4(t) = g_1(t) + jg_2(t),
\]

for \(f\) real functions, where \(l = 1, 4\). Then the equation \((3.24)\) becomes

\[
g'_1 + jg'_2 = (g_1 + jg_2)(ai + bj e^{\lambda t})
\]

and we get the following system of differential equations

\[
\begin{align*}
g'_1(t) &= g_1 ai - \overline{g_2} be^{\lambda t} \\
g'_2(t) &= g_2 ai + \overline{g_1} be^{\lambda t}.
\end{align*}
\]

When we differentiate one of these equations and combine it with the other, we get the second order linear equations

\[
\begin{align*}
g''_1 - cig'_1 + (a^2 + b^2 - ac)g_1 &= 0, \\
g''_2 - cig'_2 + (a^2 + b^2 - ac)g_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The characteristic equation is

\[
\lambda^2 - c\lambda + (a^2 + b^2 - ac) = 0,
\]

which has the solutions \(\lambda_1 = Ai, \lambda_2 = Bi\), where

\[
A = \frac{c + \sqrt{(2a - c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2}, \quad B = \frac{c - \sqrt{(2a - c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2}.
\]
We then find the general solutions for (3.26) as

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
g_1(t) &= (\mu_1 + i\mu_2)e^{iAt} + (\nu_1 + iv_2)e^{iBt}, \\
g_2(t) &= (\eta_1 + iv_2)e^{iAt} + (\xi_1 + \xi_2)e^{iBt},
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where \(\mu_1, \nu_1, \eta_1, \xi_1 \in \mathbb{R},\ l = 1, 2\). Since \(A \neq B\), the functions \(\sin(At), \cos(At), \sin(Bt), \cos(Bt)\) are linearly independent. After substitution of (3.29) in (3.25) we get that the following hold

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
(A - a)\mu_1 &= b\xi_2, \\
(B - a)\nu_1 &= b\eta_2, \\
(A - a)\mu_2 &= b\xi_1, \\
(B - a)\nu_2 &= b\eta_1, \\
(A - a)\eta_1 &= -b\nu_2, \\
(B - a)\xi_1 &= -b\mu_2, \\
(A - a)\eta_2 &= -b\nu_1, \\
(B - a)\xi_2 &= -b\mu_1.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Since

\begin{equation}
\frac{A - a}{b} = \frac{c - 2a + \sqrt{(c - 2a)^2 + 4b^2}}{2b} := \varphi,
\end{equation}

we get four relations among coefficients

\begin{equation}
\varphi = \frac{\xi_2}{\mu_1} = \frac{\xi_1}{\mu_2} = -\frac{\nu_1}{\eta_2} = -\frac{\nu_2}{\eta_1}.
\end{equation}

Case \(b = 0\) leads to \(c_2 = 0\), which has already been taken into consideration.

Hence, the solution for the function \(f\) is

\begin{equation}
f(t) = (\mu_1 \cos(At) - \mu_2 \sin(At) - \varphi \nu_2 \cos(Bt) + \varphi \eta_1 \sin(Bt)) + \\
(\mu_2 \cos(At) + \mu_1 \sin(At) - \varphi \eta_2 \cos(Bt) - \varphi \nu_1 \sin(Bt))i + \\
(\eta_1 \cos(At) - \eta_2 \sin(At) + \varphi \mu_2 \cos(Bt) - \varphi \mu_1 \sin(Bt))j + \\
(\eta_2 \cos(At) + \eta_1 \sin(At) + \varphi \mu_1 \cos(Bt) + \varphi \mu_2 \sin(Bt))k.
\end{equation}

Since \(f(t)\) is a curve on the sphere \(S^3\) through the point 1, we get the following relations

\begin{equation}
\mu_2 = \eta_1 = 0, \quad \mu_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{-\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2}.
\end{equation}

Finally, the solution of the equation is

\begin{equation}
f(t) = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(Bt)\right) + \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(Bt)\right)i + \\
\left(\frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(At) - \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(Bt)\right)j - \left(\frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(At) + \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(Bt)\right)k.
\end{equation}

Let us notice that this is indeed a curve on \(S^3\) since \(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} = \cos^2 \theta\). We get the parametrization (3.1) of the geodesics when we substitute (3.31), with the proper constants \(A, B, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}\) into \(\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))\).

**Proposition 3.1.**

1. The geodesic lines on \(S^3 \times S^3\) with respect to nearly Kähler metric coincide with geodesic lines with respect to usual Euclidean product metric if and only if \(c_1 = 0\) or \(c_2 = 0\).

2. • The tangent vector of the geodesic line is the eigenvector of the product structure \(P\) with eigenvalue \(-1\) if and only if \(c_1 = 0\).

   • The tangent vector of the geodesic line is the eigenvector of the product structure \(P\) with eigenvalue \(1\) if and only if \(c_1 \neq 0, c_2 = 0, d_1 = 0\).

3. The geodesic line on \(S^3 \times S^3\) is closed if and only if it has the parametrization from the case Theorem 3.1 (1), or the fractions \(\frac{\alpha}{A}, \frac{\beta}{A}\) and \(\frac{\gamma}{A}\) are rational numbers in the cases (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1, respectively.

**Proof.**

1. From relation (3.3) it is evident that \(\nabla_k^\gamma \gamma' = 0\) is equivalent to \(\alpha' = \beta' = 0\), namely \(\alpha = \beta = \text{const}\). We have already seen that this is true if and only if \(c_1 = 0\) or \(c_2 = 0\).

2. As \(\gamma' = (x\alpha, y\beta), P\gamma' = (x\beta, y\alpha)\), condition \(P\gamma' = \pm \gamma'\) reduces to \(\alpha = \pm \beta\) and the conclusion follows from (3.10) and (3.11).
It is apparent that $\gamma(t)$ is a periodic function in the case Theorem 3.1 (1). Also, in the case Theorem 3.1 (2) both coordinate functions of $\gamma(t)$ are periodic with the same period if and only if the fraction $\frac{a}{a}$ is a rational number (if one of the constants $a, \tilde{a}$ is 0, then this condition stands for the second one which is nonzero). In the case Theorem 3.1 (3) the first coordinate function of $\gamma(t)$ is periodic if and only if the functions $\sin(At)$, $\cos(At)$, $\sin(Bt)$, $\cos(Bt)$ are periodic with the same period, which is again true if and only if the fraction $\frac{B}{A}$ is a rational number (if one of the constants $A, B$ is 0, then this condition stands for the second one which is nonzero). A similar conclusion is valid for the second coordinate function.

□

Remark 3. Using the formula (2.3) we can calculate the length of the tangent vector
\[ ||\gamma'|| = \sqrt{g(\gamma', \gamma')} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} + d^2_1||c_1||^2 + ||c_2||^2}. \]

Since this is constant, it is easy to get the arclength parametrization where $\gamma'$ is a unit length vector field along the geodesics, only with the change of parameter $s = t\sqrt{(\frac{1}{3} + d^2_1)||c_1||^2 + ||c_2||^2}$.
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