

GEODESIC LINES ON NEARLY KÄHLER $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$

MILOŠ DJORIĆ, MIRJANA DJORIĆ, AND MARILENA MORUZ

ABSTRACT. A Nearly Kähler manifold is an almost Hermitian manifold with the weakened Kähler condition, that is, instead of being zero, the covariant derivative of the almost complex structure is skew-symmetric. We give the explicit parametrization of geodesic lines on nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A nearly Kähler manifold is an almost Hermitian manifold (M, g, J) with the property that the tensor field ∇J is skew-symmetric: $(\nabla_X J)Y + (\nabla_Y J)X = 0$, for all $X, Y \in TM$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g . The first example of such manifolds was introduced on \mathbb{S}^6 by Fukami and Ishihara in [8] and, later, these manifolds have been intensively studied by A. Gray in [9], who generalized the classical holonomy concept by introducing a classification principle for non-integrable special Riemannian geometries and studied the defining differential equations of each class. The structure theorem of Nagy [11, 12] asserts that a strict and complete nearly Kähler manifold (of arbitrary dimension) writes as a Riemannian product of homogeneous nearly Kähler spaces, twistor spaces over quaternionic Kähler manifolds and 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds. Moreover, Butruille has shown in [4] that the only nearly Kähler homogeneous manifolds of dimension 6 are the compact spaces \mathbb{S}^6 , $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\mathbb{C}P^3$ and the flag manifold $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$ (where the last three are not endowed with the standard metric). Furthermore, Foscolo et Haskins found in [6] the first two complete non-homogeneous nearly Kähler structures on \mathbb{S}^6 and on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. This way they addressed an important problem in the field, namely the absence of any complete non-homogeneous examples.

More recently interest in nearly Kähler manifolds increased because these manifolds are examples of geometries with torsion and therefore they have applications in mathematical physics [1]. Moreover, 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds are Einstein and are related to the existence of a Killing spinor [7], which inspires their further investigation. In this paper we continue the research of the nearly Kähler manifold $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ (see the previous work in [3], [5], [10], for instance) by studying its geodesics. In Section 2 we recall the basic properties of the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and in Section 3 our main results are stated and proved. Theorem 3.1. presents the parametrization of geodesic lines on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and Proposition 3.1. presents their features.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall the homogeneous nearly Kähler structure of $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. For more details we refer the reader to [2]. By the natural identification $T_{(p,q)}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \cong T_p\mathbb{S}^3 \oplus T_q\mathbb{S}^3$, we write a tangent vector at (p, q) in $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ as $Z(p, q) = (U(p, q), V(p, q))$ or simply $Z = (U, V)$. Regarding the 3-sphere in \mathbb{R}^4 as the set of all unit quaternions in \mathbb{H} and using the notations i, j, k to denote

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C15, 53C22.

Key words and phrases. nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, geodesic lines.

The first two authors are partially supported by Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, project 174012.

The third author is a postdoctoral fellow of the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO).

the imaginary units of \mathbb{H} , the vector fields defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_1(p, q) &= (pi, 0), & \tilde{F}_1(p, q) &= (0, qi), \\ \tilde{E}_2(p, q) &= (pj, 0), & \tilde{F}_2(p, q) &= (0, qj), \\ \tilde{E}_3(p, q) &= -(pk, 0), & \tilde{F}_3(p, q) &= -(0, qk), \end{aligned}$$

are mutually orthogonal with respect to the usual Euclidean product metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. The Lie brackets are $[\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{E}_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}\tilde{E}_k$, $[\tilde{F}_i, \tilde{F}_j] = -2\varepsilon_{ijk}\tilde{F}_k$ and $[\tilde{E}_i, \tilde{F}_j] = 0$, where

$$\varepsilon_{ijk} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (ijk) \text{ is an even permutation of } (123), \\ -1, & \text{if } (ijk) \text{ is an odd permutation of } (123), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The almost complex structure J on the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad J(U, V)_{(p, q)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2pq^{-1}V - U, -2qp^{-1}U + V)_{(p, q)},$$

for $(U, V) \in T_{(p, q)}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3)$ and the Hermitian metric associated with the usual Euclidean product metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is given by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g(Z, Z') &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle Z, Z' \rangle + \langle JZ, JZ' \rangle) \\ &= \frac{4}{3}(\langle U, U' \rangle + \langle V, V' \rangle) - \frac{2}{3}(\langle p^{-1}U, q^{-1}V' \rangle + \langle p^{-1}U', q^{-1}V \rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where $Z = (U, V)$, $Z' = (U', V')$, in the first line $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the usual Euclidean product metric on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, while in the second line $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the usual Euclidean metric on \mathbb{S}^3 . By definition, the almost complex structure J is compatible with the metric g .

Using the Koszul formula (see Lemma 2.1. in [2] for more details), one finds that the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with respect to the metric g is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i} \tilde{E}_j &= -\varepsilon_{ijk} \tilde{E}_k & \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i} \tilde{F}_j &= \frac{\varepsilon_{ijk}}{3} (\tilde{E}_k - \tilde{F}_k) \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i} \tilde{E}_j &= \frac{\varepsilon_{ijk}}{3} (\tilde{F}_k - \tilde{E}_k) & \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i} \tilde{F}_j &= -\varepsilon_{ijk} \tilde{F}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, computing

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i} J) \tilde{E}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \varepsilon_{ijk} (\tilde{E}_k + 2\tilde{F}_k), & (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{E}_i} J) \tilde{F}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \varepsilon_{ijk} (\tilde{E}_k - \tilde{F}_k), \\ (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i} J) \tilde{E}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \varepsilon_{ijk} (\tilde{E}_k - \tilde{F}_k), & (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{F}_i} J) \tilde{F}_j &= -\frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} \varepsilon_{ijk} (2\tilde{E}_k + \tilde{F}_k), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that the $(1, 2)$ -tensor field $G = \nabla J$ is skew-symmetric and therefore $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, equipped with g and J , becomes a nearly Kähler manifold. Moreover G satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad G(X, JY) = -JG(X, Y), \quad g(G(X, Y), Z) + g(G(X, Z), Y) = 0,$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z tangent to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

The almost product structure P introduced in [2] is defined as

$$(2.6) \quad PZ = (pq^{-1}V, qp^{-1}U), \quad Z = (U, V) \in T_{(p, q)}(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3)$$

and it has the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= Id \quad (P \text{ is involutive}), \\ PJ &= -JP \quad (P \text{ and } J \text{ anti-commute}), \\ g(PZ, PZ') &= g(Z, Z') \quad (P \text{ is compatible with } g), \\ g(PZ, Z') &= g(Z, PZ') \quad (P \text{ is symmetric}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the almost product structure P can be expressed in terms of the usual product structure $QZ = Q(U, V) = (-U, V)$ and vice versa:

$$QZ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2PJZ - JZ), \quad PZ = \frac{1}{2}(Z - \sqrt{3}QJZ).$$

Next, we recall the relation between the Levi-Civita connections $\tilde{\nabla}$ of g and ∇^E of the Euclidean product metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Lemma 2.1. [5] *The relation between the nearly Kähler connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the Euclidean connection ∇^E is*

$$(2.7) \quad \nabla_X^E Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \frac{1}{2}(JG(X, PY) + JG(Y, PX)).$$

We also recall here a useful formula from ([5]), decomposing $D_X Y$ along the tangent and the normal directions:

$$(2.8) \quad D_X Y = \nabla_X^E Y + \frac{1}{2}\langle D_X Y, (p, q) \rangle (p, q) + \frac{1}{2}\langle D_X Y, (-p, q) \rangle (-p, q),$$

where D is the Euclidean connection on \mathbb{R}^8 and X, Y are tangent vector fields on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

3. GEODESIC LINES ON $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$

In order to study and classify certain types of submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, it is interesting and useful to know how its geodesic lines look like. Since for unitary quaternions a, b and c , the map $f : \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ given by $(p, q) \mapsto (apc^{-1}, bqc^{-1})$ preserves the usual metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the almost complex structure J , it is an isometry of $(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3, g)$ (cf. [5] and remark after Lemma 2.2 in [13]). Therefore, it is enough to obtain the parametrization of geodesic lines through the point $(1, 1)$, as the geodesic lines through the point (a, b) are given by $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (ax(t), by(t))$. We prove the following.

Theorem 3.1. *The geodesic lines on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ through the point $(1, 1)$ have the following parametrization:*

- (1) $\gamma(t) = (\cos(at) + \sin(at)i, \cos(at) - \sin(at)i)$, $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$;
- (2) $\gamma(t) = (\cos(at) + \sin(at)i, \cos(\tilde{a}t) + \sin(\tilde{a}t)i)$, where $c_1 \in \text{Im}\mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a = \frac{1+d_1}{2}\|c_1\|$, $\tilde{a} = \frac{1-d_1}{2}\|c_1\|$;
- (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) = & \left(\left(\frac{1}{1+\varphi^2} \cos(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1+\varphi^2} \cos(Bt) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{1+\varphi^2} \sin(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1+\varphi^2} \sin(Bt) \right) i + \right. \\ & \left(\frac{\varphi}{1+\varphi^2} \sin(At) - \frac{\varphi}{1+\varphi^2} \sin(Bt) \right) j - \left(-\frac{\varphi}{1+\varphi^2} \cos(At) + \frac{\varphi}{1+\varphi^2} \cos(Bt) \right) k, \\ & \left(\frac{1}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \cos(\tilde{A}t) + \frac{\tilde{\varphi}^2}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \cos(\tilde{B}t) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \sin(\tilde{A}t) + \frac{\tilde{\varphi}^2}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \sin(\tilde{B}t) \right) i + \\ & \left(\frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \sin(\tilde{A}t) - \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \sin(\tilde{B}t) \right) j - \left(-\frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \cos(\tilde{A}t) + \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{1+\tilde{\varphi}^2} \cos(\tilde{B}t) \right) k \Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Im}\mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $a = \frac{1+d_1}{2}\|c_1\|$, $b = \frac{1}{2}\|c_2\|$, $c = \frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|$, $\tilde{a} = \frac{1-d_1}{2}\|c_1\|$, $\tilde{b} = -\frac{1}{2}\|c_2\|$, $\tilde{c} = c$,

$$A = \frac{c + \sqrt{(2a-c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2}, \quad B = \frac{c - \sqrt{(2a-c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2},$$

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{\tilde{c} + \sqrt{(2\tilde{a}-\tilde{c})^2 + 4\tilde{b}^2}}{2}, \quad \tilde{B} = \frac{\tilde{c} - \sqrt{(2\tilde{a}-\tilde{c})^2 + 4\tilde{b}^2}}{2},$$

$$\varphi = \frac{c - 2a + \sqrt{(c-2a)^2 + 4b^2}}{2b}, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \frac{\tilde{c} - 2\tilde{a} + \sqrt{(\tilde{c}-2\tilde{a})^2 + 4\tilde{b}^2}}{2\tilde{b}}.$$

Remark 1. With the substitution $\varphi = \operatorname{tg}\theta$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, (analogously for $\tilde{\theta}$) $\frac{1}{1+\varphi^2} = \cos^2 \theta$, $\frac{\varphi^2}{1+\varphi^2} = \sin^2 \theta$, $\frac{\varphi}{1+\varphi^2} = \sin \theta \cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\theta$, the parametrization in the case (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) = & \left((\cos^2 \theta \cos(At) + \sin^2 \theta \cos(Bt)) + (\cos^2 \theta \sin(At) + \sin^2 \theta \sin(Bt))i + \right. \\ & \sin \theta \cos \theta (\sin(At) - \sin(Bt))j - \sin \theta \cos \theta (-\cos(At) + \cos(Bt))k, \\ & (\cos^2 \tilde{\theta} \cos(\tilde{A}t) + \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} \cos(\tilde{B}t)) + (\cos^2 \tilde{\theta} \sin(\tilde{A}t) + \sin^2 \tilde{\theta} \sin(\tilde{B}t))i + \\ & \left. \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta} (\sin(\tilde{A}t) - \sin(\tilde{B}t))j - \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \tilde{\theta} (-\cos(\tilde{A}t) + \cos(\tilde{B}t))k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Our proof starts with the observation that if $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ is a geodesic line on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, then there exist functions $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \gamma'(t) = (x'(t), y'(t)) = (x(t)\alpha(t), y(t)\beta(t)).$$

Namely, it is well-known that for $v \in T_p\mathbb{S}^3$ there exists $\tilde{v} \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ such that $v = p\tilde{v}$.

For more convenience, we will sometimes omit the argument t for the functions $x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and their derivatives. From (3.1) it follows

$$(3.2) \quad \gamma'' = (x\alpha \cdot \alpha + x\alpha', y\beta \cdot \beta + y\beta').$$

Recall that for imaginary quaternions α_1, α_2 one has $\alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 = -\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle + \alpha_1 \times \alpha_2$. Thus, $\alpha \cdot \alpha = -\|\alpha\|^2$ and we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'' &= (x(\alpha' - \|\alpha\|^2), y(\beta' - \|\beta\|^2)) \\ &= (x\alpha', y\beta') - \|\alpha\|^2(x, 0) - \|\beta\|^2(0, y). \end{aligned}$$

Identifying the tangent and normal parts in the previous relation, using (2.8), it follows

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_{\gamma'}^E \gamma' = (x\alpha', y\beta')$$

and Lemma 2.1 yields

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_{\gamma'}^E \gamma' = \tilde{\nabla}_{\gamma'} \gamma' + JG(\gamma', P\gamma').$$

An easy computation establishes the additional formula which allows us to evaluate G for any tangent vector fields:

$$(3.5) \quad G(X, Y) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}}(p(\beta \times \gamma + \alpha \times \delta + \alpha \times \gamma - 2\beta \times \delta), q(-\alpha \times \delta - \beta \times \gamma + 2\alpha \times \gamma - \beta \times \delta)),$$

for $X = (p\alpha, q\beta), Y = (p\gamma, q\delta) \in T_{(p,q)}\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. As $\gamma' = (x\alpha, y\beta)$, $P\gamma' = (x\beta, y\alpha)$, relation (3.5) implies $G(\gamma', P\gamma') = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(x(\alpha \times \beta), y(\alpha \times \beta))$, which then gives

$$(3.6) \quad JG(\gamma', P\gamma') = \frac{2}{3}(x(\alpha \times \beta), -y(\alpha \times \beta)).$$

Finally, as γ is a geodesic, using (3.4) and (3.6), we compute

$$(3.7) \quad \alpha'(t) = \frac{2}{3}\alpha(t) \times \beta(t), \quad \beta'(t) = -\frac{2}{3}\alpha(t) \times \beta(t).$$

From (3.7) it follows $(\alpha(t) + \beta(t))' = 0$ and therefore there exists $c_1 \in \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \alpha(t) + \beta(t) = c_1.$$

Using (3.7) and (3.8) it follows

$$(3.9) \quad (\alpha(t) - \beta(t))' = -\frac{2}{3}c_1 \times (\alpha(t) - \beta(t)).$$

If $c_1 = 0$, using (3.8) and (3.9), then α and β are constants. Without loss of generality, we can assume that they are imaginary quaternions collinear with i :

$$(3.10) \quad \alpha = -\beta = ai, \quad a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

If $c_1 \neq 0$, since $\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle = \langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), \alpha(t) + \beta(t) \rangle$ and c_1 is a constant vector, we have $\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle' = \langle -\frac{2}{3}c_1 \times (\alpha(t) - \beta(t)), c_1 \rangle = 0$, namely, $\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle = \tilde{d}_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us denote by ε the part of $\alpha - \beta$ which is orthogonal to c_1 :

$$(3.11) \quad \varepsilon(t) = \alpha(t) - \beta(t) - \frac{\langle \alpha(t) - \beta(t), c_1 \rangle}{\|c_1\|^2} c_1$$

and compute

$$(3.12) \quad \varepsilon'(t) = -\frac{2}{3}c_1 \times \varepsilon(t),$$

$$(3.13) \quad \varepsilon''(t) = -\frac{4}{9}\|c_1\|^2 c_1 \varepsilon(t).$$

Solving (3.13) gives

$$(3.14) \quad \varepsilon(t) = \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) c_2 + \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) c_3,$$

for $c_2, c_3 \in \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$, $c_2, c_3 \perp c_1$. Having in mind (3.12), we conclude that

$$\|c_1\|c_2 = c_1 \times c_3, \quad \|c_1\|c_3 = -c_1 \times c_2.$$

Therefore

$$(3.15) \quad c_3 = -\frac{c_1}{\|c_1\|} \times c_2$$

and, consequently, $c_3 \perp c_1, c_2$.

For $c_2 = 0$ it follows that $c_3 = 0$, $\varepsilon = 0$, $\alpha - \beta = d_1 c_1$ ($d_1 = \frac{\tilde{d}_1}{\|c_1\|^2}$), $\alpha + \beta = c_1$ and therefore

$$\alpha = \frac{1+d_1}{2}c_1, \quad \beta = \frac{1-d_1}{2}c_1.$$

We can assume that c_1 is collinear with i and get

$$(3.16) \quad \alpha = ai, \quad \beta = \tilde{a}i,$$

where $a = \frac{1+d_1}{2}\|c_1\|$, $\tilde{a} = \frac{1-d_1}{2}\|c_1\|$.

For $c_2 \neq 0$, the vectors $\frac{c_1}{\|c_1\|}$, $\frac{c_2}{\|c_2\|}$, $-\frac{c_3}{\|c_3\|}$ form an orthonormal basis of $\text{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Since it is always possible to find a unit quaternion $h \in \mathbb{S}^3$ such that

$$h^{-1} \frac{c_1}{\|c_1\|} h = i, \quad h^{-1} \frac{c_2}{\|c_2\|} h = j, \quad h^{-1} \frac{c_3}{\|c_3\|} h = -k,$$

we may assume to be working with the basis $\{i, j, -k\}$. Using (3.11) and (3.14) we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad \alpha(t) + \beta(t) = c_1 = \|c_1\| h i h^{-1},$$

$$(3.18) \quad \alpha(t) - \beta(t) = d_1 \|c_1\| h i h^{-1} + \|c_2\| \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) h j h^{-1} - \|c_2\| \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) h k h^{-1}.$$

Since $\|c_3\| = \|c_2\|$ from (3.15) and $d_1 = \frac{\tilde{d}_1}{\|c_1\|^2} \in \mathbb{R}$, it gives

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= \frac{1+d_1}{2}\|c_1\| h i h^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\|c_2\| \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) h j h^{-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\|c_2\| \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) h k h^{-1}, \\ \beta(t) &= \frac{1-d_1}{2}\|c_1\| h i h^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\|c_2\| \cos\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) h j h^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\|c_2\| \sin\left(\frac{2}{3}\|c_1\|t\right) h k h^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h \in \mathbb{S}^3$, $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Im}\mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$, $d_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2. Notice that we had the freedom to make a rotation of the basis, in the following sense. The map $(x, y) \rightarrow (h x h^{-1}, h y h^{-1})$ is an isometry (rotation) of $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ and if $(1, 1) \in \gamma = (x, y)$ then also $(1, 1) \in \tilde{\gamma} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = (h x h^{-1}, h y h^{-1})$. Moreover, $\tilde{\gamma}$ stays tangent to $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ since, for $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$ and using (3.1), we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}' &= (h x' h^{-1}, h y' h^{-1}) = (h x \alpha h^{-1}, h y \beta h^{-1}) \\ &= (h x h^{-1} h \alpha h^{-1}, h y h^{-1} h \beta h^{-1}) = (\tilde{x} \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{y} \tilde{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{\alpha} = h \alpha h^{-1}$, $\tilde{\beta} = h \beta h^{-1}$, $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Im}\mathbb{H}$. Therefore, it is enough to solve

$$(3.21) \quad (x'(t), y'(t)) = (x(t)\alpha(t), y(t)\beta(t))$$

for

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= \frac{1+d_1}{2} \|c_1\| i + \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \cos\left(\frac{2}{3} \|c_1\| t\right) j - \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \sin\left(\frac{2}{3} \|c_1\| t\right) k, \\ \beta(t) &= \frac{1-d_1}{2} \|c_1\| i - \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \cos\left(\frac{2}{3} \|c_1\| t\right) j + \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\| \sin\left(\frac{2}{3} \|c_1\| t\right) k. \end{aligned}$$

In all the cases considered, we have to solve the system of differential equations

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= a i + b \cos(ct) j - b \sin(ct) k, \\ \beta(t) &= \tilde{a} i + \tilde{b} \cos(\tilde{c} t) j - \tilde{b} \sin(\tilde{c} t) k, \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to solving an equation of the form

$$(3.24) \quad f'(t) = f(t)(a i + b j \cos(ct) - b k \sin(ct)),$$

with the following constants:

- (1) if $c_1 = 0$, then $\tilde{a} = -a \neq 0$, $b = \tilde{b} = c = \tilde{c} = 0$;
- (2) if $c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 = 0$, then $a = \frac{1+d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$, $\tilde{a} = \frac{1-d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$, $b = \tilde{b} = c = \tilde{c} = 0$;
- (3) if $c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 \neq 0$, then $a = \frac{1+d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$, $b = \frac{1}{2} \|c_2\|$, $c = \frac{2}{3} \|c_1\|$, $\tilde{a} = \frac{1-d_1}{2} \|c_1\|$, $\tilde{b} = -\frac{1}{2} \|c_2\|$, $\tilde{c} = c$.

Cases (1) and (2) lead to the same differential equation of the form $f'(t) = f(t) \cdot a i$, which has an obvious solution which satisfies $f(0) = 1$:

$$f(t) = \cos(at) + i \sin(at).$$

The geodesics in these two cases have the parametrization

$$\gamma(t) = (\cos(at) + \sin(at)i, \cos(\tilde{a}t) + \sin(\tilde{a}t)i),$$

where in case (1) we put $\tilde{a} = -a \neq 0$ (so $a + \tilde{a} = 0$), and in case (2) $a + \tilde{a} = \|c_1\| \neq 0$. In the third case, we write explicitly

$$f(t) = f_1(t) + i f_2(t) + j f_3(t) + k f_4(t) =: g_1(t) + j g_2(t),$$

for f_l real functions, where $l = \overline{1, 4}$. Then the equation (3.24) becomes

$$g_1' + j g_2' = (g_1 + j g_2)(a i + b j e^{i c t})$$

and we get the following system of differential equations

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1'(t) &= g_1 a i - \overline{g_2} b e^{i c t}, \\ g_2'(t) &= g_2 a i + \overline{g_1} b e^{i c t}. \end{aligned}$$

When we differentiate one of these equations and combine it with the other, we get the second order linear equations

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1'' - c i g_1' + (a^2 + b^2 - a c) g_1 &= 0, \\ g_2'' - c i g_2' + (a^2 + b^2 - a c) g_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The characteristic equation is

$$(3.27) \quad \lambda^2 - c i \lambda + (a^2 + b^2 - a c) = 0,$$

which has the solutions $\lambda_1 = A i$, $\lambda_2 = B i$, where

$$(3.28) \quad A = \frac{c + \sqrt{(2a - c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2}, \quad B = \frac{c - \sqrt{(2a - c)^2 + 4b^2}}{2}.$$

We then find the general solutions for (3.26) as

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= (\mu_1 + i\mu_2)e^{iAt} + (\nu_1 + i\nu_2)e^{iBt}, \\ g_2(t) &= (\eta_1 + i\eta_2)e^{iAt} + (\xi_1 + i\xi_2)e^{iBt}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_l, \nu_l, \eta_l, \xi_l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l = 1, 2$. Since $A \neq B$, the functions $\sin(At)$, $\cos(At)$, $\sin(Bt)$, $\cos(Bt)$ are linearly independent. After substitution of (3.29) in (3.25) we get that the following hold

$$\begin{aligned} (A - a)\mu_1 &= b\xi_2, & (B - a)\nu_1 &= b\eta_2, \\ (A - a)\mu_2 &= b\xi_1, & (B - a)\nu_2 &= b\eta_1, \\ (A - a)\eta_1 &= -b\nu_2, & (B - a)\xi_1 &= -b\mu_2, \\ (A - a)\eta_2 &= -b\nu_1, & (B - a)\xi_2 &= -b\mu_1. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{A - a}{b} = \frac{-b}{B - a} = \frac{c - 2a + \sqrt{(c - 2a)^2 + 4b^2}}{2b} := \varphi,$$

we get four relations among coefficients

$$(3.30) \quad \varphi = \frac{\xi_2}{\mu_1} = \frac{\xi_1}{\mu_2} = -\frac{\nu_1}{\eta_2} = -\frac{\nu_2}{\eta_1}.$$

Case $b = 0$ leads to $c_2 = 0$, which has already been taken into consideration.

Hence, the solution for the function f is

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} f(t) &= (\mu_1 \cos(At) - \mu_2 \sin(At) - \varphi\eta_2 \cos(Bt) + \varphi\eta_1 \sin(Bt)) + \\ &(\mu_2 \cos(At) + \mu_1 \sin(At) - \varphi\eta_1 \cos(Bt) - \varphi\eta_2 \sin(Bt))i + \\ &(\eta_1 \cos(At) - \eta_2 \sin(At) + \varphi\mu_2 \cos(Bt) - \varphi\mu_1 \sin(Bt))j - \\ &(\eta_2 \cos(At) + \eta_1 \sin(At) + \varphi\mu_1 \cos(Bt) + \varphi\mu_2 \sin(Bt))k. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(t)$ is a curve on the sphere \mathbb{S}^3 through the point 1, we get the following relations

$$\mu_2 = \eta_1 = 0, \quad \mu_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{-\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2}.$$

Finally, the solution of the equation is

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(Bt) \right) + \left(\frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(At) + \frac{\varphi^2}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(Bt) \right) i + \\ &\left(\frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(At) - \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \sin(Bt) \right) j - \left(-\frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(At) + \frac{\varphi}{1 + \varphi^2} \cos(Bt) \right) k. \end{aligned}$$

Let us notice that this is indeed a curve on \mathbb{S}^3 since $\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \varphi^2} = \cos^2 \theta$. We get the parametrization (3.1) of the geodesics when we substitute (3.31), with the proper constants $A, B, \tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$ into $\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t))$. \square

Proposition 3.1. (1) *The geodesic lines on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with respect to nearly Kähler metric coincide with geodesic lines with respect to usual Euclidean product metric if and only if $c_1 = 0$ or $c_2 = 0$.*

- (2)
 - *The tangent vector of the geodesic line is the eigenvector of the product structure P with eigenvalue -1 if and only if $c_1 = 0$.*
 - *The tangent vector of the geodesic line is the eigenvector of the product structure P with eigenvalue 1 if and only if $c_1 \neq 0$, $c_2 = 0$, $d_1 = 0$.*
- (3) *The geodesic line on $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ is closed if and only if it has the parametrization from the case Theorem 3.1 (1), or the fractions $\frac{a}{\tilde{a}}$, $\frac{B}{\tilde{A}}$ and $\frac{\tilde{B}}{\tilde{A}}$ are rational numbers in the cases (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1, respectively.*

Proof. (1) From relation (3.3) it is evident that $\nabla_{\gamma'}^E \gamma' = 0$ is equivalent to $\alpha' = \beta' = 0$, namely $\alpha = \beta = \text{const}$. We have already seen that this is true if and only if $c_1 = 0$ or $c_2 = 0$.

- (2) As $\gamma' = (x\alpha, y\beta)$, $P\gamma' = (x\beta, y\alpha)$, condition $P\gamma' = \pm\gamma'$ reduces to $\alpha = \pm\beta$ and the conclusion follows from (3.10) and (3.16).

- (3) It is apparent that $\gamma(t)$ is a periodic function in the case Theorem 3.1 (1). Also, in the case Theorem 3.1 (2) both coordinate functions of $\gamma(t)$ are periodic with the same period if and only if the fraction $\frac{a}{\tilde{a}}$ is a rational number (if one of the constants a, \tilde{a} is 0, then this condition stands for the second one which is nonzero). In the case Theorem 3.1 (3) the first coordinate function of $\gamma(t)$ is periodic if and only if the functions $\sin(At)$, $\cos(At)$, $\sin(Bt)$, $\cos(Bt)$ are periodic with the same period, which is again true if and only if the fraction $\frac{B}{A}$ is a rational number (if one of the constants A, B is 0, then this condition stands for the second one which is nonzero). A similar conclusion is valid for the second coordinate function.

□

Remark 3. Using the formula (2.3) we can calculate the length of the tangent vector

$$\|\gamma'\| = \sqrt{g(\gamma', \gamma')} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{3} + d_1^2\right)\|c_1\|^2 + \|c_2\|^2}.$$

Since this is constant, it is easy to get the arclength parametrization where γ' is a unit length vector field along the geodesics, only with the change of parameter $s = t\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{3} + d_1^2\right)\|c_1\|^2 + \|c_2\|^2}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Agricola, The Srni lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion, Arch. Math. (Brno) Tomus 42 (2006), Supplement, 5–84.
- [2] J. Bolton, F. Dillen, B. Diiios and L. Vrancken, Almost complex surfaces in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, Tohoku Math. J. 67 (2015), 1–17.
- [3] B. Bektaş, M. Moruz, J. Van der Veken, L. Vrancken, Lagrangian submanifolds with constant angle functions in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2018.01.011>.
- [4] J.-B. Butruille, Homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds, in: Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry, 399–423, RMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. 16, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.
- [5] B. Diiios, L. Vrancken and X. Wang, Lagrangian submanifolds in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 53 (2018), 39–66.
- [6] L. Foscolo, M. Haskins, New G_2 holonomy cones and exotic nearly Kähler structures on \mathbb{S}^6 and $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, Ann. Math. 185 (2017), no. 1, 59–130.
- [7] T. Fridrich, R. Grunewald, On the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom. 3 (1985), 265–273.
- [8] T. Fukami, S. Ishihara, Almost Hermitian structure on \mathbb{S}^6 , Tohoku Math. J. (2), 7 (1955), no. 3, 151–156.
- [9] A. Gray, Nearly Kähler manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 4 (1970), 283–309.
- [10] Z. Hu and Y. Zhang, Rigidity of the almost complex surfaces in the nearly Kähler $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, J. Geom. Phys. 100 (2016), 80–91.
- [11] P.-A. Nagy, Nearly Kähler geometry and Riemannian foliations, Asian J. Math. 6 (2002), no. 3, 481–504.
- [12] P.-A. Nagy, On nearly- Kähler geometry, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 22 (2002), no. 2, 167–178.
- [13] F. Podestá, A. Spiro, 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifolds of cohomogeneity one, J. Geom. Phys. 60 (2010), no. 6, 156–164.

UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, STUDENTSKI TRG 16, PB. 550, 11000 BELGRADE, SERBIA
E-mail address: `milosdj@matf.bg.ac.rs`

UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, STUDENTSKI TRG 16, PB. 550, 11000 BELGRADE, SERBIA
E-mail address: `mdjoric@matf.bg.ac.rs`

KU LEUVEN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CELESTIJNENLAAN 200B, 3001 LEUVEN, BELGIUM
E-mail address: `marilena.moruz@kuleuven.be`