Fate of zero modes in a finite Su-Schrieffer-Heeger Model with $\mathcal{PT}$ Symmetry

Zhihao Xu,1,2,3 Rong Zhang,1,2 Shu Chen,4,5,6 Libin Fu,7 and Yunbo Zhang1,2,3

1Institute of Theoretical Physics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China
2Collaborative Innovation Center of Extreme Optics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, P.R.China
3State Key Laboratory of Quantum Optics and Quantum Optics Devices, Institute of Opto-Electronics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, P.R.China
4Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China
5School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China
6Yangtze River Delta Physics Research Center, Liyang, Jiangsu 213300, China
7Graduate School of China Academy of Engineering Physics, No. 10 Xibeiwang East Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100193, China

Due to the boundary coupling in a finite system, the zero modes of a standard Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model may deviate from exact-zero energy. A recent experiment has shown that by increasing the system size or the altering gain or loss strength of the SSH model with parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$) symmetry, the real parts of the energies of the edge modes can be recovered to exact-zero value [W. Song et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 165701 (2019)]. To clarify the effects of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials on the recovery of the nontrivial zero modes, we study the SSH model with different forms of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials in both infinite and finite systems. Our results indicate that the energies of the edge modes amount to zero in the thermodynamic limit under open boundary condition (OBC), the recovery of the zero modes will break down by increasing the gain or loss strength for a finite system. Our results can be easily examined in different experimental platforms and inspire more insightful understanding on nontrivial edge modes in topologically non-Hermitian systems.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, non-Hermitian systems have been greatly studied both in experimental and theoretical fields [1–50]. Especially, traditional topological phases considered in closed systems described by Hermitian Hamiltonians have permeated into open systems governed by non-Hermitian operators. The non-Hermitian descriptions arise when the system interacts with an environment [51,52]. It has been revealed that non-Hermiticity can greatly alter the topological behaviors that have been established in the Hermitian cases, such as the failure of the bulk-boundary correspondence [12,13,15,39,47], the skin effect [7,10,13–15,21,53], the boundary-dependent spectra [1,55,47,53,54], non-Hermitian-induced topology [39,40] and the edge modes influenced by gain and loss distributions [55,62].

On the other hand, researching on topological states of matter traced back to over three decades ago has attracted considerable interest in many fields of physics, including photonics [62,67], cold atomic gases [68,69], acoustic systems [70,71] and mechanics [72,73]. A topological insulator exhibits an insulating bulk and gapless edge states under OBCs which can be characterized by the nontrivially topological invariants [72,76]. A series of landmark models of topological quantum systems have been experimentally realized, such as the SSH model [40,62,72], the Haldane model [63,65] and the Hofstadter model [67]. Particularly, the one-dimensional SSH model may be the simplest two band topological system initially introduced to study the polyacetylene [80]. The chiral symmetry of the SSH model leads to nontrivial topology which can be probed by the winding number and the presence or absence of two-fold degenerate zero modes in the thermodynamic limits under OBCs. In fact, the experimental realization of the topological systems is usually of finite size. Due to the boundary coupling in a finite system, the zero modes will deviate from exact-zero energy [81]. W. Song et al. [62] suggest that one can reduce the coupling of the boundary modes and make the zero modes recovered in a finite system by increasing the strength of an alternating gain or loss potential in a one-dimensional $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric SSH model.

An interesting issue that arose here whether the topological zero modes can always be recovered by $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials in small system cases. To answer the question, we consider the SSH model with different types of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials and study the breakup and recovery of the nontrivial zero modes by controlling the amplitudes of the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials. We focus on the effect of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials on the edge modes both in large and small size limits. Our results indicate that if the energies of the nontrivial edge modes of the SSH model with $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials amount to zero in large system cases, the modes shall not be recovered by tuning the strength of the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials.

*Electronic address: xuzhihao@sxu.edu.cn
zero modes for the SSH model with another types of
potential in a finite system.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we
present the Hamiltonian of a SSH model with different
types of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials. In Sec. III, we study
the edge modes of the SSH models with an alternating gain
or loss potential and a pair of conjugated imaginary
on-site potentials at two end sites in both large and small
size cases. In both cases, we find the zero modes can be
recovered by modulating the gain or loss strength. In
Sec. IV, we discuss the failure of the recovery of the
zero modes for the SSH model with another types of
$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials in small size limits. Finally,
a conclusion is given in Sec. V.

II. SSH MODEL WITH $\mathcal{PT}$-SYMMETRY

We consider the one-dimensional non-Hermitian SSH
model with alternating modulated hopping parameters
whose imaginary part is distributed in a manner that
presents $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, that is, the loss or gain potential
with different forms. The Hamiltonian can be described as
\[
\hat{H}^{(\beta)} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1^{(\beta)},
\]
where
\[
\hat{H}_0 = \sum_j (J_- \hat{c}_{j,A}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j,B} + J_+ \hat{c}_{j,B}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j+1,A} + \text{h.c.}),
\]
and different types of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials $\hat{H}_1^{(\beta)}$.
For conventional SSH model, the Hamiltonian can be
written as $\hat{H}^{(\beta)}$ with $\beta = a$, and $\hat{H}_1^{(\beta)} = 0$ and there are
two sublattices in each unit cell marked by $A$ or $B$ which
is shown in Fig[II]a). $\hat{c}_{j,\alpha}$ is the annihilation operator
for particles on the $\alpha$-th lattice in the $j$-th unit cell and
$J_{\pm} = J(1 \pm \Delta \cos \theta)$ are the alternating hopping strengths
with $J = 1$ being set as the unit of energy, the dimerization
strength is $\Delta$ and $\theta$ denotes the tunneling parameter.
As shown in Fig[II]a), the amplitude of intracell tunnel-
ing $J_-$ is denoted by the green dashed line and the purple
solid line denotes intercell tunneling energy $J_+$. For con-
venience, we consider $\Delta \in [0, 1)$ and $\theta$ can vary from $-\pi$
to $\pi$. The imaginary term $\hat{H}_1^{(\beta)}$ with $\beta = \{b, c\}$ represents
the gain and loss potentials with different forms corre-
responding to those shown in Fig[II]b) and c) respectively
while $\hat{H}_0$ is the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ carrying an additional
$\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, $\mathcal{PT} \hat{H} (\mathcal{PT})^{-1} = \hat{H}$. Here, the parity op-
erator $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies $\mathcal{P} (j, \alpha) \mathcal{P}^{-1} = (N + 1 - j, \bar{\alpha})$ where $N$
is the number of the unit cell and if $\alpha = 1(2)$, $\bar{\alpha} = 2(1)$
for $A$ ($B$) sublattice; and $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-reversal oper-
ator which satisfies $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{P} T^{-1} = -i$. The $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric
potentials with different forms are described as follow-
ing: (b) $\hat{H}_1^{(b)} = \sum_{j,\alpha} (-1)^{N-a} i \gamma |j,\alpha| \hat{c}_{j,\alpha}$ and (c) $\hat{H}_1^{(c)} = \sum_{j,\alpha} \alpha \gamma \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \hat{c}_{j,\alpha}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j,\alpha'}$ with $\gamma$
being the gain or loss amplitude and $n \leq N/2$.
Here, case (b) is corresponding to an alternating gain or
loss potential and case (c) is corresponding to a pair of
additional complex conjugate potentials to keep the SSH
model with $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. In Fig[II] the strength of gain
(loss) term on the $\alpha$-th lattice in the $j$-th unit cell which
represents by up (down) arrow can be described as $i \gamma$
($-i \gamma$).

In the absence of gain or loss potential [case (a),
$\hat{H}_1^{(a)} = 0$], the conventional SSH model as the simplest
two-band topological system describes a chiral model
of the BDI symmetry class which obeys $\Gamma \hat{H}^{(a)} = -\hat{H}^{(a)} \Gamma$.
Here, the chiral operator $\Gamma = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_z$ represents the
z-component Pauli operator $\sigma_z$ acting on the internal
Hilbert space of each unit cell. The inversion invariable
remains may support topologically nontrivial phase with

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{(Color online) (a) Schematic diagram of the conventional SSH model. (b)-(c) Sketch of the SSH model with different forms of the gain or loss potential. Here, $J_-$ is denoted by the green dashed line, $J_+$ is denoted by the purple solid line, the up (down) arrow represents the gain (loss) potential at a lattice site with amplitude $\gamma$. (d) Energy spectrum of SSH model changing with $\theta$ for $\Delta = 0.5$ and $N = 100$ under OBCs. (e) Density distributions of two exact-zero modes for $\theta = 0, \Delta = 0.5$ and $N = 100$. (f) Density distributions of two near-zero modes for $\theta = 0, \Delta = 0.5$ and $N = 8$. Here, the horizontal coordinate is the position of the lattice site $j = 2(j - 1) + 1$ for one on $A$ sublattice in the $j$-th unit cell and $j = 2 j$ for one on $B$ sublattice in the $j$-th unit cell.}
\end{figure}
the nontrivial Berry phase and doubly degenerate edge modes for large enough size under OBCs. The topologically nontrivial regime is \( \theta \in (\pi/2, \pi/2) \) for the SSH chain and the nontrivial zero mode edge states are found in such phase which are quite different from the bulk one with extended distributions. However, there are no edge states in the regime \( \theta \in [-\pi, -\pi/2) \) and \( (\pi/2, \pi] \) corresponding to the trivial Berry phase seen in Fig.1(d) with \( \Delta = 0.5 \) and \( N = 100 \). In order to study the edge modes under OBCs, we consider a single-particle state, 
\[
\psi(E) = \sum_{j,\alpha} \varphi_{j,\alpha}(E) c_{j,\alpha}^\dagger(0)
\]
with an elemental excitation energy \( E \) and the Schrödinger equation can be solved by using transfer matrix method [74]. In the thermodynamic limit, the energies of the edge modes \( E = 0 \). For \( \varphi_{1,B}(0) = 0 \), the wave function of \( A \) sublattice in the \( j \)-th unit cell is \( \varphi_{j,A}(0) = (-\xi)^{-1}\varphi_{1,A}(0) \) and for \( \varphi_{1,A}(0) = 0 \), \( \varphi_{1,B}(0) = (-\xi)^{N-j}\varphi_{N,B}(0) \), where \( \varphi_{1,A}(0) \) \( \varphi_{N,B}(0) \) is the initial probability amplitude of the wave function at the left (right) edge site with \( E = 0 \) and \( \xi = J_-/J_+ \). When \( J_- = J_+ \), the zero modes are extended. For \( J_- \neq J_+ \) case, we must restrict \( \xi < 1 \), the wave function of \( A \) (B) sublattice shall be localized near the left (right) edge region. Especially, there is no distribution at both ends as the function of \( \theta \). In the following, we consider the spectra and size-dependent edge modes of SSH model subjected to different gain and loss on-site potentials \( \Delta = 0 \) [55]. In the following, we consider the spectra and size-dependent edge modes of SSH model subjected to different gain and loss on-site potentials shown in Fig.1(b)-(c).

III. RECOVERY OF ZERO MODES

Firstly, we consider the SSH model with an alternating gain or loss potential shown in Fig.1(b) and its Hamiltonian can be written as \( \hat{H}^{(b)} \). The topologically nontrivial regime is shown in \( \theta \in (\pi/2, \pi/2) \). For the large size case, a pair of pure imaginary edge modes emerges under OBCs regardless of the value of \( \gamma \) [52]. By applying transfer matrix method, we find in large \( N \) limit, the nontrivial edge modes with energies \( E_{L/R}^{(b)} = \pm i\gamma \) with the subscript 'L' (‘R’) representing the left (right) mode emerge in the regime \( \theta \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2) \) for arbitrary \( \gamma \) (see the Appendix). For \( E_{L}^{(b)} = i\gamma \), the edge mode is localized at the left side with the wave function \( \varphi_{j,B}(i\gamma) = 0 \) and \( \varphi_{j,A}(i\gamma) = (-\xi)^{N-j}\varphi_{1,A}(i\gamma) \) and the wave function of the right side edge mode with the energy \( E_{R}^{(b)} = -i\gamma \) is \( \varphi_{j,A}(-i\gamma) = 0 \) and \( \varphi_{j,B}(-i\gamma) = (-\xi)^{j-1}\varphi_{N,B}(-i\gamma) \). Different from the breakup of the zero modes in a finite Hermitian lattice, one finds that the zero modes of the edge states can be recovered by non-Hermitian degeneracies through gain and loss modulations [62].

Next, we consider \( PT \)-symmetric SSH model with a pair of complex conjugate potentials described by Hamiltonian (1) for case (c) as shown in Fig.1(c). When \( n = 1, \alpha' = \alpha \), \( \hat{H}_{c}^{(1)} = i\gamma c_{L}^\dagger c_{1,A} - i\gamma c_{N,B}^\dagger c_{N,B} \), it is SSH model with a pair of the gain or loss potential acting at the two end sites which has been numerically studied in [55] [57]. In topologically trivial parameters, four imaginary energies bound states emerge when \( \gamma > \gamma_c \) with \( \gamma_c \) being the critical point from \( PT \)-symmetry unbroken to broken region. And the energies of the four bound states are calculated in the appendix. In topologically nontrivial regime and large \( N \) limit, there are two nontrivial edge modes with the imaginary energies (see the Appendix)

\[
E_{L/R}^{(c1)} = \frac{\pm (i\gamma + \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma_c}) + \sqrt{(i\gamma + \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma_c})^2 - 4(J_2 - J_1^2)}}{2}, \tag{3}
\]

and \( 2N - 2 \) pure real bulk energies for arbitrary \( \gamma \neq 0 \) [52] and no bound states exist. Fig.2(a) shows the real part of the spectrum of the SSH model with a pair of gain or loss potential at both ends versus \( \theta/\pi \) with \( \Delta = 0.5, \gamma = 0.5 \) and \( N = 100 \). The topologically nontrivial regime characterized by exact-zero modes for the real part is free from the influence of the \( PT \)-symmetrical potentials under OBCs. The nonzero imaginary energies of the edge modes divided by \( |E_{L/R}^{(c1)}| \) are exactly equal to \( \pm 1 \) [Fig.2(b)]. The nontrivial two edge states in their real energy
detuned from zero. Take $\theta = 0$, $\gamma = 0$ and $N = 8$ as an example, $|E_A - E_B| \approx 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$. As seen in Fig. 3(a) for $\gamma = 0.05$, two edge modes merge to exact-zero modes for the real part at $\theta \in (-0.371\pi, 0.371\pi)$. With the increase of $\gamma$, we can see that the regime of the two edge modes in which their real parts tend to be an exact-zero state enlarges and it finally reaches $\theta \in (-0.5\pi, 0.5\pi)$ when $\gamma \approx 0.9$ [see Fig. 3(a)] and their imaginary parts split into two branches [see Fig. 3(b)]. In Fig. 3(c) and (d), we show the profiles of the edge modes $|\varphi_A^j|$ and $|\varphi_B^j|$ with $\Delta = 0.5$, $\gamma = 0.05$, $N = 8$ and different $\theta$. The real parts of the edge modes at $\theta = 0.4\pi$ are nonzero, whose profiles localize at both boundaries due to the boundary coupling. When $\theta = 0$, the exact-zero modes present a single-boundary localized behavior due to the breaking of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry. To clearly demonstrate the recovery of zero modes, we plot diagrams of $|\text{Re}(E_A - E_B)|$ and $|\text{Im}(E_A - E_B)|$ as the functions of $N$ and $\gamma$ with $\Delta = 0.5$ and $\theta = \pi/3$ shown in Fig. 4. $|\text{Re}(E_A - E_B)|$ can tend to zero with increasing $N$ and $\gamma$ and the corresponding $|\text{Im}(E_A - E_B)|$ approaches finite values. This indicates that one can recover the exact-zero modes by increase $N$ and $\gamma$ in such case. However, it is not clear whether or not the non-zero edge modes can always be recovered by the gain or loss strength in a finite system. To answer this question we consider the SSH model with another form of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials in the next section.

IV. FAILURE OF RECOVERY OF ZERO MODES

In this section, we firstly consider case (c) for $n = 1$ and $\alpha' = B$ in large $N$ limits. Fig. 5 shows the real and imaginary parts of the spectra of the SSH model subjected to the potentials described by $H^{(c)} = -i\gamma \hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + i\gamma \hat{c}_N^\dagger \hat{c}_N$ as a function of $\theta/\pi$ with $\Delta = 0.5$, $N = 100$ and different $\gamma$. In large $N$ limit, the real parts of the spectra have a similar feature as the one of the standard SSH model when $\gamma < 2.4$, i.e., there exist edge modes with $E = 0$ in topologically nontrivial regime. For the weak imaginary potential $\gamma < 0.475$ [Fig 5(a) for $\gamma = 0.3$], the whole imaginary parts of the spectra tend to zero, even in the regime of $J_- < J_+$. When $\gamma \geq 0.475$, four complex energies of the bound states firstly emerge at $\theta = \pm \pi$ until $\gamma \approx 0.478$ where the complex modes arise at $\theta = 0$. With the increase of $\gamma$, the $\mathcal{PT}$ unbroken phase decreases in both regimes which is shown in Fig 5(b) with $\gamma = 0.6$. When $\gamma \geq 0.71$, the system totally immerses into the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry broken regime by rolling $\theta$ from $-\pi$ to $\pi$ as seen in Fig 5(c) with $\gamma = 1$. The complex modes shown in Fig. 5 are corresponding to the bound states which coincide with the analytic solutions in appendix. For arbitrary $n$ and $\alpha' = B$ cases in large $N$ limit, when $(-\xi)^{N-n} \to 0$ for $J_- < J_+$, the energies of topologically nontrivial edge modes are $E = 0$ for arbitrary $\gamma$ and the wave
increasing either system size $N$ or the recovery of exact-zero modes by increasing $|\gamma|$ depends on the types of $\PT$-symmetric potentials. If the zero modes are free from the influence of the additional $\PT$-symmetric potentials in large $N$ limit, the recovery will break down.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have study the fate of zero modes of $\PT$-symmetric SSH models subjected to different forms imaginary on-site potentials in large and small $N$ limits, respectively. The topologically nontrivial zero modes will break in a finite system and some types of $\PT$-symmetric potentials may recover the near-zero modes to exact-zero ones which is closely related to the results in large $N$ limits. If the energies of the edge modes depend on the gain or loss strength in large $N$ limits, $\Re(E_A - E_B)$ will recover to exact-zero by increasing $\gamma$ in a finite system. However, the recovery of the zero modes breaks down by modulating $\gamma$ in a finite system for the cases of a $\PT$-symmetric SSH model presenting nontrivial zero modes in large $N$ limits. Our results can be easily simulated in a silicon waveguide platform with controlled gain or loss.

Acknowledgments

Z. Xu is supported by the NSF of China under Grants No. 11604188 and STIP of Higher Education Institu-
tions in Shanxi under Grant No. 2019L0097. S. Chen was supported by the NSFC (Grants No. 11974413) and the NKRDP of China (Grants No. 2016YFA0300600 and No. 2016YFA0302104). L.B. Fu is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11725417, 11575027, U1930403), and Science Challenge Project (Grant No. TZ2018005). Y. Zhang is supported by NSF of China under Grant No. 11674201. This work is also supported by NSF for Shanxi Province No.1331KSC.

In this Appendix, we solve the spectrum for the cases $\hat{H}^{(b,c)}$ shown in Fig. 1(b) and (c) in the main text. For the non-Hermitian part of the Hamiltonian described as $\hat{H}_1^{(b)} = \sum_j (i\gamma \hat{c}^\dagger_{j,A} \hat{c}_{j,A} - i\gamma \hat{c}^\dagger_{j,B} \hat{c}_{j,B})$ which can keep the total Hamiltonian behaving $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry under both OBCs and PBCs, we can employ transfer matrix method to gain insight into the properties of the edge modes of the system under OBCs. For a single-particle state $\psi(E) = \sum_{j,A} \varphi_{j,A}(E) \hat{c}^\dagger_{j,A}|0\rangle$ with an elemental excitation energy $E$, we can solve the Schrödinger equation and obtain the difference equations as follows:

$$
E - i\gamma)\varphi_{1,A} = J_1 \varphi_{1,B},
$$

$$
E - i\gamma)\varphi_{j,A} = J_j \varphi_{j+1,B} + J_1 \varphi_{j-1,B} \quad j \in [1,N],
$$

$$
E + i\gamma)\varphi_{j,B} = J_{j,A} \varphi_{j+1,A} + J_1 \varphi_{j-1,A} \quad j \in [1,N],
$$

$$
E + i\gamma)\varphi_{N,B} = J_{N,A}. \quad (4)
$$

In large $N$ limit, the energies of the edge modes are $E_{L/R}^{(b)} = \pm i\gamma$ in the regime $J_- < J_+$ where $L$ ($R$) represents the left (right) mode. In topologically nontrivial regime, for $E_{L}^{(b)} = i\gamma$, the edge mode localized at the left side with $\varphi_{j,B} = 0$ and $\varphi_{j,A} = (-J_-/J_+)^{j-1}\varphi_{1,A}$. For right side edge mode, $E_{R}^{(b)} = -i\gamma$ and the wave function is $\varphi_{j,A} = 0$ and $\varphi_{j,B} = (-J_-/J_+)^{N-j}\varphi_{N,B}$.

The SSH model with the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric potentials $\hat{H}_1^{(c)}$ is shown in Fig. 1(c) in the main text. When $n = 1$, $\alpha' = A$, it shows a pair of imaginary potential at two ends, $\hat{H}_1^{(c)} = i\gamma \hat{c}^\dagger_{1,A} \hat{c}_{1,A} - i\gamma \hat{c}^\dagger_{N,B} \hat{c}_{N,B}$. We construct the transfer matrix representation of the recursion relation as follows:

$$
E - i\gamma)\varphi_{1,A} = J_1 \varphi_{1,B},
$$

$$
E\varphi_{j,A} = J_+ \varphi_{j,B} + J_1 \varphi_{j-1,B} \quad j \in [1,N],
$$

$$
E\varphi_{j,B} = J_+ \varphi_{j,A} + J_1 \varphi_{j+1,A} \quad j \in [1,N],
$$

$$
E + i\gamma)\varphi_{N,B} = J_{N,A}. \quad (5)
$$

$E = 0$ is no longer the edge modes in Eq. 5. We eliminate $A$ and $B$ sublattices in Eq. 5 respectively, and ob-
\[ (E^2 - i\gamma E - J^2_e)\phi_{1,A} = J_+ \phi_{2,A}, \]
\[ (E^2 - J^2_e - J^2_\mp)\phi_{j,A} = J_+ J_-(\phi_{j-1,A} + \phi_{j+1,A}) \quad j \in (1, N), \]
\[ (E^2 - E/e^{-i\gamma} J^2_e - J^2_\mp)\phi_{N,A} = J_- J_+ \phi_{N-1.A} \]
\[ (E^2 - E/e^{-i\gamma} J^2_e - J^2_\mp)\phi_{1,B} = J_- J_+ \phi_{2,B} \]
\[ (E^2 - J^2_e - J^2_\mp)\phi_{j,B} = J_+ J_-(\phi_{j-1,B} + \phi_{j+1,B}) \quad j \in (1, N), \]
\[ (E^2 + i\gamma E - J^2_e)\phi_{N,B} = J_- J_+ \phi_{N-1,B}. \]

The Eq. (6) can be written into matrix form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{2,A} \\
\varphi_{1,A} \\
\varphi_{j+1,A} \\
\varphi_{j,A} \\
\varphi_{N-1,A} \\
\varphi_{N,A} \\
\varphi_{2,B} \\
\varphi_{1,B} \\
\varphi_{j+1,B} \\
\varphi_{j,B} \\
\varphi_{N-1,B} \\
\varphi_{N,B}
\end{pmatrix} = T \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{1,A} \\
\varphi_{0,A} \\
\varphi_{j-1,A} \\
\varphi_{j,A} \\
\varphi_{N,A} \\
\varphi_{N+1,A} \\
\varphi_{1,B} \\
\varphi_{0,B} \\
\varphi_{j+1,B} \\
\varphi_{j,B} \\
\varphi_{N+1,B} \\
\varphi_{N,B}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[ j \in (1, N), \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{j-1,A} \\
\varphi_{N,A} \\
\varphi_{j,B} \\
\varphi_{N,B}
\end{pmatrix} = T_3 \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{j-1,A} \\
\varphi_{N,A} \\
\varphi_{j,B} \\
\varphi_{N,B}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[ j \in (1, N), \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{j-1,A} \\
\varphi_{N,A} \\
\varphi_{j,B} \\
\varphi_{N,B}
\end{pmatrix} = T_4 \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{N-1,A} \\
\varphi_{N,B}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[ j \in (1, N), \]

where

\[
T = \begin{pmatrix}
\mu & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad T_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_1 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\xi_2 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
T_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
\xi_1 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}, \quad T_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
\nu_2 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with \( \mu = (E^2 - J^2_e - J^2_\mp)/J_- J_+, \nu_1 = (E^2 - i\gamma E - J^2_e)/J_- J_+, \nu_2 = (E^2 + i\gamma E - J^2_e)/J_- J_+, \xi_1 = (E^2 - J^2_e - J^2_\mp)/J_- J_+, \) and \( \xi_2 = (E^2 - J^2_e - J^2_\mp)/J_- J_+. \)

We can diagonalize the transfer matrix \( D = U^{-1}TU \) with

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_- & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_+
\end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_- & \lambda_+ \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
U^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 4}} \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & \lambda_+ \\
1 & -\lambda_-
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and \( \lambda_\pm = (\mu \pm \sqrt{\mu^2 - 4})/2. \) Firstly, we consider the left edge mode and the amplitudes of wave function near the right side tends to zero in large \( N \) limit. Under OBCs with \( \varphi_{0,A} = \varphi_{0,B} = 0, \) we can rewrite the Eq. (7) as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{j+1,A} \\
\varphi_{j,B}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 4}} U \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_+ & \nu_1 \\
\nu_1 & -\lambda_-
\end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_- & \lambda_+ \\
\lambda_+ & \lambda_-
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{j,A} \\
\varphi_{j,B}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{j+1,B} \\
\varphi_{j,A}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - 4}} U \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_+ & \xi_1 \\
\xi_1 & -\lambda_-
\end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_- & \lambda_+ \\
\lambda_+ & \lambda_-
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\varphi_{j,B} \\
\varphi_{j,A}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[ j \in (1, N). \]

The necessary conditions for the existence of the edge modes in the topologically nontrivial regime are \( |\lambda_-| > 1, \lambda_+ = \nu_1 \) and \( \lambda_+ = \xi_1 \) for the localized left edge. The energy of the left edge mode is

\[
E_{L}^{c(1)} = (i\gamma + J^2_e/\nu_1)^{\pm} + \sqrt{(i\gamma + J^2_e/\nu_1)^{2} + 4(J^2_e - J^2_\mp)}.
\]

We can also obtain the energy of the right edge mode

\[
E_{R}^{c(1)} = -(i\gamma + J^2_e/\nu_1)^{\pm} + \sqrt{(i\gamma + J^2_e/\nu_1)^{2} + 4(J^2_e - J^2_\mp)}.
\]

However, in topologically trivial regime, when \( \gamma > \gamma_c \) with \( \gamma_c \) being the transition point from the \( PT \)-symmetry region to the spontaneously broken \( PT \)-symmetry region, there exists four bound states with energies

\[
E_{BS}^{c(1)} = \pm \frac{(i\gamma + J^2_e/\nu_1)^{\pm} + \sqrt{(i\gamma + J^2_e/\nu_1)^{2} + 4(J^2_e - J^2_\mp)}}{2}.
\]

For \( \alpha' = B, \) the transfer matrix method is applied with an elementary excitation energy \( E \) and the difference equations as follows:

\[
E\varphi_{j,A} = J_- \varphi_{1,B},
\]

\[
E\varphi_{j,B} = J_- \varphi_{j-1,B} + J_+ \varphi_{j+1,B} \quad j \in (1, N), j \neq n,
\]

\[
E\varphi_{j,B} = J_- \varphi_{j-1,B} + J_+ \varphi_{j+1,B} \quad j \in (1, N), j \neq N + 1 - n,
\]

\[
(\pm i\gamma)\varphi_{n,B} = J_- \varphi_{n,A} + J_+ \varphi_{n+1,A},
\]

\[
(\pm i\gamma)\varphi_{N+1-n,B} = J_- \varphi_{N+1-n,A} + J_+ \varphi_{N+n,B},
\]

\[
E\varphi_{N,B} = J_- \varphi_{N,A}.
\]

We consider \( N \) is large enough to make \( (-\xi)^{N-n} \varphi_{1,A} \to 0 \) and \( (-\xi)^{N-n} \varphi_{N,B} \to 0 \) in the topologically nontrivial regime with \( \xi = J_- J_+ \), so the energies of the edge modes \( E = 0 \). The wave function of the left edge mode is \( \psi_L(E = 0) = [\varphi_{1,A}, 0, -\xi \varphi_{1,A}, 0, (-\xi)^2 \varphi_{1,A}, 0, \ldots]^{T} \), and the wave function of the right side edge mode can be written as \( \psi_R(E = 0) = [\ldots, 0, (-\xi)^N \varphi_{N,B}, 0, -\xi \varphi_{N,B}, 0, \varphi_{N,B}]^{T} \). It indicates that for \( \alpha' = B, \) the energies of the nontrivial edge modes approach to zero in large \( N \) limit. We take \( n = 1, \alpha' = B \) as a concrete example. In large \( N \) case, \( (-\xi)^{N-1} \varphi_{1,A} \to 0 \) and \( (-\xi)^{N-1} \varphi_{1,B} \to 0 \) for \( J_- < J_+ \), thus the zero edge modes can be detected in the system.
With the increase of $\gamma$, additional complex modes emerge corresponding to the bound states for $E \neq 0$. To get the information of these bound states, we eliminate $A$ and $B$ sublattice in Eq.(14) respectively. The equations for $A$ sublattice become

$$\begin{align*}
\nu_1 \varphi_{1,A} &= \varphi_{2,A}, \\
\xi_3 \varphi_{2,A} &= \varphi_{3,A} + \frac{E}{E + i\gamma} \varphi_{1,A}, \\
\mu \varphi_{j,A} &= \varphi_{j-1,A} + \varphi_{j+1,A} \quad j \in (2, N), \\
\chi_2 \varphi_{N,A} &= \varphi_{N-1,A},
\end{align*}$$

(15)

and for $B$ sublattice are

$$\begin{align*}
\chi_1 \varphi_{1,B} &= \varphi_{2,B}, \\
\mu \varphi_{j,B} &= \varphi_{j-1,B} + \varphi_{j+1,B} \quad j \in (2, N), \\
\xi_4 \varphi_{N-1,B} &= \varphi_{N-2,A} + \frac{E}{E - i\gamma} \varphi_{L,B}, \\
\nu_2 \varphi_{N,B} &= \varphi_{N-1,B},
\end{align*}$$

(16)

where $\xi_3 = (E^2 - J_2^2 - \frac{E}{E + i\gamma} J_2^2) / J_- J_+$, $\xi_4 = (E^2 - J_2^2 - \frac{E}{E - i\gamma} J_2^2) / J_- J_+$, $\chi_1 = (E^2 + i\gamma E - J_2^2 - J_+^2) / J_- J_+$ and $\chi_2 = (E^2 - i\gamma E - J_2^2 - J_+^2) / J_- J_+$. We can follow the same procedure as the case shown in Fig.[1b]. For the bound states near the left side, the necessary condition is

$$|\lambda_-| > 1, \quad \lambda_+ = \chi_1, \quad \lambda_+ \nu_1 = \xi_3 \nu_1 - \frac{E}{E + i\gamma}. \quad (17)$$

Hence, the energies of the left bound states can be obtained by

$$E^3 + i\gamma E^2 - (J_2^2 + J_+^2) E + \frac{J_2^2 J_+^2}{i\gamma} = 0 \quad (18)$$

and the roots should satisfy the necessary condition Eq.(17). For the bound states near the right side, the necessary condition is

$$|\lambda_-| > 1, \quad \lambda_+ = \chi_2, \quad \lambda_+ \nu_2 = \xi_4 \nu_2 - \frac{E}{E - i\gamma}. \quad (19)$$

And the energies of the right side bound states satisfy

$$E^3 - i\gamma E^2 - (J_2^2 + J_+^2) E - \frac{J_2^2 J_+^2}{i\gamma} = 0 \quad (20)$$

and also the necessary condition Eq.(18).