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Abstract. In a previous paper [3], the authors together with L.
Vrancken initiated the study of 3-dimensional CR submanifolds of
the nearly Kähler homogeneous S³ × S³. As is shown by Butruille
this is one of only four homogeneous 6-dimensional nearly Kähler
manifolds. Besides its almost complex structure J it also admits
a canonical almost product structure P, see [8] and [2]. Along a
3-dimensional CR submanifold the tangent space of S³ × S³ can
be naturally split as the orthogonal sum of three 2-dimensional
vector bundles D₁, D₂ and D₃. We study the CR submanifolds in
relation to the behavior of the almost product structure on these
vector bundles.

1. Introduction

An almost Hermitian manifold (̃M, g, J), with Levi-Civita con-
nection ̃∇, is called a nearly Kähler manifold if for any tangent vector X it
holds (̃∇X J)X = 0. If, moreover, ̃∇J is a vanishing tensor, ̃M is said
to be a Kähler manifold. For that reason the skew symmetric tensor
G(X, Y) = (̃∇X J)Y plays an important role in the nearly Kähler ge-
ometry. A nearly Kähler manifold is called strict if and only if for any
non zero vector X, G(X, Y) does not vanish identically. It is known
that there exist only four 6-dimensional homogeneous nearly Kähler
manifolds, that are not Kähler: the sphere S⁶, the complex projective
space CP³, the flag manifold F³ and S³ × S³, see [6]. One should also
remark that the first examples of complete non homogeneous Kähler
manifolds were recently discovered by Foscolo and Haskins in [13].

In this paper we are in particular interested in the study of 3-
dimensional CR submanifolds of S³ × S³. In general, a submanifold
M is called a CR submanifold if there exists a C∞-differential J invari-
ant distribution D₁ on M (i.e., JD₁ = D₁), such that its orthogonal comple-
ment D⊥₁ in TM is totally real (JD⊥₁ ⊆ T⊥₁M), where T⊥₁M is the normal bundle over M. We say that M is proper if it is nei-
ther almost complex, nor totally real. Note that, in the specific case
of a 3-dimensional submanifold M of a 6-dimensional (nearly) Kähler
manifold, we have that M is a proper CR submanifold if and only if
JTₚM ∩ TₚM is a 2-dimensional integrable distribution.
Along a 3-dimensional CR submanifold $M$ of a 6-dimensional nearly Kähler manifold $\tilde{M}$, the tangent space of $\tilde{M}$ can be naturally split as the orthogonal sum of three 2-dimensional $J$-invariant vector bundles $D_1$, $D_2$, and $D_3$ over $M$. Here $D_1$ is as defined before, i.e. $D_1 = JT_pM \cap T_pM$, $D_2 = D_1^+ \oplus JD_1^+$ and $D_3 = G(D_1, D_2)$.

In contrast to the nearly Kähler manifold $S^6$ whose CR submanifolds have been extensively studied in for example [14], [15], [16]; the manifold $S^3 \times S^3$ admits an additional almost product structure $P$. Here we study the CR submanifolds in relation to the behavior of the almost product structure on these vector bundles. In doing so we obtain the first examples, together with their characterisations, of CR submanifolds for which $D_1$ is not an integrable distribution. Note that examples with integrable $D_1$ distribution can be easily obtained by moving an almost complex surface along by a 1-parameter family of isometries.

2. Preliminaries

In the usual way we consider $S^3$ as the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^4$ identified with the quaternions $\mathbb{H}$. Therefore, we can represent an arbitrary tangent vector at a point $(p, q) \in S^3 \times S^3$ by $Z = (p\alpha, q\beta)$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are imaginary quaternions.

The almost complex structure on $S^3 \times S^3$ is given by, see for example [2, 6]:

$$JZ_{(p,q)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(p(2\beta - \alpha), q(-2\alpha + \beta))$$

and a compatible metric $g$ can be defined by

$$g(Z, Z') = \frac{1}{2}(\langle Z, Z' \rangle + \langle JZ, JZ' \rangle).$$

where $\langle .., \rangle$ is the standard metric on the quaternions.

It is known that this is indeed a nearly Kähler manifold and that therefore the skew symmetric tensor field $G(X, Y) = (\nabla_X J)Y$ satisfies

$$G(X, Y) + G(Y, X) = 0,$$

$$G(X, JY) + JG(X, Y) = 0,$$

$$g(G(X, Y), Z) + g(G(X, Z), Y) = 0.$$

$$g(G(X, Y), G(Z, W)) = \frac{1}{3}(g(X, Y)g(Y, W) - g(X, W)g(Y, Z) + g(JX, Z)JY - g(JX, W)g(JZ, Y)), \quad (1)$$

Also, in [2] the following almost product structure $P$ was introduced

$$P(p\alpha, q\beta) = (p\beta, q\alpha),$$
and it was also shown that it holds

\[ P^2 = \text{Id}, \quad PJ = -JP, \]
\[ g(PZ, PZ') = g(Z, Z'), \quad g(PZ, Z') = g(Z, PZ'), \]
\[ PG(X, Z) + G(PX, PY) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\nabla}_X P)Y = \frac{1}{2}(JG(X, PY) + JPG(X, Y)). \]

We note that the curvature tensor of \( \tilde{\nabla} \) is given by

\[ \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \frac{5}{12}(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{12}(g(JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY - 2g(JX, Y)JZ) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{3}(g(PY, Z)PX - g(PX, Z)PY + g(JPY, Z)JPX - g(JPX, Z)JPY). \]

From \[8\] we see that the maps \( F_{abc}, F_1, F_2 \) of \( S^3 \times S^3 \) defined respectively by

\[ F_{abc}(p, q) = (ap\bar{c}, bq\bar{c}), \]
\[ F_1(p, q) = (q, p), \]
\[ F_2(p, q) = (\bar{p}, q\bar{p}), \]

where \( a, b, c \) are unitary quaternions are isometries (and therefore preserve the metric). The maps \( F_{abc} \) also preserve the almost complex structure \( J \) and the almost product structure \( P \). The same is not true for the group generated by the isometries \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \). The isometries of this group still preserve \( J \), at least up to sign. However they do not necessarily preserve \( P \). This is due to the fact that, see \[8\], there are actually three canonical almost product structure (satisfying the same fundamental properties), and the isometries of this group allow to switch between these different structures. In view of this it is clear that \( F_{abc}, F_1, F_2 \) map a CR submanifold of \( S^3 \times S^3 \) to a CR submanifold of \( S^3 \times S^3 \). Moreover these maps also preserve the bundles \( D_1 \) and \( D_1^\perp \).

Note that the structure \( P \) can be expressed in terms of the usual product structure \( Q(p\alpha, q\beta) = (-p\alpha, q\beta) \) by

\[ QJ(Z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(2PZ + Z). \]

Using this relation, for tangent vector \( Z = (p\alpha, q\beta) = (U, V) \), we can obtain \( U \) and \( V \) in the following way

\[ (U, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(Z - Q(Z)), \quad (0, V) = \frac{1}{2}(Z + Q(Z)). \]
Also, the standard metric is given in terms of the nearly Kähler metric in the following way

\[
\langle Z, Z' \rangle = g(Z, Z') + \frac{1}{2} g(Z, PZ').
\]

Finally, for \( X = (p\alpha, q\beta), \ Y = (p\gamma, q\delta) \in T_{(p,q)}S^3 \times S^3 \) it follows that

\[
G(X, Y) = \frac{2}{3\sqrt{3}} (p(\beta \times \gamma + \alpha \times \delta + \alpha \times \gamma - 2\beta \times \delta),
q(-\alpha \times \delta - \beta \times \gamma + 2\alpha \times \gamma - \beta \times \delta)).
\]

Recall that for tangent vector fields \( X, Y \) and normal \( \xi \), the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given by

\[
\tilde{\nabla}^X Y = \nabla^X Y + h(X, Y),
\]

\[
\tilde{\nabla}^X \xi = -A^\xi X + \nabla^\perp X \xi,
\]

where \( \nabla, \nabla^\perp \) are, respectively, the induced connection of the submanifold and the connection in the normal bundle, while \( h \) and \( A \) are the second fundamental form and the shape operator. Then we have that \( g(h(X, Y), \xi) = g(A^\xi X, Y) \).

In [10] it was shown that the relation between the Euclidean connection \( \nabla^E \) of \( S^3 \times S^3 \) and \( \tilde{\nabla} \) is given by

\[
\nabla^E_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + \frac{1}{2} (JG(X, PY) + JG(Y, PX)).
\]

One should notice the following. Since the connection \( D \) in the space \( \mathbb{R}^8 \) satisfies \( D_{E_i} f = df(E_i) = (p\alpha_i, q\beta_i) \), we have that

\[
D_{E_i}D_{E_j} f = D_{E_j}(p\alpha_i, q\beta_i) = (p\alpha_j\alpha_i + pE_j(\alpha_i), q\beta_j\beta_i + qE_j(\beta_i))
\]

\[
= -(\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i \rangle p, \langle \beta_j, \beta_i \rangle q) + (p(\alpha_j \times \alpha_i + E_j(\alpha_i)), q(\beta_j \times \beta_i + E_j(\beta_i))).
\]

Since the second fundamental form of the immersion of \( S^3 \times S^3 \) is given by

\[
\hat{h}(E_i, E_j) = -(\langle \alpha_j, \alpha_i \rangle p, \langle \beta_j, \beta_i \rangle q),
\]

we have that

\[
\nabla^E_{E_j} E_i = (p(\alpha_j \times \alpha_i + E_j(\alpha_i)), q(\beta_j \times \beta_i + E_j(\beta_i))).
\]

3. THE SUITABLE MOVING FRAME FOR 3-DIMENSIONAL CR SUBMANIFOLDS

We now recall from [3] a moving frame along a 3-dimensional proper CR submanifold \( M \) suitable for making computations. We have that the almost complex distribution \( D_1 \) is 2-dimensional, while the totally real distribution \( D_1^\perp \) is of dimension one. We can take unit vector fields \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 = JE_1 \) that span \( D_1 \), and \( E_3 \) that spans \( D_1^\perp \). We consider the nearly Kähler metric to be denoted by \( g \) throughout the paper, if it is not explicitly stated otherwise. We have that \( E_4 = JE_3 \) is a
unit normal vector field. Then, from \([3]\) we know that the vector fields 

\[E_1, \ldots, E_5 \] and 

\[E_6 = \sqrt{3}G(E_2, E_3) = -JE_5\]

form an orthonormal moving frame.

In the same manner we obtain the following equalities

\[
G(E_1, E_2) = 0, \quad G(E_1, E_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_5, \quad G(E_1, E_4) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_6,
\]

\[
G(E_1, E_5) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_3, \quad G(E_1, E_6) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_4, \quad G(E_2, E_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_6,
\]

\[
G(E_2, E_5) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_5, \quad G(E_2, E_6) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_4, \quad G(E_3, E_6) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_3,
\]

\[
G(E_3, E_4) = 0, \quad G(E_3, E_5) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_1, \quad G(E_3, E_6) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_2,
\]

(10)

\[
G(E_4, E_5) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_2, \quad G(E_4, E_6) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}E_1, \quad G(E_5, E_6) = 0.
\]

Note that something similar can actually be done for a 3-dimensional CR submanifold of any 6-dimensional strict nearly Kähler manifold.

Under the assumption that \(\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}\) is a positively oriented tangent frame of \(M\), the vector fields \(E_3\) and \(E_4\) are uniquely determined. However, we do have a freedom of rotating \(E_1\) in the almost complex distribution \(D_1\), which implies a corresponding rotation in \(D_3\). Then for a rotation angle \(\varphi\) we have

\[
\tilde{E}_1 = \cos \varphi E_1 + \sin \varphi E_2, \quad \tilde{E}_2 = JE_1 = -\sin \varphi E_1 + \cos \varphi E_2,
\]

\[
\tilde{E}_3 = E_3, \quad \tilde{E}_4 = E_4,
\]

(11)

\[
\tilde{E}_5 = \cos \varphi E_5 + \sin \varphi E_6, \quad \tilde{E}_6 = -\sin E_5 + \cos \varphi E_6.
\]

Now, let us denote the components of the connection, the second fundamental form and the normal connection respectively by

\[
\Gamma^k_{ij} = g(\tilde{\nabla}_i E_j, E_k), \quad h^k_{ij} = g(\tilde{\nabla}_i E_j, E_{k+3}), \quad b^k_{ij} = g(\tilde{\nabla}_i E_{j+3}, E_{k+3}),
\]

for \(1 \leq i, j, k \leq 3\). Since the second fundamental form is symmetric, and \(\tilde{\nabla}\) is the Levi-Civita we have that

\[
\Gamma^k_{ij} = -\Gamma^k_{ji}, \quad b^k_{ij} = -b^k_{ji}, \quad h^k_{ij} = h^k_{ji}.
\]

We recall from \([3]\) following three lemmas
Lemma 1. The coefficients $\Gamma_{ij}^k$, $h_{ij}$, $b_{ij}$ satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{11}^3 &= h_{12}^1, \quad \Gamma_{12}^3 = -h_{11}^1, \quad \Gamma_{21}^3 = h_{22}^1, \quad \Gamma_{22}^3 = -h_{12}^1, \quad \Gamma_{31}^3 = h_{35}^1, \\
\Gamma_{32}^3 &= -h_{13}^1, \quad h_{11}^2 = -h_{12}^3, \quad h_{12}^2 = h_{11}^3, \quad h_{13}^3 = h_{23}^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad h_{22}^3 = h_{12}^3, \\
b_{21}^3 &= -h_{13}^1, \quad b_{23}^3 = -h_{13}^2, \quad b_{21}^3 = h_{13}^3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad b_{31}^3 = h_{33}^1, \quad b_{33}^3 = -h_{33}^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Lemma 2. It holds
\[
b_{12}^3 = \Gamma_{11}^2 - \Gamma_{32}^3, \quad b_{22}^3 = \Gamma_{22}^2 + \Gamma_{31}^3, \quad b_{32}^3 = h_{13}^2 + \Gamma_{31}^2
\]
The tensor field $P$ can be written as it follows.

Lemma 3. There exists an open dense subset of $M$ such that, with respect to a suitable choice of $E_1$ belonging to $\mathcal{D}_1$, the tensor field $P$ is given in the frame $E_1, \ldots, E_6$ by
\[
\begin{align*}
PE_1 &= \cos \theta E_1 + a_1 \sin \theta E_1 + a_2 \sin \theta E_4 + a_3 \sin \theta E_5 + a_4 \sin \theta E_6, \\
PE_2 &= -\cos \theta E_2 + a_1 \sin \theta E_3 - a_1 \sin \theta E_4 - a_4 \sin \theta E_5 + a_3 \sin \theta E_6, \\
PE_3 &= a_1 \sin \theta E_1 + a_2 \sin \theta E_2 + (a_3^2 - a_4^2 + (a_2 - a_1)^2 \cos \theta) E_3 \\
&\quad + 2(a_3 a_4 - a_1 a_2 \cos \theta) E_4 - (a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_4)(1 + \cos \theta) E_5 \\
&\quad + (a_2 a_3 - a_1 a_4)(-1 + \cos \theta) E_6, \\
PE_4 &= a_2 \sin \theta E_1 - a_1 \sin \theta E_2 + 2(a_3 a_4 - a_1 a_2 \cos \theta) E_3 \\
&\quad + (a_4^2 - a_3^2 + (a_1^2 - a_2^2) \cos \theta) E_4 - (a_2 a_3 - a_1 a_4)(-1 + \cos \theta) E_5 \\
&\quad - (a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_4)(1 + \cos \theta) E_6, \\
PE_5 &= a_3 \sin \theta E_1 - a_4 \sin \theta E_2 - (a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_4)(1 + \cos \theta) E_3 \\
&\quad - (a_2 a_3 - a_1 a_4)(-1 + \cos \theta) E_4 + (a_1^2 - a_2^2 + (a_3^2 - a_4^2) \cos \theta) E_5 \\
&\quad + 2(a_1 a_2 - a_3 a_4 \cos \theta) E_6, \\
PE_6 &= a_4 \sin \theta E_1 + a_3 \sin \theta E_2 + (a_2 a_3 - a_1 a_4)(-1 + \cos \theta) E_3 \\
&\quad - (a_1 a_3 + a_2 a_4)(1 + \cos \theta) E_4 + 2(a_1 a_2 - a_3 a_4 \cos \theta) E_5
\end{align*}
\]
(12) for some differentiable functions $\theta, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ such that $\sum a_i^2 = 1$.

Note that $E_1$ is determined up to sign if and only if $\cos \theta \neq 0$. If however $\cos \theta$ vanishes on an open set, we regain the freedom of rotation in $\mathcal{D}_1$.

If we now look at $\mathcal{F}_i(f)$, then it is clear that this is again a CR immersion.

Lemma 4. If we denote the corresponding variables $\theta, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$ respectively by $\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3, \tilde{a}_4$ and $\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3, \tilde{a}_4$ for $\mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{F}_2$, we find
that:
\[ \hat{\theta} = \theta, \quad \hat{a}_1 = a_1, \quad \hat{a}_2 = -a_2, \quad \hat{a}_3 = -a_3, \quad \hat{a}_4 = a_4; \]
\[ \tilde{\theta} = \theta, \quad \tilde{a}_1 = \frac{1}{2}a_1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_2, \quad \tilde{a}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_1 - \frac{1}{2}a_2, \quad \tilde{a}_3 = \frac{1}{2}a_3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_4, \]
\[ \tilde{a}_4 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a_3 + \frac{1}{2}a_4; \]
where \( \theta, \hat{\theta}, \tilde{\theta} \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \).

**Proof.** First, we consider the immersion \( F_1 \). The first vector field \( \hat{E}_1 \in D_1 \) of the corresponding frame (12), is such that the function \( \cos \hat{\theta} = g(P\hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_1) \) attains the maximum for \( \hat{E}_1 \). Recall from [8] that \( P \circ F_1 = F_1 \circ P \). Then it follows straightforwardly that
\[ \cos \hat{\theta} = \]
\[ = g(P(\cos \alpha \, dF_1(E_1) + \sin \alpha \, dF_1(E_1)), \cos \alpha \, dF_1(E_1) + \sin \alpha \, dF_1(E_1)) \]
\[ = \cos(\theta) \cos(2\alpha). \]
So, the maximum is attained for \( \alpha = 0 \), which implies \( \hat{\theta} = \theta \). Using relations
\[ dF_1(G(X, Y)) = -G(dF_1(X), dF_1(Y)), \quad dF_1 \circ J = -J \circ dF_1, \]
we obtain:
\[ \hat{E}_1 = dF_1(E_1), \quad \hat{E}_2 = J\hat{E}_1 = -dF_1(E_2), \]
\[ \hat{E}_3 = dF_1(E_3), \quad \hat{E}_4 = J\hat{E}_3 = -dF_1(E_4), \]
\[ \hat{E}_5 = \sqrt{3}G(\hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_3) = -dF_1(E_5), \quad \hat{E}_6 = \sqrt{3}G(\hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_3) = dF_1(E_6). \]
Now, straightforwardly we get
\[ \hat{a}_1 = \langle P\hat{E}_1, \hat{E}_3 \rangle / \sin \hat{\theta} = a_1. \]
In a similar way we get the expressions for other \( \hat{a}_i \).

Look now at the immersion \( F_2 \). Again, recall from [8] that \( P \circ dF_2 = dF_2 \circ (-\frac{1}{2}P + J\hat{a}JP) \). We have:
\[ \cos \tilde{\theta} = \]
\[ = g(P(\cos \alpha \, dF_2(E_1) + \sin \alpha \, dF_2(E_1)), \cos \alpha \, dF_2(E_1) + \sin \alpha \, dF_2(E_1)) \]
\[ = \cos \theta \, \sin(2\alpha - \frac{\pi}{6}). \]
In this case the maximum is attained for \( \alpha = \frac{\pi}{3} \), so we can write
\[ \hat{E}_1 = \frac{1}{2}dF_2(E_1) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}dF_2(E_2) \]
and straightforward computations give us:

\[
\tilde{E}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} dF_2(E_1) - \frac{1}{2} dF_2(E_2),
\]

\[
\tilde{E}_3 = dF_2(E_3),
\]

\[
\tilde{E}_4 = -dF_2(E_4),
\]

\[
\tilde{E}_5 = -\frac{1}{2} dF_2(E_5) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} dF_2(E_6),
\]

\[
\tilde{E}_6 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} dF_2(E_5) - \frac{1}{2} dF_2(E_6).
\]

We have:

\[
\tilde{a}_1 = \langle P \tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_3 \rangle / \sin \tilde{\theta}
= \langle P \left( \frac{1}{2} dF_2(E_1) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} dF_2(E_2) \right), dF_2(E_3) \rangle / \sin \theta
= \langle \frac{1}{2} dF_2 \left( -\frac{1}{2} PE_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} JPE_1 \right) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} dF_2 \left( -\frac{1}{2} PE_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} JPE_2 \right), dF_2(E_3) \rangle / \sin \theta
= \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} PE_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} JPE_1 \right) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} PE_2 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} JPE_2 \right), E_3 \right\rangle / \sin \theta
= \frac{1}{2} a_1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a_2.
\]

In a similar way we get other \(\tilde{a}_i\).

\[\square\]

**Remark.** If \(\theta = 0\), then \(P\) is determined by

\[
\omega_1 = a_3^2 - a_4^2 + a_2^2 - a_1^2,
\]

\[
\omega_2 = 2(a_3a_4 - a_1a_2),
\]

\[
\omega_3 = 2(a_1a_3 + a_2a_4),
\]

which change as:

\[
\tilde{\omega}_1 = \langle P \tilde{E}_3, \tilde{E}_3 \rangle = \omega_1
\]

\[
\tilde{\omega}_2 = \langle P \tilde{E}_3, \tilde{E}_4 \rangle = -\omega_2
\]

\[
\tilde{\omega}_3 = -\langle P \tilde{E}_3, \tilde{E}_5 \rangle = -\omega_3
\]

Here, we assume that \(PD_1 = D_1\), i.e. \(\theta = 0\). Recall that \(D_2 = \text{Span}\{E_3, E_4\}\) and \(D_3 = \text{Span}\{E_5, E_6\}\). So, in this section we will consider some cases with respect to the position of \(PD_2\). Note that we still have a freedom of rotating the frame of \(D_1\).
Theorem 1. There is no CR submanifold such that $PD_1 = D_1$ and $PD_2 = D_3$.

Proof. If we assume that $PD_1 = D_1$ and $PD_2 = D_3$, without loss of generality we can choose $E_1$ such that $PE_1 = E_1$ and we know that for $E_3$ we can write $PE_3 = \cos tE_5 + \sin tE_6$. Taking $X \in \{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ and $Y = E_1$ in the second formula in the last line of [2] we get $\Gamma_{21}^1 = \Gamma_{31}^2 = 0$. Similarly, taking $(X, Y) = (E_2, E_1)$ and $(X, Y) = (E_1, E_2)$ and taking the sum, we get $h_{12}^1 = 0$ and $h_{22}^1 = h_{11}^1$. Looking now again when $(X, Y) = (E_1, E_1)$, we get $h_{11}^1 = h_{11}^1 \cos t$ and $h_{12}^1 = h_{11}^1 \sin t$. From the same equation we also derive $h_{11}^1 \sin t = 0$. Now, we consider two cases. First, if we suppose that $\sin t = 0$, for the combinations $(X, Y) = (E_1, E_3)$ and $(X, Y) = (E_2, E_1)$ we derive $h_{13}^1 = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ and $h_{13}^3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$. This yields a contradiction. Now we suppose that $h_{11}^1 = 0$. If $\cos t \neq 0$, we get the same contradiction as in the previous case. If $\cos t = 0$, taking $(X, Y) = (E_3, E_1)$ we deduce $h_{13}^1 = h_{13}^2 = 0$ and taking $(X, Y) = (E_3, E_2)$ we find that $h_{23}^1 = 0$ and $h_{33}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$.

Computing now $0 = \nabla E_1 \nabla E_2 E_3 - \nabla E_2 \nabla E_1 E_3 - \nabla [E_1, E_2] E_3 - R(E_1, E_2) E_3$ we get a contradiction. So, the case that $PD_1 = D_1$ and $PD_2 = D_3$ is impossible. □

Remark. As $P$ is injective and all distributions have the same dimension, the equality signs in the above theorem can be replaced with inclusions. The same is also true in subsequent theorems.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional CR submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ such that $D_1$ holds $PD_1 = D_1$ and $PD_2 = D_2$. Then $M$ is locally congruent to one of following three immersions:

$$f_1(u, v, t) = (\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v\right)),$$

$$A(t)(\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v\right)),$$

$$f_2(u, v, t) = (A(t)(\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v\right)),$$

$$\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v\right),$$

$$f_3(u, v, t) = ((\cos(v) + i \sin(v)) A, (\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v\right) - i \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v\right)) A),$$

where $A(t) = a_1(t) + a_2(t) j$ where $a_1(t), a_2(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$a'_1(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a_2(t) e^{-if(t)}$$

$$a'_2(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a_1(t) e^{if(t)}$$

for some differentiable function $f$. 


Proof. We suppose that \( PD_1 = D_1 \) and \( PD_2 = D_2 \), so we can choose \( E_1 \in D_1 \) such that \( PE_1 = E_1 \). So, \( \theta = 0 \) and we write \( \omega_1 = -\cos(2\phi) \), \( \omega_2 = -\sin(2\phi) \) and \( \omega_3 = 0 \). For this values almost product structure \( P \) is defined on following way:

\[
\begin{align*}
PE_1 &= E_1, \quad PE_2 = -E_2, \quad PE_3 = -\cos(2\phi)E_3 - \sin(2\phi)E_4, \\
PE_4 &= -\sin(2\phi)E_3 + \cos(2\phi)E_4, \quad PE_5 = \cos(2\phi)E_5 + \sin(2\phi)E_6, \\
PE_6 &= \sin(2\phi)E_5 - \cos(2\phi)E_6.
\end{align*}
\]

Taking combinations \((X, Y) \in \{(E_1, E_1), (E_1, E_2), (E_2, E_1), (E_3, E_1), (E_1, E_3), (E_2, E_3)\}\) in the second equation in the last line of (2) we get

\[
\Gamma_{11}^2 = \Gamma_{21}^2 = \Gamma_{31}^2 = 0, h_{12}^3 = h_{11}^3 = 0, h_{13}^2 = -\frac{\sin(4\phi)}{\sqrt{3}}, h_{23}^2 = \frac{2\cos(4\phi) - 1}{2\sqrt{3}}.
\]

Taking again \((X, Y) = (E_3, E_1)\) in the same equation of (2), we get the following conditions:

\[
\cos(2\phi) + \cos(4\phi) = 0, \quad -\sin(2\phi) + \sin(4\phi) = 0.
\]

We can now conclude that the possibilities for \( \phi \) are \( \{-\frac{\pi}{6} + k\pi, \frac{\pi}{6} + k\pi, \frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi\}, k \in \mathbb{R} \).

Now, we will consider the case \( \phi_1 = -\frac{\pi}{6} + k\pi \). If we take \((X, Y) \in \{(E_1, E_3), (E_2, E_3), (E_3, E_3)\}\) in (2) we obtain:

\[
h_{11}^1 = \sqrt{3}h_{12}^1, \quad h_{22}^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}h_{12}^1, \quad h_{23}^1 = 0, \quad h_{13}^1 = 0, \quad h_{33}^1 = 0, \quad h_{33}^2 = \sqrt{3}h_{33}^2.
\]

Taking \( X = E_1, Y = E_2 \) and \( Z = E_1 \) in the Gauss equation we get \( h_{12}^1 = 0 \) and if we use again the Gauss equation for \((X, Y, Z) \in \{(E_1, E_3, E_3), (E_2, E_3, E_3)\}\) we get that the derivatives \( E_1(h_{33}^3) = E_2(h_{33}^3) = 0 \). Now, straightforward computations give us

\[
[E_1, E_2] = 0, \quad [E_1, E_3] = 0, \quad [E_2, E_3] = 0.
\]

So, the vector fields \( E_1, E_2, E_3 \), i.e. \( df(E_1), df(E_2), df(E_3) \) correspond to coordinate vector fields \( \partial_u, \partial_v, \partial_t \). The immersion \( f : M \to S^3 \times S^3 \) can be written as pair of maps \((p, q)\), with \( p : M \to S^3 \) and \( q : M \to S^3 \). Also, we will use \( df(E_i) = (p\alpha_i, q\beta_i) \), where \( \alpha_i, \beta_i \) are imaginary quaternion functions. We can express projections of \( df(E_i) \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) on the tangent space of both spheres using (5) and we get:

\[
(13) \quad p_v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}p_u, \quad p_t = 0, \quad q_v = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}q_u.
\]
Now, we obtain the following Euclidean covariant derivatives:

\[
\nabla^E_{\partial_1} dp(E_i) = 0 \quad \nabla^E_{\partial_2} dp(E_i) = 0 \quad \nabla^E_{\partial_3} dp(E_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3
\]

\[
\nabla^E_{\partial_1} dq(E_1) = 0 \quad \nabla^E_{\partial_2} dq(E_1) = 0 \quad \nabla^E_{\partial_3} dq(E_1) = \frac{3}{4} E_5 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} E_6
\]

\[
\nabla^E_{\partial_1} dq(E_2) = 0 \quad \nabla^E_{\partial_2} dq(E_2) = 0 \quad \nabla^E_{\partial_3} dq(E_2) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} E_5 - \frac{1}{4} E_6
\]

\[
\nabla^E_{\partial_1} dq(E_3) = \frac{3}{4} E_5 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} E_6 \quad \nabla^E_{\partial_3} dq(E_3) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} E_5 - \frac{1}{4} E_6
\]

(14)

\[\nabla^E_{\partial_1} dq(E_3) = \sqrt{3} h^3 \| E_5 + h^3 E_6.\]

Note that the coefficient \( h^3 \) depends only on the variable \( t \). We can calculate the scalar product using relation (6) and we have:

\[\|
\langle 1 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad \|
\langle 2 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad \|
\langle 3 \rangle = 1, \quad \|
\langle 4 \rangle = 0,
\]

(15)

\[\langle 1, 2 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, \quad \langle 1, 3 \rangle = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, \quad \langle 2, 3 \rangle = 0.
\]

So, we obtain \( p_{uu} = -\frac{3}{4} p \), this together with (13) gives us

\[p(u, v) = A \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} u + \frac{1}{2} v\right) + B \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} u + \frac{1}{2} v\right)
\]

where \( A, B \in \mathbb{H} \) are constants and \( \|A\| = \|B\| = 1, \langle A, B \rangle = 0 \). By a rotation of the space \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) we can suppose that the immersion is

\[p(u, v) = \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} u + \frac{1}{2} v\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} u + \frac{1}{2} v\right).
\]

For \( q \) we also have \( q_{uu} = -\frac{3}{4} q \) and using (13) we obtain that \( q \) has the form

(16)

\[q(u, v, t) = \tilde{A}(t) \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} u + \frac{1}{2} v\right) + \tilde{B}(t) \sin\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} u + \frac{1}{2} v\right)
\]

where \( \tilde{A}(t), \tilde{B}(t) \in \mathbb{H} \) and \( \|\tilde{A}(t)\| = \|\tilde{B}(t)\| = 1, \langle \tilde{A}(t), \tilde{B}(t) \rangle = 0 \). Straightforward computation gives us

(17)

\[\alpha_1 = \bar{p} p_u = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i, \quad \alpha_2 = \bar{p} p_v = \frac{1}{2} i, \quad \alpha_3 = 0.
\]

We suppose that \( PE_1 = E_1 \) and \( PE_2 = -E_2 \), i.e. we have

(18)

\[\beta_1 = \alpha_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i, \quad \beta_2 = -\alpha_2 = -\frac{1}{2} i.
\]

\(E_1, E_2, E_3\) are coordinate vector fields, so using formula

\[\langle 0, q_0 \rangle = -\langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle (0, q) + \nabla^E_{\partial_1} dq(E_3), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}
\]

from (14) we get

\[\partial_u (\beta_3) = \sqrt{3} i \times \beta_3, \quad \partial_v (\beta_3) = i \times \beta_3, \quad \partial_t (\beta_3) = -2h^3 i \times \beta_3.
\]
From (15) we see that $\beta_3 \in \text{Im} \mathbb{H}$ is orthogonal to $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$, with length $\sqrt{3}$ and we can write $\beta_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(\gamma) j + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(\gamma) k$, where $\gamma$ is a differentiable function and a straightforward computation gives us

$$\partial_u(\gamma) = -\sqrt{3}, \quad \partial_v(\gamma) = 1, \quad \partial_t(\gamma) = -2h_{33}. \tag{18}$$

We can express $\beta_3$ in the following way

$$\beta_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cos(-\sqrt{3}u + v + f(t)) j + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sin(-\sqrt{3}u + v + f(t)) k,$$

where $f(t)$ is differentiable function such that $f'(t) = -2h_{33}$. From $q_t = q\beta_3$ we get conditions for $\tilde{A}(t)$ and $\tilde{B}(t)$:

$$\tilde{A}'(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \tilde{A}(t)je^{-if(t)}, \tag{19}$$

$$\tilde{B}'(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \tilde{A}(t)ke^{-if(t)} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \tilde{B}(t)je^{-if(t)},$$

$$0 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \tilde{A}(t)je^{-if(t)} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \tilde{A}(t)kek^{-if(t)},$$

$$0 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \tilde{A}(t)ke^{-if(t)} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \tilde{B}(t)je^{-if(t)}.$$

These equations reduce to:

$$\tilde{A}'(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \tilde{A}(t)je^{-if(t)}, \tag{20}$$

$$\tilde{B}(t) = \tilde{A}(t)i.$$ 

If we use the notation $\tilde{A}(t) = a_1(t) + a_2(t)j$, where $a_1(t), a_2(t) \in \mathbb{C}$, from (19) we obtain the relations:

$$a_1'(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a_2(t)e^{-if(t)}$$

$$a_2'(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} a_1(t)e^{if(t)}.$$

From this moment on, we will use this notation, $A = \tilde{A}$ and $B = \tilde{B}$. Finally, the immersion is

$$f(u, v, t) = (\cos(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v) + i \sin(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u + \frac{1}{2}v),$$

$$A(t)(\cos(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v) + i \sin(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}v)), $$

where $A(t)$ satisfies previous conditions. Two other cases when $\phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{6} + k\pi$ and $\phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{6} + k\pi$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$ we can obtain by using angle functions. From $P\mathcal{E}_4 = -\cos(2\phi)E_3 \mathcal{E} - \sin(2\phi)E_4$ we obtain that $\theta = \phi + \frac{1}{2}\pi$.

For $\phi_1 = -\frac{\pi}{3}$, $\phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{3}$, $\phi_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ corresponding angles are $\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{3}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{2\pi}{3}$, $\theta_3 = \pi$. $\theta_2 = \pi - \theta_1$ and we can conclude that the immersion
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corresponding angle is \( \theta_3 = \pi \). This ends our proof.

5. Case \( P D_1 \perp D_1 \)

In this section we suppose that \( P D_1 \perp D_1 \). First we get a condition when \( D_1 \) is not integrable and then we obtain a complete classification of the submanifolds of this type. Moreover, we get an example of a submanifold when the distribution \( D_1 \) is integrable and \( P D_1 = D_2 \).

**Theorem 3.** If we suppose that \( P D_1 \perp D_1 \), then \( D_1 \) is not integrable if and only if \( P D_1 = D_3 \).

Proof. Since \( P D_1 \perp D_1 \), we have that \( \cos \theta = 0, \sin \theta = 1 \) in (12). If we suppose that \( D_1 \) is not integrable, from (11) we obtain \( h_{11}^1 \neq -h_{12}^2 \).

Taking \( X \in \{E_1, E_2\} \) and \( Y = E_3 \) in the second equation in the last line of (2) we obtain the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
-h_{11}^1 a_1 + h_{12}^1 a_2 + h_{13}^2 a_3 + h_{12}^2 a_4 &= 0 \\
h_{12}^1 a_1 + h_{12}^2 a_2 + h_{12}^3 a_3 + h_{12}^4 a_4 &= 0 \\
h_{12}^1 a_1 + h_{12}^3 a_2 - h_{12}^2 a_3 + h_{11}^3 a_4 &= 0 \\
h_{12}^1 a_1 - h_{12}^3 a_2 - h_{12}^2 a_3 + h_{11}^3 a_4 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The first two equations reduce to \( (h_{11}^1 + h_{12}^1) a_1 = 0, \) so \( a_1 = 0 \). Analogously, from the third and fourth equation we get \( (h_{11}^3 + h_{12}^3) a_2 = 0, \) so \( a_2 = 0 \). Hence we can conclude from (12) that \( P D_1 = D_3 \).

Conversely if we suppose that \( P D_1 = D_3 \) we have \( a_1 = a_2 = 0 \) in (12), so we can write \( a_3 = \cos t \) and \( a_4 = \sin t \). In order to obtain a contradiction we can assume that \( D_1 \) is integrable, so we have \( h_{12}^1 = -h_{11}^1 \). Taking \( X = Y = E_1 \) in the second equation in last line of (2) we obtain \( h_{11}^1 \cos t + h_{12}^3 \sin t = 0 \) and \( h_{12}^3 \cos t - h_{11}^3 \sin t = 0 \), so, \( h_{11}^3 = h_{12}^3 = 0 \). From computing \( \tilde{R}(E_1, E_2)E_3 \) in two different ways, we get \( h_{12}^1 = h_{11}^1 = 0 \). If we use this in the same equation of (2) when \( X = Y = E_1 \), we obtain the equations

\[
(\sqrt{3} + 2 h_{23}^2) \cos t - 2 h_{13}^2 \sin t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2 h_{13}^2 \cos t + (\sqrt{3} + 2 h_{23}^2) \sin t = 0,
\]

so, \( h_{13}^2 = 0 \) and \( h_{23}^2 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \). Now taking \( (X, Y) = (E_2, E_1) \) in (2) we get that \( \cos t \) and \( \sin t \) are equal 0 at the same time, which is impossible. □
Theorem 4. Let $M$ be a 3-dimensional CR submanifold of $S^3 \times S^3$ such that $PD_1 = D_2$. Then $M$ is locally congruent to immersion

$$f(u, v, t) = (A(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v)i + \sqrt{3}\sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v)k),$$

$$E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v)i - \sqrt{3}\sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v)j - \sqrt{3}\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}v)k)),$$

where $A, E \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\|A\| = \|E\| = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. We assume that $PD_1 = D_2$ and without loss of generality we can choose $E_1$ such that $PE_1 = E_3$. So in expression for the almost product structure $P$ we can take $\theta = \pi/2$ and $a_1 = 1, a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 0$. Also, by using Proposition (5) we know that the almost complex distribution $D_1$ is integrable, and (11) we have $h^1_{22} = -h^1_{11}$. Taking $(X, Y) \in \{(E_1, E_1), (E_2, E_2)\}$ in the second equation in last line of (2) we obtain

$$h^1_{11} = 0, \ h^1_{12} = 0, \ h^3_{12} = 0, \ h^2_{13} = 0,$$

$$h^3_{11} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \ h^2_{23} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \ \Gamma^1_{11} = -h^1_{13}, \ \Gamma^2_{21} = -h^1_{23}$$

and if we take $(E_3, E_2)$ in (2) we get

$$h^1_{13} = 0, \ h^1_{23} = 0, \ h^3_{23} = 0, \ h^3_{33} = 0, \ \Gamma^3_{31} = -h^3_{33}.$$

By computing $\tilde{R}(E_1, E_3)E_1$ in two different ways we get $h^1_{33} = 0$. It now follows that

$$[E_1, E_2] = 0, \ [E_1, E_3] = 0, \ [E_2, E_3] = 0,$$

and we obtain that the vector fields $E_1, E_2, E_3$ i.e. $df(E_1), df(E_2), df(E_3)$ correspond to coordinate vector fields $\partial_u, \partial_v, \partial_t$. We write the immersion as $f = (p, q)$ and we can also write $df(E_i) = (p\alpha_i, q\beta_i)$, where $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ are imaginary quaternionic functions. Using (5) we can express $(dp(E_1), 0)$ and $(0, dq(E_i))$ and then straightforward computation gives
us the following Euclidean covariant derivatives:

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^E_{\partial_u} dp(\partial_u) &= \frac{1}{3} E_5 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} E_6, & \nabla^E_{\partial_v} dp(\partial_v) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \\
\nabla^E_{\partial_u} dp(\partial_u) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{1}{2} E_6, & \nabla^E_{\partial_v} dp(\partial_v) &= 0 \\
\nabla^E_{\partial_u} dp(\partial_t) &= -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{1}{4} E_6, & \nabla^E_{\partial_v} dp(\partial_t) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \\
\nabla^E_{\partial_u} dq(\partial_u) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{1}{2} E_6, & \nabla^E_{\partial_v} dq(\partial_v) &= \frac{1}{12} E_5 + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} E_6 \\
\n\nabla^E_{\partial_u} dq(\partial_c) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 - \frac{1}{2} E_6, & \nabla^E_{\partial_v} dq(\partial_t) &= \frac{1}{12} E_5 + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}} E_6 \\
\n\nabla^E_{\partial_u} dq(\partial_c) &= 0, & \nabla^E_{\partial_v} dq(\partial_c) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 - \frac{1}{4} E_6 \\
\n\nabla^E_{\partial_u} dq(\partial_c) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 - \frac{1}{4} E_6, & \nabla^E_{\partial_v} dq(\partial_t) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{1}{2} E_6 \\
\n\end{align*}
\]

Also, by using (6) we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle = \langle \beta_1, \beta_2 \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_3 \rangle = \langle \beta_1, \beta_3 \rangle = \frac{1}{4}, \\
\langle \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \rangle &= -\langle \beta_2, \beta_3 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, \\
\| \alpha_1 \| = \| \alpha_2 \| = \| \alpha_3 \| &= \| \beta_1 \| = \| \beta_2 \| = \| \beta_3 \| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

As we have chosen $E_1$ such that $PE_1 = E_3$, we can express all imaginary quaternions with $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_3$

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1 &= (p\alpha_1, q\alpha_3), & E_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (p(2\alpha_3 - \alpha_1), q(\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_1)), & E_3 &= (p\alpha_3, q\alpha_1), \\
E_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (p(2\alpha_1 - \alpha_3), q(\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_3)), & E_5 &= 2(p(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_3), q(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_3)), \\
E_6 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (p(-\alpha_1 \times \alpha_3), q(\alpha_1 \times \alpha_3)).
\end{align*}
\]

Using formula

\[
(p_{ij}, 0) = -\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle (p, 0) + \nabla^E_{\partial_i} dp(E_j), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}
\]
from (21) we obtain the derivatives of \( \alpha_i \). So, we have

\[ \begin{align*}
\partial_u(\alpha_1) &= \frac{4}{3} \alpha_1 \times \alpha_3, & \partial_v(\alpha_1) &= 0, & \partial_t(\alpha_1) &= \frac{2}{3} \alpha_1 \times \alpha_3 \\
\partial_u(\alpha_2) &= -\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \alpha_1 \times \alpha_3, & \partial_v(\alpha_2) &= 0, & \partial_t(\alpha_2) &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \alpha_1 \times \alpha_3 \\
\partial_u(\alpha_3) &= -\frac{4}{3} \alpha_1 \times \alpha_3, & \partial_v(\alpha_3) &= 0, & \partial_t(\alpha_3) &= -\frac{2}{3} \alpha_1 \times \alpha_3.
\end{align*} \]  

(23)

From the previous equations we obtain

\[ \begin{align*}
p\partial_u(\alpha_1) &= -p\partial_u(\alpha_3) = p_{uu} + \frac{1}{2}p, \\
p\partial_t(\alpha_3) &= -p\partial_t(\alpha_1) = p_{tt} + \frac{1}{2}p.
\end{align*} \]  

(24)

(25)

Using the Euclidean covariant derivatives (21) and the scalar products (22) we get the next relations

\[ \begin{align*}
p_{uu} + \frac{1}{2}p + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}p_{vu} &= 0, & p_{tt} + \frac{1}{2}p - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}p_{vu} &= 0, \\
p_{tt} + \frac{1}{2}p_{uu} + \frac{3}{4}p &= 0, & p_{vu} + \frac{1}{4}p_{uu} + \frac{3}{8}p &= 0, & p_{vv} + \frac{1}{2}p &= 0,
\end{align*} \]  

(26)

\[ p_{uu} = \frac{1}{2}p_{tt}, \quad p_{tv} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}p_{tt}, \quad p_{tv} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}p_{uu} + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{8}p &= 0. \]

Now, if we differentiate equation \( p_u = p\alpha_1 \) by \( u \) and \( p_t = p\alpha_3 \) by \( t \) using derivatives (23) and relations (24) we get the following equations:

\[ \begin{align*}
p_{uuuu} + \frac{5}{3}p_{uu} + \frac{1}{4}p &= 0, & p_{tt} + \frac{2}{3}p_t &= 0, & p_{ww} + \frac{3}{2}p_u &= \frac{2}{3}p_t.
\end{align*} \]  

(27)
From the first two equations in (27) and \( p_{uv} + \frac{1}{2}p = 0 \) we get that the general solution of \( p \) has the form

\[
p(u, v, t) = ((A_1^0 + A_1^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + A_1^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u) \\
+ (A_0^0 + A_2^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + A_2^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u) \\
+ (A_0^0 + A_2^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + A_2^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u) \\
+ (A_0^0 + A_3^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + A_3^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \sin(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u)) \cos(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u) \\
+ ((B_1^0 + B_1^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + B_1^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u) \\
+ (B_2^0 + B_2^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + B_2^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u) \\
+ (B_3^0 + B_3^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + B_3^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \cos(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u) \\
+ (B_4^0 + B_4^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + B_4^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \sin(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u)) \sin(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u)
\]  

\[(28) + (B_4^0 + B_4^1 \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t) + B_4^2 \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t)) \sin(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u)) \sin(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} u)\]

for some constants \( A_i^j, B_i^j \in \mathbb{R} \). When we use equation \( p_{uv} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} p_{tu} \) we get that the coefficients of \( p \) satisfy

\[
B_1^1 = A_1^2, \quad B_1^2 = -A_1^1, \quad B_2^1 = A_2^2, \quad B_2^2 = -A_2^1, \\
B_3^1 = A_3^2, \quad B_3^2 = -A_3^1, \quad B_4^1 = A_4^2, \quad B_4^2 = -A_4^1.
\]

Also, when we use equation \( p_{tv} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} p_{ut} \) we get the following relations

\[
A_1^1 = A_3^2, \quad A_2^2 = -A_3^1, \quad B_1^1 = B_3^2, \quad B_2^2 = -B_3^1, \\
A_1^2 = A_2^2 = A_4^1 = A_2^1 = B_1^1 = B_2^1 = B_3^1 = B_4^1 = 0.
\]

From \( p_{uuu} + \frac{3}{2} p_u = \frac{2}{3} p_t \) we obtain that \( A_0^0 = A_0^1 = B_0^1 = B_0^0 = 0 \) and finally, from \( p_{uuu} + \frac{2}{3} p_u + \frac{2}{3} p_{vu} = 0 \) we have that \( B_0^1 = -A_0^1 \) and \( B_0^0 = A_0^0 \).

From this moment we will use this notation: \( A_0^0 = A, A_0^1 = B, A_1^1 = C \) and \( A_2^2 = D \). So \( p \) has the form

\[
p(u, v, t) = A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + B \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) \\
+C \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + D \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v).
\]

and we can easily check that the other relations in (26) and (27) are also satisfied. If we look at (23) we see that sum of \( \alpha_1 \) and \( \alpha_3 \) is a
constant imaginary quaternion which length is \( \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \), so we can write
\[
\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} I, \quad I \in Im \mathbb{H}, \quad \langle I, I \rangle = 1.
\]
We have \( p_u + p_t = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} p I \), which implies
\[
B = AI, \quad D = CI \quad \text{and} \quad \| A \| = \| B \|, \quad \| C \| = \| D \|.
\]
From these relations we get
\[
\bar{A}B = -\bar{B}A = \| A \|^2 I \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{C}D = -\bar{D}C = \| C \|^2 I.
\]
We can express \( \alpha_i \) using \( \alpha_1 = \bar{p}p_u, \ \alpha_2 = \bar{p}p_v, \ \alpha_3 = \bar{p}p_t \) and from \( \partial_u(\alpha_i) = 0 \) we obtain
\[
\bar{B}C = \bar{A}D, \quad \bar{D}B = -\bar{C}A, \quad \bar{C}B = \bar{D}A, \quad \bar{B}D = -\bar{A}C.
\]
Using these relations we have
\[
\alpha_3 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} C D + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{A}D \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{A}C \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u),
\]
so we can conclude that \( \bar{A}D, \ \bar{A}C \in Im \mathbb{H} \) and
\[
\alpha_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{A}B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \bar{C}D - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{A}D \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u)
+ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{A}C \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u),
\]
\[
\alpha_2 = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{A}B + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{C}D + \sqrt{2} \bar{A}D \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u)
- \sqrt{2} \bar{A}C \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u),
\]
From \( \bar{A}B + \bar{C}D = I \), which implies \( \| A \|^2 + \| C \|^2 = 1 \). Also, from \( \partial_u(\alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 \times \alpha_3 \) we find that \( \bar{A}D = \bar{A}C \times I \) and \( \bar{A}C = I \times \bar{A}D \). So we can conclude that \( I, \ \bar{A}D \) and \( \bar{A}C \) are mutually orthogonal and \( \| \bar{A}D \| = \| \bar{A}C \| \).
We can obtain \( q \) in the same way as \( p \). Using
\[
q \partial_u(\alpha_1) = -q \partial_u(\alpha_3) = -q_{uu} - \frac{1}{2} q, \quad q \partial_t(\alpha_1) = -q \partial_t(\alpha_3) = q_{tt} + \frac{1}{2} q,
\]
we get the following relations:

\[ q_{uuuu} + \frac{5}{3} q_{uu} + \frac{1}{4} q = 0, \quad q_{tt} + \frac{2}{3} q_t = 0, \quad p_{vv} + \frac{1}{2} p = 0, \]

\[ q_{vu} - \sqrt{3} q_{uu} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} q = 0, \quad q_{vu} + \sqrt{3} q_{tt} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q = 0, \quad q_{tv} = \frac{1}{2} q_{tt}, \]

\[ q_{vv} + \frac{1}{2} q_{uu} + \frac{3}{4} q = 0, \quad q_{tt} + \frac{1}{4} q_{uu} + \frac{3}{8} q = 0, \quad q_{tv} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} q_t, \]

\[ q_{uuu} + \frac{3}{4} q_{uu} + \frac{3}{8} q = 0, \quad q_{uu} + \frac{3}{2} q = \frac{2}{3} q_t, \]

(34) \[ q_{tv} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} q_{uu} + \frac{3}{8} q = 0, \quad q_{uuu} + \frac{3}{2} q = \frac{2}{3} q_t, \]

so, the general solution for \( q \) is the same as the general solution for \( p \).

When we apply this to all previous relations we get that \( q \) has the form

\[ q(u, v, t) = E \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + F \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) \]

\[ + G \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + H \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v), \]

for some constants \( A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{H} \). Also, we have \( \alpha_3 = \bar{q}_u, \beta_2 = \bar{q}_v, \alpha_1 = \bar{q}_t \), so, from (29) we get

\[ F = EI, \quad H = GI \quad \text{and} \quad \|E\| = \|F\|, \quad \|G\| = \|H\| \]

and in the same way as for \( p \) we get:

\[ FG = EH, \quad FH = -EG, \quad HF = -GE, \quad GF = HE, \quad FH = -EG \]

\[ E, F, G, H \in \mathbb{H}. \]

So, we obtain:

(35) \[ \alpha_1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{G} H + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{E} H \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u) - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{E} G \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u), \]

\[ \beta_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{E} F - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{G} H - \sqrt{2} \bar{E} H \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u) \]

\[ + \sqrt{2} \bar{E} G \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u), \]

\[ \alpha_3 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{E} F + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \bar{G} H - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \bar{E} H \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u) \]

\[ + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \bar{E} G \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} u) \]

and \( \bar{E} F + \bar{G} H = I, \quad \|E\|^2 + \|G\|^2 = 1, \quad \bar{E} H = \bar{E} \times I \) and \( \bar{E} G = I \times \bar{E} H \).

If we compare (33) and (35) we get the following relations between the coefficients

\[ \bar{E} G = -\bar{A} C, \quad \bar{E} H = -\bar{A} D, \quad \bar{G} H = \frac{3}{2} \bar{A} B + \frac{1}{2} \bar{C} D, \quad \bar{E} F = -\frac{1}{2} \bar{A} B + \frac{1}{2} \bar{C} D. \]
For the initial condition \( \alpha_1(0, 0) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} i - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} j \), we have the unique solution
\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} i - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{2}} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} u) j + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} u) k.
\]
We get \( \bar{AD} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} j \) and \( \bar{AC} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} k \), so \( I = i \) and \( \bar{CD} = \frac{3}{4} i \) and it immediately follows that \( \bar{AB} = \frac{1}{4} i \) and \( \|A\| = \|E\| = \frac{1}{2} \). Hence the immersion is given by
\[
f(u, v, t) = (A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
+ \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k),
E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
- \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) j - \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k)).
\]

\[
= (A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
+ \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k),
E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
- \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) j - \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k)).
\]

\[
= (A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
+ \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k),
E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
- \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) j - \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k)),
\]

\[
= (A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
+ \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k),
E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
- \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) j - \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k)).
\]

\[
= (A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
+ \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k),
E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
- \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) j - \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k)).
\]

\[
= (A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
+ \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k),
E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
- \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) j - \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k)).
\]

\[
= (A \cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
+ \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k),
E(\cos(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) + \sin(\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} u + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) i
- \sqrt{3} \sin(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) j - \sqrt{3} \cos(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} u - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v) k)).
\]
Moreover, then for any pair of vector fields $E_1, E_2$ the corresponding functions $a_1$ and $a_2$ vanish, so we still have a freedom of choice for the frame of $D_1$. Also $a_3^2 + a_4^2 = 1$, so the first and the second equations of (36) now imply that $h_{11}^3 = h_{12}^3 = 0$. Similarly, from the fourth and the fifth equations of (36) we get that $h_{13}^3 = 0, h_{23}^2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$. Further on, we denote by $t$ a locally differentiable function, such that $a_3 = \cos t, a_4 = \sin t$. Now, we have

\begin{align*}
0 &= g(E_1, PE_1) + P(G(E_1, E_1)) + 2J((\nabla_{E_1} P)E_1) \\
&= 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos t - h_{11}^1 \cos 2t + h_{12}^1 \sin 2t)E_3 \\
&\quad - 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin t + h_{12}^1 \cos 2t + h_{11}^1 \sin 2t)E_4 \\
&\quad + 2 \cos t(E_1(t) + h_{13}^1)E_5 + 2 \sin t(E_1(t) + h_{13}^1),
0 &= G(E_2, PE_1) + P(G(E_2, E_1)) + 2J((\nabla_{E_2} P)E_1) \\
&= 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \sin t + h_{12}^1 \sin 2t - h_{11}^1 \cos 2t)E_3 \\
&\quad + 2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos t - h_{22}^1 \cos 2t + h_{12}^1 \sin 2t)E_4 \\
&\quad + 2 \cos t(E_2(t) + h_{23}^1)E_5 + 2 \sin t(E_2(t) + h_{23}^1)E_6,
0 &= G(E_3, PE_1) + P(G(E_3, E_1)) + 2J((\nabla_{E_3} P)E_1) \\
&= 2(h_{33}^3 \cos t - h_{33}^1 \sin t - h_{13}^1 \cos 2t + h_{23}^3 \sin 2t)E_3 \\
&\quad - 2(h_{33}^3 \sin t + h_{33}^1 \cos t + h_{23}^1 \cos 2t + h_{13}^1 \sin 2t)E_4 \\
&\quad + 2 \cos t(E_3(t) + h_{33}^1)E_5 + 2 \sin t(E_3(t) + h_{33}^1)E_6.
\end{align*}
Straightforwardly, this implies that
\begin{equation}
E_1(t) = -h_{13}^1, \quad E_2(t) = -h_{23}^1, \quad E_3(t) = -h_{33}^1.
\end{equation}
Moreover, solving the first equation of (37) for $h_{12}^1$, $h_{11}^1$ yields
\begin{align*}
h_{12}^1 &= -\frac{\sin 3t}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad h_{11}^1 = \frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}},
\end{align*}
and the solution of the second equation of (37) is given by
\begin{align*}
h_{12}^1 &= \frac{\sin 3t}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad h_{22}^1 = \frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}}.
\end{align*}
Hence, we have that $h_{12}^1 = \sin 3t/\sqrt{3} = 0$ and $t$ is a constant function of the form $t = k\pi/3, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, (38) yields that
\begin{align*}
h_{23}^1 &= 0, \quad h_{13}^1 = 0, \quad h_{33}^1 = 0.
\end{align*}
We have
\begin{align*}
0 &= G(E_3, PE_1) + P(G(E_3, E_1)) + 2J((\tilde{\nabla}E_3 P)E_1) \\
&= 2(h_{33}^1 \cos t - h_{23}^1 \sin t)E_3 - 2(h_{33}^1 \sin t + h_{33}^1 \cos t)E_4,
\end{align*}
implicating
\begin{align*}
h_{23}^3 &= 0, \quad h_{33}^3 = 0.
\end{align*}
Now, we consider the curvature tensor of $\tilde{\nabla}$. Computations show that
\begin{align*}
0 &= \tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_2} E_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_{E_3} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} E_2 - \tilde{\nabla}_{[E_1, E_2]} E_1 - R(E_1, E_2) E_1 \\
&= (\frac{4}{3} + (\Gamma_{11}^2)^2 + (\Gamma_{21}^2)^2 + 2\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\Gamma_{31}^2 \cos 3t - E_2(\Gamma_{11}^2) + E_1(\Gamma_{21}^2))E_2, \\
0 &= \tilde{\nabla}_{E_3} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} E_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_{E_2} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} E_3 - \tilde{\nabla}_{[E_1, E_3]} E_1 - R(E_1, E_3) E_1 \\
&= (\Gamma_{21}^2 \Gamma_{31}^2 - 1\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Gamma_{21}^2 \cos 3t - E_3(\Gamma_{11}^2) + E_1(\Gamma_{21}^2))E_2, \\
0 &= \tilde{\nabla}_{E_2} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} E_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_{E_3} \tilde{\nabla}_{E_2} E_1 - \tilde{\nabla}_{[E_2, E_3]} E_1 - R(E_2, E_3) E_1 \\
(39) &= (-\Gamma_{11}^2 \Gamma_{31}^2 + 1\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\cos 3t - E_3(\Gamma_{21}^2) + E_2(\Gamma_{21}^2))E_2
\end{align*}
where $\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z$ is given by (3). Let us consider an arbitrary solution of the system (39). Recall that
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{11}^2 &= -g([E_1, E_2], E_1), \\
(40) \Gamma_{21}^2 &= -g([E_1, E_2], E_2).
\end{align*}
Let $w$ be a differentiable function given by $E_1(w) = -\Gamma_{11}^2, E_2(w) = -\Gamma_{21}^2, E_3(w) = -(2 + \sqrt{3}\Gamma_{31}^2 \cos 3t) \sec 3t/\sqrt{3}$. Since

\[
(\tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} E_2 - \tilde{\nabla}_{E_2} E_1 - [E_1, E_2])w = 4 \sqrt{3} + (\Gamma_{11}^2)^2 + (\Gamma_{21}^2)^2 + 2 \Gamma_{31}^2 \cos 3t - E_2(\Gamma_{11}^2) + E_1(\Gamma_{21}^2) = 0,
\]

\[
(\tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} E_3 - \tilde{\nabla}_{E_3} E_1 - [E_1, E_3])w = \Gamma_{21}^2 \Gamma_{31}^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Gamma_{21}^2 \cos 3t - E_3(\Gamma_{11}^2) + E_1(\Gamma_{31}^2) = 0,
\]

\[
(\tilde{\nabla}_{E_2} E_3 - \tilde{\nabla}_{E_3} E_2 - [E_2, E_3])w = -\Gamma_{11}^2 \Gamma_{31}^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Gamma_{11}^2 \cos 3t - E_3(\Gamma_{21}^2) + E_2(\Gamma_{31}^2) = 0,
\]

the integrability conditions for $w$ are satisfied and such function exists. Then, we can again consider a rotation in the distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$ given by

\[
\tilde{\nabla}_{E_1} = \cos w E_1 + \sin w E_2, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{E_2} = -\sin w E_1 + \cos w E_2.
\]

Straightforward computation then shows that

\[
[\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2] = -\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \cos 3t\right) E_3.
\]

Therefore, from (40) we have that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{11}^2 = \tilde{\Gamma}_{21}^2 = 0$ and further, from (39) follows that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{31}^2 = -2 \sec(3t)/\sqrt{3} = -2 \cos(3t)/\sqrt{3}$. From now on, we deal with this particular frame and omit the over-tildes. Note that now we have that $\nabla_{E_i} E_i = 0$ and moreover

\[
\nabla_{E_1} E_2 = -\frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = \frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}} E_2,
\]

\[
\nabla_{E_2} E_1 = -\frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}} E_3, \quad \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = -\frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}} E_1,
\]

\[
\nabla_{E_3} E_1 = -\frac{2 \cos 3t}{3t} E_2, \quad \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = \frac{2 \cos 3t}{3t} E_1.
\]

We recall here the notion of a Berger sphere $(S^3, g_1)$ where the metric $g_1$ has the expression

\[
g_1(X, Y) = 4 \kappa (\langle X, Y \rangle + (4 \tau^2/\kappa - 1) \langle X, X_1 \rangle \langle Y, X_1 \rangle),
\]

where $X_1 = \kappa X_1, X_2 = \kappa X_2, X_3 = -\kappa X_3$ and $\tau, \kappa$ are constants. Then the vector fields $\overline{E}_1 = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\tau}} X_1, \overline{E}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{2} X_2, \overline{E}_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{2} X_3$ are orthogonal and a unit with respect to the metric $g_1$. The Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of
the metric $g_1$ is then given by $\nabla_{E_1} E_1 = 0$ and
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{E_1} E_2 &= -\nabla_{E_2} E_1 = (\tau - \frac{\kappa}{2\tau}) E_3, \\
\nabla_{E_2} E_3 &= -\nabla_{E_3} E_2 = -\tau E_1, \\
\nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= -\nabla_{E_1} E_3 = -\tau E_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Moreover, the following proposition, see [9] shows that a manifold admitting such vector fields is locally isometric to a Berger sphere.

**Proposition 1.** Let $(M_1, \nabla^1)$ and $(M_2, \nabla^2)$ be $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with Levi-Civita connections. Suppose that there exist constants $c^j_i$, $i,j,k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that for any $p_1 \in M_1$ and $p_2 \in M_2$ there exist orthonormal frames $E^1_1, \ldots, E^1_n$ and $E^2_1, \ldots, E^2_n$ around $p_1$ and $p_2$ respectively, such that $\nabla^1_{E^1_i} E^1_j = \sum c^j_k E^1_k$ and $\nabla^2_{E^2_i} E^2_j = \sum c^j_k E^2_k$. Then, for every point $p_1 \in M_1$ and $p_2 \in M_2$ there exists a local isometry mapping some neighbourhood of $p_1$ into some neighbourhood of $p_2$.

In our case, by taking $E_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} X_2$, $E_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} X_3$, $E_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \cos 3t X_1$ we see that $M$ is then congruent to a Berger sphere with $\tau = \frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $\kappa = 2$. Further on, the straightforward computation shows that the Euclidean covariant derivatives are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla^E_{E_1} E_1 &= \frac{\sin t}{\sqrt{3}} E_3 - \frac{4 \cos t \sin^2 t}{\sqrt{3}} E_4, \\
\nabla^E_{E_1} E_2 &= -\frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}} E_3, \\
\nabla^E_{E_1} E_3 &= \frac{\sin t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_1 + \frac{\cos t + 2 \cos 3t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_2 + \frac{\cos t \sin t}{\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{\sin^2 t}{\sqrt{3}} E_6, \\
\nabla^E_{E_2} E_1 &= \frac{\cos 3t}{\sqrt{3}} E_3, \\
\nabla^E_{E_2} E_2 &= \frac{\sin t}{\sqrt{3}} E_3 - \frac{4 \cos t \sin^2 t}{\sqrt{3}} E_4, \\
\nabla^E_{E_2} E_3 &= -\frac{\cos t + 2 \cos 3t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_1 - \frac{\sin t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_2 - \frac{\sin^2 t}{\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{\cos t \sin t}{\sqrt{3}} E_6, \\
\nabla^E_{E_3} E_1 &= -\frac{\sin t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_1 + \frac{\cos t - 4 \cos 3t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_2 + \frac{\cos t \sin t}{\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{\sin^2 t}{\sqrt{3}} E_6, \\
\nabla^E_{E_3} E_2 &= -\frac{\cos t - 4 \cos 3t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_1 - \frac{\sin t}{2\sqrt{3}} E_2 - \frac{\sin^2 t}{\sqrt{3}} E_5 + \frac{\cos t \sin t}{\sqrt{3}} E_6, \\
\nabla^E_{E_3} E_3 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]
We write the immersion as $f = (p, q)$ and $df(E_i) = (p\alpha_i, q\beta_i)$ where $\alpha_i$, $\beta_i$ are imaginary quaternion functions. We have that

\[ PE_1 = \cos t E_5 + \sin t E_6, \]
\[ PE_3 = (p\beta_3, q\alpha_3) = \cos 2t E_3 + \sin 2t E_4 \]
\[ = \cos 2t(p\alpha_3, q\beta_3) + \frac{\sin 2t}{\sqrt{3}}(p(2\beta_3 - \alpha_3), q(-2\alpha_3 + \beta_3)) \]
\[ = (p\cos 2t\alpha_3 + \frac{\sin 2t}{\sqrt{3}}(2\beta_3 - \alpha_3)), q(\cos 2t\beta_3 + \frac{\sin 2t}{\sqrt{3}}(-2\alpha_3 + \beta_3)) \],

which then simplifies to

\[ \beta_3 = \cos 2t\alpha_3 + \frac{\sin 2t}{\sqrt{3}}(2\beta_3 - \alpha_3), \]
\[ (42) \quad \alpha_3 = \cos 2t\beta_3 + \frac{\sin 2t}{\sqrt{3}}(-2\alpha_3 + \beta_3). \]

**Case** $t = \pi/3 + k\pi$

Let $t = \pi/3 + k\pi$. Then $\cos t = \varepsilon/2$, $\sin t = \varepsilon\sqrt{3}/2$, for $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. From (42) it follows that $\alpha_3 = 0$. Further on

\[ E_5 = \frac{2}{3}(p(\alpha_1 \times \beta_3 - 2\beta_1 \times \beta_3), q(-\alpha_1 \times \beta_3 - \beta_1 \times \beta_3)), \]
\[ E_6 = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(p(-\alpha_1 \times \beta_3), q(-\alpha_1 \times \beta_3 + \beta_1 \times \beta_3)) \]

and

\[ PE_1 = \cos t E_5 + \sin t E_6 \]
\[ = \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon(p(2\alpha_1 \times \beta_3 - \beta_1 \times \beta_3), q(-2\beta_1 \times \beta_3 + \alpha_1 \times \beta_3)). \]

Therefore, we have

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon(2\alpha_1 \times \beta_3 - \beta_1 \times \beta_3), \]
\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon(-2\beta_1 \times \beta_3 + \alpha_1 \times \beta_3). \]

(43)

Since

\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{\beta_1 - \sqrt{3}\beta_2}{2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}\beta_1 + \beta_2}{2}, \]

the first equation of (43) simplifies to $\beta_1 = 2/\sqrt{3}\varepsilon\beta_2 \times \beta_3$ and the second one becomes $\beta_2 = 2/\sqrt{3}\varepsilon\beta_1 \times \beta_3$. Of course, then $\beta_1$ and $\beta_2$ are orthogonal and $||\beta_1|| = ||\beta_2|| = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Then $\beta_3 = -\sqrt{3}\varepsilon\beta_1 \times \beta_2$. 
Therefore, there is a unit quaternion $h$ such that at the point $u = 1$ it holds

\[
\beta_1(1) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}hj\overline{h}, \\
\beta_2(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}hk\overline{h}, \\
\beta_3(1) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}hi\overline{h}.
\]

Now, (9) and (41) yield that

\[
E_1(\beta_1) = 0, \quad E_2(\beta_1) = -2\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}}\beta_3, \quad E_3(\beta_1) = \sqrt{3}\beta_2\varepsilon,
\]

\[
E_1(\beta_2) = 2\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{3}}\beta_3, \quad E_2(\beta_2) = 0, \quad E_3(\beta_2) = -\sqrt{3}\beta_1\varepsilon,
\]

\[
E_1(\beta_3) = -\sqrt{3}\beta_2\varepsilon, \quad E_2(\beta_3) = \sqrt{3}\beta_1\varepsilon, \quad E_3(\beta_3) = 0.
\]

This system of differential equations can have a unique solution with prescribed initial conditions. A straightforward computation show that this solution is given by

\[
\beta_1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}hu\overline{u}j\overline{h}, \\
\beta_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}hku\overline{u}h^{-1}, \\
\beta_3 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}hui\overline{u}h^{-1}.
\]

Consequently, we have

\[
X_1(q) = -ghiu\overline{u}h^{-1}, \\
X_2(q) = -hju\overline{u}h^{-1}, \\
X_3(q) = ghku\overline{u}h^{-1}.
\]

Indeed, with the initial condition $q(1) = 1$, we see that $q(u) = hu^{-1}h$ is the solution. In a similar manner we also have that

\[
X_1(p) = 0, \\
X_2(p) = -hu\frac{j + \sqrt{3}k}{2}u^{-1}h^{-1}, \\
X_3(p) = hu\frac{-\sqrt{3}j + k}{2}u^{-1}h^{-1}.
\]

Let now $g$ be a unit quaternion such that $gh(\sqrt{3} + i)/2h^{-1} = 1$. Then $p = ghu(\sqrt{3} + i)/2u^{-1}h^{-1}$ is the solution of the system for the initial
condition \( p(1) = 1 \). Hence, the immersion is given by
\[
u(\sqrt{3}/2 + i/2, u^{-1}h^{-1}, hu^{-1}h),
\]
and it is clearly congruent to \( \bar{f}_1 : u \mapsto (u(\sqrt{3} + i)/2u, u) \).

The two other cases when \( t_2 = \frac{2}{3}\pi + k\pi \) and \( t_3 = k\pi, k \in \mathbb{R} \), can be obtained by using Lemma (4). From \( P E_3 = \cos 2tE_3 + \sin 2tE_4 \) we get that \( \theta = t \). For \( t_1 = \frac{1}{3}\pi, t_2 = \frac{2}{3}\pi, t_3 = 0 \) the corresponding angles are \( \theta_1 = \frac{1}{3}\pi, \theta_2 = \frac{2}{3}\pi, \theta_3 = 0 \). We notice that \( \theta_2 = \pi - \theta_1 \) and we can conclude that the immersion
\[
f_2(u) = F_1(p, q) = (q, p) = (u\sqrt{3} + i, 2u),
\]
corresponds to the angle \( t_2 = \frac{2}{3}\pi \). On the other hand, for the immersion
\[
f_3(u) = F_2 \circ F_1(p, q) = (\bar{q}, p\bar{q}) = (u, u\sqrt{3} + i),
\]
the corresponding angle is \( \theta_3 = 0 \). This ends the proof.
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