

NEW MULTIVALUED CONTRACTIONS AND THE FIXED-CIRCLE PROBLEM

NIHAL TAŞ AND NIHAL ÖZGÜR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we focus on the fixed-circle problem on metric spaces by means of the multivalued mappings. We introduce new multivalued contractions using Wardowski's techniques and obtain new fixed-circle results related to multivalued contractions with some applications to integral type contractions. We verify the validity of our obtained results with illustrative examples.

Keywords: Fixed point, fixed circle, multivalued F_c -contraction, multivalued Ćirić type F_c -contraction.

MSC(2010): Primary: 54H25; Secondary: 47H10, 55M20.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fixed-point theory started with the Banach's contraction principle given in [7]:

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. If there exists a constant $L \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ then T has a unique fixed point [7]. This principle is one of the most efficient tools for many existence and uniqueness problems in mathematics. Therefore, the Banach's contraction principle has been generalized with different approaches. One of these approaches is to generalize the used contractive condition (for example, see [9, 11, 13, 17, 21, 30, 38]). Another approach is to generalize the used metric space (for example, see [4, 6, 19, 26, 27, 28, 39, 41]). Recently, the fixed-circle problem has been studying as a new approach to generalize the Banach's contraction principle (see [33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 42, 43, 44, 45]).

On the other hand, fixed-point theory has many applications in mathematical research areas and in the other science branches such as economy, engineering etc. (for example, see [8, 10, 12, 18, 32]). For this reason, some contraction structures has been studying for both single and multivalued mappings. In the literature, there are some different studies for a multivalued mapping. In 1969, Nadler proved some fixed-point theorems for multivalued contraction mappings using the notion

of Hausdorff metric [29]. Later, in 1975, Dube studied on common fixed-point theorems for multivalued mappings [16]. In 1989, Mizoguchi and Takahashi obtained a multivalued version of Caristi's fixed-point theorem [25]. After then, in 1995, Daffer and Kaneko studied on the paper [25] and obtained some new results [15]. In 2009, Ćirić generalized some known results related to multivalued nonlinear contraction mappings [14]. In 2016, Altun et al. defined a new notion of a multivalued nonlinear F -contraction and obtained some generalized fixed-point results [3]. For further studies one can consult [5, 20, 23, 24].

Now we recall some useful definitions and properties related to multivalued mappings.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $P(X)$ denote the family of all nonempty subsets of X , $CB(X)$ denote the family of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of X and $K(X)$ denote the family of all nonempty compact subsets of X . It is obvious that $K(X) \subseteq CB(X)$. The function $H : CB(X) \times CB(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by, for every $A, B \in CB(X)$,

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} D(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} D(y, A) \right\}$$

is a metric on $CB(X)$ which is called Hausdorff metric induced by d [22], where

$$D(x, B) = \inf \{d(x, y) : y \in B\}.$$

Lemma 1.1. [16] *Let $A, B \in CB(X)$ then for any $a \in A$,*

$$D(a, B) \leq H(A, B).$$

Definition 1.1. [29] *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is called a multivalued contraction if there exists $L \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, y),$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 1.2. [29] *A point $x \in X$ is called a fixed point of a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ such that $x \in Tx$.*

Nadler proved that every multivalued contraction mapping has a fixed point on a complete metric space [29].

More recently, in [46], Wardowski defined the family of functions as follows:

Let \mathcal{F} be the family of all functions $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

(F_1) F is strictly increasing, that is, for all $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\alpha < \beta$, $F(\alpha) < F(\beta)$,

(F₂) For each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in $(0, \infty)$ the following holds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0 \text{ if and only if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty,$$

(F₃) There exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$.

For example, if the functions $F_i : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ($i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$) defined as $F_1(x) = \ln(x)$ (resp. $F_2(x) = \ln(x) + x$, $F_3(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x}}$ and $F_4(x) = \ln(x^2 + x)$) satisfy the conditions (F₁), (F₂) and (F₃), that is, $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ [46].

Definition 1.3. [46] *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Given $F \in \mathcal{F}$, T is called an F -contraction if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that*

$$x, y \in X, d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)).$$

Using together with Wardowski's technique and Nadler's technique, Altun et al. introduced the notion of a multivalued F -contraction mapping and proved some fixed-point results for these mappings [2].

Definition 1.4. [2] *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is called a multivalued F -contraction if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and there exists $\tau > 0$ such that*

$$x, y \in X, H(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)).$$

Notice that if we consider $F(\alpha) = \ln \alpha$, then every multivalued contraction is also a multivalued F -contraction [2].

In 2014, Acar et al. introduced the notion of generalized multivalued F -contraction mappings using the number $M(x, y)$ defined as

$$M(x, y) = \left\{ d(x, y), D(x, Tx), D(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} [D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)] \right\}$$

and generalized some multivalued fixed-point theorems [1].

Definition 1.5. [1] *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is called a multivalued F -contraction if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and there exists $\tau > 0$ such that*

$$x, y \in X, H(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F(H(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(M(x, y)).$$

Using this number $M(x, y)$ and the integral type idea, Ojha and Mishra studied some fixed-point results for multivalued mappings [31]. By this approach and Wardowski's technique, Sekman et al. introduced a new concept of the generalized multivalued integral type mapping under F -contraction with some important properties [40].

Definition 1.6. [40] *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is called an F -contraction of generalized multivalued integral type mapping if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$*

$$H(Tx, Ty) > 0 \implies \tau + F\left(\int_0^{H(Tx, Ty)} \varphi(t) dt\right) \leq F\left(\int_0^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t) dt\right),$$

where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0.$$

Very recently, Wardowski's technique has been used in the fixed-circle problem for single valued mappings (see [43, 44]). At first, we recall the notion of a fixed circle on metric spaces.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then a circle and a disc are defined on a metric space as follows, respectively:

$$C_{x_0, r} = \{x \in X : d(x, x_0) = r\}$$

and

$$D_{x_0, r} = \{x \in X : d(x, x_0) \leq r\}.$$

Definition 1.7. [33] *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $C_{x_0, r}$ be a circle and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. If $Tx = x$ for every $x \in C_{x_0, r}$ then the circle $C_{x_0, r}$ is called as the fixed circle of T .*

In the following definition, it was introduced a new type of a contractive condition related to fixed circle.

Definition 1.8. [43] *Let (X, d) be a metric space. A self-mapping T on X is said to be an F_c -contraction on X if there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $t > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that for all $x \in X$ the following holds:*

$$d(x, Tx) > 0 \implies t + F(d(x, Tx)) \leq F(d(x_0, x)).$$

Using this new contraction, it was obtained the following fixed-circle (fixed-disc) result.

Theorem 1.1. [43] *Let (X, d) be a metric space, T be an F_c -contractive self-mapping with $x_0 \in X$ and $r = \inf \{d(x, Tx) : x \neq Tx\}$. Then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T . Especially, T fixes every circle $C_{x_0, \rho}$ where $\rho < r$.*

Also the above contraction was generalized as follows:

Definition 1.9. [44] Let (X, d) be a metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . If there exist $F \in \mathbb{F}$, $t > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that for all $x \in X$ the following holds:

$$d(x, Tx) > 0 \implies t + F(d(x, Tx)) \leq F(m(x, x_0)),$$

where

$$m(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \right\},$$

then the self-mapping T is called a Ćirić type F_c -contraction on X .

Notice that the number $m(x, y)$ coincides with the number $M(x, y)$ for single valued mappings.

Theorem 1.2. [44] Let (X, d) be a metric space, T be a Ćirić type F_c -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and $r = \inf \{d(x, Tx) : x \neq Tx\}$. If $d(x_0, Tx) = r$ for all $x \in C_{x_0, r}$ then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T . Especially, T fixes every circle $C_{x_0, \rho}$ with $\rho < r$.

By the above motivations, we obtain some new fixed-circle results for multivalued mappings using different multivalued contractions on metric spaces. For this purpose, we define the notions of a multivalued F_C -contraction, a multivalued integral type F_C -contraction, a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction and a multivalued integral Ćirić type F_C -contraction. Using these new multivalued contractions, we obtain some different approaches on the fixed-circle problem and prove new fixed-circle theorems. In addition, we give some illustrative examples related to the obtained results.

2. Main Results

In this section, we prove new fixed-circle results on metric spaces. To do this, we define new types of multivalued contractive mappings such as a multivalued F_C -contraction and a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction with applications to integral type contractions.

2.1. Multivalued F_C -Contractions and the Fixed-Circle Problem. At first, we give the notion of a fixed circle for multivalued mappings as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $C_{x_0, r}$ be a circle on X . A circle $C_{x_0, r}$ is said to be a fixed circle of a multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ such that $x \in Tx$ for each $x \in C_{x_0, r}$.

Now we define the following new multivalued contraction.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is said to be a multivalued F_C -contraction if there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tau > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$x \in X, H(Tx, A_x) > 0 \implies \tau + F(H(Tx, A_x)) \leq F(d(x, x_0)),$$

where $A_x = \{x\}$.

Notice that there is a relation between the notions of a multivalued F_C -contraction and an F_C -contraction. Indeed, let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. If T is an F_C -contraction, then T can be considered as a multivalued F_C -contraction as follows:

Assume that $Tx = y$ for $x \in X$. Then we get $\{x\} \subset X$ and $\{y\} \in CB(X)$. So using the F_C -contractive property, we obtain

$$H(Tx, A_x) = H(\{y\}, \{x\}) = d(x, Tx)$$

and

$$F(H(Tx, A_x)) = F(d(x, Tx)) \leq F(d(x, x_0)) - \tau.$$

Consequently, T is a multivalued F_C -contraction.

Using Definition 2.2, we obtain the following propositions.

Proposition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $x \in X$, $A_x = \{x\}$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then we have

$$H(Tx, A_x) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x \in Tx.$$

Proof. Let $H(Tx, A_x) = 0$. Using the definition of the metric H , we get

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx, A_x) &= 0 \implies H(Tx, \{x\}) = 0 \\ &\implies \max \left\{ \sup_{y \in Tx} D(y, \{x\}), \sup_{z \in A_x} D(z, Tx) \right\} = 0 \\ &\implies D(y, \{x\}) = 0, y \in Tx \text{ and } D(z, Tx) = 0, z \in A_x \\ &\implies d(y, x) = 0 \text{ and } D(x, Tx) = 0 \\ &\implies x \in Tx. \end{aligned}$$

The converse statement of this relation is clear. □

Proposition 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. If T is a multivalued F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ then we have $x_0 \in Tx_0$.

Proof. Assume that $H(Tx_0, A_{x_0}) > 0$. Then using the multivalued F_C -contractive property, we obtain

$$\tau + F(H(Tx_0, A_{x_0})) \leq F(d(x_0, x_0)),$$

which is a contradiction since the function F is defined on $(0, \infty)$. Therefore $H(Tx_0, A_{x_0}) = 0$. By Proposition 2.1, we have $x_0 \in Tx_0$. \square

We give the following fixed-circle theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and*

$$r = \inf \{H(Tx, A_x) : H(Tx, A_x) > 0\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T . Also, T fixes every circle $C_{x_0, \rho}$ with $\rho < r$.

Proof. Let $x \in C_{x_0, r}$. If $H(Tx, A_x) > 0$ then using the multivalued F_C -contractive property and the fact that F is increasing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(r) &\leq F(H(Tx, A_x)) \leq F(d(x, x_0)) - \tau \\ &= F(r) - \tau < F(r), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $H(Tx, A_x) = 0$ and by Proposition 2.1, we have $x \in Tx$.

Now we prove that T also fixes any circle $C_{x_0, \rho}$ with $\rho < r$. Let $x \in C_{x_0, \rho}$ and suppose that $H(Tx, A_x) > 0$. By the multivalued F_C -contractive property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(H(Tx, A_x)) &\leq F(d(x, x_0)) - \tau \\ &= F(\rho) - \tau < F(\rho) < F(r), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with the definition of r . Hence $H(Tx, A_x) = 0$ and by Proposition 2.1, we get $x \in Tx$. \square

We obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). Then T fixes the disc $D_{x_0, r}$ and hence T fixes the center of any fixed circle.*

Proof. If we consider the second part of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, then the proof can be easily seen. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a multivalued F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). Then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T . Also, T fixes every circle $C_{x_0, \rho}$ with $\rho < r$.*

Proof. Since $K(X) \subset CB(X)$, by the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.1, the proof can be easily seen. \square

Notice that the converse statement of Theorem 2.1 is not true everywhen, that is, a mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ can fix a circle although it is not a multivalued F_C -contraction as seen in the following example.

Example 2.1. Let $X = [-2, 0] \cup \{x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in X$. Let us define the mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ as

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{x\} & \text{if } |x + 1| \leq 1 \\ \{-1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\} & \text{if } x = x_n \end{cases},$$

for all $x \in X$. It is easy to see that T fixes the circle $C_{-1,1}$ and the disc $D_{-1,1}$, but T is not a multivalued F_C -contraction with $x_0 = -1$. Indeed, we get

$$H(Tx, A_x) = d(-1, x_n) > 0,$$

for all $x = x_n$. So using the symmetry condition and the fact that F is increasing, we obtain

$$H(Tx, A_x) = d(x_n, -1) \leq d(x_n, -1) \implies F(d(x_n, -1)) \leq F(d(x_n, -1)).$$

In this case, there is no number $\tau > 0$ to verify the condition of Definition 2.2. Consequently, T is not a multivalued F_C -contraction for the point $x_0 = -1$.

Now we give the following illustrative example.

Example 2.2. Let \mathbb{C} be the set of all complex numbers, $X = \mathbb{C}$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us define the mapping $T : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow CB(\mathbb{C})$ as

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{x\} & \text{if } |x| < 4 \\ \{x + 1, x + 2, x + 3\} & \text{if } |x| \geq 4 \end{cases},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Then T is a multivalued F_C -contraction with $F = \ln x$, $\tau = \ln \frac{4}{3}$ and $x_0 = 0$. Indeed, we get

$$H(Tx, A_x) = 3 > 0,$$

for $x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| \geq 4$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3 &\leq |x| \implies \ln 3 \leq \ln |x| \\ \implies \ln \frac{4}{3} + \ln 3 &\leq \ln |x| \\ \implies \tau + \ln(H(Tx, A_x)) &\leq \ln(d(x, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Also we obtain

$$r = \min \{H(Tx, A_x) : H(Tx, A_x) > 0\} = 3.$$

Consequently, T fixes the circle $C_{0,3}$ and the disc $D_{0,3}$.

We note that the number of the fixed circles of the mapping T defined in Example 2.2 is infinite but Theorem 2.1 determine some of them.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is said to be a multivalued integral type F_C -contraction if there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tau > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in X, H(Tx, A_x) > 0 \\ \implies \tau + F \left(\int_0^{H(Tx, A_x)} \varphi(t) dt \right) &\leq F \left(\int_0^{d(x, x_0)} \varphi(t) dt \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_x = \{x\}$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t) dt > 0.$$

Remark 2.1. If we set the function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in Definition 2.3 as $\varphi(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ then we get the definition of a multivalued F_C -contraction.

Using the notion of a multivalued integral type F_C -contraction, we obtain the following proposition and a new fixed-circle theorem.

Proposition 2.3. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. If T is multivalued integral type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ then we have $x_0 \in Tx_0$.

Proof. Suppose that $H(Tx_0, A_{x_0}) > 0$. Then using the multivalued integral type F_C -contractive property, we have

$$\tau + F \left(\int_0^{H(Tx_0, A_{x_0})} \varphi(t) dt \right) \leq F \left(\int_0^{d(x_0, x_0)} \varphi(t) dt \right),$$

a contradiction since the function F is defined on $(0, \infty)$. Therefore $H(Tx_0, A_{x_0}) = 0$ and so by Proposition 2.1, we have $x_0 \in Tx_0$. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued integral type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). Then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T .

Proof. Let $x \in C_{x_0, r}$. If $H(Tx, A_x) > 0$ then using the multivalued integral type F_C -contractive property and the fact that F is increasing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(\int_0^r \varphi(t)dt\right) &\leq F\left(\int_0^{H(Tx, A_x)} \varphi(t)dt\right) \leq F\left(\int_0^{d(x, x_0)} \varphi(t)dt\right) - \tau \\ &= F\left(\int_0^r \varphi(t)dt\right) - \tau < F\left(\int_0^r \varphi(t)dt\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $H(Tx, A_x) = 0$ and by Proposition 2.1, we have $x \in Tx$. \square

We give the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued integral type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). Then T fixes the disc $D_{x_0, r}$ and so T fixes the center of any fixed circle.*

Proof. By the similar arguments used in the proofs of the second parts of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, the proof can be easily proved. \square

Remark 2.2. *If we set the function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in Proposition 2.3 (resp. Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3) as $\varphi(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ then we get Proposition 2.2 (resp. Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1).*

2.2. Multivalued Ćirić Type F_C -Contractions and the Fixed-Circle Problem. We define the following new contraction called as a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction.

Definition 2.4. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is said to be a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction if there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tau > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that*

$$x \in X, H(Tx, A_x) > 0 \implies \tau + F(H(Tx, A_x)) \leq F(M(x, x_0)),$$

where $A_x = \{x\}$ and

$$M(x, y) = \left\{ d(x, y), D(x, Tx), D(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} [D(x, Ty) + D(y, Tx)] \right\}.$$

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. If T is a Ćirić type F_C -contraction, then T can be considered as a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction. Indeed, assume that $Tx = y$ for $x \in X$. Then we get $\{x\} \subset X$ and $\{y\} \in CB(X)$. So using the Ćirić type F_C -contractive property, we have

$$H(Tx, A_x) = H(\{y\}, \{x\}) = d(x, Tx)$$

and

$$F(H(Tx, A_x)) = F(d(x, Tx)) \leq F(m(x, x_0)) - \tau = F(M(x, x_0)) - \tau,$$

where

$$m(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2} [d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \right\}.$$

Using this new notion, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. If T is multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ then we have $x_0 \in Tx_0$.*

Proof. Assume that $H(Tx_0, A_{x_0}) > 0$. Then using the multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contractive property and Lemma 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(H(Tx_0, A_{x_0})) &\leq F(M(x_0, x_0)) \\ &= F \left(\max \left\{ d(x_0, x_0), D(x_0, Tx_0), D(x_0, Tx_0), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} [D(x_0, Tx_0) + D(x_0, Tx_0)] \right\} \right) \\ &= F(D(x_0, Tx_0)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we obtain $H(Tx_0, A_{x_0}) = 0$ and so by Proposition 2.1, we have $x_0 \in Tx_0$. \square

Now we prove another fixed-circle theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). If $D(Tx, x_0) = r$ then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T .*

Proof. Let $x \in C_{x_0, r}$. If $H(Tx, A_x) > 0$ then using the multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contractive property, Lemma 1.1 and the fact that F is increasing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(H(Tx, A_x)) &\leq F(M(x, x_0)) - \tau \\ &= F \left(\max \left\{ d(x, x_0), D(x, Tx), D(x_0, Tx_0), \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} [D(x, Tx_0) + D(x_0, Tx)] \right\} \right) - \tau \\ &\leq F \left(\max \left\{ r, H(Tx, A_x), 0, \frac{1}{2} [r + r] \right\} \right) - \tau \\ &= F(\max\{r, H(Tx, A_x)\}) - \tau \\ &= F(H(Tx, A_x)) - \tau < F(H(Tx, A_x)), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $H(Tx, A_x) = 0$ and we have $x \in Tx$ by Proposition 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). If $D(Tx, x_0) = r$ then T fixes the disc $D_{x_0, r}$ and so T fixes the center of any fixed circle.*

Proof. By the similar arguments used in the proofs of the second parts of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3, the proof can be easily seen. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow K(X)$ be a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). If $D(Tx, x_0) = r$ then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T .*

Proof. Since $K(X) \subset CB(X)$, by the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.3, it can be easily seen. \square

Notice that the converse statements of Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 are not always true. Indeed, let us consider the metric space (X, d) and the mapping T defined as in Example 2.1. Then T fixes the circle $C_{-1, 1}$ and the disc $D_{-1, 1}$, but T is not a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction for the point $x_0 = -1$. For all $x = x_n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tx, A_x) &= H(\{1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}, \{x_n\}) \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in Tx} D(a, A_x), \sup_{b \in A_x} D(b, Tx) \right\} \\ &= d(-1, x_n) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and using the symmetry condition we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, -1) &= M(x_n, -1) \\ &= \max \left\{ d(x_n, -1), D(x_n, Tx_n), D(-1, T(-1)), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{1}{2} [D(x_n, T(-1)) + D(-1, Tx_n)] \right\} \\ &= d(x_n, -1). \end{aligned}$$

So using the fact that F is increasing, we have

$$F(H(Tx, A_x)) \leq F(M(x, -1)).$$

In this case, there is no number $\tau > 0$ to satisfy the condition of Definition 2.4. Hence T is not a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction for the point $x_0 = -1$.

Now we give the following example.

Example 2.3. *Let us consider the metric space (X, d) and the mapping T defined as in Example 2.2. Then T is a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $F = \ln x$, $\tau = \ln \frac{4}{3}$ and $x_0 = 0$ since*

$$\tau + \ln(H(Tx, A_x)) \leq \ln(d(x, 0)) \leq \ln(M(x, 0)).$$

Consequently, T fixes the circle $C_{0,3}$ and the disc $D_{0,3}$.

We define the following integral type contraction.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. Then T is said to be a multivalued integral Ćirić type F_C -contraction if there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $\tau > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in X, H(Tx, A_x) > 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tau + F\left(\int_0^{H(Tx, A_x)} \varphi(t)dt\right) &\leq F\left(\int_0^{M(x, x_0)} \varphi(t)dt\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_x = \{x\}$ and $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$, non-negative and such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(t)dt > 0.$$

Remark 2.3. If we set the function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in Definition 2.5 as $\varphi(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ then we get the definition of a multivalued Ćirić type F_C -contraction.

Using the notion of a multivalued integral Ćirić type F_C -contraction, we get the following proposition and theorem.

Proposition 2.5. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a mapping. If T is multivalued integral Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ then we have $x_0 \in Tx_0$.

Proof. The proof can be easily seen by the similar arguments used in the proof of Proposition 2.3. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued integral Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). If $D(Tx, x_0) = r$ then $C_{x_0, r}$ is a fixed circle of T .

Proof. From the similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the proof can be easily checked. \square

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued integral Ćirić type F_C -contraction with $x_0 \in X$ and r be defined as in (2.1). If $D(Tx, x_0) = r$ then T fixes the disc $D_{x_0, r}$ and so T fixes the center of any fixed circle.

Proof. The proof is clear by the similar arguments used in the proof of Corollary 2.3. \square

Remark 2.4. *If we set the function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ in Proposition 2.5 (resp. Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.6) as $\varphi(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ then we get Proposition 2.4 (resp. Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4).*

Acknowledgement. This paper was supported by Balıkesir University Research Grant no: 2018/021.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ö. Acar, G. Durmaz and G. Minak, Generalized multivalued F-contractions on complete metric spaces, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 40 (2014), no. 6, 1469-1478.
- [2] İ. Altun, G. Minak, Gülhan and H. Dağ, Multivalued F-contractions on complete metric spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 16 (2015), no. 4, 659-666.
- [3] İ. Altun, G. Minak and M. Olgun, Fixed points of multivalued nonlinear F-contractions on complete metric spaces, Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control 21 (2016), no. 2, 201-210.
- [4] T. V. An, N. V. Dung, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, Various generalizations of metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM 109 (2015), no. 1, 175-198.
- [5] H. Aydi, A. Felhi and S. Sahmim, A Suzuki fixed point theorem for generalized multivalued mappings on metric-like spaces, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 52(72) (2017), no. 1, 147-161.
- [6] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, Funct. Anal., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [7] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals, Fundam. Math. 2 (1922), 133-181.
- [8] K. C. Border, Fixed point theorems with applications to economics and game theory, Cambridge University, Cambridge (1989).
- [9] J. Caristi, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (1976), 241-251.
- [10] L. C. Ceng, Q. Ansari and J. C. Yao, Some iterative methods for finding fixed points and for solving constrained convex minimization problems, Nonlinear Analysis 74 (2011), 5286-5302.
- [11] S. K. Chatterjea, Fixed point theorem, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. (25) 1972, 727-730.
- [12] M. Chen, W. Lu, Q. Chen, K. J. Ruchala and G. H. Olivera, A simple fixed-point approach to invert a deformation field, Medical Physics 35 (2008), 81-88.
- [13] L. B. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 267-273.
- [14] Lj. B. Ćirić, Multi-valued nonlinear contraction mappings, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), no. 7-8, 2716-2723.
- [15] P. Z. Daffer and H. Kaneko, Fixed points of generalized contractive multi-valued mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 192 (1995), no. 2, 655-666.
- [16] L. S. Dube, A theorem on common fixed points of multi-valued mappings, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles Sér. I 89 (1975), no. 4, 463-468.

- [17] M. Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 37 (1962), 74-79.
- [18] T. Fleiner, A fixed-point approach to stable matchings and some applications, *Math. Oper. Res.* 28 (2003), 103-126.
- [19] S. Gähler, 2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische Struktur, *Math. Nachr.* 26 (1963), 115-148.
- [20] T. Kamran, Fahimuddin and M. U. Ali, Common fixed point theorems for a family of multi-valued F-contractions with an application to solve a system of integral equations, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* 52(72) (2017), no. 1, 163-177.
- [21] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points II, *Am. Math. Mon.* 76 (1969), 405-408.
- [22] J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, New Jersey, 1959.
- [23] Y. Kodama, Multivalued maps and shape, *Glasnik Mat. Ser. III* 12(32) (1977), no. 1, 133-142.
- [24] J. T. Lisica, Strong shape theory and multivalued maps, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* 18(38) (1983), no. 2, 371-382.
- [25] N. Mizoguchi and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings on complete metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 141 (1989), no. 1, 177-188.
- [26] N. Mlaiki, N. Souayah, K. Abodayeh, T. Abdeljawad, Contraction principles in M_s -metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 10 (2017), 575-582.
- [27] N. Mlaiki, N. Y. Özgür, A. Mukheimer and N. Taş, A new extension of the M_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal.* 9 (2018), no. 2, 118-133.
- [28] Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7 (2006), no.2, 289-297.
- [29] Sam B. Jr. Nadler, Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* 30 (1969), no. 2, 475-488.
- [30] V. V. Nemytskii, The fixed point method in analysis, *Usp. Mat. Nauk* 1 (1936), 141-174 (in Russian).
- [31] D. B. Ojha and M. K. Mishra, Some results on common fixed point of multivalued generalized φ -weak contractive mappings in integral type inequality, *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 2 (2010), no. 4, 387-395.
- [32] E. A. Ok, *Real analysis with economic applications*, Princeton University, Princeton (2007).
- [33] N. Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Some fixed-circle theorems on metric spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 42 (4) (2019), 1433-1449.
- [34] N. Y. Özgür, N. Taş and U. Çelik, New fixed-circle results on S -metric spaces, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* 9 (2017), no. 2, 10-23.
- [35] N. Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Fixed-circle problem on S -metric spaces with a geometric viewpoint, *Facta Universitatis. Series: Mathematics and Informatics* 34 (3) (2019), 459-472.
- [36] N. Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Some fixed-circle theorems and discontinuity at fixed circle, *AIP Conference Proceedings* 1926, 020048 (2018).
- [37] N. Y. Özgür and N. Taş, Generalizations of metric spaces: from the fixed-point theory to the fixed-circle theory, In: Rassias T. (eds) *Applications of Nonlinear Analysis*. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, vol 134, Springer, Cham, pp. 847-895, 2018.
- [38] B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 226 (1977), 257-290.

- [39] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S -metric spaces, *Mat. Vesnik* 64 (2012), no. 3, 258–266.
- [40] D. Sekman, V. Karakaya and N. E. H. B. Sahraoui, Fixed point theorem for F -contraction of generalized multivalued integral type mappings, arXiv:1706.09460.
- [41] N. Taş and N. Y. Özgür, On parametric S -metric spaces and fixed-point type theorems for expansive mappings, *J. Math.* 2016, Art. ID 4746732, 6 pp.
- [42] N. Taş and N. Y. Özgür, Some fixed-point results on parametric N_b -metric spaces, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 33 (2018), no. 3, 943–960.
- [43] N. Taş, N. Y. Özgür and N. Mlaiki, New fixed-circle results related to F_c -contractive and F_c -expanding mappings on metric spaces, submitted for publication.
- [44] N. Taş, N. Y. Özgür and N. Mlaiki, New types of F_c -contractions and the fixed-circle problem, submitted for publication.
- [45] N. Taş, Various types of fixed-point theorems on S -metric spaces, *J. BAUN Inst. Sci. Technol.* DOI:10.25092/baunfbcd.426665.
- [46] D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications* 2012, 2012:94.

BALIKESİR UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 10145 BALIKESİR, TURKEY

E-mail address: nihaltas@balikesir.edu.tr

BALIKESİR UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 10145 BALIKESİR, TURKEY

E-mail address: nihal@balikesir.edu.tr