

# Orbital Properties and Gravitational Waves Signatures of Strange Crystal Planets

Joás Zapata\* and Rodrigo Negreiros†  
Universidade Federal Fluminense–UFF, Niterói-RJ, Brazil  
(Dated: November 11, 2019)

In this paper we propose a new manifestation of strange quarks matter: *strange crystal planets*. These planet-like objects are made up of nuggets of strange quark matter (*SQM*), organized in a crystalline structure. We consider the so-called strange matter hypothesis proposed by Bodmer, Witten and Terazawa, in that, strange quark matter may be the absolutely stable state of matter. In this context, we explore the mass formula for absolutely stable strangelets suggested by Berger and Jaffe in order to compute planets made up entirely of strangelets arranged in a crystal lattice. Furthermore we propose that a solar system with a host compact star may be orbited by strange crystal planets. Under this assumption we calculate relevant quantities that could potentially be observable, such as the planetary tidal disruption radius, and the gravitational waves signals that may arise from potential star-planet merger events. Our results show that strange crystal planets may potentially be used as an indication to the the existence of *SQM*.

PACS numbers:

## I. INTRODUCTION

The hypothesis the strange quark matter (*SQM*), i.e. matter consisting of *up*, *down*, and *strange* quarks is absolutely stable state of hadronic matter; has been proposed by Bodmer–Witten–Terazawa [1–3]. If such hypothesis is true it would have implications on cosmology, the early universe, its development to the present day, astrophysical compact objects, and laboratory physics [4, 5]. As of now, there is no sound scientific basis on which one can either confirm or reject it, so that it remains a possibility [5–7]. Under such hypothesis one could consider that compact stars are, in fact, strange quark stars–self-bound objects composed of strange quark matter, as opposed to traditional, gravitationally bound neutron stars [2, 8–10]. Furthermore, in [2, 8, 10–12], the authors further developed the concept of strange quark stars, that is, objects consisting of a strange quark matter core surrounded by a nuclear crust. They found a complete sequence of strange stars that ranges from very compact members, with properties similar to those of neutron stars, to white dwarf–like objects (labeled strange dwarfs), to planetary–like strange matter objects or strange *MACHOS*. It was pointed out that the minimum–mass configuration in such sequence is  $\sim 0.017M_{\odot}$ , and that such value depends on the chosen value of inner crust density [11, 12]. If abundant enough in our Galaxy, such low–mass strange stars, whose masses and radii resemble those of ordinary planets could be seen by the gravitational microlensing searches [6]. Furthermore, the authors of Ref. [13] performed a study on *strangelets dwarfs* – which consists of a crystalline structure of strangelets in a sea of electrons. In their work, they shown that if the surface tension of the interface between strange mat-

ter and the vacuum is less than a critical value, there is, at least, one stable branch in the mass–radius relation for strange stars. In this scenario, the strangelets that make up the star are not self–bound, but have their stability guaranteed by gravity. In the work presented here we consider self-bound strangelets as proposed in [14] to build self–gravitating crystalline objects – in analogy with White Dwarfs but with self-bound strangelets in place of ions.

Furthermore, recently there has been great advances in the detection of exo-planets - with a wealth of data available [15–17]. Recently, Huang [18] and Geng [19] propose to use such data to search for strange matter planets (in the context defined by [11, 12]) by analysing two possible observational signatures: the tidal disruption radius and the gravitational waves (*GW*) emission from binary systems composed by a host compact stars and a strange planet. They identified candidates of *SQM* planets by using the following specifications: very small orbital period ( $\leq 6100$  s), an orbital radius smaller than  $5.6 \times 10^{10}$  cm and, possibly strong *GW* emission by strange planets with masses  $\geq 10^{-5}M_{\odot}$ . The idea is that, if any of these planets are, in fact, strange planets then, due to their strange-matter properties (increased compactness, for instance) their observational signatures should also be different.

In this work we follow in the footsteps of [18, 19], by searching for signatures of strange quark matter in the observed data of exo-planets. We consider, however, a somewhat more sophisticated model for strange quark planets, one which resembles more the actual structure of a planet. We recall that in the original proposal, strange planets were in fact very low mass strange stars (the order of a few Jupiter masses), with a small seed of strange mater in its core and relatively large nuclear crust extending all the way to the surface. In our model, as it will become clear, we consider the possibility of strangelets forming a crystalline structure, such that, as in a white-dwarf, the objects is supported against grav-

---

\*Electronic address: jonathanzapata@id.uff.br

†Electronic address: rnegreiros@id.uff.br

itational collapse by the electron degeneracy pressure. Differently than in a white-dwarf (or the crust of neutron stars), in our proposed model there are no ions, but rather, strangelets. Such objects could be formed in the same process that hypothetically generated the compact star host (in a supernova, or stellar merger event, for instance) with the high density matter giving birth to the high-density strange quark star (composed of homogeneous strange matter) and lower density lumps, giving rise to crystalline strange planets. Our work differs from that proposed in Ref. [13], in which we consider that the strangelets are self-bound.

This paper is divided as follow: in section II, we describe the microscopic model for strange crystal planets, based on the generalization of the Berger–Jaffe [14, 20] mass formula for the strangelet, including the curvature term– from which we can calculate the equation of state ( $EoS$ ) of strange crystal matter. With the  $EoS$  in hand, we compute the structure of the strange crystal planets by imposing the appropriate hydrostatic equilibrium conditions. This sequence of like-planet objects is shown in section III. In section IV we look at the orbital properties of strange crystal planets and discuss possible observational implications. In V we explore the possibility of a strange solar system and describe the main signature of the strange crystal planets in a solar system. Finally, our conclusions are presented in Section VI.

## II. MICROSCOPIC MODEL

According to the  $SQM$  hypothesis, matter composed of roughly equal numbers of up ( $u$ ), down ( $d$ ) and strange  $s$  quarks may be more stable than ordinary nuclear matter [1–3]. Farhi and Jaffe [27] have shown, within the framework of the  $MIT$  bag model, that for a wide range of parameters ( $\alpha_c$  - the strong coupling constant,  $m_s$ , the mass of the strange quark and,  $B$ , the bag constant) strange matter could indeed be *absolutely* stable. If this is indeed the case, strange quark matter may be manifested in a wide range of “sizes”, ranging from small *nuggets* with small baryon number  $A$  – analogous to nuclei – all the way to bulk matter with very large  $A$  – analogous to neutron stars. Based on this idea, Berger and Jaffe [20, 27] derived a mass formula for drops of strange matter – in analogy to the Weizsaecker mass formula for nuclei. Later, this formalism was generalized by the authors of ref. [14, 21] - that have included a parameter  $c$  to take into account the uncertainties in our understanding of surface effect. In this work we make use of these results. For our study we have set  $m_s = 150$  MeV for strange quark mass and the bag constant is set such that the energy per particle in the bulk limit is  $\epsilon_0 = 890$  MeV ( $B^{1/4} = 147.68$  MeV). Furthermore, the parameter  $c$  may range from 0.6 to 1.0, and expresses the uncertainties involved in the curvature and surface contributions. For our choice of parameters, the mass formula is given

TABLE I: Properties of some strangelets from eq. (1) with  $c = 1$  and  $\epsilon_0 = 890$  MeV.

Label	$A$	$Z$	$E$ (MeV)
Stra <sub>1</sub>	50	5	$4.6721 \times 10^4$
Stra <sub>2</sub>	$10^2$	9	$9.2182 \times 10^4$
Stra <sub>3</sub>	$10^3$	50	$9.0148 \times 10^5$
Stra <sub>4</sub>	$10^4$	174	$8.9462 \times 10^6$
Stra <sub>5</sub>	$10^5$	432	$8.9199 \times 10^7$

by

$$E(A, Z) = \epsilon_0 \left\{ A + c \left( 0.097A^{2/3} + 0.32A^{1/3} \right) \right\} \quad (1)$$

where

$$Z = 9.7A^{1/3} \left( 1 + \frac{96}{A^{2/3}} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

In analogy to the nuclear mass formula [14], we can interpret the terms in eq. (1) as:  $\epsilon_0 A$  is associated with the volume energy, the second is the surface energy and the last is the curvature term.

Using this mass formula, setting the curvature factor to  $c = 1$  we have calculated the properties of several strangelets - covering a wide range of masses. In table I we show five strangelets chosen for this study - with mass ranging from  $10^4 - 10^7$  MeV. One can clearly see that, as expected, strangelets with higher  $A$  have larger masses. Furthermore, the  $Z/A$  ratio is small relative to ordinary nuclei as strangelets are less charged (due to the presence of the negatively charged strange quark) [28].

Once we have calculated the strangelet masses, we use a simplified Wigner-Seitz model, in analogy to the calculations of the crystal crust of neutron stars, and white dwarfs [26]. Thus, we consider a crystal structure of periodic spheres, in which, each cell of radii  $R$  consists of a strangelet residing at its center, surrounded by an electronic cloud. Each cell will contain the amount of electrons needed to make it electrically neutral [23, 26]. Thus, for a given density  $\rho$ , the electron density is  $\rho_e = (Z/A)\rho$ , where  $A$  is the mass number of the nuclear species and  $Z$  is its atomic number. We can then calculate the contribution of the strangelets, lattice and electron gas, obtaining then the energy density and pressure given by

$$\epsilon(\rho) = \frac{\rho}{A} \left( E - Zm_e - \frac{9}{10} \frac{(Ze)^2}{R} \right) + \epsilon_e(k_e), \quad (3)$$

$$P(\rho) = P_e(k_e) - \frac{3}{10} \left( \frac{4\pi}{3} \right)^{1/3} Z^{2/3} e^2 \rho_e^{4/3}, \quad (4)$$

where  $E$ , is the mass of the strangelet,  $e$ , is the charge of electron and,  $\epsilon_e(k_e)$  and  $P_e(k_e)$ , are the energy density and pressure of electrons, respectively [26]. The left

hand negative term on eq. (4) is the lattice contribution to the total pressure. Furthermore, the third term on eq. (3) represents electrostatic correction to the total energy density. The equation of state of such matter is shown in fig. 1, where we show the pressure as a function of energy density for the *EoS* associated with each of the studied strangelets of table I. Such results show that the crystalline matter proposed in this work has relatively low densities and pressure (in particular when compared to other works dealing with strange quark matter [13]). Furthermore we see that the smaller strangelets crystals are associated with “stiffer” *EoS* – which can be understood by the  $Z/A$  ratio that is much higher for smaller strangelets, thus leading to denser electron gas – thus with a significantly stronger contribution to the pressure.

### III. STRANGE CRYSTAL PLANETS

With the complete description of the microscopic physics (both of the strangelet and of the crystallizing strange matter) we now proceed to determining the macroscopic properties of self gravitating objects. For this study we will consider spherically symmetric geometry, whose metric is given by

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi} dt^2 + e^{2\Lambda} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $\Phi$  and  $\Lambda$  are functions of  $r$  and,  $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ . With the aid of Einstein’s equation and assuming that the matter is a perfect fluid, one gets the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (*TOV*) equation, that represents the hydrostatic equilibrium equation for a spherical body [24, 25], given by

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{m(r)\epsilon(r)}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{p(r)}{\epsilon(r)}\right) \times \left(1 + \frac{4\pi r^2 p(r)}{m(r)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2m(r)}{r}\right)^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

that needs to be solved in conjunction to the mass continuity equation,

$$\frac{dm(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \epsilon(r), \quad (7)$$

for a particular, *EoS*  $P = P(\epsilon)$ . We now employ the *EoS* developed in section II, for a strangelet crystal configuration, to obtain the macroscopic properties of such objects. Since we are considering a solid, fully crystalline object, we found it appropriate to name them crystalline strange planets, as to differentiate them from previous models of strange planets (in which strange quark matter is not crystallize – but present in bulk, at a small region in the object’s core [10–12, 29]).

We are interested in the macroscopic properties of the planets, namely mass and radii; this is possible from the solution of *TOV*’s equation, and equation of state given

by eq. (4). This system of differential equations is numerically integrated for a given central energy density  $\epsilon_c$  from  $r = 0$  to  $r = R$  where the pressure vanishes,  $p(R) = 0$  (planet’s surface). Hence, we obtain the radius  $R$  and the gravitational mass  $M$  of the planet.




FIG. 1: Equations of state for strange crystal planets made of different strangelet labeled as “Stra” from Table I and Eq. 4.




FIG. 2: Mass versus radius of the strange crystal planets for different strangelets from Fig. 1.

The family of Strange Crystal Planets is shown in fig. 2 where the gravitational mass as function of radius is exhibited. Given their low mass – and their planetary nature – the mass is given in Jupiter mass units. The results of fig. 2 show that, as expected, stiffer *EoS* leads to more massive planets whereas softer *EoS* yields lighter objects. An interesting result is that, contrary to what one would expect, heavier strangelet crystals lead to lighter planets. The reason for such behavior was already discussed – heavier strangelets have a smaller  $Z/A$  ratio, thus they have a less dense electron gas surrounding – which yields to less pressure and hence lower masses.

#### IV. ORBITAL PROPERTIES OF STRANGE CRYSTAL PLANETS

Previous studies have tried to establish a possible connection between Strange Planets and exoplanets – looking for possible aberrant behavior in observed exoplanets as possible evidence for strange quark matter. In here we follow on the footsteps of [18] and [19] - and determine relevant properties associated with strange crystal exoplanets.

The first possibility we explore the orbit of exoplanets. Since strange planets are more compact, they can survive in closer orbits, where traditional hadronic planets would be subject to tidal disruption: when a planet orbits around its host star, the tidal force tends to tear the planet apart, but it can be resisted by the self-gravity of the planet when the two objects are far from each other [30]. The critical distance, i.e. the so called tidal disruption radius ( $r_{td}$ ), at which the tidal force is exactly balanced by the self-gravity of the planet, is defined as [31]

$$r_{td} \approx \left( \frac{6M_\star}{\pi\bar{\epsilon}} \right)^{1/3} \quad (8)$$

where  $M_\star$  is the mass of the central host star and,  $\bar{\epsilon}$  is the average density of the planet. If the distance is smaller than  $r_{td}$ , the tidal force will dominate and the planet will be completely broken up. eq. (8) can be rewritten as

$$r_{td} \approx 1.5 \times 10^6 \left( \frac{M_\star}{1.4M_\odot} \right)^{1/3} \times \left( \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{4 \times 10^{14} g/cm^3} \right)^{-1/3} . (cm) \quad (9)$$

One can also determine the period associated with orbits at the tidal disruption radius. From the Kepler's law, the radius and period of the orbit are related by [18]

$$\frac{r^3}{P_{orb}^2} \approx \frac{GM_\star}{4\pi^2}. \quad (10)$$

If we take  $\bar{\epsilon} = 30 g.cm^{-3}$  and  $M_\star = 1.4M_\odot$ , the tidal disruption and the orbital period will be:  $\sim 5.6 \times 10^{10} cm$  and  $\sim 6100 s$ , respectively. On the other hand, for strange planets, with typical densities  $\sim 4 \times 10^{14} g.cm^{-3}$ , we will have  $\sim 1.5 \times 10^6 cm$  and ultra-short period  $P_{orb} \sim 0.845 ms$ . These results show us a possible way to identify *SQM* planets: if the tidal disruption and orbital period are significantly less than  $\sim 5.6 \times 10^{10} cm$  and  $\sim 6100 s$ , it must be a strange planet [18]. With these results, we can apply them to our model.

The orbital properties for the maximum mass crystalline strange planets found in our study are shown in Table II, where we have obtained the tidal disruption  $r_{td}$  and  $P_{orb}$  from eqs. (9) and (10), respectively (using  $M_\star = 1.4M_\odot$ ). In that table,  $M$ , is the total mass of the planet,  $R$ , is the radius,  $\bar{\epsilon}$ , is the average density,

$r_{td}$ , is the tidal disruption and,  $P_{orb}$  is the orbital period. We note that the tidal disruption and the rotation periods of these planets are, as expected, much smaller than ordinary planets ( $5.6 \times 10^{10} cm$ ;  $6100 s$ ). Also, we see that their densities are much higher when compared to ordinary planets,  $\sim 1 - 30 g.cm^{-3}$ .

TABLE II: Properties of the strange crystal planets for each strangelet studied. Each strangelet is labeled by ‘‘Stra’’.  $M_J$ , is Jupiter’s mass ( $M_J \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} M_\odot$ )

Stra	$M(M_J)$	$R(km)$	$\bar{\epsilon} (g/cm^3)$	$r_{td} (cm)$	$P_{orb} (ms)$
1	28	122	$1.48 \times 10^{10}$	$4.50 \times 10^7$	139
2	23	117	$1.38 \times 10^{10}$	$4.61 \times 10^7$	144
3	7	48.0	$6.06 \times 10^{10}$	$2.81 \times 10^7$	69
4	0.755	11.6	$4.63 \times 10^{11}$	$6.64 \times 10^6$	7.9
5	0.038	1.95	$4.85 \times 10^{12}$	$6.54 \times 10^6$	7.7

Another aspect that warrants investigation is gravitational wave emission. According to General Relativity, orbital motion of a binary system can lead to Gravitational Waves emission and spiral-in of the system. Geng, Huang and Lu [19], showed that due to extreme compactness, strange planets can spiral very close to their host compact stars, without being tidally disrupted. These systems could potentially serve as a new source for Gravitational Waves (*GW*). *GW* emission from these events happening in our local Universe may potentially be strong enough to be detected by upcoming detectors such as Advanced *LIGO* [32, 33] and the Einstein Telescope [34, 35].

This analysis can thus be used as possible evidence to the existence of *SQM*. In contrast to normal matter planets moving around a compact star, their *GW* signals are negligibly small since the planet can not get very close to the central star due to the tidal disruption effect.

According to [19], the strain amplitude of *GW* from a strange-matter system, at the last stage of the inspiraling and at distance  $d$  from us, is

$$h = 1.4 \times 10^{-24} \left( \frac{M_\star}{1.4M_\odot} \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{\bar{\epsilon}}{4 \times 10^{14} g/cm^3} \right)^{4/3} \times \left( \frac{R}{10^4 cm} \right)^3 \left( \frac{d}{10 kpc} \right)^{-1} \quad (11)$$

where  $d$  is the distance of the binary to us. If  $r_{td}$  is too large, the *GW* emission will be very weak. For example, at  $d = 10 kpc$ , for a typical planet with  $M = 5 \times 10^{-4} M_{Jup}$ ,  $\bar{\epsilon} \sim 10 g.cm^{-3}$  and,  $R \sim 3.6 \times 10^8 cm$ ; disrupted at  $5.1 \times 10^{10} cm$ , the maximum *GW* amplitude is only  $h \approx 4.9 \times 10^{-29}$ , screen for high density  $\sim 30 g.cm^{-3}$ , the *GW* amplitude is  $h \approx 7.05 \times 10^{-26}$ , which is too weak to be detected. For strange planets, however, the strain amplitudes of *GWs* are between  $\sim 10^{-23} - 10^{-22}$ , at a distance of  $d \sim 10 kpc$  [19].

TABLE III: Comparisons: strange crystal planets vs ordinary planets and strange planets.

Object Planets	$\bar{\epsilon}$ ( $g/cm^3$ )	$r_{td}$ ( $cm$ )	$P_{orb}$ ( $s$ )	$h$
Ordinary Planets				
Low density	10	$5.1 \times 10^{10}$	$\sim 5263$	$4.9 \times 10^{-29}$
High density	30	$5.6 \times 10^{10}$	$\sim 6100$	$7.1 \times 10^{-26}$
Strange Crystal Planets	$\sim 10^{10} - 10^{12}$	$\sim 6 \times 10^6 - 5 \times 10^7$	$\sim 0.007 - 0.139$	$\sim 10^{-23} - 10^{-21}$
Strange Planets	$\sim 4.0 \times 10^{14}$	$\sim 1.5 \times 10^6$	$\sim 8.45 \times 10^{-4}$	$\sim 10^{-23} - 10^{-21}$

Now employing eq. (11) to strange crystal planets. We obtain the results shown in Table IV. From this table it is clear that a binary system with crystalline strange planets can emit *GW* with amplitudes of the order of  $\sim 10^{-22} - 10^{-21}$ , whereby they could potentially be detected by Advance *LIGO* and the Einstein Telescope.

TABLE IV: Amplitude for the crystalline strange planets at the distance  $d = 10$  kpc. The host star has  $M_\star = 1.4M_\odot$ .

<i>Stra</i>	$M(M_J)$	$R(km)$	$\bar{\epsilon}$ ( $g/cm^3$ )	$h$
1	28	122	$1.48 \times 10^{10}$	$3.10 \times 10^{-21}$
2	23	117	$1.38 \times 10^{10}$	$2.50 \times 10^{-21}$
3	7	48.0	$6.06 \times 10^{10}$	$1.24 \times 10^{-21}$
4	0.755	11.6	$4.63 \times 10^{11}$	$2.64 \times 10^{-22}$
5	0.038	1.95	$4.85 \times 10^{12}$	$2.87 \times 10^{-23}$

Finally, we can resume the properties of strange crystal planets and compare them with the ordinary planets and strange planets. From the Table III, we see that our model differs from other cases in which: they have higher  $r_{td}$  and  $P_{orb}$  compared to strange planets, and lower  $r_{td}$  and  $P_{orb}$  compared to ordinary planets, besides, strange crystal planets and strange planets have the strain amplitude in the same order, whereas, ordinary planets have a much lower strain amplitudes than the other *SQM* objects.

## V. THE STRANGE SOLAR SYSTEM HYPOTHESIS

If the hypothesis of the strange quarks matter is correct [1–3], then compact stars could in fact be strange quark stars [9–12, 29, 36]. Such stars could range from light, dwarf-like objects with masses  $\sim 0.017M_\odot$  all the way to high, ultra-dense neutron star-like stars, with masses  $\sim 1.4 - 2.0M_\odot$  [2, 8, 10–12]. Furthermore, given the self-bound nature of strange matter, such objects

could be stable even if not self-gravitative, allowing for the possibility of very small strange matter objects (possibly until the baryon number declines below  $\sim 100$ ) [10]. Such objects have been hypothesized to constitute the so-called *MACHOS* (Massive Astrophysical Compact Halo Objects [12]), in which case, if abundant enough, this class of strange-matter stars would constitute possible candidates for gravitational microlensing searches [37–39].

Bearing this in mind, we follow the proposal of “Strange Solar System” [11, 22, 40, 42–44, 46], analogous to traditional solar systems. Such strange solar system is composed by a host strange star, with  $M \sim 1M_\odot$ , in the center and orbited by strange crystal planets. We illustrate such system by choosing strange crystal planets with masses analogous to the planets of our own solar system.

We show their properties in the Table V, where we have named each object according to their traditional counter-part and, they are orbiting around the star with mass  $1M_\odot$ . We calculated the relevant tidal disruption properties for each planet.

TABLE V: Properties of some strange crystal planets (from the Figure 2) with masses similar to the normal planets ( $M_\star = 1M_\odot$ ).

Planet name	$M$ ( $10^{-3}M_J$ )	$R$ (km)	$\bar{\epsilon}$ ( $10^7 g.cm^{-3}$ )	$r_{td}$ ( $10^8 cm$ )	$P_{orb}$ (s)
Stra–Venus	1.0	39.4	1.55	3.96	4.30
Stra–Earth	1.5	42.7	1.83	3.75	3.96
Stra–Mars	0.15	24.1	1.02	4.56	5.31

From that table, we can surmise the following: first, their radii are very small compared to its counter-part, second, its densities are larger than ordinary planets ( $\sim 1 - 10 g.cm^{-3}$ ). third, the tidal disruption radius  $r_{td}$  is significantly smaller than that of ordinary planets ( $\sim 5.6 \times 10^{10} cm$ ). Finally, the orbital periods are very small

compared to the ordinary planets (if they are orbiting in the limiting tidal disruption radius).

In Fig. 3 we represent, schematically, the difference between the orbital properties of the three models discussed: strange planet (SP), Strange crystal Planets (SCP) and ordinary planets (OP). The hashed area indicate orbits that can only be explained by SP. The gray area represents orbits that can be explained by SP and SCP. As for the region beyond the ordinary planet orbit, one cannot distinguish between models.




FIG. 3: Diagram representing the difference between strange planets (SP), strange crystal planets (SCP) and, ordinary planets (OP). The mass of the host star is  $\sim 1M_{\odot}$  and  $M_J$  is the Jupiter’s mass. The dashed area indicate orbits only for SP, gray area for SP and SCP and the region beyond for OP. [The distances are not in scale].

## VI. DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have proposed a new model for strange planets – strange crystal planets: objects made up a single strangelet arranged in the periodic [23, 26]. This model differs from previously proposed strange star models [9–12, 29, 36] - as in such models  $SQM$  is found in bulk and possibly surrounded by ordinary hadronic matter. We must note that [13] has also proposed a strangelet dwarf model, however, in their model they have considered a low-surface-tension scenario, leading to a *not* self bound strange matter – which is different than our proposal. Within the scenario we propose, self-bound strangelets can organize themselves in a crystal lattice, permeated by an electron gas (needed for charge neutrality); such matter could then form self-gravitating objects supported against gravitational pull by the electronic pressure, much like as the white dwarfs do.

We have determined the masses and radii of such objects and found that their masses are comparable to ordi-

nary planets ( $\sim 10^{-5} - 30 M_J$ ) but with very small radii. Furthermore, we have calculated possible observable signatures of such model using the concept of tidal disruption radius and amplitude of gravitational waves that could be emitted by such systems. As expected we have found that, due to their compactness, the tidal disruption radii of strange crystalline planets is significantly smaller than that of ordinary planets. We have found however that when compared to previously proposed strange planet models - our scenario leads to higher tidal disruption radii. That means that strange crystalline planets exhibit an intermediate behavior, with possible orbital properties not as extreme as that of strange planets, but not as mild as that of ordinary planets. That is not surprising, given the “*hybrid*” nature of our proposal, which mixes properties of both traditional planets (solid, crystalline structure) with that of strange planets (strange quark matter). As for the possibility of  $GW$  emission, we have found that our model does not differ significantly from the previously propose strange planets - with the amplitude of  $GW$  from both model being somewhat similar. However both models predict a  $GW$  amplitude significantly higher than that of ordinary planets which could potentially be detected by the future Einstein Telescope or the Advance *LIGO* [19] - which could provide, if detected, evidence for  $SQM$  in the form of strange solar system.

Our assumption of the existence of strange solar systems are based on three possible scenarios: first, after the birth of strange quark stars (hot and highly turbulent environment), they may eject low-mass quark nuggets. It has been suggested that ejection of planetary clumps may happen simultaneously due to strong turbulence of the strange star surface [40–42]. Thus, the strange planetary system can be formed directly. Second, the contamination processes during the supernova explosion that give birth to a strange star, if the planets of the progenitor star can survive the violent process, then they may be contaminated by the abundant strange nuggets ejected from the new strange star and be converted to strange planets [19, 22]. If these planets are remnants of the progenitor star, then there is a possibility that they can be strange planets [11, 43, 44]. Finally, stellar and planetary strange-matter objects could be remnant of a quark phase in the primordial universe, that may have survived until now [45]; such objects could be very numerous and they can be captured by strange stars (or neutron stars) to form planetary systems [46].

We intend to pursue further the idea of strange crystal planets by adding further sophistication to the model - like a better description of curvature and surface tension effects, exploring their transport and thermal properties, and studying their seismic properties. Nonetheless we believe that the idea at forth in this work gives rise to interesting possibility in the already rich study of strange planets and their possible observable signatures.

- 
- [1] A. R. Bodmer, Phys. Rev. D **4**, 1601 (1971)
- [2] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 272 (1984)
- [3] H. Terazawa, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **58**, 1989 (1979)
- [4] H. J. Crawford, M. S. Desai, and G. L. Shaw, Physical Review D, **48**(9), 4474(1993)
- [5] F. Weber, CH. Schaab, M. K. Weigel, and N. K. Glendenning, arXiv preprint astro-ph/9604035. (1996(a)).
- [6] F. Weber, CH. Schaab, M. K. Weigel, and N. K. Glendenning, arXiv preprint astro-ph/9604035. (1996(b)).
- [7] N. K. Glendenning, C. Kettner, and F. Weber, (1994)
- [8] P. Haensel, J. L Zdunik, and R. Schaeffer, Astron. Astrophys. **160**, 121 (1986)
- [9] N. K. Glendenning, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A5**, 2197 (1990)
- [10] C. Alcock, E. Farhi, and A. V. Olinto, ApJ,**310**, 261 (1986)
- [11] N. K. Glendenning, CH. Kettner, and F. Weber, Astrophys. J. **450**, 253 (1995a)
- [12] NK. Glendenning, Ch. Kettner, and F. Weber, Physical review letters **74**, 3519 (1995b)
- [13] Mark G. Alford et. al., J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **39**, 065201 (2012)
- [14] H. J. Crawford, M. S. Desai, and G. L. Shaw, Phys. Rev. D,**45**, 857 (1992)
- [15] M. A. C. Perryman, Rep. Prog. Phys., **63**, 1209 (2000)
- [16] W. J. Borucki, Rep. Prog. Phys., **79**, 036901 (2016)
- [17] D. J. Armstrong, et al., Nat. Astron., **1**, 0004 (2016)
- [18] Y. F Huang, and Y. Yu, The Astrophysical Journal, **848** (2), 115 (2017)
- [19] J. J. Geng, Y. F. Huang, and T. Lu, ApJ,**804**, 21 (2015)
- [20] M. S Berger and R. L Jaffe, Physical Review C **35**, 213 (1987)
- [21] M. S. Desai, H. J. Crawford, and G. L. Shaw, Physical Review D,**47**(5), 2063 (1993)
- [22] A. Wolszczan and D. A Frail, Nature **355**, 145 (1992)
- [23] S. A. Teukolsky and S. L Shapiro, *Black holes, white dwarfs, and neutron stars: The physics of compact objects*, 1st ed. (Wiley-VCH, 2004)
- [24] R. Tolman, Physical Review **55**, 364 (1939)
- [25] J. Oppenheimer and G. Volkoff, Physical Review **55**, 374 (1939)
- [26] N. K. Glendenning, *Compact stars : nuclear physics, particle physics, and general relativity*, 1st ed. (Springer, 2000)
- [27] E. Farhi and R. Jaffe, Physical Review D **30**, 2379 (1984)
- [28] Jes. Madsen, AIP Conference Proceedings. **340**, 32–45 (1995)
- [29] C. Alcock and A. V Olinto, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **38**, 161 (1988)
- [30] P. G. Gu, D. N. C. Lin, and P. H. Bodenheimer, ApJ,**588**, 509 (2003)
- [31] J. G. Hills, Nature, **254**, 295 (1975)
- [32] F. Acernese, P. Amico, M. Alshourbagy, et al., Classical and Quantum Gravity,**23**, 635 (2006)
- [33] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, F. Acernese, et al., Nature,**460**, 990 (2009)
- [34] M. Punturo, M. Abernathy, F. Acernese, et al., Classical and Quantum Gravity, **27**, 084007 (2010)
- [35] S. Hild, S. Chelkowski, and A. Freise, arXiv:0810.0604 . (2008).
- [36] N. K. Glendenning and F. Weber, ApJ **400**, 647 (1992)
- [37] B. Paczyński, ApJ. **304**, 1 (1986)
- [38] K. Griest, ApJ. **366**, 412 (1991)
- [39] R. D. Blandford and R. Narayan, ARA&A **30**, 311 (1992)
- [40] R. X. Xu and F. Wu, Chinese Physics Letters **20**, 806 (2003)
- [41] R. X Xu, Astroparticle Physics, **25**, 212 (2006)
- [42] J. E Xu, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, **12**, 813 (2012)
- [43] R. R Caldwell and J. L Friedman, Physics Letters B, **264**, 143 (1991)
- [44] J. Madsen, Hadrons in Dense Matter and Hadrosynthesis, **516**, 162 (1999)
- [45] W. N Cottingham, D. Kalafatis, and R. Vinh Mau, Physical Review Letters, **73**, 1328 (1994)
- [46] D. Chandra and A. Goyal, Phys. Rev. D, **62**, 063505 (2000)