# BERGMAN-TOEPLITZ OPERATORS BETWEEN WEIGHTED $L^{p}$-SPACES ON WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS 

TRAN VU KHANH AND PHAM TRONG TIEN


#### Abstract

In this paper we study the Bergman-Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}$ induced by $\psi(w)=$ $K_{\Omega}^{-\alpha}(w, w) d_{\Omega}^{\beta}(w)$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ acting from a weighted $L^{p}$-space $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ to another one $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ on a large class of pseudoconvex domains of finite type. In the case $1<p \leq q<\infty$, the following results are established: (i) Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness, which generalize the recent results obtained by Khanh, Liu and Thuc. (ii) Upper and lower estimates for essential norm, in particular, a criterion for compactness. (iii) A characterization of Schatten class membership of this operator on Hilbert space $L^{2}(\Omega)$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with the boundary $\partial \Omega, d_{\Omega}(z)$ the distance from $z$ to $\partial \Omega$, and $K_{\Omega}$ the Bergman kernel associated to $\Omega$. For a function $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, the Bergman-Toeplitz operator with symbol $\psi$ is defined by

$$
T_{\psi} f(z):=\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) f(w) d V(w)
$$

where $d V(w)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\Omega$. Operators of such type have been intensively studied on (weighted) $L^{p}$-spaces and Bergman spaces over the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_{n}$ in different directions, such as boundedness [20], compactness [18], essential norm [14, 19], and Schatten class membership [2, 16, 22]. It should be noted that the Bergman kernel $K_{\mathbb{B}_{n}}$ associated to the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_{n}$ has an explicit formula. The case of the absence of an explicit formula for the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is more complicated and has attracted attention of many researchers.

Recall that when $\psi$ is identically $1, T_{\psi}$ reduces to the Bergman projection $P$ that maps from $L^{p}(\Omega)$ to itself with $1<p<\infty$ on some classes of pseudoconvex domains of finite type such as strongly pseudoconvex domains [17], convex domains of finite type [13], pseudoconvex domains of finite type in $\mathbb{C}^{2}[15]$. In order to improve the regularity of the

[^0]operator $T_{\psi}$ in $L^{p}$-spaces, C̆uc̆ković and McNeal [7] studied the operator $T_{\psi}$ induced by $\psi(w)=d_{\Omega}(w)^{\eta}$ with $\eta>0$ on strongly pseudoconvex domains. In this case, based on the precise information on the Bergman kernel established by Fefferman [9] on domains of such type, the authors proved that:
(1) For $0 \leq \eta<n+1$ and $1<p<\infty$, if $\frac{n+1}{n+1-\eta}<\frac{p}{p-1}$, then $T_{d_{\Omega}^{\eta}}: L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous, where $\frac{1}{q}=\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\eta}{n+1}$; otherwise $T_{d_{\Omega}^{\eta}}: L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous for all $p \leq q<\infty$.
(2) For $\eta \geq n+1, T_{d_{\Omega}^{\eta}}: L^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is continuous.

Later, Abate, Raissy and Saracco [1] showed that the gain in the exponents in this result is optimal by using geometric characterization of Carleson measures in term of the intrinsic Kobayashi geometry of the domain. Recently, by choosing $\psi(w)=K_{\Omega}^{-\alpha}(w, w)$ with $\alpha \geq 0$, Khanh, Liu and Thuc [10] extended this result to a large class of pseudoconvex domains of finite type whose Bergman kernels have good estimates, called sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type (see, Definition 2.1 below). Moreover, the authors also gave an upper-bound for the norm $\left\|T_{K_{\Omega}^{-\alpha}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)}$. It is worth mentioning that this upper-bound generalized the one for the norm of Bergman projection $B$ on the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ [21] and on strongly pseudoconvex domains [6].

Motivated by some ideas in [10], in this paper we are interested in the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}$ induced by $\psi(w)=K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, denoted by $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ for simplicity, acting from a weighted $L^{p}$-space $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ to another one $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$. Recall that for $0<p<\infty$ and $a>-1$, the weighted space $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ consists of all measurable functions $f$ on $\Omega$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{p, a}:=\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(z)|^{p} d V_{a}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty
$$

where $d V_{a}(z):=d_{\Omega}(z)^{a} d V(z)$. In the case $a=0$, we use the symbols $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ instead of $L_{0}^{p}(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p, 0}$, respectively.

The aim of this paper is not only to study the gain $L^{p}$-estimate property of the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ with $1<p \leq q<\infty$ as in [10], but also to investigate its essential norm and membership in the Schatten class. In details, we firstly generalize all results in [10] for the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ with $1<p \leq q<\infty$ in Section 3. Next, Section 4 is devoted to upper and lower estimates for its essential norm, in particular, a criterion for compactness of this operator. Moreover, a characterization of Schatten class membership of $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ on Hilbert space $L^{2}(\Omega)$ is established in Section 5 .

Our main results are stated in term of the following quantity. For $1<p \leq q<\infty$, $a>-1$, and a measurable function $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we put

$$
M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w):=|\psi(w)| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}, w \in \Omega,
$$

and, as usual,

$$
\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}:=\underset{w \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w)
$$

In particular, for the special function $\psi(w):=K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, we use the symbols $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)$ and $\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{\infty}$ instead of $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w)$ and $\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}$, respectively. It is easy to see that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)$ is continuous on $\Omega$, and hence $\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{\infty}=$ $\sup _{w \in \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)$.
Theorem 1.1. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\min \left\{2(p-1), \frac{q}{p^{\prime}}\right\}$, $p^{\prime}$ be the conjugate of $p$, i.e., $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p}^{\prime}=1$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded, pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with smooth boundary. Furthermore, $\Omega$ is one of the following domains:
(a) a strongly pseudoconvex domain;
(b) a pseudoconvex domain of finite type in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$;
(c) a convex domain of finite type;
(d) a decouple domain of finite type;
(e) a pseudoconvex domain of finite type whose Levi-form has only one degenerate eigenvalue or comparable eigenvalues.
For every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, the following statements hold:
(1) The operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous if and only if $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) \in$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. In this case,

$$
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{+}+\frac{1}{q}}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $p, q, a$.
(2) If $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then the essential norm of $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ satisfies the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \approx \limsup _{w \rightarrow \partial \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is compact if and only if

$$
\limsup _{w \rightarrow \partial \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)=0
$$

(3) Suppose that the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is compact on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. For every $s \geq 1$, the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ belongs to Schatten class $S_{s}$ if and only if $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s \alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s \beta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. In the case $s \in(0,1)$, if $2 \alpha+\beta<2$ and $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s \alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s \beta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then $T_{\psi} \in S_{s}$.

Parts (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.1 are immediate consequences of the results obtained in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. In details, sufficient conditions for boundedness of the operator $T_{\psi}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ and upper estimate for the essential norm $\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$ are established in Theorems 3.2 and, respectively, 4.2 in general case when $\psi$ is a measurable function on $\Omega$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded pseudoconvex domain whose the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. The necessary ones and lower estimate for $\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$ are proved in

Theorems 3.4 and, respectively, 4.4 under an additional geometric $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition of the domain $\Omega$ (see, Definition 2.2 below). The proof of part (3) in Theorem 1.1 is stated in Theorem 5.3.

Furthermore, in Section 6 we also show that all results in Theorem 1.1 except the estimate (1.1) hold for the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ acting from a weighted Bergman space $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ to another one $A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ with $1<p \leq q<\infty$.

Notations: Throughout this paper, for every number $p>1$, we denote by $p^{\prime}$ its conjugate index, i. e. $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. We also use the notation $A \lesssim B$ for nonnegative quantities $A$ and $B$ to mean that there is an inessential constant $C>0$ such that $A \leq C B$; similarly the notation $A \approx B$ means that both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ hold, where the constant $C$ may change from place to place. The terminology "universal positive constant" means that this constant depends only on the domain $\Omega$ (e.g. $n$ and the type of $\Omega$ ).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the notation of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and an additional geometric hypothesis of $\Omega$, under which our main results are established.

Definition 2.1. According to [10, Definitions 2.1 and 2.2], the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ associated to a domain $\Omega$ is called of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type, if the following conditions hold:
(i) $K_{\Omega}$ is continuous up to the off-diagonal boundary, i.e. $K_{\Omega} \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \backslash(\partial \Omega \times \partial \Omega))$;
(ii) there are universal constants $c$ and $C$ dependent only on $\Omega$ such that for every $z \in \bar{\Omega}$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$, we can find a biholomorphism $\Phi_{z}$ whose holomorphic Jacobian is uniformly nonsingular in the sense that $C^{-1} \leq\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(w)\right| \leq C$ for all $w$ in a neighborhood of $z$, so that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega^{\prime}}$ associated to the domain $\Omega^{\prime}:=\Phi_{z}(\Omega)$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type at $z^{\prime}:=\Phi_{z}(z)$, that is,

$$
C^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}^{2}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \leq K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}^{2}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}^{2}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \text { for all } w^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)
$$

Here, $\mathcal{B}=\left\{b_{j}\left(z^{\prime}, \cdot\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{n}$ is a $\mathcal{B}$-system at $z^{\prime}$, i. e. there exist a neighbourhood $U$ of $z^{\prime}$ and a positive integer $m \geq 2$ such that for all $w^{\prime} \in U$,

$$
b_{1}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right):=\frac{1}{\delta\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{j}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right):=\sum_{k=2}^{m}\left(\frac{A_{j k}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\delta\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}, \quad \text { for } j=2, \ldots, n
$$

where $\left\{A_{j k}: U \rightarrow[0, \infty)\right\}$ are bounded functions such that for each $j$ there exists a $k$ so that $A_{j k}>0$ on $U$; and $\delta\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$ is the pseudo-distance between $z^{\prime}$ and $w^{\prime}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)=d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+\left|z_{1}^{\prime}-w_{1}^{\prime}\right|+\sum_{l=2}^{n} \sum_{s=2}^{m} A_{l s}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\left|z_{l}^{\prime}-w_{l}^{\prime}\right|^{s} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

under a proper system of coordinates, see [13].
Definition 2.2. We say that a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ whose Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type satisfies $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition, if there are universal constants $\lambda$ and $C$ such that for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$,

$$
P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \subset \Omega^{\prime}:=\Phi_{z}(\Omega), K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \leq C K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right), \text { and } C^{-1} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right) \leq C d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $w^{\prime} \in P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$, where $\Phi_{z}$ is the biholomorphism defined in Definition [2.1, $z^{\prime}=\Phi_{z}(z)$, and

$$
P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)=\left\{w^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|w_{j}^{\prime}-z_{j}^{\prime}\right| b_{j}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \leq \lambda, \text { for all } j=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

is a $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc with centre $z^{\prime}$ associated to the $\mathcal{B}$-system in Definition 2.1.
Remark 2.3. In [10, Theorem 4.1] using the results of Fefferman [9], Catlin [3], McNeal [11, 12], McNeal and Stein [13], and Cho [4, 5], Khanh, Liu and Thuc proved that the Bergman kernels associated to all domains $\Omega$ in Theorem 1.1]are of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and these domains satisfy $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition. Furthermore, by the conditions of $A_{j k}$ in Definition 2.1.(ii) for the domains in Theorem 1.1, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\Omega}(z)^{-2} \leq K_{\Omega}(z, z) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $z \in \Omega$.
Next, we give weighted $L^{p}$-estimates for the $\operatorname{Bergman} \operatorname{kernel} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)$. To do this, we recall the following auxiliary result, which is proved in [10, Proposition 2.4] and plays an important role in this paper.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. Then, for each $z_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ such that for any $a \geq 1$ and $-1<b<2 a-2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{a, b}(z) & :=\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{a} d_{\Omega}(w)^{b} d V(w)  \tag{2.3}\\
& \leq C \frac{2 a-1}{(2 a-2-b)(b+1)} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{a-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{b}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $z \in \Omega \cap U$ and some constant $C$ dependent only on $U$ and $\Omega$.
Using this proposition, we can get the upper estimate for the norm of $K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)$ in $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $1 \leq p<\infty,-1<a<2(p-1)$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. Then for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$
\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a} \leq C K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a}{p}}
$$

for some constant $C$ independent of $z$.
Proof. We choose a covering $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{N}$ to $\bar{\Omega}$ so that $U_{0} \Subset \Omega, \partial \Omega \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} U_{j}$, and the integral estimates in Proposition 2.4 hold on $U_{j}$ with some constant $C_{j}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, N$.

Since $K_{\Omega} \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \backslash(\partial \Omega \times \partial \Omega))$, there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right| \leq C \quad \text { for all } \quad(w, z) \in\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left(\bar{\Omega} \cap \overline{U_{j}}\right) \times\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash U_{j}\right)\right) \bigcup\left(\overline{U_{0}} \times \bar{\Omega}\right)\right)
$$

Using this and Proposition 2.4 for $U_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$, we get that for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$
\int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w) \leq C^{p}\|1\|_{p, a}^{p}, \text { if } z \in \Omega \backslash U_{j}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w) \leq C_{j} \frac{2 p-1}{(2 p-2-a)(a+1)} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{p-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{a}, \text { if } z \in \Omega \cap U_{j}
$$

Hence, for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}^{p} & =\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w) \\
& \leq \int_{U_{0}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w) \\
& \leq C^{p}\|1\|_{p, a}^{p}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \max \left\{C^{p}\|1\|_{p, a}^{p}, C_{j} \frac{2 p-1}{(2 p-2-a)(a+1)} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{p-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{a}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $a<2(p-1)$ and, by (2.2), $d_{\Omega}(z)^{-2} \leq K_{\Omega}(z, z), z \in \Omega$,

$$
K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a}{p}} \geq d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a+2}{p}-2} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } z \rightarrow \partial \Omega .
$$

From this and the above inequality the desired estimate follows.
The lower estimate for $\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}$ is established under $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition.
Lemma 2.6. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. For every $p \geq 1$, $a>-1$, and $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$,

$$
\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a} \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a}{p}} .
$$

Proof. For every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$, put $w^{\prime}=\Phi_{z}(w)$ and $\Omega^{\prime}=\Phi_{z}(\Omega)$. By the invariant formula,

$$
K_{\Omega}(z, w)=\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(z) K_{\Phi_{z}(\Omega)}\left(\Phi_{z}(z), \Phi_{z}(w)\right) \overline{\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(w)}
$$

the fact that $C^{-1} \leq\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(w)\right| \leq C$ for all $w$ in a neighborhood of $z$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}^{p}=\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w) \\
&=\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-p}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-p} \\
& \quad \times d_{\Omega}\left(\Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{a}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geq \int_{P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}\left(\Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{a}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(z)\right|^{p}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1-p} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \gtrsim \int_{P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{a} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \gtrsim d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{a} \int_{P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{p} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \gtrsim d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{a} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{p} \operatorname{Vol}\left(P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \gtrsim K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{p-1} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the sub-mean property and the fact that

$$
\operatorname{Vol}\left(P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)=\pi^{n} \lambda^{2 n}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-2} \approx\left(K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1}
$$

Moreover, since $K_{\Omega}(z, z)=\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ and $C^{-1} \leq\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(z)\right| \leq C$,

$$
\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a} \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a}{p}} .
$$

## 3. Boundedness

In this section we give sufficient conditions for boundedness of the general operator $T_{\psi}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ and necessary ones for the special operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ with $1<p \leq q<\infty$.
3.1. Sufficiency. Using Proposition 2.4 and some ideas in the proof of the generalized version of Schur's test [10, Theorem 5.1], we get the following result.
Proposition 3.1. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\frac{q}{p^{\prime}}$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. Suppose that $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then, for each $z_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, there exists a neighbourhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ such that the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi, U}$ defined by

$$
\left(T_{\psi, U} f\right)(z):=\int_{\Omega \cap U} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) f(w) d V(w) \quad \text { for } z \in \Omega \cap U
$$

maps from $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U)$ to $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega \cap U)$ continuously and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\psi, U}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega \cap U)} \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{q}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $p, q$ and $a$, and
$L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U)=\left\{f\right.$ is measurable on $\left.\Omega \cap U:\|f\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U)}=\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}|f(z)|^{p} d V_{a}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty\right\}$.
Proof. Take the neighbourhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ as in Proposition 2.4. We put $\delta:=\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}$ and

$$
g(z):=d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\gamma}, h_{1}(w):=d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\gamma}, h_{2}(w):=K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\frac{a}{q}-\gamma}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{0, \frac{a}{q}\right\}<\gamma<\min \left\{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}, \frac{a+1}{q}\right\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \operatorname{ess} \sup _{w \in \Omega}\left|h_{1}^{-1}(w) h_{2}(w) \psi(w) d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a}{p}}\right| \\
= & \underset{w \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|\psi(w)| d_{\Omega}(w)^{\gamma} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\frac{a}{q}-\gamma} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a}{p}} \\
= & \underset{w \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup ^{2}}|\psi(w)| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}=\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Put $a_{1}:=\delta p^{\prime}=1$ and $b_{1}:=-\gamma p^{\prime} \in\left(-1,2 a_{1}-2\right)$ by (3.2). Hence, using Proposition 2.4 for $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, we obtain that, for every $z \in \Omega \cap U$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{\delta p^{\prime}} h_{1}(w)^{p^{\prime}} d V(w) & =\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{\delta p^{\prime}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\gamma p^{\prime}} d V(w) \\
& \leq C_{1} \frac{1}{\gamma p^{\prime}\left(1-\gamma p^{\prime}\right)} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\gamma p^{\prime}}=C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma) g(z)^{p^{\prime}} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau_{1}(\gamma):=\frac{1}{\gamma p^{\prime}\left(1-\gamma p^{\prime}\right)}$.
On the other hand, it is clear that $a_{2}:=(1-\delta) q=\frac{q}{p} \geq 1, b_{2}:=a-\gamma q \in\left(-1,2 a_{2}-2\right)$ by (3.2). Then, using Proposition 2.4 for $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$, we get that, for every $w \in \Omega \cap U$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{(1-\delta) q} g(z)^{q} d_{\Omega}(z)^{a} d V(z)=\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{(1-\delta) q} d_{\Omega}(z)^{a-\gamma q} d V(z) \\
\leq & C_{2} \frac{\frac{2 q}{p}-1}{\left(\frac{2 q}{p}-2-a+\gamma q\right)(a-\gamma q+1)} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a-\gamma q}=C_{2} \tau_{2}(\gamma) h_{2}(w)^{q}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tau_{2}(\gamma)=\frac{\frac{2 q}{p}-1}{\left(\frac{2 q}{p}-2-a+\gamma q\right)(a-\gamma q+1)}$.
Now, using Hölder's inequality and (3.4), for every $f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U)$ and every $z \in \Omega \cap U$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T_{\psi, U} f(z)\right| & \leq \int_{\Omega \cap U}\left(\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{\delta} h_{1}(w)\right)\left(\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{1-\delta} h_{1}(w)^{-1}|\psi(w)||f(w)|\right) d V(w) \\
& \leq\left(C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma) g(z)^{p^{\prime}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{(1-\delta) p} h_{1}(w)^{-p}|\psi(w)|^{p}|f(w)|^{p} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq\left(C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{(1-\delta) p} g(z)^{p} h_{1}(w)^{-p}|\psi(w)|^{p}|f(w)|^{p} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this, (3.3), (3.5), and using Minkowski's inequality (see, [10, Theorem 5.3]) for $\eta=\frac{q}{p} \geq 1$, we get that for every $f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|T_{\psi, U} f\right\|_{L_{a}^{q}(\Omega \cap U)}^{p} \leq\left(C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{(1-\delta) p} g(z)^{p} h_{1}(w)^{-p}|\psi(w)|^{p}|f(w)|^{p} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d V_{a}(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\
= & \left(C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{(1-\delta) p} g(z)^{p} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a p}{q}} h_{1}(w)^{-p}|\psi(w)|^{p}|f(w)|^{p} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d V(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\
\leq & \left(C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{p}{p}} \\
& \times \int_{\Omega \cap U}\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{(1-\delta) q} g(z)^{q} d d_{\Omega}(z)^{a} h_{1}(w)^{-q}|\psi(w)|^{q}|f(w)|^{q} d V(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} d V(w) \\
\leq & \left(C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}}\left(C_{2} \tau_{2}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\Omega \cap U} h_{2}(w)^{p} h_{1}(w)^{-p}|\psi(w)|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-a}|f(w)|^{p} d V_{a}(w) \\
\leq & \left(C_{1} \tau_{1}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{p}{p}}\left(C_{2} \tau_{2}(\gamma)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}^{p}\|f\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U)}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi, U}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega \cap U)$ is continuous and

$$
\left\|T_{\psi, U}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega \cap U) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega \cap U)} \leq C \tau_{1}(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tau_{2}(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Now we give an upper estimate for $\tau_{1}(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tau_{2}(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. By (3.2), $0<\gamma q-a<1$. Then by the inequality $\frac{x+a}{x+b} \leq \frac{a}{b}$ for $a \geq b>0$ and $x \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1}(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tau_{2}(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{q}} & =\left(\frac{1}{\gamma p^{\prime}\left(1-\gamma p^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{2 q}{p}-2\right)+1}{\left(\frac{2 q}{p}-2-a+\gamma q\right)(a-\gamma q+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{\gamma p^{\prime}\left(1-\gamma p^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(\frac{1}{(\gamma q-a)(a-\gamma q+1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to check that the number $\gamma_{0}:=\frac{1+a}{p^{\prime}+q}$ satisfies (3.2). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tau_{2}\left(\gamma_{0}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \leq\left(\frac{1}{\frac{p^{\prime}(1+a)}{p^{\prime}+q} \frac{q-a p^{\prime}}{p^{\prime}+q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}}=\left(\frac{\left(p^{\prime}+q\right)^{2}}{p^{\prime}(1+a)\left(q-a p^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{+}+\frac{1}{q}}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}} \\
& \leq 4\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{+}+\frac{1}{q}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows by $x^{x} \leq 4$ for $x=\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{q} \in[0,2]$. Thus, the desired estimate (3.1) follows.

Theorem 3.2. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\frac{q}{p^{\prime}}$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. Suppose that $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous. Furthermore,

$$
\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p+\frac{1}{q}}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty},
$$

where $C$ is independent of $p, q, a$.
Proof. We choose a partition of unity $\left\{\chi_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{N}$ and a covering $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{N}$ to $\bar{\Omega}$ so that $\operatorname{supp}\left(\chi_{j}\right) \Subset U_{j}, U_{0} \Subset \Omega, \partial \Omega \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} U_{j}$, and the results in Proposition 3.1 hold on $U_{j}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, N$. Then, for every $f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$, we can decompose $T_{\psi} f$ as

$$
T_{\psi} f=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \chi_{j} T_{\psi} f=\chi_{0} T_{\psi} f+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \backslash U_{j}}\right),
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{A}$ is the characteristic function of a subset $A \subset \Omega$. From this it follows that

$$
\left\|T_{\psi} f\right\|_{q, a} \leq\left\|\chi_{0} T_{\psi} f\right\|_{q, a}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a}+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \backslash U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a}
$$

To continue, we need several estimates for the norms $\left\|\chi_{0} T_{\psi} f\right\|_{q, a},\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \backslash U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a}$, and $\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a}$ with $j=1, \ldots, N$.

Estimates for $\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f 1_{\Omega \backslash U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a}$ and $\left\|\chi_{0} T_{\psi} f\right\|_{q, a}$. Since

$$
K_{\Omega} \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \backslash(\partial \Omega \times \partial \Omega)),
$$

there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right| \leq C \quad \text { for all } \quad(z, w) \in\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\chi_{j}\right) \times\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash U_{j}\right)\right) \bigcup\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(\chi_{0}\right) \times \bar{\Omega}\right)\right)
$$

Thus, for $j=1, \ldots, N$, and $z \in \Omega$, using Hölder's inequality and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\left(\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \backslash U_{j}}\right)\right)(z)\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{\Omega} \chi_{j}(z) K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) f(w) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \backslash U_{j}}(w) d V(w)\right| \\
\leq & C\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega}|f(w)| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} d V(w) \\
= & C\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega}|f(w)| d_{\Omega}(w)^{\frac{a}{p}} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a}{q}} d V(w) \\
\leq & C\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(w)|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
\leq & C\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}\left(\int_{\Omega}|f(w)|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega} d_{\Omega}(w)^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{p}-\frac{a+2}{q}\right)} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
\leq & C\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}\|1\|_{p^{\prime}, b}\|f\|_{p, a},
\end{aligned}
$$

where, obviously, $b:=p^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{p}-\frac{a+2}{q}\right)>-1$ by hypothesis, and hence, $\|1\|_{p^{\prime}, b}<\infty$. Thus,

$$
\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \backslash U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a} \leq C\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}\|1\|_{q, a}\|1\|_{p^{\prime}, b}\|f\|_{p, a}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $p, q, a$. Analogously,

$$
\left\|\chi_{0} T_{\psi}(f)\right\|_{q, a} \leq C\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}\|1\|_{q, a}\|1\|_{p^{\prime}, b}\|f\|_{p, a} .
$$

Estimates for $\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a}$. For $j=1, \ldots, N$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a} & =\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\chi_{j}(z) T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)(z)\right|^{q} d V_{a}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)(z)\right|^{q} d V_{a}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\left(\int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|T_{\psi, U_{j}}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)(z)\right|^{q} d V_{a}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& =\left\|T_{\psi, U_{j}}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{L_{a}^{q}\left(\Omega \cap U_{j}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and Proposition 3.1, it follows that

$$
\left\|\chi_{j} T_{\psi}\left(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right)\right\|_{q, a} \leq C_{j}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}\left(\Omega \cap U_{j}\right)}
$$

for every $j=1, \ldots, N$, where $C_{j}$ are independent of $p, q, a$.
From the above estimates, we get

$$
\left\|T_{\psi} f\right\|_{q, a} \leq C\left[\|1\|_{q, a}\|1\|_{p^{\prime}, b}+\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{q}}\right]\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}\|f\|_{p, a}
$$

for every $f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$, where $C$ is independent of $p, q, a$.
On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that

$$
\|1\|_{q, a} \leq\left(\frac{C}{1+a}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \text { and }\|1\|_{p^{\prime}, b} \leq\left(\frac{C}{1+b}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq\left(\frac{C}{1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
$$

for some constant $C$ independent of $p, q, a$. From this and the hypothesis on $p, q, a$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|1\|_{q, a}\|1\|_{p^{\prime}, b} & \leq\left(\frac{C}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}}(1+a)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \leq C^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{+}+\frac{1}{q}}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

for some constant $C$ independent of $p, q, a$.
The following result follows immediately from Theorem 3.2 which is a generalization of [10, Theorem 2.7(1)].

Corollary 3.3. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty$ and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. Suppose that $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, 0}^{\psi}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$
\underset{w \in \Omega}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|\psi(w)| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}}<\infty .
$$

Then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}: L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous. Furthermore,

$$
\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\frac{p}{p-1}+q\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, 0}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty},
$$

where $C$ is dependent only on $\Omega$.
3.2. Necessity. The necessary conditions for boundedness of the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ : $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is given under $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition.

Theorem 3.4. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\min \{2(p-1), q-1\}$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. If the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous, then $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, i.e.

$$
\sup _{w \in \Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta+a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}<\infty
$$

Proof. Similarly to [10, Theorem 3.1], the proof is based on upper and lower estimates of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w), z \in \Omega \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Upper estimate. Since $K_{\Omega}(w, z)$ is holomorphic in $w \in \Omega$,

$$
K_{\Omega}(w, z)=P\left(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right)(w)=\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, \xi) K_{\Omega}(\xi, z) d V(\xi)
$$

hence

$$
\overline{K_{\Omega}(w, z)}=\overline{\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, \xi) K_{\Omega}(\xi, z) d V(\xi)}=\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(\xi, w) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) d V(\xi)
$$

Using this and Fubini's theorem, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \\
= & \int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, z) K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta}\left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(\xi, w) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) d V(\xi)\right) d V(w) \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(\xi, w) K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} K_{\Omega}(w, z) d V(w)\right) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) V(\xi) \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(T_{\alpha, \beta}\left(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right)(\xi)\right) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) d V(\xi) . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by Hölder's inequality and the continuity of $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left(T_{\alpha, \beta}\left(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right)(\xi)\right) d_{\Omega}(\xi)^{\frac{a}{q}} K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) d_{\Omega}(\xi)^{-\frac{a}{q}} d V(\xi) \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\Omega}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta}\left(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right)(\xi)\right|^{q} d_{\Omega}(\xi)^{a} d V(\xi)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, \xi)\right|^{q^{\prime}} d_{\Omega}(\xi)^{-\frac{a q^{\prime}}{q}} d V(\xi)\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \\
= & \left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\left(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right)\right\|_{q, a}\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q^{\prime},-\frac{a q^{\prime}}{q}} \leq C\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q^{\prime},-\frac{a q^{\prime}}{q}} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, using Lemma 2.5 twice for ( $p, a$ ) with $p>1,-1<a<2(p-1)$ and for $\left(q^{\prime},-\frac{a q^{\prime}}{q}\right)$ with $q^{\prime}>1,-1<-\frac{a q^{\prime}}{q}<2\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)$ by the hypothesis on $p, q$, a, we get that, for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) & \lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a}{p}} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\frac{a}{q}} \\
& =C K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lower estimate. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.6, for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$, by the invariant formula, we have

$$
K_{\Omega}(z, w)=\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(z) K_{\Phi_{z}(\Omega)}\left(\Phi_{z}(z), \Phi_{z}(w)\right) \overline{\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(w)}
$$

and

$$
K_{\Omega}(w, w)=\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(w) K_{\Phi_{z}(\Omega)}\left(\Phi_{z}(w), \Phi_{z}(w)\right) \overline{\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(w)}
$$

From this and the fact that $C^{-1} \leq\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(w)\right| \leq C$ for all $w$ in a neighborhood of $z$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \\
&= \int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-2}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-2} \\
& \quad \times\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2 \alpha} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}\left(\Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\beta}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right| d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geq \int_{P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}\left(\Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\beta}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}(z)\right|^{2}\left|\operatorname{det} J_{\mathbb{C}} \Phi_{z}^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2 \alpha-1} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \gtrsim \int_{P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \gtrsim K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} \int_{P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \gtrsim K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{2} \operatorname{Vol}\left(P_{\lambda}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \gtrsim K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{\beta} \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, from the upper and lower estimates of (3.6), we get

$$
K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}
$$

and hence

$$
\sup _{z \in \Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta+a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}<\infty .
$$

The proof is completed.

## 4. Essential norm

For a bounded operator $T$ acting from a Banach space $X$ to a Banach space $Y$, the essential norm of $T$ is defined as

$$
\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y}:=\inf \{\|T-K\|, K \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)\}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is the set of all compact operators from $X$ to $Y$. Clearly, $T$ is compact if and only if $\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y}=0$. Note that Suárez [19] and Mitkovski, Suárez and Wick [14] investigated the essential norm of operators in the Toeplitz algebra on the unit ball in terms of the Berezin transform. Later, C̆uc̆ković and Şahutoğlu [8] established estimates for $\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{e, L^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)}$ with $\psi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ on smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, on which the $\bar{\partial}$-Neumann operator is compact. In this section we give an upper estimate for $\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$ and a lower one for $\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$.

To do this, we recall that for $1<p<\infty, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space and the dual space of $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ can be identified with the space $L_{a}^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ under the duality pairing

$$
\langle f, g\rangle_{a}=\int_{\Omega} f(w) \overline{g(w)} d V_{a}(w) \text { with } f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \text { and } g \in L_{a}^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $1<p, q<\infty, a>-1$ and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is continuous up to the off-diagonal boundary. Suppose that $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi(w)=0$ almost everywhere on $\Omega \backslash Q$ for some compact subset $Q$ of $\Omega$. Then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is compact.

Proof. Firstly, we show that $T_{\psi}$ maps $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ to $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ continuously. Indeed, since

$$
K_{\Omega} \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \backslash(\partial \Omega \times \partial \Omega)),
$$

there exists a positive constant $C$ dependent on $Q$ and $\Omega$ such that $\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right| \leq C$ for all $(z, w) \in \bar{\Omega} \times Q$. Then, for every $f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ and $z \in \Omega$, using Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{\psi}(f)(z)\right| & =\left|\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) f(w) d V(w)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{Q}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\|\psi(w)\| f(w)\right| d V(w) \leq C\|\psi\|_{\infty} \int_{Q}|f(w)| d V(w) \\
& \leq C\|\psi\|_{\infty}\left(\int_{Q}|f(w)| d V_{a}(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{Q} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{p}} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \leq C\|\psi\|_{\infty}\|f\|_{p, a}\left(\int_{Q} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{p}} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}<\infty . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\|T_{\psi} f\right\|_{q, a} \leq C\|\psi\|_{\infty}\|1\|_{q, a}\|f\|_{p, a}\left(\int_{Q} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{p}} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \text { for every } f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)
$$

Now, we prove that $T_{\psi}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is compact. Since $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, it is sufficient to prove that for every weakly convergent to 0 sequence $\left(f_{m}\right)_{m}$ in $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$, the sequence $T_{\psi} f_{m}$ converges to 0 in $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$. Using (4.1) for each function $f_{m}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|T_{\psi}\left(f_{m}\right)(z)\right| \leq C\|\psi\|_{\infty}\left(\sup _{m}\left\|f_{m}\right\|_{p, a}\right)\left(\int_{Q} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{p}} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}<\infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in \Omega$, where $\sup _{m}\left\|f_{m}\right\|_{p, a}<\infty$. Moreover, for each $z \in \Omega$ fixed, it is easy to see that the function

$$
g_{z}(w)=K_{\Omega}(w, z) \overline{\psi(w)} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-a}, w \in \Omega
$$

belongs to $L_{a}^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. Then, for each $z \in \Omega$ fixed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|T_{\psi}\left(f_{m}\right)(z)\right| & =\left|\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) f_{m}(w) d V(w)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{\Omega} f_{m}(w) \overline{g(w)} d V_{a}(w)\right|=\left|\left\langle f_{m}, g\right\rangle_{a}\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.2), (4.3) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$
\left\|T_{\psi} f_{m}\right\|_{q, a}=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|T_{\psi} f_{m}(z)\right|^{q} d V_{a}(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty .
$$

The proof is completed.
For each subset $Q$ of $\Omega$ and measurable function $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$
\psi_{Q}(w):=\mathbf{1}_{Q}(w) \psi(w), w \in \Omega
$$

and put

$$
\left\|M_{Q, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}:=\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi_{Q}}\right\|_{\infty}=\underset{w \in Q}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }|\psi(w)| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} .
$$

It is easy to see that for each exhaustion by compact subsets $\left(Q_{m}\right)_{m}$ of $\Omega$ and measurable function $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the limit $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|M_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}$ exists and does not depend on $\left(Q_{m}\right)_{m}$. In particular,

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|M_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{\infty}=\limsup _{w \rightarrow \partial \Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta+a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}
$$

Theorem 4.2. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\frac{q}{p^{\prime}}$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. Suppose that $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then

$$
\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}} \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|M_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}, p, q, a}^{\psi}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

for some constant $C$ dependent only on $\Omega$.
In particular, if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a compact subset $Q=Q(\varepsilon)$ of $\Omega$ such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi}(w)<\varepsilon$ for almost $w \in \Omega \backslash Q$, then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is compact.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, $T_{\psi}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is bounded.
Let $\left(Q_{m}\right)_{m}$ be an arbitrary exhaustion by compact sets of $\Omega$. By Proposition 4.1, all operators $T_{\psi_{Q_{m}}}, m \geq 1$, are compact from $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ to $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, for every $m \geq 1$ and $f \in L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\psi} f(z)-T_{\psi_{Q_{m}}} f(z) & =\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w)\left(\psi(w)-\psi_{Q_{m}}(w)\right) f(w) d V(w) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}(w) f(w) d V(w)=T_{\psi_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}} f(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, it is clear that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}}(w) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} & \leq\left\|T_{\psi}-T_{\psi_{Q_{m}}}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}=\left\|T_{\psi_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}}\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}}\left\|M_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}, p, q, a}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this the desired estimate follows.

Next, for each $z \in \Omega$, we put

$$
k_{\Omega, a}(w, z):=\frac{K_{\Omega}(w, z)}{\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}}, w \in \Omega .
$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $p>1,-1<a<2(p-1)$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. Then $k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)$ converges weakly to 0 in $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$.

Proof. It sufficient to prove that for each $g \in L_{a}^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega),\left\langle k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z), g\right\rangle_{a} \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$. Fix an exhaustion by compact subsets $\left(Q_{m}\right)_{m}$ of $\Omega$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, since $K_{\Omega} \in$ $C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \backslash(\partial \Omega \times \partial \Omega))$, there exists a positive constant $C_{m}$ such that $\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right| \leq C_{m}$ for all $(w, z) \in Q_{m} \times \bar{\Omega}$.

Using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.6, for every $z$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z), g\right\rangle_{a}\right| & =\left|\int_{\Omega} k_{\Omega, a}(w, z) \overline{g(w)} d V_{a}(w)\right| \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|k_{\Omega, a}(w, z) \| g(w)\right| d V_{a}(w) \\
& =\frac{1}{\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}} \int_{Q_{m}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\left\|g(w)\left|d V_{a}(w)+\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}\right| k_{\Omega, a}(w, z)\right\| g(w)\right| d V_{a}(w) \\
& \leq \frac{C_{m}}{\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}} \int_{Q_{m}}|g(w)| d V_{a}(w) \\
& +\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}\left|k_{\Omega, a}(w, z)\right|^{p} d V_{a}(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}|g(w)|^{p^{\prime}} d V_{a}(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& \lesssim C_{m} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\frac{a}{p}} \int_{Q_{m}}|g(w)| d V_{a}(w)+\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}|g(w)|^{p^{\prime}} d V_{a}(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \lesssim C_{m} d_{\Omega}(z)^{2-\frac{a+2}{p}} \int_{Q_{m}}|g(w)| d V_{a}(w)+\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{m}}|g(w)|^{p^{\prime}} d V_{a}(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

since, by (2.2), $d_{\Omega}^{-2}(z) \leq K_{\Omega}(z, z)$ for every $z \in \Omega$.
In the last inequality letting first $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$, and then $m \rightarrow \infty$, we can conclude that $\left\langle k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), g\right\rangle_{a} \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$.

Theorem 4.4. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\min \{2(p-1), q-1\}$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. Suppose that $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is continuous. Then

$$
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \gtrsim \limsup _{w \rightarrow \partial \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) .
$$

Proof. Let $T$ be an arbitrary compact operator from $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ to $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$. Then, by Lemma 4.3, $T k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z) \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$.

Using (3.8), (3.9), and Lemma [2.5, for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q, a} & =\frac{\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q, a}}{\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q^{\prime},-\frac{a q^{\prime}}{q}}} \int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \\
& \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\frac{a}{p}} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a}{q}} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta} \\
& =K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha+\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta+a\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)}=M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(z) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, for every $z$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}-T\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} & \geq\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)-T k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q, a} \\
& \geq\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q, a}-\left\|T k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q, a} \\
& \gtrsim M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)-\left\|T k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q, a}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$ in the last inequality, we get

$$
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}-T\right\|_{L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \gtrsim \limsup _{z \rightarrow \infty} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(z),
$$

which implies the desired estimate.
From Theorems 4.2 and 4.4, we immediately get the following result for the case $p=q$ and $a=0$.

Corollary 4.5. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. The operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is compact on $L^{p}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\alpha+\beta>0$.

## 5. Schatten class Toeplitz operators

In this section we establish a characterization of Schatten class membership of Toeplitz operators on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. It should be noted that this characterization has been investigated only on the unit ball (see, [2, 16, 22]) and has not been considered before on pseudoconvex domains whose Bergman kernel has no an explicit formula.

Recall that for $0<s<\infty$, a compact operator $T$ acting on a separable Hilbert space $H$ belongs to the Schatten class $S_{s}$ if its sequence of singular numbers belongs to the sequence space $\ell^{s}$, where the singular numbers are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the positive operator $T^{*} T$, where $T^{*}$ is the Hilbert adjoint of $T$.

For simplicity, we write $k_{\Omega}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ instead of $k_{\Omega, 0}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{0}$, respectively. For a positive operator on $L^{2}(\Omega)$, the Berezin transform of the operator $T$ is defined by

$$
\widetilde{T}(z):=\left\langle T k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle, z \in \Omega
$$

The following auxiliary lemmas are elementary, hereby we sketch the proofs for the sake of the completeness.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $T$ a positive compact operator on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. The following statements are valid:
(a) For $s \geq 1$, if $T$ is in $S_{s}$, then $K_{\Omega}(z, z) \widetilde{T}(z)^{s} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
(b) For $0<s \leq 1$, if $K_{\Omega}(z, z) \widetilde{T}(z)^{s} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then $T$ is in $S_{s}$.

Proof. By [23, Lemma 1.25], the positive compact operator $T \in S_{s}$ if and only if $T^{s} \in S_{1}$.

Let $\left(e_{m}\right)_{m}$ be an arbitrary orthonormal basis in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Since

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{e_{m}(z)} e_{m}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\langle K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), e_{m}\right\rangle e_{m}=K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \text { for every } z \in \Omega
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\left(T^{s}\right) & =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\langle T^{s} e_{m}, e_{m}\right\rangle=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} T^{s} e_{m}(z) \overline{e_{m}(z)} d V(z) \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle T^{s} e_{m}, K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle \overline{e_{m}(z)} d V(z)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left\langle T^{s} \overline{e_{m}(z)} e_{m}, K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle d V(z) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle T^{s}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{e_{m}(z)} e_{m}\right), K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle d V(z)=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle T^{s} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle d V(z) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\langle T^{s} k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{2}^{2} d V(z)=\int_{\Omega}\left\langle T^{s} k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle K_{\Omega}(z, z) d V(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by [23, Proposition 1.31], for every $z \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\left\langle T^{s} k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle \geq\left\langle T k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle^{s}=\widetilde{T}(z)^{s} \text { if } s \geq 1
$$

and

$$
\left\langle T^{s} k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle^{s}=\widetilde{T}(z)^{s} \text { if } 0<s \leq 1
$$

Consequently, both assertions (a) and (b) follow from the above inequalities.
Lemma 5.2. Let $s \geq 1$ and $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Suppose that $\psi$ is a positive function in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $T_{\psi}$ is compact on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. If $K_{\Omega}(w, w) \psi(w)^{s} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then $T_{\psi}$ belongs to $S_{s}$.

Proof. Let $\left(e_{m}\right)_{m}$ be an arbitrary orthonormal set in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle T_{\psi} e_{m}, e_{m}\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) e_{m}(w) d V(w)\right) \overline{e_{m}(z)} d V(z) \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left(\overline{\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, z) e_{m}(z) d V(z)}\right) \psi(w) e_{m}(w) d V(w)=\int_{\Omega}\left|e_{m}(w)\right|^{2} \psi(w) d V(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, using Hölder's inequality and the inequality (based on the Bessel's inequality)

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left|e_{m}(w)\right|^{2}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left|\left\langle K_{\Omega}(\cdot, w), e_{m}\right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left\langle T_{\psi} e_{m}, e_{m}\right\rangle^{s} & \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega}\left|e_{m}(w)\right|^{2} \psi(w)^{s} d V(w) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left|e_{m}(w)\right|^{2}\right) \psi(w)^{s} d V(w) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)\right\|_{2}^{2} \psi(w)^{s} d V(w)=\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, w) \psi(w)^{s} d V(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and [23, Theorem 1.27], the assertion follows.
Theorem 5.3. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. Suppose that the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is compact on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. The following statements hold:
(a) For $s \geq 1, T_{\alpha, \beta} \in S_{s}$ if and only if $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s \alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s \beta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
(b) For $s \in(0,1)$, if $2 \alpha+\beta<2$ and $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s \alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s \beta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then $T_{\alpha, \beta} \in S_{s}$.

Proof. (a) The sufficiency follows immediately from Lemma 5.2 ,
Necessity. Obviously, $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is positive operator on $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$, by (3.7) and (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{T_{\alpha, \beta}}(z) & =\left\langle T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle=\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{2}^{-2}\left\langle T_{\alpha, \beta} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle \\
& =K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left(T_{\alpha, \beta} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right)(\xi) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) d V(\xi) \\
& =K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \\
& \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and Lemma 5.1(a), the assertion follows.
(b) Since $0 \leq 2 \alpha+\beta<2$, by Lemma A in Appendix,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}
$$

for every $z \in \Omega$. Thus, for each $z \in \Omega$, we obtain

$$
\widetilde{T_{\alpha, \beta}}(z)=K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-1} \int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta} .
$$

From this and Lemma 5.1(b), the assertion follows.

## 6. Toeplitz operators between weighted Bergman spaces

In this section we consider the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}$ acting from a weighted Bergman space $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ to another one $A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ with $1<p \leq q<\infty$. We recall that the weighted Bergman space $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega):=L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \cap H(\Omega)$, where $H(\Omega)$ is the space of all holomorphic functions on $\Omega$ endowed with the usual compact open topology co. Using the plurisubharmonicity of $|f(z)|^{p}$ with $f \in A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$, we can see that the topology induced by $\|\cdot\|_{p, a}$ is stronger than co in $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$.
6.1. Boundedness and compactness. Since $T_{\psi}$ acts from $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ into $H(\Omega)$, Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.1 also hold for the operator $T_{\psi}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$, and hence, so does Theorem 4.2, i.e. the upper estimate for $\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{e, L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$ obtained in Theorem 4.2 is valid for $\left\|T_{\psi}\right\|_{e, A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$.

Moreover, note that in the proof of Theorem 3.4 we used the inequality

$$
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\left(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right)\right\|_{q, a} \leq C\left\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{p, a}
$$

for holomorphic functions $K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)$. Thus, in Theorem 3.4 we can replace weighted $L^{p_{-}}$ spaces $L_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ and $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ by the corresponding weighted Bergman spaces $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ and $A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$, respectively, to get necessary conditions for boundedness of $T_{\alpha, \beta}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, since, in general, Lemma 4.3 may be false for the space $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$, we cannot get the lower estimate for $\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{e, A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$ as in Theorem 4.4. However, we can obtain the following necessary condition for compactness of $T_{\alpha, \beta}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\min \{2(p-1), q-1\}$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. If the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is compact, then

$$
\limsup _{w \rightarrow \partial \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)=0
$$

Proof. By (4.4), $\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)\right\|_{q, a} \gtrsim M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(z)$ for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial \Omega$. Thus, it is enough to prove that $T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z) \rightarrow 0$ in $A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$.

First, we show that $k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)$ converges to 0 in $H(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial \Omega$. Indeed, for each compact subset $Q$ of $\Omega$, using the continuity up to the off-diagonal boundary of the Bergman kernel and Lemma 2.6, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{w \in Q}\left|k_{\Omega, a}(w, z)\right| & \lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\frac{a}{p}} \sup _{w \in Q}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right| \\
& \lesssim d_{\Omega}(z)^{1-\frac{a+1}{p}} \sup _{w \in Q, z \in \bar{\Omega}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right| \rightarrow 0 \text { as } z \rightarrow \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

since, by (2.2), $d_{\Omega}^{-2}(z) \leq K_{\Omega}(z, z)$ and $a<2(p-1)$.
Next, by contradiction, we assume that there is a sequence $\left(z_{m}\right)_{m}$ in $\Omega$ such that $z_{m} \rightarrow$ $\partial \Omega$ and $\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}\left(\cdot, z_{m}\right)\right\|_{q, a} \geq \delta$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some number $\delta>0$. However, since
$\left\|k_{\Omega, a}\left(\cdot, z_{m}\right)\right\|_{p, a}=1, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T_{\alpha, \beta}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is compact, we can suppose that the sequence $T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}\left(\cdot, z_{m}\right)$ converges to some function $g$ in $A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$, and hence, in $H(\Omega)$. Now we claim that $T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega, a}\left(\cdot, z_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $H(\Omega)$. Then $g$ must be the zero function which is a contradiction.

To prove the claim, we fix an exhaustion by compact subsets $\left(Q_{j}\right)_{j}$ of $\Omega$ and an arbitrary compact subset $Q$ of $\Omega$. For simplicity, we put $h_{m}(w):=k_{\Omega, a}\left(w, z_{m}\right), m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left\|h_{m}\right\|_{p, a}=1$ and $h_{m} \rightarrow 0$ in $H(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $K_{\Omega} \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \backslash(\partial \Omega \times \partial \Omega))$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right| \leq C$ for all $(z, w) \in Q \times \bar{\Omega}$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.4,

$$
K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} \lesssim K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \text { for every } w \in \Omega
$$

Using these inequalities, Hölder's inequality, and (2.2), we get that for every $m, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{z \in Q}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta} h_{m}(z)\right|=\sup _{z \in Q}\left|\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} h_{m}(w) d V(w)\right| \\
\lesssim & C \int_{\Omega}\left|h_{m}(w)\right| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} d V(w) \\
= & C\left(\int_{Q_{j}}+\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{j}}\right)\left|h_{m}(w)\right| K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} d V(w) \\
\leq & C \sup _{w \in Q_{j}}\left|h_{m}(w)\right| \sup _{w \in Q_{j}} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)} \\
+ & C\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{j}}\left|h_{m}(w)\right|^{p} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{j}} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
\leq & C \sup _{w \in Q_{j}}\left|h_{m}(w)\right| \sup _{w \in Q_{j}} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{a\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\right)}+C\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{j}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{p}-\frac{a+2}{q}\right)} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last inequality letting first $m \rightarrow \infty$, and then $j \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{z \in Q}\left|T_{\alpha, \beta} h_{m}(z)\right| \lesssim \limsup _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash Q_{j}} d_{\Omega}(w)^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{p}-\frac{a+2}{q}\right)} d V(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}=0
$$

since $p^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{p}-\frac{a+2}{q}\right)>-1$ by hypothesis on $p, q$, a, and hence, $d_{\Omega}(w)^{p^{\prime}\left(\frac{2}{p}-\frac{a+2}{q}\right)} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
Remark 6.2. If, in addition, the continuous dual of $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$ is $A_{a}^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, then Lemma 4.3 holds for this space $A_{a}^{p}(\Omega)$, and hence, so does the lower estimate in Theorem 4.4 for $\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{e, A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)}$.
6.2. Schatten class Toeplitz operators. Since the arguments in Section 5 are based on the Berezin transform $\widetilde{T}(z)=\left\langle T k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\right\rangle$ with $k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \in A^{2}(\Omega)$. Then we can repeat these arguments for the operator $T_{\psi}$ on $A^{2}(\Omega)$ to show that Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, and Theorem 5.3 also hold for $T_{\psi}$ on $A^{2}(\Omega)$.

To end this section, we summarize all results for the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6.3. Let $1<p \leq q<\infty,-1<a<\min \left\{2(p-1), \frac{q}{p^{\prime}}\right\}$, and $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type and $\mathcal{B}$-polydisc condition is satisfied. For every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, the following statements hold:
(1) The operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous if and only if $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) \in$ $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. In this case,

$$
\left\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+q}{(1+a)\left(1-\frac{a p^{\prime}}{q}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}+\frac{1}{q}}}\left\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

where $C$ is independent of $p, q, a$.
(2) The operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: A_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow A_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$ is compact if and only if

$$
\limsup _{w \rightarrow \partial \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)=0
$$

(3) Suppose that the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is compact on $A^{2}(\Omega)$. For every $s \geq 1$, the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ belongs to Schatten class $S_{s}$ if and only if $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s \alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s \beta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$. In the case $s \in(0,1)$, if $2 \alpha+\beta<2$ and $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s \alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s \beta} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$, then $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is in $S_{s}$.

## Appendix

In this section we prove a generalization of [10, Proposition 2.4] and another upper estimate for quantity (3.6) without using the continuity of the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}: L_{a}^{p}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $L_{a}^{q}(\Omega)$. These results may have some independent interest.

Proposition A. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. Then, for each $z_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ such that for any $s \geq 0, a-s \geq 1$ and $-1<b+2 s<2 a-2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{a, b, s}(z) & :=\int_{\Omega \cap U}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{a} d_{\Omega}(w)^{b} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-s} d V(w) \\
& \leq C \frac{2 a-1}{(2 a-2-b-2 s)(b+2 s+1)} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{a-s-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $z \in \Omega \cap U$ and some constant $C$ dependent only on $U$ and $\Omega$.

Proof. Similarly to [10, Proposition 2.4], we choose a small neighbourhood $U$ of $z_{0}$ such that $\Phi_{z}(U) \subset \mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)$ for any $z \in U$, where the ball $\mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)$ and the biholomorphism $\Phi_{z}$ are given in Definition 2.1. Using the invariant formula as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we get

$$
I_{a, b, s}(z) \leq C \int_{\Omega^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{a} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{b} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)^{-s} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right)
$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{a, b, s}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right) & :=\int_{\Omega^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{a} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{b} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)^{-s} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right)  \tag{6.1}\\
& \leq C \frac{2 a-1}{(2 a-2-b-2 s)(b+2 s+1)} K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{a-s-1} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{b}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $z^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime} \cap U^{\prime}$.
It is clear that $b_{j}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \leq b_{j}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$ and $b_{1}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{1}{d_{\Omega}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+d_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}$. Since $K_{\Omega^{\prime}}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type at $z^{\prime}$, for all $w^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{2} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Omega}\left(w^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \leq C\left|K_{\Omega}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-1}\left(\frac{d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)}{d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{2} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s \geq 0$ and $a-s \geq 1$, from (6.2) and (6.3) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{a, b, s}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right) & \leq \int_{\Omega^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right|^{a-s} \frac{d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{b+2 s}}{\left(d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2 s}} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)^{a-s-1} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{2(a-s-1)} \int_{\Omega^{\prime} \cap \mathbb{B}\left(z^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{b+2 s}}{\left(d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)+d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2 a-2}} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using the estimates in the proof of [10, Proposition 2.4] with $-1<b+2 s<$ $2 a-2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{a, b, s}\left(z^{\prime}\right) & =\int_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left|K_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{b+2 s}}{\left(d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)+d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2 a-2}} d V\left(w^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq C \frac{2 a-1}{(2 a-2-b-2 s)(b+2 s+1)} d_{\Omega^{\prime}}\left(z^{\prime}\right)^{b+2 s-2 a+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, from these estimates (6.1) follows.

Similarly to Lemma [2.5, from Proposition A we can get the following upper estimate for quantity (3.6).

Lemma A. Let $\Omega$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ such that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega}$ is of sharp $\mathcal{B}$-type. For every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $2 \alpha+\beta<2$, the following inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(z, w)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}
$$

holds for every $z \in \Omega$.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we choose a covering $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{N}$ to $\bar{\Omega}$ so that $U_{0} \Subset \Omega$, $\partial \Omega \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} U_{j}$, and the integral estimates in Proposition A hold on $U_{j}$ with some constant $C_{j}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, N$.

Since $K_{\Omega} \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \backslash(\partial \Omega \times \partial \Omega))$, there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right| \leq C \quad \text { for all } \quad(w, z) \in\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(\left(\bar{\Omega} \cap \overline{U_{j}}\right) \times\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash U_{j}\right)\right) \bigcup\left(\overline{U_{0}} \times \bar{\Omega}\right)\right)
$$

Using this and Proposition A for $U_{j}$ and $(a, b, s)=(2, \beta, \alpha)$ with $2 \alpha+\beta<2$, we get that for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$
\int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \lesssim C, \text { if } z \in \Omega \backslash U_{j}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \lesssim C_{j} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}, \text { if } z \in \Omega \cap U_{j}
$$

Hence, for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) & \leq \int_{U_{0}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega \cap U_{j}}\left|K_{\Omega}(w, z)\right|^{2} K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} d V(w) \\
& \lesssim C^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{N} \max \left\{C^{2}, C_{j} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, since $2 \alpha+\beta<2$ and $d_{\Omega}(z)^{-2} \leq K_{\Omega}(z, z), z \in \Omega$,

$$
K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta} \geq d_{\Omega}(z)^{2 \alpha+\beta-2} \rightarrow \infty \text { as } z \rightarrow \partial \Omega
$$

From this and the above inequality the desired estimate follows.
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