

BERGMAN-TOEPLITZ OPERATORS BETWEEN WEIGHTED L^p -SPACES ON WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

TRAN VU KHANH AND PHAM TRONG TIEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the Bergman-Toeplitz operator T_ψ induced by $\psi(w) = K_\Omega^{-\alpha}(w, w)d_\Omega^\beta(w)$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ acting from a weighted L^p -space $L_a^p(\Omega)$ to another one $L_a^q(\Omega)$ on a large class of pseudoconvex domains of finite type. In the case $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, the following results are established:

- (i) Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness, which generalize the recent results obtained by Khanh, Liu and Thuc.
- (ii) Upper and lower estimates for essential norm, in particular, a criterion for compactness.
- (iii) A characterization of Schatten class membership of this operator on Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with the boundary $\partial\Omega$, $d_\Omega(z)$ the distance from z to $\partial\Omega$, and K_Ω the Bergman kernel associated to Ω . For a function $\psi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, the Bergman-Toeplitz operator with symbol ψ is defined by

$$T_\psi f(z) := \int_\Omega K_\Omega(z, w)\psi(w)f(w)dV(w),$$

where $dV(w)$ is the Lebesgue measure on Ω . Operators of such type have been intensively studied on (weighted) L^p -spaces and Bergman spaces over the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n in different directions, such as boundedness [20], compactness [18], essential norm [14, 19], and Schatten class membership [2, 16, 22]. It should be noted that the Bergman kernel $K_{\mathbb{B}_n}$ associated to the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n has an explicit formula. The case of the absence of an explicit formula for the Bergman kernel K_Ω is more complicated and has attracted attention of many researchers.

Recall that when ψ is identically 1, T_ψ reduces to the Bergman projection P that maps from $L^p(\Omega)$ to itself with $1 < p < \infty$ on some classes of pseudoconvex domains of finite type such as strongly pseudoconvex domains [17], convex domains of finite type [13], pseudoconvex domains of finite type in \mathbb{C}^2 [15]. In order to improve the regularity of the

Date: November 11, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B35, 32T25; Secondary 32A25, 32A36.

Key words and phrases. Bergman kernel, Bergman-Toeplitz operator, pseudoconvex domain of finite type, essential norm, Schatten class.

operator T_ψ in L^p -spaces, Čučković and McNeal [7] studied the operator T_ψ induced by $\psi(w) = d_\Omega(w)^\eta$ with $\eta > 0$ on strongly pseudoconvex domains. In this case, based on the precise information on the Bergman kernel established by Fefferman [9] on domains of such type, the authors proved that:

- (1) For $0 \leq \eta < n + 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$, if $\frac{n+1}{n+1-\eta} < \frac{p}{p-1}$, then $T_{d_\Omega^\eta} : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is continuous, where $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\eta}{n+1}$; otherwise $T_{d_\Omega^\eta} : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is continuous for all $p \leq q < \infty$.
- (2) For $\eta \geq n + 1$, $T_{d_\Omega^\eta} : L^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ is continuous.

Later, Abate, Raissy and Saracco [1] showed that the gain in the exponents in this result is optimal by using geometric characterization of Carleson measures in term of the intrinsic Kobayashi geometry of the domain. Recently, by choosing $\psi(w) = K_\Omega^{-\alpha}(w, w)$ with $\alpha \geq 0$, Khanh, Liu and Thuc [10] extended this result to a large class of pseudoconvex domains of finite type whose Bergman kernels have good estimates, called *sharp \mathcal{B} -type* (see, Definition 2.1 below). Moreover, the authors also gave an upper-bound for the norm $\|T_{K_\Omega^{-\alpha}}\|_{L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)}$. It is worth mentioning that this upper-bound generalized the one for the norm of Bergman projection B on the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n [21] and on strongly pseudoconvex domains [6].

Motivated by some ideas in [10], in this paper we are interested in the Toeplitz operator T_ψ induced by $\psi(w) = K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, denoted by $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ for simplicity, acting from a weighted L^p -space $L_a^p(\Omega)$ to another one $L_a^q(\Omega)$. Recall that for $0 < p < \infty$ and $a > -1$, the weighted space $L_a^p(\Omega)$ consists of all measurable functions f on Ω for which

$$\|f\|_{p,a} := \left(\int_\Omega |f(z)|^p dV_a(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where $dV_a(z) := d_\Omega(z)^a dV(z)$. In the case $a = 0$, we use the symbols $L^p(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ instead of $L_0^p(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,0}$, respectively.

The aim of this paper is not only to study the gain L^p -estimate property of the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ with $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ as in [10], but also to investigate its essential norm and membership in the Schatten class. In details, we firstly generalize all results in [10] for the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ with $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ in Section 3. Next, Section 4 is devoted to upper and lower estimates for its essential norm, in particular, a criterion for compactness of this operator. Moreover, a characterization of Schatten class membership of $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ on Hilbert space $L^2(\Omega)$ is established in Section 5.

Our main results are stated in term of the following quantity. For $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $a > -1$, and a measurable function $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we put

$$M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi(w) := |\psi(w)| K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_\Omega(w)^{a(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}, w \in \Omega,$$

and, as usual,

$$\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi(w).$$

In particular, for the special function $\psi(w) := K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, we use the symbols $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)$ and $\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\|_\infty$ instead of $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi(w)$ and $\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty$, respectively. It is easy to see that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)$ is continuous on Ω , and hence $\|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\|_\infty = \sup_{w \in \Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \min\{2(p-1), \frac{q}{p'}\}$, p' be the conjugate of p , i.e., $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, and Ω be a bounded, pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth boundary. Furthermore, Ω is one of the following domains:*

- (a) a strongly pseudoconvex domain;
- (b) a pseudoconvex domain of finite type in \mathbb{C}^2 ;
- (c) a convex domain of finite type;
- (d) a decouple domain of finite type;
- (e) a pseudoconvex domain of finite type whose Levi-form has only one degenerate eigenvalue or comparable eigenvalues.

For every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, the following statements hold:

- (1) The operator $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is continuous if and only if $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. In this case,

$$\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\|_{L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a) \left(1 - \frac{ap'}{q}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\|_\infty,$$

where C is independent of p, q, a .

- (2) If $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then the essential norm of $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ satisfies the following estimate

$$\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \approx \limsup_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w). \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is compact if and only if

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) = 0.$$

- (3) Suppose that the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is compact on $L^2(\Omega)$. For every $s \geq 1$, the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ belongs to Schatten class S_s if and only if $K_\Omega(w, w)^{1-s\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^{s\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$. In the case $s \in (0, 1)$, if $2\alpha + \beta < 2$ and $K_\Omega(w, w)^{1-s\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^{s\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$, then $T_\psi \in S_s$.

Parts (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.1 are immediate consequences of the results obtained in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. In details, sufficient conditions for boundedness of the operator $T_\psi : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ and upper estimate for the essential norm $\|T_\psi\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)}$ are established in Theorems 3.2 and, respectively, 4.2 in general case when ψ is a measurable function on Ω and Ω is a bounded pseudoconvex domain whose the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. The necessary ones and lower estimate for $\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)}$ are proved in

Theorems 3.4 and, respectively, 4.4 under an additional geometric \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition of the domain Ω (see, Definition 2.2 below). The proof of part (3) in Theorem 1.1 is stated in Theorem 5.3.

Furthermore, in Section 6 we also show that all results in Theorem 1.1 except the estimate (1.1) hold for the operator $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ acting from a weighted Bergman space $A_a^p(\Omega)$ to another one $A_a^q(\Omega)$ with $1 < p \leq q < \infty$.

Notations: Throughout this paper, for every number $p > 1$, we denote by p' its conjugate index, i. e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. We also use the notation $A \lesssim B$ for nonnegative quantities A and B to mean that there is an inessential constant $C > 0$ such that $A \leq CB$; similarly the notation $A \approx B$ means that both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ hold, where the constant C may change from place to place. The terminology “*universal positive constant*” means that this constant depends only on the domain Ω (e.g. n and the type of Ω).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall the notation of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and an additional geometric hypothesis of Ω , under which our main results are established.

Definition 2.1. According to [10, Definitions 2.1 and 2.2], the Bergman kernel K_Ω associated to a domain Ω is called *of sharp \mathcal{B} -type*, if the following conditions hold:

- (i) K_Ω is continuous up to the off-diagonal boundary, i.e. $K_\Omega \in C((\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}) \setminus (\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega))$;
- (ii) there are universal constants c and C dependent only on Ω such that for every $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, we can find a biholomorphism Φ_z whose holomorphic Jacobian is uniformly nonsingular in the sense that $C^{-1} \leq |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(w)| \leq C$ for all w in a neighborhood of z , so that the Bergman kernel $K_{\Omega'}$ associated to the domain $\Omega' := \Phi_z(\Omega)$ is *of sharp \mathcal{B} -type at $z' := \Phi_z(z)$* , that is,

$$C^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^n b_j^2(z', z') \leq K_{\Omega'}(z', z') \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n b_j^2(z', z')$$

and

$$|K_{\Omega'}(z', w')| \leq C \prod_{j=1}^n b_j^2(z', w') \text{ for all } w' \in \Omega' \cap \mathbb{B}(z', c).$$

Here, $\mathcal{B} = \{b_j(z', \cdot)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a \mathcal{B} -system at z' , i. e. there exist a neighbourhood U of z' and a positive integer $m \geq 2$ such that for all $w' \in U$,

$$b_1(z', w') := \frac{1}{\delta(z', w')} \quad \text{and} \quad b_j(z', w') := \sum_{k=2}^m \left(\frac{A_{jk}(z')}{\delta(z', w')} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}}, \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, n,$$

where $\{A_{jk} : U \rightarrow [0, \infty)\}$ are bounded functions such that for each j there exists a k so that $A_{jk} > 0$ on U ; and $\delta(z', w')$ is the pseudo-distance between z' and w' , given by

$$\delta(z', w') = d_{\Omega'}(z') + d_{\Omega'}(w') + |z'_1 - w'_1| + \sum_{l=2}^n \sum_{s=2}^m A_{ls}(z') |z'_l - w'_l|^s, \quad (2.1)$$

under a proper system of coordinates, see [13].

Definition 2.2. We say that a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain Ω in \mathbb{C}^n whose Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type satisfies *\mathcal{B} -polydisc condition*, if there are universal constants λ and C such that for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$,

$$P_\lambda(z') \subset \Omega' := \Phi_z(\Omega), K_{\Omega'}(w', w') \leq CK_{\Omega'}(z', z'), \text{ and } C^{-1}d_{\Omega'}(z') \leq d_{\Omega'}(w') \leq Cd_{\Omega'}(z'),$$

for all $w' \in P_\lambda(z')$, where Φ_z is the biholomorphism defined in Definition 2.1, $z' = \Phi_z(z)$, and

$$P_\lambda(z') = \{w' \in \mathbb{C}^n : |w'_j - z'_j| b_j(z', z') \leq \lambda, \text{ for all } j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

is a \mathcal{B} -polydisc with centre z' associated to the \mathcal{B} -system in Definition 2.1.

Remark 2.3. In [10, Theorem 4.1] using the results of Fefferman [9], Catlin [3], McNeal [11, 12], McNeal and Stein [13], and Cho [4, 5], Khanh, Liu and Thuc proved that the Bergman kernels associated to all domains Ω in Theorem 1.1 are of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and these domains satisfy \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition. Furthermore, by the conditions of A_{jk} in Definition 2.1.(ii) for the domains in Theorem 1.1, the inequality

$$d_\Omega(z)^{-2} \leq K_\Omega(z, z) \quad (2.2)$$

holds for all $z \in \Omega$.

Next, we give weighted L^p -estimates for the Bergman kernel $K_\Omega(\cdot, z)$. To do this, we recall the following auxiliary result, which is proved in [10, Proposition 2.4] and plays an important role in this paper.

Proposition 2.4. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. Then, for each $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there is a neighbourhood U of z_0 such that for any $a \geq 1$ and $-1 < b < 2a - 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,b}(z) &:= \int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_\Omega(z, w)|^a d_\Omega(w)^b dV(w) \\ &\leq C \frac{2a-1}{(2a-2-b)(b+1)} K_\Omega(z, z)^{a-1} d_\Omega(z)^b \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for every $z \in \Omega \cap U$ and some constant C dependent only on U and Ω .

Using this proposition, we can get the upper estimate for the norm of $K_\Omega(\cdot, z)$ in $L_a^p(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $-1 < a < 2(p-1)$, and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. Then for every $z \in \Omega$,*

$$\|K_\Omega(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a} \leq CK_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(z)^{\frac{a}{p}},$$

for some constant C independent of z .

Proof. We choose a covering $\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N$ to $\bar{\Omega}$ so that $U_0 \Subset \Omega$, $\partial\Omega \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_j$, and the integral estimates in Proposition 2.4 hold on U_j with some constant C_j for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

Since $K_\Omega \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \setminus (\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega))$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|K_\Omega(w, z)| \leq C \quad \text{for all } (w, z) \in \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N ((\bar{\Omega} \cap \bar{U}_j) \times (\bar{\Omega} \setminus U_j)) \cup (\bar{U}_0 \times \bar{\Omega}) \right).$$

Using this and Proposition 2.4 for $U_j, j = 1, \dots, N$, we get that for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$\int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \leq C^p \|1\|_{p,a}^p, \quad \text{if } z \in \Omega \setminus U_j,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \leq C_j \frac{2p-1}{(2p-2-a)(a+1)} K_\Omega(z, z)^{p-1} d_\Omega(z)^a, \quad \text{if } z \in \Omega \cap U_j.$$

Hence, for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\Omega(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a}^p &= \int_{\Omega} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \\ &\leq \int_{U_0} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \\ &\leq C^p \|1\|_{p,a}^p + \sum_{j=1}^N \max \left\{ C^p \|1\|_{p,a}^p, C_j \frac{2p-1}{(2p-2-a)(a+1)} K_\Omega(z, z)^{p-1} d_\Omega(z)^a \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $a < 2(p-1)$ and, by (2.2), $d_\Omega(z)^{-2} \leq K_\Omega(z, z)$, $z \in \Omega$,

$$K_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(z)^{\frac{a}{p}} \geq d_\Omega(z)^{\frac{a+2}{p}-2} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \partial\Omega.$$

From this and the above inequality the desired estimate follows. \square

The lower estimate for $\|K_\Omega(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a}$ is established under \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. For every $p \geq 1$, $a > -1$, and $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$,*

$$\|K_\Omega(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a} \gtrsim K_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(z)^{\frac{a}{p}}.$$

Proof. For every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, put $w' = \Phi_z(w)$ and $\Omega' = \Phi_z(\Omega)$. By the invariant formula,

$$K_\Omega(z, w) = \det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(z) K_{\Phi_z(\Omega)}(\Phi_z(z), \Phi_z(w)) \overline{\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(w)},$$

the fact that $C^{-1} \leq |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(w)| \leq C$ for all w in a neighborhood of z , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\Omega(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a}^p &= \int_{\Omega} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \\ &= \int_{\Omega'} |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(z')|^{-p} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^p |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(w')|^{-p} \\ &\quad \times d_\Omega(\Phi_z^{-1}(w'))^a |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(w')| dV(w') \\ &\geq \int_{P_\lambda(z')} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^p d_\Omega(\Phi_z^{-1}(w'))^a |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(z)|^p |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(w')|^{1-p} dV(w') \\ &\gtrsim \int_{P_\lambda(z')} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^p d_{\Omega'}(w')^a dV(w') \gtrsim d_{\Omega'}(z')^a \int_{P_\lambda(z')} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^p dV(w') \\ &\gtrsim d_{\Omega'}(z')^a K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^p \text{Vol}(P_\lambda(z')) \gtrsim K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^{p-1} d_{\Omega'}(z')^a, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the sub-mean property and the fact that

$$\text{Vol}(P_\lambda(z')) = \pi^n \lambda^{2n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n b_j(z', z') \right)^{-2} \approx (K_{\Omega'}(z', z'))^{-1}.$$

Moreover, since $K_\Omega(z, z) = |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(z)|^2 K_{\Omega'}(z', z')$ and $C^{-1} \leq |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(z)| \leq C$,

$$\|K_\Omega(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a} \gtrsim K_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(z)^{\frac{a}{p}}.$$

□

3. BOUNDEDNESS

In this section we give sufficient conditions for boundedness of the general operator $T_\psi : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ and necessary ones for the special operator $T_{\alpha,\beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ with $1 < p \leq q < \infty$.

3.1. Sufficiency. Using Proposition 2.4 and some ideas in the proof of the generalized version of Schur's test [10, Theorem 5.1], we get the following result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \frac{q}{p}$, and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. Suppose that $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^\psi(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then, for each $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exists a neighbourhood U of z_0 such that the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi,U}$ defined by*

$$(T_{\psi,U}f)(z) := \int_{\Omega \cap U} K_\Omega(z, w) \psi(w) f(w) dV(w) \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega \cap U,$$

maps from $L_a^p(\Omega \cap U)$ to $L_a^q(\Omega \cap U)$ continuously and

$$\|T_{\psi,U}\|_{L_a^p(\Omega \cap U) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega \cap U)} \leq C \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a)(1 - \frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^\psi\|_\infty, \quad (3.1)$$

where C is independent of p, q and a , and

$$L_a^p(\Omega \cap U) = \left\{ f \text{ is measurable on } \Omega \cap U : \|f\|_{L_a^p(\Omega \cap U)} = \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} |f(z)|^p dV_a(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Proof. Take the neighbourhood U of z_0 as in Proposition 2.4. We put $\delta := \frac{1}{p'}$ and

$$g(z) := d_\Omega(z)^{-\gamma}, h_1(w) := d_\Omega(w)^{-\gamma}, h_2(w) := K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_\Omega(w)^{\frac{a}{q} - \gamma},$$

with

$$\max \left\{ 0, \frac{a}{q} \right\} < \gamma < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p'}, \frac{a+1}{q} \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since $M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^\psi(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in \Omega} |h_1^{-1}(w) h_2(w) \psi(w) d_\Omega(w)^{-\frac{a}{p}}| \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in \Omega} |\psi(w)| d_\Omega(w)^\gamma K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_\Omega(w)^{\frac{a}{q} - \gamma} d_\Omega(w)^{-\frac{a}{p}} \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in \Omega} |\psi(w)| K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_\Omega(w)^{a(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} = \|M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^\psi\|_\infty < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Put $a_1 := \delta p' = 1$ and $b_1 := -\gamma p' \in (-1, 2a_1 - 2)$ by (3.2). Hence, using Proposition 2.4 for (a_1, b_1) , we obtain that, for every $z \in \Omega \cap U$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_\Omega(z, w)|^{\delta p'} h_1(w)^{p'} dV(w) &= \int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_\Omega(z, w)|^{\delta p'} d_\Omega(w)^{-\gamma p'} dV(w) \\ &\leq C_1 \frac{1}{\gamma p' (1 - \gamma p')} d_\Omega(z)^{-\gamma p'} = C_1 \tau_1(\gamma) g(z)^{p'}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\tau_1(\gamma) := \frac{1}{\gamma p' (1 - \gamma p')}$.

On the other hand, it is clear that $a_2 := (1 - \delta)q = \frac{q}{p} \geq 1$, $b_2 := a - \gamma q \in (-1, 2a_2 - 2)$ by (3.2). Then, using Proposition 2.4 for (a_2, b_2) , we get that, for every $w \in \Omega \cap U$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_\Omega(z, w)|^{(1-\delta)q} g(z)^q d_\Omega(z)^a dV(z) &= \int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_\Omega(z, w)|^{(1-\delta)q} d_\Omega(z)^{a-\gamma q} dV(z) \\ &\leq C_2 \frac{\frac{2q}{p} - 1}{\left(\frac{2q}{p} - 2 - a + \gamma q\right) (a - \gamma q + 1)} K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{q}{p} - 1} d_\Omega(w)^{a-\gamma q} = C_2 \tau_2(\gamma) h_2(w)^q, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\tau_2(\gamma) = \frac{\frac{2q}{p} - 1}{\left(\frac{2q}{p} - 2 - a + \gamma q\right)(a - \gamma q + 1)}$.

Now, using Hölder's inequality and (3.4), for every $f \in L_a^p(\Omega \cap U)$ and every $z \in \Omega \cap U$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\psi,U}f(z)| &\leq \int_{\Omega \cap U} (|K_{\Omega}(z, w)|^{\delta} h_1(w)) (|K_{\Omega}(z, w)|^{1-\delta} h_1(w)^{-1} |\psi(w)| |f(w)|) dV(w) \\ &\leq \left(C_1 \tau_1(\gamma) g(z)^{p'}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_{\Omega}(z, w)|^{(1-\delta)p} h_1(w)^{-p} |\psi(w)|^p |f(w)|^p dV(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq (C_1 \tau_1(\gamma))^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_{\Omega}(z, w)|^{(1-\delta)p} g(z)^p h_1(w)^{-p} |\psi(w)|^p |f(w)|^p dV(w)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, (3.3), (3.5), and using Minkowski's inequality (see, [10, Theorem 5.3]) for $\eta = \frac{q}{p} \geq 1$, we get that for every $f \in L_a^p(\Omega \cap U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\psi,U}f\|_{L_a^q(\Omega \cap U)}^p &\leq (C_1 \tau_1(\gamma))^{\frac{p}{p'}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_{\Omega}(z, w)|^{(1-\delta)p} g(z)^p h_1(w)^{-p} |\psi(w)|^p |f(w)|^p dV(w)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dV_a(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &= (C_1 \tau_1(\gamma))^{\frac{p}{p'}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_{\Omega}(z, w)|^{(1-\delta)p} g(z)^p d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{ap}{q}} h_1(w)^{-p} |\psi(w)|^p |f(w)|^p dV(w)\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dV(z)\right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &\leq (C_1 \tau_1(\gamma))^{\frac{p}{p'}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\Omega \cap U} \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_{\Omega}(z, w)|^{(1-\delta)q} g(z)^q d_{\Omega}(z)^a h_1(w)^{-q} |\psi(w)|^q |f(w)|^q dV(z)\right)^{\frac{q}{q}} dV(w) \\ &\leq (C_1 \tau_1(\gamma))^{\frac{p}{p'}} (C_2 \tau_2(\gamma))^{\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\Omega \cap U} h_2(w)^p h_1(w)^{-p} |\psi(w)|^p d_{\Omega}(w)^{-a} |f(w)|^p dV_a(w) \\ &\leq (C_1 \tau_1(\gamma))^{\frac{p}{p'}} (C_2 \tau_2(\gamma))^{\frac{p}{q}} \|M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\psi}\|_{\infty}^p \|f\|_{L_a^p(\Omega \cap U)}^p \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi,U} : L_a^p(\Omega \cap U) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega \cap U)$ is continuous and

$$\|T_{\psi,U}\|_{L_a^p(\Omega \cap U) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega \cap U)} \leq C \tau_1(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tau_2(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\psi}\|_{\infty}.$$

Now we give an upper estimate for $\tau_1(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\tau_2(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{q}}$. By (3.2), $0 < \gamma q - a < 1$. Then by the inequality $\frac{x+a}{x+b} \leq \frac{a}{b}$ for $a \geq b > 0$ and $x \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\tau_2(\gamma)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p'(1-\gamma p')} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{2q}{p} - 2 \right) + 1}{\left(\frac{2q}{p} - 2 - a + \gamma q \right) (a - \gamma q + 1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\gamma p'(1-\gamma p')} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\frac{1}{(\gamma q - a)(a - \gamma q + 1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the number $\gamma_0 := \frac{1+a}{p'+q}$ satisfies (3.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(\gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{p'}}\tau_2(\gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{q}} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\frac{p'(1+a)}{p'+q} \frac{q-ap'}{p'+q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\frac{(p'+q)^2}{p'(1+a)(q-ap')} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{p'+q}{(1+a)(1-\frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq 4 \left(\frac{p'+q}{(1+a)(1-\frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows by $x^x \leq 4$ for $x = \frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q} \in [0, 2]$. Thus, the desired estimate (3.1) follows. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \frac{q}{p'}$, and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. Suppose that $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^\psi(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then the Toeplitz operator $T_\psi : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is continuous. Furthermore,*

$$\|T_\psi\|_{L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\frac{p'+q}{(1+a)(1-\frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}+\frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^\psi\|_\infty,$$

where C is independent of p, q, a .

Proof. We choose a partition of unity $\{\chi_j\}_{j=0}^N$ and a covering $\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N$ to $\bar{\Omega}$ so that $\text{supp}(\chi_j) \Subset U_j$, $U_0 \Subset \Omega$, $\partial\Omega \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_j$, and the results in Proposition 3.1 hold on U_j for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. Then, for every $f \in L_a^p(\Omega)$, we can decompose $T_\psi f$ as

$$T_\psi f = \sum_{j=0}^N \chi_j T_\psi f = \chi_0 T_\psi f + \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_j T_\psi (f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_j T_\psi (f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus U_j}),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the characteristic function of a subset $A \subset \Omega$. From this it follows that

$$\|T_\psi f\|_{q,a} \leq \|\chi_0 T_\psi f\|_{q,a} + \sum_{j=1}^N \|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})\|_{q,a} + \sum_{j=1}^N \|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus U_j})\|_{q,a}.$$

To continue, we need several estimates for the norms $\|\chi_0 T_\psi f\|_{q,a}$, $\|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus U_j})\|_{q,a}$, and $\|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})\|_{q,a}$ with $j = 1, \dots, N$.

Estimates for $\|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus U_j})\|_{q,a}$ and $\|\chi_0 T_\psi f\|_{q,a}$. Since

$$K_\Omega \in C((\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}) \setminus (\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega)),$$

there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|K_\Omega(z, w)| \leq C \quad \text{for all } (z, w) \in \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N (\text{supp}(\chi_j) \times (\overline{\Omega} \setminus U_j)) \cup (\text{supp}(\chi_0) \times \overline{\Omega}) \right).$$

Thus, for $j = 1, \dots, N$, and $z \in \Omega$, using Hölder's inequality and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus U_j}))(z)| \\ &= \left| \int_\Omega \chi_j(z) K_\Omega(z, w) \psi(w) f(w) \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus U_j}(w) dV(w) \right| \\ &\leq C \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \int_\Omega |f(w)| K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(w)^{-a(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} dV(w) \\ &= C \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \int_\Omega |f(w)| d_\Omega(w)^{\frac{a}{p}} K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(w)^{-\frac{a}{q}} dV(w) \\ &\leq C \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \left(\int_\Omega |f(w)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_\Omega K_\Omega(w, w)^{p'(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} d_\Omega(w)^{-\frac{ap'}{q}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \left(\int_\Omega |f(w)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_\Omega d_\Omega(w)^{p'(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{a+2}{q})} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \|1\|_{p', b} \|f\|_{p, a}, \end{aligned}$$

where, obviously, $b := p' \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{a+2}{q} \right) > -1$ by hypothesis, and hence, $\|1\|_{p', b} < \infty$. Thus,

$$\|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus U_j})\|_{q,a} \leq C \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \|1\|_{q,a} \|1\|_{p', b} \|f\|_{p,a},$$

where C is independent of p, q, a . Analogously,

$$\|\chi_0 T_\psi(f)\|_{q,a} \leq C \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \|1\|_{q,a} \|1\|_{p', b} \|f\|_{p,a}.$$

Estimates for $\|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})\|_{q,a}$. For $j = 1, \dots, N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})\|_{q,a} &= \left(\int_{\Omega} |\chi_j(z) T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})(z)|^q dV_a(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})(z)|^q dV_a(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |T_{\psi, U_j}(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})(z)|^q dV_a(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \|T_{\psi, U_j}(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})\|_{L_a^q(\Omega \cap U_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Proposition 3.1, it follows that

$$\|\chi_j T_\psi(f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j})\|_{q,a} \leq C_j \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a)(1 - \frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \|f \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \cap U_j}\|_{L_a^p(\Omega \cap U_j)},$$

for every $j = 1, \dots, N$, where C_j are independent of p, q, a .

From the above estimates, we get

$$\|T_\psi f\|_{q,a} \leq C \left[\|1\|_{q,a} \|1\|_{p',b} + \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a)(1 - \frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \right] \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty \|f\|_{p,a},$$

for every $f \in L_a^p(\Omega)$, where C is independent of p, q, a .

On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that

$$\|1\|_{q,a} \leq \left(\frac{C}{1+a} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|1\|_{p',b} \leq \left(\frac{C}{1+b} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \left(\frac{C}{1 - \frac{ap'}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

for some constant C independent of p, q, a . From this and the hypothesis on p, q, a , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|1\|_{q,a} \|1\|_{p',b} &\leq \left(\frac{C}{(1+a)(1 - \frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} (1+a)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(1 - \frac{ap'}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq C^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a)(1 - \frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|T_\psi\|_{L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a)(1 - \frac{ap'}{q})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty$$

for some constant C independent of p, q, a . \square

The following result follows immediately from Theorem 3.2 which is a generalization of [10, Theorem 2.7(1)].

Corollary 3.3. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. Suppose that $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, 0}^\psi(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, i.e.*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in \Omega} |\psi(w)| K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

Then the Toeplitz operator $T_\psi : L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is continuous. Furthermore,

$$\|T_\psi\|_{L^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\frac{p}{p-1} + q \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega, p, q, 0}^\psi\|_\infty,$$

where C is dependent only on Ω .

3.2. Necessity. The necessary conditions for boundedness of the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is given under \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \min\{2(p-1), q-1\}$, and Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. If the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is continuous, then $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, i.e.*

$$\sup_{w \in \Omega} K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} d_\Omega(w)^{\beta + a(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} < \infty.$$

Proof. Similarly to [10, Theorem 3.1], the proof is based on upper and lower estimates of

$$\int_\Omega |K_\Omega(w, z)|^2 K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta dV(w), \quad z \in \Omega. \quad (3.6)$$

Upper estimate. Since $K_\Omega(w, z)$ is holomorphic in $w \in \Omega$,

$$K_\Omega(w, z) = P(K_\Omega(\cdot, z))(w) = \int_\Omega K_\Omega(w, \xi) K_\Omega(\xi, z) dV(\xi),$$

hence

$$\overline{K_\Omega(w, z)} = \overline{\int_\Omega K_\Omega(w, \xi) K_\Omega(\xi, z) dV(\xi)} = \int_\Omega K_\Omega(\xi, w) K_\Omega(z, \xi) dV(\xi).$$

Using this and Fubini's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, z) K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} \left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(\xi, w) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) dV(\xi) \right) dV(w) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(\xi, w) K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} K_{\Omega}(w, z) dV(w) \right) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) dV(\xi) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left(T_{\alpha, \beta}(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(\xi) \right) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) dV(\xi). \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Hölder's inequality and the continuity of $T_{\alpha, \beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left(T_{\alpha, \beta}(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(\xi) \right) d_{\Omega}(\xi)^{\frac{a}{q}} K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) d_{\Omega}(\xi)^{-\frac{a}{q}} dV(\xi) \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \left| T_{\alpha, \beta}(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(\xi) \right|^q d_{\Omega}(\xi)^a dV(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(z, \xi)|^{q'} d_{\Omega}(\xi)^{-\frac{aq'}{q}} dV(\xi) \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\
&= \|T_{\alpha, \beta}(K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))\|_{q, a} \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{q', -\frac{aq'}{q}} \leq C \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{p, a} \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{q', -\frac{aq'}{q}} \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using Lemma 2.5 twice for (p, a) with $p > 1$, $-1 < a < 2(p-1)$ and for $(q', -\frac{aq'}{q})$ with $q' > 1$, $-1 < -\frac{aq'}{q} < 2(q'-1)$ by the hypothesis on p, q, a , we get that, for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) &\lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{a}{p}} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{q'}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\frac{a}{q'}} \\
&= C K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q'}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-a(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Lower estimate. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.6, for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, by the invariant formula, we have

$$K_{\Omega}(z, w) = \det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(z) K_{\Phi_z(\Omega)}(\Phi_z(z), \Phi_z(w)) \overline{\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(w)},$$

and

$$K_{\Omega}(w, w) = \det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(w) K_{\Phi_z(\Omega)}(\Phi_z(w), \Phi_z(w)) \overline{\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(w)}.$$

From this and the fact that $C^{-1} \leq |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(w)| \leq C$ for all w in a neighborhood of z , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) \\
&= \int_{\Omega'} |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(z')|^{-2} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^2 |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(w')|^{-2} \\
&\quad \times |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(w')|^{2\alpha} K_{\Omega'}(w', w')^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(\Phi_z^{-1}(w'))^{\beta} |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(w')| dV(w') \\
&\geq \int_{P_{\lambda}(z')} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^2 K_{\Omega'}(w', w')^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(\Phi_z^{-1}(w'))^{\beta} |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z(z)|^2 |\det J_{\mathbb{C}}\Phi_z^{-1}(w')|^{2\alpha-1} dV(w') \\
&\gtrsim \int_{P_{\lambda}(z')} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^2 K_{\Omega'}(w', w')^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega'}(w')^{\beta} dV(w') \\
&\gtrsim K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega'}(z')^{\beta} \int_{P_{\lambda}(z')} |K_{\Omega'}(w', z')|^2 dV(w') \\
&\gtrsim K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega'}(z')^{\beta} K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^2 \text{Vol}(P_{\lambda}(z')) \\
&\gtrsim K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega'}(z')^{\beta} \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from the upper and lower estimates of (3.6), we get

$$K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-a(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta},$$

and hence

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha+(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta+a(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} < \infty.$$

The proof is completed. \square

4. ESSENTIAL NORM

For a bounded operator T acting from a Banach space X to a Banach space Y , the essential norm of T is defined as

$$\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} := \inf\{\|T - K\|, K \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)\},$$

where $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is the set of all compact operators from X to Y . Clearly, T is compact if and only if $\|T\|_{e, X \rightarrow Y} = 0$. Note that Suárez [19] and Mitkovski, Suárez and Wick [14] investigated the essential norm of operators in the Toeplitz algebra on the unit ball in terms of the Berezin transform. Later, Čučković and Şahutoğlu [8] established estimates for $\|T_{\psi}\|_{e, L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)}$ with $\psi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ on smooth bounded pseudoconvex domains Ω in \mathbb{C}^n , on which the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator is compact. In this section we give an upper estimate for $\|T_{\psi}\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)}$ and a lower one for $\|T_{\alpha, \beta}\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)}$.

To do this, we recall that for $1 < p < \infty$, $L_a^p(\Omega)$ is a reflexive Banach space and the dual space of $L_a^p(\Omega)$ can be identified with the space $L_a^{p'}(\Omega)$ under the duality pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle_a = \int_{\Omega} f(w) \overline{g(w)} dV_a(w) \text{ with } f \in L_a^p(\Omega) \text{ and } g \in L_a^{p'}(\Omega).$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$, $a > -1$ and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_{Ω} is continuous up to the off-diagonal boundary. Suppose that $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ such that $\psi(w) = 0$ almost everywhere on $\Omega \setminus Q$ for some compact subset Q of Ω . Then the Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is compact.*

Proof. Firstly, we show that T_{ψ} maps $L_a^p(\Omega)$ to $L_a^q(\Omega)$ continuously. Indeed, since

$$K_{\Omega} \in C((\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}) \setminus (\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega)),$$

there exists a positive constant C dependent on Q and Ω such that $|K_{\Omega}(z, w)| \leq C$ for all $(z, w) \in \overline{\Omega} \times Q$. Then, for every $f \in L_a^p(\Omega)$ and $z \in \Omega$, using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\psi}(f)(z)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) f(w) dV(w) \right| \\ &\leq \int_Q |K_{\Omega}(z, w)| |\psi(w)| |f(w)| dV(w) \leq C \|\psi\|_{\infty} \int_Q |f(w)| dV(w) \\ &\leq C \|\psi\|_{\infty} \left(\int_Q |f(w)|^p dV_a(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_Q d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{ap'}{p}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C \|\psi\|_{\infty} \|f\|_{p,a} \left(\int_Q d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{ap'}{p}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Thus,

$$\|T_{\psi}f\|_{q,a} \leq C \|\psi\|_{\infty} \|1\|_{q,a} \|f\|_{p,a} \left(\int_Q d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{ap'}{p}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \text{ for every } f \in L_a^p(\Omega).$$

Now, we prove that $T_{\psi} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is compact. Since $L_a^p(\Omega)$ is reflexive, it is sufficient to prove that for every weakly convergent to 0 sequence $(f_m)_m$ in $L_a^p(\Omega)$, the sequence $T_{\psi}f_m$ converges to 0 in $L_a^q(\Omega)$. Using (4.1) for each function $f_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$|T_{\psi}(f_m)(z)| \leq C \|\psi\|_{\infty} \left(\sup_m \|f_m\|_{p,a} \right) \left(\int_Q d_{\Omega}(w)^{-\frac{ap'}{p}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty \quad (4.2)$$

for every $z \in \Omega$, where $\sup_m \|f_m\|_{p,a} < \infty$. Moreover, for each $z \in \Omega$ fixed, it is easy to see that the function

$$g_z(w) = K_{\Omega}(w, z) \overline{\psi(w)} d_{\Omega}(w)^{-a}, w \in \Omega,$$

belongs to $L_a^{p'}(\Omega)$. Then, for each $z \in \Omega$ fixed,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_\psi(f_m)(z)| &= \left| \int_\Omega K_\Omega(z, w) \psi(w) f_m(w) dV(w) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_\Omega f_m(w) \overline{g(w)} dV_a(w) \right| = |\langle f_m, g \rangle_a| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using (4.2), (4.3) and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\|T_\psi f_m\|_{q,a} = \left(\int_\Omega |T_\psi f_m(z)|^q dV_a(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof is completed. \square

For each subset Q of Ω and measurable function $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$\psi_Q(w) := \mathbf{1}_Q(w) \psi(w), w \in \Omega,$$

and put

$$\|M_{Q,p,q,a}^\psi\|_\infty := \|M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\psi_Q}\|_\infty = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in Q} |\psi(w)| K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}} d_\Omega(z)^{a(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}.$$

It is easy to see that for each exhaustion by compact subsets $(Q_m)_m$ of Ω and measurable function $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the limit $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|M_{\Omega \setminus Q_m, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty$ exists and does not depend on $(Q_m)_m$. In particular,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|M_{\Omega \setminus Q_m, p, q, a}^{\alpha, \beta}\|_\infty = \limsup_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} d_\Omega(z)^{\beta + a(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \frac{q}{p'}$, and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. Suppose that $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a measurable function such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Then*

$$\|T_\psi\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1 + a) \left(1 - \frac{ap'}{q}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|M_{\Omega \setminus Q_m, p, q, a}^\psi\|_\infty,$$

for some constant C dependent only on Ω .

In particular, if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset $Q = Q(\varepsilon)$ of Ω such that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^\psi(w) < \varepsilon$ for almost $w \in \Omega \setminus Q$, then the Toeplitz operator $T_\psi : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is compact.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, $T_\psi : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ is bounded.

Let $(Q_m)_m$ be an arbitrary exhaustion by compact sets of Ω . By Proposition 4.1, all operators $T_{\psi_{Q_m}}$, $m \geq 1$, are compact from $L_a^p(\Omega)$ to $L_a^q(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, for every $m \geq 1$ and $f \in L_a^p(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_\psi f(z) - T_{\psi_{Q_m}} f(z) &= \int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w)(\psi(w) - \psi_{Q_m}(w))f(w)dV(w) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w)\psi_{\Omega \setminus Q_m}(w)f(w)dV(w) = T_{\psi_{\Omega \setminus Q_m}} f(z). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is clear that $M_{\Omega, p, q, a}^{\psi_{\Omega \setminus Q_m}}(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\psi\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} &\leq \|T_\psi - T_{\psi_{Q_m}}\|_{L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} = \|T_{\psi_{\Omega \setminus Q_m}}\|_{L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a) \left(1 - \frac{ap'}{q}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega \setminus Q_m, p, q, a}^{\psi}\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

From this the desired estimate follows. □

Next, for each $z \in \Omega$, we put

$$k_{\Omega, a}(w, z) := \frac{K_{\Omega}(w, z)}{\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{p, a}}, w \in \Omega.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $p > 1$, $-1 < a < 2(p-1)$, and Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. Then $k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z)$ converges weakly to 0 in $L_a^p(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$.*

Proof. It sufficient to prove that for each $g \in L_a^{p'}(\Omega)$, $\langle k_{\Omega, a}(\cdot, z), g \rangle_a \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$. Fix an exhaustion by compact subsets $(Q_m)_m$ of Ω . For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, since $K_{\Omega} \in C((\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}) \setminus (\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega))$, there exists a positive constant C_m such that $|K_{\Omega}(w, z)| \leq C_m$ for all $(w, z) \in Q_m \times \overline{\Omega}$.

Using Hölder's inequality and Lemma 2.6, for every z near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z), g \rangle_a| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} k_{\Omega,a}(w, z) \overline{g(w)} dV_a(w) \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |k_{\Omega,a}(w, z)| |g(w)| dV_a(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a}} \int_{Q_m} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)| |g(w)| dV_a(w) + \int_{\Omega \setminus Q_m} |k_{\Omega,a}(w, z)| |g(w)| dV_a(w) \\
&\leq \frac{C_m}{\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a}} \int_{Q_m} |g(w)| dV_a(w) \\
&\quad + \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_m} |k_{\Omega,a}(w, z)|^p dV_a(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_m} |g(w)|^{p'} dV_a(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\lesssim C_m K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}} \int_{Q_m} |g(w)| dV_a(w) + \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_m} |g(w)|^{p'} dV_a(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\lesssim C_m d_{\Omega}(z)^{2-\frac{\alpha+2}{p}} \int_{Q_m} |g(w)| dV_a(w) + \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_m} |g(w)|^{p'} dV_a(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},
\end{aligned}$$

since, by (2.2), $d_{\Omega}^{-2}(z) \leq K_{\Omega}(z, z)$ for every $z \in \Omega$.

In the last inequality letting first $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$, and then $m \rightarrow \infty$, we can conclude that $\langle k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), g \rangle_a \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \min\{2(p-1), q-1\}$, and Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. Suppose that $T_{\alpha,\beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is continuous. Then*

$$\|T_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \gtrsim \limsup_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(w).$$

Proof. Let T be an arbitrary compact operator from $L_a^p(\Omega)$ to $L_a^q(\Omega)$. Then, by Lemma 4.3, $Tk_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z) \rightarrow 0$ in $L_a^q(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$.

Using (3.8), (3.9), and Lemma 2.5, for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{\alpha,\beta} k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z)\|_{q,a} &= \frac{\|T_{\alpha,\beta} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{q,a}}{\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a}} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a} \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{q', -\frac{\alpha q'}{q}}} \int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) \\
&\gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{\frac{1}{q'}-1} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}} K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta} \\
&= K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha + (\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta + \alpha(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} = M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Consequently, for every z near the boundary $\partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\alpha,\beta} - T\|_{L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} &\geq \|T_{\alpha,\beta}k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z) - Tk_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z)\|_{q,a} \\ &\geq \|T_{\alpha,\beta}k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z)\|_{q,a} - \|Tk_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z)\|_{q,a} \\ &\gtrsim M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(z) - \|Tk_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_{q,a}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ in the last inequality, we get

$$\|T_{\alpha,\beta} - T\|_{L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)} \gtrsim \limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(z),$$

which implies the desired estimate. \square

From Theorems 4.2 and 4.4, we immediately get the following result for the case $p = q$ and $a = 0$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. The operator $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is compact on $L^p(\Omega)$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta > 0$.*

5. SCHATTEN CLASS TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

In this section we establish a characterization of Schatten class membership of Toeplitz operators on $L^2(\Omega)$. It should be noted that this characterization has been investigated only on the unit ball (see, [2, 16, 22]) and has not been considered before on pseudoconvex domains whose Bergman kernel has no an explicit formula.

Recall that for $0 < s < \infty$, a compact operator T acting on a separable Hilbert space H belongs to the Schatten class S_s if its sequence of singular numbers belongs to the sequence space ℓ^s , where the singular numbers are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the positive operator T^*T , where T^* is the Hilbert adjoint of T .

For simplicity, we write k_Ω and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ instead of $k_{\Omega,0}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$, respectively. For a positive operator on $L^2(\Omega)$, the Berezin transform of the operator T is defined by

$$\tilde{T}(z) := \langle Tk_\Omega(\cdot, z), k_\Omega(\cdot, z) \rangle, z \in \Omega.$$

The following auxiliary lemmas are elementary, hereby we sketch the proofs for the sake of the completeness.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n and T a positive compact operator on $L^2(\Omega)$. The following statements are valid:*

- (a) *For $s \geq 1$, if T is in S_s , then $K_\Omega(z, z)\tilde{T}(z)^s \in L^1(\Omega)$.*
- (b) *For $0 < s \leq 1$, if $K_\Omega(z, z)\tilde{T}(z)^s \in L^1(\Omega)$, then T is in S_s .*

Proof. By [23, Lemma 1.25], the positive compact operator $T \in S_s$ if and only if $T^s \in S_1$.

Let $(e_m)_m$ be an arbitrary orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{e_m(z)} e_m = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), e_m \rangle e_m = K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \text{ for every } z \in \Omega,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}(T^s) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle T^s e_m, e_m \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} T^s e_m(z) \overline{e_m(z)} dV(z) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \langle T^s e_m, K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle \overline{e_m(z)} dV(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \langle T^s \overline{e_m(z)} e_m, K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle dV(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left\langle T^s \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \overline{e_m(z)} e_m \right), K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \right\rangle dV(z) = \int_{\Omega} \langle T^s K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle dV(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle T^s k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_2^2 dV(z) = \int_{\Omega} \langle T^s k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle K_{\Omega}(z, z) dV(z). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by [23, Proposition 1.31], for every $z \in \Omega$, we have

$$\langle T^s k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle \geq \langle T k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle^s = \tilde{T}(z)^s \text{ if } s \geq 1,$$

and

$$\langle T^s k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle \leq \langle T k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle^s = \tilde{T}(z)^s \text{ if } 0 < s \leq 1.$$

Consequently, both assertions (a) and (b) follow from the above inequalities. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let $s \geq 1$ and Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Suppose that ψ is a positive function in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that T_ψ is compact on $L^2(\Omega)$. If $K_{\Omega}(w, w)\psi(w)^s \in L^1(\Omega)$, then T_ψ belongs to S_s .*

Proof. Let $(e_m)_m$ be an arbitrary orthonormal set in $L^2(\Omega)$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, using Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\psi e_m, e_m \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(z, w) \psi(w) e_m(w) dV(w) \right) \overline{e_m(z)} dV(z) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} \overline{K_{\Omega}(w, z) e_m(z)} dV(z) \right) \psi(w) e_m(w) dV(w) = \int_{\Omega} |e_m(w)|^2 \psi(w) dV(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Hölder's inequality and the inequality (based on the Bessel's inequality)

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |e_m(w)|^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |\langle K_{\Omega}(\cdot, w), e_m \rangle|^2 \leq \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)\|_2^2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle T_{\psi} e_m, e_m \rangle^s &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |e_m(w)|^2 \psi(w)^s dV(w) \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |e_m(w)|^2 \right) \psi(w)^s dV(w) \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, w)\|_2^2 \psi(w)^s dV(w) = \int_{\Omega} K_{\Omega}(w, w) \psi(w)^s dV(w).
\end{aligned}$$

From this and [23, Theorem 1.27], the assertion follows. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. Suppose that the operator $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is compact on $L^2(\Omega)$. The following statements hold:*

- (a) *For $s \geq 1$, $T_{\alpha, \beta} \in S_s$ if and only if $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$.*
- (b) *For $s \in (0, 1)$, if $2\alpha + \beta < 2$ and $K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{1-s\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{s\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$, then $T_{\alpha, \beta} \in S_s$.*

Proof. (a) The sufficiency follows immediately from Lemma 5.2.

Necessity. Obviously, $T_{\alpha, \beta}$ is positive operator on $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$, by (3.7) and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{T_{\alpha, \beta}}(z) &= \langle T_{\alpha, \beta} k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), k_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle = \|K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z)\|_2^{-2} \langle T_{\alpha, \beta} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z), K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z) \rangle \\
&= K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (T_{\alpha, \beta} K_{\Omega}(\cdot, z))(\xi) K_{\Omega}(z, \xi) dV(\xi) \\
&= K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) \\
&\gtrsim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this and Lemma 5.1(a), the assertion follows.

- (b) Since $0 \leq 2\alpha + \beta < 2$, by Lemma A in Appendix,

$$\int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) \lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta},$$

for every $z \in \Omega$. Thus, for each $z \in \Omega$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{T_{\alpha, \beta}}(z) = K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-1} \int_{\Omega} |K_{\Omega}(w, z)|^2 K_{\Omega}(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(w)^{\beta} dV(w) \lesssim K_{\Omega}(z, z)^{-\alpha} d_{\Omega}(z)^{\beta}.$$

From this and Lemma 5.1(b), the assertion follows. \square

6. TOEPLITZ OPERATORS BETWEEN WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES

In this section we consider the Toeplitz operator T_ψ acting from a weighted Bergman space $A_a^p(\Omega)$ to another one $A_a^q(\Omega)$ with $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. We recall that the weighted Bergman space $A_a^p(\Omega) := L_a^p(\Omega) \cap H(\Omega)$, where $H(\Omega)$ is the space of all holomorphic functions on Ω endowed with the usual compact open topology co . Using the plurisubharmonicity of $|f(z)|^p$ with $f \in A_a^p(\Omega)$, we can see that the topology induced by $\|\cdot\|_{p,a}$ is stronger than co in $A_a^p(\Omega)$.

6.1. Boundedness and compactness. Since T_ψ acts from $L_a^p(\Omega)$ into $H(\Omega)$, Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.1 also hold for the operator $T_\psi : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$, and hence, so does Theorem 4.2, i.e. the upper estimate for $\|T_\psi\|_{e, L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)}$ obtained in Theorem 4.2 is valid for $\|T_\psi\|_{e, A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)}$.

Moreover, note that in the proof of Theorem 3.4 we used the inequality

$$\|T_{\alpha,\beta}(K_\Omega(\cdot, z))\|_{q,a} \leq C \|K_\Omega(\cdot, z)\|_{p,a}$$

for holomorphic functions $K_\Omega(\cdot, z)$. Thus, in Theorem 3.4 we can replace weighted L^p -spaces $L_a^p(\Omega)$ and $L_a^q(\Omega)$ by the corresponding weighted Bergman spaces $A_a^p(\Omega)$ and $A_a^q(\Omega)$, respectively, to get necessary conditions for boundedness of $T_{\alpha,\beta} : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$.

On the other hand, since, in general, Lemma 4.3 may be false for the space $A_a^p(\Omega)$, we cannot get the lower estimate for $\|T_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{e, A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)}$ as in Theorem 4.4. However, we can obtain the following necessary condition for compactness of $T_{\alpha,\beta} : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \min\{2(p-1), q-1\}$, and Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. If the operator $T_{\alpha,\beta} : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$ with $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ is compact, then*

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(w) = 0.$$

Proof. By (4.4), $\|T_{\alpha,\beta}k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z)\|_{q,a} \gtrsim M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(z)$ for every $z \in \Omega$ near the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Thus, it is enough to prove that $T_{\alpha,\beta}k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z) \rightarrow 0$ in $A_a^q(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$.

First, we show that $k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z)$ converges to 0 in $H(\Omega)$ as $z \rightarrow \partial\Omega$. Indeed, for each compact subset Q of Ω , using the continuity up to the off-diagonal boundary of the Bergman kernel and Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{w \in Q} |k_{\Omega,a}(w, z)| &\lesssim K_\Omega(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} d_\Omega(z)^{-\frac{a}{p}} \sup_{w \in Q} |K_\Omega(w, z)| \\ &\lesssim d_\Omega(z)^{1-\frac{a+1}{p}} \sup_{w \in Q, z \in \bar{\Omega}} |K_\Omega(w, z)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

since, by (2.2), $d_\Omega^{-2}(z) \leq K_\Omega(z, z)$ and $a < 2(p-1)$.

Next, by contradiction, we assume that there is a sequence $(z_m)_m$ in Ω such that $z_m \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ and $\|T_{\alpha,\beta}k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z_m)\|_{q,a} \geq \delta$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and some number $\delta > 0$. However, since

$\|k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z_m)\|_{p,a} = 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T_{\alpha,\beta} : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$ is compact, we can suppose that the sequence $T_{\alpha,\beta}k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z_m)$ converges to some function g in $A_a^q(\Omega)$, and hence, in $H(\Omega)$. Now we claim that $T_{\alpha,\beta}k_{\Omega,a}(\cdot, z_m) \rightarrow 0$ in $H(\Omega)$. Then g must be the zero function which is a contradiction.

To prove the claim, we fix an exhaustion by compact subsets $(Q_j)_j$ of Ω and an arbitrary compact subset Q of Ω . For simplicity, we put $h_m(w) := k_{\Omega,a}(w, z_m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\|h_m\|_{p,a} = 1$ and $h_m \rightarrow 0$ in $H(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $K_\Omega \in C((\overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega}) \setminus (\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega))$, there exists a positive constant C such that $|K_\Omega(z, w)| \leq C$ for all $(z, w) \in Q \times \overline{\Omega}$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.4,

$$K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta \lesssim K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(w)^{a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \text{ for every } w \in \Omega.$$

Using these inequalities, Hölder's inequality, and (2.2), we get that for every $m, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{z \in Q} |T_{\alpha,\beta}h_m(z)| &= \sup_{z \in Q} \left| \int_\Omega K_\Omega(z, w) K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta h_m(w) dV(w) \right| \\ &\lesssim C \int_\Omega |h_m(w)| K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(w)^{a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} dV(w) \\ &= C \left(\int_{Q_j} + \int_{\Omega \setminus Q_j} \right) |h_m(w)| K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(w)^{a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} dV(w) \\ &\leq C \sup_{w \in Q_j} |h_m(w)| \sup_{w \in Q_j} K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(w)^{a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_j} |h_m(w)|^p d_\Omega(w)^a dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_j} K_\Omega(w, w)^{p'(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p})} d_\Omega(w)^{-\frac{ap'}{q}} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq C \sup_{w \in Q_j} |h_m(w)| \sup_{w \in Q_j} K_\Omega(w, w)^{\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}} d_\Omega(w)^{a(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} + C \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_j} d_\Omega(w)^{p'(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{a+2}{q})} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality letting first $m \rightarrow \infty$, and then $j \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in Q} |T_{\alpha,\beta}h_m(z)| \lesssim \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus Q_j} d_\Omega(w)^{p'(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{a+2}{q})} dV(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = 0,$$

since $p' \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{a+2}{q} \right) > -1$ by hypothesis on p, q, a , and hence, $d_\Omega(w)^{p'(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{a+2}{q})} \in L^1(\Omega)$. \square

Remark 6.2. If, in addition, the continuous dual of $A_a^p(\Omega)$ is $A_a^{p'}(\Omega)$, then Lemma 4.3 holds for this space $A_a^p(\Omega)$, and hence, so does the lower estimate in Theorem 4.4 for $\|T_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{e, A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)}$.

6.2. Schatten class Toeplitz operators. Since the arguments in Section 5 are based on the Berezin transform $\tilde{T}(z) = \langle Tk_\Omega(\cdot, z), k_\Omega(\cdot, z) \rangle$ with $k_\Omega(\cdot, z) \in A^2(\Omega)$. Then we can repeat these arguments for the operator T_ψ on $A^2(\Omega)$ to show that Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, and Theorem 5.3 also hold for T_ψ on $A^2(\Omega)$.

To end this section, we summarize all results for the Toeplitz operator $T_{\alpha,\beta} : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, $-1 < a < \min\{2(p-1), \frac{q}{p'}\}$, and Ω be a bounded smooth pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type and \mathcal{B} -polydisc condition is satisfied. For every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, the following statements hold:*

- (1) *The operator $T_{\alpha,\beta} : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$ is continuous if and only if $M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(w) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$. In this case,*

$$\|T_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)} \leq C \left(\frac{p' + q}{(1+a) \left(1 - \frac{ap'}{q}\right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q}} \|M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}\|_\infty,$$

where C is independent of p, q, a .

- (2) *The operator $T_{\alpha,\beta} : A_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow A_a^q(\Omega)$ is compact if and only if*

$$\limsup_{w \rightarrow \partial\Omega} M_{\Omega,p,q,a}^{\alpha,\beta}(w) = 0.$$

- (3) *Suppose that the operator $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ is compact on $A^2(\Omega)$. For every $s \geq 1$, the operator $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ belongs to Schatten class S_s if and only if $K_\Omega(w, w)^{1-s\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^{s\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$. In the case $s \in (0, 1)$, if $2\alpha + \beta < 2$ and $K_\Omega(w, w)^{1-s\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^{s\beta} \in L^1(\Omega)$, then $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ is in S_s .*

APPENDIX

In this section we prove a generalization of [10, Proposition 2.4] and another upper estimate for quantity (3.6) without using the continuity of the operator $T_{\alpha,\beta} : L_a^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_a^q(\Omega)$. These results may have some independent interest.

Proposition A. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. Then, for each $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there is a neighbourhood U of z_0 such that for any $s \geq 0, a - s \geq 1$ and $-1 < b + 2s < 2a - 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,b,s}(z) &:= \int_{\Omega \cap U} |K_\Omega(z, w)|^a d_\Omega(w)^b K_\Omega(w, w)^{-s} dV(w) \\ &\leq C \frac{2a-1}{(2a-2-b-2s)(b+2s+1)} K_\Omega(z, z)^{a-s-1} d_\Omega(z)^b \end{aligned}$$

for every $z \in \Omega \cap U$ and some constant C dependent only on U and Ω .

Proof. Similarly to [10, Proposition 2.4], we choose a small neighbourhood U of z_0 such that $\Phi_z(U) \subset \mathbb{B}(z', c)$ for any $z \in U$, where the ball $\mathbb{B}(z', c)$ and the biholomorphism Φ_z are given in Definition 2.1. Using the invariant formula as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we get

$$I_{a,b,s}(z) \leq C \int_{\Omega' \cap \mathbb{B}(z', c)} |K_{\Omega'}(z', w')|^a d_{\Omega'}(w')^b K_{\Omega'}(w', w')^{-s} dV(w').$$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} I'_{a,b,s}(z') &:= \int_{\Omega' \cap \mathbb{B}(z', c)} |K_{\Omega'}(z', w')|^a d_{\Omega'}(w')^b K_{\Omega'}(w', w')^{-s} dV(w') \\ &\leq C \frac{2a-1}{(2a-2-b-2s)(b+2s+1)} K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^{a-s-1} d_{\Omega'}(z')^b, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

for every $z' \in \Omega' \cap U'$.

It is clear that $b_j(z', w') \leq b_j(z', z')$ and $b_1(z', w') \leq \frac{1}{d_{\Omega'}(z') + d_{\Omega'}(w')}$. Since $K_{\Omega'}$ is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type at z' , for all $w' \in \Omega' \cap \mathbb{B}(z', c)$, we have

$$|K_{\Omega'}(z', w')| \leq C K_{\Omega'}(z', z') \left(\frac{d_{\Omega'}(z')}{d_{\Omega'}(z') + d_{\Omega'}(w')} \right)^2, \quad (6.2)$$

and

$$K_{\Omega}(w', w')^{-1} \leq C |K_{\Omega}(z', w')|^{-1} \left(\frac{d_{\Omega'}(w')}{d_{\Omega'}(w') + d_{\Omega'}(z')} \right)^2. \quad (6.3)$$

Since $s \geq 0$ and $a - s \geq 1$, from (6.2) and (6.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I'_{a,b,s}(z') &\leq \int_{\Omega' \cap \mathbb{B}(z', c)} |K_{\Omega'}(z', w')|^{a-s} \frac{d_{\Omega'}(w')^{b+2s}}{(d_{\Omega'}(w') + d_{\Omega'}(z'))^{2s}} dV(w') \\ &\leq K_{\Omega'}(z', z')^{a-s-1} d_{\Omega'}(z')^{2(a-s-1)} \int_{\Omega' \cap \mathbb{B}(z', c')} |K_{\Omega'}(z', w')| \frac{d_{\Omega'}(w')^{b+2s}}{(d_{\Omega'}(w') + d_{\Omega'}(z'))^{2a-2}} dV(w'). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the estimates in the proof of [10, Proposition 2.4] with $-1 < b + 2s < 2a - 2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_{a,b,s}(z') &= \int_{\Omega'} |K_{\Omega'}(z', w')| \frac{d_{\Omega'}(w')^{b+2s}}{(d_{\Omega'}(z') + d_{\Omega'}(w'))^{2a-2}} dV(w') \\ &\leq C \frac{2a-1}{(2a-2-b-2s)(b+2s+1)} d_{\Omega'}(z')^{b+2s-2a+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from these estimates (6.1) follows. \square

Similarly to Lemma 2.5, from Proposition A we can get the following upper estimate for quantity (3.6).

Lemma A. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that the Bergman kernel K_Ω is of sharp \mathcal{B} -type. For every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $2\alpha + \beta < 2$, the following inequality*

$$\int_{\Omega} |K_\Omega(z, w)|^2 K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta dV(w) \lesssim K_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_\Omega(z)^\beta$$

holds for every $z \in \Omega$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.5, we choose a covering $\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N$ to $\bar{\Omega}$ so that $U_0 \Subset \Omega$, $\partial\Omega \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_j$, and the integral estimates in Proposition A hold on U_j with some constant C_j for all $j = 1, \dots, N$.

Since $K_\Omega \in C((\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega}) \setminus (\partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega))$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|K_\Omega(w, z)| \leq C \quad \text{for all } (w, z) \in \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N ((\bar{\Omega} \cap \bar{U}_j) \times (\bar{\Omega} \setminus U_j)) \cup (\bar{U}_0 \times \bar{\Omega}) \right).$$

Using this and Proposition A for U_j and $(a, b, s) = (2, \beta, \alpha)$ with $2\alpha + \beta < 2$, we get that for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$\int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^2 K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta dV(w) \lesssim C, \quad \text{if } z \in \Omega \setminus U_j$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^2 K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta dV(w) \lesssim C_j K_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_\Omega(z)^\beta, \quad \text{if } z \in \Omega \cap U_j.$$

Hence, for every $z \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^2 K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta dV(w) &\leq \int_{U_0} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^2 K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta dV(w) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{\Omega \cap U_j} |K_\Omega(w, z)|^2 K_\Omega(w, w)^{-\alpha} d_\Omega(w)^\beta dV(w) \\ &\lesssim C^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N \max \{ C^2, C_j K_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_\Omega(z)^\beta \}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $2\alpha + \beta < 2$ and $d_\Omega(z)^{-2} \leq K_\Omega(z, z)$, $z \in \Omega$,

$$K_\Omega(z, z)^{1-\alpha} d_\Omega(z)^\beta \geq d_\Omega(z)^{2\alpha+\beta-2} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } z \rightarrow \partial\Omega.$$

From this and the above inequality the desired estimate follows. \square

Acknowledgement. This paper has been carried out during the authors' stay at the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics. They would like to thank the institution for hospitality and support

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abate, J. Raissy, and A. Saracco. Toeplitz operators and Carleson measures in strongly pseudoconvex domains. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263(11):3449–3491, 2012.
- [2] H. Arroussi, i. Park and J. Pau. Schatten class Toeplitz operators acting on large weighted Bergman spaces. *Studia Math.*, 229:203–221, 2015.
- [3] D.W. Catlin. Estimates of invariant metrics on pseudoconvex domains of dimension two. *Math. Z.*, 200(3):429–466, 1989.
- [4] S. Cho. Estimates of the Bergman kernel function on certain pseudoconvex domains in \mathbf{C}^n . *Math. Z.*, 222(2):329–339, 1996.
- [5] S. Cho. Estimates of the Bergman kernel function on pseudoconvex domains with comparable Levi form. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 39(3):425–437, 2002.
- [6] Z. Čučković. Estimates of the L^p norms of the Bergman projection on strongly pseudoconvex domains. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 88(3):331–338, 2017.
- [7] Z. Čučković and J.D. McNeal. Special Toeplitz operators on strongly pseudoconvex domains. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 22(3):851–866, 2006.
- [8] Z. Čučković and S. Şahutoğlu. Axler-Zheng type theorem on a class of domains in \mathbf{C}^n . *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, 77:397–405, 2013.
- [9] C. Fefferman. The Bergman kernel and biholomorphic mappings of pseudoconvex domains. *Invent. Math.*, 26:1–65, 1974.
- [10] T. V. Khanh, J. Liu, P. T. Thuc. Bergman-Toeplitz operators on weakly pseudoconvex domains. *Math. Z.*, 291(2):591–607, 2019.
- [11] J.D. McNeal. Local geometry of decoupled pseudoconvex domains. In *Complex analysis (Wuppertal, 1991)*, Aspects Math., E17, pages 223–230. Friedr. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1991.
- [12] J.D. McNeal. Estimates on the Bergman kernels of convex domains. *Adv. Math.*, 109:108–139, 1994.
- [13] J.D. McNeal and E.M. Stein. Mapping properties of the Bergman projection on convex domains of finite type. *Duke Math. J.*, 73:177–199, 1994.
- [14] M. Mitkovski, M. Suárez and B. D. Wick. The essential norm of operators on $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$. *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, 75(2):197233, 2013.
- [15] A. Nagel, J.-P. Rosay, E.M. Stein, and S. Wainger. Estimates for the Bergman and Szegő kernels in \mathbf{C}^2 . *Ann. of Math.*, 129:113–149, 1989.
- [16] J. Pau. A remark on Schatten class Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142:2763–2768, 2014.
- [17] D.H. Phong and E.M. Stein. Estimates for the Bergman and Szegő projections on strongly pseudoconvex domains. *Duke Math. J.*, 44(3):695–704, 1977.
- [18] K. Stroethoff. Compact Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 124(1):151160, 1998.
- [19] D. Suárez. The essential norm of operators in the Toeplitz algebra on $A^p(\mathbb{B}_n)$. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 56(5):21852232, 2007.
- [20] J. Taskinen and J. Virtanen. Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces with locally integrable symbols. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*, 26(2):693–706, 2010.

- [21] K. Zhu. A sharp norm estimate of the Bergman projection on L^p spaces. In *Bergman spaces and related topics in complex analysis*, volume 404 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 199–205. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [22] K. Zhu. Schatten class Toeplitz operators on weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball. *New York J. Math.*, 13:299–316, 2007.
- [23] K. Zhu. *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*. Second Edition, Math. Surveys and Monographs 138, American Mathematical Society: Providence, Rhode Island, 2007.

TRAN VU KHANH

SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, TAN TAO UNIVERSITY, DUC HOA DISTRICT, LONG AN PROVINCE,
VIETNAM

E-mail address: `khanh.tran@ttu.edu.vn`

PHAM TRONG TIEN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MECHANICS AND INFORMATICS, VNU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE,
VIETNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, HANOI

THANG LONG INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED SCIENCES, NGHIEM XUAN YEM, HOANG
MAI, HANOI, VIETNAM

E-mail address: `phamtien@vnu.edu.vn`