

DESCRIPTION OF 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS AND AUTOMORPHISMS ON FINITE DIMENSIONAL JORDAN ALGEBRAS

SHAVKAT AYUPOV¹, FARHODJON ARZIKULOV², NODIRBEK UMRZAQOV³,
AND OLIMJON NURIDDINOV³

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we introduce and investigate the notion of 2-local linear map on vector spaces. A sufficient condition is obtained for linearity of a 2-local linear map on finite dimensional vector spaces. Based on this result we prove that every 2-local derivation on a finite dimensional formally real Jordan algebra is a derivation. Also we show that every 2-local 1-automorphism (i.e. implemented by single symmetries) of mentioned Jordan algebras is an automorphism.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to the study of 2-local maps on vector spaces and Jordan algebras. The Gleason-Kahane-Zelazko theorem, which is a fundamental contribution in the theory of Banach algebras, asserts that every unital linear functional F on a complex unital Banach algebra A , such that $F(a)$ belongs to the spectrum $\sigma(a)$ of a for every $a \in A$, is multiplicative (cf. [3], [6]). In modern terminology this is equivalent to the following condition: every unital linear local homomorphism from a unital complex Banach algebra A into \mathbb{C} is multiplicative. We recall that a linear map T from a Banach algebra A into a Banach algebra B is said to be a local homomorphism if for every a in A there exists a homomorphism $\Phi_a : A \rightarrow B$, depending on a , such that $T(a) = \Phi_a(a)$.

In [7] S. Kowalski and Z. Słodkowski give another characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in Banach algebras. They prove that every 2-local homomorphism T from a (not necessarily commutative nor unital) complex Banach algebra A into C is linear and multiplicative. Consequently, every (not necessarily linear) 2-local homomorphism T from A into a commutative C^* -algebra is linear and multiplicative.

A similar notion was introduced and studied to give a characterization of derivations on operator algebras. Namely, the notion of 2-local derivation was introduced by P. Šemrl in his paper [9] in 1997. P. Šemrl prove that a 2-local derivation on the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on the infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H is a derivation. After a number of papers were devoted to 2-local maps on different types of rings, algebras, Banach algebras and

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A05, 17A36, 17C65, 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Vector space, formally real Jordan algebra, linear operator, 2-local linear mapping, Jordan derivation, 2-local Jordan derivation, 2-local 1-automorphism.

Banach spaces. The list of papers devoted to such 2-local maps can be found in the bibliography of [1].

In the present paper we study 2-local derivations on Jordan algebras. Recall that a 2-local derivation is defined as follows: given a Jordan algebra \mathcal{A} , a map $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (not linear in general) is called a 2-local derivation if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a derivation $D_{x,y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$ and $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$. 2-local derivations on Jordan algebras are studied in [1] by the first and the second authors of the present paper. In [1] it is proved that every 2-local inner derivation on the Jordan ring $H_n(\mathfrak{R})$ of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices over a commutative associative ring \mathfrak{R} is an inner derivation.

In this paper we develop an algebraic approach to the investigation of derivations and 2-local derivations on Jordan algebras.

In section 1 we introduce the notion of 2-local linear map on a vector space and give a sufficient condition for linearity of a 2-local linear map on a finite dimensional vector space. A similar notion for Banach algebras was introduced and studied in [2].

In section 2 we show that every 2-local derivation on a finite dimensional formally real special Jordan algebra is a derivation. For this purpose first we prove linearity of a 2-local derivation on a finite dimensional formally real Jordan algebra, using theorem 2.7 of section 1, and then we prove that this 2-local derivation is a derivation. In [2] such approach was developed by J. Cabello and A. Peralta.

In section 3 we prove that every 2-local 1-automorphism of a finite dimensional formally real special Jordan algebra is an automorphism. For this purpose we show the linearity of a 2-local 1-automorphism of this Jordan algebra, using theorem 2.7 of section 1, and then we prove that this 2-local 1-automorphism is a global automorphism.

2. 2-LOCAL LINEAR MAPS ON VECTOR SPACES

Throughout this section \mathcal{F} denotes an arbitrary field. Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space over \mathcal{F} .

Definition 2.1. Let $\phi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ be a map. If for any $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists a linear operator $L_{x,y} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that $\phi(x) = L_{x,y}(x)$ and $\phi(y) = L_{x,y}(y)$, then ϕ is called a 2-local linear map.

Suppose that the vector space \mathcal{V} is the n -dimensional vector space \mathcal{F}^n , every vector x in which we write as

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ \vdots \\ x^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the definition of 2-local linear map is equivalent to the following: if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists a $n \times n$ matrix $A_{x,y}$ such that $\phi(x) = A_{x,y}x$ and $\phi(y) = A_{x,y}y$, then ϕ is called a 2-local linear map.

Definition 2.2. For $n > 1$, consider the matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1} & \lambda^{1,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{1,n} \\ \lambda^{2,1} & \lambda^{2,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda^{n,1} & \lambda^{n,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

with components from \mathcal{F} such that

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^n \lambda^{i,j} \neq 0,$$

and let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a^{1,1} & a^{1,2} & \cdots & a^{1,n} \\ a^{2,1} & a^{2,2} & \cdots & a^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a^{n,1} & a^{n,2} & \cdots & a^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Then the matrix

$$A_\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}a^{1,1} & \lambda^{1,2}a^{1,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{1,n}a^{1,n} \\ \lambda^{2,1}a^{2,1} & \lambda^{2,2}a^{2,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{2,n}a^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda^{n,1}a^{n,1} & \lambda^{n,2}a^{n,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{n,n}a^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

is called a Λ -symmetric matrix.

Definition 2.3. Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^n$ be a map, $n > 1$,

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1} & \lambda^{1,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{1,n} \\ \lambda^{2,1} & \lambda^{2,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda^{n,1} & \lambda^{n,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that

$$\prod_{i,j=1}^n \lambda^{i,j} \neq 0.$$

If for every $x, y \in \mathcal{F}^n$ there exists a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a^{1,1} & a^{1,2} & \cdots & a^{1,n} \\ a^{2,1} & a^{2,2} & \cdots & a^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a^{n,1} & a^{n,2} & \cdots & a^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

such that $\phi(x) = A_\Lambda x$ and $\phi(y) = A_\Lambda y$, where

$$A_\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}a^{1,1} & \lambda^{1,2}a^{1,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{1,n}a^{1,n} \\ \lambda^{2,1}a^{2,1} & \lambda^{2,2}a^{2,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{2,n}a^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda^{n,1}a^{n,1} & \lambda^{n,2}a^{n,2} & \cdots & \lambda^{n,n}a^{n,n} \end{bmatrix},$$

then ϕ is called a Λ -symmetric 2-local linear map.

A linear operator L on \mathcal{F}^n we will call a symmetric linear operator, if its matrix A (i.e. $L(x) = Ax$), is symmetric.

Let $M_n(\mathcal{F})$ be a matrix ring over \mathcal{F} , $n > 1$, i.e. consisting of matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} a^{1,1} & a^{1,2} & \dots & a^{1,n} \\ a^{2,1} & a^{2,2} & \dots & a^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a^{n,1} & a^{n,2} & \dots & a^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}, a^{i,j} \in \mathcal{F}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let $\{e_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ be the set of matrix units in $M_n(\mathcal{F})$, i.e. $e_{i,j}$ is the matrix with components $a^{i,j} = \mathbf{1}$ and $a^{k,l} = \mathbf{0}$ if $(i,j) \neq (k,l)$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the identity element, $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero element of the field \mathcal{F} , and a matrix $a \in M_n(\mathcal{F})$ is written as $a = \sum_{k,l=1}^n a^{k,l} e_{k,l}$, where $a^{k,l} \in \mathcal{F}$ for $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$, or as $a = \sum_{k,l=1}^n a_{k,l}$, where $a_{k,l} = a^{k,l} e_{k,l}$ for $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let e_i be the vector (a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n) in \mathcal{F}^n with the components $a^i = \mathbf{1}$ and $a^k = \mathbf{0}$, $k \neq i$.

For theorems below we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^4$ be a Λ -symmetric 2-local linear map for some matrix Λ . Then there exists a symmetric 4×4 matrix A such that*

$$\phi(e_i) = A_\Lambda e_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Proof. By the definition there exist symmetric 4×4 matrices B, C, D and E such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_1) &= B_\Lambda e_1, \Delta(e_2) = B_\Lambda e_2, \Delta(e_2) = C_\Lambda e_2, \Delta(e_3) = C_\Lambda e_3, \\ \Delta(e_3) &= D_\Lambda e_3, \Delta(e_4) = D_\Lambda e_4, \Delta(e_4) = E_\Lambda e_4, \Delta(e_1) = E_\Lambda e_1, \\ \Delta(e_1) &= F_\Lambda e_1, \Delta(e_3) = F_\Lambda e_3, \Delta(e_2) = G_\Lambda e_2, \Delta(e_4) = G_\Lambda e_4. \end{aligned}$$

Then from

$$\begin{aligned} B_\Lambda e_2 &= C_\Lambda e_2 = G_\Lambda e_2, C_\Lambda e_3 = D_\Lambda e_3 = F_\Lambda e_3, \\ D_\Lambda e_4 &= E_\Lambda e_4 = G_\Lambda e_4, E_\Lambda e_1 = B_\Lambda e_1 = F_\Lambda e_1, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} b^{1,2} \\ b^{2,2} \\ b^{3,2} \\ b^{4,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c^{1,2} \\ c^{2,2} \\ c^{3,2} \\ c^{4,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g^{1,2} \\ g^{2,2} \\ g^{3,2} \\ g^{4,2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c^{1,3} \\ c^{2,3} \\ c^{3,3} \\ c^{4,3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d^{1,3} \\ d^{2,3} \\ d^{3,3} \\ d^{4,3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f^{1,3} \\ f^{2,3} \\ f^{3,3} \\ f^{4,3} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} d^{1,4} \\ d^{2,4} \\ d^{3,4} \\ d^{4,4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{1,4} \\ e^{2,4} \\ e^{3,4} \\ e^{4,4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g^{1,4} \\ g^{2,4} \\ g^{3,4} \\ g^{4,4} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} b^{1,1} \\ b^{2,1} \\ b^{3,1} \\ b^{4,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{1,1} \\ e^{2,1} \\ e^{3,1} \\ e^{4,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f^{1,1} \\ f^{2,1} \\ f^{3,1} \\ f^{4,1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

We take the following matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}b^{1,1} & \lambda^{1,2}c^{1,2} & \lambda^{1,3}d^{1,3} & \lambda^{1,4}e^{1,4} \\ \lambda^{2,1}b^{2,1} & \lambda^{2,2}c^{2,2} & \lambda^{2,3}d^{2,3} & \lambda^{2,4}e^{2,4} \\ \lambda^{3,1}b^{3,1} & \lambda^{3,2}c^{3,2} & \lambda^{3,3}d^{3,3} & \lambda^{3,4}e^{3,4} \\ \lambda^{4,1}b^{4,1} & \lambda^{4,2}c^{4,2} & \lambda^{4,3}d^{4,3} & \lambda^{4,4}e^{4,4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrices B, C, D, E, F and G are symmetric we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} b^{1,1} & c^{1,2} & d^{1,3} & e^{1,4} \\ b^{2,1} & c^{2,2} & d^{2,3} & e^{2,4} \\ b^{3,1} & c^{3,2} & d^{3,3} & e^{3,4} \\ b^{4,1} & c^{4,2} & d^{4,3} & e^{4,4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b^{1,1} & b^{1,2} & b^{1,3} & b^{1,4} \\ c^{2,1} & c^{2,2} & c^{2,3} & c^{2,4} \\ d^{3,1} & d^{3,2} & d^{3,3} & d^{3,4} \\ e^{4,1} & e^{4,2} & e^{4,3} & e^{4,4} \end{bmatrix}$$

by equalities (1.1). At the same time

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\Lambda}e_1 &= B_{\Lambda}e_1 = \Delta(e_1), A_{\Lambda}e_2 = C_{\Lambda}e_2 = \Delta(e_2), \\ A_{\Lambda}e_3 &= D_{\Lambda}e_3 = \Delta(e_3), A_{\Lambda}e_4 = E_{\Lambda}e_4 = \Delta(e_4). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^4$ be a Λ -symmetric 2-local linear map. Then ϕ is a linear operator.*

Proof. By lemma 2.4 there exists a Λ -symmetric 4×4 matrix A such that

$$\phi(e_i) = A_{\Lambda}e_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let x be an arbitrary element in \mathcal{F}^4 . Then by the definition there exist symmetric 4×4 matrices B, C and D such that

$$\Delta(x) = B_{\Lambda}x, \Delta(e_1) = B_{\Lambda}e_1, \Delta(x) = C_{\Lambda}x, \Delta(e_2) = C_{\Lambda}e_2,$$

and

$$\Delta(x) = D_{\Lambda}x, \Delta(e_3) = D_{\Lambda}e_3, \Delta(x) = E_{\Lambda}x, \Delta(e_4) = E_{\Lambda}e_4.$$

Then from

$$B_{\Lambda}e_1 = A_{\Lambda}e_1, C_{\Lambda}e_2 = A_{\Lambda}e_2, D_{\Lambda}e_3 = A_{\Lambda}e_3, E_{\Lambda}e_4 = A_{\Lambda}e_4$$

it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} b^{1,1} \\ b^{2,1} \\ b^{3,1} \\ b^{4,1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{1,1} \\ a^{2,1} \\ a^{3,1} \\ a^{4,1} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c^{1,2} \\ c^{2,2} \\ c^{3,2} \\ c^{4,2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{1,2} \\ a^{2,2} \\ a^{3,2} \\ a^{4,2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} d^{1,3} \\ d^{2,3} \\ d^{3,3} \\ d^{4,3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{1,3} \\ a^{2,3} \\ a^{3,3} \\ a^{4,3} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e^{1,4} \\ e^{2,4} \\ e^{3,4} \\ e^{4,4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^{1,4} \\ a^{2,4} \\ a^{3,4} \\ a^{4,4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\Delta(x) = B_{\Lambda}x = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}b^{1,1} & \lambda^{1,2}b^{1,2} & \lambda^{1,3}b^{1,3} & \lambda^{1,4}b^{1,4} \\ \lambda^{2,1}b^{2,1} & \lambda^{2,2}b^{2,2} & \lambda^{2,3}b^{2,3} & \lambda^{2,4}b^{2,4} \\ \lambda^{3,1}b^{3,1} & \lambda^{3,2}b^{3,2} & \lambda^{3,3}b^{3,3} & \lambda^{3,4}b^{3,4} \\ \lambda^{4,1}b^{4,1} & \lambda^{4,2}b^{4,2} & \lambda^{4,3}b^{4,3} & \lambda^{4,4}b^{4,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \\ x^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}a^{1,1} & \lambda^{1,2}a^{1,2} & \lambda^{1,3}a^{1,3} & \lambda^{1,4}a^{1,4} \\ \lambda^{2,1}b^{2,1} & \lambda^{2,2}b^{2,2} & \lambda^{2,3}b^{2,3} & \lambda^{2,4}b^{2,4} \\ \lambda^{3,1}b^{3,1} & \lambda^{3,2}b^{3,2} & \lambda^{3,3}b^{3,3} & \lambda^{3,4}b^{3,4} \\ \lambda^{4,1}b^{4,1} & \lambda^{4,2}b^{4,2} & \lambda^{4,3}b^{4,3} & \lambda^{4,4}b^{4,4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \\ x^4 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}a^{1,1}x^1 + \lambda^{1,2}a^{1,2}x^2 + \lambda^{1,3}a^{1,3}x^3 + \lambda^{1,4}a^{1,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{2,1}b^{2,1}x^1 + \lambda^{2,2}b^{2,2}x^2 + \lambda^{2,3}b^{2,3}x^3 + \lambda^{2,4}b^{2,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{3,1}b^{3,1}x^1 + \lambda^{3,2}b^{3,2}x^2 + \lambda^{3,3}b^{3,3}x^3 + \lambda^{3,4}b^{3,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{4,1}b^{4,1}x^1 + \lambda^{4,2}b^{4,2}x^2 + \lambda^{4,3}b^{4,3}x^3 + \lambda^{4,4}b^{4,4}x^4 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

since the matrix B is symmetric. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x) = C_{\Lambda}x &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}c^{1,1}x^1 + \lambda^{1,2}c^{1,2}x^2 + \lambda^{1,3}c^{1,3}x^3 + \lambda^{1,4}c^{1,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{2,1}a^{2,1}x^1 + \lambda^{2,2}a^{2,2}x^2 + \lambda^{2,3}a^{2,3}x^3 + \lambda^{2,4}a^{2,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{3,1}c^{3,1}x^1 + \lambda^{3,2}c^{3,2}x^2 + \lambda^{3,3}c^{3,3}x^3 + \lambda^{3,4}c^{3,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{4,1}c^{4,1}x^1 + \lambda^{4,2}c^{4,2}x^2 + \lambda^{4,3}c^{4,3}x^3 + \lambda^{4,4}c^{4,4}x^4 \end{bmatrix}, \\
\Delta(x) = D_{\Lambda}x &= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}d^{1,1}x^1 + \lambda^{1,2}d^{1,2}x^2 + \lambda^{1,3}d^{1,3}x^3 + \lambda^{1,4}d^{1,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{2,1}d^{2,1}x^1 + \lambda^{2,2}d^{2,2}x^2 + \lambda^{2,3}d^{2,3}x^3 + \lambda^{2,4}d^{2,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{3,1}a^{3,1}x^1 + \lambda^{3,2}a^{3,2}x^2 + \lambda^{3,3}a^{3,3}x^3 + \lambda^{3,4}a^{3,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{4,1}d^{4,1}x^1 + \lambda^{4,2}d^{4,2}x^2 + \lambda^{4,3}d^{4,3}x^3 + \lambda^{4,4}d^{4,4}x^4 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(x) = E_{\Lambda}x = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}e^{1,1}x^1 + \lambda^{1,2}e^{1,2}x^2 + \lambda^{1,3}e^{1,3}x^3 + \lambda^{1,4}e^{1,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{2,1}e^{2,1}x^1 + \lambda^{2,2}e^{2,2}x^2 + \lambda^{2,3}e^{2,3}x^3 + \lambda^{2,4}e^{2,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{3,1}e^{3,1}x^1 + \lambda^{3,2}e^{3,2}x^2 + \lambda^{3,3}e^{3,3}x^3 + \lambda^{3,4}e^{3,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{4,1}a^{4,1}x^1 + \lambda^{4,2}a^{4,2}x^2 + \lambda^{4,3}a^{4,3}x^3 + \lambda^{4,4}a^{4,4}x^4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x) = B_{\Lambda}x = C_{\Lambda}x = D_{\Lambda}x = E_{\Lambda}x &= \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^{1,1}a^{1,1}x^1 + \lambda^{1,2}a^{1,2}x^2 + \lambda^{1,3}a^{1,3}x^3 + \lambda^{1,4}a^{1,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{2,1}a^{2,1}x^1 + \lambda^{2,2}a^{2,2}x^2 + \lambda^{2,3}a^{2,3}x^3 + \lambda^{2,4}a^{2,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{3,1}a^{3,1}x^1 + \lambda^{3,2}a^{3,2}x^2 + \lambda^{3,3}a^{3,3}x^3 + \lambda^{3,4}a^{3,4}x^4 \\ \lambda^{4,1}a^{4,1}x^1 + \lambda^{4,2}a^{4,2}x^2 + \lambda^{4,3}a^{4,3}x^3 + \lambda^{4,4}a^{4,4}x^4 \end{bmatrix} = A_{\Lambda}x.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^n$ be a Λ -symmetric 2-local linear map. Then there exists a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A such that*

$$\phi(e_i) = A_{\Lambda}e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof. We prove this lemma similar to the proof of lemma 2.4. By the definition there exist symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A^{i,j}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ such that

$$\Delta(e_i) = A_{\Lambda}^{i,j}e_i, \Delta(e_j) = A_{\Lambda}^{i,j}e_j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then from

$$A_{\Lambda}^{j,k}e_j = A_{\Lambda}^{j,l}e_j = A_{\Lambda}^{m,j}e_j = A_{\Lambda}^{p,j}e_j, k, l, m, p = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

it follows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{j,k}^{1,j} \\ a_{j,k}^{2,j} \\ \dots \\ a_{j,k}^{n,j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{j,l}^{1,j} \\ a_{j,l}^{2,j} \\ \dots \\ a_{j,l}^{n,j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{m,j}^{1,j} \\ a_{m,j}^{2,j} \\ \dots \\ a_{m,j}^{n,j} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{p,j}^{1,j} \\ a_{p,j}^{2,j} \\ \dots \\ a_{p,j}^{n,j} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1.2)$$

for $k, l, m, p = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We take the following matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,2}^{1,1} & a_{2,3}^{1,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{1,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{1,n} \\ a_{1,2}^{2,1} & a_{2,3}^{2,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{2,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{2,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{1,2}^{n-1,1} & a_{2,3}^{n-1,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{n-1,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{n-1,n} \\ a_{1,2}^{n,1} & a_{2,3}^{n,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{n,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrices $A_{i,j}$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are symmetric we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{1,2}^{1,1} & a_{2,3}^{1,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{1,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{1,n} \\ a_{1,2}^{2,1} & a_{2,3}^{2,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{2,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{2,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{1,2}^{n-1,1} & a_{2,3}^{n-1,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{n-1,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{n-1,n} \\ a_{1,2}^{n,1} & a_{2,3}^{n,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{n,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{n,n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,2}^{1,1} & a_{1,2}^{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,2}^{1,n-1} & a_{1,2}^{1,n} \\ a_{2,3}^{2,1} & a_{2,3}^{2,2} & \dots & a_{2,3}^{2,n-1} & a_{2,3}^{2,n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n-1,n}^{n-1,1} & a_{n-1,n}^{n-1,2} & \dots & a_{n-1,n}^{n-1,n-1} & a_{n-1,n}^{n-1,n} \\ a_{n,1}^{n,1} & a_{n,1}^{n,2} & \dots & a_{n,1}^{n,n-1} & a_{n,1}^{n,n} \end{bmatrix}$$

by equalities (1.2). At the same time

$$A_{\Lambda} e_i = A_{\Lambda}^{i,i+1} e_i = \Delta(e_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Similar to the proof of theorem 2.5 one can prove the following theorem using lemma 2.6.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^n$ be a Λ -symmetric 2-local linear map. Then ϕ is a linear operator.*

3. 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON FINITE DIMENSIONAL FORMALLY REAL JORDAN ALGEBRAS

Let \mathcal{J} be a Jordan algebra of a finite dimension n over a field \mathcal{F} , and let $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be a basis of \mathcal{J} . Let z be an element in \mathcal{J} and

$$\bar{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z^1 \\ z^2 \\ \vdots \\ z^n \end{pmatrix}$$

be the coordinate form of z with respect to the basis $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. Now, let $L : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ be a linear operator. Then there exists a $n \times n$ matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a^{1,1} & a^{1,2} & \dots & a^{1,n} \\ a^{2,1} & a^{2,2} & \dots & a^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a^{n,1} & a^{n,2} & \dots & a^{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that

$$L(z) = L(z)^1 a_1 + L(z)^2 a_2 + \dots + L(z)^n a_n,$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} L(z)^1 \\ L(z)^2 \\ \vdots \\ L(z)^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{1,1} & a^{1,2} & \dots & a^{1,n} \\ a^{2,1} & a^{2,2} & \dots & a^{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a^{n,1} & a^{n,2} & \dots & a^{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z^1 \\ z^2 \\ \vdots \\ z^n \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. $\overline{L(z)} = A\bar{z}$. In other words A is the matrix of the linear operator L .

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{J} be a finite dimensional Jordan algebra over a field \mathcal{F} of zero characteristic and let Δ be a 2-local derivation on \mathcal{J} . Suppose that there exists a matrix Λ such that for each pair x, y of elements in \mathcal{J} the derivation $D_{x,y}$, satisfying $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$, $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$, is given by a Λ -symmetric matrix A_Λ as a linear operator, i.e.*

$$\overline{D_{x,y}(z)} = A_\Lambda \bar{z}, z \in \mathcal{J}$$

with respect to a fixed basis in \mathcal{J} . Then Δ is a derivation.

Proof. By the definition Δ is a Λ -symmetric 2-local linear map. Hence by theorem 2.7 Δ is a linear operator and Δ is a Jordan derivation, i.e. $\Delta(x^2) = \Delta(x)x + x\Delta(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{J}$.

Now, let x, y be arbitrary elements in \mathcal{J} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 4\Delta(xy) &= \Delta((x+y)^2 - (x-y)^2) = \Delta((x+y)^2) - \Delta((x-y)^2) \\ &= 2\Delta(x+y)(x+y) - 2\Delta(x-y)(x-y) \\ &= 2(\Delta(x) + \Delta(y))(x+y) - 2(\Delta(x) - \Delta(y))(x-y) = 4(\Delta(x)y + x\Delta(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + x\Delta(y)$. Therefore Δ is a derivation. The proof is complete. \square

Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space. Define a product in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ by

$$(a + \lambda\mathbf{1}) \cdot (b + \mu\mathbf{1}) = (\mu a + \lambda b) + (\langle a, b \rangle + \lambda\mu)\mathbf{1}$$

where $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is a Jordan algebra with the unit element $\bar{\mathbf{1}} = \bar{0} + \mathbf{1}$ which is called a spin factor (cf. [4, §6.1], [8, §1.9]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space of finite dimension n and let $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ be the corresponding spin factor. Then there exists a $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix Λ such that for every derivation D on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix A_Λ , which generates the linear operator D is Λ -symmetric.*

Proof. Let $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} . Then the family

$$\{p, q, \xi_1 + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \xi_2 + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \dots, \xi_n + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}\}$$

is a basis of the vector space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, where p, q are orthogonal minimal projections with $p + q = \mathbf{1}$ and

$$(\xi_k + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}) \cdot p = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_k + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}), (\xi_k + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}) \cdot q = \frac{1}{2}(\xi_k + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}), k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Put $s_1 = \xi_1 + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}, s_2 = \xi_2 + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \dots, s_n = \xi_n + 0 \cdot \mathbf{1}$. Then the table of multiplication of this basis is the following

$$s_i \cdot s_i = \mathbf{1}, s_i \cdot s_j = 0, i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$s_k \cdot p = \frac{1}{2}s_k, s_k \cdot q = \frac{1}{2}s_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Now, let a, b be elements in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ and $D_{a,b}(x) = a(bx) - b(ax), x \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$. Then $D_{a,b}$ is a derivation. We prove that the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix, which defines the linear operator $D_{a,b}$ is Λ -symmetric with respect to the matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Indeed, let

$$a = a^{-1}p + a^0q + a^1s_1 + a^2s_2 + \dots + a^ns_n, a^{-1}, a^0, a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n, a^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$b = b^{-1}p + b^0q + b^1s_1 + b^2s_2 + \dots + b^ns_n, b^{-1}, b^0, b^1, b^2, \dots, b^n, b^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$x = x^{-1}p + x^0q + x^1s_1 + x^2s_2 + \dots + x^ns_n, x^{-1}, x^0, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$bx = (b^{-1}p + b^0q + b^1s_1 + b^2s_2 + \dots + b^ns_n)(x^{-1}p + x^0q + x^1s_1 + x^2s_2 + \dots + x^ns_n)$$

$$= b^{-1}x^{-1}p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}b^{-1}x^k s_k + b^0x^0q + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}b^0x^k s_k + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b^k x^k\right)(p + q)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}b^k x^{-1} s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}b^k x^0 s_k = b^{-1}x^{-1}p + b^0x^0q$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}[b^{-1}x^k + b^0x^k + b^k x^{-1} + b^k x^0]s_k + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b^k x^k\right)(p + q),$$

$$a(bx) = (a^{-1}p + a^0q + a^1s_1 + a^2s_2 + \dots + a^ns_n)(bx) =$$

$$a^{-1}b^{-1}x^{-1}p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4}a^{-1}[b^{-1}x^k + b^0x^k + b^k x^{-1} + b^k x^0]s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-1}b^k x^k p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + a^0 b^0 x^0 q + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4} a^0 [b^{-1} x^k + b^0 x^k + b^k x^{-1} + b^k x^0] s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n a^0 b^k x^k q \\
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} a^k b^{-1} x^{-1} s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} a^k b^0 x^0 s_k \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4} a^k [b^{-1} x^k + b^0 x^k + b^k x^{-1} + b^k x^0] (p + q) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a^m b^k x^k s_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& b(ax) = (b^{-1} p + b^0 q + b^1 s_1 + b^2 s_2 + \dots + b^n s_n)(ax) = \\
& b^{-1} a^{-1} x^{-1} p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4} b^{-1} [a^{-1} x^k + a^0 x^k + a^k x^{-1} + a^k x^0] s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n b^{-1} a^k x^k p \\
& + b^0 a^0 x^0 q + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4} b^0 [a^{-1} x^k + a^0 x^k + a^k x^{-1} + a^k x^0] s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n b^0 a^k x^k q \\
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} b^k a^{-1} x^{-1} s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} b^k a^0 x^0 s_k \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4} b^k [a^{-1} x^k + a^0 x^k + a^k x^{-1} + a^k x^0] (p + q) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b^m a^k x^k s_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{a,b}(x) &= 0 \cdot x^{-1} p + 0 \cdot x^0 p + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} a^{-1} b^k + \frac{1}{2} a^k b^0 - \frac{1}{2} a^k b^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} a^0 b^k \right) x^k p + \\
& 0 \cdot x^{-1} q + 0 \cdot x^0 q + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} a^0 b^k + \frac{1}{2} a^k b^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} a^k b^0 - \frac{1}{2} a^{-1} b^k \right) x^k q + \\
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{4} a^0 b^k + \frac{1}{4} a^k b^{-1} - \frac{1}{4} a^{-1} b^k - \frac{1}{4} a^k b^0 \right) x^{-1} s^k + \\
& \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{4} a^{-1} b^k + \frac{1}{4} a^k b^0 - \frac{1}{4} a^0 b^k - \frac{1}{4} a^k b^{-1} \right) x^0 s^k + 0 \cdot x^k s^k + \\
& \quad \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1, k \neq m}^n (a^m b^k + a^k b^m) x^k s^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the linear operator $D_{a,b}$ is generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis $\{p, q, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$. For example, in the case of $n = 1$ this sum corresponds to the following matrix

$$A_\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} a_{23} \\ -\frac{1}{4} a_{13} & -\frac{1}{4} a_{23} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a_{13} = a^{-1} b^1 + a^1 b^0 - a^1 b^{-1} - a^0 b^1$, $a_{23} = a^0 b^1 + a^1 b^{-1} - a^1 b^0 - a^{-1} b^1$.

Now, every inner derivation on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is of the following form:

$$D(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m (a_k \cdot (b_k \cdot x) - b_k \cdot (a_k \cdot x)), x \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1},$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$. In this case the inner derivation D is also generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis (2.3).

Let Δ be a 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$. Since for finite dimensional \mathcal{H} every derivation on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is inner (see theorem 3.5 in [10]), we have for every pair of elements x, y in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ there exists an inner derivation D on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ such that $\Delta(x) = D(x)$, $\Delta(y) = D(y)$. By our last statement D is generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, where Λ does not depend on the elements x, y . Therefore, since Δ is a 2-local linear map on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ we have that Δ is linear by theorem 2.7. Hence Δ is a derivation by theorem 3.1. The proof is complete. \square

The following theorem is valid by theorems 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space of finite dimension and let $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ be the corresponding spin factor. Then every 2-local derivation on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is a derivation.*

By theorems 4 and 3.5 in [1] and [10] respectively we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Every 2-local derivation on the Jordan algebra $H_n(\mathbb{R})$ of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{R} is a derivation.*

We will use the following lemma in the sequel.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $M_n(\mathcal{F})$ be the associative algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over a field \mathcal{F} of zero characteristic and $d \in M_n(\mathcal{F})$ be a skew-symmetric matrix such that $D(x) = D_d(x) = dx - xd$, for any $x \in M_n(\mathcal{F})$, i.e. D is an inner derivation. Then the $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix A , of the linear operator D , is skew-symmetric.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_d(x) &= dx - xd = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,i}(dx - xd)e_{j,j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n [e_{i,i}(dx)e_{j,j} - e_{i,i}(xd)e_{j,j}] \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[\sum_{k=1}^n (d^{i,k}x^{k,j} - x^{i,k}d^{k,j}) \right] e_{i,j}. \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[\sum_{k=1}^n (d^{i,k}x^{k,j} - x^{i,k}d^{k,j}) \right] e_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the matrix of the linear operator D_d is a skew-symmetric matrix with respect to the basis

$$\{e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}, \dots, e_{n,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,2}, \dots, e_{n,2}, \dots, e_{1,n}, e_{2,n}, \dots, e_{n,n}\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Indeed, if we take the component $d^{i,k}$ corresponding to $x^{k,j}e_{i,j}$, where $i \neq j$, $i \neq k$, then the appropriate symmetric component, corresponding to $x^{i,j}e_{k,j}$, is $d^{k,i}$, and therefore $d^{i,k} = -d^{k,i}$.

The proof is complete. \square

For example, if $n = 2$, then the linear operator D_d has the following skew-symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d^{1,2} & -d^{2,1} & 0 \\ d^{2,1} & 0 & 0 & -d^{2,1} \\ -d^{1,2} & 0 & 0 & d^{1,2} \\ 0 & -d^{1,2} & d^{2,1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,2}\}$.

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . Then every 2-local derivation on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a derivation.*

Proof. First we prove that there exists a $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix Λ such that for any a, b in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ the matrix of the inner derivation $D_{a,b}(x) = a(bx) - b(ax)$, $x \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$, is Λ -symmetric.

Indeed, with respect to the associative multiplication in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the following equality is valid

$$D_{a,b}(x) = \frac{1}{4}((ab - ba)x - x(ab - ba)), x \in H_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

With respect to isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

the Jordan algebra $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ can be embedded in $H_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$. In this embedding the map defined as

$$D_{a,b}(x) = \frac{1}{4}((ab - ba)x - x(ab - ba)), x \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R}),$$

is a linear operator on $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$, and by lemma 3.5 this linear operator is generated by a skew-symmetric $(2n)^2 \times (2n)^2$ matrix A with respect to the basis

$$\{e_{1,1}, e_{2,1}, \dots, e_{2n,1}, e_{1,2}, e_{2,2}, \dots, e_{2n,2}, \dots, e_{1,2n}, e_{2,2n}, \dots, e_{2n,2n}\} \quad (2.2)$$

because $ab - ba$ is skew-symmetric.

Let $\overline{H_n(\mathbb{C})}$ be the image of $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ in the embedding in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\alpha,\alpha} &= e_{2\alpha-1,2\alpha-1} + e_{2\alpha,2\alpha}, \\ t_{\alpha,\beta} &= e_{2\alpha-1,2\beta} + e_{2\alpha,2\beta-1} + e_{2\beta,2\alpha-1} + e_{2\beta-1,2\alpha}, \\ I_{\alpha,\beta} &= e_{2\alpha-1,2\beta} - e_{2\alpha,2\beta-1} + e_{2\beta,2\alpha-1} - e_{2\beta-1,2\alpha}. \\ \alpha &< \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Then the family

$$\{t_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha \leq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \cup \{I_{\alpha,\beta}\}_{\alpha < \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} \quad (2.4)$$

is a basis of the vector space $\overline{H_n(\mathbb{C})}$. By (2.3) vectors of the basis (2.4) are linear combinations of vectors of the basis (2.2). So the matrix of the linear operator $D_{a,b}$ on $\overline{H_n(\mathbb{C})}$ with respect to the basis (2.4), and which can be obtained from the matrix A , is Λ -symmetric for any a, b in $\overline{H_n(\mathbb{C})}$ for an appropriate matrix Λ . Hence for any a, b in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ the matrix of the inner derivation $D_{a,b}(x) = a(bx) - b(ax)$, $x \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$, is Λ -symmetric with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} of $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the basis (2.4) in the embedding in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Now, every inner derivation on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ is of the following kind

$$D(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m D_{a_k, b_k}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m (a_k \cdot (b_k \cdot x) - b_k \cdot (a_k \cdot x)), x \in H_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$. In this case the inner derivation D is also generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} . It is a sum of m Λ -symmetric matrices.

Let Δ be a 2-local derivation on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$. Since every derivation on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ is inner by theorem 3.5 in [10] we have that for every pair of elements x, y in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ there exists an inner derivation D on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Delta(x) = D(x)$, $\Delta(y) = D(y)$. By the statement proved above D is generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} of $H_n(\mathbb{C})$, where Λ does not depend on the elements x, y . Therefore, since Δ is a 2-local linear map on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ we obtain that Δ is linear by theorem 3.2. Hence Δ is a derivation by theorem 3.3. The proof is complete. \square

Let \mathbb{H} be the quaternion algebra over \mathbb{R} (cf. [4, Item 2.2.5], [8, §2.9]), and let $H_n(\mathbb{H})$ be the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{H} (cf. [4, §2.7]). The algebra $H_n(\mathbb{H})$ is embedded in $H_{4n}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore we can prove the following theorem similar to the proof of theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $H_n(\mathbb{H})$ be the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{H} . Then every 2-local derivation on $H_n(\mathbb{H})$ is a derivation.*

Since every finite dimensional formally real special Jordan algebra is isomorphic to the direct sum of the Jordan algebras indicated in theorems 3.3, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.7 (cf. [5]) we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.8. *Every 2-local derivation on a finite dimensional formally real special Jordan algebra is a derivation.*

Let $\mathbf{1}$ be an identity element of the quaternion algebra \mathbb{H} , and let i, j, k be elements in \mathbb{H} such that

$$ij = ji = -k, jk = kj = -i, ki = ik = -j, i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -\mathbf{1}.$$

Then $\mathbb{H} = \{a + ib + cj + dk : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let \mathbb{O} be the octonion algebra and let l be an element in \mathbb{O} such that

$$l^2 = -\mathbf{1}, li = -il, lj = -jl, lk = -kl.$$

Then $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}l$. The exceptional Jordan algebra of self-adjoint 3×3 matrices with octonion entries is denoted by $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ (cf. [4, Item 2.2.5, §2.7], [8, §§2.9, 3.9]).

Remark 3.9. The following assertion can be proved similar to the proof of theorem 3.2.

Every 2-local derivation on $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ is a derivation.

Indeed, let

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\alpha,\alpha} &= e_{\alpha,\alpha}, t_{\alpha,\beta} = e_{\alpha,\beta} + e_{\beta,\alpha}, v_{\alpha,\beta} = e_{\alpha,\beta} - e_{\beta,\alpha}, \\ I_{\alpha,\beta} &= iv_{\alpha,\beta}, J_{\alpha,\beta} = jv_{\alpha,\beta}, K_{\alpha,\beta} = kv_{\alpha,\beta}, \\ LI_{\alpha,\beta} &= liv_{\alpha,\beta}, LJ_{\alpha,\beta} = ljv_{\alpha,\beta}, LK_{\alpha,\beta} = lkv_{\alpha,\beta}, \\ L_{\alpha,\beta} &= lv_{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha < \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the family

$$\{t_{\alpha,\alpha}, t_{\alpha,\beta}, I_{\alpha,\beta}, J_{\alpha,\beta}, K_{\alpha,\beta}, LI_{\alpha,\beta}, LJ_{\alpha,\beta}, LK_{\alpha,\beta}, L_{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha < \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2, 3\}\} \quad (2.5)$$

is a basis of the vector space $H_3(\mathbb{O})$, i.e. $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ is 27-dimensional, and this basis has an appropriate table of multiplication.

One can prove that there exists a 27×27 matrix Λ such that for any a, b in $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ the 27×27 matrix, which generates the linear operator $D_{a,b}(x) = a(bx) - b(ax)$, $x \in H_3(\mathbb{O})$ is Λ -symmetric.

As in the proof of theorem 3.2 one can show that the linear operator $D_{a,b}$ is generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis (2.5), in which the matrix Λ does not depend on the elements a, b . This requires somewhat lengthy direct calculations, which are omitted. Then the proof is finished as in the final part of the proof of theorem 3.2.

4. ON 2-LOCAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL FORMALLY REAL JORDAN ALGEBRAS

Recall that a 2-local automorphism is defined as follows: given a Jordan algebra \mathcal{A} , a map $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ (not linear in general) is called a 2-local automorphism if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists an automorphism $\Phi_{x,y} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta(x) = \Phi_{x,y}(x)$ and $\Delta(y) = \Phi_{x,y}(y)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{J} be a finite dimensional Jordan algebra over a field \mathcal{F} of zero characteristic and let Δ be a 2-local automorphism on \mathcal{J} . Suppose that there exists a matrix Λ such that for each pair x, y of elements in \mathcal{J} the automorphism $\Phi_{x,y}$, satisfying $\Delta(x) = \Phi_{x,y}(x)$, $\Delta(y) = \Phi_{x,y}(y)$, is generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix as a linear operator. Then Δ is an automorphism.*

Proof. By the definition Δ is a Λ -symmetric 2-local linear map. Hence by theorem 2.7 Δ is a linear operator. Now applying the definition of 2-local automorphism to the elements $x, x^2 \in \mathcal{A}$, we obtain that Δ is a Jordan automorphism, i.e. $\Delta(x^2) = \Delta(x)\Delta(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{J}$.

The proof is complete. \square

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{J} be a unital Jordan algebra. An automorphism Φ of \mathcal{J} is called a 1-automorphism, if $\Phi(x) = U_s(x) = 2s(sx) - s^2x = 2s(sx) - x$, $x \in \mathcal{J}$, for some symmetry $s \in \mathcal{J}$, i.e. $s^2 = 1$.

A map Δ on \mathcal{J} is called a 2-local 1-automorphism, if for every $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$ there exists a symmetry s in \mathcal{J} such that $\Delta(x) = U_s(x)$, $\Delta(y) = U_s(y)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space of finite dimension n and let $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ be the corresponding spin factor. Then there exists a $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix Λ such that for every 1-automorphism Φ on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix A , of the linear operator Φ , is Λ -symmetric.*

Proof. We prove that for every symmetry s in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ the $(n+2) \times (n+2)$ matrix of the 1-automorphism $U_s(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$, is Λ -symmetric with respect to the matrix

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Indeed, let $\{p, q, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ be a basis of the vector space $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ as in the proof of theorem 3.2 and

$$\begin{aligned} s &= a^{-1}p + a^0q + a^1s_1 + a^2s_2 + \cdots + a^ns_n, a^{-1}, a^0, a^1, a^2, \dots, a^n, a^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}, \\ x &= x^{-1}p + x^0q + x^1s_1 + x^2s_2 + \cdots + x^ns_n, x^{-1}, x^0, x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} sx &= (a^{-1}p + a^0q + a^1s_1 + a^2s_2 + \cdots + a^ns_n)(x^{-1}p + x^0q + x^1s_1 + x^2s_2 + \cdots + x^ns_n) \\ &= a^{-1}x^{-1}p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^{-1}x^k s_k + a^0x^0q + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^0x^k s_k + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a^k x^k\right)(p+q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^k x^{-1} s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^k x^0 s_k = a^{-1}x^{-1}p + a^0x^0q \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}[a^{-1}x^k + a^0x^k + a^k x^{-1} + a^k x^0]s_k + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a^k x^k\right)(p+q), \\ s(sx) &= (a^{-1}p + a^0q + a^1s_1 + a^2s_2 + \cdots + a^ns_n)(ax) = \\ &(a^{-1})^2x^{-1}p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4}a^{-1}[a^{-1}x^k + a^0x^k + a^k x^{-1} + a^k x^0]s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-1}a^k x^k p \\ &\quad + (a^0)^2x^0q + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4}a^0[a^{-1}x^k + a^0x^k + a^k x^{-1} + a^k x^0]s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n a^0a^k x^k \\ &\quad \quad \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^k a^{-1}x^{-1} s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^k a^0x^0 s_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{4}a^k[a^{-1}x^k + a^0x^k + a^k x^{-1} + a^k x^0](p+q) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n a^m a^k x^k s_m. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$1 = s^2 = a^{-1}a^{-1}p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^{-1}a^k s_k + a^0a^0q + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2}a^0a^k s_k + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a^k a^k\right)(p+q)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} a^k a^{-1} s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} a^k a^0 s_k = (a^{-1})^2 p + (a^0)^2 q \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n [a^{-1} a^k + a^0 a^k] s_k + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a^k)^2 \right) (p + q), \\
x = s^2 x & = ((a^{-1})^2 p + (a^0)^2 q + \sum_{k=1}^n [a^{-1} a^k + a^0 a^k] s_k + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a^k)^2 \right) (p + q)) x = \\
& (a^{-1})^2 x^{-1} p + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} x^{-1} [a^{-1} a^k + a^0 a^k] s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n x^{-1} (a^k)^2 p \\
& + x^0 (a^0)^2 q + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} x^0 [a^{-1} a^k + a^0 a^k] s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n x^0 (a^k)^2 q + \\
& \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} x^k (a^{-1})^2 s_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} x^k (a^0)^2 s_k \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} x^k [a^{-1} a^k + a^0 a^k] (p + q) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n x^m (a^k)^2 s_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
U_s(x) = 2s(sx) - x & = a^{-1} a^{-1} \cdot x^{-1} p + \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a^k a^k \right) \cdot x^0 p + \sum_{k=1}^n 2a^{-1} a^k x^k p + \\
& \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a^k a^k \right) \cdot x^{-1} q + a^0 a^0 \cdot x^0 q + \sum_{k=1}^n 2a^0 a^k x^k q + \\
& \sum_{k=1}^n a^k a^{-1} x^{-1} s^k + \sum_{k=1}^n a^k a^0 x^0 s^k + \sum_{k=1}^n a^{-1} a^0 x^k s^k + \\
& \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{k=1, k \neq m}^n a^m x^m a^k s^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the linear operator U_s is generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis $\{p, q, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$. The proof is complete. \square

For example, if $n = 1$, then the linear operator U_s has the following skew-symmetric matrix

$$A_\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} a^{-1} a^{-1} & a^1 a^1 & 2a^{-1} a^1 \\ a^1 a^1 & a^0 a^0 & 2a^0 a^1 \\ a^{-1} a^1 & a^0 a^1 & a^{-1} a^0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to the basis $\{p, q, s_1\}$.

The following theorem is valid by theorems 4.1 and 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space of finite dimension and let $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ be the corresponding spin factor. Then every 2-local 1-automorphism of $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ is an automorphism.*

We will use the following lemma in the sequel.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $M_n(\mathcal{F})$ be the associative algebra of $n \times n$ matrices over a field \mathcal{F} of zero characteristic and let u, v be symmetric matrices in $M_n(\mathcal{F})$. Consider the linear map $\phi_{u,v}(x) = uxv$, $x \in M_n(\mathcal{F})$. Then the $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix A of the linear operator $\phi_{u,v}$ is symmetric.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{u,v}(x) &= uxv = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_{i,i}(uxv)e_{j,j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n (u^{i,k}x^{k,l}v^{l,j}) \right] e_{i,j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left[\sum_{k,l=1}^n (u^{i,k}v^{l,j})x^{k,l} \right] e_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the component $u^{i,k}v^{l,j}$ corresponding to $x^{k,l}e_{i,j}$, then the appropriate symmetric component, corresponding to $x^{i,j}e_{k,l}$, is $u^{k,i}v^{j,l}$, and therefore $u^{i,k}v^{l,j} = u^{k,i}v^{j,l}$. Hence the matrix, which generates the linear operator $\phi_{u,v}$ is symmetric with respect to the basis (2.1). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . Then every 2-local 1-automorphism on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ is an automorphism.*

Proof. We prove that there exists a $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix Λ such that for every symmetry s in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ and for the 1-automorphism $U_s(x)$, $x \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$, the $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix, which generates the linear operator U_s is Λ -symmetric.

Indeed, with respect to the associative multiplication in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the following equality is valid

$$U_s(x) = sxs, x \in H_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

We take the embedding in $H_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ of the Jordan algebra $H_n(\mathbb{C})$. In this embedding

$$U_s(x) = sxs, x \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$$

is a linear operator on $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ and by lemma 4.5 this linear operator is generated by a symmetric $(2n)^2 \times (2n)^2$ matrix A with respect to the basis (2.2) since s is symmetric.

Since vectors of the basis (2.4) are linear combinations of vectors of the basis (2.2) we have that the matrix with respect to the basis (2.4), of the linear operator U_s on $\overline{H_n(\mathbb{C})}$ and which can be obtained from the matrix A , is Λ -symmetric for any symmetry s in $\overline{H_n(\mathbb{C})}$ for some matrix Λ . Hence for any symmetry s in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ the matrix of the 1-automorphism $U_s(x)$, $x \in H_n(\mathbb{C})$, is Λ -symmetric with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} of $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the basis (2.4) in the embedding in $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Let Δ be a 2-local 1-automorphism of $H_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then for every pair of elements x, y in $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ there exists a 1-automorphism Φ of $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\Delta(x) = \Phi(x)$, $\Delta(y) = \Phi(y)$. By the statement proved above Φ is generated by a Λ -symmetric matrix with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} of $H_n(\mathbb{C})$, where Λ does not depend on the elements x, y . Therefore, since Δ is a 2-local linear map on $H_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have Δ is

linear by theorem 3.2. Hence Δ is an automorphism by theorem 4.1. The proof is complete. \square

Also we can prove the following theorem similar to the proof of theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $H_n(F)$ be the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint $n \times n$ matrices over F , where $F = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{H}$. Then every 2-local 1-automorphism on $H_n(F)$ is an automorphism.*

Since every finite dimensional formally real special Jordan algebra is isomorphic to the direct sum of the Jordan algebras indicated in theorems 4.4, 4.6 and 4.7 we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *Every 2-local 1-automorphism on a finite dimensional formally real special Jordan algebra is an automorphism.*

Remark 4.9. The following assertion can be proved similar to the proof of theorem 4.4.

Every 2-local 1-automorphism of $H_3(\mathbb{O})$ is an automorphism.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sh. Ayupov, F. Arzikulov, 2-Local derivations on associative and Jordan matrix rings over commutative rings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 522 (2017) 28–50.
- [2] J. Cabello, A. Peralta, Weak-2-local symmetric maps on C^* -algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 494 (2016) 32–43.
- [3] A. Gleason, A characterization of maximal ideals, *J. Analyse Math.* 19, 171–172 (1967).
- [4] H. Hanche-Olsen, E. Störmer, *Jordan Operator Algebras*, Boston etc.:Pitman Publ. Inc. (1984)
- [5] P. Jordan, J. von Neumann, E. Wigner, On an algebraic generalization of the quantum mechanical formalism, *Annals Math.* **35**, 29–64 (1934)
- [6] J. Kahane, W. Żelazko, A characterization of maximal ideals in commutative Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* 29, 339–343 (1968).
- [7] S. Kowalski, Z. Słodkowski, A characterization of multiplicative linear functionals in Banach algebras, *Studia Math.* 67 (1980) 215–223
- [8] K. McCrimmon, *A test of Jordan algebras*, Springer, New York and etc. (2004)
- [9] P. Šemrl, Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997) 2677–2680.
- [10] H. Upmeyer, Derivations on Jordan C^* -algebras, *Math. Scand.* 46 (1980) 251–264.

¹ V.I.ROMANOVSKIY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES 81, MIRZO ULUGHBEK STR., TASHKENT 100170, UZBEKISTAN. TEL.:+998933998790
E-mail address: sh_ayupov@mail.ru

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDIZHAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 129, UNIVERSITY STR., ANDIZHAN 170100, UZBEKISTAN. TEL.:+998902683416
E-mail address: arzikulovfn@rambler.ru

³ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDIZHAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 129, UNIVERSITY STR., ANDIZHAN 170100, UZBEKISTAN. TEL.:+998902696059
E-mail address: umrzaqov2010@mail.ru

³ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANDIZHAN STATE UNIVERSITY, 129, UNIVERSITY STR., ANDIZHAN 170100, UZBEKISTAN. TEL.:+998916110033
E-mail address: o.nuriddinov86@mail.ru